Humanized substitutions of *Vmat1* in mice alter amygdala-dependent behaviors

associated with the evolution of anxiety

Daiki X. Sato[1, #†], Yukiko U. Inoue[2†], Yuki Morimoto[2], Takayoshi Inoue[2], Nahoko

Kuga[3], Takuya Sasaki[3, 4], Yuji Ikegaya[3, 5, 6], Kensaku Nomoto[7], Takefumi Kikusui[8],

Satoko Hattori[9], Giovanni Sala[9], Hideo Hagihara[9], Tsuyoshi Miyakawa[9] and Masakado

Kawata[1]*

[1]Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Cellular Biology, National Institute of Neuroscience,

National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Kodaira, Tokyo 187-8502, Japan

[3]Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo,

Bunkyo-Ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[4]Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology (PRESTO), Japan

Science and Technology Agency (JST), 4-1-8 Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012,

Japan

[5]Center for Information and Neural Networks, National Institute of Information and

Communications Technology, 1-4 Yamadaoka, Suita City, Osaka, 565-0871, Japan

[6]Institute for AI and Beyond, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

[7]Department of Physiology, Dokkyo Medical University, Mibu, Tochigi, 321-0293,

Japan

[8]Department of Animal Science and Biotechnology, Azabu University, Kanagawa,

Japan

[9]Division of Systems Medical Science, Institute for Comprehensive Medical Science,

Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Aichi 470-1192, Japan

[#]Present address: Graduate School of Science, Chiba University, Chiba, 263-8522,

Japan

[†]equally contributed

*corresponding author: kawata@tohoku.ac.jp, TEL +81-22-795-6688

Keywords

Vmat1, anxiety, amygdala, human evolution, CRISPR/Cas9

Abstract

The human vesicular monoamine transporter 1 (*VMAT1*) harbors unique substitutions

(Asn136Thr/Ile) that affect monoamine uptake into synaptic vesicles. These

substitutions are absent in all known mammals, suggesting their contributions to distinct

aspects of human behavior modulated by monoaminergic transmission, such as emotion

and cognition. To directly test the impact of these human-specific mutations, we

introduced the humanized residues into mouse *Vmat1* via CRISPR/Cas9-mediated

genome editing and examined changes at the behavioral, neurophysiological and

molecular levels. Behavioral tests revealed reduced anxiety-related traits of *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile}}$

mice, consistent with human studies, and electrophysiological recordings showed

altered oscillatory activity in the amygdala under anxiogenic conditions. Transcriptome

analyses further identified amygdala-specific changes in the expression of genes

involved in neurodevelopment and emotional regulation, which may corroborate the

observed phenotypes. This knock-in mouse model hence provides compelling evidence

that the mutations affecting monoaminergic signaling and amygdala circuits have

contributed to the evolution of human socio-emotional behaviors.

Introduction

Recent years have seen a surge in the study revealing distinct human brain

characteristics at the molecular, cellular, and circuit levels in both cortex and subcortical

structures (1–4). Differences in brain monoaminergic signaling are likely to be key

factors conferring human attributes, as various monoaminergic pathways are involved in

emotional regulation, memory consolidation, cognitive flexibility, and complex social

behaviors (5–7). Comparative studies have indeed demonstrated the distinct

monoaminergic mechanisms in the human brain (2, 8). Moreover, diversification of

monoaminergic mechanisms has been linked to the evolution of social behavior in non-

human primates (9, 10). Thus, genetic differentiation of monoaminergic genes may

underlie our unique emotional and social characteristics, such as empathy and altruism

(2).

In line with these studies, we recently reported that the vesicular monoamine

transporter 1 (*VMAT1*; also known as *SLC18A1*) gene has been under positive selection

in the human lineage as evidenced by two amino acid substitutions (Glu130Gly and

Asn136Thr) not shared by other primates (11). The VMATs transport monoamine

neurotransmitters into synaptic vesicles, thereby regulating the kinetics of synaptic

transmission. There are two isoforms of VMAT, VMAT1 and 2, with the VMAT2

being highly expressed in the brain and widely studied (12, 13). In contrast, VMAT1

was previously thought to be expressed solely in the peripheral nervous system and

chromaffin cells, and its functional importance in the CNS has only recently been

implicated (14). Our previous study showed that a new mutation at the 136th amino

acid (136Ile) of *VMAT1* has emerged relatively recently in the course of human

evolution, and that the human-specific polymorphism Thr136Ile has likely been

maintained by balancing selection within non-African populations (11). Fluorometric

assays additionally demonstrated that these human-specific residues enhance

monoamine neurotransmitter uptake, suggesting the tendency of lower monoamine

uptake in early hominids (15). The 136Thr, the dominant variant across populations, is

known to be marginally associated with psychiatric diseases, such as bipolar disorder,

depression and anxiety, as well as with the neuroticism, a personality trait conferring

greater risk of psychiatric disease (16–18). Thus, higher levels of depression and/or

anxiety-like behaviors with 136Thr may have been favored in our ancestors, while more

recent selection may have led to the emergence of 136Ile after the migration out of

Africa and into harsher environments.

Although the evolution of *VMAT1* and its basic functions in the mammalian

CNS have been clarified, their contributions to unique human attributes are still unclear.

Here we examine the molecular, neurological, and behavioral changes in mice caused

by human-specific *VMAT1* mutations. We show that humanized *Vmat1* (*Vmat1*^Ile^)

induces amygdala-specific changes in gene expression and neuronal activity, reduces

anxiety-like behaviors under anxiogenic conditions and even enhances preference for

social novelty. These findings suggest that altered monoaminergic signaling in the

amygdala has contributed to the evolution of human emotional and social behaviors,

and the present work provides a new experimental strategy for evolutionary studies of

human emotions.

Results

Conservation of the 136th residue of VMAT1 across non-human vertebrates

To investigate the evolutionary significance of the 136th residue in human VMAT1

(hVMAT1), we first examined the conservation of this residue in a wide range of taxa

in vertebrates. Multiple sequence alignments of VMAT1 among 236 vertebrate species

revealed the unique evolution of this residue in the human lineage (Fig. 1a). Notably,

we confirmed that all species but bicolor damselfish retain asparagine (Asn) at the 136th

amino acid, while *Homo sapiens* is the only vertebrate species that carries the unique

Thr136Ile (rs1390938) polymorphism.

Predicted effects of humanized residues on mouse VMAT1 structure and function

In silico predictions of wild type mouse VMAT1 (mVMAT1) protein structure revealed

that like the hVMAT1 residue 136, the corresponding residue in mVMAT1 (133Asn)

was located between the first luminal loop and the second transmembrane domain (Fig.

1b), suggesting a similar function. Given the evolutionary conservation across

vertebrates and the difference in chemical properties between amino acids, the

humanized mutations to mVMAT1, especially 133Asn to 133Ile, were predicted to have

a significant impact on protein function (Table 1). Furthermore, the Asn133Ile mutation

was also predicted to stabilize the protein structure as evidenced by greater folding free

energy ($\Delta\Delta G$: 1.106 kcal/mol; Table 1) and to increase the flexibility of the loop

domain (Fig. 1b), which possibly increases the efficiency of monoamine uptake.

Generation of *Vmat1*-humanized mouse models by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing

In order to replace the 133Asn of mouse Vmat1 with Thr or Ile, we employed the

CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing strategy (Fig. 2a). We selected a guide RNA

targeting the 133rd Asn codon in *Vmat1* exon 4 by using the web-based CRISPR design

tool to minimize off-target cleavage risk (Supplementary Table S1 and 2, see Methods

for details). By electroporating CRISPR components (*Vmat1* exon 4 crRNA, tracrRNA,

and Cas9 nuclease) along with the ssDNA donor containing the desired substitutions

into C57BL/6J fertilized eggs (Fig 2a, Supplementary Fig S1a), we successfully

generated 133Thr founder No.1 and 133Ile founder No.10 (Supplementary Fig. S1b, c

and d). After confirming the absence of off-target cleavages (Supplementary Fig. S2),

we crossed 133Thr founder No.1 and 133Ile founder No.10 with wild type (WT)

C57BL/6J mice to obtain heterozygous F1 generations, which were again verified to

possess the designed substitutions by Sanger sequencing. The homozygous mice were

further crossed after the F5 generations to obtain three humanized genotypes, Thr/Thr,

Thr/Ile, and Ile/Ile, in addition to WT, for behavioral, neurophysiological, and

transcriptomic analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3, see Methods).

