Plant pathogenic bacterium can rapidly evolve tolerance to an antimicrobial plant allelochemical

Carrie Louise Alderley[1]# and Ville-Petri Friman[1]

[1]University of York, Department of Biology, York, UK

Running Head: ITC tolerance in *Ralstonia solanacearum*

#Address correspondence to Carrie Louise Alderley, carrie.alderley@york.ac.uk

Carrie Louise Alderley and Ville-Petri Friman contributed equally to this work.

Abstract word-count: 242

Text word-count: 4299

Abstract

Crop losses to plant pathogens are a growing threat to global food security, and hence, more effective control strategies are urgently required. Biofumigation, an agricultural technique, where *Brassica* plant tissues are mulched into soils to release antimicrobial plant allelochemicals called isothiocyanates (ITCs), has been proposed as an environmentally friendly alternative to synthetic agrochemicals. While biofumigation has been shown to suppress a range of plant pathogens, its effects on plant pathogenic bacteria remain largely unexplored. Here we used a laboratory model system to compare the efficacy of different types of ITCs against *Ralstonia solanacearum* plant bacterial pathogen. Additionally, we evaluated the potential for ITC-tolerance evolution under high, intermediate and low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatments. We found that allyl-ITC was the most efficient compound at suppressing *R. solanacearum* growth, and its efficacy was not improved when combined with other types of ITCs. Despite consistent pathogen growth suppression, ITC tolerance evolution was observed in the low transfer frequency exposure treatment. Mechanistically, tolerance was associated with parallel mutations in a gene linked to glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase, resulting in cross-tolerance to ampicillin beta-lactam antibiotic. Interestingly, pathogen adaptation to the growth media also indirectly selected for increased ITC tolerance through potential metabolic adaptations linked with cell wall structure (serine/threonine kinase) and DNA replication, recombination and repair (deoxyribonucleases). Together, our results suggest that *R. solanacearum* can rapidly evolve tolerance to allyl-ITC plant allelochemical, which could constrain the long-term efficiency of biofumigation biocontrol and potentially shape pathogen evolution with plants.

32   **Keywords:** Antimicrobial tolerance, Plant allelochemicals, Rapid evolution, Plant pathogenic

33   bacteria, Biofumigation, Isothiocyanates (ITCs), *Ralstonia solanacearum*

Importance

Several alternatives to agrochemicals have been proposed to reduce crop losses to plant

pathogens. One such strategy is called biofumigation, which is a biocontrol technique based

on natural plant allelochemicals, such as isothiocyanates (ITCs), that have antimicrobial

activity against plant pathogens. Here we show that while one such compound, allyl-ITC, is

effective at suppressing the growth of notorious *Ralstonia solanacearum* plant pathogenic

bacterium, increased tolerance evolves rapidly, leading to cross-tolerance to the beta-

lactam antibiotic, ampicillin. Mechanistically, ITC tolerance was linked to mutations in a

putative glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase gene, which has previously been linked to

resistance to clinical antibiotics in other pathogens. Together, our results suggest that plant

pathogenic bacteria can rapidly evolve tolerance to antimicrobial compounds produced by

plants via similar mechanisms by which they evolve resistance to clinical antibiotics.

Potential pathogen tolerance evolution should thus be considered when developing new

biocontrol methods based on pathogen growth inhibition.

Introduction

Plant pathogens are a growing threat to global food security, accounting for up to 40% of crop losses annually (1). The phasing out of environmentally toxic chemical fumigants, such as methyl bromide, has directed attention towards alternative biocontrol strategies (2). Plant-derived antimicrobial allelochemicals, such as phenolic acids, terpenes and volatile isothiocyanates (ITCs), are naturally exuded by the roots of legumes (3, 4), cereals (5, 6) and other crops such as *Brassica* (7, 8). These compounds could potentially be used to control pathogens by biofumigation, which involves mulching of plant tissues into soils to release biocidal allelochemicals. While biofumigation has previously been shown to suppress the growth of soil-borne fungal (8–10), nematode (11, 12) and bacterial pathogens (13, 14), outcomes are still varied, ranging from clear pathogen suppression (15, 16) to having no effect (17–19). A better understanding of the antimicrobial and biocidal effects of plant allelochemicals on pathogens is thus required.

The success of biofumigation is influenced by various factors including soil conditions, the biofumigant plant species, timing of application and the half-life of biocidal compounds (16). The biocidal effects of *Brassica*-based biofumigation are believed to result primarily from the release of toxic ITCs from their glucosinolate (GSL) pre-cursors (11, 16, 20). Moreover, other allelochemicals such as dimethyl sulfide and methyl iodide might contribute to the biocidal activity of biofumigant plants (21, 22). Even though ITC-liberating GSL levels can potentially reach as high as 45.3 mM/m^2 following initial mulching and incorporation of plant material into the soil (23), their concentrations often decline rapidly due to high volatility, sorption to organic matter, leaching from the soil and microbial degradation (16, 24–27). As ITCs often have short half-lives of up to sixty hours (28, 29), it is

important to identify ITCs that are highly effective against pathogens even during short-

term exposure.

The antimicrobial activity of different types of ITCs can vary depending on their

mode of action and the species and genotype of the target pathogen. In the case of

bacterial pathogens, several antimicrobial mechanisms have been suggested. For instance,

ITCs could damage the outer cell membrane of Gram-negative bacteria leading to changes

in cell membrane potential (30) and leakage of cell metabolites (31). Further, it has been

suggested that ITCs could bind to bacterial enzymes, such as thioredoxin reductases and

acetate kinases and disrupt their tertiary structure and functioning (33). It is also possible

that some ITCs, such as allyl-ITC, could have multiple targets, making them relatively more

toxic to pathogenic bacteria (34). However, antimicrobial activity and potential tolerance

evolution to ITCs are still poorly understood in plant pathogenic bacteria.

Antibiosis is an important mechanism underlying bacterial competition in soils and

soil bacteria often produce and are resistant to several antimicrobials, enabling them to

outcompete surrounding bacteria for space and nutrients (35). Antimicrobial tolerance is

also important for plant-bacteria interactions, as it can help bacteria to tolerate

antimicrobials secreted by plants, such as coumarins, giving them a selective advantage in

the plant rhizosphere microbiome (36). Such tolerance has recently been shown to evolve

de novo in *Pseudomonas protegens* CHA0 bacterium against scopoletin antimicrobial

secreted by *Arabidopsis thaliana* (37). Prolonged exposure to plant allelochemicals could

thus select for more tolerant plant pathogen genotypes also during biofumigation and will

likely be affected by the strength and duration of ITC exposure, which is important in

determining whether potential tolerance or resistance mutations have enough time to

sweep through pathogen populations. If the mutations enabling ITC tolerance are costly,

their selective benefit could be further reduced by competitive trade-offs, leading to loss of

tolerance mutations in the absence of ITCs. While ITC concentrations are known to reach

antimicrobial levels during biofumigation in the field (8), no direct experimental evidence of

ITC tolerance evolution by plant pathogenic bacteria exists.

To study these questions, we developed a model laboratory system where we tested

the growth-inhibiting effects of ITCs produced by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) on

Ralstonia solanacearum plant pathogenic bacterium, which is the causative agent of

bacterial wilt and potato brown rot diseases. *Ralstonia solanacearum* was chosen as a

model species because it is a globally important pathogen, affecting over 200 different plant

species including various important crops (38, 39). Furthermore, disease control techniques

such as crop rotation, the use of clean and certified seeds or resistant plant cultivars, have

shown only limited success in controlling *R. solanacearum* (40–42). Indian mustard (*Brassica*

juncea) was chosen as a model biofumigant plant due to its well-established allelochemical

properties (8, 43–45), which are predominantly caused by the release of allyl, sec-butyl and

2-phenylethyl ITCs (46–48). As these ITCs might vary in their biocidal activity, we first tested

to what extent they suppress *R. solanacearum* growth when applied either alone or in

combination at concentrations relevant to field biofumigation (16, 23, 27, 49, 50).

Subsequently, we explored whether long-term exposure to the most effective ITC type could

select for resistant or more ITC-tolerant pathogens in the lab, and if ITC tolerance is

associated with competitive costs or cross-tolerance to other antimicrobials. It was found

that allyl-ITC was the most suppressive allelochemical. However, long-term exposure

selected for ITC-tolerant pathogen mutants that also had increased cross-tolerance to the

beta-lactam antibiotic ampicillin. No clear competitive costs were observed. Together these

results suggest that while Indian mustard could be used as a biofumigant plant against *R.*

solanacearum due to the high antimicrobial activity of allyl-ITC, its long-term efficacy could

be constrained by rapid ITC tolerance evolution.

