Pulmonary mesenchymal stem cells are engaged in distinct steps of host response to respiratory syncytial virus infection

Melanie Brügger[1,2,3], Thomas Démoulins[1,2,§], G. Tuba Barut[1,2,3,§], Beatrice Zumkehr[1,2], Blandina I. Oliveira Esteves[1,2], Kemal Mehinagic[1,2,3], Quentin Haas[4], Aline Schögler[5], Marie-Anne Rameix-Welti[6], Jean-François Eléouët[7], Ueli Moehrlen[8], Thomas M. Marti[5,9], Ralph A. Schmid[5,9], Artur Summerfield[1,2], Horst Posthaus[2,10], Nicolas Ruggli[1,2], Sean R. R. Hall[5,9,11], and Marco P. Alves[1,2,#]

[1]Institute of Virology and Immunology, Bern, Switzerland

[2]Department of Infectious Diseases and Pathobiology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[3]Graduate School for Cellular and Biomedical Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[4]Institute of Pharmacology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[5]Department of Biomedical Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[6]Université Paris-Saclay, INSERM, Université de Versailles St. Quentin, UMR 1173 (2I), Versailles, France

[7]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, UVSQ, VIM, Jouy-en-Josas, France

[8]Pediatric Surgery, University Children's Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

[9]Department of General Thoracic Surgery, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland

[10]Institute of Animal Pathology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

[11]Gillies McIndoe Research Institute, Wellington, New Zealand

[§]These authors contributed equally to this work
[#]Corresponding author: marco.alves@vetsuisse.unibe.ch

1
2
3   Word count abstract: 154
4   Word count manuscript: 7413
5   References: 75
6   Figures: 6
7   Supporting information: 6 figures, 4 tables

ABSTRACT

Lung-resident (LR) mesenchymal stem and stromal cells (MSCs) are key elements of the alveolar

niche and fundamental regulators of homeostasis and regeneration. We interrogated their

function during virus-induced lung injury using the highly prevalent respiratory syncytial virus

(RSV) which causes severe outcomes in infants. We applied complementary approaches with

primary pediatric LR-MSCs and a state-of-the-art model of human RSV infection in lamb.

Remarkably, RSV-infection of pediatric LR-MSCs led to a robust activation, characterized by a

strong antiviral and pro-inflammatory phenotype combined with mediators related to T cell

function. In line with this, following *in vivo* infection, RSV invades and activates LR-MSCs,

resulting in the expansion of the pulmonary MSC pool. Moreover, the global transcriptional

response of LR-MSCs appears to follow RSV disease, switching from an early antiviral signature

to repair mechanisms including differentiation, tissue remodeling, and angiogenesis. These

findings demonstrate the involvement of LR-MSCs during virus-mediated acute lung injury and

may have therapeutic implications.

Keywords: mesenchymal stem and stromal cells, respiratory syncytial virus, acute lung injury,

repair and regeneration, bronchiolitis, interstitial pneumonia, respiratory virus infection.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

27   This work identifies a novel function of lung-resident MSCs during virus-induced acute lung injury.

28   These findings contribute to the understanding of host response and lung repair mechanisms

29   during a highly prevalent clinical situation and may have therapeutic implications.

INTRODUCTION

The ability of organs to maintain homeostasis and regenerate following injury is vital to an organism. These processes are maintained by many supportive cells including tissue-resident mesenchymal stem and stromal cells (MSCs). MSCs are found in nearly every vascularized tissue including the respiratory tract. More specifically, they are localized in perivascular niches of small and larger blood vessels and were shown to be lung-resident [1-3]. Lung-resident (LR)-MSCs can promote alveolar cell growth, differentiation, and self-renewal. This is of particular importance for epithelial maintenance as well as for repair and regeneration as demonstrated in artificial rodent models of lung injury [4-6]. Failure of these mechanisms may play a role in the etiology of several chronic lung diseases [7, 8]. LR-MSCs can directly interact with various pulmonary immune cell populations via cellular contact or in a paracrine manner by secretion of soluble factors [9]. Characterization of immunomodulatory properties of mesenchymal cells are of great clinical importance since non-resident MSCs are the subject of cell-based treatment approaches for various lung disorders [10, 11].

In the alveolar niche, LR-MSCs can interact with epithelial cells (AECs), which are the primary cellular target of most respiratory viruses [12-14]. Among them, the human respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) is of high prevalence and causes a huge burden on public health systems. Globally, RSV is the leading cause of severe acute lower respiratory tract infections in early childhood and suspected to have an underestimated impact on the elderly [15, 16]. Several animal models have been established to study RSV infection, with the lamb model best reflecting anatomical and immunological properties of the neonatal human lung. This model recapitulates the clinical features of the human pediatric disease and the closely related bovine RSV is a natural pathogen of ruminants. Furthermore, unlike rodents, lambs present a similar lung development, are susceptible to human RSV, and develop comparable pulmonary lesions [17-19].

Despite intense research on MSCs, no information is available about their role during acute respiratory infection and their contribution following virus-induced injury. Given the important role of the mesenchymal compartment and LR-MSCs in lung regeneration and repair, we hypothesize that this applies during respiratory virus infection. Therefore, we aimed to explore the role of the

pulmonary mesenchymal compartment, in particular LR-MSCs, during RSV infection using an

experimental approach based on primary LR-MSCs isolated from human pediatric donors and a

translational model of human RSV infection in lamb.

RESULTS

LR-MSCs are highly permissive to RSV infection

To study the immunobiology of LR-MSCs during respiratory virus infection, we determined first if

these cells are susceptible towards RSV infection in comparison to a well described cellular target

of RSV, namely AECs. We characterized the LR-MSCs isolated from pediatric donors according

to the three criteria proposed by the Mesenchymal and Tissue Stem Cell Committee of the

International Society for Cellular Therapy [20]. As shown in **Fig. 1A,** LR-MSCs were positive for

the three MSC surface markers CD73, CD90, and CD105. Additionally, we confirmed plastic

adherence of the cells and their trilineage potential by differentiating them towards chondrocytes,

osteocytes, and adipocytes (**Fig. 1B**). Next, we assessed mRNA expression levels of different

putative RSV cell-surface receptors/co-receptors [21-24], namely nucleolin, toll-like receptor 4

(TLR4), intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1), and annexin II in pediatric LR-MSCs in

comparison to donor-matched AECs. All tested receptors were expressed in both cell types, while

mRNA levels were significantly higher in LR-MSCs in comparison to AECs for all the receptors

tested (**Fig. 1C**), suggesting that LR-MSCs are susceptible to RSV infection. Thereby, we

detected fast replication kinetics of RSV in LR-MSCs similar to levels measured in infected AECs

(**Fig. 1D**). To confirm the susceptibility of LR-MSC to other RSV strains, we infected LR-MSCs

with a clinical isolate of RSV subtype A (RSV-ON1-H1). While after 24 to 48 hours post-infection

(p.i.) around 80% of LR-MSCs were infected by RSV-A2, the clinical isolate showed slower

kinetics of infection by reaching 50% of RSV-positive cells within 48 hours p.i. However, 6 days

p.i., both RSV-A2 and RSV-ON1-H1 infected nearly 100% of the cells (**Fig. 1E**). Given that LR-

MSCs are highly susceptible to RSV infection, we were wondering how the virus spreads among

the cells. To follow visually virus spread in the two different cell types, we used a recombinant

RSV expressing constitutively the mCherry reporter. While infected well-differentiated (WD)-

AECs appear as discrete RSV-positive cells, infected LR-MSCs showed up in discrete foci,

suggesting RSV spread via cell-to-cell contact (**Fig. 1F**). To further confirm the distinct life cycles,

we measured infectious virus release over time in LR-MSCs in comparison to WD-AECs by

applying a plaque-forming unit (PFU) assay. Remarkably, at most of the time-points tested, there

was significantly higher infectious virus release in the apical washings of infected WD-AECs

compared to the supernatants of LR-MSC cultures infected with a low (**Fig. 1G**) or a high

multiplicity of infection (MOI) (**Fig. 1H**). Moreover, there was almost no infectious RSV detectable

in supernatants of infected LR-MSCs with low or high MOIs (**Fig. 1G, H**). Altogether, these results

demonstrate that primary pediatric LR-MSCs are highly permissive to RSV infection and that RSV

replicates in LR-MSC, although the life cycle of RSV is distinct to AECs.

RSV infection is altering the immune properties of LR-MSCs

We therefore aimed to establish if LR-MSCs can mount an antiviral response upon RSV infection.

Twenty-four hours p.i., we observed a significant increased expression of interferon stimulated

genes (ISGs) commonly induced during RNA virus infections [25]. More specifically, RSV-A2 and

RSV-ON1-H1 infection induced a significant upregulation of retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-

I), melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5), 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2

(2',5'-OAS), interferon (IFN)-induced dynamin-like GTPase (MxA), and virus inhibitory protein,

endoplasmic reticulum-associated, IFN-inducible (Viperin) compared to mock control (**Fig. 2A**).

Furthermore, the mRNA levels of both IFN-β and IFN-λ1 had a trend towards significantly higher

levels upon RSV infection (**Fig. 2B**). We also verified if RSV infection-induced increased mRNA

levels of type I and III IFNs resulted in an increased protein release. IFN-β release was

significantly stimulated by the two RSV strains over time and was quantified at highly significant

levels after 72 hours p.i. (**Fig. 2C**). Furthermore, the protein levels of IFN-λ1/3 were significantly

elevated after 24 hours and maintained at high concentrations up to 72 hours p.i. compared to

mock control (**Fig. 2D**). Next, we wanted to evaluate whether infection has an impact on the

immunomodulatory properties of LR-MSCs. First, we evaluated the cell-surface expression levels

of two co-stimulatory molecules, namely programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and major

histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, described in LR-MSCs to be involved in their immunomodulatory characteristics [26, 27]. LR-MSCs expressed baseline levels of both co-stimulators. Poly(I:C), a ligand mimicking RNA virus infection, induced a significant upregulation of both co-stimulatory molecules in comparison to mock control. In line with this, RSV infection led to the induction of slightly increased levels of PD-L1 and MHC class I expression compared to mock-treated LR-MSCs, with differences not reaching significance, as shown in representative histograms (**Fig. S1A**) and upon quantification (**Fig. S1B**). Next, we evaluated the secretory profiles of LR-MSCs following RSV infection in comparison to the well described AECs, the primary target of RSV infection *in vivo* [12]. Upon infection of WD-AECs with RSV-A2 or RSV-ON1-H1, the basolateral cytokine secretion revealed mainly CXCL10/IP-10 and CXCL8/IL-8 release (**Fig. 2E**). Contrary to WD-AECs, RSV infection of LR-MSCs led to a massive secretion of several cytokines. In fact, 24 and 72 hours p.i., expression was remarkably increased for most of the cytokines measured, including CXCL10/IP-10, CXCL8/IL-8, IL-6, G-CSF, CCL5/RANTES, IL-9, IFN-γ, IL-5, and L-17 to name the most notable ones (**Fig. 2F**). Taken together, these data demonstrate that RSV infection leads to a robust activation of LR-MSCs, characterized by a strong antiviral and pro-inflammatory phenotype combined with cytokines modulating T cell function (**Fig. 2G**).

