Anchored and labile pools of Calcineurin are enabled by a disordered AKAP

scaffold

Authors

Matthew Watson[a], Teresa B. Almeida[a], Arundhati Ray[a], Christina Hanack[b], Rory

Elston[a], Joan Btesh[b], Peter A. McNaughton[c] & Katherine Stott[a,*]

[a]Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, 80 Tennis Court Road,

Cambridge CB2 1GA, UK

[b]Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Gunnels Wood Rd, Stevenage SG1 2FX

[c]Wolfson Centre for Age-Related Diseases, King's College London, Guy's Campus,

London Bridge, London, SE1 1UL, UK

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed: ks123@cam.ac.uk

Present addresses:

TA: Astex Therapeutics, 436 Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge, CB4

0QA, UK

AR: School of Life Sciences, Gibbet Hill Campus, The University of Warwick, Coventry

CV4 7AL

CH: Axxam SpA, Via Meucci 3, 20091 Bresso (Milan, Italy)

RE: Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

ORCIDs:

PM: 0000-0003-1946-9610

KS: 0000-0002-4014-1188

Keywords: intrinsically disordered protein, A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP),

Calcineurin, short linear motif (SLiM), multivalency, allovalency

Abstract

Signalling requires precise spatial and temporal regulation of molecular interactions,

which is frequently orchestrated by disordered scaffolding proteins, such as A-kinase

anchoring protein 5 (AKAP5). AKAP5 contains multiple Short Linear Motifs (SLiMs)

that assemble the necessary components, including the phosphatase Calcineurin,

which is anchored *via* a well-characterised PxIxIT SLiM. Here we show, using a

combination of biochemical and biophysical approaches, that Calcineurin also

recognises additional lower-affinity SLiMs C-terminal to the PxIxIT motif. Moreover,

we demonstrate that the assembly is in reality a complex system in which AKAP

SLiMs spanning a wide affinity range act cooperatively to maintain distinct pools of

anchored and more loosely held enzyme, analogous to transcription factor search

complexes on DNA, and compatible with the requirement for both stable anchoring

and responsive downstream signalling. We conclude that the AKAP5 C-terminus is

enriched in lower-affinity/mini-SLiMs that cooperate to maintain a structurally

disordered but tightly regulated signalosome.

45
46

Introduction

Many enzymes involved in signal transduction are promiscuous and act in multiple pathways. Scaffolding proteins are therefore central to signalling since, by tethering signalling components and localising them, they enhance specificity and reaction rates by effectively enhancing local concentrations, and thereby achieve strict spatial and temporal control of catalysis (1, 2). A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) are a family of intrinsically-disordered proteins (IDPs) that were originally characterized by their ability to scaffold Protein Kinase A (PKA) (3, 4), but have since been shown to assemble multiple components into 'signalosomes' that can be targeted to specific receptors or sites (5, 6). Currently there are 14 annotated AKAPs in humans (AKAP1 to AKAP14).

AKAPs are typical of many IDPs in that they interact with their partners *via* Short Linear Motifs (SLiMs) (7, 8). The presence of multiple SLiMs with different specificities in AKAPs enables the combinatorial assembly of a dynamic multi-protein hub. Much of what we understand about disordered signalling complexes is based on the structures of folded partners bound to their peptide SLiMs. The rest of the IDP is either not resolved or is removed to facilitate structural studies. In many cases, the regions between SLiMs are assumed to be inert linkers, simply providing a length-dependent increase in the effective concentration, although predictions from physical models are not always reproduced experimentally, suggesting the reality is more complicated (9). The context in which IDP(R) partner interactions take place can also play an important role (10). The flanking sequences around SLiMs, although disordered even in the complex, often contribute to binding (11). Moreover, there can be complex interplay between SLiMs (7). For example, clustering or overlapping SLiMs can modulate interactions through cooperativity or competition. Further, multiple low-affinity SLiMs binding a single target can cooperate in a multivalent interaction to increase the binding affinity. The cooperativity can take different forms: e.g. allovalency (where multiple epitopes along the ligand bind a single site on

a partner (12)), or 'fuzziness' (where multiple interchangeable interaction sites exist

on both the ligand and partner (13)).

Here we study the interaction of the ubiquitous phosphatase Calcineurin

(also known as PP2B) with the C-terminal region of the scaffolding protein AKAP5

(previously known as AKAP79 in humans and AKAP150 in mice). Calcineurin couples

changes in intracellular calcium concentration to the phosphorylation state of

several important substrates (14). One example is the NFAT (Nuclear Factor of

Activated T cells) family of transcription factors, which are dephosphorylated by

Calcineurin, causing their translocation to the nucleus and stimulation of

transcriptional programmes (15). Like other phosphatases, Calcineurin

dephosphorylates sites with little sequence similarity (16, 17), and primarily

recognises substrates or their scaffolding proteins through the interaction with

SLiMs, such as the PRIEIT sequence in NFATc1 (18, 19). PRIEIT is a canonical

Calcineurin recognition motif obeying the consensus sequence PxIxIT, a so-called

'PxIxIT' SLiM. This interaction has a 25 µM affinity, which is within the optimal

window for its signalling function (20). AKAP5 also has a PxIxIT SLiM with which it

engages Calcineurin, albeit one with a higher affinity – PIAIIIT – that is suited to

anchoring (0.4 µM), while not so tight that downstream signalling is inhibited (21). In

this study, we examined the AKAP5/Calcineurin interaction from the perspective of

the IDP scaffold. Using Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) and NMR spectroscopy, we

show that binding is far more extensive than the PxIxIT alone and we identify

additional regions that interact with µM - mM affinity. We hypothesise that these

additional low-affinity sites help to capture and maintain the high-affinity anchored

Calcineurin. Moreover, they provide a separate 'labile but localised' pool of rapidly

dissociating and rebinding Calcineurin, which could be intercepted by proteins such

as NFAT that compete for Calcineurin in order to enact optimal downstream

signalling.

Results

The AKAP5c scaffold is highly disordered and monomeric

AKAP5 can loosely be divided into three regions (Fig. 1a). Here, we have chosen to

focus on the C-terminal region (300-427; AKAP5c), which we define as the region of

homology across various species (Supp. Fig. S1) following a large insertion of

unknown function in the rodent orthologues. The sequence contains three known

SLiMs that bind either enzymes (Calcineurin (22, 23) or PKA (24)) or substrates

(TRPV1/4 (25–27)). The enzyme-bound SLiMs adopt defined secondary structures in

Figure 1: Structure, hydrodynamic properties and oligomeric state of AKAP5$_{300-427}$ (AKAP5c). (**a**) Schematic of AKAP5: the N-terminal region (1-153) is involved in membrane attachment; the middle region (154-299) is recognised by scaffolding proteins of the Membrane associated Guanylate Kinase family; and the C-terminal region (300-427, shown expanded) contains three known SLiMs that bind Calcineurin, PKA and substrates e.g. TRPV1. (**b**) ^{15}N HSQC of AKAP5c. (**c**) Secondary structure propensity (SSP) and Heteronuclear NOE values (colouring of SLiMs as **a**). (**d**) Far-UV CD spectrum. (**e**) Sedimentation velocity continuous $c(s)$ distribution. (**f**) Live HEK- and CHO-cell split-luciferase assays (NanoBiT®, Promega). AKAP5 = full-length protein, PKA = regulatory PKA subunit (R2A) (positive control), Halo = HaloTag® (negative control). The order of listing indicates the orientation of the split luciferase: <protein>-LgBiT + <protein>-SmBiT.

the complexes: a β-strand in the case of Calcineurin (21) and an α-helix in the case of

the docking and dimerization (D/D) domain of PKA (24). There is no structural

information on the SLiM reported to bind TRPV1/4 (25, 26).

