Analysis of the mechanism of Aldo-keto reductase dependent cis-platin resistance in HepG2 based on transcriptomic and NADH metabolic analysis

Tingting Sun[1], Xue Sun[1], Xin Wang[1], Rui Guo[1], Yuanhua Yu[1*], Le Gao[1*]

[1]Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun, 130022, P.R. China.

* Correspondence:
Corresponding Author
Yuanhua Yu
E-mail: yuyuanhua8888@126.com
Le Gao
E-mail: legaooagel@163.com

Keywords：**Aldo-keto oxidoreductase (AKR)[1], RNA sequencing[2],**

NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases[3], Cis-platin[4], HepG2[5].

Abstract

Aldo-keto oxidoreductase (AKR) inhibitors could reverse several cancer cells'
resistance to Cis-platin, but their role in resistance remains unclear. Our RNA-seq
results showed de novo NAD biosynthesis-related genes, and NAD(P)H-dependent
oxidoreductases were significantly upregulated in Cis-platin-resistant HepG2 hepatic
cancer cells (HepG2-RC cells) compared with HepG2 cells. Knockdown of AKR1Cs
could increase Cis-platin sensitivity in HepG2-RC cells about two-fold. Interestingly,
the AKR1C inhibitor meclofenamic acid could increase Cis-platin sensitivity of
HepG2-RC cells about eight-fold, indicating that knockdown of AKR1Cs only
partially reversed the resistance. Meanwhile, the amount of total NAD and the ratio of
NADH/NAD+ were increased in HepG2-RC cells compared with HepG2 cells. The
increased NADH could be explained as a directly operating antioxidant to scavenge
radicals induced by Cis-platin. We report here that NADH, which is produced by
NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases, plays a key role in the AKR-associated
Cis-platin resistance of HepG2 hepatic cancer cells.

1   Introduction

Aldo-keto reductases (AKRs) are NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases, which reduce
carbonyl substrates with NAD(P)H and are present in all three domains of life. Human
AKRs are important in metabolic pathways such as steroid biosynthesis, alcohol
oxidation, and xenobiotic elimination[1]. Some AKRs, such as AKR1B10[2] and
AKR1Cs[3,4], are correlated with carcinogenesis. AKR1Cs have also been related to
Cis-platin resistance in gastric carcinoma TSGH-S3 cells[5] and metastatic bladder
cancer cells[6].

Cis-platin is a front-line chemotherapy agent for cancer treatment[7]. The AKR1C inhibitor flufenamic acid was found useful to reverse Cis-platin resistance of bladder cancer[6]. It was speculated that AKR1Cs could reduce some cytotoxic lipid peroxidative products from aldehydes[5]. Hepatic cancer cells with high expression levels of AKRs usually show a rather high tolerance to Cis-platin treatment. However, the target molecule of AKRs remains unknown. Here we report that NADH, a product of Aldo-keto oxidation-reduction, plays a key role in the Cis-platin resistance of HepG2 hepatic cancer cells.

2　　Materials and Methods

2.1　Cell culture

Human hepatic HL-7702 cells and the hepatic cancer cell lines HepG2 and its Cis-platin-resistant strain HepG2-RC were purchased from Fusheng Biotechnology (Shanghai, China). HL-7702 cells were cultured at 5% CO_2 in RPMI-1640 medium. HepG2 cells were cultured at 5% CO_2 in DMEM medium. HepG2-RC cells were cultured at 5% CO_2 in MEME medium with increasing Cis-platin concentration until 50% of cells died.

2.2　Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Total RNA isolation and first-strand cDNA synthesis from HL-7702, HepG2, and HepG2-RC cells were performed by a SuperReal Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). The primer sequences are listed in Table S1. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using ABI7900HTFast (Thermofisher, Waltham, MA). The data were normalized to the β-actin expression level and are expressed as the fold change relative to control ($2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$).

2.3　Western blot

Cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed in a buffer containing 0.5% NP-40, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, and 1 mM Na3VO4, and the lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 15,000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatants were then subjected to 8%−12% SDS-PAGE. Separated proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes and blocked using Tris-buffered saline containing Tween-20 (TBS-T) with 5% skim milk at room temperature for 1 h. Membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies: anti-AKR1C1 (Abnova, Taiwan, China), anti-AKR1C2 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-AKR1C3 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), and AKR1C4 (Abnova, Taiwan, China) at 4°C overnight. Membranes were washed with TBS-T, followed by incubation with responding secondary antibodies. The membranes were washed three times in TBS-T, and the signal was developed using ECL (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK), followed by detection using an AzureC600 detection system (Azure Biosystems, Dublin, CA).

2.4　Measuring IC50 of AKR1C inhibitors

The activity of AKR1C3 was estimated by measuring the OD340 (NADH) during the
conversion of glyceraldehyde to glycerol by the Vallee–Hoch method. Three
inhibitors, medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA), meclofenamic acid (MCFLA), and
methyliasmonate (MLS), were applied to inhibit AKR1C3 activity. The IC50 of the
inhibitors was calculated from the plots of AKR1C3 activity vs concentration of
inhibitors. Each sample was measured in triplicate.

