Unbiased screen of human transcriptome reveals an unexpected role

of 3′UTRs in translation initiation

Yun Yang [1, *, #], Xiaojuan Fan[1, *], Yanwen Ye[1, 2], Chuyun Chen[1, 2], Sebastian E.J. Ludwig [3], Sirui

Zhang[1, 2], Qianyun Lu[1, 2], Cindy L. Will[3], Henning Urlaub[4], Jing Sun[1], Reinhard Lührmann[3], Zefeng

Wang[1, 2, 5, #]

[1] Bio-med Big Data Center, CAS Key Laboratory of Computational Biology, CAS Center for

Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health, Chinese Academy

of Sciences, China

[2] University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200031,

China

[3] Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Cellular Biochemistry, Am Fassberg 11, D-

37077, Göttingen, Germany

[4] Bioanalytical Mass Spectrometry, MPI for Biophysical Chemistry, Am Fassberg 11, D-37077

Göttingen, Germany

[5]Lead Contact

* These authors contributed equally to this work

[#] Corresponding to: wangzefeng@picb.an.cn; yangyun@picb.ac.cn

Running title: Translational enhancement from 3′UTR

Abstract

Although most eukaryotic mRNAs require a 5′-cap for translation initiation, some can also be translated through a poorly studied cap-independent pathway. Here we developed a circRNA-based system and unbiasedly identified more than 10,000 sequences in the human transcriptome that contain Cap-independent Translation Initiators (CiTIs). Surprisingly, most of the identified CiTIs are located in 3′UTRs, which mainly promote translation initiation in mRNAs bearing highly structured 5′UTR. Mechanistically, CiTI recruits several translation initiation factors including eIF3 and DHX29, which in turn unwind 5′UTR structures and facilitate ribosome scanning. Functionally, we showed that the translation of HIF1A mRNA, an endogenous DHX29 target, is antagonistically regulated by its 5′UTR structure and a new 3′-CiTI in response to hypoxia. Therefore, deletion of 3′-CiTI suppresses cell growth in hypoxia and tumor progression *in vivo*. Collectively, our study uncovers a new regulatory mode for translation where the 3′UTR actively participate in the translation initiation.

Introduction

The mRNA translation is an essential and energetically expensive process tightly regulated at the initiation stage. In eukaryotic cells, canonical translation initiation is mediated by the formation of eIF4F complex on the 5′-cap (7-methylguanosine), followed by the attachment of the ribosomal 43S preinitiation complex that scans along the mRNA in a 5′-to-3′ direction for a start codon [1,2]. Multiple *cis*-elements and *trans*-factors are employed to accurately regulate mRNA translation initiation. For example, the RNA structures and upstream ORF (uORF) in 5′UTRs are well known *cis*-elements that regulate mRNA translation, whereas many protein factors or miRNAs can control translation *in trans* [1-4].

In addition, many genes can also be translated in a cap-independent fashion, mostly through direct recruitment of ribosomes to the internal ribosome entry site (IRES), which often occurs during stress conditions (like virus infection, heat shock, or apoptosis) [5,6]. It has been estimated that up to 10-15% of cellular mRNAs undergo cap-independent translation under various conditions where cap-dependent translation is suppressed [7]. A recent high-throughput screen targeting the viral RNAs and 5′UTRs of human mRNAs revealed that many human genes (583 out of 5058 genes tested) harbor the "cap-independent translation elements" that function as IRESs to drive mRNA translation [8]. However, an unbiased screen of the translation initiation elements remains absent. Recently, thousands of circular RNAs (circRNAs) have been identified in eukaryotic cells [9-11], many of which can be translated *in vitro* and *in vivo* [12-16]. Since circRNAs lack free ends and can only be translated cap-

independently, they may serve as an improved system to study this noncanonical

translation pathway.

Results

Identification of cap-independent translation initiation elements with a circRNA-

based translation system

To unbiasedly screen the human transcriptome for endogenous sequences that

drive cap-independent translation, we developed an efficient cell-based circRNA

translation system (Fig. 1a) that has been extensively validated [13,15-17]. We inserted a

library of cDNA short fragments from normalized human transcriptome before start

codon of the "split" EGFP (Fig. 1a) [13,16], and transfected the library to generate ~3

million stable clones. The green cells were selected by FACS (Extended data Fig. 1a),

and the insertion libraries were sequenced at each stage (supplementary information).

The fragment abundance in this library correlated poorly with gene levels in

HeLa cells (r<0.09, Extended data Fig. 1b), with rRNAs representing <10% of the

total fragments (Extended data Fig. 1c), indicative of an effective transcriptome

normalization. After sorting, we recovered 82,367 unique fragments from the green

cells with similar length distribution as the input (Extended data Fig. 1d and Extended

data Table 1). The recovered fragments were not dominated by the pre-sorting library

(Extended data Fig. 1e), suggesting the screen was unbiased. The majority of the

reads from the pre- and post-sorting libraries were located in protein coding genes

(Fig. 1b), with two examples shown in read density plots (Fig. 1c). Surprisingly, the

percentage of positive reads from 3′UTRs was substantially increased whereas the

reads from 5′UTRs and coding sequences (CDS) was decreased after sorting (Fig.

1b), suggesting a prominent role of 3′UTRs in regulation of cap-independent

translation.

