**TCAB1 prevents nucleolar accumulation of the telomerase RNA to**

**promote telomerase assembly**

Basma S. Al-Masraf [1,2,3], Gloria I. Perez [1], Kate Adams-Boone [1], Scott B. Cohen [4], Li

Han [5], Kefei Yu [5], Jens C. Schmidt [1,6,*]

[1] Institute for Quantitative Health Sciences and Engineering, Michigan State University,

East Lansing, MI, U.S.A.

[2] College of Osteopathic Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, U.S.A.

[3] Cellular and Molecular Biology Graduate Program, College of Natural Sciences,

Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, U.S.A.

[4] Children's Medical Research Institute and University of Sydney, Westmead, NSW

2145, Australia.

[5] Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East

Lansing, MI, U.S.A.

[6] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State

University, East Lansing, MI, U.S.A.

[*] Correspondence: schmi706@msu.edu

**Abstract**

Localization of a wide variety of RNAs to non-membrane bound cellular compartments

such as nucleoli, Cajal bodies, and stress-granules is critical for their function and

stability. The molecular mechanisms that underly the recruitment and exclusion of specific

RNAs from these phase-separated organelles is poorly understood. Telomerase is a

ribonucleoprotein (RNP), that is composed of the reverse transcriptase protein TERT, the

telomerase RNA (TR), and several auxiliary proteins that associate with TR, including

TCAB1. Here we show that, that in the absence of TCAB1, TR is sequestered in the

nucleolus, while TERT localizes to the nucleoplasm and is excluded from the nucleolus,

which prevents telomerase assembly. Thus, nuclear compartmentalization by the non-

membrane bound nucleolus counteracts telomerase assembly and TCAB1 is required to

exclude the telomerase RNA from the nucleolus. Our work provides general insight into

the mechanism and functional consequences of RNA recruitment to organelles formed

by phase-separation and proposes a new model explaining the critical role of TCAB1 in

telomerase function.

**Introduction**

Human cells contain a number of non-membrane bound organelles that carry out critical cellular functions. For instance, nucleoli and Cajal bodies are phase-separated nuclear organelles that play important roles in the biogenesis and maturation of many cellular RNAs (Hyman et al., 2014; Mitrea and Kriwacki, 2016). Nucleoli and Cajal bodies contain a wide range of small nucleolar and small Cajal body-specific RNAs (snoRNAs and scaRNAs, respectively). A subset of these snoRNAs and scaRNAs are bound by the H/ACA complex, which contains NOP10, NHP2, GAR1, and the pseudouridylase dyskerin, which modifies ribosomal and spliceosomal RNA precursors and other RNAs (Angrisani et al., 2014). A key difference between snoRNAs and scaRNAs is the presence of the Cajal-body box (CAB-box) motif in scaRNAs that directly associates with the telomerase Cajal body protein 1 (TCAB1, also known as WRAP53) (Jády et al., 2004; Schmidt and Cech, 2015; Venteicher et al., 2009). TCAB1 is required for the recruitment of scaRNAs to Cajal bodies and in its the absence scaRNAs localize to the nucleolus (Tycowski et al., 2009). Therefore, TCAB1 controls which phase-separated nuclear organelle scaRNAs associate with. Importantly, the molecular mechanism by which TCAB1 drives exclusion of scaRNAs from the nucleolus and facilitates their recruitment to Cajal bodies is unknown. In addition, it is unclear whether miss-localization of scaRNAs to the nucleolus has functional consequences.

The telomerase RNA (TR) is a scaRNA and like other scaRNAs its association with nucleoli and Cajal bodies is controlled by TCAB1 (Schmidt and Cech, 2015). Telomere maintenance by telomerase is essential for continuous proliferation of stem cell

populations in the human body and most cancers require telomerase activity for their

survival (Stewart and Weinberg, 2006). To compensate for the incomplete replication of

chromosome ends, telomerase appends TTAGGG repeats to the telomeric single-

stranded overhang (Schmidt and Cech, 2015). Telomerase-mediated telomere

maintenance requires three critical steps: Telomerase assembly, telomerase recruitment

to telomeres, and telomeric repeat synthesis (Schmidt and Cech, 2015). Mutations in

several genes have been identified that cause deficiencies in one of these critical steps

and lead to a variety of diseases known as telomere syndromes, characterized by

premature depletion of stem cell populations (Armanios and Blackburn, 2012). In addition,

telomerase is inappropriately activated in >85% of cancers (Stewart and Weinberg,

2006). While telomerase recruitment to telomeres (Nandakumar and Cech, 2013) and

telomerase catalysis (Wu et al., 2017) have been studied extensively, much less is known

about telomerase assembly. Importantly, telomerase assembly could be targeted to

reduce telomerase activity in cancer cells, or to increase telomerase function in patients

affected by genetically defined telomerase deficiency syndromes (Nagpal et al., 2020;

Shukla et al., 2020).

Telomerase is a complex ribonucleoprotein (RNP). The core components of telomerase

are the telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) protein, TR, the H/ACA complex, and

TCAB1 (Schmidt and Cech, 2015). The primary function of the H/ACA complex is to

stabilize TR, by directly binding to its 3'-end, preventing the exonucleolytic degradation of

TR (Stuart et al., 2015; Tummala et al., 2015). The 3'-end formation of TR is tightly

regulated by the competing activities of the poly-(A) polymerase PAPD5 and the nuclease

PARN (Shukla et al., 2016; Tseng et al., 2015). Loss of TCAB1 function leads to telomere

attrition in a variety of cell lines (Chen et al., 2018; Venteicher et al., 2009; Vogan et al.,

2016; Zhong et al., 2011). In addition, multiple mutations in TCAB1 have been identified

that cause misfolding of TCAB1 and lead to dyskeratosis congenita, a telomere syndrome

(Freund et al., 2014; Zhong et al., 2011). While these observations highlight that TCAB1

is necessary for telomere maintenance, the underlying molecular mechanism is unclear.

Initially, it was proposed that TCAB1 is required for telomerase recruitment to telomeres

(Stern et al., 2012; Venteicher et al., 2009). A more recent study suggested that TCAB1

is required for the correct folding of TR, and that its absence causes a reduction in

telomerase activity (Chen et al., 2018). Importantly, all previous studies have come to the

conclusion that TCAB1 is not required for telomerase assembly.

Here we analyze telomerase assembly in intact cells and by purification of the telomerase

RNP and demonstrate that, contrary to previous findings, TCAB1 promotes telomerase

assembly *in vivo.*  Using a combination of genetic perturbations, biochemical analysis of

telomerase function, cell biological approaches, and single-molecule live cell imaging, we

show that in the absence of TCAB1, TR is sequestered in the nucleolus while TERT is

excluded from the nucleolus. The spatial separation of TERT and TR that we observe in

our experiments is inconsistent with proper telomerase assembly. Furthermore, we show

that the limited amount of telomerase that can assemble in the absence of TCAB1 is fully

active and can localize to telomeres, suggesting that TCAB1 is not necessary for the

enzymatic function of telomerase or its recruitment to telomeres. We conclude that the

sequestration of TR in the nucleolus, when TCAB1 is absent, prevents its association with

TERT, and that this lack of telomerase assembly is the molecular mechanism underlying

the critical role of TCAB1 in telomere maintenance. Our results also demonstrate that the

nucleolar phase separation constitutes a barrier for telomerase assembly and suggest

that incompletely assembled RNPs are tightly associated with the nucleolus and do not

readily enter the nucleoplasm.

**Results**

**Loss of TCAB1 leads to nucleolar accumulation of TR**

To confirm that TR is sequestered in the nucleolus in the absence of TCAB1, we knocked

out TCAB1 in HeLa cells and HeLa cells expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT (Halo-

TERT) using Cas9 with two guide RNAs to delete exons 2 and 3 from the TCAB1 gene,

which removes the coding sequence for residues 144-214 of TCAB1 and results in a

frame shift (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1). TCAB1 knock-out was validated by Southern

blot, PCR, Western blot, and immunofluorescence imaging (IF, Fig. 1A-C, Figure 1 –

figure supplement 1). To assure that no truncated form of TCAB1 was expressed in

TCAB1 knock-out cells, we assessed TCAB1 expression using two antibodies, targeting

the N-terminus and C-terminus of TACB1, respectively (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1).

TCAB1 knock-out cells continuously grew at approximately 60% of the rate of their

parental cell lines (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1). Telomeres in cells lacking TCAB1

were stable at a shorter length than their parental control, as previously described (Vogan

et al., 2016). Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) demonstrated that TR accumulates

in the nucleolus in cells that lack TCAB1, as indicated by co-localization of TR and

nucleolar dyskerin signals. Importantly, expression of GFP-TCAB1 in TCAB1 knock-out

cells rescued TR localization to Cajal bodies (Figure 1 – figure supplement 1), confirming

that the miss-localization of TR to nucleoli is caused by absence of TCAB1. These

observations demonstrate that TCAB1 is required to prevent TR accumulation in the

nucleolus.

**Figure 1. TR is localized to nucleoli in TCAB1 knock-out cells. (A-B)** Western blot demonstrating the absence of TCAB1 protein in TCAB1 knock-out cell lines generated from **(A)** HeLa and **(B)** Halo-TERT parental cell lines (probed with Proteintech TCAB1 antibody). **(C)** Immuno-fluorescence with anti-dyskerin and anti-TCAB1 antibodies coupled to fluorescence in-situ hybridization with probes against TR, demonstrating the absence of TCAB1 and TR localization to nucleoli in TCAB1 knock-out cells (scale bar = 5 μm).

## TERT is excluded from nucleoli

Our previous observations demonstrated that TERT does not enter nucleoli in human cancer cells (Schmidt et al., 2016). To confirm these results, we performed single-molecule imaging of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT in living HeLa cells. Consistent with our previous results, 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT was not observed entering or overlapping with

138 nucleoli, which are discernible as circular shapes in the nucleus under transmitted light

**Figure 2. TERT is excluded from nucleoli in control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. (A)** Maximum intensity projections of 2000 frames of 3xFLAG-HaloTag (JF646) TERT movies (bottom), demonstrating that the TERT signal does not overlap with the nucleolus detected as circular shape in the transmitted light image in control and TCAB1 knock-out cells (top, red dashed line, scale bar = 2 µm). **(B)** TERT particle trajectories from the cells shown in Fig. 2A, demonstrating that TERT molecules move parallel to or away from the nucleolus when located at the interface between the nucleolus and nucleoplasm.

illumination (Fig. 2A,B, Figure 2 – figure supplement 1, Movie 1,2). TERT trajectories in

close proximity to nucleoli revealed that their movement is biased away from the

nucleolus, suggesting that TERT is repelled by the nucleolus (Fig. 2B). To exclude the

possibility that nucleolar exclusion is a consequence of the 3xFLAG-HaloTag on the N-

terminus of TERT used in our experiments, we transiently expressed the 3xFLAG-

HaloTag fused to a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) in HeLa cells. Single-molecule

imaging demonstrated that the nuclear 3xFLAG-HaloTag signals overlapped with the

nucleolus (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1, Movie 3). Similar to the 3xFLAG-HaloTag

alone, 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin also localized to the nucleolus (Figure 2 – figure

supplement 1). These results demonstrate that 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT is excluded from

the nucleolus and that this exclusion is not caused by the 3xFLAG-HaloTag but instead

is an intrinsic property of the TERT protein.

