**Title**

Genome-centric analyses of seasonal phyllosphere microbiome activities in perennial crops

**Authors**

Adina Howe[1,2], Nejc Stopnisek[3,4], Shane K. Dooley[1], Fan M. Yang[1], Keara L. Grady[3,4], Ashley

Shade[3,4,5,6]

1. Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

50011

2. Department of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, Iowa State University, Ames, IA

50011

3. The Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

48824

4.  Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East

Lansing, MI 48824

5.  Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing MI

48824

6.  Program in Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, Michigan State University, East Lansing Mi

48824

**Abstract**

Plants and microbes form beneficial associations. It is expected that understanding these

interactions will allow for microbiome management to enhance crop productivity and resilience

to stress.  Here, we apply a genome-centric approach to identify key leaf microbiome members

and quantify their activities on field-grown switchgrass and miscanthus.  We integrate

metagenome and metatranscriptome sequencing from 237 leaf samples collected over key

time points in crop phenology. We curate metagenome-assembled-genomes (MAGs), and

conservatively focus analysis on the highest quality MAGs that were <15.5% contaminated,

>70% complete, and detected in a minimum of 10% of samples for each crop.  Populations

represented by these MAGs were actively transcribing genes, and exhibited seasonal dynamics

in key functions, including pyruvate metabolism, threonine, homoserine and serine

biosynthesis, and stress response.  Notably, we detected enrichment in transcripts annotated

to nonmavalonate isoprene biosynthesis in the late season, prior to and during host

senescence, concurrent with when plants are expected to decrease their isoprene biosynthesis.

We also detected groups of MAGs that had coherent transcript dynamics in thioredoxin

reductase, which suggests a response to reactive oxygen species, potentially released by plant

hosts experiencing abiotic stress.  Overall, this study overcame laboratory and bioinformatic

challenges associated with field-based leaf metatranscriptomes analysis to direct to some of

the key activities of phyllosphere bacteria. These activities collectively support that leaf-

associated bacterial populations can be seasonally dynamic, responsive to host cues, and, likely,

interactively engage in feedbacks with the plant.

**Keywords**

**Introduction**

Perennial plants are a crucial target for the sustainable development of biofuels

(Robertson *et al.*, 2017). In addition to yielding high biomass that can be converted to biofuels

and bioproducts, perennial crops offer a broad range of ecosystem services that support efforts

to mediate climate change, including greenhouse gas mitigation and promotion of soil nutrient

cycling (Heaton *et al.*, 2008; Langholtz *et al.*, 2016; Robertson *et al.*, 2017; Roley *et al.*, 2018).

Like all plants, perennials harbor diverse microbiota, and many of these microbes are either

known or expected to benefit their hosts.  For example, plant-associated microbes can increase

productivity and protect against environmental stressors (Bulgarelli *et al.*, 2013; Laforest-

Lapointe *et al.*, 2017; Gupta *et al.*, 2019). Because of the intimate engagement of many plant-

associated microbiota with the host, management of the plant microbiome is one tool

proposed to promote crop vigor and support crop resilience to global climate changes (Busby *et*

*al.*, 2017; Toju *et al.*, 2018; Haskett *et al.*, 2020; Wang and Haney, 2020). Therefore, along with

selective breeding and data-informed field management, regulating the plant microbiome is

expected to be key for the sustainable production of perennial biofuel feedstocks.

Like animal bodies, plants have anatomical compartments that each are inhabited by

distinctive microbial consortia. Generally, the diversity and composition of the plant

microbiome narrows from external compartments to internal, and the plant plays an active role

in filtering the microbiome composition inward (Hacquard *et al.*, 2015; Hardoim *et al.*, 2015;

Gopal and Gupta, 2016). External plant compartments include the root zone, rhizosphere and

rhizoplane below ground, and the phyllosphere above ground (Andrews and Harris, 2000).

External compartments have relatively higher representation of transient or commensal

microbial taxa, and these compartments engage with and recruit microbes from the immediate

environment. Internal compartments include the endosphere of above- and below-ground

tissues, and these have relatively low richness and harbor the most selected microbiota

(Bulgarelli *et al.*, 2013; Müller *et al.*, 2016). Of these compartments, the rhizosphere has

received the most attention as a key site of microbial-plant interactions that are important for

nutrient and water acquisition (e.g., Kuzyakov and Razavi, 2019).  However, members of the

microbiota that inhabit the phyllosphere also provide important plant functions, such as

pathogen exclusion and immune priming (Bell *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2020). Phyllosphere

microorganisms have specialized adaptations to their exposed lifestyle (Lindow and Brandl,

2003; Vorholt, 2012; Müller *et al.*, 2016; Koskella, 2020) and contribute to global carbon and

other biogeochemical cycling, including transformations relevant for climate change (Bringel

and Couée, 2015; Dorokhov *et al.*, 2018; Cavicchioli *et al.*, 2019). Because perennial biofuel

feedstocks are selected to maximize foliage surface area, understanding the phyllosphere

microbiome is expected to provide insights into key microbial engagements that benefit the

plant to support productivity and stress resilience.

There are two general challenges in regulating the microbiome to promote crop vigor

and resilience to environmental stress. The first challenge is to distinguish the beneficial

members of the plant microbiome from transient or commensal members, with recognition

that some members that provide host benefit likely change situationally, either over plant

development or given environmental stress (Edwards *et al.*, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2018; Zhalnina *et

al.*, 2018), while others are stable (Shade and Stopnisek, 2019; Stopnisek and Shade, 2021) . The

second challenge is that plants and their agroecosystems are temporally dynamic over the

growing season, and their associated microbiota are also dynamic.  It is currently unclear what

functions may be associated with phyllosphere microbial dynamics and their potential

interactions with plant hosts.

Previously, we used 16S rRNA gene amplicon analysis to identify a "core" cohort of

bacterial and archaeal taxa that were persistently associated with the phyllosphere

microbiomes' of two perennial biofuel feedstocks, miscanthus and switchgrass. Persistent

membership was established by collecting leaf samples over replicated field plots, over a

temperate seasonal cycle, and across two annual growing seasons for switchgrass (Grady *et al.*,

2019). Other studies in switchgrass have similarly reported that the leaf, and other plant

compartments, can be distinguished by microbiome compositions (e.g.,Bulgarelli *et al.*, 2012;

Lundberg *et al.*, 2012; Bahulikar *et al.*, 2014; Roley *et al.*, 2019; Singer *et al.*, 2019), suggesting

selection to the leaf compartment.  In the current study, we aimed to understand the functional

attributes of persistent phyllosphere taxa, with an interest in specialized adaptations to the leaf

and interactions with the host plant that may inform the mechanisms and nature of plant-

microbe engagements. Therefore, we performed a seasonal analysis of phyllosphere

metagenomes for both miscanthus and switchgrass. We paired our metagenome longitudinal

series with metatranscriptome analyses at select time points in switchgrass phenology to

determine which functions were active seasonally. We performed genome-centric analyses of

the metagenome and focused on understanding the dynamics and functions of the highest

quality and most persistent metagenome-assembled-genomes (MAGs). Our results reveal

specialized functions, genomic attributes, and seasonal activities of persistent phyllosphere

members. Finally, we provide evidence that these genomes were detected in various sites and

years beyond our original study plots, suggesting that they are general, consistent inhabitants

of these bioenergy grasses.

**Materials and Methods**

*Site description and sampling scheme*

Switchgrass and miscanthus leaves and corresponding contextual data were collected at

the Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center (GLBRC) located at the Kellogg Biological Station in

Hickory Corners, MI, USA (42°23'41.6" N, 85°22'23.1" W). We sampled switchgrass (*Panicum*

*virgatum L.* cultivar Cave-in-rock) and miscanthus (*Miscanthus x giganteus*), from the Biofuel

Cropping System Experiment (BCSE) sites, plot replicates 1-4, as previously described (Grady *et*

*al.*, 2019). This included collecting leaves from switchgrass and miscanthus at 8 and 9 time

points, respectively, in 2016 and switchgrass at 7 time points in 2017 seasons, for a total of 237

leaf samples. Additional switchgrass leaves were collected for RNA isolation at three time

points in 2016 to capture host plant phenology at emergence, peak growth, and senescence

stages (as categorized by GLBRC according to their standard phenology methods, see

https://data.sustainability.glbrc.org/protocols/165 ), and at all 7 sampling time points in 2017.

Leaves for RNA isolation from 80 samples (24 from 2016, and 56 from 2017) were flash-frozen

in liquid nitrogen immediately and frozen at -80°C until processing.

*Phyllosphere RNA and DNA isolation*

Phyllosphere epiphyte RNA was isolated using a benzyl chloride liquid:liquid extraction,

based on (Grady *et al.*, 2019) that was adapted for RNA isolation based on the methods of

(Suzuki *et al.*, 2001).  Approximately 5 g of intact, frozen leaf material was added to a 50 ml

polypropylene conical tube (Corning #430290) and kept frozen on liquid nitrogen while samples

were weighed and transferred. Denaturing Solution (DS) was prepared with 4.2 M guanidine

thiocyanate, 25 mM sodium citrate dihydrate pH 7.0, 0.5% (v/v) sodium n-laroyl sarcosine in

Milli-q water and was filter sterilized through 0.22 mm filters. Immediately prior to extraction, a

working stock of DS was prepared fresh by adding 2-mercaptoethanol to a final concentration

of 5% (v/v, DS/2-ME). To each leaf tube,  5 ml of benzyl chloride, 2.5 ml of 3M sodium acetate

(pH 5.2), and 5 ml of the working stock of DS/2-ME was added. The tube was incubated in a

$60^{\circ}C$ water bath for 20 minutes with vortexing every 1 min. The leaves were removed from the

conical tube using ethanol-sterilized forceps and discarded.

