Somatic genetics analysis of sleep in adult mice

Guodong Wang[1,2,3,10], Qi Li[1,2,10], Junjie Xu[1,2,4], Shuai Zhao[5], Rui Zhou[1,2,6], Zhenkang Chen[7], Wentong Jiang[1,2,3], Xue Gao[1,2], Shuang Zhou[1,2,4], Zhiyu Chen[1,2], Quanzhi Sun[1,2], Chengyuan Ma[8], Lin Chen[1,2], Bihan Shi[1,2], Ying Guo[1,2], Haiyan Wang[1,2], Xia Wang[1,2], Huaiye Li[1,2], Tao Cai[1,2], Yibing Wang[1,2], Zhineng Chen[5], Fengchao Wang[1,2]* & Qinghua Liu[1,2,9]*

[1]National Institute of Biological Sciences (NIBS), Beijing 102206, China; [2]Tsinghua Institute of Multidisciplinary Biomedical Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing 102206, China; [3]Graduate School of Peking Union Medical College, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing 100730, China; [4]College of Life Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China; [5]Institute of Automation, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China; [6]College of Biological Sciences, China Agriculture University, Beijing 100094, China; [7]Children's Medical Center Research Institute, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75235, USA; [8]Chinese Institute of Brain Science, Beijing 102206, China; [9]International Institute for Integrative Sleep Medicine (WPI-IIIS), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba 305-8575, Japan.

[10]These authors contributed equally to this study

[Running Title: Somatic genetics analysis of sleep in adult mice]

[Key words: adult brain chimeric (ABC), AAV-PHP.eB, knockout (KO), somatic genetics analysis, Cre/loxP recombination, CRISPR/Cas9, sleep phenotypes]

* Corresponding authors:

liuqinghua@nibs.ac.cn (lead contact); wangfengchao@nibs.ac.cn

GRAPHIC ABSTRACT

Somatic genetics analysis of sleep in adult mice

HIGHLIGHT

1. A simple adult brain chimeric (ABC) platform for somatic genetics analysis of sleep genes;

2. A highly accurate and non-invasive SleepV (video) system for high-throughput sleep screening;

3. ABC-KO by AAV-Cre injection facilitates systematic sleep screening of conditional flox mice;

4. ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 enables one-step analysis of redundant sleep genes in adult mice;

SUMMARY

The molecular mechanisms of mammalian sleep regulation remain largely unknown. Classical forward and reverse mouse genetic approaches require germline mutations and, thus, are unwieldy to study the sleep functions of essential genes or redundant pathways. It is also costly and time-consuming to conduct large-scale electroencephalogram (EEG)/electromyogram (EMG)-based mouse sleep screening due to lengthy genetic crosses and labor-intensive surgeries. Here, we develop a highly efficient adult brain chimeric (ABC) expression/knockout (KO) platform and a highly accurate AI-augmented SleepV (video) system for high-throughput somatic genetics analysis of sleep in adult mice. This ABC platform involves intravenous administration of adeno-associated viruses (AAV) that bypass the blood brain barrier and transduce the majority of adult brain neurons. Constitutive or inducible ABC-expression of CREB and CRTC1 reduces both quantity and quality of non-rapid-eye-movement sleep (NREMS), whereas ABC-KO of CREB by AAV-mediated Cre/loxP recombination increases daily NREMS amount. Moreover, ABC-KO of exon 13 of $Sik3$ by AAV-Cre injection of $Sik3\text{-}E13^{flox/flox}$ adult mice phenocopies $Sleepy$ ($Sik3^{Slp/Slp}$) mice, which carry a germline splicing mutation resulting in skipping of exon 13 of $Sik3$. While both long and short isoforms of SLP kinase contribute to, ABC-KO of Slp allele by CRISPR/Cas9 rescues the hypersomnia of $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ mice. Double ABC-KO of orexin/hypocretin receptors by CRISPR/Cas9 results in chocolate-induced narcolepsy episodes. We envision that these somatic genetics approaches should facilitate efficient and sophisticated studies of many brain-related cellular, physiological and behavioral processes in adult mice without genetic crosses.

INTRODUCTION

Although sleep exists ubiquitously in animals, the molecular mechanisms of sleep regulation in mammals remain largely unknown. Forward genetics screening of randomly mutagenized mice is a powerful and hypothesis-free approach to identify key sleep regulatory genes in mammals (Banks et al., 2020; Funato et al., 2016; Kapfhamer et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 1994). On the other hand, reverse genetics, through the making of transgenic, knockout (KO) and knockin mice for specific genes of interest, represents a hypothesis-driven approach to identify and characterize new sleep regulatory genes (Graves et al., 2003; Hellman et al., 2010; Honda et al., 2018; Mikhail et al., 2017; Takahashi et al., 1994). This latter approach is greatly expedited by next generation gene-editing technologies, such as the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 system (Hsu et al., 2014; Sunagawa et al., 2016; Tatsuki et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2013). However, it is very costly and time-consuming to conduct large-scale mouse sleep screening for two main reasons: 1) both forward and reverse genetics approaches require germline mutations and, thus, genetic crosses; 2) the electroencephalogram (EEG)/electromyogram (EMG)-based sleep analysis requires labor-intensive and invasive surgery.

Accumulating evidence suggest that sleep is essential for survival in invertebrate and vertebrate animals (Bentivoglio and Grassi-Zucconi, 1997; Rechtschaffen et al., 1989; Shaw et al., 2002; Vaccaro et al., 2020). Thus, it is plausible that core sleep regulatory genes may be essential for survival in mice. It is estimated that about one third of ~23,000 mammalian genes are essential genes (Dickinson et al., 2016), which often encode structural proteins, housekeeping enzymes, or complex signaling proteins with critical roles at multiple stages of development (Tian et al., 2018). However, classical mouse genetics approaches are unwieldy to study the sleep functions of these essential genes owing to the early lethality caused by germline mutations. In some cases, forward or reversed genetics may identify viable gain-of-function or partial loss-of-function mutations that can uncover the sleep phenotypes of essential genes (Funato et al., 2016; Graves et al., 2003).

Conditional KO mice are commonly used to bypass early lethality and analyze the temporal

and/or tissue-specific functions of essential genes (Gierut et al., 2014). Typically, this strategy

involves crossing of tissue-specific Cre-expressing transgenic mice with conditional flox mice that

contain two loxP sites flanking a critical exon(s) of the target gene (Gierut et al., 2014). The

Cre/loxP-mediated site-specific recombination will exercise the critical exon(s) and disrupt the

target gene in a tissue-specific and/or temporal manner. However, this strategy is time-consuming

(1 to 2 years) by requiring not only the appropriate Cre transgenic and flox mouse strains, but also

multiple genetic crosses to generate sufficient number of conditional knockout mice for

comprehensive sleep analysis (Gierut et al., 2014).

Given the central importance of sleep in physiology and survival, it is likely that redundant

pathways exist for sleep regulation. Thus, genetic ablation of a gene of interest may cause mild or

no sleep phenotype because of genetic redundancy. Additionally, mice with germline mutations

have ample time to adapt or compensate for sleep phenotypes before the EEG/EMG-based sleep

analysis normally conducted at the adult stage. Moreover, it is a costly and tedious process to

generate double or triple knockout mice *via* classical germline genetics approaches (Sunagawa et

al., 2016). A combination of triple-target CRISPR and modified embryonic stem cell technologies

allows for biallelic knockout of multiple genes in a single generation for sleep analysis, however,

only when the double or triple knockout mice are viable (Sunagawa et al., 2016).

Recombinant adeno-associated viruses (AAV) have been widely used as vehicles for gene

expression, knockdown/knockout and gene therapy in the central nervous system (CNS) (Borel et

al., 2014; Suzuki et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2016). To bypass the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and

restrict gene expression, these applications often require local AAV injection into specific regions

of the mouse brain. Alternatively, intravenous injection of AAVs provides a non-invasive strategy

for systemic gene delivery into the CNS (Choudhury et al., 2016; Deverman et al., 2016; Foust et

al., 2009). Notably, Cre-recombination-based AAV-targeted evolution (CREATE) has been used to

isolate two AAV9 variants, AAV-PHP.B and AAV-PHP.eB, which can efficiently bypass BBB in certain mouse strains and systemically transduce neurons and astrocytes across the adult mouse brain and spinal cord (Chan et al., 2017; Deverman et al., 2016).

Here, we used AAV-PHP.eB to develop an adult brain chimeric (ABC) platform for rapid, efficient and sophisticated somatic genetics analysis of sleep genes in adult mice. We demonstrated that the ABC-expression platform, coupled with a highly accurate SleepV (video) system, could facilitate high-throughput screening of sleep genes. On the other hand, we developed ABC-KO by AAV-mediated Cre/loxP recombination to facilitate systematical screening of conditional flox mice for sleep phenotypes. Moreover, multiplex ABC-KO by triple-target CRISPR/Cas9 could enable one-step analysis of redundant sleep genes. These somatic genetics approaches should greatly facilitate the elucidation of the core sleep regulatory pathways, including essential or redundant genes, in adult mice without genetic crosses.

RESULTS

Development of ABC platform for somatic genetics analysis of sleep genes in adult mice

Sleep and wake are two alternate physiological states of the brain, which globally impact the molecular, synaptic and cellular activities across the whole brain (Cirelli and Tononi, 1998; de Vivo et al., 2017; Diering et al., 2017; Elliott et al., 2014; Tononi and Cirelli, 2014; Wang et al., 2018). Thus, homeostatic sleep regulation likely involves the majority of neurons and possibly astrocytes across the adult mouse brain (Tononi and Cirelli, 2014; Wang et al., 2018). It has recently been reported that retro-orbital injection of 10^{11} vector genomes (vg) of single-stranded AAV-PHP.eB systemically transduced the majority of brain neurons, including 69% of cortical neurons and 55% of striatal neurons in the adult mouse (Chan et al., 2017). Therefore, we hypothesized that AAV-PHP.eB-mediated adult brain chimeric (ABC)-expression of sleep regulatory genes should in theory result in significant sleep phenotypes **(Figure 1A)**.

To verify the efficiency of this AAV delivery system, we performed retro-orbital injection

of 12-week old C57BL/6J mice with dual AAV-PHP.eB (10^{12} vg/mice) viruses, AAV-CBh-Cre and

AAV-EF1α-DIO-H2B-eGFP **(Figure 1B)**. In this experiment, only brain neurons transduced with

both viruses could exhibit Cre-dependent expression of histone H2B-eGFP fusion protein. As

shown by co-immunostaining of GFP and NeuN **(Figures 1C and 1D)**, both viruses efficiently co-

transduced the majority of adult brain neurons three weeks after AAV administration.

Quantification of double positive neurons showed high rates of viral transduction across eight

different brain regions, ranging from 56.6% in the hippocampus to 88.7% in the thalamus **(Figure**

1D). Furthermore, ABC-expression of GFP from the pan-neuronal human synapsin (hSyn)

promoter did not affect the sleep-wake architecture as compared to no virus injected control mice

(Figures S1A-S1C). These results suggest that it should be feasible to use the ABC-expression

platform to screen for sleep regulatory genes.

A highly accurate, AI-augmented SleepV system for high-throughput sleep screening

The EEG/EMG recording is the gold standard method for analysis of the sleep-wake

architecture in mammals (Lo et al., 2004; Weiergraber et al., 2005). Based on the different patterns

of electrical signals, each short (4 to 20-s) epoch of EEG/EMG data is annotated into one of three

states: wakefulness (wake), rapid eye movement sleep (REMS), or non-rapid eye movement sleep

(NREMS). NREMS, which occupies the majority (~90%) of total sleep in mice, is characterized by

high percentage of the delta (1-4 Hz) power of EEG spectrum. The delta power of NREMS

measures the sleep quality/depth and is regarded as the best known measurable index of

homeostatic sleep need (Franken et al., 2001).

However, this EEG/EMG method is not suitable for high-throughput sleep screening

because it requires labor-intensive and invasive surgery to implant electrodes into the skull and

muscle and extensive ($\geq$ 2 weeks) recovery time from surgery before EEG/EMG recording.

Moreover, the semi-automated sleep staging software only has ~90% accuracy and requires

intensive efforts to manually correct the annotation of several days of EEG/EMG data per mouse.

On the other hand, a number of non-invasive sleep monitoring systems have been developed and

utilized for mouse sleep analysis, including the infrared video recording system (Banks et al., 2020;

Fisher et al., 2012; Pack et al., 2007), the piezoelectric system tracking mouse movement (Flores et

al., 2007; Yaghouby et al., 2016) and the plethysmography system monitoring the respiration of

mouse (Sunagawa et al., 2016).

To facilitate high-throughput sleep screening, we developed an artificial intelligence (AI)-

enhanced video-based sleep monitoring system that we named SleepV, which used a novel pattern

recognition algorithm to classify the sleep/wake states based on inactivity/activity of the mouse

(Figures 1A and S1D). First, our algorithm uses Gaussian filtering, adaptive and global

thresholding to extract from every image frame (25 frames/sec) the suspected regions of interest

(ROI), which are then judged to be a mouse or not by a pre-trained deep neural network **(Figure

S1D)**. Second, to determine whether the mouse is active or not, the algorithm calculates a high

confidence difference score for the mouse between "t" and "t+m" frames by integrating the

network prediction score (predict), the mouse mask area (Intersection of Union, IoU) and the gray

information (color) within the detected mask **(Figure S1D and S1E)**. Finally, SleepV defines the

sleep state as $\geq$ 40-s of continuous immobility and, to accommodate subtle mouse movements

during sleep, annotates $\leq$ 15-s of activity in between two sleep states as sleep.

To evaluate the performance of SleepV, we coupled infrared video recording with

EEG/EMG recording to examine the accuracy of our fully automated sleep staging software.

According to the hourly plot of sleep time of six adult male mice **(Figure 1E)**, we found that the

accuracy of sleep/wake staging by SleepV was comparable to that of EEG/EMG analysis.