We further validated 14 out of 15 randomly selected fragments for their activity in

driving circRNA translation (Fig. 1d, activities are independent on their read depth),

indicating a low false positive rate. The IRES-like activities in 8 out of 9 positive

fragments were also confirmed using a bicistronic translation reporter (Fig. 1e).

Conversely, 3 out of the randomly selected 12 single clones from the input library

promoted circRNA translation (Extended data Fig. 1f), suggesting that our screen had

not reached saturation.

The overlapping positive fragments were merged into 10,455 peaks in human

transcriptome, which were defined as cap-independent translation initiators (CiTIs).

Most CiTIs were recovered only by a small number of reads in the post-sorting library

while several CiTIs have exceedingly high read depth (Extended data Fig. 1g). The

CiTIs were widely distributed across all human chromosomes (Extended data Fig.

1h), and their abundance correlated positively with the gene numbers (r=0.96) and the

size (r=0.76) of each chromosome (Extended data Fig. 1i). The newly identified CiTIs

included many previously reported IRESs [8,18] (Extended data Fig. 1j), including ~10%

of IRESs identified from a screen biased towards the 5′UTRs and viral sequences [8].

The CiTI-containing genes were more conserved than an average human gene

(Extended data Fig. 2a), and were actively expressed and translated in cultured cells

(Extended data Fig. 2b). Interestingly, CiTI containing genes were substantially

enriched in functional categories of RNA translation, splicing, cell-cell adhesion and

viral processes (Extended data Fig. 2c). Particularly, the CiTIs were enriched in genes

encoding ribosomal proteins and translation initiation factors, suggesting a potential

positive feedback regulation of translation.

Although the canonical IRESs are mostly located in the 5′UTR, the newly

identified CiTIs were found in all gene regions including 5′UTRs (termed 5′-CiTI),

CDS (termed C-CiTI), and 3′UTRs (termed 3′-CiTI). Consistent with our earlier

finding, the CiTIs were enriched in 3′UTRs and peaked in the CDS near the start and

stop codons (Fig. 1f). Compared to the cognate controls, we found a significant

increase of sequence conservation in 3′-CiTIs, a modest increase in 5′-CiTIs, and no

increase in C-CiTIs (Extended data Fig. 2d). The CiTIs generally had less structures

as judged by higher minimal free energy (MFE) (Extended data Fig. 2e), whereas the

canonical IRESs were significantly more structured [18], suggesting that, unlike IRESs,

most CiTIs do not function by forming a specific structure.

Several enriched motifs from the CiTIs, including the previously reported U-rich

motifs [8,19], were identified and further experimentally validated (Extended data Fig.

3a-3d). Consistent with previous reports [8,20], we found that the 5′-CiTIs tend to

interact with 18S rRNAs *via* base-paring, and such interaction is sufficient to drive

cap-independent translation (Extended data Fig. 3e-3g). Therefore, our circRNA-

based translation system successfully identified several categories of CiTIs that

contain both previously confirmed elements/motifs and novel elements enriched

within 3′UTRs.

Genes with 3′-CiTIs tend to contain downstream ORFs or structured 5′UTRs

The surprising enrichment of CiTIs in 3′UTRs rather than 5′UTRs implied an

unexpected new role of 3′UTR in promoting translation initiation. Naturally, the 3′-

CiTI may function as a *bona fide* IRES to drive cap-independent translation of

downstream ORFs (dORF). By analyzing all potential dORFs, we found that the

dORFs in the genes with 3′-CiTIs were more conserved than those without 3′-CiTIs

(Extended data Fig. 4a), suggesting that 3′-CiTIs are probably under functional

selection to drive dORF translation. Further analysis using published datasets of

ribosome footprints [21,22] showed that a significant fraction of these dORFs (177/564)

also had an upstream 3′-CiTI (Extended data Fig. 4b, $p=2.9 \times 10^{-29}$). However, we only

identified the potential translation products from 7 of these dORFs using public mass

spectrometry datasets [23,24]. The lack of strong proteomic evidences may be due to cell-

or tissue-specific translation of these dORFs, and/or the rapid degradation of these

dORF-encoded proteins just like 3′UTR-coded peptides from translation read-through

[25,26]. These observations suggest that driving cap-independent translation of dORFs

might not be the function for most 3′-CiTIs.

The fact that >90% of 3′-CiTI-containing genes lack evidence for dORF

translation (Extended data Fig. 4b) suggested unknown roles of 3′-CiTIs.

Interestingly, the 3′-CiTI-containing genes were significantly more conserved and

more structured in their 5′UTRs than those without 3′-CiTIs (Fig. 2a-2b). They were

also significantly overlapped with the genes containing upstream ORFs (uORF)

(Extended data Fig. 4c) [27,28]. Since most 5′UTR structures and uORFs play inhibitory

roles in regulating translation [29], we speculated that 3′-CiTIs may counteract these

5′UTR structures or uORFs to enhance the main ORF (mORF) translation.

Such possibility was directly tested using four translation reporters with different

5′UTRs (Extended data Fig. 5a): a canonical reporter with a regular 5′UTR, a hairpin

reporter containing a 5′UTR hairpin immediately before the ORF, and two uORF

reporters with the 5′UTRs containing two endogenous uORFs [30,31]. We found that 6

out of 7 CiTIs inserted into the 3′UTR of the hairpin reporter significantly increased

the translation (>10-fold increase) (Fig. 2c), and this translation enhancement was

independent of the CiTI length or the cell lines used (Extended data Fig. 5b-5c). In

contrast, no reliable translation increasement or even inhibitory effect was detected

when CiTIs were inserted into the canonical reporter (Extended data Fig. 5d). As

another comparison, insertion of CiTIs into the 3′UTR of the uORF reporters showed

a weaker effect (Extended data Fig. 5e).

