Anchored and labile pools of Calcineurin are enabled by a disordered AKAP

scaffold

Authors

Matthew Watson[a], Teresa Almeida[a], Arundhati Ray[a], Christina Hanack[b], Rory Elston[a],

Joan Btesh[b], Peter McNaughton[c] & Katherine Stott[a,*]

[a]Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, 80 Tennis Court Road,

Cambridge CB2 1GA, UK

[b]Stevenage Bioscience Catalyst, Gunnels Wood Rd, Stevenage SG1 2FX

[c]Wolfson Centre for Age-Related Diseases, King's College London, Guy's Campus,

London Bridge, London, SE1 1UL, UK

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed: ks123@cam.ac.uk

Present addresses:

TA: Astex Therapeutics, 436 Cambridge Science Park, Milton Road, Cambridge, CB4

0QA, UK

AR: School of Life Sciences, Gibbet Hill Campus, The University of Warwick, Coventry

CV4 7AL

CH: Axxam SpA, Via Meucci 3, 20091 Bresso (Milan, Italy)

RE: Department of Biology, University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

ORCIDs:

PM: 0000-0003-1946-9610

KS: 0000-0002-4014-1188

Keywords: intrinsically disordered protein, A-kinase anchoring protein (AKAP),

Calcineurin, short linear motif (SLiM), multivalency, fuzzy complex

Abstract

Signalling requires precise spatial and temporal regulation of molecular interactions, which is frequently orchestrated by disordered scaffolding proteins, such as A-kinase anchoring protein 5 (AKAP5). AKAP5 contains multiple Short Linear Motifs (SLiMs) that assemble the necessary components, including the phosphatase Calcineurin, which is anchored *via* a well-characterised PxIxIT SLiM. Here we show, using a combination of biochemical and biophysical approaches, that Calcineurin also recognises additional lower-affinity SLiMs C-terminal to the PxIxIT motif. Moreover, we demonstrate that the assembly is in reality a complex system in which AKAP SLiMs spanning a wide affinity range act cooperatively to maintain distinct pools of anchored and more loosely held enzyme, analogous to the well-understood transcription factor search complexes on DNA, and compatible with the requirement for both stable anchoring and responsive downstream signalling. We conclude that the AKAP5 C-terminus is enriched in lower-affinity/mini-SLiMs that cooperate to maintain a structurally disordered but tightly regulated signalosome.

Introduction

Many enzymes involved in signal transduction are promiscuous and act in multiple pathways. Scaffolding proteins are therefore central to signalling since, by tethering signalling components and localising them, they enhance specificity and reaction rates by effectively enhancing local concentrations, and thereby achieve strict spatial and temporal control of catalysis [1,2]. A-kinase anchoring proteins (AKAPs) are a family of ca. 14 intrinsically-disordered proteins (IDPs) that were originally characterized by their ability to scaffold Protein Kinase A (PKA) [3,4], but have since been shown to assemble multiple components into 'signalosomes' that can be targeted to specific receptors or sites [5,6]. Currently there are 14 annotated AKAPs (AKAP1 to AKAP14).

AKAPs are typical of many IDPs in that they interact with their partners *via*

Short Linear Motifs (SLiMs) [7,8]. The presence of multiple SLiMs with different

specificities in AKAPs enables the combinatorial assembly of a dynamic multi-protein

hub. Much of what we understand about disordered signalling complexes is based

on the structures of folded partners bound to their peptide SLiMs. The rest of the

IDP is either not resolved or is removed to facilitate structural studies. In many cases,

the regions between SLiMs are assumed to be inert linkers, simply providing a

length-dependent increase in the effective concentration, although predictions from

physical models are not always reproduced experimentally, suggesting the reality is

more complicated [9]. The context in which IDP(R) partner interactions take place can

also play an important role [10]. The flanking sequences around SLiMs, although

disordered even in the complex, often contribute to binding [11]. Moreover, there can

be complex interplay between SLiMs [7]. For example, clustering or overlapping SLiMs

can modulate interactions through cooperativity or competition. Further, multiple

low-affinity SLiMs binding a single target can cooperate in a multivalent interaction

to increase the binding affinity. The cooperativity can take different forms: e.g.

allovalency (where multiple epitopes along the ligand bind a single site on a partner

[12]), or 'fuzziness' (where multiple interchangeable interaction sites exist on both the

ligand and partner [13]).

Here we study the interaction of the ubiquitous phosphatase Calcineurin

(also known as PP2B) with the C-terminal region of the scaffolding protein AKAP5

(previously known as AKAP79 in humans and AKAP150 in mice). Calcineurin couples

changes in intracellular calcium concentration to the phosphorylation state of

several important substrates [14]. One example is the NFAT (Nuclear Factor of

Activated T cells) family of transcription factors, which are dephosphorylated by

Calcineurin, causing their translocation to the nucleus and stimulation of

transcriptional programmes [15]. Like other phosphatases, Calcineurin

dephosphorylates sites with little sequence similarity [16,17], and primarily recognises

substrates or their scaffolding proteins through the interaction with SLiMs, such as

the PRIEIT sequence in NFATc1 [18,19]. PRIEIT is a canonical Calcineurin recognition

motif obeying the consensus sequence PxIxIT, a so-called 'PxIxIT' SLiM. This

interaction has a 25 µM affinity, which is within the optimal window for its signalling

function [20]. AKAP5 also has a PxIxIT SLiM with which it engages Calcineurin, albeit

one with a higher affinity – PIAIIIT – that is suited to anchoring (0.4 µM), while not so

tight that downstream signalling is inhibited [21]. In this study, we examined the

AKAP5/Calcineurin interaction from the perspective of the IDP scaffold. Using

multiple biophysical techniques including NMR spectroscopy, we show that binding

is far more extensive than the PxIxIT alone and we identify additional regions that

interact with µM - mM affinity. We hypothesise that these additional low-affinity

sites help to capture and maintain the high-affinity anchored Calcineurin. Moreover,

they provide a separate 'labile but localised' pool of rapidly dissociating and

rebinding Calcineurin, which could be intercepted by proteins such as NFAT that

compete for Calcineurin in order to enact optimal downstream signalling.

Results

The cAKAP5 scaffold is highly disordered and monomeric

AKAP5 can loosely be divided into three regions (Fig. 1a). Here, we have chosen to

focus on the C-terminal region (300-427; cAKAP5), which we define as the region of

homology across various species (Supplementary Fig. S1) following a large insertion

of unknown function in the rodent orthologues. The sequence contains three known

SLiMs that bind either enzymes (Calcineurin [22,23] or PKA [24]) or substrates (TRPV1/4 [25–

[27]). The enzyme-bound SLiMs adopt defined secondary structures in the complexes:

a β-strand in the case of Calcineurin [21] and an α-helix in the case of the docking and

dimerization (D/D) domain of PKA [24]. There is no structural information on the SLiM

reported to bind TRPV1/4 [25,26].