Five ml of chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (24:1) were added to the remaining solution in

each conical tube, which were then shaken by hand for 15 seconds and incubated on ice for 15

minutes.  The tubes were then centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 min at $4^{\circ}C$ to separate aqueous

and organic phases. Up to 5 ml of the upper, aqueous phase was transferred to a clean 15 ml

polypropylene tube, without disrupting the white interface.  Two and a half ml of sodium

citrate buffer (1.2 M sodium chloride, 0.8 M sodium citrate dihydrate in Milli-q water, filter

sterilized at 0.22 mm) and ice-cold isopropanol were added to achieve a final volume of 12.5

ml. Next, the tubes were centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 15 minutes to pellet the RNA, and the

remaining supernatant aspirated using a pipette. The RNA pellets were resuspended in 0.3 ml

of working DS/2-ME  solution, and afterwards 0.3 ml of ice-cold isopropanol was added and

mixed by pipetting gently. The solution was incubated for 30 minutes at $-20^{\circ}C$, and then the full

volume was transferred to a clean nuclease-free 1.7 ml tube and centrifuged at 16,000 x g for

15 minutes at $4\,^{\circ}C$. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet washed in 1 ml of nuclease-

free 75% ethanol. Tubes were then centrifuged at 16,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4 °C, and

supernatant again removed using a pipette. The remaining pellet was air dried to completely

remove residual ethanol, then resuspended in 30 ml of nuclease-free Tris-EDTA buffer, pH 8.0.

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was removed using RNase-free DNase I (Thermo Fisher #AM2222)

per manufacturer's instructions. The RNA was then purified using the RNeasy MinElute Cleanup

Kit (Qiagen Germantown, MD, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. The absence of

contaminating gDNA was confirmed by lack of amplification of the 16S rRNA gene V4 region by

PCR (Caporaso *et al.*, 2011) with positive and negative controls.

Corresponding environmental DNA (mgDNA) was isolated and processed as in (Grady *et*

*al.*, 2019) from each of the 488 leaf samples. DNA concentrations were normalized to 4 ng/ml.

DNA and RNA samples were then shipped to and sequenced at the Joint Genome Institute (JGI)

according to their standard protocols.

*Metagenome library preparation*

At JGI, plate-based DNA library preparation for Illumina sequencing was performed on

the PerkinElmer Sciclone NGS robotic liquid handling system using Kapa Biosystems library

preparation kit. 1.82 ng of sample DNA was sheared to 436 bp using a Covaris LE220 focused-

ultrasonicator. The sheared DNA fragments were size selected by double-SPRI and then the

selected fragments were end-repaired, A-tailed, and ligated with Illumina compatible

sequencing adaptors from IDT containing a unique molecular index barcode for each sample

library.  The prepared libraries were quantified using KAPA Biosystems' next-generation

sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument.

Sequencing of the flowcell was performed on the Illumina HiSeq sequencer following a 2x151

indexed run recipe.

*Metatranscriptome library preparation*

At JGI, plate-based RNA sample prep was performed on the PerkinElmer Sciclone NGS

robotic liquid handling system using Illuminas Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Bacteria) and the

TruSeq Stranded Total RNA HT sample prep kit following the protocol outlined by Illumina in

their user guide: https://support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_kits/truseqstranded-

total-rna.html, and with the following conditions: total RNA starting material of 100 ng per

sample and 10 cycles of PCR for library amplification. The prepared libraries were quantified

using KAPA Biosystems' next-generation sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a Roche

LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Sequencing of the flowcell was performed on the

Illumina NovaSeq sequencer using NovaSeq XP V1 reagent kits, S4 flowcell, following a 2x151

indexed run recipe.

*Analysis of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes*

There were 136 metagenome and 74 metranscriptome samples that successfully

sequenced.  We used Trimmomatic (v0.39) (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) to remove adaptors and filter

low-quality reads from fastq files using the following arguments: PE -phred33

ILLUMINACLIP:TruSeq3-PE-2.fa:2:30:10:8:TRUE LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15

MINLEN:36. Next, plant host reads were filtered out (for both metagenomes and

metatranscriptomes) by removing all reads that mapped to the switchgrass genome (*Panicum*

*virgatum* v1.0, DOE-JGI, http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/) or miscanthus genome (*Miscanthus*

*sinensis* V7.1 http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/) using bowtie2 (v 7.5.0), samtools (v 1.9), and

bedtools (v2.29.0) (Li *et al.*, 2009; Quinlan and Hall, 2010; Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). To

remove the fungal reads and improve the prokaryotic signal in metagenome samples, we also

filtered reads against 6 fungal genomes that represent close relatives of the most abundant

fungal species in this system (Bowsher *et al.*, 2020) (**Table S1**). The genomes of these fungal

species were retrieved from the JGI Genome Portal. Filtering against fungal genomes removed

an average of 2% of reads in the switchgrass metagenome samples (with a bias towards reads

in mid-season samples, ~9%), but fewer reads for the miscanthus metagenome samples

(average 1.1% and evenly detected across the growing season). Nonpareil (v3) was used to

estimate the metagenomic coverage and sample diversity (Rodriguez-R *et al.*, 2018). Filtered

metagenome reads were then combined and used for co-assembly with MEGHIT (v 1.2.9) using-

-kmin-1pass (low sequencing depth) and--presets meta-large (complex metagenome) (Li *et al.*,

2015).

Because of the uneven distribution of sequencing depth after eukaryotic read filtering,

we curated metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) from the switchgrass and miscanthus

metagenome libraries using Metabat (v2.2.15) (Kang *et al.*, 2019). CheckM with the lineage_wf

option (Parks *et al.*, 2015) was used to determine completeness and contamination of produced

MAGs. MAGs were assigned taxonomy using CheckM (v.1.13) based on the presence and

consistent assignment of phylogenetic marker genes.  Among 58 MAGs assembled from the

switchgrass or miscanthus phyllosphere metagenomes (< 50% contamintaiton and > 50%

completeness, **Dataset 1**), we selected a subset of 16 highest quality MAGs based on:

completeness greater than 70%, contamination less than 15.5%, and detection in greater than

10% of both switchgrass and miscanthus metagenomes (**Table S2**).  Finally, assembled MAG

scaffolds were aligned to the chloroplast genome of *Panicum virgatum* to confirm that these

genes were absent.

*Abundances of MAGs and associated functions*

The abundance of each MAG in each sample was estimated based on the average

median coverage of metagenome reads associated to each MAG scaffolds. Specifically, Bowtie2

(v2.2.2) was used to align reads to scaffolds and Bedtools (v2.28) was used to estimate the

coverage of each basepair within the scaffold. For each MAG scaffold, open reading frames

(ORFs) and functional genes were identified using Prodigal (v2.6.3 , default). The functional

hierarchy of the ORFs was annotated by aligning sequences to a manually-curated soil genome

database, RefSoil+ (Choi *et al.*, 2017; Dunivin *et al.*, 2019).  Refsoil ORFs were aligned RAST

Subsystems (Aziz *et al.*, 2008)

(https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/RefSoil_Database/4362812) using BLAST (v2.10.1) to

identify the gene with the best scoring alignment with an expected value score  < 1e-5.  Similar

to genome abundances, the abundances of these functional genes were estimated by mapping

metagenome and metatranscriptome reads to ORFs and estimating the median coverage per

ORF in each sample.

Transcripts were mapped to ORFs associated with each MAG to estimate median base

coverage of each ORF, which was subsequently normalized by total number of reads in the

sample to provide comparisons between samples. Pairwise comparisons of functional roles (the

cumulative sum of all associated ORFs ) between the early (May – July) and late (Aug – Sep)

season were performed with the Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks. The distribution and dynamics of

MAGs' transcripts were compared with hierchical clustering using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

distances of the estimated metatranscriptome abundances.  Additionally, pairwise comparisons

of transcript abundances associated with DNA metabolism and RNA metabolism were

compared for each sample date with the Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks.

*Data and code availability*

Metagenome and metatranscriptome data are available through the Joint Genome Institute

Genome Portal under project ID 503249.  Code is available on GitHub

(https://github.com/ShadeLab/PAPER_Howe_2021_switchgrass_MetaT).

**Results**

*Key leaf bacterial populations inhabit both grasses and exhibit seasonal trends*

We focused analysis on sixteen highest-quality MAGs assembled from the leaf time

series that were detected in at least 10% of both switchgrass and miscanthus metagenomes,

which we refer to as our "gold" leaf MAGs.  This set of MAGs was dominated by representatives

from the orders Actinomycetales, Burkholderiales, Pseudomonadales, and Rhizobiales (**Figure**

**1**, **Table S2**).  Among identified MAGs, one MAG, MAG13_Unkn, is a member of bacteria but

could not be classified further with confidence, likely due to its relatively high contamination.

The consistent detection of these 16 MAGs in both miscanthus and switchgrass samples (**Figure**

**2A**) suggests that their originating bacterial populations were not host-specific but rather

distributed among these perennial grasses; this confirms previous results from the 16S rRNA

gene amplicon survey which revealed substantial overlap in the major leaf bacterial taxa across

the two crops (Grady *et al.*, 2019).

These MAGs exhibited clear seasonality, and most were enriched in the phyllosphere

metagenomes late in the growing season for both grasses (**Figure 2A, Figure S1A**). The majority

of MAGs were not detected early in the growing season but were first detected in August (late

season/peak biomass).  Indeed, maximum enrichment of these MAGs occurred in the latest

months of sampling, from August onward.  August samples corresponded with (late) peak

biomass and fruiting for switchgrass, and with a closed canopy for both switchgrass and

miscanthus. Senescence occurred as early as mid-September for switchgrass and as late as

November for miscanthus. Exceptions to this trend were the MAGs annotated as

Actinomycetales MAG11, Pseduomonadales MAG8, and MAG7, Rhizobiales MAG5, and

Rickettsiales MAG1, which were observed more consistently throughout the growing season in

miscanthus or switchgrass.

*MAGs harbor functional genes that support a leaf-associated lifestyle*

The most dominant functional genes identified among the 16 highest-quality MAGs were

associated with bacterial growth and survival, such the production of carbohydrates, amino

acids, respiration, and protein metabolism (**Figure 3**). We previously showed that many

abundant switchgrass and miscanthus leaf taxa could be detected in low abundance in their

associated soils (Grady *et al.*, 2019), suggesting that soils can serve as a reservoir for leaf taxa.

To initially evaluate if observed functions could be unique to the phyllosphere and contrast to

soils, we compared functions between phyllosphere MAGs and those of 1,015 well-curated soil

bacterial and archaeal genomes within the RefSoil database. Relative to RefSoil genomes,

phyllosphere MAGs were most enriched in the proportion of genes from functional subsystems

associated with secondary metabolism (Kruskal-Wallis test, p<0.01), phage and plasmid activity

(p < 0.001), photosynthesis (p<0.05), virulence, disease, and defense (p< 0.001), and stress

response (p<0.001) (**Table S3**).