Moreover, there was a 95-99% epoch-by-epoch agreement of sleep/wake staging between the two

methods, although SleepV cannot distinguish between NREMS and REMS **(Figure 1F)**. The

accuracy of our SleepV system was significantly higher than the 88-94% accuracy of previously reported video-based sleep monitoring systems (Banks et al., 2020; Fisher et al., 2012; Pack et al., 2007). Next, we used SleepV to record the sleep/wake cycles of fifty-nine C57BL/6J adult mice for three consecutive days. The distribution of daily sleep time measured by SleepV in these mice was comparable to that of EEG/EMG analysis in previous studies **(Figure S1F)** (Funato et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). Moreover, SleepV could easily distinguish the hypersomnia phenotype of *Sleepy* (*Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*) mice, which showed ~210 min increase in daily sleep time relative to wild-type littermates **(Figures S1G and S1H)**. These results indicate that SleepV is a highly accurate, non-invasive and automatic sleep monitoring system suitable for high-throughput sleep screening.

A pilot ABC-expression sleep screen of synaptic plasticity regulators

Accumulating studies suggest a close link between synaptic plasticity and sleep need regulation. The fruit flies raised in socially enriched environment sleep for significantly longer time than those raised in isolation (Donlea et al., 2009; Ganguly-Fitzgerald et al., 2006). Learning experience also increase sleep need in both flies and mammals, manifested by increased sleep time and/or NREMS delta power (Donlea et al., 2009; Ganguly-Fitzgerald et al., 2006; Huber et al., 2006; Huber et al., 2004). By comparative phosphoproteomic analysis of *Sleepy* and sleep-deprived mouse brains, we recently identified 80 sleep need index phosphoproteins (SNIPPs), of which the majority are annotated synaptic proteins, including many regulators of synaptic plasticity (Wang et al., 2018).

To test our hypothesis that changing synaptic plasticity could lead to changes in sleep need, we combined the ABC-expression platform with the SleepV system to conduct a pilot sleep screen of eleven known synaptic plasticity regulators **(Figure 1A)**. These regulators of synaptic plasticity included the immediate early gene products ARC and Homer1a (Chowdhury et al., 2006; Diering et al., 2017; Hu et al., 2010; Plath et al., 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006), cyclin-dependent kinase 5

(CDK5) (Bibb, 2003), synaptic vesicle protein Rab3a (Kapfhamer et al., 2002), dominant negative

form of polo-like kinase 2 (Plk2-dn) (Seeburg et al., 2008), Ca^{2+}/calmodulin-dependent protein

kinase II (CamKIIα/β) and IV (CamKIV) (Ibata et al., 2008; Lisman et al., 2012) and activity-

dependent transcriptional factors cyclic AMP-response element binding protein (CREB), cAMP-

regulated transcriptional coactivator 1 (CRTC1) and constitutively active MEF2-VP16–a fusion

protein between the DNA binding domain of MEF2 and the VP16 transactivation domain (Benito

and Barco, 2010; Ch'ng et al., 2012; Flavell et al., 2006; Kandel, 2012; Nonaka et al., 2014). As

shown by co-immunostaining of HA-tag and NeuN, intravenous administration of AAV-PHP.eB

consistently resulted in systemic expression of individual gene in approximately 40-80% of the

adult brain neurons **(Figure 1G)**. Although ABC-expression of most genes had little effect on the

sleep/wake cycle, this pilot screen identified two potential hits, CREB and CRTC1, which

significantly reduced daily sleep time **(Figure 1H)**.

CREB is a well-known transcriptional activator that consists of an amino (N)-terminal

transactivation domain as well as a carboxy (C)-terminal basic leucine zipper (bZIP) DNA-binding

and dimerization domain (Dash et al., 1990). CREB binds as a dimer to the cAMP response

elements (CRE), which contain a palindromic (TGACGTCA) or half-site (TGACG or CGTCA)

sequence, in the promoter or enhancer regions of many target genes (Comb et al., 1986; Montminy

et al., 1986; Short et al., 1986). Alternative splicing results in multiple protein isoforms of CREB,

of which the α and Δ isoforms, but not the β isoform, show high affinity for CRE sites (Ruppert et

al., 1992). Phosphorylation of CREBα at serine 133 (or CREB$_\Delta$ at serine 119) by cAMP-dependent

protein kinase (PKA) is critical for the recruitment of coactivators, including the histone acetyl

transferases CBP/p300 and transcriptional activation of certain target genes (Chrivia et al., 1993;

Gonzalez and Montminy, 1989; Shaywitz and Greenberg, 1999). Alternatively, CREB functions in

tandem with CRTCs, also known as transducers of regulated CREB activity coactivators (TORCs),

to activate the transcription of specific target genes (Conkright et al., 2003; Iourgenko et al., 2003).

ABC-expression of CREB and/or CRTC1 reduces NREMS amount and delta power

Next, we performed EEG/EMG recording to further characterize the sleep phenotypes caused by ABC-expression of $CREB_\Delta$. It has been shown that serine 133 to alanine (S133A) phosphor-mutation of $CREB_\alpha$ prevents transcriptional activation of specific target genes (Chrivia et al., 1993; Gonzalez and Montminy, 1989). Thus, we also examined the sleep phenotypes of ABC-expression of phosphor-mutant $CREB_\Delta^{S119A}$ in adult mice. Co-immunostaining revealed that intravenous administration of AAV-hSyn-$CREB_\Delta$ or AAV-hSyn-$CREB_\Delta^{S119A}$ resulted in efficient transduction of 40-80% of cortical and thalamic neurons **(Figures 2A and 2B)**. Relative to ABC-eGFP mice, both ABC-$CREB_\Delta$ and ABC-$CREB_\Delta^{S119A}$ mice exhibited on average ~120 min decrease in daily NREMS amount accompanied by reduced NREMS delta power, which occurred predominantly during the dark phase **(Figures 2C-E and S2C-D)**. These results suggest that ABC-expression of $CREB_\Delta$ reduces NREMS amount and delta power in a manner independent of S119 phosphorylation.

Because CRTC1 enhanced the transcriptional activity of CREB (Conkright et al., 2003; Iourgenko et al., 2003), we asked whether ABC-co-expression of $CREB_\Delta$ and CRTC1 could result in additive sleep phenotypes as compared to ABC-expression of either $CREB_\Delta$ or CRTC1 alone. In contrast to nuclear localization of $CREB_\Delta$ or $CREB_\Delta^{S119A}$, CRTC1 was predominantly localized in the cytoplasm **(Figure 2A)**. Relative to ABC-eGFP mice, ABC-CRTC1 mice exhibited a ~47 min decrease in daily NREMS time accompanied by reduced NREMS delta power during the dark phase **(Figures 2F-H and S2F-S2J)**. It should be noted that SleepV overestimated the reduction of sleep time in ABC-CRTC1 mice by mistaking frequent muscle twitching during sleep as waking in these mice **(Figure S2K)**. Importantly, ABC-co-expression of $CREB_\Delta$ and CRTC1 resulted in enhanced sleep phenotypes: ~150 min decrease in daily NREMS time accompanied by further reduced NREMS delta power during the dark phase **(Figures 2F-2H)**. Taken together, these results

demonstrate that the combination of ABC-expression platform and highly accurate SleepV system

can facilitate high-throughput screening of sleep regulatory genes in adult mice.

Inducible ABC-expression of CREB^{VP16} and/or CRTC1^{CA} causes strong sleep phenotypes

ABC-expression of constitutively active CREB^{VP16}–a fusion protein between the DNA-

binding domain of CREB and VP16 transactivation domain (Barco et al., 2002)–resulted in

lethality in C57BL/6J adult mice one week after AAV injection. Similarly, ABC-expression of

constitutively active CRTC1^{CA} containing two (S151A and S245A) phosphor-mutations, also

resulted in lethality one week after AAV injection. Therefore, we used a Tet-on inducible system to

express CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} in the adult mouse brains by injection of two AAV-PHP.eB viruses

expressing rtTA from the EF1α promoter and CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} from the TRE promoter,

respectively **(Figures 3A and 3B)**. There was little difference in the baseline sleep-wake

architecture among the inducible (i) ABC-eGFP, iABC-CREB^{VP16} and iABC-CRTC1^{CA} mice when

transcription from the TRE promoter was shut off by binding of rtTA in the absence of

Doxycycline (Dox) (data not shown). On the other hand, the expression of GFP, CREB^{VP16}, or

CRTC1^{CA} was rapidly induced in the brain cells of these mice within three days after drinking Dox-

containing water **(Figures 3B, 3C and S3A, S3B)**. Interestingly, there appeared to be a slight

circadian shift of the sleep/wake cycle at the light/dark transition among all mice possibly due to

the effects of Dox **(Figures 3D-3O)**. While ABC-induction of GFP did not affect the total

sleep/wake time, ABC-induction of CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} caused progressive decrease in the

daily amounts of NREMS and REMS accompanied by corresponding increase in wake time during

the three days of Dox treatment **(Figures 3D-3O and S3C-S3N)**. Remarkably, ABC-CRTC1^{CA}

mice were almost constantly awake as shown by 91.8% and 97.1% reduction in NREMS and

REMS amounts, respectively, on day 3 of Dox treatment relative to the baseline sleep amounts

before Dox treatment **(Figures 3L-3O and S3K-S3N)**. These results suggest that the iABC-

expression system can be used to study the sleep phenotypes of genes, of which constitutive expression will lead to lethality in adult mice.

ABC-KO of CREB by Cre/loxP recombination increases daily NREMS amount

Creb1 is an essential gene of which complete ablation results in perinatal lethality in mice (Bleckmann et al., 2002). A partial *Creb1* knockout strain, in which the α and Δ isoforms of CREB are deleted, is homozygous viable and exhibits ~100 min increase of daily NREMS time (Graves et al., 2003). Moreover, forebrain-specific knockout of *Creb1* in the excitatory neurons similarly increases daily NREMS amount (Wimmer et al., 2020). To generate ABC-*Creb1^{KO}* mice, we retro-orbitally injected *Creb1$^{flox/flox}$* mice with AAV-PHP.eB expressing mCherry or Cre recombinase from the pan-neuronal hSyn promoter, respectively. Immunoblotting revealed that the level of CREB expression was reduced by ~50% in whole brain lysates of AAV-hSyn-Cre injected mice relative to AAV-hSyn-mCherry injected mice **(Figures 4A-4C)**. Because CREB was also expressed in the astrocytes that could not be targeted by neuron-specific Cre expression (Pardo et al., 2017), ABC-*Creb1^{KO}* was likely to occur in greater than 50% of the adult brain neurons. In accordance with previous studies (Graves et al., 2003; Wimmer et al., 2020), ABC-*Creb1^{KO}* mice exhibited ~100 min increase in daily NREMS amount, but with no significant change in NREMS delta power **(Figures 4D-4F)**. These results suggest that loss of CREB expression in most adult brain neurons can result in a significant sleep phenotype.

ABC-KO of exon 13 of *Sik3* phenocopies *Sleepy* mice

Forward genetics screening recently identified a *Sleepy* (*Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*) mouse strain, in which a 5' splicing mutation causes the skipping of exon 13 of *Sik3* gene and, hence, an in-frame deletion of 52 amino acids from SIK3–an AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)-related kinase (Funato et al., 2016). The *Sleepy* (*Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*) mice exhibit on average ~250 min increase in daily NREMS time

and constitutively elevated NREMS delta power relative to wild-type littermates (Funato et al., 2016). It remains unclear, however, whether the hypersomnia of $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ mice is the primary phenotype owing to direct effects of SLP kinases, or the secondary phenotype resulting from developmental abnormalities of the brain or dysfunctions of other peripheral organs.

To distinguish among these possibilities, we performed retro-orbital injection of AAV-hSyn-Cre into the $Sik3$-$E13^{flox/flox}$ mice, in which the Cre/loxP-mediated excision of exon 13 of $Sik3$ would convert the $Sik3$-$E13^{flox}$ allele into a functionally equivalent $Sleepy$ ($Sik3$-$E13^{\Delta}$) allele **(Figure 4G)**. Immunoblotting estimated that mutant SLP kinases were expressed in at least 40% of the adult brain neurons following AAV-hSyn-Cre injection **(Figures 4H and 4I)**. Remarkably, ABC-KO of exon 13 of $Sik3$ in adult mice induced a strong hypersomnia phenotype similar to that of $Sleepy$ mice carrying the germline Slp mutation, manifested by ~200 to 300 min increase in daily NREMS time accompanied by constitutively elevated NREMS delta power **(Figures 4J-4L and S4F-S4J)**. These results strongly suggest that the hypersomnia of $Sleepy$ mice is the primary phenotype resulting from mutant SLP kinases directly affecting the sleep regulatory machinery in the adult brain neurons.

ABC-expression of Slp-S causes mild hypersomnia in a kinase-dependent manner

The mouse $Sik3$ gene encodes multiple protein isoforms owing to alternative splicing (Funato et al., 2016). Additionally, immunoblotting of whole brain lysates prepared from $Sik3^{+/+}$, $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ and $Sik3^{Slp/Slp}$ mice detected a new prominent ~72 kDa short isoform of SIK3/SLP (SIK3-S/SLP-S) **(Figure 5B)**. Surprisingly, the annotated SIK3-S isoform in the genome database lacks the N-terminal 58 amino acids, including lysine 37 that is required for ATP binding and kinase activity of SIK3 (Katoh et al., 2006). By reverse transcription (RT)-PCR and sequencing, we verified that the endogenous $Sik3/Slp$-S mRNA contained the sequence encoding the N-terminal 58 amino acids **(Figures 5C and S5A)**.

It remains unclear how different SLP isoforms contribute to the hypersomnia of $Sik3^{Slp/+}$

mice and whether this phenotype is dependent on the kinase activity of SLP proteins. To address

these important questions, we performed ABC-expression of HA-tagged GFP, Slp-S, or Slp-S^{K37M}

in C57BL/6J adult mice **(Figure S5A)**. Despite of similar AAV transduction rates, the expression

of Slp-S or Slp-S^{K37M} was significantly lower than that of GFP in the mouse brain **(Figures S5B**

and S5C). As compared to ABC-eGFP mice, ABC-Slp-S mice exhibited ~60 min increase in daily

NREMS time accompanied by a marked increase in NREMS delta power **(Figures 5D-5F)**, as well

as a significant (~28%) reduction of REMS time mostly in the light phase **(Figures S5D-S5G)**.

