Title: The Evolutionary History of Small RNAs in the Solanaceae

Short Title: Solanaceae Small RNA Evolution

Patricia Baldrich[1,*], Sébastien Bélanger[1,*], Shuyao Kong[2,*], Suresh Pokhrel[1,2,*], Saleh

Tamim[4,5,*], Chong Teng[1], Courtney Schiebout[1,6], Sai Guna Ranjan Gurazada[4,7], Pallavi

Gupta[1,8], Parth Patel[4,9], Hamid Razifard[2], Mayumi Nakano[1], Ayush Dusia[4,10], Blake C.

Meyers[1,3,#], and Margaret H. Frank[1,2,#]

* Contributed equally to the work (in alphabetical order)

#co-corresponding authors: Blake Meyers (bmeyers@danforthcenter.org) and Margaret

Frank (mhf47@cornell.edu)

1 - Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

2 - School of Integrative Plant Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

3 - Division of Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO

65211

4 - Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Delaware,

Newark DE 19711

5 - Current address: Genomics Research Center, AbbVie, North Chicago IL 60064

6 - Current address: Dartmouth College

7 - Corteva Agriscience, Wilmington, DE 19805

8 - Institute for Data Science & Informatics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211

9 - Current address: Johnson & Johnson

10 - Current address: VMware Inc.

Margaret H. Frank, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132;

Current: Cornell University, School of Integrative Plant Science, Ithaca, NY 14853

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8269-8240

*Sébastien Bélanger, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6755-8207

*Saleh Tamim, Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of

Delaware, Newark DE 19711, Current: Genomics Research Center, AbbVie, North

Chicago IL 60064. http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6215-7041

Chong Teng, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9898-6442

*Patricia Baldrich, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4669-6632

*Suresh Pokhrel, Division of Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri,

Columbia, MO 65211; Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5323-1940

Blake C. Meyers, Division of Plant Science and Technology, University of Missouri,

Columbia, MO 65211; Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3436-6097

*Shuyao Kong, Cornell University, School of Integrative Plant Science, Ithaca, NY

14853 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3124-8899

Hamid Razifard, Cornell University, School of Integrative Plant Science, Ithaca, NY

14853 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6343-3052

Previous contributors:

Mayumi Nakano, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, St. Louis, MO 63132

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9317-4509

Ayush Dusia, University of Delaware, Newark DE 19711. current: VMware Inc., https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1310-8523

Pallavi Gupta, Institute for Data Science & Informatics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0146-239X

Courtney Schiebout, Donald Danforth Plant Science Center, current: Dartmouth College, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4830-8237

Parth Patel, Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Delaware, Newark DE 19711, current: Johnson & Johnson, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0195-3933

Sai Guna Ranjan Gurazada, Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Delaware, Newark DE 19711, Current: Corteva Agriscience, Wilmington, DE 19805. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3084-5683

ONE-SENTENCE SUMMARY

We use over 255 publicly-available small RNA datasets to characterize the small RNA landscape for the Solanaceae family.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

B.C.M and M.H.F. conceived the original research plan and supervised the analyses, and supervised and completed the writing; P.B., S.B., S.K., S.P., S.T., C.T., C.S., H.R., S.G.R.G, P. G. and P.P. performed the analyses, generated tables and figures, and contributed to writing of the article; M.N and A.D. provided technical assistance with data processing. M.H.F. agrees to serve as the author responsible for contact and ensures communication.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The work was supported with funding from NSF IOS awards 1842698, 754097 and 1650843, and USDA NIFA award 2019-67013-29010 to Meyers; NSF IOS awards 1523668 and 1942437 to M. Frank.

ABSTRACT

The Solanaceae or "nightshade" family is an economically important group that harbors a remarkable amount of diversity. To gain a better understanding of how the unique biology of the Solanaceae relates to the family's small RNA genomic landscape, we downloaded over 255 publicly available small RNA datasets that comprise over 2.6 billion reads of sequence data. We applied a suite of computational tools to predict and annotate two major small RNA classes: (1) microRNAs (miRNAs), typically 20-22 nt RNAs generated from a hairpin precursor and functioning in gene silencing, and (2) short interfering RNAs (siRNAs), including 24-nt heterochromatic siRNAs (hc-siRNAs) typically functioning to repress repetitive regions of the genome via RNA-directed DNA methylation, as well as secondary phased siRNAs (phasiRNAs) and trans-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs) generated via miRNA-directed cleavage of a Pol II-derived RNA precursor. Our analyses described thousands of small RNA loci, including poorly-understood clusters of 22-nt siRNAs that accumulate during viral infection. The birth, death, expansion, and contraction of these small RNA loci are dynamic evolutionary processes that characterize the Solanaceae family. These analyses indicate that individuals within the same genus share similar small RNA landscapes, whereas comparisons between distinct genera within the Solanaceae reveal relatively few commonalities.

INTRODUCTION

Plant genomes encode thousands of small RNA (sRNA) loci that participate in a wide
variety of processes, including developmental transitions, epigenetic patterning, biotic
and abiotic stress responses, and local and long-distance communication. sRNAs are
18 to 24 nucleotide (nt) non-coding sequences that are loaded into Argonaute (AGO)
proteins to direct transcriptional or post-transcriptional gene silencing in a sequence-
specific manner. sRNAs can be divided into different classes based on their length,
biogenesis, and mode of action. This study focuses on microRNAs (miRNAs) and short
interfering RNAs (siRNAs).

MicroRNAs are typically 21- or 22-nt in length and originate from a self-complementary,
single-stranded (ss)RNA molecule, a Pol II product, that forms a hairpin structure. This
characteristic secondary structure is typically recognized by DICER-LIKE 1 (DCL1) and
processed through two sequential cleavage events into a mature double-stranded
(ds)RNA duplex; each of these mature miRNAs has the potential to be loaded into
Argonaute effector proteins (Axtell et al., 2011).

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) can be divided into multiple categories: hairpin
siRNAs, which are generated in a manner similar to miRNAs, heterochromatic siRNAs
(hc-siRNAs), and secondary siRNAs. hc-siRNAs are the most abundant and diverse
sRNA class in plants; they are typically 24-nt in length and originate from
heterochromatic regions of the genome (e.g. repetitive regions and transposable
elements). hc-siRNA precursors are transcribed by RNA Pol IV, converted into dsRNA
by RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 2 (RDR2), and finally recognized and
cleaved by DCL3, generating mature duplexes of which individual sRNAs guide
sequence-specific RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) (Won et al., 2014). In
contrast, secondary siRNAs are generated from coding and non-coding Pol II transcripts
and require a miRNA "trigger" to initiate production. Secondary siRNAs include phased
siRNAs (phasiRNAs), trans-acting siRNAs (tasiRNAs), and epigenetically activated
siRNAs (easiRNAs). PhasiRNA production is typically initiated by a 22-nt miRNA trigger

that recognizes and directs the cleavage of ssRNA targets, which are then transformed
into dsRNA by RDR6, and processed into "in phase" sRNAs via cleavage in a
sequential manner by DCL4 and DCL5 (Fei et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2018b).

The evolutionary history of sRNA biogenesis pathways is complex. While the core
pathways supporting the biogenesis of major sRNA classes are conserved across the
land plants, lineage-specific instances of duplication, subfunctionalization, and gene
loss often lead to complicated evolutionary relationships amongst AGO, DCL, and RDR
family members. In the AGO family, the moss genome of *Physcomitrella patens*, for
instance, contains three *AGO* genes (AGO1/4/7), while flowering plant genomes often
contain 10 to 20 *AGO* family members (Axtell, 2018). The Arabidopsis genome, for
example, contains ten *AGO* genes encoding proteins that can be broadly grouped into
three clades: AGO1/5/10, AGO2/3/7/8, and AGO4/6/9 (Zhang et al., 2015). These
individual AGO clades interact with distinct classes of sRNAs. For example, AGO1
predominantly binds 21 or 22-nt miRNAs, AGO4 binds 24-nt hc-siRNAs in the canonical
RNA dependent DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway, while AGO2 binds 22-nt siRNAs
that function in viral responses (Ma and Zhang, 2018). Additional AGOs have
undergone further subfunctionalization in select species, for example, there are four
AGO1 paralogs in rice, each of which has distinct miRNA binding affinities (Wu et al.,
2009). There are also instances of *de novo* function arising within the AGO gene family.
For example, maize AGO18b is a grass-specific AGO that functions the regulation of
inflorescence meristem development and negatively regulates spikelet number on the
tassel (Sun et al., 2018). Despite the functional importance of gene family expansion
and contraction amongst key components of sRNA biogenesis machinery, relatively
little characterization of the DCL, RDR, and AGO families has been carried out in the
Solanaceae. Existing data focused on small subsets of Solanaceae species have
characterized lineage-specific expansion events within the AGO (Liao et al., 2020),
RDR, and DCL families (Esposito et al., 2018). Given the abundance of genomic
resources now available for the Solanaceae, a more comprehensive, cross-species
characterization of these gene family members will help resolve questions with respect
to the evolution of unique aspects of sRNA biogenesis within this family.

The Solanaceae harbors a remarkable amount of morphological and metabolomic
diversity. The nightshade family includes staple crops (e.g. potato and eggplant), high-
value crops (e.g. tomato, pepper, petunia, and tobacco), and emerging crop systems
(e.g. ground cherry), making it the third most economically important plant family in the
world. Moreover, several species in the Solanaceae family serve as popular model
systems for studies of biological processes pronounced within this family, including fruit
ripening (Klee and Giovannoni, 2011; Shinozaki et al., 2018), plant-pathogen
interactions (Goodin et al., 2015; Pombo et al., 2020), and the production of specialized
metabolites (Fan et al., 2019; Leong et al., 2019). These model systems are supported
by high-quality reference genomes (Mueller et al., 2005; Potato Genome Sequencing
Consortium et al., 2011; Bombarely et al., 2012; Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012;
Qin et al., 2014; Bombarely et al., 2016) that have facilitated the generation and
analysis of genomic-scale deep sequencing datasets within the family. There are
currently more than 250 Solanaceae libraries, equating to over 2.6 billion reads of
publicly-available sRNA data available in the NCBI short read archive (SRA). Previous
work focused on specific aspects of sRNA biology within the Solanaceae have identified
unique sRNA phenomena that are associated with the diverse biology of this family.
One specific case is the miR482/miR2118 superfamily in Solanaceae, which also
includes miR5300 members. In eudicots, this family of 22-nt miRNAs targets the
encoded sequence of the P-loop motif of NLR disease resistance proteins (Shivaprasad
et al., 2012), generating a secondary siRNA cascade with implications for basal innate
immunity (Zhai et al., 2011; Shivaprasad et al., 2012). Evolutionary studies indicate that
this superfamily originated prior to the split of the Solanum and *Nicotiana* genera (de
Vries et al., 2015), and superfamily members have even been found in Gymnosperm
species, indicating that this miRNA family has deep evolutionary origins (Xia et al.,
2015).

Genomic resources that support cross-species analyses in land plants have grown
substantially over the last two decades. There are now more than 200 fully-sequenced
genomes covering major branches of the land plant phylogeny. In addition to the
availability of plant genomes, innovations in RNA library construction and sequencing
technologies, as well as algorithms and bioinformatic resources, have drastically

reduced experimental costs and facilitated the large-scale production of publicly-
available sRNA sequence data. Two recent studies using publicly-available and newly
generated sRNA datasets harvested from a broad range of land plant taxa have taken
advantage of these resources and substantially improved the annotation of miRNA,
phasiRNA and hc-siRNA loci in land plant genomes (Lunardon et al., 2020; Chen et al.,
2019). These studies both show that siRNA loci are minimally conserved across
species, while mature miRNAs tend to be conserved across lineages (Chen et al., 2019;
Lunardon et al., 2020). There are numerous lineages- or species-specific miRNA
sequences which correspond to young miRNAs (Cuperus et al., 2011; Chávez Montes
et al., 2014; Lunardon et al., 2020). Although large-scale studies produce a massive
amount of data and describe major evolutionary trends, they are often unable to capture
lineage- and species-specific phenomena.

In this study, we applied a suite of computational tools to a large collection of publicly-
available sRNA libraries to investigate the unique aspects of miRNAs and siRNA
biology within the Solanaceae. We describe thousands of sRNA loci, some of which are
unique to the Solanaceae. For example, we found that an annotated, Solanaceae-
specific miRNA family is actually a MITE-derived siRNA, and we observed family-wide
expression of *DCL2*-derived 21-nt phasiRNAs. Our analyses also revealed aspects of
sRNA biology that are only conserved at the sub-family level, including a lineage-
specific expansion of previously unidentified miRNAs in *Nicotiana*, a distinct split in
phasiRNA production between the *Solanum*/*Capsicum* and *Nicotiana*/*Petunia* branches
of the family, and distinct clusters of 22-nt sRNAs that are expressed in response to
viral infection in tomato. Overall, our analyses indicate that individuals within the same
genus share similar sRNA landscapes, whereas comparisons between distinct genera
within the Solanaceae reveal relatively few commonalities.