287           Next, we analyzed leaf metatranscriptomes to evaluate the functional activity of the

MAGs over two switchgrass growing seasons. Despite substantial signal from this contaminant

RNA (**Figure S2)**, we were able to filter host reads against the plant genome and effectively

identify microbial transcripts that could be aligned to the leaf MAGs (**Figure 2B**, **Figure S1B**).

The bacterial signatures in the transcriptome data confirmed that these MAG members of the

leaf microbiome were alive and actively transcribing genes. We observed patterns of seasonal

transcription of MAG genes and therefore divided the time series into early (May-July) and late

(Aug-Sep) groups to further investigate seasonal activity differences.  Transcripts associated

with protein metabolism, respiration, stress response, carbohydrate metabolism, and amino

acid production were the most abundant in the phyllosphere in August and September as

compared to May to July (**Figure 3**, Kruskall Wallis p < 2e-3).  Importantly, this enrichment was

observed in both late 2016 and late 2017 (**Figure S3**).  In total, there were 1,034 functional roles

(e.g., DNA gyrase subunit A (EC 5.99.1.3)) that were enriched in the late time points relative to

early ones with greater than the average difference (>35-fold).  For each sample date, the

abundance of DNA metabolism and RNA metabolism were also compared.  Transcript

abundances were significantly different for DNA and RNA metabolism only during late season

sample dates (Sept 2016 and July to September 2017, Kruskall Wallis p-value < 0.1 **Table S4**),

further supporting seasonal transcription of these MAG genes.

We selected the most prominent transcripts based on their shared presence among the

16 highest-quality MAGs, assuming that functions shared between bacteria represent key

functions for survival on the leaf. A total of 21 functional roles were identified in at least 13 of

the 16 MAGs (**Figure S4, Table S5**). Of these, most functions were associated with protein

metabolism (n=4 functional roles), carbohydrates (n=4), and amino acids and derivatives (n=3).

Consistent with actively growing cells, many of these functions were associated with the

regulation of transcription and included functions for DNA and RNA repair, synthesis, and

production such as DNA helicases and gyrases and tRNA synthetases**.**  Additionally, transcripts

annotated as enzymes involved in acetogenesis from pyruvate (i.e., aldehyde dehydrogenase

and acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase), threonine biosynthesis and degradation (i.e., aspartate

aminotransferase, threonine synthase, and threonine dehydrogenase), and biosynthesis of

isoprenes and trehalose (i.e. 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate synthase and 1,4-

alpha-glucan (glycogen) branching enzyme) were consistently enriched, particularly later in the

season.  Among detected transcripts, genes encoding for proteins associated with pyruvate

metabolism and with threonine synthesis and degradation comprised 4 of the 8 functional

groups that were consistently identified in at least 14 of the MAGs and enriched from 40 to 65-

fold in August to September relative to May to July (**Table S5**). Among transcripts associated

with central metabolism and core to our MAGs, threonine dehydrogenase was the most

abundant (**Table S5**).

*Coherent groups of MAGs shared seasonal transcript enrichments and annotations*

To compare the transcriptional patterns observed among MAGs and over the crop

growing season, we used hierarchical clustering to identify coherent MAG activity dynamics. Six

coherent groups (clusters) of MAGs were identified (**Figure S5, Table S2**).  The first group was

composed of MAG1, which had the lowest estimated genome completeness. Group 2 included

three Rhizobiales (MAG3, MAG4, and MAG5) and a Burkholderiales (MAG2); these MAGs were

generally active late in the season but detected consistently throughout the metatranscriptome

time series, including at some of the earliest May sampling dates (**Figure 4**).  Group 2 MAGs had

transcripts enriched in respiration, protein metabolism, stress response, and RNA metabolism,

and carbohydrates.

Group 3 MAGs included a Burkholderiales (MAG6) and two Pseudomonadales (MAG7

and MAG8).  Group 3 MAGs were the most persistent and were detected in all but three

sampling dates (**Figure 4**).  Their late-enriched transcripts were annotated as associated with

stress response, protein metabolism, and RNA metabolism.  This group also had modest

enrichment in sulfur metabolism in August. Group 4 MAGs included two Actinomycetales

(MAG9 and MAG11) and a Burkholderiales (MAG10); these populations were inconsistently

detected in May and June but with more consistency from July onward to September.  Their

transcripts were very modestly enriched in August and September and were annotated as

protein metabolism, RNA metabolism, and carbohydrates. Group 5 included the unidentified

bacteria MAG13 and Sphingomonadales MAG12; these populations were inconsistently

detected until August, and their transcripts were not appreciably enriched until September.

However, in September, these MAGs appeared to become highly active, with relatively

abundant transcripts annotated to stress response, protein metabolism, RNA metabolism,

virulence, membrane transport, carbohydrates, amino acids and derivatives, DNA metabolism,

respiration, and sulfur metabolism.  In particular, in September transcripts associated with

thioredoxin-disulfide reduction within sulfur metabolism, Group 5 MAGs appeared to become

highly active while Group 2 and 3 MAG activities were diminished from August abundances

(**Figure S6**).  Finally, Group 6 included two Actinomycetales (MAG14 and MAG15) that were

rarely detected until August and had September-enriched transcripts belonging to similar

annotations as Group 5, including protein metabolism, RNA metabolism, amino acids and

derivatives, and respiration (**Figure 4**).  While phylogenetic signal in the MAG dynamics cannot

be assessed directly due to insufficient statistical power, we note that many groups contained

MAGs annotated to the same Order. The exception to this was MAGs annotated to the Order

Burkholderiales, which were distributed among 4 out of the 6 clusters.

*MAGs were detected in metagenomes from different field sites, crops, and years*

We next asked if these high-quality MAGs were detected more broadly in other crop

metagenomes.  We curated metagenomes from local cropping systems in Michigan and also

from the publicly available data within the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) database,

which serves as a repository for the Joint Genome Institute's sequencing efforts through the US

Department of Energy.  We were surprised to find that, for each phyllopshere metagenome

investigated, reads could be mapped to this set of MAGs. This included metagenomes from

both switchgrass and miscanthus, three locations (Iowa, Michigan, and Wisconsin) and across

five years (**Figure S7)**.  These results suggest that the detected and analyzed MAGs can be

widely distributed in midwestern agroecosystems, and potentially of general importance for

perennial crop phyllosphere environments.

**Discussion**

Here, we report the first seasonal metagenome and metatranscriptome assessment of

plant phyllosphere in agricultural field conditions, focusing on the bacterial functions associated

with two promising biofuel feedstocks.  We expect these findings to have relevance for other

grasses or systems with substantial aerial biomass, including native prairie.  Furthermore, our

collection of high-quality MAGs included phyllosphere members previously reported as

abundant, persistent, or key for microbiome assembly in other plants, including the model

*Arabidopsis* (e.g., (Carlström *et al.*, 2019)), specifically: Sphingomonadales, Pseudomonadales,

Actinomycetales, Burkholderiales, and Rhizobiales.  Therefore, the patterns and consistently

detected functions among this curated collection offer general insights as well as seasonal

phyllosphere functions.

The functions identified in our MAGs suggest general, key requirements for a leaf-

associated lifestyle.  Investigating deeper into functional subsystems, there were major

enrichments in pyruvate metabolism (specifically, acetyl-CoA response), amino acid

biosynthesis (threonine, homoserine, and serine), isoprenoid biosynthesis, and stress responses

(trehalose biosynthesis, ABC transporters, and DNA repair), for which we offer a detailed

discussion.

*Central metabolism and amino acid biosynthesis*

As expected, many transcripts shared among leaf MAGs were associated with central

pathways of metabolism and the production of amino acids and carbohydrates.  This result is

consistent with observations in tropical tree phyllospheres, where 45% of all functional

annotated sequences in metagenomes were associated with central metabolism (Lajoie *et al.*,

2020).  Transcripts annotated to pyruvate metabolism and with threonine synthesis and

degradation were among the most prevalent. Pyruvate can regulate central metabolism

because it can be converted to acetyl coenzyme A (actetyl-CoA) and either enter the TCA cycle

or initialize the synthesis of long chain fatty acids, steroids, and ketone bodies.  Threonine can

also be converted into pyruvate as an intermediate product in eventual conversion to acetyl-

CoA.

Previous observations have suggested that both microbial- and plant-derived pyruvate

availability plays a central role in leaf metabolism. Alanine dehydrogenase, which converts

alanine into pyruvate, was the most strongly upregulated protein during growth on plants in

the *A. thaliana* leaf commensal *Sphingomonas meloni* (Muller *et al.*, 2016).  In addition,

pyruvate is a key intermediate for directing nutrient allocation in plants.  In barley, pyruvate

was shown to regulate plant gene expression levels in response to nitrogen limitation, with

pyruvate concentrations decreasing during nitrogen deficiency (Fataftah *et al.*, 2018). Similarly,

in *A. thaliana*, over-expression of pyruvate was associated with increased orthophosphate

dikinase (which converts pyruvate to phosphoenolpyruvate), and, ultimately, increased

nitrogen remobilization from leaves to seeds (Taylor *et al.*, 2010). These previous observations

combined with our results provide more evidence that threonine and pyruvate regulation (and,

potentially, exchange) may play a role in microbe-leaf dynamics; however, it is unclear whether

leaf bacteria are directly interacting with hosts plants or indirectly responding to available

metabolites released by the host.  Furthermore, as pyruvate intersects several central

metabolic pathways, further research is needed to determine whether its regulation in the host

is connected to microbial survival in the phyllosphere.

*Isoprene biosynthesis*

Isoprenoids are a class of volatile terpenes that are generally abundant and reactive,

and they engage in indirect and complex feedbacks with methane and nitrous oxide

greenhouse gases (McGenity *et al.*, 2018).  Isoprene is one of the simplest isoprenoids. It is

released by many plant species, and much of it is synthesized within the methylerythritol

phosphate pathway of the chloroplast (MEP, aka:nonmavalonate) (Sharkey *et al.*, 2008).

Isoprene is thought to act as a signaling molecule in stress response (Zuo *et al.*, 2019). Studies

have also found that isoprene emission protects leaf photosynthesis against short episodes of

high-temperature (Sharkey and Yeh, 2001). Both switchgrass and miscanthus have been

reported to emit relatively low basal levels of isoprene (Eller *et al.*, 2011; Morrison *et al.*, 2016).