RSV infection is causing lung injury in lamb

To study the MSC compartment of the lung and its role during an acute respiratory virus infection, we applied an *in vivo* approach using the neonatal lamb model of RSV infection. Although data are available on ovine MSCs derived from several tissues, ovine LR-MSCs have not been described yet [28-30]. Thus, we isolated and expanded LR-MSCs cultures from healthy ovine lung cell suspensions as described previously for human tissue [3]. Characterization by immunophenotyping displayed a high level of cell surface markers typically found in MSCs such as the hyaluronate receptor CD44 and CD29 (ITGB1). An additional marker, CD166 (ALCAM), was also expressed on cultured MSCs (**Fig. S2A)**. The plastic-adherent cells were large with a fibroblast-like morphology. Multilineage capacity was confirmed following specific culture

conditions, as ovine LR-MSCs transdifferentiated to chondrocytes, osteocytes, and adipocytes

(**Fig. S2B**). Before infection of the animals, we performed an infection of ovine LR-MSCs cultures

with human RSV. Virus replication was evident as observed by an increase of RSV load of cell-

associated viral RNA over time. Furthermore, similarly to RSV-infected human LR-MSCs, the

infectious virus in the supernatants was undetectable or close to the detection limit suggesting

cell-to-cell spread (**Fig. S2C**). Newborn lambs were infected with human RSV-A2 and respiratory

disease was evaluated during the acute (3 and 6 days p.i.), recovery (14 days p.i.), and

convalescence (42 days p.i.) phases. To do so, at each timepoint p.i., we examined the lung

tissue and the cellular components of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (**Fig. 3A**). RSV-infected

neonates showed clear nasal discharge, occasional coughing and wheezing. Macroscopic lesions

were detected at necropsies performed 3 and 6 days p.i. Lungs failed to collapse and showed

multiple, irregular, mildly reddened areas with slightly increased consistency of up to 2 cm in

diameter in all lung lobes. Histopathological evaluation of the lung revealed tissue consolidation,

bronchiolitis, and thickening of interalveolar walls 3, and 6 days following RSV infection (**Fig. 3B**).

Following infection, AT2 cell hyperplasia was observed, indicating tissue injury (**Fig. S3A**). In

addition, at 6 days p.i. few syncytial cells lining alveoli were present (**Fig. S3A, B**). These features

disappeared 14 days p.i. (**Fig. 3B**), indicating resolution of lesions after RSV-mediated acute lung

injury. We evaluated the viral RNA levels in the lung and BAL tissues. In the lung, the RSV loads

decreased over time with no virus detectable in 50% of the animals 14 days p.i. and in all animals

42 days p.i. In the cellular fraction of the BAL, RSV copies were highest at 3 days p.i., with viral

RNA detectable in 66% of the animals 14 days p.i. and reaching undetectable levels 42 days p.i.

There was a significant difference in viral loads with approximately 100 times higher level of RSV

copies detectable in the BAL compartment compared to the lung at both, 3 and 6 days p.i. (**Fig.**

3C). To confirm the histopathological evaluation revealing RSV-mediated tissue injury, we

assessed cell death by quantifying cleaved caspase-3-positive cells using an approach

summarized in **Fig. S4**. The percentage of cleaved caspase-3 positive cells in the lung, was

significantly increased for infected animals 3, and 6 days p.i. and similar 14, and 42 days p.i.

compared to mock controls (**Fig. 3D**). Lung injury is further indicated by shedding of apoptotic

cells into the bronchoalveolar space. Indeed, there are significantly more cleaved caspase-3

positive cells 3 days p.i. assessed for infected compared to mock-infected animals (**Fig. 3E**). The

impact of RSV infection on the bronchoalveolar space is further indicated by an infiltration of cells

as assessed in the BALs from infected compared to mock-infected animals 3 to 14 days p.i. (**Fig.**

3F). In summary, the life cycle of RSV is similar in human and ovine LR-MSCs and infection of

neonatal lambs with human RSV is causing lung injury. These data support the use of the lamb

model to investigate LR-MSCs in RSV disease.

LR-MSCs are a target for RSV infection *in vivo*

To determine if LR-MSCs can be a target for RSV *in vivo*, we designed an assay allowing the

identification of the pulmonary epithelial (CD31$^-$CD45$^-$panCTK$^+$) and mesenchymal (CD31$^-$CD45$^-$

panCTK$^-$CD29$^+$CD44$^+$) compartments, as well as detection of RSV infection *in vivo* (**Fig. 4A)**. In

13-80% of the infected animals, both RSV-positive epithelial cells and LR-MSCs were detected

in the lung cell suspensions 3, 6 and 14 days p.i. (**Fig. 4B, S5**). When RSV-positive LR-MSCs

were plotted as a function of RSV-positive epithelial cells, a significant positive association was

found, suggesting that the spread of RSV infection to LR-MSCs is linked to the extent of

replication in the respiratory epithelium (**Fig 4C**). Next, MSCs derived from lung tissue and BALs,

were isolated from each animal at the different time points p.i. and expanded in culture. When

testing the presence of RSV within the lung-derived MSC cultures, viral RNA was detectable in

most cultures from the animals at 3 and 6 days p.i. and in none of the cultures isolated from

animals at 14 and 42 days p.i. In line with the higher viral loads in the BAL cellular fraction

compared to the lung tissue, we detected high levels of RSV RNA in all BAL-derived MSCs from

animals at 3 and 6 days p.i. and from 3 out of 7 animals isolated at 14 days p.i. RSV RNA levels

were undetectable in all cultures isolated from animals at 42 days p.i. (**Fig. 4D**). Interestingly, we

noticed giant multinucleated cells in cultures derived from infected animals which were never seen

for mock animal-derived cultures (**Fig. 4E**). Given that RSV spread in foci and infectious virus

was rarely detected in the supernatants of infected human and ovine LR-MSCs cultures, we

hypothesized that these phenotypically distinct cells were RSV-infected MSCs. This was

confirmed by confocal microscopy visualization (**Fig. 4F**) and by the infection of cultures from

human and ovine LR-MSCs with RSV-mCherry (**Fig. S6A, B**). Together, these results indicate

that the lung MSC compartment is a target for RSV infection *in vivo* during the early phase of

respiratory disease.

RSV infection leads to the expansion of the pulmonary MSC niche *in vivo*

To investigated the impact of virus-mediate lung injury on LR-MSCs *in vivo*, we analyzed the

mesenchymal compartment of RSV-infected newborn lambs during the acute, recovery, and

convalescence phases of RSV disease. As a mild to asymptomatic RSV disease control, adult

animals were infected for a period of 14 days (**Fig. 5A**). Contrary to RSV-infected neonates,

infection of adults did not lead to any notable clinical manifestation nor macroscopic lesions, while

rare foci of interstitial thickening with leukocyte infiltrates and mild AT2 hyperplasia were visible

histologically (**Fig. 5B**). A colony-forming unit-fibroblast (CFU-F) assay was applied to lung-

derived cells from infected and mock-infected animals. This assay is commonly used to assess

the proliferative activity of MSCs and their ability to form discrete fibroblast-like colonies [31, 32].

The analysis revealed a significant effect of RSV infection on the proliferative properties of LR-

MSCs by increasing their activity already 3 days p.i. compared to mock control (**Fig. 5C**).

Quantitative analysis confirmed significantly increased CFU-F counts during the acute phase of

infection 3 and 6 days p.i. Interestingly, there was still a nonsignificant tendency of more CFU-F

counts during later phases of RSV disease 14 and 42 days p.i. compared to age-matched non-

infected animals (**Fig. 5D**). CFU-F counts were around 20 times lower for control adults (average

CFU-F count of 0.6) in comparison to control neonates (average CFU-F count of 14.2), indicating

an age-dependent effect on the steady-state proliferative properties of LR-MSCs. However, RSV

infection led to a significant increase of these colonies 14 days p.i. with an average count of 6.3

(average CFU-F count of 29.9 for infected neonates 14 days p.i.) (**Fig. 5E, F**). These results

provide evidence that RSV infection leads to a stimulation of the proliferative activity and the

expansion of the pulmonary MSC pool, particularly in neonates compared to older animals.

The transcriptional activity of LR-MSCs is related to the phases of RSV disease

To investigate how RSV infection *in vivo*, we applied an experimental approach developed by Spadafora *et al*., who showed that tracheal aspirate-derived MSCs retain their transcriptional signature following a short expansion in culture [33]. We undertook whole transcriptome analysis from expanded LR-MSCs isolated from infected neonates during the acute, recovery and convalescent phases of RSV disease in comparison to mock-infected neonates. To confirm identity of MSCs expanded in culture, we calculated the transcripts per million (TPM) of markers used for their identification [20, 34]. LR-MSCs had high transcriptional levels of ENG (CD105), NT5E (CD73), THY1 (CD90), ITGB1 (CD29), CD44 and PDGFRA among others, and lacked transcripts of HLA-DR or HLA-DQ, PTPRC (CD45), CD34, ITGAM (CD11b), or PECAM (CD31) confirming their MSC identity (**Fig. 6A**). Next, we compared the transcriptome profiles of LR-MSCs during the acute phase of RSV disease (6 days p.i.) to mock-infected animal-derived LR-MSCs and found 14 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) to be significantly upregulated. The majority of them belong to the IFN pathway and are associated with antiviral responses, such as RSAD2 (Viperin), OASL, ISG15, IFI44, and IFI44L and two pro-apoptotic genes, namely TNFSF10/TRAIL and STC1 (**Fig. 6B**). These findings are in line with our *in vitro* results derived from human LR-MSC and support the idea that LR-MSCs mount an antiviral response against RSV infection *in vivo*. Remarkably, while there was no significant difference in the recovery phase (14 days p.i.) compared to mock-infected control (**Fig. 6C**), there were 43 DEGs differentially expressed in LR-MSCs isolated during the convalescence phase (42 days p.i.) (**Fig. 6D**). Several of these genes are associated with secretory pathway, including MAGE2 (protein trafficking), TBC1D20 (autophagosome maturation), MAP7D3 (microtubule assembly), and MARCHF9 (protein processing). In line with this, high transcriptional activity of LR-MSCs during the convalescence phase is evidenced by the upregulation of various non-coding RNAs and ribonuclease proteins involved in pre-RNA processing and regulation of transcription and/or translation. Furthermore, genes linked with differentiation and developmental processes, such as SOX4 NOC3L, and C2CD3 were differentially expressed during the convalescence phase. Remarkably, many of the upregulated DEGs were related to endothelial cell function and

angiogenesis, such as TRPC4 or KDR which codes for the vascular endothelial growth factor

receptor 2 (VEGFR2), and ITGA9, the receptor of VCAM1. Finally, among the top downregulated

