The haplotype-based analysis of *Aegilops tauschii* introgression into hard red winter wheat and its impact on productivity traits

1 Moses Nyine[1], Elina Adhikari[1], Marshall Clinesmith[2], Robert Aiken[2], Bliss Betzen[1], Wei
2 Wang[1], Dwight Davidson[1], Zitong Yu[1], Yuanwen Guo[1], Fei He[1], Alina Akhunova[3], Katherine
3 W Jordan[1,4], Allan K Fritz[2], Eduard Akhunov[1*].

4
[1] Department of Plant Pathology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA
[2] Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA
[3] Integrated Genomics Facility, Kansas State University, Manhattan, USA
[4] USDA-ARS Hard Winter Wheat Genetics Research Unit, Manhattan, USA

*Corresponding author:
Eduard Akhunov
Email: eakhunov@ksu.edu

Keywords: wheat, *Aegilops tauschii*, wild relative introgression, whole genome sequencing,
haplotypes, genotype imputation, grain yield, yield component traits.

Abstract

Introgression from wild relatives have a great potential to broaden beneficial allelic diversity
available for crop improvement in breeding programs. Here, we assessed the impact of introgression
from 21 diverse accessions of *Aegilops tauschii*, the diploid ancestor of the wheat D genome, into six
hard red winter wheat cultivars on yield and yield component traits. We used 5.2 million imputed D
genome SNPs identified by whole-genome sequencing of parental lines and the sequence-based
genotyping of introgression population including 351 $BC_1F_{3:5}$ lines. Phenotyping data collected from
the irrigated and non-irrigated field trials revealed that up to 23% of the introgression lines produce
more grain than the parents and check cultivars. Based on sixteen yield stability statistics, the yield of
twelve introgression lines (3.4%) was stable across treatments, years and locations; five of these lines
were also high yielding, producing 9.8% more grain than the average yield of check cultivars. The
most significant SNP-trait and haplotype-trait associations were identified on chromosome arms 2DS
and 6DL for spikelet number per spike (SNS), on chromosome arms 2DS, 3DS, 5DS and 7DS for
grain length and on chromosome arms 1DL, 2DS, 6DL and 7DS for grain width. Introgression of
haplotypes from *Ae. tauschii* parents was associated with increase in SNS, which positively
correlated with heading date, whereas haplotypes from hexaploid wheat parents were associated with
increased grain width. While some introgressed haplotypes exhibited significant pleiotropic effects
with the direction of effects on the yield component traits being largely consistent with the previously
reported trade-offs, there were haplotype combinations associated with the positive trends in yield.
The characterized repertoire of the introgressed haplotypes derived from *Ae. tauschii* accessions with
the combined positive effects on yield and yield components traits in elite germplasm provides a

valuable source of alleles for improving the productivity of winter wheat by optimizing the
contribution of component traits to yield.

Number of words: 7389, Number of figures: 5

1   Introduction

The gap between population expansion and food production is increasing due to marginal
improvements in crop yield, which is attributed to declining soil fertility, pests and diseases, and
climate change (Bailey-Serres et al., 2019). Wild relatives of wheat are a rich source of novel
underutilized allelic diversity with great potential to improve cultivated wheat through introgression
(Placido et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2017; Hao et al., 2020). Introgression from wild relatives into elite
wheat cultivars was reported to increase pest and disease resistance (Periyannan et al., 2013;
Saintenic et al., 2013), improve resilience towards environmental stress (Peleg et al., 2005; Placido et
al., 2013) and increase yield (Pasquariello et al., 2019). The success of introgression breeding,
however, could be affected by the negative epistasis between the multiple alleles of wild and
cultivated wheat (Nyine et al., 2020), especially in the low recombing regions of chromosomes,
where linkage with the negatively selected alleles could reduce the efficiency of selection for
beneficial variants (Hill and Robertson 1966).

Introgression could exhibit pleotropic effects, affecting multiple, often unrelated traits not
directly targeted by selection. For example, introgression from *Ae. ventricosa* into chromosome 7D
of wheat was associated with increase in grain protein content and resistance to eyespot at the
expense of reduced yield (Pasquariello et al., 2019). In durum wheat, introgression of the *GNI-A1*
gene from wild emmer increased grain weight by suppressing the fertility of distal florets, resulting in
a negative correlation between grain number and grain weight (Golan et al., 2019). Introgression
from *Agropyron elongatum* into the 7DL chromosome arm of wheat that is known to confer leaf rust
resistance (*Lr19*) (Wang and Zhang 1996) also influences root development, resulting in improved
adaption to water stress (Placido et al., 2013) and salinity (Dvorak et al., 1988), and increased
biomass and yield (Reynold et al., 2001).

Crop yield is a complex trait determined by many component traits, such as thousand gain
weight, grain number per spike, spikes per unit area, grain width, area and length among others (Del
Moral et al., 2003; Du et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018). Previous studies have shown that
introgression from wild and close relatives improve yield of hexaploid wheat by changing yield
component traits through the pleotropic interaction between introgressed and background alleles of
the hexaploid wheat (Jones et al., 2020). Significant trade-offs between yield, yield components and
yield stability have been reported in wheat. A study by Pennacchi et al. (2019) showed that yield and
yield stability have a negative linear relationship in most cases. Other factors such as heading date,
plant height and biomass influence the source-sink ratio, which in turn affects the harvest index
leading to variation in yield and yield stability (Reynold et al., 2001; Reynold et al., 2020). Balancing
the trade-off between yield components is therefore necessary to improve yield, maximize the yield
potential and improve yield stability in wheat.

Sequence-based genotyping generates high-density SNP marker data that could be used to
accurately detect the boundaries of genomic segments introgressed from wild relatives (Kuzay et al.,
2019; Nyine et al., 2020), providing a unique opportunity to investigate the effect of introgression on
trade-off between traits affecting total yield. Even though whole genome sequencing became less
expensive, it is still not within the cost range that would allow wheat breeding programs to sequence

large populations. Sequencing of founder lines at a high coverage depth and using these genotypes as
a reference panel to impute missing and ungenotyped markers in the progeny characterized by low-
coverage SKIM sequencing is a cost-effective alternative. The existing imputation algorithms
(Browning and Browning 2013; Swarts et al., 2014; Davies et al., 2016) provide highly accurate
whole-genome prediction of missing genotypes, and were shown to increase the power of genome-
wide association scans, thus enabling the identification of SNPs or haplotypes associated with the
traits of interest (Li et al., 2010; Nyine et al., 2019). One of the advantages of the increased marker
density provided by whole-genome sequencing is the ability to perform association mapping using
haplotype information, which improves the detection of quantitative trait loci that would otherwise be
missed when using single SNPs (Zhang et al., 2002; Lorenz et al., 2010).

Here we investigated the impact of introgression from *Ae. tauschii* into hard red winter wheat
lines on yield and yield component traits and how haplotypes from *Ae. tauschii* at different genomic
loci affect the component traits and total yield. For this purpose, we assessed the phenotypic
variability of yield and the component traits, biomass and tenacious glume traits in an introgression
population derived from *Ae. tauschii* and hexaploid winter wheat phenotyped under irrigated and
rainfed conditions. We applied SNP diversity data generated by the whole genome shotgun
sequencing at 10x coverage level of the parental lines to impute genotypes in this population (Nyine
et al., 2020). This strategy resulted in 5.2 million SNPs that enabled us to identify the introgressed
Ae. tauschii haplotypes and assess their effects on trait variation through genome-wide association
mapping and haplotype block effect analysis. This introgression population along with high-density
SNP genotype data provides a valuable resource for effective deployment of *Ae. tauschii* haplotypes
in winter wheat improvement programs.

2   Materials and Methods

2.1   Plant materials

The study population was described in detail by Nyine et al. (2020). In brief, the population
was developed by crossing synthetic *Ae. tauschii*-wheat octoploid lines with recurrent hexaploid
winter wheat lines. The octoploid lines were developed by crossing five hexaploid winter wheat lines
with 21 diverse *Ae. tauschii* accessions. The resulting F_1 hybrid plants regenerated from rescued
embryos were treated with colchicine to produce the synthetic octoploids (Dale et al., 2017). The
synthetic octoploids were backcrossed once to the respective hexaploid wheat parents or to another
wheat line. The BC_1F_1 plants were self-pollinated and advanced by single seed descent to the BC_1F_3
generation. Seeds from individual BC_1F_3 plants were bulked and grown in single rows in the field at
the Kansas State University, Ashland Research Farm near Manhattan, KS in the 2016-17 growing
season. A total of 351 lines were selected from the entire population based on the ability to produce
sufficient seeds to allow for yield testing, general fitness, threshability and phenology corresponding
to the adapted wheat cultivars.

2.2   Field phenotyping

The population was phenotyped in 2018 and 2019 at Colby (KS), and in 2020 at Ashland
(KS). In both locations and years, an augmented design was used to establish the trials. Three
hexaploid winter wheat lines well-adapted to Kansas environments (checks) and the hexaploid wheat
parents were used as controls with at least three biological replications per block. In 2018 and 2019,
two complete blocks were established and one block was irrigated whereas the other was rainfed
(non-irrigated), simulating an optimal and farmer-field growth conditions. In 2020, only one block
was grown under rainfed conditions.