Plants emit isoprene from matured, photosynthetically active leaves, and emissions are light

responsive (Sharkey *et al.*, 2008).  However, senescing leaves have been reported to decrease

in their isoprene emissions relative to leaves at peak growth (Sharkey *et al.*, 1991).

Among the high-quality MAGs we identified, we observed a 53-fold increase in bacterial

transcripts for MEP isoprene synthesis in the late season.  From these data, we hypothesize that

that members of the phyllosphere bacterial community may be either compensating for the

loss of plant-derived isoprene, engaging in interspecies isoprene signaling with the host,

protecting plant photosynthesis from thermal damage, or possibly producing isoprene as an

overflow metabolite (as hypothesized for *Bacillus subtilus* (Sivy *et al.*, 2002)). Bacterial isoprene

degraders and synthesizers are widespread in nature (McGenity *et al.*, 2018) and have been

previously investigated in phyllosphere communities of the relatively high isoprene emitter

*Populus* spp (Crombie *et al.*, 2018), as well as in soils (El Khawand *et al.*, 2016), which can serve

as an isoprene sink. Stable isotope assays have been used to determine that a subset of

bacteria community members degrade isoprene, including several Actinobacteria (Rhodococcus

spp.) and Variopvorax (a Proteobacteria) (El Khawand *et al.*, 2016; Crombie *et al.*, 2018). Our

MAG collection contains several Actinobacteria (as well as Rhizobiales) and adds support for

their involvement in isoprene feedbacks in the phyllosphere.  Our observation that bacterial

isoprene synthesis increases seasonally, and concurrently with when plant isoprene emissions

also is expected to decrease, is a strong justification for future work to be directed to

understanding these isoprene dynamics and any isoprene-mediated bacterial-host

engagement.

*Stress responses*

Trehalose is a disaccharide that protects cells against salt, water, and osmolyte stress by

serving as a stabilizing chemical chaperone, either by displacing water from protein surfaces or

by vitrifiing around protein structures to shield them (Laskowska *et al.*, 2020).  In bacteria,

trehalose metabolism prevents cellular overflow metabolism and carbon stress by redirecting

glucose-6-phosphate from conversion to pyruvate (Laskowska *et al.*, 2020).  Trehalose

biosynthesis is common among bacteria and archaea that live in arid, saline, thermal, or

seasonally dry environments (e.g., (Urrejola *et al.*, 2019), (Dai *et al.*, 2015; Lacerda-Júnior *et al.*,

2019), and it has also been reported to be induced in a pseudomonad by ethanol (Harty *et al.*,

2019), which can originate inside plant cells (Kimmerer and MacDonald, 1987) or more

generally in the roots (e.g., Ferner *et al.*, 2012), especially during stress, fruit ripening, or

senescence (Kimmerer and Kozlowski, 1982) . In plants, trehalose concentration is increased in

response to drought conditions, and its precursor, trehalose-6-phosphate, induces senescence

when carbon is readily available (Wingler *et al.*, 2012).  Given this, it makes sense that the

majority of MAGs had enrichment of transcripts related to trehalose biosynthesis, which would

support a plant-associated lifestyle during drought and host senescence.  The  *A. thaliana*

phyllosphere member *Sphingomonas melonis* was reported to regulate trehalose biosynthesis

during growth conditions that promoted mild stress (Gottschlich *et al.*, 2019).

The enrichment of transcripts associated with ABC transporters and DNA repair also

aligns with expectations of adaptations to the harsh leaf habitat, and, more specifically, to

substrate transport given nutrient limitation and to nucleic acid maintenance given exposure to

ultraviolet light radiation, respectively.  Carbohydrate metabolism and transport was previously

reported as enriched among genomes of plant-associated bacteria as compared to soil-

associated microbes (Levy, Salas Gonzalez, *et al.*, 2018), though this genome collection

contained both below- and above-ground plant-associated microbes.

*Differential transcript dynamics among MAG clusters*

We describe coherent transcript enrichment from groups of MAGs that had seasonally similar

dynamics.  While there were many functions shared across MAG groups, like RNA and protein

metabolism, there were a few notable functions of particular groups.  For example, transcripts

relating to sulfur metabolism, and more specifically, thioredoxin-disulfide reductase, were

noted in Groups 2, 3, and 5. The relative abundance of these transcripts oscillated among

Group 2, 3, and 5 from August to September, suggesting a hypothesis of complementarity or

functional redundancy.

Thioredoxin reductase, together with thioredoxin and the reductant NADPH, reduces

disulfide bonds to defend against cellular oxidative stress.  Reactive oxygen species (ROS) serve

as signals for various developmental and cellular processes in plants.  Though the precise

mechanisms are unclear, homeostasis of ROS are expected to be involved in senescence

(Kerchev *et al.*, 2015), which is relevant to our study given that at least some to a majority of

scenescing plants were observed per plot in August and September sampling dates,

respectively.  Additionally, ROS accumulate in plants that are exposed to abiotic stress, to

negative effects.  Thioredoxins (and their reductases) contribute to a general stress response

strategy that phyllosphere microorganisms employ to contend with expected fluctuations in

ROS on the leaf surface (though, these fluxes are difficult to measure,(Kerchev *et al.*, 2015)).

Thioredoxins were detected in abundance in a proteomic analysis of the leaf microbiomes of

four Brazilian tree species (Lambais *et al.*, 2017). Also, *Methylobacteria* spp, the well-studied

and common phyllosphere member, has thioredoxin functionality (Vorholt, 2012; Kwak *et al.*,

2014). Among several other genes relevant for oxidative stress response, thioredoxin reductase

was differentially regulated in a wild-type phyllosphere bacteria *Sphingomonas melonis* strain

Fr1 as compared to a knock-out mutant for regulation of general stress response, when both

were grown in a medium expected to induce low-levels of stress.  (Gottschlich *et al.*, 2019).

Given that managing plant ROS is a target for reducing crop stress and regulating plant

development (Considine and Foyer, 2014; Kerchev *et al.*, 2015), it is possible that manipulating

microbial thioredoxin-related functions could be applied as one tool to achieve such efforts, but

much more work is needed to understand the microbial-host interaction given ROS exposure or

accumulation, and any possible ROS signaling between them.

*Conclusions*

Many recent review, perspective, and opinion pieces have urged integration of multi-

omics approaches to improve understanding of the microbiome and its relationship to the host

plant (Rastogi *et al.*, 2013; Levy, Conway, *et al.*, 2018; Remus-Emsermann and Schlechter, 2018;

Beilsmith *et al.*, 2019; Trivedi *et al.*, 2020). However, most integrative studies have focused

almost exclusively on the rhizosphere as the compartment of soil-plant feedbacks, and nutrient

and water acquisition for the host.  Though leaves are readily accessible for sampling, the

phyllosphere microbiome is challenging to investigate using throughput, cultivation-

independent approaches like metagenomics and metatranscriptomics. There are high levels of

host and chloroplast contamination in leaf samples, and relatively low microbial biomass per

leaf that that must be first dislodged from tightly-adhered biofilms.  Signal from messenger RNA

in metatranscriptome analysis is masked by abundant ribosomal RNA signal, leading to further

challenge.  Because of the combination of all of these challenges, much of our understanding of

the phyllosphere, as the largest surface area of microbial habitation on Earth (Peñuelas and

Terradas, 2014), has been learned from studies that employ model hosts and synthetic or

model microbial communities in controlled settings, or from description of the community

structure by sequencing of marker genes, amplified and bioinformatically depleted of

chloroplast genes to overcome the challenges of low signal and host contamination.

Here, we report optimized laboratory protocols (to minimize host and chloroplast

signals) combined with a genome-centric bioinformatic approach to perform focused functional

gene and transcript analysis of seasonally dynamic yet persistent phyllosphere microbiome

members. To our knowledge, this is the first bacterial/archaeal metatranscriptomic work

performed on the leaf phyllosphere of field-grown crops.  Other recent leaf metatranscriptome

studies have focused the viral communities of tomato and pepper (Choi *et al.*, 2020), soybean

(Marzano and Domier, 2016), and rice (Chao *et al.*, 2020 Preprint).  A key strength of this work

is the integration of phyllosphere metagenome and metatranscriptome data, leveraging the

higher coverage of the metagenomes with the activity information available from the

metatranscriptomes.  Despite that the coverage of the MAGs was relatively limited (due to

substantial host and ribosomal DNA contamination), the analysis proved successful by

integrating both datasets and focusing on genome-centric interpretation. Thus, there are likely

many more prevalent and functionally active populations of the phyllosphere that were not

captured in this study, including those players previously known to be key in the phyllosphere,

such as *Methylobacterium* spp. (e.g. Delmotte *et al.*, 2009; Vorholt, 2012).  Substantial

additional sequencing effort or an enrichment strategy would improve signal for a cultivation-

independent approach to target those players.  While the use of genome-centric approaches

has the obvious shortcoming that we have obviously not captured every microbiome member,

our approach does allow us to link actively transcribed functions to specific microbial

membership.  Furthemore, the functional genes and activities documented here are logical

given current understanding of microbial adaptation to the host and phyllosphere environment.

Overall, this work provides evidence of specific phyllosphere functions that are

seasonally activated in a temperate agroecosystem and suggests several hypotheses of

important host-microbe interactions in the phyllosphere, for example via central metabolism,

isoprene, and stress response engagements.  This research contributes to our broad

understanding of the dynamics and activities of phyllosphere microbial communities, and

points to specific microbial functions to target that could prove useful for plant-microbiome

management.