Moreover, ABC-Slp-S mice displayed significantly less episodes of NREMS, REMS, or wake in

the 24-h cycle, but with longer NREMS episode duration than ABC-eGFP mice, indicative of more

consolidated NREMS **(Figures S5H-S5J)**. Accordingly, ABC-Slp-S mice also transitioned less

frequently between NREMS and REMS, from REMS to wake and from wake to NREMS **(Figure**

S5K). By contrast, ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice, which expressed a kinase dead Slp-S, exhibited no sleep

phenotype as compared to ABC-eGFP mice **(Figures 5D-5F and S5D-S5K)**. Collectively, these

results indicate that ABC-expression of Slp-S causes mild hypersomnia in a manner dependent on

its kinase activity.

Both long and short isoforms of SLP kinase contribute to hypersomnia in $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ mice

It was impossible to study the sleep phenotypes caused by ABC-expression of the long

isoforms of SLP owning to the packaging size limit of AAV. A highly conserved inhibitory

phosphorylation site, serine 551 (S551), was deleted in all SLP isoforms as it was encoded by the

skipped exon 13 of $Sik3$ gene (Funato et al., 2016). Accordingly, homozygous $Sik3^{S551A}$ knockin

mice, which contain the S551A phosphor-mutation, exhibit a marked hypersomnia phenotype

mimicking that of $Sik3^{Slp}$ mice, underscoring the functional significance of S551 phosphorylation

(Funato et al., 2016; Honda et al., 2018). Thus, we constructed the $Sik3^{S551A-L}$ knockin mice by

replacing the exon 13 sequence of *Sik3* with the C-terminal cDNA sequence of *Sik3-L*, the longest *Sik3* isoform that carried the S551A mutation and was immediately followed by the polyadenylation sequence **(Figures 5G and 5H)**. Immunoblotting of whole brain extracts from homozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice confirmed that this *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* allele could only encode the SIK3^{S551A}-L isoform **(Figure 5I)**.

Because homozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice were rarely viable, we compared the sleep phenotypes of wild-type and heterozygous mice by EEG/EMG recording. Relative to wild-type littermates, heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice exhibited ~90 min increase in daily NREMS time, accompanied by elevated NREMS delta power mainly in the dark phase **(Figures 5J-5L)**. Additionally, *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice spent less time awake during the dark phase or in REMS during the light phase, with elevated delta power in REMS and increased theta power during wakefulness **(Figures S6A-S6E)**. Furthermore, we performed intravenous injection of AAV-hSyn-Slp-S in heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice, in which ABC-expression of Slp-S resulted in a stronger hypersomnia phenotype as shown by compound increases in NREMS amount and delta power as well as corresponding reductions in total REMS and wake time **(Figures 5M-5O and S6F-S6J)**. Taken together, these results suggest that both long and short isoforms of SLP kinases contribute critically to the hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice.

ABC-KO of specific genes by triple-target CRISPR in Cas9 mice

We envisioned that it would be more direct and faster to generate ABC-KO mice with the use of CRISPR/Cas9 technology. In this system, Cas9 nuclease is directed by single-guide (sg)RNA to introduce site-specific DNA break in the target gene, which is repaired by the error-prone non-homologous end-joining pathways, resulting in indel mutations (e.g., short deletions or insertions) that may disrupt gene function (Hsu et al., 2014; Jinek et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013).

However, these Cas9-mediated indel mutations occur at a moderate frequency and not all mutations can ablate target gene function.

Although it is routine to knockout a target gene by CRISPR/Cas9 *via* local injection of AAV expressing sgRNA into specific brain regions of Cas9 mice, there is no report of systemic ABC-KO of target gene in the adult mouse brain by CRISPR/Cas9 via intravenous injection of AAV expressing sgRNA. A big challenge for ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 is that the vast majority of adult brain neurons are non-dividing, terminally differentiated cells. Thus, the efficiency of KO by CRISPR needs to be nearly perfect, that is, both alleles of target gene are disrupted in almost all AAV-PHP.eB-infected neurons. To improve the efficiency of CRISPR KO, multiplexing strategies using several sgRNAs have been utilized to simultaneously target the same gene in various model organisms (Port et al., 2020; Xie et al., 2015; Yin et al., 2015). A triple-target CRISPR method can produce whole-body biallelic knockout mice with 96-100% efficiency in a single generation (Sunagawa et al., 2016; Tatsuki et al., 2016). Therefore, we decided to try this method to generate ABC-KO mice by retro-orbital injection of Cas9 mice with AAV-PHP.eB expressing three sgRNAs targeting the same gene **(Figure 6A)**.

To demonstrate the proof-of-principle for ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9, we chose to knockout *NeuN*, a ubiquitously expressed gene in the adult brain neurons, in Cre-dependent Cas9-expressing mice by injection of AAV-PHP.eB expressing HA-Cre recombinase from the hSyn promoter as well as one, two, or three U6:sgRNA cistrons targeting *NeuN* **(Figure 6B)**. We found that the efficiency of ABC-KO of *NeuN* was significantly higher with triple sgRNAs than with single or double sgRNAs **(Figure S7A)**. Moreover, the efficiency of ABC-KO of *NeuN* peaked at three weeks after AAV injection and increased in a viral dose-dependent manner **(Figures S7B and S7C)**. Whole genome sequencing of AAV-3xsgRNA[NeuN] injected mouse brain DNA demonstrated on-target indel mutations and large inter-exon deletions, but rarely off-target mutations **(Figures 6C and S7D)**. Immunoblotting showed that the level of NeuN expression was specifically reduced

by ~70%, whereas another pan-neuronal protein Tublin J remain unchanged in whole brain lysates

of AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} injected mice **(Figures 6D and 6E)**. Accordingly, co-immunostaining of

NeuN and HA-Cre revealed that expression of NeuN disappeared in the majority of AAV-

3xsgRNA^{NeuN}-infected adult brain neurons **(Figure 6F)**.

Next, we performed ABC-KO of *Sik3* gene, which was broadly expressed in the adult brain

neurons (Funato et al., 2016), by injecting *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* (constitutive Cas9-expressing)

adult mice with AAV-PHP.eB expressing three non-target sgRNAs (AAV-sgRNA^{NT}) or one of two

sets of three sgRNAs (AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3}) targeting different exons of *Sik3* gene **(Figure S7G)**. Both

long and short isoforms of SIK3/SLP proteins were reduced by $\sim$80% in whole brain lysates of

both sets of AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} injected mice relative to AAV-sgRNA^{NT} injected mice **(Figures 6G,**

6H and S7E, S7F). Furthermore, we attempted double ABC-KO of histone deacetylases HDAC4

and HDAC5 in Cas9 mice by co-injection of two AAV-PHP.eB viruses respectively expressing

three sgRNAs targeting the *Hdac4* or *Hdac5* gene **(Figure S7H)**. Immunoblotting indicated that the

levels of both HDAC4 and HDAC5 proteins were reduced by ~70-80% in ABC-HDAC4/5^{DKO}

brain lysates relative to the control brain lysates **(Figures 6I and 6J)**. It is worth noting that the

~70-80% efficiency of ABC-KO of *NeuN*, *Sik3*, or *Hdac4/5* seemed to be significantly better than

the ~40-80% viral transduction rates of adult brain neurons as measured by immunohistochemistry.

We speculated that the viral transduction rates could be underestimated by immunostaining of

proteins and that low level of sgRNAs expression might be sufficient to KO target genes in the

AAV-PHP.eB-infected neurons.

ABC-KO of *Slp* allele rescues the hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice

433   We showed that ABC-KO of exon 13 of *Sik3* by AAV-hSyn-Cre injection in *Sik3-E13$^{flox/flox}$*

434   adult mice could induce hypersomnia similar to that of *Sleepy* mice **(Figure 4J-4L)**. Conversely,

435   we hypothesized that ABC-KO of *Slp* should rescue the hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice. Indeed,

ABC-KO of both *Slp/Sik3* alleles by triple-target CRISPR resulted in ~150 min reduction in daily NREMS time and reduced NREMS delta power in *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*, *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* male mice **(Figures 7A-7C and S8A-S8E)**. Similarly, ABC-KO of *Slp/Sik3* alleles by CRISPR/Cas9 using a second set of triple sgRNAs caused ~180 min reduction in daily NREMS time and diminished NREMS delta power in *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*, *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* female mice **(Figures 7D-7F and S8F-S8J)**. Therefore, the hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice is largely reversable by disrupting SLP expression in the adult brain neurons. These results strongly suggest that the hypersomnia phenotype of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice requires continuous expression of mutant SLP kinases, which probably constitutively phosphorylate substrate proteins that are critical for the regulation of sleep need (Wang et al., 2018).

Double ABC-KO of orexin/hypocretin receptors causes chocolate-induced narcolepsy episodes

Previous studies have shown that knockout mice for the neuropeptide orexin/hypocretin or its receptors, OX1R/HCRTR1 and OX2R/HCRTR2 (hereafter OX1R and OX2R for simplicity), exhibit narcolepsy-like phenotypes, such as abnormal wake to REMS transition and cataplexy (Chemelli et al., 1999; Kalogiannis et al., 2010; Kohlmeier et al., 2013). To generate double ABC-KO of OX1R and OX2R, we co-injected Cas9-expressing mice with two AAV-PHP.eB viruses expressing separate sets of triple sgRNAs targeting either *Ox1r* or *Ox2r* gene, respectively. At first, all of the ABC-*Ox1r/Ox2r^{DKO}* mice exhibited no sleep abnormality as compared to the control AAV-sgRNA^{NT} injected mice. Remarkably, after feeding with chocolates–a known stimulant of narcolepsy (Oishi et al., 2013), three out of eight ABC-*Ox1r/Ox2r^{DKO}* mice exhibited frequent narcolepsy-like episodes **(Figure 7G)**, which was characterized by the abnormal transitions from wake to REMS during the EEG/EMG recording **(Figures 7H and 7I)**. However, we did not observe cataplexy during the narcolepsy episodes through coupled video/EEG recording and manual inspection. This partial narcoleptic phenotype is probably due to incomplete knockout of

Ox1R and Ox2R in all of the adult brain neurons. Taken together, these results suggest that multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 can facilitate one-step analysis of the sleep phenotypes of target genes, including essential or redundant genes, in adult mice without genetic crosses.

DISCUSSION

Despite of recent advance in understanding of the neural pathways that control executive sleep/wake switching (Liu and Dan, 2019; Saper et al., 2010; Saper et al., 2005; Weber and Dan, 2016), the molecular mechanisms of mammalian sleep regulation are largely unknown. It remains unclear which genes constitute the core sleep regulatory pathways in mice, but also where these genes may function in the mouse brain. Although classical germline mouse genetics remains a powerful approach to identify new sleep regulatory genes, it is unwieldy to study the essential genes or redundant pathways that are likely critical for sleep regulation. It is also challenging to conduct large-scale EEG/EMG-based mouse sleep screening owning to lengthy genetic crosses and labor-intensvie surgeries. In this study, we develop a highly efficient ABC-expression/knockout platform and a highly accurate SleepV (video) system for high-throughput somatic genetics analysis of sleep in adult mice. We demonstrated the proof-of-principle for three powerful applications of this platform: 1) ABC-expression facilitates gain-of-function analysis of sleep regulatory genes; 2) ABC-KO by AAV-Cre injection expedites systematic analysis of conditional flox mice for sleep phenotypes; 3) Multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 enables one-step analysis of redundant sleep genes in adult mice. Taken together, we believe that this ABC-expression/knockout platform should greatly expedite the identification of core sleep regulatory pathways and elucidation of molecular mechanisms of sleep regulation in mammals. Furthermore, we envision that these somatic genetics approaches should facilitate efficient and sophisticated studies of diverse brain-related cellular, physiological and behavioral processes in adult mice by skipping the development and genetic crosses.

AAV-Cre injection facilitates systematic sleep screening of conditional flox mice

We showed that intravenous injection of *Creb1^flox/flox^* adult mice with AAV-hSyn-Cre could efficiently knockout CREB expression in the adult brain neurons, resulting in a significant increase in daily NREMS amount. On the other hand, AAV-hSyn-Cre injection could efficiently excise exon 13 of *Sik3* in the adult brain neurons of *Sik3-E13^flox/flox^* mice, resulting in a functionally equivalent *Slp* allele and marked hypersomnia mimicking that of *Sik3^Slp/Slp^* mice. Thus, ABC-KO by Cre/loxP-mediated recombination can create either loss- or gain-of-function somatic mutations of target gene in the adult brain neurons, resulting in strong sleep phenotypes in adult mice. A significant recent development for mouse genetics community is the development of a large repertoire of conditional flox strains for most if not all of mouse genes from the International Knockout Mouse Consortium (IKMC) as well as commercial sources. Therefore, we envision that ABC-KO by AAV-delivered Cre expression from ubiquitous or cell type-specific promoters, such as the hSyn (neurons), CaMKII (excitatory neurons), or GFAP (astrocytes) promoters, represents an efficient method for systematic screening of conditional flox mice for sleep and other phenotypes.

How to distinguish primary vs. secondary sleep phenotypes?

Because a large number of genes play important roles in the development of mouse brain, it is often uncertain whether the sleep phenotype of a mutant mouse strain is the primary phenotype or secondary phenotype resulting from developmental abnormalities of the brain. Alternatively, it is also possible that the sleep phenotype could be indirectly attributed to the dysfunctions of peripheral organs rather than the brain. The ABC-expression/knockout platform can be used to effectively address this challenging question by skipping the development altogether and directly assessing the sleep phenotype of somatic mutations in the adult brain cells. For example, ABC-KO

of exon 13 of *Sik3* by AAV-mediated Cre/loxP recombination in the adult brain neurons induced

hypersomnia in *Sik3-E13$^{flox/flox}$* mice, whereas ABC-KO of *Slp* allele by CRISPR/Cas9 largely

reversed the hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice. These results strongly suggest that the hypersomnia of

Sleepy (*Sik3^{Slp}*) mutant mice is the primary phenotype resulting from the direct effects of mutant

SLP kinases on the sleep regulatory apparatus in the adult brain neurons. Thus, this type of somatic

genetics analysis can serve as a powerful tool to distinguish between the primary and secondary

sleep phenotypes for candidate genes that are also important for brain development or peripheral

tissue functions.

Multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR enables one-step analysis of redundant sleep genes

To analyze the sleep phenotypes of redundant genes, it is both costly and time-consuming

($\geq$ 2 years) to generate double or triple knockout mice through classical germline genetics

approaches (Sunagawa et al., 2016). Although a combination of triple-target CRISPR and modified

embryonic stem cell technologies allows for biallelic knockout of multiple genes in a single

generation, this strategy only works for non-essential genes (Sunagawa et al., 2016). Here, we

successfully generated ABC-KO mice by triple-target CRISPR *via* intravenous injection of Cas9

mice with AAV-PHP.eB expressing three sgRNAs targeting one gene. It was estimated that this

method achieved efficient biallelic knockout of *Sik3/Slp* in ~80% of the adult brain neurons, which

could largely rescue the hypersomnia phenotype of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice. Furthermore, double ABC-KO of

OX1R and OX2R in adult Cas9 mice resulted in chocolate-induced narcolepsy episodes. It should

be noted, however, that the efficiency of multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 may not be optimal

in all cases, which can be further improved by optimizing the sgRNA structure or pre-screening of

individual sgRNAs and potentially by developing other CRISPR/Cas systems. For example, unlike

CRISPR/Cas9 that requires three U6:sgRNA cistrons to target one gene, the CRISPR/Cpf1 (Cas12a)

and CRISPR/Cas13d (targeting RNA) systems can process a polycistronic transcript into multiple

gRNAs targeting the same or different genes (Konermann et al., 2018; Zetsche et al., 2017; Zhong et al., 2017). Therefore, we believe that multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas will greatly expedite one-step analysis of redundant sleep genes in adult mice without genetic crosses, which can be achieved in less than 2 months and is applicable for both essential and non-essential genes.

Rapid, efficient and sophisticated somatic genetics analysis

Mosaic genetic analysis–the study of phenotypes resulting from homozygous mutant cells in a heterozygous background–has been widely used to study tissue-specific functions of genes in the worms, flies and zebrafish (Carmany-Rampey and Moens, 2006; Xu and Rubin, 1993; Yochem and Herman, 2003). Moreover, high frequency of generating mosaic animals by the Flp/FRT-mediated site-specific recombination makes it possible to conduct mosaic genetic screens in the fruit flies, which have made seminal contributions to a variety of fields, including development, stem cells, cell competition, apoptosis, and cancer (de la Cova et al., 2004; Harvey et al., 2003; Huang et al., 1999; Lee and Luo, 1999; Li and Baker, 2007; Moreno and Basler, 2004; Pagliarini and Xu, 2003; Potter et al., 2001; Xie and Spradling, 1998; Xu et al., 1995). Similar mosaic strategies, such as MADM (mosaic animals with double marker) and MASTR (mosaic mutant animals with spatial and temporal control of recombination), have been developed in mice (Lao et al., 2012; Muzumdar et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Zong et al., 2005). However, such mosaic genetic analysis are difficult to conduct in mice and have limited scope of biological applications because of complicated genetic crosses (3 months per generation) and low efficiency of mitotic recombination.

The AAV-based ABC-expression/knockout platform represents an innovative approach to conduct rapid, efficient and sophisticated somatic mosaic experiments in mice. For example, when ABC-expression/KO of two target genes produce different or opposite sleep phenotypes, classical epistasis analysis can be easily conducted to determine whether the two genes operate in the same

or parallel pathways and map the order of these genes if they are in the same pathway. For in-depth

structural and functional analysis of key sleep regulators, ABC-expression of wild-type and mutant

proteins can be conducted to rescue the sleep phenotypes of ABC-KO mice for the endogenous

proteins. Furthermore, we can efficiently carry out a suppressor screen to identify the downstream

effectors of SLP/SIK3 kinases in sleep regulation by ABC-expression of candidate proteins in

Sleepy (*Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*) mice, or by ABC-KO of target genes in *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*, *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* mice. Conversely,

the ABC-expression/knockout platform can also be used for enhancer screens to uncover redundant

sleep genes or pathways. These sophisticated somatic genetic analyses of sleep genes in adult mice

can be rapidly and efficiently performed without the need for time-consuming construction and

complicated genetic cross of germline mutant mice.

Classical forward and reverse mouse genetics have contributed enormously to our

understanding of the molecular mechanisms of human physiologies and diseases (Moresco et al.,

2013; Takahashi et al., 1994). We believe that this ABC-expression/knockout platform could be

broadly applied for somatic genetics screening of mouse genes in other brain-related processes,

such as circadian clock, learning and memory, innate and learned fear, brain injury/repair and

cancer. Moreover, similar strategies can be developed to facilitate studies of tissue-specific

functions of mouse genes using AAV variants engineered to efficiently transduce other peripheral

organs besides liver, such as the heart, kidney, muscle, lung, skin, testis and ovary (Chan et al.,

2017; Gradinaru, 2020; Pulicherla et al., 2011). Finally, the ABC-expression/knockout platform has

great potential for development of novel gene therapies for human diseases, including various

neurodegenerative disorders (Borel et al., 2014; Hocquemiller et al., 2016; Kaplitt et al., 2007;

Marchio et al., 2016; Ojala et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2006).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful for Drs. M. Luo and F. Shao for sharing reagents; K. Wu, Z. Li, W. Min, Y. Zhuang, H. Huang, and Y. Yin for technical assistance; Drs. X. Wang, F. Shao, R. Xi, and N. Tang for discussion and comments on the manuscript; M. Shi for graphical abstract design. This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (61772526 to Z.C.), the Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission (Z181100001318004 to Q.L.) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Q.L., G.W., Q.L., J.X., S.Z., R.Z. designed and executed the experiments with help from F.W., Z.C.; G.W. Q.L., J.X., R.Z. developed the ABC-expression/KO platform and performed molecular genetics experiments with help from Z.C., W.J.; S.Z., Q.L., Z.C. developed the SleepV (video) system; X.G., S.Z., Z.C., C.M., L.C., B.S. performed EEG/EMG recording and analysis; F.W., R.Z. generated multiple mouse strains; Q.S., Y.G. performed AAV packaging and purification; H.W., X.W., H.L. helped mouse husbandry. G.W., Q.L., J.X., S.Z., R.Z.prepared the figures and Q.L., wrote the manuscript with help from G.W., Q.L.

Data availability

All raw data in this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Competing interests

We declare no competing interests.

METHODS

Animals

All animal experiments were performed according to procedures approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of National Institute of Biological Sciences, Beijing (NIBS). All mice were provided food and water *ad libitum* and were housed under humidity and temperature controlled conditions (22-24°C) on a 12 light: 12h dark cycle. *Rosa26-Cas9* (JAX 026179) and *Rosa26-LSL-Cas9* (JAX 026175) were purchased from the Jackson laboratory. *Creb1^flox^* mice were generated by flanking exon4 of *creb1* with two loxP sites. *Sik3-E13^flox^* mice were constructed by flanking exon13 of *sik3* with two loxP sites. *Sik3^S551A-L^* mice were generated by mutating S551A and inserting Sik3 long isoform (544-1369aa) and bGH poly(A) in the exon13 of *Sik3* at the nucleotide position of 185839. These flox and knock-in mice were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 in the transgenic animal facility at NIBS.

DNA Constructs

AAV: EF1α-DIO-H2B-eGFP plasmid was a gift from Dr. Minmin Luo's lab (NIBS). pAAV-CBh-Cre was constructed by subcloning the CBh-Cre cassette from AAV:ITR-U6-sgRNA(backbone)-CBh-Cre-WPRE-hGHpA-ITR (Addgene, 60229) into pAAV-hSyn-eGFP (Addgene, 105539). pAAV-hSyn-Cre was modified from pAAV-hSyn-eGFP (Addgene, 105539) by replacing eGFP with Cre. pX600-hSyn vector was modified from pX600-AAV-CMV::NLS-SaCas9-NLS-3xHA-bGHpA (Addgene, 61592) by replacing CMV promoter with hSyn promoter. The sgRNA sequences were designed based on Mouse GeCKO v2 Library and database from RIKEN (Sanjana et al., 2014; Sunagawa et al., 2016). Annealed oligos for sgRNAs were firstly inserted into the AAV-ITR-U6-sgRNA(backbone)-hSyn-Cre-2A-EGFP-KASH-WPRE-shortPA-ITR (Addgene, 60229) vector. Then, the pAAV plasmids contain double or triple U6:sgRNA cistrons were constructed through PCR amplification, restriction digestion and ligation.

Sik3-S identification

RNAs were extracted from $Sik3^{+/+}$, $Sik3^{Slp/+}$, $Sik3^{Slp/Slp}$ mice and the cDNAs were obtained thorough Reverse Transcription-Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) by FastKing one step RT-PCR Kit (TIANGEN, KR116). We designed forward (F: ATGGCCGCTCGCATCGGCTA) primer from N-terminal 59aa of *Sik3* and reverse (R: AGCACTGCCAGGTGCCAC) primer in the 3' UTR of *Sik3-S* for *Sik3/Slp-S* identification. *Sik3/Slp-S* PCR products were purified by gel extraction kit (Biomed, DH101) as template for second round PCR for *Sik3-S* N-terminal sequencing. (F: ATGGCCGCTCGCATCGGCTA; R: CCCGCAGATTCTGCAGTG TGC). Slp-S and Slp-S^{K37M} were then cloned into the pX600-hSyn vector.

AAV-PHP.eB packaging and purification

AAV-PHP.eBs were packaged in AAVpro 293T cells (Clontech, 632273). Cells were harvested by cell lifter (Biologix, 70-2180) 72 h after co-transfection with PHP.eB (Addgene, 103005), pHelper (Agilent, 240071-54) and transfer plasmids using polyethylenimine MAX (Polysciences, 24765). The cell pellets were suspended in 1X Gradient Buffer (10mM Tris-HCl pH=7.6, 150mM NaCl, 10mM MgCl$_2$). Cells were lysed by five repeated cycles of liquid nitrogen freezing, 37°C water bath thawing and vortex. Then the cell lysate was mixed with ≥50 U/mL of Benzonase nuclease (Milipore, E1014) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Centrifuge the cell lysate at 21,130g for 30 min at 4°C and transfer the supernatant to a pre-build iodixanol (Optiprep, D1556) step gradients (15%, 25%, 40% and 58%) for ultracentrifugation purification. Vacuum centrifuge at 41000rpm, 4°C for 4 h, the virus particles were in the layer of 40% iodixanol gradient. Accurately insert the needle ~1-2 mm below the interface between the 40% and 58% gradient and extract all the 40% virus containing layer. Purified AAV-PHP.eB were concentrated using Amicon filters (EMD, UFC801096) and formulated in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with

659 0.01% Pluronic F68 (Gibco, 24040032). Virus titers were determined by qPCR using a linearized

660 AAV plasmid as a standard.

Tet-on inducible system

The pAAV-Tre-eGFP, pAAV-Tre-CREB[VP16] and pAAV-Tre-CRTC1[CA] were constructed

by subcloing Tre promoter to pAAV-hSyn-vector. Reverse tetracycline-controlled transactivator

(rtTA) expression was driven by the EF1α promoter. Tre promoter and rtTA sequences were

obtained from Dr. Feng Shao's lab (NIBS). For Tet-on inducible sleep recording, EEG/EMG

surgeries were carried out on 12-weeks old mice. The mice were recovered for one week and AAV-

Tre-eGFP, AAV-Tre-CREB[VP16] or AAV-Tre-CRTC1[CA] was co-injected with AAV-EF1α-rtTA

through retro-orbital injection. After two weeks virus expression, baseline sleep recording was

performed for 3 days without Doxycycline. Then the water was changed with 2mg/mL

Doxycycline containing water and subjected to EEG/EMG recording for another 3 days.

Genomic DNA Extraction and Captured Illumina Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from mouse brain using TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit

(TIANGEN, DP304) following the recommended protocol. Genomic DNA (1-1.5 g) was sheared to

300 to 400-bp by Covaris S220 (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA) and purified with 1X magnetic

beads (Ampure XP; Beckman Coulter). Sheared DNA fragments was Subjected to Illumina paired-

end DNA library using NEBNext ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit (E7645L, NEB).

Preparation and PCR-amplified for three cycles and libraries size were selected with 0.55-1X

magnetic beads (Ampure XP, Beckman). Amplified libraries were sequenced using the HiSeq X

ten Platform (Illumina) as paired-end 150 base reads according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Illumina raw sequencing reads were processed through a standard pipeline consisting of

low-quality read filtering through Trimmomatic (version 0.36), alignment to mouse genome

GRCm38 (mm10) using the Burrows–Wheeler Aligner (BWA, version 0.7.17-r1188) algorithm. The aligned BAM files were processed using the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, version 4.1.4), including mark PCR duplicates and correction for realignments and mapping quality score recalibrations. Haplotype Caller was used for variant calling.

Twenty-one potential off-target sites for the three sgRNAs targeting *NeuN* genes listed below were identified using Cas-OFFinder (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/).