2.5 Reverse Cis-platin resistance

MPA, MCFLA, and MLS were applied to reverse Cis-platin resistance of HepG2-RC.
The inhibitors at given concentrations (MPA: 0.31 mM, MCFLA: 0.12 mM, MLS:
0.13 mM) were co-incubated with HepG2-RC cells under a gradient concentration of
Cis-platin. The Cis-platin IC50 of each inhibitor-treated HepG2-RC sample was then
calculated from the MTT assay.

For the knockdown of AKR1Cs in HepG2-RC cells. Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs)
targeting human AKR1C1-4 were synthesized by Qiagen (Valencia, CA). HepG2-RC
cells (5×106 cells/well) were transfected with 50 nM of si-AKR1Cs or si-scramble as
control (sequences are provided in Table S1) using HiPerfect transfection reagent
(Qiagen, Valencia, CA). After 24 or 48 h transfection, cells were subjected to RT-PCR
and immunoblotting to identify whether AKR1Cs were knocked down. The
HepG2-RC cells in which knockdown of AKR1Cs was successful then underwent a
Cis-platin IC50 test as described above.

2.6 RNA-sequencing and data analysis

Approximately 106 HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells were frozen on dry ice. RNA
extraction, library preparation, RNA-seq, and bioinformatics analysis were performed
at BGI (Shenzhen, China). Each set of cell samples was sequenced in three
independent experiments. Image analysis, base-calling, and filtering based on
fluorescence purity and output of filtered sequencing files were performed through the
Illumina analysis pipeline.

The obtained raw reads of HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells were preprocessed by
removing reads containing adapter sequences, reads containing poly-N, and
low-quality reads. Q20 and Q30 were calculated. All six runs of HepG2 and
HepG2-RC samples showed that at least 96% of reads was Q20, and at least 87% was
Q30. All downstream analyses were based on high-quality clean reads. Gene function
was annotated based on Gene Ontology (GO) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes
and Genomes (KEGG). Genes with log2(fold change) > 1 and FPKM > 0.1 were
selected as upregulated genes. Genes with log2(fold change) < −1 and FPKM > 0.1
were selected as downregulated genes. Finally, 1486 upregulated genes and 270
downregulated genes were identified.

2.7 Assay of NADH and NAD+ content

The amounts of NADH and NAD+ were measured by NAD+/NADH Assay Kit with WST-8 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Approximately 106 HL-7702, HepG2, and HepG2-RC cells were collected for NAD+ or NADH extraction. Each sample was divided into two equal parts. One part was used for measuring the total amount of NAD (NAD++NADH), and the other part was used for measuring the amount of NADH after 60°C heat treatment. In the assay, NAD+ was first converted to NADH by adding alcohol dehydrogenase and ethanol. NADH then reduced WST-8 to formazan, and the amount of NADH could be measured by monitoring the OD450 value. The ratio of NAD+/NADH was calculated by the formula $ratio = ([NAD]_{total} - [NADH])/([NADH])$. Each sample was measured in three independent experiments.

2.8 Docking experiment

A protein docking model of AKR1C3 and its inhibitors was simulated by AutoDock 4.2.6 (The Scripps Research Institute, San Diego, CA). The crystal structure of AKR1C3 was derived from the RCSB protein data bank (ID: 4DBW). Ligands were initially drawn using ChemBioDraw Ultra 13.0 (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA), and energy minimization was performed with ChemBio3D Ultra 13.0 using the MMFF94 force field (PerkinElmer, Waltham, CA). Optimized ligand candidates were saved in PDBQT format. The dimensions of the grid box were set at 110, 110, 85 (x, y, z), and the center of the box was placed on Tyr-55 in the A-chain. MPA, MCFLA, and MLS were designed and docked onto the AKR1C3 model with or without NADP+, and their binding energy was estimated from docking models.

3   Results

3.1 AKR1C3 was upregulated in HepG2-RC cells compared with HepG2 cells

To investigate the differential expression of AKR1Cs in HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells, we evaluated their mRNA and protein levels. According to the qRT-PCR results (Table 1), the mRNA levels of all AKR1C isoenzymes were higher in HepG2 cells compared with human hepatic HL-7702 cells. However, only AKR1C3 was upregulated in HepG2-RC cells compared with HepG2 cells (~50-fold), while the other isoenzymes showed decreased levels in HepG2-RC cells.

According to our Western blot results (Fig.1), AKR1C1, AKR1C2, and AKR1C4 levels were almost equal between HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells (1.2-fold differences). AKR1C3 levels in HepG2-RC cells were as much as 1.5-fold higher than in HepG2 cells, which was consistent with our qRT-PCR results.

