Transcriptomics reveals the putative mycoparasitic strategy of the mushroom

Entoloma abortivum* on species of the mushroom *Armillaria

Running title: Mycoparasitism of *Armillaria* species by *Entoloma abortivum*

Rachel A. Koch[1] and Joshua R. Herr[1,2,#]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68503, USA;

[2]Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA;

[#]Correspondence: Joshua R. Herr (jherr@unl.edu)

Abstract word count (including abstract and importance): 363

Text word count: 4938

ABSTRACT

During mycoparasitism, a fungus—the host—is parasitized by another fungus—the

mycoparasite. The genetic underpinnings of these relationships have been best

characterized in ascomycete fungi. However, within basidiomycete fungi, there are rare

instances of mushroom-forming species parasitizing the reproductive structures, or

sporocarps, of other mushroom-forming species, which have been rarely investigated

on a genetic level. One of the most enigmatic of these occurs between *Entoloma*

abortivum and species of *Armillaria*, where hyphae of *E. abortivum* are hypothesized to

disrupt the development of *Armillaria* sporocarps, resulting in the formation of

carpophoroids. However, it remains unknown whether carpophoroids are the direct

result of a mycoparasitic relationship. To address the nature of this unique interaction,

we analyzed gene expression of field-collected *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum* sporocarps

and carpophoroids. Transcripts in the carpophoroids are primarily from *E. abortivum*,

supporting the hypothesis that this species is parasitizing *Armillaria*. Most notably, we

identified differentially upregulated *E. abortivum* β-trefoil-type lectins in the

carpophoroid, which we hypothesize bind to *Armillaria* cell wall galactomannoproteins,

thereby mediating recognition between the mycoparasite and the host. The most

differentially upregulated *E. abortivum* transcripts in the carpophoroid code for oxalate

decarboxylases—enzymes that degrade oxalic acid. Oxalic acid is a virulence factor in

many plant pathogens, including *Armillaria* species, however, *E. abortivum* has evolved

a sophisticated strategy to overcome this defense mechanism. The number of gene

models and genes that code for carbohydrate-active enzymes in the *E. abortivum*

transcriptome were reduced compared to other closely related species, perhaps as a

result of the specialized nature of this interaction.

IMPORTANCE

By studying fungi that parasitize other fungi, we can understand the basic biology of

these unique interactions. Studies focused on the genetic mechanisms regulating

mycoparasitism between host and parasite have thus far concentrated on a single

fungal lineage within the Ascomycota. The work presented here expands our

understanding of mycoparasitic relationships to the Basidiomycota and represents the

first transcriptomic study to our knowledge that examines fungal-fungal relationships in

their natural setting. The results presented here suggest that even distantly related

mycoparasites utilize similar mechanisms to parasitize their host. Given that species of

the mushroom-forming pathogen *Armillaria* cause plant root-rot diseases in many

agroecosystems, an enhanced understanding of this interaction may contribute to better

control of these diseases through biocontrol applications.

INTRODUCTION

Fungal mycoparasitism is a nutritional strategy where a living fungus – the host – is

parasitized by and acts as a nutrient source for another fungus – the mycoparasite.

Certain species of fungi in the Hypocreales (Ascomycota) are among the best-studied

mycoparasites. Perhaps the most well-known of these are species of *Trichoderma* and

Clonostachys rosea, which have biocontrol activity against plant pathogenic species of

Botrytis, *Fusarium*, *Pythium*, and *Rhizoctonia* (1, 2). Other fungal mycoparasites in the

Hypocreales include *Tolypocladium* species, many of which are parasites on the

reproductive structures, or sporocarps, of species in the genus *Elaphomyces*

(Eurotiales, Ascomycota) (3), *Escovopsis weberi*, which is a specialized necrotrophic

parasite of fungal gardens of attine ants (4, 5) and *Hypomyces lactiflurum*, which

parasitizes the mushrooms of *Russula* species and transforms them into the iconic

"lobster mushroom" (6). Within the Basidiomycota, a well-studied genus of

mycoparasites include *Tremella* species, which are parasites of corticiaceous

basidiomycetes (7) and lichen-forming fungi (8).

Less studied examples of mycoparasitism involve mushroom-forming fungi that

parasitize other mushroom-forming fungi. Fewer than 20 reported mushroom species

may engage in this type of interaction, making it an incredibly rare phenomenon given

the total number of mushroom-forming fungi (9, 10). Examples of this interaction

whereby the parasite does not appear to impact the fitness of the host include

Volvariella surrecta, which fruits from the pileus of its host, *Clitocybe nebularis* (11), and

Asterophora species, which colonize their *Russula* or *Lactarius* host after it dies (10).

More commonly, though, mushroom mycoparasites deform host sporocarps and likely

prevent the dispersal of their spores. *Pseudoboletus parasiticus* fruits from the

sporocarps of *Scleroderma* species, which, after infection, are no longer able to mature

and disperse their spores (12). *Psathyrella epimyces* causes the deformation of

sporocarp tissue of its host, *Coprinus comatus* (13). Additionally, of the ten mushroom

species in the genus *Squamanita*, all are known to be parasites of sporocarps of

species in the genera *Cystoderma*, *Galerina*, *Hebeloma*, and *Inocybe* (10).

One of the most frequently encountered putative mycoparasitic interactions

between two mushrooms involves species of *Armillaria* and *Entoloma abortivum* (Fig.

1). *Entoloma abortivum* is often encountered fruiting in soil, humus, or decaying logs in

deciduous woods (14), while *Armillaria* species are facultative necrotrophs that can

cause root rot in forest and agronomic systems worldwide (15, 16). *Entoloma abortivum*

was originally described to occur as two morphologies: the mushroom form, which has a

pinkish-gray stipe and pileus, and pink gills, and then the carpophoroid form, which is

generally white and subglobose, and does not develop well-formed gills (Fig. 1). Initially,

the carpophoroid form was assumed to be *E. abortivum* sporocarps that were

malformed due to parasitism by *Armillaria* species (14). However, macro- and

microscopic studies of carpophoroid collections determined that they are actually

Armillaria sporocarps permeated with *E. abortivum* hyphae (17). Laboratory inoculation

experiments showed that *E. abortivum* interacts with *Armillaria* sporocarps to disrupt

their morphological development (17).

Whether carpophoroids are the result of a mycoparasitic relationship, where *E.*

abortivum serves as the mycoparasite and *Armillaria* species serve as the host, remains

unknown. To address this, we initiated a transcriptome study after we encountered all

three components of this system fruiting in close proximity—carpophoroids and

individual sporocarps of *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria*. For a mycoparasite to be

successful, there are several crucial steps to the utilization of a fungal host for nutrition.

These steps include: 1) sensing the host; 2) recognition and attachment to host hypha;

3) initiating defense responses; and 4) the eventual demise of the host (18). Previous

genomic and transcriptomic studies elucidated the genetic machinery that model

mycoparasites utilize during each of these steps (18–20). In this work, we used

transcriptomic and meta-transcriptomic techniques to analyze the genomic toolbox of *E.*

abortivum and *Armillaria* during the carpophoroid stage. We show that the gene

expression profiles of *E. abortivum* resemble those of known mycoparasitic species, as

well as predict certain genes in both species that facilitate this interaction. Additionally,

we used transcriptomic information to determine the species of *Armillaria* involved in

this association.

RESULTS

***Transcriptome assemblies of* Entoloma abortivum *and* Armillaria*.* To benchmark

gene diversity and baseline expression levels of the field-collected mushroom species in

our study, we sequenced the sporocarp transcriptomes of *E. abortivum* and the

Armillaria species found in close proximity to the carpophoroids. To date, there are

several transcriptomic studies of *Armillaria* species (21, 22), but none for any *Entoloma*

species. The assembled transcriptome of *E. abortivum* was just under 120 million base

pairs. There were a total of 43,599 contigs and an N50 value of 3,527; 94.5% of

benchmark universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs) from the Agaricales were present

in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome. Nine internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequences

were extracted from the transcriptome, accounting for 5.96×10^{-4} % to 1.82×10^{-3} % of the

mapped reads; all ITS sequences were from *E. abortivum*. Within the contigs, a total of

9,728 unique gene models were recovered in the transcriptome assembly (Fig. 2) with

603 transcripts differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue when compared to

the sporocarp tissue and 403 transcripts differentially upregulated in the sporocarp

tissue when compared to carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). The transcriptome contained 195

genes that code for carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes). The transcriptome lacks

any genes that code for cellobiohydrolases (glycoside hydrolase (GH) 6 and GH7),

xylanases (GH10, GH11, GH30) and auxiliary proteins like polysaccharide

monooxygenases (GH61) but does contain nine chitinases (GH18) (Fig. 2). Transcripts

detected in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome that were previously determined to play

important roles in mycoparasitic interactions (18–20) include: ten putative secondary

metabolite gene clusters, one G-coupled protein receptor (GCPR), 38 ATP-binding

cassette (ABC) transporters and 113 genes from the major facilitator superfamily (MFS)

(Fig. 3). The average gene expression (in normalized units of trimmed mean of m-

values (TMM)) of the ten most abundant *E. abortivum* transcripts in the sporocarp

ranged from 4,333 to 17,890. Information about the ten most abundant transcripts in the

sporocarps is available in Table 1.

The assembled transcriptome of *Armillaria* was just over 138 million base pairs.

A total of 63,905 contigs, an N50 value of 2,845, and 97.8% of the BUSCOs

representative of the Agaricales were present in the transcriptome. Eleven ITS

sequences were extracted from the transcriptome, accounting for 2.77×10^{-5} % to

6.26×10^{-4} % of the mapped reads; six ITS sequences were from *Armillaria*, while five

were from an uncharacterized species of the yeast *Kodamaea*. A total of 38,215 unique

gene models were recovered, and, after bioinformatic filtering against public Armillaria

genomes, 29,936 of these representing orthologs of *Armillaria* species (Fig. 2). In total,

2,619 transcripts were differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue when

compared to the sporocarp tissue, whereas 9,820 transcripts were differentially

upregulated in the sporocarp tissue when compared to the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3).