**TERT and TR localize to distinct nuclear compartments in cells lacking TCAB1**

It is well established that telomerase assembly is incomplete in human cancer cells, which

leads to substantial pools of TERT and TR that are not assembled into telomerase RNPs

(Xi and Cech, 2014). Together with our observation that TERT molecules rarely enter the

nucleolus (Schmidt et al., 2016) this suggests that both free TERT and assembled

telomerase RNPs do not enter the nucleolus. To test whether, like TR, TERT accumulates

in the nucleolus in cells lacking TCAB1, we carried out single-molecule imaging of

3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT in TCAB1 knock-out cells. Strikingly, TERT localization is

unchanged in cells lacking TCAB1 (Fig. 2A,B). This suggests that unlike TR, TERT does

not accumulate in nucleoli when TCAB1 is absent.

The experiments described so far demonstrate that TCAB1 is enriched in nucleoli

and TERT is excluded from nucleoli in cells lacking TCAB1, but they do not

simultaneously detect TERT and TR in the same cell. To overcome this limitation, we

over-expressed mCherry-TERT and TR in TCAB1 knock-out cells. In controls, TERT and

TR colocalized with dyskerin at telomeres marked by TRF2 (Fig. 3A). In addition, analysis

of the TERT signal across the nucleus and nucleolus revealed that TERT was depleted

from the nucleolus (Fig. 3B). Importantly, mCherry-dyskerin localized to the nucleolus,

demonstrating that the mCherry-tag does not lead to nucleolar exclusion of its fusion

partner (Figure 3 – figure supplement 1). In cells lacking TCAB1, mCherry-TERT was

diffusely localized in the nucleoplasm, localized to a subset of telomeres, and was

depleted from the nucleolus (Fig. 3A,C). Similar to endogenous TR, overexpressed TR

was enriched in the nucleolus in TCAB1 knock-out cells (Fig. 3A). In addition, TR was

frequently co-localized with telomeres when mCherry-TERT and TR were overexpressed

Figure 3. TERT and TR localize to distinct nuclear compartments in TCAB1 knock-out cells. (A) IF-FISH images of control and TCAB1 knock-out HeLa cells (3xFLAG-Halo-TERT, mEOS3.2-TRF2) overexpressing mCherry-TERT and TR (scale bar = 5 µm). Cells were probed with antibodies against dyskerin and mCherry, and FISH probes specific to TR. The intrinsic fluorescence of mEOS3.2-TRF2 was used to detect telomeres. TR and TERT are co-localized at telomeres in control cells. In TCAB1 knock-out cells, TR is enriched in nucleoli, while TERT is depleted from nucleoli in both control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. Both TERT and TR also localize to telomeres in TCAB1 knock-out cells. (B-C) Line scans of (B) control and (C) TCAB1 knock-out cells along the dashed white lines in Fig. 3A, demonstrating the enrichment of TR (green) in nucleoli (blue) in TCAB1 knock-out cells and the depletion of TERT (magenta) from nucleoli in both control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. (D) Quantification of the fraction of cells showing TR localization exclusively to telomeres, to telomeres and nucleoli, or only to nucleoli in cells over-expressing mCherry-TERT and TR (3 independent experiments, >100 cells per experiments, mean ± standard deviation).

in cells that lack TCAB1 (Fig. 3A,C-D). Similar TR localization patterns were found when

overexpressing untagged TERT and TR (Figure 3 – figure supplement 1) and untagged

TERT was excluded from nucleoli, confirming that nucleolar exclusion is an intrinsic

property of TERT (Figure 3 – figure supplement 1). Together these results demonstrate

that, when overexpressed in TCAB1 knock-out cells, TR is enriched in nucleoli and TERT

is depleted from nucleoli, consistent with a failure of nucleolar TR to assemble with TERT.

In addition, our observations suggest that overexpression can partially overcome the

sequestration of TR in the nucleolus when TCAB1 is absent, allowing a fraction of TR to

bind to TERT and localize to telomeres.

**TCAB1 promotes telomerase RNP assembly**

Previous studies by other laboratories have concluded that telomerase assembly is

unaffected by the absence of TCAB1 and whether TCAB1 is required for telomerase

activity is controversial (Chen et al., 2018; Venteicher et al., 2009; Vogan et al., 2016).

To assess the role of TCAB1 in telomerase assembly, we immuno-purified endogenous

telomerase using a well-established anti-TERT antibody (Cohen et al., 2007). The amount

of TERT purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells was reduced compared to control cells

(Figure 4A), which likely indicates a lower expression level of TERT in cells lacking

TCAB1. In contrast, TR levels were not reduced in cells lacking TCAB1 (Figure 4B). To

quantify telomerase assembly, we measured TERT levels by Western blot and

determined the amount of TR co-purified using Northern blot (Fig. 4A,B). The fraction of

TR associated with TERT was reduced to < 20% in TCAB1 knock-out cells compared to

parental controls (Fig. 4C). In addition, the ratio of TR relative to TERT, which is a direct

measure of telomerase assembly, was reduced to 20-40% in cells lacking TCAB1 relative

to controls (Fig. 4D). This excludes the possibility that the lower amount of TR co-purified

with TERT from TCAB1 knock-out cells is a consequence of the reduction of total TERT

immuno-precipitated from these cells (Fig. 4A). These observations strongly

**Figure 4. Telomerase Assembly is reduced in the absence of TCAB1. (A)** Western blots analyzing endogenous TERT immuno-purification (using a sheep anti-TERT antibody) probed with a rabbit anti-TERT antibody (Abcam). TERT level normalized to WT (n = 3, SD). **(B)** Northern blot of RNA extracted from input and purified endogenous TERT samples probed with radiolabeled DNA oligonucleotides complementary to TR. Standards are *in vitro* transcribed full-length TR and truncated $TR_S$. $TR_S$ was added to samples prior to RNA extraction as loading and recovery control. Input samples were also probed for 7SL RNA as loading control. Input TR levels relative to WT control normalized to 7SL RNA (n = 3, SD). **(C-D)** Quantification of the amount of **(C)** TR purified relative to input RNA levels, and **(D)** the ratio of TR relative to TERT in telomerase samples purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells compared to controls (n = 3, mean, T-Test). The dashed lines indicate the level in telomerase purified from wild-type TCAB1 cells which was normalized to 1.0. **(E)** Western blots analyzing TERT immuno-purification (using a sheep anti-TERT antibody) from control cells (WT) or TCAB1 knock-out cells (TKO) overexpressing TERT and TR probed with a rabbit anti-TERT antibody (Abcam) and a TCAB1 antibody. **(F)** Northern blot of RNA extracted from input and purified TERT samples from control cells (WT) or TCAB1 knock-out cells (TKO) overexpressing TERT and TR probed with radiolabeled DNA oligonucleotides complementary to TR. Standards are *in vitro* transcribed full-length TR and truncated $TR_S$. $TR_S$ was added to samples prior to RNA extraction as loading and recovery control. Input samples were also probed for 7SL RNA as loading control. **(G)** Western blots to analyze immuno-purified telomerase RNP composition. A single membrane was cut into two pieces that were probed with TERT and dyskerin antibodies, respectively. **(H-J)** Quantification of the amount of **(H)** TR relative to input TR (n = 3), **(I)** the ratio of TR to TERT (n = 8), and **(J)** dyskerin (n = 7) in TERT purifications from TCAB1 knock-out cells overexpressing TERT and TR compared to parental controls (mean, T-Test). The dashed lines indicate the level in telomerase purified from wild-type TCAB1 cells which was normalized to 1.0.

suggest that telomerase assembly is defective in cells that lack TCAB1. To further test

this hypothesis, we overexpressed TERT and TR in parental and TCAB1 knock-out cells

and immuno-purified TERT with the same TERT antibody (Fig. 4E, Figure 4 - figure

supplement 1). After overexpression of TERT and TR, the fraction of TR associated with

TERT and the ratio of TR relative to TERT were significantly reduced when telomerase

was purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells (Fig. 4E-F ,H-I , Figure 4 - figure supplement 1).

Furthermore, the increased amount of telomerase purified after over expression allowed

us to assess the amount of dyskerin associated with the telomerase RNP (Fig. 4G). Since

TR bridges TERT and dyskerin, dyskerin co-purified with TERT directly reports on the

presence of TR. Consistent with the reduction in TR, the amount of dyskerin bound to

TERT was also reduced when TERT was purified from cells lacking TCAB1 compared to

parental controls (Fig. 4G, H, Figure 4 - figure supplement 1). Importantly, we also

confirmed that TCAB1 is absent from telomerase purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells

(Fig. 4E, Figure 4 - figure supplement 1). Altogether, these results demonstrate that

telomerase assembly is significantly reduced (to ~20-40% of control levels) in the

absence of TCAB1 and that overexpression of TERT and TR is not sufficient to overcome

this defect in telomerase RNP formation.

**TCAB1 is not required for telomerase catalytic activity**

To assess whether TCAB1 is required for telomerase catalysis, we first analyzed the

enzymatic activity of endogenous telomerase purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells using

the direct telomerase extension assays (Fig. 5A,B). Consistent with previous results

(Chen et al., 2018), telomerase activity was strongly reduced in the absence of TCAB1

(Fig. 5C). To address whether this reduction in telomerase activity was a consequence of

the defect in telomerase assembly observed in TCAB1 knock-out cells, we determined

the specific activity of telomerase by dividing the measured activity by the amount of TR

present in the respective telomerase sample. Due to the very small amount of TR

**Figure 5. The specific activity of telomerase is unchanged in the absence of TCAB1. (A-B)** Direct telomerase extension assay of endogenous telomerase immuno-purified from parental (WT) and TCAB1 knock-out (TKO) **(A)** HeLa and **(B)** Halo-TERT cell lines. Assays were carried out in the presence of 300 mM KCl and limiting amounts of dGTP. LC1 and LC2, radiolabeled DNA oligonucleotide loading controls. **(C)** Quantification of endogenous telomerase activity in samples from TCAB1 knock-out cells relative to parental controls (n = 3, mean). **(D-E)** Direct telomerase extension assay of overexpressed telomerase immuno-purified from parental (WT) and TCAB1 knock-out (TKO) **(D)** HeLa and **(E)** Halo-TERT cell lines. Assays were carried out in the presence of 150 mM KCl and 10 µM dATP, dTTP, and dGTP. LC1 and LC2, radiolabeled DNA oligonucleotide loading controls. In -Ab samples the TERT antibody was omitted during the immuno-purification. **(F)** Quantification of overexpressed telomerase activity in samples from TCAB1 knock-out cells relative to parental controls (n = 4-7, mean). **(G)** Specific activity of overexpressed telomerase purified from TCAB1 knock-out cells relative to parental controls (n = 4-7, mean, T-Test). Specific activity was calculated by dividing the relative activity (see Fig. 5F) by the relative amount of TR present in immuno-purified TERT samples (Fig. 4F). The dashed lines indicate the activity level in telomerase purified from wild-type TCAB1 control cells which was normalized to 1.0.

detected in endogenous telomerase samples (Fig. 4B-C), quantifying differences in the

specific activity of endogenous telomerase was challenging. To overcome this limitation,

we determined the specific activity of telomerase purified from cells overexpressing TERT

and TR. Similar to endogenous telomerase, activity of over-expressed telomerase purified

from HeLa and Halo-TERT cells lacking TCAB1 was significantly reduced to 24% and

34% compared to controls, respectively (Fig. 5D-F). The specific activity of over-

expressed telomerase purified from HeLa and Halo-TERT cells lacking TCAB1 was

slightly reduced (84% and 77% relative to control, respectively), but this reduction was

not statistically significant (Fig. 5G). Together these observations demonstrate that

cellular telomerase activity is reduced in the absence of TCAB1. Importantly, this

reduction in catalytic activity corresponds closely to the reduction of telomerase assembly

observed in TCAB1 knock-out cells, suggesting that the limited number of telomerase

RNPs that form in the absence of TCAB1 are fully active.

