Transcriptomics reveals the mycoparasitic strategy of the mushroom *Entoloma*

abortivum* on species of the mushroom *Armillaria

Running title: Mycoparasitism of *Entoloma abortivum*

Rachel A. Koch[1] and Joshua R. Herr[1,2,#]

[1]Department of Plant Pathology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68503, USA;

[2]Center for Plant Science Innovation, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA;

[#]Correspondence: Joshua R. Herr (jherr@unl.edu)

Abstract word count (including abstract and importance): 363

Text word count: 4938

ABSTRACT

During mycoparasitism, a fungus—the host—is parasitized by another fungus—the

mycoparasite . The genetic underpinnings of these relationships have been best

characterized in Ascomycete fungi. However, within Basidiomycete fungi, there are rare

instances of mushroom-forming species parasitizing the reproductive structures, or

sporocarps, of other mushroom-forming species. One of the most enigmatic of these

occurs between *Entoloma abortivum* and species of *Armillaria*, where hyphae of *E.*

abortivum are hypothesized to disrupt the development of *Armillaria* sporocarps,

resulting in the formation of carpophoroids. However, it remains unknown whether

carpophoroids are the direct result of a mycoparasitic relationship. To address the

nature of this unique interaction, we analyzed gene expression of field-collected

Armillaria and *E. abortivum* sporocarps and carpophoroids. Transcripts in the

carpophoroids are primarily from *E. abortivum*, supporting the hypothesis that this

species is parasitizing *Armillaria*. Most notably, we identified differentially expressed *E.*

abortivum β-trefoil-type lectins in the carpophoroid, which we hypothesize bind to

Armillaria cell wall galactomannoproteins, thereby mediating recognition between the

mycoparasite and the host. The most significantly upregulated *E. abortivum* transcripts

in the carpophoroid code for oxalate decarboxylases—enzymes that degrade oxalic

acid. Oxalic acid is a virulence factor in many plant pathogens, including *Armillaria*

species, however, *E. abortivum* has evolved a sophisticated strategy to overcome this

defense mechanism. The number of gene models and genes that code for

carbohydrate-active enzymes in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome were reduced

compared to other closely related species, perhaps as a result of the specialized nature

of this interaction.

IMPORTANCE

By studying fungi that parasitize other fungi, we can understand the basic biology of

these unique interactions. Studies focused on the genetic mechanisms regulating

mycoparasitism between host and parasite have thus far concentrated on a single

fungal lineage within the Ascomycota. The work presented here expands our

understanding of mycoparasitic relationships to the Basidiomycota, and represents the

first transcriptomic study to our knowledge that examines fungal-fungal relationships in

their natural setting. The results presented here suggest that even distantly related

mycoparasites utilize similar mechanisms to kill their host. Given that species of the

mushroom-forming pathogen *Armillaria* cause plant root-rot diseases in many

agroecosystems, an enhanced understanding of this interaction may contribute to better

control of these diseases through biocontrol applications.

INTRODUCTION

Fungal mycoparasitism is a nutritional strategy where a living fungus—the host—is

parasitized by and acts as a nutrient source for another fungus—the mycoparasite.

Certain species of fungi in the Hypocreales (Ascomycota) are among the best-studied

mycoparasites. Perhaps the most well-known of these are species of *Trichoderma* and

Clonostachys rosea, which have biocontrol activity against plant pathogenic species of

Botrytis, *Fusarium*, *Pythium*, and *Rhizoctonia* (1, 2). Other fungal mycoparasites in the

Hypocreales include *Tolypocladium* species, many of which are parasites on the

reproductive structures, or sporocarps, of species in the genus *Elaphomyces*

(Eurotiales, Ascomycota) (3), as well as *Escovopsis weberi*, which is a specialized

necrotrophic parasite of fungal gardens of attine ants (4, 5).

Less studied examples of mycoparasitism involve mushroom-forming fungi that

parasitize other mushroom-forming fungi. Fewer than 20 reported mushroom species

are capable of engaging in this type of interaction, making it an incredibly rare

phenomenon given the total number of mushroom-forming fungi (6, 7). Examples of this

interaction include *Volvariella surrecta*, which fruits from the pileus of its host, *Clitocybe*

nebularis (8), which appears to remain unaffected by its parasite (7). More commonly,

though, mushroom mycoparasites deform host sporocarps and likely prevent the

dispersal of their spores. *Pseudoboletus parasiticus* fruits from the sporocarps of

Scleroderma species, which, after infection, are no longer able to mature and disperse

their spores (9). *Psathyrella epimyces* causes the deformation of sporocarp tissue of its

host, *Coprinus comatus* (10). Additionally, of the ten mushroom species in the genus

Squamanita, all are known to be parasites of sporocarps of species in the genera

Cystoderma, *Galerina*, *Hebeloma*, and *Inocybe* (7).

One of the most commonly encountered putative mycoparasitic interactions

between two mushrooms involves species of *Armillaria* (Fig. 1A) and *Entoloma*

abortivum (Fig. 1B). *Entoloma abortivum* is often encountered fruiting in soil, humus, or

decaying logs in deciduous woods (11), while *Armillaria* species are facultative

necrotrophs that can cause root rot in forest and agronomic systems worldwide (12, 13).

Traditionally, *E. abortivum* was thought to exist in two forms: the typical mushroom form,

which has a gray stipe, gray pileus and pink gills (Fig. 1B), and the carpophoroid form,

which is white, subglobose and lacks well-formed gills (Fig. 1C–D). The carpophoroid

form has traditionally been assumed to be *E. abortivum* sporocarps that did not develop

properly due to parasitism by *Armillaria* species (11). However, macro- and microscopic

studies of carpophoroid field collections determined that carpophoroids actually

represent malformed *Armillaria* sporocarps permeated by *E. abortivum* hyphae (14).

Laboratory inoculation experiments showed that *E. abortivum* interacts with *Armillaria*

sporocarps to disrupt their morphological development (14).

Whether carpophoroids are the result of a mycoparasitic relationship, where *E.*

abortivum serves as the mycoparasite and *Armillaria* species serve as the host, remains

unknown. In order to address this, we initiated a transcriptome study after we

encountered all three components of this system fruiting in close proximity—

carpophoroids and individual sporocarps of *E*. *abortivum* and *Armillaria*. For a

mycoparasite to be successful, there are several crucial steps in the utilization of a

fungal host for nutrition. These steps include: 1) sensing the host; 2) recognition and

attachment to host hypha; 3) initiating defense responses; and 4) the eventual demise

of the host (15). Previous genomic and transcriptomic studies elucidated the genetic

machinery that model mycoparasites utilize during each of these steps (15–17). In this

work, we used transcriptomic and meta-transcriptomic techniques to analyze the

genomic toolbox of *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria* during the carpophoroid stage. We show

that the gene expression profiles of *E. abortivum* resemble those of known

mycoparasitic species, as well as predict certain genes in both species that facilitate this

interaction. Additionally, we used transcriptomic information to determine the species of

Armillaria involved in this association.

RESULTS

***Transcriptome assemblies of* Entoloma abortivum *and* Armillaria*.** In order to

benchmark gene diversity and baseline expression levels of the field-collected

mushroom species in our study, we sequenced the sporocarp transcriptomes of *E.*

abortivum and the *Armillaria* species found in close proximity to the carpophoroids. To

date, there are several transcriptomic studies of *Armillaria* species (18, 19), but none for

any *Entoloma* species. The assembled transcriptome of *E. abortivum* was just under

120 million base pairs. There were a total of 43,599 contigs and an N50 value of 3,527;

94.5% of benchmark universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs) from the Agaricales

were present in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome. A large number of contigs represented

duplicated gene models with potential splice variation. Within the contigs, a total of

9,728 unique gene models were recovered in the transcriptome assembly (Fig. 2) with

603 genes differentially expressed in the carpophoroid tissue and 403 genes

differentially expressed in the sporocarp tissue (Fig. 3). The transcriptome contained

195 genes that code for carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes). The transcriptome

lacks any genes that code for cellobiohydrolases (GH6 and GH7), xylanases (GH10,

GH11, GH30) and auxiliary proteins like polysaccharide monooxygenases (GH61), but

does contain nine chitinases (GH18) (Fig. 2). Genes detected in the *E. abortivum*

transcriptome that might be important in mycoparasitic interactions include: ten putative

secondary metabolite gene clusters, one G-coupled protein receptor (GCPR), 38 ATP-

binding cassette (ABC) transporters and 113 genes from the major facilitator

superfamily (MFS) (Fig. 3). The average gene expression (in normalized units of

trimmed mean of m-values (TMM)) of the ten most highly expressed *E. abortivum* genes

in the sporocarp ranged from 4,333 to 17,890. Information about the ten most highly

expressed transcripts in the sporocarps is available in Table 1.

The assembled transcriptome of *Armillaria* was just over 138 million base pairs.

There were a total of 63,905 contigs, an N50 value of 2,845, and 97.8% of the BUSCOs

representative of the Agaricales were present in the transcriptome. Again, a large

number of the contigs represented duplicated gene models with potential splice

variation. A total of 38,215 unique gene models were recovered (Fig. 2) and 2,619

transcripts were differentially expressed in the carpophoroid tissue, whereas 9,820 were

differentially expressed in the sporocarp tissue (Fig. 3). The transcriptome contained

580 genes that code for CAZymes, with 34 of those coding for chitinases (Fig. 2).