The transcriptome contained 580 genes that code for CAZymes, with 34 of those coding

for chitinases (Fig. 2). Genes detected in the *Armillaria* transcriptome that might be

important in mycoparasitic interactions include: 12 putative secondary metabolite gene

clusters, five GCPRs, 59 ABC transporters and 144 MFS transcripts (Fig. 3). The

average TMM of the ten most highly upregulated *Armillaria* transcripts in the sporocarp

ranged from 8,438 to 36,477. The most differentially upregulated transcript was

annotated as a cell wall galactomannoprotein (Fig. 4 and 5) (Table 2), while, notably,

the fourteenth most differentially upregulated transcript in the sporocarp coded for

isocitrate lyase (Table 2, Fig. 5).

Metatranscriptomic analysis of combined fungal hyphae in carpophoroid tissue.

We sequenced the metatranscriptome of the mixed tissue in the carpophoroids that are

typically found when *E. abortivum* and species of *Armillaria* are found in proximity. In the

carpophoroid tissue, significantly more transcriptomic reads from *E. abortivum* were

identified than from *Armillaria* sp. ($t_{(8)}$ = 16.6, p = 1.77×10^{-7}, n = 9 replicates per species)

(Fig. 6). The average number of *E. abortivum* mapped reads in the carpophoroid tissue

was 2,613,988, while the average number of *Armillaria* mapped reads was 74,880 (Fig.

6).

The average TMM of the top ten most abundant *E. abortivum* transcripts in the

carpophoroid ranged from 9,075 to 68,720 (Table 1), while the average TMM of the top

ten most differentially upregulated *Armillaria* transcripts in the carpophoroid ranged from

300 to 9,049 (Table 2). The first and third most highly upregulated *E. abortivum*

transcripts in the carpophoroid tissue code for two oxalate decarboxylases, both of

which were differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue compared to the

sporocarp (Figs. 3, 4, 5 and Table 1). There were other differentially upregulated *E.*

abortivum transcripts in the carpophoroid that were not as highly upregulated that may

also play a role in mycoparasitism. These include three β-trefoil-type lectins, three ABC

transporters, two chitinases, and 14 MFS transcripts (Fig. 3, Table 1). We were unable

to detect any transcripts involved in secondary metabolite gene clusters that were

differentially upregulated in the carpophoroids (Fig. 3). Two *Armillaria* transcripts coding

for putative senescence-associated proteins, as well as a heat shock protein associated

with cell death, were differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid compared to the

sporocarp (Table 2).

Phylogenetic placement of* Armillaria *reads. Phylogenomic analysis of 100 *Armillaria*

BUSCOs generated in this study, in conjunction with previously published *Armillaria*

genomes, shows a strongly supported sister relationship with an *A. mellea* specimen

from France (100% BS) (Fig. 7A). Phylogenetic analysis of all ITS sequences

characterized as *A. mellea* from GenBank shows that this specimen is conspecific with

specimens from eastern North America (Fig. 7B).

DISCUSSION

The formation of carpophoroids associated with species of *E. abortivum* have

traditionally been thought to be the result of an *Armillaria* species attacking and

parasitizing *Entoloma* sporocarps (14), hypothesized on the basis that *Armillaria* are

wide-spread generalist forest pathogens that exhibit a broad range of host plants (15).

However, subsequent studies suggested the opposite: the production of carpophoroids

are the result of *E. abortivum* disrupting the development of *Armillaria* sporocarps (17).

Here, we employed RNA sequencing and differential gene expression analysis on field-

collected fungal tissue of each of the three components of this association to better

understand the mechanistic basis of this interaction. We determined that *E. abortivum*

reads in the metatranscriptome of the carpophoroid tissue—which can be interpreted as

a measure of living tissue—are almost 35 times more abundant than *A. mellea* reads

(Fig. 6). This finding suggests that carpophoroids are structures that result from *E.*

abortivum parasitizing, and eventually killing, its *Armillaria* host under natural conditions.

Fungal-fungal necrotrophic mycoparasitic interactions are multistage processes

that are best studied in model species, such as those in the genera *Trichoderma*,

Coniothyrium, Clonostachys and *Tolypocladium* (20). Genomic and transcriptomic

studies of necrotrophic mycoparasites show a convergence of significant genetic

mechanisms at each stage (20). The *E. abortivum* genes that are differentially

upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue are largely consistent with other examples of

necrotrophic mycoparasites in the Ascomycota. In the carpophoroid tissues we

analyzed, *E. abortivum* appears to employ much of its energy on recognition and

defense responses (Fig. 5). Inversely, the *Armillaria* sporocarps we analyzed illuminate

possible mechanisms by which these two species recognize one another and how

Armillaria responds to parasitism (Fig. 5).

The genetics of the* Entoloma-Armillaria *mycoparasitic interaction. A crucial step in

a successful mycoparasite's life history is the ability to sense its host. Genes involved in

the recognition of the fungal prey include those that code for GPCRs (18, 20). However,

we did not find any of these genes that were differentially upregulated by *E. abortivum*

in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). Given the significantly fewer number of *Armillaria*

reads in the carpophoroid tissue compared to *E. abortivum*, we presume that these

carpophoroids are relatively advanced in age, and expression of the genes used for

sensing the presence of the host are no longer necessary.

244   We also identified three *E. abortivum* transcripts that code for β-trefoil-type

lectins—proteins that bind to galactose units of sugar chains (23)—that were

differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Figs. 3 and 5). In well-studied

mycoparasitic interactions, the recognition, attachment, and coiling around a fungal

substrate is mediated by lectins expressed by at least one of the fungal partners (24–

26). More specifically, basidiomycete β-trefoil-type lectins have previously been shown

to play a role in the recognition of non-self glycans (27). Interestingly, the most

abundant and differentially upregulated transcript produced in the *Armillaria* sporocarps,

the substrate to which *E. abortivum* hyphae attach, codes for a cell wall

galactomannoprotein (Figs. 4 and 5). These proteins belong to a group of glycans which

consist of a mannose backbone with galactose side chains and are known to make up a

major part of the cell wall of some fungal species (28). This particular

galactomannoprotein appears to be specific to *Armillaria* species. Watling (14)

commented on the highly specific nature of this interaction and that it has only been

documented occurring between *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria* species. One possible

mediator of the specificity of this interaction could be the galactose sugars on the

mannose protein (only known thus far from *Armillaria* species) that are the means by

which *E. abortivum* β-trefoil-type lectins recognize and attach to its *Armillaria* host.

However, more genome sequencing of other Agaricales species is needed to determine

whether this protein is truly specific to species in the genus *Armillaria*.

During mycoparasitic interactions, the fungal host responds by mounting its own

defense, and a successful mycoparasite must be able to cope with this counterattack

(18, 20). Oxalic acid is a virulence factor employed by some plant pathogens, including

species of *Armillaria*, to compromise the defense responses of the host plant by

creating an acidic environment (29, 30). One differentially upregulated *Armillaria*

transcript in the sporocarps codes for isocitrate lyase (Fig. 5), which is involved in OA

biosynthesis in other fungal pathogens (31, 32), and we suspect that *Armillaria*

sporocarps may utilize OA to defend against the initial infection by *E. abortivum*.

However, two of the most abundant and differentially upregulated *E. abortivum*

transcripts in the carpophoroid tissue code for oxalate decarboxylases—enzymes

responsible for the degradation of OA (Figs. 3, 4, 5D, Table 1). In at least one known

well-studied mycoparasitic interaction, OA is secreted by the fungal host, *Sclerotinia*

sclerotiorum, in reaction to penetration by its mycoparasite, *Coniothyrium minitans*. The

acidic environment created by the secreted OA inhibits conidial germination and

suppresses mycelial growth of *C. minitans* (33). However, *C. minitans* nullifies the

growth-suppressing effects of OA or OA-mediated low pH by degrading the OA (34, 35),

an enzymatic process largely mediated by oxalate decarboxylase. Because of this,

oxalate decarboxylase plays an imperative role in mycoparasitism as OA degradation is

vital for infection of the fungal host (36). Given the abundance of these genes produced

by *E. abortivum* in the carpophoroid, we suspect a similar scenario in this mycoparasitic

interaction. Subsequent downregulation of isocitrate lyase by *Armillaria* in the

carpophoroids suggests that its genetic defense responses to infection were likely over

at the time of our sampling.

Other ways that mycoparasites cope with the counterattack launched by their

host include actively excreting host-secreted toxins. Here, we hypothesize that active

extrusion of toxins secreted by the host occurs in the *E. abortivum* carpophoroid tissue

via membrane transporters in the ABC superfamily (37–39). Three ABC transporters

were differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). Another group of

genes that were differentially upregulated by *E. abortivum* in the carpophoroid belong to

the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters (Fig. 3). In *C. rosea*, there was

selection for genes in this family that were related to drug resistance, and the transport

of secondary metabolites, small organic compounds and carbohydrates (40). Their

importance to mycoparasitism in *C. rosea* is predicted to invoke efflux-mediated

protection against exogenous or endogenous secondary metabolites and nutrient

uptake (40). MFS transporters have also been shown to be induced in other

mycoparasitic species (19, 41), but their exact biological roles have not been

investigated.

In mycoparasitism, the final death of the host often results from the synergistic

actions of cell wall-hydrolytic enzymes and antifungal secondary metabolites (18, 20).

No secondary metabolite gene clusters identified in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome were

differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). In some mycoparasitic

relationships, the secretion of secondary metabolites occurs early in the interaction,

including in *Escovopsis weberi*, which secretes toxic compounds that kill the leafcutter

ant garden before contact (5). In culture experiments between *Armillaria* isolates and *E.*

abortivum, the growth of *Armillaria* was severely inhibited by the presence of *E.*

abortivum (42). This suggests that *E. abortivum* may potentially secrete a toxic

compound early in the interaction that inhibits the growth of *Armillaria*. Given that

significantly more of the living tissue in the carpophoroids belonged to *E. abortivum* (Fig.