To fully understand the role of disorder in the assembly of signalling

complexes by scaffolding proteins, it is important to understand the level and type of

disorder present. The term "intrinsic disorder" (28) is used to describe all proteins

that populate transient structures through to those that approach true random coils

(i.e. those with no structural preferences and uniformly fast backbone dynamics).

Two spectroscopic techniques were deployed to assess and delineate regions of

nascent structure and to probe the backbone dynamics in AKAP5c: nuclear magnetic

resonance (NMR) and circular dichroism (CD). The ^{15}N-HSQC spectrum (Fig. 1b)

displays the low ^{1}H^{N} chemical-shift dispersion (0.7 ppm) and narrow line widths

typical of disordered proteins. A near complete assignment of the backbone H, C, N

and C^{β} chemical shifts was possible (Supp. Fig. S2), from which the secondary

structure propensity (SSP (29)) could be calculated (Fig. 1c). SSP scores in AKAP5c are

close to zero with alternating stretches of weak α- or β-propensities. For

comparison, SSP scores are ca. +1 or −1 in stable α- and β-structures, respectively. It

is interesting to note that the propensities of the Calcineurin- and PKA-binding SLiMs

(red and yellow, respectively, in Fig. 1c) reflect the stable structures adopted in the

bound states (SSP_{mean} = −0.116 ± 0.038 and +0.079 ± 0.037, i.e. weak β and α,

respectively). Backbone dynamics were probed by $\{^{1}H\}^{15}N$ heteronuclear NOE

(HNOE; Fig. 1c), which reveals motions on a time-scale faster than overall tumbling

(HNOE < ca. +0.6). HNOEs were fairly uniform throughout AKAP5c (disregarding the

highly mobile N- and C-termini). The average value was −0.20 ± 0.29, with no single

residue exhibiting a value > +0.1, and all SLiMs falling within one standard deviation

of the mean. This is consistent with a highly dynamic polypeptide chain. CD

spectroscopy also showed AKAP5c to be predominantly disordered (Fig. 1d): the

signature large negative ellipticity at 200 nm was dominant, although there were

indications of some secondary structure (as evidenced by the weak shoulder at 222

nm) that is likely to be transiently populated given the results from NMR.

We next investigated the hydrodynamic properties of AKAP5c by

sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (SV AUC, Fig. 1e). AKAP5c

sedimented slowly ($s_{20,w}$ = 1.27) due to hydrodynamic friction resulting from its

extended nature (frictional ratio f/f_0 ~ 1.78). The fitted mass (~ 15.6 kDa) was close

to the calculated monomer mass (14.5 kDa). The overall picture of AKAP5c is

therefore one of a highly dynamic and monomeric chain. However, there are reports

that AKAP5 can dimerise (30, 31). Of particular relevance to this study is the

observation of K333-K333 and K328-K328 intermolecular crosslinks (30), which are in

the TRPV1/4 interaction SLiM immediately N-terminal to the Calcineurin binding site.

Conversely, Nygren *et al*. (32) concluded that the AKAP5/Calcineurin complex is

monomeric by SEC-MALS. Calcineurin is a heterodimer of A and B subunits, which

are not reported to oligomerise further (14), although we observed a weak

propensity for further dimerisation by SV AUC (Supp. Fig. S3).

Given AKAP5 forms complex assemblies within the cell, we investigated

whether full length AKAP5 dimerises in a cellular context (HEK293T and CHO) with a

highly sensitive live-cell luminescence assay (NanoBiT®, Promega) that uses a split-

luciferase reporter engineered to give reduced background. A strong

complementation signal was seen between PKA and AKAP5, however, AKAP5 did not

give a self-complementation signal in these assays that was significantly different

from the HaloTag® negative control (Fig. 1f). This does not preclude AKAP chains

being brought into spatial proximity *in vivo* by interactions with multimeric

receptors, e.g. tetrameric TRPV1 (33).

Calcineurin binding extends beyond the PxIxIT site

The Calcineurin anchoring site in AKAP5c is at [337]PIAIIIT[343], a near-consensus PxIxIT

SLiM (18, 19), in which [338]I takes the position of the consensus proline. In the crystal

structure, [337]P is not engaged and van der Waals contacts extend ca. five residues C-

terminal of the PxIxIT site (21). To investigate the involvement of more distal flanking

regions, we titrated Calcineurin into ^{15}N-labelled AKAP5c and followed binding by [15]N

HSQC (Fig. 2a). After one molar equivalent of Calcineurin had been added, many

peaks had decreased significantly in intensity, including those from residues

[338]IAIIIT[343] (shown boxed), although the intensity loss was not complete. The changes

were purely to the peak intensities; no significant chemical-shift changes were seen,

nor did the peaks get broader, indicating that the interaction was slow on the

chemical-exchange timescale. No new peaks appeared, indicating slow overall

tumbling of the complex (Calcineurin is 80 kDa), rendering the residues in the region

engaging with Calcineurin invisible. The relative intensity changes when mapped

onto the sequence extend approx. 24 residues C-terminal beyond the PIAIIIT site,

with further broad dips around residues 390 and 410 (Fig. 2b). Calcineurin binding

thus not only extends well beyond the PIAIIIT SLiM (which could be due to weak and

transient interactions extending the footprint) but also involves distal regions of the

C-terminus of AKAP5c.

Figure 2: Interactions of AKAP5c with Calcineurin and Calmodulin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. ^{15}N-labelled AKAP5c (black) titrated sequentially with Calcineurin (Cn; magenta), Ca^{2+} (cyan) and Calmodulin (CaM; yellow), followed by HSQC. (**a**) HSQC of AKAP5c before and after addition of Cn. Peaks from non-proline residues in [337]PIAIIIT[343] are boxed with arrows. (**b**) Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-AKAP5c alone. Molar ratios of 1:1 Cn:AKAP, 1:20:1 Cn:Ca^{2+}:AKAP and 1:20:1:1 Cn:Ca^{2+}:CaM:AKAP are shown.

Calcium and Calmodulin do not significantly alter binding

Calcineurin has a regulatory domain that renders it enzymatically dormant in the

absence of Ca^{2+} and Calmodulin. Increases in Ca^{2+} concentration lead to partial

activation of Calcineurin, through loosening association of the regulatory domain

and exposure of the Calmodulin binding region. Further binding of Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin

results in full activation (15, 34). In addition to stimulating Calcineurin activity, this

exposes a second SLiM-binding region, that interacts with binding partners

containing a πφLxVP motif (π = polar, φ = hydrophobic) in a Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin

dependent manner (35). Although the focus of this study is on the dormant complex

in which the πφLxVP binding pocket in Calcineurin is occluded by the regulatory

domain, we wanted to establish whether Ca^{2+} or Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin binding alters the

interaction with PIAIIIT or the new interactions found above.