NeuN sgRNA-1(TCGGGGTCCCTGAACCGGAAGGG):

1. TCtGGaTCCCgGAACCGGAAAGG chr16 7277126 - 3

2. TCGGGGTCCCTGAACCactAAGG chr7 30375662 - 3

3. TaGGGGTCCCTGAAaCaGAATGG chr7 45640152 - 3

4. TgGGGtTCCCTGAACCccAAAGG chrX 11971373 - 4

5. TCGGGGTCCCTGAACCacTAAGGG chr7 30375661 - 2

6. TCGGGGTCCCTGAACCActAAGGG chr7 30375661 - 2

7. TCGGGGTCCCTGAACCaCtAAGGG chr7 30375661 - 2

NeuN sgRNA-2(GCTCAGATGCTGACCGAGCCCGG):

8. GCTCAGATGCTGACaGAcCtGGG chr16 25376832 + 3

9. GCTgAGATGCaGACtGAGCCTGG chr16 30614345 - 3

10. GCTCAGcTGCTGgCCcAGCCTGG chr15 82930003 - 3

11. GCTtAGATGCTGAtgGAGCCTGG chr7 101383685 + 3

12. GCTCAGATGCTGgCCTGtGCCAGG chr9 120120623 + 2

13. GCTCAGATGtTGtCCAGAGCCAGG chr5 66096471 - 2

14. GCTCAGGcTGCTGACaGAGCtGGG chrX 11232414 + 3

NeuN sgRNA-3(GCTGAATGGGACGATCGTAGAGG):

15. GCTGttTGGGAgGATCGTAGAGG chr13 48330995 + 3

16. GCTGtATGGtAgGATCGTAGGGG chr4 22110214 + 3

709 17. GCTGAATGGGAgGATCaTcaGGG chrX 98480354 - 4

710 18. GCTGAATGGagCGATgGgAGAGG chr15 10917958 - 4

711 19. GCTGGAATGtGAgGATaGTAGTGG chrX 164503183 + 3

712 20. GCTGGAATGtGAgGATaGTAGTGG chrX 164503183 + 3

713 21. GCTGgATGGGACGATgGTACtTGG chr8 28174078 + 3

EEG/EMG surgery

All EEG/EMG surgeries were performed by experienced technicians. 11 to 13-weeks old

male or female mice (all experiments were conducted with male mice if not indicated otherwise)

were anesthetized by isoflurane (4% for induction, 2% for maintenance) and surgical tools were

sterilized by ethanol just before use. After confirming the mice lack of pain, the head region was

shaved, cleaned with ethanol and the skull was exposed. The exposed skull was cleaned by cotton

swabs to improve binding of skull and dental cement. Handheld electrical drill was moved to the

lambda point and set the coordinate as (0, 0, 0). Then four holes were drilled by the electrical drill

in the skull. The coordinate of the holes were (-1.27, 0, 0), (-1.27, 5.03, 0), (1.27, -5.03, 0) and

(1.27, 0, 0). Then the EEG electrode pins were implanted to the dura under stereotaxic control and

the EMG wires were inserted into the neck muscle and then stick to the skull with dental cement.

After surgery, the mice were housed individually to recover for one week. Then retro-orbital

injection of AAV-PHP.eB was performed. After allowing time for virus expression (1 week for

ABC expression and 2 weeks for ABC-KO), the mice were tethered to a counterbalanced arm

(Instech Laboratories) that allowed free movement and exerted minimal weight for one week

before EEG/EMG recording.

EEG/EMG recording and data analysis

Three days of baseline EEG/EMG recording were conducted after mice were acclimated for one week recording condition. The sleep–wake behaviors were analyzed as previously described with modifications (Funato et al., 2016). EEG/EMG data were visualized and analyzed using a custom semi-automated staging MatLab (MathWorks)-based program, followed by visual inspection. Following semi-automated analysis of EEG/EMG data, EEG signals were subjected to fast Fourier transform analysis for 1 to 30Hz with 1-Hz bins. Sleep/wake state was staged into NREMS, REMS and wake. NREMS was staged by high amplitude, delta (1–4 Hz) frequency EEG and low EMG tonus. REMS was characterized based on theta (6–9Hz)-dominant EEG and EMG muscle atonia. Wake was staged based on the presence of low amplitude, fast EEG and high amplitude, variable EMG signal. For the NREMS delta power density analysis, hourly averages of delta density were defined by the ratio of delta power (1–4 Hz) to total power of NREMS EEG. For the power spectrum analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake, the EEG power of each frequency bins was expressed as the percentage of total EEG power over all frequency bins (1–30 Hz) in each state.

Brain lysate preparation and Immunoblotting

Mouse brains were quickly dissected and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Brain tissues were homogenized using mortar/pestle with liquid nitrogen and then lysed in ice-cold RIPA buffer (50mM Tris-HCL pH=7.4, 150mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS) (Beyotime, P0013B) freshly supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche) for 30 min and centrifuged at 21,130g for 15 min at 4℃. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and boiled at 95℃ for 10 min with SDS-loading buffer. Western blotting was performed according to standard protocols using the following antibodies. Rabbit polyclonal anti-SIK3 antibodies were generated using Abcam custom antibody production service. The following antibodies were purchased from commercial sources: anti-CREB (CST, 9197S), anti-NeuN (Milipore, ABN78), anti-HDAC4 (Abcam, ab12172), anti-HDAC5 (SCBT, sc-133106), anti- β -ACTIN (Beyotime,

AF003), anti-Tublin J (CST, 5568), anti-GFP (Beyotime, AG279), anti-Cas9 (Abcam, ab204448), anti-HA (Sigma, H6533).

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were deeply anesthetized by choral hydrate and perfused transcardially with 0.9% normal saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Brains were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature for at least 4 hours followed by incubation in 30% sucrose in PBS at room temperature for 24 h. The cryo-protected brains were sectioned at 40 micron on a cryostat microtome (Leica). After washing in PBST(0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) for 5 min three times, brain sections were incubated in blocking solution (3% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS) at room temperature for 1-h. Then brain sections were incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4 ℃ and immunofluorescence tagged secondary antibodies at room temperature for 2-h. After staining, the brain sections were mounted on adhesion microscope slides (Genview) and encapsulated in sealed tablets containing 3 mg/mL DAPI (Solarbio, C0060).The following antibodies were used: anti-HA (1:500, Roche, 11867423001), anti-NeuN (1:500, Milipore, ABN78), anti-GFP (1:2000, Abcam, ab13970).

Video-based sleep recording and screening

For video-based sleep screening, adult (9-11 weeks) C57BL/6J male mice were retro-orbitally injected with 10^{12} AAV-PHP.eB viruses expressing different cDNAs from the hSyn promoter. The cDNAs of Arc, Homer1a, Rab3a, Plk2-dn (332-682aa), CamKIIα, CamKIIβ, CaMKIV-dn (K75E), CDK5, CREB and CRTC1 were cloned from mouse brain cDNA library. MEF-VP16 was constructed by fusing MEF2C (1-117aa) with C-terminal VP16-3xHA tag by Gibson assembly. All cDNAs were inserted into pAAV-hSyn-eGFP (Addgene; 105539) by replacing eGFP with individual cDNA. Two weeks after virus injection, mice were individually

housed in Ancare cages with food and water provided ad libitum on a 12-h light-12-h dark cycle. The sleep/wake behaviors of the mice were recorded by an infrared camera (704 x 576 resolution) at 25 frames/s. Infrared LED lights were placed above the cages to clearly videotape mouse behaviors in the dark phase. For all sleep recording experiments, 3 days of video data were recorded to calculate the average daily sleep time for each mouse.

Automatic sleep staging (SleepV) software for video analysis

The automatic sleep staging of video data consists of two stages: 1) a dedicated video analysis method was developed to extract the mouse information from each sampled frame, including its position coordinates, color, predict and IoU. 2) the mouse state (active or inactive) at the video clip level was obtained by grouping the frame-level information within a time window.

(1) Video analysis stage:

The suspected regions of interest (ROIs) are first extracted from each sample frame using traditional image processing techniques, such as Gaussian filtering, adaptive and global threshold. More than one ROIs are allowed to be detected because of the complication of food, water gel and shadows caused by environmental light. This multi-detection strategy ensures that the true mouse region is not missed. A deep neural network is used to make a binary classification of each ROI as a mouse or not. This network has been pre-trained on thousands of mouse-no mouse ROI pictures. The ROI with the highest confidence score is designated as the mouse region, while the others were classified as the background noise. According to the ROI size and position coordinate, we extracted several descriptive features, i.e., the network prediction score (predict), the detected mouse mask area (IoU) and the gray information (color) within the detected mask. Similarly, the frame-level features within a time window centered on that frame were also extracted. Denote F_{t} the current frame, $\{F_{t-m}, F_{t-m+1}, ... F_{t+m}\}$ the frames within this time window, where m is a hyperparameter. The differences between F_{t} and F_{t+i}, including predict score difference P_i

(predict), mask difference M_i (IoU) and gray pixel difference G_i (color), were calculated and

normalized. Then the normalized values were fused to derive a combined score and a threshold was

set to derive a preliminary judgment of whether the mouse was active or inactive at frame t.

(2) Sleep/wake state determination stage:

According to the frame-level preliminary judgment, SleepV defines the sleep state as $\geq$ 40-s

of continuous immobility. To correct misjudgment of subtle movements during sleep, less than 15-s

of movement in between two sleep states is also annotated as sleep.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of EEG/EMG data was performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

Knockout efficiency measured by Western blot was quantified using ImageJ software. Student's t-

test was used for pairwise comparisons, one-way ANOVA for multiple comparisons and two-way

ANOVA for multiple comparisons involving two independent variables. Tukey's test compares

every mean with every other mean, Dunnett's test compares every mean to a control mean. $p < 0.05$

was considered statistically significant.

Figure 1. Setup of a video based high-throughput ABC sleep screening platform.

Figure 1. Setup of a video-based high-throughput ABC sleep screening platform.

(A) Schematic of the video-based ABC-expression sleep screening platform.

(B) Schematic of the AAV-CBh:Cre and AAV-pEF1α:DIO-H2B-eGFP constructs.

(C) Representative images showing co-immunostaining of GFP and NeuN in the sagittal brain

sections of AAV-CBh-Cre and AAV-EF1α-DIO-eGFP co-injected mice.

(D) Representative images and quantification of the percentage of NeuN$^+$ (red) neurons or DAPI$^+$

(blue) cells that also express GFP in eight different brain regions.

(E) Hourly plot of sleep time of six C57BL/6J mice by simultaneous video (red) and EEG/EMG

(black) analysis.

(F) Epoch-by-epoch comparison of sleep/wake staging of the same mouse by simultaneous video

(V) and EEG/EMG analysis.

(G) A graph showing viral transduction rates of cortical neurons in AAV-hSyn-GeneX injected

mice expressing different synaptic plasticity regulators from the hSyn promoter.

(H) A graph showing daily sleep time of ABC-GeneX mice (n ≥ 5) normalized to that of ABC-

eGFP mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (H) One-way ANOVA with Dunn's test; ** $p < 0.01$.

Figure 2. ABC-expression of CREB and/or CRTC1 reduces NREMS amount and delta power.

Figure 2. ABC-expression of CREB and/or CRTC1 reduces NREMS amount and delta power.

(A) Co-immunostaining of HA$^+$ (red) and NeuN$^+$ (green) neurons in the cortex and thalamus of AAV-hSyn-CREB (ABC-CREB), AAV-hSyn-CREB (ABC-CREB^{S119A}) and AAV-hSyn-CRTC1 (ABC-CRTC1)-injected mice.

(B) Quantification of the viral transduction rates, which is calculated by the percentage of NeuN$^+$ neurons that express HA-tagged proteins, in the cortical and thalamic neurons of ABC-CREB, ABC-CREB^{S119A} and ABC-CRTC1 mice.

(C-E) Hourly plot of NREMS time (C), quantification of total NREMS time (D) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (E) in the ABC-eGFP (n=11), ABC-CREB (n=12) and ABC-CREB^{S119A} (n=11) mice. Shown above are the statistical analysis for comparison between ABC-CREB (red*) or ABC-CREB^{S119A} (blue*) mice and control ABC-eGFP mice.

(F-H) Hourly plot of NREMS time (F), quantification of total NREMS time (G) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (H) in the ABC-eGFP, (n=12), ABC-CRTC1 (n=15), ABC-CREB (n=15) and ABC-CRTC1/CREB (n=12) mice. Shown above are statistical analysis for comparison between ABC-CRTC1 (purple*), ABC-CREB (red*), or ABC-CRTC1/CREB (blue*) mice and control ABC-eGFP mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (C-H) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 3. Inducible ABC-expression of CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} causes significant sleep phenotypes.

Figure 3. Inducible ABC-expression of CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} causes significant sleep

phenotypes.

(A) Schematic of Tet-on inducible (i)ABC-expression system with or without Doxcycline (Dox).

(B) A flow chart of the iABC-expression and EEG/EMG sleep recording experiment.

(C) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from iABC-eGFP mice before and after Dox treatment

with anti-GFP and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(D-G) Hourly plots of wake time (D), REMS time (E), NREMS time (F) and NREMS delta power

(G) of the iABC-eGFP mice (n=8).

(H-K) Hourly plots of wake time (H), REMS time (I), NREMS time (J) and NREMS delta power

(K) in the iABC-CREB^{VP16} mice (n=7).

(L-O) Hourly plots of wake time (L), REMS time (M), NREMS time (N) and NREMS delta power

(O) in the iABC-CRTC1^{CA} mice (n=7).

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (D-O) Shown above are statistical analysis for comparison between basal

and day 3 (3d) sleep/wake data after Dox treatment. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. * p

< 0.05; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 4. ABC-KO of *Creb1* or exon 13 of *Sik3* by Cre-loxP recombination causes hypersomnia.

Figure 4. ABC-KO of *Creb1* or exon 13 of *Sik3* by Cre-loxP recombination causes hypersomnia.

(A) Schematic of ABC-KO of *Creb1* by AAV-hSyn-Cre injection of *Creb1*$^{flox/flox}$ mice.

(B) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Cre injected *Creb1*$^{flox/flox}$ mice with anti-CREB and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(C) Quantification of the level of CREB expression in (B) (n=4).

(D-F) Hourly plot of NREMS time (D), quantification of total NREMS time (E) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (F) in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry (n=9) or AAV-hSyn-Cre (n=14) injected *Creb1*$^{flox/flox}$ mice.

(G) Schematic of ABC-KO of exon 13 of *Sik3* by AAV-hSyn-Cre injection of *Sik3-E13*$^{flox/flox}$ mice.

(H) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Cre injected *Sik3-E13*$^{flox/flox}$ mice with anti-SIK3 and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(I) Quantification of the level of SLP-S expression in (H) (n=4), which is calculated by the percentage of SLP-S/(SIK3-S+SLP-S).

(J-L) Hourly plot of NREMS time (J), quantification of total NREMS time (K) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (L) in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry (n=8) or AAV-hSyn-Cre (n=8) injected *Sik3-E13*$^{flox/flox}$ mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (C and I) Unpaired t test. (D-F) and (J-L) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 5. Both long and short isoforms of Slp kinase contribute to hypersomnia of _Sik3^{Slp/+}_ mice.

Figure 5. Both short and long isoforms of SLP kinase contribute to hypersomnia of $Sik3^{Slp}$

mice.

(A) Schematic of alternative splicing of exon 14 to produce SIK3-L and SIK3-S protein isoforms.

Exons are shown as boxes and introns as lines. Red star refers to stop codon.

(B) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates of $Sik3^{+/+}$, $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ and $Sik3^{Slp/Slp}$ mice with anti-SIK3

antibodies.

