TITLE:

In vivo **KRAS G12D/V degradation mediated by CANDDY using a modified**

proteasome inhibitor

AUTHORS/AFFILIATIONS:

Satoshi Imanishi[1,#], Lijuan Huang[1,#], Shoko Itakura[2], Masamichi Ishizaka[1], Yoichi

Iwasaki[1], Tomohiro Yamaguchi[1], and Etsuko Miyamoto-Sato[1,2][*]

[1] Laboratory of Miyamoto-Sato Molecular Medical Sciences, Research Institute for

Science & Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba

278-0022, Japan

[2]Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki,

Noda, Chiba 278-0022, Japan

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[*] Correspondence: nekoneko@rs.tus.ac.jp

Abstract

"Undruggable" proteins, such as RAS proteins, remain problematic despite

efforts to discover inhibitors against them. KRAS mutants are prevalent in human

cancers. Recently, the KRAS G12C inhibitor have been clinically approved, but

inhibitors for KRAS G12D/V are still under development. Here, we described the

development of a novel chemical knockdown strategy, termed CANDDY (Chemical

knockdown with Affinity aNd Degradation DYnamics). This strategy involves a

CANDDY tag modified from a proteasome inhibitor inducing direct proteasomal

degradation. We constructed TUS-007 as a multispecific small molecule tethered from a

KRAS interactor and CANDDY tag to target KRAS G12D/V. We confirmed that the

degradation by TUS-007 was independent of target ubiquitination. This allows to solve

a laborious design of matchmaker in the current ubiquitination-dependent proteolysis

technology. And TUS-007 successfully suppressed tumors due to *in vivo* degradation of

KRAS G12D/V. The CANDDY technology could represent a simple and rational

strategy to degrade currently "undruggable" proteins.

Main Text

The majority (75%) of disease-causing proteins are "undruggable" (i.e., difficult to

inhibit with small molecules). This difficulty reflects in the presence of smooth surfaces

and lack of deep pockets, including proteins associated with cancer drivers and many

interfaces for protein-protein interaction (PPI) (1, 2, 3). RAS family members (e.g.,

KRAS, HRAS, and NRAS) are most challenging to inhibit by small molecules (1, 4, 5,

6, 7). Mutations of KRAS, especially G12C, G12D, and G12V, are frequent in human

cancers (7). The RAS protein activities depend on nucleotide loading in their GTP-

binding pockets. The inhibition of this pocket has been attempted for nearly 40 years.

However, progress has been hindered by the exceptionally high affinity between GTP

and RAS proteins (1, 4, 8). While the inhibitor targeting KRAS G12C have been

clinically approved recently (6, 9), inhibitors for KRAS G12D/V, which addresses

greater clinical need, are still remained under development. These are important targets

found in 95% of pancreatic cancers and 64% of colon cancers (7, 8). Inhibitors of the

PPI between RAS and Son of Sevenless 1 (SOS1) (RAS-SOS inhibitor) have been

investigated. Inhibitors that directly bind to RAS are not effective owing to their low

affinity (5). Thus, the current inhibition technologies are not enough to be effective for

undruggable proteins.

Pharmaceutical research on undruggable proteins, such as RAS, focuses on novel

modalities instead of inhibition (10, 11, 12). Proteolysis technologies, using

matchmakers between the target and E3 ligase or other ubiquitination related proteins

such as molecular chaperons for inducing target degradations, are expected to be

effective against undruggable proteins (13, 14, 15, 16, 17). However, matchmaker

design has been hampered by the dependency on target ubiquitination (16). It is difficult

to select a suitable E3 ligase for a target of interest because of limited knowledge about

the mechanism underlying substrate recognition by E3 ligases. Even if the target has an

established corresponding E3 ligase, there may be no available ligand for the E3 ligase.

Moreover, target ubiquitination may not always induce proteolysis, as in the case of

RAS, in which ubiquitination also regulates protein localization and activation (18).

Despite such difficulties, an effective inhibitor (9) was used recently for a proteolysis

inducer of KRAS G12C (19). However, no proteolysis inducer for KRAS G12D/V has

been reported. Current proteolysis technologies that depend on ubiquitination have

limited efficacy for undruggable targets (14). Therefore, to modulate diverse

undruggable targets, the difficulties in matchmaking should be eliminated (13).

Here, we report successful KRAS G12D/V degradation, mediated by a novel tool

named CANDDY (Chemical knockdown with Affinity aNd Degradation DYnamics).

This innovative approach induces direct proteasomal degradation (i.e., chemical

knockdown) of the target using a CANDDY tag derived from a proteasome inhibitor

that lacks the site for inhibitory activity (inhibitor site). By CANDDY technology,

chemical knockdown occurs without ubiquitination, so it can bypass the difficulties of

designing a matchmaker in current proteolysis techniques (13). Using an inefficient

target inhibitor, RAS/SOS inhibitor (5), we designed and synthesized a CANDDY

molecule called TUS-007 for KRAS G12D/V. We demonstrated KRAS G12D/V

chemical knockdown mediated by TUS-007 in cell-free, *in vitro*, and *in vivo* assays,

and *in vivo* tumor suppression.

Results

A CANDDY molecule for targeting KRAS G12D/V proteins

CANDDY molecules are bispecific molecules constructed from two modules;

a target interactor, which enables specific binding to the target, and a CANDDY tag,

which induces proteasomal degradation (Fig. 1a). The CANDDY tag is essential in

CANDDY technology and is a derivative lacking the inhibitor site of MLN2238 (MLN)

(20), a clinical proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Information). To

design TUS-007, we employed a RAS-SOS inhibitor (5) (shown in Fig. 1c) which

directly binds to KRAS G12D, KRAS G12V, and wild-types of KRAS and HRAS as

the target interactor module. Since the binding to wild-type NRAS have not been

reported, it was expected to avoid the severe toxicity observed in a pan-RAS inhibitor

(21). RAS-SOS inhibitor was conjugated to the CANDDY tag using a NH_2 linker (Fig.

1c and Supplementary Information).

To compare the target binding of TUS-007 with that of the RAS-SOS

inhibitor, we performed a thermal shift assay. Unexpectedly, KRAS G12D/V proteins

incubated with TUS-007 were more resistant against heat treatment than those

incubated with RAS-SOS inhibitor (Fig. 1d). Alternatively, in a fluorescence-based

thermal shift assay, the T_m value of KRAS G12D incubated with TUS-007 was higher

than that of KRAS G12D incubated with RAS-SOS inhibitor (Supplementary Fig. 1).

These results suggest the higher affinity of TUS-007 to KRAS compared to RAS-SOS

inhibitor. In addition, we confirmed that TUS-007 had no inhibitory activity against the

catalytic β-subunits of proteasome (Supplementary Fig. 2). This demonstrated that the

CANDDY tag, modified from a proteasome inhibitor, hardly inhibit proteasome

activity.

Chemical knockdown of KRAS G12D/V free from ubiquitination by TUS-007

We attempted a chemical knockdown of KRAS G12D in the presence of 26S

proteasomes and in the absence of any ubiquitination related proteins in a cell-free

assay. Successful degradation was performed with 50% degradation concentration

(DC50) at 4 μM (Supplementary Fig. 3a). The chemical knockdown mediated by TUS-

007 was counteracted by the presence of MLN (Fig. 1e). Additionally, RAS-SOS-NH$_2$

(a synthetic intermediate without CANDDY tag, Fig. 1c) as a degradation-incompetent

control failed to induce the chemical knockdown (Fig. 1e), demonstrating CANDDY

tag is essential to induce the chemical knockdown. Although RAS-SOS inhibitor

showed 80% inhibition of RAS-SOS PPI at 1 mM (5), DC80 of TUS-007 for KRAS

G12D was estimated as 16 μM (Supplementary Fig. 3a). It implied that the conjugation

with CANDDY tag drastically improved the usefulness of RAS-SOS inhibitor. We also

confirmed chemical knockdown of KRAS G12V by TUS-007 in a cell-free assay in the

absence of any ubiquitination related proteins (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Furthermore, to

obtain additional evidence of degradation independent of ubiquitination, we co-treated

HeLa cells expressing GFP-KRAS G12D and DsRed with TUS-007 and MLN, E1

inhibitor (NAEi) or HSP70 inhibitor (VER-155008) (Supplementary Fig. 4). MLN

counteracted the lowering of GFP signal induced by TUS-007 treatment, but NAEi or

VER-155008 did not. These results demonstrated that chemical knockdown induced by

TUS-007 was depend on proteasome and independent of ubiquitination processes.