We first titrated the pre-formed AKAP/Calcineurin 1:1 complex with Ca^{2+},

followed by Calmodulin. No changes were seen on addition of Ca^{2+} to a large excess

(20:1; Fig. 2b). AKAP5c/Calcineurin binding is therefore calcium-independent. On

addition of Calmodulin to 1:1:1 Calmodulin:Calcineurin:AKAP in excess Ca^{2+}, no

changes were seen in the region of PIAIIIT, indicating that PIAIIIT-binding of

Calcineurin was unaffected, in line with pull-down experiments from other

laboratories (30, 32). However, further peak attenuation was observed in the region

C-terminal but distal to the PIAIIIT site, spanning residues 390-417 (Fig. 2b). In this

case, peaks visibly broadened and shifted, indicating intermediate-to-fast exchange

on the chemical shift time scale (unlike for Calcineurin binding, in which the peaks

disappeared), suggesting that Calmodulin binds to the same site. Consistent with

this, a separate titration of AKAP with Ca^{2+} and Calmodulin alone showed larger

chemical shift changes for the same peaks along the same trajectories, indicating a

larger mole fraction of CaM bound in the absence of Calcineurin (Supp. Fig. S4).

Calmodulin therefore appears to compete with Calcineurin for binding to residues

390-417, a region ca. 50 residues from the canonical PxIxIT site. This region is known

to form an amphipathic helix on binding PKA, and contains two clusters of bulky

hydrophobic residues separated by a stretch of polar residues, which is a feature of

Calmodulin binding (36), although the sequence was not predicted to be Calmodulin-

binding by a database search (Calmodulin Target Database

(http://calcium.uhnres.utoron- to.ca/ctdb/)). We could not confirm whether

Calmodulin also bound Calcineurin in the canonical manner, but the pattern of

shifting and broadening of peaks continued beyond a 1:1 molar ratio of Calmodulin,

which is compatible with binding to two sites.

PxIxIT is not required for binding to non-canonical sites

Given the involvement of PxIxIT-flanking and distal regions of AKAP5c in binding to

Calcineurin, we investigated binding to AKAP5c in which the PIAIIIT site had been

deleted, AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$. The titration with Calcineurin (Fig. 3a) was carried out as

previously. From the overlay of the intensity ratios (Fig. 3b), it is clear that the

Figure 3: Interactions of AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ with Calcineurin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. (a) ^{15}N-labelled AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ alone (black; positions of deleted ^{337}PIAIIIT^{343} residues shown boxed with arrows). AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ titrated with Calcineurin (Cn) to 1:1 Cn:AKAP (green). **(b)** Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ alone. Corresponding WT data (magenta) reproduced from Fig. **2b**. Grey boxed regions 1-3 indicate Cn binding sites in AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$, with the corresponding amino acid sequences shown above. **(c)** Titrations of the 1:1 AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$:Cn complex with PxIxIT and πφLxVP peptides (0 to 10 molar equivalents shown).

immediate footprint around the PIAIIIT site (residues 330-350) is lost, as expected.

However, intensities more distal to the PIAIIIT site still showed significant decreases

in intensity, in the same regions and even more pronounced than in WT AKAP5c.

Three regions with pronounced dips in intensity were seen, ^{361}QFLIS^{365}, ^{390}TLLIET^{395}

and ^{404}IQLSIEQLVN^{413}, which we termed secondary sites 1, 2 and 3, respectively.

Binding to these sites therefore does not depend on the presence of the

PIAIIIT site. Moreover, there is some evidence for competition with PIAIIIT since

deletion of PIAIIIT appears to enhance binding to secondary sites. An important

question not resolved by these experiments is whether PIAIIIT and the secondary

sites bind to the same or different sites on Calcineurin. In order to address this, the

1:1 ^{15}N-AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$/Calcineurin complex was further titrated with a PIAIIIT-

containing peptide (E<u>PIAIIIT</u>DTE; Fig. 3c). The peptide proved an effective

competitor; ^{15}N-AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ peak intensities were almost fully restored after

addition of three molar equivalents of the peptide (Fig. 3c, top). Given the similar

hydrophobicity of the secondary sites to the πφLxVP motif, the experiment was

repeated with a πφLxVP peptide (DD<u>QYLAVP</u>QH (35)), which was ineffective even

upon addition of ten molar equivalents (Fig 3c, bottom). The recognition site for

secondary sites 1, 2 and 3 is thus the PxIxIT-binding groove in Calcineurin and not the

πφLxVP binding site.

The secondary site sequences each contain three or more bulky hydrophobic

residues and include isoleucine, which together are likely to underpin binding to the

PxIxIT-binding groove. Therefore, a further AKAP mutant was tested: AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$, in

which the PIAIIIT site was present, but all the hydrophobic I, L, V and F residues in

the three secondary sites were mutated to S or A to give ^{361}QASAS^{365}, ^{390}TASAET^{395}

and ^{404}AQSSAEQSAN^{413}. Following reassignment of the chemical shifts, the protein

was again titrated with Calcineurin (Fig. 4a). Similar to the WT, large reductions in

intensities were observed in and around the PIAIIIT site (Fig. 4b). This was more

pronounced in AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$ indicating higher occupancy of the site by Calcineurin,

consistent with removal of competing secondary sites. No dips were seen at

secondary sites 2 and 3, (intensities were similar to the unbound AKAP) and site 1

was partially attenuated (overlap with the PxIxIT footprint made the effect less

clear). The secondary sites therefore each constitute additional hydrophobic SLiMs

that despite not being canonical PxIxIT sites, are nevertheless recognised by the

PxIxIT-binding pocket on Calcineurin. One small extra region of non-PIAIIIT

interaction was apparent in AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$ in the absence of the secondary sites:

^{371}VGVFA^{375}, which contains three bulky hydrophobic residues but no isoleucine, and

there were also weaker dips corresponding to regions containing just one or two

hydrophobic residues: ^{378}NGFED^{382}, ^{386}EQYET^{390}, ^{398}SLVKN^{402} and ^{424}NLLQ^{427} (shown

by asterisks in Fig. 4b). We hereon refer to these as 'mini-SLiMs' in order to

differentiate them from the primary and secondary sites.

Figure 4: Interactions of AKAP5c $_{ILVF->SA}$ with Calcineurin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. (**a**) ^{15}N-labelled AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ alone (black). AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ titrated with Calcineurin (Cn) to 1:1 Cn:AKAP (blue). (**b**) Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ alone. Corresponding WT data (magenta) reproduced from Fig. **2b**. Grey boxed regions 1-3 indicate 'secondary' Cn binding sites identified in Fig. **3b**, with the corresponding amino acid sequences shown above. Grey asterisks indicate potential 'mini-SLiMs' (see main text).

Non-canonical sites sequester additional Calcineurin

The detection of three secondary Calcineurin-binding SLiMs led us to speculate

about their relative affinity compared to PxIxIT, and whether there is interplay

between them. Modified AKAP constructs, Cys-AKAP5c, Cys-AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ and Cys-

AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ were chemically biotinylated for N-terminal-specific immobilisation on

a streptavidin surface so that Calcineurin binding could be assessed by SPR.