**TCAB1 is required for telomerase assembly in living cells**

The experiments presented thus far demonstrate that telomerase assembly is reduced in

the absence of TCAB1 but were carried out in fixed cells or cell lysates. To analyze

telomerase assembly in intact cells, we carried out live cell single-molecule imaging of

3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT and determined the diffusion coefficient of TERT particles (Fig.

6A, Movie 4, Figure 6 – figure supplement 1). The diffusion co-efficient is a measure of

the rate of movement of a molecule and depends on the size of the complex it is part of

and reports on molecular interactions formed with other sub-cellular structures. Analysis

of the diffusion coefficients of TERT trajectories in control cells revealed three distinct

populations of TERT particles (Fig. 6B-D). A static population ($D_S$ = 0.03 $\mu m^2$/s, 12%)

which likely represents assembled telomerase RNPs bound to telomeres, Cajal bodies or

other cellular structures, a slowly diffusion population ($D_{F1}$ = 0.35 µm²/s, 28%) and a

rapidly diffusing population ($D_{F2}$ = 1.54 µm²/s, 60%). The slowly diffusing population likely

includes assembled telomerase RNPs, while the rapidly diffusing particles represents

TERT molecules, which are not assembled with TR (Fig. 6B). Importantly, these diffusion

coefficients are largely consistent with our previous results using a distinct analytical

method to determine their values (Schmidt et al., 2016). In the absence of TCAB1, the

diffusion coefficient of the freely diffusing TERT population was increased ($D_{F2}$ = 1.78 ±

0.04 µm²/s, mean ± SEM, p = 0.04, Fig. 6C) and the fraction of the TERT populations that

includes assembled telomerase RNPs was significantly reduced ($F_{Slow+Static}$ = 29 ± 1%,

mean ± SEM, p = 0.02, Fig. 6D). This observation is consistent with our model that in the

absence of TCAB1, telomerase assembly is defective. To confirm that the differences in

TERT diffusion observed in TCAB1 knock-out cells were a consequences of a reduction

in telomerase assembly, we knocked out TR, completely abolishing telomerase

assembly. TR knock-out was confirmed by PCR and Sanger sequencing, FISH, and

qPCR (Figure 6 – figure supplement 1). Similar to control cells and TCAB1 knock-out

cells, TERT was also excluded from nucleoli in cells lacking TR (Figure 6 – figure

supplement 1). Strikingly, the diffusion coefficients and the fraction of slow and static

TERT particles in cells lacking TR closely resembled those of TCAB1 knock-out cells (Fig.

6A-D, Figure 6 – figure supplement 1, Movie 4-5). It is important to note that, even in TR

knock-out cells, 25-30% of TERT particles are slowly diffusing or static (Fig. 6D). Because

TR is absent in these cells, the slowly diffusing and static TERT molecules must be the

result of interactions of TERT with cellular structures other than Cajal bodies or telomeres.

Due to this caveat, we don't believe it is appropriate to use these results to precisely

quantify the degree to which telomerase assembly is affected. The key observation in

these experiments is that the changes in TERT diffusion observed in TCAB1 knock-out

cells are identical to those observed in TR knock-out cells, which is consistent with a

reduction in telomerase assembly when TCAB1 is absent.

To analyze the interaction of TERT with telomeres, we filtered out TERT

trajectories that came into proximity with telomeres marked by mEOS3.2-TRF2, as

previously described (Schmidt et al., 2016). To assess the interaction of TERT with

telomeres, we plotted the step-size vs. the distance from the closest telomere for each

step of these trajectories (Figure 6 – figure supplement 2). In control cells, we observed

an enrichment of smaller step sizes and particles in close proximity to telomeres,

consistent with TERT interactions with the telomere (Figure 6 – figure supplement 2). In

contrast, TERT trajectories from TCAB1 knock-out cells lacked this enrichment, and the

step size vs. distance from the closest telomere plots were identical to those from TR

knock-out cells (Figure 6 – figure supplement 2). In addition, diffusion analysis using

SpotOn revealed that the fraction of static TERT particles at telomeres was reduced from

12% in control cells to 4-5% in TCAB1 and TR knock-out cells (Figure 6 – figure

supplement 2). These observations indicate that in the absence of either TCAB1 or TR,

stable interactions of telomerase with telomeres occur at a lower frequency because they

require base pairing of TR with the chromosome end (Schmidt et al., 2018). Together

these single-molecule imaging experiments demonstrate that in living cells telomerase

assembly is strongly reduced in the absence of TCAB1.

**Figure 6. Telomerase assembly is reduced in TCAB1 knock-out cells. (A)** TERT particle trajectories from control, TCAB1 knock-out, and TR knock-out cells expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag TERT (JF646, scale bar = 2 μm). **(B)** Diagram of distinct populations of TERT particles detected in control cells. **(C)** Diffusion coefficient of the rapidly diffusing TERT population in control, TCAB1 knock-out, and TR knock-out cells (3 independent experiments, >15 cells per experiment per cell line, mean ± standard deviation, T-Test, complete data in Fig. S6D). **(D)** Fraction of slow plus static TERT particles in control, TCAB1 knock-out, and TR knock-out cells expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag TERT (3 independent experiments, >15 cells per experiment per cell line, mean ± standard deviation, T-Test, complete data in Figure 6 – figure supplement 1).

## Analysis of nucleolar snoRNP dynamics

The results presented thus far have demonstrated that TR accumulates in nucleoli in the

absence of TCAB1 but did not provide any insight into the dynamics of TR association

with the nucleolus. Since TR is targeted to nucleoli by dyskerin and other H/ACA RNP

components, we used dyskerin as a surrogate for TR and H/ACA snoRNPs in general.

We transiently expressed HaloTagged dyskerin in parental HeLa and TCAB1 knock-out

cells and analyzed dyskerin binding to the nucleolus using fluorescence recovery after

photobleaching (FRAP). We identified cells with two clearly visible nucleoli, completely

photo-bleached the dyskerin signal in one of the nucleoli and quantified the recovery of

the fluorescence signal (Fig. 7A, Movies 6-7). The dyskerin signal recovered rapidly ($t_{1/2}$

= 28 s) but only ~65% of the signal was recovered after > 4 minutes (Fig. 7B-D, Movies

6-7). This indicates that there are at least two distinct populations of dyskerin molecules

in the nucleolus, a rapidly exchanging population and a static population that does not

dissociate from the nucleolus over the time course of this experiment (Fig. 7D). The

presence of a mobile dyskerin population was confirmed by analysis of the unbleached

nucleolus, which lost fluorescence signal with similar kinetics (Fig. 7A, Figure 7 – figure

supplement 1). Importantly, no significant difference in dyskerin dynamics were observed

in TCAB1 knock-out cells compared to parental controls (Fig. 7A-D, Figure 7 – figure

supplement 1). To further analyze the interaction of dyskerin with the nucleolus, we co-

transfected cells with plasmids encoding HaloTagged dyskerin and GFP-NPM1 to mark

nucleoli and carried out single-molecule live cell imaging (Fig. 7E, Movie 8). We observed

both dynamic and highly static dyskerin molecules in the nucleolus (Fig. 7F, Movie 8).

The step size distribution for all tracks was best fit with a three-state model (Figure 7 –

figure supplement 1). Like TERT, these three states likely represent free dyskerin,

dyskerin that is part of an H/ACA RNP, and dyskerin that is bound to nucleoli or Cajal

bodies as part of an H/ACA RNP. To analyze dyskerin binding to the nucleolus we filtered

out single-particle trajectories that overlapped with the GFP-NPM1 (Fig. 7F, Figure 7 –

figure supplement 1). Consistent with the FRAP analysis, approximately on third of the

dyskerin molecules were statically associated with the nucleolus (Fig. 7F-G, Figure 7 –

figure supplement 1). We also confirmed that dyskerin molecules can be associated

**Figure 7. Dyskerin exhibits dynamic and highly static binding to the nucleolus. (A)** Images of control and TCAB1 knock-out cells expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin before and after photobleaching of nucleolar dyskerin (JFX650, scale bar = 5 µm). **(B)** Fluorescence recovery curves of nucleolar dyskerin in control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. Data was fit with a single exponential function. **(C)** Quantification of half-life of fluorescence recovery, calculated from the rate constant of the single exponential fit of the data shown in Fig. 7B (n = 6 and 9, mean). **(D)** Quantification of the mobile fraction of nucleolar dyskerin based on the single exponential fit of the data shown in Fig. 7B (n = 6 and 9, mean). **(E)** Fluorescence images of single 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin particles, nucleoli marked by GFP-NPM1, and a widefield image of the imaged cell (scale bar = 5 µm). **(F)** Kymographs of nucleolar 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin particles over time, demonstrating the presence of both static (straight lines) and mobile dyskerin molecules in control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. **(G)** Quantification of the fraction of fast diffusing, slow diffusing, and static 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin particles in the whole cell (All), nucleoplasm (Nuc.), and nucleolus (Nucleo.), based on Spot-On analysis (data histograms can be found in Figure 8 - figure supplement 1).

with the nucleolus for extended periods of time by reducing the imaging rate to 1 frame

per second to avoid photobleaching (Figure 7 – figure supplement 1, Movie 9). Similar to

the FRAP analysis, no significant difference in dyskerin dynamics were observed in

TCAB1 knock-out cells compared to parental controls (Fig. 7E-G, Figure 7 – figure

supplement 1). In total, this analysis demonstrates that approximately a third of the

dyskerin containing H/ACA RNPs are tightly bound to the nucleolus and do not rapidly

exchange with the nucleoplasm.

**TR is tightly associated with the nucleolus in absence of TCAB1**

Our observations demonstrate that dyskerin containing snoRNPs can be either tightly

bound to the nucleolus or rapidly exchange with the nucleoplasm. To address whether

TR is tightly bound to the nucleolus in the absence of TCAB1 we carried out cellular

fractions to isolate nucleoli (Fig. 8, Figure 8 – figure supplement 1). Nucleoli were purified

by rupturing isolated nuclei using sonication, followed by centrifugation through a high-

density sucrose cushion (Figure 8 – figure supplement 1) (Lam and Lamond, 2006). If TR

rapidly dissociated from the nucleolus ($t_{1/2}$ = 28 s), we would expect it to be lost from the

nucleolar fraction during centrifugation through the sucrose cushion (10 min). In contrast,

if TR was tightly bound to the nucleolus, it should be recovered in the nucleolar fraction.

Isolated nucleoli were enriched with the nucleolar protein fribrillarin and the U3 snoRNA,

while being depleted of lamin B1 and the 7SK RNA (Fig. 8A,B), which serve as

nucleoplasmic markers, demonstrating that we successfully purified nucleoli using this

approach. To determine the amount of TR found in the nucleolus and the nucleoplasm,

we quantified the level of TR relative to that of the U3 or the 7SK RNA, respectively. In

control cells, the majority of TR was found in the nucleoplasmic fraction, and a small

amount of TR was detected in nucleoli (Fig. 8B), consistent with previous work that

analyzed TR localization by live cell imaging (Laprade et al., 2020). In contrast, in TCAB1

knock-out cells TR was depleted from the nucleoplasm and enriched in the nucleolus (Fig.

8B,C). To assess the impact that salt has on nucleolar integrity, we supplemented

ruptured nuclei with potassium chloride, prior to isolating nucleoli by centrifugation. After

exposure to a high salt concentration, fibrillarin and TR were found in the nucleoplasmic

fraction instead of the nucleolar pellet (Figure 8 – figure supplement 1), demonstrating

that nucleoli are disrupted and TR is released under these conditions. These observations

confirm that TR is sequestered in the nucleolus in the absence of TCAB1 and strongly

suggest that TR is tightly associated with the nucleolus under these circumstances,

preventing it from entering the nucleoplasm to allow telomerase assembly.