6), it is possible that much of the *Armillaria* tissue was killed preceding the full

development of the carpophoroid.

Additionally, we hypothesize that the upregulated β-trefoil-type lectin in *E.*

abortivum that may be important in hyphal recognition may also be cytotoxic towards

Armillaria. This type of lectin has sequence homology, as well as putative structural

similarity, to the B-subunit of ricin, a toxic protein from the castor bean *Ricinus*

communis (43). An array of β-trefoil-type lectins have been characterized from the

sporocarps of the mushroom-forming species *Clitocybe nebularis* (44)*, Coprinus cinerea*

(27)*, Macrolepiota procera* (45) and *Boletus edulis* (46). Besides being important for

non-self recognition, these same mushroom lectins also exhibit entomotoxic activity (47)

as well as nematoxic activity (27, 45, 48). Taken together, it is possible that the *E.*

abortivum β-trefoil-type lectins may also function as toxins towards *Armillaria*. While the

E. abortivum transcripts coding for these lectins are not in the highest abundance in the

carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 5), this could be because most of the *Armillaria* sporocarp

tissue is already dead and the potential lethal effects produced by them are no longer

necessary.

Chitin is an essential polymer in fungal cell walls (49) and is an important target

during mycoparasitic attack (20). Indicative of the importance of chitinases in

mycoparasitic interactions, members of the genus *Trichoderma*, as well as

Tolypocladium ophioglossoides and *Escovopsis weberi,* have an increased number of

genes coding for them (19, 50–53). Nine fungal chitinases were detected in the

transcriptome of *E. abortivum*, which is fewer than the 13, 19, 20 and 29 detected in the

closely related species, *Tricholoma matsutake* (54)*, Clitocybe gibba* (55)*, Lyophyllum*

atratum (56) and *Lepista nuda* (55), respectively (Fig. 2). Only two of those *E. abortivum*

chitinases were differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3) and were

not abundant in comparison to other genes, suggesting minimal significance at this

stage in carpophoroid development. One possibility for this difference in abundance

could be the result of the putatively acidic pH in the carpophoroid that we infer based on

the high gene expression of oxalate decarboxylases. In *C. minitans*, chitinase activity is

positively correlated with ambient pH ranging from three to eight (57), so it is possible

that chitinase activity in *E. abortivum* will increase after a neutral pH is restored. Another

possibility for the low abundance is that most of the *Armillaria* host tissue was already

broken down, reducing the need for chitinase activity.

Some of the putatively mycoparasitism-related genes outlined above were also

differentially upregulated by *Armillaria* in the carpophoroid tissue. These include genes

that code for MFS, ABC transporters, chitinases, and secondary metabolite gene

clusters (Fig. 3). This suggests that *Armillaria* may be using many of the same genetic

mechanisms to defend itself against parasitism by *E. abortivum*. Additionally, the degree

of expression changes—both in the number of differentially upregulated transcripts and

the log-fold change (logFC)—between the sporocarp and carpophoroid is much greater

in *Armillaria* compared to *E. abortivum* (Fig. 3, 4), which could reflect an increase in the

level of defense from *Armillaria*. However, this defense is apparently not enough to

overcome the parasitic adaptations of *E. abortivum*.

***Gene and CAZyme content of* E. abortivum.** The number of predicted gene models in

the transcriptome of *E. abortivum* was 9,728, which is markedly fewer than the number

of gene models in the genomes of its closest sequenced relatives (Fig. 2B). Additionally,

relative to other closely related mushroom species, *E. abortivum* also exhibits a strong

reduction in several gene families encoding CAZymes (Fig. 2C), and contains no

cellobiohydrolases, xylanases, or polysaccharide monooxygenases. This finding is

consistent with what has been observed in obligate mycoparasites and animal

pathogens, which also have reduced CAZyme repertoires compared to fungi that utilize

other nutritional strategies, hypothesized to be the result of their highly specialized

interactions with their hosts (50, 58, 59). Therefore, it is possible that *E. abortivum* only

retained the CAZymes and accessory genes necessary to interact with *Armillaria*

species. While a genome sequence of *E. abortivum* will be necessary to confirm this

reduction, the BUSCO analysis verified that the *E. abortivum* transcriptome contains

nearly 95% of the core set of eukaryotic genes, which suggests that our transcriptome is

relatively complete, and it is unlikely that entire gene families are underrepresented.

Another possibility for the reduction in CAZymes could be explained by a broader

nutritional strategy employed by *Entoloma* species, some of which form ectomycorrhiza-

like structures on host plant species (60–62). Ectomycorrhizal species have a marked

reduction in CAZymes in comparison to their saprotrophic ancestors (63), which we also

observe with *Tricholoma matsutake* (Fig. 2C). However, microscopic analyses of

Entoloma ectomycorrhizae-like structures suggest that some species destroy root

meristems and young root cells, suggestive of a more parasitic relationship (60, 61).

One explanation is that *Entoloma* species, in general, are parasites of true

ectomycorrhizae. More broadly, other species within the Entolomataceae are suspected

mycoparasites, as they have been reported growing in close association with the

sporocarps of other species (reviewed in (17)), including *Entoloma parasiticum*

(=*Claudopus parasiticus*) and *Clitopilus fasciculatus* (64, 65). This explanation would

also add credence to the evidence that Entolomataceae species are difficult to culture

and are slow growing (62). Additional research utilizing genomes and laboratory studies

to understand the nutritional strategy employed by this lineage will inform us as to

whether fungal parasitism in this group is more common than it is currently understood

to be. Lastly, it should be noted that *Entoloma* species, and *E. abortivum* in particular,

form sclerotia in culture and presumably in soil (14, 17). These resting structures are

perhaps a dormancy mechanism in the soil to survive seasonality when host tissue is

unavailable. Because the timing and presence of *E. abortivum* fruitings have been hard

to predict, we were not able to observe or acquire a transcriptome for the sclerotia in the

field.

Gene content and identity of* Armillaria *species in this interaction. The number of

predicted gene models in the transcriptome of this *Armillaria* species was 38,215 (Fig.

2B). This is substantially greater than all other sequenced *Armillaria* species, which

range from 14,473 to 25,704 gene models (22, 66). However, when we looked for gene

models in our transcriptome that belong to known *Armillaria* species, this reduced the

total number of gene models to 29,936 (Fig. 2B). The excess gene models in the

Armillaria transcriptome, when compared to reference genomes, likely represent

duplicated gene models with splice variants, a common artifact of transcriptome

sequencing (67). Additionally, nearly one quarter of the gene models in the *Armillaria*

sporocarps were from organisms other than *Armillaria*, including the yeast *Kodamaea*,

highlighting the fact that field-collected sporocarps are not composed of tissue from a

single organism. However, none of these contaminating organisms had genes that were

both abundant and differentially upregulated that we predicted to play a role in this

interaction.

Phylogenomic analysis of the *Armillaria* transcripts generated in this study

suggest that the specific *Armillaria* species parasitized in this relationship is sister to an

A. mellea specimen collected from western Europe (Fig. 7A). An ITS-based

phylogenetic analysis shows the *Armillaria* specimen collected in this study is

conspecific with other *A. mellea* collections from eastern North America (Fig. 7B).

Before now, observations of *A. mellea sensu stricto.* fruiting in proximity to

carpophoroids (17) hinted it may be a host to *E. abortivum*. Herein, though, we show for

the first time using genomic data that *A. mellea s. s.* can definitively serve as a host for

E. abortivum. However, our hypothesis is that this interaction does not appear specific

to just *A. mellea s. s.*, as *A. gallica*, *A. ostoyae*, *A. jezoensis*, *A.* sp. Nag. E and

Desarmillaria tabescens have been previously confirmed as hosts in this interaction as

well (17, 42, 68). However, a broad geographic sampling of carpophoroids using

molecular markers or genomic information could address this question more thoroughly.

Interestingly, *Armillaria* species parasitized by *E. abortivum* appear to be only those

present in Eastern North America and Eastern Asia (17, 42, 68).

Conclusions. Data from this study support the hypothesis that *E. abortivum* is a

mycoparasite of *Armillaria* sporocarps. Three β-trefoil-type lectins are differentially

upregulated by *E. abortivum* in the carpophoroid tissue, and we propose that these

lectins mediate recognition with *Armillaria* sporocarps through binding to an *Armillaria*-

specific galactomannoprotein. We hypothesize that by using oxalate decarboxylase, *E.*

abortivum is likely defending against the secretion of OA by *Armillaria*. These strategies

employed by *E. abortivum* for recognition and defense are similar to mechanisms

utilized by other mycoparasites, suggesting that even distantly related mycoparasites

utilize similar genetic mechanisms to mediate mycoparasitic interactions. One

weakness of this study is that we were limited to the carpophoroid life stage that was

available at the point of collection, which led us to speculate about what is occurring

during other stages of mycoparasitism (i.e. sensing the host, initiating the interaction

and killing and consuming the host). Therefore, future studies using culture methods,

isotopic analysis and metatranscriptomics of naturally collected carpophoroids at

different life stages (i.e. younger and older carpophoroid specimens) will be necessary

to completely tease apart the putative mycoparasitic strategies employed by *E.*

abortivum and the defense responses by the *Armillaria* host. Finally, given that

Armillaria species are pathogens in both natural and agronomic systems, a better

understanding of this interaction may lead to the development of biocontrol methods for

the control of Armillaria root rot.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection, preparation, and sequencing. Sporocarps of *Armillaria* sp., *E.*

arbotivum, and the mixed-tissue carpophoroids were observed fruiting in proximity to

one another on 18 September 2015 within the Baker Woodlot and Rajendra Neotropical

Migrant Bird Sanctuary, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48823 (42°42'56.4"

N, 84°28'34.4" W) (collection accession: JRH 2446). Entire sporocarps were collected

and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently stored at -80°C. At the

time of processing, three biological replicates of each of the three tissue types

(*Armillaria sp*. sporocarp, *E. abortivum* sporocarp, and carpophoroid), were individually

ground in liquid N_2. Total RNA was then extracted from the ground tissue using the

Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's

protocol. RNA concentration and quality for each of the samples were assessed on a

DeNovix DS-11 FX Spectrophotometer (DeNovix Inc., Wilmington, DE 19810, USA) and

then shipped directly to the University of Minnesota's Genomics Center

(https://genomics.umn.edu). Three technical replicates were sequenced for each

biological replicate. Transcriptomic and metatranscriptomic libraries were constructed

with the TruSeq Standard Total RNA Library Preparation Kit with Ribo-Zero ribosomal

reduction following the protocol developed by Schuierer et al. (69). Nucleotide

sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 System (Illumina Inc., San

Diego, USA) and paired-end RNA sequence reads of 51 bp were generated for further

analysis.

