Chromosome-scale and haplotype-resolved genome assembly of a tetraploid potato cultivar

Hequan Sun[1,2,∀], Wen-Biao Jiao[1,2,3,4,∀], Kristin Krause[1,2], José A. Campoy[2], Manish Goel[1,2], Kat Folz-Donahue[5], Christian Kukat[5], Bruno Huettel[6], and Korbinian Schneeberger[1,2,*]

[∀] These authors contributed equally.

[1]Faculty of Biology, LMU Munich, Großhaderner Str. 2, 82152 Planegg-Martinsried, Germany [2]Department of Chromosome Biology, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Carl-von-Linné-Weg 10, 50829 Cologne, Germany [3]Key Laboratory of Horticultural Plant Biology (Ministry of Education), Huazhong Agricultural University, 430070 Wuhan, China; [4]College of Informatics, Huazhong Agricultural University, 430070 Wuhan, China [5]FACS & Imaging Core Facility, Max Planck Institute for Biology of Ageing, 50931 Cologne, Germany [6]Max Planck-Genome-center Cologne, Carl-von-Linné-Weg 10, 50829 Cologne, Germany

*Correspondence: Korbinian Schneeberger (schneeberger@mpipz.mpg.de)

Key words: tetraploid potato, haplotyping, *de novo* assembly, single-cell sequencing, gamete

Potato is the third most important food crop in the world. Despite its social and

economic importance, the autotetraploid genome of cultivated potato has not been

assembled yet. The distinct reconstruction all of four haplotypes remained an

unsolved challenge. Here, we report the 3.1 Gb haplotype-resolved, chromosome-

scale assembly of the autotetraploid potato cultivar, *Otava*. We assembled the genome

with high-quality long reads coupled with single-cell sequencing of 717 pollen

genomes and chromosome conformation capture data at a haplotyping precision of

99.6%. Unexpectedly, we found that almost 50% of the tetraploid genome were

identical-by-descent with at least one of the other haplotypes. This high level of

inbreeding contrasted with the extreme level of structural rearrangements

encompassing nearly 20% of the genome. Overall, we annotated 148,577 gene models,

where only 54% of the genes were present in all four haplotypes with an average of 3.2

copies per gene. Our work showcases how accurate assemblies of complex and

partially inbred autotetraploid genomes can be generated. The newly established

resource gives novel insights in the breeding history of autotetraploid potato and has

the potential to change the future of genomics-assisted potato breeding.

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is by far the most important tuber crop and is among

the five most produced crops in the world. Globally more than 350 billion kilograms of potato

are produced per year with an increasing trend particularly in developing countries in Asia[1].

Despite the social and economic importance, the breeding success of potato remained low

over the past decades due to its highly heterozygous and tetraploid genome, which

challenges usual breeding commonly applied to inbred, diploid crops[2,3].

A fundamental tool for modern breeding is the availability of reference sequences.

The reference sequence for potato was generated from a double haploid plant, *DM1-3 516*

R44 (*DM*), and was initially published in 2011[4] and continuously improved over the past

years including a recent update based on long read sequencing[5]. Another major

advancement in potato genomics was the recent assembly of a heterozygous diploid potato,

RH89-039-16 (*RH*)[6]. This haplotype-resolved genome was generated from a variety of

different sequencing technologies and phase information from a genetic map derived from

selfed progeny[6].

However, as of now, there is no haplotype-resolved assembly of a tetraploid potato

cultivar available. The latest methods for haplotype phasing include haplotype-based

separation of sequencing reads based on the differences between the parental genomes[7] or

based on haplotype information derived from gamete[8-11] or offspring genomes[6,12]. Similarly,

chromosome conformation capture sequencing (e.g., Hi-C) could help to resolve haplotypes

during or before the assembly[13-17] and has been applied to polyploids already[14,15,16]. But even

though straightforward in its application, chromosome conformation capture sequencing can

lead to haplotype switch errors, and requires additional efforts such genetic maps for

correction[6,8,17].