**Figure 8. The telomerase RNA is enriched in and tightly bound to the nucleolus. (A)** Western blots of samples of cellular fractionation experiments (Input, Cytoplasm, Nucleus, Nucleoplasm, Nucleolus, left to right) from control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. Blots were probed with antibodies against dyskerin, fibrillarin (nucleolar marker), and lamin B1 (nucleoplasmic marker). **(B)** Northern blots of samples of cellular fractionation experiments (Input, Cytoplasm, Nucleus, Nucleoplasm, Nucleolus, left to right) from control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. Blots were first probed with radiolabeled DNA oligonucleotides complementary to TR, followed by probes complementary to the 7SK RNA (nucleoplasmic marker) and the U3 snoRNA (nucleolar marker). **(C)** Quantification of the nucleoplasmic and nucleolar abundance of TR in TCAB1 knock-out cells relative to control cells. Nucleoplasmic TR signal was normalized to the 7SK RNA signal and nucleolar TR signal was normalized to the U3 RNA signal (n = 3, mean, T-Test). **(D)** Model for the regulation of telomerase assembly by TCAB1. In the absence of TCAB1, TR is sequestered in the dense fibrillar component (DFC) of the nucleolus, which is separated from the nucleoplasm by the granular component (GC) of the nucleolus.

**Discussion**

The experiments described in this study demonstrate that TCAB1 promotes telomerase assembly. In the absence of TCAB1 the telomerase RNA is targeted to the nucleolus via its association with dyskerin and other components of the H/ACA complex. In contrast to TR, TERT cannot enter the nucleolus, preventing its association with TR in cells that lack TCAB1. This demonstrates that nuclear compartmentalization, which is a consequence of nucleolar phase separation, counteracts telomerase assembly. In addition, we demonstrate that sequestration of TR in the phase-separated nucleolus in the absence of TCAB1 can be partially overcome by telomerase overexpression, leading to telomerase assembly and localization to telomeres. This suggests that the nucleolus has a limited capacity to accommodate cellular RNAs and that TCAB1 is not necessary for telomerase recruitment to telomeres. Our analysis of dyskerin bound snoRNP dynamics in nucleoli revealed that a third of these snoRNPs are tightly associated with the nucleolus and that TR is likely included in this fraction. Finally, we demonstrate that while telomerase assembly is limited the specific activity of telomerase is unchanged in the absence of TCAB1, which excludes a role of TCAB1 in telomerase catalytic function. Altogether our work completely reshapes our understanding of the role of TCAB1 in telomerase function in human cells and provides insight into the role phase-separated organelles play in RNP assembly and function.

**TCAB1 promotes telomerase assembly**

The importance of TCAB1 for telomere maintenance is undisputed (Chen et al., 2018; Venteicher et al., 2009). Knock-out or depletion of TCAB1 results in telomere shortening

(Chen et al., 2018; Venteicher et al., 2009; Vogan et al., 2016). All previous work also

concluded that TR is enriched in the nucleolus in the absence of TCAB1 (Chen et al.,

2018; Stern et al., 2012; Vogan et al., 2016; Zhong et al., 2011). Finally, all prior studies

propose that TCAB1 is not required for telomerase assembly but instead plays a role

telomerase trafficking to Cajal bodies and telomeres or is required for telomerase

catalysis (Chen et al., 2018; Stern et al., 2012; Venteicher et al., 2009; Vogan et al., 2016;

Zhong et al., 2011). In contrast, our results demonstrate that in the absence of TCAB1,

TERT and TR are localized to the distinct sub-cellular compartments, the nucleoplasm

and the nucleolus, respectively. This spatial separation strongly reduces telomerase

assembly, which leads to reduced number of telomerase RNPs per cell and in turn

telomere shortening. Importantly, in the absence of TCAB1, telomerase assembly is

reduced but not completely abolished. It is likely that TR bound by the H/ACA complex is

in an equilibrium between localizing to nucleoplasm and the nucleolus. In the presence

of TCAB1, this equilibrium is shifted towards the nucleoplasm because TCAB1 prevents

the entry of TR into the nucleolus (Fig. 8D). In contrast, in the absence of TCAB1, the

majority of TR is trapped in the nucleolus effectively reducing the amount of TR that is

available for assembly with TERT.

The sub-cellular location and order in which telomerase RNP components

associate with TR in human cells are largely unknown (Fig. 8D). Our results exclude the

possibility that TERT assembles with TR in the nucleolus, and results by others have

demonstrated that eliminating Cajal bodies does not impact telomerase activity or

telomere maintenance, suggesting that Cajal bodies are not necessary for telomerase

assembly (Chen et al., 2018; Vogan et al., 2016). Our single-molecule live cell imaging of

TERT has demonstrated that TERT is almost exclusively localized to the nucleus, it is

therefore likely that human telomerase assembles in the nucleoplasm. But it cannot be

ruled out that in human cells TR is transiently exported to the cytoplasm to assemble with

nascent TERT protein, as is the case in *S. cerevisiae* (Gallardo et al., 2008). Interestingly,

our results suggest that TERT levels are reduced in the absence of TCAB1. It is possible

that TERT protein which fails to assemble with TR is degraded, which could be an

important mechanism controlling telomerase abundance.

Altogether, our observations support our model that TCAB1 promotes telomerase

assembly by counteracting TR accumulation in the nucleolus to facilitate its assembly

with TERT. Our model is further supported by work from Vogan *et al.*, which demonstrated

that truncated TR (hTRmin) that lacks the H/ACA region and therefore cannot bind

dyskerin, accumulates in the nucleoplasm and is excluded from nucleoli (Vogan et al.,

2016). Importantly, in cells that express hTRmin, TCAB1 is not required for telomere

maintenance (Vogan et al., 2016), consistent with TCAB1 promoting telomerase

assembly by preventing TR accumulation in the nucleolus.

**TCAB1 is not required for telomerase catalysis**

Previous work by others has reported conflicting results regarding the role of TCAB1 in

telomerase catalysis, ranging from full enzymatic activity in initial reports to substantial

activity defects in the most recent study (Chen et al., 2018; Venteicher et al., 2009; Vogan

et al., 2016). Importantly, both our work and the only other study that analyzed the role of

TCAB1 in telomerase activity using the "gold-standard" direct telomerase extension assay

concluded that telomerase activity is significantly reduced in the absence of TCAB1.

While both studies concur on the degree to which telomerase activity is reduced in the

absence of TCAB1, the proposed underlying molecular mechanisms differ. Chen *et al*.

propose that TCAB1 is required for proper folding of the CR4/CR5 region of the

telomerase RNA, which directly associates with TERT, without affecting telomerase

assembly (Chen et al., 2018). Recent structural analysis of the telomerase RNP from

human cells revealed that TCAB1 is located far away from the CR4/CR5 region of TR

(Figure 8 – figure supplement 1) (Ghanim et al., 2021). Although it is possible that

telomerase can adopt additional conformations, based on the currently available

structural information it is difficult to rationalize a molecular mechanism by which TCAB1

could specifically promote CR4/CR5 folding. In addition, due to the miss-folding of TR

telomerase was proposed to adopt a low activity state in the absence of TCAB1.

Experimentally such a low activity state would manifest itself as a reduction in the specific

activity of telomerase (telomerase activity per assembled telomerase RNP). Our

experiments strongly suggest that, while telomerase assembly is reduced in the absence

of TCAB1, the limited amount of telomerase that can assemble is close to fully active (i.e.

does not have reduced specific activity). One possible explanation for the discrepancies

between the work by Chen *et al*. and our study is the methodology used to generate cell

lysates. Our results demonstrate that the high salt concentration used by Chen *et al.* to

generate nucleolar extracts dissolves nucleoli and releases TR. Consistent with this

observation, salt concentrations > 250 mM have been shown to disrupt the phase

separation phenomena underlying the formation of the nucleolus (Feric et al., 2016).

Solubilization of the nucleolus and release of TR would override the localization of TR

and TERT to distinct sub-cellular compartments, and could allow telomerase to assemble

in the nuclear extract, while it is limited in cells or cell lysates in which nucleoli remain

intact. Altogether, our enzymatic analysis, and the positioning of TCAB1 within the

telomerase RNP do not support a role of TCAB1 in TR folding and telomerase catalysis

but are fully consistent with TCAB1 promoting telomerase assembly.

**TCAB1 is not necessary for telomerase recruitment to telomeres**

TCAB1 is necessary for the localization of scaRNAs to Cajal bodies and previous work

suggested that it is also required for telomerase recruitment to telomeres (Stern et al.,

2012; Venteicher et al., 2009). Our observations demonstrate that when TERT and TR

are overexpressed in TCAB1 knock-out cells a fraction of TERT can assemble with TR

an localize to telomeres. Telomerase recruitment to telomeres requires a direct interaction

between TERT and TPP1 (Nandakumar et al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2014; Zhong et al.,

2012), therefore TR that localizes to telomeres must be assembled with TERT. These

results are fully consistent with our model that the spatial separation of TR and TERT in

the absence of TCAB1 prevents telomerase assembly. When TR is overexpressed the

capacity of the nucleolus to sequester TR may be saturated and excess TR can assemble

with TERT and localize to telomeres. In addition, our observations suggests that TCAB1

is not necessary for telomerase localization to telomeres. Because telomerase was

overexpressed in these experiments, we cannot exclude the possibility that TCAB1

contributes to telomerase recruitment to telomeres at endogenous expression levels. But

the maintenance of telomeres at a short length in TCAB1 knock-out cells suggests that

telomerase recruitment to telomeres can occur in the absence of TCAB1.

**Regulation of RNP assembly by nucleolar phase-separation**

In addition to the mechanistic insight into the role of TCAB1 in telomerase function, our results also demonstrate that nucleolar phase separation can effectively regulate telomerase RNP assembly in the nucleus of human cells. How RNA molecules are specifically recruited into, excluded from, or expelled from non-membrane bound organelles is a key unanswered question. One model suggests that gradual replacement of non-specific, multivalent interactions of pre-ribosomal RNAs with nucleolar proteins such as NPM1 and fibrillarin, with specific, high-affinity interactions with ribosomal proteins leads to the ejection of mature ribosomal subunits from the nucleolus (Riback et al., 2020). In this model a key driving force for the retention of RNA in the nucleolus is regions of RNA not yet bound by ribosomal proteins, that are available to interact with nucleolar proteins (Riback et al., 2020). By analogy, this model would explain why TR bound by the H/ACA complex but not associated with TERT would be sequestered in the nucleolus. In addition to the interactions formed by the H/ACA complex with nucleolar proteins and RNA, the regions of TR that are bound by TERT in the context of telomerase (i.e. the pseudoknot, template, and CR4/CR5) would be available to form non-specific, multivalent interactions with nucleolar proteins to strengthen the association of TR with the nucleolus and prevent its release.

TR is a unique among the scaRNAs because it contains the additional domains that associate with TERT. Most other box H/ACA scaRNAs are substantially shorter (<150 nucleotides) than TR (451 nucleotides), and do not contain large regions that are not bound by proteins and could form non-specific interactions with nucleolar proteins. It is therefore possible, that in cells lacking TCAB1, TR is strongly retained in the nucleolus

while other scaRNAs are less tightly bound, because they lack additional interaction sites

with nucleolar proteins. Consistent with this hypothesis, we observe multiple populations

of dyskerin with distinct binding dynamics in nucleoli. The weakly bound population could

include dyskerin bound to scaRNAs, that are not retained in the nucleolus because their

RNA targets, which would provide an additional interaction site, are not present in

nucleoli. In contrast, dyskerin bound to snoRNAs would strongly associate with the

nuceolus because they also bind to their target RNAs. This provides a potential

explanation for the phenotypes observed in patients with TCAB1 mutations that suffer

from dyskeratosis congenita. The patients have a clear deficiency in telomerase function

(Zhong et al., 2011), but no defects in splicing have been reported, which would be the

consequence of complete loss of scaRNA function and their critical role in spliceosome

maturation.