Genes detected in the *Armillaria* transcriptome that might be important in mycoparasitic

interactions include: 12 putative secondary metabolite gene clusters, five GCPRs, 59

ABC transporters and 144 MFS transcripts (Fig. 3). The average TMM of the ten most

highly expressed *Armillaria* genes in the sporocarp ranged from 8,438 to 36,477. The

most highly expressed gene was annotated as a cell wall galactomannoprotein (Fig. 4

and 5) (Table 2), while, notably, the fourteenth most highly expressed gene in the

sporocarp coded for isocitrate lyase (Table 2, Fig. 5).

Metatranscriptomic analysis of combined fungal hyphae in carpophoroid tissue.

We sequenced the metatranscriptome of the mixed tissue in the carpophoroids that are

typically found when *E. abortivum* and species of *Armillaria* are found in close proximity.

In the carpophoroid tissue, significantly more transcriptomic reads from *E. abortivum*

were identified than from *Armillaria* sp. ($t_{(8)}$ = 16.6, *p* = 1.77×10⁻⁷, *n* = 9 replicates per

species) (Fig. 6). The average number of *E. abortivum* mapped reads in the

carpophoroid tissue was 2,613,988, while the average number of *Armillaria* mapped

reads was 74,880 (Fig. 6). Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots of *E. abortivum* (Fig.

7A) and *Armillaria* (Fig. 7B) show that the genetic distance between the *E. abortivum*

sporocarps and the carpophoroid is less than the distance between *Armillaria*

sporocarps and the carpophoroid.

The average TMM of the top ten most highly expressed *E. abortivum* genes in

the carpophoroid ranged from 9,075 to 68,720 (Table 1), while the average TMM of the

top ten most highly expressed *Armillaria* transcripts in the carpophoroid ranged from

300 to 9,049 (Table 2). The first and third most highly expressed *E. abortivum*

transcripts in the carpophoroid tissue code for two oxalate decarboxylases, both of

which were significantly differentially expressed in the carpophoroid tissue compared to

the sporocarp (Figs. 3, 4, 5 and Table 1). There were other differentially expressed *E.*

abortivum transcripts in the carpophoroid that were not as highly expressed that may

also play a role in mycoparasitism. These include three β-trefoil-type lectins, three ABC

transporters, two chitinases, and 14 MFS transcripts (Fig. 3, Table 1). No transcripts

involved in secondary metabolite gene clusters were differentially expressed in the

carpophoroids (Fig. 3). Opposingly, two *Armillaria* transcripts that code for putative

senescence-associated proteins were significantly expressed in the carpophoroid

compared to the sporocarp as well as a heat shock protein (Table 2).

Phylogenetic placement of* Armillaria *reads. Phylogenomic analysis of 100

randomly-selected *Armillaria* BUSCOs generated in this study, in conjunction with

previously published *Armillaria* genomes, shows a strongly supported sister relationship

with an *A. mellea* specimen from France (100% BS) (Fig. 8A). Phylogenetic analysis of

all ITS sequences characterized as *A. mellea* from GenBank shows that this specimen

is conspecific with specimens from eastern North American (Fig. 8B).

DISCUSSION

The formation of carpophoroids associated with species of *E. abortivum* have

traditionally been thought to be the result of an *Armillaria* species attacking and

parasitizing *Entoloma* sporocarps (11), a conclusion drawn based on the fact that

Armillaria species are wide-spread forest pathogens (12). However, subsequent studies

have shown the opposite: the production of carpophoroids are the result of *E. abortivum*

disrupting the development of *Armillaria* sporocarps (14). Here, we employed RNA

sequencing and differential gene expression analysis on field-collected fungal tissue of

each of the three components of this association to better understand the mechanistic

basis of this interaction. We determined that *E. abortivum* reads in the

metatranscriptome of the carpophoroid tissue—which can be interpreted as a measure

of living tissue—are almost 35 times more abundant in the carpophoroid tissue

compared to *A. mellea* reads (Fig. 6). This finding suggests that carpophoroids are

structures that result from *E. abortivum* parasitizing, and eventually killing, its *Armillaria*

host under natural conditions. Additionally, the genetic differences between

carpophoroids and sporocarps is much smaller for *E. abortivum* than for *Armillaria* (Figs.

3, 4, and 7) , which could be suggestive that mycoparasitism is part of the life history of

E. abortivum and fewer genetic shifts are necessary to transition between the

carpophoroid and sporocarp.

Fungal-fungal necrotrophic mycoparasitic interactions are multistage processes

that are best studied in model species, such as those in the genera *Trichoderma*,

Coniothyrium, Clonostachys and *Tolypocladium* (17). Genomic and transcriptomic

studies of necrotrophic mycoparasites show a convergence of significant genetic

mechanisms at each stage (17). The *E. abortivum* genes that are upregulated with

statistical significance in the carpophoroid tissue are largely consistent with other

examples of necrotrophic mycoparasites in the Ascomycota. In the carpophoroid tissues

we analyzed, *E. abortivum* appears to employ much of its energy on recognition and

defense responses (Fig. 5). Inversely, the *Armillaria* sporocarps we analyzed illuminate

possible mechanisms by which these two species recognize one another and how

Armillaria responds to parasitism (Fig. 5).

The genetics of the* Entoloma-Armillaria *mycoparasitic interaction. A crucial step in

a successful mycoparasite's life history is the ability to sense its host. Genes involved in

the recognition of the fungal prey include those that code for GPCRs (15, 17). However,

we did not find any of these genes that were differentially expressed by *E. abortivum* in

the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). Given the significantly fewer number of *Armillaria* reads

in the carpophoroid tissue compared to *E. abortivum*, we presume that these

carpophoroids are relatively advanced in age, and expression of the genes used for

sensing the presence of the host are no longer necessary.

We also identified three *E. abortivum* genes that code for β-trefoil-type lectins—

proteins that bind to galactose units of sugar chains (20)—that were significantly

upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Figs. 3 and 5). In *Trichoderma* species, the

recognition, attachment, and coiling around a fungal substrate is dependent on the

recognition of lectins expressed by the fungal host (21, 22). Interestingly, the most

abundant and differentially expressed gene produced in the *Armillaria* sporocarps, the

substrate to which *E. abortivum* hyphae attach, codes for a cell wall

galactomannoprotein (Figs. 4 and 5). These proteins belong to a group of

polysaccharides which consist of a mannose backbone with galactose side chains, and

are known to make up a major part of the cell wall of some fungal species (23). This

particular galactomannoprotein appears to be specific to *Armillaria* species. Watling (11)

commented on the highly specific nature of this interaction and that it has only been

documented occurring between *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria* species. One possible

mediator of the specificity of this interaction could be the galactose sugars on the

mannose protein (only known thus far from *Armillaria* species) are the means by which

E. abortivum β-trefoil-type lectins recognize and attach to its *Armillaria* host. However,

more genome sequencing of other Agaricales species is needed to determine whether

this protein is truly specific to species in the genus *Armillaria*.

During mycoparasitic interactions, the fungal host responds by mounting its own

defense, and a successful mycoparasite must be able to cope with this counterattack

(15, 17). Two of the most abundant and significantly upregulated *E. abortivum* genes in

the carpophoroid tissue code for oxalate decarboxylases—enzymes responsible for the

degradation of oxalic acid (OA) (Figs. 3, 4, 5D, Table 1). In at least one known well-

studied mycoparasitic interaction, OA is secreted by the fungal host, *Sclerotinia*

sclerotiorum, in reaction to penetration by its mycoparasite, *Coniothyrium minitans*. The

acidic environment created by the secreted OA inhibits conidial germination and

suppresses mycelial growth of *C. minitans* (24). However, *C. minitans* nullifies the

growth-suppressing effects of OA or OA-mediated low pH by degrading the OA (25, 26),

an enzymatic process largely mediated by oxalate decarboxylase. Because of this,

oxalate decarboxylase plays an imperative role in mycoparasitism as OA degradation is

vital for infection of the fungal host (27).

The secretion of OA is also a broader defense mechanism employed by some

plant pathogens to compromise the defense responses of the host plant by creating an

acidic environment (28). One of the components of the plant-parasitic arsenal of

Armillaria species may be the production of OA (29). One differentially upregulated

gene in *Armillaria* sporocarps is isocitrate lyase (Fig. 5), which is involved with OA

biosynthesis in other fungal pathogens (30, 31). We have two hypotheses for the

upregulation of isocitrate lyase in the apparently asymptomatic *Armillaria* sporocarp

tissue. An explanation might be that isocitrate lyase is more upregulated in situations

where *Armillaria* is in contact with a plant host and OA biosynthesis is high. One might

also suggest that *Armillaria* is monitoring the close proximity of *E. abortivum* and it is

preemptively launching a defense before hyphal contact is made. However, the

upregulation of oxalate decarboxylases by *E. abortivum* suggests it has evolved a

sophisticated strategy to inactivate the potentially lethal defenses of *Armillaria* exhibited

by the subsequent downregulation of isocitrate lyase in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 5).