TUS-007 induced targets-selective chemical knockdown *in vitro*

125   Using an *in vitro* assay, we then evaluated the chemical knockdown and

investigated the selectivity of TUS-007. We utilized RAS-less mouse embryonic

fibroblasts (MEFs) expressing human KRAS G12D, G12V, or G12C. These MEFs do

not proliferate in the absence of RAS (22). Immunoblotting analysis revealed that TUS-

007 induced the chemical knockdown of KRAS G12D/V, but not G12C, in RAS-less

MEFs (Fig. 1f). TUS-007 reduced the viability of RAS-less MEFs expressing KRAS

G12D/V but did not affect the viability of the cells expressing KRAS G12C (Fig. 1g),

confirming the selectivity of TUS-007 for KRAS G12D/V. We also assessed the

selectivity of TUS-007 for the human RAS family, including wild-type KRAS, HRAS,

and NRAS. TUS-007 did not affect the NRAS protein levels or the viability of RAS-

less MEFs expressing NRAS but affected KRAS or HRAS levels and the viability of

RAS-less MEFs expressing KRAS or HRAS (Supplementary Fig. 5a and b). This result

is consistent with the previously reported data on the selectivity of the RAS-SOS

inhibitor (5). Therefore, it is expected not to induce intolerable toxicity previously

observed with a pan-RAS inhibitor *in vivo* (21) since TUS-007 is not a pan-RAS

degrader. Furthermore, the viability of RAS-less MEFs expressing KRAS G12C

maintained even at 100 μM TUS-007 (Fig. 1f and g), indicating that TUS-007 might

have no remarkable off-target toxicity in cell even at high concentrations. These results

demonstrated the correlation between the degradation of targets and the viability of cells

by the concentrations of TUS-007 without non-specific cytotoxic effect (Fig. 1f and g).

The effects of TUS-007 on KRAS G12V-driven colon cancer

147   Approximately 64% of human colon cancers reportedly express KRAS

mutants. KRAS G12V is found in nearly half of the patients and is correlated with poor

prognosis (8). Therefore, we investigated whether TUS-007 can suppress the growth of

human KRAS G12V-driven colon cancer cells. We conducted an experiment with the

SW620-Luc KRAS G12V homozygous human colon cancer cell line (23). TUS-007

induced chemical knockdown of KRAS (Fig. 2a), accompanied by an increase in the

annexin V-positive fraction in SW620-Luc cells (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 6).

However, RAS-SOS-NH$_2$ and cetuximab did not induce apoptosis (Fig. 2b and

Supplementary Fig. 6). In contrast, treatment with TUS-007 did not result in significant

changes in the annexin V-positive fraction in HT29-Luc RAS-independent colon cancer

cells (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 7). Alternatively, the apoptosis induction in

SW620-Luc cells was confirmed by caspase 3/7 activation (Fig. 2d). Importantly, TUS-

007 induced the apoptosis in SW620-Luc cells at the same concentration at which the

chemical knockdown of KRAS was significant (Fig. 2a, b and Supplementary Fig. 8).

These findings indicated that TUS-007 selectively induces apoptosis in SW620-Luc

cells by chemical knockdown of KRAS G12V *in vitro*.

Next, to assess the effectiveness of TUS-007 *in vivo*, we transplanted SW620-

Luc cells subcutaneously in immunodeficient mice. TUS-007 or cetuximab was

administered to the xenograft mice by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection. TUS-007

significantly attenuated tumor progression (Fig. 2e, f and Supplementary Fig. 9a) and

induced KRAS G12V chemical knockdown in tumors (Supplementary Fig. 9b). The

body weights of the mice were not affected by TUS-007 treatment (Supplementary Fig.

9c). These results suggested that TUS-007 is effective *in vivo* against KRAS G12V-

driven tumors.

The effects of TUS-007 on KRAS G12D-driven pancreatic cancer

Approximately 95% of pancreatic cancers harbor KRAS mutations, and the

G12D mutation is particularly prevalent and strongly correlated with poor prognosis (8).

Therefore, we examined the effects of TUS-007 in human pancreatic cancer cell lines.

As expected, TUS-007 degraded the KRAS protein (Fig. 3a) and induced apoptosis

(Fig. 3b) in SW1990 KRAS G12D-driven pancreatic cancer cells (24). The apoptosis

induction in SW1990 cells was approximately correlated with concentrations of

chemical knockdown of KRAS (Fig. 3a and b). The correlation between the

concentration of apoptosis induction and degradation induction was further confirmed

(Supplementary Fig. 10). In addition, apoptosis was induced with caspase 3/7 activation

in SW1990 cells (Fig. 3c), which was counteracted by a proteasome inhibitor MLN but

not by a ubiquitination inhibitor NAEi (Fig. 3d). Therefore, it suggested that TUS-007

induced apoptosis owing to target degradation independent of ubiquitination.

In mice with SW1990 cells implanted subcutaneously, the oral (per os; p.o.)

administration of TUS-007 significantly suppressed the tumor growth (Fig. 3e) and

reduced tumor weight (Supplementary Fig. 11a). The i.p. injection of TUS-007 also

suppressed subcutaneously implanted tumor growth in mice (Supplementary Fig. 11b).

Both p.o. (Fig. 3f) and i.p. (Supplementary Fig. 11c) administrations showed no change

in body weight. Here, we examined the chemical knockdown of KRAS, HRAS and

NRAS with TUS-007 in pancreas from the identical mice used in Fig. 3e and f. In

accordant with the results in RAS-less MEFs, TUS-007 induced the degradation of

KRAS and HRAS but not NRAS (Supplementary Fig 12). Thus, there was no sign of

toxicity such as body weight losses in contrast to a pan-RAS inhibitor (21).

195   Moreover, extracellular signal-regulate kinase (ERK) and protein kinase B

(AKT) phosphorylation were decreased in tumor by both p.o. and i.p. administrations

(Supplementary Fig. 13a and b), indicating that both RAS-mitogen-activation protein

(RAS-MAP) and RAS- phosphoinositide 3-kinase (RAS-PI3K) signaling were

inhibited. It is difficult for inhibitors to inhibit both RAS-MAP and RAS-PI3K

signaling, even using a clinically effective KRAS G12C inhibitor (6). Thus, the

chemical knockdown of KRAS by CANDDY might offer a clinical benefit. Taken

together, these results indicated that TUS-007 exerted *in vivo* antitumor activity *via* the

chemical knockdown of KRAS G12D/V and suppression of KRAS signaling.

TUS-007 exerted anti-tumor activity even in orthotopic xenograft model mice

SW1990-Luc cells were transplanted directly into the pancreas of mice as an

orthotopic xenograft model. The mice were subsequently treated p.o. with TUS-007.

Remarkably, *in vivo* imaging revealed reductions in tumor growth (Fig. 4a, b and

Supplementary Fig. 14a) and tumor weight (Supplementary Fig. 14b). Results from

immunohistochemical analysis (Fig. 4c left panels and Supplementary Fig. 14c left bar

graph) and immunoblotting (Fig. 4d) confirmed the chemical knockdown of KRAS

G12D in the tumor tissues of mice treated with TUS-007. Furthermore, higher

concentrations of positive cells were observed in the terminal deoxynucleotidyl

transferase dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay in the tumor tissues of TUS-007-

treated mice, implying the induction of apoptosis in the TUS-007-treated tumors (Fig.

4c right panels and Supplementary Fig. 14c right bar graph). The body weights of the

orthotopic xenograft model mice were not affected by TUS-007 (Supplementary Fig.