3.2 AKR1C inhibitors could reverse Cis-platin resistance of HepG2-RC cells

Three AKR1C inhibitors, MPA, MCFLA, and MLS, were applied to reverse Cis-platin resistance in HepG2-RC cells. First, the IC50 value of each AKR1C3 inhibitor was determined (Fig. 2A). MPA showed the lowest IC50 value (2.1 µM). The IC50 values of the other inhibitors were 3.3 µM (MCFLA) and 16.3 µM (MLS). However, MCFLA caused the strongest increase in Cis-platin sensitivity (~8-fold). MPA and MLS

150 increased Cis-platin sensitivity almost 2.5-fold and approximately 1.5-fold,
151 respectively (Fig. 2B).

3.3 SiRNA of AKR1Cs could partially reverse resistance of HepG2-RC cells

Since AKR1C inhibitors could reverse Cis-platin resistance of HepG2-RC cells, RNAi
knockdown experiments of all AKR1Cs were performed. Western blot results showed
that AKR1C1 and AKR1C3 protein levels were strongly reduced, while these
remained unchanged in control-siRNA HepG2-RC cells (Fig. 2C). These observations
confirm that AKR1C1 and AKR1C3 were successfully knocked down in HepG2-RC
cells.

Cis-platin resistance reversal experiments showed that the AKR1C knockdown
HepG2-RC cells could tolerate two-fold lower Cis-platin concentrations than control
HepG2-RC cells (Fig. 2D). The effects of AKR1C knockdown on Cis-platin resistance
were equivalent to the effects of MPA (~2.5-fold reversal), but were much weaker than
the effects of MCFLA (~8-fold reversal), indicating that knockdown of AKR1Cs could
partially reverse Cis-platin resistance of HepG2-RC cells.

3.4 Most NAD(P)H-dependent reductase/oxidases were upregulated in HepG2-RC cells

According to our RNA-seq results, AKR1C levels were not greatly changed in
HepG2-RC cells (log2(HEPG2-RC/HEPG2) < 2; Table 2), and only AKR1C3 showed
a slight increase, while the other three showed a slight decrease. This tendency was
consistent with the above qRT-PCR results. Among the 16 human AKR enzymes, four
(AKR1B10, AKR1B15, AKR1D1, and AKR1B1) were upregulated about four-fold,
while two (AKR1E2 and AKR1C4) were downregulated about twofold; the remaining
nine enzymes showed almost no change.

Moreover, many other NAD(P)H-dependent reductase/oxidases were upregulated four-
to eight-fold (Table 2). The strongest upregulation was observed for RFTN1
(log2(HEPG2-RC/HEPG2) = 6.55), which encodes raftlin, which bears NAD(P)H
cytochrome-b5 reductase activity. Comparing RNA-seq results from HepG2 and
HepG2-RC cells, 63 NAD(P)H-dependent reductase/oxidases were upregulated in
HepG2-RC cells at least twofold, while only 23 were downregulated at
least/approximately twofold. Moreover, 23 of those 63 upregulated genes had at least
four-fold higher transcription in HepG2-RC cells compared with HepG2 cells, while
only two of those 23 downregulated genes showed a reduction to less than 25% of
HepG2 levels. In other words, even though no NAD(P)H-dependent
reductase/oxidases were present in the top 10 upregulated genes (Tables S2 and S3),
they were generally upregulated.

3.5 Ratio of NADH/NAD+ in HepG2-RC cells was higher than in HepG2 cells

As shown in Fig. 3, the amount of total NAD in HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells was
approximately four-fold higher than in HL-7702 cells. Interestingly, the ratio of

NADH/NAD+ in HepG2-RC cells was almost seven-fold higher than in HepG2 or
HL-7702 cells. These results could be explained by the RNA-seq results that de novo
NAD biosynthesis-related genes were upregulated in HepG2-RC cells (Table 3).
Especially, TDO2, encoding tryptophan dioxygenase; KYNU, encoding kynureninase;
and NMNAT2, encoding nicotinamide nucleotide adenylyl transferase, showed at least
four-fold and at most 32-fold higher mRNA levels in HepG2-RC than in HepG2 cells.
Moreover, almost all genes involved in NAD degradation did not show altered mRNA
levels, except the ART family. All ART family genes were upregulated in HepG2-RC
compared with HepG2; in particular, ART1 mRNA levels in HepG2-RC cells
increased 32-fold compared with HepG2 cells. It has been reported that ART1
overexpression is closely related to some kinds of cancer[8-10]. In summary, the de
novo NAD biosynthesis pathway was upregulated in HepG2-RC, and total NAD levels
were increased in HepG2 and HepG2-RC cells compared with HL-7702 cells.

3.6 AKR1C inhibitors could bind with AKR1C3 at different locations, as
predicted by molecular docking stimulations

Docking simulations with an NADP+-bound AKR1C3 model (Fig. 4A–C) showed that
the highest affinity docking inhibitor was MPA, with the lowest binding free energy
($\Delta G = -11.54$ kcal/mol). MCFLA showed the second highest affinity, with $\Delta G = $
-7.06 kcal/mol, and MLS showed the lowest affinity (-6.47 kcal/mol). These results
are consistent with the IC50 values of the inhibitors on AKR1C3, with MPA having
the lowest and MLS having the highest IC50 value (Fig. 2A).