237
238 **RESULTS**
239
Evolution of small RNA biogenesis genes in the Solanaceae

sRNA biogenesis and function are regulated by proteins in the Nuclear RNA
Polymerase (NRP), RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR), Double-stranded RNA
binding protein (DRB), Dicer-Like protein (DCL) and Argonaute protein (AGO) families.
To describe and interpret sRNA biogenesis and function in the Solanaceae, we
performed a phylogenetic analysis of these proteins encoded in genomes of
Arabidopsis thaliana, two Convolvulaceae outgroups (*Ipomoea trifida* and *Ipomoea*
triloba) and seven Solanaceae species (*Nicotiana benthamiana*, *N. tabacum*, *Petunia*
axillaris, *P. inflata*, *Capsicum annuum*, *Solanum lycopersicum* and *S. tuberosum*). In
Supplemental Table 1 we summarize the number of protein genes encoded for *NRP*,
RDR, *DCL*, *DRB* and *AGO* gene families in each species. Two observations emerged
from this table. First, some gene families expanded when comparing Arabidopsis to
members of the Convolvulaceae and/or Solanaceae families. For instance, the number
of genes expanded in the AGO family comparing *A. thaliana* (10 *AGOs*) to
Convolvulaceae (13 *AGOs*) or Solanaceae for the genus *Petunia* (12 or 13 *AGOs*) and
the genus *Solanum* (13 or 15 *AGOs*). A second observation was the appearance of
missing gene annotations for specific gene families in certain species (e.g. *NRP* genes
encoded in tomato and potato). Thus, we chose to focus on *AGO* and *DCL* gene
families in all species. All annotated genes are detailed in Supplemental Table 1. Their
evolutionary relationships are visualized in Figure 1 and Supplemental Figure 1.

AGO proteins are required to load sRNAs and to catalyze their functional activity. AGO
proteins can either trigger the biogenesis of sRNAs, through the miRNA-directed
cleavage of *TAS*/*PHAS* transcripts, or catalyze sRNA regulatory activity through
transcriptional or post-transcriptional regulation. Our phylogenetic analysis identified an
expansion of specific and distinct *AGO* genes for Convolvulaceae and Solanaceae
species when compared to *Arabidopsis*. For example, we identified a Convolvulaceae-
specific expansion for *AGO5* (to three copies) and *AGO7* (to two copies) that did not
occur in the Solanaceae (Figure 1; Supplemental Table 1). We also identified differential
AGO gene family expansion and loss across the Solanaceae. For instance, we
expected to find two copies of *AGO2/AGO3* (based on gene family membership in *A.*
thaliana) but we only identified one homolog in *Capsicum*, *Nicotiana ssp.* And *Petunia*
ssp., and three in *Solanum ssp.* (Figure 1; Supplemental Table 1). A common feature

that we observed across the Solanaceae was a family-wide expansion of *AGO1*;

depending on the particular species, we identified two to three *AGO1* paralogs within

the Solanaceae (Figure 1; Supplemental Table 1). To test whether this *AGO1*

expansion coincides with evidence for subfunctionalization, we downloaded and

visualized public expression data for the two *AGO1* paralogs we identified in *Solanum*

lycopersicum (Figure 1B; http://bar.utoronto.ca/eplant_tomato/). Interestingly, we

observed a distinct expression pattern of both copies with one mainly accumulating

during vegetative development (Solyc06g072300), while the other mainly accumulated

in fruits during reproductive development (Solyc03g098280). This observation suggests

that indeed, these *AGO1* paralogs are in the process of subfunctionalization in tomato.

We also discovered that *AGO2* expanded into triplicate copies that are located in

tandem on chromosome 2 in tomato (Figure 1A). Existing public data indicates that only

one of the three *AGO2* copies is expressed, and this is predominantly during fruit

development (Figure 1C). In brief, we discovered lineage-specific expansions of *AGO*

subfamily members in the Convolvulaceae and Solanaceae. Public expression data for

tomato indicates that these *AGO1* and *AGO2* paralogs may have subfunctionalized

following duplication.

We annotated additional sRNA biogenesis genes, including *NRP*, *RDR*, *DRB* and *DCL*

(Figure 2; Supplemental Figure 1; Supplemental Table 1), and discovered a subfamily

expansion of *DCL2* in the *Solanum* (tomato and potato) and *Capsicum* (pepper) branch

of the Solanaceae. For all three species, *DCL2* expanded through a tandem duplication

on chromosome 11 (annotated as chromosome 12 for pepper). In tomato, *DCL2*

underwent additional duplication events, expanding to three tandem duplicates on

chromosome 11 and additional copy on chromosome 6 (Figure 2). To gain insights into

the impact of gene expansion on function, we downloaded public expression data for

tomato, and found that the *DCL2* copy on chromosome 6 (Solyc06g048960) is broadly

throughout the whole plant and the three paralogs on chromosome 11

(Solyc11g008520; Solyc11g008530; Solyc11g008540) are specifically enriched in

flowers and fruits (Figure 2B). We also observed much higher expression levels for two

of the *DCL2* paralogs on chromosome 11 (Solyc11g008530; Solyc11g008540) relative

to the broadly expressed copy of *DCL2* on chromosome 6 (Solyc06g048960). Together,

these observations highlight a Solanaceae-specific expansion and putative
subfunctionalization of *DCL2*. See additional details about *DCL2* in our phasiRNA
analysis, below.

Mining publicly-available Solanaceae sRNA data
We selected seven core species in the Solanaceae (tomato, potato, pepper,
'petunia_ax' *Petunia axilaris*, 'petunia_in' *P. inflata*, tobacco, 'benthi' [*Nicotiana*
benthamiana]) for our cross-species analyses of sRNA loci. These species were
selected based on the availability of fully sequenced genome assemblies and publicly-
available sRNA data. Notably, we excluded eggplant from this study due to the paucity
of publicly-available *S. melongena* sequence data. In total, we analyzed over 2.6 billion
sRNA reads from 255 different libraries. By far, tomato and potato were the most
extensively sampled, having 84 and 73 high-quality publicly available libraries,
respectively, while tobacco was the least sampled species (represented by only 9
libraries on the NCBI SRA; Supplemental Dataset 1). The depth of sequencing also
varied quite substantially across libraries. This was largely due to the differences in
sequencing technologies. In line with previous studies, we counterbalanced this
heterogeneity in sequence depth by merging all high-quality libraries together and
treating them as independent reference sets (Lunardon et al., 2020). Most of these
reference sets included organ atlas samples that represent expression patterns from
diverse organ systems and developmental stages. The total number of genome aligned
reads for each species varied from just over 70-million reads for *Petunia axillaris* and
tobacco to more than 650 million reads for tomato (Supplemental Dataset 1).

MicroRNA predictions indicate an expansion of novel miRNAs in *Nicotiana*
species
We identified previously annotated and novel miRNAs across the Solanaceae using two
software programs: ShortStack and miR-PREFeR (Axtell, 2013; Lei and Sun, 2014).
While ShortStack was more conservative, and predicted fewer miRNAs in each species,
our miR-PREFeR datasets included some less reliable miRNA predictions. To maximize
our miRNA predictions while maintaining high-quality standards, we applied a post-

prediction filter that used previously published criteria for defining miRNAs, including a

minimum free energy of < -0.2 kcal/mol/nucleotide for precursor folding and the

expression of both miRNA/miRNA* strands of the miRNA duplex (Axtell and Meyers,

2018). After filtering, we combined both software predictions into a consensus set of

miRNAs with assigned annotations based on ≥ 85% sequence similarity to miRBase

v22 (Supplemental Dataset 2). Overall, our analyses predicted between 124 and 440

miRNAs across the Solanaceous species (Figure 3; Supplemental Dataset 2). The

depth of sequence data did not correlate strongly with the number of miRNAs that we

predicted for a given species. For example, pepper (330) and benthi (440) had the

highest number of predicted miRNAs by far, even though these species are only

supported by a moderate

depth of sequence data relative to tomato, which only had 194 predicted miRNAs

(Supplemental Dataset 2). This indicates that our miRNA predictions were generally not

constrained by sampling.

We were surprised to find more than 400 predicted miRNAs in the benthi genome. To

gain higher confidence in these benthi predictions, we manually filtered the benthi

miRNA precursors based on the folding and expression of their predicted precursors

using SructVis (Supplemental Datasets 2 & 3; https://github.com/MikeAxtell/strucVis).

Even after manual filtering, we had a substantial number of predicted miRNAs for benthi

(368), indicating that these predictions reflect a real lineage-specific miRNA expansion

in *Nicotiana* (Figure 3). In agreement with this conclusion, we also identified a relatively

high number of miRNAs in tobacco (194), despite having the lowest depth of sequence

data for this species. Notably, less than half of the miRNAs that we identified in either of

the two *Nicotiana* genomes could be annotated using miRBase, indicating that miRNA

expansion in *Nicotiana* was likely driven by the evolution of "young" lineage-specific

miRNAs. To learn how evolutionary age of these *Nicotiana*-specific miRNAs influences

function, we performed miRNA target predictions with the benthi miRNA dataset

(Supplemental dataset 4). We found that the more recently evolved, benthi specific

miRNAs were predicted to target fewer mRNAs (33.5 mRNAs) than the miRBase-

conserved miRNAs in benthi (55.6 mRNAs) (Supplemental Dataset 4), and that average

penalty scores for benthi-specific miRNAs were slightly higher (3.6) than the miRBase-
conserved miRNAs (3.5). Higher scores equate to a less reliable miRNA target match
(Kakrana et al., 2015), therefore the benthi-specific miRNAs tend to have less reliable
target predictions. Overall, this analysis indicates that more recently evolved *Nicotiana*
miRNAs have fewer and less reliable targets, which may equate to more limited
functions.

We also characterized a substantial expansion of predicted miRNAs in pepper (330),
which is congruent with previous miRNA predictions for this species (Seo et al., 2018;
Taller et al., 2018). In contrast, we predicted relatively few miRNAs for both of the
petunia genomes (124 - *P. inflata* and 126 - *P. axillaris*). This reduced number of
predicted miRNAs in petunia may simply reflect a lack of sample diversity for these
species; publicly-available sRNA-seq datasets for petunia are predominantly composed
of floral samples (Supplemental Dataset 1). Indeed, a previous analysis using combined
miRNA prediction software and a homology based search for known miRNAs
characterized about 140 miRNAs for both petunia genomes, indicating that our *de novo*
predictions on their own are missing putative miRNA loci. Increasing the diversity of
sRNA samples would likely improve miRNA annotations for this genus (Bombarely et
al., 2016). Finally, we only identified a moderate number of predicted miRNAs in the
Solanum genus (potato = 160, tomato = 194), despite having a substantial amount of
sequence data (>80 sRNA libraries) for tomato. Solanum is by far the best
characterized genus in the Solanaceae with regard to sRNA biology. Given that our
predictions for this genus are similar to those from previous studies, we are likely
approaching a consistent set of Solanum-specific miRNA predictions (Tomato Genome
Consortium, 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Cardoso et al., 2018; Zuo et al., 2020; Deng et
al., 2021).

miRNA families are conserved within genera
To gain insight into miRNA conservation across Solanaceous species, we collapsed all
predicted miRNAs into clusters using a 75% sequence similarity cutoff, and annotated
the clusters with miRBase (v22 all land plant miRNAs (Kozomara et al., 2019);

Supplemental Dataset 5). This resulted in 911 clusters; 392 of which are present in two
or more species, 172 that are conserved at the genus level (i.e. *Solanum*-conserved,
Petunia-conserved, and *Nicotiana*-conserved), and only 12 that are conserved across
all seven species in this study (Supplemental Dataset 5; Figure 3B-C). The 12
conserved clusters can be further condensed into a handful of known miRNA families
based on annotations that are broadly conserved across the land plants (e.g. -
miR156/157, miR164, miR169/miR399, miR171, miR399, miR165/166/168, and
miR172, miR482/miR2118 (Axtell and Meyers, 2018). We designated clusters that
could not be annotated with miRBase as "novel." The majority of these novel clusters
appear to be newly-evolved, species-specific miRNAs, while the majority of clusters that
are conserved across multiple species (present across ≥ 3 species) aligned with

annotated miRNAs in miRBase. In agreement with previous studies showing that
lineage-specific miRNAs tend to be weakly expressed relative to conserved miRNAs,
we found that the expression of conserved miRNAs was significantly higher on average
relative to novel miRNAs (Supplemental Figure 2; benthi p-value = 0.00057903, pepper
p-value = 1.98548E-08, potato p-value = 1.97921E-06, tomato p-value = 2.27413E-07,
petunia p-value = 2.39254E-05, tobacco p-value = 5.89638E-08; (Axtell et al., 2007)).