14d). Overall, these results demonstrated that oral treatment with TUS-007 induces

KRAS G12D chemical knockdown and suppresses pancreatic tumor growth without the

sign of weight loss and significant toxicity in the orthotopic xenograft model.

Finally, we examined the *in vivo* concentrations of TUS-007. When an i.p

dose of 80 mg/kg TUS-007 was administered to healthy mice, a concentration (45

ng/mg, equivalent to 53 μM) of TUS-007 was observed in the pancreas (Supplementary

Table 1.). This TUS-007 concentration *in vivo* agreed with the concentration at which

chemical knockdown and apoptotic indicators were observed in SW1990 cells *in vitro*

(Fig. 3a-c). Therefore, these results suggest that there is no contradiction between our *in*

vivo and *in vitro* results.

CANDDY applied to another undruggable target

To evaluate the versatility of CANDDY approach, we designed a CANDDY

molecule targeting MDM2 (MDM2-CANDDY), a negative regulator of P53, using a

P53-MDM2 PPI inhibitor with a weak potency (25) as an interactor module of a

CANDDY molecule (Supplementary Fig. 15a and Supplementary Information).

MDM2-CANDDY successfully induced the chemical knockdown of MDM2 in HCT-

116 human colon cancer cells (Supplementary Fig. 15b). P53-MDM2 PPI is still well-

recognized "undruggable" target (26). This result suggested the potential versatility of

CANDDY to target other undruggable proteins.

Discussion

We provided the proof of concept that CANDDY tag enabled to induce

proteasomal degradation through ubiquitination-independent process (Fig. 1e and

Supplementary Fig. 4) in this report. Considering the proteasome enable to degrade any

targets, the CANDDY tag modified from a proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 1b) can eliminate

the difficulties of matchmaking of targets and specific ubiquitination related proteins

such as E3 ligases in current protein degradation (13, 14). Thus, CANDDY technology

could provide a simple and rational approach to induce the chemical knockdown of

currently undruggable targets because of the CANDDY tag independent of

ubiquitination.

Expectedly, we confirmed the correlations between degradation of KRAS

G12D and V and apoptosis in pancreatic and colon cancer cells, respectively (Fig. 2a, b,

Fig. 3a-d, Supplementary Fig. 8 and 10), and *in vivo* (Fig. 4c and Supplementary Fig.

14c). Both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, TUS-007 achieved efficacy mediated by moderate

degradations of KRAS G12D/V. A previous study showed that the moderate decrease of

KRAS protein is enough to inhibit tumor growth *via* more strong inhibition of

downstream signal (12) as well as we showed (Supplementary Fig. 13). Thus, *in vitro*

and *in vivo* results could be reasonable. Importantly, the concentration of TUS-007 in

pancreas agreed with the effective concentration *in vitro* (Supplementary Table1),

demonstrating the consistency between our *in vivo* and *in vitro* results. On the other

hand, the requirement of high concentrations generally raises concerns about toxicity.

However, TUS-007 did not show non-specific cytotoxicity *in vitro* even at high

concentration (Fig. 1g) and no sign of body weight losses was observed in TUS-007

treated mice (Fig. 3f, Supplementary Fig. 9c, 11c and 14d) in contrast to a pan-RAS

inhibitor (21). These results suggest that TUS-007 induced *in vivo* degradation of

KRAS G12D/V resulting in suppression of colon and pancreatic tumors without no

significant toxicity even at high concentrations.

No effective drug to inhibit or degrade KRAS G12D/V has been reported yet.

Also, the proteolysis field does not still have enough evidence that can target and

degrade tough and valuable undruggable proteins (14). Of note, in spite of a poor

inhibitor was employed as interactor, TUS-007 induced chemical knockdown of KRAS

G12D/V *in vivo*, resulting in suppression of a pancreatic tumor even in the orthotopic

xenograft model with oral administrations (Fig. 4). In addition, another weak inhibitor

of PPI, P53-MDM2 PPI inhibitor (25) (Supplementary Fig. 15), was useful as the

interactor of CANDDY molecules. Thus, CANDDY technology could be expectedly

applied to tough and valuable undruggable targets still remaining with no effective

inhibitors. In the future, CANDDY has the potential to overcome the current limitations

of proteolysis and to expand the application scope of degraders for molecular targeting

therapy of aggressive and deadly diseases caused by undruggable targets.

References

1. Khan, I., Rhett, J. M. & O'Bryan, J. P. Therapeutic targeting of RAS: New Hope for

drugging the "undruggable". *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **1867**, 118570

(2020).

2. Fujimori, S., et al. Next-generation sequencing coupled with a cell-free display

technology for high-throughput production of reliable interactome data. *Sci. Rep.* **2,**

691 (2012).

3. Scott, D. E., Bayly, A. R., Abell, C. & Skidmore, J. Small molecules, big targets:

Drug discovery faces the protein-protein interaction challenge. *Nat. Rev. Drug*

Discov. **15,** 533–550 (2016).

4. Stephen, A. G., Esposito, D., Bagni, R. K. & McCormick, F. Dragging Ras back in

the ring. *Cancer Cell* **25,** 272–281 (2014).

5. Sun, Q., et al. Discovery of small molecules that bind to K-Ras and inhibit Sos-

mediated activation. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **51,** 6140–6143 (2012).

6. Canon, J., et al. The clinical KRAS(G12C) inhibitor AMG 510 drives anti-tumour

immunity. *Nature* **575,** 217–223 (2019).

7. Hobbs, G. A., Der, C. J. & Rossman, K. L. RAS isoforms and mutations in cancer at

a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* **129,** 1287–1292 (2016).

8. Haigis, K. M. KRAS alleles: The devil is in the detail. *Trends Cancer* **3,** 686–697

(2017).

9. Sheridan, C. Grail of RAS cancer drugs within reach. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38,** 6–8

(2020).

10. Shin, S. M., et al. Antibody targeting intracellular oncogenic Ras mutants exerts

anti-tumour effects after systemic administration. *Nat. Commun.* **8,** 15090 (2017).

11. Yin, W. & Rogge, M. Targeting RNA: A transformative therapeutic strategy. *Clin.*

Transl. Sci. **12,** 98–112 (2019).

12. Ross, S. J., et al. Targeting KRAS-dependent tumors with AZD4785, a high-affinity

therapeutic antisense oligonucleotide inhibitor of KRAS. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **9,** pii:

eaal5253. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aal5253 (2017).

13. Deshaies, R. J. Multispecific drugs herald a new era of biopharmaceutical

innovation. *Nature* **580,** 329–338 (2020).

14. Scudellari, M. Protein-slaying drugs could be the next blockbuster therapies. *Nature*

567, 298–300 (2019).

15. Cromm, P. M. & Crews, C. M. Targeted protein degradation: From chemical

biology to drug discovery. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **24,** 1181–1190 (2017).

16. Pettersson, M. & Crews, C. M. PROteolysis TArgeting Chimeras (PROTACs) -

Past, present and future. *Drug Discov. Today Technol.* **31,** 15–27 (2019).

17. Churcher, I. Protac-induced protein degradation in drug discovery: Breaking the

rules or just making new ones? *J. Med. Chem.* **61,** 444–452 (2018).

18. Dohlman, H. G. & Campbell, S. L. Regulation of large and small G proteins by

ubiquitination. *J. Biol. Chem.* **294,** 18613–18623 (2019).

19. Bond, M. J., et al. Targeted degradation of oncogenic KRASG12C by VHL-

recruiting PROTACs. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **6**, 1367–1375 (2020).

20. Kisselev, A. F., van der Linden, W. A. & Overkleeft, H. S. Proteasome inhibitors:

An expanding army attacking a unique target. *Chem. Biol.* **19,** 99–115 (2012).

21. Welsch, M. E., et al. Multivalent small-molecule pan-RAS inhibitors. *Cell* **168,**

878–889.e29 (2017).

22. Drosten, M., et al. Genetic analysis of Ras signaling pathways in cell proliferation,

migration and survival. *EMBO J*. **29,** 1091–1104 (2010).