**References**
Andrews, J.H. and Harris, R.F. (2000) The ecology and biogeography of microorganisms on plant
surfaces. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **38**: 145–180.
Aziz, R.K., Bartels, D., Best, A., DeJongh, M., Disz, T., Edwards, R.A., et al. (2008) The RAST
Server: Rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* **9**: 1–15.
Bahulikar, R.A., Torres-Jerez, I., Worley, E., Craven, K., and Udvardi, M.K. (2014) Diversity of
nitrogen-fixing bacteria associated with switchgrass in the native tallgrass prairie of
Northern Oklahoma. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**: 5636–5643.
Beilsmith, K., Thoen, M.P.M., Brachi, B., Gloss, A.D., Khan, M.H., and Bergelson, J. (2019)
Genome-wide association studies on the phyllosphere microbiome: Embracing complexity
in host–microbe interactions. *Plant J* **97**: 164–181.
Bell, T.H., Hockett, K.L., Alcalá-Briseño, R.I., Barbercheck, M., Beattie, G.A., Bruns, M.A., et al.
(2019) Manipulating wild and tamed phytobiomes: Challenges and opportunities.
*Phytobiomes J* **3**: 3–21.
Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 2114–2120.
Bowsher, A.W., Benucci, G.M.N., Bonito, G., and Shade, A. (2020) Seasonal Dynamics of Core
Fungi in the Switchgrass Phyllosphere, and Co-Occurrence with Leaf Bacteria. *Phytobiomes*
*J* PBIOMES-07-20-0.
Bringel, F. and Couée, I. (2015) Pivotal roles of phyllosphere microorganisms at the interface
between plant functioning and atmospheric trace gas dynamics. *Front Microbiol* **6**:.
Bulgarelli, D., Rott, M., Schlaeppi, K., Ver Loren van Themaat, E., Ahmadinejad, N., Assenza, F.,
et al. (2012) Revealing structure and assembly cues for *Arabidopsis* root-inhabiting
bacterial microbiota. *Nature* **488**: 91–95.
Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., van Themaat, E.V.L., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2013)
Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **64**: 807–
838.
Busby, P.E., Soman, C., Wagner, M.R., Friesen, M.L., Kremer, J., Bennett, A., et al. (2017)
Research priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable agriculture. *PLoS Biol*
**15**: 1–14.
Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J., et
al. (2011) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per
sample. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **108**: 4516–4522.
Carlström, C.I., Field, C.M., Bortfeld-Miller, M., Müller, B., Sunagawa, S., and Vorholt, J.A. (2019)
Synthetic microbiota reveal priority effects and keystone strains in the *Arabidopsis*
phyllosphere. *Nat Ecol Evol* **3**: 1445–1454.
Cavicchioli, R., Ripple, W.J., Timmis, K.N., Azam, F., Bakken, L.R., Baylis, M., et al. (2019)
Scientists' warning to humanity: microorganisms and climate change. *Nat Rev Microbiol*
**17**: 569–586.
Chao, S., Wang, H., Yan, Q., Chen, L., Chen, G., Wu, L., et al. (2020) Metatranscriptomics and
small RNA analysis revealed that viral covert coinfection resulted in disease symptoms
reminiscent of rice sterility. *Prepr available Res Sq*.
Chen, T., Nomura, K., Wang, X., Sohrabi, R., Xu, J., Yao, L., et al. (2020) A plant genetic network
for preventing dysbiosis in the phyllosphere. *Nature* **580**: 653–657.

Choi, H., Jo, Y., Cho, W.K., Yu, J., Tran, P.T., Salaipeth, L., et al. (2020) Identification of viruses
and viroids infecting tomato and pepper plants in vietnam by metatranscriptomics. *Int J*
*Mol Sci* **21**: 1–16.
Choi, J., Yang, F., Stepanauskas, R., Cardenas, E., Garoutte, A., Williams, R., et al. (2017)
Strategies to improve reference databases for soil microbiomes. *ISME J* **11**: 829–834.
Considine, M.J. and Foyer, C.H. (2014) Redox regulation of plant development. *Antioxid Redox*
*Signal* **21**: 1305–1326.
Crombie, A.T., Larke-Mejia, N.L., Emery, H., Dawson, R., Pratscher, J., Murphy, G.P., et al. (2018)
Poplar phyllosphere harbors disparate isoprene-degrading bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*
*A* **115**: 13081–13086.
Dai, J., Dai, W., Qiu, C., Yang, Z., Zhang, Y., Zhou, M., et al. (2015) Unraveling adaptation of
*Pontibacter korlensis* to radiation and infertility in desert through complete genome and
comparative transcriptomic analysis. *Sci Rep* **5**: 1–9.
Delmotte, N., Knief, C., Chaffron, S., Innerebner, G., Roschitzki, B., Schlapbach, R., et al. (2009)
Community proteogenomics reveals insights into the physiology of phyllosphere bacteria.
*Proc Natl Acad Sci* **106**: 16428–16433.
Dorokhov, Y.L., Sheshukova, E. V., and Komarova, T. V. (2018) Methanol in plant life. *Front Plant*
*Sci* **871**: 1–6.
Dunivin, T.K., Choi, J., Howe, A., and Shade, A. (2019) RefSoil+: a Reference Database for Genes
and Traits of Soil Plasmids. *mSystems* **4**: 1–10.
Edwards, J., Johnson, C., Santos-Medellín, C., Lurie, E., Podishetty, N.K., Bhatnagar, S., et al.
(2015) Structure, variation, and assembly of the root-associated microbiomes of rice. *Proc*
*Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**: E911-20.
Eller, A.S.D., Sekimoto, K., Gilman, J.B., Kuster, W.C., de Gouw, J.A., Monson, R.K., et al. (2011)
Volatile organic compound emissions from switchgrass cultivars used as biofuel crops.
*Atmos Environ* **45**: 3333–3337.
Fataftah, N., Mohr, C., Hajirezaei, M.R., Wirén, N. von, and Humbeck, K. (2018) Changes in
nitrogen availability lead to a reprogramming of pyruvate metabolism. *BMC Plant Biol* **18**:
1–15.
Ferner, E., Rennenberg, H., and Kreuzwieser, J. (2012) Effect of flooding on C metabolism of
flood-tolerant (*Quercus robur*) and non-tolerant (*Fagus sylvatica*) tree species. *Tree Physiol*
**32**: 135–145.
Gopal, M. and Gupta, A. (2016) Microbiome selection could spur next-generation plant
breeding strategies. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1971.
Gottschlich, L., Geiser, P., Bortfeld-Miller, M., Field, C.M., and Vorholt, J.A. (2019) Complex
general stress response regulation in *Sphingomonas melonis* Fr1 revealed by
transcriptional analyses. *Sci Rep* **9**: 1–13.
Grady, K.L., Sorensen, J.W., Stopnisek, N., Guittar, J., and Shade, A. (2019) Assembly and
seasonality of core phyllosphere microbiota on perennial biofuel crops. *Nat Commun* **10**:.
Gupta, V.V.S.R., Zhang, B., Penton, C.R., Yu, J., and Tiedje, J.M. (2019) Diazotroph Diversity and
nitrogen fixation in summer active perennial grasses in a mediterranean region agricultural
soil. *Front Mol Biosci* **6**: 115.
Hacquard, S., Garrido-Oter, R., González, A., Spaepen, S., Ackermann, G., Lebeis, S., et al. (2015)
Microbiota and host nutrition across plant and animal kingdoms. *Cell Host Microbe* **17**:

603–616.

Hardoim, P.R., van Overbeek, L.S., Berg, G., Pirttilä, A.M., Compant, S., Campisano, A., et al.
(2015) The hidden world within plants: Ecological and evolutionary considerations for
defining functioning of microbial endophytes. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **79**: 293–320.

Harty, C.E., Martins, D., Doing, G., Mould, D.L., Clay, M.E., Occhipinti, P., et al. (2019) Ethanol
stimulates trehalose production through a SpoT-DksA-AlgU-dependent pathway in
*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. 1–21.

Haskett, T.L., Tkacz, A., and Poole, P.S. (2020) Engineering rhizobacteria for sustainable
agriculture. *ISME J* **15**: 949–964.

Heaton, E.A., Dohleman, F.G., and Long, S.P. (2008) Meeting US biofuel goals with less land: The
potential of Miscanthus. *Glob Chang Biol* **14**: 2000–2014.

Kang, D.D., Li, F., Kirton, E., Thomas, A., Egan, R., An, H., and Wang, Z. (2019) MetaBAT 2: An
adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from
metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* **2019**: 1–13.

Kerchev, P., De Smet, B., Waszczak, C., Messens, J., and Van Breusegem, F. (2015) Redox
strategies for crop improvement. *Antioxidants Redox Signal* **23**: 1186–1205.

El Khawand, M., Crombie, A.T., Johnston, A., Vavlline, D. V., McAuliffe, J.C., Latone, J.A., et al.
(2016) Isolation of isoprene degrading bacteria from soils, development of isoA gene
probes and identification of the active isoprene-degrading soil community using DNA-
stable isotope probing. *Environ Microbiol* **18**: 2743–2753.

Kimmerer, T.W. and Kozlowski, T.T. (1982) Ethylene, ethane, acetaldehyde, and ethanol
production by plants under stress. *Plant Physiol* **69**: 840–847.

Kimmerer, T.W. and MacDonald, R.C. (1987) Acetaldehyde and ethanol biosynthesis in leaves of
plants. *Plant Physiol* **84**: 1204–1209.

Koskella, B. (2020) The phyllosphere. *Curr Biol* **30**: R1143–R1146.

Kuzyakov, Y. and Razavi, B.S. (2019) Rhizosphere size and shape: Temporal dynamics and spatial
stationarity. *Soil Biol Biochem* **135**: 343–360.

Kwak, M.J., Jeong, H., Madhaiyan, M., Lee, Y., Sa, T.M., Oh, T.K., and Kim, J.F. (2014) Genome
information of *Methylobacterium oryzae*, a plant-probiotic methylotroph in the
phyllosphere. *PLoS One* **9**:.

Lacerda-Júnior, G. V., Noronha, M.F., Cabral, L., Delforno, T.P., De Sousa, S.T.P., Fernandes-
Júnior, P.I., et al. (2019) Land use and seasonal effects on the soil microbiome of a Brazilian
dry forest. *Front Microbiol* **10**: 1–14.

Laforest-Lapointe, I., Paquette, A., Messier, C., and Kembel, S.W. (2017) Leaf bacterial diversity
mediates plant diversity and ecosystem function relationships. *Nature* **546**: 145–147.

Lajoie, G., Maglione, R., and Kembel, S.W. (2020) Adaptive matching between phyllosphere
bacteria and their tree hosts in a neotropical forest. *Microbiome* **8**: 1–10.

Lambais, M.R., Barrera, S.E., Santos, E.C., Crowley, D.E., and Jumpponen, A. (2017) Phyllosphere
metaproteomes of trees from the Brazillian Atlantic forest show high levels of functional
redundancy. *Microb Ecol* **73**: 123–134.