We next tested several pairs of highly structured 5′UTR and 3′-CiTI from

endogenous mRNAs for their activities to cooperatively regulate translation. As

expected, the structured 5′UTRs from all endogenous genes suppressed translation,

and such suppression could be "reversed" by the cognate 3′-CiTIs (Fig. 2d), again

suggesting a general translation enhancer activity of 3′-CiTIs that counteracts the

translation suppression mediated by secondary structures in the 5′UTR.

Most human mRNAs contain a 5′-cap and poly-A tail that are looped together by a protein complex to increase translation efficiency [32-34]. To determine if this looping is required for 3′-CiTI activity, we transfected cells with *in vitro* synthesized mRNAs containing optional 5′-cap or poly-A tail (Fig. 2e). In all mRNAs tested, insertion of a 3′-CiTI reversed the translation suppression by the 5′- hairpin, indicating the translation promotion by 3′-CiTI is independent of the 5′-cap or poly-A tail (Fig. 2e). This finding was further validated with a PolI reporter that can produce RNAs without a 5′-cap or poly-A tail, where a 3′-CiTI again enhanced translation of these "naked" mRNAs containing a 5′-hairpin (Extended data Fig. 6). Interestingly, the translation of mRNA that lacks a 5′-cap or poly-A tail could be enhanced by simply introducing a mRNA loop across the ORF using complementary sequences, and insertion of a 3′-CiTI further increased the translation of such mRNAs (Fig. 2f).

3′-CiTIs unwind the 5′UTR structures to facilitate ribosome scanning

During translation initiation, the preinitiation complex (PIC) usually needs to scan through the 5′UTR structures to initiate translation [2,35-37]. Occasionally, the PIC can "jump over" the 5′UTR structures, a phenomenon called ribosome shunting [38] (Fig. 2g, left). To determine how 3′-CiTIs enhance translation of mRNAs containing 5′UTR structures, we generated several reporters with 5′-hairpins of different lengths and an upstream in-frame start codon (uAUG) inside the hairpin (Extended data Fig. 6a). The first start codon uAUG will be used by default to generate an extended Rluc (eRluc) *via* ribosome scanning. Alternatively, the PIC may "jump over" the structure

and use the second AUG (i.e., without structure unwinding) to produce the canonical

Rluc.

We found that the uAUG was exclusively used during translation, suggesting a

ribosome scanning in all reporter mRNAs (Fig. 2g). As expected, introduction of a 5′-

hairpin reduced the translation efficiency in a length-dependent fashion, and insertion

of a 3′-CiTI increased the translation from the uAUG as judged by both western blots

and luciferase activities (Fig. 2g). This increasement was achieved *via* promoting

ribosome scanning rather than ribosome shunting, as only the proximal start codon

was used in all cases. Additionally, we found that the reporter mRNA containing a 3′-

CiTI had less structures (as judged by icSHAPE signals) than the mRNA without the

3′-CiTI, in both the entire 5′UTR and its hairpin region (Fig. 2h, and Extended data

Fig. 7b-c), suggesting that the 3′-CiTI may unwind the upstream structures to help

ribosomes scan through the 5′UTR.

***Trans*-acting factors are involved in 3′-CiTI-mediated translation enhancement**

To determine if the 3′-CiTIs recruit *trans*-acting factors to promote translation,

we performed RNA affinity purification (Fig. 3a) using the MS2-fused RNAs

containing three different 3′-CiTIs and controls (Fig. 2c, Extended data Fig. 8a). The

purified RNP complexes were analyzed with mass spectrometry to identify 63

candidate proteins that specifically bind all three CiTIs (Extended data Fig. 8b and

Table 2). These CiTI-binding proteins formed a densely-connected protein-protein

interaction network with key functions in translation initiation and RNA processing

(Fig. 3b), including many components in the eIF2 or eIF3 complex that functions as a

multi-tasking machine to promote translation [39]. Interestingly, the newly identified

CiTI-binding proteins also include DHX29 (Fig. 3b), a DExH-box RNA helicase that

might help to unwind RNA structures.

In line with the possible role of DHX29 in CiTI-mediated translation regulation,

knockdown of DHX29 specifically reduced the translation of several reporter mRNAs

containing 3′-CiTIs (Fig. 3c, Extended data Fig. 8c).   Furthermore, directly tethering

DHX29 onto the 3′UTR significantly increased translation of a hairpin-containing

mRNA (Fig. 3d, Extended data Fig. 8d), suggesting that DHX29 recruitment is

sufficient for translation promotion. The recruitment of several eIF3 components also

promoted the translation (Fig. 3d), supporting a previous finding that DHX29

cooperates with eIF3 during translation initiation [29,40]. Since several eIF3 components

were also pulled down by 3′-CiTIs (Fig. 3b), DHX29 may function together with the

eIF3 complex to mediate the CiTI activity.

