Extracellular adenosine induces hypersecretion of IL-17A by T-helper 17 cells through the

adenosine A2a receptor to promote neutrophilic inflammation

Mieko Tokano[1,2], Sho Matsushita[1,3], Rie Takagi[1], Toshimasa Yamamoto[2], and Masaaki

Kawano[1,*]

[1]Department of Allergy and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Saitama Medical University, 38

Morohongo, Moroyama, Saitama 350-0495, Japan

[2]Department of Neurology, Saitama Medical University, 38 Morohongo, Moroyama, Saitama,

350-0495, Japan

[3]Allergy Center, Saitama Medical University, 38 Morohongo, Moroyama, Saitama 350-0495,

Japan

[*]Correspondence: Department of Allergy and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Saitama

Medical University, 38 Morohongo, Moroyama, Saitama 350-0495, Japan

Tel.: +81 49 276 1173; Fax: +81 49 294 2274

E-mail address: mkawano@saitama-med.ac.jp

Running title: Adenosine modulates neutrophilic inflammation

Key words: Adenosine, Adenosine A2a receptor, CD4^{+} T cells, IL-17A, Th17 cells, EAE

Abstract

Extracellular adenosine, produced from ATP secreted by neuronal or immune cells, may play a

role in endogenous regulation of inflammatory responses. However, the underlying molecular

mechanisms are largely unknown. Here, we show that adenosine primes hypersecretion of

interleukin (IL)-17A by CD4$^+$ T cells via T cell receptor activation. This hypersecretion was also

induced by an adenosine A2a receptor (A2aR) agonist, PSB0777. In addition, an A2aR

antagonist, Istradefylline, and inhibitors of adenylcyclase and protein kinase A (both of which

are signaling molecules downstream of the Gs protein coupled with the A2aR), suppressed

IL-17A production, suggesting that activation of A2aR induces IL-17A production by CD4$^+$ T

cells. Furthermore, immune subset studies revealed that adenosine induced hypersecretion of

IL-17A by T-helper (Th)17 cells. These results indicate that adenosine is an endogenous

modulator of neutrophilic inflammation. Administration of an A2aR antagonist to mice with

experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis led to marked amelioration of symptoms,

suggesting that suppression of adenosine-mediated IL-17A production is an effective treatment

for Th17-related autoimmune diseases.

Introduction

T-helper (Th)17 cells are a subset of T-helper cells induced by stimulation of naïve CD4^{+} T cells

with both tumor growth factor (TGF)-β and interleukin (IL)-6 in the presence of T cell receptor

signaling. IL-17A production by Th17 cells increases neutrophilic inflammation (1-3); however,

not all neutrophilic inflammatory diseases are explained by known Th17 responses (4). Indeed, it

is likely that as-yet-unknown Th17 or neutrophilic inflammatory responses occur. Here, we show

that adenosine induces IL-17A production by CD4^{+} T cells directly. Extracellular adenosine is

one of the first "signals" identified during regulation of a large number of physiological and

pathological processes, including bulging of an artery (5), sleep promotion (6), and regulation of

nerve action (7,8). Extracellular adenosine is produced from secreted ATP that undergoes rapid

stepwise dephosphorylation by ectonucleotidases such as the E-NTPDase CD39, which converts

ATP or ADP to ADP or AMP, respectively, and the 5'-nucleotidase CD73, which

dephosphorylates AMP to adenosine (9); both CD39 and CD73 are expressed by activated CD4^{+}

T cells and antigen presenting cells (APCs) (10,11). Extracellular adenosine stimulates adenosine

receptors (A1R, A2aR, A2bR, and A3R) belonging to a superfamily of membrane proteins called

the G protein-coupled receptor family of class A seven-transmembrane domain receptors. A2aR

and A2bR signal the Gs protein to trigger cAMP synthesis, which in turn activates adenyl

cyclase and protein kinase A. By contrast, A1R and A3R signal the Gi protein to trigger cAMP

degradation. In addition, A2bR also signals the Gq protein, which in turn activates phospholipase

C. In an immunological context, adenosine receptors are expressed by various immune cells,

including T cells and APCs (12). It is also suggested that adenosine stimulates neutrophil

chemotaxis and phagocytosis via A1R and A3R (13). In addition, adenosine induces Th17

differentiation by activating A2bR on CD4$^+$ T cells (14). By contrast, several reports suggest that

adenosine suppresses Th17 differentiation via activation of A2aR on CD4$^+$ T cells (15-17).

Considering that the G protein downstream of A2aR is Gs, and those of A2bR are Gs and Gq (5),

it is assumed that induction of A2bR-mediated Th17 differentiation is induced through

simultaneous activation of Gq and suppression of Gs, or via other unknown mechanisms.

Therefore, the precise molecular mechanism(s) underlying the effect of adenosine on Th cells is

unclear. Here, we show that adenosine promotes IL-17A production in a two-way mixed

lymphocyte reaction (MLR). In addition, an A2aR agonist (PSB0777) induced IL-17A

production, and an A2aR antagonist (Istradefylline) inhibited production, induced by adenosine,

suggesting that activation of A2aR plays a role in adenosine-mediated IL-17A production. This

notion was further supported by the observation that inhibitors of adenylcyclase and protein

kinase A, both of which are signaling molecules downstream of the Gs protein (18), also

suppressed adenosine-mediated IL-17A production. Immune subset studies suggested that Th17

cells play a role in adenosine-mediated hypersecretion of IL-17A. Administration of an A2R

antagonist to mice with experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) (19,20) markedly

ameliorated symptoms. Taken together, the data indicate that adenosine-dependent

hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells contributes not only to antibacterial defense but also to

neutrophilic autoimmune diseases, and that suppressing this process may be an effective therapy

for the latter.

Methods

Mice

Balb/c mice were obtained from Japan SLC, Inc. SJL/J mice were obtained from Charles River

Laboratories Japan, Inc. Mice were housed in appropriate animal care facilities at Saitama

Medical University and handled according to international guidelines for experiments with

animals. All experiments were approved by the Animal Research Committee of Saitama Medical

University.

Two-way MLR

Splenic lymphocytes were collected by lyzing tissue in a Dounce homogenizer, followed by

layering over Ficoll Paque (GE health care, Chicago, IL, USA), as described previously (21).

Balb/c splenic lymphocytes (3×10^6) were mixed with SJL/J splenic lymphocytes (3×10^6) in

500 μL of DMEM medium containing 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin,

2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (D10 medium) in 24

well plates in the presence of adenosine (0–1 mM) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA); in the presence

of each adenosine receptor agonist (0–10 μM) (A1R: 2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine

(CCPA), Tocris, Bristol, UK; A2aR: PSB0777, Tocris; A2bR: BAY 60-6583, Tocris; A3R:

HEMADO, Tocris); in the presence of A2aR antagonist (0–1 nM) (Istradefylline, Sigma) plus

adenosine (100 μM); in the presence of an adenyl cyclase inhibitor (0–1 μM) (MDL-12330A,

Enzo Life Sciences, Farmingdale, NY, USA) or a protein kinase A inhibitor (0–1 μM) (H-89,

Tocris) plus adenosine (100 μM) to inhibit A2aR signaling; or in the presence of a CD39

inhibitor (0–1 μM) (ARL67156, Tocris) or a CD73 inhibitor (0–1 μM) (adenosine 5'-(α,

β-methylene) diphosphate (AMP-CP; Tocris) plus ATP (100 μM) (GE healthcare). After mixing,

the plates were incubated for 7 days at 37°C. The supernatants were collected for use in cytokine

ELISAs.