How TCAB1 binding leads to the exclusion of TR and other scaRNA from the

nucleolus remains a key unanswered question. TCAB1 interacts with a very short

sequence motif in TR, which is far removed from the TR regions that associate with TERT

(Fig. 8 – Figure supplement 1) (Ghanim et al., 2021). It is therefore unlikely that TCAB1

binding leads to expulsion of TR from the nucleolus by reducing the number of non-

specific, multivalent interactions TR can form with nucleolar proteins. As outlined above,

we believe that TCAB1 prevents localization of scaRNAs to the nucleolus, rather than

extracting scaRNAs that are already localized to the DFC. One potential explanation is

that TCAB1 counteracts scaRNA recruitment to the nucleolus by inhibiting the nucleolar

localization signals within dyskerin (Heiss et al., 1999). Dissecting the molecular

mechanism by which TCAB1 leads to exclusion of TR from the nucleolus in future studies

will undoubtably shed light on the fundamental principles RNP recruitment to non-

membrane bound organelles and its physiological role in cell biology.

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank members of the Schmidt lab and J. Nandakumar for discussions and critical reading of the manuscript and Luke Lavis (HHMI Janelia Research Campus) for providing HaloTag dyes. This work was supported by grants from the NIH (R00 GM120386, R01GM141354) to J.C.S.. J.C.S. was a Damon Runyon Dale F. Frey Scientist supported (in part) by the Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (DFS-24-17). S.B.C. acknowledges sustained support from the Ernest & Piroska Major Foundation.

**Author contributions**

B.S.A.-M. carried out IF-FISH experiments, telomerase purifications, cellular fractionations, analyzed telomerase assembly, generated mCherry- and 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin plasmids, determined their sub-cellular localization, and edited the manuscript. G.I.P. maintained cell lines, established TCAB1 and TR knock-out cell lines and carried out IF-FISH experiments. K.A.-B. assisted in establishing the TR knock-out cell line and carried out characterization of the TR knock-out cells. S.B.C. purified and characterized the anti-TERT sheep antibody. L.H. and K.Y. characterized TCAB1 knock-out clones using Southern blots. J.C.S. carried out all other experiments, designed the research, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell Lines and Tissue Culture**

All cell lines were based on HeLa-EM2-11ht (Weidenfeld et al., 2009) and were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium including L-glutamine (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$. Live cell imaging was carried out using $CO_2$ independent media supplemented with 2 mM GlutaMAX (Life Technologies), 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C with 5% $CO_2$. For single-molecule imaging of HaloTag-TERT cell were cultured in homemade imaging dishes made by gluing 22x22 mm Nexterion coverslips (170 ± 5 µm, Schott) onto the bottom of plastic 3.5 x 1.0 cm cell culture dishes with a hole in the middle using an epoxy adhesive. Prior to chamber assembly the coverslips were washed with 1 M KOH and 100% for 30 min each in a sonicating water bath. To enrich for cells in S-phase for live cell imaging experiments, cultures we treated with complete media including 2 mM thymidine for a minimum of 16 hours. Cells were released 2 hours prior to imaging by replacing the thymidine containing media with fresh media without thymidine. Puromycin selection was carried out at a concentration of 1 µg/ml.

**Plasmid Construction and Genome Editing**

All plasmids were generated by Gibson assembly (NEB) using standard protocols or by inverse PCR. All plasmids will be made available on Addgene. All Cas9 and sgRNA expression plasmids were based on pX330 (Cong et al., 2013). The homologous recombination donor for the TR knock-out was generated by assembling the genomic

sequences immediately upstream and downstream (~500 bp each) of the TR sequence

flanking a puromycin resistance cassette into HpaI linearized pFastBac. The 3xFLAG-

HaloTag-NLS plasmids was generated by adding a 3xFLAG-tag to a previously described

HaloTag-NLS plasmid (a kind gift from X. Darzacq and A. Hansen) (Hansen et al., 2018).

The 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin plasmid was generated by replacing TERT in our

previously described 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT expression plasmid with the dyskerin

coding sequence (Schmidt et al., 2016). The mCherry-dyskerin plasmid was generated

by replacing TERT in our previously described mCherry-TERT expression plasmid with

the dyskerin coding sequence (Schmidt et al., 2014). Unless otherwise stated

transfections were carried out using Lipfectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) using the

manufacturer's instructions. For FRAP analysis of dyskerin $1x10^6$ HeLa cells were

transfected with 1 µg of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin plasmid using the Lonza 4D-

Nucleofector with the SE Cell Line 4D-Nucleofector X kit (Cat. V4XC-1012) and program

CN-114. For single-molecule imaging of dyskerin 1 µg of a GFP-NPM1 plasmid was

included in addition to the 1 µg of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin plasmid. GFP-NPM1 WT

was a gift from Xin Wang (Addgene plasmid #17578; http://n2t.net/addgene:17578;

RRID:Addgene_17578) (Wang et al., 2005). TCAB1 was knocked-out using a single

sgRNA or two separate sgRNA and Cas9 encoding plasmids that were transfected

alongside a GFP-expression plasmid. 24 hours after transfection single-cell clones were

sorted using the GFP signal. TCAB1 knock-out clones were screened by PCR and

confirmed by western blot, Southern Blotting of the *TCAB1* locus and

immunofluorescence imaging. TR was knocked out by transfecting two sgRNA plasmids

and a homologous recombination donor plasmid. 48 hours after transfection puromycin

selection was initiated and 1 week after the initiation of selection single-cell clones were

generated by dilution into 96-well plates. TR knock-out was confirmed using PCR and

Sanger sequencing, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, and RT-qPCR.

**Immunofluorescence and Fluorescence *In Situ* Hybridization Imaging**

Fixed cell immunofluorescence imaging and fluorescence *in situ* hybridization was carried

out as previously described (Schmidt et al., 2014). Briefly, cells grown on coverslips were

fixed in PBS supplemented with 4% formaldehyde. When using the HaloTag for

fluorescence detection cells were incubated with 100 nM of JF646 HaloTag-ligand for 30

min prior to fixation. Unincorporated ligand was removed by 3 washes with complete

media followed by placing the cells back in the incubator for 10 min to let additional dye

leak out of the cells. mEOS3.2-TRF2 was detected using the intrinsic fluorescence of

green form of mEOS3.2. After removing the fixation solution using 2 PBS washes,

coverslips were transferred into aluminum foil covered humidity chambers with a parafilm

layer and rinsed with 1 ml of PBS with 0.2% Triton X-100. Cells were than incubated in

blocking buffer (PBS, 0.2% Triton X-100, 3% BSA) for 30 minutes, followed by incubation

with primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer for 1 hour. All primary antibodies were

used at a concentration of 1 µg/ml. After three washes with PBS + 0.2% Triton X-100,

coverslips were incubated with secondary antibodies diluted in PBS + 0.2% Triton X-100

for 1 hour. All secondary antibodies were used at a concentration of 4 µg/ml.  Cells were

washed three times PBS + 0.2% Triton X-100 prior to a second fixation with PBS + 4%

formaldehyde. In cases where nuclear staining was used the first of the three washing

steps also included 0.1 µg/ml HOECHST. After the second fixation steps coverslips were

dehydrates in three steps with ethanol (70%, 95%, 100%), re-hydrated in 2xSSC + 50%

formamide, blocked for 1 hour in hybridization buffer (100 mg/ml dextran sulfate, 0.125

mg/ml *E. coli* tRNA, 1 mg/ml nuclease free BSA, 0.5 mg/ml salmon sperm DNA, 1 mM

vanadyl ribonucleoside complexes, 50% formamide, 2xSSC) at 37°C, before incubating

the coverslips in hybridization buffer supplemented with three TR probes (30 ng per

coverslip,

/5Cy5/GCTGACATTTTTTGTTTGCTCTAGAATGAACGGTGGAAGGCGGCAGGCCGA

GGCTT,

/5Cy5/CTCCGTTCCTCTTCCTGCGGCCTGAAAGGCCTGAACCTCGCCCTCGCCCCC

GAGAG,

/5Cy5/ATGTGTGAGCCGAGTCCTGGGTGCACGTCCCACAGCTCAGGGAATCGCGC

CGCGCGC) over night at 37°C. Probe sequences were previously described (Tomlinson

et al., 2006). After hybridization coverslips were washes twice for 30 minutes in 2xSSC +

50% formamide and then mounted on slides using ProLong Antifade Diamond mounting

media (Life Technologies). Microscopy was carried out using a DeltaVision Elite

microscope using a 60x PlanApo objective (1.42 NA) and a pco.edge sCMOS camera.

We acquired 20 Z-sections spaced by 0.2 µm, followed by image deconvolution and

maximum intensity projection of the sections using the DeltaVision Softworx software.

**Single-Molecule Live Cell Imaging**

Live cell single-molecule imaging was carried out on a Olympus IX83 inverted microscope

equipped with a 4-line cellTIRF illuminator (405 nm, 488 nm, 561 nm, 640 nm lasers), an

Excelitas X-Cite TURBO LED light source, a Olympus UAPO 100x TIRF objective (1.49

NA), a CAIRN TwinCam beamsplitter, 2 Andor iXon 897 Ultra EMCCD cameras, a

cellFRAP with a 100 mW 405 nm laser, and a blacked-out environmental control

enclosure. The microscope was operated using the Olympus cellSense software.

3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT was labeled for 2 min in complete media supplemented with 100

nM JF646-HaloTag ligand (Grimm et al., 2015). After removing the HaloTag-ligand with

three washes in complete media, cells were placed back in the incubator for 10 min to

allow unincorporated dye to leak out of the cells. Cells were then transferred into $CO_2$

independent media and put on the microscope which was heated to 37°C. Single-

molecule imaging was carried out at 50 or 100 frames per second using highly inclined

laminated optical sheet illumination (Tokunaga et al., 2008). Movies were typically 20

seconds in length (2000 frames) and were followed by a transmitted light acquisition to

visualize overall cell morphology.

For single-molecule imaging of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-Dyskerin, cells were labeled with 100

pM of JFX650-HaloTag Ligand (Grimm et al., 2020) for 1 min. Imaging was carried out at

100 frames per second and images of GFP-NPM1 were taken before and after single-

molecule movies of dyskerin to assure the position of the nucleolus had not shifted.

**RT-qPCR**

RNA samples for RT-qPCR analysis were generated by using RNeasy Mini kits (Qiagen)

using ~2 million cells as starting material. Reverse transcription was carried out using

random hexamer primers and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according

to the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was carried out using the Maxima SYBR Green

qPCR master mix (Thermo Scientific) using primers for GAPDH and TR according to the

manufacturer's instructions. All qPCR reactions were carried out in triplicates and three

independent biological replicates were analyzed.

**Southern Blotting**

Southern blotting was carried out using standard protocols (Southern, 2006). Briefly,

genomic DNA generated by phenol-chloroform extraction after cell lysis using TE

supplemented with 0.5% SDS and 0.1 mg/ml Proteinase K, was digested with BamHI

(generating a 1394 bp fragment spanning exons 1-3 of the *TCAB1* locus) and separated

on a 0.8% agarose gel. The DNA was then transferred on a Hybond-N+ nylon membrane

using capillary transfer. The *TCAB1* locus was detected using radioactive probes (alpha-

$^{32}$P-dCTP) generated by randomly primed DNA synthesis using an 800 bp PCR product

overlapping with the 1394 bp restriction fragment as a template and Klenow polymerase

(NEB). Telomeric restriction fragment analysis was carried out as previously described

(Nandakumar et al., 2012).