Genome assembly of a tetraploid potato

We generated an assembly of the autotetraploid genome of *S. tuberosum*, cultivar

Otava, using high-quality long PacBio HiFi reads (30x per haplotype) using *hifiasm*[18] (Fig. 1a;

Supplementary Table 1; Supplementary Figure 1-4; Methods). The initial assembly consisted

of 6,366 contigs with an N50 of 2.1 Mb. While the total assembly size of 2.2 Gb was much

larger than the estimated haploid genome size of ~840 Mb, it accounted only for ~65% of the

tetraploid genome size indicating that one third of the genome collapsed during the assembly

(Supplementary Figure 2). A sequencing depth histogram across the contigs featured four

distinct peaks, which originated from regions with either one, two, three, or four (collapsed)

haplotype(s) (Fig. 1b). While most of the contigs represented only one haplotype (referred to

as *haplotigs*) and accounted for 1.5 Gb (68%) of the assembly, contigs representing two,

three or even four collapsed haplotypes (referred to as *diplotigs*, *triplotigs* or *tetraplotigs*) still

made up 470 Mb (21%), 173 Mb (8%) or 43 Mb (2%). Regions with even higher coverages

were virtually absent (9.4 Mb, 0.4%).

As there is no straight forward solution to untangle collapsed contigs after the

assembly, we restarted the genome assembly, this time with four separated read sets each

derived from one of the four haplotypes. In diploids, such a read separation prior to the

assembly can be performed by sorting the reads according to their similarity to the parental

genomes (trio binning)[7]. But as autotetraploid individuals inherit two haplotypes through both

the maternal and paternal lineages, this cannot be applied for autotetraploid genomes.

Alternatively, the reads can also be separated using the haplotypes found in gamete

genomes (gamete binning)[8]. While this is straightforward with haploid gametes from diploid

individuals, tetraploid potato develops diploid gametes, which again does not separate

individual haplotypes. However, as the pairing of the two haplotypes in a diploid gamete is

random in potato, we speculated that it might be possible to gain information on individual

haplotypes (and thus to separate the reads into four distinct sets) if we sequence a sufficient

number of diploid gametes.

To test if gamete binning can be applied for the genome assembly of *Otava*, we

sequenced the genomes from 717 pollen nuclei with Illumina short reads with an average

sequence coverage of 0.18x (Supplementary Figure 5; Methods) and aligned each of the 717

read sets against the initial assembly. As defining a high-density SNP list can be difficult in

an autotetraploid genome, we defined "coverage markers" (using average alignment depth in

50 kb windows) to assess if a genomic region was present in a pollen genome or not

(Methods).

A coverage marker will be covered by reads if one of the two haplotypes of a pollen

carries the region of the coverage marker. With this, we could assess the presence/absence

pattern (PAP) of a coverage marker across all the 717 pollen genomes (Supplementary

Figure 6). Closely linked markers featured highly similar PAPs, as most pollen genomes

carried the same pair of haplotypes at two neighboring loci. We used similarities between

PAPs to cluster the contigs into 48 groups representing the four haplotypes of all 12

chromosomes (Supplementary Figure 7-8; Methods). Haplotigs were assigned to single

clusters. Diplotigs, triplotigs and tetraplotigs represented multiple haplotypes and were

assigned to two, three or four of the clusters (Methods).

Once the contigs were assigned to haplotypes, also the PacBio HiFi reads could be

assigned to these haplotypes based on their alignments against the contigs. Reads aligned

to diplotigs, triplotigs or tetraplotigs were randomly assigned to one of the respective

haplotypes. With this, more than 99.9% of the non-organellar PacBio HiFi reads could be

assigned to one of the 48 read sets (Supplementary Figure 9; Methods). Assembling the

read sets using *hifiasm* resulted in 48 haplotype-resolved assemblies with an average N50 of

7.1 Mb and a total size of 3.1 Gb (92% of the tetraploid genome). Finally, we used Hi-C short

read data (70x per haplotype) to scaffold the contigs of each assembly to a chromosome-

scale, haplotype-resolved assembly (Supplementary Figure 10; Methods).

The sizes of the four haplotypes of each chromosome were highly consistent to each

other as well as to those of the *DM* and *RH* assemblies[4,5,6] except for the consistently shorter

assemblies of LG10 (Fig. 1c). Comparison with the *DM* assembly showed high levels of

synteny suggesting that the chromosomes have been assembled correctly (Supplementary

Figure 11-12). To evaluate the haplotyping accuracy of the tetraploid assembly in more

depth, we sequenced the parental cultivars of *Otava*, called *Stieglitz* and *Hera*, with Illumina

short reads with 40x genome coverage. Comparing the parental genome specific *k*-mers, we

found that each of the 48 assemblies included almost exclusively *k*-mers from one or the

other parent implying a haplotyping accuracy of 99.6% (Fig. 1d; Methods).