23. Ahmed, D., et al. Epigenetic and genetic features of 24 colon cancer cell lines.

Oncogenesis **2,** e71 (2013).

24. Jansen, J. M., et al. Inhibition of prenylated KRAS in a lipid environment. *PLOS*

ONE **12,** e0174706 (2017).

25. Kumar, S. K., et al. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of novel boronic-Chalcone

derivatives as antitumor agents. *J*. *Med*. *Chem*. **46**, 2813–2815 (2003).

26. Shangary, S. & Wang, S. Targeting the MDM2-p53 Interaction for Cancer Therapy.

Clin. *Cancer*. *Res*. **14,** 5318–5324 (2008).

27. Scott, D. E., Bin, Y. & Charlene F. Proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs) in

'beyond rule-of-five' chemical space: Recent progress and future challenges, *Bioorg*

Med Chem Lett, **29**, 1555–1564 (2019).

Data availability

Source data are provided for all experiments. Other data that support the

findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable

request.

Acknowledgments We thank Masaaki Ozawa, Mai Hasegawa, Takuya Yogi, Mizuki

Kanazawa, and Sachi Tsuji for help with the *in vitro* studies, Yuri Sato for help with the

in vivo studies, Megumi Tsukamoto and Megumi Saito for help with both *in vitro* and *in*

vivo studies.   We thank the NCI RAS initiative for providing the RAS-less MEFs used

in this work, the Uchiro Laboratory for performing the high-resolution mass

spectrometry analysis at the Research Equipment Center (Tokyo University of Science

(TUS), Japan), the Gunji Laboratory (TUS) for the use of their high-performance liquid

chromatography instruments, the Iwakura and Abe Laboratories in the animal facility of

the Research Institute for Biomedical Sciences (TUS) for maintaining the mice, Fumito

Ishizuka and Naoki Takeda (NARD Institute, Ltd.) for contributions to the chemical

synthesis, and Hiroyuki Ohashi for the helpful suggestions. This work was financially

supported by the Program for Creating STart-ups from Advanced Research and

Technology (START Program: 962238), and the joint research with FuturedMe Inc.

Author contributions S. Im., L. H., S. It., and E. M. S. designed the study. S. Im., L.

H., S. It., M. I. and T. Y. performed the *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments. Y. I.

performed chemical analyses. E. M. S. supervised the study. All authors discussed the

study and approved the submitted manuscript.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Information is available in the online version of the paper.

Figure legends

Fig. 1. RAS chemical knockdown *in vitro*.

a, Principle of the CANDDY technology. Each CANDDY molecule includes a target

interactor (burgundy hexagon) and a CANDDY tag (yellow oval). The target protein is

bound by the CANDDY molecule *via* the target interactor and is directly degraded by

proteasome via the CANDDY tag. **b,** The CANDDY tag was synthesized by chemically

inactivating the inhibitor site of MLM2238 (MLN). **c,** Structures of the RAS-SOS

inhibitor (ref. 5), RAS-SOS-NH$_2$, and TUS-007. **d,** Evaluation of the target-binding

affinity of TUS-007 to KRAS mutants by a thermal shift assay. Recombinant KRAS

was treated with the vehicle, RAS-SOS inhibitor, or TUS-007 for 30 min, heated at the

indicated temperature for 20 min, then analyzed by immunoblotting. **e,** TUS-007

induced KRAS G12D chemical knockdown by 26S proteasome in a cell free assay.

KRAS G12D incubated with 26S proteasome and agents as indicated for 3 h. **f & g,**

RAS-less MEFs expressing KRAS G12D, G12V or G12C were incubated with TUS-

007 for 72 h. Representative blots of KRAS are shown (**f**). The relative amounts of

KRAS mutants normalized to GAPDH are shown in the bar graph (mean ± SEM; n = 3–

4). The cell viability was also measured (mean ± SEM; n = 3–4) (**g**). *P < 0.05 and **P

< 0.01 vs. DMSO.

Fig. 2. Chemical knockdown and tumor suppression *in vitro* and *in vivo* in KRAS

G12V-driven human colon cancer cells by TUS-007 treatment.

a, Immunoblotting analysis of KRAS G12V chemical knockdown in SW620-Luc cells

treated with TUS-007 for 48 h at the indicated doses. The KRAS band intensity was

normalized to that of GAPDH (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs.

DMSO. **b & c,** The ratio of apoptotic cells were analyzed in colon cancer SW620-Luc

cells (**b**) and HT29-Luc cells (**c**), a KRAS-independent, BRAF-dependent cell line (Ctx

+ Vem: 100 mg/ml cetuximab and 40 mM vemurafenib). Cells were treated with each

compound for 48 h in terms of the number of annexin V-positive/PI-negative apoptotic

cells detected by flow cytometry (mean ± SEM; n = 2–5). **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001

vs. DMSO. **d,** Relative activity of caspase 3/7 in SW620-Luc cells treated with TUS-

007 or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) for 48 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01

vs. DMSO. **e,** Tumor volume in mice with SW620-Luc cells transplanted

subcutaneously and treated with i.p. administration every 3 days (mean ± SEM; n = 6–

8). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone. **f,** Tumors of SW620-Luc cells at day 21 from the same

mice shown in **e** (n = 6–8).

Fig. 3. Chemical knockdown and tumor suppression *in vitro* and *in vivo* in KRAS

G12D-driven human pancreatic cancer cells by TUS-007 treatment.

a, KRAS G12D chemical knockdown induced by TUS-007 in SW1990 cells. SW1990

cells were treated with TUS-007 for 72 h and analyzed by immunoblotting. The KRAS

G12D band intensity was normalized to that of GAPDH (mean ± SEM; n = 4). *P <

0.05 vs. DMSO. **b,** The proportion of annexin V-positive apoptotic SW1990 cells

treated with DMSO, RAS-SOS-NH$_2$ or TUS-007 for 72 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P <

0.05 vs. DMSO or RAS-SOS-NH$_2$. **c,** TUS-007 increased the caspase 3/7 activity in

SW1990 cells after treatment for 96 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01

vs. DMSO. **d,** Caspase 3/7 activation by TUS-007 was proteasome dependent and

ubiquitination independent. SW1990 cells were treated with the agents for 96 h (mean ±

SEM; n = 3). **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO, ##P < 0.01 vs. TUS-007 (100 μM) only, and [†]no

statistically significant difference compared with TUS-007 (100 μM) only. **e,** Tumor

volume in mice with SW1990 cells transplanted subcutaneously and treated with p.o.

administered TUS-007 or vehicle (mean ± SEM; n = 6–9). The agents were

administered every 3 days. **P < 0.01 vs. vehicle alone. **f,** Body weight changes in

mice with SW1990 cells transplanted subcutaneously (mean ± SEM; n = 6–9).

Fig. 4. TUS-007 suppresses the growth of KRAS G12D-positive orthotopic

pancreatic tumors.

a, Images showing the luciferase activity and total flux in orthotopic tumors

transplanted SW1990-Luc cells *in vivo*. **b,** Tumor growth (bioluminescence) in identical

mice treated with vehicle or TUS-007 administered p.o. every 3 days. (mean ± SEM; n

= 6). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone. **c,** Representative histochemical staining of KRAS and

TUNEL in orthotopic tumor sections from the same mice used in **a**. The scale bar is 60

μm. **d,** Immunoblotting of KRAS G12D in tumor lysates from the same mice used in **a**.

The bar graph shows quantification of KRAS G12D normalized to GAPDH (mean ±

SEM; n = 6). **$P < 0.01$ vs. vehicle alone.

Supplementary Fig. 1. Estimation of T_m value of KRAS G12D incubated with TUS-

007.

KRAS G12D was mixed with DMSO, RAS-SOS inhibitor (4 µM) or TUS-007 (4 µM)

and incubated under heating from 25 °C to 99 °C. The denature of KRAS G12D was

monitored by the fluorescence. The typical curve of each group was shown in upper

panels. The means of T_m values were shown in lower table (mean ± SEM; n = 2). *$P <$

0.05 vs. DMSO, #$P < 0.05$ vs. RAS-SOS inhibitor.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Effects of TUS-007 on proteasome activity levels.