Previously, DHX29 was shown to regulate the translation of a handful of genes,

including Cdc25C and XIAP [41,42]. However, ~2/3 of human mRNAs have structured

5′UTRs (i.e., with low MFE, $\Delta G < -40$ kcal/mol) [42], many of which may also be

regulated by DHX29. To systematically identify *bona fide* DHX29 targets, we

performed polysome profiling after DHX29 knockdown, and sequenced mRNAs from

different gradient fractions (Fig. 3e and Extended data Fig. 8c). We focused on the

genes with consistent profiles in two independent DHX29 knockdown lines (Pearson

correlation > 0.8), and calculated the translation efficiency by the percent of

polysome-associated mRNAs [43]. In total, 869 genes were identified with at least a

25% change in translation efficiency (Fig. 3f), most of which showed a translation

reduction (Fig. 3f and 3g, Extended data Table 3). Furthermore, the genes with

reduced translation efficiency in DHX29 knockdown cells had more RNA structures

in their 5′UTR (Fig. 3h), and 91 of these genes also contained 3′-CiTIs (p=0.001 by

hypergeometric test). Collectively, these results suggested that DHX29 plays a critical

role in unwinding mRNA structures and promotes translation upon specific

recruitment by 3′-CiTIs.

3′-CiTI and DHX29 promote HIF1A translation in response to hypoxia *in vitro*

and *in vivo*

An important new target of DHX29 is the hypoxia-induced transcription factor

HIF1A, which contains both a 3′-CiTI and strong 5′UTR structure [44,45]. As a key

factor for cellular response to hypoxia, HIF1A translation is suppressed in normoxia

but strongly induced by oxygen deprivation [46-48]. Using translation reporters

containing HIF1A 5′UTR and different fragments of its 3′UTR, we found that the

5′UTR significantly suppressed translation under hypoxia, however the HIF1A 3′-

CiTI fully restored translation in two different cell lines (Fig. 4a, top). Conversely,

deletion of the 3′-CiTI from the HIF1A 3′UTR reduced translation under hypoxia

(Fig. 4a, bottom). In contrast, under normoxia condition, translation enhancement by

the 3′-CiTI was observed only in HCT116 cells (Extended data Fig. 9a-b).

263   The translation promotion by the HIF1A 3′-CiTI was reduced by DHX29

264 knockdown under hypoxia (Fig. 4b). We further observed a direct interaction of

265 DHX29 with HIF1A mRNAs (Extended data Fig. 9c), consistent with its general

266 function as a subunit of the translation initiation complex [40]. Interestingly, the

267 interaction between DHX29 and HIF1A mRNA was nearly eliminated by the 3′-CiTI

268 deletion under hypoxia, whereas this interaction was only reduced by ~50% during

269 normoxia (Fig. 4c), suggesting that the 3′-CiTI is essential for DHX29 recruitment to

270 HIF1A mRNA during hypoxia.

271   To evaluate the functional impact of 3′-CiTI-mediated translation promotion, we

272 deleted the 3′-CiTI from endogenous HIF1A gene in SH-SY5Y neuroblastoma cells

273 with CRISPR-cas9 (Extended data Fig. 9d). Under normoxia, HIF1A mRNA was

274 mostly found in monosomes or light polysomes (Extended data Fig. 9e), consistent

275 with its low translation efficiency under this condition. Hypoxia induced the

276 association of most HIF1A mRNAs with polysomes (Fig. 4d). However, deletion of

277 the 3′-CiTI shifted HIF1A mRNAs (but not control mRNAs) from the polysome

278 factions to the monosome fractions (Fig. 4d), suggesting that 3′-CiTI is required to

279 specifically promote translation of HIF1A mRNA in response to hypoxia.

280   Furthermore, deletion of the 3′-CiTI significantly blunted the induction of HIF1A

281 protein by hypoxia (Fig. 4e), resulting in a small but significant reduction in cell

282 proliferation similar to that in HIF1A knockdown cells (Fig. 4f and Extended data Fig.

283 9f). Importantly, when inoculated in nude mice, 3′-CiTI deleted SH-SY5Y

284 neuroblastoma tumors exhibited a dramatic reduction of growth (Fig. 4g and 4h).

Taken together, these observations indicate that the 3′-CiTI in HIF1A mRNA

functions as a key *cis*-element that recruits DHX29 to mediate environmental

regulation of its translation, which plays a critical role in supporting *in vivo* tumor

growth.

Discussion

By unbiasedly screening human transcriptome, we uncovered a new class of

3′UTR elements that play an unexpected role in translation initiation. Specifically, the

3′-CiTIs actively recruit key initiation factors to unwind inhibitory RNA structures in

the 5′UTRs, thereby promoting the ribosomes scanning (Fig. 4i). Since actively

translated mRNAs generally form a loop through interactions of poly-A binding

proteins with eIF4G [49], the 3′UTR may generally serve as a repository of regulatory

elements that "catch and store" the translation initiation factors to increase their local

concentration for the next round of translation.

This new model not only reveals a new role of 3′UTRs in translation initiation,

but also explains why the 3′-CiTIs were initially identified as cap-independent

translation initiator in our circRNA screening system. Unlike the canonical translation

initiated with formation of eIF4F complex on 5′-cap, the 3′-CiTI in circRNAs may

recruit initiation factors (e.g., DHX29 and eIF3 and eIF2 components), thus hijacking

the initiation machine to bypass the requirement of eIF4F and drive cap-independent

translation. Consequently, these 3′-CiTIs are conceptually equivalent to the "IRES"

when inserted into the suitable context, although they function as translation

initiators/enhancers from 3′UTR in endogenous settings.

