Prevalent and Dynamic Binding of the Cell Cycle Checkpoint Kinase Rad53 to Gene Promoters

Yi-Jun Sheu, Risa Karakida Kawaguchi, Jesse Gillis and Bruce Stillman[1]

Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 11724, USA

[1]Correspondence: Bruce Stillman stillman@cshl.edu

Key words: Origins of DNA replication, Transcription start sites, Gene promoters, Checkpoint Kinase, Rad53, Mrc1, DNA damage response, Stress Response

Abstract

Replication of the genome must be coordinated with gene transcription and cellular metabolism. These processes are controlled in part by the Rad53 (CHEK2 in mammals) checkpoint kinase and the Mrc1 replisome component, especially following replication stress in the presence of limiting deoxyribonucleotides. We examined cell cycle regulated, genome-wide binding of Rad53 to chromatin. The kinase bound to sites of active DNA replication initiation and fork progression, but unexpectedly to the promoters of numerous genes (>20% of all genes) involved in many cellular functions. At some genes, Rad53 promoter binding correlated with changes in gene expression. Rad53 promoter binding to certain genes is influenced by sequence-specific transcription factors and less by checkpoint signaling. In checkpoint mutants, untimely activation of late-replicating origins reduces the transcription of nearby genes, with concomitant localization of Rad53 to their gene bodies. We suggest that the Rad53 checkpoint kinase coordinates genome-wide replication and transcription under stress conditions.

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells initiate DNA synthesis in a temporally controlled manner from multiple replication origins to ensure efficient duplication of the genome (Bell and Labib, 2016; Renard-Guillet et al., 2014). During the course of replication, replisomes have to deal with both endogenous and exogenous stresses that can cause stalling of replication forks. The same DNA template is also transcribed, potentially creating conflicts between replication and transcription that can lead to detrimental effects on genome stability and cell viability (Hamperl and Cimprich, 2016).

To maintain genome stability during S-phase, the budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* activates a DNA replication checkpoint (DRC) in response to replication stress via the sensor kinase Mec1 (the mammalian ATM/ATR), the replication fork protein Mrc1 (Claspin in mammals) and other fork proteins (Lanz et al., 2019; Osborn and Elledge, 2003; Pardo et al., 2017; Paulovich and Hartwell, 1995; Saldivar et al., 2017). A second DNA damage checkpoint (DDC) mediated by Rad9 (TP53BP1 in mammals) responds to double strand DNA breaks. Both branches converge on the effector kinase Rad53 (CHEK2 in mammals) which triggers a wide range of downstream events, including stopping cell cycle progression, preventing late origin firing, activating the DNA repair and elevating synthesis of deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTP). The signaling also promotes widespread changes in gene expression (Jaehnig et al., 2013; Pardo et al., 2017).

Unlike most of the checkpoint genes, both Mec1 and Rad53 kinases are essential for cell viability in unperturbed cells that can be partly explained by their role in regulating dNTP pools (Desany et al., 1998; Forey et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2000). However, it is important to note that kinase null mutants are extremely sick and sensitive to various type of exogenous stress. Under the bypass conditions in cells without Sml1, the inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase (RNR), cells lacking Rad53 exhibit a more severe defect than cells lacking Mec1, implying that Rad53 has activities beyond checkpoint signaling. Consistent with this suggestion, the kinase deficient mutant *rad53^{K227A}* lacks checkpoint function but retains growth-associated activity (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003; Hoch et al., 2013; Holzen and Sclafani, 2010; Pellicioli et al., 1999).

Rad53 is central to the transcriptional response to DNA damage, including the Dun1 protein kinase acting downstream of Rad53 to phosphorylate and inactivate the transcriptional repressor Rfx1/Crt1 and thereby up-regulate target genes (Huang et al., 1998), such as *RNR2*, *RNR3*, and *RNR4*, all encoding subunits of RNR. However, the induced expression of *RNR1*, which encodes the major isoform of the RNR large subunit, is not controlled by the Rfx1 repressor, but by Ixr1 binding to the *RNR1* promoter upon genotoxic stress. This Ixr1-dependent regulation of *RNR1* is independent of Dun1 but requires Rad53 (Tsaponina et al., 2011). Another Rad53-dependent, Dun1-independent regulation of *RNR1* involves phosphorylation-dependent dissociation of Nrm1 from MBF (Travesa et al., 2012).

In addition to upregulating the dNTP pools, defects in cells lacking Rad53 can be suppressed by manipulating factors functioning in transcription regulation, cell wall maintenance, proteolysis and cell cycle control (Desany et al., 1998; Manfrini et al., 2012). Moreover, Rad53 kinase targets and interaction partners found in biochemical and proteomic studies suggests that the kinase is pleiotropic (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003; Jaehnig et al., 2013; Lao et al., 2018; Smolka et al., 2007, 2006).

76 In this study, while investigating of the response of yeast cells to replication stresses caused by
77 depletion of dNTPs, we found that Rad53 not only binds to sites of DNA synthesis, but it localized to
78 more than 20% of gene promoters in the *S. cerevisiae* genome, suggesting a global role in
79 coordinating stress responses. Furthermore, we provide evidence that untimely activation of
80 replication from late origins can negatively affect transcription activity of nearby genes.

Results

Initiation, elongation and recovery of DNA replication in checkpoint mutants

DNA replication in the presence of low dNTP levels was examined by releasing G1-phase cells for
either 45 (HU45) or 90 (HU90) minutes into media containing hydroxyurea (HU), coupled with
labeling DNA synthesis with 5-ethynyl-2'deoxyuridine (EdU) (Sheu et al., 2016, 2014). The purified
EdU-DNA was subjected to high-throughput DNA sequencing and the reads were mapped to the
genome, yielding replication profiles for wild-type (WT) and three DNA damage checkpoint mutants,
rad53[K227A] (a kinase-deficient version of Rad53), *mrc1Δ* (null for *Mrc1* mediator of the DRC branch)
and *rad9Δ* (null for *Rad9* mediator of DDC branch).

In WT cells, DNA synthesis occurred only from early origins because of the activated DRC
checkpoint, which inhibits late origin firing (Figure 1a, [HU90] and Figure 1-figure supplement 1a
[HU45 and HU90]) [5,6]. As expected DNA synthesis was readily detected from late origins (red
arrows) in the kinase-deficient *rad53[K227A]* and *mrc1Δ* mutants. In contrast, the *rad9Δ* mutant profile
appeared identical to that of WT (Figure 1a). Thus, the DRC branch (Mrc1), but not the DDC branch
(Rad9), represses late origin firing in response to this replication stress.

Among the 829 active or potential origins of DNA replication (Siow et al., 2012), 256 origins are
active in WT cells and 521 origins are active in the *rad53[K227A]* and *mrc1Δ* mutants, specifying "early"
(E) and "late" (L) firing origins, respectively. The remaining 308 were therefore inactive (I) under
these conditions. The EdU peak signals in each mutant for these origin categories shows that the
rad53[K227A] mutant favored late origins over early origins (Figure 1b), which was particularly
prominent in heterochromatic regions on chromosome III, such as *HMR*, *HML* and telomere-proximal
regions harboring very late firing origins (Figure 1-figure supplement 1b). This pattern is not seen in
the *mrc1Δ*, suggesting that it is due to loss of Rad53 kinase activity but not DRC signaling.

Rad53 is required for stability of DNA stalled replication forks (Bacal et al., 2018; Kumar and
Huberman, 2008; Lopes et al., 2001; Seiler et al., 2007; Tercero et al., 2003), which was confirmed
by labelling DNA synthesis during recovery from HU-induced replication stress. Cells that
progressed from G1- into S-phase in HU for 45 min. were released from the HU block and DNA
synthesis labeled with EdU during an additional 25 min (HU→S25) or were continued in HU for
another 45 min. and labeled with EdU (HU→HU45) (Figure 1c). In *WT* cells, DNA synthesis during
recovery from HU continued from the stalled replication forks (Figure 1d). For very efficient early
origins, such as *ARS305*, *ARS306* and *ARS307* (Figure 1d, black arrows), little new synthesis
occurred at origins during the recovery, suggesting efficient initiation at these origins. In contrast, for
moderately early origins, such as *ARS309* and *ARS315* (Figure 1d, brown arrows), DNA synthesis
occurs at both the origin and recovered forks in the cell population. DNA synthesis from late origins

is not detectable (Figure 1d, red arrows). Thus, in *WT*, DNA synthesis during recovery from
replication stress continued mainly from already activated replisomes that had progressed away from
origins. If the replication stress persisted, DNA synthesis continued slowly only from existing
replisomes (Figure 1d).
125
In the recovering *mrc1Δ* mutant, DNA synthesis continued from stalled replisomes, albeit slowly, but
unlike WT, new initiation at efficient early origins, such as *ARS305*, *ARS306* and *ARS307* was also
detected (Figure 1d), suggesting that Mrc1 is important for efficient initiation at early origins in
addition to its established role in stimulating fork progression (Osborn and Elledge, 2003; Tourrière
et al., 2005; Yeeles et al., 2016). During recovery from stress, the *rad53^{K227A}* mutant failed to restart
DNA synthesis at most stalled forks, except for the replicons in the heterochromatic regions, where
new initiation was also detected (Figure 1d). Thus, the replication fork collapse was more severe in
the absence of Rad53 kinase compared to the absence of checkpoint signaling in the *mrc1Δ* mutant.
134