The levels of chymotrypsin-like (β5), trypsin-like (β2), and caspase-like (β1)

proteasome activities was monitored by Suc-LLVY-AMC, Bz-VGR-AMC, and Z-LLE-

AMC, respectively. AMC fluorescence was monitored by a plate reader with excitation

and emission filters of 360 and 460 nm, respectively (DMSO, 30 min = 1) (mean ±

SEM; n = 2–3).

Supplementary Fig. 3. TUS-007 induced degradation of KRAS G12D/V in cell free

assay.

a, The correlation between relative amount of KRAS G12D and concentration of TUS-

007 were approximated with Rodbard using the means of 3 independent examinations.

The DC50 was estimated about 4 µM. **b,** Successful KRAS G12V degradation by TUS-

007. KRAS G12V protein was incubated with TUS-007 at the indicated concentrations

in the presence of 26S proteasome for 1 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *$P < 0.05$ vs. DMSO.

Supplementary Fig. 4. TUS-007 induced-degradation is proteasomal but

independent of ubiquitination in cells.

GFP fused KRAS G12D and DsRed were transiently expressed in HeLa cells. The cells

were treated with TUS-007 in the presence of a proteasome inhibitor (MLN2238: upper

right), a ubiquitination inhibitor (NAEi: lower left) and a molecular chaperon inhibitor

(HSP70 inhibitor: VER-155008: lower right) for 24 h, and the ratios of GFP/DsRed

were measured by FACS.

Supplementary Fig. 5. Selective chemical knockdown of RAS variants in RAS-less

MEFs expressing different types of human RAS.

a, Relative viability of RAS-less MEFs expressing WT human RAS family members

after incubation with TUS-007 or DMSO. (mean ± SEM; n = 3–5). *P < 0.05 and **P <

0.01 vs. DMSO. **b,** Degradation of WT human RAS family members in RAS-less MEFs

treated with TUS-007 or DMSO for 72 h (mean ± SEM; n = 4–5). *P < 0.05 and **P <

0.01 vs. DMSO.

Supplementary Fig. 6. FACS plots for Annexin V- PI staining of SW620-Luc cells.

SW620-Luc cells were treated with the indicated agents for 48 h, followed by staining

with Annexin V-FITC and PI. The typical plots are shown.

Supplementary Fig. 7. FACS plots for Annexin V- PI staining of HT-29-Luc cells.

HT-29-Luc cells were treated with the indicated agents for 48 h, followed by staining

with Annexin V-FITC and PI. The typical plots are shown.

Supplementary Fig. 8. TUS-007 induced apoptosis only when KRAS degradation

was detected in KRAS G12V driven cancer cells.

SW620-Luc cells were treated with TUS-007 for 12 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). The left

axis indicates KRAS/GAPDH, and the right axis indicates relative ratio of apoptotic

cells. *P < 0.05 vs. DMSO. The ratio of KRAS/GAPDH was determined by image

analyses of immunoblotting and ration of apoptotic cells were measured using FACS.

Supplementary Fig. 9. Effects of TUS-007 on the growth of colon cancer

subcutaneous xenografts and toxicity.

a, Comparison of SW620-Luc tumor weight at 21 days after injection (mean ± SEM; n

= 6–8). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone. **b,** Immunoblotting of KRAS G12V chemical

knockdown in tumors from the same mice used in Fig. 2e (mean ± SEM; n = 6). **P <

0.01 vs. vehicle alone. **c,** Body weight changes in mice with SW620-Luc cells

transplanted subcutaneously (mean ± SEM; n = 6–8).

Supplementary Fig. 10. TUS-007 induced apoptosis only when KRAS degradation

was detected in KRAS G12D driven cancer cells.

SW1990 cell were treated with TUS-007 for 12 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). The left axis

indicates KRAS/GAPDH, and the right axis indicates relative ratio of apoptotic cells.

*P < 0.05 vs. DMSO.   The ratio of KRAS/GAPDH was determined by image analyses

of immunoblotting and ration of apoptotic cells were measured using FACS.

Supplementary Fig. 11. Effects of TUS-007 on the growth of pancreatic cancer

subcutaneous xenografts and toxicity.

a, Tumors of SW1990 cells from the same mice shown and comparison of tumor weight

in Fig. 3e at 21 days after p.o. administration (mean ± SEM; n = 6–9). *P < 0.05 vs.

vehicle alone. **b,** Tumor volume in mice with SW1990 cells transplanted

subcutaneously and treated with i.p. administered TUS-007 or vehicle (mean ± SEM; n

= 6–9). The agents were administered every three days. *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle. **c,** Body

weight changes in mice with SW1990 cells transplanted subcutaneously (mean ± SEM;

n = 6–9).

Supplementary Fig. 12. Effects of oral treatment with TUS-007 on wild type RAS

in pancreas.

The immunoblotting analyses of wt RAS proteins in pancreas from the same mice

shown in Fig. 3e (mean ± SEM; n = 6–9). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone.

Supplementary Fig. 13. Effect of TUS-007 on RAS signaling in pancreatic cancer

subcutaneous xenografts.

Immunoblotting of KRAS and downstream signaling molecules in tumors from the

same mice used in Fig. 3e (**a**: p.o. treatment) and Supplementary Fig. 11c (**b**: i.p.

treatment). The quantitative analysis of the immunoblotting was shown as bar graph,

where the KRAS values were normalized to GAPDH, the p-ERK values were

normalized to the total ERK, and the p-AKT values were normalized to the total AKT

(mean ± SEM; n = 6). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle.

Supplementary Fig. 14. Effect of TUS-007 on growth of orthotopic pancreatic

cancer xenografts expressing mutant KRAS.

a, Tumor growth, as assessed by luciferase signal, in individual mice orthotopically

transplanted SW1990-Luc cells treated with TUS-007 (orange) or vehicle alone (blue)

(mean ± SEM; n = 6). **b,** Pancreases from orthotopic xenograft model mice at day 21

after treatment with TUS-007 (lower-left panel) or the vehicle alone (upper-left panel)

and comparison of their weights (right graph) (mean ± SEM; n = 6). *P < 0.05 vs.

vehicle alone. **c,** The quantitative analyses of the KRAS G12D-positive area (left) and

TUNEL-positive area (right) in Fig. 4c (mean ± SEM; n = 6). **P < 0.01 vs. vehicle

alone. **d,** Body weight changes in mice subjected to orthotopic transplantation of

SW1990-Luc cells (mean ± SEM; n = 6).

Supplementary Fig. 15. CANDDY induced degradation of MDM2, a common

undruggable target.

a, The structure of MDM2-CANDDY using P53-MDM2 PPI inhibitor, with IC50 value

between 6-25 μM. **b,** MDM2-CANDDY degraded MDM2 in the dose dependent

manner. The human colon cancer cells HCT-116 were incubated for 48 h with MDM2-

CANDDY (mean ± SEM; n = 3). **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO.

Supplementary Table 1.   The concentration of TUS-007 in pancreas was

maintained for 72 h.

The concentration of TUS-007 in pancreas from healthy wild-type mice i.p. treated with

TUS-007 at 80 mg/kg (means ± SEM; n = 5). The samples were obtained at indicated

time points after i.p. injection. The concentration of TUS-007 was measured with

HPLC.

Methods

Cell lines. SW1990 and HCT-116 cells were purchased from the American Type

Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA). SW620-Luc and HT29-Luc cells were

purchased from the National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Health, and Nutrition

(Osaka, Japan). RAS-less MEF cell lines (wild-type (wt) KRAS, KRAS G12D, KRAS

G12V, KRAS G12C, NRAS and HRAS) were obtained from the National Institutes of

Health (NCI RAS Initiative at the Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research,

Frederick, MD, USA).

Animal studies. BALB/cA-nu/nu and BALB/cA (female, 7-9 weeks old) were

purchased from CLEA Japan (Tokyo, Japan). The animals were maintained in

conventional housing conditions, with a daily 12-h light/dark cycle, and given food and

water *ad libitum*. The animals were cared for in accordance with the NIH Guide for the

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All animal experiments were approved by the

Committee on Animal Experimentation of the Tokyo University of Science.