Our findings further indicate that the 3′-CiTI-mediated translational control is an

ancient and common mode of regulation. Firstly, the 3′-CiTIs are significantly more

conserved than the matched control regions (Extended data Fig. 1d) and the 5′UTR in

the 3′-CiTI-containing mRNAs are also more conserved and more structured (Fig. 2a-

2b), indicating that the pairs of 3′-CiTIs and 5′UTRs are under functional selection in

evolution. Moreover, ~44% of the 2681 newly identified 3′-CiTIs are located in

human mRNAs with structured 5′UTRs ($\Delta G < -40$ kcal/mol)[42], suggesting a common

role of 3′-CiTIs in counteracting the inhibitory 5′UTR structures in many genes.

This study also highlights the importance of DHX29 in 3′-CiTI-mediated

translation initiation in response to environmental stress. Several RNA helicases

(including DHX29, DHX9 and DDX3) were reported to promote translation [29,40]. In

particular, DHX29 has been shown to interact with eIF3 to form an active

43S/DHX29 complex [41]. However, it is unclear how these helicases are specifically

recruited to mRNAs. Our data indicate that many 3′-CiTIs can function as the *cis*-

acting elements to recruit DHX29 (and eIF3). The biological impact of such

regulation is exemplified by HIF1A: deletion of a 3′-CiTI on the endogenous *HIF1A*

locus directly impairs its translation and reduces cell survival under hypoxia, which

further blunts *in vivo* tumor progression.

Given the various stress conditions where the cap-dependent translation initiation

is inhibited (e.g., hypoxia, viral infection, heat/cold shock, etc.), the cooperative

regulation of translation by the structured 5′UTR and 3′-CiTI reported here adds an

additional layer of regulatory complexity during stress. Future studies are needed to

determine how CiTI-mediated translational initiation can interplay with other modes

of translation control from 3′UTRs, such as regulation by m6A and miRNAs [4,50].

In summary, our study uncovers an uncanonical mechanism of 3′UTR in

mediating translation initiation, and further reveals the importance of this regulation

in stress-induced cell survival and proliferation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These findings

may pave the way for novel cancer therapies that target stress-induced translation.

Data availability

The raw sequencing data and filtered datasets are publicly available from NODE (assess number: OEP001285) and NCBI (assess number: PRJNA680213). The customized scripts for data analyses are also available from GitHub.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Hao Chi for his support in identifying dORF peptides from proteomic data using open pFind(v3.1.3), Dr. Eran Segal and Dr. Shira Weingarten-Gabbay for kindly sharing the raw data of their previous screen, Dr. Qiangfeng Cliff Zhang for the suggestion in icSHAPE analysis, and Drs. Xiaoling Li, Dieter A. Wolf, Shu-Bing Qian and Nahum Sonenberg for useful discussions. This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China to Z.W. (91940303, 31661143031, and 31730110) and Y.Y. (91753135, 31870814), the National Key Research and Development Program of China to Z.W. (2018YFA0107602), and funding from the Max Plank Society to H.U. and R.L. Z.W. is also supported by the type A CAS Pioneer 100-Talent program. Y.Y. is sponsored by the Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS (2019267), SA-SIBS Scholarship Program and Shanghai Science and Technology Committee Rising-Star Program (19QA1410500).

References

Sonenberg, N. & Hinnebusch, A. G. Regulation of translation initiation in eukaryotes: mechanisms and biological targets. *Cell* **136**, 731-745, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.042 (2009).

Jackson, R. J., Hellen, C. U. & Pestova, T. V. The mechanism of eukaryotic translation initiation and principles of its regulation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **11**, 113-127, doi:10.1038/nrm2838 (2010).

Ma, X. M. & Blenis, J. Molecular mechanisms of mTOR-mediated translational control. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 307-318, doi:10.1038/nrm2672 (2009).

Fabian, M. R., Sonenberg, N. & Filipowicz, W. Regulation of mRNA translation and stability by microRNAs. *Annu Rev Biochem* **79**, 351-379, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060308-103103 (2010).

Martinez-Salas, E., Francisco-Velilla, R., Fernandez-Chamorro, J. & Embarek, A. M. Insights into structural and mechanistic features of viral IRES elements. *Front Microbiol* **8**, 2629, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02629 (2017).

Yang, Y. & Wang, Z. IRES-mediated cap-independent translation, a path leading to hidden proteome. *J Mol Cell Biol*, doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjz091 (2019).

Spriggs, K. A., Stoneley, M., Bushell, M. & Willis, A. E. Re-programming of translation following cell stress allows IRES-mediated translation to predominate. *Biol Cell* **100**, 27-38, doi:10.1042/BC20070098 (2008).

Weingarten-Gabbay, S. *et al.* Systematic discovery of cap-independent translation sequences in human and viral genomes. *Science* **351**, doi:10.1126/science.aad4939 (2016).

Salzman, J., Gawad, C., Wang, P. L., Lacayo, N. & Brown, P. O. Circular RNAs are the predominant transcript isoform from hundreds of human genes in diverse cell types. *PLoS One* **7**, e30733, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030733.