Solanaceae-specific miRNA family miR5303 is derived from MITE loci
Among the most conserved miRNA groupings, we identified three clusters containing a
Solanaceae-specific miRNA, miR5303 (Gu et al., 2014). With the exception of petunia,
the miR5303 family was present in relatively high copy numbers across the
Solanaceous genomes (7 to 11 miRNAs in each species; Supplemental Dataset 5;
Supplemental Figure 3). It is rare for miRNAs to be present in such high copy numbers;
however, our findings are congruent with a previous study that identified a high number
of miR5303 copies in tomato, potato, eggplant, and pepper (Gu et al., 2014). To
correctly identify miR5303 family members, we first aligned all miR5303 variants in
miRBase v22 (Figure 4), and found that most of the variants are 24-nt in length, which
again, is atypical for a bonafide miRNA family (Meyers et al 2008; Fig 4A). We identified
nine miR5303 variants that are 21-nt in length in our tomato predictions that have ≥ 75%
similarity with miR5303 miRBase entries, and found that six of these tomato miRNAs

clustered into a family containing a conserved 5' - UUUUG consensus sequence
(highlighted by yellow rectangles in Fig 4B). To further characterize the function of this
family, we performed a miRNA target prediction analysis using all coding sequences in
the tomato genome as putative targets. We found that miR5303 family members are
predicted to target thousands of genes in the tomato genome, which again, is unusual
for a miRNA family (Supplemental Dataset 6). Given the presence of 24 nucleotide
mature miRNA variants that are reminiscent of 24-nt siRNAs, and the perfect/near
perfect folding of miR5303 precursor sequences that are often formed by inverted
repeats (Figure 4C), we decided to test whether miR5303 actually originates from
miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) in Solanaceae genomes.
Notably, MITEs exist in high copy numbers, can form hairpin sequences that are
structurally similar to miRNAs, and are known to generate 24-nt siRNAs (Kuang et al.,
2009). To test whether miR5303 family members originated from MITEs, we blasted
precursors of miR5303 from tomato against a plant MITE database (Chen et al, 2013)
and found that they align perfectly with annotated MITEs, with the miR5303 mature
miRNA variants mapping to the terminal inverted repeat (TIR) ends of the MITEs
(Figure 4D). We therefore conclude that miR5303 is not a miRNA, but a MITE.

Identification of 21-nt *PHAS* loci support genus-level conservation of phasiRNA
biology
We applied two bioinformatic tools: PHASIS (Kakrana *et al.* 2017) and ShortStack
(Axtell 2013), to assemble a list of 21-nt *PHAS* loci across the Solanaceae. To classify
coding versus non-coding phasiRNAs we merged PHAS locus coordinates with gene
annotation files for each species. Some of the lesser studied Solanaceae genomes
have incomplete annotations which resulted in an overprediction of non-coding loci for
petunia, pepper, and benthi. To correct this imbalance, we used BLAST to align all non-
coding *PHAS* loci to the NCBI nr database (Altschul et al., 1990), and manually
annotated loci that aligned to protein-coding, rRNA, and non-coding RNA sequences
(Figure 5; Supplemental Dataset 7). *PHAS* loci are typically triggered by 22-nt miRNAs
that have a 5' Uracil bias (Chen et al., 2010; Cuperus et al., 2010). To identify putative
triggers for 21-nt phasiRNA production, we ran target predictions using our list of mature

22-nt miRNAs plus miR390 as triggers and predicted *PHAS* loci as targets
(Supplemental Dataset 8).

PhasiRNAs are predominantly generated from transcripts of genes encoding disease
resistance-related NB-LRRs, pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins, MYB
transcription factors, and trans-acting siRNA (*TAS*) loci (Liu et al., 2020; Shivaprasad *et*
al. 2012). We identified 2-3 times more *PHAS* loci in *Solanum* (tomato and potato) and
Capsicum (pepper) species than *Nicotiana* and *Petunia* (Figure 5). The majority of these
Solanum/*Capsicum* loci were derived from disease resistance-related genes that are
putatively triggered by the miR482/miR2118 superfamily (Figure 5A; Supplemental
Dataset 8). We also characterized an *NB-LRR* pseudogene, *TAS5*, which was recently
shown to function in pathogen response in tomato (Canto-Pastor et al., 2019). In
contrast, the *Nicotiana* and *Petunia* genomes expressed relatively few disease
resistance related phasiRNAs, and likewise, had very few loci with predicted
miR482/miR2118 target sites (Figure 5A; Supplemental Dataset 8). We also found a
substantial number of non-coding loci that we decided to examine in further detail with
the goal of uncovering novel *TAS* loci within the Solanaceae. As a quality control, we
confirmed that *TAS3*, a deeply-conserved non-coding phasiRNA, was detected in all of
our species datasets (Supplemental Dataset 7; (Xia et al., 2013)). Most notably, we
discovered a previously uncharacterized, non-coding locus in both tomato and potato
(Figure 5B). This locus is >80% conserved between the two species and generates
highly abundant 21-nt siRNAs, some of which putatively target genes encoding MYB
transcription factors (Supplemental Figure 4). We performed a BLAST alignment
between the tomato *PHAS* locus and all other non-coding *PHAS* loci to determine
whether this locus exists outside of Solanum. This search failed to uncover any
significant alignments, indicating that this novel phasiRNA evolved after Solanum split
from other genera in the Solanaceae (Särkinen et al., 2013).

As mentioned above, PPR-derived *PHAS* loci are abundantly expressed in other
species. In our analysis, we only identified two PPR-related *PHAS* loci in the
Solanaceae: one in tomato (Solyc10g076450) and one in potato
(PGSC0003DMG400020556). We did, however, find strong evidence for another, well-

described siRNA mechanism for the transcriptional regulation of PPR genes, in which

non-coding *TAS-like-1/2* (*TASL1/2*) loci are triggered by miR7122, generating 21-nt

products that in turn target, and likely silence, PPR genes (Xia et al., 2013; Figure 5C).

We identified *TASL1/2* and its associated miR7122 trigger in both *Nicotiana* species

(benthi and tobacco). As demonstrated in other species, major 21-nt products from

these *TASL* loci target PPR genes (Supplemental Figure 4A-F). Surprisingly, we found

no evidence for *TASL1/2* and its miR7122 trigger in other Solanaceae genera. Given

the conservation of this locus in other eudicots outside of the Solanaceae family, we

hypothesize that miR7122-*TASL1/2* is conserved in *Nicotiana* and was independently

lost from the other genera in the nightshade family (Xia et al., 2013).

To investigate whether *PHAS* loci are generated from orthologous genes across

species, we constructed gene orthogroups and searched for conserved *PHAS* loci

within these orthogroupings (Supplemental Dataset 9). Overall, this analysis yielded

surprisingly few orthologous *PHAS* loci within the Solanaceae. Following up on our

earlier analysis of *DCL2* duplication within sub-groups of the Solanaceae, we also

discovered that *DICER-LIKE2* (*DCL2*) is broadly expressed as a phasiRNA-producing

locus that is present in all of the species in our study (Figure 6). Interestingly, this *PHAS*

locus has been previously reported in the Fabaceae, where it is triggered by different

miRNAs in medicago and soybean, indicating convergent evolution of phasiRNA

production at *DCL2* (Zhai et al., 2011). A *DCL2 PHAS* locus has also been identified in

tomato (Canto-Pastor et al. 2019); however, this is the first instance of its widespread

presence across the nightshade family. As reported earlier in the results, *DCL2* has

expanded through tandem duplications that are shared in the tomato, potato, and

pepper genomes (Figure 2). We found the genomic regions containing these tandem

DCL2 paralogs to be structurally conserved on *Solanum* chr11/*Capsicum* chr12 (Figure

6A). Recently, a DCL2-dependent miRNA (miR6026) was validated as a trigger for

phasiRNAs derived from the *DCL2* locus in tomato (Wang et al., 2018), creating a

negative feedback loop on *DCL2*. To investigate whether the same miRNA trigger

initiates *DCL2* phasiRNA production in the rest of the Solanaceae, or, as in the

Fabaceae (Zhai et al., 2011), independent triggers evolved at this locus, we looked for

shared miRNA-*PHAS* locus interactions. We identified a conserved target site with a

strong target score for miR10533 in tomato, potato, pepper, and petunia (Figure 6B).
Like miR6026, miR10533 is also dependent on DCL2 for its biogenesis (Wang et al.,
2018). However, unlike in the Fabaceae, where phasiRNA production proceeds
immediately after the miRNA target site (Zhai et al., 2011), *DCL2* phasiRNAs are
produced both upstream and downstream of the miR10533/miR6026 target sites in the
Solanaceae (Figure 6A). This imprecise initiation of phasing either indicates that there
are additional miRNA triggers for this locus, or we are seeing the product of trans-
triggering from 22-nt siRNAs that are generated by neighboring *DCL2* paralogs (Figure
6).

hc-siRNAs and transposable elements across SOL genomes
Transposable elements (TEs) are recognized as one of the major evolutionary forces
that can shape genomes, via mutations and genome rearrangements. Presumably as
protection from the possible detrimental consequences generated by TEs, plants have
evolved a mechanism using 24-nt heterochromatic siRNAs (hc-siRNAs) that methylate
and repress TEs (Ahmed et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013). In order to analyze the hc-
siRNA landscape in Solanaceae, we first identified TEs in all the available genomes.
We observed that TEs represent between 25% (in petunia) and 48% of the genome (in
benthi) (Supplemental Figure 5). As expected, the majority of TEs belong to an
abundant LTR retrotransposon family, with most LTRs mapping specifically to
Gypsy/Ty3 and Copia/Ty1 elements (Galindo-González et al., 2017) (Supplemental
Figure 5A). Previous work mapped an expansion of LTRs in the Pepper genome; our
results indicate that this observation applies broadly across the Solanaceae, and is
likely a generalized signature of Solanaceous genomes (Park et al., 2012; Vicient and
Casacuberta, 2017). After identifying TEs we analyzed the number of 24-nt reads that
originate from each of the transposon superfamilies. Due to the repetitive nature of TEs,
we did not attempt to further classify the sRNA reads into TE subfamilies. We observed
that most of the sRNA reads mapped to retrotransposons, including LTR and non-LTR
TEs (Supplemental Figure 5B). However, we were surprised to find that a large portion
of hc-siRNAs also mapped to SINE elements. SINE elements comprise a unique subset
of TEs; they are transcribed by RNA polymerase III, do not encode proteins, and are

widespread in almost all multicellular eukaryotic genomes, with the exception
Drosophila (Kramerov and Vassetzky, 2011). Our discovery of SINE-derived hc-siRNAs
indicates that similar to LTRs, the spread of non-autonomous SINE elements is
repressed via hc-siRNA-directed methylation.

Next, we analyzed the distribution of hc-siRNAs across Solanaceous genomes. We
focused this analysis on tomato, pepper, potato and tobacco, which all have
chromosome-scale assemblies. As expected, we found relatively high accumulation of
hc-siRNAs along the telomeric ends of chromosomes; these hc-siRNAs promote
telomere methylation in an RdDM–dependent manner (Vrbsky et al., 2010). We also
observed a striking lack of hc-siRNAs across the entire potato genome (Figure 7). This
result is similar to a previous observation described in strawberry, where, as in potato,
asexual cloning is the primary means of propagation. Clonally propagated strawberry
accumulates very low levels of DNA methylation relative to sexually propagated plants
(Niederhuth et al., 2016). In the same way, we postulate that depleted hc-siRNA levels
in potato could be caused by repeated rounds of asexual propagation. Further studies
comparing sexually versus asexually propagated potato varieties would help resolve the
connection between mode of reproduction and whether circumventing meiosis over
multiple generations leads to degeneration of RNA-directed DNA methylation.