DEGs were two genes coding for matrix-metalloproteases (MMPs), MMP1 and MMP3, which are

involved in the remodeling of the extracellular matrix (ECM). The list of significant DEGs and

associated literature from infected *versus* noninfected animal-derived LR-MSCs is provided in

Table S1. To confirm the interpretation of our findings, we used a computational method for

pathway enrichment analysis of the transcriptional profiles of LR-MSCs over RSV infection. Gene

set enrichment analysis (GSEA) revealed that the transcriptional signature of LR-MSCs isolated

from RSV- and mock-infected animals is retained during the short culture phase and is following

the different phases of respiratory disease. During the acute phase of infection, the significant

enriched hallmark gene sets were associated to IFN pathways, inflammation, and stress

response. Notably, the only enriched gene set downregulated in the acute phase of RSV infection

was Notch signaling, a central pathway regulating cell proliferation and differentiation. During the

recovery and the convalescence phases, shared gene sets associated with cell cycle regulation,

such as E2F and Myc pathway, were downregulated compared to mock controls. Further, during

the convalescence phase of RSV disease, five additional hallmark gene sets were upregulated.

More precisely, the transcriptional signature of LR-MSCs isolated from infected animals long after

viral clearance revealed processes such as myogenesis, epithelial-mesenchymal transition

(EMT), angiogenesis, p53 pathway, and TGF-β signaling, suggesting that LR-MSCs are involved

in tissue repair and regeneration following virus-induced injury (**Fig. 6E**). To sum up, these results

show that MSCs from the pulmonary alveolar niche, are activated during virus-induced lung injury

by an increase in their proliferative activity and by mounting dynamic transcriptional profiles

leading to an early antiviral and inflammatory response followed by mechanisms associated with

tissue remodeling, repair and regeneration (**Fig. 6F**).

DISCUSSION

This work was undertaken to explore the role of the pulmonary MSC compartment during acute

respiratory virus infection and its contribution to lung repair. Our investigations herein reveal upon

infection, LR-MSCs mount an antiviral response and release a variety of immunomodulatory mediators, which may have a biological impact on the pulmonary microenvironment. Furthermore, we show that RSV-mediated lung injury activates and stimulates the expansion of LR-MSCs which mount a dynamic transcriptional program related to mechanisms of repair and regeneration.

Contrary to the well-described replication of RSV in AECs, the primary cellular target of RSV infection, our data indicates a distinct life cycle in LR-MSCs with viral spread via cell-to-cell contact. Such mechanism may improve virus dissemination and promote immune evasion [35]. In a humanized mouse model, pulmonary mesenchymal cells were shown to be susceptible to different viruses such as Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Zika virus, and cytomegalovirus [36]. In addition, they were recently proposed as an immune-privileged niche for *M. tuberculosis* persistence [37]. Since LR-MSCs are described to localize perivascular and in close proximity to AECs, this makes them a potential nonepithelial target for respiratory virus infection. Here, we show accessibility of LR-MSCs possibly through physical disruption of the epithelial barrier. Indeed, already 3 days p.i., we observed evidence of epithelial injury associated with AECs infection and concomitant LR-MSCs targeting by RSV. It is described that RSV infections can lead to a number of extrapulmonary manifestations [38]. Assuming the presence of MSCs in most tissues, it is conceivable that in some predisposed subjects, RSV may target other MSC niches throughout the body. In line with this, bone marrow and umbilical cord vein MSCs were shown to be susceptible to RSV infection *in vitro* [39, 40].

We show that RSV infection is signaled by LR-MSCs through the induction of antiviral and pro-inflammatory mediators, both associated with RSV disease severity [41-43]. In addition, RSV infection of LR-MSCs lead to a type I and III IFN release and subsequent ISGs induction, shifting LR-MSCs towards an antiviral state. This is in line with the pro-inflammatory and antiviral transcriptional signatures found in LR-MSCs, isolated form infected animals during the acute phase of RSV disease. In mice, it has been shown that IFN signaling of the lung stromal compartment was protective against influenza virus by reducing viral replication [44]. Massive lung infiltration of immune cells following cytokine release, termed cytokine storm, is characteristic

of severe respiratory virus infections including RSV [45]. Among the most abundant chemokines

in infants suffering from RSV bronchiolitis are CXCL10/IP-10, CXCL8/IL-8, CCL2/MCP-1 and

CCL3/MIP-1α. Similarly, in our setting, RSV infection of LR-MSCs led to a massive release of

chemokines with the potential to attract neutrophils, eosinophils, monocytes, and lymphocytes,

such as CXCL8/IL-8, CCL5/RANTES, CCL2/MCP-1, and CXCL10/IP-10, respectively. In RSV

disease, it is believed that the cellular sources of the pulmonary chemokine responses are AECs

and inflammatory immune cells; our data suggests that LR-MSCs might provide an additional

source [46].

Besides the vast chemokine production, RSV infection of LR-MSCs led as well to the release of

a number of other mediators and growth factors. Interestingly, the secretory profile of RSV-

infected LR-MSCs was very distinct to the one described for RSV infected BM-MSCs, supporting

tissue specificity of MSC populations [39, 47, 48]. Notably, VEGF, a key mediator of angiogenesis,

is released by pediatric LR-MSCs at increased levels after RSV infection. Also, the transcriptional

profiles of LR-MSCs isolated during the convalescence phase of RSV disease were related to

endothelial biology and pathway analysis revealed pro-angiogenic enriched gene sets. In line with

this, using an adult rat model, VEGF signaling disruption was shown to cause an enlargement of

the air spaces and an alteration of the alveolar structure, suggesting that VEGF has a crucial role

during normal adult alveolarization [49]. Considering the perivascular location of MSCs in tissues,

our data indicate that LR-MSCs may modulate endothelial cells activity during virus-induced lung

injury.

TGF-β is a central regulator of the respiratory system and controls epithelial and mesenchymal

cell fate through several mechanisms such as promotion of EMT, myofibroblast differentiation,

and stimulation of ECM production and remodeling [50]. Our transcriptional data from LR-MSCs

isolated from infected animals during the convalescence phase of RSV disease, revealed that

these cells might play a role in these mechanisms. Indeed, compared to noninfected control-

derived LR-MSCs, their transcriptome profiles are linked with TGF-β signaling and EMT. The later

is linked with the acquisition of more proliferative stem-like states providing an additional link

between activation of EMT and wound healing [51]. In line with this, the p53 pathway activation

further supports the maintenance of MSC stemness for subsequent repair processes [52].

Transcriptome analysis of LR-MSCs isolated long after virus clearance, positively associated with

myogenesis. Myofibroblasts, an activated type of mesenchymal cells and an important source of

ECM, participates in tissue repair but their persistence after wound healing is linked to fibrotic

disorders [53]. Thus, failure of these mechanisms may potentially increase the risk of developing

fibrotic abnormalities following respiratory virus infections. Comorbidities such as recurrent

wheezing and asthma are happening years after RSV infection has resolved. To date, it remains

unclear if pulmonary mesenchymal cells deficiency in lung repair and regeneration are linked with

such disorders [54, 55].

Due to their immunomodulatory properties, MSCs are applied in cell-based therapies with

promising outcomes for the treatment of pulmonary morbidities such as idiopathic pulmonary

fibrosis (IPF), acute-respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and severe influenza infections [10,

56-58]. Furthermore, the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is leading to a

strong interest in MSCs as a treatment option for severe COVID-19 cases. As a consequence,

an increasing number of clinical investigations of such MSC-based therapy approaches are under

evaluation [59-62]. Safety concerns regarding susceptibility of MSCs towards different viruses, as

well as potential viral reactivation events have been raised [63]. On one hand, our data indicate

eventual safety concerns due to the targeting of LR-MSCs during the acute phase of virus

infection. On the other hand, we provide evidence that LR-MSC seem to have a beneficial effect

after virus clearance, supporting the use of MSC-based therapies to treat virus-induced lung

injury.

The ovine lung is a classical model of the human respiratory tract due to similarities in size,

structure, development and immune system [64]. However, some limitations should be

mentioned. First, we observed a high animal-to-animal variability in most endpoints measured,

probably due to the outbred nature of the animals. While this might look like a limitation, we believe

it reflects best the situation in humans compared to inbred models. Secondly, we used the isolated

LR-MSCs as a single population but previous single-cell transcriptomic studies demonstrated that

MSC, are a rather heterogeneous population composed of distinct MSC subsets [4, 5, 65]. Third,

due to the lung size, we analyzed three pooled specimens, representative of each lung region.

This might explain why we didn't detect RSV-positive cells for some animals for whom productive

infection was demonstrated by the assessment of the viral loads.

In summary, our data demonstrate the involvement of pulmonary MSCs during respiratory virus

infection. While being a target for RSV, these cells can respond to infection by switching to an

antiviral state and by a profound remodeling of their immune phenotype. Furthermore, LR-MSCs

show hallmarks of tissue repair and regeneration processes after viral clearance. Our findings

identify a function of LR-MSCs in a highly prevalent clinical situation and constitute a basis for

further exploration of the pulmonary mesenchymal compartment during respiratory viral

infections.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Virus propagation and titration

Human RSV-A2 strain was derived from ATCC (VR-1540, GenBank accession number

KT992094.1). The recombinant mCherry-expressing RSV (RSV-mCherry) is described elsewhere

and was kindly provided by Prof. Dominique Garcin (University of Geneva, Switzerland)[66]. The

clinical RSV isolate, RSV-ON1-H1, was collected from a child below the age of five years with

confirmed RSV infection, hospitalized at Hannover Medical School, Germany. The virus was

isolated from nasopharyngeal aspirates and was passaged up to five times in HEp-2 cells (ATCC,

CCL-23). The caregivers gave informed consent for the donation of nasopharyngeal aspirates,

and all steps were conducted in compliance with good clinical and ethical practice and approved

by the local ethical committee at Hannover Medical School, Germany (permission number

63090/2012) [67]. All RSV strains were propagated on HEp-2 cells, cultivated in DMEM (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco). Briefly, HEp-2 cells were infected at a

MOI of 0.02 PFU/cell for 2 hours before the addition of DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS. The

cell-associated virus was harvested by scraping the cell monolayer when 60% of cytopathic effect

was observed. The virus was released from the cells by one freeze-thaw cycle followed by

centrifugation to get rid of cell debris. Virus stocks were stored in aliquots containing 10% sucrose

(Sigma) at -150°C. To prepare a mock control the same procedure was applied without adding

virus. For virus titration, serial dilutions of supernatants were added to HEp-2 cells and incubated

for 48-96 h at 37°C, 5 % CO_2. Next, the cells were washed with PBS, fixed with methanol

supplemented with 2% H_2O_2 and incubated with a biotinylated anti-RSV antibody (Bio-Rad) in

PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma) for 1 h, followed by 30 min incubation

with ExtrAvidin Peroxidase (Sigma) and staining with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine substrate (Sigma).