Rad53 is recruited to sites of DNA synthesis independent of checkpoint signaling

137
138 To investigate the status of replisomes, chromatin immunoprecipitation and deep sequencing (ChIP-
139 seq) was employed to follow localization of Cdc45, which is associated with activated helicases at the
140 replisomes. G1 arrested cells and cells released for 45 and 90 min. in HU were processed for ChIP-
141 seq analysis (Behrouzi et al., 2016).
142
Using either normalized read counts or a heatmap analysis around active origins that are ranked in
order of DNA replication timing [28], Cdc45 in WT cells was found moving only from early origins,
(Figure 2a and 2b; early origins in top panel and late origins in bottom panel). In contrast, Cdc45 is
present at both early and late origins in both *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutants, with slower progression
in the *mrc1Δ* mutant (Figure 2b; Figure 2- figure supplement 1a), consistent with its role in
progression at replication forks (Tourrière et al., 2005; Yeeles et al., 2016). Cdc45 in the *rad53^{K227A}*
mutant emanating from late origins continued to move from HU45 to HU90, whereas at the early
origins Cdc45 signal did not move further away for origins (Figure 2b). Since Cdc45 can recruit
Rad53 to restrict CMG helicase activity (Can et al., 2018; Devbhandari and Remus, 2020), the limited
Cdc45 signal at early origins here suggests that, in the absence of active Rad53 kinase, replisomes
departed from origins but disintegrated. The persistent signal at origins in HU90 is consistent with
firing at early origins in those cells that had not initiated DNA replication during the HU block.
155
Phosphorylation of histone H2A at serine 129 (S129; γ-H2A) by the sensor kinase Mec1 is an
indication of checkpoint activation. γ-H2A ChIP-seq monitors the genome distribution of checkpoint
activation under HU stress (Figure 2c and d; Figure 2 – figure supplement 1b). In WT cells, γ-H2A
signals are particularly high around the earliest firing origins in HU45 and HU90, suggesting that
stress signals emit mostly from early origins. In the *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutants, γ-H2A is found at
both early and late origins, however, in the *rad53^{K227A}* mutant, the signal at early firing origins
reduces with time, suggesting that Rad53 kinase activity is needed to maintain stress signaling by
Mec1 at early origins. In contrast, the Mrc1 is not strictly required to induce or maintain γ-H2A.
164

165 Interestingly, γ-H2A is observed at genomic regions surrounding the very late origins in G1-phase in
166 both WT and mutants (Figure 2d and Figure 2 – figure supplement 1b). It is possible that these γ-
167 H2A signals reflect a low level of ssDNA gaps at these late-replicating regions that was tolerated and
168 carried over from the previous cell cycle, similar to unrepaired post-replication gaps resulting from
169 low level of UV irradiation in *S. pombe* G2-phase (Callegari and Kelly, 2006).
170
Rad53 kinase detected by ChIP-seq at genome sites in WT cells largely follows the progression of
replication forks (Figure 2e and f; Figure 2 – figure supplement 1c). Rad53 is also detected at late
origins in both checkpoint mutants, but dispersed at late times in the *rad53*^{K227A} mutant. The
spreading of Rad53 signal in the *mrc1Δ* mutant is more restricted, consistent with slower replication
fork progression. Surprisingly, Rad53 binding to replication forks does not require the Mrc1,
suggesting checkpoint-independent recruitment of Rad53 to sites of DNA synthesis.
177

Rad53 binds to promoters of genes involved in multiple cellular processes

179
Unexpectedly, we noticed many Rad53 peaks even in G1 arrested cells (Figure 2e) and many of these
peaks localized upstream of transcription start sites (TSS) or promoters (Figure 3). In *WT*, some peak
signals change as cells progress from G1-phase into HU arrested S-phase. For example, Rad53 at the
RNR1 promoter increases from G1 to HU45 and HU90 (Figure 3a and b). A similar pattern occurs at
the *RNR3* promoter. The Rad53 signal at promoters are present in both *rad53*^{K227A} and *mrc1Δ* mutants
(Figure 3a). Rad53 binding to promoters also occurs in the *sml1* null mutant (*sml1Δ*) and the *mec1*
null mutant (*mec1Δ sml1Δ*), but is absent in *rad53 null* (*rad53Δ sml1Δ),* demonstrating antibody
specificity (Figure 3b and Figure 3 – figure supplement 1a). Thus, both the sensor kinase Mec1 and
Mrc1 are not required for the recruitment of Rad53 to these sites.
189
Whole genome analysis shows that ~90% of the Rad53 peaks are either upstream of or overlap the
TSS (Figure 3 – figure supplement 1b). Rad53 promoter binding is temporally dynamic in a subset of
genes, suggesting regulation by cell cycle progression or DNA replication stress. Heatmaps of the
Rad53 signals at 2 kb intervals centered on all transcription start sites (TSS) show a global trend of
increasing Rad53 binding as cells progress from G1-phase into HU45 or HU90 (Figure 3c),
concomitant with increased levels of Rad53 protein in cells treated with HU (Figure 3 – figure
supplement 2a). The increase parallels entry into S-phase, as measured by Orc6 phosphorylation,
destruction of Sml1 and histone H2A phosphorylation (Figure 3 – figure supplement 2a-c).
Additional genes show increased Rad53 binding as cells progress from G1- into S-phase (Figure 4a,
upper panels), but at other promoters Rad53 binding decreases during the same time course (Figure
4a, lower panels). However, at most genes Rad53 remains constant.
201
In this study, two sets of duplicate Rad53 ChIP-Seq experiments were performed in WT, *rad53*^{K227A}
and *mrc1Δ* mutants (CP set)*,* and based on the type of genes that bind Rad53, in transcription factor
mutants *ixr1Δ*, *swi4Δ*, *swi6Δ* and WT (TP set). Residual analysis in WT identified the top
differentially binding (DB) genes (Figure 4b, Figure 4c for CP and TP sets; orange dots). Among the
top 1000 DBs from each set, 435 genes were identified in both (Figure 4b, 435 Top DB overlap).
Overall, during the G1- to S-phase transition (HU45), there are more genes with increased Rad53
promoter binding than those with decreased binding. Many of these genes encode proteins involved
in cell cycle progression (e.g., cyclins and regulators of DNA replication) and cell growth (e. g., cell
wall maintenance and mating response).

In the *rad53^K227A* mutant, the increase in Rad53 promoter binding is transient and generally weaker,
consistent with lower protein levels (Figure 3c). In the *mrc1Δ* mutant, the binding at the *RNR1*
promoter is reduced compared to WT, despite an increase Rad53 protein (Figure 3a, Figure 3 – figure
supplement 2a). In contrast, the increase in Rad53 binding at the *PCL1* promoter appears to be less
affected by the checkpoint mutations (Figure 3a). Thus, the DRC checkpoint only affects differential
binding of Rad53 to a subset of promoters. At other promoters, cell cycle progression or response to
mating pheromone due to treatment and removal of α-factor may contribute to differential Rad53
promoter binding.

Visual inspection of the ChIP-Seq peaks suggested that Rad53 bound to numerous gene promoters
and TSSs throughout the genome. Rad53 ChIP-Seq was compared to a previous ChIP-Seq data set of
the sequence-specific transcription factor Swi6, part of SBF and MBF that control cell-cycle
regulated genes (Breeden, 2003). The Gini indices computed for Swi6 and two of our Rad53
replicates are 0.763, 0.2918, and 0.2982, respectively, calculated from Lorenz curves (Figure 3d).
Rad53 has a higher coverage for many promoters while Swi6, as expected, shows substantially high
coverage only for a limited number of promoters.

The relationship between Rad53 promoter binding and gene expression

The relationship between Rad53 promoter recruitment was compared to gene expression from RNA-
seq analysis using the same conditions. RNA-seq replicates from 4 strains (*WT, rad9Δ, rad53^K227A*
and *mrc1Δ*), each with 3 stages (G1, HU45 and HU90) were analyzed using rank data analysis
(Figure 5a). The expression profiles in G1 are very similar among all strains. In HU, however, two
groups are evident; *rad9Δ* is like WT since Rad9 has no role in the DRC checkpoint branch. In
contrast, *rad53^K227A* and *mrc1Δ* cluster together in both HU45 and HU90, consistent with Rad53 and
Mrc1 functioning together in the response to HU stress.

In the hierarchical clustering, cell cycle stage contributes more to similarities than the genotype
(Figure 5a). Pair-wise comparison of G1 to HU45 in WT and *rad9Δ* cells shows that ~2300 genes
exhibited significant expression changes (differentially expressed genes; DEGs; Figure 5b). The
number of DEGs increases further to ~3000 when comparing G1 to HU90. In both *rad53^K227A* and
mrc1Δ mutants, ~2500 DEGs are detected from G1 to HU45, which increases to >3400 in G1 to
HU90. The response to cell cycle stage is largely equally distributed between up and down regulation.
A WT and *rad9Δ* comparison shows only 5 DEGs, demonstrating that Rad9 does not contribute to
gene expression changes under HU stress.

The overall heatmap signal of Rad53 upstream of TSSs is higher in the significant DEGs than in the
insignificant DEGs, suggesting that Rad53 may play a role in control of gene expression (Figure 5c
and Figure 5 – figure supplement 1). Gene co-expression analysis of the RNA-seq data yields ten co-
expression clusters of DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) (Figure 6a and Figure 6 – figure supplement 1).
Specific, dynamic Rad53 binding at promoter regions occurs in most clusters (Figure 6b), with GO
functions including cell cycle regulation, mating response, proteolysis, transport, oxidation-reduction
process and organic acid metabolism (Figure 6a).