The human Ile substitution in mVMAT1 reduced behavioral anxiety

We accordingly conducted comprehensive behavioral tests among the 20 sets of mice

including one of each genotype (*Vmat1*^{WT}, *Vmat1*$^{Thr/Thr}$, *Vmat1*$^{Thr/Ile}$, and *Vmat1*$^{Ile/Ile}$)

from the 1st batch at 9–55 weeks (see Supplementary Table S3 for detailed information

on age and the number of individuals used in each test). Detailed results were shown in

Fig. S4–19. Tests of social interaction (SI) between stranger mice of the same genotype

in a novel environment (one-chamber SI test) and of preference for a novel stranger

over a previously exposed stranger (now familiar) in Crawley's 3-chamber social

interaction (CSI) test revealed marginal differences in behavioral phenotypes between

mice with and without $Vmat1^{\text{Ile}}$ ($P = 0.062$ for interactive effects of genotype (Ile) and

place, generalized additive model (GAM) with quasi-Poisson distribution; Fig. 3a,

Supplementary Fig. S9 and 10). In the CSI, $Vmat1^{\text{Thr/Thr}}$ mice significantly preferred

familiar to stranger mice ($P = 3.26 \times 10^{-4}$), while no such tendency was observed in

$Vmat1^{\text{Ile}}$ mice ($P = 0.759$ for $Vmat1^{\text{Thr/Ile}}$ mice and ($P = 0.195$ for $Vmat1^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice; Fig.

3a). Moreover, we found consistently lower levels of anxiety among $Vmat1^{\text{Ile}}$ mice

across tests, including relatively greater preference for the (anxiogenic) light box during

the two-chamber light/dark transition (LD) test and for the center of an open field (OF)

(Supplementary Fig. S5 and 6).

152   To confirm a pervasive effect on specific behavioral domains by humanized

VMAT1, we constructed composite behavioral phenotype scores by summating

standardized scores on related tests (LD, OF, elevated plus maze (EP), and SI tests) and

compared the results among genotypes. We further conducted Structural Equation

Modeling (SEM) to investigate the effects of genotype on these composite scores. This

analysis revealed a significant effect of 133Ile on anxiety scores ($R^2 = 0.15$, $P = 8.7 \times 10^{-}$

^{3}) but only marginal effects on locomotor activity ($R^2 = 0.062$, $P = 0.053$; Fig. 3b).

Anxiety levels were generally lower in $Vmat1^{Ile}$ mice ($P = 0.016$, one-way ANOVA;

Fig 3c).

In addition to standard tests of anxiety, exploratory tendency, and locomotor

activity, we also performed a novel delayed reward task to evaluate the differences in

impulsivity between $Vmat1^{Thr/Thr}$ and $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ mice (n = 10 for both genotypes, see

Methods for detailed experimental procedure; Supplementary Fig. S19a–c). Throughout

the test, there was no statistically significant difference in food preference between

genotypes ($P = 0.50$ for the first term, GAM with quasi-Poisson distribution;

Supplementary Fig. S19d). However, $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ showed a lower preference for the

higher-calorie but delayed food reward than $Vmat1^{Thr/Thr}$ mice every test day from 5 to 9

except for day 6 compared to the basal level after habituation (day 4) (Supplementary

Fig. S19d).

Differential regulation of dopaminergic and neurodevelopmental genes in the

amygdala by *Vmat1* genotype

We then conducted RNA-Seq analysis to investigate the effects of the four *Vmat1*

genotypes on gene expression patterns in three brain regions likely associated with the

behavioral phenotypes (prefrontal cortex, amygdala, and striatum) (n = 4 mice for each

genotype; see Supplementary Table S4 for detailed information on samples and reads).

Principal component analysis revealed distinct expression patterns among brain areas,

but no obvious differences between genotypes and ages (4 vs. 10 months)

(Supplementary Fig. S20).

We thus screened for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by pair-wise

comparisons between genotypes, which yielded a total of 80 DEGs exclusively in the

amygdala (Fig. 4a). A large proportion of these genes (56 out of 80) were differentially

expressed between $Vmat1^{WT}$ and $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ mice (Fig. 4a). The expression levels of

DEGs for each sample were shown in Supplementary Fig. S21. We then utilized the

correlations between individual composite anxiety scores and expression levels of the

detected amygdalar DEGs among a subset of 8 mice (n = 2 for each genotype).

Particularly strong correlations were found for nine genes, *Baz1a*, *Camk2n1*, *Ccdc114*,

Cldn1, *Fsbp*, *Gdnf*, *Gm42608*, *Gsg1l*, and *KI* ($P < 0.1$; Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig.

S22). The DEGs were further examined for gene ontology (GO) enrichment, which

revealed significant overrepresentation of genes involved in "behavior", "fear

response", "response to amphetamine", "cardiac muscle tissue development", or

"cAMP catabolic process" (Table 2) between WT and Ile/Ile mice, while no GO terms

were enriched in DEGs for other genotype comparisons (as there were few such genes).

Moreover, gene expression patterns in the Ile mice amygdala were significantly

positively correlated with those of striata of transgenic mouse models of Huntington

disease ($P < 1.0 \times 10^{-28}$; Supplementary Table S5, Fig. S23).

To further characterize the biological pathways affected by *Vmat1* genotype,

we performed weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA; Fig. 4c). A co-

expressing gene module (M1; Fig. 4c) stood out as it was significantly overrepresented

with DEGs detected in the WT vs. Ile/Ile comparison (odds ratio = 3.79, $P = 2.88 \times 10^{-8}$

by Fisher's exact test) and was negatively correlated with composite anxiety score.

These genes include many involved in adrenergic (*Adra2b*), dopaminergic (*Adcy5*,

Adora2a, *Drd1*, *Drd2*, *Gnal*, *Gng7*, *Gpr6*, *Gpr88*, *Pde1b*, *Pde1c*, *Pde7b*, *Pde10a*, *Pdyn*,

Penk, *Ppp1r1b*, *Rasgrp2*, *Rgs9* and *Tac1*), glutamatergic (*Grm4*), and serotonergic

(*Htr1b*, *Htr1d*, *Htr1f*, and *Htr4*) signaling pathways. Sub-network analysis further

detected another functional gene cluster involved in neural development within the co-

expressing module (cluster 2 in Fig. 4d). Strikingly, three genes, *Foxp1*, *Foxp2*, or *Six3*,

all of which are important regulators of neural growth, were located in hubs connecting

genes involved in postsynaptic signaling (cluster 1) as mentioned above and neural

development (cluster 2; e.g., *Dlx6*, *Ebf1*, *Isl1*, and Wnt2).

The humanized mVMAT1 disrupted anxiety-associated theta band activity in the

basolateral amygdala

Aforementioned behavioral and transcriptomic results suggest that the *Vmat1* genotype

influences anxiety-related neuronal activity patterns. Inspired by a previous report that

theta (4–12 Hz) oscillations in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and basolateral

amygdala (BLA) are specifically enhanced under anxiogenic environments such as the

open arms of an elevated plus maze (EP) and the center area of an OF (19, 20), we next

performed simultaneous local field potential (LFP) recordings from the dorsomedial

prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) and BLA in freely moving mice during elevated plus maze

(EP) exploration (Fig. 5a; n = 11 dmPFC and 9 BLA electrodes from 5 *Vmat1*$^{\text{WT}}$, n = 6

and 6 electrodes from 4 *Vmat1*$^{\text{Thr/Thr}}$ and n = 10 and 7 electrodes from 4 *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$

mice). The histological confirmation of electrode positions and raw LFP signals were

shown in Fig. 5b and c, respectively. Power spectral analysis of WT mice revealed

lower 4–7 Hz power in both dmPFC and BLA during exploration of the EP open arms

compared to close arms, suggesting a relationship with anxiety-like behavior (Fig. 5d).