If NADP+ was removed from the AKR1C3 structure and only inhibitors were docked
with AKR1C3 apoenzyme, the order did not change, but we could see considerable
differences in their inhibitor binding location (Fig. 4A–C). MCFLA and MLS occupied
the oxygen site composed by Tyr-55, His-117, and NADP+, while MPA was tightly
bound to the steroid-binding site. In the NADP+-bound AKR1C3 model, the distance
between the nitrogen atom of the nicotinamide ring of NADP+ and the carbonyl
oxygen of the inhibitors was consistent with the notion that MCFLA was closer to
NADP+ (~6.57 Å) than MPA (~7.68 Å). These results indicate that MPA is the best
selective inhibitor of AKR1C3, while MCFLA partially interacts with the NADP+
binding site, showing a relatively poor selectivity.

4   Discussion

It has been reported that AKR1C enzymes are overexpressed in several cancer cell
types, such as bladder cancer cells and gastric cancer cells, contributing to the
resistance to Cis-platin treatment[2-6,11]. Some cytotoxic lipid peroxidative products
have been mentioned as targets of AKR1Cs that are involved in Cis-platin
resistance[6]. However, the role of AKR1Cs in the mechanism underlying Cis-platin
resistance remains unclear. We found that AKR1C inhibitors could reverse resistance
of HepG2-RC cells, even though AKR1Cs were not significantly upregulated in
HepG2-RC cells. The effects of siAKR1Cs on HepG2-RC cells were comparable to

those of the inhibitor MPA, but could only partially explain the effects of MCFLA.
This fact could be explained by that MPA is a steroid analog, which shows a high
selectivity, while MCFLA inhibits not only AKR1C enzymes but also other AKR
enzymes. MCFLA belongs to the class of non-steroid anti-inflammatory inhibitors,
which have been reported to also inhibit cyclooxygenases besides AKR1Cs, indicating
its poor selectivity[12]. As shown in Fig. 4D, all AKR enzymes share a common set of
residues to bind NADP(H). As shown in Fig. 4B, MCFLA preferred the oxygen site
rather than the steroid pocket, indicating that MCFLA inhibits AKR1C3 by disturbing
NAD(P) (H) binding.

These results indicate that MCFLA could inhibit some other AKR enzymes besides
AKR1Cs. This could explain why MCFLA causes a stronger reversal of Cis-platin
resistance of HepG2-RC cells than MPA. It is likely that NAD(P)H plays a key role
here because it is a reducing force and a product of NAD(P)H-dependent
oxidoreductases. Reprogramming energy metabolism is considered as a hallmark of
cancer cells in which NAD(P) or NAD(P)H levels are increased[13-15]. It has been
reported that some NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases, such as ALDHs, increase
NAD(P)H levels in the cytosol of cancer cells, which then serves as an electron
source[16].

We also found that the amount of total NAD (NAD++NADH) in both HepG2 and
HepG2-RC cells was approximately four-fold higher than in HL-7702 cells. Moreover,
the ratio of NADH/NAD+ in HepG2-RC cells was increased as much as seven-fold
compared to HepG2 cells. The increased ratio could be explained by two steps (Fig. 5).
First, NADH is produced continuously in hepatic cancer cells. This is in contrast to the
situation in normal cells, where AKRs function as reductases[1]. However, the
continuously biosynthesized NAD in hepatic cancer cells would make
NAD(P)H-dependent enzymes catalyzing reactions in one direction, from NAD(P) to
NAD(P)H. Second, the produced NAD(P)H would deal with Cis-platin-induced
peroxidative products directly or indirectly. Usually, NADPH maintains glutathione at
the reduced state, which could detoxify reactive oxygen species. However, this could
not alter the NAD(P)H/NAD(P) ratio too much, because each reaction is reversible. It
has also been reported that no alteration of resistance to Cis-platin or oxaliplatin
occurred after GSH depletion in oxaliplatin-resistant human gastric adenocarcinoma
TSGH cells[17], indicating GSH is not involved in the Cis-platin resistance.

Besides GSH, NAD(P)H could also react rapidly with moderately oxidizing radicals to
repair biomolecules[18]. So, NAD(P)H could also work as a directly operating
antioxidant that scavenges radicals as NAD(P)H* forms. These forms would exist in
the cell for a relatively long time and keep the intracellular concentration of free
NAD(P)H very low. Therefore, NAD(P)H-dependent enzymes could catalyze NAD(P)
to NAD(P)H continuously, resulting in a high ratio of NAD(P)H/NAD(P). If these
enzymes were widely inhibited by a poor selective inhibitor, such as MCFLA, NADH
would not accumulate anymore, and Cis-platin resistance would be suppressed as well.