De novo transcriptome assembly, transcript abundance estimation and gene

expression analysis. The quality of the raw reads was assessed using FastQC version

0.11.9 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). The range of the

number of reads for each condition are as follows: *E. abortivum* sporocarps ranged from

10,584,302 to 14,473,328; *Armillaria* sporocarps ranged from 11,712,320 to 12,431,979;

and the carpophoroids (containing reads from both organisms) ranged from 9,146,682

to 12,852,086. Sequencing adaptors were trimmed and PhiX contaminants were filtered

for each sample using bbduk (https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-

guide/bbduk-guide/). Prior to transcriptome assembly, *k-mer* hash sizes were estimated

with *khmer* (70). De novo assemblies were constructed independently for both *Armillaria*

sp. and *E. arbotivum* with Trinity version 2.11.0 (71) using the trimmed reads generated

from the respective sporocarp reads. Assembly statistics for both transcriptomes were

generated with QUAST version 5 (72) and transcriptome completeness was assessed

by determining the percentage of sequenced BUSCOs in each (73).

The results of the *de novo* transcriptome assemblies were used as references to

perform sample-specific expression analysis. The trimmed sporocarp reads from each

of the nine replicates were mapped against their respective reference transcriptomes

using Bowtie 2 (74) followed by calculation of abundance estimates using RSEM (75).

The trimmed carpophoroid reads were also subsequently mapped, following the same

protocol as described above, to both the *Armillaria* sp. and *E. arbotivum* transcriptomes.

Because of the close phylogenetic relatedness between these two species, and to filter

out poorly aligned reads, we only retained mapped reads for all samples that had a

MAPQ value of 30 and above, which is equivalent to reads that have a 99.9% chance of

hitting the correct match. The R package edgeR (76) and "trimmed mean of M-value"

(TMM) normalization (77) were used to determine differentially upregulated transcripts

between: 1) *Armillaria* sporocarps and carpophoroids, and 2) *E. abortivum* sporocarps

and carpophoroids. Transcripts were considered differentially upregulated if they had a

logFC of two or greater and an FDR-adjusted p-value, or q-value, of < 0.05. All

statistical analyses for the packages listed above were conducted using R version 4.0.3

(http://www.r-project.org/).

We used SAMtools (78) to determine the number of reads from the

carpophoroids that mapped to our reference transcriptomes of *E. abortivum* and our

particular *Armillaria* species. To understand whether the number of reads that mapped

to the carpophoroids differed significantly between each fungal species, we performed

an *F*-test of equality of variances, and then a two-tailed *t*-test assuming unequal

variance with a p-value < 0.05 denoting significance.

Sporocarp Transcriptome and Carpophoroid Metatranscriptome Annotation. We

annotated the *Armillaria* sp. and *E. abortivum* transcriptomes using Trinotate version 3.2

(79). Briefly, the transcripts were translated to coding protein sequences using

TransDecoder version 5.5.0 (http://transdecoder.github.io) following identification of the

longest open reading frames. To identify the most likely homologous sequence data, we

used *blastx* on the transcripts and *blastp* on the predicted protein sequences (80). Using

the predicted protein sequences, we also ran a HMMER (81) search against the PFAM

database (82) to identify conserved domains that might be suggestive of function. We

also compared these results to currently curated annotation databases such as Gene

Ontology (GO) (83) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (84–86).

We used dbCAN2 (87) to annotate the CAZymes present in both species and compared

their CAZy content to other closely related Agaricales species (22, 54–56), along with

antiSMASH version 5.0 (88) to identify transcripts that belong to secondary metabolite

gene clusters for both *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum*.

518   Finally, because this work was based off *de novo* transcriptome assemblies,

there was the possibility that transcripts from contaminating organisms, including

insects, yeasts, and other fungi, were also present and may be confounding the results.

We approached this issue multiple ways. First, we extracted all internal transcribed

spacer (ITS) regions from both transcriptomes, including the regions 18S, ITS1, 5.8S,

ITS2, and 28S, using ITSx (89), and identified the origin of those sequences. To verify

the number of mapped reads that represent rRNA, we also calculated the proportion of

reads that mapped to the transcripts that contained the ITS sequences to the total

number of mapped reads. Second, because high-quality genome assemblies of

Armillaria exist (22, 66), we used Exonerate (90) to determine how many of the gene

models in our *Armillaria* transcriptome are orthologs of *A. gallica*—the *Armillaria* species

with the largest number of known gene models. Finally, all genes that we report on as

important to this interaction were manually verified to be from the target organism—

Armillaria or *E. abortivum*—using the NCBI *blastx* tool (80) against the non-redundant

protein sequences database and confirming a hit from the Physalacriaceae or

Entolomataceae/Lyophyllaceae, respectively.

Phylogenetic analysis of* Armillaria *transcripts. In order to identify the specific

species of *Armillaria* associated in this relationship, we identified BUSCOs (73) from the

transcriptome of our *Armillaria* sporocarps along with other *Armillaria* and

Physalacriaceae species with previously sequenced genomes (22, 66, 91, 92). We

randomly selected 100 BUSCOs to reconstruct a phylogenomic tree from the six

Armillaria specimens (22, 66), *Guyanagaster necrorhizus* (92) and *Cylindrobasidium*

torrendii (91), which served as the outgroup. Protein-coding sequences were aligned

using MAFFT version 7 (93) and non-informative sites and non-aligning regions were

trimmed with Gblocks (94). The 100 BUSCOs were concatenated into a supermatrix

with 64,436 sites. This supermatrix was used to infer a species tree and branch support

using RAxML-NG (95), using a partitioned WAG+G model, where each data partition

represented an individual BUSCO.

To expand on the phylogenomic analysis above, we used the representative

Armillaria ITS sequence obtained from ITSx, and given the close relationship of our

Armillaria species to *A. mellea*, we pulled all *A. mellea* ITS sequences from GenBank

that included associated location metadata (96–110) (Table S1). These sequences

were aligned using MAFFT version 7 (93), with refinements to the alignment performed

manually. RAxML-NG (95) was used to reconstruct this phylogeny. Taxa used to root

this phylogeny included *A. gemina*, *A. sinapina*, *A. puiggarii* and *A. luteobubalina*—all

members of the sister lineage to *A. mellea fide* (16).

Data and code availability. The raw reads and assembled transcriptomes generated

during this study have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are

accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE183699

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE183699) or under the NCBI

BioProject PRJNA761704. All other associated data, including analysis code and

information on voucher collections, are available at

https://github.com/HerrLab/Koch_Arma-Ento_2021.

Acknowledgements

We would like to give special thanks to Ben Lemmond and Eva Skific for providing

photos of this system and to Greg Bonito at Michigan State University for generously

storing sporocarp and carpophoroid tissue until we were able to transport the tissue to

our laboratory. We also want to thank three anonymous reviewers who provided

feedback and comments on the initial submission of this manuscript. This work was

completed using the Holland Computing Center of the University of Nebraska, which

receives support from the Nebraska Research Initiative. This research was directly

supported by start-up funding from the University of Nebraska Agricultural Research

Division and the University of Nebraska Office of Research and Economic

Development. Additionally, JRH acknowledges funding from the US National Air &

Space Administration (Grant #80NSSC17K0737), the US National Science Foundation

(EPSCoR Grant #1557417), and US National Institute of Justice (Grant #2017-IJ-CX-

0025), all of which indirectly supported this research through the support of research in

his laboratory. Funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection and

interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Conflict of Interest Statement

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of

interest.

Author Contributions

JRH initiated the work and sampled field collections; RAK extracted RNA from all the

tissue samples and performed the laboratory work; RAK and JRH processed the

experimental data, analyzed the data, designed the figures, and drafted the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Zeilinger S, Omann M. 2007. *Trichoderma* biocontrol: signal transduction

pathways involved in host sensing and mycoparasitism. *Gene Regul Syst Bio*

1:227–234.

2. Sun Z-B, Li S-D, Ren Q, Xu J-L, Lu X, Sun M-H. 2020. Biology and applications

of *Clonostachys rosea*. *J Appl Microbiol* **129**:486–495.

3. Quandt CA, Kepler RM, Gams W, Araújo JPM, Ban S, Evans HC, Hughes D,

Humber R, Hywel-Jones N, Li Z, Luangsa-Ard JJ, Rehner SA, Sanjuan T, Sato

H, Shrestha B, Sung G-H, Yao Y-J, Zare R, Spatafora JW. 2014. Phylogenetic-

based nomenclatural proposals for Ophiocordycipitaceae (Hypocreales) with new

combinations in *Tolypocladium*. *IMA Fungus* **5**:121–134.

4. Currie CR, Mueller UG, Malloch D. 1999. The agricultural pathology of ant fungus

gardens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:7998–8002.