Other ways that mycoparasites cope with the counterattack launched by their

host include actively extruding host-secreted toxins. Here, we hypothesize that active

extrusion of toxins secreted by the host occurs in the *E. abortivum* carpophoroid tissue

via membrane transporters in the ABC superfamily (32–34). Three ABC transporters

were differentially expressed in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). Another group of genes

that were differentially expressed by *E. abortivum* in the carpophoroid belong to the

major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters (Fig. 3). In *C. rosea*, there was selection

for genes in this family that were related to drug resistance, and the transport of

secondary metabolites, small organic compounds and carbohydrates (35). Their

importance to mycoparasitism in *C. rosea* is predicted to invoke efflux-mediated

protection against exogenous or endogenous secondary metabolites and nutrient

uptake (35). MFS transporters have also been shown to be induced in other

mycoparasitic species (16, 36), but their exact biological roles have not been

investigated.

In mycoparasitism, the final death of the host often results from the synergistic

actions of cell wall-hydrolytic enzymes and antifungal secondary metabolites (15, 17).

No secondary metabolite gene clusters identified in the *E. abortivum* transcriptome were

significantly upregulated in the carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3). In some mycoparasitic

relationships, the secretion of secondary metabolites occurs early on in the interaction,

including in *E. weberi*, which secretes toxic compounds that kill the leafcutter ant garden

before contact (5). In culture experiments between *Armillaria* isolates and *E. abortivum*,

the growth of *Armillaria* was severely inhibited by the presence of *E. abortivum* (37).

This suggests that *E. abortivum* may potentially secrete a toxic compound early in the

interaction that inhibits the growth of *Armillaria*. Given that significantly more of the living

tissue in the carpophoroids belonged to *E. abortivum* (Fig. 6), it is possible that much of

the *Armillaria* tissue was killed preceding the full development of the carpophoroid.

We hypothesize that the upregulated β-trefoil-type lectin in *E. abortivum* that may

be important in hyphal recognition may also be cytotoxic towards *Armillaria*. This type of

lectin has sequence homology, as well as putative structural similarity, to the B-subunit

of ricin, a toxic protein from the castor bean *Ricinus communis* (38). An array of β-

trefoil-type lectins have been characterized from the sporocarps of the mushroom-

forming species *Clitocybe nebularis* (39), *Coprinus cinerea* (40), *Macrolepiota procera*

(41) and *Boletus edulis* (42). These mushroom lectins exhibit entomotoxic activity (43)

as well as nematoxic activity (40, 41, 44). Taken together, it is possible that these *E.*

abortivum β-trefoil-type lectins may also function as toxins towards *Armillaria*. While the

E. abortivum genes coding for these lectins are not in the highest abundance in the

carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 5), this could be because most of the *Armillaria* sporocarp

tissue is already dead and the potential lethal effects of these genes are no longer

necessary.

Chitin is an essential polymer in fungal cell walls (45) and is an important target

during mycoparasitic attack (17). Indicative of the importance of chitinases in

mycoparasitic interactions, members of the genus *Trichoderma*, as well as *T.*

ophioglossoides and *E. weberi,* have an increased number of genes coding for the

glycoside hydrolase family 18 (16, 46–49). Nine fungal chitinases were detected in the

transcriptome of *E. abortivum*, although only two were differentially expressed in the

carpophoroid tissue (Fig. 3) and were not abundant in comparison to other genes,

suggesting minimal significance at this stage in carpophoroid development. One

possibility for this difference in abundance could be the result of the putatively acidic pH

in the carpophoroid that we infer based on the high gene expression of oxalate

decarboxylases. In *C. minitans*, chitinase activity is positively correlated with ambient

pH ranging from three to eight (50), so it is possible that chitinase activity in *E.*

abortivum will increase after a neutral pH is restored.

Some of the putatively mycoparasitism-related genes outlined above were also

differentially expressed by *Armillaria* in the carpophoroid tissue. These include genes

that code for MFS, ABC transporters, chitinases, and secondary metabolite gene

clusters (Fig. 3). This suggests that *Armillaria* may be using many of the same genetic

mechanisms to defend itself against parasitism by *E. abortivum*. Additionally, the degree

of expression changes—both in the number of differentially expressed genes and the

log-fold change (logFC)—between the sporocarp and carpophoroid is much greater in

Armillaria compared to *E. abortivum* (Fig. 3, 4, 7), which could be a reflection of the

level of defense *Armillaria* is mounting. However, this defense is apparently not enough

to overcome the parasitic adaptations of *E. abortivum*.

Gene and CAZyme content of **E. abortivum.** The number of predicted gene models in

the transcriptome of *E. abortivum* was 9,728, which is markedly fewer than the number

of gene models in the genomes of its closest sequenced relatives (Fig. 2B). Additionally,

relative to other closely related mushroom species, *E. abortivum* also exhibits a strong

reduction in several gene families encoding CAZymes (Fig. 2C), and contains no

cellobiohydrolases, xylanases, or polysaccharide monooxygenases. This is similar to *E.*

weberi, which also has a reduced genome and CAZyme repertoire—hypothesized to be

the result of its highly specialized interaction with leafcutter ant gardens (46). Therefore,

it is possible that *E. abortivum* only retained the CAZymes and accessory genes

necessary to interact with *Armillaria* species. While a genome sequence of *E. abortivum*

will be necessary to confirm this reduction, the BUSCO analysis verified that the *E.*

abortivum transcriptome contains nearly 95% of the core set of eukaryotic genes.

Another possibility for the reduction in CAZymes could be explained by a broader

nutritional strategy employed by *Entoloma* species, some of which form ectomycorrhiza-

like structures on host plant species (51–53). Ectomycorrhizal species have a marked

reduction in CAZymes in comparison to their saprotrophic ancestors (54), which we also

observe with *Tricholoma matsutake* (Fig. 2C). However, microscopic analyses of

Entoloma ectomycorrhizae-like structures suggest that some species destroy root

meristems and young root cells, suggestive of a more parasitic relationship (51, 52).

One explanation is that *Entoloma* species are actually parasites of true

ectomycorrhizae. This explanation would also add credence to the evidence that

Entoloma species are difficult to culture and are slow growing (53). Additional research

to understand the nutritional strategy employed by this lineage will inform us as to

whether fungal parasitism in this group is more common than it is currently understood

to be.

Identity of* Armillaria *species in this interaction. Phylogenomic analysis of the

Armillaria transcripts generated in this study suggest that the *Armillaria* species

parasitized in this particular relationship is sister to an *A. mellea* specimen collected

from western Europe (Fig. 8A). An ITS-based phylogenetic analysis shows the

Armillaria specimen collected in this study is conspecific with other *A. mellea* collections

from eastern North America (Fig. 8B). *Armillaria mellea* has previously been identified

as a host of *E. abortivum* (11, 14), but this interaction does not appear specific to just *A.*

mellea. Interestingly, *Armillaria* species parasitized by *E. abortivum* appear to be only

those present in Eastern North America and Asia (14, 37, 55).

Conclusions. Data from this study support the hypothesis that *E. abortivum* is a

mycoparasite of *Armillaria* sporocarps. Three β-trefoil-type lectins are differentially

expressed by *E. abortivum* in the carpophoroid tissue, and we propose that these

lectins mediate recognition with *Armillaria* sporocarps through binding to an *Armillaria-*

specific galactomannoprotein. Additionally, through the use of oxalate decarboxylase, *E.*

abortivum is likely defending against the secretion of OA by *Armillaria*. These strategies

employed by *E. abortivum* for recognition and defense are similar to mechanisms

utilized by other mycoparasites, suggesting that even distantly related mycoparasites

utilize similar genetic mechanisms to mediate mycoparasitic interactions. While we were

able to speculate about what is occurring during other stages of mycoparasitism (i.e.

sensing the host and killing and consuming the host), future studies using both culture

methods and metatranscriptomics of naturally collected carpophoroids at different life

stages (i.e. younger and older specimens) will be necessary to completely tease apart

the mycoparasitic strategies employed by *E. abortivum*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection, preparation, and sequencing. Sporocarps of *Armillaria* sp., *E.*

arbotivum, and the mixed-tissue carpophoroids were observed fruiting in proximity to

one another on 18 September 2015 within the Baker Woodlot and Rajendra Neotropical

Migrant Bird Sanctuary, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48823 (42°42'56.4"

N, 84°28'34.4" W) (collection accession: JRH 2446). Entire sporocarps were collected

and immediately flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and subsequently stored at -80°C. At the

time of processing, three biological replicates of each of the three tissue types

(*Armillaria sp*. sporocarp, *E. abortivum* sporocarp, and carpophoroid), were individually

ground in liquid N_2. Total RNA was then extracted from the ground tissue using the

Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) according to manufacturer's

protocol. RNA concentration and quality for each of the samples were assessed on a

DeNovix DS-11 FX Spectrophotometer (DeNovix Inc., Wilmington, DE 19810, USA) and

then shipped directly to the University of Minnesota's Genomics Center

(https://genomics.umn.edu). Three technical replicates were sequenced for each

biological replicate. Transcriptomic and metatranscriptomic libraries were constructed

with the TruSeq Standard Total RNA Library Preparation Kit with Ribo-Zero ribosomal

reduction following the protocol developed by Schuierer et al. (56). Nucleotide

sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 System (Illumina Inc., San

Diego, USA) and paired-end RNA sequence reads of 51 bp were generated for further

analysis.