(C) RT-PCR analysis of the endogenous $Sik3$-S and Slp-S transcripts from the $Sik3^{+/+}$, $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ and

$Sik3^{Slp/Slp}$ mouse brains. Schematic of $Sik3$-S and Slp-S mRNA and PCR primers are shown

above.

(D-F) Hourly plot of NREMS time (D), quantification of total NREMS time (E) and hourly plot of

NREMS delta power (F) in the ABC-eGFP (black, n=27), ABC-Slp-S (red, n=23) and ABC-

Slp-S^{K37M} (blue, n=24) mice. (D and F) Shown above is the statistical analysis for comparison

between ABC-Slp-S mice and ABC-eGFP mice.

(G) Schematic for construction of $Sik3^{S551A-L}$ mice by CRISPR/Cas9 and homologous

recombination.

(H) PCR genotyping of wild-type, heterozygous and homozygous $Sik3^{S551A-L}$ knockin mice.

(I) Immunoblotting of brain extracts from wild-type, heterozygous and homozygous $Sik3^{S551A-L}$

mice with anti-HA and anti-SIK3 antibodies.

(J-L) Hourly plot of NREMS time (J), quantification of total NREMS time (K) and hourly plot of

NREMS delta power (L) of wild-type ($Sik3^{+/+}$, n=8) and heterozygous ($Sik3^{S551A-L/+}$, n=12)

littermates.

(M-O) Hourly plot of NREMS time (M), quantification of total NREMS time (N) and hourly plot

of NREMS delta power (O) in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry (n=12) or AAV-hSyn-Slp-S (Slp-S)

(n=12) injected $Sik3^{S551A-L/+}$ mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (D-F) and (J-O) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test; n.s. not significant; *

$p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

954
955

Figure 6. ABC-KO of *NeuN* by triple-target CRISPR in Cas9 mice.

Figure 6. ABC-KO of specific genes by triple-target CRISPR in Cas9 mice.

(A) Schematic of ABC-KO of genes by triple-target CRISPR in *Rosa26*^{LSL-Cas9} mice by retro-orbital injection of AAV-PHP.eB expressing three sgRNAs targeting the same gene.

(B) Schematic of AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} that expresses HA-Cre recombinase from the hSyn promoter and three sgRNA cistrons from the U6 promoter. Shown below is the target site sequences within the exons 2 and 4 of *NeuN* gene.

(C) Genomic alignments of whole genome sequencing reads of ABC-*NeuN*^{KO} mouse brain DNA at the target sites within the exons 2 and 4 of *NeuN* gene. The top and bottom panels show read coverage and read alignments, respectively, with different types of mutations highlighted.

(D) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-3xsgRNA^{NT} and AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} injected *Rosa26*^{LSL-Cas9} mice with the corresponding antibodies.

(E) Quantification of the level of NeuN expression in (D) (n=4).

(F) Co-immunostaining of HA-Cre and NeuN in the prefrontal cortex sections of AAV-3xsgRNA^{NT} and AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} injected mice. The bottom row shows magnified images of the corresponding boxed regions in the top row. Scale bars, 400 μm (top) and 100 μm (bottom).

(G) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-sgRNA^{NT} and AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 1 of three sgRNAs) injected *Sik3*^{Slp/+}; *Rosa26*^{Cas9/+} mice with anti-SIK3 and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(H) Quantification of the levels of SIK3-L/SLP-L and SIK3-S/SLP-S proteins shown in (G) (n=4).

(I) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-sgRNA^{HDAC4} and AAV-sgRNA^{HDAC5} injected *Rosa26*^{Cas9/Cas9} mice with anti-HDAC4, Anti-HDAC5 and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(J) Quantification of the levels of HDAC4 and HDAC5 proteins in (I) (n=4).

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (E, H and J) Unpaired t test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure 7. Multiplex ABC-KO of target genes cause various sleep phenotypes.

Figure 7. Multiplex ABC-KO of genes by CRISPR/Cas9 causes various sleep phenotypes.

(A-C) Hourly plot of NREMS time (A), quantification of total NREMS time (B) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (C) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} (n=7) or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 1, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* male mice.

(D-F) Hourly plot of NREMS time (D), quantification of total NREMS time (E) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (F) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} (n=7) or AAV-gRNA^{Sik3} (set 2, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* female mice.

(G) Quantification of the number of narcolepsy episodes in three ABC-*Ox1r/Ox2r^{DKO}* mice before and after chocolate feeding for three days.

(H) Representative hypnograms (ZT12-15) showing ABC-*Ox1r/Ox2r^{DKO}* mice before and after chocolate feeding. Red triangles mark direct wake to REM transitions that are characteristic of narcolepsy episodes.

(I) Representative EEG/EMG signals depicting the abnormal wake to REM transition during one narcoleptic episode in ABC-*Ox1r/Ox2r^{DKO}* mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (A, C, D, F) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (B, E, G) Unpaired t test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGEND

Figure S1. Setup a video based high-throughput ABC sleep screening platform.

Linked to Figure 1

Figure S1. Setup of a video based high-throughput ABC-expression sleep screening platform.

(Linked to Figure 1)

(A-C) Hourly plot of NREMS time (A), quantification of total NREMS time (B) and hourly plot of NREMS delta power (C) of no virus (n=19) or AAV-hSyn-eGFP (n=9) injected mice.

(D) The logic flow of sleep/wake staging of video recording by SleepV software.

(E) Epoch-by-epoch comparison of sleep/wake staging of the same mouse by simultaneous SleepV (V) and EEG/EMG recording and analysis.

(F) The distribution of daily sleep time in fifty-nine C57BL/6J mice as measured by SleepV analysis.

(G) Hourly plot of sleep time of $Sik3^{+/+}$ (n=11) and $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ (n=11) mice.

(H) Quantification of daily sleep time in $Sik3^{+/+}$ (n=11) and $Sik3^{Slp/+}$ (n=11) mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (G) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. (H) Unpaired t test. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure S2. ABC-expression of CREB and/or CRTC1 reduces NREMS amount and delta power. Linked to Figure 2

1032

1033

1034

Figure S2. ABC-expression of CREB and/or CRTC1 reduces NREMS amount and delta power.

(Linked to Figure 2)

(A and B) Hourly plot of wake time (A) and quantification of total wake time (B) in the ABC-eGFP (n=11), ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ (n=12) and ABC-CREB$_\Delta^{S119A}$ (n=11) mice. Shown above is statistical analysis for comparison between ABC-CREB (red*) or ABC-CREB^{S119A} (blue*) mice and control ABC-eGFP mice.

(C and D) Hourly plot of REMS time (C) and quantification of total REMS time (D) in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ and ABC-CREB$_\Delta^{S119A}$ mice.

(E) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-CREB$_\Delta$, and ABC-CREB$_\Delta^{S119A}$ mice.

(F and G) Hourly plot of wake time (F) and quantification of total wake time (G) in ABC-eGFP (n=12), ABC-CRTC1 (n=15), ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ (n=15) and ABC-CRTC1/CREB$_\Delta$ (n=12) mice. Shown above is the statistical analysis for comparison between ABC-CRTC1 (purple*), ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ (red*), or ABC-CRTC1/CREB$_\Delta$ (blue*) mice and control ABC-eGFP mice.

(H and I) Hourly plot of REMS time (H) and quantification of total REMS time (I) in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-CRTC1, ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ and ABC-CRTC1/CREB$_\Delta$ mice.

(J) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-CRTC1, ABC-CREB$_\Delta$ and ABC-CRTC1/CREB$_\Delta$ mice.

(K) Representative EEG/EMG hypnogram depicting frequent muscle twitching during NREMS in the ABC-CRTC1 mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (A-J) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure S3. Inducible ABC-expression of CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} causes significant sleep phenotypes. Linked to Figure 3

Figure S3. Inducible ABC-expression of CREB^{VP16} or CRTC1^{CA} causes significant sleep

phenotypes. (Linked to Figure 3)

(A) Co-immunostaining of HA$^+$ (red) and NeuN$^+$ (green) neurons in the cortex and thalamus of the

the inducible (i) ABC-eGFP, ABC-CREB^{VP16} and ABC-CRTC1^{CA} mice.

(B) Quantification of the viral transduction rates, which is calculated by the percentage of NeuN$^+$

neurons that express HA-tagged proteins, in the cortical and thalamic neurons showed in (A).

(C-F) Quantification of total wake time (C), REMS time (D), or NREMS time (E) and EEG power

spectra analysis of NREMS (F) in the iABC-eGFP mice (n=8).

(G-J) Quantification of total wake time (G), REMS time (H), or NREMS time (I) and EEG power

spectra analysis of NREMS (J) in the iABC-CREB^{VP16} mice (n=7).

(K-N) Quantification of total wake time (K), REMS time (L), or NREMS time (M) and EEG power

spectra analysis of NREMS (N) in the iABC-CRTC1^{CA} mice (n=7).

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (C-N) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$;

** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

1076

Figure S4. ABC-KO of *Creb1* or exon 13 of *Sik3* by Cre-loxP recombination causes hypersomnia. Linked to Figure 4

Figure S4. ABC-KO of *Creb1* or exon 13 of *Sik3* by Cre-loxP recombination causes

hypersomnia. (Linked to Figure 4)

(A and B) Hourly plot of wake time (A) and quantification of total wake time (B) in the AAV-

hSyn-mCherry (n=9) or AAV-hSyn-Cre (n=14) injected *Creb1$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

(C and D) Hourly plot of REMS time (C) and quantification of total REMS time (D) in the AAV-

hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Cre injected *Creb1$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

(E) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-

hSyn-Cre injected *Creb1$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

(F and G) Hourly plot of wake time (F) and quantification of total wake time (G) in the AAV-

hSyn-mCherry (n=8) or AAV-hSyn-Cre (n=8) injected *Sik3-E13$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

(H and I) Hourly plot of REMS time (H) and quantification of total REMS time (I) in the AAV-

hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Cre injected *Sik3-E13$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

(J) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-

hSyn-Cre injected *Sik3-E13$^{flox/flox}$* mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (A-J) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$;

** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

1098

1099

Figure S5. ABC-expression of Slp-S causes mild hypersomnia in a kinase-dependent manner. Linked to Figure 5

Figure S5. ABC-expression of Slp-S causes mild hypersomnia in a kinase-dependent manner.

(Linked to Figure 5)

(A) Alignment of the actual and annotated (Uniprot F6U8X4) SIK3-S protein sequences. Highlighted are the N-terminal 58 amino acids (blue), protein kinase domain (gray), K37 (red) and 52 amino acids (orange) encoded by exon 13 of *Sik3*.

(B) Representative images showing co-immunostaining of HA (green) and NeuN (red) of the cortex of the ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mouse brains. Scale bars, 20um.

(C) Quantification of the viral transduction rates of the cortical neurons in (B), which is calculated by the percentage of NeuN$^+$ neurons that express HA-tagged proteins, in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mouse brains.

(D and E) Hourly plot of wake time (D) and quantification of total wake time (E) in the ABC-eGFP (black, n=27), ABC-Slp-S (blue, n=23) and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} (purple, n=24) mice.

(F and G) Hourly plots of REMS time (F) and quantification of total REMS time (G) in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice.

(H) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice.

(I) Quantitation of daily NREMS, REMS or wake episode number in the ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S, and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice.

(J) Comparison of mean episode duration of wake, NREMS, or REMS in ABC-eGFP, ABC-Slp-S, and ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice during the 24-h cycle.

(K) Comparison of the frequency of transitions among the wake, NREMS and REMS states between the ABC-Slp-S or ABC-Slp-S^{K37M} mice and control ABC-eGFP mice.

Data are mean±s.e.m. (D-K) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$; **** $p<0.0001$.

Figure S6. Both long and short isoforms of Slp kinase contribute to hypersomnia of *Sik3^Slp/+* mice. Linked to Figure 5

Figure S6. Both long and short isoforms of SLP contribute to hypersomnia of *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$* mice.

(Linked to Figure 5)

(A and B) Hourly plot of wake time (A) and quantification of total wake time (B) in the wild-type (*Sik3$^{+/+}$*, n=8) and heterozygous (*Sik3$^{S551A-L/+}$*, n=12) mice.

(C and D) Hourly plot of REMS time (C) and quantification of total REMS time (D) in the wild-type and heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice.

(E) EEG power spectra analysis of wake, NREMS and REMS states (ZT1-24) in the wild-type and heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice.

(F and G) Hourly plot of wake time (F) and quantification of total wake time (G) in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry (n=8) or AAV-hSyn-Slp-S (n=8) injected heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* (*Sik3$^{S551A-L/+}$*) mice.

(H and I) Hourly plot of REMS time (H) and quantification of total REMS time (I) in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Slp-S injected heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice.

(J) EEG power spectra analysis of wake, NREMS and REMS states in the AAV-hSyn-mCherry or AAV-hSyn-Slp-S injected heterozygous *Sik3$^{S551A-L}$* mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (A-J) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

Figure S7. ABC-KO of target genes by triple-target CRISPR in *Cas9* mice. Linked to Figure 6

Figure S7. ABC-KO of specific genes by triple-target CRISPR in *Cas9* mice.

(Linked to Figure 6)

(A) Immunoblotting of NeuN proteins in whole brain lysates from AAV-3xsgRNA^{NT}, AAV-1xsg RNA^{NeuN}, AAV-2xsgRNA^{NeuN}, AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} injected *Rosa26$^{LSL-Cas9-IRES-EGFP}$* mice at three weeks after (10^{12} vg/mice) virus injection. β-ACTIN was used as loading control and GFP as indicator for Cas9 expression.

(B) Immunoblotting of NeuN proteins in whole brain lysates from AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} injected Cas9 mice at one, three, five and seven weeks after virus (10^{12} vg/mice) injection. AAV-3xsgRNA^{NT} injected mouse brains were collected at three weeks after virus injection.

(C) Immunoblotting of NeuN proteins in whole brain lysates from Cas9 mice injected with increasing doses of AAV-3xsgRNA^{NeuN} and collected at three weeks after virus injection. The dose of AAV-3xsgRNA^{NT} injection was 2x10^{12} vg/mice.