Within the 435 Top DB overlapping genes (Figure 4b), 236 show significant expression changes.
Plots of Rad53 binding changes against gene expression changes of these 236 genes show a positive
correlation between Rad53 binding change and gene expression change (Figure 6c, left panel).
Among this group, 51 out of 54 genes with decreased Rad53 signal are down-regulated in mRNA
levels. Genes with increased Rad53 signals are partitioned between up-regulation and down-
regulation (108 and 74, respectively). Further break down of the 236 gene group into co-expression
clusters of the DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) revealed that genes in clusters 1 and 7 exhibit the strongest
correlation between Rad53 binding and gene expression changes (Figure 6c). Thus, specific subsets
of DEGs in the shift from G1→ HU exhibit correlations between a change in gene expression and
Rad53 promoter binding.
266
267 **Checkpoint mutants cause down-regulation of gene expression near**
268 **promiscuously active late origins**
269
Upon inspection of Rad53 heatmaps around TSSs, we noticed that in several co-expression clusters
from the DEGs in the HU45 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*) comparison (Figure 7a), down-regulated genes tend to
have a strong Rad53 signal not only upstream of the TSS, but a broad signal within gene bodies
(Figure 7b). This pattern is prominent in the *mrc1Δ* mutant at HU45 and further intensifies in HU90.
The gene body localization is also found transiently in *rad53^{K227A}* cells (Figure 7 – figure supplement
1). Such a gene body signal is not as prevalent in the WT HU45 and HU90 samples. Since Rad53 is
also recruited to active origins and moves with the replication fork, we suspected these gene body
signals in the checkpoint mutants may be caused by the promiscuous activation of near-by origins
that are normally inactive in WT, creating conflicts between DNA replication and gene transcription.
The transient nature of the Rad53 localization at gene body in this group of genes in the *rad53^{K227A}*
mutant is also consistent with the transient signal pattern at these late origins (Figure 2f, bottom
panel). Thus, we investigated the relationship between these genes and their closest replication
origins.
283
The distance of replication origins to the nearest TSS, the relative orientation of the gene to the origin
(head-on or co-directional) and the origin type (early, late or inactive; Figure 1b) was determined and
correlated with the DEG clusters (Figure 7c). Overall, most of the down regulated genes in cluster 1
of this group are situated very close to active origins (< 2 kb between origin center and TSS, light
purple marks and <1 kb, dark purple marks). Interestingly, the pattern of origin to promoter distance
marks largely mirrored the patten of the Rad53 ChIP signal within the gene bodies (Figure 7b and
7c). This correlation pattern is not found in the WT ChIP heatmap. Within the DEG group, genes
situated 5 kb or more away from closest active origins are similarly distributed between up regulation
and down regulation of gene expression (Figure 7d, left panels). However, for those genes that are
closer to an active origin, the bias to be down regulated gene increases. For those that gene situated
less than 1 kb away from active origins, more than 80% are down-regulated genes.
295
The DEGs in HU45 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*) that are more than 5 kb away from active origins are also
similarly distributed between up and down regulation (Figure 7d, middle panels). More down
regulated genes are found when the nearby origins are active. The bias is even stronger for genes that
are close to late origins, which become active in HU when Mrc1 is absent. Because late origins and
intermediate early origins are more active in the *mrc1Δ* mutant, it is possible that nearby gene
expression is negatively affected by active DNA synthesis. Furthermore, the bias toward the down

regulation is even stronger (>80%) when the nearby origin is in a head-on orientation towards the
gene (Figure 7d, right panels). Similarly, a bias exists toward down regulation of DEGs from HU45
($rad53^{K227A}$ vs WT) that are close to active origins (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1). The tendency to
find a high Rad53 signal at gene bodies in the $mrc1\Delta$ and $rad53^{K227A}$ mutants also occurred in the
down-regulated DEGs in $mrc1\Delta$ (G1→HU45) (Figurer 5 – figure supplement 1c), likely caused by
the same proximal origins. Thus, the untimely activation of replication origins in the checkpoint
mutants affects gene expression and Rad53 binding to gene bodies.
309
310 **Rad53 binding changes coincide with the changes in gene expression for targets of**
311 **cell cycle regulators SBF, MBF and mating response regulator Ste12**
312
The DEGs in WT (G1→HU45) were associated with co-expression clusters that showed a strong
correlation between Rad53 binding and gene expression (Figure 6c, clusters 1 and 7). They contain
genes that encode targets of SBF and MBF, key transcription factor complexes comprised of a shared
regulatory subunit, Swi6 and the DNA-binding subunits Swi4 and Mbp1, respectively (Breeden,
2003). Their target genes include multiple G1- and S-phase cyclin genes, such as *PCL1*, *CLN1*,
CLN2, *CLB5*, *CLB6*. Evidence suggests that SBF and MBF are directly regulated by Rad53 kinase
(Oliveira et al., 2012; Sidorova and Breeden, 2003; Travesa et al., 2012) and Rad53 may regulate
expression of targets of Msn4, Swi6, Swi4, and Mbp1 through Dun1-independent mechanisms
(Jaehnig et al., 2013). Thus, we analyzed the annotated targets of these transcription factors compiled
in the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (SGD; https://www.yeastgenome.org). Among the 81 genes
that are candidate targets for both Swi4 and Swi6, 36 genes were found in the 236 significant DEGs
in the Top DB overlap (Figures 4b and 6c) with an enrichment of 12.91. Scatter plot comparisons of
Rad53 binding and gene expression changes of these 36 genes show a clear positive correlation
(Figure 8a, SBF top panel). Combining the data from the checkpoint mutants (Figure 8a, SBF bottom
panel and Figure 8 – figure supplement 1a) show that most of these genes have similar levels of
differential expression in the *rad9Δ* mutant compared with WT from G1 to HU45, whereas in the
mrc1Δ and *rad53^{K227A}* mutants exhibit different level of changes. Similar plot patterns were found
with 26 out of 65 MBP targets with an enrichment of 11.62 (Figure 8a and Figure 8 – figure
supplement 1b), including overlap between the targets of SBF and MBF (19 genes). We also found
enrichment for targets of transcription factor Msn4 and patterns of correlation (Figure 8a and Figure 8
– figure supplement 1d, Msn4 panels), including 12 out of 22 Msn4 targets that are also SBF targets.
334
Many of the genes with decreased Rad53 binding at the promoters are mating response genes
(Figures 4b and c). Therefore, the targets of Ste12, a key transcription factor activated by MAPK
signaling to activate genes involved in mating or pseudohyphal/invasive growth pathways were
investigated. Of 183 potential targets of Ste12 annotated in SGD, 34 are in the 236 significant DEGs
in the Top DB overlap (Figures 6c and 8a). All the Ste12 targets that have decreased Rad53 binding
are down regulated as cells entered S-phase. Moreover, 20 out of the 34 Ste12 targets in the Top DB
group show increased Rad53 binding in HU and 11 of these 20 genes are also targets of SBF. Thus,
regulation by SBF appears to be responsible for the correlation between increased Rad53 binding at
the promoter and up-regulation of these target genes.
344
345 **SBF plays a major role in the localization of Rad53 to the promoters of its target**
346 **genes under replication stress**

347
To determine the contribution of various transcription regulators in recruitment of Rad53 to gene
promoters, Rad53 ChIP-seq analysis in *WT*, *ixr1Δ*, *swi4Δ* and *swi6Δ* mutants was performed. In the
scatter plot of the Rad53 signal upstream of TSSs in G1 versus HU45 from the *WT* sample, SBF
targets in the Top DB (Figure 8b, orange/red diamonds) showed significant deviation from the global
trend (blue dots). In *swi4Δ* and *swi6Δ* mutants, the signal for all of these SBF targets collapses
towards the global trend (purple and light olive dots, *swi6Δ* and *swi4Δ*, respectively), suggesting that
Rad53 signal changes at these genes depends on SBF. In the *ixr1Δ* mutant (green dots), the majority
of these SBF targets remain deviated from the global trend in the scatter plot, except for the *RNR1*
gene, indicated in the close-up plots (Figure 8b, lower panels), whose position collapsed in all three
mutants. Rad53 binding to the *RNR1* promoter is reduced in both SBF mutants, consistent with *RNR1*
being a target of SBF and MBF ((Bruin et al., 2006)). Rad53 binding is completely eliminated from
the *TOS6* (target of SBF 6) promoter while for *PCL1* and *YOX1,* both targets of SBF, Rad53 binding
does not increase in HU. Interestingly, at the promoter of *RNR3*, the paralog of *RNR1*, Rad53 binding
in the SBF mutants is low, even though *RNR3* may not be a SBF or MBF target. On the other hand,
ixr1Δ reduces Rad53 binding to *RNR1* in HU but has no effect on Rad53 recruitment at the *RNR3*
promoter (Figure 8c).
364
365 ## Discussion
366
Following hydroxyurea induced replication stress, Rad53 was recruited to active origins of DNA
replication and to DNA replication forks in a checkpoint independent manner since *mrc1Δ* and
rad53^{K227A} mutants had little effect on binding. Rad53 is targeted to replisomes by the helicase
subunits Cdc45 and Mcm2 where it is activated by Mec1 kinase dependent on Mrc1 at the fork, and
stabilizes the replisome (Can et al., 2018; Cobb et al., 2005; Lou et al., 2008; McClure and Diffley,
2021; Szyjka et al., 2008). Maintenance of Rad53 at the replication forks requires Rad53 kinase
activity but not DRC checkpoint signaling. Since Rad53 kinase can auto-activate itself (Gilbert et al.,
2001; Lanz et al., 2019; Pardo et al., 2017; Saldivar et al., 2017), we suggest that either auto-
activation or binding to a phosphorylated replisome protein is required for the continued presence of
Rad53 at replication forks.
377
Checkpoint signaling also prevents replication initiation in late replicating regions of the genome
(Hamperl and Cimprich, 2016). However, in the checkpoint mutants, these late origins become active
and Rad53 was recruited to the body of origin proximal genes. Concomitantly, gene expression of
these genes was reduced, perhaps mediated by recruitment of Rad53. We suggest that the normal
temporal order of replication of the genome throughout S-phase has evolved to prevent conflicts
between replication and transcription, which is particularly important in a gene dense genome such as
S. cerevisiae. It is known that late replicating genes are tethered to the nuclear pore complexes in the
nuclear periphery and checkpoint signaling, including Rad53 kinase, is required for preventing
topological impediments for replication fork progression (Bermejo et al., 2011; Hamperl and
Cimprich, 2016). Moreover, during normal replication, Mec1 may locally activate Rad53 to deal with
difficult to replicate regions or regions of replication-transcription conflict without triggering full
blown checkpoint activation (Bastos de Oliveira et al., 2015). Rad53 kinase inhibits Mrc1 stimulation
of the CMG helicase (McClure and Diffley, 2021), consistent with our observation that replication
fork progression is limited in the absence of Mrc1 and that replication forks cannot be rescued after
DNA damage in *rad53^{K227A}* cells (Forey et al., 2020).

