1

Force and stepwise movements of gliding motility in human pathogenic bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*

Masaki Mizutani[a], Yuya Sasajima[a], Makoto Miyata[a,b#]

[a]Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan.

[b]The OCU Advanced Research Institute for Natural Science and Technology (OCARINA), Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka 558-8585, Japan.

Address correspondence to Makoto Miyata, miyata@osaka-cu.ac.jp

Present address: Masaki Mizutani: Bioproduction Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), 1-1-1 Higashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8566, Japan

Running title: Force and step of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* gliding

Keywords: Motility, Optical tweezers, class *Mollicutes*, Infection

ABSTRACT

Mycoplasma pneumoniae, a human pathogenic bacterium, binds to sialylated oligosaccharides and glides on host cell surfaces via a unique mechanism. Gliding motility is essential for initiating the infectious process. In the present study, we measured the stall force of an *M. pneumoniae* cell carrying a bead that was manipulated using optical tweezers on two strains. The stall forces of M129 and FH strains were averaged to be 23.7 and 19.7 pN, respectively, much weaker than those of other bacterial surface motilities. The binding activity and gliding speed of the M129 strain on sialylated oligosaccharides were eight and two times higher than those of the FH strain, respectively, showing that binding activity is not linked to gliding force. Gliding speed decreased when cell binding was reduced by addition of free sialylated oligosaccharides, indicating the existence of a drag force during gliding. We detected stepwise movements, likely caused by a single leg under 0.2–0.3 mM free sialylated oligosaccharides. A step size of 14–19 nm showed that 25–35 propulsion steps per second are required to achieve the usual gliding speed. The step size was reduced to less than half with the load applied using optical tweezers, showing that a 2.5 pN force from a cell is exerted on a leg. The work performed in this step was 16%–30% of the free energy of the hydrolysis of ATP molecules, suggesting that this step is linked to the elementary process of *M. pneumoniae* gliding.

IMPORTANCE

Human mycoplasma pneumonia is caused by the bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. This tiny bacterium, shaped like a missile, binds to human epithelial surfaces and spreads using a unique gliding mechanism to establish

infection. Here, we analyzed the movements and force of this motility using a

special setup: optical tweezers. We then obtained detailed mechanical data to

understand this mechanism. Furthermore, we succeeded in detecting small

steps of nanometers in its gliding, which is likely linked to the elementary

process of the core reaction: chemical to mechanical energy conversion. These

data provide critical information to both control this human pathogen and

explore new ideas for artificial molecular machines.

INTRODUCTION

Members of the bacterial class *Mollicutes*, which includes the genus

Mycoplasma, are parasitic and occasionally commensal bacteria that are

characterized by small cells and genomes and by the absence of a

peptidoglycan layer (1, 2). *Mycoplasma* species bind to host cell surfaces and

exhibit gliding motility to spread the infectious area. Interestingly, *Mycoplasma*

gliding does not involve flagella or pili and is completely unrelated to other

bacterial motility systems or conventional motor proteins that are common in

eukaryotic motility (3). The gliding motility of *Mycoplasma* is divided into two

types, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Mycoplasma mobile*, which share no

homology in component proteins, indicating independent mechanisms (4, 5).

M. pneumoniae is a human pathogen that causes respiratory diseases,

including bronchitis and atypical pneumonia (6). *M. pneumoniae* cells bind to

human epithelial surfaces through sialylated oligosaccharides (SOs), which are

major structures of animal cell surfaces related to cell-cell recognition, and the

binding targets of many pathogens and toxins (7-10). The cells show

unidirectional gliding motility at a speed of up to 1 µm/s on SO-coated glass

surfaces (Fig. 1A) (5, 11), which is known to be essential for their infection (12).

The gliding machinery, called the "attachment organelle," is localized at a cell

pole (13). The attachment organelle is divided into two parts: internal and

surface structures. The internal structure is composed of an internal core

complex and a surrounding translucent area. The internal core comprises three

parts: a terminal button, paired plates, and a bowl complex from the front side of

the cell (Fig. 1B) (5, 14, 15). The major surface structure, called "P1 adhesin

complex" or "genitalium and pneumoniae cytoadhesin (GPCA)", is composed of

P1 adhesin and P40/P90 proteins and aligned around the internal structure,

which plays a dual role as the adhesin to bind to SOs and as the leg for gliding

(Fig. 1B) (16-20). The model for gliding called the "Inchworm model" or "Double-

spring hybrid ratchet model" is proposed, in which cells repeat the extensions

and contractions of the attachment organelle based on the energy from ATP

hydrolysis to enable smooth gliding (15, 21-23). Generally, the mechanical

characteristics and detailed analysis of movements are essential for creating

and completing a detailed model for the motility mechanism (24-28). However,

to date, no information is available about the force for gliding.

In the present study, we measured the stall forces of the two strains and discuss

the relationship between binding and force. Furthermore, we succeeded in

detecting and measuring stepwise movements that are likely linked to the

elementary process of the gliding reaction.