Langholtz, M., Stokes, B., and Eaton, L. (2016) 2016 billion-ton report: Advancing domestic
resources for a thriving bioeconomy (Executive Summary). *Ind Biotechnol* **12**: 282–289.

Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*
**9**: 357–359.

Laskowska, E., Dorota Kuczyńska-Wiśnik, ·, and Kuczyńska-Wiśnik, D. (2020) New insight into
the mechanisms protecting bacteria during desiccation. *Curr Genet* **66**: 313–318.
Levy, A., Conway, J.M., Dangl, J.L., and Woyke, T. (2018) Elucidating bacterial gene functions in
the plant microbiome. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**: 475–485.
Levy, A., Salas Gonzalez, I., Mittelviefhaus, M., Clingenpeel, S., Herrera Paredes, S., Miao, J., et
al. (2018) Genomic features of bacterial adaptation to plants. *Nat Genet* **50**: 138–150.
Li, D., Liu, C.M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., and Lam, T.W. (2015) MEGAHIT: An ultra-fast single-node
solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
*Bioinformatics* **31**: 1674–1676.
Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., et al. (2009) The Sequence
Alignment / Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.
Lindow, S.E. and Brandl, M.T. (2003) Microbiology of the phyllosphere. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
**69**: 1875–1883.
Lundberg, D.S., Lebeis, S.L., Paredes, S.H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., et al. (2012)
Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. *Nature* **488**: 86–90.
Marzano, S.Y.L. and Domier, L.L. (2016) Novel mycoviruses discovered from
metatranscriptomics survey of soybean phyllosphere phytobiomes. *Virus Res* **213**: 332–
342.
McGenity, T.J., Crombie, A.T., and Murrell, J.C. (2018) Microbial cycling of isoprene, the most
abundantly produced biological volatile organic compound on Earth. *ISME J* **12**: 931–941.
Morrison, E.C., Drewer, J., and Heal, M.R. (2016) A comparison of isoprene and monoterpene
emission rates from the perennial bioenergy crops short-rotation coppice willow and
Miscanthus and the annual arable crops wheat and oilseed rape. *GCB Bioenergy* **8**: 211–
225.
Muller, D.B., Schubert, O.T., Rost, H., Aebersold, R., and Vorholt, J.A. (2016) Systems-level
proteomics of two ubiquitous leaf commensals reveals complementary adaptive traits for
phyllosphere colonization. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **15**: 3256–3269.
Müller, D.B., Vogel, C., Bai, Y., and Vorholt, J.A. (2016) The Plant Microbiota: Systems-Level
Insights and Perspectives. *Annu Rev Genet* **50**: 120215–034952.
Parks, D.H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C.T., Hugenholtz, P., and Tyson, G.W. (2015) CheckM:
Assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. *Genome Res* **25**: 1043–1055.
Peñuelas, J. and Terradas, J. (2014) The foliar microbiome. *Trends Plant Sci* **19**: 278–280.
Quinlan, A.R. and Hall, I.M. (2010) BEDTools : a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. **26**: 841–842.
Rastogi, G., Coaker, G.L., and Leveau, J.H.J.J. (2013) New insights into the structure and function
of phyllosphere microbiota through high-throughput molecular approaches. *FEMS*
*Microbiol Lett* **348**: 1–10.
Remus-Emsermann, M.N.P. and Schlechter, R.O. (2018) Phyllosphere microbiology: at the
interface between microbial individuals and the plant host. *New Phytol* **218**: 1327–1333.
Robertson, G.P., Hamilton, S.K., Barham, B.L., Dale, B.E., Izaurralde, R.C., Jackson, R.D., et al.
(2017) Cellulosic biofuel contributions to a sustainable energy future: Choices and
outcomes. *Science (80- )* **356**: 1–9.
Rodriguez-R, L.M., Gunturu, S., Tiedje, J.M., Cole, J.R., and Konstantinidis, K.T. (2018) Nonpareil

3: Fast estimation of metagenomic coverage and sequence diversity. *mSystems* **3**: 1–9.
Roley, S.S., Duncan, D.S., Liang, D., Garoutte, A., Jackson, R.D., Tiedje, J.M., and Robertson, G.P.
(2018) Associative nitrogen fixation (ANF) across a nitrogen input gradient. *PLoS One* **13**:
1–19.
Roley, S.S., Xue, C., Hamilton, S.K., Tiedje, J.M., and Robertson, G.P. (2019) Isotopic evidence for
episodic nitrogen fixation in switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.). *Soil Biol Biochem* **129**: 90–
98.
Shade, A. and Stopnisek, N. (2019) Abundance-occupancy distributions to prioritize plant core
microbiome membership. *Curr Opin Microbiol* **49**: 50–58.
Sharkey, T.D., Loreto, F., and Delwiche, C. (1991) High carbon dioxide and sun/shade effects on
isoprene emission from oak and aspen tree leaves. *Plant, Cell Environ* **14**: 333–338.
Sharkey, T.D., Wiberley, A.E., and Donohue, A.R. (2008) Isoprene emission from plants: Why
and how. *Ann Bot* **101**: 5–18.
Sharkey, T.D. and Yeh, S. (2001) Isoprene emission from plants. *Plant Mol Biol* **52**: 407–436.
Singer, E., Bonnette, J., Kenaley, S.C., Woyke, T., and Juenger, T.E. (2019) Plant compartment
and genetic variation drive microbiome composition in switchgrass roots. *Environ*
*Microbiol Rep* **11**: 185–195.
Sivy, T.L., Shirk, M.C., and Fall, R. (2002) Isoprene synthase activity parallels fluctuations of
isoprene release during growth of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **294**: 71–
75.
Stopnisek, N. and Shade, A. (2021) Persistent microbiome members in the common bean
rhizosphere: an integrated analysis of space, time, and plant genotype. *ISME J.*
Suzuki, Y., Makino, A., and Mae, T. (2001) An efficient method for extraction of RNA from rice
leaves at different ages using benzyl chloride. *J Exp Bot* **52**: 1575–1579.
Taylor, L., Nunes-Nesi, A., Parsley, K., Leiss, A., Leach, G., Coates, S., et al. (2010) Cytosolic
pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase functions in nitrogen remobilization during leaf
senescence and limits individual seed growth and nitrogen content. *Plant J* **62**: 641–652.
Toju, H., Peay, K.G., Yamamichi, M., Narisawa, K., Hiruma, K., Naito, K., et al. (2018) Core
microbiomes for sustainable agroecosystems. *Nat Plants* **4**: 247–257.
Trivedi, P., Leach, J.E., Tringe, S.G., Sa, T., and Singh, B.K. (2020) Plant–microbiome interactions:
from community assembly to plant health. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **18**: 607–621.
Urrejola, C., Alcorta, J., Salas, L., Vásquez, M., Polz, M.F., Vicuña, R., and Díez, B. (2019)
Genomic features for desiccation tolerance and sugar biosynthesis in the extremophile
*gloeocapsopsis* sp. UTEX B3054. *Front Microbiol* **10**: 1–11.
Vorholt, J.A. (2012) Microbial life in the phyllosphere. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **10**: 828–840.
Wang, N.R. and Haney, C.H. (2020) Harnessing the genetic potential of the plant microbiome.
*Biochem (Lond)* **42**: 20–25.
Wingler, A., Delatte, T.L., O'Hara, L.E., Primavesi, L.F., Jhurreea, D., Paul, M.J., and
Schluepmann, H. (2012) Trehalose 6-phosphate is required for the onset of leaf
senescence associated with high carbon availability. *Plant Physiol* **158**: 1241–1251.
Xu, L., Naylor, D., Dong, Z., Simmons, T., Pierroz, G., Hixson, K.K., et al. (2018) Drought delays
development of the sorghum root microbiome and enriches for monoderm bacteria. *Proc*
*Natl Acad Sci* **115**: E4284–E4293.
Zhalnina, K., Louie, K.B., Hao, Z., Mansoori, N., da Rocha, U.N., Shi, S., et al. (2018) Dynamic root

exudate chemistry and microbial substrate preferences drive patterns in rhizosphere
microbial community assembly. *Nat Microbiol* **3**: 470.
Zuo, Z., Weraduwage, S.M., Lantz, A.T., Sanchez, L.M., Weise, S.E., Wang, J., et al. (2019)
Isoprene acts as a signaling molecule in gene networks important for stress responses and
plant growth. *Plant Physiol* **180**: 124–152.
774
775

**Acknowledgements**

Support for this research was provided by the Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, U.S.

Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research

(Awards DE-SC0018409 and DE-FC02-07ER64494), by the National Science Foundation Long-

term Ecological Research Program (DEB 1637653 and 1832042) at the Kellogg Biological Station,

Michigan State University AgBioResearch, and by the DOE Center for Advanced Bioenergy and

Bioproducts Innovation (US Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Biological and

Environmental Research under award number DE-SC0018420).  This work was also supported in

part by Michigan State University through computational resources provided by the Institute

for Cyber-Enabled Research and in part by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Wisconsin

Energy Institute as supported by GLBRC Information Services. The work conducted by the U.S.

Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a DOE Office of Science User Facility, is

supported under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.  AS acknowledges support by the USDA

National Institute of Food and Agriculture and Michigan State University AgBioResearch. NS

acknowledges support from the Michigan State University Plant Resilience Institute.

791
**Author contributions**

KLG nd AS conceived and designed experiments; NS, KLG and AS performed the experiments;

ACH, NS, SKD, FMY and AS analyzed the data; ACH, NS, SKD, FMY, KLG and AS contributed

materials/analysis tools; and ACH, NS, SKD, FMY, KLG and AS wrote the paper.

**Competing Interests statement**

The authors declair no competing interests.

**Figures**
**Figure 1**.  Summary of 16 high-quality genomes assembled and binned from switchgrass and
miscanthus phyllosphere metagenomes.  Leaf samples were collected over two growing
seasons in 2016 and 2017 at Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan US. Taxonomic annotation,
quality/contamination assessment, and genome size of MAGs were determined using the
software checkM.

**Figure 2**.  Estimated abundances of phyllosphere MAGs in (A) metagenomes of miscanthus and
switchgrass metagenomes sampled across the 2016 growing season and (B)
metatranscriptomes of switchgrass in 2016 and 2017 growing season.  (A)  Abundances are
median basepair read coverage for each MAG in metagenomes or (B) cumulative median
basepair coverage of all ORFs in each MAG in metatrancriptomes divided by total number of
reads in each sample.