393
Unexpectedly we also found Rad53 constitutively bound to > 20% of the gene promoters in the yeast
genome, independent of Mrc1 and Rad53 kinase activities. The genes encode proteins with diverse
activities, including various aspects of cell cycle, metabolism, protein modification, ion transport, cell
wall organization and cell growth. The levels of Rad53 binding to most of these genes did not change
during the time course in HU, whereas Rad53 binding increased at promoters for genes such as
RNR1, *RNR3* and *TOS6.* In contrast, Rad53 levels decreased on the promoters of genes involved in
response to mating pheromone as cells exited from α-factor induced G1 arrest into the cell division
cycle. The prevalent and dynamic changes in Rad53 promoter-bound levels did not necessarily
depend on checkpoint signaling at genes like *PCL1*, but in some cases such as *RNR1*, the increase in
Rad53 levels was reduced in checkpoint mutants.
404
The conditions employed in this study, cell cycle entry in the presence of hydroxyurea, may
determine the nature of the genes that display dynamic binding of Rad53 to gene promoters. It is
known that Rad53 phosphorylates transcription factors such as the SBF and MBF subunit Swi6 and
the MBF co-repressor Nrm1 (Sidorova and Breeden, 2003; Travesa et al., 2012) and that Irx1controls
transcription of *RNR1* (Tsaponina et al., 2011). Removal of Swi4, Swi6 or Ixr1 reduced, and in some
cases eliminated Rad53 binding to promoters of genes controlled by these transcription factors.
Rad53 bound to the Nrm1 promoter, suggesting an additional regulation of cell cycle-dependent
transcription control by Rad53. Rad53 also bound to promoters of genes encoding histones H3 and
H4, suggesting that in addition to its known role in histone degradation (Gunjan and Verreault, 2003)
Rad53 controls histone gene expression. This is consistent with previous findings that Rad53 targets
Yta7 (Smolka et al., 2006), which interacts with FACT to regulate histone gene expression and
inhibits Spt21^{NPAT}-regulated histone genes expression (Bruhn et al., 2020; Gradolatto et al., 2008). In
the absence of Rad53 protein, histone levels become elevated, causing global effects on gene
expression (Bruhn et al., 2020; Tsaponina et al., 2011).
419
420 Our data is consistent with the possibility that the Rad53 kinase contributes to the transcriptional
421 regulation as a structural component, as previously suggested for several MAP kinases (Alepuz et al.,
422 2001; Kim et al., 2008; Sanz et al., 2018). Like the stress induced kinase Hog1, Rad53 binding to
423 promoters may be dynamic in other stress conditions, which is under investigation. A major
424 unanswered question is how does Rad53 bind to so many diverse promoter sites.
425

Acknowledgements

427 This research was supported by NIH grants R01GM45436 and R01LM012736 and a gift from the
428 Goldring Family Foundation. The Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Cancer Center supported core
429 research resources (P30-CA045508). RKK was supported by Uehara Memorial Foundation
430 Postdoctoral Fellowship.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and methods

Yeast strains generated in this study were derived from W303-1a (MATa ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,15
leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1) and are described in Supplemental Table 1. All the yeast strains used for
the whole-genome DNA replication profile analyses have a copy of the BrdU-Inc cassette inserted
into the URA3 locus ((Viggiani and Aparicio, 2006)). For G1 arrest of bar1Δ strains, exponentially

growing yeast cells ($\sim 10^7$ cell/mL) in YPD were synchronized in G1 with 25 ng/mL of α-factor for
150 min at 30°C. For G1 arrest of *BAR1* strains, exponentially growing cells were grown in normal
YPD, then transferred into YPD (pH3.9), grown to $\sim 10^7$ cell/mL, and then synchronized in G1 with
three doses of α-factor at 2 µg/mL at 0-, 50-, and 100-min time point at 30°C. Cells were collected at
150 min for release. To release from G1 arrest, cells were collected by filtration and promptly
washed twice on the filter using one culture volume of H2O and then resuspended into YPD medium
containing 0.2 mg/mL pronase E (Sigma).
446
447 **Protein sample preparation and immunoblot analysis**
448 TCA extraction of yeast proteins was as described previously ((Sheu et al., 2014)). For immunoblot
449 analysis, protein samples were fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose
450 membrane. Immunoblot analyses for Orc6 (SB49), Rad53 (ab104232, Abcam) , γ-H2A (ab15083,
451 Abcam) and Sml1 (AS10 847, Agrisera) were performed as described ((Sheu et al., 2016, 2014)).
452
453
454
Isolation and preparation of DNA for whole-genome replication profile analysis
Modified protocol based on previously described ((Sheu et al., 2016, 2014)). Briefly, yeast cells
were synchronized in G1 with α-factor and released into medium containing 0.2 mg/mL pronase E,
0.5 mM 5-ethynyl-2′ -deoxyuridine (EdU) with or without addition of 200 mM HU as indicated in the
main text. At the indicated time point, cells were collected for preparation of genomic DNA. The
genomic DNA were fragmented, biotinylated, and then purified. Libraries for Illumina sequencing
were constructed using TruSeq ChIP Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). Libraries were pooled and
submitted for 50 bp paired-end sequencing.
463
Sample preparation for Chromatin immunoprecipitation coupled to deep sequencing (ChIP-
seq)
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as described ((Behrouzi et al., 2016)) with
modification. About 10^9 synchronized yeast cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 15 min at
room temperature (RT), then quenched with 130 mM glycine for 5 min at RT, harvested by
centrifugation, washed twice with TBS (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl), and flash frozen.
Cell pellets were resuspended in 600 µl lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1
mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% Na-Deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor
tablet (Roche)), and disrupted by bead beating using multi-tube vortex (Multi-Tube Vortexer, Baxter
Scientific Products) for 12-15 cycles of 30 seconds vortex at maximum intensity. Cell extracts were
collected and sonicated using Bioruptor (UCD-200, Diagenode) for 38 cycles of pulse for 30
seconds "ON", 30 seconds "OFF" at amplitude setting High (H). The extract was centrifuged for 5
min at 14,000 rpm. The soluble chromatin was used for IP.
477
Antibodies against Cdc45 (CS1485, this lab (Sheu and Stillman, 2006)), Rad53 (ab104232, Abcam) ,
γ-H2A (ab15083, Abcam) was preincubated with washed Dynabeads Protein A/G (Invitrogen, 1002D
and 1004D). For each immunoprecipitation, 80 µl antibody-coupled beads was added to soluble
chromatin. Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with rotation, after which the beads were
collected on magnetic stands, and washed 3 times with 1 ml lysis buffer and once with 1 ml TE, and
eluted with 250 µl preheated buffer (50 mM Tris.HCl pH 8.0, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) at 65°C for
15 min. Immunoprecipitated samples were incubated overnight at 65°C to reverse crosslink, and