To fully understand the role of disorder in the assembly of signalling

complexes by scaffolding proteins, it is important to understand the level and type of

disorder present. The term "intrinsic disorder" [28] is used to describe all proteins that

Figure 1: Structure, hydrodynamic properties and oligomeric state of AKAP5$_{300-427}$ (cAKAP5). (a) Schematic of AKAP5: the N-terminal region (1-152) is involved in membrane attachment; the middle region (153-299) is recognised by scaffolding proteins of the Membrane associated Guanylate Kinase family; and the C-terminal region (300-427, shown expanded) contains three known SLiMs that bind Calcineurin, PKA and substrates e.g. TRPV1. **(b)** ^{15}N HSQC of cAKAP5. **(c)** Secondary structure propensity (SSP) and Heteronuclear NOE values (colouring of SLiMs as **a**). **(d)** Far-UV CD spectrum. **(e)** Sedimentation velocity continuous $c(s)$ distribution. **(f)** Live HEK- and CHO-cell split-luciferase assays (NanoBiT®, Promega). AKAP5 = full-length protein, PKA = regulatory PKA subunit (R2A) (positive control), Halo = HaloTag® (negative control). The order of listing indicates the orientation of the split luciferase: <protein>-LgBiT + <protein>-SmBiT.

populate transient structures through to those that approach true random coils (i.e.

those with no structural preferences and uniformly fast backbone dynamics). Two

spectroscopic techniques were deployed to assess and delineate regions of nascent

structure and to probe the backbone dynamics in cAKAP5: nuclear magnetic

resonance (NMR) and circular dichroism (CD). The ^{15}N-HSQC spectrum (Fig. 1b)

displays the low ^{1}H^N chemical-shift dispersion (0.7 ppm) and narrow line widths

typical of disordered proteins. A near complete assignment of the backbone H, C, N

and C^β chemical shifts was possible (Supp. Fig. S2), from which the secondary

structure propensity (SSP [29]) could be calculated (Fig. 1c). SSP scores in cAKAP5 are

close to zero with alternating stretches of weak α- or β-propensities. For

comparison, SSP scores are ca. +1 or –1 in stable α- and β-structures, respectively. It

is interesting to note that the propensities of the Calcineurin- and PKA-binding SLiMs

(red and yellow, respectively, in Fig. 1c) reflect the stable structures adopted in the

bound states (SSP_{mean} = –0.116 ± 0.038 and +0.079 ± 0.037, i.e. weak β and α,

respectively). Backbone dynamics were probed by $\{^1H\}^{15}N$ heteronuclear NOE

(HNOE; Fig. 1c), which reveals motions on a time-scale faster than overall tumbling

(HNOE < ca. +0.6). HNOEs were fairly uniform throughout cAKAP (disregarding the

highly mobile N- and C-termini). The average value was –0.20 ± 0.29, with no single

residue exhibiting a value > +0.1, and all SLiMs falling within one standard deviation

of the mean. This is consistent with a highly dynamic polypeptide chain. CD

spectroscopy also showed cAKAP5 to be predominantly disordered (Fig. 1d): the

signature large negative ellipticity at 200 nm was dominant, although there were

indications of some secondary structure (as evidenced by the weak shoulder at 222

nm) that is likely to be transiently populated given the results from NMR.

We next investigated the hydrodynamic properties of cAKAP5 by

sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (SV AUC, Fig. 1e). cAKAP5

sedimented slowly ($s_{20,w}$ = 1.27) due to hydrodynamic friction resulting from its

extended nature (frictional ratio f/f_0 ~ 1.78). The fitted mass (~ 15.6 kDa) was close

to the calculated monomer mass (14.5 kDa). The overall picture of cAKAP5 is

therefore one of a highly dynamic and monomeric chain. However, there are reports

that AKAP5 can dimerise [30,31]. Of particular relevance to this study is the observation

of K333-K333 and K328-K328 intermolecular crosslinks [30], which are in the TRPV1/4

interaction SLiM immediately N-terminal to the Calcineurin binding site. Conversely,

Nygren *et al.* [32] concluded that the AKAP5/Calcineurin complex is monomeric by SEC-

MALS. Calcineurin is a heterodimer of A and B subunits, which are not reported to

oligomerise further [14], although we observed a weak propensity for further

dimerisation by SV AUC (Supp. Fig. S3).

Given AKAP5 forms complex assemblies within the cell, we investigated

whether full length AKAP5 dimerises in a cellular context (HEK293T and CHO) with a

highly sensitive live-cell luminescence assay (NanoBiT®, Promega) that uses a split-

luciferase reporter engineered to give reduced background. A strong

complementation signal was seen between PKA and AKAP5, however, AKAP5 did not

give a self-complementation signal in these assays that was significantly different

from the HaloTag® negative control (Fig. 1f). This does not preclude AKAP chains

being brought together *in vivo* by interactions with multimeric receptors, e.g.

tetrameric TRPV1 [33].

Calcineurin binding extends beyond the PxIxIT site

The Calcineurin anchoring site in cAKAP5 is at ^{337}PIAIIIT^{343}, a near-consensus PxIxIT

SLiM [18,19], in which ^{338}I takes the position of the consensus proline. In the crystal

structure, ^{337}P is not engaged and van der Waals contacts extend ca. five residues C-

terminal of the PxIxIT site [21]. To investigate the involvement of more distal flanking

regions, we titrated Calcineurin into ^{15}N-labelled cAKAP5 and followed binding by ^{15}N

HSQC (Fig. 2a). After one molar equivalent of Calcineurin had been added, many

peaks had decreased significantly in intensity, including those from residues

^{338}IAIIIT^{343} (shown boxed), although the intensity loss was not complete. The changes

were purely to the peak intensities; no significant chemical-shift changes were seen,

nor did the peaks get broader, indicating that the interaction was slow on the

chemical-exchange timescale. No new peaks appeared, indicating slow overall

tumbling of the complex (Calcineurin is 80 kDa), rendering the residues in the region

engaging with Calcineurin invisible. The relative intensity changes when mapped

onto the sequence extend approx. 24 residues C-terminal beyond the PIAIIIT site,

with further broad dips around residues 390 and 410 (Fig. 2b). Calcineurin binding

thus not only extends well beyond the PIAIIIT SLiM (which could be due to weak and

transient interactions extending the footprint) but also involves distal regions of the

C-terminus of cAKAP5.

182
183

Figure 2: Interactions of cAKAP5 with Calcineurin and Calmodulin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. ^{15}N-labelled cAKAP5 (black) titrated sequentially with Calcineurin (Cn; magenta), Ca^{2+} (cyan) and Calmodulin (CaM; yellow), followed by HSQC. (**a**) HSQC of cAKAP5 before and after addition of Cn. Peaks from non-proline residues in ^{337}PIAIIIT^{343} are boxed with arrows. (**b**) Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-cAKAP5 alone. Molar ratios of 1:1 Cn:AKAP, 1:20:1 Cn:Ca^{2+}:AKAP and 1:20:1:1 Cn:Ca^{2+}:CaM:AKAP are shown.

Calcium and Calmodulin do not significantly alter binding

Calcineurin is activated by Ca^{2+} and Calmodulin binding [15,34]. Increases in Ca^{2+}

concentration lead to partial activation of Calcineurin, through loosening association

of the regulatory domain and exposure of the Calmodulin binding region. Further

binding of Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin results in full activation. In addition to stimulating

Calcineurin activity, this exposes a second SLiM-binding region, that interacts with

πφLxVP (π = polar, φ = hydrophobic) in a Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin dependent manner [35].

Although the focus of this study is primarily the dormant PxIxIT-bound 'anchored'

complex, we wanted to establish whether Ca^{2+} or Ca^{2+}-Calmodulin binding alters the

interaction with PIAIIIT or the new interactions found above.

We first titrated the pre-formed AKAP/Calcineurin 1:1 complex with Ca^{2+},

followed by Calmodulin. No changes were seen on addition of Ca^{2+} to a large excess

(20:1; Fig. 2b). cAKAP/Calcineurin binding is therefore calcium-independent. On

addition of Calmodulin to 1:1:1 Calmodulin:Calcineurin:AKAP in excess Ca^{2+}, no

changes were seen in the region of PIAIIIT, indicating that PIAIIIT-binding of

Calcineurin was unaffected, in line with pull-down experiments from other

laboratories [30,32]. However, further peak attenuation was observed in the region C-

terminal but distal to the PIAIIIT site, spanning residues 390-417 (Fig. 2b). In this

case, peaks visibly broadened and shifted, indicating intermediate-to-fast exchange

on the chemical shift time scale. A separate titration of AKAP with Ca^{2+} and

Calmodulin but without Calcineurin present showed a similar response, but with a

larger mole fraction bound (Supp. Fig. S4). Calmodulin therefore appears to compete

with Calcineurin for binding to residues 390-417, a region ca. 50 residues from the

canonical PxIxIT site. This region is known to form an amphipathic helix on binding

PKA, and contains two clusters of bulky hydrophobic residues separated by a stretch

of polar residues, which is a feature of Calmodulin binding [36], although the sequence

was not predicted to be Calmodulin-binding by a database search (Calmodulin Target

Database (http://calcium.uhnres.utoron- to.ca/ctdb/)). We could not confirm

whether Calmodulin also bound Calcineurin in the canonical manner, but the pattern

of shifting and broadening of peaks continued beyond a 1:1 molar ratio of

Calmodulin, which is compatible with binding to two sites.