5 Conclusions

In summary, it is believed that NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductases, especially AKRs, produce NADH in HepG2 cells to overcome Cis-platin-induced cytotoxicity. According to this notion, chemotherapy with inhibitors, which could compete with NAD(P) in most oxidoreductases, could lead to a better reversal of Cis-platin resistance in Cis-platin-resistant cancer cells.

6 Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

7 Author Contributions

Yuanhua Yu conceived-designed experiment.Tingting Sun and Le Gao analyzed data and wrote and edited the main manuscript text. Xin Wang, Xue Sun and Rui Guo performed in vitro experiments. All authors read and approval of the manuscript.

8 Funding

Supported by the grant provided by Science and Technology Development Plan Project of Jilin Province, China(20200708101YY), Bioassay Engineering Technology Application Technology Innovation Center of Jilin Province, China(20190907004TC).

9 Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

10 Supplementary Material

Additional tables(Table.S1., Table.S2., Table.S3.)

11 Reference

[1] Penning, T. M. The aldo-keto reductases (AKRs): Overview. Chemico-Biological Interactions. (2015); 234, 236–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2014.09.024

[2] Fukumoto, S. I., Yamauchi, N., Moriguchi, H., et al. Overexpression of the aldo-keto reductase family protein AKR1B10 is highly correlated with smokers' non-small cell lung carcinomas. Clinical Cancer Research. (2005); 11(5), 1776–1785. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1238

[3] Byrns, M. C., Mindnich, R., Duan, L., et al. Overexpression of aldo-keto reductase 1C3 (AKR1C3) in LNCaP cells diverts androgen metabolism towards testosterone resulting in resistance to the 5α-reductase inhibitor finasteride. Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. (2012); 130(1–2), 7–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2011.12.012

[4] Rižner, T. L., Šmuc, T., Rupreht, R., et al. AKR1C1 and AKR1C3 may determine progesterone and estrogen ratios in endometrial cancer. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology. (2006); 248(1–2), 126–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2005.10.009

[5] Chen, C. C., Chu, C. B., Liu, K. J., et al. Gene expression profiling for analysis acquired oxaliplatin resistant factors in human gastric carcinoma TSGH-S3 cells: The role of IL-6 signaling and Nrf2/AKR1C axis identification. Biochemical Pharmacology. (2013); 86(7), 872–887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2013.07.025

[6] Matsumoto, R., Tsuda, M., Yoshida, K., et al. Aldo-keto reductase 1C1 induced by interleukin-1β mediates the invasive potential and drug resistance of metastatic bladder cancer cells. Scientific Reports. (2016); 6. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34625

[7] Bellmunt, J., Albiol, S., Suárez, C., et al. Optimizing therapeutic strategies in advanced bladder cancer: Update on chemotherapy and the role of targeted agents. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology. (2009); 69(3), 211–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2008.06.002

[8] Li, Z., Yan, X., Sun, Y., et al. Expression of ADP-ribosyltransferase 1 is associated with poor prognosis of glioma patients. Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine. (2016); 239(4), 269–278. https://doi.org/10.1620/tjem.239.269

[9] Song, G. L., Jin, C. C., Zhao, W., et al. Regulation of the RhoA/ROCK/AKT/catenin pathway by arginine-specific ADP-ribosytransferases 1 promotes migration and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in colon carcinoma. International Journal of Oncology. (2016); 49(2), 646–656. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2016.3539

[10] Yang, L., Xiao, M., Li, X., et al. Arginine ADP-ribosyltransferase 1 promotes angiogenesis in colorectal cancer via the PI3K/Akt pathway. International Journal of Molecular Medicine. (2016); 37(3), 734–742. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2016.2473

[11] Shirato, A., Kikugawa, T., Miura, N., et al. Cisplatin resistance by induction of aldo-keto reductase family 1 member C2 in human bladder cancer cells. Oncology Letters. (2014); 7(3), 674–678. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2013.1768

[12] Brozic, P., Turk, S., Lanisnik Rizner, T., et al. Inhibitors of Aldo-Keto Reductases AKR1C1-AKR1C4. Current Medicinal Chemistry. (2011); 18(17), 2554–2565. https://doi.org/10.2174/092986711795933713

[13] Roizen, M. F. Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation. Yearbook of Anesthesiology and Pain Management. 2012; 13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yane.2012.02.046

[14] GAO, P., & WEI, H. Regulation of cancer cell metabolism. SCIENTIA SINICA Vitae. (2017); 47(1), 132–139. https://doi.org/10.1360/n052016-00334

[15] Mayevsky, A. Mitochondrial function and energy metabolism in cancer cells: Past overview and future perspectives. Mitochondrion. (2009); 9(3), 165–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mito.2009.01.009

[16] Kim, S. Y. Cancer energy metabolism: Shutting power off cancer factory. Biomolecules and Therapeutics. (2018); 26(1), 39–44. https://doi.org/10.4062/biomolther.2017.184

