Meiotic DSB-independent role of protein phosphatase 4 in Hop1 assembly

to promote meiotic chromosome axis formation in budding yeast

Ke Li[1,2], Miki Shinohara[1,3,4,*]

[1]Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

[2]Department of Bioscience, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka,

Osaka 560-0043, Japan

[3]Department of Advanced Bioscience, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kindai

University, Nara city, Nara 631-8505, Japan

[4]Agricultural Technology and Innovation Research Institute, Kindai University, Nara

city, Nara 631-8505, Japan

*Correspondence:

Miki Shinohara

Department of Advanced Bioscience, Graduate School of Agriculture, Kindai University

3327-204 Nakamachi, Nara, Nara city 631-8505, Japan

Phone: +81-742-43-6518

FAX: +81-742-43-8976

E-mail: mikis@nara.kindai.ac.jp

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7413-8235

Running title: Novel function of PP4 in Hop1 assembly

Abstract

Dynamic changes in chromosomal structure that occur during meiotic prophase play an important role in the progression of meiosis. Among these structures, meiosis-specific chromosomal axis-loop structures are important as a scaffold for integrated control between the meiotic recombination reaction and the associated checkpoint system to ensure accurate chromosome segregation. However, the molecular mechanism of the initial step of chromosome axis-loop construction is not well understood. Here, we showed that in budding yeast, protein phosphatase 4 (PP4) that primarily counteracts Mec1/Tel1 phosphorylation is required to promote the assembly of chromosomal axis components Hop1 and Red1 onto meiotic chromatin via interaction with Hop1. PP4, in contrast, did not affect Rec8 assembly. Notably, unlike the previously known function of PP4, this novel function of PP4 was independent of meiotic DSB-dependent Tel1/Mec1 kinase activities. The defect in Hop1/Red1 assembly in the absence of PP4 function was not suppressed by dysfunction of Pch2, which removes Hop1 protein from the chromosome axis. This suggests that PP4 is required for the initial step of chromatin loading of Hop1 rather than stabilization of Hop1 on axes. These results indicate that phosphorylation/dephosphorylation-mediated regulation of Hop1 recruitment onto chromatin occurs during chromosome axis construction before meiotic double-strand break formation. (199 words)

Introduction

Meiotic recombination is essential for proper segregation of homologous chromosomes during the first meiotic division (meiosis-I). This process is initiated by Spo11-dependent programmed meiotic DNA double-strand break (DSB) formation at meiotic recombination hot spots (KEENEY 2001). Meiotic DSB formation and subsequent meiotic recombination require the specific scaffold of an axis-loop structure of chromatin (SHINOHARA et al. 2000; BLAT et al. 2002; PANIZZA et al. 2011).The highly conserved meiotic chromosome axis structure contains core factors, Red1, Hop1, and meiosis-specific cohesin complexes, including the meiosis-specific subunit Rec8 in budding yeast (ROCKMILL AND ROEDER 1988; HOLLINGSWORTH AND BYERS 1989; KLEIN et al. 1999). After meiotic DSB formation, recombinases Rad51/Dmc1 are recruited to single-stranded DSB ends (BISHOP et al. 1992; BISHOP 1994; SHINOHARA et al. 1997). The single-stranded DSB ends promote the assembly of Zip-Msh-Mer/synapsis initiation complex (ZMM/SIC) proteins, including the transverse element component Zip1, to construct the synaptonemal complex (SC) and promote crossover (CO) formation (SYM et al. 1993; NOVAK et al. 2001; BORNER et al. 2004; SHINOHARA et al. 2008; SHINOHARA et al. 2015; DE MUYT et al. 2018). In the early leptotene, Zip1 with Zip3 localizes to the centromere and mediates centromere pairing, an initial process that ultimately promotes chromosomal pairing (TSUBOUCHI AND ROEDER 2005; FALK et al. 2010).

Hop1 is an axis-associated HORMA-domain protein that plays multiple roles during meiotic recombination. Hop1 is required for efficient meiotic DSB formation, inter-homolog bias in meiotic recombination, and meiotic prophase checkpoint activation and inactivation (HOLLINGSWORTH et al. 1990; SCHWACHA AND KLECKNER

1997; Niu et al. 2005; Carballo et al. 2008; Panizza et al. 2011; West et al. 2018).

Hop1 together with Red1 promotes DSB formation. Hop1 is phosphorylated by

Tel1/Mec1 (yeast ATM/ATR) kinases. These DSB sensor kinases activate the meiotic

prophase checkpoint signaling pathway via Mek1/Mre4 kinase in response to meiotic

recombination and synapsis defects (Niu et al. 2005; Carballo et al. 2008; Ho and

Burgess 2011; Penedos et al. 2015; Herruzo et al. 2016). Hop1, Red1, and

Mek1/Mre4 form the RHM complex involved in forming a stable axis structural scaffold

for integrating recombination, as well as the required regulatory responses associated

therewith. During meiotic DSB repair, homolog synapsis, mediated by SC formation,

and a conserved AAA+ ATPase Pch2 promote Hop1 removal from the synapsed

chromosome axis and attenuate the checkpoint signal (Borner et al. 2008; Herruzo

et al. 2016). Recently, Pch2 was demonstrated to be involved in the activation, as well

as the inactivation, of meiotic prophase checkpoints through Hop1 (Raina and Vader

2020). Thus, Hop1 phosphorylation plays a key role in completing proper meiotic

recombination.

Mec1 plays a major role in both maintaining genome integrity and meiotic

recombination (Kato and Ogawa 1994; Weinert et al. 1994). Mec1 is an essential

protein in budding yeast and *SML1* mutations can suppress the lethality of *mec1*

disruption (Zhao et al. 1998). Tel1 and Mec1 (Tel1/Mec1) play such subtly redundant

roles that the *tel1 mec1* double mutant lends to a senescent phenotype (Ritchie et al.

1999). Tel1/Mec1 is also required for integrated meiotic recombination and checkpoint

activation. Mec1/Tel1 kinase intra-chromosomally regulates the distribution of meiotic

DSB formation sites (Anderson et al. 2015; Joshi et al. 2015; Challa et al. 2019). It

also regulates signal transduction in the meiotic DSB formative positive/negative

feedback loop through Rec114 phosphorylation (CARBALLO *et al.* 2013) . In addition,

Mec1/Tel1 mediates both DSB interference as well as CO interference, thus lending to

proper non-random distribution of meiotic COs (ZHANG *et al.* 2011; GARCIA *et al.* 2015;

SHINOHARA *et al.* 2019).

Protein phosphatase 4 (PP4) is a conserved putative serine/threonine protein

phosphatase. It functions specifically, in response to DNA damage, in attenuating the

phosphorylation signal of Mec1 and Tel1 during mitosis and meiosis (HOFFMANN *et al.*

1994; KEOGH *et al.* 2006; O'NEILL *et al.* 2007; FALK *et al.* 2010; LAI *et al.* 2011;

HUSTEDT *et al.* 2015). Mec1/Tel1 phosphorylates many target proteins including

Rad53, an ortholog of Chk2 kinase in budding yeast. In the mitotic DNA damage

response pathway, PP4 dephosphorylates these targets in the process of recovery

from DNA damage-induced signal transduction (O'NEILL *et al.* 2007). Several studies

have revealed the meiotic DSB-associated function of PP4 during meiotic

recombination (FALK *et al.* 2010; CHUANG *et al.* 2012). During meiosis, centromere

pairing is regulated by the balance of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of Zip1

through Mec1/Tel1 kinase and PP4 phosphatase (FALK *et al.* 2010).

Here, we focused on the novel function of PP4, which works independently of

meiotic DSB formation and its associated Mec1/Tel1 activity in early prophase-I. We

were especially interested in the mechanics of its proper meiotic chromosome axis

construction via physical interaction with Hop1 protein.

Results

PP4 activity is required for timely meiotic DSB formation and efficient CO

formation

Pph3 is the catalytic subunit of the yeast PP4 complex. Pph3 contains several

serine/threonine phosphatase motifs (HOFFMANN *et al.* 1994). We constructed a

catalytic mutation in the yeast *PPH3* gene by substituting the conserved histidine

residue 112 required for phosphate binding with asparagine (SHI 2009), to generate the

pph3-H112N mutant (Figure 1A). It is reported that Pph3 is required for Rad53

dephosphorylation and DNA damage repair in mitotic cells (O'NEILL *et al.* 2007). The

pph3-H112N mutation did not affect the protein production of another PP4 subunit

Psy2, which was analyzed with conjugation of a 13-repeated Myc epitope tag (Psy2-

13Myc) (Figure S1A). In addition, we analyzed the assembly of Psy2-13Myc on meiotic

chromatin in pachytene cells (Figure 1B). Consistent with a previous study (FALK *et al.*

2010), we detected Psy2-13Myc signals as punctate foci on meiotic chromatin.

Importantly, the *pph3-H112N* mutation did not affect the Psy2-13Myc localization. Pph3

and Psy2 form a very stable PP4 complex (O'NEILL *et al.* 2007), thus suggesting that

the catalytic activity of PP4 is not required for its meiotic chromatin localization. Falk et

al. already reported the meiotic phenotypes of the *pph3*-null (*pph3Δ*) mutant (FALK *et*

al. 2010). Therefore, we characterized two *pph3* mutants, *pph3Δ* and *pph3-H112N*, to

better understand the function of PP4 and its phosphatase activity during meiosis.

First, it was revealed that both *pph3* mutants showed similarly delayed meiosis

progression compared to the wild type (Figure S1B). This finding was consistent with a

previous study on meiosis progression in the *pph3Δ* and *psy2Δ* mutants (FALK *et al.*

2010).

To confirm whether delayed meiosis progression in *pph3* mutants is caused by

meiotic recombination defects, we analyzed programmed meiotic DSB formation as an

initial event of meiotic recombination. Toward this end, Southern blotting was applied at

the *HIS4-LEU2* hot spot in chromosome III in the *pph3* mutants, although meiotic

crossover formation defects in *pph3Δ* have already been reported (FALK *et al.* 2010).

The *HIS4-LEU2* hot spot is a well-analyzed artificial meiotic DSB hotspot that includes

two known sites, DSB I and II (Figure 1C). We detected and quantified DSB I and

showed its frequency at each time point after meiotic entry (Figure 1D). Meiotic DSBs

became detectable 3 h after meiosis entry, showed a peak at 4 h, and disappeared by

6 h in the wild type (Figure 1D). In contrast, both *pph3-H112N* and *pph3Δ* showed an

approximate 1 h delay in DSB formation compared to that in the wild type at the time

when the DSB reached half of the peak height (11%; Figure 1D, dashed line). In

addition, the *pph3* mutants showed an accumulation of meiotic DSBs with hyper-

resected ends until 6 h after meiosis entry, resulting in an approximately 3 h delay in

DSB disappearance (Figure 1D). These observations indicated the necessity of PP4

phosphatase activity for timely DSB formation and turnover. It is reported that PP4-

dependent Rad53 dephosphorylation stimulates DNA end resection in mitotic DNA

damage response (VILLORIA *et al.* 2019). The opposite function of PP4 in the DSB end

resection may be due to mitotic and meiotic differences in Rad53 activity (CARTAGENA-

LIROLA *et al.* 2008).