Flow cytometry analysis

The MLR was performed for 7 days in the presence or absence of adenosine (100 μM) (as

described above). After 7 days, cells were blocked with anti-mouse CD16/CD32 antibodies

(BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA) and then stained for 30 min at 4°C with a fluorescein

isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 antibody (BioLegend). The cells were then

fixed, permeabilized, and stained with a phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-mouse IL-17A

antibody (BioLegend). Finally, the cells were washed and analyzed on a FACSCanto II flow

cytometer (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) using FACSDiva acquisition software (BD

Biosciences).

Isolation of $CD4^+$, $CD4^+CD62L^+$ T cells, and B cells

$CD4^+$ T cells within the Balb/c and SJL/J splenocyte populations were isolated from the mixture

prepared previously after rupturing red blood cells (22). Cells were isolated by positive selection

of $CD4^+$ T cells using magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch

Gladbach, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. $CD4^+CD62L^+$ T cells were

isolated from Balb/c splenocytes by a combination of negative and positive selection by MACS.

During positive selection of $CD62L^+$ T cells, negatively isolated $CD4^+$ T cells were collected as

the flow through fraction. B cells were isolated from Balb/c splenocytes by positive selection

using MACS. Cells were resuspended in 1 mL of D10 medium and counted. The purity and

viability of CD4$^+$ T cells, CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ T cells, and B cells were >90% (Sup. Fig. 1). The purity

and viability of cells in the flow through fraction collected during isolation of CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ T

cells are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Cell sorting

Balb/c CD4$^+$ T cells (prepared as described above) were labeled for 30 min at 4°C with

PE-conjugated anti-mouse CCR3, CCR5, CCD6, CD25, or CD62L antibodies (BioLegend). The

cells were then washed and sorted using a FACS Aria II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The

purity and viability of the sorted cells are Supplementary Figure 1.

CD3/CD28 stimulation

CD4$^+$ T cells (1×10^6) were stimulated for 7 days at 37°C with anti-mouse CD3 (BioLegend) (1

μg/mL) and CD28 (BioLegend) (0.5 μg/mL) antibodies (CD3/CD28) in the presence of

adenosine (0–1 mM), each adenosine receptor agonist (0–10 μM) (A1R:

2-Chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine (CCPA), Tocris, Bristol, UK; A2aR: PSB0777, Tocris;

A2bR: BAY 60-6583, Tocris; A3R: HEMADO, Tocris), an A2aR antagonist (Istradefylline; 0–1

nM) plus adenosine (600 μM), or an adenyl cyclase inhibitor (0–1 μM) (MDL-12330A) or a

protein kinase A inhibitor (0–1 μM) (H-89) plus adenosine (600 μM) in 500 μL of D10 medium.

After cell sorting, cells (3×10^5) were plated in 24 well plates and stimulated for 7 days at 37°C

with anti-mouse CD3 (1 μg/mL) and CD28 (0.5 μg/mL) antibodies in the presence of adenosine

(600 μM) in 100 μL of D10 medium. After stimulation, the supernatants were collected for use

in cytokine ELISAs.

Adenosine or ATP ELISAs

MLR was performed by mixing Balb/c lymphocytes (6×10^6) with SJL/J lymphocytes (6×10^6)

in a 15 mL tube for 0–24 h at 37°C in the presence of a CD39 inhibitor (ARL67156) (0–1 μM)

and a CD73 inhibitor (AMP-CP) (0–1 μM) in 200 μL of D10 medium. CD3/CD28 stimulation

was performed for 24 h at 37°C in a 15 mL tube by incubating Balb/c CD4$^+$ T cells (1×10^7)

with anti-mouse CD3 (1 μg/mL) and CD28 (0.5 μg/mL) antibodies plus ARL67156 (1 μM) or

AMP-CP (1 μM) in 200 μL of D10 medium. LPS stimulation was performed for 24 h at 37°C in

a 15 ml tube by incubating Balb/c B cells (1×10^7) or Balb/c bone marrow (BM)

derived-dendritic cells (BM-DCs) (1×10^7) generated from mouse bone marrow cells as

described previously (23) with LPS (Sigma) (0.5 μg/ml) plus ARL67156 (1 μM) or AMP-CP (1

μM) in 200 μL of D10 medium. The purity and viability of BM-DCs were >90% (Sup. Fig. 1).

After incubation, the supernatants were collected and tested in adenosine or ATP ELISAs

(Biovision, Milpitas, CA, USA).

Differentiation of naïve CD4$^+$ T cells

Naïve CD4$^+$ T cells (3×10^5) in 500 μL of D10 medium in 24 well plates were stimulated for 7

days at 37°C with anti-mouse CD3 (1 μg/mL) and CD28 (0.5 mg/mL) antibodies plus mouse

IL-6 (20 ng/mL) (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ), and human TGF-β1 (2 ng/mL) (Peprotech) in the

presence of an A2aR antagonist (Istradefylline) (0–1 nM). After incubation, cells in 500 μL of

D10 medium were stimulated for another 7 days at 37°C with anti-mouse CD3 (1 μg/mL) and

CD28 (0.5 μg/mL) antibodies in the presence of Istradefylline (0–1 nM). Supernatants were

collected for use in cytokine ELISAs.

EAE model

EAE was induced as described previously (19). Briefly, SJL/J mice received a subcutaneous

inguinal injection (100 μg/mouse) of the proteolipid protein (PLP) peptide (PLP139-151, Tocris)

emulsified in complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA) containing mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra

(100 μg/mouse; Difco, Detroit, MI, USA). Mice also received oral PBS(-) or an A2aR antagonist

(Istradefylline) (6 μg/mouse) once every 2 days from Day −7 to Day +18 after immunization

with PLP peptide (Day 0). Mice were examined daily for signs of EAE, which were graded as

described (24).

Peptide pulse assay

At 7 days post-subcutaneous immunization with PLP peptide emulsified in CFA, splenocytes (2

$\times 10^6$ in 200 μL of D10 medium) were seeded in 96-well round plates and pulsed for 3 days at

37°C with PLP peptide (10 μM) in the presence of adenosine (600 μM) and an A2aR antagonist

(Istradefylline; 0–1 nM). Supernatants were collected for use in cytokine ELISAs.

Cytokine ELISAs

The concentrations of IFN-γ, IL-5, IL-17A, IL-17F, and IL-22 in cell supernatants were

measured using specific ELISA kits (DuoSet Kit, R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Any value

below the lower limit of detection (15.6 pg/mL) was set to 0. No cytokine cross-reactivity was

observed within the detection ranges of the kits. If necessary, samples were diluted appropriately

so that the measurements fell within the appropriate detection range for each cytokine.

Statistical analysis

Differences between two groups were analyzed using an unpaired Student's t-tests. Differences

between three or more groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test.