[17] Chen, C. C., Chen, L. T., Tsou, T. C., et al. Combined modalities of resistance in an oxaliplatin-resistant human gastric cancer cell line with enhanced sensitivity to

348   5-fluorouracil.   British   Journal   of   Cancer.   (2007);   97(3),   334–344.
349   https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bjc.6603866
350   [18]Kirsch, M., & Groot, H. NAD(P)H, a directly operating antioxidant? The FASEB
351   Journal. (2001); 15(9), 1569–1574. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.00-0823hyp

12   Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Differential expression of AKR1Cs in hepatic cell lines HL-7702, HepG2, and HepG2-RC, as measured with western blot. A, AKR1C1; B, AKR1C2; C, AKR1C3; D, AKR1C4. β-actin was selected as an internal reference. The signal intensity of each band was assessed with ImageJ software and divided by the value of β-actin. The ratios of AKR1Cs and β-actin are shown at the top of the figure.

Fig. 2. Reversion of Cis-platin sensitivity in HepG2-RC. A, IC50 value of three inhibitors acting on AKR1C3. MPA, medroxyprogesterone acetate; MCFLA, meclofenamic acid; MLS, methyliasmonate. B, Reversion ratio of Cis-platin sensitivity in HepG2-RC cells caused by three inhibitors. C, Knockdown of AKR1Cs by siRNA. Successful knockdown was confirmed with western blot. D, Reversion of Cis-platin sensitivity in AKR1C knockdown cells.

Fig. 3. Ratio of NADH/NAD+ in HL-7702, HepG2, and HepG2-RC cells. The amounts of total (NAD++NADH) and NADH were measured with an NAD/NADH quantitation kit. The amount of NAD was calculated from these two values. Finally, a ratio of NADH vs NAD was obtained.

Fig. 4. Molecular docking simulation results of AKR1C3 and three inhibitors. A, Meclofenamic acid (MCFLA) with AKR1C3 alone or AKR1C3 and NADP+. B, Methyliasmonate (MLS) with AKR1C3 alone or AKR1C3 and NADP+. C, Medroxyprogesterone acetate (MPA) with AKR1C3 alone or AKR1C3 and NADP+. OS, oxygen site; SP, steroid-binding pocket. Distance was measured between the nitrogen atom of the nicotinamide ring and the carbonyl oxygen of the inhibitor. AKR1C3 is represented in cartoon style. NADP+ is shown as a stick model, and Tyr-55 and His-117 are shown as a stick-ball model. NADP+, Tyr-55, and His-117 contain an oxygen site (OS). The steroid pockets (SPs) are displayed in surface style. D, The alignment of representative members of the AKR enzyme family (AKR1A1, AKR1B1, AKR1C3, AKR1D1, AKR1E2, and AKR7A2). The residues involved in the NADP+ binding are placed in the box.

Fig. 5. A possible role of NAD(P)H/NAD(P)-dependent reductase/oxidase in the mechanism underlying Cis-platin resistance. Arrows pointing upwards indicate upregulation in HepG2-RC cells; arrows pointing downwards indicate downregulation in HepG2-RC cells.

Table.1. The mRNA amount (2-ΔCt) of AKR1Cs in different hepatic cell lines measured by qRT-PCR.

Cell lines / Enzymes	HL-7702	HepG2	HepG2-RC
AKR1C1	10.3	3.1×10^{3}	0.3×10^{3}
AKR1C2	6.8	0.3×10^{3}	0.1×10^{3}
AKR1C3	16.1	6.1×10^{4}	2.9×10^{6}
AKR1C4	4.6	6.6	1.6

386   Table.2. Regulation of AKR enzymes measured by RNA-seq.

Gene	log2(HepG2-RC/HepG2)	Annotation
AKR1B10	3.12	All-trans-retinol + NADP+ <=> all-trans-retinal + NADPH
AKR1B15	2.63	L-Arabitol:NADP + 1-oxidoreductase L-Arabitol + NADP+ <=> L-Arabinose + NADPH + H+
AKR1D1	2.16	5β-androstane-3,17-dione:NADP + 4,5-oxidoreductase 5β-Androstane-3,17-dione + NADP+ <=> H+ + NADPH + Androstenedione
AKR1B1	2.14	glycerol:NADP + oxidoreductase Glycerol + NADP+ <=> D-Glyceraldehyde + NADPH + H+
AKR1C3	0.41	NADP+ + trans-1,2-dihydrobenzene-1,2-diol <=> catechol + H+ + NADPH
AKR6A5	0.21	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A regulatory beta subunit 2
AKR6A9	0.18	
AKR7A3	-0.02	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A regulatory beta subunit 3
AKR6A3	-0.09	
AKR1C1	-0.43	aflatoxin B1 + NAD(P)+ <=> aflatoxin B1-dialchol + NAD(P)H
AKR1C2	-0.44	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A regulatory beta subunit 1
AKR7A2	-0.55	17α,20α-dihydroxypregn-4-en-3-one + NAD(P)+ <=> 17α-hydroxyprogesterone + H+ + NAD(P)H
AKR1A1	-0.68	
AKR7A4	-0.94	3α-hydroxysteroid + NADP+ <=> 3-oxosteroid + H+ + NADPH
AKR1E2	-1.03	4-hydroxybutanoate + NADP+ <=> H+ + NADPH + succinate semialdehyde
AKR1C4	-1.03	allyl alcohol + NADP+ <=> acrolein + H+ + NADPH aflatoxin B1 + NAD(P)+ <=> aflatoxin B1-dialchol + NAD(P)H testosterone:NAD+ 17-oxidoreductase Testosterone + NAD+ <=> Androstenedione + NADH + H+ Androsterone:NADP+ oxidoreductase Androsterone + NADP+ <=> 5α-Androstane-3,17-dione + NADPH + H+