(D) Quantitation of indel mutations at the three target sites and twenty-one predicted off-target sites for the three sgRNAs targeting *NeuN* gene based on the whole genome sequencing data.

(E) Immunoblotting of whole brain lysates from AAV-sgRNA^{NT} and AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 2 of three sgRNAs) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$; Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* mice with anti-SIK3 and anti-ACTIN antibodies.

(F) Quantification of the levels of SIK3-L/SLP-L and SIK3-S/SLP-S proteins shown in (E) (n=4).

(G) Schematic of the two sets of three sgRNAs targeting different exons of the *Sik3* gene.

(H) Schematic of separate sets three sgRNAs targeting the *Hdac4* or *Hdac5* gene, respectively.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (F) Unpaired t test. ** $p < 0.01$.

Figure S8. ABC-KO of *Slp/Sik3* alleles rescues hypersomnia of *Sik3^{Slp/+};Rosa26^{Cas9/+}* mice. Linked to Figure 7

Figure S8. Multiplex ABC-KO by CRISPR/Cas9 causes various sleep phenotypes.

(Linked to Figure 7)

(A and B) Hourly plot of wake time (A) and quantification of total wake time (B) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} (n=7) or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 1, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* male mice.

(C and D) Hourly plot of REMS time (C) and quantification of total REMS time (D) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 1, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* male mice.

(E) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake states in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* male mice.

(F and G) Hourly plot of wake time (F) and quantification of total wake time (G) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} (n=6) or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 2, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* female mice.

(H and I) Hourly plot of REMS time (H) and quantification of total REMS time (I) in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} (n=6) or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} (set 2, n=7) injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* female mice.

(J) EEG power spectra analysis of NREMS, REMS and wake states in the AAV-sgRNA^{NT} or AAV-sgRNA^{Sik3} injected *Sik3$^{Slp/+}$*; *Rosa26$^{Cas9/+}$* female mice.

Data are mean ± s.e.m. (A-J) Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's test. n.s. not significant; * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$.

REFERENCES

Banks, G.T., Guillaumin, M.C.C., Heise, I., Lau, P., Yin, M., Bourbia, N., Aguilar, C., Bowl, M.R., Esapa, C., Brown, L.A.*, et al.* (2020). Forward genetics identifies a novel sleep mutant with sleep state inertia and REM sleep deficits. Science Advances *6*, eabb3567.

Barco, A., Alarcon, J.M., and Kandel, E.R. (2002). Expression of constitutively active CREB protein facilitates the late phase of long-term potentiation by enhancing synaptic capture. Cell *108*, 689-703.

Benito, E., and Barco, A. (2010). CREB's control of intrinsic and synaptic plasticity: implications for CREB-dependent memory models. Trends in Neurosciences *33*, 230-240.

Bentivoglio, M., and Grassi-Zucconi, G. (1997). The pioneering experimental studies on sleep deprivation. Sleep *20*, 570-576.

Bibb, J.A. (2003). Role of Cdk5 in neuronal signaling, plasticity, and drug abuse. Neuro-Signals *12*, 191-199.

Bleckmann, S.C., Blendy, J.A., Rudolph, D., Monaghan, A.P., Schmid, W., and Schutz, G. (2002). Activating transcription factor 1 and CREB are important for cell survival during early mouse development. Molecular and Cellular Biology *22*, 1919-1925.

Borel, F., Kay, M.A., and Mueller, C. (2014). Recombinant AAV as a platform for translating the therapeutic potential of RNA interference. Molecular Therapy *22*, 692-701.

Carmany-Rampey, A., and Moens, C.B. (2006). Modern mosaic analysis in the zebrafish. Methods *39*, 228-238.

Ch'ng, T.H., Uzgil, B., Lin, P., Avliyakulov, N.K., O'Dell, T.J., and Martin, K.C. (2012). Activity-dependent transport of the transcriptional coactivator CRTC1 from synapse to nucleus. Cell *150*, 207-221.

Chan, K.Y., Jang, M.J., Yoo, B.B., Greenbaum, A., Ravi, N., Wu, W.L., Sanchez-Guardado, L., Lois, C., Mazmanian, S.K., Deverman, B.E.*, et al.* (2017). Engineered AAVs for efficient

noninvasive gene delivery to the central and peripheral nervous systems. Nature Neuroscience *20*, 1172-1179.

Chemelli, R.M., Willie, J.T., Sinton, C.M., Elmquist, J.K., Scammell, T., Lee, C., Richardson, J.A., Williams, S.C., Xiong, Y., Kisanuki, Y.*, et al.* (1999). Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. Cell *98*, 437-451.

Choudhury, S.R., Harris, A.F., Cabral, D.J., Keeler, A.M., Sapp, E., Ferreira, J.S., Gray-Edwards, H.L., Johnson, J.A., Johnson, A.K., Su, Q.*, et al.* (2016). Widespread Central Nervous System Gene Transfer and Silencing After Systemic Delivery of Novel AAV-AS Vector. Molecular Therapy *24*, 726-735.

Chowdhury, S., Shepherd, J.D., Okuno, H., Lyford, G., Petralia, R.S., Plath, N., Kuhl, D., Huganir, R.L., and Worley, P.F. (2006). Arc/Arg3.1 interacts with the endocytic machinery to regulate AMPA receptor trafficking. Neuron *52*, 445-459.

Chrivia, J.C., Kwok, R.P., Lamb, N., Hagiwara, M., Montminy, M.R., and Goodman, R.H. (1993). Phosphorylated CREB binds specifically to the nuclear protein CBP. Nature *365*, 855-859.

Cirelli, C., and Tononi, G. (1998). Changes in anti-phosphoserine and anti-phosphothreonine antibody binding during the sleep-waking cycle and after lesions of the locus coeruleus. Sleep Research Online : SRO *1*, 11-18.

Comb, M., Birnberg, N.C., Seasholtz, A., Herbert, E., and Goodman, H.M. (1986). A cyclic AMP- and phorbol ester-inducible DNA element. Nature *323*, 353-356.

Conkright, M.D., Canettieri, G., Screaton, R., Guzman, E., Miraglia, L., Hogenesch, J.B., and Montminy, M. (2003). TORCs: transducers of regulated CREB activity. Molecular Cell *12*, 413-423.

Dash, P.K., Hochner, B., and Kandel, E.R. (1990). Injection of the cAMP-responsive element into the nucleus of Aplysia sensory neurons blocks long-term facilitation. Nature *345*, 718-721.

de la Cova, C., Abril, M., Bellosta, P., Gallant, P., and Johnston, L.A. (2004). Drosophila myc regulates organ size by inducing cell competition. Cell *117*, 107-116.

de Vivo, L., Bellesi, M., Marshall, W., Bushong, E.A., Ellisman, M.H., Tononi, G., and Cirelli, C. (2017). Ultrastructural evidence for synaptic scaling across the wake/sleep cycle. Science *355*, 507-510.

Deverman, B.E., Pravdo, P.L., Simpson, B.P., Kumar, S.R., Chan, K.Y., Banerjee, A., Wu, W.L., Yang, B., Huber, N., Pasca, S.P.*, et al.* (2016). Cre-dependent selection yields AAV variants for widespread gene transfer to the adult brain. Nature Biotechnology *34*, 204-209.

Dickinson, M.E., Flenniken, A.M., Ji, X., Teboul, L., Wong, M.D., White, J.K., Meehan, T.F., Weninger, W.J., Westerberg, H., Adissu, H.*, et al.* (2016). High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes. Nature *537*, 508-514.

Diering, G.H., Nirujogi, R.S., Roth, R.H., Worley, P.F., Pandey, A., and Huganir, R.L. (2017). Homer1a drives homeostatic scaling-down of excitatory synapses during sleep. Science *355*, 511-515.

Donlea, J.M., Ramanan, N., and Shaw, P.J. (2009). Use-dependent plasticity in clock neurons regulates sleep need in Drosophila. Science *324*, 105-108.

Elliott, A.S., Huber, J.D., O'Callaghan, J.P., Rosen, C.L., and Miller, D.B. (2014). A review of sleep deprivation studies evaluating the brain transcriptome. SpringerPlus *3*, 728.

Fisher, S.P., Godinho, S.I., Pothecary, C.A., Hankins, M.W., Foster, R.G., and Peirson, S.N. (2012). Rapid assessment of sleep-wake behavior in mice. Journal of Biological Rhythms *27*, 48-58.

Flavell, S.W., Cowan, C.W., Kim, T.K., Greer, P.L., Lin, Y., Paradis, S., Griffith, E.C., Hu, L.S., Chen, C., and Greenberg, M.E. (2006). Activity-dependent regulation of MEF2 transcription factors suppresses excitatory synapse number. Science *311*, 1008-1012.

Flores, A.E., Flores, J.E., Deshpande, H., Picazo, J.A., Xie, X.S., Franken, P., Heller, H.C., Grahn, D.A., and O'Hara, B.F. (2007). Pattern recognition of sleep in rodents using piezoelectric signals generated by gross body movements. IEEE Transactions on Bio-medical Engineering *54*, 225-233.

Foust, K.D., Nurre, E., Montgomery, C.L., Hernandez, A., Chan, C.M., and Kaspar, B.K. (2009). Intravascular AAV9 preferentially targets neonatal neurons and adult astrocytes. Nature Biotechnology *27*, 59-65.

Franken, P., Chollet, D., and Tafti, M. (2001). The homeostatic regulation of sleep need is under genetic control. Journal of Neuroscience *21*, 2610-2621.

Funato, H., Miyoshi, C., Fujiyama, T., Kanda, T., Sato, M., Wang, Z., Ma, J., Nakane, S., Tomita, J., Ikkyu, A.*, et al.* (2016). Forward-genetics analysis of sleep in randomly mutagenized mice. Nature *539*, 378-383.

Ganguly-Fitzgerald, I., Donlea, J., and Shaw, P.J. (2006). Waking experience affects sleep need in Drosophila. Science *313*, 1775-1781.

Gierut, J.J., Jacks, T.E., and Haigis, K.M. (2014). Strategies to achieve conditional gene mutation in mice. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols *2014*, 339-349.

Gonzalez, G.A., and Montminy, M.R. (1989). Cyclic AMP stimulates somatostatin gene transcription by phosphorylation of CREB at serine 133. Cell *59*, 675-680.

Gradinaru, V. (2020). Expanding the brain researcher's toolkit. Science *369*, 637.

Graves, L.A., Hellman, K., Veasey, S., Blendy, J.A., Pack, A.I., and Abel, T. (2003). Genetic evidence for a role of CREB in sustained cortical arousal. Journal of Neurophysiology *90*, 1152-1159.

Harvey, K.F., Pfleger, C.M., and Hariharan, I.K. (2003). The Drosophila Mst ortholog, hippo, restricts growth and cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis. Cell *114*, 457-467.

Hellman, K., Hernandez, P., Park, A., and Abel, T. (2010). Genetic evidence for a role for protein kinase A in the maintenance of sleep and thalamocortical oscillations. Sleep *33*, 19-28.

Hocquemiller, M., Giersch, L., Audrain, M., Parker, S., and Cartier, N. (2016). Adeno-Associated Virus-Based Gene Therapy for CNS Diseases. Human Gene Therapy *27*, 478-496.

Honda, T., Fujiyama, T., Miyoshi, C., Ikkyu, A., Hotta-Hirashima, N., Kanno, S., Mizuno, S., Sugiyama, F., Takahashi, S., Funato, H.*, et al.* (2018). A single phosphorylation site of SIK3 regulates daily sleep amounts and sleep need in mice. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *115*, 10458-10463.

Hsu, P.D., Lander, E.S., and Zhang, F. (2014). Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. Cell *157*, 1262-1278.

Hu, J.H., Park, J.M., Park, S., Xiao, B., Dehoff, M.H., Kim, S., Hayashi, T., Schwarz, M.K., Huganir, R.L., Seeburg, P.H.*, et al.* (2010). Homeostatic scaling requires group I mGluR activation mediated by Homer1a. Neuron *68*, 1128-1142.

Huang, H., Potter, C.J., Tao, W., Li, D.M., Brogiolo, W., Hafen, E., Sun, H., and Xu, T. (1999). PTEN affects cell size, cell proliferation and apoptosis during Drosophila eye development. Development *126*, 5365-5372.

Huber, R., Ghilardi, M.F., Massimini, M., Ferrarelli, F., Riedner, B.A., Peterson, M.J., and Tononi, G. (2006). Arm immobilization causes cortical plastic changes and locally decreases sleep slow wave activity. Nature Neuroscience *9*, 1169-1176.

Huber, R., Ghilardi, M.F., Massimini, M., and Tononi, G. (2004). Local sleep and learning. Nature *430*, 78-81.

Ibata, K., Sun, Q., and Turrigiano, G.G. (2008). Rapid synaptic scaling induced by changes in postsynaptic firing. Neuron *57*, 819-826.

Iourgenko, V., Zhang, W., Mickanin, C., Daly, I., Jiang, C., Hexham, J.M., Orth, A.P., Miraglia, L., Meltzer, J., Garza, D.*, et al.* (2003). Identification of a family of cAMP response element-binding protein coactivators by genome-scale functional analysis in mammalian cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *100*, 12147-12152.

Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J.A., and Charpentier, E. (2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. Science *337*, 816-821.

Kalogiannis, M., Grupke, S.L., Potter, P.E., Edwards, J.G., Chemelli, R.M., Kisanuki, Y.Y., Yanagisawa, M., and Leonard, C.S. (2010). Narcoleptic orexin receptor knockout mice express enhanced cholinergic properties in laterodorsal tegmental neurons. The European Journal of Neuroscience *32*, 130-142.

Kandel, E.R. (2012). The molecular biology of memory: cAMP, PKA, CRE, CREB-1, CREB-2, and CPEB. Molecular Brain *5*, 14.

Kapfhamer, D., Valladares, O., Sun, Y., Nolan, P.M., Rux, J.J., Arnold, S.E., Veasey, S.C., and Bucan, M. (2002). Mutations in Rab3a alter circadian period and homeostatic response to sleep loss in the mouse. Nature Genetics *32*, 290-295.

Kaplitt, M.G., Feigin, A., Tang, C., Fitzsimons, H.L., Mattis, P., Lawlor, P.A., Bland, R.J., Young, D., Strybing, K., Eidelberg, D.*, et al.* (2007). Safety and tolerability of gene therapy with an adeno-associated virus (AAV) borne GAD gene for Parkinson's disease: an open label, phase I trial. Lancet *369*, 2097-2105.