Virus titers were expressed as PFU/ml.

Primary cells

Primary pediatric MSCs were obtained as described previously [26, 65]. Briefly, healthy lung

tissue was obtained from pediatric patients undergoing elective surgery for congenital pulmonary

airway malformation and other various airway abnormalities at the Children's University Hospital

of Zurich (n=9, age range: 5 days to 181 months, (**Table S2**)). Written informed consent was

obtained for all the patients and/or parent/guardian and the study protocol was approved by the

local Ethics Commission of the Canton of Bern (KEK-BE:042/2015). Minced tissue was further

disaggregated enzymatically using a solution containing collagenase I and II (Worthington

Biochemicals) at a concentration of 0.1 and 0.25%, respectively. Further, digestion buffer was

supplemented with 0.2 mg/ml deoxyribonuclease I (Biochemicals) to the digestion buffer to

prevent cell clumps and improve cell recovery. To isolate MSCs, a flow cytometry (FCM) assay

was applied using a panel of fluorescently-conjugated human monoclonal antibodies: CD45,

CD14, CD31, and EpCAM for negative and CD73 and CD90 for positive selection. FACS-sorted

MSCs were expanded in tissue culture flasks pre-coated with 0.2% gelatin solution (Sigma) and

in chemically defined growth medium consisting of α-MEM with ribonucleosides (Sigma)

supplemented with 1% FBS, GlutaMAX (Invitrogen), 10 ng/ml of recombinant human fibroblast

growth factor 2 (FGF2, Life Technologies), 20 ng/ml of recombinant human epidermal growth

factor (EGF, Life Technologies), human insulin (Sigma), 10'000 units/ml of penicillin and 10'000

µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma). Cells were maintained in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C, 5% CO_2

until confluence was reached. The medium was aspirated carefully and replaced with fresh

medium six days after plating and after that point performed twice a week. Cells were used prior to passage 5 in all experiments. WD-AECs isolated from independent healthy donors (MucilAir™, Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) were used for RSV infection. The cultures were maintained on 24-well transwell inserts (Corning) at the air-liquid interface (ALI) in MucilAir™ culture medium (Epithelix Sàrl, Geneva, Switzerland) in a humidified incubator at 37° C, 5% CO_2. Every 3 days culture medium was changed. The apical surface was rinsed with Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS, ThermoFisher) once a week and prior to infection, to washout the mucus.

RSV infection

To perform infection of primary pediatric LR-MSCs and AECs with RSV-A2, RSV-mCherry, or RSV-ON1-H1, 10^5 cells per well of a 12 well plate were seeded 24 h prior to infection and/or stimulation. Cells were infected at selected MOIs of RSV or mock-control in FBS-free medium for 1 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Subsequently, cells were washed three times with 1X PBS and then incubated in growth medium. Ten µg/ml of poly(I:C) (Sigma) was applied in growth medium and incubated alike until harvesting. At selected time points, supernatants and cells were harvested and stored appropriately for further analysis. For infection of WD-AECs, virus preparations were diluted in medium and virus dilutions were applied apically to the tissue, assuming an average of 500,000 cells per insert. As a mock control, the culture supernatant of mock-infected HEp-2 cells was used. Virus particles were allowed to adsorb for 3 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2 before the inoculum was removed and each insert was carefully washed three times with pre-warmed HBSS and placed on a new well containing fresh MucilAir™ culture medium. For stimulation of the WD-AEC inserts, 10 µg/ml poly(I:C) were applied to the basolateral chamber containing the culture medium. For examination of infectious viral particle release 2, 24, 48, 72, and 144 hours p.i., 250 µl HBSS was added to the apical surface and incubated for 20 min at 37°C, harvested, and stored at −70°C until further analysis. Basolateral media was collected and similarly stored, and replaced with fresh media in the basolateral chamber of the inserts.

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

Total RNA of primary pediatric LR-MSCs, human AECs, and ovine lung- and BAL-derived cultured MSCs was extracted using the Nucleospin® RNA Plus Kit (Macherey-Nagel) according to the manufacturer's protocol. For reverse transcription and synthesis of complementary DNA the Omniscript RT Kit (Qiagen) using random hexamers (Invitrogen) was applied. Quantitative PCR was performed with target-specific primers using the TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI Fast 7500 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems) using the following conditions: 95°C 20 sec, 40-45 cycles of the following: 95°C 3 sec, 60°C 30 sec. For lung tissue and the BAL cellular fraction quantification was performed using AgPath-ID™ One-Step RT-PCR Reagents (ThermoFisher), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The data were analyzed using the SDS software (Applied Biosystems). Relative expression was calculated with the ΔΔCT method [68]. The expression levels of the genes of interest, were normalized to the housekeeping 18S rRNA. For the analysis of viral loads, RNA copy numbers were interpolated from a standard curve generated with the serial dilution of a plasmid containing the cDNA of the RSV L gene or the housekeeping 18S rRNA. The sequence of all the primers and probes is summarized in **Table S3**.

Flow cytometry

FCM assays using different multicolor staining protocols were applied for the analysis of co-stimulatory molecule expression and RSV infection of primary pediatric LR-MSCs, for the identification and analysis of RSV infection of different cell types (AECs and LR-MSCs) in lung cell suspensions derived from lambs, the assessment of apoptosis in the lung and the alveolar space of infected and mock-infected animals. Further, a combination staining was applied to evaluate the phenotype of cultured LR-MSCs. The detailed list of reagents used is summarized in **Table S4**. FCM acquisitions were performed using a BD FACS Canto II (BD Bioscience) using the DIVA software and were further analysed with the FlowJo software version 10.6.0 (BD). Dead cells were excluded by electronic gating in forward/side scatter plots, followed by exclusion of doublets as well as LIVE/DEAD® Fixable Dead Cell Staining (Invitrogen). Permeabilization and fixation were undertaken according to the manufacturer's protocol (BD and Invitrogen).

Trans-differentiation assay

For differentiation, pediatric LR-MSCs (passage 5) and ovine LR-MSCs (passage 3) were plated in a 24-well plate in regular culture medium and placed in a humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO_2. After 48 hours, cells were washed with PBS and complete differentiation media of the different StemPro Differentiation Kits (Thermofisher) was added. For adipogenic induction cells were plated at 10^4 cells/cm^2, for chondrogenic induction cells were plated at 2.7×10^4 cells/cm^2, and to induce osteogenic differentiation, cells were plated at 5×10^3 cells/cm^2. Medium was changed twice a week and after 15-21 days, cells were fixed with 4% PFA (Sigma). Adipocytes were stained with Oil Red O (Sigma) to detect the formation of lipid droplets and counterstained with hematoxylin (Carl Roth). Chondrocytes were stained with Alcian Blue (Sigma) to visualize glycosaminoglycan synthesis and Alizarin Red S staining (Sigma) was used to detect Ca^{2+} deposits in osteocytes.

Microscopy analysis

BAL-derived MSCs were cultured on a Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ II Chamber Slide™ System with 50'000 cells per chamber (ThermoFisher). Next, the cells were washed with cold PBS with Ca^{2+} and incubated with Wheat Germ Agglutinin (WGA)-AF633 (Molecular Probes) for 10 min at 4°C. Then, cells were fixed with 4% PFA for 10 min at room temperature. Next, the cells were washed with 0.3% saponin (Sigma) and stained with RSV-IgG(goat)-biotin antibody (Bio-Rad) for 20 min at 4°C. Then, 20 min incubation at 4°C with Strepta-AF546 was applied. Finally, DAPI (Sigma) was added for 5 min at 37°C and cells were washed three times with cold PBS with Ca^{2+} before mounting with MOWIOL® 4-88 Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich). Confocal microscopy analysis was performed with a Nikon Eclipse Ti microscope (Nikon). All images were acquired using a 63X oil-immersion objective. The images were analyzed with IMARIS 7.7 software (Bitplane) with threshold subtraction and gamma correction. To monitor the spread of RSV-mCherry infection in WD-AEC in comparison to MSC cultures, a Nikon BioStation CT was used (Nikon). The cultures were followed over a period of 2 days with a 10X objective and images were acquired in an

automated sequence every 4 to 8 hours following infection. Reporter expression of RSV-mCherry-

infected pediatric LR-MSCs and ovine LR-MSCs was assessed using an Evos FL Auto 2 cell

imaging system (ThermoFisher) or a Leica TCS-SL, respectively. The micrographs from the trans-

differentiation assays were captured using an inverted microscope ECLIPSE TS100 with a Nikon

DS-Fi3 camera using a DS-L4 application v.1.5.03 (all form Nikon).

Immunoassays

When performing cytokine quantification, the supernatants and the basolateral medium from

RSV-A2 and RSV-ON1-H1 infections as well as of poly(I:C)-stimulated and unstimulated, and

mock-infected pediatric LR-MSCs and WD-AECs, respectively, were harvested after 24 and 72 h

of culture at 37°C with 5% CO_2. Human IFN-β, IFN-λ1/3 concentrations were determined using

commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (R&D Systems) following the

manufacturer's protocol. For the multiplex assay, the Pro™ Human Cytokine 27-plex Assay (Bio-

Rad) was used according to the manufacturer's protocol and read on a Bio-Plex 3D suspension

array system including a Bio-Plex Manager software v 6.0 (Bio-Rad).