5. Reynolds HT, Currie CR. 2004. Pathogenicity of *Escovopsis weberi*: the parasite

of the attine ant-microbe symbiosis directly consumes the ant-cultivated fungus.

Mycologia **96**:955–959.

6. Rogerson CT, Samuels GJ. 1994. Agaricicolous species of *Hypomyces*.

Mycologia **86**:839–866.

7. Zugmaier W, Bauer R, Oberwinkler F. 1994. Mycoparasitism of some *Tremella*

species. *Mycologia* **86**:49–56.

8. Zamora JC, Millanes AM, Rico VJ, Pérez-Ortega S. 2015. Understanding

lichenicolous heterobasidiomycetes: new taxa and reproductive innovations in

Tremella s.l. <u>*Mycologia*</u> **108**:381–396.

9. Redhead SA, Ammirati JF, Walker GR, Norvell LL, Puccio MB. 1994.

Squamanita contortipes, the Rosetta Stone of a mycoparasitic agaric genus. *Can*

J Bot **72**:1814–1828.

10. Griffith GW, Piotr Gajda K, Detheridge AP, Douglas B, Bingham J, Turner A,

Bowmaker V, Evans DA, McAdoo WG, Dentinger BTM. 2019. Strangler

unmasked: Parasitism of *Cystoderma amianthinum* by *Squamanita paradoxa* and

S. pearsonii. *Fungal Ecol* **39**:131–141.

11. Weber R, Webster J. 1996. *Volvariella surrecta*: An uncommon mycoparasite.

Mycologist **10**:160*.*

12. Both EE. 2006. Personal encounters with the parasitic bolete. *Field Mycol* **7**:1–7.

13. Buller A. 1924. *Researches on Fungi.* Longmans, London, UK.

14. Watling R. 1974. Dimorphism in *Entoloma abortivum*. *Bull Soc Linn Lyon, Num*

Spec **43**:449–470.

15. Baumgartner K, Coetzee MPA, Hoffmeister D. 2011. Secrets of the subterranean

pathosystem of *Armillaria*. *Mol Plant Pathol* **12**:515–534.

16. Koch RA, Wilson AW, Séné O, Henkel TW, Aime MC. 2018. Resolved phylogeny

and biogeography of the root pathogen *Armillaria* and its gasteroid relative,

Guyanagaster. *BMC Evol Biol* **17**:33.

17. Lindner Czederpiltz DL, Volk TJ, Burdsall HH, Jr. 2001. Field observations and

inoculation experiments to determine the nature of the carpophoroids associated

with *Entoloma abortivum* and *Armillaria*. *Mycologia* **93**:841–851.

18. Druzhinina IS, Seidl-Seiboth V, Herrera-Estrella A, Horwitz BA, Kenerley CM,

Monte E, Mukherjee PK, Zeilinger S, Grigoriev IV, Kubicek CP. 2011.

Trichoderma: the genomics of opportunistic success. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**:749–

759.

19. Quandt CA, Di Y, Elser J, Jaiswal P, Spatafora JW. 2016. Differential expression

of genes involved in host recognition, attachment, and degradation in the

mycoparasite *Tolypocladium ophioglossoides*. *G3* **6**:731–741.

20. Karlsson M, Atanasova L, Jensen DF, Zeilinger S. 2017. Necrotrophic

mycoparasites and their genomes. *Microbiol Spectr* **5**:FUNK-0016-2016.

21. Ross-Davis AL, Stewart JE, Hanna JW, Kim MS, Knaus BJ, Cronn R, Rai H,

Richardson BA, McDonald GI, Klopfenstein NB. 2013. Transcriptome of an

Armillaria root disease pathogen reveals candidate genes involved in host

substrate utilization at the host–pathogen interface. *For Pathol* **43**:468–477.

22. Sipos G, Prasanna AN, Walter MC, O'Connor E, Bálint B, Krizsán K, Kiss B,

Hess J, Varga T, Slot J, Riley R, Bóka B, Rigling D, Barry K, Lee J, Mihaltcheva

S, LaButti K, Lipzen A, Waldron R, Moloney NM, Sperisen C, Kredics L,

Vágvölgyi C, Patrignani A, Fitzpatrick D, Nagy I, Doyle S, Anderson JB, Grigoriev

IV, Güldener U, Münsterkötter M, Nagy L. 2017. Genome expansion and lineage-

specific genetic innovations in the forest pathogenic fungi *Armillaria*. *Nat Ecol*

Evol **1**:1931–1941.

23. Dodd RB, Drickamer K. 2001. Lectin-like proteins in model organisms:

implications for evolution of carbohydrate-binding activity. *Glycobiology* **11**:71R–

79R.

24. Inbar J, Chet I. 1992. Biomimics of fungal cell-cell recognition by use of lectin-

coated nylon fibers. *J Bacteriol* **174**:1055–1059.

25. Inbar J, Chet I. 1996. The role of lectins in recognition and adhesion of the

mycoparasitic fungus *Trichoderma* spp. to its host. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **408**:229–

231.

26. Neethling D, Nevalainen H. 1996. Mycoparasitic species of *Trichoderma* produce

lectins. *Can J Microbiol* **42**:141–146.

27. Schubert M, Bleuler-Martinez S, Butschi A, Wälti MA, Egloff P, Stutz K, Yan S,

Wilson IBH, Hengartner MO, Aebi M, Allain FHT, Künzler M. 2012. Plasticity of

the β-trefoil protein fold in the recognition and control of invertebrate predators

and parasites by a fungal defense system. *PLoS Pathog* **8**:e1002706.

28. Latgé JP, Kobayashi H, Debeaupuis JP, Diaquin M, Sarfati J, Wieruszeski JM,

Parra E, Bouchara JP, Fournet B. 1994. Chemical and immunological

characterization of the extracellular galactomannan of *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

Infect Immun **62**:5424–5433.

29. Cessna SG, Sears VE, Dickman MB, Low PS. 2000. Oxalic acid, a pathogenicity

factor for *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, suppresses the oxidative burst of the host

plant. *Plant Cell* **12**:2191–2200.

30. Dumas MT, Boyonoski N, Jeng RS. 1989. Oxalic acid production by species of

Armillaria in the boreal mixedwood forest. In: Proc. 7th International Conference

on Root and Butt Rots. 9–16 Aug. 1988, Vernon and Victoria, British Columbia.

Forestry Canada, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. 680 p. 593–601.

31. Munir E, Jun Yoon J, Tokimatsu T, Hattori T, Shimada M. 2001. A physiological

role for oxalic acid biosynthesis in the wood-rotting basidiomycete *Fomitopsis*

palustris. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**:11126–11130.

32. Wang Y, Wang Y. 2020. Oxalic acid metabolism contributes to full virulence and

pycnidial development in the poplar canker fungus *Cytospora chrysosperma*.

Phytopathology **110**:1319–1325.

33. Wei SJ, Li GQ, Jiang DH, Wang DB. 2004. Effect of oxalic acid on spore

germination and mycelial growth of the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*.

Acta Phytopathol Sin **34**:199–203.

34. Ren L, Li GQ, Han YC, Jiang DH, Huang HC. 2007. Degradation of oxalic acid by

Coniothyrium minitans and its effects on production and activity of β-1,3-

glucanases of this mycoparasite. *Biol Control* **43**:1–11.

35. Ren L, Li GQ, Jiang DH. 2010. Characterization of some culture factors affecting

oxalate degradation by the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*. *J Appl Microbiol*

108:173–180.

36. Zeng L-M, Zhang J, Han Y-C, Yang L, Wu M-d, Jiang D-H, Chen W, Li G-Q.

2014. Degradation of oxalic acid by the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*

plays an important role in interacting with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Environ*

Microbiol **16**:2591–2610.

37. Dubey M, Jensen DF, Karlsson M. 2015. The ABC transporter ABCG29 is

involved in H_2O_2 tolerance and biocontrol traits in the fungus *Clonostachys rosea*.

Mol Genet Genom **291**:677–686.

38. Ruocco M, Lanzuise S, Vinale F, Marra R, Turrà, Lois Woo S, Lorito M. 2009.

Identification of a new biocontrol gene in *Trichoderma* atroviride: the role of an

ABC transporter membrane pump in the interaction with different plant-

pathogenic fungi. *Mol Plant Microbe In* **22**:291–301.

39. Zhao H, Zhou T, Xie J, Cheng J, Chen T, Jiang D, Fu Y. 2020. Mycoparasitism

illuminated by genome and transcriptome sequencing of *Coniothyrium minitans*,

an important biocontrol fungus of the plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*.

Microb Genom **6**:e000345.

40. Nygren K, Dubey M, Zapparata A, Iqbal M, Tzelepis GD, Brandström Durling M,

Funck Jensen D, Karlsson M. 2018. The mycoparasitic fungus *Clonostachys*

rosea responds with both common and specific gene expression during

interspecific interactions with fungal prey. *Evol Appl* **11**:931–949.

41.Atanasova L, Le Crom S, Gruber S, Coulpier F, Seidl-Seiboth V, Kubick CP,

Druzhinina IS. 2013. Comparative transcriptomics reveals different strategies of

Trichoderma mycoparasitism. *BMC Genom* **14**:121.

42.Cha JY, Igarashi T. 1996. Biological species of *Armillaria* and their mycoparasitic

associations with *Rhodophyllus abortivus* in Hokkaido. *Mycoscience* **37**:25–30.

43.Tregear JW, Roberts LM. 1992. The lectin gene family of *Ricinus communis*:

Cloning of a functional ricin gene and three lectin pseudogenes. *Plant Mol Biol*

18:515–525.

44.Pohleven J, Obermajer N, Sabotič J, Anžlovar S, Sepčić K, Kos J, Kralj B,

Štrukelj B, Brzin J. 2009. Purification, characterization and cloning of a ricin B-

like lectin from mushroom *Clitocybe nebularis* with antiproliferative activity

against human leukemic T cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* **1790**:173–181.