Results

Stall force measurement using optical tweezers. Optical tweezers are

commonly used to measure the stall force generated by pili in bacterial motility

or motor proteins in eukaryotic motility (29-32). The stall force is defined as the

force needed to stop movements and is equal to the maximal propulsion force

for locomotion. Previously, we measured the stall force for the gliding motility of

M. mobile, based on a mechanism unrelated to *M. pneumoniae* gliding, using

optical tweezers (33, 34). In the present study, we applied this method to *M.*

pneumoniae gliding. *M. pneumoniae* cells were biotinylated and inserted into a

tunnel chamber, which was assembled using two glass plates and double-sided

tape (35). An avidin-conjugated polystyrene bead was trapped by a highly

focused laser beam and attached to a gliding cell at the back end of the cell

body by exploiting the avidin-biotin interaction. The cells pulled the bead from

the trap center with gliding and then stalled (Fig. 2A and B; *SI Appendix*, Movie

S1). The force was calculated by measuring the distance between the centers

of the bead and the laser trap, which was multiplied by the trap stiffness; the

force acting on the bead increased linearly with the displacement from the trap

center (29). Starting from 0 s, the pulling force increased and reached a plateau

in 120 s (Fig. 2C). The maximal value of the force averaged over one second

was determined as the stall force. The stall force of M129 strain cells was 23.7

± 6.3 pN (Fig. 2E). We also measured the stall force of the FH strain, another

major strain of *M. pneumoniae*. The cells of the FH strain pulled the bead in a

manner similar to that of M129 cells (*SI Appendix*, Movie S2). The stall force of

the FH strain was 19.7 ± 5.3 pN, significantly weaker than that of the M129

strain (Fig. 2D and E).

Binding activity and gliding motility. To characterize the differences

in gliding motility, we measured the binding activity and gliding speed of the

M129 and FH strains. *M. pneumoniae* cells were suspended in HEPES

buffer containing 20 mM glucose to obtain an optical density at 595 nm of

0.07, then inserted into tunnel chambers. After incubation for 5–30 min, the

tunnel chambers were washed and observed by phase-contrast microscopy.

The number of bound cells in the M129 strain increased with time from 90 ±

16 to 308 ± 20 cells in 100 ×100 μm^2 area from 5 to 30 min (Fig. 3A and B).

These values are consistent with the results of a previous report (9). In contrast,

the number of bound cells in the FH strain increased from 12 ± 2 to 36 ± 8 cells

from 5 to 30 min (Fig. 3A and B). The number of bound cells in the FH strain

was 7.2–8.7-fold smaller than that of the M129 strain at all time points (Fig. 3B).

When 10× concentrated cell suspension was examined, the bound cell numbers

of the FH strain increased from 117 ± 10 to 386 ± 24 cells from 5 to 30 min, 1.2–

1.3 times that of M129 at all time points (Fig. 3A and B). These results indicate

that the FH strain has approximately 8-fold lower binding activity to SO-coated

glass surfaces than the M129 strain. Considering that the stall force of FH was

only 1.2-fold smaller than that of the M129 strain, the force is unlikely to be

linked to binding activity.

Most of the bound cells showed gliding motility on the SO-coated glass (Fig.

3C; *SI Appendix*, Movies S3 and S4). To characterize gliding motility, the

proportion of gliding cells and gliding speed were analyzed. The proportion of

gliding cells to all bound cells was 78.1% (n_{total} = 2284, n_{glide} = 1785) and 60.0%

(n_{total} = 2271, n_{glide} = 1362) in the M129 and FH strains, respectively (Fig. 3C).

The gliding speeds averaged for 20 s at 1-s intervals were 0.47 ± 0.08 and 0.21

± 0.07 µm/s for M129 and FH strains, respectively (Fig. 3D). These results

suggest that binding activity is not directly linked to gliding speed.

Differences in amino acid sequences of gliding proteins between two

strains. The FH strain used in this study has not been genomically analyzed.

Therefore, we sequenced the genomes of both strains using MiSeq (*SI*

Appendix, Dataset S1) and analyzed the sequences of 14 genes that have been

reported to be involved in binding and gliding (5). Only one amino acid was

substituted in the M129 strain genome from a standard genome, M129-B7

(GenBank accession no. CP003913), which was V196A in the HMW3 protein,

which is positioned at the terminal button in the attachment organelle (Fig. 1B).

Our FH strain had 161 and 155 variations from the reported FH and FH2009

strains, respectively (GenBank accession no. CP010546 and CP017327),

indicating that the FH strain is distant from the genome strains. The differences

between the two strains analyzed in the present study in terms of the 14 genes

were as follows: (i) P1 adhesin showed many differences, including 87 single

amino acid substitutions, 18 amino acid insertions, and four amino acid

deletions, known differences of FH from M129 strains (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). (ii)

The P40/P90 protein showed 96 single amino acid substitutions, one amino

acid insertion, and 68 amino acid deletions (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2). (iii) The other

12 genes showed 0–5 mutations in each gene, as summarized in *SI Appendix*,

Table S1.

Effect of free sialyllactose on binding and gliding. *M. pneumoniae* cells

have hundreds of legs and glide smoothly on solid surfaces. To trace the

behavior of a single propulsion event, the number of working legs should be

reduced by adding a free form of SO, which is the binding target for gliding (9,

34, 36). To quantitatively analyze the effects of free SO on the binding activity

and gliding under our conditions, we measured the bound ratio of cells and the

gliding speed of the M129 strain under various concentrations of the SO, 3'-*N*-

acetylneuraminyllactose (SL). *M. pneumoniae* cells suspended in the buffer

were inserted into a tunnel chamber. Then, the cell behavior in 0–0.5 mM SL

solutions was analyzed. The addition of free SL slowed down and then stopped

gliding or released the gliding cells from the glass surfaces, but did not release

non-gliding cells, indicating that release requires glass binding by GPCA with

displacements (Fig. 4A). The number of gliding cells and the gliding speed

relative to the initial speed were decreased by 0.1–0.5 mM with SL treatments

from 55 ± 11% to 26 ± 16% and from 0.33 ± 0.06 to 0.19 ± 0.07 µm/s,

respectively (Fig. 4B and C). We then decided to use 0.2–0.3 mM

concentrations for further experiments because the binding and gliding were

partially inhibited under these conditions, which were advantageous for

observing single propulsion events caused by a single leg during gliding.