Reagents. MLN2238 (A10600) was purchased from AdooQ BioScience (Irvine, CA,

USA). NAEi was purchased from AdipoGen (San Diego, CA, USA). Human 26S

Proteasome Protein (E-365) and Human 20S Proteasome Protein (E-360) were

purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Human KRAS (G12D) and

the corresponding His tag (12259-H07E1) were purchased from Sino Biological

(Beijing, China). Human KRAS (G12V), 2-186, and the corresponding His tag (R06-

32BH) were obtained from SignalChem Lifesciences (Richmond, British Columbia,

Canada).

Measurement of 20S proteasome activity. The chymotrypsin-like (β5), trypsin-like

(β2), and caspase-like (β1) activities of the 20S proteasome were measured using a 20S

Proteasome Activity Kit GOLD (StressMarq Bioscience Inc., Victoria, British

Columbia, Canada). Purified 20S proteasome (0.1 μg) was incubated in the presence of

CANDDY molecules (1, 4, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 μM) or MLN2238 at the indicated

concentrations for 30 min at room temperature. After incubation, 100 mM of

fluorogenic peptide substrates, Suc-LLVY-AMC (β5 substrate), Bz-VGR-AMC (β2

substrate), or Z-LLE-AMC (β1 substrate), was added, followed by incubation for 1 h at

room temperature. The reaction mixture was then transferred to a 96-well plate, and the

fluorecence from hydrolyzed AMC groups was measured using a Synergy HT multi-

channel microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc., Winooski, VT, USA) with a 360

nm excitation filter and 460 nm emission filter.

Evaluation of affinity of TUS-007 for KRAS in a thermal shift assay. The KRAS

G12D/V (100 nM) was preincubated with TUS-007, a RAS-SOS inhibitor, or 10%

DMSO in 75 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) for 30 min at 37 °C (G12D) or for 20 min

at 25 °C (G12V). Aliquots of the reaction solution were sampled into separate

microtubes and heated for 30 min at 70, 80, or 90 °C for G12D, or at 40, 50, or 60 °C

for G12V. After centrifugation at 18,000 ×g for 20 min, the supernatants were analyzed

by SDS-PAGE, followed by immunoblotting using an anti-KRAS antibody (1:1000,

WH0003845MI, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Immunoreactive bands were

detected using an iBright CL1000 chemiluminator (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham,

MA, USA) with an enhanced chemiluminescent substrate for detection of horseradish

peroxidase (HRP; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

Estimation of T_m values using fluorescence based thermal shift assay. To estimate

the T_m values, we performed a fluorescence based thermal shift assay using ProteoStat

Thermal Shift Stability assay (ENZO Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA). 1 μg of

KRAS G12D was mixed with DMSO (2.5 %), RAS-SOS inhibitor (4 μM) or TUS-007

(4 μM) in 1x assay buffer containing a fluorescent indicator of protein destabilization,

ProteoStat TS Detection Reagent, and incubated under heating from 25 °C to 99 °C in

StepOne Plus Real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The kinetics of

fluorescent signals were analyzed to calculate T_m values in Protein Thermal Shift

Software 1.3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Evaluation of KRAS degradation induced by TUS-007 in a cell-free system. KRAS

G12D (final concentration: 5 ng/μL) and 26S proteasome (final concentration: 8 nM)

were incubated with TUS-007 (2, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40 μM), RAS-SOS inhibitor (40 μM),

RAS-SOS-NH$_2$ (40 μM) or DMSO in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 20%

glycerol for 3 h at 37 °C. To assess the effect of MLN on chemical knockdown, KRAS

G12D and 26S proteasome were incubated with DMSO or TUS-007 40 μM in the

presence or absence of MLN (1 μM) in the same condition. KRAS G12V (final

concentration: 5 ng/μL) and 26S proteasome (final concentration: 8 nM) were incubated

with TUS-007 at the indicated concentration or DMSO in 75 mM phosphate buffer,

containing 1% LABRASOL (Gattefossé, Saint-Priest, France), for 1 h at 37 °C. After

centrifugation at 14,000 ×g for 10 min, the supernatants were analyzed by SDS-PAGE,

followed by immunoblotting using an anti-KRAS antibody (1:1000, WH0003845MI for

G12D, Sigma-Aldrich; and 1:2000, F234 for G12V, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.,

Dallas, TX, USA). The immunoreactive bands were detected, as described above. The

DC$_{50}$ values were calculated by a Rodbard approximation using the band intensity

obtained from four and three independent experiments for G12D. Immunoblotting was

performed as mentioned above.

Evaluation of target degradation in HeLa cells by flow cytometry. The expression

plasmid pMIR-DsRed-IRES-ecDHFR-HA-GFP was a kind gift from Dr. L. Hedstrom

(Brandeis University). Plasmid expressing human KRAS G12D was constructed by RF

cloning with some minor modifications. A full-length cDNA clone of human KRAS

(AK292510) was obtained from the National Institute of Technology and Evaluation

(Tokyo, Japan). Hybrid RF cloning primers were designed using RF-Cloning.org. All

PCR amplifications were performed with KOD-Plus-Neo (Toyobo Co. Ltd., Japan). The

plasmid DNA sequences encoding target proteins were determined using an ABI 3500

(Applied Biosystems, MA, USA). HA-GFP-fusion target protein- and DsRed-

expressing HeLa cells were treated with 1% DMSO or CANDDY molecules in serum-

free medium for 24 h. The cells were harvested using trypsin and resuspended in

fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS) buffer. The cells were then analysed on a BD

FACSCanto II. Data were analysed using FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC).

Cell proliferation assay. To examine the effect of TUS-007 on cell proliferation, the

WST-8 assay (Cell Count Reagent SF; Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) was performed.

RAS-less MEF cells, expressing human RAS, were plated in 96-well plates at a density

of 3×10^3 cells per well, cultured overnight, then treated with the indicated

concentrations of TUS-007 in 4% FBS containing medium (KRAS G12D), 10% FBS

containing medium (KRAS G12V) or serum-free medium (KRAS G12C, wt KRAS,

HRAS, NRAS) for 72 h. After incubation, cell viability was measured

spectrophotometrically using the WST-8 reagent. The culture medium was removed and

WST-8 reagent was mixed with the growth medium at a ratio of 1:10 (with a final

volume of 110 μL) and added to each well. The cells were incubated in an atmosphere

of 5% CO_2 at 37 °C for 1 to 2 h, and the absorbance at 450 nm was measured using the

aforementioned Synergy HT multi-channel microplate reader (BioTek Instruments,

Inc.).

Evaluation of TUS-007 specificity in cells. RAS-less MEFs were used to evaluate the

selective degradation by TUS-007. RAS-less MEFs expressing one of the human WT

RAS members (KRAS WT, HRAS WT, or NRAS WT) or KRAS G12C were plated

into 6-well plates at a density of 3×10^4 cells per well, cultured overnight, and treated

with the indicated concentrations of TUS-007 in serum-free medium for 72 h. RAS-less

MEFs expressing KRAS G12D or G12V were plated into 96-well plates at a density of

3×10^3 cells per well, cultured overnight, and treated with the indicated concentrations

of TUS-007 in 4% FBS or 10% FBS containing medium, respectively, for 72 h. The

cells were lysed using RIPA buffer (Nacalai Tesque., Kyoto, Japan). The lysates were

centrifuged at 18,000 ×g for 10 min. The supernatants were analyzed by western

blotting using mouse monoclonal antibodies specific to KRAS (1:1000,

WH0003845MI; Sigma-Aldrich) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase

(GAPDH, 1:10000, sc-32233; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and rabbit polyclonal

antibodies specific for HRAS (1:1000, 18295-1-AP; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA)

and NRAS (1:1000, 10724-1-AP, Proteintech). Immunoreactive bands were detected

using iBright CL1000 chemiluminator or LAS 3000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan) with an

enhanced chemiluminescent substrate for the detection of horseradish peroxidase (HRP;

Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The intensity of the RAS band was quantified using

ImageJ software and normalized to the intensity of the GAPDH band.