45.Žurga S, Pohleven J, Renko M, Bleuler-Martínez S, Sosnowski P, Turk D,

Künzler M, Kos J, Sabotič J. 2014. A novel beta-trefoil lectin from the parasol

mushroom (*Macrolepiota procera*) is nematotoxic. *FEBS J* **281**:3489–3506.

46.Bovi M, Cenci L, Perduca M, Capaldi S, Carrizo ME, Civiero L, Chiarelli LR,

Galliano M, Monaco HL. 2013. BEL beta-trefoil: a novel lectin with antineoplastic

properties in king bolete (*Boletus edulis*) mushrooms. *Glycobiology* **23**:578–592.

47.Sabotič J, Ohm RA, Künzler M. 2016. Entomotoxic and nematotoxic lectins and

protease inhibitors from fungal fruiting bodies. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **100**:91–

111.

48. Pohleven J, Renko M, Magister S, Smith DF, Künzler M, Strukelj B, Turk D, Kos

J, Sabotič J. 2012. Bivalent carbohydrate binding is required for biological activity

of *Clitocybe nebularis* lectin (CNL), the N, N'-diacetyllactosediamine

(GalNAcbeta1-4GlcNAc, LacdiNAc)-specific lectin from basidiomycete *C.*

nebularis. *J Biol Chem* **287**:10602–10612.

49. Latgé JP. 2007. The cell wall: a carbohydrate armour for the fungal cell. *Mol*

Microbiol **66**:279–290.

50. de Man TJ, Stajich JE, Kubicek CP, Teiling C, Chenthamara K, Atanasova L,

Druzhinina IS, Levenkova N, Birnbaum SS, Barribeau SM, Bozick BA, Suen G,

Currie CR, Gerardo NM. 2016. Small genome of the fungus *Escovopsis weberi*, a

specialized disease agent of ant agriculture. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**:3567–

3572.

51. Kubicek CP, Herrera-Estrella A, Seidl-Seiboth V, Martinez DA, Druzhinina IS,

Thon M, Zeilinger S, Casas-Flores S, Horwitz BA, Mukherjee PK, Mukherjee M,

Kredics L, Alcaraz LD, Aerts A, Antal Z, Atanasova L, Cervantes-Badillo MG,

Challacombe J, Chertkov O, McCluskey K, Coulpier F, Deshpande N, von

Döhren H, Ebbole DJ, Esquivel-Naranjo EU, Fekete E, Flipphi M, Glaser F,

Gómez-Rodríguez EY. Gruber S, Han C, Henrissat B, Hermosa R, Hernández-

Oñate M, Karaffa L, Kosti I, Le Crom S, Lindquist E, Lucas S, Lübeck M, Lübeck

PS, Margeot A, Metz B, Misra M, Nevalainen H, Omann M, Packer N, Perrone G,

Uresti-Rivera EE, Salamov A, Schmoll M, Seiboth B, Shapiro H, Sukno S,

Tamayo-Ramos JA, Tisch D, Wiest A, Wilkinson HH, Zhang M, Coutinho PM,

Kenerley CM, Monte E, Baker SE, Grigoriev IV. 2011. Comparative genome

sequence analysis underscores mycoparasitism as the ancestral life style of

Trichoderma. *Genome Biol* **12**:R40.

52. Ihrmark K, Asmail N, Ubhayasekera W, Melin P, Stenlid J, Karlsson M. 2010.

Comparative molecular evolution of *Trichoderma* chitinases in response to

mycoparasitic interactions. *Evol Bioinform* **6**:1–26.

53. Xie BB, Qin QL, Shi M, Chen LL, Shu YL, Luo Y, Wang XW, Rong JC, Gong ZT,

Li D, Sun CY, Liu GM, Dong XW, Pang XH, Huang F, Liu W, Chen XL, Zhou BC,

Zhang YZ, Song ZY. 2014. Comparative genomics provides insights into

evolution of *Trichoderma* nutrition style. *Genome Biol Evol* **6**:379–390.

54. Miyauchi S, Kiss E, Kuo A, Drula E, Kohler A, Sánchez-García M, Morin E,

Andreopoulos B, Barry KW, Bonito G, Buée M, Carver A, Chen C, Cichocki N,

Clum A, Culley D, Crous PW, Fauchery L, Girlanda M, Hayes RD, Kéri Z, LaButti

K, Lipzen A, Lombard V, Magnuson J, Maillard F, Murat C, Nolan M, Ohm RA,

Pangilinan J, Pereira MF, Perotto S, Peter M, Pfister S, Riley R, Sitrit Y, Stielow

JB, Szöllősi G, Žifčáková L, Štursová M, Spatafora JW, Tedersoo L, Vaario LM,

Yamada A, Yan M, Wang P, Xu J, Bruns T, Baldrian P, Vilgalys R, Dunand C,

Henrissat B, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett D, Nagy LG, Martin FM. 2020. Large-scale

genome sequencing of mycorrhizal fungi provides insights into the early evolution

of symbiotic traits. *Nat Commun* **11**:5125.

55. Ruiz-Dueñas FJ, Barrasa JM, Sánchez-García M, Camarero S, Miyauchi S,

Serrano A, Linde D, Babiker R, Drula E, Ayuso-Fernández I, Pacheco R, Padilla

G, Ferreira P, Barriuso J, Kellner H, Castanera R, Alfaro M, Ramirez L, Pisabarro

AG, Riley R, Kuo A, Andreopoulos W, LaButti K, Pangilinan J, Tritt A, Lipzen A,

He G, Yan M, Ng V, Grigoriev IV, Cullen D, Martin F, Rosso MN, Henrissat B,

Hibbett D, Martínez AT. 2020. Genomic analysis enlightens Agaricales lifestyle

evolution and increasing peroxidase diversity. *Mol Biol Evol*

doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa301.

56. Steindorff AS, Carver A, Calhoun S, Stillman K, Liu H, Lipzen A, He G, Yan M,

Pangilinan J, LaButti K, Ng V, Bruns TD, Grigoriev IV. 2020. Comparative

genomics of pyrophilous fungi reveals a link between fire events and

developmental genes. *Environ Microbiol* **23**:99–109.

57. Han Y-C, Li G-Q, Yang L, Jiang D-H. 2011. Molecular cloning, characterization

and expression analysis of a *pacC* homolog in the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium*

minitans. World J Microbiol Biotechnol **27**:381–391.

58. Ahrendt SR, Quandt CA, Ciobanu D, Clum A, Salamov A, Andreopoulos B,

Cheng J-F, Woyke T, Pelin A, Henrissat B, Reynolds NK, Benny GL, Smith ME,

James TY, Grigoriev IV. 2018. Leveraging single-cell genomics to expand the

fungal tree of life. *Nat Microbiol* **3**:1417–1428.

59. Palmer JM, Drees KP, Foster JT, Lindner DL. 2018. Extreme sensitivity to

ultraviolet light in the fungal pathogen causing white-nose syndrome of bats. *Nat*

Commun **9**:35.

60. Agerer R, Waller K. 1993. Mycorrhizae of *Entoloma saepium*: parasitism or

symbiosis? *Mycorrhiza* **3**:145–154.

61. Kobayashi H, Hatano K. 2001. A morphological study of the mycorrhiza of

Entoloma clypeatum f. *hybridum* on *Rosa multiflora*. *Mycoscience* **42**:83–90.

62. Shishikura M, Takemura Y, Sotome K, Maekawa N, Nakagiri A, Endo N. 2021.

Four mycelial strains of *Entoloma clypeatum* species complex form

ectomycorrhiza-like roots with *Pyrus betulifolia* seedlings in vitro, and one

develops fruiting bodies 2 months after inoculation. *Mycorrhiza* **31**:31–42.

63. Kohler A, Kuo A, Nagy LG, Morin E, Barry KW, Buscot F, Canbäck B, Choi C,

Cichocki N, Clum A, Colpaert J, Copeland A, Costa MD, Doré J, Floudas D, Gay

G, Girlanda M, Henrissat B, Herrmann S, Hess J, Högberg N, Johansson T,

Khouja H-R, LaButti K, Lahrmann U, Levasseur A, Lindquist EA, Lipzen A,

Marmeisse R, Martino E, Murat C, Ngan CY, Nehls U, Plett JM, Pringle A, Ohm

RA, Perotto S, Peter M, Riley R, Rineau F, Ruytinx J, Salamov A, Shah F, Sun

H, Tarkka M, Tritt A, Veneault-Fourrey C, Zuccaro A, Mycorrhizal Genomics

Initiative Consortium, Tunlid A, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett DS, Martin F. 2015.

Convergent losses of decay mechanisms and rapid turnover of symbiosis genes

in mycorrhizal mutualists. *Nat Genet* **47**:410–415.

64. Noordeloos ME. 1987. *Entoloma* (Agaricales) in Europe. *Beih Nova Hedwigia*

91:1–419.

65. Noordeloos ME. 1993. Studies in *Clitopilus* (Basidiomycetes, Agaricales) in

Europe. *Persoonia* **15**:241–248.

66. Collins C, Keane TM, Turner DJ, O'Keeffe G, Fitzpatrick DA, Doyle S. 2013.

Genomic and proteomic dissection of the ubiquitous plant pathogen, *Armillaria*

mellea: Toward a new infection model system. *J Proteome Res* **12**:2552–2570.

67. Strickler SR, Bombarely A, Mueller LA. 2012. Designing a transcriptome next-

generation sequencing project for a nonmodel plant species. *Am J Bot* **99**:257–

266.

68. Fukuda M, Nakashima E, Hayashi K, Nagasawa E. 2003. Identification of the

biological species of *Armillaria* associated with *Wynnea* and *Entoloma abortivum*

using PCR-RFLP analysis of the intergenic region (IGR) of ribosomal DNA.

Mycol Res **107**:1435–1441.

69. Schuierer S, Carbone W, Knehr J, Petitjean V, Fernandez A, Sultan M, Roma G.

2017. A comprehensive assessment of RNA-seq protocols for degraded and low-

quantity samples. *BMC Genom* **18**:1–13.