387   Table.3. Regulation of NAD metabolism related genes in HepG2 and HepG2-RC
388   measured by RNA-seq.

Gene	Log2(HepG2-RC/HepG2)	Annotation
IDO1	0.41	indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1
IDO2	NA	indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 2
TDO2	3.97	tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase
KMO	-0.42	kynurenine 3-monooxygenase
KYNU	2.78	kynureninase
HAAO	-0.03	3-hydroxyanthranilate 3,4-dioxygenase
QPRT	0.076	quinolinate phosphoribosyltransferase
NMRK1	0.33	nicotinamide riboside kinase 1
NMRK2	NA	nicotinamide riboside kinase 2
NMNAT1	0.03	nicotinamide nucleotide adenylyltransferase 1
NMNAT2	3.76	nicotinamide nucleotide adenylyltransferase 2
NMNAT3	-0.10	nicotinamide nucleotide adenylyltransferase 3
Naprt	-0.71	nicotinate phosphoribosyltransferase
NAMPT	0.83	nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase
Nadsyn1	0.4	NAD synthetase 1
SIRT1	-0.16	sirtuin 1
SIRT2	0.30	sirtuin 2
SIRT3	-0.61	sirtuin 3
SIRT4	-0.012	sirtuin 4
SIRT5	-0.23	sirtuin 5
SIRT6	-0.11	sirtuin 6
SIRT7	0.27	sirtuin 7
CD38	-1.71	CD38 molecule
PARP1	-0.20	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1
PARP2	-0.68	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 2
PARP3	0.04	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 3
PARP4	0.48	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 4
PARP6	-0.33	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 6
PARP8	0.22	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 8
PARP9	1.64	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 9
PARP10	1.1	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 10
PARP11	NA	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 11
PARP12	1.14	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 12
PARP14	1.22	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 13
PARP15	0.96	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 15
PARP16	-0.49	poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 16
ART1	4.06	ADP-ribosyltransferase 1
ART3	1.24	ADP-ribosyltransferase 3
ART4	1.29	ADP-ribosyltransferase 4
ART5	3.73	ADP-ribosyltransferase 5

Fig 1

Fig 3

HL-7702 (µM/10^6 cell)			HepG2(µM/10^6 cell)			HepG2-RC(µM/10^6 cell)		
NAD$^+$+NADH	NADH	NADH/NAD$^+$	NAD$^+$+NADH	NADH	NADH/NAD$^+$	NAD$^+$+NADH	NADH	NADH/NAD$^+$
1.82	1.32	1.24	5.78	3.36	1.09	4.62	4.18	7.37

Fig 4

A

MLS+AKR1C3		MLS+AKR1C3+NADP⁺	
ΔG (kcal/mol)	Location	ΔG (kcal/mol)	Distance (Å)
-7.31	OS	-6.47	11.51

B

MCFLA+AKR1C3		MCFLA+AKR1C3+NADP⁺	
ΔG (kcal/mol)	Location	ΔG (kcal/mol)	Distance (Å)
-8.68	OS	-7.06	6.57

C

MPA+AKR1C3		MPA+AKR1C3+NADP⁺	
ΔG (kcal/mol)	Location	ΔG (kcal/mol)	Distance (Å)
-11.37	SP	-11.54	7.68