Results

(a) Only allyl-ITC suppressed pathogen growth irrespective of the presence of other ITCs

We first determined the effects of different ITCs on *R. solanacearum* growth alone and in

combination. Overall, there was a significant reduction in *R. solanacearum* densities in the

presence of ITCs (ITC presence: $F_{1, 120}$= 6.33, p< 0.01; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 1B). However, this

effect was mainly driven by allyl-ITC, which significantly reduced bacterial densities

compared to the non-ITC control treatment (ITC type: $F_{7, 114}$= 49.45, p< 0.001; Tukey: p<

0.05), while other ITCs had no significant effect on the pathogen (p> 0.05; Fig. 1B).

Increasing the ITC concentration from low to high (500 to 1000 μM) had no effect on

inhibitory activity in either single or combination ITC treatments (ITC concentration in single

ITC treatment: $F_{1, 43}$= 2.0, p= 0.17; combination ITC treatment: $F_{1, 59}$= 0.68, p= 0.41; Fig. 1B).

However, a significant interaction between ITC type and ITC concentration in both single

and combination treatments was found (ITC concentration x ITC type in single ITC

treatment: $F_{2, 39}$= 4.67, p< 0.05; in combination ITC treatment: $F_{3, 53}$= 4.94, p< 0.01; Fig. 1B),

which was driven by the increased inhibitory activity of allyl-ITC at high concentration

(Tukey: p< 0.05). As a result, ITC combinations were less inhibitory than single ITC

treatments (Number of ITCs: $F_{2, 103}$= 3.82, p<0.05; Fig. 1B), which was due to reduced allyl-

ITC concentration in combination treatments (total ITC concentrations were kept the same

between treatments). Similarly, ITC combinations that included allyl-ITC significantly

reduced bacterial densities relative to the control treatment (Allyl-ITC presence: $F_{1, 57}=$

36.21, p< 0.001; Fig. 1B), and the presence of allyl-ITC had a clearer effect at the high ITC

concentration (Allyl-ITC presence x ITC concentration: $F_{1, 57}= 7.51$, p< 0.01; Fig. 1B). Together

these results suggest that allyl-ITC was the most inhibitory ITC and its antimicrobial activity

was not enhanced by the presence of other ITCs.

(b) Pathogen growth was more clearly suppressed in high and intermediate

ITC exposure treatments during an experimental evolution experiment

To study the evolutionary effects of ITCs, we exposed the ancestral *R. solanacearum* strain

to allyl-ITC at the low concentration (500 μM) and manipulated the frequency of exposure

to ITC by transferring a subset of evolved bacterial population to fresh ITC-media mixture

everyday (high), every second day (intermediate) and every third day (low) for a total of 16

days. As a result, this manipulation also affected the resource renewal rate. Overall, bacteria

reached the highest population densities in the low transfer frequency treatments and the

second highest in the intermediate transfer frequency treatments (Transfer frequency: $F_{2,}$

$_{45}= 4.66$, p< 0.001; p< 0.05 for pairwise comparison; Fig. 2). While allyl-ITC exposure

significantly reduced bacterial densities in all ITC-containing treatments (ITC presence: $F_{1, 46}=$

30.68, p< 0.001; Fig. 2), bacterial growth was least affected in the low transfer frequency

treatment (ITC presence x Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 42}= 4.36$, p< 0.05; p< 0.001 for all pairwise

comparisons; Fig. 2). We also observed that the inhibitory activity of allyl-ITC varied over

time: while constant suppression was observed in the high and intermediate transfer

frequency treatments, pathogen growth suppression became clear in the low transfer

frequency treatment only towards the end of the selection experiment potentially due to

media growth adaptation in the no-ITC control treatment (Time x Transfer frequency x ITC

presence: $F_{2, 673}$= 7.33, p< 0.001; Fig. 2). Together these results suggest that the long-term

ITC activity varied temporally and depended on the ITC exposure and serial transfer

frequency.

(c) ITC tolerance evolution was observed only in the low transfer frequency

ITC exposure treatment

Fitness assays were conducted at the end of the selection experiment to compare the

growth of the ancestral strain and evolved populations from different treatments in the

presence and absence of allyl-ITC (experimental concentration: 500 μM). The ancestral

strain reached lower densities in the presence of ITC compared to evolved populations

regardless of the ITC treatment they had evolved in during the selection experiment

(Evolutionary history: $F_{2, 45}$= 5.39, p< 0.01; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3A). However, ITC tolerance

was mainly observed in the low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatment, while

populations that had evolved in the high or intermediate transfer frequency treatments did

not significantly differ from the ancestral strain (Transfer frequency within ITC-exposed

populations: $F_{2, 19}$= 24.72, p< 0.001; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, even the control

populations that had evolved in the absence of ITCs in the low transfer frequency treatment

showed an increase in ITC tolerance (p< 0.05; Fig. 3A). One potential explanation for this is

that these populations adapted to grow better in CPG media, which could have helped to

compensate for the mortality imposed by allyl-ITC during the fitness assays. To test this, we

compared the growth of ancestral and evolved populations in the absence of allyl-ITC in the

CPG media (Fig. 3B). As expected, all control populations showed improved growth in the

CPG media compared to ITC-exposed populations regardless of the transfer frequency

treatment (Evolutionary history: $F_{1, 40}$= 20.00, p< 0.001; Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 40}$= 2.66, p=

0.08, in all pairwise comparisons, Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3B). In contrast, none of the ITC-

exposed populations showed improved growth in CPG media relative to the ancestral strain

(Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3B), which suggests that ITC exposure constrained *R. solanacearum*

adaptation to the growth media.

To disentangle the effects due to adaptation to the media and allyl-ITC, we repeated

fitness assays in 'naïve' LB growth media which the bacteria had not adapted to. ITC

tolerance was observed only when bacterial populations had previously been exposed to

allyl-ITC (Evolutionary history: $F_{2, 49}$= 18.82, p< 0.001; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3C), and this effect

was mainly driven by adaptation in the low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatments (no

ITC tolerance was observed in the high and intermediate transfer frequency treatment;

Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 49}$= 4.37, p< 0.01; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. 3C). Crucially, CPG-adapted

control populations showed no signs of ITC tolerance, but instead, suffered reduced growth

in LB media relative to the ancestral strain and ITC-exposed populations (Evolutionary

history: $F_{2, 49}$= 94.89, p< 0.001; Fig. 3D), which was clearest in the low transfer frequency

exposure treatment (Evolutionary history x Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 49}$= 23.17, p< 0.001; Fig.

3D). We further validated our population level fitness results using individual clones (one

randomly chosen clone per replicate population per treatment). In line with previous

findings, ITC-exposed clones showed increased ITC tolerance compared to the control and

ancestral bacterium in the LB media (Evolutionary history: $F_{2, 49}$= 14.20, p< 0.001; Fig. 4A),

and tolerance evolution was the greatest in the low transfer frequency ITC exposure

treatment (Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 49}$= 11.15, p< 0.001; Tukey: p< 0.05; Evolutionary history x

Transfer frequency: $F_{2, 49}$= 3.04, p< 0.05; Fig. 4A). Together, our results suggest that ITC

tolerance, which evolved in the low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatment was robust

and independent of the growth media it was quantified in. Moreover, while all control

populations adapted to grow better in the CPG media, this adaptation had a positive effect on ITC tolerance only when quantified in CPG media and when the clones had evolved in the low transfer frequency treatment.