Integrating *ab initio* predictions, protein and RNA-seq read[4,5,6] alignments, we

annotated 148,577 gene models across all haplotypes with an overall *BUSCO*[19]

completeness score of 97.3%, which is highly comparable to the annotations of the *RH* and

DM assemblies[5,6] (Supplementary Table 2-3; Methods). Repetitive sequences made up 66%

of the assembly with LTR retrotransposons as the most abundant class and rDNA clusters of

up to 600 kb in size, which were assembled without any gaps (Supplementary Table 4-5;

Methods). The distribution of genes and repeats along the chromosome followed the typical

distribution of plant genomes with high gene and low repeat densities at the distal parts of

the chromosome, while in the peri-centromeric regions the gene densities were low and the

repeat densities were high (Fig. 2).

The genomic footprints of inbreeding

A histogram of sequence differences within 10kb windows between the haplotypes

revealed two separated peaks implying the presence of highly similar as well as highly

different regions (Fig. 3a). The divergent regions averaged 1 SNP per 17 bp, while nearly

50% of the regions were without differences (Fig. 3a). This extreme similarity between some

of the regions suggested that they were recently inherited from a common ancestor. In fact,

the pedigree of many of the cultivated potatoes, including *Otava*, contain cultivars that occur

more than once in their ancestry[20,21] (Supplementary Figure 1). Common ancestors in

different lineages of the pedigree leads to inbreeding and results in regions which are

identical-by-descent (IBD) between their haplotypes (Fig. 3b-c; Supplementary Figure 13-24;

Methods).

Overall, almost 50% of the tetraploid genome of *Otava* were included in IBD blocks

and were shared by either two, three or in rare cases even by four haplotypes (Fig. 3b-d).

Individual IBD blocks varied in size and reached up to 41.6 Mb, while IBD blocks in the peri-

centromeres were significantly larger as compared to the IBD blocks in the distal parts of the

chromosomes (Supplementary Figure 13-25). Even though it is possible that long IDB blocks

were recently introduced and were not broken up by meiotic recombination yet, it is more

likely that these extremely long IBD blocks exist due to local suppression of meiotic

recombination in the peri-centromeres (Fig. 3c). Using the accumulated mutation rates in the

IBD blocks as an estimate of their age showed that long IBD blocks weren't younger as

compared to short IBD blocks (Supplementary Figure 25b).

Extreme sequence differences and their influence on genes

The highly similar IBD blocks were contrasted by high levels of structural

rearrangements in the non-shared regions of the genome (Fig. 3; Supplementary Figure 13-

24; Methods). Inversions, duplications, and translocations made up 3.8% to 42.9% of each of

the haplotypes (or 19.3% of the genome) depending on the abundance of IDB regions in the

respective haplotypes (Fig. 3d). Excluding IBD regions, structural rearrangements made up

15.0% to 65.8% of each chromosome. In addition to these high levels of structural

differences, each haplotype included another 11.0% to 42.5% of unique sequence that could

not be aligned to the other haplotypes (Fig. 3d). This amount of structural variation and

haplotype-specific sequence was much higher than what has been reported for any other

crop species, supporting earlier suggestions that wild introgressions were part of the

domestication history of potato[22].

Overall, we found 661 structural variations longer than 100 kb which all were

supported by the contiguity of the assembled contigs or Hi-C contact signals, including 220

duplications, 207 translocations and 234 inversions (Supplementary Table 6; Supplementary

Fig. 10,13-24,26). While comparable in number, inversions were much larger than the other

types of rearrangements and reached sizes of up to 12.4 Mb (Fig. 3f). Although these large

inversions were mostly located in the peri-centromeric regions where genes occur at low

density, they still harbored nearly 5% of all genes (7,958 out of 148,577). Meiotic crossover

events within the pollen genomes were virtually absent in the inversions, indicating that these

regions are likely to introduce large segregating haplotypes among cultivated potato (Fig.

3c).