**Western Blotting**

Mini-PROTEAN TGX stain-free gels (Bio-Rad) were used for SDS-PAGE. Total protein

was detected using a ChemiDoc MP (Bio-Rad) after a 45 second UV activation. Western

transfer was carried out using the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer system (Bio-Rad) according

to the manufacturer's instructions using the mixed molecular weight transfer setting.

Immuno-blotting was carried out using standard protocols. The C-terminal TCAB1

antibody (Proteintech, 14761-1-AP) was used at a 1:2000 dilution, the N-terminal TCAB1

antibody (Novus Biologicals, NB100-68252) was used at a 1:1000 dilution, the TERT

antibody (Abcam, ab32020) was used at a 1:4000 dilution, the dyskerin antibody (Santa

Cruz Biotech, sc-373956) was used at a 1:200 dilution, the fibrillarin antibody was used

at a 1:2000 dilution (Novus Biologicals, NB300-269), and the lamin B1 antibody was used

a 1:2000 dilution. Secondary antibodies were used at a 1:5000 dilution.

**Northen Blotting**

RNA was extracted from cell lysates, cellular fractions, and purified telomerase samples

using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) and eluted in 30 ul of RNase free water. Purified

telomerase samples were supplemented with 10 ng of a loading and recovery control

prior to RNA extraction (*in vitro* transcribed TR 34-328). 15 ul of eluted RNA was mixed

with 15 ul of 2x formamide loading buffer (0.1XTBE, 25 mM EDTA, 0.1% bromophenol

blue, 0.1% xylene cyanol, 93% formamide) and heated to 60 °C for 5 min. Samples were

separated on a 6% TBE, 7M Urea, polyacrylamide gel (Life Technologies), and

transferred to a Hybond N+ membrane (Cytiva) using a wet-blotting apparatus in 1x TBE

for 2 hours at 0.5 A of constant current in the cold room. After transfer, membranes were

UV-crosslinked, and pre-hybridized in Church buffer for 2 hours at 50 °C. Three DNA

oligos          complementary          to          TR          (GACTCGCTCCGTTCCTCTTC,

GCTCTAGAATGAACGGTGGAA,                          CCTGAAAGGCCTGAACCTC,

CGCCTACGCCCTTCTCAGT,          ATGTGTGAGCCGAGTCCTG),          7SL

(GCGGACACCCGATCGGCATAGC),                                      U3

(GCCGGCTTCACGCTCAGGAGAAAACGCTACCTCTCTTCCTCGTGG),          and          7SK

(GTGTCTGGAGTCTTGGAAGC) were radioactively labeled using T4 PNK (NEB) and

~10x10$^6$ cpm of each probe were added to the membrane. Hybridization was carried out

at 50 °C overnight. Membranes were washed three times with 2xSSC, 0.1% SDS prior to

exposure to a storage phosphorescence screen (Cytiva) which was then imaged on an

Amersham Typhoon IP phosphoimager (Cytiva).

**Telomerase Expression and Purification**

Cell lines were transfected in 15 cm tissue culture plates at ~90% confluency (~25-30x$10^6$

cells) using 7.5 µg of TERT plasmid, 30 µg of TR plasmid and 75 µl of Lipofectamine 2000

in 1875 ul of Opti-MEM (Cristofari and Lingner, 2006). Transfected cells were split to three

15 cm dishes 24 hours after transfection. 48 hours after transfection cells were counted,

harvested, and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Cells were lysed in 1 ml of CHAPS lysis

buffer supplemented with 5 µl of RiboLock RNase inhibitor (10 mM TRIS pH 7.5, 1 mM

MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 0.5% CHAPS, 10% glycerol) per 100x$10^6$ cells and rotated

at 4 °C for 30 min. Lysates were cleared in a table-top centrifuge at 21,000xg for 15 min

at 4 °C. Identical cell equivalents were used for all samples. 45 µg of anti-TERT antibody

was added per ml of cleared lysate and samples were rotated for 1 hour at 4 °C. Lysates

were then added to 100 µl of protein G agarose and rotated for 1 hour at 4 °C. The resin

was spun down at 1000xg and washed four times with 1 ml of Buffer W (20 mM HEPES

pH 7.9, 300 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1% Triton X-

100, 10% glycerol). TERT was eluted in 100 µl of Buffer W supplemented with 5 µl of 1

mM TERT peptide by rotating for 30 min at room temperature.

**Telomerase Activity Assays**

Telomerase assays were carried out in 20 µl of reaction buffer (50 mM TRIS pH 8.0, 150

mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 2 mM DTT, 100 nM TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGG oligo, 10 µM dATP,

10 µM dGTP, 10 µM dTTP, 0.165 µM dGTP [$\alpha$-32P] 3000 Ci/mmol) including 2 µl of

purified telomerase for 1 hour at 30 °C. Telomerase was incubated with the substrate

oligo for 15 min at room temperature, prior to initiating the reaction by addition of dNTPs.

Reactions were stopped by adding 100 µl of 3.6 M of ammonium acetate supplemented

with 20 µg of glycogen and 32P 5'-end labeled loading control oligos

(TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAGGG, TTAGGGTTAGGGTTAG). Reaction products were

precipitated using 500 µl of ice-cold ethanol and stored at -20 °C over-night. Reaction

products were spun down in a table-top centrifuge at max speed for 30 min at 4 °C,

washed with 500 µl of 70% ethanol, and spun down again speed for 30 min at 4 °C. The

70% ethanol was decanted, and the reaction products were dried in an Eppendorf

vacuum concentrator at 45 °C. Reaction products were resuspended in 20 µl of loading

buffer (0.05XTBE, 25 mM EDTA, 0.05% bromophenol blue, 0.05% xylene cyanol, 46.5%

formamide) and incubated at 95 °C for 5 min. 10 µl of each sample was separated on a

12% polyacrylamide, 7 M urea sequencing gel pre-run for 45 min at 90W. Gels were dried

and exposed to a storage phosphorescence screen (Cytiva) and imaged on an

Amersham Typhoon IP phosphoimager (Cytiva).

**Nucleolar Isolation**

Cellular fractionation was carried out using a as previously described (Lam and Lamond,

2006). All procedures were carried out on ice and centrifugations at 4 °C. Approximately

$1x10^6$ million cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed with PBS, followed

by incubation in a hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$,

0.5 mM DTT) to swell the cells. A small fraction of the swollen cells was collected as input

sample. Swollen cells were then ruptured using pre-cooled dounce homogenizer and the

tight pestle (VWR Cat. 62400-595). The ruptured cells were centrifuged at 218xg for 5

min to pellet nuclei. Nuclei where then resuspended in buffer S1 (0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM

$MgCl_2$), layered on top of buffer S2 (0.35 M sucrose, 0.5 mM $MgCl_2$) in a 15 ml conical

tube, and centrifuged at 1430xg in a swinging bucket rotor for 5 min to further purify nuclei.

Nuclei were resuspended in buffer S2 and sonicated on ice for 10 seconds at 30% power

(Fisherbrand Model 505, 500W). The sonicated nuclei were then layered on top of buffer

S3 (0.88 M sucrose, 0.5 mM $MgCl_2$) and centrifuged at 3000xg in a swinging bucket rotor

for 5 min to further purify nucleoli. The nucleolar pellet was suspended in buffer S2 and

centrifuged a final time at 1430xg to yield a highly purified nucleolar pellet, which was

resuspended in buffer S2. Equal fractions of input, cytoplasm, nuclei, nucleoplasm, and

nucleoli samples were collected and analyzed by western and northern blots. To test the

impact of salt concentration on the integrity of nucleoli, nuclei ruptured by sonication were

mixed 1:1 with buffer S2 containing 40 mM HEPES pH7.9 with and without 715 mM KCl,

prior layering the solution on top of buffer S3.

**Single-Particle Tracking**

Single-particle tracking was carried out in MATLAB 2019a using a batch parallel-

processing version of SLIMfast modified to allow the input of TIFF files (kindly provided

by Xavier Darzacq and Anders Hansen) (Hansen et al., 2018), an implementation of the

Multiple-Target-Tracing algorithm (Sergé et al., 2008), with the following settings:

Exposure Time = 10 ms, NA = 1.49, Pixel Size = 0.16 µm, Emission Wavelength = 664

nm, $D_{max}$ = 5 µm$^2$/s, Number of gaps allowed = 2, Localization Error = 10$^{-5}$, Deflation

Loops = 0. Diffusion coefficients and the fraction of molecules in each distinct particle

population were determined using the MATLAB version of the Spot-On tool (kindly

provided by Xavier Darzacq and Anders Hansen) (Hansen et al., 2018) with the following

settings: TimeGap = 10 ms or 20 ms, dZ = 0.700 µm, GapsAllowed = 2, TimePoints = 8,

JumpsToConsider = 4, BinWidth = 0.01 µm, PDF-fitting, D_Free1_3State = [1 25],

D_Free2_3State = [0.1 1], D_Bound_3State = [0.0001 0.1]. For all experiments we carried

out 3 independent biological replicates with at least 15 cells for each cell line. The

statistical significance of differences in particle fractions and diffusion coefficients were

assessed using a two-tailed T-Test.

For the analysis of dyskerin trajectories a mask of the nucleolus was generated manually

using the threshold function in FIJI. Dyskerin trajectories whose coordinates overlapped

with the nucleolar mask for a single frame were designated as nucleolar trajectories. The

remaining trajectories were designated nuclear trajectories. All data sets were then

analyzed using Spot-On as described above.

**Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching**

Fluorescence recovery experiments (FRAP) we carried out using the same Olympus

microscope used for single-molecule imaging. Cells were stained for 10 min with 100 nM

JFX650-HaloTag ligand in complete media. After removing the HaloTag-ligand with three

washes in complete media, cells were placed back in the incubator for 10 min to allow

unincorporated dye to leak out of the cells. Cells were then transferred into $CO_2$

independent media and put on the microscope which was heated to 37°C. We identified

cells with two clearly visible nucleoli and bleached one of them by placing three diffraction

limited bleach spots within the nucleolar 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin signal. Each spot was

bleached for 100 ms at 50% laser power, which lead to complete loss of the fluorescence

within the nucleolus. Cells were imaged prior to and after bleaching at 1 frame per second

using the Excelitas X-cite TURBO LED light source and the 100x objective.

Photobleaching due to LED exposure was negligible. To quantify FRAP we first drift

corrected the movie using NanoJ (Laine et al., 2019), we then placed a region of interest

(ROI) within the nucleolus and quantified mean intensity within the ROI over time.

Background signal was determined in an area of the field of view that was not covered by

a cell and subtracted from the nucleolar ROI. In addition, the mean fluorescence after the

bleaching pulse was divided by the fraction of total cellular fluorescence remaining after

the bleaching pulse. Because the laser pulse bleaches a significant amount of total

cellular fluorescence (typically 20-40%), this normalization is critical to determine the

maximal amount of fluorescence recovery possible. For example, if 30% of total cellular

fluorescence is lost due to the bleaching pulse, the maximal fraction of pre-bleach

fluorescence than can theoretically be recovered is 70%. The recovery data was then fit

using a single exponential function $(1-A*e^{-kt}+C)$, where k corresponds to the rate constant

and C to the fraction of the initial signal that is not recovered (i.e. the static fraction).

**Quantification of Fixed Cell Imaging**

For the quantification of cellular TR distribution in control and TCAB1 knock-out cells we

assigned cells into one of three categories: Cells with TR only at telomeres, Cells with TR

only in nucleoli, Cells with TR at telomeres and in nucleoli. We carried out 3 independent

biological replicates and counted a minimum of 100 cells for control and TCAB1 knock-

out cells.

**Quantification of RT-qPCR data**

RT-qPCR experiments were carried out in triplicate and the TR Ct value was normalized

to the GAPDG Ct value. The mean $\Delta$Ct (Ct of TR – Ct of GAPDH) value from three

independent experiments and the corresponding standard deviation were plotted.

