Fluorinated oil-surfactant mixtures with the density of water: artificial cells for synthetic

biology

Roberto Laos[1,2], Steven Benner[1*]

[1]Foundation for Applied Molecular Evolution, Alachua, Florida, United States of America

Current address: 13709 Progress Blvd. Box 7, Alachua, Florida 32615, United States of

America

[2]Panamerican Biolabs LLC

Current address: 7226 NW 52nd Ter. Gainesville Florida 32653, United States of

America

* Corresponding author: manuscripts@ffame.org

Abstract

Water-in-oil emulsions provide matrices for compartments that have many uses in

diversity science. However, hydrophobic species are frequently incompatible with

biological systems. For this reason, fluorinated matrices are often sought, since

fluorinated species are neither hydrophilic nor hydrophobic; they therefore do not

interact with most biomolecules. However, most fluorinated oils have densities much

higher than the density of water (1 g/ml). Consequently, water droplets float in

fluorinated oils, aggregating near their surfaces. This facilitates droplet-droplet collision

and fusion, exposing droplets to air interfaces and making their manipulation difficult.

Here, we report the synthesis, characterization, and use of fluorinated polysiloxane oils

that have densities close to the density of water. These, with a non-ionic fluorosilicone

surfactant, produce thermostable water-in-oil emulsions that neither float nor sink. We

show how droplets in these emulsions can host many biological processes, including

PCR, DNA origami, rolling circle amplification (RCA), and Taqman® assays. Further, oil-

diffusible reagents can initiate reactions within the droplets. The droplets can also be

used with unnatural DNA emerging from synthetic biology, including DNA built from

artificially expanded genetic information systems (AEGIS) with six nucleotide "letters".

Introduction

"Artificial cells"[1] [2] and other compartments have many potential applications in

diversity science and nanotechnology, including applications in drug delivery [3-5], ,

enzyme directed evolution [6, 7] [8, 9] [10] [11], and models for the origin of life [12-16].

While water-hydrophobe-water systems are analogs of natural cells [17] [18] [19-22],

simple water-in-oil emulsions are intrinsically easier to assemble and more stable to

environmental change (especially heating) as compartment analogs of cells. The

contents of each droplet can remain separated from contents of all other droplets.

As with standard cells, compartments can maintain a link between the structures of

molecules inside the droplet (the "phenotype") and the structures of the molecules that

encode them (the "genotype"). This is important when experimentalists seek to direct

the evolution of nucleic acids and their encoded proteins under selective pressure. For

example, compartmentalization in two-phase artificial cell systems has been used for

directed evolution [23] in the form of "compartmentalized self replication" (CSR).

Developed by Tawfik and Griffiths, CSR holds proteins and genes together in water

droplets suspended in oil emulsions [24]. CSR was applied to the directed evolution of

DNA polymerases by Holliger and his collaborators [6]. CSR has also been used to

select DNA polymerases that accept unnatural nucleotides [8] [25].

Here, droplet technologies and the diversity science that they support intersects with

synthetic biology. For example, in one of its many versions, synthetic biologists seek to

put alien "xeno" nucleic acids (xNAs) into artificial cells. One example of xNA are

artificially expanded genetic information systems (AEGIS) that increase the number of

independently replicable nucleotides from 4 to as many as 12. By shuffling hydrogen

bonding patterns of the G:C and A:T pairs while retaining their Watson-Crick size-

complementarity [26], AEGIS DNA can evolve to produce molecules (AEGISbodies)

that bind to liver and breast cancer cells [27], isolated proteins [28], and recombinant

cell systems [27]. Several of these are used to deliver drugs to cells in AEGISbody-

nanotrain-drug conjugates [29]. Modified nucleic acids can potentially be used as

therapeutics [30]; recently some AEGIS components have been suggested as potential

inhibitors of the RNA polymerase from SARS-CoV-2 [31].

Despite these applications, useful and robust water-in-oil systems have proven difficult

to generate. For example, early water-in-oil systems used Span80® [32] as part of their

surfactant system. Span80® is, however, derived from animal fat, which makes this

product vary from batch to batch. In our experience, emulsions using Span80® proved

unreliable. Thus, we observed dark precipitates at the bottom of oil phases in Span80®-

based mixtures.

Synthetic oils and surfactants can also be problematic. For example, a variety of

silicone surfactants are used in cosmetics. Some, commercialized by Degussa (now

Evonik), are not available commercially in research-sized amounts. However, some

generate dark precipitates as well [33].

Even with stable, available, and reproducible materials, compartment stability

becomes an issue. For an emulsion to be useful, it must remain stable over time with

respect to droplet-droplet fusion. The key component preventing fusion is a surface-

active agent, a surfactant [34]. The surfactant must lower the surface tension of the

liquid, stabilize the emulsion, and diminish coalescence [34] [35]. Many hydrophobic

surfactants are known. However, hydrophobic surfactants can invade the hydrophobic

cores of proteins and other biologics, disrupting their function.

For these and other reasons, some turn to fluorinated oils and surfactants as

replacements for hydrocarbon oils and hydrophobic-hydrophilic surfactants. Fluorine

forms a third phase that is immiscible with both hydrophilic and hydrophobic phases.

"Fluorous" species are sufficiently orthogonal to both hydrophilic and hydrophobic

systems as to render them inert with respect to most biomolecules. Thus, fluorinated

oils are used for drug delivery [36, 37], blood substitutes [38, 39], and diagnostics [40].

Several fluorinated oils and surfactants are now commercially available for water-oil

emulsions, and several of these are widely used.

However, fluorinated matrices generally have densities considerably higher than

water. For example, the fluorinated oil HFE-7500 has a density of ~ 1.6 g/mL [41] [42]

[43]. Fluorinert® FC40 has a density between 1.845 and 1.895 [44] [45] [46].

In these media, water droplets float. This concentrates droplets at the top of the

emulsion. This, in turn, makes the droplets difficult to manipulate in microfluidic devices.

Concentration of the droplets in the top of the fluid also increases the number of droplet-

droplet encounters, which encourages droplet fusion.

These considerations provided the motivation to seek fluorinated oils that have a

density close to 1 g/mL, the density of water droplets in which biological processes act.

We report here the synthesis, characterization, and application of such low-density

fluorinated oils, as well as surfactants that enable their use.

Materials and methods

Materials

Monomers 1,3,5-trimethyl 1,3,5-tris(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)cyclotrisiloxane, 2,4,6,8-

tetramethylcyclotetrasiloxane, and hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane were purchased from

Changzhou Fluoride Chemical Co. Genuine Technology. Hexamethyldisiloxane was

purchased from Acros. Amberlyst® 15 hydrogen form and potassium trichloro(ethylene)

platinate (II) hydrate were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Amyl nitrite 95.0+% was purchased from TCI. Nonafluorohexyldimethylchlorosilane,

and allyloxy(polyethylene oxide) (4-7 EO) were obtained from Gelest. All chemicals

were used without purification.

For nanostructures, "Folding kit basic p7249 Cuboid with large aperture" was obtained

from Tilibit Nanosystems. All natural DNA oligos and fluorescent probes were obtained

from IDT. Oligos containing artificial nucleotides, artificial triphosphates dZTP, dPTP

and reversible terminator dGTP-ONH$_2$ were obtained from Firebird Molecular

Biosciences. Phi29 polymerase was from New England Biolabs. Eppendorf™ Snap-Cap

Microcentrifuge Biopur™ Safe-Lock™ Tubes were from Fisher Scientific. EvaGreen®

Dye 20X in water was from Biotium.