treated with 50 µg RNase A at 37°C for 1 hr. 5 µl proteinase K (Roche) was added and incubation
was continued at 55°C for 1 hr. Samples were purified using MinElute PCR purification kit
(Qiagen). Libraries for Illumina sequencing were constructed using TruSeq ChIP Library Preparation
Kit (Illumina, IP-202-1012 and IP-202-1024).
489
490 The duplicate Rad53 ChIP-Seq data was compared to published ChIP-Seq data for Swi6 (Park et al.,
491 2013) (SRX360900: GSM1241092: swi6_DMSO_illumina; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; ChIP-Seq),
492 creating Gini indexes from calculated Lorenz curves (Andri et mult. al. S (2021). *DescTools: Tools*
493 *for Descriptive Statistics*. R package version 0.99.41, https://cran.r-project.org/package=DescTools).
494
Sample preparation for RNA seq
About $2\text{-}3\text{x}10^8$ flash-frozen yeast cells were resuspended in Trizol (cell pellet: Trizol = 1:10) and
vortex for 15 sec and incubate 25ºC for 5 min. Add 200 µl chloroform per 1 ml of Trizol-cell
suspension, vortex 15 sec, then incubate at room temp for 5 min and centrifuge to recover the
aqueous layer. The RNA in the aqueous layer were further purified and concentrated using PureLink
Column (Invitrogen, 12183018A). The RNA was eluted in 50 µl and store at 20ºC if not used
immediately. Store at -80ºC for long term. Paired-end RNA-seq libraries were prepared using
TruSeq stranded mRNA library preparation kit (Illumina, 20020594).
503
Generation of coverage tracks using the Galaxy platform
For visualization of read coverage in the Integrated Genome Browser ((Freese et al., 2016)), the
coverage tracks were generated using the Galaxy platform maintained by the Bioinformatics Shared
Resource (BSR) of Cold Spring Harbor Lab. The paired-end reads from each library were trimmed to
31 bases and mapped to sacCer3 genome using Bowtie ((Langmead, 2010)). The coverage track of
mapped reads was then generated using bamCoverage ((Ramírez et al., 2014)) with normalization to
1x genome.
511
Definition of the origin-types
Based on the BamCoverage output for EdU signal in *WT*, *rad53*^{K227A} and *mrc1Δ*, we categorized 829
origins listed in the oriDB database ((Siow et al., 2012)). We define the early origins as the one whose
signal at the first time point is larger than 2. The late origins are extracted from the rest of the origins if the
average signal value at the later time point is larger than 2 in *rad53*^{K227A} and *mrc1Δ* mutants. Among the
829 entries in oriDB, we defined 521 as active origins (with EdU signal in *WT* or checkpoint mutants
rad53^{K227A} and *mrc1Δ*), in which 256 was categorized as early origins (with EdU signal in *WT*) and
265 as late origins (with signal in checkpoint mutants but not in WT). The remaining 308 entries do
not have significant signal under our condition and were deemed inactive origins.
521
Computational analysis of sequence data
The sequenced reads were trimmed by cutadapt with an option of "nextseq-trim", then aligned by
STAR ((Dobin et al., 2013)) in a paired-end mode to the sacCer3 genome masked at repetitive
regions. The gene structure is referred from SGD reference genome annotation R64.1.1 as of Oct.
2018. For RNA-seq quantification analysis, the total counts of aligned reads were computed for each
gene by applying "GeneCounts" mode. For ChIP-seq quantification analysis, the reads were mapped
using the same pipeline. Additionally, peak calling was done by MACS2 in a narrow peak mode.
529
Gene expression analysis

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and their p-values were computed for each pair of the cases by
nbinomWaldTest after size factor normalization using DESeq2 ((Love et al., 2014)). Using the list of
DEGs, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed via Pathview library. ClusterProfiler
was applied to visualize fold changes of DEGs in each KEGG pathway. Co-expression analysis of
significant DEGs was further performed base on co-expression network constructed in CoCoCoNet
((Lee et al., 2020)). CoCoCoNet has established the co-expression matrix of Spearman's correlation
ranking based on 2,690 samples downloaded from SRA database. We carried out clustering for the
correlation matrix downloaded from CoCoCoNet (yeast_metaAggnet) by dynamicTreeCut in R (or
hierarchical clustering) to obtain at most 10 clusters. The enrichment analysis for the gene set of each
cluster was performed in the same way with RNA-seq analysis.
541
ChIP-seq signal normalization
For ChIP-seq signal normalization, two different methods were applied to different types of analysis.
For ChIP-seq residual analysis, we used simple normalization. In this process, each case sample is
compared with the corresponding control sample of DNA input to compute log2 fold changes within
each 25 bp window reciprocally scaled by multiplying the total read counts of another sample. Then,
the average of fold changes is computed for each duplicate. For ChIP-seq heatmap analysis, we
employed the origin-aware normalization to account for the higher background around origin region
as a result of DNA replication. In the origin-aware normalization, the same computation used in
simple normalization, or log2 fold change with scaling by the total read count, is independently
applied for the region proximal to the origins and others. For the heatmap presented in this paper, the
origin-proximal region is defined as the region within 5,000 bp upstream and downstream.
553
Heatmap analyses at origins and TSS
After the average fold change computation and normalization from ChIP-seq signals, the signal
strength is visualized around the target regions such as TSSs and replication origins are extracted
using normalizeToMatrix function in EnrichedHeatmap (window size is 25 bp and average mode is
w0). We ordered heatmaps to examine a different signal enrichment pattern for the characteristics of
each origin or gene. For the heatmap row of each origin is ordered by the assigned replication timing
for ChIP-seq signals around replication origins. The replication time for the origins are annotated
with the replication timing data published previously ((Yabuki et al., 2002)). From the estimated
replication time for each 1,000 bp window, we extracted the closest window from the center of each
replication origin and assigned it as the representative replication timing if their distance is no more
than 5,000 bp. Early and late origins groups are categorized according to the definition of the origin-
types using the replication profile data from this study. The final set of the replication origins used in
the heatmap analysis are obtained after filtering out the replication origins overlapped with any of 238
hyper-ChIPable regions defined in the previous study ((Teytelman et al., 2013)). In total, 167 early
and 231 late origins pass this filter and are used in the heatmaps analysis in this study. For heatmaps
of the ChIP-seq signals around TSS, we ordered genes based on RNA-seq fold changes for all DEGs
or per co-expression cluster of DEGs based on gene co-expression network constructed in
CoCoCoNet ((Lee et al., 2020)).
572
ChIP-seq residual analysis
To detect the time-dependent increase or decrease of Rad53 binding signals, we first focused on the
500 bp window upstream from each TSS and computed the sum of the fold change signals estimated
for each 25-bp window scaled by the window size as an activity of Rad53 binding for each gene. The

overall activity scores are varied for each time point probably because of the different Rad53 protein
level or other batch-specific reasons. To adjust such sample specific differences for a fair
comparison, a linear regression is applied for the activity scores of all genes between G1 and other
time points HU45 and HU90 using lm function in R. Then we selected top genes showing the
deviated signals from the overall tendency according to the absolute residual values between the
actual and predicted values, excluding the genes with signal value lower than -0.075 after scaling the
maximal signal to 1. Top 1,000 genes with the highest absolute residual values were selected from 2
sets of experiments. The common 435 genes among the duplicates were selected for further analysis.
585
586 ## Data Availability
587 All data supporting this work are available at public data sites. XXXX Source data are provide with
588 this paper. XXXXURL.
589
590 ## Code Availability
591 R scripts for the co-expression analyses including clustering and enrichment analysis are available at
592 https://github.com/carushi/yeast_coexp_analysis.
593
594
595 ## Figure legends
596
Figure 1. DNA synthesis under stress caused by depletion of dNTP pool and during recovery
from the stress.
a, Yeast cells were synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 90
min. (Top panel) Replication profiles of Chromosome IV for the wild type (WT), *rad53^{K227A}* and
mrc1Δ mutants. (Bottom panel) Replication profiles of wild type (WT), *rad9Δ* and *rad53^{K227A}*
mutants. Red arrows point out some late origins. Ori-DB track indicate positions of replication
origins annotated in OriDB (Siow et al., 2012). **b**, Scatter plot of EdU signals from early (E), late (L)
and inactive (I) origins in *WT rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutants. **c**, Scheme for accessing DNA synthesis
during recovery from HU stress. **d**, Cells that had progressed from G1 into S-phase in HU for 45 min.
were released from the HU block and DNA synthesis labeled with EdU during an additional 25 min
(HU→S25) or were continued in HU for another 45 min. and labeled with EdU (HU→HU45).
Replication profiles of Chromosome III is shown as an example.
609
Figure 1 – figure supplement 1. DNA synthesis under stress caused by depletion of dNTP pool
Yeast cells were synchronized in G1 phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90
min (HU45 and HU90, respectively). **a,** Replication profiles of Chromosome IV for the wild type
(WT) and *mrc1Δ* mutants and for the WT and *rad53^{K227A}* mutants. **b,** Replication profiles of
Chromosome III of *WT, rad9Δ* and *rad53^{K227A}* mutants at HU90. Red arrows point out some late
origins. Location of some heterochromatin regions are also indicated (black text and arrows).
616
Figure 2. Replisome status and checkpoint signaling at replication origins under replication
stress
Cells were synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min
(HU45 and HU90, respectively). *WT, rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and
HU90 were collected and processed for ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Cdc45, γ-H2A and
Rad53 at genome locations. **a, c and e**, Coverage tracks of ChIP-seq signals generated from mapped

reads using BamCoverage with normalization of 1X genome size. **b, d and f**, Heatmaps of ChIP-seq
signasl across 30 kb interval centered on active origins. Early origins (top panels) and late origins
(bottom panels) are ordered according to the associated replication timing data reported in a previous
study ((Yabuki et al., 2002)). **a**, Distribution of Cdc45 ChIP-seq signal on chromosome III. **b**,
Heatmaps of Cdc45 ChIP-seq signal around active origins. **c**, γ-H2A ChIP-seq signal on chromosome
III. **d**, Heatmaps of γ-H2A ChIP-seq signal around active origins. **e**, Rad53 ChIP-seq signal on
chromosome III. **f**, Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal around active origins.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1. Heatmaps of ChIP-seq signal across 30 kb centered on all
active origins
Cells were synchronized in G1 phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min
(HU45 and HU90, respectively). *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and
HU90 were collected and processed for ChIP-seq analysis. **a, b, and c,** Heatmaps of ChIP-seq signal
of Cdc45, γ-H2A and Rad53, respectively, across 30 kb centered on all active origins as defined in
this study (see **Definition of the origin-types in methods section**). Origins are ordered according to
the associated replication timing data reported in previous study (Yabuki et al., 2002).