De novo transcriptome assembly, transcript abundance estimation and gene

expression analysis. The quality of the raw reads was assessed using FastQC version

0.11.9 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc). The range of the

number of reads for each condition are as follows: *E. abortivum* sporocarps ranged from

10,584,302 to 14,473,328; *Armillaria* sporocarps ranged from 11,712,320 to 12,431,979;

and the carpophoroids ranged from 9,146,682 to 12,852,086. Sequencing adaptors

were trimmed and contaminants filtered for each sample using bbduk

(https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-guide/bbduk-guide/). Prior to

transcriptome assembly, *k-mer* hash sizes were estimated with *khmer* (57). De novo

assemblies were constructed independently for both *Armillaria* sp. and *E. arbotivum*

with Trinity version 2.11.0 (58) using the trimmed reads generated from the respective

sporocarp reads. Assembly statistics for both transcriptomes were generated with

QUAST version 5 (59) and transcriptome completeness was assessed by determining

the percentage of sequenced BUSCOs in each (60).

The results of the *de novo* transcriptome assemblies were used as references to

perform sample-specific expression analysis. The trimmed mushroom reads from each

of the nine replicates were mapped against their respective reference transcriptomes

using Bowtie2 (61) followed by calculation of abundance estimates using RSEM (62).

The trimmed carpophoroid reads were also subsequently mapped, following the same

protocol as described above, to both the *Armillaria* sp. and *E. arbotivum* transcriptomes.

Because of the close phylogenetic relatedness between these two species, and to filter

out poorly aligned reads, we only retained mapped reads for all samples that had a

MAPQ value of 30 and above, which is equivalent to reads that have a 99.9% chance of

hitting the correct match. The R package edgeR (63) and TMM normalization (64) were

used to determine differentially expressed transcripts between: 1) *Armillaria* sporocarps

and carpophoroids, and 2) *E. abortivum* sporocarps and carpophoroids. Genes were

considered differentially expressed if they had a logFC of two or greater and an FDR-

adjusted *p*-value, or *q*-value, of < 0.05. All statistical analyses for the packages listed

above were conducted using R version 4.0.3 (http://www.r-project.org/).

We used SAMtools (65) to determine the number of reads from the

carpophoroids that mapped to our reference transcriptomes of *E. abortivum* and our

particular *Armillaria* species. To understand whether the number of reads that mapped

to the carpophoroids differed significantly between each fungal species, we performed

an *F*-test of equality of variances, and then a two-tailed *t*-test assuming unequal

variance with a *p*-value < 0.05 denoting significance.

Sporocarp Transcriptome and Carpophoroid Metatranscriptome Annotation. We

annotated the *Armillaria* sp. and *E. abortivum* transcriptomes using Trinotate version 3.2

(66). Briefly, the transcripts were translated to coding protein sequences using

TransDecoder version 5.5.0 (http://transdecoder.github.io) following identification of the

longest open reading frames. To identify the most likely homologous sequence data, we

used *blastx* on the transcripts and *blastp* on the predicted protein sequences (67). Using

the predicted protein sequences, we also ran a HMMER (68) search against the PFAM

database (69) to identify conserved domains that might be suggestive of function. We

also compared these results to currently curated annotation databases such as Gene

Ontology (GO) (70) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (71–73).

Additionally, we used dbCAN2 (74) to annotate the CAZymes present in both species

and compared their CAZy content to other closely related Agaricales species (19, 75–

77). Finally, we used antiSMASH version 5.0 (78) to identify transcripts that belong to

secondary metabolite gene clusters for both *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum*.

***Phylogenetic analysis of* Armillaria *transcripts*.** In order to identify the specific

species of *Armillaria* associated in this relationship, we identified BUSCOs (60) from the

transcriptome of our *Armillaria* sporocarps along with other *Armillaria* and

Physalacriaceae species with previously sequenced genomes (19; 79–81). We

randomly selected 100 BUSCOs to reconstruct a phylogenomic tree from the six

Armillaria specimens (19, 79), *Guyanagaster necrorhizus* (81) and *Cylindrobasidium*

torrendii (80), which served as the outgroup. Protein-coding sequences were aligned

using MAFFT version 7 (82) and non-informative sites and non-aligning regions were

trimmed with Gblocks (83). The 100 BUSCOs were concatenated into a supermatrix

with 64,436 sites. This supermatrix was used to infer a species tree and branch support

using RAxML-NG (84), using a partitioned WAG+G model, where each data partition

represented an individual BUSCO.

To supplement the small number of *Armillaria* species with sequenced genomes,

we also extracted the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region from our *Armillaria*

transcriptome, including the regions 18S, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2, and 28S, using ITSx (85).

Given the close relationship of our *Armillaria* species to *A. mellea*, we pulled all *A.*

mellea ITS sequences from GenBank that included associated location metadata (86–

100) (Table S1). These sequences were aligned using MAFFT version 7 (82), with

refinements to the alignment performed manually. RAxML-NG (84) was used to

reconstruct this phylogeny. Taxa used to root this phylogeny included *A. gemina*, *A.*

sinapina, *A. puiggarii* and *A. luteobubalina*—all members of the sister lineage to *A.*

mellea fide (13).

Data and code availability. The raw reads generated during this study have been

deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the BioProject PRJNAXXXXX,

while the assembled transcriptomes have been deposited in the NCBI Transcriptome

Shotgun Assembly Sequencing Database under the records XXXXXXXXX and

XXXXXXXX. All other associated data and code are available at

https://github.com/HerrLab/Koch_Arm-Ento_2021.

Acknowledgements

We would like to give special thanks to Andrew Loyd and Eva Skific for providing photos

and to Greg Bonito at Michigan State University and his trusty -80°C freezer for

generously storing sporocarp and carpophoroid tissue until we were able to transport

the tissue to our laboratory. We also want to thank the anonymous reviewers who

provided feedback and comments on the initial submission of this manuscript. This work

was completed using the Holland Computing Center of the University of Nebraska,

which receives support from the Nebraska Research Initiative. This research was

directly supported by start-up funding from the University of Nebraska Agricultural

Research Division and the University of Nebraska Office of Research and Economic

Development. Additionally, JRH acknowledges funding from the US National Air &

Space Administration (Grant #80NSSC17K0737), the US National Science Foundation

(EPSCoR Grant #1557417), and US National Institute of Justice (Grant #2017-IJ-CX-

0025), all of which indirectly supported this research through the support of research in

his laboratory. All of the funding agencies had no role in study design, data collection

and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Conflict of Interest Statement

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of

interest.

Author Contributions

JRH initiated the work and sampled field collections; RAK extracted RNA from all the

tissue samples and performed all the laboratory work; RAK and JRH performed the

experiments, processed the experimental data, analyzed the data, designed the figures,

and drafted the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Zeilinger S, Omann M. 2007. *Trichoderma* biocontrol: signal transduction pathways involved in host sensing and mycoparasitism. *Gene Regul Syst Bio* **1**:227–234.

2. Sun Z-B, Li S-D, Ren Q, Xu J-L, Lu X, Sun M-H. 2020. Biology and applications of *Clonostachys rosea*. *J Appl Microbiol* **129**:486–495.

3. Quandt CA, Kepler RM, Gams W, Araújo JPM, Ban S, Evans HC, Hughes D, Humber R, Hywel-Jones N, Li Z, Luangsa-Ard JJ, Rehner SA, Sanjuan T, Sato H, Shrestha B, Sung G-H, Yao Y-J, Zare R, Spatafora JW. 2014. Phylogenetic-based nomenclatural proposals for Ophiocordycipitaceae (Hypocreales) with new combinations in *Tolypocladium*. *IMA Fungus* **5**:121–134.

4. Currie CR, Mueller UG, Malloch D. 1999. The agricultural pathology of ant fungus gardens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:7998–8002.

5. Reynolds HT, Currie CR. 2004. Pathogenicity of *Escovopsis weberi*: the parasite of the attine ant-microbe symbiosis directly consumes the ant-cultivated fungus. *Mycologia* **96**:955–959.

6. Redhead SA, Ammirati JF, Walker GR, Norvell LL, Puccio MB. 1994. *Squamanita contortipes*, the Rosetta Stone of a mycoparasitic agaric genus. *Can J Bot* **72**:1814–1828.

7. Griffith GW, Piotr Gajda K, Detheridge AP, Douglas B, Bingham J, Turner A, Bowmaker V, Evans DA, McAdoo WG, Dentinger BTM. 2019. Strangler unmasked: Parasitism of *Cystoderma amianthinum* by *Squamanita paradoxa* and *S. pearsonii*. *Fungal Ecol* **39**:131–141.

8. Weber R, Webster J. 1996. *Volvariella surrecta*: An uncommon mycoparasite.

Mycologist **10**:160*.*

9. Both EE. 2006. Personal encounters with the parasitic bolete. *Field Mycol* **7**:1–7.

10. Buller A. 1924. *Researches on Fungi.* Longmans, London, UK.

11. Watling R. 1974. Dimorphism in *Entoloma abortivum*. *Bull Soc Linn Lyon, Num*

Spec **43**:449–470.