Discovery of 22 nucleotide clusters expressed in response to geminivirus
infection
A recent study in Arabidopsis characterized a class of 22-nt stress-responsive siRNAs
that impact global translational repression (Wu et al. 2020). To test whether a similar
class of sRNAs is present in the Solanaceae, we performed an analysis on our tomato
expression libraries which contain the most extensive sampling with regard to abiotic
and biotic stress treatments. We found a strongly-expressed cluster of 22-nt sRNA
reads that mapped to a 4.8 kb region of the *S. lycopersicum* genome. Interestingly, this
22-nt sRNA cluster was uniquely expressed in a set of geminivirus infected samples
(ToLCV-infected and TYLCV-infected), and absent from the rest of the tomato
expression libraries, including mock-treated controls (Figure 8). This cluster of 22-nt

sRNAs contains a *DISEASE RESISTANCE PROTEIN* (Solyc05g005330.3.1) and

ABSCISIC ALDEHYDE OXIDASE 3 (Solyc05g005333.1.1). To investigate whether

there are additional 22-nt clusters that are specifically expressed in response to viral

infection, we applied an intensive, genome-wide analysis to uncover highly expressed

22-nt sRNA clusters in the two viral-infected samples, using our mock treated libraries

as controls. This genome-wide search uncovered 1,521 22-nt sRNA clusters in total,

390 of which passed our filtering criteria (Supplemental Figure 6 and Supplemental

Dataset 10), of which, 22 showed more than a 4-fold induction in both viral treatments

(Supplemental Figure 6B and Supplemental Dataset 10). Apart from the cluster shown

in Figure 8A & B, one prominent example is the two clusters shown in Figure 8C & D,

which are induced by 1,000-fold in response to ToLCV infection and by 10,000-fold in

response to TYLCV. These highly-expressed, viral-induced clusters overlap with three

gene   copies   of   *EIN3-BINDING   F-BOX   PROTEIN   1*   (Solyc05g008700.2.1,

Solyc05g008720.1.1, and Solyc05g008730.1.1), one *MYZUS PERSICAE-INDUCED*

LIPASE 1 (Solyc05g008710.1.1), and one *RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE*

(Solyc05g008740.1.1). Further studies are needed to test whether these geminivirus-

induced 22-nt sRNA clusters have a functional role in plant defense to viral pathogens.

DISCUSSION

The Solanaceae family is widely used as a model for studying the evolutionary

diversification of specialized metabolism (Fan et al., 2019), morphology (Koenig and

Sinha, 2007; Martinez et al., 2020), fruit development (Klee and Giovannoni, 2011), and

biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. In this study, we took advantage of the numerous

publicly-available sRNA datasets that have been generated for the family to explore

unique aspects of sRNA biology within the Solanaceae. We found several features that

are   shared   amongst   diverse   Solanaceous   species   including   a   Solanales-wide

duplication of sRNA biogenesis machinery, a previously-annotated Solanaceae-specific

miRNA family that we show is actually a MITE-derived miRNA-like siRNA, and DCL2-

derived 21-nt phasiRNAs that are broadly expressed across the diverse taxa in our

study. We also found several phenomena that evolved within sub-family lineages of the

Solanaceae. We discovered a *Nicotiana*-specific expansion of novel miRNAs, a distinct

split in the number and types of phasiRNAs that are produced in the *Capsicum/Solanum*

versus the *Petunia/Nicotiana* branches of the family, a dramatic depletion of 24-nt hc-

siRNAs in asexually propagated potato, and 22-nt siRNA clusters that accumulate in

response to viral infection in tomato. Below, we discuss some of the evolutionary

implications for Solanaceae-wide and sub-family specific sRNA phenomena that we

discovered in this study.

Expansion and subfunctionalization of sRNA biogenesis machinery within the

Solanaceae

In our reconstruction of sRNA biogenesis machinery machinery gene trees, we

characterized lineage-specific expansions of *AGO1*, *AGO2* and *DCL2* gene families.

While *AGO1* increased in copy number across the Solanaceae, *AGO2* and *DCL2*

specifically expanded in the *Solanum*, and *Solanum/Capsicum* branches of the family,

respectively, through tandem duplications. The tandem *DCL2* paralogs have been

previously characterized to function as part of the tomato viral defense response (Wang

et al., 2018), discussed in further detail below. Using public expression data for tomato,

we identified distinct expression patterns in vegetative and reproductive organs for

DCL2 paralogs, with enriched abundance in reproductive organs, suggesting that these

gene paralogs are undergoing subfunctionalization. Recent studies show that 24-nt

phasiRNAs are produced only in reproductive organs of angiosperms, while 21-nt

phasiRNAs are produced in both vegetative and reproductive tissues (Xia et al, 2019,

Pokhrel et al., 2020). The 24-nt reproductive phasiRNAs are triggered by miR2275 in

most of the angiosperms while in Solanaceous species, the miRNA trigger remains

unknown (Xia et al, 2019, Pokhrel et al, 2021). Given that *DCL2* was shown to process

endogenous 22-nt miRNAs, and that the expression of some *DCL2* paralogs peak in

reproductive organs, it would be interesting to functionally test whether this *DCL2*

paralog expressed in reproductive tissues specifically processes a 22-nt miRNA that

triggers reproductive 24-nt phasiRNA biogenesis.

Solanaceae-wide miR5303 cluster is derived from MITEs

Our cross-species miRNA predictions and clustering revealed 12 family-wide clusters of miRNAs. While the majority of these clusters are associated with deeply-conserved land plant miRNA families that are shared outside of the Solanaceae, we investigated the Solanaceae-specific cluster annotated as miR5303 (Mohorianu et al., 2011). We discovered that this family exhibits many features that are atypical of miRNAs. For example, related miR5303 sequences in miRBase include both 21-nt and 24-nt mature sequences and most of the Solanaceae genomes harbor relatively high copy numbers of miR5303 isomiRs that putatively target thousands of genes based on our miRNA-target prediction analysis. We found that, similar to a previous study on MITE-derived miRNA-like siRNAs, mature miR5303 "miRNA" sequences align perfectly to the terminal inverted repeat (TIR) region of Solanaceae MITEs. (Kuang et al., 2009). How did our miRNA prediction pipeline lead to the identification of MITE-derived sRNAs? MITEs have near perfect hairpin folding and express sRNAs within mature miRNA size ranges, and thus fit many of the criteria used to predict novel miRNA precursors. It has been proposed that MITEs may contribute to the *de novo* evolution of miRNAs. However, this model is somewhat controversial, as MITEs tend to express imprecise sRNAs that are variable in both length and sequence, and thus are more likely the products of siRNA rather than miRNA biogenesis machinery (Cui et al, 2017). Even so, the conspicuous presence of miR5303-related sRNAs exclusively in the Solanaceae is intriguing, and further investigation into putative functional roles for these siRNAs could be interesting.

DCL2 is a broadly expressed _PHAS_ locus that may control viral responses across the Solanaceae

In a previous study focused on mechanisms of viral resistance in tomato, paralogous copies of *DCL2* were shown to process a 22-nt miRNA (miR6026) that has dual functions, participating in antiviral responses and creating a self-regulating feedback loop by triggering *DCL2* phasiRNA production (Wang et al., 2018). In this study we identified *DCL2* as a widely-expressed *PHAS* locus that is present in all seven Solanaceous species in our study. Contrary to previous characterization of this locus, we predicted that another 22-nt miRNA, miR10533, triggers phasiRNA production in *Solanum*, *Capsicum*, and *Petunia* genera. Similar to miR6026, miR10533 targets

disease resistance-related genes in addition to *DCL2* (Supplemental Dataset 8). Based

on the accumulation patterns of *DCL2* phasiRNAs, neither miRNA appears to be an

obvious trigger, although target mimic experiments with miR6026 showed reduced

DCL2 phasiRNA production (Wang et al., 2018). We identified the miR6026 site more

than 250 bp outside of our predicted phasiRNA production region, whereas miR10533 is

sandwiched within the phasiRNA register (Figure 6). The lack of an obvious trigger

suggests two possibilities: 1) there may be another, as yet unidentified miRNA trigger,

and/or 2) as hypothesized by previous authors, the 22-nt sRNA products generated

from the *DCL2* paralogs may be cross triggering one another in *cis*, creating multiple

phasiRNA initiation sites (Wang et al., 2018). We have performed extensive miRNA

predictions for tomato, given the depth of sRNA sequence data available for this

species, and thus it is unlikely that we are simply missing an additional trigger for *DCL2*

phasiRNA production. Consistent with the second hypothesis, we observed weaker

phasing scores across most of the *DCL2 PHAS* loci, indicating that cross-locus

regulatory interactions trigger phasiRNA production across *DCL2* loci.

DCL2 PHAS loci have also been identified in two different Fabaceae models, soybean

and medicago, where they are triggered by independent miRNAs (Li et al., 2010; Zhai et

al., 2011). Our study, in combination with this previous work, shows that phasiRNA

target-trigger interactions around *DCL2* have evolved multiple times within and across

eudicot families. This evolutionary hotspot for phasiRNA production fits into a model in

which the expression of sRNA biogenesis machinery is regulated via self-triggered

phasiRNA production. Depending on the species, different components of sRNA

biogenesis machinery are processed into *PHAS* loci. In soybean, for example, *SGS3* is

targeted for phasiRNA production (Song et al., 2011), and in Arabidopsis, *AGO1* and

DCL1 are both processed into *PHAS* loci (Xie et al., 2003; Vaucheret et al., 2004). Why

do plants use post-transcriptional regulation to control siRNA biogenesis machinery?

One potential theory is that by regulating sRNA biogenesis machinery at the post-

transcriptional level, plants can maintain a standing pool of transcripts for sRNA

biogenesis that can be rapidly released and translated in response to pathogens.

Lineage-specific expression of miRNAs and phasiRNAs

One of our goals in this study was to identify miRNAs that are uniquely conserved within the Solanaceae; however, as discussed above, we demonstrate that miR5303, the only Solanaceae-specific "miRNA family" that we were able to predict in all of our study species, is actually a MITE-derived siRNA. Rather than uncovering broadly conserved miRNAs, we discovered numerous instances of lineage-specific miRNAs, with the most pronounced example coming from our representative species for *Nicotiana*. Even after stringent filtering, we predicted over 350 miRNAs in the benthi genome, with more than half of these comprising previously unannotated, lineage-specific miRNAs. Congruent with previous observations, we show that these lineage-specific miRNAs are generally expressed at lower levels than deeply conserved family members (Supplemental Figure 2) (Axtell et al., 2007). There are, however, a handful of newly annotated miRNAs that are abundantly expressed (>1,000 rpm; Supplemental Figure 2). Given the ease of agrobacterium infiltration in benthi, followup studies using transiently expressed target mimic knockdowns and PARE sequencing may provide new insight into the targets and putative functions of these rapidly-evolving *Nicotiana*-specific miRNAs. One bottleneck that we encountered in this analysis was a lack of deep sRNA sequence data for tobacco. Despite the lack of sampling in tobacco, we still predicted more than 150 miRNAs for this genome, which is similar to the number of miRNAs that we found in the tomato genome, despite having almost 10 times more sRNA sequence data for tomato. We are likely looking at the tip of the iceberg when it comes to miRNA discoveries in tobacco, and we predict that deeper sequencing in tobacco and related *Nicotiana* species will facilitate big gains when it comes to miRNA discovery in the Solanaceae.

In a reverse trend, our genome-wide 21-nt phasiRNA predictions uncovered relatively few *PHAS* loci for *Nicotiana* and *Petunia* species compared to the *Solanum/Capsicum* branch of the family (Figure 5A). A distinct lack of *PHAS* loci encoding NB-LRRs in *Nicotiana* and *Petunia* accounts for one of the biggest differences in the number of *PHAS* loci between these two branches of the family. We also discovered two strongly expressed non-coding *PHAS* loci that are conserved at the sub-family level. One is conserved in both benthi and tobacco, and was previously described to function in the

post-transcriptional regulation of PPR genes (Supplemental Figure 4) (Xia et al., 2013).
The other locus is uncharacterized, and specific to tomato and potato (Figure 5B). We
discovered that this new *PHAS* locus produces a prominent 21-nt siRNA that putatively
targets MYB transcription factors (Figure 5D). It would be interesting to test whether this
Solanum-specific *PHAS* locus really does function in regulating the expression of MYB
transcription factors.   PhasiRNA production is triggered by miRNAs. Therefore, it is
logical that in addition to discovering lineage-specific miRNAs, we also found lineage-
specific *PHAS* loci across the Solanaceae.