Figure 3. Rad53 is recruited to TSS and the binding changes with the cell cycle stage
a, Distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal near *RNR1*, *PCL1* and *TOS6* genes in *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}* and
mrc1Δ mutant cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90. **b**, Rad53 ChIP-seq profiles in *WT*, *sml1Δ*,
rad53Δsml1Δ, and *mec1Δ sml1Δ* near *RNR1* gene. Asynchronous yeast cultures were processed for
ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Rad53. Tracks from *WT* G1, HU45 and HU90 are also included
for reference. **c**, Heatmaps and average signals of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb interval
centered on transcription start sites (TSS) for *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages of G1,
HU45 and HU90.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1. Recruitment of Rad53 to upstream TSS depends on the
presence of Rad53
a. Rad53 ChIP-seq profiles in *WT*, *sml1Δ*, *rad53Δsml1Δ,* and *mec1Δ sml1Δ* for chromosome III.
Asynchronous yeast cultures were processed for ChIP-seq analysis for distribution of Rad53. The
results from two independent experiments are shown. Experiment 1 compares only *sml1Δ*,
rad53Δsml1Δ. Experiment 2 is the same shown in Figure 3b. Tracks from *WT* G1, HU45 and HU90
are also included for reference. **b,** Pie charts showing the distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq peaks in
relation to genes.

Figure 3 – figure supplement 2. Relative level of Rad53 protein changes in cells
a, Immunoblots monitoring protein status for Rad53, Orc6, γ-H2A and Sml1 during checkpoint
activation from G1 to HU45 and HU90. **b,** Comparison of Rad53 protein level in G1 extracts from
WT, *rad53^{K227A}*, *mrc1Δ* and *rad9Δ* cells. Blots for Orc6, γ-H2A and Sml1 are included for reference.
c, Comparison of Rad53 protein level in HU90 extracts from *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}*, *mrc1Δ* and *rad9Δ* cells.
14 % SDS-PAGE was used for the Rad53 blot to allow collapsing of all phosphorylated forms into a
single band. Two-fold dilutions of the samples are loaded.

Figure 4. Identification of genes with Rad53 binding changes at the promoters
a. Examples of coverage tracks for selected genes show Rad53 signal changes at the indicated
promoters from G1 to HU. (**b**) Scatter plots compare the signals in G1and HU45 at 500 bp intervals

669 upstream of TSS for all genes in WT. Orange dots indicated the 1000 genes with highest binding
670 changes (Top 1000 DB) and satisfying the filter of minimal signal of -0.075 (Maximal = 1). The two
671 plots represent WT data sets from two separate experiments (see text). (**c**) Binding changes for 435
672 genes that are in both sets of Top 1000 DB (435 Top DB overlap).
673
Figure 5. Gene expression changes in WT and checkpoint mutants under stress and the
tendency of higher Rad53 binding at promoter of genes with significant differential expression
a. Rank data analysis of RNA-seq samples. *WT*, *rad9Δ*, *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* cells were
synchronized in G1-phase and released into YPD containing 0.2 M HU for 45 and 90 min (HU45 and
HU90, respectively). Cells at stages of G1, HU45 and HU90 were collected and processed for RNA-
seq analysis. (**b**) Bar graph summarizing the number of genes that show statistically significant
differential expression (DEGs) in pair-wise comparison as indicated to the right. Blue bars, down-
regulated DEGs. Orange bars, up-regulated DEGs. (**c**) Average Rad53 ChIP-seq signal across 2 kb
interval centered on at TSS for statistically significant (red) and insignificant (cyan) DEGs.
683
Figure 5 – figure supplement 1. Average Rad53 ChIP-seq signal and heatmaps of signal across
2 kb interval centered on TSS for various groups of time-dependent differentially expressed
genes (DEGs)
a, Rad53 ChIP-seq signal in *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}* and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90
for DEGs in WT(G1→HU45) and in WT(G1→HU90). **b and c,** Rad53 ChIP-seq signal DEGs in
rad53^{K227A}(G1→HU45) and *mrc1Δ*(G1→HU45), respectively. Genes in each group are arranged
according to the differential expression level from up to down. Average ChIP-seq signal for
significant (red) and for insignificant (cyan) DEGs are plotted on top of the heatmap.
692
Figure 6. Correlation between differential binding of Rad53 at promoter and differential gene
expression
a, Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in WT (G1→HU45). Cluster (C): color codes
for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. **b,** Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal
across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters in **a**. Genes within each cluster are ordered by
the level of expression changes from up to down. **c,** Scatter plots of binding changes against
expression changes for the 236 significant DEGs in the 435 Top DB overlap group (top, left) and
subgroups in clusters 1, 2, 4 and 7.
701
702 **Figure 6 – figure supplement 1. Rad53 ChIP-seq signal and heatmaps of signal across 2 kb**
703 **interval centered on TSS for co-expression clusters in significant DEG in WT (G1→HU45).**
704 Genes in each group are arranged according to the log2 based differential expression level from up to
705 down. The three left most heatmap columns for WT samples are identical as in Figure 6b.
706
Figure 7. Origin-proximal DEGs are biased towards down-regulation in the *mrc1Δ* mutant.
a, Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in HU45 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*). Cluster (C): color
codes for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. **b,** Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq
signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters in **a**. ChIP-seq signal in *WT*, *rad53^{K227A}*
and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90 are shown. **c,** Summary of gene-origin
relation in DEGs co-expression clusters for HU45 (*mrc1Δ* vs WT). Distance between each TSS and
its nearest origin center is indicated in pink gradient as well as light purple (<2 kb) and dark purple
(<1 kb). Relative TSS-origin orientation and origin type are indicated. **d,** Proportion of down/up

regulation of DEGs as categorized by TSS to origin distance (left panels), origin type within 5 kb
(middle panels) and orientation (right panels; CD: co-directional; HO: head-on).
717
Figure 7 – figure supplement 1. Origin-proximal DEGs are biased towards down-regulation in
the *rad53*^{K227A} mutant.
a. Co-expression cluster matrix for significant DEGs in HU45 (*rad53*^{K227A} vs *WT*). Cluster (C): color
codes for DEG clusters. Gene(G): level of differential expression. **b,** Heatmaps of Rad53 ChIP-seq
signal across 2 kb interval centered on TSS for DEG clusters above. ChIP-seq signal in *WT*,
rad53^{K227A} and *mrc1Δ* mutant cells at stages G1, HU45 and HU90 are shown. **c,** Summary of gene-
origin relation in DEGs co-expression clusters for HU45(*rad53*^{K227A} vs WT). Distance between each
TSS and its nearest origin center is indicated in pink gradient as well as light purple (<2 kb) and dark
purple (<1 kb). Relative TSS-origin orientation and origin type are indicated.
727
Figure 8. SBF plays a major role in the localization of Rad53 to the promoters of its target
genes under replication stress
Differential binding of Rad53 at promoters and differential expression of target genes of SBF, MBF,
Msn4 and Ste12. **a,** Top panels: scatter plots of binding changes (DB residual) and expression
changes (log2 Fold change) for targets of indicated transcription regulators that are in the 236
significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap (Figure 4). Bottom panels: scatter plots above adding the
expression change data from the checkpoint mutants. Enrichment of transcription regulator targets in
the 236 Top DB DEGs. **b,** Top panels: Scatter plots illustrating the Rad53 signal upstream of TSS for
all genes in *WT*, *ixr1Δ*, *swi4Δ* and *swi6Δ* mutants. SBF targets found in the 435 Top DB overlap are
show as orange or red diamond and *RNR1* in red diamond. Bottom panels: Close-up for specific area
from above panels. **c,** Distribution of Rad53 ChIP-seq signal near selected Top DB genes that are
targets of SBF, MBF, Ixr1 or Rfx1 in *WT*, *ixr1Δ*, *swi4Δ* and *swi6Δ* mutants at stages of G1, HU45
and HU90.
741
Figure 8 – figure supplement 1. Differential binding of Rad53 at promoter and differential
expression of target genes of SBF, MBF, Msn4 and Ste12.
a, b, c and d, Targets of SBF, MBF, Ste12 and Msn4, respectively. Scatter plots of binding changes
(DB residual) and expression changes (log2 Fold change) for targets of indicated transcription
regulators that are in the 236 significant DEGs in the Top DB overlap. Expression change data from
WT, *rad53*^{K227A}, *mrc1Δ* and *rad9Δ* are presented in pairwise manner for comparison and column
graphs of expression change data from *WT*, *rad53*^{K227A}, *mrc1Δ* and *rad9Δ* cells for genes presented in
the scatter plots above.
750

References

Alepuz PM, Jovanovic A, Reiser V, Ammerer G. 2001. Stress-Induced MAP Kinase Hog1 Is Part of Transcription Activation Complexes. *Mol Cell* **7**:767–777. doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00221-0

Bacal J, Carretero MM, Pardo B, Barthe A, Sharma S, Chabes A, Lengronne A, Pasero P. 2018. Mrc1 and Rad9 cooperate to regulate initiation and elongation of DNA replication in response to DNA damage. *The EMBO journal* e99319. doi:10.15252/embj.201899319

Bastos de Oliveira FM, Kim D, Cussiol JR, Das J, Jeong MC, Doerfler L, Schmidt KH, Yu H, Smolka MB. 2015. Phosphoproteomics Reveals Distinct Modes of Mec1/ATR Signaling during DNA Replication. *Mol Cell* **57**:1124–1132. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2015.01.043

Behrouzi R, Lu C, Currie M, Jih G, Iglesias N, Moazed D. 2016. Heterochromatin assembly by interrupted Sir3 bridges across neighboring nucleosomes. *Elife* **5**:e17556. doi:10.7554/elife.17556

Bell SP, Labib K. 2016. Chromosome Duplication in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **203**:1027–1067. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.186452

Bermejo R, Capra T, Jossen R, Colosio A, Frattini C, Carotenuto W, Cocito A, Doksani Y, Klein H, Gómez-González B, Aguilera A, Katou Y, Shirahige K, Foiani M. 2011. The Replication Checkpoint Protects Fork Stability by Releasing Transcribed Genes from Nuclear Pores. *Cell* **146**:233–246. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.033