PxIxIT is not required for binding to non-canonical sites

Given the involvement of PxIxIT-flanking and distal regions of cAKAP5 in binding to

Calcineurin, we investigated binding to cAKAP5 in which the PIAIIIT site had been

deleted, cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$. The titration with Calcineurin (Fig. 3a) was carried out as

previously. From the overlay of the intensity ratios (Fig. 3b), it is clear that the

immediate footprint around the PIAIIIT site (residues 330-350) is lost, as expected.

However, intensities more distal to the PIAIIIT site still showed significant decreases

in intensity, in the same regions and even more pronounced than in WT cAKAP.

Binding to these sites is thus PIAIIIT-independent, and competitive with PIAIIIT,

although it is not possible to say whether they bind the same site on the Calcineurin

Figure 3: Interactions of cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ with Calcineurin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. (a) ^{15}N-labelled cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ alone (black; positions of deleted ^{337}PIAIIIT^{343} residues shown boxed with arrows). cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ titrated with Calcineurin (Cn) to 1:1 Cn:AKAP (green). **(b)** Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ alone. Corresponding WT data (magenta) reproduced from Fig. **2b**. Grey boxed regions 1-3 indicate Cn binding sites in cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$, with the corresponding amino acid sequences shown above.

side. Three regions with pronounced dips in intensity were seen, ^{361}QFLIS^{365},

^{390}TLLIET^{395} and ^{404}IQLSIEQLVN^{413}, which we termed secondary sites 1, 2 and 3,

respectively.

Inspection of the secondary site sequences revealed that they each contained

three or more bulky hydrophobic residues. Given that all known Calcineurin-binding

SLiMs contain hydrophobic residues [35,37], a further AKAP mutant was also tested:

AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$, in which the PIAIIIT site was present, but all the hydrophobic I, L, V and F

residues in the three secondary sites were mutated to S or A to give ^{361}QASAS^{365},

^{390}TASAET^{395} and ^{404}AQSSAEQSAN^{413}. Following reassignment of the chemical shifts,

the protein was again titrated with Calcineurin (Fig. 4a). Similar to the WT, large

reductions in intensities were observed in and around the PIAIIIT site (Fig. 4b). This

was more pronounced in AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$ indicating higher occupancy of the site by

Calcineurin. No dips were seen at secondary sites 2 and 3, (intensities were similar to

the unbound AKAP) and site 1 was partially attenuated (overlap with the PxIxIT

footprint made the effect less clear). Therefore, binding of Calcineurin to the PIAIIIT

or secondary sites appears to be independent but competitive, the secondary sites

Figure 4: Interactions of cAKAP5 $_{ILVF->SA}$ with Calcineurin by ^{15}N HSQC NMR. (a) ^{15}N-labelled cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ alone (black). cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ titrated with Calcineurin (Cn) to 1:1 Cn:AKAP (blue). **(b)** Intensity changes relative to ^{15}N-cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ alone. Corresponding WT data (magenta) reproduced from Fig. **2b**. Grey boxed regions 1-3 indicate 'secondary' Cn binding sites identified in Fig. **3b**, with the corresponding amino acid sequences shown above. Grey asterisks indicate potential 'mini-SLiMs' (see main text).

each constituting additional hydrophobic SLiMs. One small extra region of non-

PIAIIIT interaction was apparent in AKAP$_{ILVF->SA}$ in the absence of the secondary sites:

^{371}VGVFA^{375}, which contains three bulky hydrophobic residues, and there were also

weaker dips corresponding to regions containing just one or two hydrophobic

residues that are potential 'mini-SLiMs': ^{378}NG$\underline{F}$ED^{382}, ^{386}EQ$\underline{Y}$ET^{390}, ^{398}S$\underline{LV}$KN^{402} and

^{424}N$\underline{LL}$Q^{427} (shown by asterisks in Fig. 4b).

Non-canonical sites sequester additional Calcineurin

The detection of three secondary Calcineurin-binding SLiMs led us to speculate

about their relative affinity compared to PxIxIT, and whether they can indeed bind

Calcineurin independently, as suggested by the NMR data. Modified AKAP

constructs, Cys-cAKAP5, Cys-cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ and Cys-cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ were chemically

biotinylated for N-terminal-specific immobilisation on a streptavidin surface so that

Calcineurin binding could be assessed by Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR).

Calcineurin binding to cAKAP5 (Fig. 5a) was clear but complex: the response curves

(1) had no clear plateau and (2) displayed evidence of multiple binding sites with

Figure 5: cAKAP/Calcineurin binding by surface plasmon resonance. Calcineurin injected over a streptavidin surface pre-immobilised with (**a**) cAKAP5, (**b**) cAKAP5$_{ILVF\rightarrow SA}$ or (**c**) cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$. Calcineurin concentrations were 2-fold dilutions from 2.56 μM (cAKAP5 and cAKAP5$_{ILVF\rightarrow SA}$) or 10 μM (cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$). (**d**) Fits of 'steady-state' response vs Calcineurin concentration used to obtain estimates of $K_{d,app}$ and R_{max}. (**e**) Surface site complexity modelled in Evilfit [38]: distribution plots of k_{off} vs K_d obtained by fitting the data from **a**-**c** using a regularization and Bayesian approach (see Supp. Fig. S5 for further details).

both fast and slow kinetics in both the association and dissociation phases. In

addition, a fraction of the Calcineurin remained bound at long times. The complexity

was reduced somewhat in the curves for cAKAP5$_{ILVF\rightarrow SA}$ (Fig. 5b), which showed a

clear saturation plateau that was absent in the WT, and complete dissociation. For

cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ (Fig. 5c), the curves showed a clear plateau and complete dissociation,

but weaker overall binding. Also noteworthy was that the binding curves for

cAKAP5$_{ILVF\rightarrow SA}$ and cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ did not sum to reproduce the cAKAP binding curve,

i.e. A ≠ B + C, despite their comprising separately the two kinds of binding site

present in the WT. This was particularly apparent in the dissociation phase, where

the WT showed a sizeable fraction of Calcineurin was essentially permanently

bound, while no long-lasting binding of Calcineurin was observed with either mutant.

The binding to the PxIxIT and the secondary sites is therefore cooperative.

270   An estimate of the K_d could be obtained from fitting the signal (RU) at the

end of the association period *vs* Calcineurin concentration (Fig. 5d). The values

obtained can only be estimates for cAKAP5 and cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ due to the lack of

saturation ('steady-state') of the former and the lack of high-concentration data for

the latter. Nevertheless, the trend in apparent K_d is clear: cAKAP5 binds Calcineurin

about twice as tightly compared to cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$, (K_d ~0.3 vs 0.6 µM) while both

PIAIIIT-containing AKAP constructs bind Calcineurin with at least ten-fold higher

affinity than cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$, which has a K_d of ~ 7 µM. Estimation of the total surface

capacity for Calcineurin (R_{max}) is also instructive as it gives information on the

stoichiometry of binding under saturating conditions. From extrapolation of the

binding curves, it appears that cAKAP5 can accommodate ca. 50% more Calcineurin

than cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ (62 vs 42 RU; Fig. 5d). The secondary sites may account for this

'extra' 20 RU capacity, since R_{max} for cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ is (very approximately) 12 RU.

283   Multivalent ligands such as cAKAP5 are intrinsically heterogeneous, and thus

deviation from ideal pseudo-first order binding kinetics is not unexpected. Such

systems require a different analysis strategy if they are to be properly characterised.