Katoh, Y., Takemori, H., Lin, X.Z., Tamura, M., Muraoka, M., Satoh, T., Tsuchiya, Y., Min, L., Doi, J., Miyauchi, A.*, et al.* (2006). Silencing the constitutive active transcription factor CREB by the LKB1-SIK signaling cascade. The FEBS Journal *273*, 2730-2748.

Kohlmeier, K.A., Tyler, C.J., Kalogiannis, M., Ishibashi, M., Kristensen, M.P., Gumenchuk, I., Chemelli, R.M., Kisanuki, Y.Y., Yanagisawa, M., and Leonard, C.S. (2013). Differential actions of orexin receptors in brainstem cholinergic and monoaminergic neurons revealed by receptor knockouts: implications for orexinergic signaling in arousal and narcolepsy. Frontiers in Neuroscience *7*, 246.

Konermann, S., Lotfy, P., Brideau, N.J., Oki, J., Shokhirev, M.N., and Hsu, P.D. (2018). Transcriptome Engineering with RNA-Targeting Type VI-D CRISPR Effectors. Cell *173*, 665-676 e614.

Lao, Z., Raju, G.P., Bai, C.B., and Joyner, A.L. (2012). MASTR: a technique for mosaic mutant analysis with spatial and temporal control of recombination using conditional floxed alleles in mice. Cell Reports *2*, 386-396.

Lee, T., and Luo, L. (1999). Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker for studies of gene function in neuronal morphogenesis. Neuron *22*, 451-461.

Li, W., and Baker, N.E. (2007). Engulfment is required for cell competition. Cell *129*, 1215-1225.

Lisman, J., Yasuda, R., and Raghavachari, S. (2012). Mechanisms of CaMKII action in long-term potentiation. Nature Reviews Neuroscience *13*, 169-182.

Liu, D., and Dan, Y. (2019). A Motor Theory of Sleep-Wake Control: Arousal-Action Circuit. Annual Review of Neuroscience *42*, 27-46.

Lo, C.C., Chou, T., Penzel, T., Scammell, T.E., Strecker, R.E., Stanley, H.E., and Ivanov, P. (2004). Common scale-invariant patterns of sleep-wake transitions across mammalian species. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *101*, 17545-17548.

Marchio, S., Sidman, R.L., Arap, W., and Pasqualini, R. (2016). Brain endothelial cell-targeted gene therapy of neurovascular disorders. EMBO Molecular Medicine *8*, 592-594.

Mikhail, C., Vaucher, A., Jimenez, S., and Tafti, M. (2017). ERK signaling pathway regulates sleep duration through activity-induced gene expression during wakefulness. Science Signaling *10*.

Montminy, M.R., Sevarino, K.A., Wagner, J.A., Mandel, G., and Goodman, R.H. (1986). Identification of a cyclic-AMP-responsive element within the rat somatostatin gene. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *83*, 6682-6686.

Moreno, E., and Basler, K. (2004). dMyc transforms cells into super-competitors. Cell *117*, 117-129.

Moresco, E.M., Li, X., and Beutler, B. (2013). Going forward with genetics: recent technological advances and forward genetics in mice. The American Journal of Pathology *182*, 1462-1473.

Muzumdar, M.D., Luo, L., and Zong, H. (2007). Modeling sporadic loss of heterozygosity in mice by using mosaic analysis with double markers (MADM). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *104*, 4495-4500.

Nonaka, M., Kim, R., Fukushima, H., Sasaki, K., Suzuki, K., Okamura, M., Ishii, Y., Kawashima, T., Kamijo, S., Takemoto-Kimura, S*., et al.* (2014). Region-specific activation of CRTC1-CREB signaling mediates long-term fear memory. Neuron *84*, 92-106.

Oishi, Y., Williams, R.H., Agostinelli, L., Arrigoni, E., Fuller, P.M., Mochizuki, T., Saper, C.B., and Scammell, T.E. (2013). Role of the medial prefrontal cortex in cataplexy. Journal of Neuroscience *33*, 9743-9751.

Ojala, D.S., Amara, D.P., and Schaffer, D.V. (2015). Adeno-associated virus vectors and neurological gene therapy. The Neuroscientist *21*, 84-98.

Pack, A.I., Galante, R.J., Maislin, G., Cater, J., Metaxas, D., Lu, S., Zhang, L., Von Smith, R., Kay, T., Lian, J*., et al.* (2007). Novel method for high-throughput phenotyping of sleep in mice. Physiological Genomics *28*, 232-238.

Pagliarini, R.A., and Xu, T. (2003). A genetic screen in Drosophila for metastatic behavior. Science *302*, 1227-1231.

Pardo, L., Valor, L.M., Eraso-Pichot, A., Barco, A., Golbano, A., Hardingham, G.E., Masgrau, R., and Galea, E. (2017). CREB Regulates Distinct Adaptive Transcriptional Programs in Astrocytes and Neurons. Scientific Reports *7*, 6390.

Plath, N., Ohana, O., Dammermann, B., Errington, M.L., Schmitz, D., Gross, C., Mao, X., Engelsberg, A., Mahlke, C., Welzl, H*., et al.* (2006). Arc/Arg3.1 is essential for the consolidation of synaptic plasticity and memories. Neuron *52*, 437-444.

Port, F., Strein, C., Stricker, M., Rauscher, B., Heigwer, F., Zhou, J., Beyersdorffer, C., Frei, J.,

Hess, A., Kern, K*., et al.* (2020). A large-scale resource for tissue-specific CRISPR mutagenesis in

Drosophila. eLife *9*.

Potter, C.J., Huang, H., and Xu, T. (2001). Drosophila Tsc1 functions with Tsc2 to antagonize

insulin signaling in regulating cell growth, cell proliferation, and organ size. Cell *105*, 357-368.

Pulicherla, N., Shen, S., Yadav, S., Debbink, K., Govindasamy, L., Agbandje-McKenna, M., and

Asokan, A. (2011). Engineering liver-detargeted AAV9 vectors for cardiac and musculoskeletal

gene transfer. Molecular Therapy *19*, 1070-1078.

Rechtschaffen, A., Bergmann, B.M., Everson, C.A., Kushida, C.A., and Gilliland, M.A. (1989).

Sleep deprivation in the rat: X. Integration and discussion of the findings. Sleep *12*, 68-87.

Ruppert, S., Cole, T.J., Boshart, M., Schmid, E., and Schutz, G. (1992). Multiple mRNA isoforms

of the transcription activator protein CREB: generation by alternative splicing and specific

expression in primary spermatocytes. The EMBO Journal *11*, 1503-1512.

Sanjana, N.E., Shalem, O., and Zhang, F. (2014). Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for

CRISPR screening. Nature Methods *11*, 783-784.

Saper, C.B., Fuller, P.M., Pedersen, N.P., Lu, J., and Scammell, T.E. (2010). Sleep state switching.

Neuron *68*, 1023-1042.

Saper, C.B., Scammell, T.E., and Lu, J. (2005). Hypothalamic regulation of sleep and circadian

rhythms. Nature *437*, 1257-1263.

Seeburg, D.P., Feliu-Mojer, M., Gaiottino, J., Pak, D.T., and Sheng, M. (2008). Critical role of

CDK5 and Polo-like kinase 2 in homeostatic synaptic plasticity during elevated activity. Neuron *58*,

571-583.

Shaw, P.J., Tononi, G., Greenspan, R.J., and Robinson, D.F. (2002). Stress response genes protect

against lethal effects of sleep deprivation in Drosophila. Nature *417*, 287-291.

Shaywitz, A.J., and Greenberg, M.E. (1999). CREB: a stimulus-induced transcription factor

activated by a diverse array of extracellular signals. Annual Review of Biochemistry *68*, 821-861.

Shepherd, J.D., Rumbaugh, G., Wu, J., Chowdhury, S., Plath, N., Kuhl, D., Huganir, R.L., and

Worley, P.F. (2006). Arc/Arg3.1 mediates homeostatic synaptic scaling of AMPA receptors.

Neuron *52*, 475-484.

Short, J.M., Wynshaw-Boris, A., Short, H.P., and Hanson, R.W. (1986). Characterization of the

phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (GTP) promoter-regulatory region. II. Identification of cAMP

and glucocorticoid regulatory domains. The Journal of Biological Chemistry *261*, 9721-9726.

Sunagawa, G.A., Sumiyama, K., Ukai-Tadenuma, M., Perrin, D., Fujishima, H., Ukai, H.,

Nishimura, O., Shi, S., Ohno, R.I., Narumi, R.*, et al.* (2016). Mammalian Reverse Genetics without

Crossing Reveals Nr3a as a Short-Sleeper Gene. Cell Reports *14*, 662-677.

Suzuki, K., Tsunekawa, Y., Hernandez-Benitez, R., Wu, J., Zhu, J., Kim, E.J., Hatanaka, F.,

Yamamoto, M., Araoka, T., Li, Z.*, et al.* (2016). In vivo genome editing via CRISPR/Cas9

mediated homology-independent targeted integration. Nature *540*, 144-149.

Takahashi, J.S., Pinto, L.H., and Vitaterna, M.H. (1994). Forward and reverse genetic approaches

to behavior in the mouse. Science *264*, 1724-1733.

Tatsuki, F., Sunagawa, G.A., Shi, S., Susaki, E.A., Yukinaga, H., Perrin, D., Sumiyama, K., Ukai-

Tadenuma, M., Fujishima, H., Ohno, R.*, et al.* (2016). Involvement of Ca(2+)-Dependent

Hyperpolarization in Sleep Duration in Mammals. Neuron *90*, 70-85.

Tian, D., Wenlock, S., Kabir, M., Tzotzos, G., Doig, A.J., and Hentges, K.E. (2018). Identifying

mouse developmental essential genes using machine learning. Disease Models & Mechanisms *11*.

Tononi, G., and Cirelli, C. (2014). Sleep and the price of plasticity: from synaptic and cellular

homeostasis to memory consolidation and integration. Neuron *81*, 12-34.

Vaccaro, A., Kaplan Dor, Y., Nambara, K., Pollina, E.A., Lin, C., Greenberg, M.E., and Rogulja, D. (2020). Sleep Loss Can Cause Death through Accumulation of Reactive Oxygen Species in the Gut. Cell *181*, 1307-1328 e1315.

Wang, H., Yang, H., Shivalila, C.S., Dawlaty, M.M., Cheng, A.W., Zhang, F., and Jaenisch, R. (2013). One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering. Cell *153*, 910-918.

Wang, W., Warren, M., and Bradley, A. (2007). Induced mitotic recombination of p53 in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *104*, 4501-4505.

Wang, Z., Ma, J., Miyoshi, C., Li, Y., Sato, M., Ogawa, Y., Lou, T., Ma, C., Gao, X., Lee, C., *et al.* (2018). Quantitative phosphoproteomic analysis of the molecular substrates of sleep need. Nature *558*, 435-439.

Weber, F., and Dan, Y. (2016). Circuit-based interrogation of sleep control. Nature *538*, 51-59.

Weiergraber, M., Henry, M., Hescheler, J., Smyth, N., and Schneider, T. (2005). Electrocorticographic and deep intracerebral EEG recording in mice using a telemetry system. Brain Research Brain Research Protocols *14*, 154-164.

Wimmer, M., Cui, R., Blackwell, J., and Abel, T. (2020). CREB is Required in Excitatory Neurons in the Forebrain to Sustain Wakefulness. Sleep, zsaa267.

Wu, Z., Asokan, A., and Samulski, R.J. (2006). Adeno-associated virus serotypes: vector toolkit for human gene therapy. Molecular Therapy *14*, 316-327.

Xie, K., Minkenberg, B., and Yang, Y. (2015). Boosting CRISPR/Cas9 multiplex editing capability with the endogenous tRNA-processing system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America *112*, 3570-3575.

Xie, T., and Spradling, A.C. (1998). decapentaplegic is essential for the maintenance and division of germline stem cells in the Drosophila ovary. Cell *94*, 251-260.

Xu, T., and Rubin, G.M. (1993). Analysis of genetic mosaics in developing and adult Drosophila tissues. Development *117*, 1223-1237.

Xu, T., Wang, W., Zhang, S., Stewart, R.A., and Yu, W. (1995). Identifying tumor suppressors in genetic mosaics: the Drosophila lats gene encodes a putative protein kinase. Development *121*, 1053-1063.

Yaghouby, F., Donohue, K.D., O'Hara, B.F., and Sunderam, S. (2016). Noninvasive dissection of mouse sleep using a piezoelectric motion sensor. Journal of Neuroscience Methods *259*, 90-100.

Yang, Y., Wang, L., Bell, P., McMenamin, D., He, Z., White, J., Yu, H., Xu, C., Morizono, H., Musunuru, K.*, et al.* (2016). A dual AAV system enables the Cas9-mediated correction of a metabolic liver disease in newborn mice. Nature Biotechnology *34*, 334-338.

Yin, L., Maddison, L.A., Li, M., Kara, N., LaFave, M.C., Varshney, G.K., Burgess, S.M., Patton, J.G., and Chen, W. (2015). Multiplex Conditional Mutagenesis Using Transgenic Expression of Cas9 and sgRNAs. Genetics *200*, 431-441.

Yochem, J., and Herman, R.K. (2003). Investigating C. elegans development through mosaic analysis. Development *130*, 4761-4768.

Zetsche, B., Heidenreich, M., Mohanraju, P., Fedorova, I., Kneppers, J., DeGennaro, E.M., Winblad, N., Choudhury, S.R., Abudayyeh, O.O., Gootenberg, J.S.*, et al.* (2017). Multiplex gene editing by CRISPR-Cpf1 using a single crRNA array. Nature Biotechnology *35*, 31-34.

Zhong, G., Wang, H., Li, Y., Tran, M.H., and Farzan, M. (2017). Cpf1 proteins excise CRISPR RNAs from mRNA transcripts in mammalian cells. Nature Chemical Biology *13*, 839-841.

Zong, H., Espinosa, J.S., Su, H.H., Muzumdar, M.D., and Luo, L. (2005). Mosaic analysis with double markers in mice. Cell *121*, 479-492.