70. Crusoe MR, Alameldin HF, Awad S, Boucher E, Caldwell A, Cartwright R,

Charbonneau A, Constantinides B, Edvenson G, Fay S, Fenton J, Fenzl T, Fish

J, Garcia-Gutierrez L, Garland P, Gluck J, González I, Guermond S, Guo J,

Gupta A, Herr JR, Howe A, Hyer A, Härpfer A, Irber L, Kidd R, Lin D, Lippi J,

Mansour T, McA'Nulty P, McDonald E, Mizzi J, Murray KD, Nahum JR, Nanlohy

K, Nederbragt AJ, Ortiz-Zuazaga H, Ory J, Pell J, Pepe-Ranney C, Russ ZN,

Schwarz E, Scott C, Seaman J, Sievert S, Simpson J, Skennerton CT, Spencer

J, Srinivasan R, Standage D, Stapleton JA, Steinman SR, Stein J, Taylor B,

Trimble W, Wiencko HL, Wright M, Wyss B, Zhang Q, zyme e, Brown CT. 2015.

The khmer software package: enabling efficient nucleotide sequence analysis.

F1000Res **4**:900.

71. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X,

Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A,

Rhind N, di Palma F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N,

Regev A. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a

genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**:644–652.

72. Mikheenko A, Prjibelski A, Saveliev V, Antipov D, Gurevich A. 2018. Versatile

genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* **34**:i142–i150.

73. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly

and annotation completeness. In: Kollmar M (eds) Gene Prediction. Methods in

Molecular Biology, vol 1962. Humana, New York, NW.

74. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*

Methods **9**:357–359.

75. Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq

data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform* **12**:323.

76. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package

for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*

26:139–140.

77. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. 2010. A scaling normalization method for differential

expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* **11**:R25.

78. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis

G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The

Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**:2078–2079.

79. Bryant DM, Johnson K, DiTommaso T, Tickle T, Brian Couger M, Payzin-Dogru

D, Lee TJ, Leigh ND, Kuo T-H, Davis FG, Bateman J, Bryant S, Guzikowski AR,

Tsai SL, Coyne S, Ye WW, Freeman RM, Jr, Peshkin L, Tabin CJ, Regev A,

Haas BJ, Whited JL. 2017. A tissue-mapped azolotl de novo transcriptome

enables identification of limb regeneration factors. *Cell Rep* **18**:P762–P776.

80. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden

TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and application. *BMC Bioinform* **10**:421.

81. Wheeler TJ, Eddy SR. 2013. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs.

Bioinformatics **29**:3487–3489.

82. Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A,

Hetherington K, Holm L, Mistry J, Sonnhammer ELL, Tate J, Punta M. 2014.

Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:D222–D230.

83. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP,

Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A,

Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. 2000.

Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* **25**:25–29.

84. Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Nucleic Acids Res **28**:27–30.

85. Kanehisa M. 2019. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular

organisms. *Protein Sci* **28**:1947–1951.

86. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Ishiguro-Watanabe M, Tanabe M. 2021.

KEGG: integrating viruses and cellular organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:D545–

D551.

87. Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, Busk PK, Xu Y, Yin Y.

2018. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme

annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**:W95–W101.

88. Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Yup Lee S, Medema MH,

Weber T. 2019. antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome

mining pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W81–W87.

89. Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godhe A, De

Wit P, Sánchez-García M, Ebersberger I, de Sousa F, Amend A, Jumpponen A,

Unterseher M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Bertrand YJK, Sanli K, Eriksson KM,

Vik U, Veldre V, Nilsson RH. 2013. Improved software detection and extraction of

ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for

analysis of environmental sequencing data. *Methods Ecol Evol* **4**:914–919.

90. Slater GSC, Birney E. 2005. Automated generation of heuristics for biological

sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* **6**:31.

91. Floudas D, Held BW, Riley R, Nagy LG, Koehler G, Ransdell AS, Younus H,

Chow J, Chiniquy J, Lipzen A, Tritt A, Sun H, Haridas S, LaButtie K, Ohm RA,

Kües U, Blanchette RA, Grigoriev IV, Minto RE, Hibbett DS. 2015. Evolution of

novel wood decay mechanisms in Agaricales revealed by the genome

sequences of *Fistulina hepatica* and *Cylindrobasidium torrendii*. *Fungal Genet*

Biol **76**:78–92.

92. Koch RA, Yoon GM, Arayal UK, Lail K, Amirebrahimi M, LaButti K, Lipzen A,

Riley R, Barry K, Henrissat B, Grigoriev IV, Herr JR, Aime MC. 2021. Symbiotic

nitrogen fixation in the reproductive structures of a basidiomycete fungus. *Curr*

Biol **31**:3905–3914.

93. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**:772–780.

94. Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for

their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* **17**:540–552.

95. Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast,

scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

Bioinformatics **35**:4453–4455.

96. Guo T, Wang HC, Xue WQ, Zhao J, Yang ZL. 2016. Phylogenetic analyses of

Armillaria reveal at least 15 phylogenetic lineages in China, seven of which are

associated with cultivated *Gastrodia elata*. *PLoS One* **11**:e0154794.

97. Coetzee MPA, Wingfield BD, Harrington TC, Dalevi D, Coutinho TA, Wingfield

MJ. 2000. Geographical diversity of *Armillaria mellea* s. s. based on phylogenetic

analysis. *Mycologia* **92**:105–113.

98. Coetzee MPA, Wingfield BD, Bloomer P, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Phylogenetic

analyses of DNA sequences reveal species partitions amongst isolates of

Armillaria from Africa. *Mycol Res* **109**:1223–1234.

99. Schneider-Maunoury L, Deveau A, Moreno M, Todesco F, Belmondo S, Murat C,

Courty P-E, Jąkalski M, Selosse M-A. 2020. Two ectomycorrhizal truffles, *Tuber*

melanosporum and *T. aestivum*, endophytically colonise roots of non-

ectomycorrhizal plants in natural environments. *New Phytol* **225**:2542–2556.

100.   Coetzee MPA, Bloomer P, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD. 2011. Paleogene

radiation of a plant pathogenic mushroom. *PLoS One* **6**:e28545.

101.   Osmundson TW, Robert VA, Schoch CL, Baker LJ, Smith A, Robich G,

Mizzan L, Garbelotto MM. 2013. Filling gaps in biodiversity knowledge for

macrofungi: contributions and assessment of an herbarium collection DNA

barcode sequencing project. *PLoS One* **8**:e62419.

102.   Kasper-Pakosz R, Pietras M, Łuczaj Ł. 2016. Wild and native plants and

mushrooms sold in the open-air markets of south-eastern Poland. *J Ethnobiol*

Ethnomed **12**:45.

103.   Hughes KW, Petersen RH, Lodge DJ, Bergemann SE, Baumgartner K,

Tulloss RE, Lickey E, Cifuentes J. 2013. Evolutionary consequences of putative

intra- and interspecific hybridization in agaric fungi. *Mycologia* **105**:1577–1594.

104.   Potyralska A, Schmidt O, Moreth U, Łakomy P, Siwecki R. 2002. rDNA-

ITS sequence of *Armillaria* species and a specific primer for *A. mellea*. *For Genet*

9:119–124.

105.   Ortega A, Moreno G, Platas G, Pelaez F. 2008. A new *Armillaria mellea*

variant from tops of coastal sand dunes in southern Spain. *Mycotaxon* **104**:349–

364.

106.   Vu D, Groenewald M, de Vries M, Gehrmann T, Stielow B, Eberhardt U,

Al-Hatmi A, Groenewald JZ, Cardinali G, Houbraken J, Boekhout T, Crous PW,

Robert V, Verkley GJM. 2019. Large-scale generation and analysis of

filamentous fungal DNA barcodes boosts coverage for kingdom fungi and reveals

thresholds for fungal species and higher taxon delimitation. *Stud Mycol* **92**:135–

154.

107.   Binder M, Hibbett DS, Wang Z, Farnham WF. 2006. Evolutionary

relationships of *Mycaureola dilseae* (Agaricales), a basidiomycete pathogen of a

subtidal rhodophyte. *Am J Bot* **93**:547–556.

108.   Haelewaters D, Dirks AC, Kappler LA, Mitchell JK, Quijada L, Vandegrift

R, Buyck B, Pfister DH. 2018. A preliminary checklist of fungi at the Boston

Harbor Islands. *Northeast Nat* **25**:45–76.

109.   Kim M-S, Klopfenstein NB, Hanna JW, McDonald GI. 2006.

Characterization of North American *Armillaria* species: genetic relationships

determined by ribosomal DNA sequences and AFLP markers. *For Path* **36**:145–

164.

110.   Schnabel G, Ash JS, Bryson PK. 2005. Identification and characterization

of *Armillaria tabescens* from the southeastern United States. *Mycol Res*

109:1208–1222.

111.   Dentinger BTM, Gaya E, O'Brien H, Suz LM, Lachlan R, Díaz-Valderrama

JR, Koch RA, Aime MC. 2016. Tales from the crypt: genome mining from

fungarium specimens improves resolution of the mushroom tree of life. *Biol J*

Linn Soc **117**:11–32.