(d) Evolution of ITC-tolerance confers cross-tolerance to ampicillin beta-lactam antibiotic

To explore potential ITC-tolerance mechanisms, we tested if ITC tolerance correlated with tolerance to ampicillin beta-lactam antibiotic (growth assays), which is commonly produced by various soil bacteria (51). Overall, both low (15 µg/ml) and high (30 µg/ml) ampicillin concentrations had negative effects on *R. solanacearum* growth relative to the no-ampicillin control treatment (Ampicillin concentration: $F_{2, 93}$= 50.12, p< 0.001; Tukey: p< 0.05; high concentration relatively more inhibitory, Fig. S3). However, the evolved clones originating from the low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatment reached significantly higher bacterial densities than the ancestral strain (Evolutionary history: $F_{3, 92}$= 3.51, p< 0.05; Tukey: p< 0.05; Fig. S3), while evolved clones derived from low and intermediate transfer frequency control treatment (no prior ITC exposure) were inhibited to the same extent (Tukey: p> 0.05; Fig. S3). In more detail, ampicillin tolerance in the low transfer frequency clones was only observed under the high ampicillin concentration (Evolutionary history in high ampicillin concentration: $F_{3, 28}$= 8.22, p< 0.001; Fig. S3C), and no improved tolerance was observed under low ampicillin concentration (Evolutionary history in low ampicillin concentration: $F_{3, 28}$= 1.551, p= 0.223; Fig. S3B). Together these results suggest that ITC tolerance conferred cross-tolerance to ampicillin for clones that had evolved in the low transfer frequency ITC exposure treatment.

(e) ITC tolerance is linked to parallel mutations in a gene encoding glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase

A subset of clones which were phenotyped regarding ITC and ampicillin tolerance were selected for genome sequencing (N= 25). Specifically, we focused on comparing parallel mutations between populations that had evolved in the low transfer frequency treatments (clones evolved in the absence and presence of ITC, showing some evidence of tolerance evolution) with ancestral and control populations from the intermediate transfer frequency treatment (no evidence of tolerance evolution). Only a few mutations were observed in 1 to 6 different genes, which was expected considering the relatively short duration of the selection experiment (16 days). Of these mutations, 9 were non-synonymous and 4 synonymous (Table S2). We mainly focused on comparing differences in parallel non-synonymous mutations and indels which likely have the greatest effect on bacterial phenotypes. Some of these mutations were observed across all treatments, indicative of adaptation to the experimental conditions. For example, parallel non-synonymous mutations in *hisH1* gene controlling imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase were observed in 6/8 to 8/8 replicate clones in all treatments (Fig 5; Table S2). Similarly, non-synonymous mutations in serine/threonine protein kinase genes (between 5/8 to 8/8 replicate clones) and synonymous mutations in putative deoxyribonuclease *RhsC* (between 1/8 to 5/8 replicate clones) were found across all treatments (Fig. 5; Table S2). A single clone that had evolved in the absence of allyl-ITC in the intermediate transfer frequency treatment had a unique non-synonymous mutation in the gene encoding the putative HTH-type transcriptional regulator *DmlR* and one other clone originating from this treatment had a mutation in the putative TIS1421-transposase *orfA* protein-encoding gene (Fig. 5; Table S2). Interestingly, one non-synonymous parallel mutation in putative glucose/sorbonose

dehydrogenase gene was exclusively identified in all the low transfer frequency ITC

exposure clones (present in 8/8 clones; Fig. 5; Table S2) and this gene was also mutated in

4/8 replicate clones in the control (no ITC exposure) low transfer frequency treatment

(present in 4/8 clones; Fig. 5; Table S2). However, those low transfer frequency control

clones possessing the glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase mutation did not show

significantly higher ITC tolerance compared to non-mutated clones when grown in LB

supplemented with ITC (the effect of glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase mutation presence

in low transfer frequency control clones: $F_{1,6}= 3.05$, p= 0.13). This suggests that a

combination of mutations may be required for ITC tolerance. Together, these results

suggest that bacteria potentially adapted to experimental conditions across all treatments,

while ITC tolerance was associated with parallel mutations in the putative

glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase encoding gene.

Discussion

Here we studied the effects of *Brassica*-derived ITC allelochemicals for the suppression and

tolerance evolution of plant pathogenic *R. solanacearum* bacterium in a model

biofumigation experiment. We found that only allyl-ITC suppressed *R. solanacearum*

growth, while no reduction in pathogen densities were observed when sec-butyl and 2-

phenylethyl ITCs were applied alone or in combination. By using experimental evolution, we

further show that long-term allyl-ITC exposure selected for ITC tolerance in the low transfer

frequency ITC exposure treatment, which was associated with cross-tolerance to ampicillin.

At the genetic level, ITC tolerance was linked with parallel mutations in the putative

glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase gene. Together, our results suggest that allyl-ITC derived

from Indian mustard is effective at suppressing the growth of the *R. solanacearum* pathogen

in vitro. However, prolonged exposure could select for increased ITC tolerance, potentially

reducing the efficiency of ITC-based biocontrol.

Only allyl-ITC suppressed pathogen growth and its effects were not enhanced by the

presence of other ITCs. This contradicts previous studies which demonstrated *R.*

solanacearum sensitivity to 2-phenylethyl ITC at concentrations as low as 330 μM (52).

However, in the previous experiment *R. solanacearum* was exposed to 2-phenylethyl ITC in

agar instead of liquid media, which has been shown to increase the toxicity of ITCs (8).

Moreover, it is possible that different *R. solanacearum* strains respond differently to ITCs,

which could also explain discrepancy between ours and other studies. While the suppressive

effects of sec-butyl ITC have previously been demonstrated against dust mites (53) and fungi

(54), no antimicrobial activity has been observed in bacteria. Variation in the antimicrobial

activity of ITCs could be explained by differences in chemical side-chain structure and

molecular weight which govern ITC volatility and hydrophobicity (8). Previous studies have

shown greater pathogen suppression by ITCs with aliphatic compared to aromatic

sidechains in fungal pathogens (8, 55), insect pests (56), and weeds (57). With bacteria, the

toxicity of allyl-ITC could be attributed to its high volatility, very short R-side chains and high

reactivity (23, 58, 59). These properties could enable rapid diffusion through the liquid

media before ITC is lost in the gaseous phase (21). This is supported by a study by Sarwar *et*

al. (8)*,* where a droplet of aliphatic allyl-ITC was shown to volatilise at room temperature in

5 minutes, whilst aromatic 2-phenylethyl ITC remained in the liquid for over 72 hours.

Together, our result suggests that high volatility and reactivity could be important

properties determining the antibacterial effects of ITCs.

The evolution of ITC tolerance was mainly observed in the low transfer frequency ITC

exposure treatment. However, we also found that low transfer frequency control

populations showed improved tolerance measured in CPG media even though they had not

been exposed to allyl-ITC during the experiment. As all treatments were kept separate from

each other using tightly sealed bags, this effect is unlikely explained by 'cross selection' due

to ITC volatilisation. Alternatively, ITC tolerance evolution could have been linked to certain

metabolic adaptations in this transfer frequency treatment. In support of this, we found that

evolved control bacterial populations showed improved growth in the CPG media relative to

ancestral and ITC-exposed populations, indicative of media adaptation. While similar media

adaptations were observed in all control treatment populations, it is not clear why ITC

tolerance did not evolve under one- and two-day transfer frequency treatments. One

potential explanation for this could be growth-dependent effects on mutation rates. For

example, prior studies have shown that bacterial mutation rates can be elevated at

stationary phase (60, 61), which could have promoted ITC tolerance and media adaptation

in the low transfer frequency treatment where bacteria had spent the relatively longest

time at stationary phase (Fig. S1). Alternatively, stationary phase growth conditions could

have triggered expression of stress tolerance genes, enabling selection for mutants with

relatively higher ITC tolerance (61). For example, expression of *RpoS* sigma factor in *P.*

aeruginosa has previously been linked to elevated antibiotic resistance and biofilm

formation at stationary phase (62, 63). While more work is needed to elucidate these

mechanisms, it is likely that the periodic 3-day growth cycle was important for driving ITC

tolerance evolution in our experimental conditions. Interestingly, the ITC tolerance that

evolved in the absence of allyl-ITC exposure was specific to CPG media and disappeared

when measured in 'naïve' LB media. This result suggests that ITC tolerance observed in

control populations was likely driven by adaptation to CPG growth media. Such adaptation

may have helped to offset the suppressive effects of allyl-ITC by boosting pathogen growth

to compensate increased mortality. Alternatively, it is possible that the glucose availability

in the CPG media indirectly favoured the evolution of ITC tolerance via metabolic

adaptations, which has previously been shown to occur both in the absence (64) and

presence of clinical antibiotics (65). Together, our results suggest that prior exposure to

allyl-ITC was required for the evolution of robust ITC tolerance, which was independent of

the growth media.