Clinical scores were analyzed using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test. All calculations

were performed using KaleidaGraph software (Synergy software, Reading, PA, USA). A P value

< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Adenosine promotes IL-17A production by CD4$^+$ T cells in an MLR

First, we analyzed the effect of adenosine on CD4$^+$ T cells during T cell-APC interactions in an

MLR (25). We found that CD4$^+$ T cells exposed to adenosine secreted IL-17A in a

dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A–C). Since both agonist-mediated IL-17A production and

antagonist-mediated suppression of adenosine-mediated IL-17A production were observed in the

presence of an adenosine A2aR agonist (PSB0777) and an antagonist (Istradefylline),

respectively (Fig. 1D and Sup. Fig. 2), we hypothesized that IL-17A in the MLR was produced

by CD4$^+$ T cells stimulated via the A2aR. This notion was supported by the finding that

inhibitors of signaling molecules downstream of the A2aR also suppressed IL-17A production

(Fig. 1E). Furthermore, ATP induced IL-17A production in the MLR, which was suppressed by

the A2aR antagonist and by inhibitors of CD39 and CD73 (Fig. 1F), suggesting that adenosine

plays a role in IL-17A production. Since the A2aR antagonist and inhibitors of CD39 and CD73

also inhibited basal production of IL-17A in the MLR (Fig. 1G), we postulate that *de novo*

adenosine production is induced in the MLR.

Adenosine production in the MLR

CD39 and CD73 expressed on the surface of endothelial cells (26,27) and immune cells (10,11),

including T cells and DCs, are critical for production of adenosine from ATP. Since we found

that inhibitors of CD39 and CD73 inhibited basal IL-17A production in the MLR (Fig. 1G), we

next addressed the source of adenosine production in the MLR. As shown in Figure 2A,

production of adenosine and ATP was time-dependent. In accordance with suppression of

IL-17A production, inhibitors of CD39 and CD73 suppressed adenosine production at 24 h after

the start of the MLR (Fig. 2B and C). Since the MLR induces activation of CD4$^+$ T cells by

APCs (25), we also addressed adenosine production by activated CD4$^+$ T cells, B cells, and

BM-DCs (Fig. 2D–F). Production of both adenosine and ATP by activated CD4$^+$ T cells, B cells,

and BM-DCs was observed; inhibitors of CD39 and CD73 suppressed production by activated

CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig. 2D). This suggests that adenosine produced by CD4$^+$ T cells may induce

spontaneous IL-17A secretion by CD4$^+$ T cells in the MLR.

Th17 cells hypersecrete IL-17A in the presence of anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies and adenosine

Next, we tried to identify the Th subset that generated IL-17A in the presence of adenosine. First,

we confirmed that CD4$^+$ T cells expressed the A2aR and secreted IL-17A by stimulating them

with agonistic anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in the presence of adenosine (Fig. 3A). Time course

studies showed that adenosine-mediated IL-17A production was detected from 3 days

post-CD3/CD28 stimulation (Fig. 3B and Sup. Fig. 3). Administration of adenosine within 6 h of

antibody stimulation triggered IL-17A production; however, administration at 24 h

post-stimulation did not (Fig. 3C). As in the MLR, CD4$^+$ T cells also produced IL-17A after

stimulation with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in the presence of an A2aR agonist (Fig. 3D and Sup.

Fig. 4). Adenosine-mediated IL-17A production by CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4$^+$ T cells was

suppressed by an A2aR antagonist (Fig. 3D and Sup. Fig. 4). This was supported by data

showing that inhibitors of signaling molecules downstream of the A2R also suppressed IL-17A

production (Fig. 3E). Production of other Th17-related cytokines was also induced by adenosine

through the A2aR (Fig. 4). This again suggests that activated CD4$^+$ T cells produce IL-17A upon

A2aR activation. Furthermore, we noticed that CD4$^+$CD62L$^-$, but not CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$, cells

produced IL-17A after CD3/CD28 stimulation in the presence of adenosine, suggesting that

adenosine induces IL-17A production by effector Th cells (Fig. 3F). Therefore, we performed

immune subset studies after separating CD4$^+$ T cells using anti-chemokine receptor (CCR)

antibodies. As shown in Figure 3G, CD4$^+$CCR6^{hi} T cells produced IL-17A upon stimulation of

CD3/CD28, and production was strongly up-regulated in the presence of adenosine. Since CCR6

is a typical marker of Th17 cells (28,29), this suggests that activated Th17 cells hypersecrete

IL-17A in the presence of adenosine.

An adenosine A2aR antagonist ameliorates IL-17A-related autoimmune EAE responses

The above results raise the possibility that adenosine-mediated hypersecretion of IL-17A by

Th17 cells contributes to Th17-related autoimmune diseases. This hypothesis is supported by a

report showing that CD73 knockout mice are resistant to EAE (30), a Th17-mediated

autoimmune disease (20). We expected, therefore, that A2aR antagonist-mediated suppression of

Th17 responses should improve EAE. To address this, we examined the efficacy of an A2aR

antagonist in EAE model SJL/J mice (19). EAE was induced by immunization of mice with an

I-A^s restricted helper peptide derived from a myelin PLP peptide comprising amino acids

139–151 (HSLGKWLGHPDKF). The peptide was emulsified in CFA. First, we confirmed that

the   A2aR   antagonist   suppressed   adenosine-mediated   IL-17A   production   by

CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4$^+$ T cells from SJL/J strain mice (Fig. 5A). The A2aR antagonist also

significantly suppressed adenosine-mediated IL-17A production after, but not during,

differentiation of Th17 cells from naïve CD4$^+$ T cells (Fig. 5B). By contrast, and in agreement

with Figure 3G, adenosine administration did not induce IL-17A production during and after

differentiation of Th1, Th2, and Treg cells from naïve CD4$^+$ T cells (data not shown). Next, we

pulsed splenocytes with the PLP peptide after immunization to confirm that IL-17A production

was induced in a peptide-dependent manner, and that production was up-regulated by adenosine.

As expected, IL-17A production occurred in a peptide-dependent manner and was up-regulated

by adenosine (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, the A2aR antagonist suppressed production of IL-17A,

suggesting that the A2aR antagonist inhibits IL-17A production by $CD4^+$ T cells induced by

immunization with the PLP peptide. Finally, the A2aR antagonist was administered orally to

mice before and during EAE induction (Fig. 5D and E). As shown, the clinical scores of mice

receiving the A2aR antagonist were markedly lower than those of control mice (receiving water)

at 18 days post-immunization with the PLP peptide (Fig. 5D). Accordingly, histological studies

showed that the numbers of central nervous system-infiltrating $CD3^+$ cells in mice receiving the

A2aR antagonist were much lower than those in mice receiving water (Fig. 5E). This suggests

that the A2aR antagonist suppresses IL-17A-mediated autoimmune responses by suppressing

hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells.

Discussion

Here, we showed that adenosine induces hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells. Addition of

adenosine (1 mM) to a two-way MLR increased IL-17A production to > 25 times the basal level;

however, both basal production and increased production of IL-17A were suppressed by an

A2aR antagonist, and by CD39/CD73 inhibitors. This indicates that hypersecretion of IL-17A in

the presence of adenosine occurs by other mechanisms in addition to T cell-APC interactions.

Since endothelial cells and nervous system cells also express CD39/CD73 (26,27,31,32) and

produce adenosine (8,33), and activated $CD4^+$ T cells in the present study hypersecreted IL-17A

at 6 h post-CD3/CD28 stimulation, it is possible that activated Th17 cells also receive adenosine

from endothelial and neuronal cells to induce hypersecretion of IL-17A.