Calcineurin binding to AKAP5c (Fig. 5a) was clear but complex: the response curves

(1) had no clear plateau and (2) displayed evidence of multiple binding sites with

both fast and slow kinetics in both the association and dissociation phases. In

addition, a fraction of the Calcineurin remained bound at long times. The complexity

was reduced somewhat in the curves for AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ (Fig. 5b), which showed a

clear saturation plateau that was absent in the WT, and complete dissociation. For

AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ (Fig. 5c), the curves showed a clear plateau and complete dissociation,

but weaker overall binding. Also noteworthy was that the binding curves for

AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ and AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ did not sum to reproduce the AKAP5c binding curve,

Figure 5: cAKAP/Calcineurin binding by surface plasmon resonance. Calcineurin injected over a streptavidin surface pre-immobilised with (**a**) AKAP5c, (**b**) AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ or (**c**) AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$. Calcineurin concentrations were 2-fold dilutions from 2.56 μM (AKAP5c and AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$) or 10 μM (AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$). (**d**) Fits of 'steady-state' response vs Calcineurin concentration used to obtain estimates of $K_{d,app}$ and R_{max}. (**e**) Surface site complexity modelled in Evilfit (37): distribution plots of k_{off} vs K_d obtained by fitting the data from **a-c** using a regularization and Bayesian approach (see Supp. Fig. S5 for further details).

i.e. A ≠ B + C, despite their comprising separately the two kinds of binding site

present in the WT. This was particularly apparent in the dissociation phase, where

the WT showed a sizeable fraction of Calcineurin was essentially permanently

bound, while no long-lasting binding of Calcineurin was observed with either mutant.

The binding to the PxIxIT and the secondary sites is therefore cooperative.

An estimate of the K_d could be obtained from fitting the signal (RU) at the

end of the association period *vs* Calcineurin concentration (Fig. 5d). The values

obtained can only be estimates for AKAP5c and AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ due to the lack of

saturation ('steady-state') of the former and the lack of high-concentration data for

the latter. Nevertheless, the trend in apparent K_d is clear: AKAP5c binds Calcineurin

about twice as tightly compared to AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$, (K_d ~0.3 vs 0.6 μM) while both

PIAIIIT-containing AKAP constructs bind Calcineurin with at least ten-fold higher

affinity than AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$, which has a K_d of ~ 10 μM. Estimation of the total surface

capacity for Calcineurin (R_{max}) is also instructive as it gives information on the

stoichiometry of binding under saturating conditions. From extrapolation of the

binding curves, it appears that AKAP5c can accommodate ca. 50% more Calcineurin

than AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ (62 vs 42 RU; Fig. 5d). The secondary sites may account for this

'extra' 20 RU capacity, since R_{max} for AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ is (very approximately) 12 RU.

Multivalent ligands such as AKAP5c are intrinsically heterogeneous, and thus

deviation from ideal pseudo-first order binding kinetics is not unexpected. Such

systems require a different analysis strategy if they are to be properly characterised.

A suitable alternative approach has been developed by Schuck and colleagues

('Evilfit'; (37)), which uses modern regularization methods combined with a Bayesian

approach to add features that are essential to explain the observed data to the

single species model. Such features could be populations of lower-affinity or poorly

reversible sites. With this approach, it was possible to obtain excellent fits to the raw

SPR data (Supp. Fig. S5), and to extract k_{off} vs K_d distribution plots (Fig. 5e). The

complexity seen for Calcineurin binding to AKAP5c was deconvolved into 3 or 4

classes of surface sites: one or possibly two very similar sites with K_d 10-100 nM,

another with K_d 1-10 μM, and a final class with a very slow k_{off} that presumably

accounts for the lack of full dissociation observed even at long times (i.e. poor

reversibility). The number of surface site classes was reduced in AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ to one

main site with K_d 100 nM-1 μM. The distribution was simpler again for AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$

which displayed a single class of sites with K_d 10-100 μM (the true value is likely to lie

at the lower end of this range given the value obtained from the equilibrium fit in

Fig. 5d (~10 μM) and the fact that a high fraction bound was seen by NMR at a

concentration of 100 μM (Fig. 3b)). These results support a picture in which AKAP5c

acts as a multivalent surface capable of binding one or more molecules of

Calcineurin at different sites. There are also strong indications that the PIAIIIT and

secondary binding sites bind cooperatively. Firstly, the K_d seems to vary by as much

as an order of magnitude dependent on the presence or absence of the other class

of site (Fig. 5e). For two-site binding in the absence of cooperativity, the overall

observed association constant will be $K = K_A + K_B$. Given the apparent overall K_ds are

$K_{d,WT} = 0.26$, $K_{d,ILVF->SA} = 0.55$ and $K_{d,\Delta PIAIIIT} \sim 10$ μM (Fig. 5d), the K_as are $K_{a,WT} \sim 3.8$,

$K_{a,ILVF->SA} \sim 1.8$ and $K_{a,\Delta PIAIIIT} \sim 0.1$ μM^{-1}. Clearly, 3.8 > 1.8 + 0.1, indicating positive

cooperativity. Secondly, there appears to be a 'long-lived' (slow k_{off}) bound

population that is only significant when both sites are present (Fig. 5a&e). This latter

phenomenon is reminiscent of the re-capture behaviour seen for bivalent

antibodies, which also show anomalously slow dissociation, or ligands rebinding to

receptors at cell surfaces (38).

Discussion

From our experiments, it is clear that the Calcineurin binding site in AKAP5c is far

more extensive than PIAIIIT, involving additional SLiMs and 'mini-SLiMs' in the C-

terminal region that interact with μM-mM affinity: non-consensus SLiMs containing

as few as three bulky hydrophobic residues appear able to bind Calcineurin with low-

to-mid μM affinity (AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$; Fig. 5d&e), and mini-SLiMs containing only one or

two hydrophobic residues produce detectable binding by ^{15}N-HSQC (Fig. 4b). These

additional sites cooperate to increase both the effective affinity, and potentially the

binding capacity of the scaffold. The effective affinity is likely to be raised through

both an increase in the number of productive encounters given the high density of

SLiMs, and through rebinding mechanisms that reduce the diffusion of Calcineurin

away from the scaffold since the molecule is likely to be intercepted by another

binding site rather than diffusing away. We note that a recently developed model for

competitive two-site binding showed that the bound population at one site can

influence kinetics of binding at the other where they are connected by a diffusion

pathway (39). This latter point is borne out directly in our experiments by the lack of

complete dissociation of Calcineurin on AKAP5c seen by SPR (Fig. 5a). The 'labile but

localised' pool of rapidly dissociating, diffusing and rebinding Calcineurin can

presumably also be captured by downstream effectors such as NFAT despite their

mid µM affinities (Fig. 6).

Figure 6: Non-canonical SLiMs could facilitate both anchoring and formation of a labile Calcineurin pool that enables efficient transfer to effectors. AKAP5c captures and retains Calcineurin using its consensus PIAIIIT (red arrow) and secondary sites (red rectangles), building anchored and signalling pools of bound Calcineurin (blue and green, respectively). Calcineurin binds with lower-affinity to the secondary sites, enabling effective competition by weaker PxIxIT motifs on downstream effectors, e.g. the PRIEIT SLiM in NFAT, and optimal signalling.