Ring-opening polymerization

Polysiloxanes were prepared by the ring-opening reaction shown on Fig 1. Two

different protocols were used:

Protocol 1. The fluorinated monomer 1,3,5-trimethyl 1,3,5-tris-(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)-

cyclotrisiloxane (12 g; 25,6 mmol) was mixed with the non-fluorinated monomer

hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (72 g; 323.7 mmol) and hexamethyl disiloxane (3 mL; 14,1

mmol); the second acted as a chain terminator. The mixture was heated to 75 °C in an

oil bath. Amberlyst® 15 catalyst (1.2 g) was added once the reaction mixture was

homogeneous. The reaction proceeded under Ar for 3 hours at 75 °C. Once cooled, the

reaction mixture was decanted from the Amberlyst® catalyst.

The products were then resolved by distillation under reduced pressure (23 mm Hg) in

a Kugelrohr apparatus. Unreacted materials were removed at 90º C; the remaining fluid

was labeled Fluid A. A low molecular weight (LMW, Fluid B) fraction was obtained by

distillation (150 C, 23 mm Hg); a high molecular weight fraction remained, undistilled

(HMW fraction).

The densities of the various fractions were measured using a balance and positive

displacement pipette. A glass vial and a pipette tip were placed in a balance and

zeroed. Then, fluid (100 µL) was taken into the pipette and the tip was cleaned with a

wipe. The liquid and the pipette tip were then placed on the glass vial and weighed

again. This was done three times; the average is reported.

Protocol 2. The fluorinated monomer 1,3,5trimethyl 1,3,5-tris(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)

cyclotrisiloxane (25.26 g; 53.9 mmol) was mixed with the non-fluorinated monomer,

hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (36 g; 161.8 mmol) and hexamethyl disiloxane (2.8 mL;

13.11 mmol). The mixture was heated to 75 °C in an oil bath. Catalyst Amberlyst® 15

(1.2 g) was added last, again after the mixture was homogeneous. The reaction

proceeded under Ar for 4 hours at 75 °C. Then, the opaque product mixture was

decanted to separate the catalyst. Distillation using a Kugelrohr apparatus (90 °C, 26

mm Hg) removed unreacted starting materials. This remaining fluid was left at room

temperature for 8 hours, after which it had separate into two layers (top layer: fluid C;

bottom layer: fluid D).

Figure 1. Ring-opening polymerization used to produce the fluorosilicone fluid.

The two cyclotrisiloxane monomers are reacted along hexamethyl disiloxane, which

acts as a chain terminator. The catalyst Amberlyst® 15 acts as an acid catalyst for the

ring-opening reaction.

Fluorinated surfactant

Several fluorinated chains and hydrophilic poly(oxyethylene) hydrophiles were

synthesized following the work of Kobayashi and Owen [47] (data not shown). The

preferred surfactant used in the experiments shown in this manuscript contains the side

chain: H_{xf} ($CF_3CF_2CF_2CF_2CH_2CH_2$-). The synthesis of this surfactant is shown in Fig 2.

Figure 2. Synthesis of fluorinated surfactant with the side chain

H_{xf} ($CF_3CF_2CF_2CF_2CH_2CH_2$-). Synthesis begins with the hydrolysis of

nonafluorohexyldimethylchlorosilane, followed by an equilibrium reaction with

hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane in the presence of the acid catalyst Amberlyst® 15 to

produce a SiH functional fluorosilicone hydrophobe. The last step is the hydrosilation of

the SiH with allyloxy(polyethylene oxide) to produce the fluorosilicone nonionic

surfactant.

Gel permeation chromatography

Gel permeation chromatography was performed by Cambridge Polymer Group

(Boston, MA) using an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC Gel Permeation Chromatogram

equipped with an autosampler, thermostatted column oven, and refractive index

detector. The column used for the separation was the Agilent PLgel Mixed-B column (10

µm particle size; 300 mm X 7.5 mm) which has a nominal linear molecular weight

separation range from 500-10,000,000 g/mol. These columns are packed with a

stationary phase consisting of ~10 µm gel particles which are composed of a highly

crosslinked polystyrene/divinylbenzene matrix. These columns are organic GPC

columns, compatible with most organic mobile phase solvents. One column was

considered sufficient for the analysis.

Data collected by refractive index (RI) detection was used for characterization of the

molecular weight distribution, molecular weight moments, and polydispersity of the

samples. The data were analyzed using the Agilent GPC/SEC software v A.02.01. A

calibration curve was prepared using polystyrene standards with molecular weights

between approximately 500 and 10,000,000 g/mol. A measured amount of the material

was transferred to a glass vial with a PTFE lined screw cap. An amount of toluene

sufficient to produce a sample concentration of 5 mg/ml was added to each sample,

which were then mixed using gentle agitation at a temperature of 30°C overnight. All

samples were clear and colorless. The samples were filtered through 0.45 μm PTFE

syringe filters into autosampler vials, filled to the shoulder. Three autosampler vials

were prepared of the samples for triplicate injection purposes. Molecular weight

moments and polydispersity index (PDI) were calculated by Agilent GPC/SEC Software

(v A.02.01) as:

$Mn = \Sigma MiNi/\Sigma Ni$

$Mw = \Sigma Mi2Ni/\Sigma MiNi$

$Mz = \Sigma Mi3\ Ni/\Sigma Mi2\ Ni$

$PDI = Mw/Mn$

Origami DNA

The origami folding mixture comprised 208 staples, each 200 nM (Table in S1 Table)

and a scaffold (20 nM), which was a single-stranded DNA p7249 isolated from

M13mp18 (7249 nucleotides) in Tris buffer (5 mM, pH ~ 8.2, with 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM

$MgCl_2$, and 5 mM NaCl.

Droplet formation was done using PDMS radial chips that empty droplets of aqueous

phase from 20 μm channels into an annular oil flow channel of 50 μm, as previously

described by Paegel and Joyce [10]. The continuous phase was Fluid B (see Protocol

1) with the fluorinated surfactant 2% (v/v). This was pumped at a rate of 5 μL/min while

the aqueous phase was pumped at a rate of 7 μL/min.

The origami mixture, both bulk (as a positive control) and emulsified, were placed in a

thermal cycler at 65° C for 10 minutes and then cooled to 60° C at 0.1° C/s. After this

hold, the temperature was lowered by 0.5° C steps (0.1° C/s rate) and held at the new

temperature for 30 minutes until 40° C was reached. At the end of the cooling steps the

emulsion was centrifugated for 5 minutes at 5000 x g. Then, 25 μL of the origami

reaction were mixed with the provided 6X loading buffer provided with the kit and loaded

in an agarose gel 0.5 X Tris-borate EDTA buffer with ethidium bromide and 6 mM

$MgCl_2$.