It is suggested that physiological concentrations of adenosine are lower than 1 μM, but

can be increased by stimuli such as high K^+ levels, electrical stimulation, glutamate receptor

agonists, hypoxia, hypoglycemia, and ischemia (34). To obtain sufficient adenosine (> 100 μM)

to trigger hypersecretion of IL-17A, activated Th17 cells may need to make contact with

non-immune cells such as adenosine-producing endothelial cells (35) and neuronal cells (36) to

form a microenvironment with a high adenosine concentration (as observed during T cell-APC

interactions at immunological synapses) (37). Thus, A2aR antagonists rather than CD39/CD73

inhibitors might be more effective at inhibiting *de novo* adenosine-mediated hypersecretion of

IL-17 by Th17 cells. A previous study suggests that intracellular adenosine is transported out of

cells by efficient equilibrative transporters (38); CD39/CD73 inhibitors would not suppress this

type of *de novo* adenosine production.

With regard to the effect of adenosine on other Th subsets, our observations were

different from those of previous reports (39,40); here, we observed that adenosine up-regulated

IFN-γ (a Th1-related cytokine) secretion at 5 and 7 days and had no significant effect on IL-5 (a

Th2-related cytokine) secretion by CD4^{+} T cells after CD3/CD28 stimulation with 600 μM of

adenosine, although IL-17A production was significant (Sup. Fig. 3). This suggests that

adenosine induces hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cell but does not suppress Th1 and Th2

activity. However, previous studies report that adenosine-mediated suppression of IFN-γ and

IL-5 was observed 1 day after T cell receptor-mediated stimulation of CD4^{+} T cells (39,40). This

may indicate that in the short term adenosine prioritizes stimulation of Th17 cell activity rather

than that of Th1 and Th2 cells, and that it does not suppress effector Th activity in the long term.

It is also suggested that the A2aR agonist, CGS21680, suppresses Th17 differentiation

(15-17). This result is opposite to ours; one reason for this may be differences in the source of

the A2aR agonist. The A2aR agonist CGS 21680 is much less selective than the A2aR agonist

we used this study (PSB0777); this is because CGS21680 not only binds to the A2aR but also to

A1R and A3R, which are associated with the Gi protein (which has opposite effects to the Gs

protein) (41,42). Therefore, it is probable that CGS21680 may cancel out any agonist effects by

activating A1R and A3R. Also, it is suggested that the A2aR antagonist, SCH58261,

up-regulates Th17 differentiation in mice (17). We hypothesized that up-regulation of Th17

differentiation by SCH58261 may be induced through relative downregulation of A2aR activity

compared with that of A2bR; this relative increase in A2bR activity induces Th17 differentiation

in mice (43,44). Also, it is probable that SCH58261 induces relative increase in activity of G

protein-coupled receptors other than adenosine receptors (e.g., dopamine receptors) to induce

Th17 differentiation in mice (45). We also hypothesize that although SCH58261 may induce

Th17 differentiation *in vivo*, it may not stimulate Th17 activity; this is because our data show

that an A2a antagonist (Istradefylline) suppressed IL-17A secretion by differentiated Th17 cells

but did not suppress Th17 differentiation (Fig. 5B). This hypothesis is supported by previous

data showing that SCH58261 markedly suppresses symptoms of EAE, a typical Th17-mediated

disease (30).

Our data suggest that production of IL-17A is relatively higher after exposure to an

A2aR agonist, PSB0777, than after exposure to an A2bR agonist, BAY 60-6583. PSB0777 is a

potent adenosine A2aR agonist (Ki = 44.4 nM for rat brain striatal A2aR) (42), and BAY

60-6583 is a potent adenosine A2bR agonist (Ki = 100 nM for rat A2bR) (46). By assuming that

the Ki values of PSB0777 and BAY 60-6583 are comparable, we thought that production of

IL-17A mediated by activation of the A2aR might be higher than that mediated by activation of

the A2bR. This hypothesis is supported by the notion that the A2aR is a high affinity receptor

with activity in the low to mid-nanomolar range, whereas the A2bR has a much lower affinity for

adenosine (micromolar) (5); this suggests that adenosine activates the A2aR rather than the

A2bR.

A2aR antagonists have been developed for treatment of Parkinsonism (47) and

malignancies (48). In addition, inhibitors of CD39/CD73 have been developed as anti-tumor

drugs (49,50). Regarding the effects of adenosine on tumor immunity, a previous study suggests

that adenosine suppresses effector T cell function since tumor cells express both CD39 and

CD73 and secrete adenosine (51). By contrast, several reports suggest that IL-17A promotes

emergence of pro-tumorigenic neutrophil phenotypes (52,53). Neutrophils in mouse tumor

models promote tumor metastasis (54-56), and observations in cancer patients have linked

elevated neutrophil counts in blood with increased risk of metastasis (57). Therefore, it is

probable that tumor-produced adenosine induces IL-17A secretion by CD4^{+}CCR6^{hi} T cells

followed by neutrophilic inflammation, which promotes tumor metastasis.

The results presented herein indicate that these drugs may also be effective treatments

for Th17-mediated diseases (4) such as psoriasis, neutrophilic bronchial asthma, severe atopic

dermatitis, and autoimmune diseases by suppressing hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells.

Moreover, these drugs might be effective treatments for diseases caused by neutrophilic

inflammation of unknown cause in the dermis; such diseases include Behcet uveitis (58) and

vasculitis of adenosine deaminase 2 deficiency (59). This is because endothelial cells express

CD39/CD73 and produce adenosine, which could induce hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells,

thereby contributing to inflammation.

References

1　Matsuzaki, G. and Umemura, M. 2007. Interleukin-17 as an effector molecule of innate

and acquired immunity against infections. *Microbiol Immunol* 51:1139.

2　Manel, N., Unutmaz, D., and Littman, D. R. 2008. The differentiation of human T(H)-17

cells requires transforming growth factor-beta and induction of the nuclear receptor

RORgammat. *Nat Immunol* 9:641.

3　Ivanov, II, Zhou, L., and Littman, D. R. 2007. Transcriptional regulation of Th17 cell

differentiation. *Semin Immunol* 19:409.

4　Tesmer, L. A., Lundy, S. K., Sarkar, S., and Fox, D. A. 2008. Th17 cells in human

disease. *Immunol Rev* 223:87.

5　Cronstein, B. N. and Sitkovsky, M. 2017. Adenosine and adenosine receptors in the

pathogenesis and treatment of rheumatic diseases. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 13:41.

6　Jones, B. E. 2009. Glia, adenosine, and sleep. *Neuron* 61:156.

7　Boison, D., Chen, J. F., and Fredholm, B. B. 2010. Adenosine signaling and function in

glial cells. *Cell Death Differ* 17:1071.

8　Sebastiao, A. M. and Ribeiro, J. A. 2009. Tuning and fine-tuning of synapses with

adenosine. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 7:180.

9　Yegutkin, G. G. 2008. Nucleotide- and nucleoside-converting ectoenzymes: Important

modulators of purinergic signalling cascade. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1783:673.

10　Whiteside, T. L., Mandapathil, M., and Schuler, P. 2011. The role of the adenosinergic

pathway in immunosuppression mediated by human regulatory T cells (Treg). *Curr Med*

Chem 18:5217.

11   Allard, B., Longhi, M. S., Robson, S. C., and Stagg, J. 2017. The ectonucleotidases CD39

and CD73: Novel checkpoint inhibitor targets. *Immunol Rev* 276:121.

12   Junger, W. G. 2011. Immune cell regulation by autocrine purinergic signalling. *Nat Rev*

Immunol 11:201.

13   Barletta, K. E., Ley, K., and Mehrad, B. 2012. Regulation of neutrophil function by

adenosine. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology* 32:856.