Further, the Granger causality test revealed the directionality of 4–7 Hz oscillations

from the mPFC to BLA (Fig. 5e; n = 20 electrode pairs from 5 $Vmat1^{WT}$ mice),

consistent with anatomical evidence that the dmPFC preferentially projects to the BLA

(21, 22) and that mPFC theta oscillations drive BLA neuronal activity (20). Based on

these observations, we compared 4–7 Hz power in dmPFC and BLA among genotypes.

Both WT and $Vmat1^{Thr/Thr}$ mice exhibited significantly lower LFP 4–7 Hz signal power

in dmPFC and BLA under the anxiogenic condition of open arm exploration compared

to closed arm exploration, while $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ mice showed no such consistent activity

pattern in the BLA (Fig. 5f), suggesting that anxiety-related neuronal mechanisms in the

dmPFC–BLA circuit are disrupted by the $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ genotype.

Discussion

While the potential importance of $VMAT1$ in neuroendocrine signaling has been

suggested, few studies have focused on this gene until recently, largely due to its low

expression in the central nervous system (12, 23, 24). A series of studies, however, have

demonstrated the psychopathological effects of this gene and suggests its importance in

emotional regulation. The Thr136Ile polymorphism (rs1390938) is allegedly associated

with bipolar disorder (16), autism spectrum disorder (25), cognitive function related to

schizophrenia (26), anxiety, depressiveness, neuroticism, or maladaptive impulsivity

(18). Our previous study uncovered from an evolutionary perspective that this variant is

a human-specific polymorphism (as shown in Fig. 1a) and under positive selection in

the human lineage (11). Two substitutions (i.e., Glu130Gly and Asn136Thr) occurred in

the human lineage after the divergence from the common ancestor of chimpanzees and

humans, and these substitutions combined have been shown to decrease the monoamine

uptake of VMAT1 (15). On the other hand, the new "hyper-function" allele (i.e. 136Ile;

Lohoff et al. 2014), which increases the monoamine uptake of VMAT1 and is

associated with fewer psychopathological symptoms by contrast, emerged just before

the Out-of-Africa event of modern humans and is currently 20–61% in frequency in

non-African populations (11). We also found that the Thr136Ile polymorphism has been

under balancing selection in non-African populations (11). Given such evolutionary

significance and psychopathological effects of the Thr136Ile polymorphism in *VMAT1*,

this variant could play a very important role in exploring the evolutionary origins of

human psychological traits and their diversity.

Phenotypic changes in mice caused by the humanized *Vmat1*

Our comprehensive behavioral tests show the protective effects of the *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile}}$ allele

against anxiety-like behavior (Fig. 3b and c), which is well consistent with the human

phenotype (18). Compared to *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile}}$ (*Vmat1*$^{\text{Thr/Ile}}$ and *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$) mice, both wild-

type and *Vmat1*$^{\text{Thr/Thr}}$ mice showed similar behavioral phenotypes and have higher levels

of anxiety in both solitary (LD, EP, and OF) and social (SI and CSI) environment. This

is expected from the molecular evidence that 136Asn and 136Thr are comparable in the

level of monoamine uptake, which is lower than that of 136Ile (15). It is noteworthy that

the genotypic effect was not observed for fear response of mice (Supplementary Fig.

S16). Given the potential difference in neurological mechanism behind fear and anxiety

(27, 28), Vmat1 may specifically regulate anxiety-related circuits of the brain as

discussed later. The results of delayed reward task may suggest that the $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ mice

are more impulsive, at least initially, under this condition but contradicts an

epidemiological study reporting an association of 136Thr with maladaptive impulsivity

(18). Kim et al. (2018) reported that the deletion of the D2 dopamine receptor (D2R)

increased impulsive behavior in mice, whereas restoration of D2R in the amygdala

alone normalized impulsive behavior (29). This is compatible with our results, as

dopaminergic genes including $Drd2$ were downregulated in $Vmat1^{Ile/Ile}$ mice

(Supplementary Fig. S24). The possible difference in association of $VMAT1$ genotype

with impulsivity between humans and mice may stem from functional connectivity

changes in related neural circuits and warrants further investigation. Overall, these

observations suggest that the 136Ile substitution may contribute to uniquely human

behavioral traits that have propelled both our technological progress and adaptation to

almost all ecosystems, namely high exploratory tendency and relatively lower anxiety

under threatening or novel conditions. Indeed, 136Ile allele frequency increases along

the migration route of modern humans (11), suggesting that the exploratory tendency

and/or boldness conferred by this mutation was advantageous for survival. In this

regard, $Vmat1^{Ile}$ is analogous to the D4R polymorphism associated with novelty-seeking

behavior (30).

Differentially expressed genes were significantly enriched in those

downregulated in $Vmat1^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ and involved in behavioral control and/or fear response as

well Huntington disease (HD). It is possible that the Ile mice recapitulate some

dimensions of HD pathology, given that dopamine (DA) signaling likely plays a

significant role in HD, and the only current FDA-approved drug for HD is a VMAT2

inhibitor, tetrabenazine (31). WGCNA detected a co-expressing module (M1; Fig. 4c)

in which the DEGs were significantly overrepresented and revealed that many genes

involved in monoaminergic signaling pathways were co-expressed with the detected

DEGs (Fig. 4d). Lohoff et al. reported that $Vmat1$ KO affected DA signaling in

particular, with upregulation of D2R and downregulation of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)

observed in both the frontal cortex and striatum (32). Given the association between

$Vmat1$ KO and decreased DA in the frontal cortex, we speculated that $Vmat1^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice

would also exhibit downregulation of D2R and upregulation of TH compared to WT

mice. Indeed, D2R (along with many other dopaminergic pathway genes) was

downregulated in $Vmat1^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice compared to WT mice. However, there were no

significant differences in TH expression among genotypes (Supplementary Fig. S24),

suggesting that the $Vmat1$ Ile allele alters dopaminergic transmission but not DA

synthesis. The sub-network analysis detected another functional gene cluster involved in

neural development within the co-expressing module (cluster 2 in Fig. 4d), such as

$Foxp1$, $Foxp2$, $Six3$, $Dlx6$, $Ebf1$, $Isl1$, and $Wnt2$. Foxp1 (forkhead box protein P1) is a

transcription factor that regulates the development of various tissues including the brain.

Foxp1 heterodimerizes with Foxp2, and a human-specific Foxp2 substitution is

associated with language impairment (33, 34). Foxp2 also regulates D2R expression

(35) and extracellular DA levels (34), potentially involved in the neural development

(36), while the cluster 2 genes *Dlx6*, *Ebf1*, *Isl1*, and *Six3* are all implicated in the

development of striatal neurons that express DA receptors (37–39). The DEG Wnt2

(also known as Irp) has been found to increase dopaminergic neurons by inducing the

proliferation of progenitor cells in the developing midbrain (40). The differential

expression of these genes may also be related to the regulatory role of VMAT1 in

hippocampal neurogenesis (41), which emerging evidence suggests is associated with

anxiety-like behavior (42, 43). Taken together, the differential regulation of DA

signaling by *Vmat1* genotypes may have widespread effects on neural development and

plasticity.

The electrophysiological analysis revealed abnormal neuronal activity in the

amygdala of $Vmat1^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice under fearful conditions (i.e., open arms in EP test; Fig.