Jeck, W. R. *et al.* Circular RNAs are abundant, conserved, and associated with ALU repeats. *RNA* **19**, 141-157, doi:10.1261/rna.035667.112.

Zhang, X. O. *et al.* Complementary sequence-mediated exon circularization. *Cell* **159**, 134-147, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.001 (2014).

Chen, C. Y. & Sarnow, P. Initiation of protein synthesis by the eukaryotic translational apparatus on circular RNAs. *Science* **268**, 415-417 (1995).

Wang, Y. & Wang, Z. Efficient backsplicing produces translatable circular mRNAs. *RNA* **21**, 172-179, doi:10.1261/rna.048272.114.

Legnini, I. *et al.* Circ-ZNF609 Is a circular RNA that can be translated and functions in myogenesis. *Mol Cell* **66**, 22-37 e29, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.02.017 (2017).

Pamudurti, N. R. *et al.* Translation of circRNAs. *Mol Cell* **66**, 9-21 e27, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.02.021 (2017).

Yang, Y. *et al.* Extensive translation of circular RNAs driven by N(6)-methyladenosine. *Cell Res* **27**, 626-641, doi:10.1038/cr.2017.31 (2017).

Li, X. *et al.* Coordinated circRNA Biogenesis and function with NF90/NF110 in viral infection. *Mol Cell* **67**, 214-227 e217, doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.05.023 (2017).

400   18   Mokrejs, M. *et al.* IRESite--a tool for the examination of viral and cellular
401   internal ribosome entry sites. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**, D131-136,
402   doi:10.1093/nar/gkp981 (2010).

403   19   Gritsenko, A. A. *et al.* Sequence features of viral and human Internal Ribosome
404   Entry Sites predictive of their activity. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e1005734,
405   doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005734 (2017).

406   20   Pisarev, A. V., Kolupaeva, V. G., Yusupov, M. M., Hellen, C. U. & Pestova, T.
407   V. Ribosomal position and contacts of mRNA in eukaryotic translation
408   initiation complexes. *EMBO J* **27**, 1609-1621, doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.90 (2008).

409   21   Mackowiak, S. D. *et al.* Extensive identification and analysis of conserved
410   small ORFs in animals. *Genome Biol* **16**, 179, doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0742-x
411   (2015).

412   22   Ji, Z., Song, R., Regev, A. & Struhl, K. Many lncRNAs, 5'UTRs, and pseudogenes
413   are translated and some are likely to express functional proteins. *Elife* **4**,
414   e08890, doi:10.7554/eLife.08890 (2015).

415   23   Chi, H. *et al.* Comprehensive identification of peptides in tandem mass spectra
416   using an efficient open search engine. *Nat Biotechnol*, doi:10.1038/nbt.4236
417   (2018).

418   24   Bekker-Jensen, D. B. *et al.* An Optimized shotgun strategy for the rapid
419   generation of comprehensive human proteomes. *Cell Syst* **4**, 587-599 e584,
420   doi:10.1016/j.cels.2017.05.009 (2017).

421   25   Arribere, J. A. *et al.* Translation readthrough mitigation. *Nature* **534**, 719-
422   723, doi:10.1038/nature18308 (2016).

423   26   Kramarski, L. & Arbely, E. Translational read-through promotes aggregation
424   and shapes stop codon identity. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 3747-3760,
425   doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa136 (2020).

426   27   Chen, J. *et al.* Pervasive functional translation of noncanonical human open
427   reading frames. *Science* **367**, 1140-1146, doi:10.1126/science.aay0262 (2020).

428   28   Wan, J. & Qian, S. B. TISdb: a database for alternative translation initiation
429   in mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D845-850, doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1085
430   (2014).

431   29   Hinnebusch, A. G., Ivanov, I. P. & Sonenberg, N. Translational control by 5'-
432   untranslated regions of eukaryotic mRNAs. *Science* **352**, 1413-1416,
433   doi:10.1126/science.aad9868 (2016).

434   30   Jousse, C. *et al.* Inhibition of CHOP translation by a peptide encoded by an
435   open reading frame localized in the chop 5'UTR. *Nucleic Acids Res* **29**, 4341-
436   4351, doi:10.1093/nar/29.21.4341 (2001).

437   31   Lee, Y. Y., Cevallos, R. C. & Jan, E. An upstream open reading frame regulates
438   translation of GADD34 during cellular stresses that induce eIF2alpha
439   phosphorylation. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 6661-6673, doi:10.1074/jbc.M806735200
440   (2009).

441   32   Gallie, D. R. The cap and poly(A) tail function synergistically to regulate
442   mRNA translational efficiency. *Genes Dev* **5**, 2108-2116,
443   doi:10.1101/gad.5.11.2108 (1991).

33   Svitkin, Y. V. & Sonenberg, N. An efficient system for cap- and poly(A)-
dependent translation in vitro. *Methods Mol Biol* **257**, 155-170, doi:10.1385/1-
59259-750-5:155 (2004).

34   Kahvejian, A., Roy, G. & Sonenberg, N. The mRNA closed-loop model: the
function of PABP and PABP-interacting proteins in mRNA translation. *Cold*
Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol **66**, 293-300, doi:10.1101/sqb.2001.66.293 (2001).

35   Pelletier, J. & Sonenberg, N. Insertion mutagenesis to increase secondary
structure within the 5' noncoding region of a eukaryotic mRNA reduces
translational efficiency. *Cell* **40**, 515-526, doi:10.1016/0092-8674(85)90200-4
(1985).