**Quantification of Western Blots, Northern Blots, and Telomerase Activity Assays**

Gel images from Western Blots, Northern Blots, and Telomerase Activity Assays were

analyzed using ImageQuant TL 8.2. To quantify TR levels in Northern blots the TR band

intensity was normalized to the loading and recovery control signal added to the RNA

sample prior to RNA purification. To quantify telomerase activity the whole lane intensity

starting at repeat 1 was determined and divided by the sum of the loading control signals.

Telomerase processivity was calculated by dividing product intensity > 7 repeats by the

total signal in the respective lane. The statistical significance of the observed differences

was calculated using a two-tailed T-test using a minimum of three biological replicates.

Each biological replicate (independent telomerase expression and purification) was

analyzed in technical triplicate.

**References**

856
857

Angrisani A, Vicidomini R, Turano M, Furia M. 2014. Human dyskerin: beyond
telomeres. *Biol Chem* **395**:593–610. doi:10.1515/hsz-2013-0287

Armanios M, Blackburn EH. 2012. The telomere syndromes. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**:693
704. doi:10.1038/nrg3246

Chen L, Roake CM, Freund A, Batista PJ, Tian S, Yin YA, Gajera CR, Lin S, Lee B,
Pech MF, Venteicher AS, Das R, Chang HY, Artandi SE. 2018. An Activity Switch in
Human Telomerase Based on RNA Conformation and Shaped by TCAB1. *Cell* **174**.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.039

Cohen SB, Graham ME, Lovrecz GO, Bache N, Robinson PJ, Reddel RR. 2007. Protein
Composition of Catalytically Active Human Telomerase from Immortal Cells. *Science*
**315**:1850–1853. doi:10.1126/science.1138596

Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini
LA, Zhang F. 2013. Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems.
*Science* **339**:819–823. doi:10.1126/science.1231143

Cristofari G, Lingner J. 2006. Telomere length homeostasis requires that telomerase
levels are limiting. *Embo J* **25**:565 574. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600952

Feric M, Vaidya N, Harmon TS, Mitrea DM, Zhu L, Richardson TM, Kriwacki RW, Pappu
RV, Brangwynne CP. 2016. Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar
Subcompartments. *Cell* **165**:1686 1697. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.047

Freund A, Zhong FL, Venteicher AS, Meng Z, Veenstra TD, Frydman J, Artandi SE.
2014. Proteostatic Control of Telomerase Function through TRiC-Mediated Folding of
TCAB1. *Cell* **159**:1389 1403. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.059

Gallardo F, Olivier C, Dandjinou AT, Wellinger RJ, Chartrand P. 2008. TLC1 RNA
nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking links telomerase biogenesis to its recruitment to
telomeres. *Embo J* **27**:748 757. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.21

Ghanim GE, Fountain AJ, Roon A-MM van, Rangan R, Das R, Collins K, Nguyen THD.
2021. Structure of human telomerase holoenzyme with bound telomeric DNA. *Nature*
1–5. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03415-4

Grimm JB, English BP, Chen J, Slaughter JP, Zhang Z, Revyakin A, Patel R, Macklin
JJ, Normanno D, Singer RH, Lionnet T, Lavis LD. 2015. A general method to improve

fluorophores for live-cell and single-molecule microscopy. *Nat Methods* **12**:244 50–3 p following 250. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3256

Grimm JB, Xie L, Casler JC, Patel R, Tkachuk AN, Choi H, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Brown TA, Glick BS, Liu Z, Lavis LD. 2020. Deuteration improves small-molecule fluorophores. *Biorxiv* 2020.08.17.250027. doi:10.1101/2020.08.17.250027

Hansen AS, Woringer M, Grimm JB, Lavis LD, Tjian R, Darzacq X. 2018. Robust model-based analysis of single-particle tracking experiments with Spot-On. *Elife* **7**. doi:10.7554/elife.33125

Heiss NS, Girod A, Salowsky R, Wiemann S, Pepperkok R, Poustka A. 1999. Dyskerin Localizes to the Nucleolus and Its Mislocalization Is Unlikely to Play a Role in the Pathogenesis of Dyskeratosis Congenita. *Hum Mol Genet* **8**:2515–2524. doi:10.1093/hmg/8.13.2515

Hyman AA, Weber CA, Jülicher F. 2014. Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Biology. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Bi* **30**:39–58. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013325

Jády BE, Bertrand E, Kiss T. 2004. Human telomerase RNA and box H/ACA scaRNAs share a common Cajal body–specific localization signal. *J Cell Biology* **164**:647–652. doi:10.1083/jcb.200310138

Laine RF, Tosheva KL, Gustafsson N, Gray RDM, Almada P, Albrecht D, Risa GT, Hurtig F, Linds A-C, Baum B, Mercer J, Leterrier C, Pereira PM, Culley S, Henriques R. 2019. NanoJ: a high-performance open-source super-resolution microscopy toolbox. *J Phys D Appl Phys* **52**:163001. doi:10.1088/1361-6463/ab0261

Lam YW, Lamond AI. 2006. Cell Biology (Third Edition). *Part Organelles Cell Struct Sect 1isolation Plasma Membr Organelles Cell Struct Sect 1 Isol Plasma Membr Organelles Cell Struct* 103–107. doi:10.1016/b978-012164730-8/50087-3

Laprade H, Querido E, Smith MJ, Guérit D, Crimmins H, Conomos D, Pourret E, Chartrand P, Sfeir A. 2020. Single-Molecule Imaging of Telomerase RNA Reveals a Recruitment-Retention Model for Telomere Elongation. *Mol Cell*. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2020.05.005

Mitrea DM, Kriwacki RW. 2016. Phase separation in biology; functional organization of a higher order. *Cell Commun Signal Ccs* **14**:1. doi:10.1186/s12964-015-0125-7

Nagpal N, Wang J, Zeng J, Lo E, Moon DH, Luk K, Braun RO, Burroughs LM, Keel SB, Reilly C, Lindsley RC, Wolfe SA, Tai AK, Cahan P, Bauer DE, Fong YW, Agarwal S. 2020. Small-Molecule PAPD5 Inhibitors Restore Telomerase Activity in Patient Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell* **26**:896-909.e8. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2020.03.016

Nandakumar J, Bell CF, Weidenfeld I, Zaug AJ, Leinwand LA, Cech TR. 2012. The TEL
patch of telomere protein TPP1 mediates telomerase recruitment and processivity.
*Nature* **492**:285 289. doi:10.1038/nature11648

Nandakumar J, Cech TR. 2013. Finding the end: recruitment of telomerase to
telomeres. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* **14**. doi:10.1038/nrm3505

Riback JA, Zhu L, Ferrolino MC, Tolbert M, Mitrea DM, Sanders DW, Wei M-T, Kriwacki
RW, Brangwynne CP. 2020. Composition-dependent thermodynamics of intracellular
phase separation. *Nature* **581**:209–214. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2256-2

Schmidt JC, Cech TR. 2015. Human telomerase: biogenesis, trafficking, recruitment,
and activation. *Gene Dev* **29**:1095 1105. doi:10.1101/gad.263863.115

Schmidt JC, Dalby AB, Cech TR. 2014. Identification of human TERT elements
necessary for telomerase recruitment to telomeres. *Elife* **3**:e03563.
doi:10.7554/elife.03563

Schmidt JC, Zaug AJ, Cech TR. 2016. Live Cell Imaging Reveals the Dynamics of
Telomerase Recruitment to Telomeres. *Cell* **166**:1188 1197.e9.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.033

Schmidt JC, Zaug AJ, Kufer R, Cech TR. 2018. Dynamics of human telomerase
recruitment depend on template-telomere base pairing. *Mol Biol Cell* **29**:869 880.
doi:10.1091/mbc.e17-11-0637

Sergé A, Bertaux N, Rigneault H, Marguet D. 2008. Dynamic multiple-target tracing to
probe spatiotemporal cartography of cell membranes. *Nat Methods* **5**:687 694.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.1233

Shukla S, Jeong H-C, Sturgeon CM, Parker R, Batista LFZ. 2020. Chemical inhibition of
PAPD5/7 rescues telomerase function and hematopoiesis in dyskeratosis congenita.
*Blood Adv* **4**:2717–2722. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2020001848

Shukla S, Schmidt JC, Goldfarb KC, Cech TR, Parker R. 2016. Inhibition of telomerase
RNA decay rescues telomerase deficiency caused by dyskerin or PARN defects. *Nat
Struct Mol Biol* **23**:286 292. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3184

Southern E. 2006. Southern blotting. *Nat Protoc* **1**:518–525. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.73

Stern JL, Zyner KG, Pickett HA, Cohen SB, Bryan TM. 2012. Telomerase Recruitment
Requires both TCAB1 and Cajal Bodies Independently. *Mol Cell Biol* **32**:2384–2395.
doi:10.1128/mcb.00379-12

Stewart SA, Weinberg RA. 2006. Telomeres: Cancer to Human Aging. *Annu Rev Cell
Dev Bi* **22**:531–557. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.22.010305.104518

Stuart BD, Choi J, Zaidi S, Xing C, Holohan B, Chen R, Choi M, Dharwadkar P, Torres
F, Girod CE, Weissler J, Fitzgerald J, Kershaw C, Klesney-Tait J, Mageto Y, Shay
JW, Ji W, Bilguvar K, Mane S, Lifton RP, Garcia CK. 2015. Exome sequencing links
mutations in PARN and RTEL1 with familial pulmonary fibrosis and telomere
shortening. *Nat Genet* **47**:512 517. doi:10.1038/ng.3278

Tokunaga M, Imamoto N, Sakata-Sogawa K. 2008. Highly inclined thin illumination
enables clear single-molecule imaging in cells. *Nat Methods* **5**:159 161.
doi:10.1038/nmeth1171

Tomlinson RL, Ziegler TD, Supakorndej T, Terns RM, Terns MP. 2006. Cell cycle-
regulated trafficking of human telomerase to telomeres. *Mol Biol Cell* **17**:955 965.
doi:10.1091/mbc.e05-09-0903

Tseng C-K, Wang H-F, Burns AM, Schroeder MR, Gaspari M, Baumann P. 2015.
Human Telomerase RNA Processing and Quality Control. *Cell Reports* **13**:2232–
2243. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.10.075

Tummala H, Walne A, Collopy L, Cardoso S, Fuente J de la, Lawson S, Powell J,
Cooper N, Foster A, Mohammed S, Plagnol V, Vulliamy T, Dokal I. 2015. Poly(A)-
specific ribonuclease deficiency impacts telomere biology and causes dyskeratosis
congenita. *J Clin Invest* **125**:2151–2160. doi:10.1172/jci78963

Tycowski KT, Shu M-D, Kukoyi A, Steitz JA. 2009. A conserved WD40 protein binds the
Cajal body localization signal of scaRNP particles. *Mol Cell* **34**:47 57.
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2009.02.020

Venteicher AS, Abreu EB, Meng Z, McCann KE, Terns RM, Veenstra TD, Terns MP,
Artandi SE. 2009. A human telomerase holoenzyme protein required for Cajal body
localization and telomere synthesis. *Science* **323**:644 648.
doi:10.1126/science.1165357

Vogan JM, Zhang X, Youmans DT, Regalado SG, Johnson JZ, Hockemeyer D, Collins
K. 2016. Minimized human telomerase maintains telomeres and resolves
endogenous roles of H/ACA proteins, TCAB1, and Cajal bodies. *Elife* **5**:693.
doi:10.7554/elife.18221

Wang W, Budhu A, Forgues M, Wang XW. 2005. Temporal and spatial control of
nucleophosmin by the Ran–Crm1 complex in centrosome duplication. *Nat Cell Biol*
**7**:823–830. doi:10.1038/ncb1282