Stepwise gliding movements observed under free SL. Next, we traced

cells under 0.2–0.3 mM free SL conditions as performed in the stall force

measurements. The gliding cells slowly pulled the beads from the trap center.

Half of the tested cells stalled in 120 s, but the other cells repeated creeping

movements and detachment from the glass surfaces (Fig. 5A). The stall force of

the cells that reached a plateau in 120 s was 16.2 ± 4.5 pN (Fig. 5B), which was

significantly weaker than that without SL ($p = 1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ by Student's *t*-test). In

creeping movements, cells occasionally showed discontinuous displacements,

which were mostly stepwise (Fig. 5C and D). Individual displacements in a

stepwise time course were analyzed using the pairwise distance function (34,

36). The displacements shown in Fig. 5D were uniformly distributed at about

17-, 14-, and 16-nm intervals under 0.15, 0.20, and 0.17 pN/nm of trap stiffness,

corresponding to 2.55, 2.80, and 2.66 pN of propulsion force, respectively (Fig.

5D and E). Note that force increments are generally calculated from the trap

stiffness × displacement (29, 34).

Next, to examine the load dependency of step sizes in detail, we measured step

sizes under 0.07–0.26 pN/nm of trap stiffness. Ninety-seven steps in 36 cell

trajectories, including at least two continuous steps, were detected. The step

sizes under 0.13 to 0.26 pN/nm of trap stiffness linearly decreased from 21.0 to

8.0 nm with trap stiffness (Fig. 5F and H). In contrast, the step sizes from 0.07

to 0.12 pN/nm of trap stiffness were mostly constant and distributed at 14–19

nm with an average of 16.2 ± 2.7 nm (n = 21) (Fig. 5G–I).

From 0.07 to 0.12 pN/nm of trap stiffness, the force increments increased with

the trap stiffness (Fig. 5I). In the cases where the force values increase with

trap stiffness, the calculated force does not reflect the actual force because the

load is too small to influence the movements. Therefore, we focused on the

force increments measured under 0.13–0.26 pN/nm of trap stiffness. The force

exerted in a single propulsion step was concluded to be 2.5 ± 0.3 pN (n = 76)

(Fig. 5J).

Discussion

M. pneumoniae **has weak stall force.** In the present study, we measured

the stall force in *M. pneumoniae* gliding using optical tweezers. Previously, the

stall force was measured for some bacterial surface motilities. *Neisseria*

gonorrhoeae and *Myxococcus xanthus* show surface motility driven by the

retraction of type IV pili (37). The stall forces of single-pilus retraction in *N.*

gonorrhoeae and *M. xanthus* were measured by optical tweezers to be

approximately 80 and 150 pN, respectively (30, 38). *M. mobile* glides up to 4.0

μm/s by a mechanism unrelated to that of *M. pneumoniae*. Previously, we

measured the stall force of *M. mobile* gliding using optical tweezers to be

approximately 113 pN (23). The stall forces of *M. pneumoniae* gliding were 23.7

± 6.3 and 19.7 ± 5.3 pN in M129 and FH strains, respectively (Fig. 2E), much

weaker than those of other bacterial surface motilities. *M. pneumoniae* has a

streamlined cell body about 0.2 μm in diameter (39). This shape and cell size

may be beneficial for gliding in human tissues with weak forces.

In liquid culture, *M. pneumoniae* cells bind to the bottom surface of the

tissue culture flask. This is distinct from the case of *M. mobile*, in which most

cells float in the medium. Therefore, *M. pneumoniae* is expected to have a

stronger force for gliding than *M. mobile*. However, we found that *M.*

pneumoniae had a much weaker stall force than *M. mobile* (Fig. 2E). When

comparing the two strains of *M. pneumoniae*, the FH strain showed much less

active binding than, but a stall force comparable to, that of M129 (Fig. 2E and

3B). These facts indicate that the binding activity of *M. pneumoniae* cells is not

determined by the gliding force, which is represented by the stall force.

Drag force in gliding. The gliding speed decreased when cell binding was

partially inhibited by the addition of free SL (Fig. 4). This observation is

consistent with previous data; that is, the inhibition of binding by monoclonal

antibodies decreased the gliding speed of *M. pneumoniae* (40) and the

inhibition by SL decreased the speed of *M. gallisepticum*, coinciding with the

common mechanism with *M. pneumoniae* (23). The decrease in speed was

probably caused by the drag force generated from the substrate surface,

because the friction force exerted from water is estimated to be more than 5000

times smaller than the stall force of 24 pN (Fig. 2E) (41, 42). As the cause of the

drag force, two possibilities are considered: GPCA and others. If some

proportion of GPCA molecules are not involved in gliding, they are not released

from SOs by inhibitory factors, resulting in speed reduction. Interestingly, these

observations and explanations are similar to the case of *M. mobile* gliding, even

though they do not share the same structure of machinery (4, 9, 42-44). This

scheme may be advantageous for gliding on SOs based on ATP energy.

Stepwise movement as elementary process. We succeeded in detecting

the stepwise movements of *M. pneumoniae* gliding. *M. pneumoniae* cells glided

at a speed of 0.47 µm/s (Fig. 3D), and the step size in the load-free condition

was 14–19 nm (Fig. 5I), suggesting 25–35 steps per second. Stepwise

movements are well-studied in ATP-driven eukaryotic motor proteins including

myosin, dynein, and kinesin (26, 45). The force and displacement of a single

step in myosin II, cytoplasmic dynein, kinesin-1, and myosin V have been

reported as 3–5, 7–8, 8, and 2–3 pN and 5.3, 8, 8, and 36 nm, respectively (24,

29, 31, 32, 46, 47). Stepwise movements are also present in bacterial motility. A

flagellar motor shows 14 degrees of revolution as a step (27, 48). The gliding of

M. mobile shows stepwise movements of 1.5 pN force and 70 nm length (34,

36). Generally, stepwise movements are thought to correspond to the

elementary process of a motility event.