Animals

The experiments with lambs were performed in compliance with the Swiss animal protection law

(TSchV SR 455.1; TVV SR 455.163). They were reviewed by the cantonal committee on animal

experiments of the canton of Bern, Switzerland and approved by the cantonal veterinary authority

with the license number BE125/17 (Amt für Landwirtschaft und Natur LANAT, Veterinärdienst

VeD, Bern, Switzerland). Newborn lambs, males and females were allocated randomly to different

groups (see below). Before and during the experiment, they were kept with their ewes. In order

to prevent secondary bacterial infections, the lambs received a prophylactic long-acting antibiotic

treatment by intramuscular injection of an oxytetracycline hydrochloride preparation (Cyclosol LA,

20 mg/kg) starting at 18 to 24 h before RSV infection. The treatment was repeated 2 times with 4

days interval. The trans-tracheal RSV inoculation was performed under sedation and analgesia

by intramuscular injection of a mixture of midazolam (Dormicum) 0.2 mg/kg and butorphanol

(Morphasol) 0.2 mg/kg. The trachea was punctured between the tracheal rings with a 0.9 x 40 mm needle (20G) and a volume of 2 ml of virus (10^8 PFU) or PBS (mock-infected controls) were injected. Body temperature, body weight and clinical status (respiratory and heart rates) were assessed daily by a veterinarian, whenever possible by the same person to ensure unbiased clinical assessment. At defined time points, namely at 3, 6, 14, and 42 days p.i., the lambs were euthanized and examined for gross- and histopathology. The lung was processed for *post-mortem* bronchoalveolar lavage.

Histopathological evaluation of lung tissue

Lung specimens were fixed in 4% buffered formalin for 48 h, embedded in paraffin and routinely processed for histology. Histological sections of 3 μm were stained with hematoxylin and eosin coloration and observed by light microscopy.

Processing of lung tissue and bronchoalveolar lavage

One specimen per lung region (cranial, middle, and caudal) were pooled and dissociation was done using a collagenase I and II and a DNase I enzyme mix (all from BioConcept) and the gentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec). Following this mechanical and enzymatical dissociation, the samples were applied to a sieve, to remove any remaining particulate matter. The cell suspensions were passed through cell strainers (100 and 70 μm pore-size, Falcon) and centrifuged at 350g for 10 min at 4°C to obtain single-cell suspensions. For the isolation of cells from BALs, the lungs were isolated with the trachea, which was clamped before cutting, to prevent blood from entering the lungs. Then, a PBS-containing antibiotic solution with 100 units/ml of penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (both Sigma), and 2.5 μg/ml Amphotericin B (ThermoFisher) was poured into the lungs through a sterile funnel (200-500 ml). The cell suspensions were then passed through cell strainers (100 and 70 μm pore-size, Falcon) and centrifuged at 350g for 10 min at 4°C to obtain single cell suspensions. If needed, red blood cells were lysed by resuspending the pellet with 2 ml of H_2O and washed immediately in cold PBS before centrifugation at 350g for 10 min at 4°C. Cells were then processed for FCM analysis, CFU-F

assay or expanded in culture to obtain lung-derived MSCs. To isolate and expand MSCs in

culture, lung cell suspensions were seeded at a density of 3.5×10^4 cells per cm^2 in tissue culture

flasks as described previously [3]. Cells were maintained in α-MEM (Thermofisher) supplemented

with 10% FBS (ThermoFisher), an antibiotic solution containing 100 units/ml of penicillin and 100

µg/ml streptomycin, and 2.5 μg/ml Amphotericin B. After one to two days, the medium was

changed and cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO_2 until reaching ~80% of confluence.

Regular media changes were performed twice a week.

Colony-forming unit-fibroblast assay

572   Lung single-cell suspensions were seeded at a density of 3.5×10^4 cells per cm^2 in a six-well plate

573   as described previously [3]. Cells were fixed after 7-14 days with ice-cold methanol for 20 min

574   and then washed with PBS. The cells were stained with Giemsa stain for 6 min, rinsed with H_2O,

575   and air-dried. Images were captured using an ImmunoSpot analyzer (CTL). Two experienced

576   investigators performed the CFU-F counts independently.

RNA isolation and mRNA sequencing

For mRNA sequencing, total RNA was extracted from ovine LR-MSCs using TRIzol reagent

(ThermoFisher) in combination with the Nucleospin RNA Kit (Machery-Nagel) as previously

described [69]. In short, cells were lysed with 1 ml of TRIzol reagent and kept at -70°C until further

processing. After thawing, 0.2 ml chloroform was added to the TRIzol lysate and the samples

were mixed vigorously and incubated for 2-3 min at room temperature. The extractions were then

centrifuged at 12'000g for 15 min at 4°C. The aqueous phase was collected and mixed with 500

µl 75% ethanol and the RNA precipitated for 10 min at room temperature. The RNA precipitate

was further purified with the Nucleospin RNA kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Quality and concentrations of the purified total RNA were assessed with an Agilent 2100

Bioanalyzer using a HS RNA (15nt) Kit (Agilent Technologies) and a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer with

the Qubit RNA HS Assay Kit (ThermoFisher), respectively. Approximately 1 µg of high-quality

RNA (RNA integrity number >9) was used for paired-end mRNA library preparation (TruSeq

Sample Preparation Kit; Illumina). Total mRNA libraries were randomly multiplexed randomly in

eight samples per lane and sequenced on the NovaSeq 6000 System using the SP flow cell type

(Illumina) using paired-end sequencing cycles (100 cycles, 2x50 bp). All samples were run on the

same day. Quality control assessments, library preparation, and sequencing were all performed

at the Next Generation Sequencing Platform of the University of Bern, Switzerland. Analysis of

the RNA-seq data was performed at the Interfaculty Bioinformatics Unit at the University of Bern,

Switzerland. RNAseq data quality was assessed using fastqc v. 0.11.5 and RSeQC v. 2.6.4 [70].

The reads were mapped to the *Ovis aries* reference genome (Oar_v3.1) with Hisat2 v.2.1.0 [71].

To count the number of reads overlapping with each gene, as specified in the Ensembl annotation

FeatureCounts from Subread v.1.5.3 [72] was used. The Bioconductor package DESeq2 [73] was

applied to test for differential gene expression between the experimental groups. To evaluate

gene expression levels of MSC markers we applied the TPM normalization method [74]. The

molecular signatures database (MSigDB) with the Hallmark gene sets was used for gene set

enrichment analysis (GSEA) [75]. Analysis was done using R v.3.4.4 (2018-03-15).

Statistical analysis

The Prism 8 software (GraphPad) was used for statistical analysis. To determine differences

between two groups, non-parametric paired Wilcoxon test or Mann–Whitney U-test were used.

For multiple comparisons one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc test or Kruskal-Wallis test with

Dunn's correction were used. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

NOTES

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to Sylvie Python and Aurélie Godel for technical assistance; Inês Margarida

Berenguer Veiga, Nathan Leborgne, Loic Borcard, Amal Fahmi and Charaf Benarafa for

participation in the animal trial; Hans-Peter Lüthi, Roman Troxler, Jan Salchli, Daniel Brechbühl,

Katarzyna Sliz, and Veronika Ayala for animal care. We thank Dominic Garcin for sharing the

RSV-mCherry construct. We thank Sibylle Haid, Martin Wetzke, Gesine Hansen, and Thomas

Pietschmann for sharing the RSV-ON1-H1 isolate. We thank Heidi Tschanz-Lischer, Irene Keller,

and Jenna Kelly for their bioinformatics support. We thank Christoph Aebi for helpful discussions.

We thank all study participants and their families. This work was supported by grants from the

Swiss National Science Foundation (project No. 310030_172895) and from the Bangerter-Rhyner

Foundation (both to MPA).

Competing interest

626   All the authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data availability statement

629   The data generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding

630   author on reasonable request.

Author's contributions

633   Conception and design: MPA, MB. Collection of pediatric samples: UM, TMM, RAS, SRRH.

634   Acquisition of data: MB, BZ, TD, KM, NR, BIOE, QH, AS, SRRH. Contribution to reagents: MARW,

635   JFE. Analysis and interpretation: MPA, MB, KM, HP, GTB. Drafting the manuscript: MB, MPA.

636   Final approval of the manuscript: all authors.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Figure S1. PD-L1 and MHC class I surface expression in RSV-infected LR-MSCs

Figure S2. Characterization and RSV-infection of ovine LR-MSCs

Figure S3. Syncytium formation in neonatal lungs upon RSV infection

Figure S4. FCM assay for apoptosis detection *in vivo*

Figure S5. Targeting of AECs and LR-MSCs following neonatal RSV infection

Figure S6. Formation of syncytium upon RSV infection of human and ovine LR-MSCs

Table S1. List of significant DEGs in RSV infected *versus* mock-treated animals

Table S2. Patient characteristics

Table S3. List of qPCR primers

Table S4. List of antibodies used

REFERENCES

1.   Crisan M, Yap S, Casteilla L, Chen CW, Corselli M, Park TS, et al. A perivascular origin for mesenchymal stem cells in multiple human organs. Cell stem cell. 2008;3(3):301-13. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.003. PubMed PMID: 18786417.

2.   Hennrick KT, Keeton AG, Nanua S, Kijek TG, Goldsmith AM, Sajjan US, et al. Lung cells from neonates show a mesenchymal stem cell phenotype. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2007;175(11):1158-64. Epub 2007/03/03. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200607-941OC. PubMed PMID: 17332484.

3.   Lama VN, Smith L, Badri L, Flint A, Andrei AC, Murray S, et al. Evidence for tissue-resident mesenchymal stem cells in human adult lung from studies of transplanted allografts. J Clin Invest. 2007;117(4):989-96. doi: 10.1172/JCI29713. PubMed PMID: 17347686; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1810571.

4.   Zepp JA, Zacharias WJ, Frank DB, Cavanaugh CA, Zhou S, Morley MP, et al. Distinct Mesenchymal Lineages and Niches Promote Epithelial Self-Renewal and Myofibrogenesis in the Lung. Cell. 2017;170(6):1134-48 e10. Epub 2017/09/09. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.034. PubMed PMID: 28886382; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5718193.

5.   Lee JH, Tammela T, Hofree M, Choi J, Marjanovic ND, Han S, et al. Anatomically and Functionally Distinct Lung Mesenchymal Populations Marked by Lgr5 and Lgr6. Cell. 2017;170(6):1149-63 e12. Epub 2017/09/09. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.028. PubMed PMID: 28886383; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5607351.

6.   Paris AJ, Hayer KE, Oved JH, Avgousti DC, Toulmin SA, Zepp JA, et al. STAT3-BDNF-TrkB signalling promotes alveolar epithelial regeneration after lung injury. Nat Cell Biol. 2020;22(10):1197-210. Epub 2020/09/30. doi: 10.1038/s41556-020-0569-x. PubMed PMID: 32989251.