Previous studies have revealed that PP4 is required for meiotic centromere

pairing. This was found to occur either through Zip1 dephosphorylation for Mec1-

mediated phosphorylation at S75 (KEOGH *et al.* 2006; FALK *et al.* 2010; HUSTEDT *et al.*

2015) or dephosphorylation of many other Mec1/Tel1 targets during DSB repair

(KEOGH *et al.* 2006; HUSTEDT *et al.* 2015). Thus, we constructed a mitotic cyclin *CLB2*

promoter-driven *MEC1* (p*CLB2-MEC1*) *tel1*Δ allele to determine the effect of Mec1 and

Tel1 on delayed DSB formation and/or turnover in the *pph3* mutants. It is known that

p*CLB2* induces mitosis-specific gene expression. Thus, it can be inferred that p*CLB2-*

MEC1 causes meiosis-specific shutdown of *MEC1* transcription (LEE AND AMON 2003).

We confirmed that the effect of this construct is not different from that of the *mec1*Δ

*sml1*Δ strain in meiotic Hop1 phosphorylation. In addition, p*CLB2-MEC1* showed

significant lower spore viability (59.6 $\pm$ 4.6%) compared to the wild type (96.1 ± 1.8%,

P < 0.0001). This was found to be indistinguishable from *mec1*Δ *sml1*Δ (55.5 ± 7.1%, *P*

= 0.37) or other *MEC1*-defective alleles (Supplemental Figure 1A). The p*CLB2-MEC1*

*tel1*Δ cells showed a reduced level of meiotic DSB formation (7.7 ± 1.9% of total DNA)

compared to that in the wild type (22.7 ± 3.1%). p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ *pph3*Δ triple

mutant showed more severe reduction of DSB formation (5.3 ± 0.45%; Figure 1D,

graph). At later time points, in contrast to the *pph3* mutants, the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ

and p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ *pph3*Δ mutants showed the timely disappearance of DSBs,

i.e., 6 h into meiosis (Figure 1D). These findings suggested that DSB accumulation and

the resulting delay in DSB repair, which was observed in the *pph3* mutants at later time

points (at 5–6 h), was dependent on Mec1/Tel1 (Figure 1E). By contrast, in the

initiation of DSB formation, the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ *pph3*Δ triple mutant showed a peak

at 4 h, whereas the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ double mutant peaked between 3 and 4 h after

onset of meiotic entry (Figure 1D, graph). As a delay in meiotic DSB formation in the

absence of Tel1/Mec1 activity was observed, the delayed DSB formation caused by

pph3 mutations appeared to be independent of Mec1/Tel1.

Next, we analyzed hetero-duplex (HD) formation using Southern blot to

examine meiotic inter-homolog recombination products using heteroallelic restriction

enzyme sites *Mlu*I and *Bam*HI located near the DSB I site, and polymorphic *Xho*I sites

around the *HIS4-LEU2* hot spot (Figure 1C) (STORLAZZI *et al.* 1996). Corresponding to

the delayed meiotic DSB appearance and disappearance at the hot spot, the formation

of both HDs corresponding to intermediates for crossover (CO) and non-crossover

(NCO) were delayed by 3 h in the *pph3* mutants relative to that in the wild type (Figure

1E). In addition, an increase of NCO intermediates (HD1 and 4) at 8 h and a slight

reduction of CO intermediates (HD2 and 3) compared to that of the wild type were

observed not only in the *pph3Δ*, as reported previously (FALK *et al.* 2010), but also in

the *pph3-H112N* mutant (Figure 1E).

After DSB end resection, meiotic DSBs are recognized by recombinases

Rad51 and Dmc1, which facilitate strand exchange within the formation of COs and

NCOs. Cytological analysis revealed that Rad51appear transiently as punctate foci on

meiotic chromatin structure in the wild type (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2000). Thus, we next

examined the kinetics of Rad51 assembly and disassembly in the *pph3* mutants using

cytological analysis (Figure 1F). Results indicated that, there was a 1 h delay in the

Rad51 assembly among the *pph3* compared to that in the wild type. In addition,

accumulation of Rad51 foci was evident at 5 h after meiotic entry (Supplemental Figure

1C). In contrast, a significantly lower number of Rad51 foci per nucleus was observed

at an early time point (3 h) in the *pph3Δ* and *pph3-H112N* mutants compared to that in

the wild type (64 ± 2.7, 56 ± 2.7, and 49 ± 3.3, respectively; Figure 1F). This further

indicated that PP4, as well as its phosphatase activity, is required for the initiation of

meiotic DSB formation in proper timing. In the disassembly phase of Rad51 foci, a

significant reduction in the number of Rad51 foci per nucleus was observed in the wild

type. However, continuous accumulation of Rad51 foci was observed in the *pph3*

mutants corresponding to inefficient meiotic DSB repair.

PP4 contributes to timely assembly of Hop1 onto chromatin independent from a

meiotic DSB dependent Mec1/Tel1 activity

Hop1 is a meiosis-specific phosphorylation target of Mec1 and Tel1 (CARBALLO *et al.*

2008), and PP4 is involved in the dephosphorylation of Hop1 (CARBALLO *et al.* 2008;

FALK *et al.* 2010). Furthermore, Hop1 is a HORMA-domain protein and contributes to

the axis structure, in conjugation with Red1. Hop1 is essential for partner choice in

meiotic recombination (known as inter-homolog bias), and for efficient DSB formation

(HOLLINGSWORTH *et al.* 1990; NIU *et al.* 2005; PANIZZA *et al.* 2011; SUBRAMANIAN *et al.*

2016). Since it was observed that PP4 is required for efficient initiation of meiotic DSB

formation (Figure 1C and D), we analyzed the kinetics of Hop1 assembly onto

chromatin during meiotic prophase-I (Figure 2A). In the wild type, Hop1 assembly

began 2 h after the onset of meiosis, when is corresponding to leptotene and prior to

DSB formation.

We also analyzed the localization of Hop1, and Hop1 phosphorylation at

threonine 318 (Hop1-pT318) on meiotic chromatin. Hop1-pT318 is dependent on

Mec1/Tel1 kinase activation by Spo11-induced meiotic DSB formation (CARBALLO *et al.*

2008). Hop1-pT318 has been observed as punctate foci at the end of short patches of

Hop1 signal in the wild type (CARBALLO *et al.* 2008) (Figure 2A and B）. In the wild type,

50% of the cells displayed Hop1 foci 2 h after meiosis entry, but few Hop1-pT318 were

observed at the same time. Hop1-pT318 foci began to appear after 3 h (Figure 2A and

B). Although the formation of Hop1-pT318 foci was dependent upon Spo11 function,

normal Hop1 assembly was also observed in the *spo11-Y135F*, which lacks the Spo11

catalytic tyrosine residue (Figure 2A and B). In addition, it is known that Hop1-pT318

focus formation requires single-stranded regions at meiotic DSB ends (IWASAKI *et al.*

2016). The approximately 2 h time lag evident between the Hop1 loading and the

Hop1-pT318 signals observed in the present study indicate that, in wild type, Hop1

assembly occurs prior to the DSB formation and/or DSB-end resection. In *pph3*

mutants, Hop1 assembly was rarely seen at 2 h compared to that in the wild type. After

2 h, Hop1 staining gradually increased, reaching the wild-type's frequency (80%) at 5

h. Notably, pT318 staining appeared at approximately the same time (3 h) as the

appearance of Hop1 foci (Figure 2A and B) and then gradually increased in ways

similar to Hop1, suggesting that Hop1 loading seemed to be the rate-limiting factor for

Hop1 phosphorylation in the *pph3* mutants. These results indicated that Pph3 and its

activity can promote the timely loading of Hop1 on chromosomes. We further confirmed

that this was not due to delayed expression of Hop1 in the mutants (see Figure 2C and

Supplemental Figure 2B). In addition, the delayed assembly of Hop1 in the *pph3*

mutants was observed in the *spo11-Y135F* mutation background (Figure 2A), thus

indicating that PP4 promotes Hop1 assembly independent of meiotic DSB formation.

Interestingly, Hop1 assembly in the *spo11-Y135F pph3-H112N* double mutant showed

a significant delay compared to that in the *pph3-H112N* mutant (Figure 2A). This would

suggest a parallel function of PP4 and Spo11 in Hop1 assembly or stabilization on the

chromosome axes.

Spo11 independent PP4 function in Hop1 assembly suggests a possibility that

meiotic DSB-dependent Mec1/Tel1 activation is not essential to PP4 function in Hop1

assembly. In contrast, the *pph3* mutant shows a Mec1-dependent meiotic DNA

replication delay (FALK *et al.* 2010). Further, we confirmed delayed meiosis progression

in the *spo11-Y135F pph3-H112N* double mutant as reported by Falk et al

(Supplemental Figure 2A). We subsequently examined the effect of Mec1 and Tel1 on

Hop1 assembly in *pph3Δ* mutants. Although p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ* mutant cells did not

display any defects in Hop1 assembly, the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ* mutant showed

delayed Hop1 assembly, similar to the *pph3* mutants (Figure 2A and B). In contrast,

although a few faint Hop1-pT318 foci were observed in the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ*

mutation background, none were found in the *spo11-Y135F* mutation background

(Figure 2B). This Hop1-pT318 focus formation raises doubts as to the presence of

residual Mec1 activity in the p*CLB2-MEC1* meiosis-specific shout down allele.

However, Hop1-pT318 foci in strains with p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ* mutation backgrounds

were qualitatively distinguishable from those in the strains with wild-type *MEC1*

background (Figure 2C).

Next, we analyzed the phosphorylation status of target proteins of PP4 during

meiosis using western blot. PP4 is known to be involved in dephosphorylation of S129

of histone H2A (γH2A) after DNA damage in vegetative growth cells (KEOGH *et al.*

2006), as well as in Hop1-pT318 during meiosis (CHUANG *et al.* 2012). Thus, we first

confirmed that the γH2A signal accumulated in the *pph3* mutants during meiosis in a

Mec1/Tel1 dependent manner, a pattern similar to that in mitotic cells, with markedly

reduced γH2A signals in the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ* mutant (Figure 2D). Moreover,

accumulation of Hop1-pT318 signal was observed until the 8 h point in the *pph3Δ*

mutant. The presence of this phosphorylation depended upon Mec1/Tel1 (Figure 2D).