TABLE 1 Ten most abundant *E. abortivum* transcripts in sporocarps and carpophoroids

Gene	Annotation	Cond.	C (TMM)	S (TMM)	logFC	q-value
ENT_DN2762_c0_g3	Oxalate decarboxylase	C	68,724	238	-8.5	4.5×10^{-317}
ENT_DN1212_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C/S	55,939	12,590	-2.5	4.3×10^{-49}
ENT_DN3063_c0_g2	Oxalate decarboxylase	C	48,157	135	-8.8	0
ENT_DN1952_c0_g2	Acid phosphatase	C	19,999	677	-5.2	1.3×10^{-169}
ENT_DN4045_c1_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	19,965	3,374	-2.9	3.4×10^{-63}
ENT_DN5742_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	11,229	23	-9.3	6.9×10^{-220}
ENT_DN4332_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	11,038	607	-4.5	4.7×10^{-77}
ENT_DN1952_c0_g1	Acid phosphatase	C	10,766	673	-4.4	1.9×10^{-122}
ENT_DN2936_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	C	9,212	3,686	NS	
ENT_DN3086_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	9,076	1,563	-2.9	2.2×10^{-62}
ENT_DN1375_c0_g1	Trehalase	S	1,934	17,890	2.9	6.5×10^{-37}
ENT_DN5247_c0_g3	Hypothetical protein	S	2,718	7,204	NS	
ENT_DN761_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	S	7,405	6,918	NS	
ENT_DN521_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	S	6,045	6,424	NS	
ENT_DN852_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	123	5,429	5.1	2.0×10^{-142}
ENT_DN3621_c0_g2	RNA polymerase	S	1,977	5,138	NS	
ENT_DN6707_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	1,654	5,027	NS	
ENT_DN2379_c0_g1	TPR-like protein	S	4,862	5,017	NS	
ENT_DN466_c0_g1	Auxin efflux carrier	S	1,205	4,333	NS	

Cond.: condition in which each gene was most abundant, referring to either the carpophoroids (C) or sporocarps (S). NS: not significantly

differentially upregulated. Negative logFC values are significantly differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid, whereas positive values are

significantly differentially upregulated in the sporocarp. Transcripts discussed in the text include two oxalate decarboxylases

(ENT_DN2762_c0_g3, ENT_DN3063_c0_g2), three β-trefoil-type lectins (ENT_DN4359_c0_g1, ENT_DN1877_c0_g1,

ENT_DN4255_c0_g1), three ABC transporters (ENT_DN1537_c0_g1, ENT_DN189_c0_g1, ENT_DN3860_c0_G1), two chitinases

(ENT_DN2096_c0_g1, ENG_DN4507_c0_g3) and 14 MFS transcripts (ENT_DN409_c0_g1, ENT_DN1954_c0_g1, ENT_DN1998_c0_g1,

ENT_DN2070_c0_g1, ENT_DN2744_c0_g1, ENT_DN3292_c0_g1, ENT_DN3474_c0_g1, ENT_DN3861_c0_g1, ENT_DN3943_c0_g1,

ENT_DN3943_c0_g2, ENT_DN3981_c0_g1, ENT_DN4751_c0_g2, ENT_DN6588_c0_g1, ENT_DN6695_c0_g1).

1005

1006   TABLE 2 Ten most abundant *Armillaria* transcripts in sporocarps and carpophoroids

Gene	Annotation	Cond.	C (TMM)	S (TMM)	logFC	*q*-value
ARM_DN1755_c2_g1	Cell wall galactomannoprotein	S	0	36,479	13.5	4.0×10^{-182}
ARM_DN3840_c0_g1	Serine carboxypeptidase	S	12	29,472	6.7	7.9×10^{-100}
ARM_DN22943_c1_g1	Rab geranylgeranyltransferase	S	21	18,649	5.1	3.8×10^{-89}
ARM_DN1737_c0_g1	LysM-domain-containing protein	S	0	14,557	15.9	3.0×10^{-159}
ARM_DN1699_c3_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	9	12,848	5.8	1.6×10^{-94}
ARM_DN1314_c0_g1	Chondroitin AC/alginate lyase	S	102	11,436	2.2	3.7×10^{-25}
ARM_DN20980_c0_g1	Glycopeptide	S	0	10,449	15.4	3.4×10^{-139}
ARM_DN8135_c0_g1	Rasp f 7 allergen	S	0	9,014	11.5	1.8×10^{-58}
ARM_DN4971_c0_g2	Aldehyde dehydrogenase	S	103	8,688	NS	
ARM_DN1205_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S/C	9,049	8,348	-4.8	2.1×10^{-74}
ARM_DN5170_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	2,086	377	-7.1	6.9×10^{-150}
ARM_DN23207_c0_g2	Senescence-associated	C	1,711	2,482	-4.1	6.6×10^{-61}
ARM_DN996_c0_g1	Senescence-associated	C	1,423	1,090	-5.0	3.0×10^{-73}
ARM_DN409_c1_g1	Elongation factor 1-alpha	C	769	1,154	-4.1	4.0×10^{-155}
ARM_DN1893_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	722	58	-8.3	5.3×10^{-109}
ARM_DN693_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	520	840	-3.9	1.1×10^{-48}
ARM_DN1222_c0_g1	CYS3-cystathionine gamma-lyase	C	485	717	-4.1	5.5×10^{-27}
ARM_DN1146_c0_g4	Heat shock protein 70	C	431	2,361	-2.2	1.7×10^{-54}
ARM_DN3800_c0_g1	ATP synthase F1	C	300	4,686	NS	

Cond.: condition in which each gene was most abundant, referring to either the carpophoroids (C) or sporocarps (S). NS: not significantly differentially upregulated. Negative logFC values are significantly differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid, whereas positive values are significantly differentially upregulated in the sporocarp. Transcripts discussed in the text were an isocitrate lyase (ARM_DN1_c7_g1), two putative senescence-associated proteins (ARM_DN23207_c0_g2, ARM_DN996_c0_g1), and a heat shock protein (ARM_DN1146_c0_g4).

1032

FIG 1 The components of this fungal interaction in nature. (A) All three components—

Armillaria and *E. abortivum* sporocarps, along with carpophoroids—fruiting

synchronously. (B) A close-up photo of all of the components, with an *Armillaria*

sporocarp indicated by the yellow arrow, an *E. abortivum* sporocarp indicated by the

light pink arrow and a carpophoroid indicated by the magenta arrow. Scale bars in A are

equal to 10 cm and in B are equal to 1 cm. Photos taken by Ben Lemmond.

1039

FIG 2 Number of total gene models and gene copy numbers of CAZymes. (A) A

representative phylogeny based off phylogenetic evidence from (22) and (111). (B)

Filled in, colored dots represent the number of fungal gene models in the transcriptomes

of *Armillaria* (yellow) and *E. abortivum* (pink) generated in this study, along with those of

closely related species from previously generated genomic data (filled in black dots).

The dashed circle associated with the *Armillaria* carpophoroid represents the total

number of identified gene models (inclusive of additional non-*Armillaria* genes), showing

that nearly one quarter of the total gene models in the *Armillaria* transciptome were from

organisms other than the target. (C) Heat map showing gene copy numbers of plant cell

wall degrading enzymes detected in the transcriptomes of *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria*,

along with other closely related species. Abbreviations: AA: Auxiliary Activities; CBM:

Carbohydrate-Binding Modules; CE: Carbohydrate Esterase; GH: Glycoside Hydrolase;

PL: Polysaccharide Lyase.

1053

1054

FIG 3 Differentially upregulated transcripts in the sporocarp and carpophoroid. (A) Venn

diagram showing the number of differentially upregulated transcripts between the

sporocarp and carpophoroid in *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum*; photo of *E. abortivum* taken

by Eva Skific. (B) Bar graph showing the number of copies of genes important in

mycoparasitic interactions. The white portion of the bar shows the number of these

genes detected in the transcriptome but not differentially upregulated, while the darker

colors (brown and purple, respectively) show the number of genes that are differentially

upregulated by each species in the carpophoroid and the lighter colors (yellow and

coral, respectively) show the number of these genes that are differentially upregulated

by each species in their respective sporocarp.

1065

FIG 4 Volcano plots. Each dot represents a transcript plotted according to its $\log_2$ fold

change and the -$\log_{10}$ of its *p*-value. Transcripts with the highest statistical significance

and the largest fold change will be represented by dots towards the top of the plot that

are far to either the left- (carpophoroid) or right-hand (sporocarp) sides. All transcripts

with a *q*-value < 0.05 are shown. Black dots represent a gene with a non-significant

logFC (-2 < logFC < 2). (A) *Armillaria*. (B) *E. abortivum*. Dots with a black circle around

them are annotated according to the abbreviations; OD: oxalate decarboxylase; CWG:

cell wall galactomannoprotein.

1074

FIG 5 Genes in both *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum* that are putatively important in the

recognition and defense responses during this mycoparasitic interaction. (A) An

Armillaria cell wall galactomannan that is differentially upregulated in the sporocarp; (B)

three *E. abortivum* β-trefoil-type lectins that are differentially upregulated in the

carpophoroid; (C) an *Armillaria* transcript that codes for isocitrate lyase that is

differentially upregulated in its sporocarps; and (D) two *E. abortivum* transcripts that

code for oxalate decarboxylases that are differentially upregulated in the carpophoroids.

1083   All results are shown as means ± standard error of the mean. TMM: normalized units of

1084   trimmed mean of m-values.

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090 **FIG 6** Boxplots of number of carpophoroid reads that mapped to *Armillaria* and *E.*

1091 *abortivum* when MAPQ = 30. Individual data points are indicated for each species with

1092 an open circle. The continuous line within each box represents the mean number of

1093 mapped reads. Species labeled with different letters (a to b) have a statistically

1094 significant ($p < 0.05$) different number of mapped reads in the carpophoroid.

1095

1096

1097

A

B

1098

FIG 7 Phylogenetic placement of the *Armillaria* species used in this analysis. (A)

Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Armillaria* species and *Guyanagaster necrorhizus*

generated from the analysis of 100 random BUSCOs. The outgroup taxon is

Cylindrobasidium torrendii. Each node is fully supported with 100% bootstrap

supported. (B) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Armillaria mellea* phylogenetic tree

generated from the analysis of the ITS region. Branches with 70% or more bootstrap

support are thickened. Outgroup taxa include *A. gemina, A. luteobubalina*, *A. puiggarii*

and *A. sinapina*. The *Armillaria* specimen analyzed during this study is in bold and

yellow in both phylogenies.

1108

1109