Pairwise allelic divergence of the genes ranged from 0 to 140 differences per kb and

included identical as well as divergent alleles. The average pairwise difference of the

divergent alleles was 18 differences per kb (Fig. 4a). Moreover, due to the high sequence

differences, only 53.6% of the genes were present in all four haplotypes. The remaining 46.4%

of the genes were present in three (20.0%), two (15.9%) or even only one (10.5%) of the

haplotypes (Fig. 4b) with an average of 3.2 copies per gene. In addition, the coding

sequences of some of these copies were identical to each other. For example, only 3,066

(15.4%) of the genes with four copies also featured four distinct alleles. In consequence,

even though each gene featured 3.2 copies, there were only 1.9 distinct alleles per gene (Fig.

4b).

While it was expected to find identical alleles within shared haplotypes, only ~45% of

the identical alleles were actually within IDB blocks. To test if the high number of identical

alleles between the otherwise different haplotypes was indicative of selection, we tested

whether the genes with identical alleles were enriched for specific functions. This revealed a

significant enrichment for genes with GO terms involving photosynthesis, chlorophyll binding

and translation (Fig. 4c) suggesting a selection-induced loss of allelic diversity through the

optimization of plant performance.

The non-functional alleles of the genes were randomly distributed throughout the

genome implying that a ploidy reduction of the tetraploid genome would lead to a significant

gene loss. In fact, the doubled-monoploid $DM^{1,5}$ or diploid RH^6, which both were derived from

tetraploid cultivars, carried 5,901 (15.8%) or 3,245 (8.7%) less genes as compared to the

tetraploid genome. The gene family with highest percentage of genes with presence/absence

variation (45.4%; 316 out of 696 genes) were the NLR resistance genes (Supplementary

Table 7), which are known for their high intraspecies variability[23,24].

Conclusions

Here we reported the first haplotype-resolved assembly of an autotetraploid potato.

Leveraging high-quality, long reads and single-cell genotyping of diploid gametes, we were

able to reconstruct the sequences of all four haplotypes. This revealed the high levels of

structural variation between the haplotypes, which were much higher as compared to the

diversity commonly found within species. This supported earlier suggestions that the diverse

haplotypes might have been introgressed from wild species during domestication[22].

The high level of sequence differences was contrasted by widespread IBD blocks,

which were most likely introduced by the common usage of related genotypes during

cultivation, even though we cannot exclude that some of these blocks might have been

formed via double reduction during meiosis[25]. The similarity of the IBD blocks was the reason

for the abundant collapsed regions in the initial assembly. As these regions were almost

identical, it was not possible to assemble them from the sequence data alone. IBD blocks are

a widespread phenomenon in many crops or livestock in general, though the challenges

associated with the high similarity between haplotypes can be solved by using the power of

genetics and analyzing individual gamete genomes.

The abundance of IBD blocks also implied that the maximal allelic diversity of the

tetraploid genome was not reached, even though the high yield and yield stability of potato is

supposed to be promoted by the effects of heterosis, which itself is based on non-additive

interactions of diverse alleles[26]. Whether the high abundance of shared alleles suggests that

the effects of heterosis could still be optimized by increasing the number of polymorphic

alleles or if this indicates that the limits of heterosis were already reached remains to be

seen.

Over the past years, considerable success has been made in re-domesticating potato

from a clonally-propagated, tetraploid crop into a seed-propagated, diploid crop to increase

reproduction rate, decrease costs in storage and transportation, and improve disease

control[2,27,28,29]. However, the random distribution of loss-of-function alleles in tetraploid potato

can lead to the accelerated manifestation of inbreeding depression in the diploid genomes,

when they are derived from tetraploids[6,30]. Haplotype-resolved assemblies of autotetraploids

like the one presented here have the potential to support the design of optimal haplotypes by

avoiding the combination of known incompatibility alleles[31].

Of course, this new possibility to assemble autotetraploid genomes does not eliminate

all breeding-related problems that result from the tetraploid nature of potato. However, being

able to reconstruct the four haplotypes of cultivated potato is a breakthrough for modern

genomics-assisted breeding strategies, and ultimately has the power to increase the

breeding success of potato in the future.