Breeden LL. 2003. Periodic Transcription: A Cycle within a Cycle. *Curr Biol* **13**:R31–R38. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(02)01386-6

Bruhn C, Ajazi A, Ferrari E, Lanz MC, Batrin R, Choudhary R, Walvekar A, Laxman S, Longhese MP, Fabre E, Smolka MB, Foiani M. 2020. The Rad53CHK1/CHK2-Spt21NPAT and Tel1ATM axes couple glucose tolerance to histone dosage and subtelomeric silencing. *Nat Commun* **11**:4154. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17961-4

Bruin RAM de, Kalashnikova TI, Chahwan C, McDonald WH, Wohlschlegel J, Yates J, Russell P, Wittenberg C. 2006. Constraining G1-Specific Transcription to Late G1 Phase: The MBF-Associated Corepressor Nrm1 Acts via Negative Feedback. *Mol Cell* **23**:483–496. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2006.06.025

Callegari AJ, Kelly TJ. 2006. UV irradiation induces a postreplication DNA damage checkpoint. *Proc National Acad Sci* **103**:15877–15882. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607343103

Can G, Kauerhof AC, Macak D, Zegerman P. 2018. Helicase Subunit Cdc45 Targets the Checkpoint Kinase Rad53 to Both Replication Initiation and Elongation Complexes after Fork Stalling. *Mol Cell* **73**. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.11.025

Cobb JA, Schleker T, Rojas V, Bjergbaek L, Tercero JA, Gasser SM. 2005. Replisome instability,
fork collapse, and gross chromosomal rearrangements arise synergistically from Mec1 kinase and
RecQ helicase mutations. *Genes & Development* **19**:3055–3069. doi:10.1101/gad.361805

Desany BA, Alcasabas AA, Bachant JB, Elledge SJ. 1998. Recovery from DNA replicational stress is
the essential function of the S-phase checkpoint pathway. *Gene Dev* **12**:1–15.
doi:10.1101/gad.12.18.2956

Devbhandari S, Remus D. 2020. Rad53 limits CMG helicase uncoupling from DNA synthesis at
replication forks. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **27**:461–471. doi:10.1038/s41594-020-0407-7

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR.
2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**:15–21.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Forey R, Poveda A, Sharma S, Barthe A, Padioleau I, Renard C, Lambert R, Skrzypczak M, Ginalski
K, Lengronne A, Chabes A, Pardo B, Pasero P. 2020. Mec1 Is Activated at the Onset of Normal S
Phase by Low-dNTP Pools Impeding DNA Replication. *Molecular Cell*.
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.02.021

Freese NH, Norris DC, Loraine AE. 2016. Integrated genome browser: visual analytics platform for
genomics. *Bioinformatics* **32**:2089–2095. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw069

Gilbert CS, Green CM, Lowndes NF. 2001. Budding Yeast Rad9 Is an ATP-Dependent Rad53
Activating Machine. *Mol Cell* **8**:129–136. doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(01)00267-2

Gradolatto A, Rogers RS, Lavender H, Taverna SD, Allis CD, Aitchison JD, Tackett AJ. 2008.
Saccharomyces cerevisiae Yta7 Regulates Histone Gene Expression. *Genetics* **179**:291–304.
doi:10.1534/genetics.107.086520

Gunjan A, Verreault A. 2003. A Rad53 kinase-dependent surveillance mechanism that regulates
histone protein levels in S. cerevisiae. *Cell* **115**:537–549. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00896-1

Hamperl S, Cimprich KA. 2016. Conflict Resolution in the Genome: How Transcription and
Replication Make It Work. *Cell* **167**:1455–1467. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.09.053

Hoch NC, Chen ESW, Buckland R, Wang S-C, Fazio A, Hammet A, Pellicioli A, Chabes A, Tsai M-
D, Heierhorst J. 2013. Molecular basis of the essential s phase function of the rad53 checkpoint
kinase. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **33**:3202–3213. doi:10.1128/mcb.00474-13

Holzen TM, Sclafani RA. 2010. Genetic interaction of RAD53 protein kinase with histones is
important for DNA replication. *Cell Cycle* **9**:4735–4747. doi:10.4161/cc.9.23.14091

Huang M, Zhou Z, Elledge SJ. 1998. The DNA Replication and Damage Checkpoint Pathways
Induce Transcription by Inhibition of the Crt1 Repressor. *Cell* **94**:595–605. doi:10.1016/s0092-
8674(00)81601-3

819 Jaehnig EJ, Kuo D, Hombauer H, Ideker TG, Kolodner RD. 2013. Checkpoint kinases regulate a
820  global network of transcription factors in response to DNA damage. *Cell reports* **4**:174–188.
821  doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.05.041

822 Kim K-Y, Truman AW, Levin DE. 2008. Yeast Mpk1 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Activates
823  Transcription through Swi4/Swi6 by a Noncatalytic Mechanism That Requires Upstream Signal ▽
824  †. *Mol Cell Biol* **28**:2579–2589. doi:10.1128/mcb.01795-07

825 Kumar S, Huberman JA. 2008. Checkpoint-Dependent Regulation of Origin Firing and Replication
826  Fork Movement in Response to DNA Damage in Fission Yeast ▽ . *Mol Cell Biol* **29**:602–611.
827  doi:10.1128/mcb.01319-08

828 Langmead B. 2010. Aligning Short Sequencing Reads with Bowtie. *Curr Protoc Bioinform*
829  **32**:11.7.1-11.7.14. doi:10.1002/0471250953.bi1107s32

830 Lanz MC, Dibitetto D, Smolka MB. 2019. DNA damage kinase signaling: checkpoint and repair at 30
831  years. *Embo J* **38**:e101801. doi:10.15252/embj.2019101801

832 Lao JP, Ulrich KM, Johnson JR, Newton BW, Vashisht AA, Wohlschlegel JA, Krogan NJ, Toczyski
833  DP. 2018. The Yeast DNA Damage Checkpoint Kinase Rad53 Targets the Exoribonuclease, Xrn1.
834  *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* **8**:g3.200767.2018. doi:10.1534/g3.118.200767

835 Lee J, Shah M, Ballouz S, Crow M, Gillis J. 2020. CoCoCoNet: conserved and comparative co-
836  expression across a diverse set of species. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**:gkaa348-.
837  doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa348

838 Lopes M, Cotta-Ramusino C, Pellicioli A, Liberi G, Plevani P, Muzi-Falconi M, Newlon CS, Foiani
839  M. 2001. The DNA replication checkpoint response stabilizes stalled replication forks. *Nature*
840  **412**:557–561. doi:10.1038/35087613

841 Lou H, Komata M, Katou Y, Guan Z, Reis CC, Budd M, Shirahige K, Campbell JL. 2008. Mrc1 and
842  DNA polymerase epsilon function together in linking DNA replication and the S phase
843  checkpoint. *Molecular Cell* **32**:106–117. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2008.08.020

844 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-
845  seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**:550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

846 Manfrini N, Gobbini E, Baldo V, Trovesi C, Lucchini G, Longhese MP. 2012. G1/S and G2/M
847  Cyclin-Dependent Kinase Activities Commit Cells to Death in the Absence of the S-Phase
848  Checkpoint. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**:4971–4985. doi:10.1128/mcb.00956-12

849 McClure AW, Diffley J. 2021. Rad53 checkpoint kinase regulation of DNA replication fork rate via
850  Mrc1 phosphorylation. doi:10.1101/2021.04.09.439171

Oliveira FMB de, Harris MR, Brazauskas P, Bruin RAM de, Smolka MB. 2012. Linking DNA
replication checkpoint to MBF cell-cycle transcription reveals a distinct class of G1/S genes. *The*
EMBO Journal **31**:1798–1810. doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.27

Osborn AJ, Elledge SJ. 2003. Mrc1 is a replication fork component whose phosphorylation in
response to DNA replication stress activates Rad53. *Gene Dev* **17**:1755–1767.
doi:10.1101/gad.1098303

Pardo B, Crabbé L, Pasero P. 2017. Signaling pathways of replication stress in yeast. *FEMS Yeast*
Research **17**. doi:10.1093/femsyr/fow101

Park D, Lee Y, Bhupindersingh G, Iyer VR. 2013. Widespread Misinterpretable ChIP-seq Bias in
Yeast. *Plos One* **8**:e83506. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083506

Paulovich AG, Hartwell LH. 1995. A checkpoint regulates the rate of progression through S phase in
S. cerevisiae in Response to DNA damage. *Cell* **82**:841–847. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(95)90481-6

Pellicioli A, Lucca C, Liberi G, Marini F, Lopes M, Plevani P, Romano A, Fiore PPD, Foiani M.
1999. Activation of Rad53 kinase in response to DNA damage and its effect in modulating
phosphorylation of the lagging strand DNA polymerase. *The EMBO Journal* **18**:6561–6572.
doi:10.1093/emboj/18.22.6561

Ramírez F, Dündar F, Diehl S, Grüning BA, Manke T. 2014. deepTools: a flexible platform for
exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:W187–W191. doi:10.1093/nar/gku365

Renard-Guillet C, Kanoh Y, Shirahige K, Masai H. 2014. Temporal and spatial regulation of
eukaryotic DNA replication: From regulated initiation to genome-scale timing program. *Semin*
Cell Dev Biol **30**:110–120. doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.04.014

Saldivar JC, Cortez D, Cimprich KA. 2017. The essential kinase ATR: ensuring faithful duplication
of a challenging genome. *Nature Publishing Group* **16**:1–15. doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.67