A suitable alternative approach has been developed by Schuck and colleagues

('Evilfit'; [38]), which uses modern regularization methods combined with a Bayesian

approach to add features that are essential to explain the observed data to the

single species model. Such features could be populations of lower-affinity or poorly

reversible sites. With this approach, it was possible to obtain excellent fits to the raw

SPR data (Supp. Fig. S5), and to extract k_{off} vs K_d distribution plots (Fig. 5e). The

complexity seen for Calcineurin binding to cAKAP5 was deconvolved into 3 or 4

classes of surface sites: one or possibly two very similar sites with K_d 10-100 nM,

another with K_d 1-10 µM, and a final class with a very slow k_{off} that presumably

accounts for the lack of full dissociation observed even at long times (i.e. poor

reversibility). The number of surface site classes was reduced in cAKAP5$_{\text{ILVF->SA}}$ to one

main site with K_d 100 nM-1 μM. The distribution was simpler again for cAKAP5$_{\Delta\text{PIAIIIT}}$

which displayed a single class of sites with K_d 10-100 μM. These results support a

picture in which cAKAP5 acts as a multivalent surface capable of binding one or more

molecules of Calcineurin at different sites. There are also two indications that the

PIAIIIT and secondary binding sites may bind cooperatively: the K_d seems to vary by

as much as an order of magnitude dependent on the presence or absence of the

other class of site, also, there appears to be a 'long-lived' (slow k_{off}) bound

population that is only significant when both sites are present. This latter

phenomenon is reminiscent of the re-capture behaviour seen for bivalent

antibodies, which also show anomalously slow dissociation, or ligands rebinding to

receptors at cell surfaces [39].

Discussion

From our experiments, it is clear that the Calcineurin binding site in cAKAP5 is far

more extensive than PIAIIIT, involving additional SLiMs and 'mini-SLiMs' in the C-

terminal region that interact with μM-mM affinity: non-consensus SLiMs containing

as few as three bulky hydrophobic residues appear able to bind Calcineurin with low-

to-mid μM affinity (cAKAP5$_{\Delta\text{PIAIIIT}}$; Fig. 5d&e), and mini-SLiMs containing only one or

two hydrophobic residues produce detectable binding by ^{15}N-HSQC (Fig. 4b). These

additional sites cooperate to increase both the effective affinity, and the binding

capacity of the scaffold. The effective affinity is raised through both an increase in

the number of productive encounters given the high density of SLiMs, and through

rebinding mechanisms that reduce the diffusion of Calcineurin away from the

scaffold since the molecule is likely to be intercepted by another binding site rather

than diffusing away. This latter point is borne out directly by the lack of complete

dissociation of Calcineurin on cAKAP5 seen by SPR (Fig. 5a). The 'labile but localised'

pool of rapidly dissociating and rebinding Calcineurin can presumably also be

Figure 6: Non-canonical SLiMs could facilitate both anchoring and formation of a labile Calcineurin pool that enables efficient transfer to effectors. cAKAP5 captures and retains Calcineurin using its consensus PIAIIIT (red arrow) and secondary sites (red rectangles), building anchored and signalling pools of bound Calcineurin (blue and green, respectively). Calcineurin binds with lower-affinity to the secondary sites, enabling effective competition by weaker PxIxIT motifs on downstream effectors, e.g. the PRIEIT SLiM in NFAT, and optimal signalling.

captured by downstream effectors such as NFAT despite their mid µM affinities (Fig.

6).

These new insights are made possible by the combination of NMR mapping

experiments and a non-traditional approach to SPR data fitting that is validated by

strategic mutagenesis. The experimental study of molecular recognition by

disordered proteins can be very challenging due to the rapid increase in complexity

inherent in multivalent binding. Competition, cooperativity and multiple binding

modes often limit the study to global behaviour only (in which mechanistic

information may be lost), or dissection into simpler pairwise interactions, treating

the intervening sequences as inert (which may incur the loss of important

information on e.g. cooperativity). SPR data on such systems is often high quality in

both signal-to-noise and reproducibility, while being deemed "unfittable" due to the

ill-posed problem of decomposing a distribution of exponentials. However we find

that the combination of regularization and Bayesian approaches [38], designed for the

deconvolution of mass transport and surface heterogeneity, is also very effective at

decomposing multivalent interactions. The resulting distributions may be

subsequently validated by competition or, as we have done here, mutagenesis,

alongside mapping by NMR, which is both residue-specific and sensitive to a wide

range of interaction affinities [40].

In addition to the secondary sites and mini-SLiMs we establish in this study,

further evidence for weak, non-canonical engagement of Calcineurin with

hydrophobic residues is seen in the existing PxIxIT peptide/Calcineurin X-ray crystal

structures, in which the reverse face of the PxIxIT site provides an additional

potential encounter point (Supplementary Fig. 6a). In the structures, one with PVIVIT

[20] and one with the AKAP sequence PIAIIIT [21], the stoichiometry in the asymmetric

unit is two Calcineurin heterodimers to one peptide, with the second heterodimer

binding to the opposite side of the PxIxIT site, out of register by one residue towards

the C-terminus of AKAP5. The peptide thus forms an axis of pseudosymmetry. In

both cases, the first heterodimer that engages the consensus elements of the PxIxIT

sequence has a larger buried surface area and a greater number of hydrogen bonds.

The opposite side displays VxV or AxI, to which a second heterodimer is bound in the

crystal, using the same hydrophobic PxIxIT-binding groove, but with significantly

lower affinity, since no evidence for a 2:1 stoichiometry was seen in solution by size

exclusion chromatography or SEC-MALS [20,21]. However, the presence of hydrophobic

residues in the two 'x' positions may serve as an additional capture surface on PxIxIT,

even if unstable. Their presence may (partially) account for the fact that in our

experiments, mutation of the three distal secondary sites in cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ did not

restore 'pure' pseudo first-order binding characteristic of simple bimolecular

collisions (Fig. 5b & e). Considered as a group, PxIxIT affinities span a wide range, and

the fine-tuning of PxIxIT affinities by altering the 'x' positions presumably facilitates

evolution into either anchoring or signalling roles. It is interesting to note that during

selection for competitive peptide inhibitors of PxIxIT binding there was a clear

enrichment for hydrophobic residues (V, I and L) in the two x positions [37], while

many of the low affinity substrates favour charged or polar amino acids in these

positions that would be incompatible with the non-polar groove on Calcineurin e.g.

PRIEIT in NFATc1 (25 µM; Supplementary Fig. 6b).

Our findings also demonstrate that SLiM recognition in cAKAP5 is non-

exclusive: both Calcineurin and Calmodulin recognise features of the canonical PKA

SLiM and therefore all three are in competition for the same site (Fig. 2 & 3).

Interactions of one SLiM with many partners have been documented [41], and due to

the substitution-tolerant nature of SLiMs coupled with the conformational plasticity

of IDPs, may be a common feature of disordered scaffolds. In this example, PKA has a

higher affinity for cAKAP than Calcineurin (and presumably Calmodulin). However,

the *in vivo* concentration of cellular PKA is sub-µM [42] whereas concentrations of

Calcineurin are generally much higher (e.g. 1% of the total protein concentration in

brain [43]), and further concentrated at the membrane or cytoskeletal elements [44]. A

slowly diffusing pool of highly concentrated Calcineurin (or Calmodulin), fed by an

intrinsically high cellular presence and maintained by other local SLiMs, will have a

high effective concentration and therefore be competitive. Moreover, due to the

high abundance of AKAPs, there is likely to be an excess of anchoring sites compared

to the kinase [45].

Parallels can be drawn between this 'labile but localised' pool of Calcineurin

that supplies the canonical anchoring site with the ways transcription factors (TFs)

bind DNA. TFs recognize their binding site with high affinity, but also bind, albeit with

low affinity, to non-canonical DNA and *via* mechanisms such as sliding, jumping and

monkey bar strand transfer reduce the dimensionality of the search [46,47]. The

recognition of IDPs containing multiple SLiMs of different affinities for a partner is

likely to share similar properties, for which the term 'allovalency' has been coined

[12,48].