Weidenfeld I, Gossen M, Löw R, Kentner D, Berger S, Görlich D, Bartsch D, Bujard H,
Schönig K. 2009. Inducible expression of coding and inhibitory RNAs from
retargetable genomic loci. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**:e50. doi:10.1093/nar/gkp108

Wu RA, Upton HE, Vogan JM, Collins K. 2017. Telomerase Mechanism of Telomere
Synthesis. *Annu Rev Biochem* **86**:439 460. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-
045019

Xi L, Cech TR. 2014. Inventory of telomerase components in human cells reveals
multiple subpopulations of hTR and hTERT. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**:8565–8577.
doi:10.1093/nar/gku560

Zhong F, Savage SA, Shkreli M, Giri N, Jessop L, Myers T, Chen R, Alter BP, Artandi
SE. 2011. Disruption of telomerase trafficking by TCAB1 mutation causes
dyskeratosis congenita. *Gene Dev* **25**:11–16. doi:10.1101/gad.2006411

Zhong FL, Batista LFZ, Freund A, Pech MF, Venteicher AS, Artandi SE. 2012. TPP1
OB-Fold Domain Controls Telomere Maintenance by Recruiting Telomerase to
Chromosome Ends. *Cell* **150**:481 494. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.012

1003

1004

# Supplementary Information

1006

**Figure 1 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Strategy to knock-out TCAB1 using Cas9 and two sgRNAs
targeting introns 1 and 3. **(B)** Southern blot of genomic DNA digested with BamHI from parental cells and
TCAB1 knock-out clones using a probes generated from a PCR product of the TCAB1 gene indicated in

**(A)** demonstrating the expected truncation of the *TCAB1* gene in Halo-TERT TCAB1 KO 2. HeLa TCAB1
KO 2 carries larger deletions completely removing exons 1 and 2 from the *TCAB1* gene. **(C)** PCR using
primers indicated in **(A)** of genomic DNA from parental cells and TCAB1 knock-out clones confirming the
deletion of critical regions of the *TCAB1* gene show in **(B)**. **(D)** Western blots demonstrating the absence
of TCAB1 protein in TCAB1 knock-out cell lines generated in HeLa and Halo-TERT cells lines using two
antibodies targeting the N-terminus and C-terminus of TCAB1. **(E)** Growth rate of parental and TCAB1
knock-out cell lines. **(F)** Telomere length analysis by Southern blot of telomeric restriction fragments,
indicating that telomeres in TCAB1 knock-out cells are short but stable in length. **(G)** Immuno-
fluorescence with anti-dyskerin and anti-TCAB1 antibodies coupled to fluorescence in-situ hybridization
with probes against TR, demonstrating that expression of GFP-TCAB1 in TCAB1 knock-out cells rescues
TR localization to Cajal bodies (scale bar = 5 μm).

1021

1022

**Figure 2 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Images of HeLa cells transiently expressing GFP-nucleolin to mark
nucleoli. The GFP-nucleolin signal overlaps with circular shapes visible under transmitted light illumination
(scale bar = 2 μm). **(B)** Images of HeLa cells transiently expressing GFP-nucleolin and 3xFLAG-HaloTag-
NLS labeled with JF646. The 3xFLAG-HaloTag-NLS signal (maximum intensity projection of 1000 frames
of a single-molecule imaging movie) clearly overlaps with the GFP-nucleolin signal (red dashed outline),
demonstrating that 3xFLAG-HaloTag-NLS can enter the nucleolus (scale bar = 2 μm). **(C)** Images of HeLa
cells transiently expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin labeled with JF646 and probed with an antibody
against dyskerin, demonstrating that 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin localizes to the nucleolus (scale bar = 5
μm).

1032

**Figure 3 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Images of HeLa cells transiently expressing mCherry-dyskerin
probed with antibodies agains mCherry and dyskerin, demonstrating that mCherry dyskerin localizes to the
nucleolus (scale bar = 5 µm). **(B)** Images of control and TCAB1 knock-out HeLa cells (3xFLAG-Halo-TERT,
mEOS3.2-TRF2) overexpressing untagged TERT and TR. TR was detected using FISH and TRF2 was
visualized using the fluorescence signal from mEOS3.2-TRF2. In control cells TR co-localizes with
telomeres, while it is enriched in nucleoli and localized to telomeres in TCAB1 KO cells (scale bar = 5 µm).
**(C)** Quantification of the fraction of cells showing TR localization exclusively to telomeres, to telomeres and
nucleoli, or only to nucleoli (2 independent experiments, >100 cells per experiments, mean ± standard
deviation). **(D)** Images of HeLa cells and TCAB1 knock-out cells transiently expressing untagged TERT and
TR, probed with TERT (Abcam) and dyskerin antibodies and FISH for TR demonstrating that untagged
TERT is excluded from nucleoli marked by dyskerin in parental and TCAB1 knock-out cells.

1044

**Figure 4 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Western blots analyzing TERT immuno-purification (using a sheep
anti-TERT antibody) from Halo-TERT cells overexpressing TERT and TR probed with a rabbit anti-TERT
antibody (Abcam) and a TCAB1 antibody. **(B)** Northern blot of RNA extracted from input and purified TERT
samples from Halo-TERT cells overexpressing TERT and TR probed with radiolabeled DNA
oligonucleotides complementary to TR. Standards are *in vitro* transcribed full-length TR and truncated TR$_S$.
TR$_S$ was added to samples prior to RNA extraction as loading and recovery control. **(C)** Western blots to
analyze immuno-purified telomerase RNP composition from Halo-TERT cells. A single membrane was cut
into two pieces that were probed with TERT and dyskerin antibodies, respectively. **(D-E)** Quantification of
the amount of **(D)** the ratio of TR to TERT (n = 4), and **(E)** dyskerin (n = 4) in TERT purifications from Halo-
TERT TCAB1 knock-out cells overexpressing TERT and TR compared to parental controls (mean, T-Test).
The dashed lines indicate the level in telomerase purified from wild-type TCAB1 control cells which was
normalized to 1.0.

1057

1058  **Figure 5 – Figure Supplement 1.** Direct telomerase extension assay of telomerase immuno-purified from
1059  parental (WT) and TCAB1 knock-out (TKO) HeLa and Halo-TERT cell lines. LC1 and LC2, radiolabeled
1060  DNA oligonucleotide loading controls.
1061

**Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** PCR analysis of the TR locus in parental and TR knock-out clones.
Both TR knock-out clones show PCR products with reduced length that were confirmed to be knock-outs
by Sanger sequencing. **(B)** Images of control and TR knock-out cells probed with an antibody against
coilin and FISH probes specific for TR, demonstrating the lack of TR signal in TR knock-out cells (scale
bar = 5 μm). **(C)** Determination of TR levels in control, TCAB1 knock-out, and TR knock-out cells, using
RT-qPCR with primers specific to TR normalized to GAPDH (3 independent biological replicates, 3
technical replicates for each biological replicate, mean ± standard deviation). **(D)** Maximum intensity
projection of 2000 frames of a 3xFLAG-HaloTag (JF646) TERT movie (bottom), demonstrating that the
TERT signal does not overlap with the nucleolus detected as circular shape in the transmitted light image
(top, red dashed line). **(E)** Fitting of single-particle tracking data of TERT from control, TCAB1 knock-out,
and TR knock-out cells expressing 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT using the Spot-On tool.
1074
1075

**Figure 6 – Figure Supplement 2. (A)** Analysis if the step size of telomeric TERT particles relative to the
distance of the particle to the closest telomere (pooled results from 3 independent biological replicates with
19-30 cells analyzed per replicate). TERT molecules bound to the telomere are expected to have small
step sizes and a short distance to the closest telomere, which is apparent in the enrichment of events in
the lower quadrants in the WT control. This enrichment is not observed in TCAB1 and TR knock-out cells.
**(B)** Spot-On analysis of telomeric TERT particles (pooled results from 3 independent biological replicates
with 19-30 cells analyzed per replicate). The fraction of bound TERT particles in TCAB1 and TR knock-out
cells is 4-5%, compared to 12% in the WT control cells.
1085

1086
**Figure 7 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Fluorescence recovery curves of nucleolar dyskerin in the inbleached
nucleolus of control and TCAB1 knock-out cells. Data was fit with a single exponential function. **(B)**
Quantification of half-life of fluorescence recovery, calculated from the rate constant of the single
exponential fit of the data shown in **(A)** (n = 8 and 7, mean). **(C)** Trajectories of all (top), nucleolar (middle),

and nucleoplasmic dyskerin particles. **(D)** Spot-On analysis of dyskerin diffusion of all (top), nucleolar
(middle), and nucleoplasmic dyskerin particles. **(E)** Fluorescence images of single 3xFLAG-HaloTag-
dyskerin particles, and nucleoli marked by GFP-NPM1 in a cell imaged at 1 frame per second (scale bar =
5 μm). **(F)** Kymographs of nucleolar 3xFLAG-HaloTag-dyskerin particles imaged at 1 frame per second.
1095

1096
1097
1098  **Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 1. (A)** Western blot and **(B)** Northern blot of cellular fractions from TCAB1
1099  knock-out cells probed with an antibody against fibrillarin and probes for TR, respectively. Ruptured nuclei
1100  were either maintained at a low salt concentration or exposed to 357.5 mM KCl. The results demonstrate
1101  that nucleoli are dissolved in the presence of a high salt concentration, releasing fibrillarin and TR into the
1102  nucleoplasmic fraction.
1103
1104

1105
1106  **Figure 8 – Figure Supplement 2. (A)** Structure of the telomerase RNP showing the distance between
1107  TCAB1 and CR4/CR5 (13.4 nm). TR in blue, CR4/CR5 in red, and TCAB1 in green (Ghanim et al., 2021).
1108
1109

**Movie Legends**

**Movie 1.** Single-particle tracking of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT labeled with JF646 in a control cell acquired at 100 frames per second. Trajectories with a minimum of 5 localizations are displayed. 150x150 pixels with a pixel size of 0.16 μm.

**Movie 2.** Single-particle tracking of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT labeled with JF646 in a TCAB1 knock-out cell acquired at 100 frames per second. Trajectories with a minimum of 5 localizations are displayed. 150x150 pixels with a pixel size of 0.16 μm.

**Movie 3.** Movie of cell expressing GFP-nucleolin (red) and 3xFLAG-HaloTag-NLS (green) labeled with JF646 acquired at 100 frames per second, showing overlap of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-NLS with nucleoli. 140x140 pixels with a pixel size of 0.16 μm.

**Movie 4.** Movie of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT labeled with JF646 in a control (left), TCAB1 knock-out (middle), and TR knock-out (right) cell acquired at 100 frames per second. Each panel is 150x150 pixels in size with a pixel size of 0.16 μm.

**Movie 5.** Single-particle tracking of 3xFLAG-HaloTag-TERT labeled with JF646 in a TR knock-out cells acquired at 100 frames per second. Trajectories with a minimum of 5 localizations are displayed. 150x150 pixels with a pixel size of 0.16 μm.

**Movie 6.** Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching of HaloTag-dyskerin labeled with JFX650 HaloTag-ligand expressed in control cells acquired at 1 frame per second.

**Movie 7.** Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching of HaloTag-dyskerin labeled with JFX650 HaloTag-ligand expressed in TCAB1 knock-out cells cells acquired at 1 frame per second.

**Movie 8.** Movie of cells expressing GFP-nucleolin (magenta) and HaloTag-dyskerin labeled with JFX650 (green) in control cells acquired at 100 frames per second.

**Movie 9.** Movie of cells expressing GFP-nucleolin (magenta) and HaloTag-dyskerin labeled with JFX650 (green) in control cells acquired at 1 frame per second.