D

```
AKR7A2  MLSAASRVVSRAAVHCALRSPPPEARALAMSRPPPPRVASVLGTMEMGRRMDAPASAAAVRAFLERGHTELDTAFMYSDGQSETILGGLGLGLGGGDCRVKIATKANPWDGKSLKPDSVRSQLETSLKRLQCPQVDLFYLHAPDHGTPVEET-LHACQR--------------LHQEGKF  165
AKR1C3  ---------MDSKHQCVKLNDGHFMPVLG---FGTYAPPEV-PRSKALEVTKLAIEAGFRHIDSAHLYNNEEQVGLAIRSKIADG-SVKREDIFYTSKLWST-FHRPELVRPALENSLKKAQLDYVDLYLIHSPMSLKPGEE-------------LSPTDENGKV  137
AKR1D1  -------MDLSAASHRIPLSDGNSIPIIG---LGTYSEPKSTPKGACATSVKVAIDTGYRHIDGAYIYQNEHEVGEAIREKIAEG-KVRREDIFYCGKLWAT-NHVPEMVRPTLERTLRVLQLDYVDLYLIEVPMAFKPGDE-------------IYPRDENGKW  140
AKR1A1  ------MAASCVLLHTGQKMPLIG---LGTWKS--EPGQVKAAVKYALSVGYRHIDCAAIYGNEPEIGEALKEDVGPGKAVPREELFVTSKLWNT-KHHPEDVEPALRKTLADLQLEYLDLYLMHWPYAFERGDN-------------PFPKNADGTI  133
AKR1B1  ------MASRLLLNNGAKMPILG---LGTWKS--PPGQVTEAVKVAIDAGYRHIDCAHVYQNENEVGVAIQEKLREQ-VVKREELFIVSKLWCT-YHEKGLVKGACQKTLSDLKLDYLDLYLIHWPTGFKPGKE-------------FFPLDESGNV  131
AKR1E2  ------MGDIPAVG---LSSWKA--SPGKVTEAVKEAIDAGYRHFDCAYFYHNEREVGAGIRCKIKEG-AVRREDLFIATKLWCT-CHKKSLVKTACRKSLKALKLNYLDLYLIHWPFGFKPGPHPEWIMSCSELSFCLSHPRVQDLPLDESNMV  141

AKR7A2  VELGLSNYASWEVAEICTLCKSNGWILPTVYQGMYNATTRQVET----------------ELFPCLRHFGLRFYAYNPLAGGLLTGKYKYEDKDGKQPVGRFFGNSWAETYRNRFWKEHHFEAIALVEKALQAAYGASAPSVTSAALRWMYHHSQLQGAHGDAVILGMSSL  320
AKR1C3  IFDIVDLCTTWEAMEKCKDA--GLAK---SIGVSNFNRRQLEMILNKPGLKYKPVCNQVECHPYFNRSKLLDFCKSKDIVLVAYSALGSQ---------------RDKRWVDPNSPVLLEDPVL-------CALAKKHKRTP---ALIALRYQLQR----GVVVLAKSYNE  274
AKR1D1  LYHKSNLCATWEAMEACKDA--GLVK---SLGVSNFNRRQLELILNKPGLKHKPVSNQVECHPYFTQPKLLKFCQQHDIVITAYSPLGTS---------------RNPIWVNVSSPPLLKDALL-------NSLGKRYNKTA---AQIVLRFNIQR----GVVVIPKSFNL  277
AKR1A1  CYDSTHYKETWKALEALVAK--GLVQ---ALGLSNFNSRQIDDILSVAS--VRPAVLQVECHPYLAQNELIAHCQARGLEVTAYSPLGSS---------------DRAWRDPDEPVLLEEPVV-------LALAEKYGRSP---AQILLRWQVQR----KVICIPKSITP  267
AKR1B1  VPSDTNILDTWAAMEELVDE--GLVK---AIGISNFNHLQVEMILNKPGLKYKPAVNQIECHPYLTQEKLIQYCQSKGIVVTAYSPLGSP---------------DREPWAKPEDPSILEDPRI-------KAIAAKHNKT---AQVLIRFPMQR----NLVVIPKSVTP  267
AKR1E2  IPSDTDFLDTWEAMEDLVIT--GLVK--NIGVSNFNHEQLERLLNKPGLRFKPLTNQIECHPYLTQKNLISFCQSRDVSVTAYRPLGSS---------------C------ESVDLLDNPVI-------KRIAKEHGRSP---AQILLRFQIQR----NVIVIPGSITP  271

AKR7A2  EQLEQNLAATE--EGPLEPAVVDAFNQAWHLVAHECP-----------NYFR----  359
AKR1C3  QRIRQNVQVFEFQLTAEDMKAIDGLDRNLHYFNSDSF---------ASHKNYPYSDEY  323
AKR1D1  ERIKENFQIFDFSLTEEEMKDIEALNKNVRFVELLMW---------RDHPEYPFHDEY  326
AKR1A1  SRIIQNIKVFDFTFSPEEMKQLNALNKNWRYIVPMLTVDGKRVPRDAGHPLYPFNDPY  325
AKR1B1  ERIAENFKVFDFELSSQDMTTLLSYNRNWRVCALLSC---------TSHKDYPFHEEF  316
AKR1E2  SHIKENIQVFDFELTQHDMDNILSLNRNLRLAMFPIT---------KNHKDYPFHIEY  320
```

Fig 5

Fig 2

D

Reversion of Cis-platin sensitivity in AKR1Cs knock-down cell		
HepG2-RC	HepG2-RC+siRNA	Ration
1.5 µg/ml	0.74 µg/ml	2.04