Previously, we showed that *M. pneumoniae*-type gliding motility is driven by

energy from ATP hydrolysis (23). Motilities driven by ATP energy can be divided

into elementary processes that are directly coupled with ATP hydrolysis, such as

stepwise movements. The elementary processes observed as steps require a

smaller amount of work than the energy produced by ATP hydrolysis, which is

~80 pN nm (49). Therefore, we estimated the work done in the stepwise

movements of *M. pneumoniae* gliding to determine this possibility. The work

was estimated to be 18.2 ± 5.4 pN nm from the equation W_{step} = 0.5 × spring

constant × displacement^2, under 0.13–0.26 pN/nm of trap stiffness, where the

stiffness is large enough to determine the force (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S3) (34). This

value is 16%–30% of the free energy of the hydrolysis of ATP molecules,

suggesting that we detected the elementary process of *M. pneumoniae* gliding.

The energy conversion efficiencies of stepwise movements are 12%–40%,

10%, and 40%–60% for myosin II, cytoplasmic dynein, and kinesin, respectively

(26, 45). Previously, we estimated it to be 10%–40% for *M. mobile* gliding (34).

These facts suggest that the energy efficiency of stepwise movements of *M.*

pneumoniae is in a similar range of myosin II and *M. mobile* gliding.

Load-dependent step size. *M. pneumoniae* cells showed different step

sizes depending on the load provided by optical tweezers continuously (Fig. 5I),

suggesting that the step size of *M. pneumoniae* is load-dependent. In the

infectious process, *M. pneumoniae* cells glide to the deep positions of

respiratory systems and experience a large load at these positions (12). The

load-dependent stepping behavior would be useful to glide against large loads

because a load-independent stepping motor, kinesin, shows frequent back

steps under large loads (50, 51). Different step sizes under different loads have

also been observed in *M. mobile* gliding (34, 36).

Suggestion for gliding mechanism. How can we image the gliding

mechanism? The GPCA probably plays a critical role in *M. pneumoniae* gliding,

because antibodies against the P1 adhesin, a component of GPCA, decreased

the gliding speed and ultimately replaced the *M. pneumoniae* cells on the glass

surface (40). Recently, the detailed structure of GPCA has been solved (17-20).

It is a mushroom structure composed of two P1 adhesins and two P40/P90

molecules. The C-terminal regions of the four molecules are bundled and

anchored to the cell membrane. P40 and P90 proteins are synthesized as a

single protein and processed into two proteins that are not observed in *M.*

genitalium. Although P1 adhesin is believed to be the receptor for SOs, the

binding site exists at the distal end of P40/P90. This complex is thought to

undergo conformational changes between open and closed with respect to the

binding pockets, which are likely involved in the gliding mechanism (18, 20).

The attachment organelle responsible for gliding can be divided into a

surface structure including GPCA and an internal rod structure (15, 22, 52-54).

Briefly, the force for gliding is likely generated at the bowl because a few

proteins essential for gliding and not binding are localized there (55-57). The

paired plates and elastic components play a role in force transmission, because

the gliding speed decreases severely in a deletion mutant (58). The terminal

button likely connects the rod front to the cell membrane, because an end

component, the P30 protein, features transmembrane segments (59, 60).

Here, we focus on the following observations to construct the working

model for the gliding scheme: (1) The force is probably generated around the

bowl complex, transmits through the internal structures including paired plates,

and reaches GPCAs. (2) Inhibition of cell binding decreases gliding speed. (3)

GPCA has open and closed conformations. (4) Gliding can be divided into steps

because binding and force are not tightly coupled. (5) The gliding movement

can be divided into 14–19 nm steps with a 2.5 pN force.

Gliding scheme to explain stepwise movements. The model is

composed of repeated cycle of four stages (i)–(iv) connected by four steps:

"Power stroke," "Release," "Displacement," and "Catch" (Fig. 6). (i) The GPCA

in the open state catches an SO on the glass surface. (ii) Contraction of the

internal structure pulls the cell body with 2.5 pN and a step size of 14–19 nm.

This step is possibly linked to energy from an ATP molecule. (iii) GPCA switches

into a closed state by triggering the pulling force transmitted from other working

GPCAs, resulting in the release of after-stroke GPCA from the SO. (iv) The

released GPCA returns to the open state and displaces another SO in the next

position by the extension of the internal structure. (i) The GPCA captures the

next SO. The full cycle was then repeated. This scheme explains the

relationship between the binding and force of different strains, if we assume that

release is enhanced and power stroke and catch are reduced in FH compared

with those in M129. The observation that shorter steps occur under load can be

explained if we consider that the power stroke is shortened by the load (Fig. 4I).

Previously, our group suggested a working model for *M. pneumoniae* gliding,

focusing mainly on the information of the internal structure with regard to the

attachment organelle (5, 15). The previous model suggested "directed

detachment of feet" (GPCA), due to the lack of information about step, force,

and foot structure. In this study, we succeeded in adding new information and

completing an updated model.

Materials and Methods

Strains and Cultivation. *M. pneumoniae* M129 (ATCC29342) and FH strains were grown in SP-4 medium at 37°C in tissue culture flasks (TPP Techno Plastic Products AG, Trasadingen, Switzerland), as described previously (11, 61). The FH strain was kindly provided by Tsuyoshi Kenri at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan.