5f). This was not seen in the medial prefrontal cortex, and thus suggests that amygdala-

specific neuronal disturbance underlies the reduced anxiety-like behavior associated

with this genotype. This is consistent with a functional imaging study showing that

136Ile was associated with increased reactivity and decreased habituation of amygdala

toward threat-related stimuli in humans (14). Additional studies are required to reveal

the precise association between these LFP changes and amygdalar output in $Vmat1^{\text{Ile}}$

mice. In light of our behavioral results, we suggest that $Vmat1^{\text{Ile}}$ may promote the

activity of neurons that dampen anxiety, such those projecting from the BLA to the

central amygdala (44, 45).

Amygdala-specific transcriptomic and neuronal changes associated with anxiety

imply intra- and inter-species differences in emotion and social behavior

One of the major findings of this study is that *Vmat1* genotype affected transcriptomic

regulation and neuronal activity primarily in the amygdala. Given that amygdalar DA

signaling is a powerful modulator of fear and anxiety (46), the alterations in gene

expression and oscillatory neuronal activity likely contribute to the observed reduction

in anxiety-like behaviors among *Vmat1*^Ile mice compared to WT mice. The abnormal

neuronal activity pattern detected in the amygdala but not PFC of *Vmat1*^Ile mice may

stem from a difference in VMAT1 expression levels across the brain. Previous studies

verified that *VMAT1* mRNA and protein expression levels are relatively high in the

amygdala compared to other brain regions in both rats and humans (16, 47). Our

transcriptome analysis also revealed higher expression of *Vmat1* in the amygdala than in

the striatum ($P = 0.0097$, Dunnett's test; Supplementary Fig. S25). In contrast, *Vmat2*

expression was comparable across regions (Supplementary Fig. S25), indicating

distinctive regional regulation between subtypes as shown in a previous study (41).

However, the detailed transcriptomic effects of Vmat1 on various types of neurons

within the amygdala and possible contributions of peripheral monoamine signaling to

them are still unclear, and thus need to be investigated with greater spatial resolution in

future studies.

Neuronal circuits within the amygdala store associations between

environmental cues and adverse stimuli as changes in synaptic strength, and these

modified circuits drive the expression of emotion-related behaviors and physiological

responses. Thus, the amygdala is a critical regulator of emotional and social behaviors

(48), and in fact amygdalar dysfunction is implicated in a number of neuropsychiatric

disorders (49–51). Although it is an evolutionarily primitive brain region with relatively

well conserved gross structure and general function across species (45), recent studies

have provided evidence for more subtle structural and/or functional differences within

and among species potentially linked to differential regulation of monoaminergic

signaling via VMAT1. The size of the amygdala has been shown to correlate with

creativity (52) , mental state inference (53), and the size and complexity of social

networks in humans (54) and non-human primates (55). The DA response in the medial

amygdala network is associated with mother−infant bonding (56). Collectively, these

findings strongly suggest a substantial contribution of the amygdala to evolution of the

human social brain by acting as a hub among brain networks associated with emotion,

cognition, and communication (57).

A single substitution in humanized mouse models highlight molecular and

neurological evolution underlying human emotional traits

Genetic deletion (KO) and overexpression have become predominant strategies for

examining the neurological, behavioral, and pathogenic functions of specific genes.

However, gene deletion and overexpression may alter the expression levels of many

additional genes, and thus the observed phenotype may be distinct from that induced by

target-specific pharmacological manipulations. The present study employed an

alternative "knock-in" strategy of a non-synonymous *Vmat1* mutation and succeeded in

capturing moderate differences in transcriptomic, neuronal, and behavioral phenotypes.

Noticeably, the variant evaluated is a human-specific substitution (i.e., Thr136Ile of

VMAT1) under selection that is not possessed by other mammals (Fig. 1a). This knock-

in model thus provides a unique opportunity to examine the molecular and neurological

mechanisms that distinguish human behavior from that of other primates. Such

extensive functional analysis of a single mutation has been limited to a few genes such

as *FOXP2* (34, 58) and never for effects on emotional traits (59). Therefore, the present

study is the first to suggest a new experimental strategy for studies on the evolution of

human brain mechanisms underlying emotion and related behavioral traits.

Lastly, it needs to be noted that recent genome-wide association studies

(GWAS) on psychiatric disorders such as depression (60) and schizophrenia (61), and

on specific personality traits (62) have not detected VMAT1 as a top hit despite alleged

associations with these phenotypes under study (18, 63). This may indicate that

VMAT1 has a weaker influence on phenotype than other significant loci, or that this

gene must interact with other loci (epistasis) and/or environmental factors (G × E

interaction) for measurable effects on phenotype. Such dependence on other genes or

external factors could obscure phenotypic associations of VMAT1 evaluated by

conventional approaches of GWAS. In fact, we found that a single amino acid

substitution (Asn133Ile) altered the expression of 56 genes within the amygdala, any or

all of which may contribute to the observed changes in behavioral phenotype. The

effects of various environmental factors (which were largely controlled in this

experiment through group housing and shared dams) and DEGs on these behavioral and

neurological phenotypes would warrant further study.

Methods

Fully detailed and referenced methods are available in Supplementary Methods.

Phylogenetic analysis and *in silico* prediction of mVMAT1 structure with

humanized residues

A phylogenetic tree was constructed from 263 orthologous sequences of vertebrate

SLC18A1 (*VMAT1*), including an archaic hominin sequence that was constructed by

replacing 136Ile in the human reference sequence with 136Thr. Homology modeling of

the mouse VMAT1 (mVMAT1) protein structure was performed using the SWISS-

MODEL (64) web server (http://swissmodel.expasy.org) with the visualization by using

PyMOL 2.4.1 (DeLanoScientific, San Carlos, CA). Provean v1.1.3 (65) and SIFT (66)

were used to estimate the intolerance for individual amino acid mutations introduced in

mVMAT1 based on the evolutionary conservation and the chemical properties of the

exchanged residues. DynaMut (67) was also used to evaluate the effects of humanized

mutations on the stability and flexibility of VMAT1 protein structure.

Generation of *Vmat1*-humanized mouse models by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing

See Supplementary Methods for the detailed design and preparation of guide RNA and

single-strand DNA (ssDNA) donors targeting mouse *Vmat1*. In addition to the intended

humanization, the synonymous substitutions depicted in Fig. 2a were introduced near

the 133rd site to prevent unwanted re-editing and enable PCR genotyping. The guide

RNAs, Cas9 proteins, and the donor ssDNAs were electroporated into mouse zygotes

following the standard protocol (68) to obtain founder knock-in mice (Supplementary

Fig S1a). The 133Thr and 133Ile knock-in founders were screened by PCR-RFLP assay

(Supplementary Fig. S1c), followed by Sanger sequencing to confirm the correct

substitution (Supplementary Fig. S1d). To exclude the possible side effects from off-

target cleavages, we sequenced 12 more potential off-target candidate loci predicted by

CRISPOR (69) (http://crispor.org) (Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Fig. S2).

After confirming the absence of off-target cleavages, 133Thr founder No.1 and

133Ile founder No.10 were crossed with WT C57BL/6J mice to obtain heterozygous F1

generations, which were again verified to possess the designed substitutions by Sanger

sequencing. For behavioral tests, F5 or F6 homozygous mice were crossed to obtain

Thr/Thr, Thr/Ile, and Ile/Ile genotypes. In addition, C57BL/6J WT males and females

carrying the Asn/Asn genotype were crossed to supply control mice. To eliminate

differences in rearing environment, newborn males of the 4 genotypes (Asn/Asn,

Thr/Thr, Thr/Ile, and Ile/Ile) were grouped in sets and nursed by the same mothers.

Animal care and experimental conditions for behavioral tests

Animals were housed in groups of four individuals of each genotype under a 12 h

light/dark cycle (7:00 AM to 7:00 PM) with ad libitum access to food and water. Adult

male mice were used in all tests to eliminate the behavioral effects of the estrus cycle.