14   Wilson, J. M., Kurtz, C. C., Black, S. G., Ross, W. G., Alam, M. S., Linden, J., and Ernst,

P. B. 2011. The A2B adenosine receptor promotes Th17 differentiation via stimulation of

dendritic cell IL-6. *Journal of immunology* 186:6746.

15   Alchera, E., Rolla, S., Imarisio, C., Bardina, V., Valente, G., Novelli, F., and Carini, R.

2017. Adenosine A2a receptor stimulation blocks development of nonalcoholic

steatohepatitis in mice by multilevel inhibition of signals that cause immunolipotoxicity.

Transl Res 182:75.

16   Wang, L., Wan, H., Tang, W., Ni, Y., Hou, X., Pan, L., Song, Y., and Shi, G. 2018.

Critical roles of adenosine A2A receptor in regulating the balance of Treg/Th17 cells in

allergic asthma. *Clin Respir J* 12:149.

17   Ansari, M. A., Nadeem, A., Attia, S. M., Bakheet, S. A., Raish, M., and Ahmad, S. F.

2017. Adenosine A2A receptor modulates neuroimmune function through

Th17/retinoid-related orphan receptor gamma t (RORgammat) signaling in a BTBR T(+)

Itpr3(tf)/J mouse model of autism. *Cell Signal* 36:14.

18   Hasko, G., Linden, J., Cronstein, B., and Pacher, P. 2008. Adenosine receptors:

therapeutic aspects for inflammatory and immune diseases. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 7:759.

19   Nakano, K., Higashi, T., Hashimoto, K., Takagi, R., Tanaka, Y., and Matsushita, S. 2008.

Antagonizing dopamine D1-like receptor inhibits Th17 cell differentiation: preventive
and therapeutic effects on experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Biochem*
Biophys Res Commun 373:286.

20 Kleinewietfeld, M., Manzel, A., Titze, J., Kvakan, H., Yosef, N., Linker, R. A., Muller, D.
N., and Hafler, D. A. 2013. Sodium chloride drives autoimmune disease by the induction
of pathogenic TH17 cells. *Nature* 496:518.

21 Higashi, T., Shimojo, N., Suzuki, S., Nakaya, M., Takagi, R., Hashimoto, K., Nakagome,
K., Nakamura, K., Kohno, Y., and Matsushita, S. 2011. Coenzyme A contained in
mothers' milk is associated with the potential to induce atopic dermatitis. *Int Immunol*
23:741.

22 Kawano, M., Takagi, R., Saika, K., Matsui, M., and Matsushita, S. 2018. Dopamine
regulates cytokine secretion during innate and adaptive immune responses. *Int Immunol*
30:591.

23 Lutz, M. B., Kukutsch, N., Ogilvie, A. L., Rossner, S., Koch, F., Romani, N., and Schuler,
G. 1999. An advanced culture method for generating large quantities of highly pure
dendritic cells from mouse bone marrow. *Journal of immunological methods* 223:77.

24 Podojil, J. R., Padval, M. V., and Miller, S. D. 2011. Combination treatment of mice with
CRx-153 (nortriptyline and desloratadine) decreases the severity of experimental
autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Cell Immunol* 270:237.

25 McDevitt, H. O. 2000. Discovering the role of the major histocompatibility complex in
the immune response. *Annu Rev Immunol* 18:1.

26 Kaczmarek, E., Koziak, K., Sevigny, J., Siegel, J. B., Anrather, J., Beaudoin, A. R., Bach,
F. H., and Robson, S. C. 1996. Identification and characterization of CD39/vascular ATP

diphosphohydrolase. *J Biol Chem* 271:33116.

27   Jalkanen, S. and Salmi, M. 2008. VAP-1 and CD73, endothelial cell surface enzymes in

leukocyte extravasation. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology* 28:18.

28   Acosta-Rodriguez, E. V., Rivino, L., Geginat, J., Jarrossay, D., Gattorno, M.,

Lanzavecchia, A., Sallusto, F., and Napolitani, G. 2007. Surface phenotype and antigenic

specificity of human interleukin 17-producing T helper memory cells. *Nat Immunol*

8:639.

29   Annunziato, F., Cosmi, L., Santarlasci, V., Maggi, L., Liotta, F., Mazzinghi, B., Parente,

E., Fili, L., Ferri, S., Frosali, F., Giudici, F., Romagnani, P., Parronchi, P., Tonelli, F.,

Maggi, E., and Romagnani, S. 2007. Phenotypic and functional features of human Th17

cells. *J Exp Med* 204:1849.

30   Mills, J. H., Thompson, L. F., Mueller, C., Waickman, A. T., Jalkanen, S., Niemela, J.,

Airas, L., and Bynoe, M. S. 2008. CD73 is required for efficient entry of lymphocytes

into the central nervous system during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

105:9325.

31   Braun, N., Sevigny, J., Robson, S. C., Enjyoji, K., Guckelberger, O., Hammer, K., Di

Virgilio, F., and Zimmermann, H. 2000. Assignment of ecto-nucleoside triphosphate

diphosphohydrolase-1/cd39 expression to microglia and vasculature of the brain. *Eur J*

Neurosci 12:4357.

32   Kulesskaya, N., Voikar, V., Peltola, M., Yegutkin, G. G., Salmi, M., Jalkanen, S., and

Rauvala, H. 2013. CD73 is a major regulator of adenosinergic signalling in mouse brain.

PLoS One 8:e66896.

33   Gunther, G. R. and Herring, M. B. 1991. Inhibition of neutrophil superoxide production

by adenosine released from vascular endothelial cells. *Ann Vasc Surg* 5:325.

34   Latini, S. and Pedata, F. 2001. Adenosine in the central nervous system: release

mechanisms and extracellular concentrations. *J Neurochem* 79:463.

35   Carman, C. V. and Martinelli, R. 2015. T Lymphocyte-Endothelial Interactions:

Emerging Understanding of Trafficking and Antigen-Specific Immunity. *Frontiers in*

immunology 6:603.

36   Siffrin, V., Radbruch, H., Glumm, R., Niesner, R., Paterka, M., Herz, J., Leuenberger, T.,

Lehmann, S. M., Luenstedt, S., Rinnenthal, J. L., Laube, G., Luche, H., Lehnardt, S.,

Fehling, H. J., Griesbeck, O., and Zipp, F. 2010. In vivo imaging of partially reversible

th17 cell-induced neuronal dysfunction in the course of encephalomyelitis. *Immunity*

33:424.

37   Monks, C. R., Kupfer, H., Tamir, I., Barlow, A., and Kupfer, A. 1997. Selective

modulation of protein kinase C-theta during T-cell activation. *Nature* 385:83.

38   Fredholm, B. B. 2007. Adenosine, an endogenous distress signal, modulates tissue

damage and repair. *Cell Death Differ* 14:1315.

39   Csoka, B., Himer, L., Selmeczy, Z., Vizi, E. S., Pacher, P., Ledent, C., Deitch, E. A.,

Spolarics, Z., Nemeth, Z. H., and Hasko, G. 2008. Adenosine A2A receptor activation

inhibits T helper 1 and T helper 2 cell development and effector function. *FASEB J*

22:3491.

40   Lappas, C. M., Rieger, J. M., and Linden, J. 2005. A2A adenosine receptor induction

inhibits IFN-gamma production in murine CD4+ T cells. *Journal of immunology*

174:1073.