Methods

Plant material was grown at Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research (Cologne, Germany). The genome of *Otava* was sequenced with PacBio HiFi Sequel II platform with four SMRTcells. DNA extracted from individual pollen nuclei was prepared with 10x Genomics CNV kits and subsequently sequenced with Illumina sequencing. Barcodes were corrected using *cellranger* (10x Genomics). Short/long reads were aligned using *bowtie2*[32]/*minimap2*[33]. BAM, VCF file processing and sequencing depth analysis were performed using *samtools*[34] and *bedtools*[35]. PacBio sequence reads were assembled using *hifiasm*[18], and genome annotation was performed following a previous pipeline[8]. Structural variations were identified using *SyRI*[36] based on *minimap2* genome alignments. More details and other related methods are provided in the Supplementary Information.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Christiane Gebhardt (MPI-PZ, Cologne, Germany) and Benjamin Stich (HHU, Düsseldorf, Germany) for helpful discussions, Birgit Walkemeier and Christine Sänger (both MPI-PZ, Cologne, Germany) for help with plant cultivation, Christine Brandt and Klaus J. Dehmer (both IPK, Groß Lüsewitz, Germany) for providing material, Pádraic J. Flood (WUR, Wageningen, The Netherlands) for comments on the manuscript as well as Saurabh Pophaly (MPI-PZ, Cologne, Germany) for help in data management. This work was funded by the "Humboldt Research Fellowship for Experienced Researchers" (Alexander von Humboldt Foundation) (J.A.C.), the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship PrunMut (789673) (J.A.C.), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany´s Excellence Strategy – EXC 2048/1– 390686111, and the European Research Council (ERC) Grant "INTERACT" (802629) (K.S.).

Author contributions

H.S. and K.S. developed the project. K.K., J.A.C., K.F-D., C.K. and B.H. generated data. H.S., W-B.J., and M.G. performed all data analysis. H.S. and K.S. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

High-throughput sequencing data as well as the genome assembly and gene annotation of *Otava* will be made available through NCBI upon publication of this work under Bioproject PRJNA726019.

Code availability

Upon publication customed scripts supporting this work will be available at *github.com/schneeberger-lab/GameteBinning_tetraploid*.

REFERENCES

1. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QV (2021).

2. Jansky, S. H. et al. Reinventing potato as a diploid inbred line-based crop. *Crop Sci.* **56**, 1412-1422 (2016).

3. Douches, D.S., Maas, D., Jastrzebski, K., Chase, R. W. Assessment of Potato Breeding Progress in the USA over the Last Century. *Crop Sci.* **36**,1544-1552 (1996).

4. The Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium. Genome sequence and analysis of the tuber crop potato. *Nature* **475**, 189-195 (2011).

5. Pham, G.M. & Hamilton, J.P. *et al.* Construction of a chromosome-scale long-read reference genome assembly for potato. *GigaScience* **9**, 1-11 (2020).

6. Zhou, Q. & Tang, D. *et al.* Haplotype-resolved genome analyses of a heterozygous diploid potato. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1018-1023 (2020).

7. Koren, S. & Rhie, A. *et al.* De novo assembly of haplotype-resolved genomes with trio binning. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 1174-1182 (2018).

8. Campoy, J.A. & Sun, H.Q. *et al. Gamete binning*: chromosome-level and haplotype-resolved genome assembly enabled by high-throughput single-cell sequencing of gamete genomes. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 306 (2020).

9. Li, R. & Qu, H. *et al.* Inference of chromosome-length haplotypes using genomic data of three or a few more single gametes. *Mol Biol Evol.* **37**, 3684-3698 (2020).

10. Kirkness, E.F. *et al.* Sequencing of isolated sperm cells for direct haplotyping of a human genome. *Genome Res.* **23**, 826-832 (2013).

11. Shi, D., Wu, J., Tang, H. & Yin, H. *et al.* Single-pollen-cell sequencing for gamete-based phased diploid genome assembly in plants. *Genome Res.* **29**, 1889-1899 (2019).

12. Zhou, C. *et al.* Assembly of whole-chromosome pseudomolecules for polyploid plant genomes using outbred mapping populations. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 1256-1264 (2020).

13. Garg, S. *et al.* Chromosome-scale, haplotype-resolved assembly of human genomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* (2020).

14. Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Tang, H. & Zhang, Q. *et al.* Allele-defined genome of the
autopolyploid sugarcane *Saccharum spontaneum* L. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 1565-1573 (2018).

15. Chen, H., Zeng, Y., Yang, Y., Huang, L., Tang, B. & Zhang, H. et al. Allele-aware
chromosome-level genome assembly and efficient transgene-free genome editing for the
autotetraploid cultivated alfalfa. *Nat Commun* **11**, *2494* (2020).