The composition of cohorts and ages of the mice for every experiment are summarized

in Supplementary Table S3. All behavioral tests were conducted in a soundproof room,

and as much effort as possible was spent on controlling the effects of confounding

factors such as light intensity, temperature, and humidity for the tested group of cage

mates. Furthermore, to minimize the impact of one test on subsequent tests in individual

mice, behavioral assessments were conducted in the following order after general health

and neurological screens: light/dark transition (LD), open field (OF), elevated plus maze

(EP), hot plate, social interaction in a novel environment (SI), rotarod, Crawley's 3-

chamber social interaction test (CSI), startle response/prepulse inhibition, Porsolt forced

swim, T-maze, Barnes maze, tail suspension, fear conditioning, and home cage social

interaction tests. See Supplementary Methods for detailed descriptions of behavioral

tests.

All animal care protocols and experiments were performed according to

guidelines approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute of

Neuroscience, National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry (approval numbers:

2017005, 2020007), the Animal Experiment Committee of Fujita Health University

(approval number: APU19063), and the Experimental Animal Ethics Committees of

Graduate School of Medicine, and the University of Tokyo (approval number: P29-14).

Statistical analyses and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

In order to capture overall behavioral patterns among genotypes, we analyzed two major

domains of mouse behavior, activity and anxiety, by standardizing, normalizing, and

combining sets of related behavioral scores. Total distances traveled in LD, OF, EP, SI,

and CSI were used as indices for activity, while time in the light compartment of the LD

shuttle box, duration in the central area of the OF, time spent in open arms of the EP,

and total duration of active contacts in the SI were used as indices of anxiety. To

confirm the effects of *Vmat1* genotype on the behavioral composites and to enhance

statistical power and improve reliability, we used Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

(70). The SEM model included a measurement model and a regression model. The

measurement model consisted of two latent factors grouping tests of locomotor activity

and anxiety-like behavior. The regression model evaluated the effect of genotype on the

two latent factors. Goodness of model fit was evaluated by normed Comparative Fit

Index (CFI), Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), and the

Standardized Root Mean Square Residual (SRMR) and significance of paths was tested

by *t*-test. Normalization of behavioral scores and SEM were performed using the

bestNormalize and *lavaan* packages in R, respectively.

***In vivo* electrophysiological recordings and histological analysis**

Five male WT C57BL/6J mice (16–20 weeks old, SLC Shizuoka, Japan) with

preoperative weights of 20–30 g as well as four male *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice (16–20 weeks

old) and four male *Vmat1*$^{\text{Thr/Thr}}$ mice (20–24 weeks old) obtained from the 2nd batch

were implanted with intracranial electrodes for *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings.

The animals were housed under a 12 h/12 h light/dark schedule with lights on at 7:00

AM prior to surgery. For local field potential (LFP) recordings, an array of 3 immobile

tetrodes was stereotaxically implanted above the dmPFC (2.00 mm anterior and 0.50

mm lateral to bregma) at a depth of 1.40 mm and an array of 4 tetrodes was implanted

in the amygdala (0.80 mm posterior and 3.00 mm lateral to bregma) at a depth of 4.40

mm using guide cannulae. Following surgery, each animal was housed in a separate

transparent Plexiglas cage with free access to water and food for at least 7 days before

recordings.

The mouse was connected to the recording equipment via a digitally

programmable amplifier (Cereplex M, Blackrock Microsystems, Salt Lake City, UT)

placed close to the animal's head. Electrical signals during elevated plus maze (see

methods for detail) were sampled at 2 kHz and low-pass filtered at 500 Hz with

Cereplex Direct data acquisition system. The animal's moment-to-moment position was

tracked at 15 Hz using a video camera attached to the ceiling for 10 min. After a

recording session, the mouse was overdosed with isoflurane, perfused intracardially

with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4), and

decapitated. The dissected brain was fixed overnight in 4% PFA/PBS and then

cryoprotected by successive overnight incubations in 20% sucrose and 30% sucrose in

PBS. Frozen coronal sections (100 μm) were cut using a microtome, mounted, and

processed for cresyl violet staining. The positions of all electrodes were confirmed by

identifying the corresponding electrode tracks in histological tissue sections.

RNA-sequencing and transcriptome analysis

We obtained RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data from 3 tissues in the brain, prefrontal

cortex, amygdala, and striatum of four mice per genotype, with DNBSEQ platform at

the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI, Hong Kong, China). The raw reads were quality

checked, trimmed, and filtered using fastp 0.20.0 (71), yielding 20–21 million filtered

reads per sample (Supplementary Table S4). STAR v2.7.5c (72) was then used for

mapping the reads to the reference mouse genome (GRCm38.p6). We assigned reads to

the Ensembl100 annotation and generated fragment counts by featureCounts (73), and

the fragment counts were used to perform differential expression analysis with iDEP

v0.91 workflow (74). After normalizing all counts according to effective library size,

we retained genes with > 1 count per million (CPM) in half of the samples (24

samples). A total of 13,608 genes were then assessed in downstream analyses. Principal

component analysis (PCA) was first performed to reveal the overall expression pattern

of each sample. While most samples demonstrated distinct expression patterns, one

supposed amygdala sample (derived from a 4-month-old *Vmat1*^{Thr/Thr} mouse) exhibited

an expression pattern similar to the other striatal samples (Supplementary Fig. S20).

Given the inconsistency in the expression pattern, we excluded this sample and

conducted the normalization step again for the remaining 47 samples.

526   We conducted pair-wise between-genotype comparisons of the same brain

regions to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs), which were defined by

|log2(fold change)| > 1 and false discovery rate (FDR; corrected by the Benjamini and

Hochberg method) < 0.05. The DEGs were further characterized by enrichment analysis

for gene ontology (GO) terms in the iDEP pipeline. An Illumina BaseSpace application

(http://basespace.illumina.com/apps) was used to investigate the correlation in

expression levels of DEGs among currently and previously collected datasets. To

identify specific biological pathways affected by *Vmat1* genotypes, we conducted

weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) (75) of 15 samples from the

amygdala. The 1000 genes with most variable expression levels (largest coefficients of

variation) among samples were retained, and the correlations among gene expression

levels across samples were then calculated to detect co-expressing modules. We also

calculated the correlations between the expression levels of a given gene in the

amygdala and the behavioral composite (locomotor activity and anxiety), based on the

data collected from 8 individuals (two for each genotype). Genes belonging to modules

of interest were further included in protein-protein interaction networks constructed

using STRING, and functional gene clusters were detected by the MCODE module of

Cytoscape 3.8.2 (76).

Acknowledgments

Some of the computations for transcriptomic analyses were performed on the NIG

supercomputer at ROIS National Institute of Genetics. We thank Dr. Liu Jinsha and Ms.

Eriko Koike for technical assistance with mouse embryo manipulations and care of

newborns, Ms. Chikako Ozeki and Tamaki Murakami for their assistance with

behavioral experiments, and Drs. Masayuki Koganezawa and Noriko Osumi for their

valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the Japan Society

for the Promotion of Science (Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research 17H05934,

19H04892, and 16H06276 (AdAMS) to MK, 20J12055 to DXS, 17H05939 and

19H04897 to TS, 17H05967 and 19H04922 to YUI, and 16H06276 to TM) and

Intramural Research Grants for Neurological and Psychiatric Disorder of NCNP (27-7,

30-9, and 3-9 to TI). This work was also supported by MEXT Promotion of Distinctive

Joint Research Center Program (Grant Number JPMXP0618217663).