Sanz AB, García R, Rodríguez-Peña JM, Nombela C, Arroyo J. 2018. Slt2 MAPK association with
chromatin is required for transcriptional activation of Rlm1 dependent genes upon cell wall stress.
Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Gene Regul Mech **1861**:1029–1039.
doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2018.09.005

Seiler JA, Conti C, Syed A, Aladjem MI, Pommier Y. 2007. The Intra-S-Phase Checkpoint Affects
both DNA Replication Initiation and Elongation: Single-Cell and -DNA Fiber Analyses ▽. *Mol*
Cell Biol **27**:5806–5818. doi:10.1128/mcb.02278-06

Sheu Y-J, Kinney JB, Lengronne A, Pasero P, Stillman B. 2014. Domain within the helicase subunit
Mcm4 integrates multiple kinase signals to control DNA replication initiation and fork
progression. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**:E1899-908.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1404063111

Sheu Y-J, Kinney JB, Stillman B. 2016. Concerted activities of Mcm4, Sld3, and Dbf4 in control of
origin activation and DNA replication fork progression. *Genome Research* **26**:315–330.
doi:10.1101/gr.195248.115

Sheu Y-J, Stillman B. 2006. Cdc7-Dbf4 phosphorylates MCM proteins via a docking site-mediated
mechanism to promote S phase progression. *Mol Cell* **24**:101–113.
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2006.07.033

Sidorova JM, Breeden LL. 2003. Rad53 Checkpoint Kinase Phosphorylation Site Preference
Identified in the Swi6 Protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**:3405–3416.
doi:10.1128/mcb.23.10.3405-3416.2003

Siow CC, Nieduszynska SR, Müller CA, Nieduszynski CA. 2012. OriDB, the DNA replication origin
database updated and extended. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**:D682–D686. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1091

Smolka MB, Albuquerque CP, Chen S, Zhou H. 2007. Proteome-wide identification of in vivo targets
of DNA damage checkpoint kinases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
United States of America* **104**:10364–10369. doi:10.1073/pnas.0701622104

Smolka MB, Chen S, Maddox PS, Enserink JM, Albuquerque CP, Wei XX, Desai A, Kolodner RD,
Zhou H. 2006. An FHA domain-mediated protein interaction network of Rad53 reveals its role in
polarized cell growth. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **175**:743–753. doi:10.1083/jcb.200605081

Szyjka SJ, Aparicio JG, Viggiani CJ, Knott S, Xu W, Tavaré S, Aparicio OM. 2008. Rad53 regulates
replication fork restart after DNA damage in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Gene Dev* **22**:1906–1920.
doi:10.1101/gad.1660408

Tercero JA, Longhese MP, Diffley JFX. 2003. A Central Role for DNA Replication Forks in
Checkpoint Activation and Response. *Mol Cell* **11**:1323–1336. doi:10.1016/s1097-
2765(03)00169-2

Teytelman L, Thurtle DM, Rine J, Oudenaarden A van. 2013. Highly expressed loci are vulnerable to
misleading ChIP localization of multiple unrelated proteins. *Proc National Acad Sci* **110**:18602–
18607. doi:10.1073/pnas.1316064110

Tourrière H, Versini G, Cordón-Preciado V, Alabert C, Pasero P. 2005. Mrc1 and Tof1 promote
replication fork progression and recovery independently of Rad53. *Molecular Cell* **19**:699–706.

Travesa A, Kuo D, Bruin RAM de, Kalashnikova TI, Guaderrama M, Thai K, Aslanian A, Smolka
MB, Yates JR, Ideker T, Wittenberg C. 2012. DNA replication stress differentially regulates G1/S
genes via Rad53-dependent inactivation of Nrm1. *The EMBO Journal* **31**:1811–1822.
doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.28

Tsaponina O, Barsoum E, Aström SU, Chabes A. 2011. Ixr1 is required for the expression of the
ribonucleotide reductase Rnr1 and maintenance of dNTP pools. *PLoS Genetics* **7**:e1002061.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002061

Viggiani CJ, Aparicio OM. 2006. New vectors for simplified construction of BrdU-Incorporating
strains of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* **23**:1045–1051. doi:10.1002/yea.1406

Yabuki N, Terashima H, Kitada K. 2002. Mapping of early firing origins on a replication profile of
budding yeast. *Genes Cells* **7**:781–789. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2443.2002.00559.x

Yeeles JTP, Janska A, Early A, Diffley JFX. 2016. How the Eukaryotic Replisome Achieves Rapid
and Efficient DNA Replication. *Molecular Cell.* doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.017

Zhao X, Georgieva B, Chabes A, Domkin V, Ippel JH, Schleucher J, Wijmenga S, Thelander L,
Rothstein R. 2000. Mutational and Structural Analyses of the Ribonucleotide Reductase Inhibitor
Sml1 Define Its Rnr1 Interaction Domain Whose Inactivation Allows Suppression of mec1 and
rad53 Lethality. *Mol Cell Biol* **20**:9076–9083. doi:10.1128/mcb.20.23.9076-9083.2000

930
931

932 **Supplemental Table 1**
933 Yeast strains used in this study

Strain	genotype	source
YS2571	*MATa bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	Sheu et al 2014(Sheu et al., 2014)
YS3110	*MATa rad53^{K227A}::KanMX4 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS3285	*MATa mrc1Δ::KanMX4 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS3382	*MATa rad9Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS3388	*MATa ixr1Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS3401	*MATa swi4Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS3406	*MATa swi6Δ::HIS3 bar1Δ::TRP1 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	This study
YS2828	*MATa URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)
YS3066	*MATa sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)
YS3075	*MATa mec1Δ::TRP1 sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)
YS3077	*MATa rad53Δ::KanMX sml1Δ::HIS3 URA3::BrdU-Inc ade2-1 can1-100 his3-11,-15 leu2-3,112 trp1-1 ura3-1*	Sheu et al 2016(Sheu et al., 2016)

934
935

Figure 1

Figure 1 - figure supplement

a

b

Figure 2

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1

Figure 3

Figure 3 – figure supplement 1

a

Rad53 ChIP-seq in WT and mutant cells

b   Distribution of Rad53 peaks in relation to genes

G1
(N = 1372)

- Feature Inside Peak (5.8%)
- Overlap End (1.2%)
- Overlap Start (23.5%)
- Peak inside Feature (2%)
- Upstream (67.5%)

HU90
(N = 1661)

- Feature Inside Peak (7.1%)
- Overlap End (0.5%)
- Overlap Start (32.4%)
- Peak inside Feature (1.7%)
- Upstream (58.3%)

HU45
(N = 1265)

- Feature Inside Peak (8%)
- Overlap End (0.4%)
- Overlap Start (31.8%)
- Peak inside Feature (1.8%)
- Upstream (58%)

Common peaks
(N = 938)

- Feature Inside Peak (6.1%)
- Overlap End (1.6%)
- Overlap Start (22.7%)
- Peak inside Feature (1.1%)
- Upstream (68.6%)

Figure 3 – figure supplement 2

a

b

c

Figure 4

a

b

435 Top DB overlap   Increased Rad53 binding: 337
                      Decreased Rad53 binding : 98

c

WT top 1000 DB (orange)
TF set

WT top 1000 DB (orange)
CP set

Increased Rad53 binding: 651   Increased Rad53 binding: 703
Decreased Rad53 binding: 349   Decreased Rad53 binding: 297

Figure 5

a Samples

Genes

Stages:
- G1
- HU45
- HU90

Genotypes:
- *WT*
- *rad53^{K227A}*
- *mrc1Δ*
- *rad9Δ*

Ranking:

b

- DEG down
- DEG up

DEG type

HU90 (*rad9Δ* vs *WT*)
HU45 (*rad9Δ* vs *WT*)
G1 (*rad9Δ* vs *WT*)
→ HU90 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*)
→ HU45 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*)
G1 (*mrc1Δ* vs *WT*)
→ HU90 (*rad53^{K227A}* vs *WT*)
→ HU45 (*rad53^{K227A}* vs *WT*)
G1 (*rad53^{K227A}* vs *WT*)
rad9Δ (G1→HU90)
mrc1Δ (G1→HU90)
rad53^{K227A} (G1→HU90)
WT (G1→HU90)
rad9Δ (G1→HU45)
mrc1Δ (G1→HU45)
→ *rad53^{K227A}* (G1→HU45)
WT (G1→HU45)

1500 1000 500   0   500 1000 1500

c

DEGs: WT (G1→HU45) DEGs: WT (G1→HU90)

Rad53 ChIP signal

G1 | HU45 | HU90   G1 | HU45 | HU90

0   0   0   0   0   0

position   position

- - - significant DEGs
- - - insignificant DEGs

Figure 5 – figure supplement 1

Figure 6

Summary of GO function DEG clusters for WT(G1-->HU45)

1. mitotic cell cycle, cell division (up>down)

1. signaling, conjugation (down)

2. ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process, proteolysis (up)

2. vesicle-mediated transport, nucleotide transport (down)

4. Processes related to mating (down)

5. oxidation-reduction process, carbohydrate metabolism and energy process

6. ribosome biogenesis

7. Organic acid metabolism (down); cell wall organization (up)

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1

Figure 7

Figure 7 – figure supplement 1

Summary of GO function:
DEG clusters HU45 (*rad53*^{K227A} vs *WT*)

1. cell cycle, replication , repair, negative regulation of gene expression

Mitosis, MT and spindle function, cell division, cytokinesis

2. oxidation-reduction process, energy derivation

3. proteolysis; actin cytoskeleton organization and ER stress

6. nucleotide, oxidation-reduction process; all histone genes

7. ribosome biogenesis

Figure 8

Figure 8 – figure supplement 1