Although Hop1 protein production was detectable from 2 h after meiosis entry in both

wild-type and *pph3* mutants, it was slight delayed in the *pph3* mutants. By contrast, the

initiation of Hop1 phosphorylation at T318 was delayed by 1 h in the *pph3* mutants

before reaching maximum levels compared to that in the wild type. Notably, despite the

timely early production of Hop1 protein in the *pph3* mutants (Figure 2D and

Supplemental Figure 2B), the appearance of Hop1 foci on meiotic nuclear spread was

significantly delayed in the *pph3* mutants (Figure 2A). This suggested that, although

PP4 might not be largely involved in Hop1 production, it acted to promotes Hop1

loading onto chromatin. Moreover, Hop1 protein production kinetics were also normal

in the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ* or p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ* mutants. In contrast to finding

for γH2A phosphorylation, residual Hop1-pT318 signal was not detected in the p*CLB2-*

MEC1 tel1Δ background. This observation accorded with a previous report showing

that Hop1 phosphorylation largely depends on Mec1 and Tel1 activities (CARBALLO *et*

al. 2008). Overall, our findings indicate a defect in Hop1 assembly on chromatin,

despite sufficient production of Hop1 protein.

PP4 is involved in Red1-Hop1 complex assembly but not in meiotic cohesin

assembly

Next, we examined Red1 and Rec8 meiotic chromosome axis components (ROCKMILL

AND ROEDER 1988; KLEIN *et al.* 1999). Red1 forms a complex with Hop1 (NIU *et al.*

2005). Rec8 is a component of the meiosis-specific sister chromatid cohesin complex.

Both proteins are required for the proper formation of both the meiotic axial elements,

as well as a highly-ordered specific chromosome structure, termed the SC (KLEIN *et al.*

1999). Red1 and Rec8 are mutually independently recruited to chromatin (SUN *et al.*

2015). We examined Red1 and Rec8 staining on meiotic chromatin (Figure 3A). Wild

type, Red1 and Rec8 colocalized with each other and formed linear structures along

the 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) nuclear signal on meiotic chromatin 3 h after

meiosis entry. This co-stain pattern on Rec8 and Red1 differs slightly from previous

reports (KIM *et al.* 2010). This may be due to differences in the antibodies used. By

contrast, in the *pph3* mutants, Red1 and Rec8 were observed as many fine signals

throughout the chromatin spreads. The number of Rec8 signals was clearly higher than

that of Red1. Time course experiments revealed a significant delay in the assembly of

Red1 protein in the *pph3* mutants, similar to the assembly of Hop1 shown in Figure 2A

and 2B. However, the timing of Rec8 assembly, despite of its unique structure, was not

affected in the presence or absence of Pph3 activity (Figure 3B). An additional delay in

the disassembly of both Red1 and Rec8 was observed in the *pph3* mutants.

Next, we analyzed Red1 and Rec8 protein production during meiosis using

western blot (Figure 3C). Rec8 phosphorylation via Dbf4-dependent kinase (DDK) and

polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) is associated with cleavage-independent cohesion release

from late prophase-I chromatin (CHALLA *et al.* 2019). Although Rec8 appeared at

approximately the same time in the *pph3* mutants as in the wild type, the

phosphorylation of Rec8 was delayed in the *pph3* mutants compared to in the wild

type. Corresponding to delayed Rec8 phosphorylation, Rec8 cleavage that occurs

during the meiotic divisions was also delayed in the *pph3* mutants. These results were

consistent with delayed meiosis progression in *pph3* mutants (Supplemental Figure

2A). In addition, normal levels of Red1 protein were observed in *pph3* mutants (Figure

3C). These results suggest that PP4 is essential to properly timed Hop1-Red1 loading,

but not Rec8 cohesin, which is solely required for basic axis structure construction.

PP4 physically interacts with Hop1 protein

We next examined physical interactions between the Hop1-Red1 and PP4 using a co-

immunoprecipitation assay (Figure 4A). Psy2-13Myc protein was precipitated using

anti-Myc antibody in meiotic cell extracts of *PSY2-13MYC* derivative *PPH3* (wild type)

cells. The Hop1 signal was detected in the whole cell extracts (WCEs) at 2 to 6 h

during meiosis. After immunoprecipitation in *PSY2-13MYC* cell extracts, the Hop1

protein signal was observed at 2 and 4 h after meiosis entry, indicating the interaction

of Pys2 with Hop1. However, despite of the presence of Hop1 protein in the WCEs, the

signal was not apparent at 6 h. Since Hop1-PP4 physical interaction was detected, we

analyzed other axis component Red1 and Rec8 proteins in the precipitates using

western blot. By contrast, Red1 and Rec8 were not observed in the precipitates, even

though both proteins were present in the WCEs. The findings indicate that PP4

primarily interacts with Hop1, but not Red1 or Rec8. Given that Hop1 binds to Red1 as

a complex (NIU *et al.* 2005), there might be two distinct populations of Hop1, Hop1-PP4

and Hop1-Red1.

Defective Hop1 assembly onto chromosome axes in the *pch2Δ* background in

the *pph3* mutant

Pch2 is a AAA+ ATPase that promotes Hop1 turnover from synapsed chromosome

axes. It is known to be abundant localization of Hop1 protein on the synapsed axes in

pch2Δ cells. Pch2 is also involved in a Hop1 dephosphorylation (LO *et al.* 2014;

HERRUZO *et al.* 2016; SUBRAMANIAN *et al.* 2016). Given our observation a defect in

Hop1 assembly and delayed phosphorylation of Hop1 in the *pph3* mutants, we

analyzed the effects of the *pph3* mutations in the Hop1 assembly onto meiotic

chromosome axis in the *pch2Δ* background.

First, spore viability and distribution of viable spores were analyzed in the

pph3Δ pch2Δ double mutant using tetrad analysis (Figure 4B). Spore viability in the

wild type, *pph3Δ*, and *pch2Δ* was 97%, 88%, and 91%, respectively. Both *pph3Δ* and

pch2Δ had slightly reduced spore viability, but there was no significant bias in the

distribution of viable spores in asci, as reported previously (SAN-SEGUNDO AND ROEDER

1999; FALK *et al.* 2010). By contrast, the spore viability of the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double

mutant was only 25%, with distributions of 0-, 2-, and 4-viable spores. This bias was

indicative of the non-disjunction of homologous chromosomes in the first meiotic

division.

In Hop1 and Hop1-pT318 assembly/disassembly kinetics, Hop1-pT318

assembly was delayed in the *pch2Δ* mutant, consistent with a delayed meiotic DSB

formation (FARMER *et al.* 2012). This delay was similar to that in the *pph3Δ* and the

pph3Δ pch2Δ double mutants (Figure 4C and D). Thus, indicating the absence of

additive defects to *pph3Δ* in Hop1 assembly/disassembly in the *pch2Δ* mutation. The

findings suggest that Pch2 and PP4 function in the same pathway in Hop1

assembly/disassembly.

Next, we examined the effect of the *pch2Δ* mutation on Hop1 localization by

using cytological analysis. As indicated previously (IWASAKI *et al.* 2016) and in Figure

2A, Hop1-pT318 foci were observed as punctate foci in the wild type and were affected

in the *pph3Δ* in early prophase-I (approximately 3 h in meiosis) (Figure 4D). In the

pch2Δ mutant, Hop1 aggregates colocalized with Hop1-pT318 signals. Similar

aggregates were noted in the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double mutant at an early time point.

Notably, at the later time point (6 h during meiosis), Hop1-pT318 signals were

observed as a beaded chain on the fully elongated Hop1 signal on meiotic

chromosomes in the *pch2Δ* mutant cells (Figure 4D). In the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double

mutant, some Hop1 signals were observed as dots and some were colocalized with the

Hop1-pT318 signal, similarly to that in *pph3Δ*. These findings suggest that the *pph3Δ*

mutation is epistatic to the *pch2Δ* mutation in Hop1 localization process. This further

indicates that PP4 may function to promote Hop1 assembly onto meiotic chromatin that

lends to construction of the chromosome axis, a process occurring prior to Pch2

function in Hop1 removal from the chromosome axis. By contrast, in the *pph3Δ pch2Δ*

double mutant, some Hop1-pT318 signals were observed as beaded chain on fully

elongated Hop1. This indicates that the *pch2Δ* mutation stabilized Hop1 protein in the

pph3Δ on some chromosome axis, but not on others. Thus, other pathways may be

able to promote Hop1 assembly in the absence of PP4.

Multiple pathways to promote Hop1 assembly

On the meiotic chromosome axes, Hop1 is dynamic and is removed from

chromosomes through Pch2 activity. Then, Hop1 is ultimately replaced by the

transverse element component Zip1 in the pachytene (BORNER *et al.* 2008). Given this,

we examined Zip1 localization in the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double mutant. In wild-type cells, as

previously reported, Zip1 was observed as dotty foci in the leptotene (2 h). It begins to

elongate after the DSB end resection in the zygotene, followed by the extension of Zip1

throughout each chromosome in the pachytene (SYM *et al.* 1993; STORLAZZI *et al.*

1996; SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015). Significant delays in both the appearance of dotty Zip1

signals and the Zip1 elongation were evident for *pph3Δ* (Figure 5A), as reported

previously (FALK *et al.* 2010). The *pch2Δ* mutant showed Zip1 elongation delay, in

addition to issociation from the chromosomes after full elongation. However, the

elongated Zip1 became the major population at 5 h after meiosis entry. In the *pph3Δ*

pch2Δ double mutant, after the delayed appearance of dotty Zip1 signals, similar to

pph3Δ (2 to 3 h), compromised Zip1 elongation was observed. Complementary

localization of Zip1 and Hop1 on chromosomes was observed from zygotene to

pachytene in wild type. A similar localization pattern was evident in the *pph3Δ* mutant.

By contrast, *pch2Δ* mutant cells showed uniform colocalization of Zip1 and Hop1

(Figure 5B), as previously reported (BORNER *et al.* 2008). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

haploid cells have 16 chromosomes. Theoretically, 16 bivalents should be observed in

the pachytene stage. However, the number of linear Zip1 signals per cell was 12 ± 2.7

(mean ± 95% confidence interval) in the *pch2Δ*. This amout was significantly reduced

in the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double mutant in 68% of *pch2Δ* (8.2 ± 2.6) (Figure 5B and C).

Although several elongated Zip1 signals colocalized with Hop1 in *pph3Δ pch2Δ*, Hop1-

Zip1 free DAPI signals were also often observed in *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (Figure 5B). Next, we

compared the length of a linear stretch of Zip1-Hop1 signals indicative of chromosome

size in *pch2Δ* within *pph3Δ pch2Δ*, as specifically observed in the process of residual

Zip1-Hop1 elongation in *pph3Δ pch2Δ*. No significant difference was evident ($P = 0.19$,

Figure 5D).

The *pph3Δ* mutation showed a chromosome-dependent effect in Hop1-Zip1

elongation in the *pch2Δ* mutant background. We therefore analyzed Rec8 and Red1

localization, as alternative axis components, to determine the status of the Hop1-Zip1

free region observed in the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double mutant. Unlike the Hop1 protein on

the axes, Red1 is not therefrom by Pch2. In addition, Rec8 is recruited to chromatin

independent of Hop1-Red1 (SUN *et al.* 2015). Further, Rec8 focus formation was not

affected in the *pph3* mutants (Figure 3A). In *pch2Δ* mutant cell, Rec8 and Red1

evidently colocalized on the chromosome axes (Figure 5E). In contrast, in the *pph3Δ*

pch2Δ double mutant, Rec8 and Red1 only colocalized on the synapsed chromosome

axes. Further, many of the Rec8 was observed as a thin bead chain, where are not

synapsed. These findings suggest that the *pph3* mutants are defective primarily at the

Red1-Hop1 recruitment stage in the formation of the pre-Zip1 recruited chromosomal

axis.