The population was phenotyped for yield and yield components traits, biomass traits and
tenacious glume. Agrobase software (Mulitze 1990) was used to adjust the grain yield (GY), (bushels
per acre) for spatial variability. The MARVIN seed imaging system (GTA Sensorik GmbH,
Germany) was used to assess the grain morphometric traits such as grain number (GN) per sample,
thousand grain weight (TGW), grain area (GA), grain width (GW) and grain length (GL) from two
technical replicates in 2018, and one measurement in 2019 and 2020. In 2019 and 2020, data were
collected for the number of spikes per square foot (SPSF) from two random points within a plot. The
1 ft x 1 ft square frame was dropped over two rows at least one foot away from the plot edges to
avoid the border effect. In 2019, only one row within a frame was cut above the ground level for
biomass determination, while in 2020, both rows were sampled. Biomass samples were collected in
paper bags and dried for at least three weeks at 32°C (90°F) before processing. We collected data on
aboveground dry biomass (BM) measured as the total weight of the dry sample without the bag, the
number of spikes per sample (SPB), the average spikelet number per spike (SNS) from 10 random
spikes and grain weight after threshing (GSW). During threshing, we scored samples for presence
and absence of the tenacious glume (Tg) trait depending on the threshability. Harvesting index (HI)
was calculated as the percentage of GSW relative to BM.

In 2020, data for heading date (HD) were collected from each plot when approximately 50%
of the spikes had emerged from the flag leaves. The number of days to heading were calculated as the
difference between the heading and planting dates. After all the plots had completed heading, plant
height (PH), in centimeters was measured on the same day from two random but representative main
tillers per plot for the whole field. PH was measured as the distance from the ground surface to the
first spikelet of the spike.

2.3   Genotyping

2.3.1 Whole genome shotgun sequencing of parental lines

Genomic DNA of 21 *Ae. tauschii* accessions and six hexaploid parents used to create the
introgression population was extracted from leaf tissues of two-week old seedlings grown in a
greenhouse using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. The
quality and concentration of the DNA was assessed using PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit (Life
Technologies).

Genomic libraries for Illumina sequencing were constructed from ~2 μg of genomic DNA
using the PCR-free Illumina protocol at the K-State Integrated Genomics Facility (IGF). The libraries
were subjected to size selection using the Pippin Prep system (Sage Scientific) to enrich for 400-600
bp fragments. The pooled barcoded libraries were sequenced using the NovaSeq instrument (2 x 150
bp run, S4 flow cell) at Kansas University Medical Center and NextSeq 500 (2 x 150 bp run) at IGF.
The average number of reads per wheat line corresponded to approximately 10x genome coverage of
parental lines. The raw reads with Phred quality score less than 15, minimum length less than 50 bp
and adaptor sequences were filtered out using Trimmomatic v0.38-Java-1.8. The remaining filtered
paired-end reads were mapped to the Chinese Spring (CS) RefSeq v1.0 (IWGSC, 2018) using BWA-
mem software v0.7.17. The sam files generated by BWA-mem were converted to bam files using
SAMtools v1.10. Picard Toolkit (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) was used to merge bam files
from different lanes and sequencers into one bam file per sample. Reads that aligned to multiple
locations within the genome were identified and removed by SAMtools v1.10. Picard Toolkit was
used to prepare the merged unique aligned read bam files for GATK (McKenna et al., 2010) analysis.
The preparatory steps included sorting, adding read groups, marking and removing duplicate reads.
The output deduplicated bam files were realigned around INDELs using GATK v3.7 and recalibrated

with 90K SNPs (Wang et al., 2014) mapped to CS RefSeq v1.0 as the reference coordinates. The
bam files were split into chromosome parts and indexed to reduce the memory and time required to
process the files. GATK v3.7 was used to generate the genome variant call format (gvcf) files for
each chromosome part. The gvcf files were split into 100 Mb chromosome windows and stored as
genomicsDB using genomicsDBImport tool in GATK v4.0. Joint genotyping of variants from each
database was done using GATK v4.0 HaplotypeCaller. The flag <-allow-old-rms-mapping-quality-
annotation-data> was set to enable the processing of gvcf files generated by GATK v3.7. All vcf files
corresponding to the A, B and D genomes were concatenated with concat, a Perl-based utility in
vcftools v0.1.13. A custom Perl script was used to convert the Chinese Spring RefSeq v1.0 parts
coordinates in the concatenated vcf to full coordinates after which, vcf-filter tools v0.1.13 were used
to remove INDELs, multi allelic loci, sites with missing data and MAF below 0.05. The filtered
SNPs were phased using Beagle software v4.1 (Browning and Browning 2013).

2.3.2 Skim sequencing and imputation

Sequence-based genotyping of the introgression population was performed previously by
Nyine et al. (2020). SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) less than 0.01 were excluded from the
raw vcf file using vcf-filter tools v0.1.13. The program conform-gt (https://faculty.washington.edu/
browning/conform-gt.html) was used to check the concordance of D genome SNP positions between
the introgression population and the SNPs from parental lines genotyped by whole genome shotgun
sequencing. Missing and ungenotyped SNPs in the D genome of the introgression population were
imputed from the parental lines using Beagle v.5.0. A custom Perl script was used to filter out
imputed SNPs with genotype probability below 0.7 and MAF less than 0.05. The filtered SNPs were
used in the downstream analyses such as genome-wide association mapping and identification of the
introgressed haplotype blocks.

2.4 Introgressed haplotype detection

Genetic divergence between the parental lines affects the probability of accurate detection of
introgressed segments from wild relatives. We used two introgression families, one created by
crossing hexaploid wheat with *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* (KanMark x TA1642, aka FAM93) and
another one created by crossing hexaploid wheat with *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* (Danby x TA2388,
aka FAM97) to identify introgressed haplotype blocks. FAM93 had 21 introgression lines while
FAM97 had 23 introgression lines. The R package HaploBlocker (Pook et al., 2019) was used to
infer haplotype blocks from 5.2 million SNP sites. Recombinant inbred lines from each family were
analyzed together with 21 *Ae. tauschii* and six hexaploid parents. The HaploBlocker parameters used
in block calculation were node_min = 2 (default is 5), overlap_remove = TRUE, bp_map,
equal_remove=TRUE. The parameter node_min was used to control the number of haplotypes per
node during cluster-merging step of the block calculation function of HaploBlocker. The reduction in
node_min was necessary to account for low number of haplotype variants within these families. To
maintain the SNP position in the haplotype block library, a vector of SNPs was provided via the
parameter bp_map and prior to block calculation, SNPs in perfect linkage disequilibrium were
removed by setting the parameter equal_remove = TRUE. Overlapping haplotypes were removed by
setting parameter overlap_remove = TRUE. Custom R and Perl scripts were used to calculated the
haplotype block length using the information from haplotype block start and end coordinates. All
monomorphic haplotypes between the two parental lines were excluded from the haplotype matrix
before calculating the frequency of introgressed haplotypes per chromosome.

2.5 Phenotype data analysis

2.5.1 Trait stability and heritability

Yield stability is an important trait, which reflects the productivity of the crop under various
growth conditions. No single statistic, however, is accurate enough to predict it due to high
variability of genotype and genotype by environment interaction effects. In this study we used 16
different statistics including parametric (such as Mean variance component (θi), GE variance
component ($\theta_{(i)}$), Wricke's ecovalence (W_i^2), Regression coefficient (*b_i), Deviation from regression
(S^2_{di}), Shukla's stability variance (σ^2_i), Coefficient of variance (CVi) and Kang's rank-sum ($Kang$ or
KR)) and non-parametric (such as Huhn's and Nassar and Huhn's ($S^{(1)}$, $S^{(2)}$, $S^{(3)}$, $S^{(4)}$, $S^{(5)}$ and $S^{(6)}$) and
Thennarasu's ($NP^{(1)}$, $NP^{(2)}$, $NP^{(3)}$ and $NP^{(4)}$)) methods to rank the introgression lines for yield stability
based on their performance across years, locations and treatments. The description and properties of
the statistics are documented at: https://manzik.com/stabilitysoft/. The analysis was implemented in
R using a script from Pour-Aboughadareh et al. (2019) which is available at: https://github.com/pour-
aboughadareh/stabilitysoft. The most stable and/or high yielding lines were identified by sorting
them according to their rankings.

Broad sense heritability (H^2) and best linear unbiased predictions (BLUPs) for yield and the
component traits were calculated from 2018 and 2019 data. A mixed linear model with restricted
maximum likelihood implemented in R package *lme4* was used to generate the variance components
(var) that were used to calculate heritability as follows.

Model = lmer(Trait ~ Trt + (1|Genotype) + (1|Genotype:Trt), data=trait_data)

H^2 = var(Genotype)/[var(Genotype) + var(Genotype:Trt)/No. of Trt + var(Residual)/No. of Trt)].
Where Trt refers to irrigated and non-irrigated treatments. BLUPs were extracted from the linear
mixed model as the random effects of genotypes.