Here we uncover several Calcineurin interaction motifs spanning a range of

affinities from nM-mM in a short region of a scaffold protein. At least three

hydrophobic patches bind Calcineurin with µM affinity, which is similar to

Calcineurin's affinity for many of its substrates. The patches in cAKAP5 are conserved

across relevant AKAPs (Supplementary Fig. 1b) and do indeed appear to bestow a

biologically useful function: to enhance capture and anchoring, while maintaining a

more mobile pool of Calcineurin than would be present if the anchoring protein

contained one ultra-high affinity SLiM. The mM-affinity partial/mini-SLiMs may act

together to further conserve the hydrophobic 'capacitance' of the region responsible

for Calcineurin capture.

Conclusion

The scaffolding protein AKAP5 contains a well-characterised PxIxIT-motif Calcineurin

binding site. We characterise at least three additional binding sites of lower affinity

C-terminal to the PxIxIT site and propose that these additional sites create a 'labile

but localised' pool that both supplies Calcineurin to the canonical anchoring site and

to downstream effectors such as NFAT. We conclude that in AKAP5, the disordered

regions between anchoring SLiMs, rather than being inert tethers, are enriched in

lower-affinity/mini-SLiMs that act together to maintain a stable but responsive

signalosome.

Materials and Methods

Constructs

pcDNA™3.1 V5-His TOPO containing full length human AKAP5 with V5 and His tags

was obtained. cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ was generated by gene synthesis (GeneArt;

ThermoFisher). pOP5T was created from pOP5TT (Hyvönen *et al*., unpublished), by

deletion of thioredoxin leaving a TEV-removable N-terminal octa-His tag. pET15b

CnA CnB, which contains human PPP3CA and PPP3R1 [49] was obtained from Addgene

(11787). Plasmid pQE30 CaM containing rat Calmodulin (protein sequence 100%

identical to human) was obtained from Dermot Cooper, Department of

Pharmacology, University of Cambridge.

Cloning was carried out using standard molecular biology techniques. The

coding sequence for cAKAP5 was PCR amplified from pCDNA3.1 hAKAP and inserted

between the BamHI and HindIII sites of pHAT3 using restriction cloning. All other

cloning was carried out using a modified SLIC protocol based on [50]. The appropriate

plasmid and insert sequences (see above) with 15 bp complementary overhangs, or

12 bp for deletion of the PIAIIIT site were amplified using Q5 polymerase (NEB). For

unique Cys mutants, a Cys codon was added to the forward primer immediately after

the GS in the Thrombin or TEV site. Final constructs were:

pOP5T cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$	Human AKAP 300-427 F362A, L363S, I364A, L391A, L392S, I393A, L406S, I408A, L411S, V412A with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag
pOP5T Cys-cAKAP5	Human AKAP 300-427 with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T Cys-cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$	Human AKAP 300-427 Δ337-343 (PIAIIIT) with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T Cys-cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$	Human AKAP 300-427 F362A, L363S, I364A, L391A, L392S, I393A, L406S, I408A, L411S, V412A with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag and an N-terminal Cys
pOP5T CaM	Rat Calmodulin with a TEV cleavable N-terminal His$_8$ tag
pBiT1.1-C AKAP5	Full-length human AKAP5-LgBiT
pBiT2.1-C AKAP5	Full-length human AKAP5-SmlBiT
NanoBiT™ Negative Control Vector	HaloTag®-SmlBiT
LgBiT-PRKAR2A Control Vector	LgBiT-PRKAR2A (RIIA regulatory subunit of PKA)

Protein expression and purification

All proteins were expressed in *E.coli* BL21(DE3) or BL21 Star (DE3) cells transformed

with the appropriate plasmid. For unlabelled proteins 2 L baffled flasks containing

500 ml of AIM LB or AIM 2YT autoinduction medium (Formedium) supplemented

with 50 μg/ml carbenicillin (and 1 mM CaCl$_2$ for Calmodulin) were inoculated with

1% starter culture in LB medium and grown overnight at 30 °C with shaking at 170

rpm. Labelled proteins were expressed similarly, but in M9 minimal medium

containing (as appropriate) 0.4 g/L ^{15}N ammonium chloride, 4g/L uniformly labelled

^{13}C as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources and supplemented with 5% Celtone

complete medium with the appropriate isotopic labelling. Cells were grown to an

OD_{600} of 0.7 before induction with 0.5 mM IPTG, and grown overnight at 23 °C.

AKAP and Calmodulin constructs expressed from either pHAT3 or pOP5T

were purified as follows: cells were resuspended in IMAC buffer A (20mM Tris pH

8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 10 mM imidazole) with SIGMA*FAST* EDTA free protease inhibitor

cocktail. Cells were lysed using an Emulsiflex and clarified lysate was incubated at 70

°C for 20 min. Precipitated protein was removed by centrifugation and the

supernatant further purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Bound proteins

were eluted with IMAC buffer B (A containing 400 mM imidazole) and dialysed

overnight into 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 using 7 kDa cut-off membrane, the His tag was

removed with thrombin (pHAT3) or TEV (pOP5T), uncut protein removed by passing

over Ni-NTA resin, and proteins further purified using a 6 ml Resource Q column

(Cytiva) eluted with a linear NaCl gradient 0-400 mM. DTT (2 mM) was present in all

steps after the initial Ni-NTA purification of proteins containing a unique cysteine.

Proteins were concentrated and buffer exchanged into the appropriate buffer before

storage at −80 °C.

Calcineurin was purified similarly, except that rather than heating, it was

precipitated from clarified lysate by addition of ammonium sulphate to 45%

saturation on ice before being resuspended in IMAC buffer A, and the His tag on the

A subunit was not removed. After concentration to ~ 5-10 mg/ml, Calcineurin was

further purified by size exclusion chromatography using an S200 16/600 column

(Cytiva) in 20 mM Tris/HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and stored at −80 °C.

Protein sequences

CAKAP5
GSVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEPIAIIITDTEISEFDVTKSKNVP
KQFLISAENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETLLIETASSLVKNAIQLSIEQLVNEMASDDNK
INNLLQ
$\varepsilon_{205} = 420860$ M^{-1} cm^{-1}
473
474   CAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$

```
GSVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEDTEISEFDVTKSKNVPKQFLISA
ENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETLLIETASSLVKNAIQLSIEQLVNEMASDDNKINNLLQ
```
ε_{205}= 401400 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

CAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$
```
GSVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEPIAIIITDTEISEFDVTKSKNVP
KQASASAENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETASAETASSLVKNAAQSSAEQSANEMASDDNK
INNLLQ
```
ε_{205}= 412260 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

Cys-CAKAP5
```
GSCVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEPIAIIITDTEISEFDVTKSKNV
PKQFLISAENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETLLIETASSLVKNAIQLSIEQLVNEMASDDN
KINNLLQ
```
ε_{205}= 424330 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

Cys-CAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$
```
GSVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEDTEISEFDVTKSKNVPKQFLISA
ENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETLLIETASSLVKNAIQLSIEQLVNEMASDDNKINNLLQ
```
ε_{205}= 404870 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

Cys-CAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$
```
GSVAEETKPKDTELSQESDFKENGITEEKSKSEESKRMEPIAIIITDTEISEFDVTKSKNVP
KQASASAENEQVGVFANDNGFEDRTSEQYETASAETASSLVKNAAQSSAEQSANEMASDDNK
INNLLQ
```
ε_{205}= 415730 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

CnA
```
MGSSHHHHHHSSGLVPRGSHMSEPKAIDPKLSTTDRVVKAVPFPPSHRLTAKEVFDNDGKPR
VDILKAHLMKEGRLEESVALRIITEGASILRQEKNLLDIDAPVTVCGDIHGQFFDLMKLFEV
GGSPANTRYLFLGDYVDRGYFSIECVLYLWALKILYPKTLFLLRGNHECRHLTEYFTFKQEC
KIKYSERVYDACMDAFDCLPLAALMNQQFLCVHGGLSPEINTLDDIRKLDRFKEPPAYGPMC
DILWSDPLEDFGNEKTQEHFTHNTVRGCSYFSYPAVCEFLQHNNLLSILRAHEAQDAGYRM
YRKSQTTGFPSLITIFSAPNYLDVYNNKAAVLKYENNVMNIRQFNCSPHPYWLPNFMDVFTW
SLPFVGEKVTEMLVNVLNICSDDELGSEEDGFDGATAAARKEVIRNKIRAIGKMARVFSVLR
EESESVLTLKGLTPTGMLPSGVLSGGKQTLQSATVEAIEADEAIKGFSPQHKITSFEEAKGL
DRINERMPPRRDAMPSDANLNSINKALTSETNGTDSNGSNSSNIQ
```