Optical tweezers system. An inverted microscope (IX71; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was equipped with a Nd:YAG laser (ASF1JE01; Furukawa Electric, Tokyo, Japan) to construct the optical tweezers. The microscope stage was replaced by a piezoelectric stage controlled by a stage controller (MDR14-CA-2.5; SIGMAKOKI, Tokyo, Japan) and a joystick (JS-300; SIGMAKOKI). The irradiated laser beam was concentrated as a finite optical system using a plano-convex lens supported by an optical cage system (SIGMAKOKI). The concentrated laser beam was inserted into the microscope and focused by an objective lens (CFI Apochromat TIRF 100XC Oil; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The actual laser power was measured using a power meter (FieldMaxII; COHERENT, Santa Clara, CA) without the objective lens.

Force measurements. The cell suspension was mixed with 0.5 mM Sulfo-NHS-LC-LC-biotin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) as the final concentration and incubated for 15 min at room temperature (RT). The cell suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 10 min, washed with 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) containing 150 mM NaCl, centrifuged again, washed with HEPES buffer containing 10% non-heat-inactivated horse serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 20 mM glucose, passed through a 0.45-μm pore size filter and incubated for 15 min at RT. The cell suspension was inserted into

a tunnel chamber, which was assembled by taping coverslips cleaned with

saturated ethanolic KOH and precoated with 100% non-heat-inactivated horse

serum for 60 min and 10 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich, St.

Louis, MO) in HEPES buffer for 60 min at RT. The tunnel chamber was washed

with HEPES buffer containing 20 mM glucose and incubated at 37°C on optical

tweezers equipped with a thermo plate (MATS-OTOR-MV; Tokai Hit, Shizuoka,

Japan) and a lens heater (MATS-LH; Tokai Hit). Avidin-conjugated beads in the

HEPES buffer containing 20 mM glucose and 0.2–0.3 mM of 3'-*N*-

acetylneuraminyllactose (SL) were sonicated and inserted into the tunnel

chamber. The avidin-conjugated beads were prepared as previously described

(35). The bead movements were recorded using a charge-coupled device

(CCD) camera (LRH2500XE-1; DigiMo, Tokyo, Japan) at 30 frames per second

and analyzed by displacement of up to 200 nm from the trap center (the linear

range of the laser trap) using ImageJ 1.43u (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/) and IGOR

Pro 6.33 J and 8.02 J (WaveMetrics, Portland, OR) (34, 35, 62).

Binding and gliding analyses. Cultured cells were washed with buffer in a

culture flask, and then washed with HEPES buffer containing 10% non-heat-

inactivated horse serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 20 mM glucose.

Cultured cells were scraped off the culture flask, passed through a 0.45-µm

pore size filter, and incubated for 15 min at RT. The cell suspension was then

inserted into the tunnel chamber. The tunnel chamber was washed with HEPES

buffer containing 20 mM glucose, incubated at 37°C on an inverted microscope

(IX83; Olympus) equipped with a thermo plate and lens heater, observed by

phase-contrast microscopy at 37°C, and recorded with a CCD camera (DMK

33UX174; The Imaging Source Asia Co. Ltd., Taiepi City, Taiwan). Then, the

tunnel chamber was washed with HEPES buffer containing 20 mM glucose and

various concentrations of SL. Video data were analyzed using ImageJ 1.43u

and IGOR Pro 6.33 J.

Genome sequencing and variant analyses. Frozen stocks of cells were

plated on Aluotto medium and isolated as previously described (63). Genomic

DNA was isolated and sequenced using MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA), as

previously described (34). Sequence read mapping and variant detection were

performed using the CLC Genomics Workbench (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Tsuyoshi Kenri at the National Institute of Infectious Diseases and

Dr. Ikuko Fujiwara at Osaka City University for helpful discussions, and Dr.

Shigeyuki Kakizawa at the National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and

Technology (AIST) for supporting the genome sequence analyses. This work

was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research: (A) (MEXT KAKENHI

Grant Numbers JP24390107, JP17H01544) and by JST CREST (Grant Number

JPMJCR19S5, Japan). M. Mizutani is the recipient of a Research Fellowship of

the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (18J15362).

References

1. Razin S, Yogev D, Naot Y. 1998. Molecular biology and pathogenicity of
mycoplasmas. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62:1094-156.
2. Razin S, Hayflick L. 2010. Highlights of mycoplasma research--an historical

perspective. Biologicals 38:183-90.

3.   Miyata M, Robinson RC, Uyeda TQP, Fukumori Y, Fukushima SI, Haruta S,
Homma M, Inaba K, Ito M, Kaito C, Kato K, Kenri T, Kinosita Y, Kojima S,
Minamino T, Mori H, Nakamura S, Nakane D, Nakayama K, Nishiyama M,
Shibata S, Shimabukuro K, Tamakoshi M, Taoka A, Tashiro Y, Tulum I,
Wada H, Wakabayashi KI. 2020. Tree of motility - A proposed history of
motility systems in the tree of life. Genes Cells 25:6-21.

4.   Miyata M, Hamaguchi T. 2016. Prospects for the gliding mechanism of
Mycoplasma mobile. Curr Opin Microbiol 29:15-21.

5.   Miyata M, Hamaguchi T. 2016. Integrated information and prospects for
gliding mechanism of the pathogenic bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.
Front Microbiol 7:960.

6.   Saraya T. 2017. *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* infection: Basics. J Gen Fam
Med 18:118-125.

7.   Nagai R, Miyata M. 2006. Gliding motility of *Mycoplasma mobile* can occur
by repeated binding to *N*-acetylneuraminyllactose (sialyllactose) fixed on
solid surfaces. J Bacteriol 188:6469-75.