Multiple comparison for the effect of treatment on yield and yield components traits in the
introgression population relative to the controls was performed using least squares (LS) means. To
further assess the impact of introgressed haplotypes on the traits, lines were sorted in a descending
order for each treatment and location/year. The percentage of the introgression lines that performed
better than the best parental lines and checks (PTPL) was calculated for each trait. Similarly,
introgression lines that produced more grains than both parents and checks were identified based on
mean spatial adjusted yield. The percentage increase in yield was calculated as follows.

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{ij} = 100 \times \frac{\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j}{\bar{y}_j}$$

Where $\Delta \bar{y}_{ij}$ is the percentage change in mean yield, $\bar{y}_i$ is the mean yield for the high yielding
introgression lines and $\bar{y}_j$ is the mean yield for the controls (parents and checks).

2.6 Trade-off between traits

The relationship between yield, yield components and biomass traits were assessed by
calculating the Pearson's correlation coefficients. We compared the trend of correlations from
different treatments (irrigated/non-irrigated) and years to determine the extent of trade-off between
traits within the introgression population and how the environment influenced them.

2.7   Genome-wide association mapping

Genome-wide association (GWAS) analysis was used to identify genomic regions with SNPs
and haplotypes that have significant association with the traits. We tested the association of 5.2
million SNPs from the D genome with traits phenotyped in different treatments and years. A total of
15,967 haplotype block windows was identified from 5.2 million SNPs using the R package
HaploBlocker v1.5.2 (Pook et al., 2019). Default parameters for HaploBlocker were used except
node_min, which was reduced to 2 (default is 5) since most genomic intervals in our dataset had less
than 5 haplotype variants. Overlapping haplotypes were removed using the parameter
overlap_remove = TRUE and the SNP coordinates were included in the haplotype library via the
parameter bp_map. Haplotype blocks were split into windows by setting the parameter return_dataset
= TRUE in the block_windowdataset() function. The haplotype variants within a given chromosome
interval were recorded as 0, 1, 2 or 3 depending on the total number of haplotype variants present
within the interval. In both cases, a mixed linear model implemented in R package GAPIT was used
to detect the associations. To control for population structure in SNP-based analyses, 100,000
randomly selected markers were used to calculate the principal components. In haplotype-block-
based GWAS, all haplotype blocks were used to calculate the principal components. In both cases,
only the first three principal components were used to control the population structure. Two threshold
options were used to identify significant associations including a more stringent Bonferroni
correction and a less stringent Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) false discovery rate (FDR) at 5%
significance level. To control for the effect of treatment and year, GWAS based on BLUPs was also
performed and significant associations were reported only when there were SNP-trait or haplotype-
trait association in at least two independent trials or in the BLUP-based analysis.

2.8   SNP-trait and haplotype-trait effects

Haplotype variation at loci with significant SNPs and their effects on traits in the
introgression population were analyzed. The R package HaploBlocker v1.5.2 (Pook et al., 2019) was
used to infer haplotypes at the genomic loci with significant SNP-trait associations. Heatmap.2
function provided in R package gplots was used to visualize the variation in haplotypes from
hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii*. SNPs significant at FDR ≤ 0.05 and their estimated allelic effects
were selected from the association mapping results and used to verify if the haplotype effect
corresponded to the observed phenotype in the introgression population. The mean and the standard
deviation of the phenotype were calculated for each group of lines carrying a similar haplotype and
the difference between the means was compared using Tukey's honestly significant difference and
the Student's *t*-test.

3   Results

3.1   Trait variation in the introgression population

Broad sense heritability (H^2) of GY was 0.7 in 2018 and 0.64 in 2019, while for the yield
component traits such as TGW, GA, GW and GL, H^2 values were 0.85, 0.89, 0.83 and 0.95,
respectively. The agronomic performance of the introgression lines relative to the controls
(parents/checks) was assessed by comparing their yield and yield component traits under different
treatments. The effect of treatment on yield was significant in 2018 ($P < 2.2e\text{-}16$), but not in 2019 ($P
= 0.24$) at 95% confidence level. The latter is partially associated with more abundant rainfall in 2019
that reduced the difference in the water availability stress levels between the irrigated and non-
irrigated field trials in Colby, KS. Based on the least squares means, significant differences in yield
between controls and introgression lines was observed in 2019 and 2020, but not in 2018 (Table 1).

Yield data collected from irrigated and rainfed (non-irrigated) field trials conducted between 2018
and 2020 revealed that up to 23% of the lines with introgressions produce more grain than the
controls (Figure S1). In 2018 however, 48% of the introgression lines produced more grain than the
checks in the non-irrigated trial (CO18).

The proportion of introgression lines outperforming the checks and parental lines for the
measured traits varied between treatments and years with a minimum of 0.8% for BM in the non-
irrigated trial in 2019 (CO19) and a maximum of 73% for TGW in 2018 irrigated trial (COI18). The
percentage increase in yield for the introgression lines that outperformed both checks and parents
varied from 11% to 57%, while the number of lines that produced more grains varied from 6 to 94
(Table 2). Under drought stress conditions in 2018 (CO18), the mean yield of top performing
introgression lines was 1.6 and 1.4-folds higher than the checks and parents, respectively (Figure 1).
These results suggest that some introgression lines carry alleles that confer drought tolerance thus
ensuring high productivity under stressful conditions. The highest yield potential of both
introgression lines and controls was observed in 2019.The mean of the top yielding introgression
lines reached 134 bushels per acre (BPA) while that of the parental lines and checks reached 119 and
121 BPA, respectively (Table 2).

The yield stability analyses were performed to identify introgression lines that are both high
yielding and stable under various environmental conditions. Ranking by mean yield showed that 6%
of the lines carrying introgression produced more grains than most parental lines, except Larry (File
S1). The yield of these lines ranged from 84.4 to 92.7 BPA. The average rank (AR) of 16 stability
statistics revealed 12 lines with introgressions showing high yield stability. Five of these lines were
both stable and high yielding according to Kang's rank-sum when compared to the controls. The
yield of these five introgression lines (KS15SGDCB110-11, KS15SGDCB098-1, KS15SGDCB103-
6, KS15SGDCB098-14 and KS15SGDCB111-1) varied between 82 and 93 BPA. The yield of the
most stable and high yielding introgression line (92.7 BPA) was 9.8% higher than the average yield
of the controls (84.4 BPA). These results indicate that novel alleles from *Ae. tauschii* have the
potential to increase the adaptive potential of hard red winter wheat to different environmental
conditions. In addition, the stability statistics could help to prioritize introgression lines for
deployment in different agroecological zones depending on their ranking in stability and yield. Lines
that are moderately high yielding but show good yield stability could be deployed in marginal
environments, whereas less stable but high yielding lines could be deployed in less stressful
environments to achieve high productivity.

Harvest index (HI), a measure of source-sink capacity was also assessed for stability in
irrigated and rainfed trials. Ninety-two introgression lines showed a higher average HI (47.4-52.8)
than the best parental line KS061406LN-26 (47.3). The average rank based on the 16 stability
statistics placed 11 out of 92 lines in the top 20 most stable lines (File S2). Line KS15SGDCB111-1,
which is high yielding and stable also ranked in the top five lines with stable and high average HI.

3.2   Trade-off between yield and yield component traits

Pearson's correlation coefficients between average yield and yield stability based on average
rank (AR) of the 16 stability statistics was -0.44 (P < 0.001). However, the correlation between yield
and Kang's rank-sum (KR) was -0.71 (P < 0.001), indicating that the most stable introgression lines
were not necessarily the highest grain yielders, although there were some exceptions. Similarly, the
correlation between average HI and AR was -0.42 (P < 2.2e-16) while between HI and KR was -0.72
(P < 2.2e-16).

The trade-off between yield and yield components was influenced by the treatment, year and
location as evidenced by the variation in the levels of correlations (File S3). Higher positive
correlations were observed among grain morphometric traits such as TGW, GA, GW and GL,
ranging from 0.13 (between GW and GL) to 0.96 (between TGW and GA), (Figure 2). HI and GSW
positively correlated with GY, while the correlation between GY and GN was positive but non-
significant in all trials, except for Colby irrigated trial in 2019 (COI19) (File S3). BM correlated
negatively with HI, but showed a positive correlation with GSW (File S3). In some cases, increase in
the SNS resulted in a reduction in the TGW, GA, GW or GL, consistent with the previously observed
trade-off between these traits (Kuzay et al., 2019). In contrast, HD positively correlated with the SNS
and PH, which is in agreement with the previous findings (Shaw et al., 2013; Muqaddasi et al.,
2019).