41 Klotz, K. N. 2000. Adenosine receptors and their ligands. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch*

Pharmacol 362:382.

42 El-Tayeb, A., Michael, S., Abdelrahman, A., Behrenswerth, A., Gollos, S., Nieber, K.,

and Muller, C. E. 2011. Development of Polar Adenosine A2A Receptor Agonists for

Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Synergism with A2B Antagonists. *ACS Med Chem Lett*

2:890.

43 Kenakin, T. 2001. Inverse, protean, and ligand-selective agonism: matters of receptor

conformation. *FASEB J* 15:598.

44 Prather, P. L. 2004. Inverse agonists: tools to reveal ligand-specific conformations of G

protein-coupled receptors. *Sci STKE* 2004:pe1.

45 Nakano, K., Yamaoka, K., Hanami, K., Saito, K., Sasaguri, Y., Yanagihara, N., Tanaka,

S., Katsuki, I., Matsushita, S., and Tanaka, Y. 2011. Dopamine induces IL-6-dependent

IL-17 production via D1-like receptor on CD4 naive T cells and D1-like receptor

antagonist SCH-23390 inhibits cartilage destruction in a human rheumatoid

arthritis/SCID mouse chimera model. *Journal of immunology* 186:3745.

46 Alnouri, M. W., Jepards, S., Casari, A., Schiedel, A. C., Hinz, S., and Muller, C. E. 2015.

Selectivity is species-dependent: Characterization of standard agonists and antagonists at

human, rat, and mouse adenosine receptors. *Purinergic Signal* 11:389.

47 Kanda, T., Jackson, M. J., Smith, L. A., Pearce, R. K., Nakamura, J., Kase, H., Kuwana,

Y., and Jenner, P. 1998. Adenosine A2A antagonist: a novel antiparkinsonian agent that

does not provoke dyskinesia in parkinsonian monkeys. *Ann Neurol* 43:507.

48 Ohta, A., Gorelik, E., Prasad, S. J., Ronchese, F., Lukashev, D., Wong, M. K., Huang, X.,

Caldwell, S., Liu, K., Smith, P., Chen, J. F., Jackson, E. K., Apasov, S., Abrams, S., and

Sitkovsky, M. 2006. A2A adenosine receptor protects tumors from antitumor T cells.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

103:13132.

49 Kobie, J. J., Shah, P. R., Yang, L., Rebhahn, J. A., Fowell, D. J., and Mosmann, T. R.

2006. T regulatory and primed uncommitted CD4 T cells express CD73, which

suppresses effector CD4 T cells by converting 5'-adenosine monophosphate to adenosine.

Journal of immunology 177:6780.

50 Deaglio, S., Dwyer, K. M., Gao, W., Friedman, D., Usheva, A., Erat, A., Chen, J. F.,

Enjyoji, K., Linden, J., Oukka, M., Kuchroo, V. K., Strom, T. B., and Robson, S. C. 2007.

Adenosine generation catalyzed by CD39 and CD73 expressed on regulatory T cells

mediates immune suppression. *J Exp Med* 204:1257.

51 Moesta, A. K., Li, X. Y., and Smyth, M. J. 2020. Targeting CD39 in cancer. *Nat Rev*

Immunol.

52 Tuting, T. and de Visser, K. E. 2016. CANCER. How neutrophils promote metastasis.

Science 352:145.

53 Coffelt, S. B., Kersten, K., Doornebal, C. W., Weiden, J., Vrijland, K., Hau, C. S.,

Verstegen, N. J. M., Ciampricotti, M., Hawinkels, L., Jonkers, J., and de Visser, K. E.

2015. IL-17-producing gammadelta T cells and neutrophils conspire to promote breast

cancer metastasis. *Nature* 522:345.

54 Bald, T., Quast, T., Landsberg, J., Rogava, M., Glodde, N., Lopez-Ramos, D., Kohlmeyer,

J., Riesenberg, S., van den Boorn-Konijnenberg, D., Homig-Holzel, C., Reuten, R.,

Schadow, B., Weighardt, H., Wenzel, D., Helfrich, I., Schadendorf, D., Bloch, W.,

Bianchi, M. E., Lugassy, C., Barnhill, R. L., Koch, M., Fleischmann, B. K., Forster, I.,

Kastenmuller, W., Kolanus, W., Holzel, M., Gaffal, E., and Tuting, T. 2014.
Ultraviolet-radiation-induced inflammation promotes angiotropism and metastasis in
melanoma. *Nature* 507:109.

55 El Rayes, T., Catena, R., Lee, S., Stawowczyk, M., Joshi, N., Fischbach, C., Powell, C.
A., Dannenberg, A. J., Altorki, N. K., Gao, D., and Mittal, V. 2015. Lung inflammation
promotes metastasis through neutrophil protease-mediated degradation of Tsp-1.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
112:16000.

56 Wculek, S. K. and Malanchi, I. 2015. Neutrophils support lung colonization of
metastasis-initiating breast cancer cells. *Nature* 528:413.

57 Templeton, A. J., McNamara, M. G., Seruga, B., Vera-Badillo, F. E., Aneja, P., Ocana,
A., Leibowitz-Amit, R., Sonpavde, G., Knox, J. J., Tran, B., Tannock, I. F., and Amir, E.
2014. Prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in solid tumors: a systematic
review and meta-analysis. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 106:dju124.

58 Yazici, H., Seyahi, E., Hatemi, G., and Yazici, Y. 2018. Behcet syndrome: a
contemporary view. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 14:107.

59 Meyts, I. and Aksentijevich, I. 2018. Deficiency of Adenosine Deaminase 2 (DADA2):
Updates on the Phenotype, Genetics, Pathogenesis, and Treatment. *J Clin Immunol*
38:569.

Abbreviations: Th, T-helper; TGF, tumor growth factor; IL, interleukin; APCs, antigen

presenting cells; MLR, two-way mixed lymphocyte reaction; EAE, experimental autoimmune

encephalomyelitis; BM, bone marrow; BM-DC, bone-marrow-derived dendritic cell; CD3/CD28,

agonistic anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies; PLP, myelin proteolipid protein; PLP peptide, I-A^s

restricted helper peptide derived from the PLP; CFA, complete Freund's adjuvant; CCR,

chemokine receptor; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PE, phycoerythrin; MACS,

magnetic-activated cell sorting; Ab, antibody; n, number of repeat experiments; SD, standard

deviation.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (no. 19K07201),

awarded to M.K., a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (no. 18K15327) to R.T., and a

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (no. 19K08887) awarded to S.M. by the Japanese

Society for the Promotion of Science. This work was also supported by the 44th and 45th

Science Research Promotion Fund, awarded to M.K. by the Promotion and Mutual Aid

Corporation for Private Schools of Japan.

Author contributions

M.T., R.T., S.M., and M.K., performed the experiments. M.T., S.M., and M.K., conceived and

designed the experiments. M.T., S.M., T.Y., and M.K., wrote the manuscript. All authors

discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

Sho Matsushita is an employee of iMmno, Inc.

The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Adenosine induces hypersecretion of IL-17A by CD4$^+$ T cells in an MLR. A–C, An

MLR was performed for 7 days in the presence of adenosine (0–1 mM). After 7 days, cells were

stained with anti-CD4 (x-axis) and IL-17A (y-axis) antibodies (Abs), followed by flow

cytometry analysis (**A**, n (number of repeat experiments) = 4). The percentage of

IL-17A-producing CD4$^+$ T cells within the total CD4$^+$ T cell population is shown (**B**, n = 6–9).