At the molecular level, ITC tolerance was associated with parallel mutations in a gene

predicted to govern glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase activity. Crucially, all ITC-exposed

(8/8) and half of the control clones (4/8) from the low transfer frequency treatment

exclusively harboured this mutation. Dehydrogenase enzymes have previously been

associated with both metabolism and antibiotic resistance (66, 67). For example, a gain of

function mutation in a gene encoding glutamate dehydrogenase has been linked with beta-

lactam resistance in *Bacillus subtilis* through altered expression of an extra-cytoplasmic

sigma factor (67). Furthermore, in *E. coli*, a mutation in a glucose dehydrogenase gene has

been shown to function in lipopolysaccharide modification and calanic acid biosynthesis,

which enabled resistance to polymyxin and other antimicrobial peptides (68, 69). These

genes have also been linked with beta-lactam resistance in human opportunistic

Staphylococcus aureus pathogen through increased cell wall thickness and reduced cell wall

antibiotic permeability (70). In line with this, we found that ITC tolerance conferred cross-

tolerance to ampicillin beta-lactam antibiotic in clones originating from the low transfer

frequency ITC exposure treatment. However, the glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase did not

confer increased tolerance for clones that had evolved in low transfer frequency control

treatment in the absence of allyl-ITC. This suggests that other mutations might have also

been important for ITC tolerance via potential epistatic interactions.

Three clones from the intermediate transfer frequency transfer treatment had unique

mutations in a gene encoding a probable transcription regulator protein. While there is little

information available regarding this gene, it is located beside the IS2 transposase *TnpB*

gene, potentially affecting its regulation in DNA replication, recombination and repair

activity (71). In addition, we observed mutations in genes encoding putative

serine/threonine protein kinases, amino acid biosynthesis (*hisH1* gene) and DNA replication,

recombination and repair (putative *RhsC* gene) in clones from all treatments. While more

work is required to directly test the role of these mutations, they were likely associated with

bacterial growth and metabolism, which could have resulted from adaptation to the growth

media during the selection experiment. Accumulating evidence suggests that antimicrobial

resistance can be indirectly driven by metabolic adaptations (72) and through stepwise

accumulation of multiple mutations that confer high-level antibiotic resistance via epistasis

(73, 74). While little is known about ITC tolerance mechanism in bacteria, it has previously

been shown that upregulation of three glutathione S-transferase encoding genes (linked to

protecting cells from oxidative damage) (75) were required for the induction of ITC

tolerance in the stem rot fungal plant pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (76). Concurrently,

mutations observed in serine/threonine protein kinase genes could have potentially

affected ITC tolerance if these enzymes were targeted by the ITCs as has been shown before

in the fungus *Alternaria brassicicola* (77), and bacterial pathogen *Escherichia coli* (34). It

would also be interesting to study potential trade-offs between tolerance and other

pathogen life-history traits in the future. While we did not observe clear growth costs

associated with ITC tolerance, mutations in dehydrogenase genes have previously been

associated with loss of virulence in *R. solanacearum* (78). Such trade-offs could potentially

counteract the drawbacks of tolerance evolution by constraining disease outbreaks through

reduced virulence.

In conclusion, our findings demonstrate that allyl-ITC could potentially be used to

suppress the growth of *R. solanacearum* plant pathogen. However, repeated ITC exposure

could select for mutants with increased ITC tolerance, potentially weakening the long-term

efficiency of ITCs and biofumigation. Future work should focus on validating these findings

in more complex natural environments. For example, it is currently not clear if *R.*

solanacearum ITC tolerance evolves in the plant rhizosphere in the presence of other

microbes that could constrain mutation supply rate via resource and direct competition.

Moreover, different resistance mechanisms could be selected depending on soil

physiochemical properties and nutrient and plant root exudate availability. Furthermore, it

is not clear if the ITC concentrations used in this experiment are achievable through

biofumigation and whether they would have negative effects also on beneficial soil

microbes. More efficient ITC application could be attained by drilling the biofumigant plants

into fields at the time of flowering when GSL levels are the highest using finely chopped

plant material, which maximises cell disruption and ITC release to the soil (79). In addition,

the efficacy of *Brassica*-based biofumigation could potentially be improved by using plant

cultivars with elevated levels of sinigrin, the GSL precursor to allyl-ITC. Comprehensive *in*

vivo work is thus required to validate the potential of allyl-ITC for *R. solanacearum*

biocontrol in the field.

Materials and Methods

(a) Pathogen strain and culture media

We used a *Ralstonia solanacearum* strain which was originally isolated from the river

Loddon (phylotype II sequevar 1) in the UK as our pathogen strain (Source: John

Elphinstone, Fera Science, 2014). This strain was chosen as river water is the most common

environmental source of potato brown rot outbreaks in the UK (Elphinstone *et al*., 1998),

and hence highly relevant for UK *R. solanacearum* epidemics. The strain was cultured in CPG

broth (1 g casamino acids, 10 g peptone and 5 g glucose per litre of ddH$_2$O) for 48 hours at

28 °C to create cryostocks (20% w/v glycerol) that were preserved at -80 °C. CPG was also

used as the main growth media in all experiments except for fitness assays, where lysogeny

broth (LB: 10 g tryptone, 5 g yeast, 10 g NaCl per litre of ddH$_2$O) was also used as a 'naïve'

growth media to control the effects of *R. solanacearum* adaptation to CPG media during the

selection experiment.

(b) Comparing the effects of different types of ITCs for pathogen suppression

To determine antimicrobial activity of ITCs, we first identified concentrations that caused a

significant reduction in *R. solanacearum* growth relative to the non-ITC exposed control

treatments. To this end, we conducted short-term growth assays where *R. solanacearum*

was exposed to allyl, sec-butyl and 2-phenylethyl ITCs at 63, 125, 250, 500, 1000, 2500 and

5000 μM concentrations in CPG media (Fig. S2). For this experiment, *R. solanacearum* was

revived from cryostocks by growing with shaking (250 rpm) for 48 hours at 28 °C before

normalising bacterial density to an optical density (OD) reading of 0.1 (600 nm; Tecan,

Sunrise), equalling ~10^7 cells per ml. This method was consistently used to revive and adjust

bacterial densities in all growth experiments. *R. solanacearum* was grown in 200 μl CPG

media in different ITC concentrations for 148 hours and bacterial densities were measured

every 24 hours as optical density (OD) at 600 nm. We found that allyl-ITC concentrations as

low as 125 μM inhibited *R. solanacearum* growth, while relatively higher concentrations of

500 μM of sec-butyl and 2-phenylethyl ITC were required to inhibit pathogen growth (Fig.

S2). Based on this data, 500 μM and 1000 μM ITC concentrations were selected because

they showed pathogen growth suppression in the case of all measured ITCs (Table S1).

Furthermore, these concentrations are known to be achievable at least transiently during

biofumigation in the field (16, 23, 27, 50, 80). To explore the effects of ITCs on pathogen

growth alone and in combination, different ITCs were mixed in all possible two-way and

three-way combinations using equal concentrations of each ITC within combinations (two-

way 50:50%; three-way 33:33:33%) to achieve final low (500 μM) and high (1000 μM) ITC

concentrations in 200 μl of CPG media in 96-well microplates. Microplates were cultured at

28 °C (N= 8) and the experiment was run for three days (72 hours), with population density

measurements recorded every 24 hours as optical density at 600 nm.

(c) Determining pathogen ITC and beta-lactam tolerance evolution in response to repeated allyl-ITC exposure

To investigate the potential for ITC tolerance evolution, we set up a 16-day selection

experiment where we exposed *R. solanacearum* to 500 μM of allyl-ITC, which has the

strongest effect on pathogen growth suppression of all tested ITCs (Fig. 1A; Fig. S2). We also

manipulated the frequency of ITC exposure using high (1-day), intermediate (2-day) and low

(3-day) serial transfer frequency treatments. At each serial transfer, a subset of evolved

bacteria (5% of the homogenised bacterial population) was subcultured to fresh CPG media

in the absence (control) and presence of allyl-ITC. ITC treatments thus manipulated both

resource renewal and exposure to fresh ITCs. The selection experiment was set-up following

the same protocols described earlier and following this, separate fitness assays were

conducted to directly compare the growth of ancestral and evolved populations (and

individual colonies) in the absence and presence of 500 µM allyl-ITC. In addition to testing

potential ITC tolerance evolution, we quantified the potential costs of ITC tolerance and

adaptation to the growth media (CPG) by growing the strains in the absence of ITCs. All

fitness assays were also repeated in 'naïve' LB media to control the potential effects of

pathogen adaptation to the CPG growth media during the selection experiment. In all

assays, bacteria were revived and prepared as described earlier, and grown in 96-well

microplates in different media (CPG or LB) in the absence or presence of 500 µM allyl-ITC for

72 hours. Changes in ITC tolerance were quantified as bacterial growth relative to the

ancestral and control treatments based on optical density at 600 nm (48-hour time point).