CnB
```
MGNEASYPLEMCSHFDADEIKRLGKRFKKLDLDNSGSLSVEEFMSLPELQQNPLVQRVIDIF
DTDGNGEVDFKEFIEGVSQFSVKGDKEQKLRFAFRIYDMDKDGYISNGELFQVLKMMVGNNL
KDTQLQQIVDKTIINADKDGDGRISFEEFCAVVGGLDIHKKMVVDV
```

CnA/B
ε_{280}= 53290 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

Calmodulin
```
GSADQLTEEQIAEFKEAFSLFDKDGDGTITTKELGTVMRSLGQNPTEAELQDMINEVDADGN
GTIDFPEFLTMMARKMKDTDSEEEIREAFRVFDKDGNGYISAAELRHVMTNLGEKLTDEEVD
EMIREADIDGDGQVNYEEFVQMMTAK
```
ε_{280}= 2980 M^{-1} cm^{-1}

Extinction coefficients were calculated using

https://spin.niddk.nih.gov/clore/Software/A205.html based on Anthis & Clore, 2013

[51].

Biotin labelling

531 Proteins were labelled using EZ-Link™ Maleimide-PEG2-Biotin (ThermoFisher) in 10

532 mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Concentration measurements

535 Protein concentrations were determined spectophotometrically using a NanoDrop

536 One^C instrument (ThermoFisher) at 205 nm for the AKAP constructs (due to their low

537 ε_{280}) and 280 nm for Calcineurin and Calmodulin.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

NMR measurements were made on ^{15}N- or ^{13}C,^{15}N-labelled proteins (~100 μM) in 10

mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl (NMR buffer) and 10-15% ^{2}H$_2$O. Experiments were

recorded at 25 °C on Bruker DRX600 or 800 spectrometers. Assignments were

obtained using a conventional triple-resonance approach (HNCA, HN(CO)CA, HNCO,

HNCACB, HN(CO)CACB) alongside TOCSY-^{15}N-HSQC, NOESY-^{15}N-HSQC and

HNN/HN(C)N experiments [52,53]. Data were processed using AZARA (v.2.7, © 1993-

2021; Wayne Boucher and Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge).

Triple resonance experiments were recorded with 25% nonuniform sampling, using

Poisson-gap sampling [54], and reconstructed using the Cambridge CS package and the

CS-IHT algorithm [55]. Assignments were made using CcpNmr Analysis v. 2.4 [56].

Chemical shifts were referenced to 2,2-dimethyl-2-silapentane-5-sulfonic acid (DSS).

Heteronuclear NOE values were obtained at 600 MHz with either 4 s of ^{1}H saturation

using a 120° pulse train or a 4 s delay prior to the first ^{15}N pulse [57]. Complexes with

Calcineurin were first prepared at the defined stoichiometries in 10 mM Tris/HCl pH

7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT and then dialysed into NMR buffer. Intensity ratios

were calculated using fitted peak heights in Analysis. Chemical-shift differences were

calculated using $\Delta\delta = [(\Delta\delta^H)^2 + (0.15 \times \Delta\delta^N)^2]^{1/2}$ [40].

Far-UV Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy

Experiments were performed at 0.1 mg/ml over a 185-260 nm range at 25 °C in 10

mM PIPES pH 6.5 and 150 mM NaF and in 1 mm path-length cuvettes. Spectra were

acquired using an AVIV 410 spectrometer in 1 nm wavelength steps, averaged over

three accumulations and baseline-corrected using buffer before smoothing, using

the manufacturer's software. Millidegree units were converted to mean residue

ellipticity (MRE) with units deg cm^2 $dmol^{-1}$ res^{-1} using MRE = millideg. / {(no. residues

– 1) × c × *l* × 10}, where c = molar concentration and *l* = path length in cm.

Analytical Ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation velocity was measured in an Optima XL-I centrifuge (Beckman

Coulter). For cAKAP, standard 12 mm double-sector Epon centrepieces with sapphire

windows contained 400 μl of cAKAP5 at 1 mg/mL or buffer (10 mM sodium

phosphate pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl). Interference data were acquired at 40 krpm using

an An60 Ti four-hole rotor, at 20 °C. A multi-component sedimentation coefficient

c(s) distribution was obtained from 100 scans collected over 22 h by direct boundary

modelling of the Lamm equation using Sedfit v.15 [58]. The density and viscosity of the

buffer (ρ = 1.0055 g/ml; η = 1.01881 cP) and the partial specific volume of the

protein (0.72918 ml/g) were calculated using Sednterp [59]. For Calcineurin, 12 mm

double-sector Epon centrepieces with sapphire windows contained 400 μl of

Calcineurin at 0.4 mg/mL or buffer (10 mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT).

Interference data were acquired at 50 krpm using an An50 Ti eight-hole rotor, at 20

°C. A multi-component sedimentation coefficient *c(s)* distribution was obtained from

20 scans collected over 5 h by Sedfit, as above. The density and viscosity of the

buffer (ρ = 1.0054 g/ml; η = 1.02631 cP) and the partial specific volume of the

protein (0.73431 ml/g) were calculated by Sednterp, as above.

Cell culture

HEK293T cells were cultured in 10 cm tissue culture treated dishes grown at 37 °C in

a 5% CO_2 atmosphere in HEPES buffered DMEM/F12 1:1 (Merck) supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were maintained in logarithmic

growth phase and passaged upon reaching ~80% confluence (every 2-3 days).

Split-Luciferase Reporter Assays (NanoBiT)

Split luciferase reporter assays were carried out using the Promega NanoBiT system.

Briefly, cells were diluted in in HEPES buffered DMEM/F12 1:1 (Merck)

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 2 mM L-glutamine to 50,000

cells/ml and plated at a density of 5000 cells per well (100 μl) in 96-well white clear-

bottom tissue culture treated plates (Corning 3610). Cells were grown for 24 h

before transfection. Cells were transiently transfected with 50 ng each of the

appropriate plasmids using FuGENE HD (Promega) at a FuGENE DNA ratio of 3:1.

After transfection cells were grown for a further 24 h before assay.

Plates were left to equilibrate at room temperature for 20 minutes before addition

of NanoGlo live cell substrate (Promega) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. After mixing at 800 rpm for 10 s, luminescence was assayed in a

PHERAstar microplate reader (BMG LABTECH) at 25 °C using bottom optics and an

averaging time of 10 s.

Surface Plasmon Resonance

Experiments were performed on a Biacore T200 instrument (GE) at 25 °C. Biotin-Cys-

cAKAP5, Biotin-Cys-cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ and Biotin-Cys-cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ were immobilised on

separate channels of an SA sensor chip (Cytiva). In order to optimise the analyte

response signal-to-noise ratio, 12 RU were immobilised for cAKAP5 and cAKAP5$_{ILVF-}$

$_{>SA}$, and 55 RU for cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$; analyte responses for cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ were

subsequently re-scaled by a factor of 12/55 for comparative purposes. Binding to

cAKAP5 and cAKAP5$_{ILVF->SA}$ was determined in 10 mM PIPES pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl,

0.05% v/v Tween20, at ten Calcineurin concentrations (0, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320,

640, 1280 and 2560 nM). Binding to cAKAP5$_{\Delta PIAIIIT}$ was determined at nine

Calcineurin concentrations (0, 78, 156, 313, 625, 1250, 2500, 5000 and 10000 nM).