8.   Varki A. 2008. Sialic acids in human health and disease. Trends Mol Med
14:351-60.

9.   Kasai T, Nakane D, Ishida H, Ando H, Kiso M, Miyata M. 2013. Role of
binding in *Mycoplasma mobile* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* gliding
analyzed through inhibition by synthesized sialylated compounds. J
Bacteriol 195:429-35.

10.   Williams CR, Chen L, Driver AD, Arnold EA, Sheppard ES, Locklin J,
Krause DC. 2018. Sialylated receptor setting influences *Mycoplasma*
pneumoniae attachment and gliding motility. Mol Microbiol 109:735-744.

11.   Nakane D, Miyata M. 2009. Cytoskeletal asymmetrical dumbbell structure of
a gliding mycoplasma, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, revealed by negative-
staining electron microscopy. J Bacteriol 191:3256-64.

12.   Prince OA, Krunkosky TM, Krause DC. 2014. In vitro spatial and temporal
analysis of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* colonization of human airway
epithelium. Infect Immun 82:579-86.

13.   Seto S, Layh-Schmitt G, Kenri T, Miyata M. 2001. Visualization of the
attachment organelle and cytadherence proteins of *Mycoplasma*
pneumoniae by immunofluorescence microscopy. J Bacteriol 183:1621-30.

14.   Nakane D, Kenri T, Matsuo L, Miyata M. 2015. Systematic structural

analyses of attachment organelle in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. PLoS
Pathog 11:e1005299.
15. Kawamoto A, Matsuo L, Kato T, Yamamoto H, Namba K, Miyata M. 2016.
Periodicity in attachment organelle revealed by electron cryotomography
suggests conformational changes in gliding mechanism of *Mycoplasma*
pneumoniae. MBio 7:e00243-16.
16. Nakane D, Adan-Kubo J, Kenri T, Miyata M. 2011. Isolation and
characterization of P1 adhesin, a leg protein of the gliding bacterium
Mycoplasma pneumoniae. J Bacteriol 193:715-22.
17. Aparicio D, Torres-Puig S, Ratera M, Querol E, Pinol J, Pich OQ, Fita I.
2018. *Mycoplasma genitalium* adhesin P110 binds sialic-acid human
receptors. Nat Commun 9:4471.
18. Aparicio D, Scheffer MP, Marcos-Silva M, Vizarraga D, Sprankel L, Ratera
M, Weber MS, Seybert A, Torres-Puig S, Gonzalez-Gonzalez L, Reitz J,
Querol E, Pinol J, Pich OQ, Fita I, Frangakis AS. 2020. Structure and
mechanism of the Nap adhesion complex from the human pathogen
Mycoplasma genitalium. Nat Commun 11:2877.
19. Vizarraga D, Kawamoto A, Matsumoto U, Illanes R, Perez-Luque R, Martin
J, Mazzolini R, Bierge P, Pich OQ, Espasa M, Sanfeliu I, Esperalba J,
Fernandez-Huerta M, Scheffer MP, Pinyol J, Frangakis AS, Lluch-Senar M,
Mori S, Shibayama K, Kenri T, Kato T, Namba K, Fita I, Miyata M, Aparicio
D. 2020. Immunodominant proteins P1 and P40/P90 from human pathogen
Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Nat Commun 11:5188.
20. Vizarraga D, Torres-Puig S, Aparicio D, Pich OQ. 2021. The sialoglycan
binding adhesins of *Mycoplasma genitalium* and *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.
Trends Microbiol 29:477-481.
21. Miyata M. 2008. Centipede and inchworm models to explain *Mycoplasma*
gliding. Trends Microbiol 16:6-12.
22. Seybert A, Gonzalez-Gonzalez L, Scheffer MP, Lluch-Senar M, Mariscal
AM, Querol E, Matthaeus F, Pinol J, Frangakis AS. 2018. Cryo-electron
tomography analyses of terminal organelle mutants suggest the motility
mechanism of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. Mol Microbiol 108:319-329.
23. Mizutani M, Miyata M. 2019. Behaviors and energy source of *Mycoplasma*
gallisepticum gliding. J Bacteriol 201:e00397-19.
24. Schnitzer MJ, Block SM. 1997. Kinesin hydrolyses one ATP per 8-nm step.
Nature 388:386-90.

25. Tanaka H, Homma K, Iwane AH, Katayama E, Ikebe R, Saito J, Yanagida T,
Ikebe M. 2002. The motor domain determines the large step of myosin-V.
Nature 415:192-5.

26. Mallik R, Carter BC, Lex SA, King SJ, Gross SP. 2004. Cytoplasmic dynein
functions as a gear in response to load. Nature 427:649-52.

27. Sowa Y, Rowe AD, Leake MC, Yakushi T, Homma M, Ishijima A, Berry RM.
2005. Direct observation of steps in rotation of the bacterial flagellar motor.
Nature 437:916-9.

28. Veigel C, Coluccio LM, Jontes JD, Sparrow JC, Milligan RA, Molloy JE.
1999. The motor protein myosin-I produces its working stroke in two steps.
Nature 398:530-3.

29. Kojima H, Muto E, Higuchi H, Yanagida T. 1997. Mechanics of single kinesin
molecules measured by optical trapping nanometry. Biophys J 73:2012-22.

30. Merz AJ, So M, Sheetz MP. 2000. Pilus retraction powers bacterial twitching
motility. Nature 407:98-102.

31. Takagi Y, Homsher EE, Goldman YE, Shuman H. 2006. Force generation in
single conventional actomyosin complexes under high dynamic load.
Biophys J 90:1295-307.