Measurement of KRAS protein levels in cells by western blotting. SW620-Luc and

SW1990 cells were treated with 1% DMSO or TUS-007 in serum-free medium for 12

or 48 h (SW620-Luc cells) and 12 or 72 h (SW1990 cells). The cells were lysed using a

RIPA buffer (Nacalai Tesque., Kyoto, Japan). The precipitates were separated from the

soluble fraction by centrifugation at 18,000 ×g for 20 min. The supernatants were

analyzed by western blotting with mouse monoclonal antibodies specific for KRAS

(1:1000, WH0003845MI; Sigma-Aldrich) and GAPDH (1:20000, sc-32233; Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, Inc.). The immunoreactive bands were detected by LAS-3000, as

described above. The intensity of the KRAS band was quantified using ImageJ software

and normalized to the intensity of the GAPDH band.

Evaluation of caspase activity. To measure caspase activity, SW1990 cells were plated

onto 96-well white plates and 96-well clear plates, at a concentration of 8×10^3

cells/well, in a medium containing 10% FBS. The plates were incubated overnight

without CO_2 equilibration at 37 °C. The medium was replaced with medium containing

2% FBS, DMSO, 100 μM TUS-007, 3 μM MLN2238, or 2.5 μM NAEi and incubated

for 96 h. SW620-Luc cells were plated onto 96-well white plates and 96-well clear

plates at 2×10^3 cells/well in medium containing 10% FBS. After overnight incubation,

the medium was replaced with FBS-free medium containing 1% L-glutamine, DMSO,

and 25, 100, or 500 μM TUS-007 for 48 h. The caspase 3/7 Glo assay (Promega,

Madison, WI, USA) was performed on the white plates according to the manufacturer's

protocol. The chemiluminescence intensity was measured with a Synergy HT plate

reader (BioTek). The cell viability was measured in the clear plates using the WST-8

assay, as described above. Caspase 3/7 activity was obtained as the chemical

luminescence intensity of the caspase 3/7 Glo assay normalized to the cell viability.

Analysis of apoptosis by flow cytometry. SW1990, SW620-Luc, and HT-29 cells

were seeded in 24-well plates, followed by the addition of compounds at the indicated

concentrations. After incubation for 12 or 48 h (SW620-Luc, HT-29) and 12 or 72 h

(SW1990), the cells were harvested using trypsin, washed with phosphate-buffered

saline (PBS), and pelleted by centrifugation at 110 $\times g$ for 5 min. The cells were

resuspended in 85 μL of binding buffer (Annexin V-FITC Kit, Medical & Biological

Laboratories Co., Ltd., Nagoya, Japan). Subsequently, 10 μL of annexin V-FITC and 5

μL of propidium iodide (PI) were added to each sample, followed by incubation in the

dark at room temperature for 15 min. After incubation, 400 μL of binding buffer was

added to each sample on ice. The cells were then analyzed using a FACSCanto II

fluorescence-activated cell sorter (BD Biosciences, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to detect the

fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) signal with excitation and emission filter wavelengths

of 488 nm and 580 nm, respectively, to detect the PI signal. Data were analyzed using

FlowJo software (FlowJo, Eugene, OR, USA).

Subcutaneous xenograft model. SW620-Luc (3×10^7 cells/mL) and SW1990 (5×10^7

cells/mL) cells were suspended in PBS, and 100 μL of the single-cell suspension was

transplanted subcutaneously into the right flanks of BALB/cA-nu/nu mice using a 26 G

syringe. Tumor volumes were calculated as the tumor length $\times$ width^2 $\times$ 0.5. When the

tumor volumes reached approximately 100 mm^3, the mice were randomized into

treatment and control groups of 6 to 8 animals per group. For i.p. treatment, TUS-007

was dissolved in DMSO and then diluted to a final concentration of 10% DMSO in 20%

polyethylene glycol (PEG) 400/Tween 80 (1:1 ratio). The TUS-007 solution was

administered intraperitoneally into the tumor-bearing mice at a dose of 80 mg/kg every

three days. The control mice were treated with the PEG/Tween vehicle alone. For p.o.

treatment, TUS-007 was suspended in 0.5% (w/v) carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) and

administered p.o. at a dose of 80 mg/kg every three days. CMC (0.5% w/v) was

administered to control mice. Cetuximab was administered to an additional group of

SW620-Luc-implanted mice at a dose of 1 mg/mouse every three days.

Orthotopic xenograft model. The pGL4.51 (Luc2/CMV/Neo) vector (Promega) was

transfected into SW1990 cells. Successfully transfected cells were selected using G418.

SW1990-Luc cells were mixed with growth factor-reduced Matrigel (BD Biosciences)

on ice, at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v), to obtain a final cell density of 1.5×10^4 cells/μL. Ten

microliters of the cell suspension was injected directly into the pancreas of BALB/cA-

nu/nu mice using a 27 G syringe. Three days after cell transplantation, TUS-007

treatment was initiated in six mice. TUS-007 was suspended in 0.5% (w/v) CMC and

administered orally at a dose of 160 mg/kg every 3 days. CMC (0.5% w/v) was

administered to control mice (n = 6). Tumor luciferase activity was monitored based on

the bioluminescence intensity. Fifty microliters of D-luciferin (30 mg/mL in saline) was

injected subcutaneously in mice (Promega). Twenty minutes after the injection,

bioluminescence images were obtained using an IVIS Lumina LT (Perkin Elmer,

Waltham, MA, USA) with an exposure time of 15 s while the mice were under

isoflurane anesthesia (Wako, Tokyo, Japan).

Measurement of RAS levels and downstream signaling molecule activation in

xenograft tumors. KRAS expression in xenograft tumors and wt RAS protein

expression in pancreas were evaluated by immunohistochemistry and western blot

analysis. Tumor tissue and pancreas were harvested from the mice harboring

subcutaneous xenografts on day 21 of the TUS-007 administration. Tumor tissues were

harvested from the orthotopic xenograft mice on day 24 after the TUS-007

administration for consecutive 3 days starting on day 21. A portion of each sample was

fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Nacalai Tesque., Kyoto, Japan), embedded in paraffin,

then cut into 5 μm-thick sections. The sections were deparaffinized and incubated in

0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 30 min, and antigen retrieval was performed

using a 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) at 121 °C for 20 min. Tumor tissues were

subsequently blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 30 min and

stained with primary antibodies specific for human KRAS (1:200, 12063-1-AP;

Proteintech), diluted in 1% BSA, followed by HRP-labeled secondary antibodies

(1:600, ab6721; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in 1% BSA for 30 min at room temperature.

The sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and visualized with

diaminobenzidine (1.02924.0001; Merck). Digital images were obtained at 40×

magnification using a model BZ-9000 microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan). The area of

KRAS staining was quantified using ImageJ software, and the perimeter-to-area ratio

(KRAS-positive area/section) was calculated for a total of 30 images of 6 tumor

sections from each group. The remaining part of each tumor sample was homogenized

in a cell-lysis buffer (50 mM triethanolamine, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.25 M

sucrose, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, proteinase inhibitors (Nacalai Tesque.,

Kyoto, Japan), 1 mM dithiothreitol, and ribonuclease inhibitor (0.2 unit/μl, TaKaRa

Bio., Shiga, Japan) for western blot analysis, as described above. Mouse monoclonal

antibodies specific for KRAS (1:1000, WH0003845MI; Sigma-Aldrich), HRAS

(1:1000, 18295-1-AP; Proteintech, Rosemont, IL, USA), NRAS (1:1000, 10724-1-AP,

Proteintech) and GAPDH (1:20000, sc-32233; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and

rabbit antibodies specific for p-Erk1/2 (phospho-p44/42 MAPK) (1:1000, #4370; Cell

Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA), Erk1/2 (p44/42 MAPK) (1:1000, #4695;

Cell Signaling Technology), p-Akt (1:2000, #4060; Cell Signaling Technology), and

Akt (1:2000; #4691; Cell Signaling Technology) were used for the

immunohistochemical staining. The immunoreactive bands were detected by LAS-3000

as described above. Quantitative analysis of the western blots was performed using

ImageJ software, and the results were normalized to the GAPDH band intensity.