**Figure 3**.  Estimated abundances of functions associated with phyllosphere MAGs across the
2016 and 2017 growing season.  Functional roles that were enriched and "core" in phyllosphere
metatranscriptomes.  Enriched was defined as ratios of late to early abundances greater than
mean ($\mu_{ratio}$=35).  Core was defined as the detection of the functional role in greater than half of
MAGs, where count is the number of MAGs where function was detected.  The ratio of
functions which were not detected in the early sampling dates are shown on the top of the
graph.

**Figure 4**.  Transcript abundances of MAGs with coherent seasonal activity dynamics (see **Figure**
**S5** for cluster memberships**)**, annotated by functional subsystem. Data are from switchgrass
metatranscriptomes sampled in 2016 and 2017.  Transcripts from MAGs that fell within the
same group (i.e., had similar activity dynamics and annotations over the season) were
combined by subsystem. Rows are the groups of coherent MAGs (labeled as 1 through 6) based
on seasonal activities, and columns are the cumulative subsystem transcripts observed for each
sampling month. Open circles indicate that the function was not detected in a specific month
for a group cluster but is detected during the season.

**Datasets**

**Dataset 1**.  List of metagenome-assembled genomes from the dataset that were >50%

complete and <50% contamination. Excel file.

**Supplementary Figures**
**Figure S1**.  Estimated abundances of phyllosphere MAGs in (A) metagenomes of miscanthus
and switchgrass across the 2016 growing season and (B) metatranscriptomes of switchgrass in
the 2016 and 2017 season.  Relative abundances are (A) median basepair read coverage for
each MAG in metagenomes and (B) cumulative median basepair coverage of all ORFs in each
MAG  in metatranscriptomes divided by total number of reads in sample.
842
843  **Figure S2**. Contaminating reads in switchgrass leaf metatranscriptomes from (A) plant host RNA
844  reads and (B) microbial rRNA.  An average of 52% of plant host RNA and less than 10% of
845  microbial rRNA were identified as contaminant transcripts in the metatranscriptomes.
846
847  **Figure S3**. Estimated transcript abundances of functions associated with phyllosphere MAGs
848  across the 2016 and 2017 growing seasons, color coded by month of collection.
849
850  **Figure S4**.  The 1,034 functional roles identified in phyllosphere MAGs that were enriched in
851  August to September relative to May to July, plotted against and the total number of highest-
852  quality MAGs in which each functional role was detected.  We used a threshold of detection
853  within at least 13 out of 16 total MAGs to highlight consistent activities of the leaf-associated
854  lifestyle (blue points, see **Table S5**).
855
856  **Figure S5**.  Hierarchical clustering identified six clusters of MAGS. Clustering was based on
857  metatranscriptome diversity and abundances as well as their seasonal dynamics.
858
859  **Figure S6**.  Estimated transcript abundances of functions related to sulfur metabolism
860  associated with phyllosphere MAGs from 2016 and 2017 growing seasons.  Open circles
861  indicate that the function was not detected in a specific month for a group cluster but is
862  detected during the season.
863
864  **Figure S7**.  Detection of MAGs in publicly available metagenomes and metatranscriptomes of
865  bioenergy grasses.
866
867

868     **Supplementary Tables**
869
870     **Table S1**. Fungal genomes used for filtering metagenome reads to remove eukaryotic
871     contamination.  Genomes were selected to use for filtering based on the taxonomic identities
872     of prevalent fungal taxa detected in our previous ITS2 amplicon survey that was conducted at
873     the same location (Bowsher *et al.*, 2020).
874
875     **Table S2**.  Collection of 16 highest-quality ("gold") metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs)
876     from switchgrass and miscanthus phyllosphere. These focal MAGs were in >10% of samples,
877     had >70% completeness, and <15.5% contamination.
878
879     **Table S3**.  Comparison of distributions of functions in phyllosphere MAGs and Refsoil+ genomes
880     to identify leaf-enriched functions.
881
882     **Table S4**.  Comparison of transcript abundances associated with DNA and RNA metabolism by
883     sampling date for switchgrass phyllosphere using the Kruskal-Wallis tests by ranks.
884
885     **Table S5**.  Functions that were detected in at least 13 out of the total 16 highest-quality
886     phyllosphere MAGs, and at abundances greater than average enrichment (>35x) in late season
887     (August to September) relative to early season (May to July) for switchgrass.
888

**Figure 1**.  Summary of 16 high-quality genomes assembled and binned from switchgrass and miscanthus phyllosphere metagenomes.  Leaf samples were collected over two growing seasons in 2016 and 2017 at Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan US. Taxonomic annotation, quality/contamination assessment, and genome size of MAGs were determined using the software checkM.

**Figure 2A**. Estimated abundances of phyllosphere MAGs in (A) metagenomes of miscanthus and switchgrass metagenomes sampled across the 2016 growing season and (B) metatranscriptomes of switchgrass in 2016 and 2017 growing season. (A) Abundances are median basepair read coverage for each MAG in metagenomes or (B) cumulative median basepair coverage of all ORFs in each MAG in metatrancriptomes divided by total number of reads in each sample.

**Figure 2B**. Estimated abundances of phyllosphere MAGs in (A) metagenomes of miscanthus and switchgrass metagenomes sampled across the 2016 growing season and (B) metatranscriptomes of switchgrass in 2016 and 2017 growing season. (A) Abundances are median basepair read coverage for each MAG in metagenomes or (B) cumulative median basepair coverage of all ORFs in each MAG in metatrancriptomes divided by total number of reads in each sample.

**Figure 3**. Estimated abundances of functions associated with phyllosphere MAGs across the 2016 and 2017 growing season. Functional roles that were enriched and "core" in phyllosphere metatranscriptomes. Enriched was defined as ratios of late to early abundances greater than mean ($\mu_{ratio}$=35). Core was defined as the detection of the functional role in greater than half of MAGs, where count is the number of MAGs where function was detected. The ratio of functions which were not detected in the early sampling dates are shown on the top of the graph.

**Figure 4**. Transcript abundances of MAGs with coherent seasonal activity dynamics (see **Figure S5** for cluster memberships**)**, annotated by functional subsystem. Data are from switchgrass metatranscriptomes sampled in 2016 and 2017. Transcripts from MAGs that fell within the same group (i.e., had similar activity dynamics and annotations over the season) were combined by subsystem. Rows are the groups of coherent MAGs (labeled as 1 through 6) based on seasonal activities, and columns are the cumulative subsystem transcripts observed for each sampling month. Open circles indicate that the function was not detected in a specific month for a group cluster but is detected during the season.

**Figure S1**. Estimated abundances of phyllosphere MAGs in (A) metagenomes of miscanthus and switchgrass across the 2016 growing season and (B) metatranscriptomes of switchgrass in the 2016 and 2017 season. Relative abundances are (A) median basepair read coverage for each MAG in metagenomes and (B) cumulative median basepair coverage of all ORFs in each MAG in metatranscriptomes divided by total number of reads in sample. Open circles indicate that the MAG was not detected in a specific month for a group cluster but is detected during the season.

**Figure S2**. Contaminating reads in switchgrass leaf metatranscriptomes from (A) plant host RNA reads and (B) microbial rRNA. An average of 52% of plant host RNA and less than 10% of microbial rRNA were identified as contaminant transcripts in the metatranscriptomes

**Figure S3**. Estimated transcript abundances of functions associated with phyllosphere MAGs across the 2016 and 2017 growing seasons, color coded by month of collection.

**Figure S4**. The 1,034 functional roles identified in phyllosphere MAGs that were enriched in August to September relative to May to July, plotted against and the total number of highest-quality MAGs in which each functional role was detected. We used a threshold of detection within at least 13 out of 16 total MAGs to highlight consistent activities of the leaf-associated lifestyle (blue points, see **Table S5**).

**Figure S5**. Hierarchical clustering identified six clusters of MAGS. Clustering was based on metatranscriptome diversity and abundances as well as their seasonal dynamics.

**Figure S6**. Estimated transcript abundances of functions related to sulfur metabolism associated with phyllosphere MAGs from 2016 and 2017 growing seasons. Open circles indicate that the function was not detected in a specific month for a group cluster but is detected during the season.

**Figure S7**. Detection of MAGs in publicly available metagenomes and metatranscriptomes of bioenergy grasses.

**Table S1**. Fungal genomes used for filtering metagenome reads to remove eukaryotic contamination.  Genomes were selected to use for filtering based on the taxonomic identities of prevalent fungal taxa detected in our previous ITS2 amplicon survey that was conducted at the same location {Bowsher et al. 2020}.

| Fungal Genome | JGI Project ID (*) or GenBank accession (**) | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| *Trichoderma harzianum* | 403727* | (Druzhinina et al., 2018) |
| *Pleomassaria siparia* | 1011309* | (Haridas et al., 2020) |
| *Aureobasidium pullulans* | 403628* | (Gostinčar et al., 2014) |
| *Alternaria alternata* | 1103683* | NA |
| *Didymella zeae-maydis* | https://genome.jgi.doe.gov/portal/pages/ dynamicOrganismDownload.jsf?organism=Didma1 | NA |
| *Sporobolomyces roseus* | 16892* | NA |
| *Puccinia novopanici* | GCA_004348175.1** | (Gill et al., 2019) |

**Table S2**. Collection of 16 highest-quality ("gold") metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from switchgrass and miscanthus phyllosphere. These focal MAGs were in >10% of samples, had >70% completeness, and <15.5% contamination.