Delayed meiosis progression in the *pph3Δ* occurs independent of Pch2

435   Hop1 is an adaptor of the meiosis-specific prophase checkpoint. Hop1

phosphorylation is affected in the *pch2Δ* mutant (LO *et al.* 2014) and Mec1/Tel1-

dependent phosphorylation of Hop1 affects Hop1 activity (CARBALLO *et al.* 2008). In the

wild type, Hop1 phosphorylation was detected during meiosis starting at 3 h and

continued until 5 h, upon which point, it disappeared (Figure 5F). The timing of this

Hop1-pT318 disappearance aligned with the timing of meiosis-I initiation (Figure 5G).

This was also observed with *pph3Δ* mutant cells, in which the Hop1-pT318 signal

disappeared at 8 h simultaneously with the appearance of post-meiotic cells. In *pch2Δ*

mutant cells, consistent with previous findings, Hop1 protein accumulated until 10 h,

and stimulated Hop1 phosphorylation disappeared by 8 h. In contrast, in the *pph3Δ*

pch2Δ double mutant, Hop1 protein accumulated until 10 h. In turn, Hop1-pT318 signal

also accumulated until 8 h after which it began to disappear. Notably, corresponding to

the delayed disappearance of the Hop1-pT318 signal, the *pph3Δ pch2Δ* double mutant

showed severe delay in meiosis progression (Figure 5F and G). The *pch2Δ* mutation

suppresses pachytene arrest in the *zip1* mutant (SAN-SEGUNDO AND ROEDER 1999;

BORNER *et al.* 2008). It, however, cannot suppress the delayed progression of meiosis

in the *pph3Δ* mutant. Thus, this delay in *pph3Δ* can be caused by a mechanism

different mechanism from that observed in the *zmm* mutant.

Discussion

During meiotic recombination, the chromosome axis serves as an essential scaffold. Some components, including Mer2 and Rec8, are associated with pre-meiotic DNA replication. In contrast, the molecular mechanism underlying the formation of highly organized chromosomal axis structure, especially, the initial step of Hop1 recruitment onto meiotic chromatin, is not well understood. The results of this study support indications that PP4 may function in Hop1 recruitment onto chromatin in the initiation step of chromosome axis formation in early meiotic prophase-I.

PP4 is involved in Hop1 recruitment onto chromatin independently from meiotic DSB-induced Tel1/Mec1 kinase activity

Significant delays in Hop1-Red1 loading were observed in *pph3* mutants, *pph3Δ* and *pph3-H112N*. In contrast, the timings of the production of Hop1 protein and the assembly meiotic cohesin component Rec8 in *pph3* mutants were indistinguishable from those in wild type. Delayed loading of Hop1 was also observed in the absence of Mec1 Tel1 activity and meiotic DSB formation. These results suggest PP4 is involved in Hop1 assembly before meiotic DSB formation. It has been reported that PP4 mutants show a delay in completing pre-meiotic DNA replication (FALK *et al.* 2010). Thus, our results cannot completely exclude the possibility that delayed completion of pre-meiotic DNA replication leads to subsequent delayed axis formation. It has been reported Rec8 loading is linked to pre-meiotic DNA replication (KUGOU *et al.* 2009). There was a slight delay in Rec8 loading in the *pph3Δ* (but not in the *pph3-H112N* mutant), and this delay was less affected compared to Red1 loading (Figure 3B). From

these results, we concluded that PP4 functions in promoting Hop1 loading during

chromosome axis formation, an observation reached in light of the indirect pre-meiotic

DNA replicative effects of its function as well.

During the formation of the meiotic SC structure, PP4 is also required for

centromere-mediated chromosome pairing through Zip1 phosphorylation in a

Mec1/Tel1-dependent manner (FALK *et al.* 2010). Both *pph3* mutants displayed

significant delays in Hop1 assembly onto chromatin. However, this delay was

Tel1/Mec1-independent (Figure 2A and B). Therefore, the observed Hop1 assembly

delay would not be caused by compromised centromere pairing. In *pph3* mutants, the

appearance of γH2A which is phosphorylated by Mec1/Tel1 kinase was observed at

the same timing as that in wild type. By contrast, Tel1/Mec1-induced Hop1

phosphorylation (Hop1-pT318) was delayed. This result supports the idea that delayed

Hop1 phosphorylation is caused by the delayed assembly of Hop1 onto the axes.

Notably, *pph3* mutation-dependent delay in Hop1 phosphorylation was observed, not

only in p*CLB2-MEC1*, but also in the *mec1Δ* backgrounds (Supplemental Figure 1A).

This suggests that PP4 promotes timely recruitment of Hop1 onto chromatin

independent of Mec1 (or Mec1 / Tel1) activity, rather than the indirect effects of Mec1-

PP4-related premeiotic S-phase defects. Since Hop1 chromatin loading is one of the

rate-determining factors that promotes meiotic DSB formation (PANIZZA *et al.* 2011),

delayed DSB formation and Rad51 loading in the *pph3* mutant would also be caused

by this delayed Hop1 loading onto the axes. In addition, our study has revealed the

physical interaction between the Hop1 and a PP4 component, indicating that the Hop1

protein in the precipitates was not always phosphorylated (Figure 4A). These findings

suggest that the Hop1-PP4 interaction may not only occur for enzymatic activity but

may also regulate Hop1 assembly.

Another possibility is that delayed observation of Hop1 localization on the

chromosome axes, in the absence of PP4, is unstable and thus rapidly removed from

the axes. To assess this, we examined Hop1 localization in the absence of Pch2.

Proper Hop1 assembly did not occur in 32% of the chromosomes in each nucleus of

pph3Δ pch2Δ double mutant (Figure 5C). This strongly suggests that PP4 is involved in

the recruitment phase of Hop1 and not in its stabilization on the chromosome axes.

Conversely, 68% of chromosomes in the same nucleus displayed stable Hop1

assembly by *pch2Δ*, even in *pph3Δ*. These observations indicate that multiple

pathways, beyond the PP4 alone, can promote Hop1 assembly.

What molecular mechanism promotes PP4 induced Hop1 assembly?

The molecular mechanism by which PP4 promotes Hop1 assembly is still unknown.

Furthermore, at this point, it is not clear whether the effect of PP4 on axis formation is a

direct or indirect effect.

Hop1 is a HORMA-domain protein. The dynamics of C-terminal "closure-motif"

may be important for chromosome axis assembly and disassembly through Red1

interaction. In addition, substitution of K593 of Hop1 with alanine (*hop1-K593A*) results

in severe defects in the Red1 interaction (WEST *et al.* 2018). We identified *hop1-S595L*

mutant which showed hypomorphic Hop1 function defects such as normal spore

viability and Hop1 assembly, but reduced Hop1 protein production, slightly delayed

Hop1 phosphorylation at T318 and meiosis-I progression (Supplemental Figure 4A).

We analyzed Hop1 assembly onto chromatin in the *pph3* within the *hop1-S595L*

mutation background. The *pph3* and the *hop1-S595L* mutations showed a synergistic

defect, thus *HOP1* and *PPH3* showed a genetic interaction in Hop1 assembly

(Supplemental Figure 4B).

In addition, a delay in Hop1 assembly in the *pph3* mutant was also observed in

the p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1*Δ and *spo11-Y135F* background, and Pph3 catalytic activity was

required for timely recruitment of Hop1 onto chromatin (Figure 2A and B). These

observations indicate PP4 dephosphorylation of unknown target protein before meiotic

DSB formation is required for appropriately timed, Hop1 assembly onto chromatin

(Figure 6). Since Hop1-PP4 physical interaction was detected simultaneously with

Hop1 recruitment in the wild type (at 2 h; Figure 4A), Hop1 may be one of the most

probable target for dephosphorylation by PP4 in the recruitment process. In contrast,

the candidate protein kinase(s) regulating Hop1 assembly are DDK and/or CDK.

However, the ATP analog sensitive *cdc7* allele (*cdc7-as3*) reportedly showed normal

Hop1 production, despite a lack of Hop1 phosphorylation, in the presence of an ATP

analog (WAN *et al.* 2006). The focus formation of Cdc28 (a component of CDK) on

meiotic chromatin are dependent upon Hop1, Red1 and Rec8, but not on Mek1/Mre4.

The analog sensitive allele *cdc28-as1* reportedly displayed compromised Rad51 focus

formation and severe defects in Zip1 elongation. However it did not affect Red1 loading

in the presence of an ATP analog (ZHU *et al.* 2010). These findings indicate that CDK

and DDK functions are associated with Hop1 function. However, direct phosphorylation

of Hop1 by CDK and/or DDK has not been reported. There are two predicted CDK

phosphorylation motifs (S/T-P) in Hop1: S494 and S531 (ZHU *et al.* 2010). The

presence of sequential phosphorylation by CDK followed by DDK in the sequence of

serine/threonine residues in addition to the CDK phosphorylation motif has been

described for related target proteins such as Mer2 (WAN *et al.* 2006) and Mps3 (RAO *et*

al. 2020). Furthermore, the S494 of Hop1 overlaps this motif (T-S-^{494}S-P). In addition,

the possibility remains that Tel1/Mec1 kinase activity during the premeiotic S-phase is

involved in the promotion of Hop1 loading.

PP4 has an independent role from ZMM/SIC components in the prophase

checkpoint

Pch2 activates the meiotic prophase checkpoint when meiotic DSB repair or

chromosome synapsis is compromised in *zip1*, *zip2*, or *dmc1* mutant cells (SAN-

SEGUNDO AND ROEDER 1999; BORNER *et al.* 2008). Prior studies have reported that the

PP4 mutant shows a *zmm* mutant-like phenotype in several processes, including

meiotic recombination, NCO/CO ratio aberration, temperature-sensitive meiosis

progression, CO formation, and compromised CO interference (BORNER *et al.* 2004;

FALK *et al.* 2010). In addition, defective CO formation in *pph3Δ* is epistatic to *msh4Δ*

(FALK *et al.* 2010). We also observed compromised CO and elevated NCO formation

via HD analysis (Figure 1C). These are commonly observed in *zmm* mutants

(SHINOHARA *et al.* 2008). Moreover, although *pch2Δ* suppresses meiotic prophase

arrest of *zmm* mutants (SAN-SEGUNDO AND ROEDER 1999), it could not suppress the

delayed meiosis progression of the *pph3Δ* mutant. These findings suggest that delayed

meiosis progression is caused by a different mechanism from that of *zmm* or *dmc1*

mutants. It was rrecently eported that Pch2, in collaboration with Hop1, mediates the

meiotic prophase checkpoint (RAINA AND VADER 2020; HERRUZO *et al.* 2021). In *pph3*

mutants, compromised synapsis as well as inefficient meiotic recombination easily

activate the meiotic prophase checkpoint because of enhanced Mec1/Tel1 signaling.