12. Baumgartner K, Coetzee MPA, Hoffmeister D. 2011. Secrets of the subterranean

pathosystem of *Armillaria*. *Mol Plant Pathol* **12**:515–534.

13. Koch RA, Wilson AW, Séné O, Henkel TW, Aime MC. 2018. Resolved phylogeny

and biogeography of the root pathogen *Armillaria* and its gasteroid relative,

Guyanagaster. *BMC Evol Biol* **17**:33.

14. Lindner Czederpiltz DL, Volk TJ, Burdsall HH, Jr. 2001. Field observations and

inoculation experiments to determine the nature of the carpophoroids associated

with *Entoloma abortivum* and *Armillaria*. *Mycologia* **93**:841–851.

15. Druzhinina IS, Seidl-Seiboth V, Herrera-Estrella A, Horwitz BA, Kenerley CM,

Monte E, Mukherjee PK, Zeilinger S, Grigoriev IV, Kubicek CP. 2011.

Trichoderma: the genomics of opportunistic success. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **9**:749–

759.

16. Quandt CA, Di Y, Elser J, Jaiswal P, Spatafora JW. 2016. Differential expression

of genes involved in host recognition, attachment, and degradation in the

mycoparasite Tolypocladium ophioglossoides. *G3* **6**:731–741.

17. Karlsson M, Atanasova L, Jensen DF, Zeilinger S. 2017. Necrotrophic

mycoparasites and their genomes. *Microbiol Spectr* **5**:FUNK-0016-2016.

18. Ross-Davis AL, Stewart JE, Hanna JW, Kim MS, Knaus BJ, Cronn R, Rai H,

Richardson BA, McDonald GI, Klopfenstein NB. 2013. Transcriptome of an

Armillaria root disease pathogen reveals candidate genes involved in host

substrate utilization at the host–pathogen interface. *For Pathol* **43**:468–477.

19. Sipos G, Prasanna AN, Walter MC, O'Connor E, Bálint B, Krizsán K, Kiss B,

Hess J, Varga T, Slot J, Riley R, Bóka B, Rigling D, Barry K, Lee J, Mihaltcheva

S, LaButti K, Lipzen A, Waldron R, Moloney NM, Sperisen C, Kredics L,

Vágvölgyi C, Patrignani A, Fitzpatrick D, Nagy I, Doyle S, Anderson JB, Grigoriev

IV, Güldener U, Münsterkötter M, Nagy L. 2017. Genome expansion and lineage-

specific genetic innovations in the forest pathogenic fungi *Armillaria*. *Nat Ecol*

Evol **1**:1931–1941.

20. Dodd RB, Drickamer K. 2001. Lectin-like proteins in model organisms:

implications for evolution of carbohydrate-binding activity. *Glycobiology* **11**:71R–

79R.

21. Inbar J, Chet I. 1992. Biomimics of fungal cell-cell recognition by use of lectin-

coated nylon fibers. *J Bacteriol* **174**:1055–1059.

22. Inbar J, Chet I. 1996. The role of lectins in recognition and adhesion of the

mycoparasitic fungus *Trichoderma* spp. to its host. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **408**:229–

231.

23. Latgé JP, Kobayashi H, Debeaupuis JP, Diaquin M, Sarfati J, Wieruszeski JM,

Parra E, Bouchara JP, Fournet B. 1994. Chemical and immunological

characterization of the extracellular galactomannan of *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

Infect Immun **62**:5424–5433.

24. Wei SJ, Li GQ, Jiang DH, Wang DB. 2004. Effect of oxalic acid on spore

germination and mycelial growth of the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*.

Acta Phytopathol Sin **34**:199–203.

25. Ren L, Li GQ, Han YC, Jiang DH, Huang HC. 2007. Degradation of oxalic acid by

Coniothyrium minitans and its effects on production and activity of β-1,3-

glucanases of this mycoparasite. *Biol Control* **43**:1–11.

26. Ren L, Li GQ, Jiang DH. 2010. Characterization of some culture factors affecting

oxalate degradation by the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*. *J Appl Microbiol*

108:173–180.

27. Zeng L-M, Zhang J, Han Y-C, Yang L, Wu M-d, Jiang D-H, Chen W, Li G-Q.

2014. Degradation of oxalic acid by the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium minitans*

plays an important role in interacting with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Environ*

Microbiol **16**:2591–2610.

28. Cessna SG, Sears VE, Dickman MB, Low PS. 2000. Oxalic acid, a pathogenicity

factor for *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, suppresses the oxidative burst of the host

plant. *Plant Cell* **12**:2191–2200.

29. Dumas MT, Boyonoski N, Jeng RS. 1989. Oxalic acid production by species of

Armillaria in the boreal mixedwood forest. In: Proc. 7th International Conference

on Root and Butt Rots. 9–16 Aug. 1988, Vernon and Victoria, British Columbia.

Forestry Canada, Pacific Forestry Centre, Victoria, B.C. 680 p. 593–601.

30. Munir E, Jun Yoon J, Tokimatsu T, Hattori T, Shimada M. 2001. A physiological

role for oxalic acid biosynthesis in the wood-rotting basidiomycete *Fomitopsis*

palustris. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **98**:11126–11130.

31. Wang Y, Wang Y. 2020. Oxalic acid metabolism contributes to full virulence and

pycnidial development in the poplar canker fungus *Cytospora chrysosperma*.

Phytopathology **110**:1319–1325.

32. Dubey M, Jensen DF, Karlsson M. 2015. The ABC transporter ABCG29 is

involved in H_2O_2 tolerance and biocontrol traits in the fungus *Clonostachys rosea*.

Mol Genet Genom **291**:677–686.

33. Ruocco M, Lanzuise S, Vinale F, Marra R, Turrà, Lois Woo S, Lorito M. 2009.

Identification of a new biocontrol gene in *Trichoderma* atroviride: the role of an

ABC transporter membrane pump in the interaction with different plant-

pathogenic fungi. *Mol Plant Microbe In* **22**:291–301.

34. Zhao H, Zhou T, Xie J, Cheng J, Chen T, Jiang D, Fu Y. 2020. Mycoparasitism

illuminated by genome and transcriptome sequencing of *Coniothyrium minitans*,

an important biocontrol fungus of the plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*.

Microb Genom **6**:e000345.

35. Nygren K, Dubey M, Zapparata A, Iqbal M, Tzelepis GD, Brandström Durling M,

Funck Jensen D, Karlsson M. 2018. The mycoparasitic fungus *Clonostachys*

rosea responds with both common and specific gene expression during

interspecific interactions with fungal prey. *Evol Appl* **11**:931–949.

36. Atanasova L, Le Crom S, Gruber S, Coulpier F, Seidl-Seiboth V, Kubick CP,

Druzhinina IS. 2013. Comparative transcriptomics reveals different strategies of

Trichoderma mycoparasitism. *BMC Genom* **14**:121.

37. Cha JY, Igarashi T. 1996. Biological species of *Armillaria* and their mycoparasitic

associations with *Rhodophyllus abortivus* in Hokkaido. *Mycoscience* **37**:25–30.

38. Tregear JW, Roberts LM. 1992. The lectin gene family of *Ricinus communis*:

Cloning of a functional ricin gene and three lectin pseudogenes. *Plant Mol Biol*

18:515–525.

39. Pohleven J, Obermajer N, Sabotič J, Anžlovar S, Sepčić K, Kos J, Kralj B,

Štrukelj B, Brzin J. 2009. Purification, characterization and cloning of a ricin B-

like lectin from mushroom *Clitocybe nebularis* with antiproliferative activity

against human leukemic T cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* **1790**:173–181.

40. Schubert M, Bleuler-Martinez S, Butschi A, Wälti MA, Egloff P, Stutz K, Yan S,

Wilson IBH, Hengartner MO, Aebi M, Allain FHT, Künzler M. 2012. Plasticity of

the β-trefoil protein fold in the recognition and control of invertebrate predators

and parasites by a fungal defence system. *PLoS Pathog* **8**:e1002706.

41. Žurga S, Pohleven J, Renko M, Bleuler-Martínez S, Sosnowski P, Turk D,

Künzler M, Kos J, Sabotič J. 2014. A novel beta-trefoil lectin from the parasol

mushroom (*Macrolepiota procera*) is nematotoxic. *FEBS J* **281**:3489–3506.

42. Bovi M, Cenci L, Perduca M, Capaldi S, Carrizo ME, Civiero L, Chiarelli LR,

Galliano M, Monaco HL. 2013. BEL beta-trefoil: a novel lectin with antineoplastic

properties in king bolete (*Boletus edulis*) mushrooms. *Glycobiology* **23**:578–592.

43. Sabotič J, Ohm RA, Künzler M. 2016. Entomotoxic and nematotoxic lectins and

protease inhibitors from fungal fruiting bodies. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **100**:91–

111.

44. Pohleven J, Renko M, Magister S, Smith DF, Künzler M, Strukelj B, Turk D, Kos

J, Sabotič J. 2012. Bivalent carbohydrate binding is required for biological activity

of *Clitocybe nebularis* lectin (CNL), the N, N'-diacetyllactosediamine

(GalNAcbeta1-4GlcNAc, LacdiNAc)-specific lectin from basidiomycete *C.*

nebularis. *J Biol Chem* **287**:10602–10612.