Six-nucleotide PCR with Artificially Expanded Genetic Information

Systems (AEGIS)

An aqueous solution holding all components required for a PCR was prepared,

consisting of: (a) primers (20 nM each) 5'- GACGGACTGCCTATGAG-3 and 5'-

GAGGCGATCGCAGTATC-3'; (b) double stranded template (2 x 10^{-7} μM):

5'- GACGGACTGCCTATGAGCAGTT**Z**AAAAG**Z**TATGATACTGCGATCGC CTC-3' and

5'- GAGGCGATCGCAGTATCATA**P**CTTTT**P**AACTGCTCATAGGCAGTCCGTC-3'; (c)

substrates, dNTPs, dZTP, and dPTP (0.2 mM each), (d) Evagreen 2X; (e) a variant

DNA polymerase (Sso7d-Taq(Δ1-280) [R587Q E626K I707L E708K A743H E832G]) at

7.1 x 10-2 µM; and (f) buffer, with 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.4; 10 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$; 10 mM

KCl; 2 mM $MgSO_4$; 0.1% Triton® X-100.

The mixture was dispersed into the fluorous oil emulsion at 25°C, again using a

PDMS device. As before, the radial chip emptied the aqueous phase from 20 µm

channels into an annular oil flow channel of 50 µm [10]. The matrix phase was pumped

through the PDMS chip at a rate of 12 µL/min; the aqueous phase was pumped at 5

µL/min.

Taqman® assay in droplets

An aqueous solution containing the components of a Taqman® assay reaction was

prepared to contain:

• Template: 5'-CAGCAGTGCCAGCAGAACAAAGGTATTCATCTTAGTGAPGTGCGC

AGTCAG CTCACTACT-3', at 0.4 µM concentration.

• Primer: 5'-AGTAGTG AGCTGACTGCGC-3', at 0.2 µM concentration.; and

• Probe: 5'- FAM-ATGAATACC-ZEN-TTTGTTCTCTGCTGG CAACTGCTG-3'

The other components of the reaction mixture were: (a) substrate dNTPs and dZTP,

each at 0.2 mM; (b) buffer at 10 mM Tris pH 8.3, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$, 50 mM KCl; and (c)

enzyme, a variant of Taq DNA polymerase [R587Q, E832C], 6 pmol/50 µL reaction.

The aqueous mixture (100 µL) was emulsified into fluorinated oil (300 µL) with 2%

surfactant (v/v) and a 6 mm stainless steel bead within a Snap-Cap Microcentrifuge

Biopur™ Safe-Lock™ Tube. The tube was then fitted in a 96-well storage plate and

secured between the top and bottom plates of TissueLyser (Quiagen). The components

were then mixed for 10 seconds at 15 Hz followed by 7 seconds at 17 Hz. Then, the

emulsion was transferred to PCR tubes with an optically clear lid and placed in the

following cycle: 40 x [95° C for 8 seconds; 62° C for 24 seconds] and acquired

fluorescence after each cycle using a Lightcycler® 96 (Roche Life Science).

Rolling circle amplification (RCA) in droplets

An aqueous mixture comprising all of the components for a RCA reaction was

prepared to include: (a) 1X Phi29 buffer (New England Biolabs), which has 50 mM Tris-

HCl at pH 7.5, 10 mM $MgCl_2$, 10 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, and 4 mM DTT (@ 25°C); (b)

triphosphates, dATP, dCTP, dTTP 0.2 mM each; (c) a 3'-blocked triphosphate, to

prevent premature initiation of the RCA, dGTP-ONH_2 0.2 mM; (d) primer at 0.8 µM,

having the sequence 5'-CAGGGCTGGGCATAGAAGTCAGGGCAGA-3'; (e)

polymerase, Phi29 0.2 U/µL, with BSA 0.2 µg/µL; and (f) a circular ssDNA template

molecule at 0.0985 µM. The circular ssDNA template was prepared by circularizing: P-

5'TATGCCCAGCCCTGTA

AGATGAAGATAGCGCACAATGGTCGGATTCTCAACTCGTATTCTCAACTCGTAT

TCTCAACTCGTCTCTGCCCTGACTTC-3' with Circ ligase (Lucigen) according to the

manufacturer protocol.

The aqueous mixture holding all the components necessary for RCA (except dGTP)

was emulsified by placing 100 µL of the aqueous mixture with 300 µL of fluorinated

Fluid C (see Protocol 2), 2% surfactant (v/v), and a 6 mm stainless steel bead in a

Snap-Cap Microcentrifuge Biopur™ Safe-Lock™ Tube. The tube was then fitted in a 96-

well storage plate and secured between the top and bottom plates of TissueLyser

(Quiagen) and then mixed for 10 seconds at 15 Hz followed by 7 seconds at 17 Hz.

After the emulsion was formed, 60 µL of the emulsion was mixed with 200 µL of high

molecular weight fluid (see Protocol 1) with amyl nitrite (0.1% v/v). The mixture was

transferred to PCR tubes with an optical clear lid and placed at 37º C. The amyl nitrite

diffused through the fluorous phase, entered the aqueous droplets, and removed the

amino group from the dGTP-ONH$_2$ [48] (Fig 3). This allowed the RCA to continue.

Evidence for successful RCA came by measuring fluorescence for 10 seconds after

each time interval of 1 minute over a period of 2 hours.

Figure 3. Reversible terminator converted to dGTP. Aminoxy 3'-OH blocked dG

triphosphate converted to dGTP with a free OH by reaction with amyl nitrite.

Expression and purification of the polymerase variants used here

A plasmid (pJExpress414, DNA 2.0) containing the gene encoding the Taq DNA

polymerase variant of interest was expressed in *E. coli* C43(DE3) (37º C, overnight

growth, IPTG 0.5 mM) in LB media (50 mL). The next morning, cells were pelleted (~

0.75 g) in a refrigerated centrifuge for 10 minutes at 5000 x g and stored at -20º C. The

pellet was resuspended in 2.5 mL of Tris 50 mM; glucose 50 mM; EDTA 1 mM; pH 8.0

and heat-shocked at 75º C for 10 minutes, then mixed with 3.75 mL of BugBuster®

master mix (Novagen) for 20 minutes at room temperature. The lysate was pelleted in

1.5 mL tubes, at 21 000 x g for 5 min and the supernatant was mixed with Ni NTA resin

(1.5 mL of resuspended resin washed and resuspended in 4 mL of Lysis buffer: Tris

HCl, 20 mM pH 8.0; NaCl 500 mM, imidazole 10 mM). The lysate and the resin were

then incubated and gently spun at 4º C for 1 h.

The lysate was then loaded on a column and washed with: (a) 2 mL wash: Tris, 20

mM; NaCl, 1 M; followed by (b) 1 mL wash: Tris, 20 mM; NaCl, 150 mM; followed by (c)

2 mL wash: Tris, 20 mM; NaCl, 500 mM; imidazole, 20 mM; and (d) eluted with elution

buffer: 1 mL Tris HCl, 10 mM, pH 8.0, NaCl 250 mM, imidazole 500 mM. Buffer was

exchanged by ultracentrifugation (AMICON, 15 mL, 50 000 MW cutoff) to final storage

buffer, which was 10 mM Tris pH 8.0; 100 mM KCl; 0.5 mM DTT; 0.1 mM EDTA; 0.5

%Tween 20; 0.5 % Igepal; glycerol 50%. Protein concentration was measured using

Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit, following the manufacturer recommendations.