32. Gennerich A, Carter AP, Reck-Peterson SL, Vale RD. 2007. Force-induced
bidirectional stepping of cytoplasmic dynein. Cell 131:952-65.

33. Miyata M, Ryu WS, Berg HC. 2002. Force and velocity of *Mycoplasma*
mobile gliding. J Bacteriol 184:1827-31.

34. Mizutani M, Tulum I, Kinosita Y, Nishizaka T, Miyata M. 2018. Detailed
analyses of stall force generation in *Mycoplasma mobile* gliding. Biophys J
114:1411-9.

35. Mizutani M, Miyata M. 2017. Force measurement on *Mycoplasma mobile*
gliding using optical tweezers. Bio Protoc 7:e2127.

36. Kinosita Y, Nakane D, Sugawa M, Masaike T, Mizutani K, Miyata M,
Nishizaka T. 2014. Unitary step of gliding machinery in *Mycoplasma mobile*.
Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:8601-6.

37. Pelicic V. 2008. Type IV pili: *e pluribus unum*? Mol Microbiol 68:827-37.

38. Clausen M, Jakovljevic V, Sogaard-Andersen L, Maier B. 2009. High-force
generation is a conserved property of type IV pilus systems. J Bacteriol
191:4633-8.

39. Hatchel JM, Balish MF. 2008. Attachment organelle ultrastructure correlates
with phylogeny, not gliding motility properties, in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*

relatives. Microbiology 154:286-95.

40. Seto S, Kenri T, Tomiyama T, Miyata M. 2005. Involvement of P1 adhesin in
gliding motility of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* as revealed by the inhibitory
effects of antibody under optimized gliding conditions. J Bacteriol 187:1875-
7.

41. Rosengarten R, Fischer M, Kirchhoff H, Kerlen G, Seack KH. 1988.
Transport of erythrocytes by gliding cells of *Mycoplasma mobile* 163K.
Current Microbiology 16:253-7.

42. Uenoyama A, Kusumoto A, Miyata M. 2004. Identification of a 349-
kilodalton protein (Gli349) responsible for cytadherence and glass binding
during gliding of *Mycoplasma mobile*. J Bacteriol 186:1537-45.

43. Uenoyama A, Seto S, Nakane D, Miyata M. 2009. Regions on Gli349 and
Gli521 protein molecules directly involved in movements of *Mycoplasma*
mobile gliding machinery, suggested by use of inhibitory antibodies and
mutants. J Bacteriol 191:1982-5.

44. Nishikawa MS, Nakane D, Toyonaga T, Kawamoto A, Kato T, Namba K,
Miyata M. 2019. *Mycoplasma mobile* gliding based on structure, ATPase
activity, and sialic acid binding of machinery. MBio 10:e02846-19.

45. Bustamante C, Chemla YR, Forde NR, Izhaky D. 2004. Mechanical
processes in biochemistry. Annu Rev Biochem 73:705-48.

46. Fujita K, Iwaki M, Iwane AH, Marcucci L, Yanagida T. 2012. Switching of
myosin-V motion between the lever-arm swing and brownian search-and-
catch. Nat Commun 3:956.

47. Park PJ, Lee KJ. 2013. A modified active Brownian dynamics model using
asymmetric energy conversion and its application to the molecular motor
system. J Biol Phys 39:439-52.

48. Nakamura S, Kami-ike N, Yokota JP, Minamino T, Namba K. 2010.
Evidence for symmetry in the elementary process of bidirectional torque
generation by the bacterial flagellar motor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
107:17616-20.

49. Yasuda R, Noji H, Kinosita K, Jr., Yoshida M. 1998. F_1-ATPase is a highly
efficient molecular motor that rotates with discrete 120 degree steps. Cell
93:1117-24.

50. Carter NJ, Cross RA. 2006. Kinesin's moonwalk. Curr Opin Cell Biol 18:61-
7.

51. Toleikis A, Carter NJ, Cross RA. 2020. Backstepping mechanism of kinesin-

1. Biophys J 119:1984-1994.

52. Henderson GP, Jensen GJ. 2006. Three-dimensional structure of
    Mycoplasma pneumoniae's attachment organelle and a model for its role in
    gliding motility. Mol Microbiol 60:376-85.

53. Seybert A, Herrmann R, Frangakis AS. 2006. Structural analysis of
    Mycoplasma pneumoniae by cryo-electron tomography. J Struct Biol
    156:342-54.

54. Krause DC, Chen S, Shi J, Jensen AJ, Sheppard ES, Jensen GJ. 2018.
    Electron cryotomography of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* mutants correlates
    terminal organelle architectural features and function. Mol Microbiol
    108:306-318.

55. Hasselbring BM, Jordan JL, Krause DC. 2005. Mutant analysis reveals a
    specific requirement for protein P30 in *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* gliding
    motility. J Bacteriol 187:6281-9.

56. Jordan JL, Chang HY, Balish MF, Holt LS, Bose SR, Hasselbring BM, Waldo
    RH, 3rd, Krunkosky TM, Krause DC. 2007. Protein P200 is dispensable for
    Mycoplasma pneumoniae hemadsorption but not gliding motility or
    colonization of differentiated bronchial epithelium. Infect Immun 75:518-22.

57. Kawakita Y, Kinoshita M, Furukawa Y, Tulum I, Tahara YO, Katayama E,
    Namba K, Miyata M. 2016. Structural study of MPN387, an essential protein
    for gliding motility of a human-pathogenic bacterium, *Mycoplasma
    pneumoniae*. J Bacteriol 198:2352-9.

58. Garcia-Morales L, Gonzalez-Gonzalez L, Querol E, Pinol J. 2016. A
    minimized motile machinery for *Mycoplasma genitalium*. Mol Microbiol
    100:125-38.