To further understand the contribution of different yield components to the final yield, we
compared the phenotypes of the top yielding introgression lines to those of the controls across all
treatments (File S4). In the CO18 trial under non-irrigated conditions, the introgression lines that
outperformed the controls in yield had the highest TGW, GA and GL, whereas under irrigated
conditions (COI18), all yield component traits showed the highest levels of expression in the top
yielding introgression lines. The top yielding introgression lines in the CO19 trial had the highest HI,
GW, SNS and BM, while TGW and GA were comparable to those of the parental lines. In the COI19
trial, the TGW, GA and GW traits contributed more towards the final yield compared to the GL, HI
and BM traits. In the AS20 trial, high levels of heterogeneity were observed among the top yielding
lines for the TGW, GA, GW and GL traits. However, these lines showed higher BM than the
controls, resulting in a reduced HI.

Previously, it was suggested that introgression from wild relatives might have negative
impact on agronomic traits due to negative epistasis between the alleles of wild and cultivated wheat
(Nyine et al., 2020). We investigated the relationship between the total size of introgressed genomic
segments and phenotype. We found a positive linear relationship between GA, GL, SNS and the total
size of the introgressed segments (Figure 3, File S5). For the TGW however, a positive linear
relationship was only observed under drought stress conditions indicating that some wheat lines with
large introgressions are efficient in utilizing the limited soil moisture and nutrients during grain
filling. There was a negative relationship between GY, HI, GW, TGW under irrigated conditions and
the size of introgression.

3.3   Genotyping and imputation

The PCR-free whole genome shotgun sequencing libraries generated from 27 parental lines
ranged between 200 and 700 bp with an average of 450 bp (Figure S2). Approximately 14 billion
paired-end reads (150 bp long) were generated from the libraries with an average of 0.54 billion reads
per sample. A total of 7.1 billion reads were aligned uniquely to the CS RefSeq v1.0. The GATK
v4.0 HaplotypeCaller identified ~99 million variants including SNPs and INDELs from reads
uniquely aligned to the D genome of CS RefSeq v1.0. After excluding INDELs, multi-allelic loci,
sites with missing data and MAF below 0.05, 20 million SNPs were retained. These were used to
impute missing and ungenotyped SNPs in the D genome of the introgression population. The total
number of D genome SNPs retained after filtering out SNPs with genotype probability below 0.7 and
MAF < 0.05 was 5.2 million.

3.4 Haplotypic variation between *ssp. strangulata* and *ssp. tauschii* families

Using HaploBlocker v1.5.2, we identified 4,764 and 6,429 non-overlapping haplotype blocks in the *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* (FAM93) and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* (FAM97) families, respectively. After filtering out the monomorphic haplotypes between the parental lines, 869 (18%) and 3,020 (47%) segregating haplotypes were retained in FAM93 and FAM97, respectively (Table 3, File S6). The low proportion of segregating haplotypes between hexaploid wheat and ssp. *strangulata* D genomes is in agreement with the finding that *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* was the donor of the D genome of hexaploid wheat (Wang et al., 2013). These results also suggest that the high similarity between the genome of ssp. *strangulata* and the D genome of hexaploid wheat could result in underestimation of the proportion of introgressed haplotypes. The average genome-wide haplotype block length in FAM93 was higher (2 Mb) than that in the FAM97 (1 Mb), (File S6). There was a significant difference in the introgressed haplotype length between lines in FAM93 and FAM97 based on the t-test (P = 3.1e-16). The longest haplotype introgressed in all lines from FAM93 was 44 Mb on chromosome arm 3DL while in FAM97 only four lines had a haplotype >32 Mb on chromosome arm 7DL. The number of segregating haplotypes in FAM93 varies from 32 (3D) to 336 (2D), while in FAM97 the number of segregating haplotypes varies from 173 (3D) to 617 (5D), (Table 3). In FAM93 and FAM97, the average frequency of each haplotype from *Ae. tauschii* parents in the introgression lines was 11 and 4, respectively (File S6).

3.5 SNP- and haplotype-based genome-wide association mapping

Genome-wide association study was performed in the *Ae. tauschii* introgression population to assess the effects of introgression into the D genome on the variance of traits related to biomass, yield and yield components and tenacious glume. The marker-trait association analyses were based on both individual SNPs and haplotype blocks identified by HaploBlocker from the 5.2 million imputed variants. We report only those associations that are replicated in at least two independent field trials and show significant association with both SNPs and haplotypes at FDR 0.05 (Table S1). Several genomic loci with significant associations distributed on the D genome chromosomes were detected for GL, GW and SNS. For other traits such as GY, TGW, GN, GA, HI, BM, GSW and SPSF, no consistent associations replicated in independent trials were detected.

We identified multiple significant SNP-trait and haplotype-trait associations from all trials on chromosome arms 2DS and 7DS for GL (Figure 4). The most significant SNPs were located in haplotype block windows 22,262,355 – 22,289,017 bp, 30,582,113 – 30,595,115 bp and 80,864,297 – 81,398,316 bp on chromosome arm 2DS, and 11,024,311 – 11,374,767 bp on chromosome arm 7DS (Table S1). Association analysis based on BLUPs confirmed the results from individual trials for GL on these two chromosomes. Other significant associations detected in at least two trials were identified on chromosome arms 3DS and 5DS (File S7).

We identified haplotypes with significant SNPs associated with GW on 1DL, 2DS, 6DL and 7DS from at least two independent trials that were confirmed by BLUP-bases analysis (File S7). Haplotype block windows 65,964,778 – 66,124,103 bp and 66,265,325 – 66,266,089 bp showed the most significant association on 2DS.

At 95% confidence level, the most significant SNP-trait associations were identified on chromosome arms 2DS and 6DL for SNS from three independent trials (COI19, CO19 and AS20), (Figure 4, File S7). The most significant associations are located at 16.5 Mb and 463.8 Mb on 2DS and 6DL, respectively. Haplotype-trait analysis confirmed the association on 2DS for SNS at the 16.5 Mb locus located within the haplotype block window 16,497,666 – 16,548,006 bp. At FDR < 0.05,

there was no haplotype block window on 6DL locus that overlapped with the significant SNP-trait
association.

Previous studies have shown that SNS is linked to HD (Shaw et al., 2013; Muqaddasi et al.,
2019). In the current study, we detected significant associations with SNS on chromosome arms 2DS
and 6DL. We had one year data for HD and PH collected from Ashland in 2020, which provided us a
good opportunity to validate this link in the *Ae. tauschii* introgression population. Genome-wide
association mapping detected significant associations with HD on chromosome arms 2DS and 4DL
while all D genome chromosomes showed significant association with PH but the strongest signals
were observed on 1DS, 3DS and 6DL. The haplotype block window 16,548,753 – 16,639,561 bp on
2DS with the most significant SNPs for HD overlapped the locus showing significant association
with SNS, which is in close proximity to another haplotype block overlapping with the most
significant SNPs for SNS (16,497,666 – 16,548,006 bp). These results suggest that the expression of
these two traits could be co-regulated.

For HD, the haplotype block windows on chromosome arm 4DL 442,735,095 – 442,751,954
bp and 459,271,685 – 459,290,731 bp had the most significant SNP-trait associations. The three traits
(SNS, HD and PH) are known to be affected by the *Rht8* and *Ppd-D1* genes on 2DS, in addition to
Rht1 on 4D, which controls plant height and flowering time (Borojevic and Borojevic 2005; Chen et
al., 2018). Due to the lack of SNPs located near the *Ppd-D1* gene locus at ~34Mb (33,961,438 –
33,951,651 bp interval in CS RefSeq v1.0), we could not directly validate its association with these
traits. However, significant associations for SNS were detected at ~3 Mb next to the *Ppd-D1* locus in
the CO19 and AS20 trials on haplotype blocks 2D:30,192,335 – 30,264,745 bp and 2D:28,829,778 –
28,937,705 bp, respectively. In the parental lines with high density SNPs (~20 million), the *Ppd-D1*
locus had SNPs, which allowed us to precisely map the haplotypes from *Ae. tauschii* and hexaploid
wheat lines. Results show that all hexaploid parents carry an identical haplotype, which is distinct
from that of *Ae. tauschii* accessions (Figure 5A). The regions distal and proximal to the *Ppd-D1* locus
show haplotype polymorphism in the hexaploid lines while about 10 Mb genomic region surrounding
the *Ppd-D1* locus shows no haplotype variation in the *Ae. tauschii* lines. When the significant SNPs
from 2DS were used to calculate linkage disequilibrium within 35 Mb from the start of the
chromosome, the average R^2 was 0.88 and the LD decayed to zero at 15 Mb suggesting the linkage
between the genomic loci with significant SNPs to SNS and the *Ppd-D1* gene (Figure 5B).