Results

Fluorine has an atomic mass of 19, meaning fluorinated molecules are intrinsically

denser than water. However, this greater atomic mass can be partly offset by the larger

mean volumes of CF_2 (38 Å^3) and CF_3 (92 Å^3) groups relative to CH_2 (27 Å^3) and CH_3

(54 Å^3) [31], both less dense than water. Therefore, we reasoned that fluorous oils

having a density of water might be obtained by balancing their fluorinated components

with alkane components. This balancing must also consider the density of silicon (Si =

28 daltons) since cyclotrisiloxanes are part of the polymerization mixture.

With these considerations, we explored methods to prepare low-density fluorous oils

by reacting siloxanes having both alkane and trifluoromethylalkane side chains,

specifically 1,3,5-trimethyl 1,3,5-tris(3,3,3-trifluoropropyl)-cyclotrisiloxane and

hexamethylcyclotrisiloxane (Fig 1).

Ring-opening polymerization

Acid catalyzed ring-opening polymerization produced a series of polysiloxanes. The

addition of hexamethyldisiloxane as a chain terminator prevented the production of

species of higher molecular weight, limiting the viscosity of higher molecular weight

species; species with very high viscosity are not desired in microfluidics.

Polymerization under these conditions produced a fluid with bimodal molecular weight

distribution, with a high molecular weight (Mp ~ 9400 g/mol) and a low molecular weight

(Mp ~ 300 g/mol). After removing unreacted materials under vacuum (90º C, 23 mm

Hg), the two fractions were separated by Kugelrohr distillation. Approximately 15 mL of

the fluid consists of a low molecular weight fraction (150º C, 23 mm Hg) having a

density of 1.0565 g/mL. The remaining fluid (~ 53 mL) consists of a high molecular

weight fraction with density 1.031 g/mL; this remained undistilled up to 200º C at 23 mm

Hg).

The low molecular weight fraction contains a higher proportion of fluorinated

monomers estimated by ^{1}H-NMR. Fig 4A shows the overlay of two gel permeation

chromatography runs of the two distinctive fraction mixtures separated by distillation;

they show both an unimodal molecular weight distribution of Mp ~ 10320 g/mol for the

high molecular weight fraction and Mp ~ 320 g/mol for the low molecular weight fraction.

Values of Mp, Mn, Mw, Mz, and PDI values are tabulated in Table 1. Fig 4B shows an

overlay of these two fractions and a third sample that corresponds to a similar

polymerization reaction where the fractions were not separated by distillation.

Figure 4. **MW distribution overlays for different fluorinated polysiloxanes.** Panel A:

The orange line represents the fraction obtained by distillation using a Kugelrohr

apparatus (150º C, 23 mmHg) which exhibits an unimodal molecular weight distribution

(Mp ~ 320 g/mol); the purple line represents the remaining material, which exhibits an

unimodal molecular weight distribution of Mp ~ 10,320 g/mol. Panel B: Ring-opening

polymerization produced a bimodal molecular weight distribution with a high molecular

weight peak (Mp ~ 9 400 g/mol) and a low molecular weight peak (Mp ~ 300 g/mol). The

high molecular weight and low molecular weight fractions obtained by distillation from a

similar reaction are overlapped for comparison. Panel C: Ring-opening polymerization

using a higher proportion of fluorinated monomers produced two fluids that

spontaneously separated in two layers: The top layer, labeled as Fluid C with a density

of 1.0457 g/mL (green line) and the bottom layer labeled as Fluid D (red line) with a

density of 1.1347 g/mL. Both fluids showed a bimodal distribution of molecular weights.

Table 1. Summary of molecular weight moments and polydispersity.

sample	Mp [g/mol]	Mn [g/mol]	Mw [g/mol]	Mz [g/mol]	PDI
Fluid A	9404 ± 57.7	1748 ± 31.2	10126 ± 83.5	23 432 ± 415.9	5.793 ± 0.058
Fluid A peak 1	10321 ± 34.6	3,206 ± 486	11,478 ± 74.0	23,241 ± 1,767	3.637 ± 0.561
Fluid A peak 2	321 ± 2.08	230 ± 2.65	305 ± 2.00	375 ± 1.15	1.326 ± 0.008
Fluid C	6412 ± 64	2064 ± 11	6266 ± 116	11521 ± 532	3.04 ± 0.06
Fluid C peak 1	6412 ± 64	4549 ± 34	7529 ± 144	11729 ± 538	1.66 ± 0.019
Fluid C peak 2	626 ± 6	597 ± 3	637 ± 3	674 ± 4	1.07 ± 0.008
Fluid D	645 ± 4	1722 ± 12	6543 ± 119	13708 ± 1289	3.80 ± 0.07
Fluid D peak 1	7178 ± 47	4892 ± 23	8522 ± 70	13426 ± 250	1.74 ± 0.009
Fluid D peak 2	645 ± 4	611 ± 4	661 ± 4	706 ± 4	1.08 ± 0.003

350   Values reported in polystyrene equivalents for samples: Fluid A peak 1 is referred as high molecular

351   weight fraction (HMW) and Fluid A peak 2 referred as low molecular weight fraction (LMW).

Figure 5. ¹NMR analysis of fluorosilicone polysiloxanes. A. Overlay of the ¹HNMR
of the low molecular weight and the high molecular weight fraction samples indicating
the assigned peaks. B. Magnification of the overlay. C. ¹HNMR of the low molecular
weight fraction showing peak integration. D. ¹HNMR of the high molecular weight
fraction showing peak integration.

¹HNMR analysis

   Resonances with chemical shifts between 0.0 and 0.3 ppm were assigned to Si-CH₃
(labeled "a" in Fig 5A). These signals arise from both fluorinated and non-fluorinated
monomers. Resonances with chemical shifts between 0.7 and 0.9 ppm were assigned
to the methylene groups attached to Si (α-CH₂-, labeled "b" in Fig 6A). Resonances with
chemical shifts between 1.9 and 2.2 ppm were assigned to the methylene beta to the Si
(β-CH₂-, labeled '"c" in Fig 6A) for the fluorinated monomers that contained -CH₂$^{\alpha}$-CH₂$^{\beta}$-
CF₃.

The ratios of non-fluorinated monomer to fluorinated monomer were calculated using
the integrals from the β protons (denoted by "c") and the Si-CH₃ protons (denoted by
"a"). The following equation was used to determine the monomer ratio:

$$\frac{\text{Non} - \text{fluorinated monomer}}{\text{Fluorinated monomer}} = \frac{(\text{Aa} - 3\,)/6}{\text{Ac}/2}$$

374   Here, A_c is the integration area of the peak for the β-CH$_2$- protons and A_a is the

375   integration area of the peak for the Si-CH$_3$ protons. The integration values and

376   monomer ratios are summarized in Table 2.

378   **Table 2. Integration of peaks used for determination of the ratio of non-fluorinated**

379   **monomer:fluorinated monomer.**

sample	β-CH$_2$	Si-CH$_3$	non-fluorinated monomer: fluorinated monomer
Fluid A peak 2 (LMW)	2	18.3	2.6 : 1
Fluid A peak 1 (HMW)	2	96.3	15.6 : 1

380   Values for samples HMW: high molecular weight and LMW: low molecular weight.

384   **Table 3. Viscosity, density and other properties measured on fluorinated polysiloxanes.**

density g/mL	Viscosity[*] (Centistokes)	^{1}HNMR β–CH$_2$ integral	^{1}HNMR Si-CH$_3$ integral	Mw	PDI	non-fluorinated monomer: fluorinated monomer
1.0310	n/d	2	18.3	305	1.3	2.55 : 1
1.0565	n/d	2	96.3	11 478	3.6	15.55 : 1
1.0593	4.1	2	18.11	n/d	n/d	2.51 : 1
1.0763	4.3	2	15.02	n/d	n/d	2.00 : 1
1.1397	4.5	2	11.48	n/d	n/d	1.41 : 1
0.986	67	2	58	10 126	5.8	9.17 : 1
1.0457	6.06	2	33.98	6 266	3.04	5.16 : 1
1.1347	10.8	2	12.24	6 543	3.8	1.54 : 1

*Average viscosity is reported using a Newtonian model. Determined by Cambridge Polymer Group

using a TA Instruments DHR-2 rheometer.