Cells supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA (**C**, n = 6–9). **D**, The effects of the A2aR

on IL-17A production in the presence of PSB0777 (an A2aR agonist) (*left*, n = 6–9),

Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist) plus adenosine (100 μM) (*right*, n = 6–9). **E**, The effects of

A2aR signaling on IL-17A production in the presence of MDL-12330A (an adenyl cyclase

inhibitor) plus adenosine (100 μM) (*left*, n = 4–6), or H-89 (a protein kinase A inhibitor) plus

adenosine (100 μM) (*right*, n = 4–6) were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **F**, The effects of

CD39/CD73 inhibitors on IL-17A production in the presence of ARL67156 (a CD39 inhibitor)

plus ATP (100 μM) (*left*, n = 4 – 6) or AMP-CP (a CD73 inhibitor) plus ATP (100 μM) (*right*, n

= 4–6) were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **G**, The effects of an A2aR antagonist and

CD39/CD73 inhibitors on basal IL-17A production in the presence of Istradefylline (*left*, n =

4–7), ARL67156 (*center*, n = 4–7), or AMP-CP (*right*, n = 4–7) were analyzed in an IL-17A

ELISA. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) and were compared using an

unpaired Student's t-test (**B**) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test (**C–G**). *P < 0.05

and **P < 0.01, compared with medium.

Fig. 2. Adenosine production in the MLR. A, Concentrations of adenosine or ATP in MLR

supernatants were measured in an adenosine (*left*, n = 4–6) or ATP (*right*, n = 4–6) ELISA (0–24

h). **B** and **C**, The effects of CD39 (**B**, ARL67156, n = 4–6) and CD73 (**C**, AMP-CP, n = 4–6)

inhibitors on production of adenosine or ATP in the MLR were analyzed in an adenosine (*left*) or

ATP (*right*) ELISA. **D, E** and **F**, Levels of adenosine and ATP in the supernatants of

CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4$^+$ T cells (**D**, n = 4), LPS-stimulated B cells (**E**, n = 4), and

LPS-stimulated BM-DCs (**F**, n = 4) were analyzed in adenosine and ATP ELISAs at 24 h

post-stimulation in the presence of CD39 or CD73 inhibitors. Data are expressed as the mean ±

SD and were compared using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. *P < 0.05 and **P <

0.01, compared with medium (**B** and **C**), CD3/CD28 stimulation (**D**), or LPS stimulation (**E** and

F).

Fig. 3. Adenosine induces hypersecretion of IL-17A by Th17 cells. A and **B**, CD4$^+$ T cells

were stimulated for 1–7 days with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in the presence of adenosine (0–1

mM). After stimulation, the supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA (n = 4–6). **C**,

Adenosine (600 μM) was added at 0–3 days after CD3/CD28 stimulation. At 7 days

post-CD3/CD28 stimulation, supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA (n = 4). **D**, Effects

of the A2aR on IL-17A production in the presence of PSB0777 (an A2aR agonist) (*left*, n = 4–6),

Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist) plus adenosine (600 μM) (*right*, n = 4–6). **E**, Effects of

A2aR signaling on IL-17A production in the presence of MDL-12330A (an adenyl cyclase

inhibitor) plus adenosine (600 μM) (*left*, n = 4–6), or H-89 (a protein kinase A inhibitor) plus

adenosine (600 μM) (*right*, n = 4–6) were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **F**, CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ and

CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$FT cells were stimulated for 7 days with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in the

presence of adenosine (0–1 mM). After 7 days, supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA

(n = 4). **G**, Subsets of CD4^{+} T cells were stimulated for 7 days by anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in

the presence of adenosine (600 μM) after isolation of each CCR cell type (high (hi) and low (lo)

expression) (n = 4). Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were compared using an unpaired

Student's t-test (**g**) or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test (**A-F**). *P < 0.05 and **P <

0.01, compared with CD3/CD28 stimulation (**A–C**, **D**, *left,* and **F**) or CD3/28 stimulation plus

adenosine (600 μM) (**D**, *right* and **E**).

Fig. 4. Effect of adenosine and an A2aR antagonist on production of Th17-related cytokines.

A, An MLR was performed for 7 days in the presence of adenosine (0–1 mM) (*top row*),

PSB0777 (an A2aR agonist) (*second row*), or Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist) plus adenosine

(100 μM) (*bottom row*). At 7 days post-incubation, the supernatants were analyzed in an

IL-17A (*left*), IL-17F (*center*), or IL-22 (*right*) ELISA. All experiments were repeated six to nine

times. **B,** CD4^{+} T cells were stimulated with an anti-CD3/CD28 antibody for 7 days in the

presence of adenosine (600 μM) (*top row*), PSB0777 (an A2aR agonist) (*second row*), or

Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist) plus adenosine (600 μM) *(bottom row)*. After stimulation,

supernatants were analyzed in IL-17A (*left*), IL-17F (*center*), and IL-22 (*right*) ELISAs. All

experiments were repeated four to six times. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were

compared using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01,

compared with CD3/CD28 stimulation.

Fig. 5. Suppression of adenosine-mediated hypersecretion of IL-17A ameliorates EAE. A

and B, SJL/J CD4^{+} T cells were stimulated for 7 days by anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies and an

A2aR antagonist (**A**, n = 4). Naïve CD4^{+} T cells were stimulated for 7 days by anti-CD3/CD28

antibodies in the presence of IL-6, TGF-β1, and Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist) (0–1 nM) (**B**,

left, n = 4). Alternatively, naïve CD4$^+$ T cells were stimulated for 7 days by anti-CD3/CD28

antibodies in the presence of IL-6 and TGF-β1, followed by another 7 day incubation with

anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies and Istradefylline (0–1 nM) (**B**, *right*, n = 4). After the stimulation,

supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **C,** Mice were immunized subcutaneously with

PLP peptide emulsified in CFA (PLP peptide/CFA). At 7 days post-immunization, splenocytes

were incubated for 3 days with PLP peptide in the presence of Istradefylline and adenosine (600

μM). After the incubation, supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA (**c**, n = 4). **D,** To

induce EAE, SJL/J mice were immunized with PLP peptide/CFA. Before and post-immunization

with the PLP peptide (Days 0 to 18), mice received oral Istradefylline (6 μg/mouse) or water

once every 2 days. Clinical scores were recorded every day during EAE induction (**D**, n = 15).

Data were obtained from five independent experiments (n = 3 mice/group). **(E)** At 18 days

post-immunization, spinal cord sections from mice administered oral Istradefylline (*right*) or

water (*left*) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (*upper panels*) or with an anti-mouse CD3

antibody (*lower panels*) (Scale bar, 100 μm). Data are representative of five independent

experiments (all with similar results) and are expressed as the mean ± SD. Data were compared

using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test (**A–C**), or using a non-parametric

Mann-Whitney *U*-test (**D**). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, compared with CD3/CD28 stimulation

plus adenosine (600 μM) (**A**), CD3/CD28 stimulation plus IL-6 and TGF-β1 (**B**), or PLP peptide

pulse (**C**).