Repressed hc-siRNA expression reflects a history of asexual propagation in
potato
Our genome-wide hc-siRNA analysis revealed a low abundance of 24-nt TE-associated
siRNAs in potato. We hypothesize that this relative depletion in potato is caused by the
asexual means of propagation that is common for this crop. Epigenetic marks are
faithfully copied during mitosis; however, during meiosis, they are reset by RdDM-based
repatterning, which involves TE-derived hc-siRNAs directing DNA methylation (Daxinger
et al., 2009; Schoft et al., 2009; Mosher and Melnyk, 2010; Slotkin et al., 2009;
Verhoeven and Preite, 2014). Asexual propagation circumvents meiosis, and thus this
RdDM-based reseting of epigenetic marks is avoided (Verhoeven and Preite, 2014),
and over many rounds of asexual propagation DNA methyl-cytosine marks and their
associated hc-siRNAs may become depleted. In line with this hypothesis, a related
study investigating genome-wide DNA methylation patterns in diverse species found a
stark depletion in CHH cytosine methylation in strawberry, another asexually
propagated species (Niederhuth et al., 2016). However, there are limitations to our
conclusions regarding depleted hc-siRNAs in potato. These limitations include imperfect
sampling, notably, the majority of our potato samples are from vegetative tissues
(although this is true for most of the species in this study; Supplemental Dataset 1), and
insufficient information about the source tissue that was used to generate these public
datasets, particularly information regarding how many generations of asexual
reproduction the source tissue underwent prior to sampling. Since sRNA-derived TE
silencing gradually accumulates over multiple generations, a well-devised study

comparing long-term asexually versus sexually propagated source tissue would help

resolve whether levels of RdDM are directly impacted by assexual propagation, and

whether these hc-siRNAs could be reactivated during reproductive development.

22-nt clusters expressed in response to viral infection in tomato

Recent work in Arabidopsis uncovered 22-nt siRNAs that are expressed in response to

environmental stress, and are capable of impacting global translational repression,

including the translation of their cognate genes. Moreover, this translational repression

is correlated with an inhibition of growth and activation of stress-response pathways

(Wu et al. 2020). In this study, we identified similar 22-nt siRNA clusters in tomato that

are expressed in response to viral infection. Whether these siRNAs are also involved in

attenuating global translation and growth so that more resources can be allocated to

antiviral response remains to be seen. Several of the clusters that we identified are

generated from genes involved in ABA production and ethylene signaling, indicating that

these 22-nt siRNA clusters may coordinate genomic responses to pathogen attack by

fine tuning the expression of ABA and ethylene pathways. Future work investigating

whether this response is initiated by the plant in order to activate defense mechanisms

or perhaps is hijacked by the virus in order to silence antiviral responses would provide

insight into the evolutionary function of these distinctive siRNAs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, while genera within the Solanaceae share unifying features in the

evolutionary history of their sRNA biology, there are many lineage-specific phenomena

that are not broadly shared across the family. This conclusion is important as it provides

valuable information on the appropriateness of a given model species for providing

generalized insights into sRNA biology. Simultaneously, we encountered limitations due

to disparate sampling within the Solanaceae. For example, while sample data exist

across developmental stages, environments, and genotypes to support investigations

into tomato sRNA biology, there is a lack of publicly-available resources to study

tomato's sister species, eggplant (Chapman, 2019). Similarly, our understanding of

sRNA biology for *Petunia hybrida* is largely limited to reproductive samples. Given the

sub-family phenomena that we identified in this study, we suggest that delving into
under-sampled taxa rather than increasing sampling in well-studied species will yield a
greater return on investment when it comes to discovering new aspects of sRNA biology
within the Solanaceae.
802
803   **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
804
Evolution of small RNA biogenesis protein genes in the Solanaceae

We downloaded protein sequence files from Phytozome v13, the Sweetpotato
Genomics Resource, and the SOL Genomics Network databases, and selected
proteomes for *Arabidopsis thaliana*, two Convolvulaceae (*Ipomoea trifida* and *Ipomoea*
triloba) and the seven Solanaceae species (*Nicotiana benthamiana*, *Nicotiana tabacum*,
Petunia axillaris, *Petunia inflata*, *Capsicum annuum*, *Solanum lycopersicum* and
Solanum tuberosum) for analysis (Supplemental Table 2). We used SonicParanoid
v1.2.6 (Cosentino and Iwasaki, 2018) and OrthoFinder v2.3.11 (Emms and Kelly, 2015)
to perform a gene orthology inference using default parameters. To identify orthologous
groups related to NRP, RDR, DCL, DRB and AGO proteins, we used the reference
protein IDs available in the UniProt database for *A. thaliana*. We then aligned the
retrieved protein sequences using default parameters in MUSCLE v3.8.1551 (Edgar,
2004), and trimmed alignments with trimAL v1.4.rev15 applying the -gappyout option
(Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009). To infer phylogenetic trees we implemented the
maximum likelihood method in IQ-TREE v1.6.12 with the following parameters: -alrt
1000 and -bb 1000 (Minh et al., 2013; Nguyen et al., 2015; Kalyaanamoorthy et al.,
2017). We used iTOL v4 (Letunic and Bork, 2019) to visualize consensus trees and
summarize orthologous protein genes for the five gene families investigated in each
species (Supplemental Table 1). To investigate organ-specific expression for the DCL1,
AGO1, and AGO2 paralogs identified for tomato, we downloaded expression data for
Solanum lycopersicum from The Bio-Analytic Resource for Plant Biology (Waese et al.,
2017).

Data collection, quality filters, and read processing

We downloaded raw sRNA seq and PARE libraries for *Solanum lycopersicum* (tomato),

S. tuberosum (potato), *Nicotiana benthamiana*, *N. tabaccum* (tobacco), *Capsicum*

annum (pepper), *Petunia axillaris/P. inflata* (petunia) from the NCBI SRA

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra; detailed information regarding raw and processed

libraries can be found in Supplemental Dataset 1. Briefly, we processed raw FASTQ

reads using a Python script that performs quality filtering, trimming, adapter removal,

and then converts reads into chopped tag count files

(https://github.com/atulkakrana/preprocess.seq (Bolger et al., 2014)). We then mapped

our reads to their respective reference genomes (Supplemental Table 2) using Bowtie 1

(Langmead, 2010) allowing for zero mismatches. We applied two criteria for filtering out

low-quality data: first, we excluded libraries with low mapping rates, and second, we

removed libraries that lacked a significant accumulation of 21-nt and 24-nt reads based

on size distribution plots (Supplemental Figure 7), indicating potential RNA degradation.

We assembled species-specific expression databases using these final, high-quality,

processed datasets (Nakano et al., 2020).

Solanaceae genome versions and functional gene annotations

We used the following reference genomes and their associated annotation files to build

expression databases and perform the bioinformatic analyses described in this study:

Capsicum annum Zunla-1 v2.0 (http://public.genomics.org.cn/BGI/pepper/) (Qin et al.,

2014), *Petunia axillaris* and *P. inflata* (Bombarely et al., 2016), *Nicotiana tabacum* v4

(Edwards et al., 2017), *Nicotiana benthamiana* v 0.3 (Bombarely et al., 2012), *Solanum*

tuberosum (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011), and *Solanum lycopersicum*

build 2.5 (Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012) (ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/).

MicroRNA Predictions

We used three different software packages to obtain a robust prediction of microRNAs

(miRNAs) across our Solanaceous model genomes: ShortStack (Axtell, 2013), miR-

PREFeR (Lei and Sun, 2014), and miRPD (a version of miReap optimized for plant

miRNA prediction; (Sun et al., 2013)). We standardized the minimum mapping coverage

and size of hits across the three programs in order to control for dissimilarities in

software performance. The vast majority of miRNAs fall between 20-22 nt in length so

we narrowed our predictions to this 20-22 nt size range. Each program employs a

different method for calculating coverage. In ShortStack we set the minimum coverage

to 15, while in miR-PREFeR and miRPD we set the minimum coverage to 20. We then

filtered out predicted miRNA precursors based on recently published guidelines for

miRNA predictions (Axtell and Meyers, 2018). Briefly, we required that all miRNAs

express both strands of the miRNA duplex (the mature miRNA and miRNA-star), and

originate from a precursor that folds with a normalized minimum free energy (MFE) of <

-0.2 kcal/mol/nucleotide. Notably, enforcing the expression of a complementary miRNA-

star sequence resulted in little to no filtering, so we applied a more stringent filter

requiring that the abundance of the complementary miRNA-star reads were ≥10% of the

abundance of the mature miRNA.

We applied additional filtering for "novel" miRNAs that did not match miRBase entries

(see "miRNA conservation across species," below). To remove hairpin structures that

might originate from MITEs and tRNAs, we filtered out novel miRNAs that aligned to our

list of annotated transposable elements (TEs) and tRNA datasets for the Solanaceae

(Supplemental Dataset 11). We found a surprising number of novel miRNAs in our

Nicotiana benthamiana (hereafter, "benthi") dataset which warranted manual curation.

To investigate whether our novel benthi miRNAs originate from canonical precursor

structures, we mapped our shortread data onto predicted miRNA precursors using

structVis v0.4 (github: https://github.com/MikeAxtell/strucVis), and removed novel

miRNAs that showed non-canonical folding and/or miRNA/miRNA* expression. After

these filtering steps we found the miRPD results to be highly inconsistent, producing

unrealistically high numbers of predicted miRNAs for some of our species and zero

predicted miRNAs for others. Thus, we decided to limit our analyses to the ShortStack

and miR-PREFeR results. To create a consensus set of miRNAs for these two

prediction programs, we collapsed precursors with overlapping coordinates from these

two software programs into unique entries. Overall, we found that ShortStack was more

conservative, predicting fewer miRNAs than miR-PREFeR; however, the miRNAs were

also predicted with appreciable confidence and resulted in minimal post-prediction

filtering. Our hybrid prediction approach in combination with stringent filtering allowed
for sensitive, yet robust predictions across the species.

MicroRNA conservation across species

To facilitate cross species comparisons of conserved and novel miRNAs within the
Solanaceae, we first clustered mature miRNA sequences for the predicted miRNAs
(described above) into families by requiring pairwise sequence overlap of ≥ 75%. To
annotate the miRNA families, we aligned mature miRNA sequences to the latest v22
miRBase database of all land plants requiring an 85% match, and provided new IDs for
novel miRNA families (Kozomara and Griffiths-Jones, 2014; Kozomara et al., 2019). We
tabulated all novel and previously annotated miRNA families across the Solanaceae in a
master table that reports presence and absence for each miRNA family (Supplemental
Dataset 5).

PHAS **locus predictions and annotations**

We used three programs to identify *PHAS* loci: ShortStack (Axtell, 2013), PHASIS
(Kakrana et al., 2017), and PhaseTank (Guo et al., 2015). We ran PHASIS and
PhaseTank using the default parameters listed in the program manuals and filtered the
results for high-confidence loci that were identified with a p-value of ≤.001. We ran
ShortStack using the same parameters that were applied to our miRNA predictions.
PhaseTank did not perform consistently across the analyzed genomes; it only reported
PHAS loci for unassembled regions of the genomes. After we removed unassembled
contigs, the program returned no results, so we decided to remove PhaseTank from our
analysis pipeline. We merged the *PHAS* loci obtained from PHASIS and ShortStack into
a single list for each species, and collapsed loci located within 400 nucleotides of each
other into individual *PHAS* predictions (Supplemental Dataset 7).

We annotated coding versus non-coding *PHAS* loci using available CDS GFF files. To
characterize non-coding *PHAS* loci we aligned our non-coding *PHAS* loci to the NCBI
non-redundant (nr) database and annotated the loci with one of the top ten hits using
default alignment parameters in BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990). To test whether coding

PHAS loci were generated from orthologous genes across species, we constructed

gene orthogroups for species with associated peptide fasta files. Finally, we linked

these orthogroup predictions with annotation files for tomato, Arabidopsis, and pepper

(Supplemental Dataset 9). The published pepper gff file lacks annotations, so we

generated our own pepper annotation file by running a BLASTP search against the

NCBI NR database and retrieving the best BLAST hit for each entry.

miRNA target predictions

We used sPARTA, a miRNA target prediction program to identify miRNA targets with

the following specifications: -genomeFeature 0 -tarPred H -tarScore S (Kakrana et al.,

2015). We applied a cut off penalty score of ≤ 4 to filter for reliable miRNA-mRNA target

interactions in benthi (Supplemental Dataset 4) and tomato (Supplemental Dataset 6).

PHAS loci are triggered by 22 bp miRNAs that have a 5' Uracil bias (Chen et al., 2010;

Cuperus et al., 2010). We ran sPARTA with the -featureFile option to identify miRNAs

from our predicted miRNA list that trigger *PHAS* locus production from our predicted

PhasiRNA list. We applied a penalty score of ≤ 4 to filter for PHAS locus triggers,

prioritized miRNA triggers that start with Uracil (U), and selected miRNA triggers equal

to 22 bp with the exception of miR390 (Supplemental Dataset 8).

Hc-siRNAs and transposable elements across SOL genomes

To analyze hc-siRNAs, we first ran RepeatMasker (http://www.repeatmasker.org) on

each one of the analyzed genomes using the Viridiplantae RepBase database

(http://www.girinst.org/repbase) as a library, to identify transposable elements (TE).