The integral of the resonances assigned to the Si-CH$_3$ units (0.0 to 0.3 ppm) is

inversely proportional to the fraction of fluorinated monomers present in the fluid. Higher

content of fluorinated monomers also correlates with lower viscosity values and higher

densities (Table 3). We found that the nonionic fluorinated surfactant shown is soluble

up to 5% in the low molecular weight fraction while it is not soluble in the high molecular

weight fraction. We found useful to combine four volumes of the high molecular weight

fraction with 1 volume of the low molecular weight fraction obtained using protocol 1.

Using one particular batch 4 mL of a HMW fraction (density: 0.984 g/mL) was combined

with 1 mL of the LMW fraction (density: 1.0613 g/mL) to produce a fluid of density 1.009

g/mol where we could solubilize 2% of the fluorinated surfactant without visible

separation of the surfactant from the fluid.

The preferred oils were then challenged to support processes that involve both DNA

and enzymes, all useful in biomolecular sciences, nanotechnology, and diversity

science:

(a) Assembly of origami DNA nanostructures inside droplets suspended in the

fluorinated oil.

(b) PCR amplification of a 6-letter DNA templates inside droplets suspended in the

fluorinated oil, including those built from an AEGIS DNA alphabet.

(c) Generation of fluorescent signals inside droplets suspended in the fluorinated oil

using a Taqman® assay, also with six-letter DNA real-time PCR.

(d) Generation of fluorescent signals inside droplets suspended in the fluorinated oil

using blocked nucleoside triphosphate's, where the reaction is initiated by adding a

reagent (amyl nitrite) that is able to diffuse through the fluorinated, enter the droplet, and

remove the blocking group.

Origami DNA

An origami kit obtained from Tilibit Nanosystems that assembles to form a cuboid of

dimensions 61 nm x 8 nm x 52 nm with an aperture of 9 nm x 15 nm was set up as

suggested by the manufacturer. The formation of the nanostructure was verified by its

distinctive shift in migration of the scaffold/staples mixture when electrophoresed in an

agarose gel in 0.5 X TBE with ethidium bromide and 6 mM $MgCl_2$. It was observed (Fig

6) that both the emulsified and non-emulsified origami reaction gave the expected

nanostructure without noticeable difference. The result shown in Fig 6 comes from

droplets prepared using microfluidics as described in the experimental section. This

experiment was repeated preparing the emulsions using a Tiussuelyser (Quiagen)

without noticeable difference between the methods of emulsification (data not shown).

Figure 6. DNA electrophoresis in agarose (2%) showing the formation of origami

structures in droplets. The gel was 0.5 X Tris-borate EDTA buffer with ethidium

bromide and 6 mM $MgCl_2$. The "nanostructure PF-2, cuboid with large aperture" origami

structure (Tilibit Nanosystems). The scaffold is a single-stranded DNA type p7249

isolated from M13mp18 of length 7249 bases. The staples are a mixture of 208 oligos.

Scaffold runs near the 2000 bp MW marker. A sample of the mixture of scaffold and

staples not annealed is run for comparison. The origami folded properly shows a

distinctive migration on the gel. Annealing was done by incubating at 65° C for 10

minutes then cooled down from 60° C to 40 ° C at a rate of 1° C per hour.

AEGIS PCR with 6-Letters

To demonstrate that droplets suspended in the iso-dense fluorinated oil had thermal

stability adequate to support PCR and, in particular, PCR containing AEGIS bases, a

double stranded DNA molecule with 49 bp containing the AEGIS pair Z:P (Fig 7) was

used as template. The enzyme used to amplify this was a derivative of the Taq DNA

polymerase where the amino acids 1-280 were deleted (removing the exonuclease

domain), with the N-terminus of the catalytic domain fused to a processivity enhancing

domain from *Sulfolobus solfataricus*. The Taq variant was selected using diversity

science, and has 6 mutations, two specific for enhancing the incorporation of the pair

Z:P. The enzyme is described as: Sso7d-Taq (Δ 1-280) R587Q E626K I707L E708K

A743H E832G.

Progress of the PCR was monitored by observing the fluorescence of Evagreen®,

which intercalates into double-stranded PCR amplicons. Fig 7 shows real time PCR

fluorescence signals arising from a PCR done, as a control, in bulk aqueous solution, a

positive control. Fig 7 also shows real time PCR fluorescence signals arising from a

PCR done in parallel in water droplets emulsified in iso-dense fluorinated oil. The Ct

values (the number of cycles needed to reach a threshold) for both the bulk PCR and

the emulsified PCR were substantially the same. This establishes the value of these

emulsions in PCR.

Quantitiative, in the emulsified PCR, the final "plateau" fluorescent signal was about

one third of the signal observed with the bulk PCR. This is consistent with the fact that

the active volume of the bulk (unemulsified) PCR was ca. three times the volume of the

active volume in the emulsified PCR. These results showed that neither the surfactant

nor the fluorinated oil had any measurable impact on the progress of the PCR. This is

consistent with the orthogonality of the fluorinated oil and the surfactant with respect all

biological molecules involved in the PCR.

Figure 7. Real time PCR showing emergence of fluorescence from interacalated

Evagreen® without emulsification (blue line) and in the emulsified PCR (red line). The

emulsion was prepared using microfluidic devices made of PDMS. The aqueous phase

was delivered (25°C) at 5 μL/min by the PDMS chip into the fluorinated oil matrix at 12

μL/min. The matrix phase is a fluorinated silicon polymer with 2% of surfactant (see Figs

1 and 2 for structures). The buffer was 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4; 10 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$; 10

mM KCl; 2 mM $MgSO_4$; 0.1% Triton® X-100;. Primers are 0.02 μM; template 2×10^{-7}

μM; dNTPs both natural and AEGIS 0.2 mM; Evagreen 2X; polymerase at 7.1×10^{-2}

was: Sso7d-Taq (Δ 1-280) R587Q E626K I707L E708K A743H E832G

Taqman® assay

One standard way to detect DNA is a Taqman® assay. Here, DNA polymerase from

Thermus aquaticus degrades the downstream strand using its 5'-3' exonuclease activity

displacing a probe from the template, cleaving the probe in the process. This cleavage

separates a fluorescent reporter (here, fluorescein, FAM) from one end of the probe

from a fluorescence quencher at the other end of the probe, to give a fluorescent signal.