| MAG Identifier | Annotation Based on CheckM | Estimation of MAG Completeness (%) | Estimation of MAG Contamination (%) | Miscanthus Metagenomes | Switchgrass Metagenomes | Group | Estimated Genome Size (bp) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MAG1_Rick | Rickettsiales | 70.1 | 2.9 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 3361440 |
| MAG2_Burk | Burkholderiales | 75.2 | 6.4 | 23 | 22 | 2 | 1066761 |
| MAG3_Rhiz | Rhizobiales | 87.9 | 5.7 | 33 | 19 | 2 | 3240342 |
| MAG4_Rhiz | Rhizobiales | 87.4 | 4.8 | 34 | 18 | 2 | 3170845 |
| MAG5_Rhiz | Rhizobiales | 73.5 | 2.4 | 35 | 19 | 2 | 2555357 |
| MAG6_Burk | Burkholderiales | 84.0 | 2.5 | 11 | 15 | 3 | 3190093 |
| MAG7_Pseudo | Pseudomonadales | 97.6 | 1.9 | 13 | 20 | 3 | 4681335 |
| MAG8_Pseudo | Pseudomonadales | 97.4 | 2.1 | 23 | 30 | 3 | 3844481 |
| MAG9_Acti | Actinomycetales | 95.4 | 4.1 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 4589124 |
| MAG10_Burk | Burkholderiales | 93.7 | 1.6 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 5391567 |
| MAG11_Acti | Actinomycetales | 89.7 | 2.9 | 39 | 11 | 4 | 5478725 |
| MAG12_Sphi | Sphingomonadales | 75.8 | 7.2 | 17 | 19 | 5 | 5342470 |
| MAG13_Unkn | Bacteria | 72.4 | 15.3 | 23 | 24 | 5 | 4182019 |
| MAG14_Acti | Actinomycetales | 75.3 | 2.8 | 13 | 20 | 6 | 3691806 |
| MAG15_Acti | Actinomycetales | 96.0 | 4.8 | 17 | 14 | 6 | 5456568 |
| MAG16_Cyto | Cytophagales | 81.6 | 2.5 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 2848569 |

**Table S3**. Comparison of distributions of functions in phyllosphere MAGs and Refsoil+ genomes to identify leaf-enriched functions using the Kruskal-Wallis tests by ranks, with p-values less than 0.05 considered significant. Ratio of proportions in phyllosphere MAGs and RefSoil+ genomes are also shown.

| Functional Subsystem | Phyllopshere MAGs | RefSoil Genomes | p-value | Ratio of Phyllosphere:RefSoil | Significant |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Secondary Metabolism | 0.49% | 0.22% | 1.6E-03 | 2.19 | * |
| Phages, Prophages, Transposable Elements, and Plasmids | 1.10% | 0.60% | 6.5E-08 | 1.83 | * |
| Respiration | 4.93% | 2.88% | 3.9E-01 | 1.71 | n.s. |
| No annotation | 38.90% | 25.18% | 2.0E-85 | 1.54 | * |
| Photosynthesis | 0.21% | 0.15% | 3.3E-02 | 1.46 | * |
| Virulence, Disease and Defense | 2.87% | 1.97% | 7.3E-05 | 1.45 | * |
| Regulation and Cell signaling | 1.77% | 1.59% | 1.7E-01 | 1.11 | n.s. |
| Sulfur Metabolism | 0.80% | 0.77% | 8.3E-02 | 1.05 | n.s. |
| Stress Response | 3.26% | 3.13% | 8.8E-04 | 1.04 | * |
| Potassium metabolism | 0.47% | 0.45% | 2.3E-14 | 1.04 | * |
| RNA Metabolism | 2.88% | 2.92% | 1.4E-32 | 0.99 | * |
| Miscellaneous | 0.31% | 0.31% | 9.0E-01 | 0.98 | n.s. |
| Nucleosides and Nucleotides | 2.44% | 2.55% | 3.6E-15 | 0.96 | * |
| Metabolism of Aromatic Compounds | 1.48% | 1.56% | 9.2E-01 | 0.95 | n.s. |
| Cell Wall and Capsule | 3.17% | 3.57% | 9.2E-04 | 0.89 | * |
| Membrane Transport | 2.44% | 2.76% | 1.5E-15 | 0.88 | * |
| Clustering based subsystems | 7.32% | 8.31% | 1.2E-31 | 0.88 | * |
| Iron acquisition and metabolism | 0.51% | 0.61% | 4.1E-05 | 0.84 | * |
| Nitrogen Metabolism | 0.72% | 1.04% | 1.5E-27 | 0.69 | * |
| Carbohydrates | 7.57% | 10.95% | 2.0E-35 | 0.69 | * |
| Cofactors, Vitamins, Prosthetic Groups, and Pigments | 2.51% | 3.78% | 4.7E-64 | 0.67 | * |
| Cell Division and Cell Cycle | 0.58% | 0.89% | 3.5E-43 | 0.65 | * |
| Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids | 1.97% | 3.23% | 1.5E-66 | 0.61 | * |
| Protein Metabolism | 3.50% | 5.78% | 8.0E-59 | 0.61 | * |
| Amino Acids and Derivatives | 5.00% | 8.82% | 1.4E-85 | 0.57 | * |
| DNA Metabolism | 1.38% | 2.60% | 3.0E-79 | 0.53 | * |
| Motility and Chemotaxis | 0.86% | 1.72% | 5.5E-23 | 0.50 | * |
| Phosphorus Metabolism | 0.43% | 1.14% | 7.8E-82 | 0.38 | * |
| Dormancy and Sporulation | 0.12% | 0.51% | 3.8E-23 | 0.24 | * |

**Table S4**.  Comparison of transcript abundances associated with DNA and RNA metabolism by sampling date for switchgrass phyllosphere using the Kruskal-Wallis tests by ranks.

| Sample Date | Kruskal Statistic | Kruskal.test p-value |
|---|---|---|
| 31-May-16 | 1.352795031 | 0.244790079 |
| 12-Jul-16 | 1.641025641 | 0.200184804 |
| **12-Sep-16** | **3.152727273** | **0.075800175** |
| 15-May-17 | 0.926512512 | 0.335770789 |
| 5-Jun-17 | 0.540441176 | 0.462249946 |
| 26-Jun-17 | 1.334558824 | 0.247995815 |
| **17-Jul-17** | **8.647058824** | **0.003275897** |
| **7-Aug-17** | **8.040441176** | **0.004574439** |
| **28-Aug-17** | **7.455882353** | **0.006322948** |
| **18-Sep-17** | **5.025641026** | **0.024974679** |

**Table S5**. Functions that were detected in at least 13 out of the total 16 highest-quality phyllosphere MAGs, and at abundances greater than average enrichment (μ>35x) in late season (August to September) relative to early season (May to July) for switchgrass metatranscriptomes. Ratio is the average abundance observed for functions in late season divided by early season.

| Functional Subsystem Level 1 | Functional Subsystem Level 2 | Functional Subsystem Level 3 | Aug - Sep | May - July | Ratio | No. of MAGs |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Carbohydrates | Pyruvate metabolism II: acetyl-CoA, a | Aldehyde dehydrogenase (EC 1.2.1.3) | 8.39E-07 | 1.28E-08 | 65.36791 | 15 |
| Amino Acids and Derivatives | Threonine and Homoserine Biosynthe | Aspartate aminotransferase (EC 2.6.1.1) | 2.48E-07 | 3.89E-09 | 63.71466 | 14 |
| Amino Acids and Derivatives | Threonine degradation | Threonine dehydrogenase and related Zn-dependent dehydrogenases | 2.00E-06 | 5.03E-08 | 39.81433 | 14 |
| Carbohydrates | Pyruvate metabolism II: acetyl-CoA, a | Acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase (EC 6.2.1.1) | 1.85E-07 | 4.41E-09 | 41.88832 | 14 |
| Fatty Acids, Lipids, and Isoprenoids | Nonmevalonate Branch of Isoprenoid | 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate synthase (EC 1.17.7.1) | 3.48E-07 | 6.55E-09 | 53.14039 | 14 |
| Protein Metabolism | Universal GTPases | GTP-binding protein Obg | 1.55E-07 | 1.32E-09 | 117.8691 | 14 |
| Protein Metabolism | tRNA aminoacylation, Met | Methionyl-tRNA synthetase (EC 6.1.1.10) | 3.75E-08 | 3.21E-10 | 116.5575 | 14 |
| Virulence, Disease and Defense | Resistance to fluoroquinolones | DNA gyrase subunit A (EC 5.99.1.3) | 2.14E-07 | 4.70E-09 | 45.54809 | 14 |
| Amino Acids and Derivatives | Serine Biosynthesis | D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (EC 1.1.1.95) | 1.46E-07 | 3.11E-09 | 46.99134 | 13 |
| Carbohydrates | Trehalose Biosynthesis | 1,4-alpha-glucan (glycogen) branching enzyme, GH-13-type (EC 2.4.1.18) | 4.07E-07 | 7.09E-09 | 57.40183 | 13 |
| Carbohydrates | Unknown carbohydrate utilization (c | Peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase MsrB (EC 1.8.4.12) | 5.30E-07 | 1.30E-08 | 40.61968 | 13 |
| Cell Wall and Capsule | UDP-N-acetylmuramate from Fructos | Glucosamine--fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase [isomerizing] (EC 2.6.1.16) | 4.58E-08 | 0 | Inf | 13 |
| Cell Wall and Capsule | Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis | Phospho-N-acetylmuramoyl-pentapeptide-transferase (EC 2.7.8.13) | 6.67E-08 | 1.62E-09 | 41.26708 | 13 |
| DNA Metabolism | DNA repair, bacterial UvrD and relate | ATP-dependent DNA helicase UvrD/PcrA | 8.51E-08 | 1.12E-09 | 75.8473 | 13 |
| Membrane Transport | ABC transporter branched-chain amir | Branched-chain amino acid transport ATP-binding protein LivF (TC 3.A.1.4.1) | 1.20E-07 | 1.95E-09 | 61.57398 | 13 |
| Membrane Transport | ABC transporter branched-chain amir | Branched-chain amino acid transport ATP-binding protein LivG (TC 3.A.1.4.1) | 1.28E-07 | 2.56E-09 | 49.94828 | 13 |
| Protein Metabolism | Translation termination factors bacte | Methionine aminopeptidase (EC 3.4.11.18) | 2.02E-07 | 4.71E-09 | 42.80427 | 13 |
| Protein Metabolism | Translation termination factors bacte | Peptide chain release factor 2 | 2.61E-07 | 6.66E-09 | 39.15736 | 13 |
| Respiration | Ubiquinone Menaquinone-cytochron | Ubiquinol-cytochrome C reductase iron-sulfur subunit (EC 1.10.2.2) | 2.36E-07 | 1.36E-09 | 174.2727 | 13 |
| RNA Metabolism | Polyadenylation bacterial | Polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase (EC 2.7.7.8) | 1.01E-06 | 2.15E-08 | 47.14752 | 13 |
| Virulence, Disease and Defense | Resistance to fluoroquinolones | DNA gyrase subunit B (EC 5.99.1.3) | 2.03E-07 | 5.76E-09 | 35.33268 | 13 |