3.6   The phenotypic effects of haplotype block variants

3.6.1 Average spikelet number per spike (SNS) and Heading date (HD)

Significant haplotype-trait associations were identified on chromosome arms 2DS and 4DL that
influence SNS and HD. Chromosome 2DS had multiple introgressed haplotypes that are significantly
associated with the variation in SNS and HD with the most significant haplotypes located at
16,497,666 – 16,548,006 bp and 16,548,753 – 16,639,561 bp for SNS and HD, respectively. The
haplotype variants with the increasing effect at these loci were from *Ae. tauschii* parents while those
with reducing effect were from the hexaploid wheat lines (Figure 5C, 5D). The verification of GWAS
results for allelic effect at 2DS locus associated with SNS and HD supports the above observation
(File S8). We observed a positive Pearson's correlation coefficient between SNS and HD and lines
having haplotypes from either parent showed significant differences in the phenotype based on a t-
test ($r = 0.23$, P = 3.31e-07) at 95% confidence level. Haplotypes on 4DL had smaller effect on SNS
compared to HD. Among 35 and 66 introgression lines having SNS and HD trait values above the
90th percentile of trait distribution, respectively, 13 lines had the increasing alleles from *Ae. tauschii*
at both 2DS and 4DL loci associated with SNS and HD traits (Figure 5E).

Although we did not have a significant GWAS signal at the *Ppd-D1* locus due to the lack of
SNPs in this region in the imputed dataset, we identified lines with haplotypes that overlap with the
significant haplotype-trait association in two trials at 28 Mb and 30 Mb which are within ~3 Mb from
the *Ppd-D1* locus, suggesting that these haplotype blocks likely overlap with *Ppd-D1* (Table S1).
These results are consistent with earlier studies that showed that the *Ppd-D1* gene located at ~34 Mb
(33,961,438 – 33,951,651 bp interval in CS RefSeq v.1.0), that plays a role in flowering time
regulation in wheat also has a strong effect on variation in the SNS (Beales et al., 2007, Guo et al.,
2010).

3.6.2 Pleiotropic effects of haplotypes on yield component traits

We also evaluated the effects of distinct haplotypes associated with HD on other traits.
Haplotype Hap_AeT from chromosome 2D located at 16,548,753 – 16,639,561 bp is associated with
significant increase in the days to heading and SNS without significant impact on BM, HI and GSW
(Table 4).

We compared the effects of two haplotypes associated with GW on 2DS (2D: 65,964,778 –
66,124,103 bp and 2D: 66,265,325 – 66,266,089 bp), where Hap_HW from hexaploid parents and
Hap_AeT_st from *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* increase GW and Hap_AeT_ta from the *Ae. tauschii*
ssp. *tauschii* parents reduces GW (Table 5). In Colby 2018 non-irrigated trial data, the haplotype at
the 2D: 65,964,778 – 66,124,103 bp locus that was associated with increase in GW and GL was also
linked with increase in grain area, and decrease in grain number. While both Hap_AeT_st and
Hap_AeT_ta haplotypes at 2D: 65,964,778 – 66,124,103 bp were associated with increase in grain
length, only Hap_AeT_ta was linked with the significant increase in grain number (Table 5). The
Hap_AeT_ta haplotype at the 2D: 66,265,325 – 66,266,089 bp haplotype block had similar effects on
GN, although the observed difference was not significant.

Similarly, haplotype block 6D: 463,775,852 – 463,809,722 bp associated with PH and SNS
has three variants (File S7). Haplotype variant Hap1_HW&AeT is associated with increase in SNS,
PH, BM and GY. It showed no association with HD and grain traits, except grain number where an
intermediate effect was observed. This haplotype variant is present in two *Ae. tauschii* lines (TA2389
and TA2398) and the hexaploid parents excluding KanMark and KS061862M-17. Haplotype variant
Hap0_HW&AeT, which is found in KanMark and KS061862M-17 and two ssp. *strangulata*
accessions (TA1642 and TA2378) has an intermediate effect on GY, reducing it by 2 bushels
compared to Hap1_HW&AeT. The third haplotype variant (Hap_AeT) is present only in the *Ae.*
tauschii lines.

The haplotype Hap_AeT contributed by *Ae. tauschii* at haplotype block 7D:14,185.651 –
14,596,748 bp, was associated with significant increase in SNS compared to haplotypes present in
winter wheat (Table S2). This increase was associated with significant decrease in GL and had no
significant effect on GY. At 7D:14,722,457 – 14,817,138 bp, the Hap_AeT haplotype contributed by
Ae. tauschii was also linked with significant increase in SNS compared to Hap1_HW&AeT detected
in both hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii* parents. However, increase in SNS for this haplotype was
connected with decrease in both GL and GY. At this haplotype block (7D:14,722,457 – 14,817,138
bp), the most significant increase in GY was observed for lines carrying haplotype Hap0_HW&AeT,
which was associated with moderate increase in both SNS and GL (Table S2).

4   Discussion

Here, we performed the sequence-based analysis of haplotypes in the wild-relative
introgression population developed by crossing a diverse panel of *Ae. tausc*hii accessions with winter
wheat cultivars. Our results demonstrate that combining whole genome sequencing of wild and
cultivated wheat founder lines with the complexity-reduced sequencing of a derived introgression
population provides an effective framework for SNP imputation. Because most breeding populations
are based on a limited number of founders, often including 10-30 lines, their whole genome
sequencing is feasible in crops even with such large genomes as wheat, and provides a
comprehensive description of allelic diversity present in a breeding population. The latter makes
sequenced founders an ideal reference panel for imputing genotypes in a breeding population
genotyped using low-coverage or complexity-reduced sequencing. This was recently demonstrated
by imputing genotypes in the wheat MAGIC population genotyped by low-coverage sequencing
(Scott et al., 2021). The composition of our introgression population including multiple bi-parental
cross families (Nyine et al., 2020) also shifts the population allele frequency towards more common
variants, which could be imputed with a higher accuracy than rare variants (Huang et al., 2015). In
addition, the high levels of LD in the introgression population should increase the length of haplotype
blocks and facilitate detection of matching haplotypes in the reference panel of founders using even
sparse genotyping data generated by low-coverage or complexity-reducing sequencing. Consistent
with these assumptions, an imputation algorithm implemented in Beagle (Browning and Browning
2013) allowed us to impute nearly 5.2 million SNPs in the introgression population with high
genotype call probabilities above 0.7 using SNPs generated by complexity-reduced sequencing of
this population and nearly 20 million variants identified in 27 founders. This high-density SNP
marker data permitted detailed characterization of introgressed haplotypes (Pook et al., 2019) and
assessing their effects on productivity traits.

Our results demonstrate that wild relative introgressions into the D genome of wheat, the least
diverse amongst the three subgenomes, (Chao et al., 2010; Singh et al., 2019; Jordan et al., 2015) is
associated with the increased levels of variation in yield and yield component traits. Analysis of data
from several years and locations under irrigated and non-irrigated conditions revealed many superior
introgression lines that produce more grains or show higher yield stability than the control cultivars.
The yield increase in top-performing introgression lines was driven by a combination of yield
component traits and in many cases, it was associated with increased grain size, grain weight, and
biomass or improved harvest index. These results suggest that wild-relative introgression has the
potential to positively affect source-sink balance, which was suggested to be one of the important
factors contributing to yield potential (Reynolds et al., 2017). Many of these high yielding lines
(~23%) were also among the top lines showing the highest levels of yield stability, indicating that
introgression from *Ae. tauschii* likely improves the adaptive potential of hard red winter wheat in
different environmental conditions. Consistent with this conclusion, the highest impact of
introgression on yield was found in a non-irrigated trial, indicating that alleles from *Ae. tauschii*
likely improve the adaption of hexaploid wheat to water-limiting conditions. The *Ae. tasuchii*
accessions used to generate the introgression population represent both L1 and L2 lineages (Wang et
al., 2013) and originate from a broad range of geographical locations with variable climatic
conditions, likely capturing adaptive haplotypes from regions prone to drought stress.

Heading date is one of the key agronomic traits linked with wheat adaptation to different
geographical locations and improvement in yield (Jung and Müller 2009). In our population, a
positive correlation was observed between heading date and the spikelet number per spike with some
lines showing up to two-week delay in heading date. Several haplotype blocks on chromosome arms

2DS and 4DL were significantly associated with variation in spikelet number and heading date. The
haplotypes with increasing effects at both loci were derived from the *Ae. tauschii* indicating their
potential for modulating both traits in bread wheat. Chromosome 2DS is known to harbour the *Ppd-*
D1 and *Rht8* genes that control flowering time and plant height, respectively, and also could affect
spikelet number (Shaw et al., 2013; Muqaddasi et al., 2019). The overlapping haplotype blocks
associated with spikelet number and heading date were identified on 2DS, confirming that the two
traits co-segregate in the population. The *Ppd-D1* gene was ~3 Mb away from one of the haplotypes
associated with spikelet number on 2DS suggesting that the allele of *Ppd-D1* from *Ae. tauschii* likely
affects these two traits.