Fitness assays were also conducted for individual bacterial colonies at the final time point

where a single ancestral colony and one colony from each replicate selection line per

treatment were selected resulting in a total of 49 clones. We also measured if ITC exposure

led to cross-tolerance to the beta-lactam antibiotic ampicillin, using the sequenced isolated

clones from the final time point of the selection experiment (intermediate transfer

frequency no-ITC, low transfer frequency no-ITC and low transfer frequency ITC exposure

treatments) and the ancestral strain (total of 24 evolved clones and 8 replicate ancestral

clones). Clones were prepared as described earlier and grown in 96-well microplates in CPG

media in the absence or presence of 15 or 30 µg/ml ampicillin. Ampicillin tolerance was

quantified as bacterial growth relative to the ancestral clones based on optical density at

600nm (48-hour time point).

(d) Genome sequencing of evolved bacterial clones

A subset of evolved clones was whole genome sequenced (Illumina 30x coverage) to identify

potential single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and genomic rearrangements (small

insertions and deletions) linked with *R. solanacearum* adaptation. Based on phenotypic

data, we chose eight clones (1 per replicate selection line) from the low transfer frequency

treatments that had evolved in the absence or presence of ITC (16 clones), the ancestral

strain (1 clone), and as a control, eight clones from the intermediate transfer frequency no-

ITC treatment (8 clones) that showed no evidence of ITC tolerance adaptation (a total of 25

clones). Genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit

according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA was quantified using the NanoDrop

microvolume spectrophotometer and quality checked by gel electrophoresis imaging. DNA

yields of all samples were diluted with EB buffer to 30 ng/µl concentrations and DNA

samples were sent to MicrobesNG for sequencing (http://www.microbesng.uk).

MicrobesNG conducted library preparation using Nextera XT Library Prep Kit (Illumina, San

Diego, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol with the following modifications: 2 ng of

DNA were used as input, and PCR elongation lasted 1 min. Hamilton Microlab STAR

automated liquid handling system was used for DNA quantification and library preparation.

Pooled libraries were quantified using the Kapa Biosystems Library Quantification Kit for

Illumina on a Roche light cycler 96 qPCR machine. Libraries were sequenced on the Illumina

HiSeq 2500 using a 250 bp paired end protocol. Reads were adapter trimmed using

Trimmomatic 0.30 with a sliding window quality cut-off of Q15 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014).

Assembly was performed on samples using SPAdes version 3.7 (Bankevich *et al.*, 2012) and

contigs were annotated using Prokka 1.11 (81). Genomes were analysed using a standard

analysis pipeline, where reads were first mapped to a high quality and well annotated

UY031 reference genome (82) which showed 99.95% similarity with our *R. solanacearum*

strain at the chromosome level and 97.87% similarity at the mega-plasmid level. Variant

calling was performed using Snippy, a rapid haploid variant calling pipeline (Snippy v3.2).

When comparing the sequenced genomes, the SNPs identified in both the ancestral strain

and the evolved clones were first filtered out as these likely represent pre-existing

phylogenetic differences between the reference genome and our ancestral *R. solanacearum*

strain. We also compared the control treatment clones isolated from low and intermediate

transfer frequency treatments (no ITC exposure) to identify potential mutations linked with

CPG media adaptation. Additionally, we used phage identification software Phaster (83),

and mobile genetic element integration identification software MGEfinder (84) to identify

potential phenotypic changes via mobile genetic elements but did not observe any clear

differences between ITC-exposure treatments. All genomes including the ancestral strain

have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive database under the following

accession number: PRJEB42551.

502   (e) Statistical analysis

Repeated measures ANOVA was performed to analyse all the data with temporal sampling

structure and pairwise differences were determined using *post-hoc* t-test with Bonferroni

correction. All other statistical analyses (ITC tolerance and cost of tolerance in CPG and LB

media and cross-tolerance in ampicillin) were conducted focusing on the 48-hour

measurement time point (where ITC was still actively suppressive to *R. solanacearum,* Fig.

S1) and two-way ANOVA was used to explain variation in bacterial growth between different

treatments. Tukey *post-hoc* tests were used to compare differences between subgroups (p<

0.05). Where data did not meet the assumptions of a parametric test, non-parametric

Kruskal-Wallis test and *post-hoc* Dunn test were used. All statistical analyses and graphs

were produced using R (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, R Studio Version (3. 5. 1),

Packages: ggplot, tidyverse, ggpubr, lme4, rcompanion).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the NERC ACCE DTP (CA), the Royal Society (RSG\R1\180213 and

CHL\R1\180031; V-P.F) and jointly by a grant from UKRI, Defra, and the Scottish

Government, under the Strategic Priorities Fund Plant Bacterial Diseases programme

(BB/T010606/1; V-P.F) at the University of York. The funders had no role in study design,

data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

References

1. Savary S, Ficke A, Aubertot JN, Hollier C. 2012. Crop losses due to diseases and their implications for global food production losses and food security. Food Secur. Springer.

2. Handbook for the International Treaties for the Protection of the Ozone ... - United Nations Environment Programme - Google Books.

3. Wink M. 2013. Evolution of secondary metabolites in legumes (Fabaceae). South African J Bot 89:164–175.

4. Mondal MF, Asaduzzaman M, Asao T. 2015. Adverse Effects of Allelopathy from Legume Crops and Its Possible Avoidance. Am J Plant Sci 06:804–810.

5. Mazzola M, Gu YH. 2002. Wheat genotype-specific induction of soil microbial communities suppressive to disease incited by Rhizoctonia solani Anastomosis Group (AG)-5 and AG-8. Phytopathology 92:1300–1307.

6. Larkin RP, Halloran JM. 2015. Management effects of disease-suppressive rotation crops on potato yield and soilborne disease and their economic implications in potato production. Am J Potato Res 91:429–439.

7. Kirkegaard JA, Wong PTW, Desmarchelier JM. 1996. In vitro suppression of fungal root pathogens of cereals by Brassica tissues. Plant Pathol 45:593–603.

8. Sarwar M, Kirkegaard JA, Wong PTW, Desmarchelier JM. 1998. Biofumigation potential of brassicas. Plant Soil 201:103–112.

9. Angus JF, Gardner PA, Kirkegaard JA, Desmarchelier JM. 1994. Biofumigation: Isothiocyanates released frombrassica roots inhibit growth of the take-all fungus. Plant Soil 162:107–112.

10. Rumberger A, Marschner P. 2003. 2-Phenylethylisothiocyanate concentration and microbial community composition in the rhizosphere of canola. Soil Biol Biochem 35:445–452.

11. Lord JS, Lazzeri L, Atkinson HJ, Urwin PE. 2011. Biofumigation for Control of Pale Potato Cyst Nematodes: Activity of Brassica Leaf Extracts and Green Manures on Globodera pallida in Vitro and in Soil. J Agric Food Chem 59:7882–7890.

12. Ngala BM, Woods SR, Back MA. 2015. Sinigrin degradation and G. pallida suppression in soil cultivated with brassicas under controlled environmental conditions. Appl Soil Ecol 95:9–14.

13. Ji P, Momol MT, Rich JR, Olson SM, Jones JB. 2007. Development of an Integrated Approach for Managing Bacterial Wilt and Root-Knot on Tomato Under Field Conditions. Plant Dis 91:1321–1326.

14. Hu P, Hollister EB, Somenahally AC, Hons FM, Gentry TJ. 2015. Soil bacterial and fungal communities respond differently to various isothiocyanates added for biofumigation. Front Microbiol 5:729.

15. Larkin RP, Griffin TS. 2007. Control of soilborne potato diseases using Brassica green manures. Crop Prot 26:1067–1077.

16. Matthiessen JN, Kirkegaard JA. 2006. Biofumigation and Enhanced Biodegradation: Opportunity and Challenge in Soilborne Pest and Disease Management. CRC Crit Rev Plant Sci 25:235–265.