45. Latgé JP. 2007. The cell wall: a carbohydrate armour for the fungal cell. *Mol*

Microbiol **66**:279–290.

46. de Man TJ, Stajich JE, Kubicek CP, Teiling C, Chenthamara K, Atanasova L,

Druzhinina IS, Levenkova N, Birnbaum SS, Barribeau SM, Bozick BA, Suen G,

Currie CR, Gerardo NM. 2016. Small genome of the fungus *Escovopsis weberi*, a

specialized disease agent of ant agriculture. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**:3567–

3572.

47. Kubicek CP, Herrera-Estrella A, Seidl-Seiboth V, Martinez DA, Druzhinina IS,

Thon M, Zeilinger S, Casas-Flores S, Horwitz BA, Mukherjee PK, Mukherjee M,

Kredics L, Alcaraz LD, Aerts A, Antal Z, Atanasova L, Cervantes-Badillo MG,

Challacombe J, Chertkov O, McCluskey K, Coulpier F, Deshpande N, von

Döhren H, Ebbole DJ, Esquivel-Naranjo EU, Fekete E, Flipphi M, Glaser F,

Gómez-Rodríguez EY. Gruber S, Han C, Henrissat B, Hermosa R, Hernández-

Oñate M, Karaffa L, Kosti I, Le Crom S, Lindquist E, Lucas S, Lübeck M, Lübeck

PS, Margeot A, Metz B, Misra M, Nevalainen H, Omann M, Packer N, Perrone G,

Uresti-Rivera EE, Salamov A, Schmoll M, Seiboth B, Shapiro H, Sukno S,

Tamayo-Ramos JA, Tisch D, Wiest A, Wilkinson HH, Zhang M, Coutinho PM,

Kenerley CM, Monte E, Baker SE, Grigoriev IV. 2011. Comparative genome

sequence analysis underscores mycoparasitism as the ancestral life style of

Trichoderma. *Genome Biol* **12**:R40.

48. Ihrmark K, Asmail N, Ubhayasekera W, Melin P, Stenlid J, Karlsson M. 2010.

Comparative molecular evolution of *Trichoderma* chitinases in response to

mycoparasitic interactions. *Evol Bioinform* **6**:1–26.

49. Xie BB, Qin QL, Shi M, Chen LL, Shu YL, Luo Y, Wang XW, Rong JC, Gong ZT,

Li D, Sun CY, Liu GM, Dong XW, Pang XH, Huang F, Liu W, Chen XL, Zhou BC,

Zhang YZ, Song ZY. 2014. Comparative genomics provides insights into

evolution of *Trichoderma* nutrition style. *Genome Biol Evol* **6**:379–390.

50. Han Y-C, Li G-Q, Yang L, Jiang D-H. 2011. Molecular cloning, characterization

and expression analysis of a *pacC* homolog in the mycoparasite *Coniothyrium*

minitans. World J Microbiol Biotechnol **27**:381–391.

51. Agerer R, Waller K. 1993. Mycorrhizae of *Entoloma saepium*: parasitism or

symbiosis? *Mycorrhiza* **3**:145–154.

52. Kobayashi H, Hatano K. 2001. A morphological study of the mycorrhiza of

Entoloma clypeatum f. *hybridum* on *Rosa multiflora*. *Mycoscience* **42**:83–90.

53. Shishikura M, Takemura Y, Sotome K, Maekawa N, Nakagiri A, Endo N. 2021.

Four mycelial strains of *Entoloma clypeatum* species complex form

ectomycorrhiza-like roots with *Pyrus betulifolia* seedlings in vitro, and one

develops fruiting bodies 2 months after inoculation. *Mycorrhiza* **31**:31–42.

54. Kohler A, Kuo A, Nagy LG, Morin E, Barry KW, Buscot F, Canbäck B, Choi C,

Cichocki N, Clum A, Colpaert J, Copeland A, Costa MD, Doré J, Floudas D, Gay

G, Girlanda M, Henrissat B, Herrmann S, Hess J, Högberg N, Johansson T,

Khouja H-R, LaButti K, Lahrmann U, Levasseur A, Lindquist EA, Lipzen A,

Marmeisse R, Martino E, Murat C, Ngan CY, Nehls U, Plett JM, Pringle A, Ohm

RA, Perotto S, Peter M, Riley R, Rineau F, Ruytinx J, Salamov A, Shah F, Sun

H, Tarkka M, Tritt A, Veneault-Fourrey C, Zuccaro A, Mycorrhizal Genomics

Initiative Consortium, Tunlid A, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett DS, Martin F. 2015.

Convergent losses of decay mechanisms and rapid turnover of symbiosis genes

in mycorrhizal mutualists. *Nat Genet* **47**:410–415.

55. Fukuda M, Nakashima E, Hayashi K, Nagasawa E. 2003. Identification of the

biological species of *Armillaria* associated with *Wynnea* and *Entoloma abortivum*

using PCR-RFLP analysis of the intergenic region (IGR) of ribosomal DNA.

Mycol Res **107**:1435–1441.

56. Schuierer S, Carbone W, Knehr J, Petitjean V, Fernandez A, Sultan M, Roma G.

2017. A comprehensive assessment of RNA-seq protocols for degraded and low-

quantity samples. *BMC Genom* **18**:1–13.

57. Crusoe MR, Alameldin HF, Awad S, Boucher E, Caldwell A, Cartwright R,

Charbonneau A, Constantinides B, Edvenson G, Fay S, Fenton J, Fenzl T, Fish

J, Garcia-Gutierrez L, Garland P, Gluck J, González I, Guermond S, Guo J,

Gupta A, Herr JR, Howe A, Hyer A, Härpfer A, Irber L, Kidd R, Lin D, Lippi J,

Mansour T, McA'Nulty P, McDonald E, Mizzi J, Murray KD, Nahum JR, Nanlohy

K, Nederbragt AJ, Ortiz-Zuazaga H, Ory J, Pell J, Pepe-Ranney C, Russ ZN,

Schwarz E, Scott C, Seaman J, Sievert S, Simpson J, Skennerton CT, Spencer

J, Srinivasan R, Standage D, Stapleton JA, Steinman SR, Stein J, Taylor B,

Trimble W, Wiencko HL, Wright M, Wyss B, Zhang Q, zyme e, Brown CT. 2015.

The khmer software package: enabling efficient nucleotide sequence analysis.

F1000Res **4**:900.

58. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X,

Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, Chen Z, Mauceli E, Hacohen N, Gnirke A,

Rhind N, di Palma F, Birren BW, Nusbaum C, Lindblad-Toh K, Friedman N,

Regev A. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a

genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**:644–652.

59. Mikheenko A, Prjibelski A, Saveliev V, Antipov D, Gurevich A. 2018. Versatile

genome assembly evaluation with QUAST-LG. *Bioinformatics* **34**:i142–i150.

60. Seppey M, Manni M, Zdobnov EM. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly

and annotation completeness. In: Kollmar M (eds) Gene Prediction. Methods in

Molecular Biology, vol 1962. Humana, New York, NW.

61. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*

Methods **9**:357–359.

62. Li B, Dewey CN. 2011. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq

data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform* **12**:323.

63. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package

for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*

26:139–140.

64. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. 2010. A scaling normalization method for differential

expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* **11**:R25.

65. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis

G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The

Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**:2078–2079.

66. Bryant DM, Johnson K, DiTommaso T, Tickle T, Brian Couger M, Payzin-Dogru

D, Lee TJ, Leigh ND, Kuo T-H, Davis FG, Bateman J, Bryant S, Guzikowski AR,

Tsai SL, Coyne S, Ye WW, Freeman RM, Jr, Peshkin L, Tabin CJ, Regev A,

Haas BJ, Whited JL. 2017. A tissue-mapped azolotl de novo transcriptome

enables identification of limb regeneration factors. *Cell Rep* **18**:P762–P776.

67. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden

TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and application. *BMC Bioinform* **10**:421.

68. Wheeler TJ, Eddy SR. 2013. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs.

Bioinformatics **29**:3487–3489.

69. Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Heger A,

Hetherington K, Holm L, Mistry J, Sonnhammer ELL, Tate J, Punta M. 2014.

Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:D222–D230.

70. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP,

Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A,

Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. 2000.

Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* **25**:25–29.

71. Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Nucleic Acids Res **28**:27–30.

72. Kanehisa M. 2019. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular

organisms. *Protein Sci* **28**:1947–1951.

73. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Ishiguro-Watanabe M, Tanabe M. 2021.

KEGG: integrating viruses and cellular organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**:D545–

D551.

74. Zhang H, Yohe T, Huang L, Entwistle S, Wu P, Yang Z, Busk PK, Xu Y, Yin Y.

2018. dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme

annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**:W95–W101.

75. Miyauchi S, Kiss E, Kuo A, Drula E, Kohler A, Sánchez-García M, Morin E,

Andreopoulos B, Barry KW, Bonito G, Buée M, Carver A, Chen C, Cichocki N,

Clum A, Culley D, Crous PW, Fauchery L, Girlanda M, Hayes RD, Kéri Z, LaButti

K, Lipzen A, Lombard V, Magnuson J, Maillard F, Murat C, Nolan M, Ohm RA,

Pangilinan J, Pereira MF, Perotto S, Peter M, Pfister S, Riley R, Sitrit Y, Stielow

JB, Szöllősi G, Žifčáková L, Štursová M, Spatafora JW, Tedersoo L, Vaario LM,

Yamada A, Yan M, Wang P, Xu J, Bruns T, Baldrian P, Vilgalys R, Dunand C,

Henrissat B, Grigoriev IV, Hibbett D, Nagy LG, Martin FM. 2020. Large-scale

genome sequencing of mycorrhizal fungi provides insights into the early evolution

of symbiotic traits. *Nat Commun* **11**:5125.