571   Our study reveals that some haplotypes associated with productivity trait variation in the
introgression population also exhibit significant pleiotropic effects. While the direction of effects on
various traits was largely consistent with the previously reported trade-offs among component traits
(Quintero et al., 2018; Griffiths et al., 2015; Reynolds et al., 2017), the combined effects of some
introgressed haplotypes were associated with the positive trends in yield. For example, a haplotype
contributed by *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* at the chromosome 2D haplotype block at 65,964,778 –
66,124,103 bp was associated with increase in grain length, size and number with moderate positive
effect on grain yield. At the haplotype block on chromosome 7D located between 14,722,457 –
14,817,138 bp, the Hap0_HW&AeT haplotype shared between hexaploid wheat and *Ae. tauschii*
parents and associated with moderate increase in both spikelet number per spike and grain length was
also associated with the most significant increase in grain yield. The analyses of pleotropic effects of
introgressed haplotypes suggest that some of them could be utilized in breeding programs to improve
yield component traits without negative effects on other productivity traits.

Conclusions

585   Imputation of markers from whole genome sequenced reference panels into skim-sequenced
inference populations is increasingly becoming a common practice in plant breeding program due to
its cost-effectiveness (Happ et al., 2019; Jessen et al., 2020). Our study demonstrates the utility of
this strategy for detecting introgression in the wheat genome and contributes to developing genomic
resources for deploying wild relative diversity in wheat breeding programs. We show that the
haplotype-based analysis of trait variation in this population has the potential to improve our
knowledge on the genetic effects of introgressed diversity on productivity traits and identify novel
haplotypes that could minimize the negative effect of trade-offs on yield improvement.

5   Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

6   Author Contributions

AKF and MC generated the *Ae. tauschii* introgression population. MN, EA, MC, RA, BB, WW, DD,
ZY, YG, FH, KWJ and AKF phenotyped the introgression population. MN, BB and AA generated
the genotyping data. AA performed next-generation sequencing of parental lines and introgression
population; MN and FH performed the bioinformatical analyses of data. MN performed the statistical
analysis; EA conceived the idea and interpreted results; MN and EA wrote the manuscript. All co-
authors contributed to the manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

7   Funding

This research was supported by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grants
2017–67007-25939 (WheatCAP) and 2020-68013-30905 from the USDA National Institute of Food
and Agriculture, and by the International Wheat Yield Partnership (IWYP).

8   Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mary Guttieri for providing access to the USDA ARS seed processing
facility.

9   References

1. Bailey-Serres J, Parker JE, Ainsworth EA, Oldroyd GED, Schroeder JI. Genetic strategies for
improving crop yields. Nature. 2019 Nov;575(7781):109.
2. Beales J, Turner A, Griffiths S, Snape JW, Laurie DA. A pseudo-response regulator is
misexpressed in the photoperiod insensitive *Ppd-D1a* mutant of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).
Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2007 Sep 1;115(5):721-33.
3. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal statistical society: series B (Methodological).
1995 Jan;57(1):289-300.
4. Borojevic K, Borojevic K. The Transfer and History of "Reduced Height Genes" (Rht) in Wheat
from Japan to Europe. J Hered. 2005 Jun 1;96(4):455–9.
5. Browning BL, Browning SR. Improving the accuracy and efficiency of identity-by-descent
detection in population data. Genetics. 2013 Jun 1;194(2):459-71.
6. Chao S, Dubcovsky J, Dvorak J, Luo M-C, Baenziger SP, Matnyazov R, et al., Population- and
genome-specific patterns of linkage disequilibrium and SNP variation in spring and winter wheat
(*Triticum aestivum* L.). BMC Genomics. 2010 Dec;11(1):1–17.
7. Chatzav M, Peleg Z, Ozturk L, Yazici A, Fahima T, Cakmak I, et al., Genetic diversity for grain
nutrients in wild emmer wheat: potential for wheat improvement. Ann Bot. 2010 Jun
1;105(7):1211–20.
8. Chen L, Du Y, Lu Q, Chen H, Meng R, Cui C, Lu S, Yang Y, Chai Y, Li J, Liu L. The photoperiod-
insensitive allele Ppd-D1a promotes earlier flowering in Rht12 dwarf plants of bread wheat. Frontiers
in plant science. 2018 Oct 22;9:1312.
9. Dale Z, Jie H, Luyu H, Cancan Z, Yun Z, Yarui S, et al., An Advanced Backcross Population
through Synthetic Octaploid Wheat as a "Bridge": Development and QTL Detection for Seed
Dormancy. Front Plant Sci [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2021 Feb 8];8. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2017.02123/full
10. Davies RW, Flint J, Myers S, Mott R. Rapid genotype imputation from sequence without
reference panels. Nature genetics. 2016 Aug;48(8):965.
11. Del Moral LG, Rharrabti Y, Villegas D, Royo C. Evaluation of grain yield and its components in
durum wheat under Mediterranean conditions: an ontogenic approach. Agronomy Journal. 2003 Mar
1;95(2):266-74.
12. Du Y, Cui B, Wang Z, Sun J, Niu W. Effects of manure fertilizer on crop yield and soil properties
in China: A meta-analysis. Catena. 2020 Oct 1;193:104617.
13. Dvorak J, Deal KR, Luo M-C, You FM, von Borstel K, Dehghani H. The Origin of Spelt and
Free-Threshing Hexaploid Wheat. J Hered. 2012 May 1;103(3):426–41.
14. Dvořák J, Edge M, Ross K. On the evolution of the adaptation of *Lophopyrum elongatum* to
growth in saline environments. PNAS. 1988 Jun 1;85(11):3805–9.

15. Golan G, Ayalon I, Perry A, Zimran G, Ade-Ajayi T, Mosquna A, et al., GNI - A1 mediates trade-off
between grain number and grain weight in tetraploid wheat. Theor Appl Genet. 2019 Aug
1;132(8):2353–65.
16. Griffiths S, Wingen L, Pietragalla J, Garcia G, Hasan A, Miralles D, et al., Genetic Dissection of Grain
Size and Grain Number Trade-Offs in CIMMYT Wheat Germplasm. PLOS ONE. 2015 Mar
16;10(3):e0118847.
17. Guo Z, Song Y, Zhou R, Ren Z, Jia J. Discovery, evaluation and distribution of haplotypes of the
wheat Ppd-D1 gene. New Phytologist. 2010 Feb;185(3):841-51.
18. Hao M, Zhang L, Ning S, Huang L, Yuan Z, Wu B, et al., The Resurgence of Introgression
Breeding, as Exemplified in Wheat Improvement. Frontiers in Plant Science [Internet]. 2020
[cited 2021 Feb 8];11. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7067975/
19. Happ MM, Wang H, Graef GL, Hyten DL. Generating High Density, Low Cost Genotype Data in
Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.]. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 2019 Jul 1;9(7):2153–60.
20. Hill WG, Robertson A. The effect of linkage on limits to artificial selection. Genetics Research.
1966 Dec;8(3):269–94.
21. Huang J, Howie B, McCarthy S, Memari Y, Walter K, Min JL, et al., Improved imputation of
low-frequency and rare variants using the UK10K haplotype reference panel. Nat Commun. 2015
Sep 14;6(1):1–9.
22. Jensen SE, Charles JR, Muleta K, Bradbury PJ, Casstevens T, Deshpande SP, Gore MA, Gupta
R, Ilut DC, Johnson L, Lozano R. A sorghum practical haplotype graph facilitates genome-wide
imputation and cost-effective genomic prediction. The Plant Genome. 2020 Mar;13(1):e20009.
23. Jones BH, Blake NK, Heo H-Y, Kalous JR, Martin JM, Torrion JA, et al., Improving hexaploid
spring wheat by introgression of alleles for yield component traits from durum wheat. Crop
Science. 2020 Mar 1;60(2):759–71.
24. Jordan KW, Wang S, Lun Y, Gardiner L-J, MacLachlan R, Hucl P, et al., A haplotype map of
allohexaploid wheat reveals distinct patterns of selection on homoeologous genomes. Genome
Biol. 2015 Dec;16(1):1–18.
25. Jung C, Müller AE. Flowering time control and applications in plant breeding. Trends in plant
science. 2009 Oct 1;14(10):563-73.
26. Kuzay S, Xu Y, Zhang J, Katz A, Pearce S, Su Z, et al., Identification of a candidate gene for a
QTL for spikelet number per spike on wheat chromosome arm 7AL by high-resolution genetic
mapping. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics Theoretische Und Angewandte Genetik.
2019;132(9):2689.
27. Li Y, Willer CJ, Ding J, Scheet P, Abecasis GR. MaCH: using sequence and genotype data to
estimate haplotypes and unobserved genotypes. Genetic epidemiology. 2010 Dec;34(8):816-34.
28. Lopes MS, El-Basyoni I, Baenziger PS, Singh S, Royo C, Ozbek K, et al., Exploiting genetic
diversity from landraces in wheat breeding for adaptation to climate change. J Exp Bot. 2015 Jun
1;66(12):3477–86.
29. Lorenz AJ, Hamblin MT, Jannink J-L. Performance of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms versus
Haplotypes for Genome-Wide Association Analysis in Barley. PLOS ONE. 2010 Nov
22;5(11):e14079.
30. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al., The Genome
Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data.
Genome Res. 2010 Jan 9;20(9):1297–303.
31. Mulitze DK. AGROBASE/4: A microcomputer database management and analysis system for plant
breeding and agronomy. Agronomy Journal. 1990 Sep;82(5):1016-21.
32. Muqaddasi QH, Brassac J, Koppolu R, Plieske J, Ganal MW, Röder MS. TaAPO-A1, an ortholog of
rice ABERRANT PANICLE ORGANIZATION 1, is associated with total spikelet number per spike