17. Kirkegaard JA, Sarwar M, Wong PTW, Mead A, Howe G, Newell M. 2000. Field studies on the

560   biofumigation of take-all by Brassica break crops. Aust J Agric Res 51:445–456.

18.   Stirling GR, Stirling AM. 2003. The potential of Brassica green manure crops for controlling root-knot nematode (Meloidogyne javanica) on horticultural crops in a subtropical environment. Aust J Exp Agric 43:623–630.

19.   Hartz TK, Johnstone PR, Miyao EM, Davis RM. 2005. Mustard cover crops are ineffective in suppressing soilborne disease or improving processing tomato yield. HortScience 40:2016–2019.

20.   Gimsing AL, Kirkegaard JA. 2009. Glucosinolates and biofumigation: fate of glucosinolates and their hydrolysis products in soil. Phytochem Rev 8:299–310.

21.   Wang D, Rosen C, Kinkel L, Cao A, Tharayil N, Gerik J. 2009. Production of methyl sulfide and dimethyl disulfide from soil-incorporated plant materials and implications for controlling soilborne pathogens. Plant Soil 324:185–197.

22.   Vervoort MTW, Vonk JA, Brolsma KM, Schütze W, Quist CW, De Goede RGM, Hoffland E, Bakker J, Mulder C, Hallmann J, Helder J. 2014. Release of isothiocyanates does not explain the effects of biofumigation with Indian mustard cultivars on nematode assemblages. Soil Biol Biochem 68:200–207.

23.   Kirkegaard JA, Sarwar M. 1998. Biofumigation potential of brassicas: I. Variation in glucosinolate profiles of diverse field-grown brassicas. Plant Soil 201:71–89.

24.   Frick A, Zebarth BJ, Szeto SY. 1998. Behavior of the Soil Fumigant Methyl Isothiocyanate in Repacked Soil Columns. J Environ Qual 27:1158–1169.

25.   Warton B, Matthiessen JN, Shackleton MA. 2001. Glucosinolate content and isothiocyanate evolution - Two measures of the biofumigation potential of plants. J Agric Food Chem 49:5244–5250.

26.   Hanschen FS, Yim B, Winkelmann T, Smalla K, Schreiner M. 2015. Degradation of Biofumigant Isothiocyanates and Allyl Glucosinolate in Soil and Their Effects on the Microbial Community Composition. PLoS One 10:e0132931.

27.   Gimsing AL, Poulsen JL, Pedersen HL, Hansen HCB. 2007. Formation and degradation kinetics of the biofumigant benzyl isothiocyanate in soil. Environ Sci Technol 41:4271–4276.

28.   Borek V, Morra MJ, Brown PD, McCaffrey JP. 1995. Transformation of the Glucosinolate-Derived Allelochemicals Allyl Isothiocyanate and Allylnitrile in Soil. J Agric Food Chem 43:1935–1940.

29.   Gimsing AL, Kirkegaard JA. 2006. Glucosinolate and isothiocyanate concentration in soil following incorporation of Brassica biofumigants. Soil Biol Biochem 38:2255–2264.

30.   Sofrata A, Santangelo EM, Azeem M, Borg-Karlson A-K, Gustafsson A, Pütsep K. 2011. Benzyl Isothiocyanate, a Major Component from the Roots of Salvadora Persica Is Highly Active against Gram-Negative Bacteria. PLoS One 6:e23045.

31.   Lin C-M, Preston Iii JF, Wei C-I. 2000. Antibacterial Mechanism of Allyl Isothiocyanate. J Food Prot 63:727–734.

32.   BROWN, D. P. 1997. Control of soil-borne plant pests using glucosinolate-containing plants. Adv Agron 61:168–231.

33.   Luciano FB, Holley RA. 2009. Enzymatic inhibition by allyl isothiocyanate and factors affecting its antimicrobial action against Escherichia coli O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol

602   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.03.005.

603   34.   Luciano FB, Holley RA. 2009. Enzymatic inhibition by allyl isothiocyanate and factors affecting
604   its antimicrobial action against Escherichia coli O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol 131:240–245.

605   35.   Hibbing ME, Fuqua C, Parsek MR, Peterson SB. 2010. Bacterial competition: Surviving and
606   thriving in the microbial jungle. Nat Rev Microbiol. Nature Publishing Group.

607   36.   Stringlis IA, Yu K, Feussner K, De Jonge R, Van Bentum S, Van Verk MC, Berendsen RL, Bakker
608   PAHM, Feussner I, Pieterse CMJ. 2018. MYB72-dependent coumarin exudation shapes root
609   microbiome assembly to promote plant health. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:E5213–E5222.

610   37.   Li E, de Jonge R, Liu C, Jiang H, Friman VP, Pieterse CMJ, Bakker PAHM, Jousset A. 2020. Rapid
611   evolution of bacterial mutualism in the plant rhizosphere. bioRxiv. bioRxiv.

612   38.   Yabuuchi E, Kosako Y, Yano I, Hotta H, Nishiuchi Y. 1995. Transfer of Two *Burkholderia* and An
613   *Alcaligenes* Species to *Ralstonia* Gen. Nov. Microbiol Immunol 39:897–904.

614   39.   Elphinstone JG. 2005. The current bacterial wilt situation: a global overview. Bact wilt Dis
615   Ralstonia solanacearum species complex 9–28.

616   40.   Ciampi-Panno L, Fernandez C, Bustamante P, Andrade N, Ojeda S, Contreras A. 1989.
617   Biological control of bacterial wilt of potatoes caused byPseudomonas solanacearum. Am
618   Potato J 66:315–332.

619   41.   Ramesh R, Joshi AA, Ghanekar MP. 2009. Pseudomonads: major antagonistic endophytic
620   bacteria to suppress bacterial wilt pathogen, Ralstonia solanacearum in the eggplant
621   (Solanum melongena L.). World J Microbiol Biotechnol 25:47–55.

622   42.   Chellemi DO, Olson SM, Mitchell DJ, Secker I, McSorley R. 1997. Adaptation of soil
623   solarization to the integrated management of soilborne pests of tomato under humid
624   conditions. Phytopathology 87:250–258.

625   43.   Bending GD, Lincoln SD. 1999. Characterisation of volatile sulphur-containing compounds
626   produced during decomposition of Brassica juncea tissues in soil. Soil Biol Biochem 31:695–
627   703.

628   44.   Mazzola M, Hewavitharana SS, Strauss SL. 2015. *Brassica* Seed Meal Soil Amendments
629   Transform the Rhizosphere Microbiome and Improve Apple Production Through Resistance
630   to Pathogen Reinfestation. Phytopathology 105:460–469.

631   45.   Kirkegaard JA, Matthiessen JN. 2005. Developing and refining the biofumigation concept.

632   46.   Olivier C, Vaughn SF, Mizubuti ESG, Loria R. 1999. Variation in allyl isothiocyanate production
633   within Brassica species and correlation with fungicidal activity. J Chem Ecol 25:2687–2701.

634   47.   Bangarwa SK, Norsworthy JK, Mattice JD, Gbur EE. 2011. Glucosinolate and Isothiocyanate
635   Production from Brassicaceae Cover Crops in a Plasticulture Production System. Weed Sci
636   59:247–254.

637   48.   Yim B, Hanschen FS, Wrede A, Nitt H, Schreiner M, Smalla K, Winkelmann T. 2016. Effects of
638   biofumigation using Brassica juncea and Raphanus sativus in comparison to disinfection using
639   Basamid on apple plant growth and soil microbial communities at three field sites with
640   replant disease. Plant Soil 406:389–408.

641   49.   Hanschen FS, Brüggemann N, Brodehl A, Mewis I, Schreiner M, Rohn S, Kroh LW. 2012.
642   Characterization of products from the reaction of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates with
643   cysteine and lysine derivatives formed in either model systems or broccoli sprouts. J Agric

644   Food Chem 60:7735–7745.

645   50.   Rudolph RE, Sams C, Steiner R, Thomas SH, Walker S, Uchanski ME. 2015. Biofumigation
646   performance of four brassica crops in a green chile pepper (Capsicum annuum) rotation
647   system in southern New Mexico. HortScience 50:247–253.