Author contributions

DXS and MK conceived and DXS, YUI, SH, TK, and TS designed the study. DXS,

YUI, TI, TM, TS, and MK acquired funding. YUI and YM conducted genome-editing

experiments with mice. DXS, SH, KN, and TK designed and DXS and SH performed

behavioral tests. DXS analyzed behavioral test results with the help of SH and GS. NK

and TS performed electrophysiological and histological experiments. TS dissected all

the brain samples for RNA-Seq, for which DXS and HH performed data analysis. DXS,

YUI, TI, SH, TS, and MK wrote the manuscript with contributions and revisions from

all authors. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interest statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. A. M. M. Sousa, K. A. Meyer, G. Santpere, F. O. Gulden, N. Sestan, Evolution

of the human nervous system function, structure, and development. *Cell* **170**,

226–247 (2017).

2. M. A. Raghanti, *et al.*, A neurochemical hypothesis for the origin of hominids.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115**, E1108–E1116 (2018).

3. Y. Zhu, *et al.*, Spatiotemporal transcriptomic divergence across human and

macaque brain development. *Science* **362**, eaat8077 (2018).

4. R. Pryluk, Y. Kfir, H. Gelbard-Sagiv, I. Fried, R. Paz, A tradeoff in the neural

code across regions and species. *Cell* **176**, 1–13 (2019).

5. R. Cools, A. C. Roberts, T. W. Robbins, Serotoninergic regulation of emotional

and behavioural control processes. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **12**, 31–40 (2008).

6. D. Shohamy, R. A. Adcock, Dopamine and adaptive memory. *Trends Cogn. Sci.*

14, 464–472 (2010).

7. R. P. Ebstein, S. Israel, S. H. Chew, S. Zhong, A. Knafo, Genetics of human

social behavior. *Neuron* **65**, 831–844 (2010).

8. A. M. M. Sousa, Y. Zhu, M. A. Raghanti, R. R. Kitchen, M. Onorati, Molecular

and cellular reorganization of neural circuits in the human lineage. *Science* **358**,

1027–1032 (2017).

9. C. M. Bergey, J. E. Phillips-Conroy, T. R. Disotell, C. J. Jolly, Dopamine

pathway is highly diverged in primate species that differ markedly in social

behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 6178–6181 (2016).

10.   N. Staes, *et al.*, Serotonin receptor 1A variation is associated with anxiety and

593   agonistic behavior in chimpanzees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 1418–1429 (2019).

594   11.   D. X. Sato, M. Kawata, Positive and balancing selection on SLC18A1 gene

595   associated with psychiatric disorders and human-unique personality traits. *Evol.*

596   *Lett.* **2**, 499–510 (2018).

597   12.   J. D. Erickson, M. K.-H. Schäfer, T. I. Bonner, L. E. Eiden, E. Weihe, Distinct

598   pharmacological properties and distribution in neurons and endocrine cells of two

599   isoforms of the human vesicular monoamine transporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

600   *U. S. A.* **93**, 5166–5171 (1996).

601   13.   K. M. Lohr, *et al.*, Increased vesicular monoamine transporter enhances

602   dopamine release and opposes Parkinson disease-related neurodegeneration in

603   vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, 9977–82 (2014).

604   14.   F. W. Lohoff, *et al.*, Functional genetic variants in the vesicular monoamine

605   transporter 1 modulate emotion processing. *Mol. Psychiatry* **19**, 129–139 (2014).

606   15.   D. X. Sato, Y. Ishii, T. Nagai, K. Ohashi, M. Kawata, Human-specific mutations

607   in VMAT1 confer functional changes and multi-directional evolution in the

608   regulation of monoamine circuits. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **19**, 220 (2019).

609   16.   F. W. Lohoff, *et al.*, Variations in the vesicular monoamine transporter 1 gene

610   (VMAT1/SLC18A1) are associated with bipolar I disorder.

611   *Neuropsychopharmacology* **31**, 2739–2747 (2006).

612   17.   F. W. Lohoff, *et al.*, Association between variation in the vesicular monoamine

613   transporter 1 gene on chromosome 8p and anxiety-related personality traits.

Neurosci. Lett. **434**, 41–45 (2008).

18.   M. Vaht, E. Kiive, T. Veidebaum, J. Harro, A functional vesicular monoamine

transporter 1 (VMAT1) gene variant is associated with affect and the prevalence

of anxiety, affective, and alcohol use disorders in a longitudinal population-

representative birth cohort study. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **19**, 1–9 (2016).

19.   A. Adhikari, M. A. Topiwala, J. A. Gordon, Synchronized Activity between the

Ventral Hippocampus and the Medial Prefrontal Cortex during Anxiety. *Neuron*

65, 257–269 (2010).

20.   E. Likhtik, J. M. Stujenske, M. A. Topiwala, A. Z. Harris, J. A. Gordon,

Prefrontal entrainment of amygdala activity signals safety in learned fear and

innate anxiety. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 106–113 (2014).

21.   O. Bukalo, *et al.*, Prefrontal inputs to the amygdala instruct fear extinction

memory formation. *Sci. Adv.* **1**, 1–9 (2015).

22.   P. L. A. Gabbott, T. A. Warner, P. R. L. Jays, P. Salway, S. J. Busby, Prefrontal

cortex in the rat: Projections to subcortical autonomic, motor, and limbic centers.

J. Comp. Neurol. **492**, 145–177 (2005).

23.   D. Peter, *et al.*, Differential expression of two vesicular monoamine transporters.

J. Neurosci. **15**, 6179–6188 (1995).

24.   B. Schütz, M. K. H. Schäfer, L. E. Eiden, E. Weihe, Vesicular amine transporter

expression and isoform selection in developing brain, peripheral nervous system

and gut. *Dev. Brain Res.* **106**, 181–204 (1998).

25.   R. Noroozi, *et al.*, Association study of the vesicular monoamine transporter 1

(VMAT1) gene with autism in an Iranian population. *Gene* **625**, 10–14 (2017).

26.   A. C. Need, *et al.*, Pharmacogenetics of antipsychotic response in the CATIE

trial: a candidate gene analysis. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **17**, 946–957 (2009).

27.   M. Davis, D. L. Walker, L. Miles, C. Grillon, Phasic vs sustained fear in rats and

humans: Role of the extended amygdala in fear vs anxiety.

Neuropsychopharmacology **35**, 105–135 (2010).

28.   P. Tovote, J. P. Fadok, A. Lüthi, Neuronal circuits for fear and anxiety. *Nat. Rev.*

Neurosci. **16**, 317–331 (2015).

29.   B. Kim, *et al.*, Dopamine D2 receptor-mediated circuit from the central amygdala

to the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis regulates impulsive behavior. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115**, E10730–E10739 (2018).

30.   L. J. Matthews, P. M. Butler, Novelty-seeking DRD4 polymorphisms are

associated with human migration distance Out-of-Africa after controlling for

neutral population gene structure. **145**, 382–389 (2011).

31.   J. Y. Chen, E. A. Wang, C. Cepeda, M. S. Levine, Dopamine imbalance in

Huntington's disease: A mechanism for the lack of behavioral flexibility. *Front.*

Neurosci. **7**, 1–14 (2013).

32.   F. W. Lohoff, G. V. Carr, B. Brookshire, T. N. Ferraro, I. Lucki, Deletion of the

vesicular monoamine transporter 1 (vmat1/slc18a1) gene affects dopamine

signaling. *Brain Res.* **1712**, 151–157 (2019).

33.   W. Enard, *et al.*, Molecular evolution of FOXP2, a gene involved in speech and

language. *Nature* **418**, 869–872 (2002).

34.   W. Enard, *et al.*, A humanized version of Foxp2 affects cortico-basal ganglia

659   circuits in mice. *Cell* **137**, 961–971 (2009).

660   35.   E. Spiteri, *et al.*, Identification of the transcriptional targets of FOXP2, a gene

661   linked to speech and language, in developing human brain. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*

662   **81**, 1144–1157 (2007).

663   36.   S. C. Vernes, *et al.*, Foxp2 regulates gene networks implicated in neurite

664   outgrowth in the developing brain. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002145 (2011).