in elite European hexaploid winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) varieties. Sci Rep. 2019 Sep
25;9(1):1–12.
33. Nyine M, Adhikari E, Clinesmith M, Jordan KW, Fritz AK, Akhunov E. Genomic patterns of
introgression in interspecific populations created by crossing wheat with its wild relative. G3: Genes,
Genomes, Genetics. 2020 Oct 1;10(10):3651-61.
34. Nyine M, Wang S, Kiani K, Jordan K, Liu S, Byrne P, Haley S, Baenziger S, Chao S, Bowden R,
Akhunov E. Genotype imputation in winter wheat using first-generation haplotype map SNPs
improves genome-wide association mapping and genomic prediction of traits. G3: Genes, Genomes,
Genetics. 2019 Jan 1;9(1):125-33.
35. Pasquariello M, Berry S, Burt C, Uauy C, Nicholson P. Yield reduction historically associated with the
Aegilops ventricosa 7D V introgression is genetically and physically distinct from the eyespot
resistance gene Pch1. Theor Appl Genet. 2020 Mar 1;133(3):707–17.
36. Pennacchi JP, Carmo-Silva E, Andralojc PJ, Lawson T, Allen AM, Raines CA, Parry MA. Stability of
wheat grain yields over three field seasons in the UK. Food and energy security. 2019
May;8(2):e00147.
37. Periyannan S, Moore J, Ayliffe M, Bansal U, Wang X, Huang L, et al., The Gene Sr33, an Ortholog of
Barley Mla Genes, Encodes Resistance to Wheat Stem Rust Race Ug99. Science. 2013 Aug
16;341(6147):786–8.
38. Placido DF, Campbell MT, Folsom JJ, Cui X, Kruger GR, Baenziger PS, et al., Introgression of Novel
Traits from a Wild Wheat Relative Improves Drought Adaptation in Wheat. Plant Physiology. 2013
Apr;161(4):1806.
39. Pook T, Schlather M, Campos G de los, Mayer M, Schoen CC, Simianer H. HaploBlocker: Creation of
Subgroup-Specific Haplotype Blocks and Libraries. Genetics. 2019 Aug 1;212(4):1045–61.
40. Pour-Aboughadareh A, Yousefian M, Moradkhani H, Poczai P, Siddique KHM. STABILITYSOFT:
A new online program to calculate parametric and non-parametric stability statistics for crop traits.
Appl Plant Sci. 2019 Jan;7(1):e01211.
41. Quintero A, Molero G, Reynolds MP, Calderini DF. Trade-off between grain weight and grain number
in wheat depends on GxE interaction: A case study of an elite CIMMYT panel (CIMCOG). Eur J
Agron [Internet]. 2018;92:17–29. Available from:
http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1161030117301375
42. Reynolds M, Chapman S, Crespo-Herrera L, Molero G, Mondal S, Pequeno DNL, et al., Breeder
friendly phenotyping. Plant Science 2020 Jun 18;295:110396.
43. Reynolds MP, Calderini DF, Condon AG, Rajaram S. Physiological basis of yield gains in wheat
associated with the LR19 translocation from *Agropyron elongatum*. Euphytica. 2001 May
1;119(1):139–44.
44. Reynolds MP, Pask AJD, Hoppitt WJE, Sonder K, Sukumaran S, Molero G, et al., Strategic
crossing of biomass and harvest index—source and sink—achieves genetic gains in wheat.
Euphytica. 2017 Nov 1;213(11):1–23.
45. Saintenac C, Zhang W, Salcedo A, Rouse MN, Trick HN, Akhunov E, et al., Identification of
Wheat Gene Sr35 that Confers Resistance to Ug99 Stem Rust Race Group. Science (New York,
NY). 2013 Aug 16;341(6147):783.
46. Sears RG. Strategies for improving wheat grain yield. Wheat: Prospects for Global Improvement.
1997;17–21
47. Scott MF, Fradgley N, Bentley AR, Brabbs T, Corke F, Gardner KA, et al., Limited haplotype
diversity underlies polygenic trait architecture across 70 years of wheat breeding. Genome Biol.
2021 May 6;22(1):137.
48. Singh N, Wu S, Tiwari V, Sehgal S, Raupp J, Wilson D, et al., Genomic Analysis Confirms
Population Structure and Identifies Inter-Lineage Hybrids in *Aegilops tauschii*. Front Plant Sci

[Internet]. 2019 [cited 2021 Feb 10];10. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.00009/full
49. Shaw LM, Turner AS, Herry L, Griffiths S, Laurie DA. Mutant alleles of Photoperiod-1 in wheat
(*Triticum aestivum* L.) that confer a late flowering phenotype in long days. PLoS One. 2013 Nov
14;8(11):e79459.
50. Swarts K, Li H, Romero Navarro JA, An D, Romay MC, Hearne S, et al., Novel Methods to
Optimize Genotypic Imputation for Low-Coverage, Next-Generation Sequence Data in Crop
Plants. Plant Genome [Internet]. 2014;7:1–12. Available from:
https://www.crops.org/publications/tpg/abstracts/7/3/plantgenome2014.05.0023
51. Wang J, Luo MC, Chen Z, You FM, Wei Y, Zheng Y, Dvorak J. *Aegilops tauschii* single
nucleotide polymorphisms shed light on the origins of wheat D-genome genetic diversity and
pinpoint the geographic origin of hexaploid wheat. New phytologist. 2013 May;198(3):925-37
52. Wang RR-C, Zhang X-Y. Characterization of the translocated chromosome using fluorescence in
situ hybridization and random amplified polymorphic DNA on two *Triticum aestivum*–
Thinopyrum intermedium translocation lines resistant to wheat streak mosaic or barley yellow
dwarf virus. Chromosome Res. 1996 Dec 1;4(8):583–7.
53. Wang S, Wong D, Forrest K, Allen A, Chao S, Huang BE, et al., Characterization of polyploid
wheat genomic diversity using a high-density 90000 single nucleotide polymorphism array. Plant
Biotechnology Journal. 2014 Aug;12(6):787.
54. Zhang H, Mittal N, Leamy LJ, Barazani O, Song B-H. Back into the wild–Apply untapped
genetic diversity of wild relatives for crop improvement. Evolutionary Applications. 2017 Jan
1;10(1):5–24.
55. Zhang J, Gizaw SA, Bossolini E, Hegarty J, Howell T, Carter AH, et al., Identification and
validation of QTL for grain yield and plant water status under contrasting water treatments in fall-
sown spring wheats. TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics 2018;131(8):1741.
56. Zhang K, Calabrese P, Nordborg M, Sun F. Haplotype block structure and its applications to
association studies: power and study designs. The American Journal of Human Genetics. 2002
Dec 1;71(6):1386-94.

793 **Table 1. Comparison of grain yield between introgression lines (progeny) and controls**
794 **(checks/hexaploid parents) per treatment within a year using least squares (LS) means**.

Year	Treatment	Group	LSmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL	group
2018	Irrigated	Progeny	68.6	0.77	410	66.8	70.5	a
2018	Irrigated	Check	72.1	7.64	410	53.8	90.4	a
2018	Irrigated	Parent	75.7	3.6	410	67.1	84.3	a
2018	Non-irrigated	Progeny	50.1	6.43	395	34.6	65.5	a
2018	Non-irrigated	Check	51.6	0.66	395	50	53.2	a
2018	Non-irrigated	Parent	57.1	2.95	395	50	64.2	a
2019	Irrigated	Progeny	103	0.82	379	100.6	105	a
2019	Irrigated	Parent	119	3.8	379	109.5	128	b
2019	Irrigated	Check	121	9.05	379	99.3	143	ab
2019	Non-irrigated	Progeny	101	0.86	382	99.2	103	a
2019	Non-irrigated	Parent	116	3.85	382	106.3	125	b
2019	Non-irrigated	Check	116	9.44	382	93.5	139	ab
2020	Non-irrigated	Progeny	47.1	0.43	385	46	48.1	a
2020	Non-irrigated	Parent	55.3	2.01	385	50.5	60.1	b
2020	Non-irrigated	Check	59.3	4.79	385	47.8	70.8	b

796 **Note:** The 2018 and 2019 trials were conducted at Colby while the 2020 trial was conducted at Ashland, Kansas State.
797 Groups with similar letters are not significantly different at 95% confidence level.