However, the checkpoint signal was not restored even in the absence of Pch2. In

addition, we also observed delayed meiosis progression in *pph3* mutants without

meiotic DSB formation in the *spo11-Y135F* background (Supplemental Figure 2A). This

could be caused by insufficient Hop1 loading on the chromosome axis or an

accumulation of unbound inactive form of Hop1 protein. Further, PP4 might be involved

in inactive to active conversion of Hop1 before chromatin loading, thus ensuring proper

axis construction (Figure 6).

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains and media

All the yeast strains and their genotypes are listed in Supplemental table 1. We used

isogenic *S. cerevisiae* SK1 background NKY1551 derivatives (STORLAZZI *et al.* 1996).

PPH3-13MYC was constructed using a polymerase chain reaction–based tagging

method (BAHLER *et al.* 1998). *pph3-H112N* was constructed vis two-step gene

replacement. YPAD (1% yeast extract, 2% Bacto peptone, 0.01% adenine, 2%

glucose), SPM (0.3% potassium acetate, 0.03% raffinose), and DISS plates (YPAD

medium containing 2.4% Bacto Agar) were used.

Yeast meiosis time course

The experiments were performed as described previously (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2003).

Meiosis progression was analyzed by counting the number of nuclei in each ascus at

each time point. Nuclei were visualized by staining with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole,

dihydrochloride (DAPI) and observed under an epifluorescence microscope

(AxioSkop2, Carl Zeiss). More than 100 nuclei were analyzed at each time point.

Cytological analysis

Immunostaining of yeast meiotic nuclear spreads was performed as previously

described (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015). Stained samples were observed under

epifluorescence using the Axioskop 2 microscope equipped with light-emitting diode

fluorescence light sources (X-Cite; Excelitas Technologies) and a 100× objective

(AxioPlan, NA1.4, Carl Zeiss). Images were captured with a CCD camera (Retiga;

Qimaging) and processed using iVision (BioVision Technologies) and Photoshop

(Adobe). The antibodies used in these assays were anti-Hop1 (IWASAKI *et al.* 2016)

(guinea pig, 1:500) , anti-Hop1-pT318(IWASAKI *et al.* 2016) (rabbit, 1:500), anti-Red1

(chicken, 1:500) (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2008) and anti-Rec8 (rabbit, 1:1000) (ZHU *et al.*

2010). Nuclei containing more than five foci were counted as focus-positive.

Southern blot to detect meiotic DSBs and recombination intermediates

Genomic DNAs prepared from yeast meiosis time course experiments were digested

with *Mlu*I, *Bam*HI, and *Xho*I for heteroduplexes (HDs), and *Pst*I for meiotic DSBs.

Probes for Southern blotting included: Probe 155 for HD and Probe 291 for DSB

detection (STORLAZZI *et al.* 1996; SHINOHARA AND SHINOHARA 2013). They were labeled

with α-^{32}P- dATP (NEG512H, PerkinElmer) using the random primer method (Random

Primer 6, NEB S1230S, Klenow fragment (3'-5' exo-, M0210) and visualized by using

FLA7000 (Cytiva). The visualized signals were analyzed using Image Quant TL

software (Cytiva) and images were processed using Photoshop (Adobe).

Western blotting

Western blotting was performed as described previously (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015).

Meiotic yeast cell extracts were prepared by cell disruption using a Multi-Beads Shocker

(YASUIKIKAI) after trichloroacetic acid treatment. The primary antibody signal was

visualized using Alexa Fluor 680–labeled secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) or IRDye 800CW–labeled secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences) using

an Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences). Images were processed

using Photoshop (Adobe). The primary antibodies used in these assays were anti-

γH2A (anti-γH2A, AF2288, R&D Systems, 1:1000), anti-Myc (MC045, Nacalai Tesque,

1/1000), anti-Hop1 (guinea pig, 1/5000)(IWASAKI *et al.* 2016), anti-Hop1-pT318 (rabbit,

1/5000) (IWASAKI *et al.* 2016), anti-Rec8 (rabbit, 1/10000) (ZHU *et al.* 2010), anti-Red1

(chicken, 1/3000) (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2008) and anti-α-tubulin (YL1/2, Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, 1/3000). Tubulin signals were visualized using the LI-COR Bioscience

system or alkaline phosphatase stain (BCIP-NBT solution kit, Nacalai Tesque) on the

same membrane on which other meiotic proteins were detected.

Immunoprecipitation

The immunoprecipitation assay was performed as previously described with minor

modifications (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2008). Psy2-13Myc was immunoprecipitated using

anti-Myc antibody (MC045, Nacalai Tesque) These were bound to Dynabeads Protein

G (Thermo Fisher Scientific) from meiotic yeast cell lysate prepared using a Multi-

Beads Shocker (YASUIKIKAI) in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 20% glycerol, 1 mM Sodium orthovanadate, 60 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.1%

Nonidet P-40, 1:100 dilution of protease inhibitor cocktail (SIGMA-Aldrich) at the

indicated time points in Figure 4A and 4B. Psy2-13Myc, Hop1, Red1 and Rec8 proteins

in the precipitates and WCEs were detected as described in the western blot section.

Tetrad analysis

Tetrad analysis was performed as described previously (CHALLA *et al.* 2019). Parental

haploid strains were mated for 12 h on YPAD plates at 30°C and then transferred onto

SPM plates. After incubation at 30°C for 48 h, tetrads were dissected onto DISS plates

and incubated for 48 h.

Data availability

Strains and plasmids are available upon request.
The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article
are present within the article, figures, and tables.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. K. Matsuzaki, Dr. F. Klein, Dr. S. Gasser, and the members of

the Shinohara lab for their helpful discussions. We thank Ms. A. Murakami and Ms. A.

Kitada for their excellent technical assistance. K.L. was supported by a Fellowship from

the Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University. We would like to especially thank

Dr. A. Shinohara and Dr. K. Matsuzaki for critical reading of the manuscript. This work

was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (#15H05973, #19K22402) and Takeda Science

Foundation and was performed in part under the Cooperative Research Program of the

Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, CR-17-05.

References

Anderson, C. M., A. Oke, P. Yam, T. Zhuge and J. C. Fung, 2015 Reduced Crossover
Interference and Increased ZMM-Independent Recombination in the Absence of
Tel1/ATM. PLoS Genet 11: e1005478.

Bahler, J., J. Q. Wu, M. S. Longtine, N. G. Shah, A. McKenzie, 3rd *et al.*, 1998
Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene targeting in
Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Yeast 14: 943-951.

Bishop, D. K., 1994 RecA homologs Dmc1 and Rad51 interact to form multiple nuclear
complexes prior to meiotic chromosome synapsis. Cell 79: 1081-1092.

Bishop, D. K., D. Park, L. Xu and N. Kleckner, 1992 DMC1: a meiosis-specific yeast
homolog of E. coli recA required for recombination, synaptonemal complex
formation, and cell cycle progression. Cell 69: 439-456.

Blat, Y., R. U. Protacio, N. Hunter and N. Kleckner, 2002 Physical and functional
interactions among basic chromosome organizational features govern early steps
of meiotic chiasma formation. Cell 111: 791-802.

Borner, G. V., A. Barot and N. Kleckner, 2008 Yeast Pch2 promotes domainal axis
organization, timely recombination progression, and arrest of defective
recombinosomes during meiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 3327-3332.

Borner, G. V., N. Kleckner and N. Hunter, 2004 Crossover/noncrossover
differentiation, synaptonemal complex formation, and regulatory surveillance at
the leptotene/zygotene transition of meiosis. Cell 117: 29-45.

Carballo, J. A., A. L. Johnson, S. G. Sedgwick and R. S. Cha, 2008 Phosphorylation of
the axial element protein Hop1 by Mec1/Tel1 ensures meiotic interhomolog
recombination. Cell 132: 758-770.

Carballo, J. A., S. Panizza, M. E. Serrentino, A. L. Johnson, M. Geymonat *et al.*, 2013
Budding yeast ATM/ATR control meiotic double-strand break (DSB) levels by
down-regulating Rec114, an essential component of the DSB-machinery. PLoS
Genet 9: e1003545.

Cartagena-Lirola, H., I. Guerini, N. Manfrini, G. Lucchini and M. P. Longhese, 2008
Role of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rad53 checkpoint kinase in signaling
double-strand breaks during the meiotic cell cycle. Mol Cell Biol 28: 4480-
4493.

Challa, K., V. G. Fajish, M. Shinohara, F. Klein, S. M. Gasser *et al.*, 2019 Meiosis-
specific prophase-like pathway controls cleavage-independent release of cohesin
by Wapl phosphorylation. PLoS Genet 15: e1007851.

Chuang, C. N., Y. H. Cheng and T. F. Wang, 2012 Mek1 stabilizes Hop1-Thr318
phosphorylation to promote interhomolog recombination and checkpoint
responses during yeast meiosis. Nucleic Acids Res 40: 11416-11427.

De Muyt, A., A. Pyatnitskaya, J. Andreani, L. Ranjha, C. Ramus *et al.*, 2018 A meiotic
XPF-ERCC1-like complex recognizes joint molecule recombination
intermediates to promote crossover formation. Genes Dev 32: 283-296.

Falk, J. E., A. C. Chan, E. Hoffmann and A. Hochwagen, 2010 A Mec1- and PP4-
dependent checkpoint couples centromere pairing to meiotic recombination. Dev
Cell 19: 599-611.

Farmer, S., E. J. Hong, W. K. Leung, B. Argunhan, Y. Terentyev *et al.*, 2012 Budding
yeast Pch2, a widely conserved meiotic protein, is involved in the initiation of
meiotic recombination. PLoS One 7: e39724.

Garcia, V., S. Gray, R. M. Allison, T. J. Cooper and M. J. Neale, 2015 Tel1(ATM)-
mediated interference suppresses clustered meiotic double-strand-break
formation. Nature 520: 114-118.

Herruzo, E., A. Lago-Maciel, S. Baztan, B. Santos, J. A. Carballo *et al.*, 2021 Pch2
orchestrates the meiotic recombination checkpoint from the cytoplasm. PLoS
Genet 17: e1009560.

Herruzo, E., D. Ontoso, S. Gonzalez-Arranz, S. Cavero, A. Lechuga *et al.*, 2016 The
Pch2 AAA+ ATPase promotes phosphorylation of the Hop1 meiotic checkpoint
adaptor in response to synaptonemal complex defects. Nucleic Acids Res 44:
7722-7741.

Ho, H. C., and S. M. Burgess, 2011 Pch2 acts through Xrs2 and Tel1/ATM to modulate
interhomolog bias and checkpoint function during meiosis. PLoS Genet 7:
e1002351.