All concentrations were run in duplicate. The contact time, dissociation time and

flow rate were 300 s, 1200 s and 30 μL min^{-1}, respectively. The chip surface was

regenerated with 50 mM NaOH, 1 M NaCl for 1 or 2 x 60 s. Data were processed

using the manufacturer's BIAevaluation software and further analysis was carried

out in EVILFIT [38].

Data availability

Chemical-shift assignments for cAKAP5 (see also Supp. Fig. S2) have been deposited

in the BMRB with accession number XXXX.

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Schuck, Marko Hyvönen and Anna Git for helpful discussions, the

NMR and Biophysics Facilities in the Department of Biochemistry, University of

Cambridge for access to instrumentation, and Dermot Cooper for the Calmodulin

plasmid. This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences

Research Council (BB/N022181/1).

References

1.   Scott, J. D. & Pawson, T. Cell signaling in space and time: Where proteins come
together and when they're apart. *Science* **326,** 1220–1224 (2009).

2.   Pan, C. Q., Sudol, M., Sheetz, M. & Low, B. C. Modularity and functional
plasticity of scaffold proteins as p(l)acemakers in cell signaling. *Cell. Signal.* **24,**
2143–2165 (2012).

3.   Theurkauf, W. E. & Vallee, R. B. Molecular characterization of the cAMP-
dependent protein kinase bound to microtubule-associated protein 2. *J. Biol.*
Chem. **257,** 3284–3290 (1982).

4.   Lohmann, S. M., DeCamilli, P., Einig, I. & Walter, U. High-affinity binding of the
regulatory subunit (R(II)) of cAMP-dependent protein kinase to microtubule-
associated and other cellular proteins. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **81,** 6723–
6727 (1984).

5.   Wong, W. & Scott, J. D. AKAP signalling complexes: Focal points in space and
time. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **5,** 959–970 (2004).

6.   Torres-Quesada, O., Mayrhofer, J. E. & Stefan, E. The many faces of
compartmentalized PKA signalosomes. *Cell. Signal.* **37,** 1–11 (2017).

7.   Davey, N. E., Van Roey, K., Weatheritt, R. J., Toedt, G., Uyar, B., Altenberg, B.,
Budd, A., Diella, F., Dinkel, H. & Gibson, T. J. Attributes of short linear motifs.
Mol. Biosyst. **8,** 268–281 (2012).

8.   Roey, K. Van, Uyar, B., Weatheritt, R. J., Dinkel, H., Seiler, M., Budd, A., Gibson,
T. J. & Davey, N. E. Short linear motifs: ubiquitous and functionally diverse
protein interaction modules directing cell regulation. *Chem. Rev.* **114,** 6733–
6778 (2014).

9.   Charlotte, S. S., Jendroszek, A. & Kjaergaard, M. Linker dependence of avidity
in multivalent interactions between disordered proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.* **431,**
4784–4795 (2019).

10.   Bugge, K., Brakti, I., Fernandes, C. B., Dreier, J. E., Lundsgaard, J. E., Olsen, J.
G., Skriver, K. & Kragelund, B. B. Interactions by disorder – a matter of context.
Front. Mol. Biosci. **7,** 1–16 (2020).

11.   Liu, B. A., Jablonowski, K., Shah, E. E., Engelmann, B. W., Jones, R. B. & Nash, P.
D. SH2 domains recognize contextual peptide sequence information to
determine selectivity. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **9,** 2391–2404 (2010).

12.   Klein, P., Pawson, T. & Tyers, M. Mathematical modeling suggests cooperative
interactions between a disordered polyvalent ligand and a single receptor site.
Curr. Biol. **13,** 1669–1678 (2003).

13.   Tompa, P. & Fuxreiter, M. Fuzzy complexes: polymorphism and structural
disorder in protein-protein interactions. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **33,** 2–8 (2008).

14.   Creamer, T. P. Calcineurin. *Cell Commun. Signal.* **18,** 1–12 (2020).

15.   Li, H., Rao, A. & Hogan, P. G. Interaction of calcineurin with substrates and
targeting proteins. *Trends Cell Biol.* **21,** 91–103 (2011).

16.   Donella-Deana, A., Krinks, M. H., Ruzzene, M., Klee, C. & Pinna, L. A.
Dephosphorylation of phosphopeptides by calcineurin (protein phosphatase
2B). *Eur. J. Biochem.* **219,** 109–117 (1994).

17.   Li, X., Wilmanns, M., Thornton, J. & Köhn, M. Elucidating human phosphatase-
substrate networks. *Sci. Signal.* **6,** 1–15 (2013).

18.   Aramburu, J., Garcia-Cozar, F., Raghavan, A., Okamura, H., Rao, A. & Hogan, P.
G. Selective inhibition of NFAT activation by a peptide spanning the
calcineurin targeting site of NFAT. *Mol. Cell* **1,** 627–637 (1998).

19.   Garcia-Cozar, F. J., Okamura, H., Aramburu, J. F., Shaw, K. T. Y., Pelletier, L.,
Showalter, R., Villafranca, E. & Rao, A. Two-site interaction of nuclear factor of
activated T cells with activated calcineurin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273,** 23877–23883
(1998).

20.   Li, H., Zhang, L., Rao, A., Harrison, S. C. & Hogan, P. G. Structure of Calcineurin
in Complex with PVIVIT Peptide: Portrait of a Low-affinity Signalling
Interaction. *J. Mol. Biol.* **369,** 1296–1306 (2007).

21.   Li, H., Pink, M. D., Murphy, J. G., Stein, A., Dell'Acqua, M. L. & Hogan, P. G.
Balanced interactions of calcineurin with AKAP79 regulate Ca2+-calcineurin-
NFAT signaling. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19,** 337–345 (2012).

22.   Dell'Acqua, M. L., Dodge, K. L., Tavalin, S. J. & Scott, J. D. Mapping the protein
phosphatase-2B anchoring site on AKAP79. Binding and inhibition of
phosphatase activity are mediated by residues 315-360. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277,**

696   48796–48802 (2002).

697 23. Oliveria, S. F., Dell'Acqua, M. L. & Sather, W. A. AKAP79/150 anchoring of
698   calcineurin controls neuronal L-Type Ca2+ channel activity and nuclear
699   signaling. *Neuron* **55,** 261–275 (2007).

700 24. Newlon, M. G., Roy, M., Morikis, D., Carr, D. W., Westphal, R., Scott, J. D. &
701   Jennings, P. A. A novel mechanism of PKA anchoring revealed by solution
702   structures of anchoring complexes. *EMBO J.* **20,** 1651–1662 (2001).

703 25. Btesh, J., Fischer, M. J., Stott, K. & McNaughton, P. A. Mapping the binding site
704   of TRPV1 on AKAP79: implications for inflammatory hyperalgesia. *J. Neurosci.*
705   **33,** 9184–9193 (2013).

706 26. Mack, K. & Fischer, M. J. M. Disrupting sensitization of TRPV4. *Neuroscience*
707   **352,** 1–8 (2017).

708 27. Zhang, X., Li, L. & McNaughton, P. A. Proinflammatory mediators modulate
709   the heat-activated ion channel TRPV1 via the scaffolding protein AKAP79/150.
710   *Neuron* **59,** 450–461 (2008).

711 28. Dunker, A. K., Babu, M. M., Barbar, E., Blackledge, M., Bondos, E., Dosztányi,
712   Z., Dyson, H. J., Forman-kay, J., Gsponer, J., Han, K., Jones, D. T., Longhi, S.,
713   Metallo, S. J., Nishikawa, K., Nussinov, R., Obradovic, Z., Pappu, V., Rost, B.,
714   Selenko, P., Subramaniam, V., Joel, L., Tompa, P., Uversky, V. N., Dunker, A. K.,
715   Babu, M. M., Barbar, E., Blackledge, M., Sarah, E., Dosztányi, Z., Dyson, H. J.,
716   Forman-kay, J., Fuxreiter, M., Gsponer, J., Han, K., Jones, D. T., Longhi, S.,
717   Metallo, S. J., Nishikawa, K., Nussinov, R., Obradovic, Z., Pappu, R. V, Rost, B.,
718   Selenko, P., Subramaniam, V., Joel, L., Dunker, A. K., Babu, M. M., Barbar, E.,
719   Blackledge, M., Bondos, S. E., Dosztányi, Z., Jane, H., Forman-kay, J., Fuxreiter,
720   M., Gsponer, J., Han, K., Jones, D. T., Longhi, S. & Steven, J. What's in a name?
721   Why these proteins are intrinsically disordered. *Intrinsically Disord. Proteins* **1,**
722   1–5 (2013).