648   51.   Ranjan VK, Mukherjee S, Thakur S, Gupta K, Chakraborty R. 2021. Pandrug-resistant
649   Pseudomonas sp. expresses New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-1 and consumes ampicillin as
650   sole carbon source. Clin Microbiol Infect 27:472.e1-472.e5.

651   52.   Smith BJ, Kirkegaard JA. 2002. In vitro inhibition of soil microorganisms by 2-phenylethyl
652   isothiocyanate. Plant Pathol 51:585–593.

653   53.   Yun Y-K, Kim H-K, Kim J-R, Hwang K, Ahn Y-J. 2012. Contact and fumigant toxicity of
654   *Armoracia rusticana* essential oil, allyl isothiocyanate and related compounds to
655   *Dermatophagoides farinae*. Pest Manag Sci 68:788–794.

656   54.   Bainard LD, Brown PD, Upadhyaya MK. 2009. Inhibitory Effect of Tall Hedge Mustard (
657   *Sisymbrium loeselii*) Allelochemicals on Rangeland Plants and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi.
658   Weed Sci 57:386–393.

659   55.   Kurt Ş, Güneş U, Soylu EM. 2011. *In vitro* and *in vivo* antifungal activity of synthetic pure
660   isothiocyanates against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Pest Manag Sci 67:869–875.

661   56.   Matthiessen JN, Shackleton MA. 2005. Biofumigation: environmental impacts on the
662   biological activity of diverse pure and plant-derived isothiocyanates. Pest Manag Sci 61:1043–
663   1051.

664   57.   Vaughn SF, Palmquist DE, Duval SM, Berhow MA. Herbicidal Activity of Glucosinolate-
665   Containing Seedmeals.

666   58.   Manici LM, Lazzeri L, Palmieri S. 1997. In Vitro Fungitoxic Activity of Some Glucosinolates and
667   Their Enzyme-Derived Products toward Plant Pathogenic Fungi. J Agric Food Chem 45:2768–
668   2773.

669   59.   Neubauer C, Heitmann B, Müller C. 2014. Biofumigation potential of Brassicaceae cultivars to
670   Verticillium dahliae. Eur J Plant Pathol 140:341–352.

671   60.   Loewe L, Textor V, Scherer S. 2003. High Deleterious Genomic Mutation Rate in Stationary
672   Phase of Escherichia coli. Science (80-) 302:1558–1560.

673   61.   Navarro Llorens JM, Tormo A, Martínez-García E. 2010. Stationary phase in gram-negative
674   bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

675   62.   Olsen I. 2015. Biofilm-specific antibiotic tolerance and resistance. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect
676   Dis. Springer Verlag.

677   63.   Murakami K, Ono T, Viducic D, Kayama S, Mori M, Hirota K, Nemoto K, Miyake Y. 2005. Role
678   for rpoS gene of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in antibiotic tolerance. FEMS Microbiol Lett
679   242:161–167.

680   64.   Knöppel A, Näsvall J, Andersson DI. 2017. Evolution of antibiotic resistance without antibiotic
681   exposure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:1495–1512.

682   65.   Zampieri M, Enke T, Chubukov V, Ricci V, Piddock L, Sauer U. 2017. Metabolic constraints on
683   the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Mol Syst Biol 13:917.

66.   Marshall CG, Zolli M, Wright GD. 1999. Molecular mechanism of VanHst, an α-ketoacid

dehydrogenase required for glycopeptide antibiotic resistance from a glycopeptide producing
organism. Biochemistry 38:8485–8491.

67.   Lee YH, Kingston AW, Helmann JD. 2012. Glutamate dehydrogenase affects resistance to cell
wall antibiotics in Bacillus subtilis. J Bacteriol 194:993–1001.

68.   Lacour S, Bechet E, Cozzone AJ, Mijakovic I, Grangeasse C. 2008. Tyrosine Phosphorylation of
the UDP-Glucose Dehydrogenase of Escherichia coli Is at the Crossroads of Colanic Acid
Synthesis and Polymyxin Resistance. PLoS One 3:e3053.

69.   Rodionova IA, Zhang Z, Aboulwafa M, Saier MH. 2020. UDP-glucose dehydrogenase Ugd in E.
coli is activated by Gmd and RffD, is inhibited by CheY, and regulates swarming. bioRxiv.
bioRxiv.

70.   Beltramini AM, Mukhopadhyay CD, Pancholi V. 2009. Modulation of cell wall structure and
antimicrobial susceptibility by a Staphylococcus aureus eukaryote-like serine/threonine
kinase and phosphatase. Infect Immun 77:1406–1416.

71.   Pasternak C, Dulermo R, Ton-Hoang B, Debuchy R, Siguier P, Coste G, Chandler M, Sommer S.
2013. IS *Dra 2* transposition in *D einococcus radiodurans* is downregulated by TnpB. Mol
Microbiol 88:443–455.

72.   Lopatkin AJ, Bening SC, Manson AL, Stokes JM, Kohanski MA, Badran AH, Earl AM, Cheney NJ,
Yang JH, Collins JJ. 2021. Clinically relevant mutations in core metabolic genes confer
antibiotic resistance. Science (80-) 371.

73.   Wistrand-Yuen E, Knopp M, Hjort K, Koskiniemi S, Berg OG, Andersson DI. 2018. Evolution of
high-level resistance during low-level antibiotic exposure. Nat Commun 9:1–12.

74.   Baym M, Lieberman TD, Kelsic ED, Chait R, Gross R, Yelin I, Kishony R. 2016. Spatiotemporal
microbial evolution on antibiotic landscapes. Science (80-) 353:1147–1151.

75.   Kumar S, Trivedi PK. 2018. Glutathione S-transferases: Role in combating abiotic stresses
including arsenic detoxification in plants. Front Plant Sci. Frontiers Media S.A.

76.   Rahmanpour S, Backhouse D, Nonhebel HM. 2009. Induced tolerance of *Sclerotinia*
sclerotiorum to isothiocyanates and toxic volatiles from *Brassica* species. Plant Pathol
58:479–486.

77.   Calmes B, N'Guyen G, Dumur J, Brisach CA, Campion C, Lacomi B, PignÉ S, Dias E, Macherel D,
Guillemette T, Simoneau P. 2015. Glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates impact
mitochondrial function in fungal cells and elicit an oxidative stress response necessary for
growth recovery. Front Plant Sci 6:414.

78.   Wu J, Kong HG, Jung EJ, Choi SY, Lee HJ, Tao W, Chung E, Lee SW. 2015. Loss of glutamate
dehydrogenase in Ralstonia solanacearum alters dehydrogenase activity, extracellular
polysaccharide production and bacterial virulence. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 90:57–64.

79.   Back M, Barker A, Evans K. 2019. Effectiveness of biofumigant crops for the management of
PCN in GB. Agricultural And Horticultural Development Board (AHDB).

80.   Hanschen FS, Brü N, Brodehl A, Mewis I, Schreiner M, Rohn S, Kroh LW. 2012.
Characterization of Products from the Reaction of Glucosinolate-Derived Isothiocyanates with
Cysteine and Lysine Derivatives Formed in Either Model Systems or Broccoli Sprouts
https://doi.org/10.1021/jf301718g.

81.   Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068–
2069.

82.   Guarischi-Sousa R, Puigvert M, Coll NS, Siri MI, Pianzzola MJ, Valls M, Setubal JC. 2016.
729   Complete genome sequence of the potato pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum UY031. Stand
730   Genomic Sci 11:7.

731   83.   Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better,
732   faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16–W21.

733   84.   Durrant MG, Li MM, Siranosian BA, Montgomery SB, Bhatt AS. 2020. A Bioinformatic Analysis
734   of Integrative Mobile Genetic Elements Highlights Their Role in Bacterial Adaptation. Cell
735   Host Microbe 27:140-153.e9.

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. The antimicrobial activity of different ITCs against *Ralstonia solanacearum*

pathogen when applied alone and in combination. The chemical properties of the three

different ITCs predominantly released from Indian mustard biofumigant plant (*Brassica*

juncea) (A), and their effects on *R. solanacearum* growth after 48h exposure when applied

alone and in combination in liquid microcosms at low (500 μM) and high (1000 μM)

concentrations (B). In (B) boxplot colours represent different ITC treatments that are

labelled on X-axes as follows: (0): non-ITC exposed (control); (1) allyl-ITC; (2) sec-butyl ITC

and (3) 2-phenylethyl ITC. Individual data points show bacterial densities for each technical

747   replicate (N= 8). The boxplots show the minimum, maximum, interquartile range and the

748   median (black line).