Hoffmann, R., S. Jung, M. Ehrmann and H. W. Hofer, 1994 The Saccharomyces
cerevisiae gene PPH3 encodes a protein phosphatase with properties different
from PPX, PP1 and PP2A. Yeast 10: 567-578.

Hollingsworth, N. M., and B. Byers, 1989 HOP1: a yeast meiotic pairing gene. Genetics
121: 445-462.

Hollingsworth, N. M., L. Goetsch and B. Byers, 1990 The HOP1 gene encodes a
meiosis-specific component of yeast chromosomes. Cell 61: 73-84.

Hustedt, N., A. Seeber, R. Sack, M. Tsai-Pflugfelder, B. Bhullar *et al.*, 2015 Yeast PP4
interacts with ATR homolog Ddc2-Mec1 and regulates checkpoint signaling.
Mol Cell 57**:** 273-289.

Iwasaki, D., K. Hayashihara, H. Shima, M. Higashide, M. Terasawa *et al.*, 2016 The
MRX Complex Ensures NHEJ Fidelity through Multiple Pathways Including
Xrs2-FHA-Dependent Tel1 Activation. PLoS Genet 12**:** e1005942.

Joshi, N., M. S. Brown, D. K. Bishop and G. V. Borner, 2015 Gradual implementation
of the meiotic recombination program via checkpoint pathways controlled by
global DSB levels. Mol Cell 57**:** 797-811.

Kato, R., and H. Ogawa, 1994 An essential gene, ESR1, is required for mitotic cell
growth, DNA repair and meiotic recombination in Saccharomyces cerevisiae.
Nucleic Acids Res 22**:** 3104-3112.

Keeney, S., 2001 Mechanism and control of meiotic recombination initiation. Curr Top
Dev Biol 52**:** 1-53.

Keogh, M. C., J. A. Kim, M. Downey, J. Fillingham, D. Chowdhury *et al.*, 2006 A
phosphatase complex that dephosphorylates gammaH2AX regulates DNA
damage checkpoint recovery. Nature 439**:** 497-501.

Kim, K. P., B. M. Weiner, L. Zhang, A. Jordan, J. Dekker *et al.*, 2010 Sister cohesion
and structural axis components mediate homolog bias of meiotic recombination.
Cell 143**:** 924-937.

Klein, F., P. Mahr, M. Galova, S. B. Buonomo, C. Michaelis *et al.*, 1999 A central role
for cohesins in sister chromatid cohesion, formation of axial elements, and
recombination during yeast meiosis. Cell 98**:** 91-103.

Kugou, K., T. Fukuda, S. Yamada, M. Ito, H. Sasanuma *et al.*, 2009 Rec8 guides
canonical Spo11 distribution along yeast meiotic chromosomes. Mol Biol Cell
20**:** 3064-3076.

Lai, Y. J., F. M. Lin, M. J. Chuang, H. J. Shen and T. F. Wang, 2011 Genetic
requirements and meiotic function of phosphorylation of the yeast axial element
protein Red1. Mol Cell Biol 31**:** 912-923.

Lee, B. H., and A. Amon, 2003 Role of Polo-like kinase CDC5 in programming meiosis
I chromosome segregation. Science 300**:** 482-486.

Lo, Y. H., C. N. Chuang and T. F. Wang, 2014 Pch2 prevents Mec1/Tel1-mediated
Hop1 phosphorylation occurring independently of Red1 in budding yeast
meiosis. PLoS One 9**:** e85687.

Niu, H., L. Wan, B. Baumgartner, D. Schaefer, J. Loidl *et al.*, 2005 Partner choice
during meiosis is regulated by Hop1-promoted dimerization of Mek1. Mol Biol
Cell 16**:** 5804-5818.
Novak, J. E., P. B. Ross-Macdonald and G. S. Roeder, 2001 The budding yeast Msh4
protein functions in chromosome synapsis and the regulation of crossover
distribution. Genetics 158**:** 1013-1025.
O'Neill, B. M., S. J. Szyjka, E. T. Lis, A. O. Bailey, J. R. Yates, 3rd *et al.*, 2007 Pph3-
Psy2 is a phosphatase complex required for Rad53 dephosphorylation and
replication fork restart during recovery from DNA damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 104**:** 9290-9295.
Panizza, S., M. A. Mendoza, M. Berlinger, L. Huang, A. Nicolas *et al.*, 2011 Spo11-
accessory proteins link double-strand break sites to the chromosome axis in
early meiotic recombination. Cell 146**:** 372-383.
Penedos, A., A. L. Johnson, E. Strong, A. S. Goldman, J. A. Carballo *et al.*, 2015
Essential and Checkpoint Functions of Budding Yeast ATM and ATR during
Meiotic Prophase Are Facilitated by Differential Phosphorylation of a Meiotic
Adaptor Protein, Hop1. PLoS One 10**:** e0134297.
Raina, V. B., and G. Vader, 2020 Homeostatic Control of Meiotic Prophase Checkpoint
Function by Pch2 and Hop1. Curr Biol 30**:** 4413-4424 e4415.
Rao, H. B. D. P., T. Sato, K. Challa, M. Shinohara and A. Shinohara, 2020
Phosphorylation of luminal region of the SUN-domain protein Mps3 promotes
nuclear envelope localization during meiosis. bioRxiv**:** 2020.2009.2015.297762.
Ritchie, K. B., J. C. Mallory and T. D. Petes, 1999 Interactions of TLC1 (which encodes
the RNA subunit of telomerase), TEL1, and MEC1 in regulating telomere length
in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 19**:** 6065-6075.
Rockmill, B., and G. S. Roeder, 1988 RED1: a yeast gene required for the segregation
of chromosomes during the reductional division of meiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 85**:** 6057-6061.
San-Segundo, P. A., and G. S. Roeder, 1999 Pch2 links chromatin silencing to meiotic
checkpoint control. Cell 97**:** 313-324.
Schwacha, A., and N. Kleckner, 1997 Interhomolog bias during meiotic recombination:
meiotic functions promote a highly differentiated interhomolog-only pathway.
Cell 90**:** 1123-1135.
Shi, Y., 2009 Serine/threonine phosphatases: mechanism through structure. Cell 139**:**
468-484.

Shinohara, A., S. Gasior, T. Ogawa, N. Kleckner and D. K. Bishop, 1997

808   Saccharomyces cerevisiae recA homologues RAD51 and DMC1 have both

809   distinct and overlapping roles in meiotic recombination. Genes Cells 2: 615-629.

810   Shinohara, M., D. K. Bishop and A. Shinohara, 2019 Distinct Functions in Regulation

811   of Meiotic Crossovers for DNA Damage Response Clamp Loader

812   Rad24(Rad17) and Mec1(ATR) Kinase. Genetics 213: 1255-1269.

813   Shinohara, M., S. L. Gasior, D. K. Bishop and A. Shinohara, 2000 Tid1/Rdh54

814   promotes colocalization of rad51 and dmc1 during meiotic recombination. Proc

815   Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 10814-10819.

816   Shinohara, M., K. Hayashihara, J. T. Grubb, D. K. Bishop and A. Shinohara, 2015 DNA

817   damage response clamp 9-1-1 promotes assembly of ZMM proteins for

818   formation of crossovers and synaptonemal complex. J Cell Sci 128: 1494-1506.

819   Shinohara, M., S. D. Oh, N. Hunter and A. Shinohara, 2008 Crossover assurance and

820   crossover interference are distinctly regulated by the ZMM proteins during yeast

821   meiosis. Nat Genet 40: 299-309.

822   Shinohara, M., K. Sakai, T. Ogawa and A. Shinohara, 2003 The mitotic DNA damage

823   checkpoint proteins Rad17 and Rad24 are required for repair of double-strand

824   breaks during meiosis in yeast. Genetics 164: 855-865.

825   Shinohara, M., and A. Shinohara, 2013 Multiple pathways suppress non-allelic

826   homologous recombination during meiosis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. PLoS

827   One 8: e63144.

828   Storlazzi, A., L. Xu, A. Schwacha and N. Kleckner, 1996 Synaptonemal complex (SC)

829   component Zip1 plays a role in meiotic recombination independent of SC

830   polymerization along the chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 9043-

831   9048.

832   Subramanian, V. V., A. J. MacQueen, G. Vader, M. Shinohara, A. Sanchez et al., 2016

833   Chromosome Synapsis Alleviates Mek1-Dependent Suppression of Meiotic

834   DNA Repair. PLoS Biol 14: e1002369.

835   Sun, X., L. Huang, T. E. Markowitz, H. G. Blitzblau, D. Chen et al., 2015 Transcription

836   dynamically patterns the meiotic chromosome-axis interface. Elife 4.

837   Sym, M., J. A. Engebrecht and G. S. Roeder, 1993 ZIP1 is a synaptonemal complex

838   protein required for meiotic chromosome synapsis. Cell 72: 365-378.

839   Tsubouchi, T., and G. S. Roeder, 2005 A synaptonemal complex protein promotes

840   homology-independent centromere coupling. Science 308: 870-873.

841   Villoria, M. T., P. Gutierrez-Escribano, E. Alonso-Rodriguez, F. Ramos, E. Merino et

842   al., 2019 PP4 phosphatase cooperates in recombinational DNA repair by

enhancing double-strand break end resection. Nucleic Acids Res 47**:** 10706-

10727.

Wan, L., C. Zhang, K. M. Shokat and N. M. Hollingsworth, 2006 Chemical inactivation

of cdc7 kinase in budding yeast results in a reversible arrest that allows efficient

cell synchronization prior to meiotic recombination. Genetics 174**:** 1767-1774.

Weinert, T. A., G. L. Kiser and L. H. Hartwell, 1994 Mitotic checkpoint genes in

budding yeast and the dependence of mitosis on DNA replication and repair.

Genes Dev 8**:** 652-665.

West, A. M. V., E. A. Komives and K. D. Corbett, 2018 Conformational dynamics of

the Hop1 HORMA domain reveal a common mechanism with the spindle

checkpoint protein Mad2. Nucleic Acids Res 46**:** 279-292.

Zhang, L., K. P. Kim, N. E. Kleckner and A. Storlazzi, 2011 Meiotic double-strand

breaks occur once per pair of (sister) chromatids and, via Mec1/ATR and

Tel1/ATM, once per quartet of chromatids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108**:**

20036-20041.

Zhao, X., E. G. Muller and R. Rothstein, 1998 A suppressor of two essential checkpoint

genes identifies a novel protein that negatively affects dNTP pools. Mol Cell 2**:**

329-340.

Zhu, Z., S. Mori, H. Oshiumi, K. Matsuzaki, M. Shinohara *et al.*, 2010 Cyclin-

dependent kinase promotes formation of the synaptonemal complex in yeast

meiosis. Genes Cells 15**:** 1036-1050.

 Figure legends

Figure1. PP4 dephosphorylation activity is required for proper meiotic

recombination.

(A) Schematic of the functional domain structure of yeast Pph3 (*sc*Pph3) protein.

Amino acid sequences contributing to phosphate binding and metal coordination

are shown in pale blue and red, respectively. The position of the *pph3-H112N*

and the substitution of histidine 112 with asparagine is shown.