723 29. Marsh, J. A., Singh, V. K., Jia, Z. & Forman-Kay, J. D. Sensitivity of secondary
724   structure propensities to sequence differences between α- and γ-synuclein:
725   Implications for fibrillation. *Protein Sci.* **15,** 2795–2804 (2006).

726 30. Gold, M. G., Stengel, F., Nygren, P. J., Weisbrod, C. R., Bruce, J. E., Robinson, C.
727   V, Barford, D. & Scott, J. D. Architecture and dynamics of an A-kinase
728   anchoring protein 79 (AKAP79) signaling complex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
729   **108,** 6426–6431 (2011).

730 31. Patel, N., Stengel, F., Aebersold, R. & Gold, M. G. Molecular basis of AKAP79
731   regulation by calmodulin. *Nat. Commun.* **8,** (2017).

732 32. Nygren, P. J., Mehta, S., Schweppe, D. K., Langeberg, L. K., Whiting, J. L.,
733   Weisbrod, C. R., Bruce, J. E., Zhang, J., Veesler, D. & Scott, J. D. Intrinsic
734   disorder within AKAP79 fine-tunes anchored phosphatase activity toward
735   substrates and drug sensitivity. *Elife* **6,** 1–25 (2017).

736 33. Liao, M., Cao, E., Julius, D. & Cheng, Y. Structure of the TRPV1 ion channel
737   determined by electron cryo-microscopy. *Nature* **504,** 107–12 (2013).

738 34. Rumi-Masante, J., Rusinga, F. I., Lester, T. E., Dunlap, T. B., Williams, T. D.,
739   Dunker, A. K., Weis, D. D. & Creamer, T. P. Structural basis for activation of
740   calcineurin by calmodulin. *J. Mol. Biol.* **415,** 307–317 (2012).

741 35. Sheftic, S. R., Page, R. & Peti, W. Investigating the human Calcineurin
742   Interaction Network using the πφLxVP SLiM. *Sci. Rep.* **6,** 1–11 (2016).

36.   Tidow, H. & Nissen, P. Structural diversity of calmodulin binding to its target
sites. *FEBS J.* **280,** 5551–5565 (2013).

37.   Aramburu, J., Yaffe, M. B., López-Rodríguez, C., Cantley, L. C., Hogan, P. G. &
Rao, A. Affinity-driven peptide selection of an NFAT inhibitor more selective
than cyclosporin A. *Science* **285,** 2129–2133 (1999).

38.   Schuck, P. & Zhao, H. The role of mass transport limitation and surface
heterogeneity in the biophysical characterization of macromolecular binding
processes by SPR biosensing. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **627,** 15–54 (2010).

39.   Lagerholm, B. C. & Thompson, N. L. Theory for ligand rebinding at cell
membrane surfaces. *Biophys. J.* **74,** 1215–1228 (1998).

40.   Zuiderweg, E. Mapping protein–protein interactions in solution by NMR
spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* **41,** (2002).

41.   Hsu, W. L., Oldfield, C. J., Xue, B., Meng, J., Huang, F., Romero, P., Uversky, V.
N. & Dunker, A. K. Exploring the binding diversity of intrinsically disordered
proteins involved in one-to-many binding. *Protein Sci.* **22,** 258–273 (2013).

42.   Beavo, J. A., Bechtel, P. J. & Krebs, E. G. Activation of protein kinase by
physiological concentrations of cyclic AMP. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **71,**
3580–3583 (1974).

43.   Yakel, J. L. Calcineurin regulation of synaptic function: From ion channels to
transmitter release and gene transcription. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **18,** 124–
134 (1997).

44.   Shibasaki, F., Hallin, U. & Uchino, H. Calcineurin as a multifunctional regulator.
J. Biochem. **131,** 1–15 (2002).

45.   Omar, M. H. & Scott, J. D. AKAP signaling islands: venues for precision
pharmacology. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **41,** 933–946 (2020).

46.   Gowers, D. M. & Halford, S. E. Protein motion from non-specific to specific
DNA by three-dimensional routes aided by supercoiling. *EMBO J.* **22,** 1410–
1418 (2003).

47.   Vuzman, D., Azia, A. & Levy, Y. Searching DNA via a 'monkey bar' mechanism:
the significance of disordered tails. *J. Mol. Biol.* **396,** 674–684 (2010).

48.   Levchenko, A. Allovalency: a case of molecular entanglement. *Curr. Biol.* **13,**
876–878 (2003).

49.   Mondragon, A., Griffith, E. C., Sun, L., Xiong, F., Armstrong, C. & Liu, J. O.
Overexpression and purification of human calcineurin α from Escherichia coli
and assessment of catalytic functions of residues surrounding the binuclear
metal center. *Biochemistry* **36,** 4934–4942 (1997).

50.   Jeong, J. Y., Yim, H. S., Ryu, J. Y., Lee, H. S., Lee, J. H., Seen, D. S. & Kang, S. G.
One-step sequence-and ligation-independent cloning as a rapid and versatile
cloning method for functional genomics Studies. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78,**
5440–5443 (2012).

51.   Anthis, N. J. & Clore, G. M. Sequence-specific determination of protein and
peptide concentrations by absorbance at 205 nm. *Protein Sci.* **22,** 851–858
(2013).

52.   Cavanagh, J., Skelton, N. J., Fairbrother, W. J., Rance, M. & Palmer, A. G. I.
Protein NMR Spectroscopy Principles and Practice. (Academic Press, 2006).

53.   Panchal, S. C., Bhavesh, N. S. & Hosur, R. V. Improved 3D triple resonance
experiments , HNN and HN(C)N, for HN and 15N sequential correlations in

(13C,15N) labeled proteins : Application to unfolded proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR*
20, 135–147 (2001).

54.   Hyberts, S. G., Takeuchi, K. & Wagner, G. Poisson-gap sampling and forward
maximum entropy reconstruction for enhancing the resolution and sensitivity
of protein NMR data. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **132,** 2145–2147 (2010).

55.   Bostock, M. J., Holland, D. J. & Nietlispach, D. Compressed sensing
reconstruction of undersampled 3D NOESY spectra: Application to large
membrane proteins. *J. Biomol. NMR* **54,** 15–32 (2012).

56.   Vranken, W. F., Boucher, W., Stevens, T. J., Fogh, R. H., Pajon, A., Llinas, M.,
Ulrich, E. L., Markley, J. L., Ionides, J. & Laue, E. D. The CCPN data model for
NMR spectroscopy: Development of a software pipeline. *Proteins Struct.*
Funct. Genet. **59,** 687–696 (2005).

57.   Farrow, N. A., Muhandiram, R., Singer, A. U., Pascal, S. M., Kay, C. M., Gish, G.,
Shoelson, S. E., Pawson, T., Forman-Kay, J. D. & Kay, L. E. Backbone dynamics
of a free and a phosphopeptide-complexed Src homology 2 domain studied by
^{15}N NMR relaxation. *Biochemistry* **33,** 5984–6003 (1994).

58.   Schuck, P. Size-distribution analysis of macromolecules by sedimentation
velocity ultracentrifugation and Lamm equation modeling. *Biophys. J.* **78,**
1606–1619 (2000).

59.   Laue, T. M., Shah, B. D., Ridgeway, T. M. & Pelletier, S. L. Computer-aided
interpretation of analytical sedimentation data for proteins. *Anal.*
ultracentrifugation Biochem. Polym. Sci. 90–125 (1992).
doi:10.1006/abio.1995.1030