Legends for the supplementary figures

Sup. Fig. 1. Purity and viability of each immune cell subset. A, *First row (left)*: Splenocytes

or isolated CD4$^+$ T cells were stained with a FITC-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 antibody (Ab)

(BioLegend) (x-axis) and a PE-conjugated anti-mouse CD3 Ab (BioLegend) (y-axis). *Second*

row (left): Splenocytes or CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ T cells isolated by MACS were stained with a

FITC-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and a PE-conjugated anti-mouse

CD62L Ab (BioLegend) (y-axis). *First row (right)*: Splenocytes and B cells were stained with a

FITC-conjugated anti-mouse CD19 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell numbers were counted

(y-axis). *Second row (right)*: Splenocytes, BM leukocytes, and BM-DCs were stained with a

FITC-conjugated anti-mouse CD11c Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell numbers were counted

(y-axis). The number in each panel represents the percentage of each immune subset within the

total cell population. **B**, *First row*: Isolated CD4$^+$ (by MACS)-, CD4$^+$CD62L$^-$ (by cell sorting)-,

or CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ (by cell sorting) T cells were analyzed with a PE-conjugated anti-mouse

CD62L Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell numbers were counted (y-axis). The number in each

panel represents the percentage of each immune subset (- or +) within the total cell population (-

plus +). Data are representative of at least three repeat experiments. *Second row*: Isolated CD4$^+$

(by MACS), CD4$^+$CCR3$^{low\ (lo)}$ (by cell sorting), or CD4$^+$CCR3$^{high\ (hi)}$ (by cell sorting) T cells

were analyzed with a PE-conjugated anti-mouse CCR3 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell

numbers were counted (y-axis). *Third row*: Isolated CD4$^+$ (by MACS), CD4$^+$CCR5^{lo} (by cell

sorting), or CD4$^+$CCR5^{hi} (by cell sorting) T cells were analyzed with a PE-conjugated

anti-mouse CCR5 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell numbers were counted (y-axis). *Fourth row*:

Isolated CD4$^+$ (by MACS), CD4$^+$CCR6^{lo} (by cell sorting), or CD4$^+$CCR6^{hi} (by cell sorting) T

cells were analyzed with a PE-conjugated anti-mouse CCR6 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell

numbers were counted (y-axis). *Fifth row*: Isolated CD4$^+$ (by MACS), CD4$^+$CD25^{lo} (by cell

sorting), or CD4$^+$CD25^{hi} (by cell sorting) T cells were analyzed with a PE-conjugated anti-mouse

CD25 Ab (BioLegend) (x-axis) and cell numbers were counted (y-axis). The number in each

panel represents the mean percentage ± SD of each immune subset (hi or lo) within the total cell

population (hi plus lo). Data are representative of at least three repeat experiments. **C**, After cell

isolation, each immune cell type was mixed with Trypan blue. Viability was calculated as the

number of unstained cells/(stained cells + unstained cells) × 100. Each measurement was

performed at least three times. The percentage represents the mean percentage ± SD.

Sup. Fig. 2. Effects of an adenosine receptor agonist and antagonist on production of

IL-17A in an MLR. MLR was performed for 7 days in the presence of each adenosine receptor

agonist or each adenosine receptor antagonist plus adenosine (100 µM). After 7 days, the

supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **A**: CCPA (an A1R agonist). **B**: PSB0777 (an

A2aR agonist, *left)* and Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist, *right*). **C**: BAY 60-653 (an A2bR

agonist). **D**: HEMADO (an A3R agonist). All experiments were repeated six to nine times. Data

are expressed as the mean ± SD and were compared using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's

post-hoc test. *$P < 0.05$ and **$P < 0.01$, compared with medium.

Sup. Fig. 3. Effect of adenosine and an A2aR antagonist on production of IFN-γ, IL-5, and

IL-17A by CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4$^+$ T cells. CD4$^+$ T cells were stimulated for 1–7 days

with anti-CD3/CD28 antibodies in the presence or absence of adenosine (600 µM). After

stimulation, supernatants were analyzed in IFN-γ (*top row*), IL-5 (*second row*), and IL-17A

(*bottom row*) ELISAs. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD and were compared using one-way

ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, compared with CD3/CD28

stimulation. All experiments were repeated four to six times.

Sup. Fig. 4. Effects of an adenosine receptor agonist and antagonist on production of

IL-17A by CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4$^+$ T cells. CD4$^+$ T cells were stimulated with an

anti-CD3/CD28 antibody for 7 days in the presence of each adenosine receptor agonist, or in the

presence of each adenosine receptor antagonist plus adenosine (600 μM). After 7 days, the

supernatants were analyzed in an IL-17A ELISA. **A**: CCPA (an A1R agonist). **B**: PSB0777 (an

A2aR agonist, *left)* and Istradefylline (an A2aR antagonist, *right*). **C**: BAY 60-653 (an A2bR

agonist). **D**: HEMADO (an A3R agonist). All experiments were repeated six to nine times. Data

are expressed as the mean ± SD and were compared using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's

post-hoc test. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01, compared with medium.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

MLR

CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4⁺ T cells

Fig. 5

A CD4+ T cells

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium | Medium | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1
Istradefylline (nM)
Adenosine 600 μM
CD3/CD28

B Naïve CD4+ T cells
Th17 induction

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1
Istradefylline (nM)
CD3/CD28
IL-6 + TGF-β1

After Th17 induction

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium | Medium | 0.1 | 1
Istradefylline (nM)
Adenosine 600 μM
CD3/CD28

C PLP peptide/CFA

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium | Medium | 0.1 | 1 | Medium | Medium | 0.1 | 1
Istradefylline (nM) | Istradefylline (nM)
PLP peptide | PLP peptide
Adenosine 600 μM

D
□ PLP peptide/CFA + Istradefylline (orally) (6 μg/day)
■ PLPpeptide/CFA + water (orally)

Mean clinical score

Days post induction

E

HE

CD3

water (orally) | Isradefylline (orally)
PLP peptide/CFA

A

B

C

Cell type	Viability
Splenocytes	100 ± 0
CD4$^+$ T cells	100 ± 0
B cells	100 ± 0
BM-DCs	94.5 ± 1.67
Naive CD4$^+$ T cells	100 ± 0
Flow through of CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ T cells	100 ± 0

Cell type	Viability
CD4$^+$CD62L^{lo}	95.6 ± 2.90
CD4$^+$CD62L^{hi}	98.3 ± 2.50
CD4$^+$CCR3^{lo}	97.1 ± 1.91
CD4$^+$CCR3^{hi}	91.1 ± 6.37
CD4$^+$CCR5^{lo}	98.8 ± 0.89
CD4$^+$CCR5^{hi}	91.1 ± 6.40
CD4$^+$CCR6^{lo}	98.8 ± 0.80
CD4$^+$CCR6^{hi}	94.0 ± 4.24
CD4$^+$CD25^{lo}	97.1 ± 1.77
CD4$^+$CD25^{hi}	98.4 ± 1.62

Sup. Fig. 2

A

B

Adenosine 100 μM

C

D

Sup. Fig. 3

Sup. Fig. 4

A

IL-17A (pg/mL)

300
200
100
0

Medium 0.01 0.1 1 10

CCPA (µM)

CD3/CD28

B

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium 0.01 0.1 1 10

PSB0777 (µM)

CD3/CD28

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium Medium 0.01 0.1 1

Istradefylline (nM)

Adenosine 600 µM

CD3/CD28

C

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium 0.01 0.1 1 10

BAY60-6853 (µM)

CD3/CD28

D

IL-17A (pg/mL)

Medium 0.01 0.1 1 10

HEMADO (µM)

CD3/CD28