(B) Localization of Psy2-13Myc was assessed in *PPH3* (MSY6198/6190) and the

pph3-H112N (MSY6242/6244) background was assessed via immunostaining

with anti-Myc antibody (green). Representative images at 6h in meiosis are

shown**.** Scale bar indicates 2 μm.

(C) Schematics of the *HIS4-LEU2* recombination hotspot. Diagnostic P: *Pst*I, X: *Xho*I,

B: *Bam*HI and M: *Mlu*I restriction sites are shown. The size of meiotic DSBs (DSB

I, DSB II), and parental (Pa), and heteroduplexes (HDs) that are associated with

COs (HD2 and HD3) or NCOs (HD1 and HD4), Parental (Pa1 and Pa2), and the

positions of detectable probes 291 and 155 are shown.

(D) Representative Southern blotting of DSB detection in wild type (black,

NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ* (red, MSY5632/MSY5634), *pph3-H112N* (blue,

MSY6219/6220), p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ* (purple, MSY5544/5546), and p*CLB2-*

MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ (orange, MSY5760/5762) using probe 291. The graph shows

the mean and standard error of the quantified DSB I signals from more than three

reperated trials.

(E) Representative Southern blotting of HD-associated COs and NCOs formed at the

HIS4-LEU2 hotspot using probe155. The upper graph shows the mean and

standard error of the quantified HD-associated COs (HD2 and HD3). The lower

graph shows the mean and standard error of the quantified HD-associated NCOs

(HD1 and HD4) of wild type, *pph3Δ*, and *pph3-H112N.*

(F) Representative immunostaining images of Rad51 (green) at 3 h on meiotic

nuclear spreads in wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ* (MSY5632/MSY5634), and

pph3-H112N (MSY6219/6220). Scale bar indicates 2 μm. The dot plot graph

shows the distribution of the number of Rad51 foci in each Rad51 focus positive

nucleus at the indicated time points in wild type (black, NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ*

(red, MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3-H112N* (blue, MSY6219/6220). The data

are presented as the medians and 95% confidence interval. Asterisks indicate

statistically significant differences between the indicated strains determined using

the Mann-Whitney U-test (* *P*<0.05, ** *P*<0.01, **** *P*<0.0001) using Prism9

software.

Figure 2. Hop1 assembly is delayed in the *pph3* mutant in early prophase-I.

(A) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Hop1 focus (upper) assembly on meiotic

nuclear spreads in the indicated strains, wild type (black, NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ*

(red, MSY5632/MSY5634), *pph3-H112N* (blue, MSY6219/6220), p*CLB2-MEC1*

tel1Δ (purple, MSY5544/5546), p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ* (orange,

MSY5760/5762), *spo11-Y135F* (green, MSY4139/4141), and *spo11-Y135F*

pph3-H112N (pale blue, MSY6568/6570). From the above graph, 2 h and 3 h

data were extracted and re-presented as a box plot with statistical analysis.

Whiskers show the median and interquartile range. More than 100 cells ere

analyzed in each time point. Statistically significant differences were determined

using Student's t-test (**$P < 0.001$, *** $P < 0.0005$, **** $P < 0.0001$) using Prism9

software.

(B) Representative cytological immunostaining images of Hop1 (green) and Hop1-

pT318 (red) on meiotic nuclear spreads at 3 h in each strain shown in Figure 2A.

Scale bar indicates 2 μm.

(C) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Hop1-pT318 focus (left) assembly on

meiotic nuclear spreads in the indicated strains. The dot plot graph (right) shows

the distributions of the number of Hop1-pT318 foci in each Hop1-pT318 focus

positive nucleus at 4 and 5 h in wild type, *pph3Δ*, *pph3-H112N*, p*CLB2-MEC1*

tel1Δ, and p*CLB2-MEC1 tel1Δ pph3Δ*. The data are presented as the medians

and 95% confidence interval. N denotes number analyzed. Statistically significant

differences were determined using Mann-Whitney's U-test (**** $P < 0.0001$) using

Prism9 software.

(D) Representative western blot images of phosphorylation of histone H2A (γH2A,

red) and α-tubulin (green) at the indicated time point during meiosis (upper panel).

Hop1 (green, top), phosphorylation of Hop1 at T318 (Hop1-pT318, red, middle),

merged images of Hop1 and Hop1-pT318, and α-tubulin (bottom) at the indicated

time points during meiosis. M denotes molecular weight markers.

Figure 3. Rec8 appeared in normal timing in the *pph3* mutant in early prophase I.

(A) Representative cytological immunostaining images of Rec8 (green) and Red1

(red) on meiotic nuclear spreads at 3 h in wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ*

(MSY5632/MSY5634), *pph3-H112N* (MSY6219/6220). wild type

(NKY1303/1543), *pch2Δ* (MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (MSY5632/MSY5634), and

pph3Δ pch2Δ (MSY6417/6419)

(B) Left graphs show the kinetics of Red1 (upper) and Rec8 (lower) focus assembly

on meiotic nuclear spreads in the indicated strains, wild type (black,

NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ* (red, MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3-H112N* (blue,

MSY6219/6220). More than 100 cells were analyzed at each time point. Error

bars show the mean ± standard deviation of more than three trial repetitions.

Right box whiskers plots show distributions of frequencies (%) of nuclei positive

for Red1 (upper) or Rec8 (lower) at 2 or 3 h from the data sets shown in the left

graphs. Whiskers show the median and interquartile range. Statistically

significant differences were determined using Welch's t-test (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$) using Prism9 software.

0.01) using Prism9 software.

(C) Representative western blot images of Rec8 (upper) and Red1 (lower), with α-

tubulin images as internal controls, at the indicated meiotic time points. M

denotes molecular weight markers. Asterisks indicate non-specific protein signals.

(D) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Red1 focus assembly on meiotic nuclear

spreads in the *spo11-Y135F* (black, MSY4139/4141) and *spo11-Y135F pph3-*

H112N (blue, MSY6568/6570). More than 100 cells were analyzed in each time

point. Error bars show the mean ± standard deviation of more than three repeated

trials.

Figure 4. PP4 interacts with Hop1 during meiosis.

(A) Immunoprecipitation (IP) analysis of Psy2-13Myc from *PPH3* (non-tag,

NKY1303/1543) and *PPH3* (*PSY2-13MYC*, MSY6188/6190) cells at the indicated

962   time points during meiosis. Whole cell extracts (WCEs) and IP products were

963   probed with anti-Myc, anti-Hop1, anti-Red1 and anti-Rec8 antibodies. Small

964   arrows show the modified products.

965  (B) Tetrad analysis of spore viability in wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ*

966   (MSY5632/MSY5634), *pch2Δ* (MSY6446/6448), and *pph3Δ pch2Δ*

967   (MSY6417/6419). The graphs show the fraction of tetrads containing 0-, 1-, 2-,

968   3- and 4-viable spores. The overall spore viability is shown in parentheses. n:

969   number of asci analyzed.

970  (C) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Hop1 (left) and Hop1-pT318 (right) focus

971   assembly on meiotic nuclear spreads in the indicated strains: wild type (black,

972   NKY1303/1543), *pch2Δ* (gray, MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (red,

973   MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (orange, MSY6417/6419). More than

974   100 cells were analyzed per measured time point. Error bars show the mean ±

975   standard deviation of more than three repeated trials.

976  (D) Representative cytological immunostaining images of Hop1 (green) and Hop1-

977   pT318 (red) on meiotic nuclear spreads at 3 h (left panel) and 6 h (right panel) in

978   wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pch2Δ* (MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ*

979   (MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (MSY6417/6419). Arrowheads show

980   unsynapsed regions. Scale bar indicates 2 μm.

982  **Figure 5. *pch2Δ* does not completely suppress the defect in Hop1 assembly**

983  **of *pph3Δ*.**

984  (A) Zip1 and Hop1 elongation was analyzed in wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pch2Δ*

985   (MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ pch2Δ*

(MSY6417/6419) via immunostaining at each time point. The graphs show the

percentages of each class of Zip1 morphologies; linear (dark gray, pachytene),

partial (pale gray, zygotene), and dotty (black, leptotene). Error bars show the

mean ± standard deviation of more than three trials. More than 100 cells at each

time point were analyzed.

(B) Representative cytological immunostaining images of Hop1 (green) and Zip1

(red) on pachytene-phase nuclear spreads at 4 h in wild type (NKY1303/1543),

and 6 h in the *pch2Δ* (MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ*

pch2Δ (MSY6417/6419). Scale bar indicates 2 μm.

(C) The dot plot graph of the distribution of the number of linearized Hop1 signals in

each pachytene stage nucleus in *pch2Δ* (N = 112) and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (N = 109)

at 6 h during meiosis from three independent trials. Error bars show the mean

with 95% confidence interval. Statistically significant differences between the

pch2Δ and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* were determined using the Mann-Whitney U-test (****

P < 0.0001) using Prism9 software.

(D) The dot plot graph of the distribution of lengths of linearized Hop1 signal of

pachytene stage nucleus in *pch2Δ* (gray, N = 718) and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (orange, N

= 780) at 6 h in meiosis from three independent trials. Error bars show the mean

with 95% confidence interval. Statistically significant differences between the

pch2Δ and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* were determined using the Mann-Whitney U-test (ns;

not significant) using Prism9 software.

(E) Representative cytological immunostaining images of Rec8 (green) and Red1

(red) on pachytene-stage nuclear spreads in wild type (3 h, NKY1303/1543),

pch2Δ (6 h, MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (6 h, MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ*

pch2Δ (6 h, MSY6417/6419). Scale bar indicates 2 µm.

(F) Representative western blot images of Hop1 (green) as well as phosphorylation

of Hop1 at pT318 (Hop1-pT318, red) and α-tubulin (bottom) at the indicated time

points during meiosis. M denotes molecular weight markers.

(G) Meiosis progression in wild type (black, NKY1303/1543), *pch2Δ* (gray,

MSY6446/6448), *pph3Δ* (red, MSY5632/MSY5634), and *pph3Δ pch2Δ* (orange,

MSY6417/6419) mutants are shown. Frequencies of nuclei with more than two

DAPI-staining bodies at each time point are plotted. More than 100 cells were

analyzed at each time point. Error bars show the means and standard deviation

of two independent trials.

1020

Figure 6. Model for PP4 involvement in Hop1 recruitment onto meiotic

chromatin.

PP4 plays multiple roles during meiotic prophase. First, independent of the

introduction of meiotic DSBs and the function of Mec1/Tel1 activated by them,

PP4 promotes Hop1 recruitment in the early phase of meiotic prophase. Until the

onset of chromatin loading, Hop1 exists in an inactive form that may prevent miosis

progression. After meiotic DSB formation, Hop1 is phosphorylated at the DSB site

by Tel1/Mec1, and PP4 is involved in dephosphorylation of the phosphorylated

Hop1. Meiotic recombination promotes homolog synapsis by exchanging Hop1 to

Zip1 through the function of AAA+ Pch2 function.