16. Zhang, X., Zhang, S., Zhao, Q., Ming, R. & Tang, H. Assembly of allele-aware,
chromosomal-scale autopolyploid genomes based on Hi-C data. *Nat. Plants* **5**, 833-845
(2019).

17. Linsmith, G. *et al.* Pseudo-chromosome-length genome assembly of a double haploid
"*Bartlett*" pear (*Pyrus communis L.*). *GigaScience* **8**, 1-17 (2019).

18. Cheng, H., Concepcion, G.T., Feng, X., Zhang, H., Li, H. Haplotype-resolved de novo
assembly with phased assembly graphs. *Nat Methods* **18**, 170-175 (2021).

19. Simão, F. A. and Waterhouse, R. M. *et al. BUSCO*: Assessing genome assembly and
annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 3210-3212
(2015).

20. Hutten, R.C.B. and Berloo, R. van. An online potato pedigree database. URL:
http://www.plantbreeding.wur.nl/PotatoPedigree/ (2001).

21. Berloo, R. van, Hutten, R.C.B, Eck, H.J. van and Visser, R.G.F. An online potato
pedigree database resource. *Potato research* **50**, 45-57 (2007).

22. Hardigan, M.A., Laimbeer, F.P.E., Newton, L., Crisovan, E., Hamilton, J.P., Vaillancourt,
B. et al. Genome diversity of tuber-bearing Solanum uncovers complex evolutionary
history and targets of domestication in the cultivated potato. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
114, E9999-E10008 (2017). doi: 10.1073/pnas.1714380114.

23. Van de Weyer, A.L., Monteiro, F., Furzer, O.J., et al. A Species-Wide Inventory of NLR
Genes and Alleles in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell.* **178**, 1260-1272 (2019).

24. Seong, K., Seo, E., Witek, K., Li, M., Staskawicz, B. Evolution of NLR resistance genes
with noncanonical N-terminal domains in wild tomato species. *New Phytol.* **227**, 1530-
1543 (2020).

25. Bourke, P.M., Voorrips, R.E., Visser, R.G., Maliepaard, C. The double-reduction

landscape in tetraploid potato as revealed by a high-density linkage map. *Genetics*,

201:853-863 (2015).

26. J. Muthoni, H. Shimelis, R. Melis. Production of hybrid potatoes: Are heterozygosity and

ploidy levels important? *Australian Journal of Crop Science* **13**, 687-694 (2019).

27. Lindhout, P. *et al.* Towards F1 Hybrid Seed Potato Breeding. *Potato Res.* **54**, 301-312

(2011).

28. Ye, M. & Peng, Z. et al. Generation of self-compatible diploid potato by knockout of S-

RNase. *Nature Plants* **4**, 651-654 (2018).

29. Li, Y., Li, G., Li, C., Qu, D. & Huang, S. Prospects of diploid hybrid breeding in potato.

Chin. Potato J. **27**, 96-99 (2013).

30. Zhang, C., Wang, P., Tang, D. et al. The genetic basis of inbreeding depression in

potato. *Nat Genet.* **51**, 374-378 (2019).

31. Lian. Q., Tang, D., Bai, Z., Qi, J., Lu, F., Huang, S., Zhang, C. Acquisition of deleterious

mutations during potato polyploidization. *J Integr Plant Biol.* **61**, 7-11 (2019).

32. Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with *Bowtie* 2. *Nature*

methods **9**, 357-359 (2012).

33. Li, H. *Minimap2*: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**(18),

3094-100 (2018).

34. Li, H. et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078-

2079 (2009).

35. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. *BEDTools*: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841-842 (2010).

36. Goel, M., Sun, H., Jiao, W. B. & Schneeberger, K. *SyRI*: finding genomic rearrangements

and local sequence differences from whole-genome assemblies. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 1-13

(2019).