Next, we used the identified TEs as a database to map sRNA reads using Bowtie

(Langmead, 2010) with default parameters and categorized all 24-nt long reads that

mapped to any of our identified TEs as hc-siRNAs. It was impossible to identify the

specific origin of these sRNAs due to the repetitive nature of TEs, so we only

considered TE superfamilies for this study. Genome-wide representation of hc-siRNAs

was visualized by plotting Manhattan plots with the qqman R package (Turner, 2014).

We plotted the data based on the genome mapping coordinate along the chromosome
for each hc-siRNA and its relative abundance.

Identification of 22-nt clusters expressed in response to geminivirus infection

To discover 22-nt sRNA clusters that may be linked to geminivirus infection in tomato,
we downloaded sRNA libraries for control and infected treatments from the
TOMATO_sRNA_SOL Next-Gen DB (Nakako et al., 2020) and filtered for 22-nt reads.
We tuned the parameters for 22-nt cluster identification using two fruit peel libraries
(Tomato_S_7 and Tomato_S_8, cv. Ailsa Craig, Gao et al., 2015) and two leaf libraries
(Tomato_S_50, cv. Moneymaker, and Tomato_S_53, line FL505, Bai et al., 2016). To
identify clusters that accumulate in response to geminivirus infection, we analyzed two
infected leaf libraries (Sly_leaves_t2, cv. Pusa Ruby, Saraf et al., 2015; Tomato_S_52,
cv. Moneymaker, Bai et al., 2016) and their corresponding control libraries
(Sly_leaves_t1 and Tomato_S_50, respectively). We applied the following analysis
pipeline to identify 22-nt sRNA clusters: first, we ran ShortStack with the parameters
tuned to yield the highest reproducibility between repeated runs, relatively high similarity
between results from similar samples (e.g. leaf vs. leaf), and relatively high difference
between results from distinct samples (e.g. leaf vs. fruit peel), without compromising the
alignment rate (Johnson et al., 2016). This fine tuning resulted in the following
parameter set: --mmap u –mismatch 1 –bowtie_m 3 –ranmax 2 –pad 125 –mincov
75rpm. Using these parameters, we ran ShortStack to identify all of the 22-nt sRNA
clusters in the aforementioned four libraries. Next, we filtered out clusters that were
shorter than 200 bp in length, and clusters that were inconsistently expressed in one
infected-control sample pair, but not in the other. We applied a threshold of absolute
log2 fold change greater than two in order to identify differentially expressed clusters
between the geminivirus infected and mock-treated samples.

Data visualization and representation

We visualized the 22-nt sRNA clusters using the transcriptome browser function in the
TOMATO_sRNA_SOL Expression database (Nakano et al., 2006; Nakano et al., 2020;
https://mpss.meyerslab.org/). We generated dot plots using the ggplot2 package

(Wickham, 2016), heatmaps using gplots package (Warnes et al., 2019), and heatmaps
of DCL2 and AGO1 paralog expression using the TBTools heatmap function (Chen et
al., 2018a).
984
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
Supplemental Dataset 1 – SRA Sample Processing
Supplemental Dataset 2 – miRNAs Annotated by Species
Supplemental Dataset 3 – Benthi structVis Filtering
Supplemental Dataset 4 – Benthi miRNA Target Predictions
Supplemental Dataset 5 – Solanaceae miRNA Families
Supplemental Dataset 6 – Tomato miRNA Target Predictions
Supplemental Dataset 7 – phasiRNAs Annotated by Species
Supplemental Dataset 8 – PHAS Locus miRNA Interactions
Supplemental Dataset 9 – Solanaceae Orthogroups
Supplemental Dataset 10 – Table of 22-nt sRNA Clusters
Supplemental Dataset 11 – Solanaceae TEs
997
998   **SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES**
999
1000   **Supplemental Table 1: Summary of annotated *AGO*, *DCL*, *DRB*, *NRP* and *RDR***
1001   **family members in Solanaceous and outgroup genomes.**

Specie	Ploidy*	*AGO*	*DCL*	*DRB*	*NRP*	*RDR*
Arabidopsis thaliana	2n = 2x = 10	10	4	7	3	6
Capsicum annuum	2n = 2x = 24	11	5	4	5	5
Ipomoea triloba	2n = 2x = 30	13	4	7	5	6
Ipomoea trifida	2n = 2x = 30	13	4	7	4	5
Nicotiana benthamiana	2n = 4x = 38	18	4	7	6	7
Nicotiana tabacum	2n = 4x = 48	21	8	7	6	10
Petunia axillaris	2n = 2x = 14	12	4	3	3	4
Petunia inflata	2n = 2x = 14	13	4	5	3	5

| Solanum lycopersicum | 2n = 2x = 24 | 13 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Solanum tuberosum | 2n = 2x = 24 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 8 |

1002 *The ploidy was assessed using the Kew Royal Botanical Gardens database

1003 (https://cvalues.science.kew.org)

1004

1005 **Supplemental Table 2: Reference genomes and software used for bioinformatic**
1006 **analyses**

Species	Genome Version	File Source	Analyses
Arabidopsis thaliana	Araport11	Phytozome v13	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE, OrthoFinder
Ipomoea triloba	NSP323_V3 (Wu et al., 2018)	Sweetpotato Genomics Resource	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE, OrthoFinder
Ipomoea trifida	NSP306_V3 (Wu et al., 2018)	Sweetpotato Genomics Resource	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE, OrthoFinder
Capsicum annum	Zunla-1 v2.0 (Qin et al., 2014)	http://public.genomics.org.cn/BGI/pepper/	Expression DB, OrthoFinder, miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS, MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Petunia axillaris	V1.6.2 (Bombarely et al., 2016)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	Expression DB, miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS, MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Petunia inflata	V1.0.1 (Bombarely et al., 2016)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	Expression DB, miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS, MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Nicotiana tabacum	V4.5 (Edward et al. 2017)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	Expression DB, miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS, MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Nicotiana	V0.3 (Bombarely et	ftp://ftp.solgenomics	Expression DB,

benthamiana	al., 2012)	.net/genomes/	miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS
Nicotiana benthamiana	V0.4.4 (Bombarely et al., 2012)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Solanum tuberosum	ITAG v1.0 (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium et al., 2011)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	Expression DB, miR-Prefer, ShortStack (miRNA prediction)
Solanum tuberosum	v3.4	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE, Orthogroups
Solanum tuberosum	V4.04 (Hardigan et al., 2016)	http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/pgsc_download.shtml	PHASIS, ShortStack (PHAS locus prediction)
Solanum lycopersicum	V3.2	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	Expression DB, miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS,
Solanum *lycopersicum*	V2.4 (Tomato Genome Consortium, 2012)	ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/	MUSCLE, IQ-TREE
Mimulus guttatus	JGI v2.0 (Hellsten et al., 2013)	https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!bulk?org=Org_Mguttatus	miR-Prefer, ShortStack, PHASIS,

1007

1008

1009

1010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Rui Xia for generating the annotation file that we used for the pepper (*Capscium annuum*) genome, and Feray Demirci and Deepti Ramachandruni for

1015 assistance with aspects of data processing. The work was supported with funding from
1016 NSF IOS awards 1842698, 754097 and 1650843, and USDA NIFA award 2019-67013-
1017 29010 to Meyers; NSF IOS awards 1523668 and 1942437 to M. Frank.

1018

1019 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

1020

Figure 1: Solanaceae-specific diversification of the *AGO* family highlights expansion and
subfunctionalization of subfamily members. Phylogenetic tree of proteins encoded by the
AGO gene family in the Solanaceae (A). Clades of *AGO* subfamily members are drawn with
distinct colors. Yellow boxes highlight groups of *AGO* genes that have expanded in Solanaceae.
Red circles indicate *AGO* homologs in tomatoes. Heatmaps show the relative expression of
AGO1 and *AGO2* from public data (B). Orthologous protein groups were identified using
OrthoFinder and SonicParanoid. Protein alignments and phylogeny analysis were completed
using MUSCLE and IQ- TREE. Phylogenetic tree was illustrated using iTOL.

Figure 2: Phylogenetic analysis of DCL family members encoded in the Solanaceae
highlights a *Solanum/Capsicum*-specific expansion of DCL2. Phylogenetic tree of protein
sequences indicating *DCL* subfamily members in the Solanaceae (A). Subclades of the *DCL*
family are drawn with distinct colors and yellow boxes highlight groups of *DCL2* that expanded
in *Capsicum* and *Solanum* genera. Red circles indicate tomato paralogs for *DCL2*. Heatmaps
show the relative abundance of these tomato *DCL2* paralogs in diverse samples (B). Protein
orthologous groups were identified using OrthoFinder and SonicParanoid. Protein alignments
and phylogeny analysis were done using MUSCLE and IQ- TREE. Phylogenetic tree was
visualized using iTOL.

1038

Figure 3: MicroRNA predictions across Solanaceae support a lineage-specific expansion
of miRNAs. Venn diagrams of total miRNAs predicted by miRPrefer (red), Shortstack (yellow),
and both programs (orange) for each species (A). Upset plots showing novel (B) and known (C)
miRNAs that are shared across Solanaceae species. For each Upset plot: the bottom left panel
shows the entire size of each set as a horizontal histogram, the bottom right panel shows the
intersection matrix, and the upper right panel shows the size of each combination as a vertical
histogram. The red line marks miRNAs common to all species, the purple line marks miRNAs
common to both *Petunia* species, the orange line marks miRNAs common to both *Solanum*

species, the green line marks miRNAs common to both *Nicotiana* species, and the blue and

yellow lines mark benthi- and pepper-specific miRNAs, respectively.

1049

Figure 4: miR5303 aligns to MITE terminal inverted repeat (TIR) sequences. The

previously-annotated Solanaceae-specific miRNA family, miR5303, exhibits many features that

disqualify it as a bonafide miRNA. The majority of the miR5303 miRBase entries include mature

sequences that are 24-nt in length, indicating that these sRNAs are likely derived from siRNA

producing loci (A). miR5303 miRBase entries are highly variable in their sequence; we identified

a consensus sequence to isolate true miR5303 family members (highlighted in the yellow box

and shown in Supplemental Figure 3) (A). miRNAs > 22-nt in length were filtered out; however,

the predicted miR5303 family members that are 21 or 22 nt follow the same 5' consensus

sequence (UUUUG) as the miRBase entries (B). The predicted precursors of miR5303 family

members have near perfect hairpin folding, indicating that these loci are likely inverted repeats,

rather than real miRNA precursors (C), and BLAST alignment of miR5303 family members to

the plant MITE database produces a perfect alignment between the miR5303 species and MITE

TIR sequences (D).

1063

Figure 5: Genus-specific trends of 21-nt *PHAS* loci within the Solanaceae. *Solanum*

(tomato and potato) and *Capsicum* (pepper) genera produce 2-3 times more PHAS loci and

predominantly express disease resistance-related coding loci, relative to *Nicotiana* (tobacco and

benthi) and *Petunia* genera (A). The raw number of loci for each category in (A) is shown in

white. All of the species express non-coding *PHAS* loci (green). A previously unidentified non-

coding *TAS*-like locus is shown for on chromosome 5 in tomato (left) and potato (right) (B), and

a previously identified non-coding *Nicotiana*-conserved *TAS*-like 1/2 locus is plotted for tobacco

(left) and benthi (right) (C).

1072

Figure 6: *DCL2* phasiRNA-producing loci are conserved across Solanaceous species.

DCL2 paralogs in pepper, tomato, and potato, and orthologs in petunia, benthi, and tobacco all

produce 21-nt phasiRNAs (A). We identified conserved miRNA target sites for miR10533 and

miR6026 in pepper, tomato, potato, and petunia (red and yellow arrow respectively denotes

cleavage sites) that have strong target scores, and may be a conserved mechanism for

triggering *DCL2 PHAS* locus production in these species (B).

1079

Figure 7: hc-siRNA expression across chromosomes in Solanaceae. Normalized read
counts for 24-nt TE-associated hc-siRNAs are plotted across the assembled chromosomes for
tobacco, tomato, potato, and pepper. The x-axis represents the chromosome number,
alternating between grey and black, and the y-axis represents the average number of reads at
each chromosome position. The average read number was calculated based on the number of
available libraries for each species. The majority of hc-siRNAs map outside of the
pericentromeric regions. Unexpectedly, potato accumulates a low proportion of 24-nt hc-siRNAs
relative to total sRNAs.