76. Steindorff AS, Carver A, Calhoun S, Stillman K, Liu H, Lipzen A, He G, Yan M,

Pangilinan J, LaButti K, Ng V, Bruns TD, Grigoriev IV. 2020. Comparative

genomics of pyrophilous fungi reveals a link between fire events and

developmental genes. *Environ Microbiol* **23**:99–109.

77. Ruiz-Dueñas FJ, Barrasa JM, Sánchez-García M, Camarero S, Miyauchi S,

Serrano A, Linde D, Babiker R, Drula E, Ayuso-Fernández I, Pacheco R, Padilla

G, Ferreira P, Barriuso J, Kellner H, Castanera R, Alfaro M, Ramirez L, Pisabarro

AG, Riley R, Kuo A, Andreopoulos W, LaButti K, Pangilinan J, Tritt A, Lipzen A,

He G, Yan M, Ng V, Grigoriev IV, Cullen D, Martin F, Rosso MN, Henrissat B,

Hibbett D, Martínez AT. 2020. Genomic analysis enlightens Agaricales lifestyle

evolution and increasing peroxidase diversity. *Mol Biol Evol*

doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa301.

78. Blin K, Shaw S, Steinke K, Villebro R, Ziemert N, Yup Lee S, Medema MH,

Weber T. 2019. antiSMASH 5.0: updates to the secondary metabolite genome

mining pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W81–W87.

79. Collins C, Keane TM, Turner DJ, O'Keeffe G, Fitzpatrick DA, Doyle S. 2013.

Genomic and proteomic dissection of the ubiquitous plant pathogen, *Armillaria*

mellea: Toward a new infection model system. *J Proteome Res* **12**:2552–2570.

80. Floudas D, Held BW, Riley R, Nagy LG, Koehler G, Ransdell AS, Younus H,

Chow J, Chiniquy J, Lipzen A, Tritt A, Sun H, Haridas S, LaButtie K, Ohm RA,

Kües U, Blanchette RA, Grigoriev IV, Minto RE, Hibbett DS. 2015. Evolution of

novel wood decay mechanisms in Agaricales revealed by the genome

sequences of *Fistulina hepatica* and *Cylindrobasidium torrendii*. *Fungal Genet*

Biol **76**:78–92.

81. Koch RA, Yoon GM, Arayal UK, Lail K, Amirebrahimi M, LaButti K, Lipzen A,

Riley R, Barry K, Henrissat B, Grigoriev IV, Herr JR, Aime MC. Symbiotic

nitrogen fixation in the reproductive structures of a basidiomycete fungus. *Curr*

Biol, Accepted.

82. Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software

version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**:772–780.

83. Castresana J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for

their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* **17**:540–552.

84. Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast,

scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference.

Bioinformatics **35**:4453–4455.

85. Bengtsson-Palme J, Ryberg M, Hartmann M, Branco S, Wang Z, Godhe A, De

Wit P, Sánchez-García M, Ebersberger I, de Sousa F, Amend A, Jumpponen A,

Unterseher M, Kristiansson E, Abarenkov K, Bertrand YJK, Sanli K, Eriksson KM,

Vik U, Veldre V, Nilsson RH. 2013. Improved software detection and extraction of

ITS1 and ITS2 from ribosomal ITS sequences of fungi and other eukaryotes for

analysis of environmental sequencing data. *Methods Ecol Evol* **4**:914–919.

86. Guo T, Wang HC, Xue WQ, Zhao J, Yang ZL. 2016. Phylogenetic analyses of

Armillaria reveal at least 15 phylogenetic lineages in China, seven of which are

associated with cultivated *Gastrodia elata*. *PLoS One* **11**:e0154794.

87. Coetzee MPA, Wingfield BD, Harrington TC, Dalevi D, Coutinho TA, Wingfield

MJ. 2000. Geographical diversity of *Armillaria mellea* s. s. based on phylogenetic

analysis. *Mycologia* **92**:105–113.

88. Coetzee MPA, Wingfield BD, Bloomer P, Wingfield MJ. 2005. Phylogenetic

analyses of DNA sequences reveal species partitions amongst isolates of

Armillaria from Africa. *Mycol Res* **109**:1223–1234.

89. Schneider-Maunoury L, Deveau A, Moreno M, Todesco F, Belmondo S, Murat C,

Courty P-E, Jąkalski M, Selosse M-A. 2020. Two ectomycorrhizal truffles, *Tuber*

melanosporum and *T. aestivum*, endophytically colonise roots of non-

ectomycorrhizal plants in natural environments. *New Phytol* **225**:2542–2556.

90. Coetzee MPA, Bloomer P, Wingfield MJ, Wingfield BD. 2011. Paleogene

radiation of a plant pathogenic mushroom. *PLoS One* **6**:e28545.

91. Osmundson TW, Robert VA, Schoch CL, Baker LJ, Smith A, Robich G, Mizzan L,

Garbelotto MM. 2013. Filling gaps in biodiversity knowledge for macrofungi:

contributions and assessment of an herbarium collection DNA barcode

sequencing project. *PLoS One* **8**:e62419.

92. Kasper-Pakosz R, Pietras M, Łuczaj Ł. 2016. Wild and native plants and

mushrooms sold in the open-air markets of south-eastern Poland. *J Ethnobiol*

Ethnomed **12**:45.

93. Hughes KW, Petersen RH, Lodge DJ, Bergemann SE, Baumgartner K, Tulloss

RE, Lickey E, Cifuentes J. 2013. Evolutionary consequences of putative intra-

and interspecific hybridization in agaric fungi. *Mycologia* **105**:1577–1594.

94. Potyralska A, Schmidt O, Moreth U, Łakomy P, Siwecki R. 2002. rDNA-ITS

sequence of *Armillaria* species and a specific primer for *A. mellea*. *For Genet*

9:119–124.

95. Ortega A, Moreno G, Platas G, Pelaez F. 2008. A new *Armillaria mellea* variant

from tops of coastal sand dunes in southern Spain. *Mycotaxon* **104**:349–364.

96. Vu D, Groenewald M, de Vries M, Gehrmann T, Stielow B, Eberhardt U, Al-Hatmi

A, Groenewald JZ, Cardinali G, Houbraken J, Boekhout T, Crous PW, Robert V,

Verkley GJM. 2019. Large-scale generation and analysis of filamentous fungal

DNA barcodes boosts coverage for kingdom fungi and reveals thresholds for

fungal species and higher taxon delimitation. *Stud Mycol* **92**:135–154.

868 97. Binder M, Hibbett DS, Wang Z, Farnham WF. 2006. Evolutionary relationships of

869   *Mycaureola dilseae* (Agaricales), a basidiomycete pathogen of a subtidal

870   rhodophyte. *Am J Bot* **93**:547–556.

871 98. Haelewaters D, Dirks AC, Kappler LA, Mitchell JK, Quijada L, Vandegrift R,

872   Buyck B, Pfister DH. 2018. A preliminary checklist of fungi at the Boston Harbor

873   Islands. *Northeast Nat* **25**:45–76.

874 99. Kim M-S, Klopfenstein NB, Hanna JW, McDonald GI. 2006. Characterization of

875   North American *Armillaria* species: genetic relationships determined by ribosomal

876   DNA sequences and AFLP markers. *For Path* **36**:145–164.

877 100.  Schnabel G, Ash JS, Bryson PK. 2005. Identification and characterization

878   of *Armillaria tabescens* from the southeastern United States. *Mycol Res*

879   **109**:1208–1222.