Measurement of apoptosis in xenograft tumors. DNA fragmentation in the tumor

tissues was evaluated by a TdT-mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) assay.

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections (5 μm thick) were deparaffinized. The TUNEL

reaction was performed using the DeadEnd Fluorometric TUNEL System (Promega),

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Fluorescence images were acquired using a

BZ-9000 fluorescence microscope (Keyence). TUNEL-positive cells were identified

and counted using ImageJ software. In total, 30 images of 6 tumor sections were

analyzed from each group.

Determination of TUS-007 in pancreas. BALB/cA mice were treated i.p. with TUS-

007, and pancreas tissue was collected at suitable time points. Approximately 30-60 mg

of tissue was homogenized in 0.6 mL of tissue-lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 20

mM EDTA, 10 mM NaCl, 1% SDS) by Micro Smash MS-100 using stainless (5.5 φ) and

zirconia (1.0 φ) beads (TOMY SEIKO CO., LTD., Tokyo, Japan), and 1 μL propyl p-

hydroxybenzoate (4 mg/mL in DMSO) was added to each sample as an internal standard.

Lysates were centrifuged at 18,000 ×g for 10 min and 2.25 mL of methanol/chloroform

(ratio of 2:1) was added to the supernatants and the mixture was allowed to stand for 30

min at room temperature. Chloroform and distilled water (0.75 mL each) were added and

samples were centrifuged at 1,500 ×g for 15 min. The layer of chloroform was collected.

The supernatants were dried under reduced pressure and redissolved in the mobile phase.

TUS-007 was determined by HPLC (Alliance e2695, Waters Corporation., MA, USA)

using COSMOSIL® C18-AR-II column (150×4.6 mm, Nacalai Tesque., Kyoto, Japan) in

the isocratic elution mode with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in 50:50 (v/v)

acetonitrile/water mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. All reagents for the assay

were purchased from Nacalai Tesque. (Kyoto, Japan).

Degradation of MDM2 in HCT-116 cells. HCT-116 human colon-cancer cells were

treated with 1% DMSO or TUS-007 in serum-free medium for 24 h. The cells were

lysed using a RIPA buffer (Nacalai Tesque). The precipitates were separated from the

soluble fraction by centrifugation at 18,000 ×g for 20 min. The supernatants were

analyzed by western blotting using a rabbit polyclonal antibody specific for MDM2

(1:1000, sc-965, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and GAPDH (1:20000, sc-32233;

Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.). The immunoreactive bands were detected by LAS-

3000, as described above. The intensity of the MDM2 band was quantified using

ImageJ software and normalized to the intensity of the GAPDH band.

Statistical analyses. Statistical significance was determined with JMP® Pro 14 (SAS

Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). An unpaired *t*-test was used to compare pairs of groups

under the assumption of normality. A one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc

analysis was used to compare sets of three or more groups. P-values < 0.05 were

considered statistically significant.

Fig. 1. RAS chemical knockdown *in vitro*.
a, Principle of the CANDDY technology. Each CANDDY molecule includes a target interactor (burgundy hexagon) and a CANDDY tag (yellow oval). The target protein is bound by the CANDDY molecule *via* the target interactor and is directly degraded by proteasome via the CANDDY tag. **b,** The CANDDY tag was synthesized by chemically inactivating the inhibitor site of MLM2238 (MLN). **c,** Structures of the RAS-SOS inhibitor (ref. 5), RAS-SOS-NH$_2$, and TUS-007. **d,** Evaluation of the target-binding affinity of TUS-007 to KRAS mutants by a thermal shift assay. Recombinant KRAS was treated with the vehicle, RAS-SOS inhibitor, or TUS-007 for 30 min, heated at the indicated temperature for 20 min, then analyzed by immunoblotting. **e,** TUS-007 induced KRAS G12D chemical knockdown by 26S proteasome in a cell free assay. KRAS G12D incubated with 26S proteasome and agents as indicated for 3 h. **f & g,** RAS-less MEFs expressing KRAS G12D, G12V or G12C were incubated with TUS-007 for 72 h. Representative blots of KRAS are shown (**f**). The relative amounts of KRAS mutants normalized to GAPDH are shown in the bar graph (mean ± SEM; n = 3–4). The cell viability was also measured (mean ± SEM; n = 3–4) (**g**). *P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01 vs. DMSO.

Fig. 2. Chemical knockdown and tumor suppression *in vitro* and *in vivo* in KRAS G12V-driven human colon cancer cells by TUS-007 treatment.

a, Immunoblotting analysis of KRAS G12V chemical knockdown in SW620-Luc cells treated with TUS-007 for 48 h at the indicated doses. The KRAS band intensity was normalized to that of GAPDH (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO. **b & c,** The ratio of apoptotic cells were analyzed in colon cancer SW620-Luc cells (**b**) and HT29-Luc cells (**c**), a KRAS-independent, BRAF-dependent cell line (Ctx + Vem: 100 μg/ml cetuximab and 40 μM vemurafenib). Cells were treated with each compound for 48 h in terms of the number of annexin V-positive/PI-negative apoptotic cells detected by flow cytometry (mean ± SEM; n = 2–5). **P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 vs. DMSO. **d,** Relative activity of caspase 3/7 in SW620-Luc cells treated with TUS-007 or 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) for 48 h (mean ± SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO. **e,** Tumor volume in mice with SW620-Luc cells transplanted subcutaneously and treated with i.p. administration every 3 days (mean ± SEM; n = 6–8). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone. **f,** Tumors of SW620-Luc cells at day 21 from the same mice shown in **e** (n = 6–8).

Fig. 3. Chemical-knockdown and tumor suppression *in vitro* and *in vivo* in KRAS G12D-driven human pancreatic cancer cells by TUS-007 treatment.

a, KRAS G12D chemical knockdown induced by TUS-007 in SW1990 cells. SW1990 cells were treated with TUS-007 for 72 h and analyzed by immunoblotting. The KRAS G12D band intensity was normalized to that of GAPDH (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 4). *P < 0.05 vs. DMSO. **b,** The proportion of annexin V-positive apoptotic SW1990 cells treated with DMSO, RAS-SOS-NH$_2$ or TUS-007 for 72 h (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 vs. DMSO or RAS-SOS-NH$_2$. **c,** TUS-007 increased the caspase 3/7 activity in SW1990 cells after treatment for 96 h (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO. **d,** Caspase 3/7 activation by TUS-007 was proteasome dependent and ubiquitination independent. SW1990 cells were treated with the agents for 96 h (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 3). **P < 0.01 vs. DMSO, ##P < 0.01 vs. TUS-007 (100 μM) only, and †no statistically significant difference compared with TUS-007 (100 μM) only. **e,** Tumor volume in mice with SW1990 cells transplanted subcutaneously and treated with p.o. administered TUS-007 or vehicle (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 6–9). The agents were administered every 3 days. **P < 0.01 vs. vehicle alone. **f,** Body weight changes in mice with SW1990 cells transplanted subcutaneously (mean $\pm$ SEM; n = 6–9).

Fig. 4. TUS-007 suppresses the growth of KRAS G12D-positive orthotopic pancreatic tumors.
a, Images showing the luciferase activity and total flux in orthotopic tumors transplanted SW1990-Luc cells *in vivo*. **b,** Tumor growth (bioluminescence) in identical mice treated with vehicle or TUS-007 administered p.o. every 3 days. (mean ± SEM; n = 6). *P < 0.05 vs. vehicle alone. **c,** Representative histochemical staining of KRAS and TUNEL in orthotopic tumor sections from the same mice used in **a**. The scale bar is 60 μm. **d,** Immunoblotting of KRAS G12D in tumor lysates from the same mice used in **a**. The bar graph shows quantification of KRAS G12D normalized to GAPDH (mean ± SEM; n = 6). **P < 0.01 vs. vehicle alone.