7.   Xie T, Wang Y, Deng N, Huang G, Taghavifar F, Geng Y, et al. Single-Cell Deconvolution of Fibroblast Heterogeneity in Mouse Pulmonary Fibrosis. Cell Rep. 2018;22(13):3625-40. Epub 2018/03/29. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.010. PubMed PMID: 29590628; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5908225.

8.   Beers MF, Morrisey EE. The three R's of lung health and disease: repair, remodeling, and regeneration. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(6):2065-73. Epub 2011/06/03. doi: 10.1172/JCI45961. PubMed PMID: 21633173; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3104764.

9.   Aggarwal S, Pittenger MF. Human mesenchymal stem cells modulate allogeneic immune
cell responses. Blood. 2005;105(4):1815-22. Epub 2004/10/21. doi: 10.1182/blood-2004-04-
1559. PubMed PMID: 15494428.

10.   Glassberg MK, Minkiewicz J, Toonkel RL, Simonet ES, Rubio GA, DiFede D, et al.
Allogeneic Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Patients With Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis via
Intravenous Delivery (AETHER): A Phase I Safety Clinical Trial. Chest. 2017;151(5):971-81. Epub
2016/11/29. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.061. PubMed PMID: 27890713; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6026255.

11.   Islam MN, Das SR, Emin MT, Wei M, Sun L, Westphalen K, et al. Mitochondrial transfer
from bone-marrow-derived stromal cells to pulmonary alveoli protects against acute lung injury.
Nat Med. 2012;18(5):759-65. Epub 2012/04/17. doi: 10.1038/nm.2736. PubMed PMID:
22504485; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3727429.

12.   Villenave R, Thavagnanam S, Sarlang S, Parker J, Douglas I, Skibinski G, et al. In vitro
modeling of respiratory syncytial virus infection of pediatric bronchial epithelium, the primary
target of infection in vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
of America. 2012;109(13):5040-5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1110203109. PubMed PMID: 22411804;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3323997.

13.   Fonceca AM, Flanagan BF, Trinick R, Smyth RL, McNamara PS. Primary airway epithelial
cultures from children are highly permissive to respiratory syncytial virus infection. Thorax.
2012;67(1):42-8. Epub 2011/08/26. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2011-200131. PubMed PMID:
21865207.

14.   Zhang L, Peeples ME, Boucher RC, Collins PL, Pickles RJ. Respiratory syncytial virus
infection of human airway epithelial cells is polarized, specific to ciliated cells, and without obvious
cytopathology. J Virol. 2002;76(11):5654-66. Epub 2002/05/07. doi: 10.1128/jvi.76.11.5654-
5666.2002. PubMed PMID: 11991994; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC137037.

15.   Falsey AR, Hennessey PA, Formica MA, Cox C, Walsh EE. Respiratory syncytial virus
infection in elderly and high-risk adults. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(17):1749-59. Epub 2005/04/29.
doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa043951. PubMed PMID: 15858184.

16.   Coultas JA, Smyth R, Openshaw PJ. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV): a scourge from
infancy to old age. Thorax. 2019;74(10):986-93. Epub 2019/08/07. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2018-
212212. PubMed PMID: 31383776.

17.   Olivier A, Gallup J, de Macedo MM, Varga SM, Ackermann M. Human respiratory syncytial
virus A2 strain replicates and induces innate immune responses by respiratory epithelia of

neonatal lambs. Int J Exp Pathol. 2009;90(4):431-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2613.2009.00643.x.
PubMed PMID: 19659901; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2741153.

18. Sitthicharoenchai P, Alnajjar S, Ackermann MR. A model of respiratory syncytial virus
(RSV) infection of infants in newborn lambs. Cell Tissue Res. 2020;380(2):313-24. Epub
2020/04/30. doi: 10.1007/s00441-020-03213-w. PubMed PMID: 32347384; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC7223741.

19. Altamirano-Lagos MJ, Diaz FE, Mansilla MA, Rivera-Perez D, Soto D, McGill JL, et al.
Current Animal Models for Understanding the Pathology Caused by the Respiratory Syncytial
Virus. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:873. Epub 2019/05/28. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00873. PubMed
PMID: 31130923; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6510261.

20. Dominici M, Le Blanc K, Mueller I, Slaper-Cortenbach I, Marini F, Krause D, et al. Minimal
criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for Cellular
Therapy position statement. Cytotherapy. 2006;8(4):315-7. Epub 2006/08/23. doi:
10.1080/14653240600855905. PubMed PMID: 16923606.

21. Evelyn A. Kurt-Jones LP, Laura Kwinn, Lia M. Haynes, Les P. Jones,, Ralph A.Tripp EEW,
Mason W. Freeman, Douglas T. Golenbock,, Finberg LJAaRW. Pattern recognition receptors
TLR4 and CD14 mediate response to respiratory syncytial virus. Nature Immunology. 2000;1.

22. Behera AK, Matsuse H, Kumar M, Kong X, Lockey RF, Mohapatra SS. Blocking
intercellular adhesion molecule-1 on human epithelial cells decreases respiratory syncytial virus
infection. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2001;280(1):188-95. Epub 2001/02/13. doi:
10.1006/bbrc.2000.4093. PubMed PMID: 11162498.

23. Malhotra R, Ward M, Bright H, Priest R, Foster Martyn R, Hurle M, et al. Isolation and
characterisation of potential respiratory syncytial virus receptor(s) on epithelial cells. Microbes
and Infection. 2003;5(2):123-33. doi: 10.1016/s1286-4579(02)00079-5.

24. Tayyari F, Marchant D, Moraes TJ, Duan W, Mastrangelo P, Hegele RG. Identification of
nucleolin as a cellular receptor for human respiratory syncytial virus. Nature medicine.
2011;17(9):1132-5. doi: 10.1038/nm.2444. PubMed PMID: 21841784.

25. Schoggins JW, Rice CM. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions.
Curr Opin Virol. 2011;1(6):519-25. Epub 2012/02/14. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2011.10.008. PubMed
PMID: 22328912; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3274382.

26. Bichsel CA, Wang L, Froment L, Berezowska S, Muller S, Dorn P, et al. Increased PD-L1
expression and IL-6 secretion characterize human lung tumor-derived perivascular-like cells that

promote vascular leakage in a perfusable microvasculature model. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):10636.
Epub 2017/09/08. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-09928-1. PubMed PMID: 28878242; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC5587684.

27.   Klyushnenkova E, Mosca JD, Zernetkina V, Majumdar MK, Beggs KJ, Simonetti DW, et
al. T cell responses to allogeneic human mesenchymal stem cells: immunogenicity, tolerance,
and suppression. J Biomed Sci. 2005;12(1):47-57. Epub 2005/05/03. doi: 10.1007/s11373-004-
8183-7. PubMed PMID: 15864738.

28.   McCarty RC, Gronthos S, Zannettino AC, Foster BK, Xian CJ. Characterisation and
developmental potential of ovine bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells. J Cell Physiol.
2009;219(2):324-33. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21670. PubMed PMID: 19115243.

29.   Zhao S, Tao L, Tian Y, Tai D, Liu P, Liu D. Isolation and characterization of ovine umbilical
cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Cytotechnology. 2019;71(1):277-86. Epub 2019/01/04.
doi: 10.1007/s10616-018-0284-7. PubMed PMID: 30603926; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6368499.

30.   Jakub Grzesiak KM, Karol Wrzeszcz, Joanna Czogała. Isolation and Morphological
Characterisation of Ovine Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Culture. International
Journal of Stem Cells. 2011;4.

31.   O'Connor K. A cautionary tale about the use of colony-forming efficiency as a proxy for
the survival of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2020;11(1):292. Epub 2020/07/18.
doi: 10.1186/s13287-020-01805-5. PubMed PMID: 32678014.

32.   Friedenstein AJ, Chailakhjan RK, Lalykina KS. The development of fibroblast colonies in
monolayer cultures of guinea-pig bone marrow and spleen cells. Cell Tissue Kinet. 1970;3(4):393-
403. Epub 1970/10/01. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2184.1970.tb00347.x. PubMed PMID: 5523063.

33.   Spadafora R, Lu J, Khetani RS, Zhang C, Iberg A, Li H, et al. Lung-Resident Mesenchymal
Stromal Cells Reveal Transcriptional Dynamics of Lung Development in Preterm Infants.
American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2018;198(7):961-4. Epub 2018/05/15.
doi: 10.1164/rccm.201801-0024LE. PubMed PMID: 29757681; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6173067.

34.   Rohart F, Mason EA, Matigian N, Mosbergen R, Korn O, Chen T, et al. A molecular
classification of human mesenchymal stromal cells. PeerJ. 2016;4:e1845. Epub 2016/04/05. doi:
10.7717/peerj.1845. PubMed PMID: 27042394; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4811172.

35. Sattentau Q. Avoiding the void: cell-to-cell spread of human viruses. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2008;6(11):815-26. Epub 2008/10/17. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1972. PubMed PMID: 18923409.

36. Wahl A, De C, Abad Fernandez M, Lenarcic EM, Xu Y, Cockrell AS, et al. Precision mouse
models with expanded tropism for human pathogens. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37(10):1163-73. Epub
2019/08/28. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0225-9. PubMed PMID: 31451733; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6776695.

37. Jain N, Kalam H, Singh L, Sharma V, Kedia S, Das P, et al. Mesenchymal stem cells offer
a drug-tolerant and immune-privileged niche to Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Commun.
2020;11(1):3062. Epub 2020/06/18. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16877-3. PubMed PMID:
32546788; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7297998.

38. Eisenhut M. Extrapulmonary manifestations of severe respiratory syncytial virus infection-
-a systematic review. Crit Care. 2006;10(4):R107. Epub 2006/07/25. doi: 10.1186/cc4984.
PubMed PMID: 16859512; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1751022.

39. Rezaee F, Gibson LF, Piktel D, Othumpangat S, Piedimonte G. Respiratory syncytial virus
infection in human bone marrow stromal cells. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 2011;45(2):277-86.
Epub 2010/10/26. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2010-0121OC. PubMed PMID: 20971883; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC3175557.

40. Cheung MB, Sampayo-Escobar V, Green R, Moore ML, Mohapatra S, Mohapatra SS.
Respiratory Syncytial Virus-Infected Mesenchymal Stem Cells Regulate Immunity via Interferon
Beta and Indoleamine-2,3-Dioxygenase. PloS one. 2016;11(10):e0163709. Epub 2016/10/04.
doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163709. PubMed PMID: 27695127; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5047639.