Here, we adapted the Taqman® assay to detect a target analyte that contained AEGIS

dZ and dP. As before, the aqueous phase was emulsified by mixing 100 µL of aqueous

phase mixed with 300 µL of fluorinated oil (1 volume of LMW fraction and 4 volumes of

the HMW fraction, see protocol 1) with 2% of surfactant shown on Fig 2. Emulsions

were made by shaking using a QUIAGEN TissueLyser II (15 Hz for 10 seconds followed

by 17 Hz for 7 seconds) in a Snap-Cap Microcentrifuge Biopur™ Safe-Lock™ tubes

containing a 6 mm stainless steel bead.

Fig 9 shows in real-time fluorescence detected in that assay. Again, output was

essentially the same as observed in bulk Taqman® assays. This again showed the

orthogonality of the iso-dense fluorinated oil-fluorinated surfactant system. The Taq

DNA polymerase variant used contains two mutations (R587Q, E832C) that favor the

incorporation of the Z:P pair

Figure 8. Taqman® assay in droplets. Top: Scheme of the Taqman® assay containing

AEGIS (dZ:dP pair hydrogen bonding shown). Bottom: Real-time fluorescence detected

from FAM probe when Taq [R587Q E832C] polymerase places a dZ opposite dP. The

blue line is the fluorescence from a non-emulsified reaction. The red line corresponds to

the signal from the emulsified reaction. The emulsion was made by mixing 100 µL of

aqueous phase with 300 µL of a fluorinated oil with 2% (v/v) fluorinated surfactant as

shown on Fig 2. Mixed in Quiagen TissueLyser II in the presence of a 6 mm stainless

steel bead at 15 Hz for 10 seconds followed by 17 Hz for 7 seconds using a Snap-Cap

Microcentrifuge Biopur™ Safe-Lock™ Tube containing a stainless steel ball.

500 RCA in droplets

Many regard water droplets in compartments as inaccessible to reagent-based

manipulation. Here, we overcame this limitation by showing that reactions can be

initiated within droplets isolated in fluorinated oil by adding "turn on" reagents that can

diffuse through the oil. This allowed us to mix DNA templates, DNA primers,

triphosphates and enzymes without having any RCA before the material was

encapsulated in emulsions.

To enable this controlled chemistry, we exploited the aminoxy group in a 3'-blocked

nucleoside triphosphate. With a 3'-O-NH$_2$ blocking group, primers cannot be extended

by a DNA polymerase. However, treatment with amyl nitrite cleaves the O-N bond to

yield an extendable 3'-OH group. Further, we discovered that amyl nitrite will diffuse

through the fluorinated oil and partition into the aqueous droplets. There, it cleaves the

O-N bond to allow primer extension to occur.

This manipulative architecture was demonstrated using rolling circle amplification

(RCA). RCA works by having a circular template, a primer that binds to that circular

template, and a strand displacing polymerase that extends that primer, displacing

already synthesized DNA as it proceeds around the circle. Here, the aqueous phase

used to prepare the droplets contains a circularized template 96 nucleotides around, a

primer complementary to the circular template, and three natural dNTPs (dATP, dCTP

and dTTP). To prevent the RCA from proceeding during emulsification, dGTP carried a

3'-aminoxy block, dGTP-ONH$_2$. The polymerase was Phi29 DNA polymerase.

RCA was initiated by contacting the emulsion (60 µL) with 200 µL of a high molecular

weight fraction of the fluorinated oil containing amyl nitrite (0.1% v/v). The progress of

the RCA was followed by measuring fluorescence emitted by an intercalating fluor

(EvaGreen®) in a Light Cycler over a period of 2-3 hours (Fig 9). These data showed

the successful O-N bond cleavage and RCA product formation.

Figure 9. Amplification curves obtained using the LightCycler96 for RCA

amplification in droplets. Fluorescence detection is acquired after each two step cycle

[37 C for 60 seconds- 37 C for10 seconds followed by aquisition] x 99 cycles. This lasts

about 2 hours. Line A: Emulsion using all natural dNTPs. No initiator needed, or added.

Line B: Emulsion using all natural dNTPs. Initiator added to 0.08%. Line C. Emulsion

containing dATP, dCTP, dTTP and dGTP-ONH^2. Initiator added to 0.077%.

Line D: Emulsion containing dATP, dCTP, dTTP and dGTP-ONH^2. No amyl nitrite "turn

on" reagent added.

Discussion

The growing interest in synthetic cells [49] matches a growing interest in engineering

enzymes for synthetic biology [50, 51] [52] by directed evolution. For example, Tawfik

and Griffiths [24] developed a method to link genotype and phenotype in droplets of

water emulsified in oil called "Compartmentalized Self-Replication" (CSR). This strategy

was adapted in the Holliger laboratory for the directed evolution of DNA polymerases

[6].

However, various laboratories have reported difficulties in reproducing CSR

procedures. One of the first problems identified was associated to the surfactant Span

80® [53]. It is very likely that Span 80®, which is derived from animal fat, carries in

uncontrolled amounts a problematic contaminant. Indeed the Holliger group reports the

need for an antioxidant to avoid oxidation due to peroxide contaminants in Span 80®

[32]. Thus, while results are possible with surfactant mixtures containing Span 80®,

these are hit-or-miss, and this corner of biotechnology has become known in the

community as problematically irreproducible. Further, although they are not frequently

published, many observations suggest that some biological systems suffer denaturation

when they encounter hydrocarbon oils, causing CSR to fail.

For this reason, many have turned to the synthetic materials and a third liquid phase,

the "fluorous phase". Kobayashi and Owen reported some time ago the synthesis of

non-ionic fluorosilicone surfactants with 3,3,3-trifluoropropyl and 3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,6-

nonafluorohexyl side chains with poly(oxyethylene) hydrophiles [47]. We synthesized

and tested some of these and found that the highest solubility and thermal stability of

the emulsions formed was achieved was between a fluorinated polysiloxane with

particular characteristics and a fluorinated surfactant with a fluorinated side chain Hxf

$(CF_3CF_2CF_2CF_2CH_2CH_2-)$ (Fig 2).

Here, balancing the mixture of hydrocarbon-carrying silanes, intrinsically less dense

than water, with fluorohydrocarbon-carrying silanes, intrinsically more dense than water,

yielded mixtures that had the density essentially identical to the density of water. As the

density of water can change with different amounts of solutes, the density of the

fluorinated oils described here can be changed to match that density.

Multiple standard challenges in nanotechnology were applied to these iso-dense

fluorinated oil-surfactant systems: (a) origami, (b) PCR, (c) Taqman® assays, and (d)

RCA. All of these biochemical reactions worked as well in these iso-dense fluorinated

oil-surfactant systems as their non-emulsified analogs. Thus, they add a significant and

important new resource for nanotechnology and diversity science.

Acknowledgments

572   We thank Tamara Aigner and Jean-Philippe Sobczak from Tilibit Nanosystems for

573   useful discussions and assistance in troubleshooting and confirming the formation of the

574   origami structure. We thank Brian Paegel laboratory for providing molds and assistance

575   in preparing microfluidic devices as well as valuable discussions in surfactant design.

References

1.   Buddingh BC, van Hest JCM. Artificial Cells: Synthetic Compartments with Life-like

Functionality and Adaptivity. Accounts of Chemical Research. 2017;50(4):769-77. doi:

10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00512. PubMed PMID: WOS:000399859800013.

2.   Elani Y. Interfacing Living and Synthetic Cells as an Emerging Frontier in Synthetic

Biology. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2020. doi: 10.1002/anie.202006941.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000578742300001.