813 **Table 2. Percentage mean yield difference between top performing introgression lines and**
814 **controls (parents and checks) per treatment in each year and location.**

Group ID	No. of IL	Mean yield IL	Mean yield controls	% yield diff.
AS20_ILP	6	68.6	55.2	24.3
AS20_ILC	6	68.6	59.3	15.8
CO18_ILP	21	78.7	57.1	37.8
CO18_ILC	21	78.7	50.1	57.1
COI18_ILP	94	86.9	75.7	14.8
COI18_ILC	94	86.9	72.1	20.6
CO19_ILP	11	130.5	115.5	13.0
CO19_ILC	11	130.5	116.1	12.4
COI19_ILP	6	134.2	119.2	12.6
COI19_ILC	6	134.2	121.0	10.9

816 **AS:** Ashland non-irrigated trial, **CO:** Colby non-irrigated trial, **COI:** Colby irrigated trial, **ILP:** introgression lines by
817 parents' grain yield comparison and **ILC:** introgression lines by checks grain yield comparison.

832 **Table 3. Variation of introgressed haplotypes between *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata* (FAM93)**
833 **and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *tauschii* (FAM97) families.**

Family	Pedigree	Subspecies	Chrom	NH	MHL (bp)	MHF	Range (bp)
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr1D	105	1,896,815	4.6	1,788-8,639,976
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr2D	336	1,326,620	20.0	813-30,656,640
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr3D	32	6,653,571	4.9	2,525-44,264,371
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr4D	143	1,865,609	4.3	2,942-12,070,089
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr5D	138	2,302,767	7.1	556-15,103,840
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr6D	65	2,519,945	3.0	3,455-13,483,300
FAM93	KanMark x TA1642	*strangulata*	chr7D	50	2,667,416	2.04	1,627-11,349,447
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr1D	495	829,609	3.1	762-9,169,049
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr2D	352	1,305,439	1.8	813-15,991,790
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr3D	173	2,968,356	3.0	884-27,225,468
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr4D	540	750,352	0.8	1,982-20,010,593
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr5D	617	770,483	10.1	1,243-15,396,133
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr6D	373	830,168	3.8	764-23,208,199
FAM97	Danby x TA2388	*tauschii*	chr7D	465	1,000,899	3.7	1,397-32,547,936

835 **NH** = number of haplotype blocks, **MHL** = mean haplotype block length, **MHF** = mean haplotype frequency

853 **Table 4. Chromosome 2D haplotypes variants associated with the spikelet number and heading**
854 **date and how they influence other traits in the introgression population.**

Trait	Haplotype	Loc1 Freq.	chr2D:16548753-16639561	SD
HD	Hap_HW	53	199[b]	2.28✲
HD	**Hap_AeT**	**156**	**202[a]**	**2.86↓**
HD	Hap_HW/AeT	142	200[c]	2.29‡
PH	Hap_HW	53	68.27[ab]	6.79
PH	Hap_AeT	156	70.82[a]	6.97
PH	Hap_HW/AeT	142	65.9[b]	8.68
BM	Hap_HW	53	155.18[a]	28.21
BM	Hap_AeT	156	156.26[a]	31.01
BM	Hap_HW/AeT	142	157.52[a]	35.82
SNS	Hap_HW	53	14.72[b]	1.2
SNS	**Hap_AeT**	**156**	**15.27[a]**	**1.12**
SNS	Hap_HW/AeT	142	14.05[c]	1.03
GSW	Hap_HW	53	66.84[a]	14.71
GSW	Hap_AeT	156	65.82[a]	13.67
GSW	Hap_HW/AeT	142	66.47[a]	13.57
HI	Hap_HW	53	42.85[a]	4.35
HI	Hap_AeT	156	42.23[a]	4.24
HI	Hap_HW/AeT	142	42.89[a]	6.28

856 ✲Haplotype with reducing effect ↓Haplotype with increasing effect ‡Haplotype with intermediate effect, **Loc1** =
857 chr2D:16,575,276 – 16,635,669 bp, **Loc1 Freq.** = number of introgression lines having the haplotype, **HD** is heading
858 date, **PH** is average plant height, **BM** is aboveground total dry biomass, **SNS** is spikelet number per spike, **GSW** is Grain
859 sample weight from biomass sample and **HI** is harvest index. Means with the same superscript letters are not statistically
860 significant for each trait based on Tukey's honestly significant difference at 95% confidence level.

Table 5. Chromosome 2D haplotypes variants associated with the grain width in Colby 2018 rainfed trial and their effects on other traits in the introgression population.

Trait	Haplotype	Haploblock chr2D:65964778–66124103			Haploblock chr2D:66265325–66266089		
		Freq.	Trait mean	Trait SD	Freq.	Trait mean	Trait SD
GN	Hap_AeT_st	5	475.50[b]	27.86	20	504.15[a]	55.28
GN	Hap HW	140	499.04[b]	44.3	304	502.97[a]	41.6
GN	Hap AeT_ta	202	510.94[a]	43.38	27	540.37[a]	47.94
TGW	Hap AeT st	5	32.74[a]	2.15	20	31.54[a]	2.74
TGW	Hap HW	140	31.40[a]	2.2	304	31.30[a]	2
TGW	Hap_AeT_ta	202	31.01[a]	1.98	27	29.54[a]	1.76
GA	Hap_AeT_st	5	14.38[a]	1.18	20	13.89[a]	1.03
GA	Hap HW	140	13.50[b]	0.69	304	13.53[a]	0.66
GA	Hap AeT ta	202	13.53[b]	0.66	27	13.28[a]	0.61
GW	Hap_AeT_st	5	3.14[a]	0.05	20	3.09[ab]	0.08Δ
GW	Hap HW	140	3.13[a]	0.09	304	3.09[a]	0.09Δ
GW	Hap AeT ta	202	3.06[b]	0.08	27	3.00[b]	0.07#
GL	Hap AeT st	5	6.25[a]	0.51	20	6.11[a]	0.4
GL	Hap HW	140	5.85[b]	0.22	304	5.93[b]	0.24
GL	Hap AeT ta	202	6.00[a]	0.24	27	6.01[a]	0.22
GY	Hap AeT st	5	59.19[a]	14.46	20	58.76[a]	14.77
GY	Hap HW	140	60.51[a]	12.04	304	61.26[a]	12.03
GY	Hap_AeT_ta	202	61.28[a]	12.54	27	59.55[a]	13.41

Δ haplotype that increases grain width, # haplotype that reduces grain width. Means with the same superscript letters are not statistically significant for each trait based on Tukey's honestly significant difference at 95% confidence level.

10 Figure caption

Figure 1. Boxplots comparing the mean grain yield between the top performing introgression lines
(IL) and the controls (parents and checks) across treatments, years and locations. Where **AS20** refers
to Ashland rainfed trial in 2020, **CO18** is Colby rainfed trial in 2018, **COI18** is Colby irrigated trial
in 2018, **CO19** is Colby rainfed trial in 2019 and **COI19** is Colby irrigated trial in 2019.

Figure 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients between yield and yield component traits at Colby in
2018 rainfed trial (**A**) and Ashland in 2020 rainfed trial (**B**). Where **HD** is heading date, **PH** is plant
height, **BM** is aboveground dry biomass, **SPSF** is spikes per square foot, **SPB** is spikes per bag, **SNS**
is spikelet number per spike, **GSW** is grain sample weight, **HI** is harvest index, **GN** is grain number,
TGW is thousand grain weight, **GA** is grain area, **GW** is grain width, **GL** is grain length, **GY** is
grain yield.

Figure 3. Relationship between spikelet number per spike (**A** & **B**), grain length (**C** & **D**), grain yield
(**E** & **F**) and the proportion of introgression under non-irrigated conditions at Colby in 2019 (**CO19**)
and Ashland in 2020 (**AS20**). Here, **r** is the correlation coefficient and **P** is the significance of the
correlation between introgression size and observed trait phenotype.

Figure 4. Manhattan plots showing the D genome loci with SNPs and haplotypes that are
significantly associated with spikelet number per spike at Ashland in 2020 (**AS20**) under rainfed
conditions (**A** & **B**) and grain length at Colby in 2018 (**COI18**) under irrigated conditions (**C** & **D**) in
the *Ae. tauschii* introgression population. The horizontal solid black line shows a threshold of 0.05
significance level for Bonferroni correction, the black arrowheads indicate the SNPs and haplotypes
above the threshold.

Figure 5. Effect of haplotypes introgressed from *Ae. tauschii* into the chromosome arms 2DS and
4DL of hexaploid wheat on the spikelet number per spike (SNS) and heading date (HD) of the
introgression lines and the possible link to *Ppd-D1* gene located on 2DS. (**A**) Plot showing the
haplotype variants at the *Ppd-D1* gene locus (black rectangle) in winter wheat and the *Ae.*
tauschii lines. (**B**) Linkage disequilibrium decay plot based on significant SNPs associated with SNS
at FDR 0.05 on chromosome arm 2DS (1-35 Mb). (**C**) Boxplot showing the impact of introgression
from *Ae. tauschii* in chromosome arms 2DS and 4DL on SNS. (**D**) Boxplot showing the impact of
introgression from *Ae. tauschii* in chromosome arms 2DS and 4DL on HD. (**E**) A Venn diagram
showing the number of introgression lines in the 90th percentile for SNS and HD. Lines in the
intersection have the increasing alleles on both 2DS and 4DL loci associated with SNS and HD.