665   37.   B. Wang, T. Lufkin, J. L. R. Rubenstein, Dlx6 regulates molecular properties of

666   the striatum and central nucleus of the amygdala. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **519**, 2320–

667   2334 (2011).

668   38.   L. A. Ehrman, *et al.*, The LIM homeobox gene Isl1 is required for the correct

669   development of the striatonigral pathway in the mouse. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

670   *S. A.* **110**, E4027 (2013).

671   39.   Z. Xu, *et al.*, SP8 and SP9 coordinately promote D2-type medium spiny neuron

672   production by activating Six3 expression. *Development* **145** (2018).

673   40.   K. M. Sousa, *et al.*, Wnt2 regulates progenitor proliferation in the developing

674   ventral midbrain. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 7246–7253 (2010).

675   41.   P. K. Multani, *et al.*, VMAT1 deletion causes neuronal loss in the hippocampus

676   and neurocognitive deficits in spatial discrimination. *Neuroscience* **232**, 32–44

677   (2013).

678   42.   J. M. Revest, *et al.*, Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is involved in anxiety-

679   related behaviors. *Mol. Psychiatry* **14**, 959–967 (2009).

680   43.   C. Anacker, R. Hen, Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive flexibility-

681   linking memory and mood. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **18**, 335–346 (2017).

682   44.   K. M. Tye, *et al.*, Amygdala circuitry mediating reversible and bidirectional

683   control of anxiety. *Nature* **471**, 358–362 (2011).

684   45.   P. H. Janak, K. M. Tye, From circuits to behaviour in the amygdala. *Nature* **517**,

685   284–292 (2015).

686   46.   M. P. de la Mora, A. Gallegos-Cari, Y. Arizmendi-García, D. Marcellino, K.

687   Fuxe, Role of dopamine receptor mechanisms in the amygdaloid modulation of

688   fear and anxiety: Structural and functional analysis. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **90**, 198–

689   216 (2010).

690   47.   S. R. Hansson, B. J. Hoffman, É. Mezey, Ontogeny of vesicular monoamine

691   transporter mRNAs VMAT1 and VMAT2. I. The developing rat central nervous

692   system. *Dev. Brain Res.* **110**, 135–158 (1998).

693   48.   E. A. Murray, The amygdala, reward and emotion. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **11**, 489–

694   497 (2007).

695   49.   J. Posner, *et al.*, Alterations in amygdala-prefrontal circuits in infants exposed to

696   prenatal maternal depression. *Transl. Psychiatry* **6**, e935 (2016).

697   50.   X. Chang, *et al.*, RNA-seq analysis of amygdala tissue reveals characteristic

698   expression profiles in schizophrenia. *Transl. Psychiatry* **7**, e1203 (2017).

699   51.   T. A. Avino, *et al.*, Neuron numbers increase in the human amygdala from birth

700   to adulthood, but not in autism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, 3710–3715

701   (2018).

52.   T. Asari, *et al.*, Amygdalar enlargement associated with unique perception.

Cortex **46**, 94–99 (2010).

53.   K. Rice, B. Viscomi, T. Riggins, E. Redcay, Amygdala volume linked to

individual differences in mental state inference in early childhood and adulthood.

Dev. Cogn. Neurosci. **8**, 153–163 (2014).

54.   K. C. Bickart, C. I. Wright, R. J. Dautoff, B. C. Dickerson, L. F. Barrett,

Amygdala volume and social network size in humans. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 163–

164 (2011).

55.   J. Sallet, *et al.*, Social network size affects neural circuits in macaques. *Science*

334, 697–700 (2011).

56.   S. Atzil, *et al.*, Dopamine in the medial amygdala network mediates human

bonding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 2361–2366 (2017).

57.   K. C. Bickart, B. C. Dickerson, L. F. Barrett, The amygdala as a hub in brain

networks that support social life. *Neuropsychologia* **63**, 235–248 (2014).

58.   C. Schreiweis, *et al.*, Humanized Foxp2 accelerates learning by enhancing

transitions from declarative to procedural performance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

S. A. **111**, 14253–14258 (2014).

59.   F. Zhu, R. R. Nair, E. M. C. Fisher, T. J. Cunningham, Humanising the mouse

genome piece by piece. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1845 (2019).

60.   N. R. Wray, *et al.*, Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants

and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat. Genet.* **50**, 668–681

(2018).

61.   S. Ripke, *et al.*, Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic

loci. *Nature* **511**, 421–427 (2014).

62.   M. T. Lo, *et al.*, Genome-wide analyses for personality traits identify six

genomic loci and show correlations with psychiatric disorders. *Nat. Genet.* **49**,

152–156 (2017).

63.   F. W. Lohoff, *et al.*, Association between polymorphisms in the vesicular

monoamine transporter 1 gene (VMAT1/SLC18A1) on chromosome 8p and

schizophrenia. *Neuropsychobiology* **57**, 55–60 (2008).

64.   A. Waterhouse, *et al.*, SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein

structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, W296–W303 (2018).

65.   Y. Choi, A. P. Chan, PROVEAN web server: a tool to predict the functional

effect of amino acid substitutions and indels. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 2745–2747

(2015).

66.   P. Kumar, S. Henikoff, P. C. Ng, Predicting the effects of coding non-

synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat. Protoc.*

4, 1073–1081 (2009).

67.   C. H. M. Rodrigues, D. E. V. Pires, D. B. Ascher, DynaMut: predicting the

impact of mutations on protein conformation, flexibility and stability. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **46**, W350–W355 (2018).

68.   M. Hashimoto, Y. Yamashita, T. Takemoto, Electroporation of Cas9

protein/sgRNA into early pronuclear zygotes generates non-mosaic mutants in

the mouse. *Dev. Biol.* **418**, 1–9 (2016).

69.   J. P. Concordet, M. Haeussler, CRISPOR: Intuitive guide selection for

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing experiments and screens. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**,

W242–W245 (2018).

70.   R. B. Kline, *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*, D. A.

Kenny, T. D. Little, Eds., 4th Edi. (The Guilford Press, 2016).

71.   S. Chen, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Gu, fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ

preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884–i890 (2018).

72.   A. Dobin, *et al.*, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**,

15–21 (2013).

73.   Y. Liao, G. K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**,

923–930 (2014).

74.   S. X. Ge, E. W. Son, R. Yao, iDEP: An integrated web application for

differential expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data. *BMC*

Bioinformatics **19**, 1–24 (2018).

75.   P. Langfelder, S. Horvath, WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation

network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**, 559 (2008).

76.   P. Shannon, *et al.*, Cytoscape: a software environment for untegrated models.

Genome Res. **13**, 2498–2504 (2003).

Tables and Figures

Table 1. *In silico* prediction of mVMAT1 tolerance to the humanized mutations

Asn133Thr and Asn133Ile. Text in bold indicates significant effects of the

substitutions as predicted by Provean score < –2.5 or SIFT score < 0.05. The Provean

term "Deleterious" and SIFT term "Damaging" refer to significant effects on protein

function but do not necessarily indicate that they deteriorate protein function. All three

methods predict that 133Ile has greater effects than 133Thr on mVMAT1 function.

Ref.	Alt.	Provean		SIFT		DynaMut	
		Score	Prediction	Score	Prediction	$\Delta\Delta G$ (kcal/mol)	Prediction
Asn	Thr	**-3.27**	**Deleterious**	0.243	Tolerated	-0.062	Destabilizing
Asn	Ile	**-6.55**	**Deleterious**	**0.014**	**Damaging**	1.106	Stabilizing

776 **Table 2. List of gene ontology (GO) terms significantly overrepresented in the set**

777 **of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between *Vmat1*$^{\text{WT}}$ and *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice.**

778 *P* values were corrected by the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Only terms with $P < 0.05$

779 are presented. Downregulation indicates *Vmat1*$^{\text{WT}}$ > *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ while upregulation

780 indicates *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ > *Vmat1*$^{\text{WT}}$.