These new insights are made possible by the combination of NMR

experiments and a non-traditional approach to SPR data fitting that is validated by

strategic mutagenesis. The experimental study of molecular recognition by

disordered proteins can be very challenging due to the rapid increase in complexity

inherent in multivalent binding. Competition, cooperativity and multiple binding

modes often limit the study to global behaviour only (in which mechanistic

information may be lost), or dissection into simpler pairwise interactions, treating

the intervening sequences as inert (which may incur the loss of important

information on e.g. cooperativity). SPR data on such systems is often high quality in

both signal-to-noise and reproducibility, while being deemed "unfittable" due to the

ill-posed problem of decomposing a distribution of exponentials. However we find

that the combination of regularization and Bayesian approaches (37), designed for

the deconvolution of mass transport and surface heterogeneity, is also very effective

at decomposing multivalent interactions. The resulting distributions may be

subsequently validated by competition and mutagenesis, as we have done here,

alongside mapping by NMR, which is both residue-specific and sensitive to a wide

range of interaction affinities (40).

In addition to the secondary sites and mini-SLiMs we establish in this study,

further evidence for weak, non-canonical engagement of Calcineurin with

hydrophobic residues is seen in the existing PxIxIT peptide/Calcineurin X-ray crystal

structures, in which the reverse face of the PxIxIT site provides an additional

potential encounter point (Supp. Fig. 6a). In the structures, one with PVIVIT (20) and

one with the AKAP sequence PIAIIIT (21), the stoichiometry in the asymmetric unit is

two Calcineurin heterodimers to one peptide, with the second heterodimer binding

to the opposite side of the PxIxIT site, out of register by one residue towards the C-

terminus of AKAP5. The peptide thus forms an axis of pseudosymmetry. In both

cases, the first heterodimer that engages the consensus elements of the PxIxIT

sequence has a larger buried surface area and a greater number of hydrogen bonds.

The opposite side displays VxV or AxI, to which a second heterodimer is bound in the

crystal, using the same hydrophobic PxIxIT-binding groove, but with significantly

lower affinity, since no evidence for a 2:1 stoichiometry was seen in solution by size

exclusion chromatography or SEC-MALS (20, 21). However, the presence of

hydrophobic residues in the two 'x' positions may serve as an additional capture

surface on PxIxIT, even if unstable. Their presence may (partially) account for the

fact that in our experiments, mutation of the three distal secondary sites in

AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ did not restore 'pure' pseudo first-order binding characteristic of

simple bimolecular collisions (Fig. 5b & e). Considered as a group, PxIxIT affinities

span a wide range, and the fine-tuning of PxIxIT affinities by altering the 'x' positions

presumably facilitates evolution into either anchoring or signalling roles. It is

interesting to note that during selection for competitive peptide inhibitors of PxIxIT

binding there was a clear enrichment for hydrophobic residues (V, I and L) in the two

x positions (41), while many of the low affinity substrates favour charged or polar

amino acids in these positions that would be incompatible with the non-polar groove

on Calcineurin e.g. PRIEIT in NFATc1 (25 µM; Supp. Fig. 6b).

Our findings also demonstrate that SLiM recognition in AKAP5c is non-

exclusive: both Calcineurin and Calmodulin recognise features of the canonical PKA

SLiM and therefore all three are in competition for the same site (Fig. 2 & 3).

Interactions of one SLiM with many partners have been documented (42), and due

to the substitution-tolerant nature of SLiMs coupled with the conformational

plasticity of IDPs, may be a common feature of disordered scaffolds. In this example,

PKA has a higher affinity for AKAP5c than Calcineurin (and presumably Calmodulin).

However, the *in vivo* concentration of cellular PKA is sub-µM (43) whereas

concentrations of Calcineurin are generally much higher (e.g. 1% of the total protein

concentration in brain (44)), and further concentrated at the membrane or

cytoskeletal elements (45). A slowly diffusing pool of highly concentrated Calcineurin

(or Calmodulin), fed by an intrinsically high cellular presence and maintained by

other local SLiMs, will have a high effective concentration and therefore be

competitive. Moreover, due to the high abundance of AKAPs, there is likely to be an

excess of anchoring sites compared to the kinase (46).

Parallels can be drawn between this 'labile but localised' pool of Calcineurin

that supplies the canonical anchoring site with the ways transcription factors (TFs)

bind DNA. TFs recognize their binding site with high affinity, but also bind, albeit with

low affinity, to non-canonical DNA and *via* mechanisms such as sliding, jumping and

monkey bar strand transfer reduce the dimensionality of the search (47, 48). The

recognition of IDPs containing multiple SLiMs of different affinities for a partner is

likely to share similar properties, for which the term 'allovalency' has been coined

(12, 49).

Here we uncover several Calcineurin interaction motifs spanning a range of

affinities from nM-mM in a short region of a scaffold protein. At least three

hydrophobic patches bind the Calcineurin PxIxIT groove with µM affinity, which is

similar to Calcineurin's affinity for many of its PxIxIT-containing substrates. The

patches in AKAP5c are conserved across relevant AKAPs (Supp. Fig. 1b) and do

indeed appear to bestow a biologically useful function: to enhance capture and

anchoring, while maintaining a more mobile pool of Calcineurin than would be

present if the anchoring protein contained one ultra-high affinity SLiM. The mM-

affinity partial/mini-SLiMs may act together to further conserve the hydrophobic

'capacitance' of the region responsible for Calcineurin capture.

Conclusion

The scaffolding protein AKAP5 contains a well-characterised PxIxIT-motif Calcineurin

binding site. We characterise at least three additional binding sites of lower affinity

C-terminal to the PxIxIT site and propose that these additional sites create a 'labile

but localised' pool that supplies Calcineurin to both the canonical anchoring site and

to downstream effectors such as NFAT. We conclude that in AKAP5, the disordered

regions between anchoring SLiMs, rather than being inert tethers, are enriched in

lower-affinity/mini-SLiMs that act together to maintain a stable but responsive

signalosome.

Materials and Methods

Constructs

pcDNA™3.1 V5-His TOPO containing full length human AKAP5 with V5 and His tags

was obtained. AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ was generated by gene synthesis (GeneArt;

ThermoFisher). pOP5T was created from pOP5TT (Hyvönen *et al.*, unpublished), by

deletion of thioredoxin leaving a TEV-removable N-terminal octa-His tag. pET15b

CnA CnB, which contains human PPP3CA and PPP3R1 (50) was obtained from

Addgene (11787). Plasmid pQE30 CaM containing rat Calmodulin (protein sequence

100% identical to human) was obtained from Dermot Cooper, Department of

Pharmacology, University of Cambridge. NanoBiT vectors were obtained from

Promega.