1031

Supplemental Figure 1. Supplemental data for Figure 1.

(A) Representative western blot images of Hop1 (green, top), phosphorylation of

Hop1 at T318 (Hop1-pT318, red, middle), merged images of Hop1 and Hop1-

pT318, and phosphorylation of histone H2A (γH2A, red, bottom) at the indicated

time point during meiosis in *mec1Δ sml1Δ* (MSY3643/3644)*, mec1Δ sml1Δ pph3-*

H112N (MSY6560/6565)*, pCLB2-MEC1* (MSY6551/6552), and *pCLB2-MEC1*

pph3-H112N (MSY6574/6576). M denotes molecular weight markers.

(B) Spore viability and the distribution of 4-, 3-, 2-, 1-, and 0-viable spores in wild type

(MSY833/831)*, pCLB2-MEC1* (MSY6551/6552)*, mec1Δ sml1Δ* (MSY3643/3644)*,*

mec1-1 *sml1X* (MSY398/399), and *mec1-kd sml1Δ* (MSY4413/4415). n: number

of asci analyzed.

(C) The graph of the kinetics of Rad51 focus assembly on meiotic nuclear spreads

in wild type (black, NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ* (red, MSY5632/MSY5634), and

pph3-H112N (blue, MSY6219/6220). More than 100 cells were analyzed at each

time point. Error bars show the mean ± standard deviation of more than three

trials.

1048

Supplemental Figure 2. Supplemental data for Figure 2.

(A) Meiosis progression in wild type (NKY1303/1543), *pph3Δ* (MSY5632/5634),

pph3-H112N (MSY6219/6220), *spo11-Y135F*(MSY4139/4141), and *spo11-*

Y135F pph3-H112N (MSY6568/6570) mutants. Frequencies of nuclei with more

than two DAPI-stained bodies were plotted. More than 200 cells were analyzed

at each time point.

(B) Graphical depiction of the average number of relative Hop1 protein signals from

western blot at each time point. The numerical values are shown as a percentage

of the peak amount of signal in the wild type. Error bars show the mean and ±

standard deviation of two independent trials.

1059

1060   **Supplemental Figure 3. Supplemental data presentation for Figure 4.**

1061   (A) Whole membrane images of western blot of immunoprecipitates (IP) and whole

1062   cell extracts (WCEs) exhibited in Figure 4A.

1063

1064   **Supplemental Figure 4. Characterization of *hop1-T595L* as a hypomorph allele of**

1065   ***HOP1*.**

1066   (A) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Hop1, Hop1-pT318, and Red1 focus

1067   assembly on meiotic nuclear spreads in the indicated strains, wild type

1068   (MSY833/831) and *hop1-T595L* (MSY6314/6319) (upper). More than 100 cells

1069   were analyzed at each time point. Error bars show the mean ± standard

1070   deviation of more than three trials. Meiosis progression in wild type

1071   (MSY833/831) and *hop1-T595L* (MSY6314/6319). Frequencies of nuclei with

1072   more than two DAPI-stained bodies were plotted (lower). More than 200 cells

1073   were analyzed at each time point.

1074   (B) Graphical depiction of the kinetics of Hop1 focus assembly on meiotic nuclear

1075   spreads in the indicated strains, *hop1-T595L* (pink, MSY6314/6319), *pph3Δ*

1076   *hop1-T595L* (red, MSY6544/6546), and *pph3-H112N hop1-T595L* (green,

1077   MSY6541/6543). Values of *pph3-H112N* (dark blue) are from the same data

1078   shown in Figure 2A. More than 100 cells were analyzed at each time point.

1079   Error bars show the mean ± standard deviation of more than three trials.

1080   Representative western blot images of Hop1 (green, top), phosphorylation of

1081   Hop1 at T318 (Hop1-pT318, red, middle), and merged images of Hop1 and

1082   Hop1-pT318 at the indicated time point during meiosis in wild type

1083   (MSY833/831), *hop1-T595L* (MSY6314/6319), *pCLB2-MEC1*

1084   (MSY6551/6552), and *pCLB2-MEC1 pph3-H112N* (MSY6574/6576). M

1085   denotes molecular weight markers.

1086

Li *et al* Figure 2

Li *et al* Figure 3

A

+DAPI | Red1 Rec8 | Rec8 | Red1

Wild type

pph3Δ

pph3-H112N

3 h in meiosis

B

Wild type
pph3Δ
pph3-H112N

Red1

% of Red1 positive cells

Time in meiosis (h)

2 h   3 h

% of Red1 positive cells

** * *

Rec8

% of Rec8 positive cells

Time in meiosis (h)

% of Rec8 positive cells

** ns ns ns

Wild type *pph3Δ* *pph3-H112N*   Wild type *pph3Δ* *pph3-H112N*

C

Wild type   *pph3Δ*   *pph3-H112N*

M 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10   Time in meiosis (h)

100
75

←α-Rec8

Cleavage products

←α-Tubulin

Wild type   *pph3Δ*   *pph3-H112N*

M 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10 0 2 3 4 5 6 8 10

150
100

←α-Red1

←α-Tubulin

D

Red1

spo11-Y135F
spo11-Y135F pph3-H112N

% of positive cells

Time in meiosis (h)

A

B

C

Supplemental Figure 2, Li *et al*

A

Meiosis progression

% of post-MI cells vs Time in meiosis (h)

- WT
- *pph3Δ*
- *pph3-H112N*
- *spo11-Y135F*
- *spo11-Y135F pph3-H112N*

B

Hop1 protein pruduction

Relative signal intensity vs Time in meiosis (h)

- Wild type
- *pph3Δ*
- *pph3-H112N*

A

Li *et al* Supplemental Figure 4

A

B

Supplemental Table-1 Strain list

Strain	Genotype	Reference
NKY1303	*MATa, ho::LYS2, lys2, ura3, leu2::hisG, his4B-LEU2(MluI), arg4-bgl*	(STORLAZZI *et al.* 1996)
NKY1543	*MATa, ho::LYS2, lys2, ura3, leu2::hisG, his4X-LEU2(BamHI)-URA3, arg4-nsp*	(STORLAZZI *et al.* 1996)
MSY831	*MATa, ho::LYS2, lys2, ura3, leu2::hisG, trp1::hisG*	(SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015)
MSY833	*MATa, ho::LYS2, lys2, ura3, leu2::hisG, trp1::hisG*	(SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015)
MSY5632	NKY1303 with *pph3::Hyg^R*	This study
MSY5634	NKY1543 with *pph3::Hyg^R*	This study
MSY6219	NKY1303 with *pph3-H112N::MluI*	This study
MSY6220	NKY1543 with *pph3-H112N::MluI*	This study
MSY6198	NKY1303 with *PSY2-13MYC::TRP1*	This study
MSY6190	NKY1543 with *PSY2-13MYC::TRP1*	This study
MSY6242	NKY1303 with *PSY2-13MYC::TRP1, pph3-H112N::MluI*	This study
MSY6244	NKY1543 with *PSY2-13MYC::TRP1, pph3-H112N::MluI*	This study
MSY5544	NKY1303 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX, tel1::TRP1*	This study
MSY5546	NKY1543 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX, tel1::TRP1*	This study
MSY5760	NKY1303 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX, tel1::TRP1, pph3::Hyg^R*	This study
MSY5762	NKY1543 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX, tel1::TRP1, pph3::Hyg^R*	This study
MSY6551	MSY 833 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX*	This study
MSY6552	MSY 831 with *pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX*	This study
MSY6574	MSY 833 with *pph3-H112N, pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX*	This study
MSY6576	MSY 831 with *pph3-H112N, pCLB2-MEC1::KANMX*	This study
MSY3643	MSY 833 with *mec1::LEU2, sml1::KANMX*	This study
MSY3644	MSY 831 with *mec1::LEU2, sml1::KANMX*	This study
MSY6560	NKY1303 with *pph3-H112N::MluI, mec1::LEU2, sml1::KANMX*	This study
MSY6565	NKY1543 with *pph3-H112N::MluI , mec1::LEU2, sml1::KANMX*	This study
MSY4139	MSY833 with *spo11-Y135F::KanMX*	This study [a]
MSY4141	MSY831 with *spo11-Y135F::KanMX*	This study [a]
MSY6568	MSY833 with *spo11-Y135F::KanMX, pph3-H112N::Mlu*	This study
MSY6570	MSY831 with *spo11-Y135F::KanMX, pph3-H112N::Mlu*	This study
MSY6446	NKY1303 with *pch2::TRP1*	This study
MSY6448	NKY1543 with *pch2::TRP1*	This study
MSY6417	NKY1303 with *pph3::Hyg^R, pch2::TRP1*	This study
MSY6519	NKY1543 with *pph3::Hyg^R, pch2::TRP1*	This study

MSY398	MSY 833 with *mec1-1, smlX*	This study [b]
MSY399	MSY 831 with *mec1-1, smlX*	This study [b]
MSY4413	MSY 833 with *mec1-kd (D2224S::XbaI), sml1::KANMX*	This study [c]
MSY4415	MSY 831 with *mec1-kd (D2224S::XbaI), sml1::KANMX*	This study [c]
MSY6314	MSY 833 with *hop1-T595L::SpeI*	This study
MSY6319	MSY 831 with *hop1-T595L::SpeI*	This study
MSY6544	MSY 833 with *pph3::Hyg^R, hop1-T595L::SpeI*	This study
MSY6546	MSY 831 with *pph3::Hyg^R, hop1-T595L::SpeI*	This study
MSY6541	MSY 833 with *pph3-H112N::MluI, hop1-T595L::Spe*I	This study
MSY6543	MSY 831 with *pph3-H112N::MluI, hop1-T595L::Spe*I	This study

[a] Derivatives of this mutation were already reported in (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2015).

[b] This strain was generated by crossing with the strain which was originally reported in (GRUSHCOW *et al.* 1999).

[c] Derivatives of this mutation were already reported in (SHINOHARA *et al.* 2019).

Grushcow, J. M., T. M. Holzen, K. J. Park, T. Weinert, M. Lichten *et al.,* 1999 Saccharomyces cerevisiae checkpoint genes MEC1, RAD17 and RAD24 are required for normal meiotic recombination partner choice. Genetics 153: 607-620.

Shinohara, M., D. K. Bishop and A. Shinohara, 2019 Distinct Functions in Regulation of Meiotic Crossovers for DNA Damage Response Clamp Loader Rad24(Rad17) and Mec1(ATR) Kinase. Genetics 213: 1255-1269.

Shinohara, M., K. Hayashihara, J. T. Grubb, D. K. Bishop and A. Shinohara, 2015 DNA damage response clamp 9-1-1 promotes assembly of ZMM proteins for formation of crossovers and synaptonemal complex. J Cell Sci 128: 1494-1506.

Storlazzi, A., L. Xu, A. Schwacha and N. Kleckner, 1996 Synaptonemal complex (SC) component Zip1 plays a role in meiotic recombination independent of SC polymerization along the chromosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 9043-9048.