41. Heinonen S, Velazquez VM, Ye F, Mertz S, Acero-Bedoya S, Smith B, et al. Immune
profiles provide insights into respiratory syncytial virus disease severity in young children. Sci
Transl Med. 2020;12(540). Epub 2020/04/24. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaw0268. PubMed
PMID: 32321862.

42. Siefker DT, Vu L, You D, McBride A, Taylor R, Jones TL, et al. Respiratory Syncytial Virus
Disease Severity Is Associated with Distinct CD8(+) T-Cell Profiles. American journal of
respiratory and critical care medicine. 2020;201(3):325-34. Epub 2019/10/24. doi:
10.1164/rccm.201903-0588OC. PubMed PMID: 31644878; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC6999109.

43. Besteman SB, Callaghan A, Langedijk AC, Hennus MP, Meyaard L, Mokry M, et al.
Transcriptome of airway neutrophils reveals an interferon response in life-threatening respiratory

syncytial virus infection. Clin Immunol. 2020;220:108593. Epub 2020/09/14. doi:
10.1016/j.clim.2020.108593. PubMed PMID: 32920212.

44.   Bradley KC, Finsterbusch K, Schnepf D, Crotta S, Llorian M, Davidson S, et al. Microbiota-
Driven Tonic Interferon Signals in Lung Stromal Cells Protect from Influenza Virus Infection. Cell
Rep. 2019;28(1):245-56 e4. Epub 2019/07/04. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.105. PubMed PMID:
31269444.

45.   Tisoncik JR, Korth MJ, Simmons CP, Farrar J, Martin TR, Katze MG. Into the eye of the
cytokine storm. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2012;76(1):16-32. Epub 2012/03/07. doi:
10.1128/mmbr.05015-11. PubMed PMID: 22390970; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC3294426.

46.   McNamara PS, Flanagan BF, Hart CA, Smyth RL. Production of chemokines in the lungs
of infants with severe respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. The Journal of infectious diseases.
2005;191(8):1225-32. Epub 2005/03/19. doi: 10.1086/428855. PubMed PMID: 15776367.

47.   Rolandsson Enes S, Andersson Sjöland A, Skog I, Hansson L, Larsson H, Le Blanc K, et
al. MSC from fetal and adult lungs possess lung-specific properties compared to bone marrow-
derived MSC. Sci Rep. 2016;6:29160. Epub 2016/07/07. doi: 10.1038/srep29160. PubMed PMID:
27381039; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4933903.

48.   Nordgren SNSaTM. Lung-Resident Mesenchymal Stromal Cells are Tissue-Specific
Regulators of Lung Homeostasis. ajp lung cellular and molecular physiology. 2020.

49.   Kasahara Y, Tuder RM, Taraseviciene-Stewart L, Le Cras TD, Abman S, Hirth PK, et al.
Inhibition of VEGF receptors causes lung cell apoptosis and emphysema. J Clin Invest.
2000;106(11):1311-9. Epub 2000/12/06. doi: 10.1172/jci10259. PubMed PMID: 11104784;
PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC387249.

50.   Aschner Y, Downey GP. Transforming Growth Factor-β: Master Regulator of the
Respiratory System in Health and Disease. American journal of respiratory cell and molecular
biology. 2016;54(5):647-55. Epub 2016/01/23. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2015-0391TR. PubMed PMID:
26796672; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4942197.

51.   Vaughan AE, Chapman HA. Regenerative activity of the lung after epithelial injury.
Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1832(7):922-30. Epub 2012/12/12. doi:
10.1016/j.bbadis.2012.11.020. PubMed PMID: 23219956.

52.   Boregowda SV, Krishnappa V, Strivelli J, Haga CL, Booker CN, Phinney DG. Basal p53
expression is indispensable for mesenchymal stem cell integrity. Cell Death Differ.

2018;25(4):679-92. Epub 2018/01/10. doi: 10.1038/s41418-017-0004-4. PubMed PMID:
29311623; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5883824.

53.   Phan SH. Genesis of the myofibroblast in lung injury and fibrosis. Proc Am Thorac Soc.
2012;9(3):148-52. Epub 2012/07/18. doi: 10.1513/pats.201201-011AW. PubMed PMID:
22802289; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5830705.

54.   Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Recurrent Wheeze in Healthy Preterm Infants. N Engl J
Med. 2016;374(24):2406. Epub 2016/06/16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMx160016. PubMed PMID:
27305208.

55.   Miller EK, Avila PC, Khan YW, Word CR, Pelz BJ, Papadopoulos NG, et al. Wheezing
exacerbations in early childhood: evaluation, treatment, and recent advances relevant to the
genesis of asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2014;2(5):537-43. Epub 2014/09/13. doi:
10.1016/j.jaip.2014.06.024. PubMed PMID: 25213046; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4190166.

56.   Millar JE, Bartnikowski N, Passmore MR, Obonyo NG, Malfertheiner MV, von Bahr V, et
al. Combined Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Therapy and Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in
Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. A Randomized Controlled Trial in Sheep. American journal
of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2020;202(3):383-92. Epub 2020/04/16. doi:
10.1164/rccm.201911-2143OC. PubMed PMID: 32293914; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC7397785.

57.   Zumla A, Rao M, Wallis RS, Kaufmann SH, Rustomjee R, Mwaba P, et al. Host-directed
therapies for infectious diseases: current status, recent progress, and future prospects. Lancet
Infect Dis. 2016;16(4):e47-63. Epub 2016/04/03. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(16)00078-5. PubMed
PMID: 27036359; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7164794.

58.   Chan MC, Kuok DI, Leung CY, Hui KP, Valkenburg SA, Lau EH, et al. Human
mesenchymal stromal cells reduce influenza A H5N1-associated acute lung injury in vitro and in
vivo. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
2016;113(13):3621-6. Epub 2016/03/16. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1601911113. PubMed PMID:
26976597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4822574.

59.   Khoury M, Cuenca J, Cruz FF, Figueroa FE, Rocco PRM, Weiss DJ. Current status of cell-
based therapies for respiratory virus infections: applicability to COVID-19. The European
respiratory journal. 2020;55(6). Epub 2020/04/09. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00858-2020. PubMed
PMID: 32265310; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7144273 IT16I10084), during the conduct
of the study; stipend received from Cells for Cells, outside the submitted work; has patents
WO2014135924A1 pending, WO2017064670A2 pending, WO2017064672A1 pending and

WO/2019/051623 pending; and is CSO of Cells for Cells, a University spin-off developing therapies for osteoarthritis, pulpitis and cardiac failure, and Regenero, a consortium for Chilean regenerative medicine (public and private funding), for skin ulcer and Lupus. Conflict of interest: J. Cuenca reports grants from CONICYT (FONDEF 2016, IT16I10084), during the conduct of the study; stipend received from Cells for Cells, outside the submitted work; and is a research scientist for Cells for Cells, a University spin-off developing therapies for osteoarthritis, pulpitis and cardiac failure, and Regenero, a consortium for Chilean regenerative medicine (public and private funding), for skin ulcer and Lupus. Conflict of interest: F.F. Cruz has nothing to disclose. Conflict of interest: F.E. Figueroa has a patent WO/2019/051623 pending and is a board member of Cells for Cells, as the director of the programme of translational cell therapy at Universidad de los Andes, the academic institution that originated the Consorcio Corfo Regenero and the Cells for Cells biotechnological spin-off. Conflict of interest: P.R.M. Rocco has nothing to disclose. Conflict of interest: D.J. Weiss reports grants from NIH, Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and US Department of Defense, outside the submitted work.

60.   Saldanha-Araujo F, Melgaço Garcez E, Silva-Carvalho AE, Carvalho JL. Mesenchymal Stem Cells: A New Piece in the Puzzle of COVID-19 Treatment. Front Immunol. 2020;11:1563. Epub 2020/07/29. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01563. PubMed PMID: 32719683; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7347794.

61.   Liang B, Chen J, Li T, Wu H, Yang W, Li Y, et al. Clinical remission of a critically ill COVID-19 patient treated by human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells: A case report. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020;99(31):e21429. Epub 2020/08/07. doi: 10.1097/md.0000000000021429. PubMed PMID: 32756149; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7402800.

62.   Guo Z, Chen Y, Luo X, He X, Zhang Y, Wang J. Administration of umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):420. Epub 2020/07/13. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03142-8. PubMed PMID: 32653043; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7351637.

63.   Thanunchai M, Hongeng S, Thitithanyanont A. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells and Viral Infection. Stem Cells Int. 2015;2015:860950. Epub 2015/08/22. doi: 10.1155/2015/860950. PubMed PMID: 26294919; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4532961.

64.   Scheerlinck JP, Snibson KJ, Bowles VM, Sutton P. Biomedical applications of sheep models: from asthma to vaccines. Trends Biotechnol. 2008;26(5):259-66. Epub 2008/03/21. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2008.02.002. PubMed PMID: 18353472.

65.   Wang L, Dorn P, Zeinali S, Froment L, Berezowska S, Kocher GJ, et al. CD90+CD146+ identifies a pulmonary mesenchymal cell subtype with both immune modulatory and perivascular-

like function in postnatal human lung. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2020. doi:
10.1152/ajplung.00146.2019.

66. Rameix-Welti MA, Le Goffic R, Hervé PL, Sourimant J, Rémot A, Riffault S, et al.
Visualizing the replication of respiratory syncytial virus in cells and in living mice. Nat Commun.
2014;5:5104. Epub 2014/10/04. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6104. PubMed PMID: 25277263; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC7091779.

67. Blockus S, Sake SM, Wetzke M, Grethe C, Graalmann T, Pils M, et al. Labyrinthopeptins
as virolytic inhibitors of respiratory syncytial virus cell entry. Antiviral Res. 2020;177:104774. Epub
2020/03/22. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2020.104774. PubMed PMID: 32197980.

68. Alves MP, Neuhaus V, Guzylack-Piriou L, Ruggli N, McCullough KC, Summerfield A. Toll-
like receptor 7 and MyD88 knockdown by lentivirus-mediated RNA interference to porcine
dendritic cell subsets. Gene Ther. 2007;14(10):836-44. PubMed PMID: 17330086.

69. Auray G, Keller I, Python S, Gerber M, Bruggmann R, Ruggli N, et al. Characterization
and Transcriptomic Analysis of Porcine Blood Conventional and Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells
Reveals Striking Species-Specific Differences. J Immunol. 2016;197(12):4791-806. Epub
2016/11/12. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1600672. PubMed PMID: 27837108.