3.   Akbarzadeh A, Rezaei-Sadabady R, Davaran S, Joo SW, Zarghami N, Hanifehpour Y, et al.

Liposome: classification, preparation, and applications. Nanoscale Research Letters. 2013;8.

doi: 10.1186/1556-276x-8-102. PubMed PMID: WOS:000316359300001.

4.   Allen TM, Cullis PR. Liposomal drug delivery systems: From concept to clinical

applications. Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews. 2013;65(1):36-48. doi:

10.1016/j.addr.2012.09.037. PubMed PMID: WOS:000315547400008.

5.   Lammers T, Kiessling F, Hennink WE, Storm G. Drug targeting to tumors: Principles,

pitfalls and (pre-) clinical progress. Journal of Controlled Release. 2012;161(2):175-87. doi:

10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.09.063. PubMed PMID: WOS:000305790300004.

6.   Ghadessy FJ, Ong JL, Holliger P. Directed evolution of polymerase function by

compartmentalized self-replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98(8):4552-7. doi:

10.1073/pnas.071052198. PubMed PMID: PMC31872.

7.   Ghadessy FJ, Ramsay N, Boudsocq F, Loakes D, Brown A, Iwai S, et al. Generic expansion

of the substrate spectrum of a DNA polymerase by directed evolution. Nature Biotechnology.

2004;22(6):755-9. doi: 10.1038/nbt974. PubMed PMID: WOS:000221785300038.

8.   Laos R, Shaw R, Leal NA, Gaucher E, Benner S. Directed Evolution of Polymerases To

Accept Nucleotides with Nonstandard Hydrogen Bond Patterns. Biochemistry.

2013;52(31):5288-94. doi: 10.1021/bi400558c. PubMed PMID: WOS:000323013700017.

9.   Laos R, Thomson JM, Benner SA. DNA Polymerases Engineered by Directed Evolution to

Incorporate Nonstandard Nucleotides. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2014;5. doi:

10.3389/fmicb.2014.00565.

10.   Paegel BM, Joyce GF. Microfluidic Compartmentalized Directed Evolution. Chemistry &

Biology. 2010;17(7):717-24. doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.05.021. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000280831100008.

11.   Yang JH, Tu R, Yuan HL, Wang QH, Zhu LL. Recent advances in droplet microfluidics for

enzyme and cell factory engineering. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology. doi:

10.1080/07388551.2021.1898326. PubMed PMID: WOS:000630753400001.

12.   Kurihara K, Tamura M, Shohda K-i, Toyota T, Suzuki K, Sugawara T. Self-reproduction of

supramolecular giant vesicles combined with the amplification of encapsulated DNA. Nat Chem.

2011;3(10):775-81. doi: 10.1038/nchem.1127. PubMed PMID: WOS:000295157200010.

13.   Luisi PL, Walde P, Oberholzer T. Lipid vesicles as possible intermediates in the origin of

life. Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science. 1999;4(1):33-9. doi: 10.1016/s1359-

0294(99)00012-6. PubMed PMID: WOS:000080941800005.

14.   Stano P. Minimal cells: Relevance and interplay of physical and biochemical factors.

Biotechnology Journal. 2011;6(7):850-9. doi: 10.1002/biot.201100079. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000292738100009.

15.   Walde P, Ichikawa S. Enzymes inside lipid vesicles: Preparation, reactivity and

applications. Biomolecular Engineering. 2001;18(4):143-77. doi: 10.1016/s1389-0344(01)00088-

0. PubMed PMID: WOS:000171578700001.

16.   Caschera F, Noireaux V. Integration of biological parts toward the synthesis of a minimal

cell. Current Opinion in Chemical Biology. 2014;22:85-91. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.09.028.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000345604000014.

17.   Huang Y, Kim S-H, Arriaga LR. Emulsion templated vesicles with symmetric or

asymmetric membranes. Advances in Colloid and Interface Science. 2017;247:413-25. doi:

10.1016/j.cis.2017.07.013. PubMed PMID: WOS:000412150100026.

18.   Kamiya K, Takeuchi S. Giant liposome formation toward the synthesis of well-defined

artificial cells. Journal of Materials Chemistry B. 2017;5(30):5911-23. doi: 10.1039/c7tb01322a.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000407083800001.

19.   Matosevic S. Synthesizing artificial cells from giant unilamellar vesicles: State-of-the art

in the development of microfluidic technology. Bioessays. 2012;34(11):992-1001. doi:

10.1002/bies.201200105. PubMed PMID: WOS:000309910400015.

20.   Supramaniam P, Ces O, Salehi-Reyhani A. Microfluidics for Artificial Life: Techniques for

Bottom-Up Synthetic Biology. Micromachines. 2019;10(5). doi: 10.3390/mi10050299. PubMed

PMID: WOS:000470966700022.

21.   Trantidou T, Friddin MS, Salehi-Reyhani A, Ces O, Elani Y. Droplet microfluidics for the

construction of compartmentalised model membranes. Lab on a Chip. 2018;18(17):2488-509.

doi: 10.1039/c8lc00028j. PubMed PMID: WOS:000443279400001.

22.   van Swaay D, deMello A. Microfluidic methods for forming liposomes. Lab on a Chip.

2013;13(5):752-67. doi: 10.1039/c2lc41121k. PubMed PMID: WOS:000314651000001.

23.   Laos R, Shaw RW, Benner SA. Engineered DNA Polymerases. In: Murakami KS, Trakselis

MA, editors. Nucleic Acid Polymerases. Nucleic Acids and Molecular Biology. 302014. p. 163-87.

24.   Tawfik DS, Griffiths AD. Man-made cell-like compartments for molecular evolution.

Nature Biotechnology. 1998;16(7):652-6. doi: 10.1038/nbt0798-652. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000074521800029.

25.   Singh I, Laos R, Hoshika S, Benner SA, Georgiadis MM. Snapshots of an evolved DNA

polymerase pre- and post-incorporation of an unnatural nucleotide. Nucleic Acids Research.

2018;46(15):7977-88. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky552. PubMed PMID: WOS:000444148100043.

26.   Benner SA, Karalkar NB, Hoshika S, Laos R, Shaw RW, Matsuura M, et al. Alternative

Watson-Crick Synthetic Genetic Systems. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology.

2016;8(11):26. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a023770. PubMed PMID: WOS:000389841300005.

27.   Zhang LQ, Yang ZY, Trinh TL, Teng IT, Wang S, Bradley KM, et al. Aptamers against Cells

Overexpressing Glypican 3 from Expanded Genetic Systems Combined with Cell Engineering and

Laboratory Evolution. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition. 2016;55(40):12372-5. doi:

10.1002/anie.201605058. PubMed PMID: WOS:000384713700041.

28.   Biondi E, Lane JD, Das D, Dasgupta S, Piccirilli JA, Hoshika S, et al. Laboratory evolution

of artificially expanded DNA gives redesignable aptamers that target the toxic form of anthrax

protective antigen. Nucleic Acids Research. 2016;44(20):9565-77. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw890.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000393817800011.

29.   Zhu GZ, Zheng J, Song EQ, Donovan M, Zhang KJ, Liu C, et al. Self-assembled, aptamer-

tethered DNA nanotrains for targeted transport of molecular drugs in cancer theranostics. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(20):7998-8003. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220817110. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000319803500016.