Cloning was carried out using standard molecular biology techniques. The

coding sequence for AKAP5c was PCR amplified from pCDNA3.1 hAKAP and inserted

between the BamHI and HindIII sites of pHAT3 using restriction cloning. All other

cloning was carried out using a modified SLIC protocol based on (51). The

appropriate plasmid and insert sequences (see above) with 15 bp complementary

overhangs, or 12 bp for deletion of the PIAIIIT site were amplified using Q5

polymerase (NEB). For unique Cys mutants, a Cys codon was added to the forward

primer immediately after the GS in the Thrombin or TEV site. Final constructs were:
464

pHAT3 AKAP5c	Human AKAP5 300-427 with a Thrombin-cleavable His$_6$ tag
pHAT3 AKAP5c$_{ΔPIAIIIT}$	Human AKAP5 300-427 Δ337-343 (PIAIIIT) with a Thrombin-cleavable His$_6$ tag
pOP5T AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$	Human AKAP 300-427 F362A, L363S, I364A, L391A, L392S, I393A, L406S, I408A, L411S, V412A with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag
pOP5T Cys-AKAP5c	Human AKAP 300-427 with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T Cys-AKAP5c$_{ΔPIAIIIT}$	Human AKAP 300-427 Δ337-343 (PIAIIIT) with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T Cys-AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$	Human AKAP 300-427 F362A, L363S, I364A, L391A, L392S, I393A, L406S, I408A, L411S, V412A with a TEV cleavable N-

	terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T CaM	Rat Calmodulin with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag
pBiT1.1-C AKAP5	Full-length human AKAP5-LgBiT
pBiT2.1-C AKAP5	Full-length human AKAP5-SmlBiT
NanoBiT^{TM} Negative Control Vector	HaloTag®-SmlBiT
LgBiT-PRKAR2A Control Vector	LgBiT-PRKAR2A (RIIA regulatory subunit of PKA)

Protein sequences are presented in full in Supplementary Information.

Protein expression and purification

All proteins were expressed in *E.coli* BL21(DE3) or BL21 Star (DE3) cells transformed

with the appropriate plasmid. For unlabelled proteins 2 L baffled flasks containing

500 ml of AIM LB or AIM 2YT autoinduction medium (Formedium) supplemented

with 50 µg/ml carbenicillin (and 1 mM CaCl$_2$ for Calmodulin) were inoculated with

1% starter culture in LB medium and grown overnight at 30 °C with shaking at 170

rpm. Labelled proteins were expressed similarly, but in M9 minimal medium

containing (as appropriate) 0.4 g/L ^{15}N ammonium chloride, 4g/L uniformly labelled

^{13}C glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources and supplemented with 5%

Celtone complete medium with the appropriate isotopic labelling. Cells were grown

to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.7 before induction with 0.5 mM IPTG, and grown overnight at 23 °C.

AKAP and Calmodulin constructs expressed from either pHAT3 or pOP5T

were purified as follows: cells were resuspended in IMAC buffer A (20mM Tris pH

8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) with SIGMA*FAST* EDTA free protease inhibitor

cocktail. Cells were lysed using an Emulsiflex and clarified lysate was heated at 70 °C

for 20 min. Precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation and the supernatant

further purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Bound proteins were eluted with

IMAC buffer B (A containing 400 mM imidazole) and dialysed overnight into 20 mM

Tris pH 8.0 using 7 kDa cut-off membrane, the His tag was removed with thrombin

(pHAT3) or TEV (pOP5T), uncut protein removed by passing over Ni-NTA resin, and

proteins further purified using a 6 ml Resource Q column (Cytiva) eluted with a linear

NaCl gradient 0-400 mM. DTT (2 mM) was present in all steps after the initial Ni-NTA

purification of proteins containing a unique cysteine. Proteins were concentrated

and buffer exchanged into the appropriate buffer before storage at –80 °C.

Calcineurin was purified similarly, except that rather than heating, it was

precipitated from clarified lysate by addition of ammonium sulphate to 45%

saturation on ice before being resuspended in IMAC buffer A, and the His tag on the

A subunit was not removed. After elution from the Resource Q column, Calcineurin

was concentrated to ~ 5-10 mg/ml and further purified by size exclusion

chromatography using an S200 16/600 column (Cytiva) in 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4,

150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and stored at –80 °C.

Peptides

Peptides (EPIAIIITDTE and DDQYLAVPQH) were purchased from Biomatik and

dialysed extensively against the appropriate buffer before use.

Biotin labelling

Proteins were labelled using EZ-Link™ Maleimide-PEG2-Biotin (ThermoFisher) in 10

mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Concentration measurements

Protein and peptide concentrations were determined spectophotometrically using a

NanoDrop One^C instrument (ThermoFisher) at 205 nm for the AKAP constructs (due

to their low ε_{280}) and 280 nm for Calcineurin and Calmodulin. Extinction coefficients

were calculated using https://spin.niddk.nih.gov/clore/Software/A205.html based

on Anthis & Clore, 2013 (52).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

NMR measurements were made on ^{15}N- or ^{13}C,^{15}N-labelled proteins (~100 µM) in 10

mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl (NMR buffer) and 10-15% ^{2}H$_2$O. Experiments were

recorded at 25 °C on Bruker DRX600 or 800 spectrometers. Assignments were

obtained using a conventional triple-resonance approach (HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCO,

HNCACB, HN(CO)CACB) alongside TOCSY-^{15}N-HSQC, NOESY-^{15}N-HSQC and

HNN/HN(C)N experiments (53, 54). Data were processed using AZARA (v.2.7, ©

1993-2021; Wayne Boucher and Department of Biochemistry, University of

Cambridge). Triple resonance experiments were recorded with 25% nonuniform

sampling, using Poisson-gap sampling (55), and reconstructed using the Cambridge

CS package and the CS-IHT algorithm (56). Assignments were made using CcpNmr

Analysis v. 2.4 (57). Chemical shifts were referenced to 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-

sulfonic acid (DSS). Heteronuclear NOE values were obtained at 600 MHz with either

4 s of ^{1}H saturation using a 120° pulse train or a 4 s delay prior to the first ^{15}N pulse

(58). Complexes with Calcineurin were first prepared at the defined stoichiometries

in 10 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and then dialysed into NMR

buffer. Peptides were added from concentrated stocks to minimise dilution effects.

Intensity ratios were calculated using fitted peak heights in Analysis. Chemical-shift

differences were calculated using $\Delta\delta = [(\Delta\delta^H)^2 + (0.15 \times \Delta\delta^N)^2]^{1/2}$ (40).

Far-UV Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy

Experiments were performed at 0.1 mg/ml over a 185-260 nm range at 25 °C in 10

mM PIPES pH 6.5 and 150 mM NaF and in 1 mm path-length cuvettes. Spectra were

acquired using an AVIV 410 spectrometer in 1 nm wavelength steps, averaged over

three accumulations and baseline-corrected using buffer before smoothing, using

the manufacturer's software. Millidegree units were converted to mean residue

ellipticity (MRE) with units deg cm^2 dmol^{-1} res^{-1} using MRE = millideg. / {(no. residues

– 1) × c × l × 10}, where c = molar concentration and l = path length in cm.

Analytical Ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation velocity was measured in an Optima XL-I centrifuge (Beckman

Coulter). For AKAP5c, standard 12 mm double-sector Epon centrepieces with

sapphire windows contained 400 µl of AKAP5c at 1 mg/mL or buffer (10 mM sodium

phosphate pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl). Interference data were acquired at 40 krpm using

an An60 Ti four-hole rotor, at 20 °C. A multi-component sedimentation coefficient

$c(s)$ distribution was obtained from 100 scans collected over 22 h by direct boundary

modelling of the Lamm equation using Sedfit v.15 (59). The density and viscosity of

the buffer (ρ = 1.0055 g/ml; η = 1.01881 cP) and the partial specific volume of the

protein (0.72918 ml/g) were calculated using Sednterp (60). For Calcineurin, 12 mm

double-sector Epon centrepieces with sapphire windows contained 400 µl of

Calcineurin at 0.4 mg/mL or buffer (10 mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT).