1088

Figure 8: Tomato produces 22-nt sRNA clusters in response to geminivirus infection.
Small RNA reads mapped to two tomato loci (Ch05:268043..272842 (A and B) and
Ch05:2979082..2987879 (C and D)) for a geminivirus infected and control sample pair shows
strong expression of 22-nt siRNAs (shown as green dots) in response to viral treatments. The
central red and blue boxes represent gene models from version 3.0 of the *S. lycopersicum*
genome, and shaded boxes represent repetitive sequences. Dots represent sRNA reads, color-
coded by length, mapped to a genomic region, with abundance represented by the position
along the Y axis. Hollow dots are multi-mapped reads (more than one location in the genome),
and solid dots are uniquely mapped reads. (A) and (C) are expression plots of a control, mock-
infected sample, and (B) and (D) are from a corresponding virus-infected sample.

1099

1100

REFERENCES

Ahmed I, Sarazin A, Bowler C, Colot V, Quesneville H (2011) Genome-wide
evidence for local DNA methylation spreading from small RNA-targeted sequences
in Arabidopsis. Nucleic Acids Res 39: 6919–6931

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment
search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410

Axtell MJ (2018) The small RNAs of Physcomitrella patens : Expression, function
and evolution. Annual Plant Reviews online 113–142

Axtell MJ (2013) ShortStack: comprehensive annotation and quantification of small
RNA genes. RNA 19: 740–751

Axtell MJ, Meyers BC (2018) Revisiting Criteria for Plant MicroRNA Annotation in
the Era of Big Data. The Plant Cell 30: 272–284

Axtell MJ, Snyder JA, Bartel DP (2007) Common functions for diverse small RNAs of land plants. Plant Cell 19: 1750–1769

Axtell MJ, Westholm JO, Lai EC (2011) Vive la différence: biogenesis and evolution of microRNAs in plants and animals. Genome Biol 12: 221

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120

Bombarely A, Moser M, Amrad A, Bao M, Bapaume L, Barry CS, Bliek M, Boersma MR, Borghi L, Bruggmann R, et al (2016) Insight into the evolution of the Solanaceae from the parental genomes of Petunia hybrida. Nat Plants 2: 16074

Bombarely A, Rosli HG, Vrebalov J, Moffett P, Mueller LA, Martin GB (2012) A draft genome sequence of Nicotiana benthamiana to enhance molecular plant-microbe biology research. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25: 1523–1530

Canto-Pastor A, Santos BA, Valli AA, Summers W, Schornack S, Baulcombe DC Enhanced resistance to bacterial and oomycete pathogens by short tandem target mimic RNAs in tomato. doi: 10.1101/396564

Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics 25:1972-1973.

Cardoso TC de S, Alves TC, Caneschi CM, Santana DDRG, Fernandes-Brum CN, Reis GLD, Daude MM, Ribeiro THC, Gómez MMD, Lima AA, et al (2018) New insights into tomato microRNAs. Sci Rep 8: 16069

Chapman MA (2019) Introduction: The Importance of Eggplant. In MA Chapman, ed, The Eggplant Genome. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 1–10

Chen C, Chen H, He Y, Xia R (2018a) TBtools, a Toolkit for Biologists integrating various biological data handling tools with a user-friendly interface. bioRxiv 289660

Chen C, Zeng Z, Liu Z, Xia R (2018b) Small RNAs, emerging regulators critical for the development of horticultural traits. Horticulture Research 5: 6–8

Chen H-M, Chen L-T, Patel K, Li Y-H, Baulcombe DC, Wu S-H (2010) 22-Nucleotide RNAs trigger secondary siRNA biogenesis in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 15269–15274

Cosentino S, Iwasaki W (2018). SonicParanoid: fast, accurate and easy orthology inference. Bioinformatics. 35(1):149-51.

Cuperus JT, Carbonell A, Fahlgren N, Garcia-Ruiz H, Burke RT, Takeda A, Sullivan CM, Gilbert SD, Montgomery TA, Carrington JC (2010) Unique functionality of 22-nt miRNAs in triggering RDR6-dependent siRNA biogenesis from target transcripts in

Arabidopsis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 17: 997–1003

Daxinger L, Kanno T, Bucher E, van der Winden J, Naumann U, Matzke AJM, Matzke M (2009) A stepwise pathway for biogenesis of 24-nt secondary siRNAs and spreading of DNA methylation. EMBO J 28: 48–57

Deng K, Yin H, Xiong F, Feng L, Dong P, Ren M (2021) Genome-wide miRNA expression profiling in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) reveals TOR-dependent post-transcriptional gene regulatory networks in diverse metabolic pathway. PeerJ 9: e10704

Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accur- acy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res 32: 1792–1797

Emms DM, Kelly S (2015). OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome biology 16: 157.

Esposito S, Aversano R, D'Amelia V, Villano C, Alioto D, Mirouze M, Carputo D (2018) Dicer-like and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene family identification and annotation in the cultivated Solanum tuberosum and its wild relative S. commersonii. Planta 248: 729–743

Fan P, Leong BJ, Last RL (2019) Tip of the trichome: evolution of acylsugar metabolic diversity in Solanaceae. Curr Opin Plant Biol 49: 8–16

Fei Q, Xia R, Meyers BC (2013) Phased, Secondary, Small Interfering RNAs in Posttranscriptional Regulatory Networks. Plant Cell 25: 2400–2415

Galindo-González L, Mhiri C, Deyholos MK, Grandbastien M-A (2017) LTR-retrotransposons in plants: Engines of evolution. Gene 626: 14–25

Goodin MM, Zaitlin D, Naidu RA, Lommel SA (2015) Nicotiana benthamiana: Its History and Future as a Model for Plant–Pathogen Interactions. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 2015: 28–39

Gu M, Liu W, Meng Q, Zhang W, Chen A, Sun S, Xu G (2014) Identification of microRNAs in six solanaceous plants and their potential link with phosphate and mycorrhizal signaling. J Integr Plant Biol 56: 1164–1178

Guo Q, Qu X, Jin W (2015) PhaseTank: genome-wide computational identification of phasiRNAs and their regulatory cascades. Bioinformatics 31: 284–286

Hardigan MA, Crisovan E, Hamilton JP, Kim J, Laimbeer P, Leisner CP, Manrique-Carpintero NC, Newton L, Pham GM, Vaillancourt B, et al (2016) Genome Reduction Uncovers a Large Dispensable Genome and Adaptive Role for Copy Number Variation in Asexually Propagated Solanum tuberosum. Plant Cell 28: 388–405

Hellsten U, Wright KM, Jenkins J, Shu S, Yuan Y, Wessler SR, Schmutz J, Willis
JH, Rokhsar DS (2013) Fine-scale variation in meiotic recombination in Mimulus
inferred from population shotgun sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110:
19478–19482

Kakrana A, Li P, Patel P, Hammond R, Anand D, Mathioni SM, Meyers BC (2017)
PHASIS: A computational suite for de novo discovery and characterization of
phased, siRNA-generating loci and their miRNA triggers. bioRxiv 158832

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TK, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017).
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature
methods. 14:587.

Klee HJ, Giovannoni JJ (2011) Genetics and control of tomato fruit ripening and
quality attributes. Annu Rev Genet 45: 41–59

Koenig DP, Sinha NR (2007) Genetic Control of Leaf Shape. Encyclopedia of Life
Sciences. doi: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0020101

Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, Griffiths-Jones S (2019) miRBase: from microRNA
sequences to function. Nucleic Acids Res 47: D155–D162

Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S (2014) miRBase: annotating high confidence
microRNAs using deep sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 42: D68–73

Kramerov DA, Vassetzky NS (2011) Origin and evolution of SINEs in eukaryotic
genomes. Heredity 107: 487–495

Kuang H, Padmanabhan C, Li F, Kamei A, Bhaskar PB, Ouyang S, Jiang J, Buell
CR, Baker B (2009) Identification of miniature inverted-repeat transposable
elements (MITEs) and biogenesis of their siRNAs in the Solanaceae: new
functional implications for MITEs. Genome Res 19: 42–56

Langmead B (2010) Aligning short sequencing reads with Bowtie. Curr Protoc
Bioinformatics Chapter 11: Unit 11.7

Lei J, Sun Y (2014) miR-PREFeR: an accurate, fast and easy-to-use plant miRNA
prediction tool using small RNA-Seq data. Bioinformatics 30: 2837–2839

Leong BJ, Lybrand DB, Lou Y-R, Fan P, Schilmiller AL, Last RL (2019) Evolution of
metabolic novelty: A trichome-expressed invertase creates specialized metabolic
diversity in wild tomato. Sci Adv 5: eaaw3754

Letunic I, Bork P (2019) Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and
new developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47: W256–W259

Liao Z, Hodén KP, Singh RK, Dixelius C (2020) Genome-wide identification of
Argonautes in Solanaceae with emphasis on potato. Scientific Reports. doi:

10.1038/s41598-020-77593-y

Li H, Deng Y, Wu T, Subramanian S, Yu O (2010) Misexpression of miR482,
miR1512, and miR1515 increases soybean nodulation. Plant Physiol 153: 1759–
1770

Liu Y, Teng C, Xia R, Meyers BC (2020) Phased secondary small interfering RNAs
(phasiRNAs) in plants: their biogenesis, genic sources, and roles in stress
responses, development, and reproduction. Plant Cell

Lunardon A, Johnson NR, Hagerott E, Phifer T (2020) Integrated annotations and
analyses of small RNA–producing loci from 47 diverse plants. Genome

Martinez CC, Li S, Woodhouse MR, Sugimoto K, Sinha NR (2020) Spatial
transcriptional signatures define margin morphogenesis along the proximal-distal
and medio-lateral axes in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) leaves. Plant Cell. doi:
10.1093/plcell/koaa012

Ma Z, Zhang X (2018) Actions of plant Argonautes: predictable or unpredictable?
Curr Opin Plant Biol 45: 59–67

Minh BQ, Nguyen MA, von Haeseler A (2013). Ultrafast approximation for
phylogenetic bootstrap. Molecular biology and evolution. 30:1188-95.

Mohorianu I, Schwach F, Jing R, Lopez-Gomollon S, Moxon S, Szittya G, Sorefan
K, Moulton V, Dalmay T (2011) Profiling of short RNAs during fleshy fruit
development reveals stage-specific sRNAome expression patterns: Time course
study of short RNAs during fruit development. Plant J 67: 232–246

Mosher RA, Melnyk CW (2010) siRNAs and DNA methylation: seedy epigenetics.
Trends in Plant Science 15: 204–210

Mueller LA, Solow TH, Taylor N, Skwarecki B, Buels R, Binns J, Lin C, Wright MH,
Ahrens R, Wang Y, et al (2005) The SOL Genomics Network: a comparative
resource for Solanaceae biology and beyond. Plant Physiol 138: 1310–1317

Nakano M, McCormick K, Demirci C, Demirci F, Gurazada SGR, Ramachandruni
D, Dusia A, Rothhaupt JA, Meyers BC (2020) Next-Generation Sequence
Databases: RNA and Genomic Informatics Resources for Plants. Plant Physiol 182:
136–146

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast and
effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.
Molecular biology and evolution. 32:268-74.