Direction	Pathways	Genes	adj.Pval
Upregulated	Regulation of cell junction assembly	*Ace*, *Cldn1*, *Enpp2*	5.5×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Locomotory behavior	*Gng7*, *Gpr88*, *Ido1*, *Pde1b*, *Penk*, *Ppp1r1b*, *Rasd2*	7.2×10^{-5}
Downregulated	Behavior	*Asic4*, *Foxp1*, *Gng7*, *Gpr88*, *Ido1*, *Pde1b*, *Penk*, *Ppp1r1b*, *Rasd2*	4.9×10^{-4}
Downregulated	Mononuclear cell differentiation	*Cd4*, *Foxp1*, *Pde1b*	2.8×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Response to amphetamine	*Pde1b*, *Ppp1r1b*, *Rgs9*	2.8×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Multicellular organismal response to stress	*Asic4*, *Gng7*, *Ido1*, *Penk*	2.8×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Cardiac muscle tissue development	*Foxp1*, *Myom3*, *Rarb*, *Rbp4*, *Wnt2*	3.9×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Regulation of cardiac muscle cell proliferation	*Foxp1*, *Rbp4*, *Wnt2*	4.0×10^{-3}
Downregulated	Behavioral fear response	*Asic4*, *Gng7*, *Penk*	4.6×10^{-3}
Downregulated	cAMP catabolic process	*Pde7b*, *Pde10a*	4.8×10^{-3}

Figure 1. An ultra-conserved residue in VMAT1 exhibits functional variants

unique to humans. (a) A phylogenetic tree constructed by multiple sequence alignment

of the *VMAT1* gene. Almost all genes across 236 vertebrate species retain asparagine

(N, Asn) on the 136th residue, while humans are the only vertebrate species except for

bicolor damselfish (shown in green) with a unique polymorphism (T/I, Thr136Ile;

rs1390938). Note that the phylogenetic relationship presented here is not necessarily

consistent with the known species tree. **(b)** mVMAT1 protein structure predicted by

homology modeling and the effects of human-type mutagenesis (corresponding to

133Thr and 133Ile in mVMAT1). (Left) Δ Vibrational entropy energy between WT

(133Asn) and mutants. Amino acids are colored according to the vibrational entropy

change conferred by the given mutation. Red represents a gain of flexibility and blue

represents a rigidification of the structure. The 133Ile mutation leads to increased

flexibility of the first luminal loop, a receptor-like domain affecting the affinity of

ligands. (Right) When introduced *in silico*, 133Ile but not 13Thr exhibits hydrophobic

interactions (shown by green dotted line) with surrounding sites, which likely influence

the folding and/or stability of mVMAT1 protein. Blue, red, and orange dotted lines

798   represent amide bonds, hydrogen bonds, and weak van der Waals interactions,

799   respectively.

Figure 2. Generation of the *Vmat1*-humanized mouse models by CRISPR/Cas9

genome editing. (a) Targeting strategy for mVMAT1 133Asn humanization. Genetic

configuration of the mouse *Vmat1* gene is shown above. Exon 4 encoding 133Asn is

enlarged, and the primers used for genotyping (Exon4_F and Exon4_R) are depicted.

To replace the mouse 133Asn with 133Thr or 133Ile by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated

genome engineering, a guide RNA with minimum off-target effects was designed. GGG

(gray) represents the PAM sequence. In addition to 133Asn humanization, restriction

enzyme recognition sites (*Eco*RI and *Fsp*I) were synonymously incorporated to avoid

the unwanted re-editing and to simplify genotyping. Sanger sequencing profiles of

133Asn/Asn (WT), 133Thr/Thr, 133Ile/Ile, and 133Thr/Ile are shown on the right. **(b)**

PCR-RFLP assay, in which PCR products amplified using Exon4_F and Exon4_R were

813   digested by *Eco*RI and *Fsp*I, respectively, could be used to distinguish 4 genotypes

814   without sequencing.

Figure 3. Comprehensive behavioral tests reveal distinct behavioral changes in

***Vmat1*Thr/Thr and *Vmat1*Ile mice, including reduced anxiety. (a)** In Crawley's social

interaction test, *Vmat1*Thr/Thr mice preferred a familiar (previously exposed) mouse over

a novel stranger. **(b)** Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) revealed the best fit model to

explain the effects of *Vmat1* genotype on locomotor activity (Act) and anxiety (Anx).

According to the model, *Vmat1*Ile (*Vmat1*Thr/Ile and *Vmat1*Ile/Ile) significantly reduces

anxiety-like behavior. The boxes and circles represent measured and latent variables,

respectively. The paths represent causal relationships on which numerical values

indicate standardized coefficient and the gray-scale intensity of the paths indicates

statistical significance tested by *t*-test. See Methods for the detailed modeling

procedure. **(c)** *Vmat1*Ile mice exhibited lower anxiety than WT and *Vmat1*Thr/Thr

genotypes. See Methods for the detailed calculation of the scores. For the composite

anxiety score, a higher value indicates lower anxiety. Statistical significance was

evaluated by paired *t*-test for **(a),** and by pair-wise *t*-test with FDR correction by the

Benjamini-Hochberg method for **(c)**. Interactive effects of genotype (Ile allele labeled

by 1, and 0 otherwise) and cage place were also assessed by generalized additive model

with quasi-Poisson distribution in **(a)**. †: $0.05 < P < 0.1$, *: $0.01 < P < 0.05$, **: $0.001 <$

$P < 0.01$, ***: $P < 0.001$.

Figure 4. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the brain among *Vmat1*

genotypes and predicted co-expressing modules. **(a)** The number of DEGs detected

by pair-wise comparisons among the four genotypes. All DEGs were found in the

amygdala (with none in prefrontal cortex or striatum). **(b)** Correlations between

individual DEG expression levels for the WT vs. and *Vmat1*[Ile/Ile] comparison and

composite anxiety scores from LD, EP, OF, and SI tests (see Methods). Only genes with

strong Spearman's correlations ($P < 0.1$) are shown. The bands are 95% confidence

intervals. **(c)** Network dendrogram from co-expression modules based on the expression

data of all 47 regional brain samples. Each branch represents an individual gene, and the

colors below represent the module, correlation (ρ) with behavioral phenotype

(locomotor activity and anxiety), and the relative expression level in the amygdala

across genotypes. The samples with asterisks are from mice with behavioral data and

were used to calculate the correlations between expression levels and behavioral

phenotypes. The M1 module (shown in turquoise), showing negative correlations with

anxiety score exhibited significant overrepresentation of the DEGs detected between

WT and *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice. **(d)** Protein–protein interaction networks among the genes in

M1. The DEGs detected between WT and *Vmat1*$^{\text{Ile/Ile}}$ mice are shown in red. The

thickness of the line indicates the strength of data support analyzed by STRING.

Figure 5. Wild-type and *Vmat1*^{Thr/Thr} mice, but not *Vmat1*^{Ile/Ile} mice, exhibit a

reduction in amygdalar 4–7 Hz local field potential (LFP) power under anxiogenic

conditions. (a) (Left) LFP recordings were simultaneously performed from the

dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) and basolateral amygdala (BLA). (Right)

Histological confirmation of electrode locations in the dmPFC and BLA. **(b)** Typical

LFP signals from the dmPFC and BLA. **(c)** An elevated plus maze (EP) test. **(d)**

Spectrograms of dmPFC (left) and BLA (right) LFP power in (anxiogenic) open arms

relative to closed arms. Data were averaged from all *Vmat1*^{WT} mice. The bar above

indicates the 4–7 Hz band, showing pronounced decreases in LFP power in both

regions. **(e)** Spectral Granger causality averaged over 20 dmPFC–BLA electrode pairs.

867   **(f)** Comparison of dmPFC and BLA LFP 4–7 Hz power (z-scored) between open and

868   closed arms. $*P < 0.05$, paired t-test. Each line represents one electrode.