Interference data were acquired at 50 krpm using an An50 Ti eight-hole rotor, at 20

°C. A multi-component sedimentation coefficient $c(s)$ distribution was obtained from

20 scans collected over 5 h by Sedfit, as above. The density and viscosity of the

buffer (ρ = 1.0054 g/ml; η = 1.02631 cP) and the partial specific volume of the

protein (0.73431 ml/g) were calculated by Sednterp, as above.

Cell culture

HEK293T cells were cultured in 10 cm tissue culture treated dishes grown at 37 °C in

a 5% CO_2 atmosphere in HEPES buffered DMEM/F12 1:1 (Merck) supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were maintained in logarithmic

growth phase and passaged upon reaching ~80% confluence (every 2-3 days).

Split-Luciferase Reporter Assays (NanoBiT)

Split luciferase reporter assays were carried out using the Promega NanoBiT system.

Briefly, cells were diluted in in HEPES buffered DMEM/F12 1:1 (Merck)

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine to 50,000

cells/ml and plated at a density of 5000 cells per well (100 µl) in 96-well white clear-

bottom tissue culture treated plates (Corning 3610). Cells were grown for 24 h

before transfection. Cells were transiently transfected with 50 ng each of the

appropriate plasmids using FuGENE HD (Promega) at a FuGENE DNA ratio of 3:1.

After transfection cells were grown for a further 24 h before assay.

Plates were left to equilibrate at room temperature for 20 minutes before addition

of NanoGlo live cell substrate (Promega) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. After mixing at 800 rpm for 10 s, luminescence was assayed in a

PHERAstar microplate reader (BMG LABTECH) at 25 °C using bottom optics and an

averaging time of 10 s.

Surface Plasmon Resonance

Experiments were performed on a Biacore T200 instrument (GE) at 25 °C. Biotin-Cys-

AKAP5c, Biotin-Cys-AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ and Biotin-Cys-AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ were immobilised on

separate channels of an SA sensor chip (Cytiva). In order to optimise the analyte

response signal-to-noise ratio, 12 RU were immobilised for AKAP5c and AKAP5c$_{ILVF-}$

$_{>SA}$, and 55 RU for AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$; analyte responses for AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ were

subsequently re-scaled by a factor of 12/55 for comparative purposes. Binding to

AKAP5c and AKAP5c$_{ILVF->SA}$ was determined in 10 mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl,

0.05% v/v Tween20, at ten Calcineurin concentrations (0, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320,

640, 1280 and 2560 nM). Binding to AKAP5c$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ was determined at nine

Calcineurin concentrations (0, 78, 156, 313, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000 and 10000 nM).

All concentrations were run in duplicate. The contact time, dissociation time and

flow rate were 300 s, 1200 s and 30 μL min^{-1}, respectively. The chip surface was

regenerated with 50 mM NaOH, 1 M NaCl for 1 or 2 x 60 s. Data were processed

using the manufacturer's BIAevaluation software and further analysis was carried

out in EVILFIT (37).

Data availability

Chemical-shift assignments for AKAP5c (see also Supp. Fig. S2) have been deposited

in the BMRB with accession number XXXX.

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Schuck, Marko Hyvönen and Anna Git for helpful discussions, the

NMR and Biophysics Facilities in the Department of Biochemistry, University of

Cambridge for access to instrumentation, and Dermot Cooper for the Calmodulin

plasmid. This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences

Research Council (BB/N022181/1).

References

1.   Scott JD, Pawson T (2009) Cell signaling in space and time: Where proteins
come together and when they're apart. *Science* 326(5957):1220–1224.

2.   Pan CQ, Sudol M, Sheetz M, Low BC (2012) Modularity and functional
plasticity of scaffold proteins as p(l)acemakers in cell signaling. *Cell Signal*
24(11):2143–2165.

3.   Theurkauf WE, Vallee RB (1982) Molecular characterization of the cAMP-
dependent protein kinase bound to microtubule-associated protein 2. *J Biol*
Chem 257(6):3284–3290.

4.   Lohmann SM, DeCamilli P, Einig I, Walter U (1984) High-affinity binding of the
regulatory subunit (R(II)) of cAMP-dependent protein kinase to microtubule-
associated and other cellular proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 81(21 I):6723–
6727.

5.   Wong W, Scott JD (2004) AKAP signalling complexes: Focal points in space and
time. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 5(12):959–970.

6.   Torres-Quesada O, Mayrhofer JE, Stefan E (2017) The many faces of
compartmentalized PKA signalosomes. *Cell Signal* 37(May):1–11.

7.   Davey NE, et al. (2012) Attributes of short linear motifs. *Mol Biosyst* 8(1):268–
281.

8.   Roey K Van, et al. (2014) Short linear motifs: ubiquitous and functionally
diverse protein interaction modules directing cell regulation. *Chem Rev*
114:6733–6778.

9.   Charlotte SS, Jendroszek A, Kjaergaard M (2019) Linker dependence of avidity
in multivalent interactions between disordered proteins. *J Mol Biol* 431:4784–
4795.

10. Bugge K, et al. (2020) Interactions by disorder – a matter of context. *Front Mol*
Biosci 7(June):1–16.

11. Liu BA, et al. (2010) SH2 domains recognize contextual peptide sequence
information to determine selectivity. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 9(11):2391–2404.

12. Klein P, Pawson T, Tyers M (2003) Mathematical modeling suggests
cooperative interactions between a disordered polyvalent ligand and a single
receptor site. *Curr Biol* 13:1669–1678.

13. Tompa P, Fuxreiter M (2008) Fuzzy complexes: polymorphism and structural
disorder in protein-protein interactions. *Trends Biochem Sci* 33(1):2–8.

14. Creamer TP (2020) Calcineurin. *Cell Commun Signal* 18(137):1–12.

15. Li H, Rao A, Hogan PG (2011) Interaction of calcineurin with substrates and
targeting proteins. *Trends Cell Biol* 21(2):91–103.

16. Donella-Deana A, Krinks MH, Ruzzene M, Klee C, Pinna LA (1994)
Dephosphorylation of phosphopeptides by calcineurin (protein phosphatase
2B). *Eur J Biochem* 219(1–2):109–117.

17. Li X, Wilmanns M, Thornton J, Köhn M (2013) Elucidating human phosphatase-
substrate networks. *Sci Signal* 6(275):1–15.