Niederhuth CE, Bewick AJ, Ji L, Alabady MS, Kim KD, Li Q, Rohr NA, Rambani A,
Burke JM, Udall JA, et al (2016) Widespread natural variation of DNA methylation
within angiosperms. Genome Biol 17: 194

Park M, Park J, Kim S, Kwon J-K, Park HM, Bae IH, Yang T-J, Lee Y-H, Kang B-C,
Choi D (2012) Evolution of the large genome in Capsicum annuum occurred
through accumulation of single-type long terminal repeat retrotransposons and their
derivatives. Plant J 69: 1018–1029

Pokhrel, S., Huang, K., & Meyers, B. C. (2021). Conserved and non-conserved
triggers of 24-nt reproductive phasiRNAs in eudicots. BioRxiv,
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.20.427321
1262
1263 Pokhrel, S., Huang, K., Bélanger, S., Caplan, J. L., Kramer, E. M., & Meyers, B. C.
1264 (2020). Pre-meiotic, 21-nucleotide Reproductive PhasiRNAs Emerged in Seed
1265 Plants and Diversified in Flowering Plants. BioRxiv.
1266 https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.16.341925
1267
Pombo MA, Rosli HG, Fernandez-Pozo N, Bombarely A (2020) Nicotiana
benthamiana, A Popular Model for Genome Evolution and Plant–Pathogen
Interactions. The Tobacco Plant Genome 231–247

Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, Xu X, Pan S, Cheng S, Zhang B, Mu D,
Ni P, Zhang G, Yang S, Li R, et al (2011) Genome sequence and analysis of the
tuber crop potato. Nature 475: 189–195

Qin C, Yu C, Shen Y, Fang X, Chen L, Min J, Cheng J, Zhao S, Xu M, Luo Y, et al
(2014) Whole-genome sequencing of cultivated and wild peppers provides insights
into Capsicum domestication and specialization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:
5135–5140

Särkinen T, Bohs L, Olmstead RG, Knapp S (2013) A phylogenetic framework for
evolutionary study of the nightshades (Solanaceae): a dated 1000-tip tree. BMC
Evol Biol 13: 214

Schoft VK, Chumak N, Mosiolek M, Slusarz L, Komnenovic V, Brownfield L, Twell
D, Kakutani T, Tamaru H (2009) Induction of RNA-directed DNA methylation upon
decondensation of constitutive heterochromatin. EMBO Rep 10: 1015–1021

Seo E, Kim T, Park JH, Yeom S-I, Kim S, Seo M-K, Shin C, Choi D (2018)
Genome-wide comparative analysis in Solanaceous species reveals evolution of
microRNAs targeting defense genes in Capsicum spp. DNA Res 25: 561–575

Shinozaki Y, Nicolas P, Fernandez-Pozo N, Ma Q, Evanich DJ, Shi Y, Xu Y, Zheng
Y, Snyder SI, Martin LBB, et al (2018) High-resolution spatiotemporal transcriptome
mapping of tomato fruit development and ripening. Nature Communications. doi:
10.1038/s41467-017-02782-9

Shivaprasad PV, Chen H-M, Patel K, Bond DM, Bruno A C, Baulcombe DC (2012)
A MicroRNA Superfamily Regulates Nucleotide Binding Site–Leucine-Rich Repeats
and Other mRNAs. Plant Cell 24: 859–874

Slotkin RK, Vaughn M, Borges F, Tanurdzić M, Becker JD, Feijó JA, Martienssen
RA (2009) Epigenetic reprogramming and small RNA silencing of transposable
elements in pollen. Cell 136: 461–472

Song Q-X, Liu Y-F, Hu X-Y, Zhang W-K, Ma B, Chen S-Y, Zhang J-S (2011)
Identification of miRNAs and their target genes in developing soybean seeds by
deep sequencing. BMC Plant Biol 11: 5

Sun W, Xiang X, Zhai L, Zhang D, Cao Z, Liu L, Zhang Z (2018) AGO18b
negatively regulates determinacy of spikelet meristems on the tassel central spike
in maize. J Integr Plant Biol 60: 65–78

Sun X, Zhang J, Li A, Yuan X (2013) mirPD: A pattern-based approach for
identifying microRNAs from deep sequencing data. Digital Signal Processing 23:
1887−1896

Taller D, Bálint J, Gyula P, Nagy T, Barta E, Baksa I, Szittya G, Taller J, Havelda Z
(2018) Correction: Expansion of Capsicum annum fruit is linked to dynamic tissue-
specific differential expression of miRNA and siRNA profiles. PLoS One 13:
e0203582

Tomato Genome Consortium (2012) The tomato genome sequence provides
insights into fleshy fruit evolution. Nature 485: 635–641

Turner SD (2014) qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q
and manhattan plots. bioRxiv 005165

Vaucheret H, Vazquez F, Crété P, Bartel DP (2004) The action of ARGONAUTE1
in the miRNA pathway and its regulation by the miRNA pathway are crucial for
plant development. Genes Dev 18: 1187−1197

Verhoeven KJF, Preite V (2014) Epigenetic variation in asexually reproducing
organisms. Evolution 68: 644–655

Vicient CM, Casacuberta JM (2017) Impact of transposable elements on polyploid
plant genomes. Ann Bot 120: 195–207

Vrbsky J, Akimcheva S, Watson JM, Turner TL, Daxinger L, Vyskot B, Aufsatz W,
Riha K (2010) siRNA-mediated methylation of Arabidopsis telomeres. PLoS Genet
6: e1000986

de Vries S, Kloesges T, Rose LE (2015) Evolutionarily Dynamic, but Robust,
Targeting of Resistance Genes by the miR482/2118 Gene Family in the
Solanaceae. Genome Biol Evol 7: 3307–3321

Waese J, Fan J, Pasha A, Yu H, Fucile G, Shi R, Cumming M, Kelley L, Sternberg
M, Krishnakumar V, Ferlanti E, Miller J, Town C, Stuerzlinger W, Provart NJ

(2017). ePlant: Visualizing and Exploring Multiple Levels of Data for Hypothesis Generation in Plant Biology. Plant Cell 29:1806-1821.

Wang X, Weigel D, Smith LM (2013) Transposon variants and their effects on gene expression in Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet 9: e1003255

Wang Z, Hardcastle TJ, Pastor AC, Yip WH, Tang S, Baulcombe DC (2018) A novel DCL2-dependent miRNA pathway in tomato affects susceptibility to RNA viruses. Genes & Development 32: 1155–1160

Won SY, Yumul RE, Chen X (2014) Small RNAs in Plants. In SH Howell, ed, Molecular Biology. Springer New York, New York, NY, pp 95–127

Wu, H., Li, B., Iwakawa, H. oki, Pan, Y., Tang, X., Ling-hu, Q., Liu, Y., Sheng, S., Feng, L., Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Tang, Z., Xia, X., Zhai, J., & Guo, H. (2020). Plant 22-nt siRNAs mediate translational repression and stress adaptation. Nature 581: 81-93

Wu L, Zhang Q, Zhou H, Ni F, Wu X, Qi Y (2009) Rice MicroRNA Effector Complexes and Targets. The Plant Cell 21: 3421–3435

Xia R, Meyers BC, Liu Z, Beers EP, Ye S, Liu Z (2013) MicroRNA superfamilies descended from miR390 and their roles in secondary small interfering RNA Biogenesis in Eudicots. Plant Cell 25: 1555–1572

Xia R, Xu J, Arikit S, Meyers BC (2015) Extensive Families of miRNAs and PHAS Loci in Norway Spruce Demonstrate the Origins of Complex phasiRNA Networks in Seed Plants. Mol Biol Evol 32: 2905–2918

Xie Z, Kasschau KD, Carrington JC (2003) Negative feedback regulation of Dicer-Like1 in Arabidopsis by microRNA-guided mRNA degradation. Curr Biol 13: 784–789

Zhai J, Jeong D-H, De Paoli E, Park S, Rosen BD, Li Y, González AJ, Yan Z, Kitto SL, Grusak MA, et al (2011) MicroRNAs as master regulators of the plant NB-LRR defense gene family via the production of phased, trans-acting siRNAs. Genes Dev 25: 2540–2553

Zhang H, Xia R, Meyers BC, Walbot V (2015) Evolution, functions, and mysteries of plant ARGONAUTE proteins. Curr Opin Plant Biol 27: 84–90

Zhang R, Marshall D, Bryan GJ, Hornyik C (2013) Identification and characterization of miRNA transcriptome in potato by high-throughput sequencing. PLoS One 8: e57233

Zuo J, Grierson D, Courtney LT, Wang Y, Gao L, Zhao X, Zhu B, Luo Y, Wang Q, Giovannoni JJ (2020) Relationships between genome methylation, levels of non-coding RNAs, mRNAs and metabolites in ripening tomato fruit. Plant J 103: 980–

1365   994

Figure 1: Solanaceae-specific diversification of the *AGO* family highlights expansion and subfunctionalization of subfamily members. Phylogenetic tree of proteins encoded by the *AGO* gene family in the Solanaceae (A). Clades of *AGO* subfamily members are drawn with distinct colors. Yellow boxes highlight groups of *AGO* genes that have expanded in Solanaceae. Red circles indicate *AGO* homologs in tomatoes. Heatmaps show the relative expression of *AGO1* and *AGO2* from public data (B). Orthologous protein groups were identified using OrthoFinder and SonicParanoid. Protein alignments and phylogeny analysis were completed using MUSCLE and IQ- TREE. Phylogenetic tree was illustrated using iTOL.

Figure 2: Phylogenetic analysis of DCL family members encoded in the Solanaceae highlights a *Solanum*/*Capsicum*-specific expansion of DCL2. Phylogenetic tree of protein sequences indicating *DCL* subfamily members in the Solanaceae (A). Subclades of the *DCL* family are drawn with distinct colors and yellow boxes highlight groups of *DCL2* that expanded in *Capsicum* and *Solanum* genera. Red circles indicate tomato paralogs for *DCL2*. Heatmaps show the relative abundance of these tomato *DCL2* paralogs in diverse samples (B). Protein orthologous groups were identified using OrthoFinder and SonicParanoid. Protein alignments and phylogeny analysis were done using MUSCLE and IQ- TREE. Phylogenetic tree was visualized using iTOL.

Figure 3: MicroRNA predictions across Solanaceae support a lineage-specific expansion of miRNAs. Venn diagrams of total miRNAs predicted by miRPrefer (red), Shortstack (yellow), and both programs (orange) for each species (A). Upset plots showing novel (B) and known (C) miRNAs that are shared across Solanaceae species. For each Upset plot: the bottom left panel shows the entire size of each set as a horizontal histogram, the bottom right panel shows the intersection matrix, and the upper right panel shows the size of each combination as a vertical histogram. The red line marks miRNAs common to all species, the purple line marks miRNAs common to both *Petunia* species, the orange line marks miRNAs common to both *Solanum* species, the green line marks miRNAs common to both *Nicotiana* species, and the blue and yellow lines mark benthi- and pepper-specific miRNAs, respectively.

Figure 4: miR5303 aligns to MITE terminal inverted repeat (TIR) sequences. The previously-annotated Solanaceae-specific miRNA family, miR5303, exhibits many features that disqualify it as a bonafide miRNA. The majority of the miR5303 miRBase entries include mature sequences that are 24-nt in length, indicating that these sRNAs are likely derived from siRNA producing loci (A). miR5303 miRBase entries are highly variable in their sequence; we identified a consensus sequence to isolate true miR5303 family members (highlighted in the yellow box and shown in Supplemental Figure 3) (A). We filtered out miRNAs > 22-nt in length; however, our predicted miR5303 family members that are 21 or 22 nt follow the same 5' consensus sequence (UUUUG) as the miRBase entries (B). The predicted precursors of miR5303 family members have near perfect hairpin folding, indicating that these loci are likely inverted repeats, rather than real miRNA precursors (C), and BLAST alignment of miR5303 family members to the plant MITE database produces a perfect alignment between the miR5303 species and MITE TIR sequences (D).

Figure 5: Genus-specific trends of 21-nt *PHAS* loci within the Solanaceae. *Solanum* (tomato and potato) and *Capsicum* (pepper) genera produce 2-3 times more PHAS loci and predominantly express disease resistance-related coding loci, relative to *Nicotiana* (tobacco and benthi) and *Petunia* genera (A). The raw number of loci for each category in (A) is shown in white. All of the species express non-coding PHAS loci (green). A previously unidentified non-coding TAS-like locus is shown for on chromosome 5 in tomato (left) and potato (right) (B), and a previously identified non-coding *Nicotiana*-conserved TAS-like 1/2 locus is plotted for tobacco (left) and benthi (right) (C).

Figure 6: *DCL2* **phasiRNA-producing loci are conserved across Solanaceous species.** *DCL2* paralogs in pepper, tomato, and potato, and orthologs in petunia, benthi, and tobacco all produce 21-nt phasiRNAs (A). We identified conserved miRNA target sites for miR10533 and miR6026 in pepper, tomato, potato, and petunia (red and yellow arrow respectively denotes cleavage sites) that has a strong target score, and may be a conserved mechanism for triggering DCL2 *PHAS* locus production in these species (B).

Figure 7: hc-siRNA expression across chromosomes in Solanaceae. Normalized read counts for 24-nt TE-associated hc-siRNAs are plotted across the assembled chromosomes for tobacco, tomato, potato, and pepper. The x-axis represents the chromosome number, alternating between grey and black, and the y-axis represents the average number of reads at each chromosome position. The average read number was calculated based on the number of available libraries for each species. As expected, the majority of hc-siRNAs map to telomeric ends. Unexpectedly, potato accumulates a low proportion of 24-nt hc-siRNAs relative to total sRNAs.

Figure 8: Tomato produces 22-nt sRNA clusters in response to geminivirus infection.
Small RNA reads mapped to two tomato loci (Ch05:268043..272842 (A and B) and
Ch05:2979082..2987879 (C and D)) for a gemnivirus infected and control sample pair shows
strong expression of 22-nt siRNAs (shown as green dots) in response to viral treatments. The
central red and blue boxes represent gene models from the 3.0 version of *S. lycopersicum*
genome, and shaded boxes represent repetitive sequences. Dots represent sRNA reads, color-
coded by length, mapped to the genomic region, with abundance represented by the position
along the Y axis. Hollow dots are multi-mappers, and solid dots are unique-mappers. (A) and (C)
are expression plots of control, mock-infected samples, and (B) and (D) are from the
corresponding virus-infected samples.