30.   Duffy K, Arangundy-Franklin S, Holliger P. Modified nucleic acids: replication, evolution,

and next-generation therapeutics. Bmc Biology. 2020;18(1). doi: 10.1186/s12915-020-00803-6.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000568198200001.

31.   Jena NR, Pant S, Srivastava HK. Artificially expanded genetic information systems

(AEGISs) as potent inhibitors of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of the SARS-CoV-2.

Journal of Biomolecular Structure & Dynamics. 2021:17. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2021.1883112.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000616859200001.

32.   Ramsay N, Jemth A-S, Brown A, Crampton N, Dear P, Holliger P. CyDNA: Synthesis and

Replication of Highly Cy-Dye Substituted DNA by an Evolved Polymerase. Journal of the

American Chemical Society. 2010;132(14):5096-104. doi: 10.1021/ja909180c. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000276553700036.

33.   Diehl F, Li M, He YP, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Dressman D. BEAMing: single-molecule

PCR on microparticles in water-in-oil emulsions. Nature Methods. 2006;3(7):551-9. doi:

10.1038/nmeth898. PubMed PMID: WOS:000238669600018.

34.   Baret JC. Surfactants in droplet-based microfluidics. Lab on a Chip. 2012;12(3):422-33.

doi: 10.1039/c1lc20582j. PubMed PMID: WOS:000298964300003.

35.   Dai B, Leal LG. The mechanism of surfactant effects on drop coalescence. Physics of

Fluids. 2008;20(4). doi: 10.1063/1.2911700. PubMed PMID: WOS:000255456600012.

36.   Riess JG. Fluorous micro- and nanophases with a biomedical perspective. Tetrahedron.

2002;58(20):4113-31. doi: 10.1016/s0040-4020(02)00262-4. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000175612700037.

37.   Riess JG. Fluorocarbon-based injectable gaseous microbubbles for diagnosis and

therapy. Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science. 2003;8(3):259-66. doi: 10.1016/s1359-

0294(03)00047-5. PubMed PMID: WOS:000184757000008.

38. Riess JG. Fluorocarbon-based oxygen-delivery: Basic principles and product

development. Blood Substitutes: Principles, Methods, Products and Clinical Trials, Vol 2.

1998:101-26. PubMed PMID: CCC:000072284300006.

39. Riess JG. Oxygen carriers ("blood substitutes") - Raison d'Etre, chemistry, and some

physiology. Chemical Reviews. 2001;101(9):2797-919. doi: 10.1021/cr970143c. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000171047000007.

40. Schutt EG, Klein DH, Mattrey RM, Riess JG. Injectable microbubbles as contrast agents

for diagnostic ultrasound imaging: The key role of perfluorochemicals. Angewandte Chemie-

International Edition. 2003;42(28):3218-35. doi: 10.1002/anie.200200550. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000184465000003.

41. Abate AR, Weitz DA. High-Order Multiple Emulsions Formed in Poly(dimethylsiloxane)

Microfluidics. Small. 2009;5(18):2030-2. doi: 10.1002/smll.200900569. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000270342500001.

42. Granieri L, Baret JC, Griffiths AD, Merten CA. High-Throughput Screening of Enzymes by

Retroviral Display Using Droplet-Based Microfluidics. Chemistry & Biology. 2010;17(3):229-35.

doi: 10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.02.011. PubMed PMID: WOS:000276680200005.

43. Mazutis L, Araghi AF, Miller OJ, Baret JC, Frenz L, Janoshazi A, et al. Droplet-Based

Microfluidic Systems for High-Throughput Single DNA Molecule Isothermal Amplification and

Analysis. Analytical Chemistry. 2009;81(12):4813-21. doi: 10.1021/ac900403z. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000266969700019.

44.   Fidalgo LM, Abell C, Huck WTS. Surface-induced droplet fusion in microfluidic devices.

Lab on a Chip. 2007;7(8):984-6. doi: 10.1039/b708091c. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000248281600013.

45.   Marcoux PR, Dupoy M, Mathey R, Novelli-Rousseau A, Heran V, Morales S, et al. Micro-

confinement of bacteria into w/o emulsion droplets for rapid detection and enumeration.

Colloids and Surfaces a-Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 2011;377(1-3):54-62. doi:

10.1016/j.colsurfa.2010.12.013. PubMed PMID: WOS:000288773900007.

46.   Schonbrun E, Abate AR, Steinvurzel PE, Weitz DA, Crozier KB. High-throughput

fluorescence detection using an integrated zone-plate array. Lab on a Chip. 2010;10(7):852-6.

doi: 10.1039/b923554j. PubMed PMID: WOS:000275757600010.

47.   Kobayashi H, Owen MJ. Nonionic Fluorosilicone Surfactants. Journal of Colloid and

Interface Science. 1993;156(2):415-9. doi: 10.1006/jcis.1993.1131. PubMed PMID:

WOS:A1993KT88300023.

48.   Hutter D, Kim MJ, Karalkar N, Leal NA, Chen F, Guggenheim E, et al. Labeled nucleoside

triphosphates with reversibly terminating aminoalkoxyl groups. Nucleosides Nucleotides &

Nucleic Acids. 2010;29(11-12):879-95. doi: 10.1080/15257770.2010.536191. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000284889700008.

49.   Nie P, Bai Y, Hui M. Synthetic Life with Alternative Nucleic Acids as Genetic Materials.

Molecules. 2020;25(15):3483. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules25153483. PubMed

PMID: 2430552638.

50.   Nikoomanzar A, Chim N, Yik EJ, Chaput JC. Engineering polymerases for applications in

synthetic biology. Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics. 2020;53. doi: 10.1017/s0033583520000050.

PubMed PMID: WOS:000552696100001.

51.   Ouaray Z, Singh I, Georgiadis MM, Richards NGJ. Building better enzymes: Molecular

basis of improved non-natural nucleobase incorporation by an evolved DNA polymerase.

Protein Science. 2020;29(2):455-68. doi: 10.1002/pro.3762. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000496314100001.

52.   Coulther TA, Stern HR, Beuning PJ. Engineering Polymerases for New Functions. Trends

in Biotechnology. 2019;37(10):1091-103. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2019.03.011. PubMed PMID:

WOS:000485189600009.

53.   R. L. Directed evolution of polymerases to accept nucleotides with non-strandard

hydrogen bonding patterns. Florida, USA: University of Florida; 2015.

Supporting information

743 S1 Table 1. List of oligos used as staples in Origami DNA folding experiment.

Figure 1

Figure 2

reversible terminator

amyl nitrite

dGTP

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Fwd

```
5'- GAC GGA CTG CCT ATG AGCAG TTZAAA AGZT A TGA TAC TGC GAT CGC CTC-3'
3'- CTG CCT GAC GGA TAC TCGTC AAPTTT TCPA T ACT ATG ACG CTA GCG GAG-5'
                                               Rev
```

Figure 7

5'-AGTAGTGAGCTGACTGCGC   6FAM   ZEN
                                ATGAATACCTTTGTTCTGCTGGCACTGCTG-3'
3'-TCATCACTCGACTGACGCGTG**Z**AGTGATTCTACTTATGGAAACAAGACGACCGTGACGAC-5'

or 3'-TCATCACTCGACTGACGCGTG**P**AGTGATTCTACTTATGGAAACAAGACGACCGTGACGAC-5'

Figure 8

Figure 9