36   Kozak, M. Influences of mRNA secondary structure on initiation by eukaryotic
ribosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **83**, 2850-2854, doi:10.1073/pnas.83.9.2850
(1986).

37   Kozak, M. Circumstances and mechanisms of inhibition of translation by
secondary structure in eucaryotic mRNAs. *Mol Cell Biol* **9**, 5134-5142,
doi:10.1128/mcb.9.11.5134 (1989).

38   Sriram, A., Bohlen, J. & Teleman, A. A. Translation acrobatics: how cancer
cells exploit alternate modes of translational initiation. *EMBO Rep* **19**,
doi:10.15252/embr.201845947 (2018).

39   Wolf, D. A., Lin, Y., Duan, H. & Cheng, Y. eIF-Three to Tango: emerging
functions of translation initiation factor eIF3 in protein synthesis and
disease. *J Mol Cell Biol* **12**, 403-409, doi:10.1093/jmcb/mjaa018 (2020).

40   Shen, L. & Pelletier, J. General and target-specific DExD/H RNA helicases in
eukaryotic translation initiation. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, doi:10.3390/ijms21124402
(2020).

41   Pisareva, V. P., Pisarev, A. V., Komar, A. A., Hellen, C. U. & Pestova, T. V.
Translation initiation on mammalian mRNAs with structured 5'UTRs requires
DExH-box protein DHX29. *Cell* **135**, 1237-1250, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.037
(2008).

42   Parsyan, A. *et al.* The helicase protein DHX29 promotes translation initiation,
cell proliferation, and tumorigenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**, 22217-
22222, doi:10.1073/pnas.0909773106 (2009).

43   Floor, S. N. & Doudna, J. A. Tunable protein synthesis by transcript isoforms
in human cells. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.10921 (2016).

44   Semenza, G. L. & Wang, G. L. A nuclear factor induced by hypoxia via de novo
protein synthesis binds to the human erythropoietin gene enhancer at a site
required for transcriptional activation. *Mol Cell Biol* **12**, 5447-5454,
doi:10.1128/mcb.12.12.5447 (1992).

45   Wang, G. L. & Semenza, G. L. Purification and characterization of hypoxia-
inducible factor 1. *J Biol Chem* **270**, 1230-1237, doi:10.1074/jbc.270.3.1230
(1995).

46   Belaiba, R. S. *et al.* Hypoxia up-regulates hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha
transcription by involving phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and nuclear factor
kappaB in pulmonary artery smooth muscle cells. *Mol Biol Cell* **18**, 4691-4697,

doi:10.1091/mbc.e07-04-0391 (2007).

47   Galban, S. *et al.* RNA-binding proteins HuR and PTB promote the translation
of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha. *Mol Cell Biol* **28**, 93-107,
doi:10.1128/MCB.00973-07 (2008).

48   Hui, A. S., Bauer, A. L., Striet, J. B., Schnell, P. O. & Czyzyk-Krzeska, M.
F. Calcium signaling stimulates translation of HIF-alpha during hypoxia.
FASEB J **20**, 466-475, doi:10.1096/fj.05-5086com (2006).

49   Vicens, Q., Kieft, J. S. & Rissland, O. S. Revisiting the closed-Loop model
and the nature of mRNA 5'-3' communication. *Mol Cell* **72**, 805-812,
doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2018.10.047 (2018).

50   Zhao, B. S., Roundtree, I. A. & He, C. Post-transcriptional gene regulation
by mRNA modifications. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 31-42,
doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.132 (2017).

Figures and figure legends

Figure 1. Systematic identification of cap-independent translation elements. a, Unbiased screen of cap-independent translation sequences using a circRNA reporter containing a split EGFP. Total HeLa RNAs were fragmented into 200-300nt fragments, reverse-transcribed, normalized and inserted into the circRNA reporter with FlpIn backbone to generate a plasmid library. This library was co-transfected with pOG44 (ratio 1:9) into Flp-In™-293 cells and selected by hygromycin to generate a library of stably transfected cells that were further sorted by FACS. The library was sequenced at each stage to determine sequence complexity. **b**, Genomic distribution of inserted sequences in pre-sorting and post-sorting cells (N indicates the number of fragments).

c, The read coverage plots for MBTPS1 and UQCR10 as representative examples. **d**, Validation of the newly identified fragments (indicated by starting position in human genome and offset) using circRNA translation reporters. The fragments of both high (>10,000) and low (1 to 3) read depth were selected for testing. **e**, Validation of the newly identified fragments for cap-independent translation activation using bicistronic translation reporters inserted with different CiTIs. The reporters were transfected into HEK293 cells, and the products were determined by luminescence assay (n = 3, mean ± SD, * indicated $p<0.05$ by Student's t-test). **f**, Positional density plots of the pre-sorting fragments and the CiTIs were in different regions of the gene body.