Figure 2. *Ralstonia solanacearum* **density dynamics (OD600$_{nm}$) during the evolution**

experiment in the absence and presence of allyl-ITC in high, intermediate and low transfer

frequency treatments. In all panels, black and red lines correspond to *R. solanacearum*

densities in the absence and presence of 500 µM allyl-ITC, respectively. Panels A-C

correspond to high (1-day), intermediate (2-day) and low (3-day) transfer frequency

treatments, respectively. Grey shaded areas indicate the time point of serial transfers, while

optical density reads were taken at 24-hour intervals in all treatments. Each time point

shows the mean of eight biological replicates and bars show ±1 error of mean.

Figure 3. Comparison of *Ralstonia solanacearum* ITC tolerance between the ancestral clone and evolved populations from high, intermediate and low transfer frequency treatments at the end of the evolution experiment in CPG and LB media. ITC tolerance was determined as *R. solanacearum* growth (OD600$_{nm}$) after 48 hours of exposure to 500 µM allyl-ITC in CPG (A) and LB (C) media. Growth was also measured in the absence of allyl-ITC in both CPG (B) and LB (D) media. High (1-day), intermediate (2-day) and low (3-day)

transfer frequency treatments are shown in grey, blue and yellow boxplots, respectively,

and boxplots show the minimum, maximum, interquartile range and the median (black line).

Individual data points show bacterial densities for each biological replicate population (N=

8).

Figure 4. Comparison of *Ralstonia solanacearum* ITC tolerance between the ancestral and

evolved clones from high, intermediate and low transfer frequency treatments at the end

of the evolution experiment in LB media. ITC tolerance was determined as *R. solanacearum*

growth (OD600$_{nm}$) after 48 hours of exposure to 500 µM allyl-ITC in LB media (A). Growth

was also measured in the absence of allyl-ITC (B). High (1-day), intermediate (2-day) and low

(3-day) frequency treatments are shown in grey, blue and yellow, respectively, and boxplots

show the minimum, maximum, interquartile range and the median (black line). Individual

data points show bacterial densities for each biological replicate population (N= 8).

Figure 5. Mutations associated with evolved *Ralstonia solanacearum* clones. In each panel,

each ring represents a replicate *R. solanacearum* clone in different colours (N= 8, see key).

Panels show replicate clones isolated from low transfer frequency ITC-exposure treatment

(A), low transfer frequency control treatment (B) and intermediate transfer frequency

784   control treatment (C). Circles on the left and right show mutations identified in chromosome

785   and megaplasmid, respectively (see key), and black dots on the rings represent mutations at

786   different loci. Labels indicate the gene product and the locus tag or gene name. Within each

787   ring is a distance marker in Mb.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Table 1. The mean density reduction (%) of *Ralstonia solanacearum* bacterium when exposed to 500 or 1000 μM allyl, sec-butyl and 2-phenylethyl ITCs in CPG growth media after 24, 48 or 72 hours relative to when grown in the absence of ITCs. This table is based on the same data presented in Supplementary Fig. 2.

ITC Type and Concentration (μM)	Time (h)	Bacterial density reduction (%) compared to control
Allyl-ITC, 500	24	66
	48	54
	72	27
Allyl-ITC, 1000	24	66
	48	47
	72	41
Sec-Butyl ITC, 500	24	33
	48	26
	72	9
Sec-Butyl ITC, 1000	24	30
	48	27
	72	8
2-Phenylethyl ITC, 500	24	39
	48	13
	72	10
2-Phenylethyl ITC, 1000	24	38
	48	18
	72	13

794 **Supplementary Table 2. Mutated *Ralstonia solanacearum* genes and annotated gene functions observed in intermediate and low transfer**

795 **frequency control (no-ITC), and ITC-exposed low transfer frequency treatments.** Gene function predictions were derived based on BLAST

796 using UNIPROT and percentage (%) sequence similarity is included for putative (hypothetical) proteins. Filled cells denote for the presence of

797 mutations in given clones and white cells denote for the absence of given mutations.

Location	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Chromosome	Plasmid	Plasmid	Plasmid
Position	293900	294413	585646	1257034	1258240	1449567	2302809	2830656	2874048	3123064	44512	105610	124931
Type	snp	snp	ins	snp	snp	snp	snp	ins	ins	ins	del (27bp)	del (1bp)	snp
Ref	G	C	T	G	C	C	C	A	C	A	TCGTGAGCGGCAAGCCGGCACATCGCAA	TG	G
Alt	A	T	TCGTGCTG	C	G	T	T	ACAGCAACGG	CGGGCACT	AC	T	T	A
Effect	Synonymous variant	Synonymous variant	Frameshift variant	Missense variant	Missense variant	Missense variant	Synonymous variant	Conservative inframe insertion	Frameshift variant	Conservative inframe insertion	Frameshift variant	Synonymous variant	Missense variant
Locus tag	RSUY_02640	RSUY_02640	RSUY_05230	RSUY_11710	RS_RS11675	RSUY_13490	RSUY_21390	RSUY_26530	ATK36_5281	PAS_chr3_0978	NA8A_21102	CTZ27_33685	RSUY_33140
Product	Putative deoxyribonuclease RhsC	Putative deoxyribonuclease RhsC	Imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit HisH1	HTH-type transcriptional regulator DmlR	Probable transcription regulator protein (86.1% similarity)	Putative glucose/sorbonose dehydrogenase (84.8% similarity)	F-box domain-containing protein (83.3% similarity)	Putative serine/threonine protein kinase (91.7% similarity)	Dehydrogenase-like uncharacterised protein (90.9% similarity)	RNA binding protein (90.9% similarity)	DNA-3-methyladenine glycosidase II (100% similarity)	Non-specific serine/threonine protein kinase (91.7% similarity)	Putative TIS1421-transposase orfA protein (72.7% similarity)
Int No ITC 1	X	X	X				X						X
Int No ITC 2	X												
Int No ITC 3			X										
Int No ITC 4	X						X						
Int No ITC 5	X		X										
Int No ITC 6			X										
Int No ITC 7	X												
Int No ITC 8			X										
Low No ITC 1			X					X					
Low No ITC 2	X		X					X	X	X			
Low No ITC 3	X												
Low No ITC 4			X										
Low No ITC 5	X					X							
Low No ITC 6	X		X			X							
Low No ITC 7			X										
Low No ITC 8			X										
Low ITC 1			X			X		X			X		
Low ITC 2			X			X						X	
Low ITC 3			X			X		X					
Low ITC 4			X										
Low ITC 5						X							
Low ITC 6	X		X			X		X					
Low ITC 7	X												
Low ITC 8													

Supplementary Figure. 1. The effect of allyl-ITC pre-volatilisation for antibacterial activity

against *Ralstonia solanacearum*. *R. solanacearum* bacterial growth was measured in CPG

media supplemented with 0 (No allyl-ITC) or 500 μM of allyl-ITC that had been allowed to

volatilise for 2, 24, 48 or 72 hours (see key). All data points show the mean of eight technical

replicates and bars show ±1 standard error of the mean (SEM).

Supplementary Figure 2. Effects of allyl, sec-butyl and 2-phenylethyl ITCs on *Ralstonia*

***solanacearum* growth at different ITC concentrations.** In all panels, *R. solanacearum*

bacterial densities are shown on the Y-axis as optical density ($OD600_{nm}$), measured at 24-

hour intervals (X-axis). In all panels, different line colours refer to different ITC

concentrations (see key in A). All data points show the mean of eight technical replicates

and bars show ±1 standard error of the mean (SEM).

Supplementary Figure 3. *Ralstonia solanacearum* **tolerance to ampicillin beta-lactam**

antibiotic. Ampicillin tolerance was measured as the growth of ancestral and evolved *R.*

solanacearum clones isolated from intermediate (Int) and low transfer frequency (Low)

control treatments (no-ITC) and ITC-exposed low transfer frequency treatment in the

absence (A) and presence (B-C) of ampicillin (15 and 30 µg/ml concentrations). Boxplots

show the minimum, maximum, interquartile range and the median (black line) after 48

hours. Individual data points show bacterial densities for each biological replicate clone (N=

8). Different small case letters above boxplots indicate significant pairwise differences

(Tukey: p< 0.05) between treatments within each panel.