18.   Aramburu J, et al. (1998) Selective inhibition of NFAT activation by a peptide
spanning the calcineurin targeting site of NFAT. *Mol Cell* 1:627–637.
19.   Garcia-Cozar FJ, et al. (1998) Two-site interaction of nuclear factor of activated
T cells with activated calcineurin. *J Biol Chem* 273(37):23877–23883.
20.   Li H, Zhang L, Rao A, Harrison SC, Hogan PG (2007) Structure of Calcineurin in
Complex with PVIVIT Peptide: Portrait of a Low-affinity Signalling Interaction. *J
Mol Biol* 369(5):1296–1306.
21.   Li H, et al. (2012) Balanced interactions of calcineurin with AKAP79 regulate
Ca2+-calcineurin-NFAT signaling. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 19(3):337–345.
22.   Dell'Acqua ML, Dodge KL, Tavalin SJ, Scott JD (2002) Mapping the protein
phosphatase-2B anchoring site on AKAP79. Binding and inhibition of
phosphatase activity are mediated by residues 315-360. *J Biol Chem*
277(50):48796–48802.
23.   Oliveria SF, Dell'Acqua ML, Sather WA (2007) AKAP79/150 anchoring of
calcineurin controls neuronal L-Type Ca2+ channel activity and nuclear
signaling. *Neuron* 55(2):261–275.
24.   Newlon MG, et al. (2001) A novel mechanism of PKA anchoring revealed by
solution structures of anchoring complexes. *EMBO J* 20(7):1651–1662.
25.   Btesh J, Fischer MJ, Stott K, McNaughton PA (2013) Mapping the binding site
of TRPV1 on AKAP79: implications for inflammatory hyperalgesia. *J Neurosci*
33(21):9184–9193.
26.   Mack K, Fischer MJM (2017) Disrupting sensitization of TRPV4. *Neuroscience*
352:1–8.
27.   Zhang X, Li L, McNaughton PA (2008) Proinflammatory mediators modulate
the heat-activated ion channel TRPV1 via the scaffolding protein AKAP79/150.
Neuron 59(3):450–461.
28.   Dunker AK, et al. (2013) What's in a name? Why these proteins are
intrinsically disordered. *Intrinsically Disord Proteins* 1(e24157):1–5.
29.   Marsh JA, Singh VK, Jia Z, Forman-Kay JD (2006) Sensitivity of secondary
structure propensities to sequence differences between α- and γ-synuclein:
Implications for fibrillation. *Protein Sci* 15(12):2795–2804.
30.   Gold MG, et al. (2011) Architecture and dynamics of an A-kinase anchoring
protein 79 (AKAP79) signaling complex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
108(16):6426–6431.
31.   Patel N, Stengel F, Aebersold R, Gold MG (2017) Molecular basis of AKAP79
regulation by calmodulin. *Nat Commun* 8(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01715-
w.
32.   Nygren PJ, et al. (2017) Intrinsic disorder within AKAP79 fine-tunes anchored
phosphatase activity toward substrates and drug sensitivity. *Elife* 6:1–25.
33.   Liao M, Cao E, Julius D, Cheng Y (2013) Structure of the TRPV1 ion channel
determined by electron cryo-microscopy. *Nature* 504(7478):107–12.
34.   Rumi-Masante J, et al. (2012) Structural basis for activation of calcineurin by
calmodulin. *J Mol Biol* 415(2):307–317.
35.   Sheftic SR, Page R, Peti W (2016) Investigating the human Calcineurin
Interaction Network using the πφLxVP SLiM. *Sci Rep* 6(December):1–11.
36.   Tidow H, Nissen P (2013) Structural diversity of calmodulin binding to its
target sites. *FEBS J* 280(21):5551–5565.

37. Schuck P, Zhao H (2010) The role of mass transport limitation and surface
heterogeneity in the biophysical characterization of macromolecular binding
processes by SPR biosensing. *Methods Mol Biol* 627:15–54.
38. Lagerholm BC, Thompson NL (1998) Theory for ligand rebinding at cell
membrane surfaces. *Biophys J* 74(3):1215–1228.
39. Gopich I V., Szabo A (2019) Diffusion-induced competitive two-site binding. *J
Chem Phys* 150(9). doi:10.1063/1.5079748.
40. Zuiderweg E (2002) Mapping protein–protein interactions in solution by NMR
spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 41(1). Available at:
http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/bi011870b [Accessed August 1, 2013].
41. Aramburu J, et al. (1999) Affinity-driven peptide selection of an NFAT inhibitor
more selective than cyclosporin A. *Science* 285(5436):2129–2133.
42. Hsu WL, et al. (2013) Exploring the binding diversity of intrinsically disordered
proteins involved in one-to-many binding. *Protein Sci* 22(3):258–273.
43. Beavo JA, Bechtel PJ, Krebs EG (1974) Activation of protein kinase by
physiological concentrations of cyclic AMP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
71(9):3580–3583.
44. Yakel JL (1997) Calcineurin regulation of synaptic function: From ion channels
to transmitter release and gene transcription. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*
18(4):124–134.
45. Shibasaki F, Hallin U, Uchino H (2002) Calcineurin as a multifunctional
regulator. *J Biochem* 131(1):1–15.
46. Omar MH, Scott JD (2020) AKAP signaling islands: venues for precision
pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 41(12):933–946.
47. Gowers DM, Halford SE (2003) Protein motion from non-specific to specific
DNA by three-dimensional routes aided by supercoiling. *EMBO J* 22(6):1410–
1418.
48. Vuzman D, Azia A, Levy Y (2010) Searching DNA via a "monkey bar"
mechanism: the significance of disordered tails. *J Mol Biol* 396(3):674–684.
49. Levchenko A (2003) Allovalency: a case of molecular entanglement. *Curr Biol*
13(22):876–878.
50. Mondragon A, et al. (1997) Overexpression and purification of human
calcineurin α from Escherichia coli and assessment of catalytic functions of
residues surrounding the binuclear metal center. *Biochemistry* 36(16):4934–
4942.
51. Jeong JY, et al. (2012) One-step sequence-and ligation-independent cloning as
a rapid and versatile cloning method for functional genomics Studies. *Appl
Environ Microbiol* 78(15):5440–5443.
52. Anthis NJ, Clore GM (2013) Sequence-specific determination of protein and
peptide concentrations by absorbance at 205 nm. *Protein Sci* 22(6):851–858.
53. Cavanagh J, Skelton NJ, Fairbrother WJ, Rance M, Palmer AGI (2006) *Protein
NMR Spectroscopy Principles and Practice* (Academic Press). 2nd Ed.
54. Panchal SC, Bhavesh NS, Hosur R V (2001) Improved 3D triple resonance
experiments , HNN and HN(C)N, for HN and 15N sequential correlations in
(13C,15N) labeled proteins : Application to unfolded proteins. *J Biomol NMR*
20(C):135–147.
55. Hyberts SG, Takeuchi K, Wagner G (2010) Poisson-gap sampling and forward

maximum entropy reconstruction for enhancing the resolution and sensitivity
of protein NMR data. *J Am Chem Soc* 132(7):2145–2147.

56.   Bostock MJ, Holland DJ, Nietlispach D (2012) Compressed sensing
reconstruction of undersampled 3D NOESY spectra: Application to large
membrane proteins. *J Biomol NMR* 54(1):15–32.

57.   Vranken WF, et al. (2005) The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy:
Development of a software pipeline. *Proteins Struct Funct Genet* 59(4):687–
696.

58.   Farrow NA, et al. (1994) Backbone dynamics of a free and a phosphopeptide-
complexed Src homology 2 domain studied by ^{15}N NMR relaxation.
Biochemistry 33(19):5984–6003.

59.   Schuck P (2000) Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by
sedimentation velocity ultracentrifugation and Lamm equation modeling.
Biophys J 78(3):1606–1619.

60.   Laue TM, Shah BD, Ridgeway TM, Pelletier SL (1992) Computer-aided
interpretation of analytical sedimentation data for proteins. *Anal
ultracentrifugation Biochem Polym Sci* (October 2017):90–125.

763
764