Figure 2. The 3′-CiTIs enhance translation of mRNAs with structured 5′UTRs.

a-b, Sequence conservation the structure (predicted MFE, and GC contents) of the

5′UTRs from all human genes *vs.* the 3′-CiTI-containing genes. In **panels a,b and h**,

p values were calculated by unpaired two-sided Mann–Whitney U test. **c,** The dual-

luciferase reporter containing a hairpin at the 5′UTR of Rluc and different CiTIs in its

3′UTR. The translation efficiency of Rluc was determined by the relative abundance

of proteins (*via* luminescence assay) *vs* RNAs (*via* qPCR) normalized to the control

Fluc. In this and the following panels **(c-g)**, same procedures were used with Student′s

t-test to evaluate statistical significance (n = 3, mean ± SD, * indicated p<0.05). **d**,

Different combinations of endogenous structured 5′UTRs (shown as RNAfold

prediction) and their cognate 3′-CiTIs were inserted into the Rluc reporter to test their

effects on translation. **e**, Different Rluc mRNAs with an optional 5′-cap or poly-A

were transcribed *in vitro*, and co-transfected with control Fluc mRNA into HEK293

cells to test translation efficiency. **f**, Introduction of mRNA looping through

complementary sequences enhanced the activity of the 3′-CiTI. The experimental

procedures are same as **panel e**.   **g**, Two potential mechanisms for translation

initiation through a 5′UTR structure (ribosome scanning *vs* shunting). The reporters

with different structures in their 5′UTR and optional 3′-CiTIs were transfected into

HEK293 cells, and the translation efficiency was determined by the relative

abundance of Rluc proteins (*via* luminescence assay) vs RNAs (*via* qPCR)

normalized to the control Fluc. **h**, *In vivo* icSHAPE signals of the hairpin regions in

uAUG-hp35 mRNA with optional 3′-CiTI mRNAs. The icSHAPE signals of the

hairpin region were plotted, and regions with increased opened structure by 3′-CiTI

are indicated with red arrows.

Figure 3. *Trans*-**factors involved in 3′-CiTI functions. a**, Schematic diagram of
RNP affinity purification. **b**, The protein-protein interaction network of identified
CiTI-binding *trans*-factors. **c**, DHX29 knockdown reduces the 3′-CiTI activity. The
reporters with a 5′UTR hairpin and different 3′-CiTIs were transfected into HEK293
cells after DHX29 knockdown, and the translation efficiency of Rluc was determined
as in Fig. 2c (n = 3, mean ± SD in **panel c and d**, * indicates p<0.05 by Student′s t-
test, n.s. = not significant). **d**, Tethering of different *trans*-factors promotes translation
of mRNAs with structured 5′UTRs. The translation reporter containing 6xMS2 sites
was co-transfected with expression vectors of different fusion proteins, and the
translation efficiency was measured as in **panel c**. **e**, Polysome profiling of SH-SY5Y
cells after DHX29 knockdown. Mono: monosome; LP: light polysome (2-4

ribosomes); HP: heavy polysome (>4 ribosomes). The RNAs from each fraction were
sequenced using the ribo-minus protocol. **f**, Global translation changes after DHX29-
knockdown. The translation efficiency of each gene was defined by the percentage of
polysome-associated mRNAs (data of **panel e**). The genes with consistent profiles in
both knockdown lines were selected to plot percent difference of the polysome-
associated mRNAs between knockdown cells *vs.* control cells (± 25% cutoffs were
indicated). **g**, Relative distributions in polysome profiles were plotted as heatmap. The
genes harboring altered distribution in DHX29 knockdown SH-SY5Y cells were
shown. **h**, The predicted MFE of 5′UTRs from genes with reduced translation
efficiency by DHX29 knockdown (p values calculated with unpaired two-sided
Mann–Whitney U test).

Figure 4. Biological function of HIF1A 3′-CiTI. a, Different translation reporters containing various combinations of the 5′UTR and 3′-CiTI from HIF1A were transfected in SH-SY5Y or HCT116 cells, and the translation efficiencies were examined as in Fig. 2c under hypoxia (1% O_2) (n = 3, mean ± SD, Student′s t-test in **panel a-b**). **b**, The activity of the HIF1A 3′-CiTI was reduced in SH-SY5Y cells after DHX29-knockdown under hypoxia (1% O_2). **c**, HA-tagged DHX29 was co-

transfected with flag-tagged HIF1A (full length or 3′-CiTI deletion) into SH-SY5Y cells, and the interaction of HIF1A RNA and DHX29 was measured by RNA-IP and qPCR (n = 3, mean ± SD, Student′s t-test). **d**, The SH-SY5Y cells with deletion of HIF1A 3′-CITI were analyzed with polysome profiling after 6 h under hypoxia. Top, polysome profiling of WT and two independent deletion clones. Middle and bottom, the mRNA abundance of HIF1A and ACTB control in each fraction. **e**, WT cells and two 3′-CITI deletion clones were collected at 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, and 6 h after hypoxia, and the protein or RNA level of HIF1A was determined by western blot (left) or RT-qPCR (right). Middle, quantification of western blot (n = 3, mean ± SD, Student′s t-test). **f**, left, proliferation of WT SH-SY5Y cells and cells with HIF1A 3′-CiTI deletion under hypoxia as measured with CCK8 assay (n = 3, mean ± SD, Student′s t-test). The HIF1A knockdown cells were used as a control (right). **g**, Growth of xenograft tumor generated with WT SH-SY5Y cells or two clones of HIF1A 3′-CiTI deletion (n = 9, mean ± SEM, Student′s t-test). **h**, The weights and images of the xenograft tumors 4 weeks after injection. **i**, New model for 3′-CiTI-mediated translation regulation.