   Figure legends

Figure 1. Haplotype-resolved assembly of an autotetraploid potato genome. a. Assembly
strategy (gamete binning) for tetraploid genomes. Long reads are sequenced from somatic DNA and
an initial contig-level assembly is generated. In addition, sequencing data of gamete genomes are
generated. Genetic linkage enables grouping of the contig into clusters, which represent the individual
haplotypes. Long reads are assigned to haplotypes based on their similarity to the contigs. Each
haplotype can be assembled separately and scaffolded to chromosome-scale using Hi-C. (The figure
was created with help of BioRender.com.) **b.** Histogram of sequencing depth within 10 kb windows of
the initial assembly revealed existence of haplotigs (68.3%), diplotigs (21.4%), triplotigs (7.9%) and
tetraplotigs (2.0%). **c.** Assembly sizes of the haplotypes were highly consistent to the DM^6 and RH^6
assemblies. **d**. *k*-mer based evaluation of the haplotyping accuracy. Each point represents one
haplotype and indicates the numbers of *k*-mers specific to one of the parental genomes *Hera* or
Stieglitz. Overall, 99.6% of the variation were correctly phased.

Figure 2. Haplotype-resolved and chromosome-scale assembly of the tetraploid potato cultivar
***Otava*. a**. Gene density (number of genes within 2 Mb windows) along the four haplotypes of each of
the 12 chromosomes. **b**. Percentage of transposable element (TE) related sequence within 2 Mb
windows. The links in the center show over 600 structural rearrangements larger than 100 kb found
between the four haplotypes of each chromosome. Light and dark blue box refer to the maternally and
paternally inherited chromosomes.

Figure 3. Haplotype analysis of the tetraploid genome. a. SNP density as observed in pairwise
comparisons between the haplotypes revealed two separated peaks. The high abundance of highly
similar regions suggested the existence of identical-by-descent (IBD) blocks. **b.** IBD blocks across the
genome. Regions shared by two, three or four haplotypes are colored in red, orange or blue. **c.** A
zoom-in on the IBD regions and structural rearrangements of LG-4. Large IBD regions were more
likely to occur in peri-centromeric regions with low gene, but high TE content and suppressed meiotic
recombination. (Colors as defined in **b** and **e**) **d.** Average alignment statistics and structural
rearrangements in each chromosome. **e.** Structural rearrangements between the four haplotypes of
each LG. **f.** Correlation of the size of 220 duplications, 207 translocations and 234 inversions with
gene density.

Figure 4. Impact of haplotype divergence on genes. a. Pair-wise allelic divergence of genes. **b.**
Presence/absence variations of genes. Overall, 53.6%, 20.0%, 15.9% or 10.5% of the genes showed
four, three, two or one allele(s) within the tetraploid genome with an average of 3.2 allelic copies per
gene. Within the genes with four allelic copies, one (22.3%), two (29.8%), three (32.5%) or four (15.4%)
divergent allele/s were observed. **c.** GO enrichment analysis of genes with four identical alleles.

a

Long reads → Contig assembly → Assigning haplotypes → Read separation → Haplotype assembly

Tetraploid potato

Single gamete genome sequencing

Variation in haplotype 1
Variation in haplotype 2
Variation in haplotype 3
Variation in haplotype 4

Omni-C

Chromosome-scale and haplotype-resolved assembly

b

Haplotigs: 68.3%
Diplotigs: 21.4%
Triplotigs: 7.9%
Tetraplotigs: 2.0%

count

Coverage histogram

c

Size (Mb)

RH (2x)
DM ("1x")
Otava (4x)

LG-1 LG-2 LG-3 LG-4 LG-5 LG-6 LG-7 LG-8 LG-9 LG-10 LG-11 LG-12

d

k-mers (Stieglitz)

k-mers (Hera)

Stieglitz
Hera
Avg. acc.: 99.6%

a

Region count

140 k

20 k

identical

diverged

0   20   40   60   80
Differences per kb

b

LG–1
LG–2
LG–3
LG–4
LG–5
LG–6
LG–7
LG–8
LG–9
LG–10
LG–11
LG–12

0   50   100 Mb

Size%

50.6   30.5   16.0   2.9

Shared by
4
3
2 haplotypes

c

0   40   80 Mb

CO frequency
TE denstiy
Gene density

d

Mb

LG 1–12

Not–aligned   Syntenic
Shared   Rearranged

f

Genes per Mb

Inversion

Translocation

Duplication

100 kb   1 Mb   10 Mb

e

LG–1
LG–2
LG–3
LG–4
LG–5
LG–6
LG–7
LG–8
LG–9
LG–10
LG–11
LG–12

Inversion
Translocation
Duplication
Synteny

0   50   100 Mb