TABLE 1 Ten most highly expressed *E. abortivum* genes in sporocarps and carpophoroids

Gene	Annotation	Cond.	C (TMM)	S (TMM)	logFC	*q*-value
ENT_DN2762_c0_g3	Oxalate decarboxylase	C	68,724	238	-8.5	4.5×10^{-317}
ENT_DN1212_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C/S	55,939	12,590	-2.5	4.3×10^{-49}
ENT_DN3063_c0_g2	Oxalate decarboxylase	C	48,157	135	-8.8	0
ENT_DN1952_c0_g2	Acid phosphatase	C	19,999	677	-5.2	1.3×10^{-169}
ENT_DN4045_c1_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	19,965	3,374	-2.9	3.4×10^{-63}
ENT_DN5742_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	11,229	23	-9.3	6.9×10^{-220}
ENT_DN4332_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	11,038	607	-4.5	4.7×10^{-77}
ENT_DN1952_c0_g1	Acid phosphatase	C	10,766	673	-4.4	1.9×10^{-122}
ENT_DN2936_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	C	9,212	3,686	NS	
ENT_DN3086_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	9,076	1,563	-2.9	2.2×10^{-62}
ENT_DN1375_c0_g1	Trehalase	S	1,934	17,890	2.9	6.5×10^{-37}
ENT_DN5247_c0_g3	Hypothetical protein	S	2,718	7,204	NS	
ENT_DN761_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	S	7,405	6,918	NS	
ENT_DN521_c0_g2	Hypothetical protein	S	6,045	6,424	NS	
ENT_DN852_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	123	5,429	5.1	2.0×10^{-142}
ENT_DN3621_c0_g2	RNA polymerase	S	1,977	5,138	NS	
ENT_DN6707_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	1,654	5,027	NS	
ENT_DN2379_c0_g1	TPR-like protein	S	4,862	5,017	NS	
ENT_DN466_c0_g1	Auxin efflux carrier	S	1,205	4,333	NS	

Cond.: condition in which each gene was most highly expressed, referring to either the carpophoroids (C) or sporocarps (S). NS: not significantly differentially expressed in either condition. Negative logFC values are significantly differentially upregulated in the carpophoroid, whereas positive values are significantly differentially upregulated in the sporocarp. Transcripts discussed in the text include two oxalate decarboxylases (ENT_DN2762_c0_g3, ENT_DN3063_c0_g2), three β-trefoil-type lectins (ENT_DN4359_c0_g1, ENT_DN1877_c0_g1, ENT_DN4255_c0_g1), three ABC transporters (ENT_DN1537_c0_g1, ENT_DN189_c0_g1, ENT_DN3860_c0_G1), two chitinases (ENT_DN2096_c0_g1, ENG_DN4507_c0_g3) and 14 MFS transcripts (ENT_DN409_c0_g1, ENT_DN1954_c0_g1, ENT_DN1998_c0_g1, ENT_DN2070_c0_g1, ENT_DN2744_c0_g1, ENT_DN3292_c0_g1, ENT_DN3474_c0_g1, ENT_DN3861_c0_g1, ENT_DN3943_c0_g1, ENT_DN3943_c0_g2, ENT_DN3981_c0_g1, ENT_DN4751_c0_g2, ENT_DN6588_c0_g1, ENT_DN6695_c0_g1).

921 TABLE 2 Ten most highly expressed *Armillaria* genes in sporocarps and carpophoroids

	Gene	Annotation	Cond.	C (TMM)	S (TMM)	logFC	*q*-value
923	ARM_DN1755_c2_g1	Cell wall galactomannoprotein	S	0	36,479	13.5	4.0×10^{-182}
924	ARM_DN3840_c0_g1	Serine carboxypeptidase	S	12	29,472	6.7	7.9×10^{-100}
925	ARM_DN22943_c1_g1	Rab geranylgeranyltransferase	S	21	18,649	5.1	3.8×10^{-89}
926	ARM_DN1737_c0_g1	LysM-domain-containing protein	S	0	14,557	15.9	3.0×10^{-159}
927	ARM_DN1699_c3_g1	Hypothetical protein	S	9	12,848	5.8	1.6×10^{-94}
928	ARM_DN1314_c0_g1	Chondroitin AC/alginate lyase	S	102	11,436	2.2	3.7×10^{-25}
929	ARM_DN20980_c0_g1	Glycopeptide	S	0	10,449	15.4	3.4×10^{-139}
930	ARM_DN8135_c0_g1	Rasp f 7 allergen	S	0	9,014	11.5	1.8×10^{-58}
931	ARM_DN4971_c0_g2	Aldehyde dehydrogenase	S	103	8,688	NS	
932	ARM_DN1205_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	S/C	9,049	8,348	-4.8	2.1×10^{-74}
933	ARM_DN5170_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	2,086	377	-7.1	6.9×10^{-150}
934	ARM_DN23207_c0_g2	Senescence-associated	C	1,711	2,482	-4.1	6.6×10^{-61}
935	ARM_DN996_c0_g1	Senescence-associated	C	1,423	1,090	-5.0	3.0×10^{-73}
936	ARM_DN409_c1_g1	Elongation factor 1-alpha	C	769	1,154	-4.1	4.0×10^{-155}
937	ARM_DN1893_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	722	58	-8.3	5.3×10^{-109}
938	ARM_DN693_c0_g1	Hypothetical protein	C	520	840	-3.9	1.1×10^{-48}
939	ARM_DN1222_c0_g1	CYS3-cystathionine gamma-lyase	C	485	717	-4.1	5.5×10^{-27}
940	ARM_DN1146_c0_g4	Heat shock protein 70	C	431	2,361	-2.2	1.7×10^{-54}
941	ARM_DN3800_c0_g1	ATP synthase F1	C	300	4,686	NS	

Cond.: condition in which each gene was most highly expressed, referring to either the carpophoroids (C) or sporocarps (S).

NS: not significantly differentially expressed in either condition. Negative logFC values are significantly differentially

upregulated in the carpophoroid, whereas positive values are significantly differentially upregulated in the sporocarp.

Transcripts discussed in the text were an isocitrate lyase (ARM_DN1_c7_g1), two putative senescence-associated proteins

(ARM_DN23207_c0_g2, ARM_DN996_c0_g1), and a heat shock protein (ARM_DN1146_c0_g4).

FIG 1 The components of this fungal interaction in nature. (A) *Armillaria* sporocarps. (B)

Entoloma abortivum sporocarps; photo taken by Eva Skific. (C) Carpophoroids. (D) A

group of *E. abortivum* sporocarps and carpophoroids, with the carpophoroids indicated

by arrows; photo taken by Andrew Loyd. Scale bars in A–C are equal to 1 cm and in D

are equal to 10 cm.

FIG 2 Number of total gene models and gene copy numbers of CAZymes. (A) A

representative phylogeny is a representation based off phylogenetic evidence from

Sipos et al. (2017) and Dentinger et al. (2016). (B) Number of gene models in the

transcriptome of the *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum* generated in this study, along with

those of closely related species from previously generated genomic data. (C) Heat map

showing gene copy numbers of plant cell wall degrading enzymes detected in the

transcriptomes of *E. abortivum* and *Armillaria*, along with other closely related species.

Abbreviations: AA: Auxiliary Activities; CBM: Carbohydrate-Binding Modules; CE:

Carbohydrate Esterase; GH: Glycoside Hydrolase; PL: Polysaccharide Lyase.

FIG 3 Differentially expressed genes in the sporocarp and carpophoroid. (A) Venn

diagram showing the number of differentially expressed genes between the sporocarp

and carpophoroid in *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum*; photo of *E. abortivum* taken by Eva

Skific. (B) Bar graph showing the number of gene copies of genes important in

mycoparasitic interactions. The white portion of the bar shows the number of these

genes detected in the transcriptome but not differentially expressed, while the darker

colors (brown and purple, respectively) show the number of these genes that are

significantly upregulated by each species in the carpophoroid and the lighter colors

(yellow and coral, respectively) show the number of these genes that are significantly

upregulated by each species in their respective sporocarp.

FIG 4 Volcano plots. Each dot represents a gene plotted according to its logFC and the

-log10 of its *p*-value. All genes with a *q*-value < 0.05 are shown. Black dots represent a

gene with a non-significant logFC (-2 < logFC < 2). (A) *Armillaria*. (B) *E. abortivum*. Dots

with a black circle around them are annotated according to the abbreviations OD:

oxalate decarboxylase; CWG: cell wall galactomannoprotein.

FIG 5 Genes in both *Armillaria* and *E. abortivum* that are putatively important in the

recognition and defense responses during this mycoparasitic interaction. (A) One

Armillaria cell wall galactomannan that is significantly upregulated in the sporocarp; (B)

three *E. abortivum* β-trefoil-type lectins that are significantly upregulated in the

carpophoroid; (C) one *Armillaria* gene that codes for isocitrate lyase that is significantly

upregulated in their sporocarps; and (D) two *E. abortivum* genes that code for oxalate

989   decarboxylases that are significantly upregulated in the carpophoroids. All results are

990   shown as means ± standard error of the mean.

996 **FIG 6** Boxplots of number of carpophoroid reads that mapped to *Armillaria* and *E.*

997 *abortivum* when MAPQ = 30. Individual data points are indicated for each species with

998 an open circle. The continuous line within each box represents the mean number of

999 mapped reads. Species labeled with different letters (a to b) have a statistically

1000 significant ($p < 0.05$) different number of mapped reads in the carpophoroid.

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005 **FIG 7** Multidimensional scaling plot of distances between gene expression profiles for

1006 each sample. (A) *Armillaria* sporocarps and carpophoroids. (B) *E. abortivum* sporocarps

1007 and carpophoroids. For both figures, the lighter colors on the left represent the

1008 sporocarps, while the darker colors on the right represent the carpophoroids.

1009

A

B

FIG 8 Phylogenetic placement of the *Armillaria* species used in this analysis. (A)

Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Armillaria* species and *Guyanagaster necrorhizus*

generated from the analysis of 100 random BUSCOs. The outgroup taxon is

Cylindrobasidium torrendii. Each node is fully supported with 100% bootstrap

supported. (B) Maximum likelihood phylogeny of *Armillaria mellea* phylogenetic tree

generated from the analysis of the ITS region. Branches with 70% or more bootstrap

support are thickened. Outgroup taxa include *A. gemina, A. luteobubalina*, *A. puiggarii*

and *A. sinapina*. The *Armillaria* specimen analyzed during this study is in bold and

yellow in both phylogenies.

1020

1021