59. Chang HY, Jordan JL, Krause DC. 2011. Domain analysis of protein P30 in
    Mycoplasma pneumoniae cytadherence and gliding motility. J Bacteriol
    193:1726-33.

60. Relich RF, Balish MF. 2011. Insights into the function of *Mycoplasma
    pneumoniae* protein P30 from orthologous gene replacement. Microbiology
    (Reading) 157:2862-2870.

61. Tully JG. 1983. New laboratory techniques for isolation of *Mycoplasma
    pneumoniae*. Yale J Biol Med 56:511-5.

62. Tanaka A, Nakane D, Mizutani M, Nishizaka T, Miyata M. 2016. Directed
    binding of gliding bacterium, *Mycoplasma mobile*, shown by detachment
    force and bond lifetime. MBio 7:e00455-16.

593   63. Tulum I, Yabe M, Uenoyama A, Miyata M. 2014. Localization of P42 and F_1-
594   ATPase alpha-subunit homolog of the gliding machinery in *Mycoplasma*
595   *mobile* revealed by newly developed gene manipulation and fluorescent
596   protein tagging. J Bacteriol 196:1815-24.

599 **Figures**

A

B

FIG 1. Gliding motility of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. (A) Phase-contrast

micrograph of *M. pneumoniae* cells. The gliding directions of cells are indicated

by white arrows. (B) Illustration of gliding machinery. The gliding machinery is

composed of the bowl complex, paired plates, terminal button, and GPCA. The

lengths along the cell axis are summarized from previous studies (14, 19).

GPCA, working as a leg, is anchored to the cell membrane (CM) and catches

sialylated oligosaccharides (SOs) fixed on solid surfaces.

FIG 2. Stall force measurements. (A) Illustrations of experimental design for

force measurements. The white arrow indicates the gliding direction. (B) Optical

micrographs of a trapped cell. The cell attached with a bead (large black ring

with white center) glided in the direction of the white arrow from 0 and stalled in

180 s. The cell image can be observed near the base of the arrow. (C) Four

representative time courses of force increments for M129 strain. The broken

lines indicate the values of stall force. (D) Four representative time courses of

force increments for FH strain. The broken lines indicate the values of stall

force. (E) Scatter dot plot of stall force (n = 92 and 88 in M129 and FH,

respectively) shown with averages (thick lines) and standard deviations (thin

lines). *$p = 2.4 \times 10^{-6}$ by Student's *t*-test.

FIG 3. Binding activity and gliding motility. (A) Phase-contrast micrographs

of cells on SO-coated glass surfaces. M129, FH, and 10× concentrated FH are

shown after 5 and 30 min of incubation. (B) Averaged bound cell numbers in

$100 \times 100~\mu m^2$ plotted with standard deviations (n = 10 in each). M129 (red open

circle), FH (blue open circle), and 10× concentrated FH (blue open triangle) are

presented. (C) Cell trajectories for 20 s, changing color with time from red to

blue. (D) Distributions of gliding speeds averaged for 20 s at 1-s intervals (n =

500 in each). Mean values are marked by black triangles.

FIG 4. Effects of SL on binding and gliding. (A) Gliding cells were treated

with free SL. The cell trajectories after SL treatment are presented as a stack for

20 s, changing color from red to blue. SL concentrations are shown at the left

upper corner of each panel. (B) Number of cells bound to the glass at 5 min

after treatment are plotted relative to the initial cell number. The averages for

gliding (red triangles) and non-gliding (blue triangles) cells are shown with the

standard deviations (n = 10, 10, 10, 10, 9, and 10 for 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and

0.5 mM, respectively). (C) Averaged gliding speeds under various SL

concentrations are plotted with the standard deviations and fitted by a sigmoidal

curve (n = 50, 50, 50, 50, 56, and 56 for 0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 mM,

respectively).

FIG 5. Stepwise movements under SL. (A) Representative force traces with

time under 0.2–0.3 mM SL are shown for stalled (red) and detached (blue) cells.

The broken line indicates the stall force. The time point of detachment is

marked by a triangle. (B) Scatter dot plot of stall force shown with averages

(thick line) and standard deviations (thin lines) (n = 21). (C) Three cell

trajectories with stepwise movements are shown in a field. Open arrows

indicate the gliding direction. (D) Displacement and force of cells whose

trajectories are shown in panel (C). (E) Histograms of pairwise distance function

(PDF) analysis of panel (D) were fitted by the sum of Gaussian curves. (F)

Displacement and force under high trap stiffness. (G) Displacement and force

under low trap stiffness. (H) Histograms of PDF analysis of panel (F) and (G). (I)

Distribution of step size under various trap stiffnesses. Step sizes were plotted

as a function of trap stiffness ($n = 97$). The position at 0.12 pN/nm of trap

stiffness was marked by a dotted line. The average of step size under 0.07–0.12

pN/nm of trap stiffness was marked by a triangle. (J) Scatter dot plot of force

increments under 0.13–0.26 pN/nm of trap stiffness shown with averages (thick

line) and standard deviations (thin lines) ($n = 76$).

FIG 6. Schematic illustration of leg behaviors in stepwise movements.

Internal structure, represented by blue and red lines, is responsible for force transmission. It repeats contraction and extension cooperatively with the surface structures. Conformational change of internal structure and step size are shown in the right-upper box. GPCA is presented in pink. Sialylated oligosaccharides are shown by "S." Force exerted in a step is shown by an arrow in panel (ii). Displacement of the cell body forward is shown by an arrow in panel (iv). The gliding occurs through stages i to iv. See text for details.