70. Wang L, Wang S, Li W. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. Bioinformatics.
2012;28(16):2184-5. Epub 2012/06/30. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts356. PubMed PMID:
22743226.

71. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory
requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357-60. Epub 2015/03/10. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3317.
PubMed PMID: 25751142; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4655817.

72. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923-30. Epub
2013/11/15. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656. PubMed PMID: 24227677.

73. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550. Epub 2014/12/18. doi:
10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8. PubMed PMID: 25516281; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4302049.

74. Wagner GP, Kin K, Lynch VJ. Measurement of mRNA abundance using RNA-seq data:
RPKM measure is inconsistent among samples. Theory Biosci. 2012;131(4):281-5. Epub
2012/08/09. doi: 10.1007/s12064-012-0162-3. PubMed PMID: 22872506.

75.   Liberzon A, Birger C, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Ghandi M, Mesirov JP, Tamayo P. The Molecular
Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst. 2015;1(6):417-25. Epub
2016/01/16. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004. PubMed PMID: 26771021; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4707969.
946

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: **LR-MSCs are highly permissive to RSV infection**

(A) Representative histograms showing expression of the surface markers CD73, CD90, CD105

on pediatric LR-MSCs. (B) Representative phase-contrast (PC) micrograph showing morphology

in culture and demonstrates plastic adherence. Representative images of Toluidine blue, Alizarin

Red S, and Oil Red O stainings after chondrogenic (C), osteogenic (O), and adipogenic (A)

differentiation, respectively. Magnification 40X (PC, O) and 200X (C, A). (C) mRNA expression

levels of RSV receptors relative to 10^6 18S. A Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the

two cell types (AECs *versus* LR-MSCs). Boxplots indicate the median value (centerline) and

interquartile ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and the highest values.

Each symbol represents an individual donor (n=5). *p<0.05, **p<0.01. (D) Viral loads in AECs

and LR-MSCs over time following infection with RSV-A2 at a MOI of 1 PFU/cell expressed as

RSV copies per 10^{12} 18S copies. Each symbol represents an individual donor (n=5). (E) RSV F-

protein positive LR-MSCs assessed by FCM and plotted over time. LR-MSCs were infected with

1-2 PFU/cell with RSV-A2 (n=3) or a clinical isolate RSV-ON1-H1 (n=6). Each symbol represents

an individual donor. (F) Representative live-cell imaging of AECs and LR-MSCs infected with 0.1-

0.5 PFU/cell with RSV-mCHerry and followed over time. The micrographs were taken at 16, 32,

and 48 h p.i. in the same area of the cellular layer (G, H) Supernatants of infected LR-MSCs or

apical washes of infected WD-AEC cultures were analyzed by a PFU assay. Cells were infected

with 0.1 (G) or 1 PFU/cell (H). A Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the two cell types

(WD-AECs, n=3 *versus* LR-MSCs, n=6). Each symbol represents an individual donor. *p<0.05,

**p<0.01.

Figure 2: RSV infection is altering the immune properties of LR-MSCs

(A, B) mRNA levels of selected ISGs (A) and IFNs (B) in LR-MSCs treated with mock control,

poly(I:C) 10 μg/ml, RSV-A2, or RSV-ON1-H1 for 24 h at 1 PFU/cell. Boxplots indicate the median

value (centerline) and interquartile ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and

the highest values. Each symbol represents an individual donor (mock, n=6; poly(I:C), n=5; RSV

A2, n=4; RSV-ON1-H1, n=6). The data were compared with the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by

Dunn's post hoc test. *p<0.05, **p<0.01. (C, D) IFN-β (C) and IFN-λ1/3 (D) protein levels in

supernatants of LR-MSCs treated with mock control, poly(I:C) 10 μg/ml, RSV-A2, or RSV-ON1-

H1 for 24 h and 72 h at 1 PFU/cell. Boxplots indicate median value (centerline) and interquartile

ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and the highest values. Each symbol

represents an individual donor (mock, n=6; poly(I:C), n=5; RSV A2, n=4; RSV-ON1-H1, n=6). A

Kruskal–Wallis test followed by a Dunn's post hoc test was applied to compare the different

groups. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001. The detection limits of the assays are indicated with the

dotted line at 7.7 pg/mL and 93.3 pg/mL for IFN-β and IFN-λ1/3, respectively. (E, F) Multiplex

assay of supernatants of LR-MSCs (E) or basolateral medium of WD-AECs (F), 24 and 72h after

treatment with mock control, 10 μg/ml poly(I:C), RSV-A2, or RSV-ON1-H1 for 24h and 72h at 1

PFU/cell. The concentration ranges of the different cytokines are indicated (lower detection limit-

highest concentration measured). An asterisk is present when the concentration of the sample

was higher than the upper range of the assay. Each column represents a different donor (mock,

n=6; poly(I:C), n=5; RSV A2, n=4; RSV-ON1-H1, n=6). (donors a-c for WD-AECs and d-i for LR-

MSCs). (G) Overview of the impact of RSV infection of LR-MSCs activity. RSV-mediated

activation of LR-MSCs, characterized by an antiviral and pro-inflammatory phenotype combined

with cytokines promoting T helper cell (Th) polarization.

Figure 3: **RSV infection is causing lung injury in lamb**

(A) Newborn animals were trans-tracheally inoculated with 10^8 PFU per animal of the human

strain RSV-A2 or PBS (mock). Animals were euthanized 3, 6, 14, and 42 days p.i. and lung and

BAL tissues were harvested for histopathological evaluation, qPCR, and FCM analysis (B)

Representative H&E stained histopathological sections of the lung tissue from noninfected (mock)

and RSV-infected lambs at 3, 6, 14, and 42 days p.i. Scale bar, 200 μm. (C) Viral load in lungs

and the cellular fraction of the BAL of infected lambs measured 3, 6, 14, and 42 days p.i.

Comparison of viral loads between BAL and lung tissues was done with a one-way ANOVA and

the Tukey post-hoc test. Each symbol represents an individual animal (n=6 per timepoint). *p<0.05. (D, E) Frequency of cleaved caspase 3 (CASP3)-positive cells in the lung (D) and BAL (E) of infected animals 3 to 42 days p.i. Boxplots indicate the median value (centerline) and interquartile ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and the highest values. Each symbol represents an individual animal (mock, n=3 and RSV, n=6). Multiple comparison was done with a one-way ANOVA and the Tukey post-hoc test. *p<0.05. (F) Total BAL cell counts in mock and RSV-infected animals 3, 6, and 14 days p.i. pooled. Boxplots indicate the median value (centerline) and interquartile ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and the highest values. Each symbol represents an individual animal (n=9, mock and n=18, RSV). A Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the two groups. **p<0.01.

Figure 4: **LR-MSCs are a target for RSV infection *in vivo***

(A) FCM gating strategy to identify RSV-infected epithelial cells (CD31$^-$CD45$^-$panCTK$^+$) (panCTK, pan-cytokeratin) and LR-MSCs (CD31$^-$CD45$^-$panCTK-CD29$^+$CD44$^+$). (G1, gate 1) (B) Percentage of animals with RSV-positive epithelial and LR-MSCs 3 to 42 days p.i. The fractions indicate the number of infected animals where infection was detected by FCM compared to the total number of animals. (C) Correlation of RSV-positive LR-MSCs and RSV-positive epithelial cells at 3 and 6 days p.i. Associations were tested using the Spearman rank correlation test. Each symbol represents an individual animal. (D) RSV loads in MSCs isolated from lung and BAL tissues of RSV-infected animals 3, 6, 14, and 42 d p.i. and expanded in culture. Each symbol represents an individual animal (n=6-8). (E) Phase-contrast micrographs of LR-MSCs derived from BALs expanded in culture from noninfected (mock) or infected (RSV) animals 3 days p.i. Magnification 100X. (F) Representative confocal microscopy evaluation of LR-MSCs derived from BALs expanded in culture from noninfected (mock) or infected (RSV) animals 3 days p.i. RSV, orange; DAPI, dark blue; WGA, light blue. Scale bars, 15 µm and 10 µm for the 3D capture.

Figure 5: RSV infection leads to the expansion of the pulmonary MSC niche *in vivo*

(A) Newborn or adult (average age of 29 months) animals were trans-tracheally inoculated with

10^8 PFU per animal of the human strain RSV-A2 or PBS (mock). Newborns were euthanized 3,

6, 14, and 42 days p.i. and adults 6 and 14 days p.i. and lung tissue was harvested for CFU-F

assay. Lung tissue of adults was harvested for histopathological evaluation (B) Representative

H&E stained histopathological sections of the lung tissue from noninfected (mock) and RSV-

infected adults at 6 and 14 days p.i. scale bar, 200 μm. (C, E) Representative images of the CFU-

F assay for mock control and RSV-infected neonates (C) and adults (E) 3 to 42 days p.i. and 6

and 14 days p.i., respectively. Each image represents an individual animal. (D, F) Quantification

of the CFU-F assay for each neonate (D) and adult (F) animals, given as CFU-F count relative to

3.33×10^5 nucleated cells over time. Boxplots indicate the median value (centerline) and

interquartile ranges (box edges), with whiskers extending to the lowest and the highest values.

Each symbol represents an individual animal (neonates, per timepoint: mock, n=3-4; RSV, n=6-

8; adults: mock, n=14; RSV, n=3 per timepoint). A one-way ANOVA and the Holm-Sydak post-

hoc test was applied to compare differences between groups. $*p<0.05$, $***p<0.001$, $****p<0.0001$.

1044

Figure 6: The transcriptional activity of LR-MSCs is related to the phases of RSV disease

(A) Expression levels of selected classical MSC markers expressed as $\log_2$TPM (TPM, transcripts

per million). Each column is a different time p.i. (B-D) Volcano plot of the DEGs from the

comparison of RSV infection and mock control 6 (B), 14 (C), and 42 (D) days p.i. with $p_{adj}<0.05$

(red filed circles). (B) Pie chart with the 14 DEGs ($p_{adj}<0.05$) categorized according to their

function. (D) Pie chart with the 43 DEGs ($p_{adj}<0.05$) categorized according to their function. (E)

Normalized enrichment score of significant (false discovery rate, FDR<0.05) hallmark gene sets

for the comparisons of RSV infection and mock control 6, 14, and 42 days p.i. (downregulated,

blue and upregulated, red) (F) Cartoon summarizing the different functions of LR-MSCs during

distinct steps of RSV disease.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

A ISGs

B IFNs

Mock
Poly(I:C)
RSV A2
RSV-ON1-H1

C IFN-β

D IFN-λ1/3

E WD-AECs

F LR-MSCs

G

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6