A *Campylobacter* integrative and conjugative element with a CRISPR-Cas9 system targeting competing plasmids: a history of plasmid warfare?
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ABSTRACT

Microbial genomes are highly adaptable, with mobile genetic elements (MGEs) such as integrative conjugative elements (ICE) mediating the dissemination of new genetic information throughout bacterial populations. This is countered by defence mechanisms such as CRISPR-Cas systems, which limit invading MGEs by sequence-specific targeting. Here we report the distribution of the pVir, pTet and PCC42 plasmids and a new 70-129 kb ICE (CampyICE1) in the foodborne microbial pathogens Campylobacter jejuni and Campylobacter coli. CampyICE1 contains a degenerated Type II-C CRISPR system consisting of a sole Cas9 protein, which is distinct from the previously described Cas9 proteins from C. jejuni and C. coli. CampyICE1 is conserved in structure and gene order, containing modules of genes predicted to be involved in recombination, regulation, and conjugation. CampyICE1 was detected in 134/5,829 (2.3%) C. jejuni genomes and 92/1,347 (6.8%) C. coli genomes. Similar ICE were detected in a number of non-jejuni/coli Campylobacter species, although these lacked a CRISPR-Cas system. CampyICE1 carries 3 separate short CRISPR spacer arrays containing a combination of 108 unique spacers and 16 spacer variant families, of which 70 spacers were predicted to target the Campylobacter plasmids pVir, pTet, and pCC42. A further nine spacers were predicted to target other Campylobacter plasmids (63.7%). The presence of a functional CampyICE1 Cas9 protein and matching anti-plasmid spacers was associated with the absence of these plasmids (188/214 genomes, 87.9%), implicating that the CampyICE1-encoded CRISPR-Cas has contributed to the exclusion of competing plasmids. In conclusion, the characteristics of the CRISPR-Cas9 system on CampyICE1 suggests a history of plasmid warfare in Campylobacter.
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**IMPACT STATEMENT**

Understanding pathogen evolution is paramount for enhancing food safety and limiting pathogenic disease in humans and animals. *Campylobacter* species comprise a group of human and animal pathogens with a remarkable success rate, being the most frequent cause of bacterial food-borne disease in high-income countries. A common theme among *Campylobacter* evolution is genomic plasticity, which underlies their variation. A significant proportion of this plasticity is driven by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) that results in acquisition of complex traits in one evolutionary event. Understanding the mechanisms of transfer of MGEs and how MGEs such as integrative conjugative elements (ICE) exclude other MGEs is fundamental to understanding *Campylobacter* evolution. CRISPR-Cas9 proteins play a role in bacterial immune systems, mediating the defence against bacteriophage, plasmids, and integrative elements. The use of CRISPR-Cas by an mobile element to fight off competing elements, possibly to advantage or detriment to their host, also increases our understanding of how important selfish genomic islands undergo co-evolution with bacterial pathogens, and generates insight into the complex warfare between MGEs.

**DATA STATEMENT**

All genome sequences used in this study are available on the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Genome database or in the Campylobacter PubMLST website; the assembly accession numbers (NCBI Genome) or genome ID numbers (Campylobacter PubMLST) are listed in Table S1 (available in the online version of this article). CRISPR Spacer sequences and predicted targets, Cas9 alignments, presence of mobile elements and plasmids are all included in the Supplementary Information.
INTRODUCTION

The genus *Campylobacter* is a member of the Epsilonproteobacteria, and comprises gram-negative bacteria that are commonly found in the intestines of warm-blooded animals. The best studied members of the genus *Campylobacter* are *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, which are closely related thermophilic *Campylobacter* species commonly found in birds and animals involved in agriculture, i.e. poultry, cattle and pigs, while they are also found in many wild birds [1, 2]. They jointly represent the most common bacterial human diarrhoeal pathogens in the developed world, with transmission often foodborne via undercooked meat and cross-contamination in kitchen environments [3, 4]. Other related *Campylobacter* species include the recently described *C. hepaticus* found in poultry [5], *C. upsaliensis* which is a zoonotic *Campylobacter* species from dogs and cats [6], and the *C. lari* group consisting of several species isolated from birds and animals connected to coastal environments [7].

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) plays a major role in the evolution of microbial genomes [8]. Phages and plasmids underlie HGT-driven genomic plasticity, with transfer conducted by transduction or conjugation, or alternatively by natural transformation and recombination [9]. One class of mobile genetic elements (MGE) are the integrative and conjugative elements (ICE), which are self-transferable elements that can mediate excision, form a circular intermediate and often encode the genes for the Type IV conjugative pili used for transfer to a new recipient host cell [10, 11]. ICEs often contain genes required for reversible site-specific recombination, conjugation and regulation, but also carry "cargo" genes that may confer antimicrobial resistance, virulence properties or metabolic capabilities to recipient cells [12], as well as addiction modules ensuring stable maintenance within the host cell [13].

Although acquisition of new genetic traits via HGT may have significant benefits for the recipient cell, the newly acquired sequences can also be detrimental to the host. Therefore cells have developed a diverse set of mechanisms to control entry, integration and expression of foreign
DNA [14]. One such system is the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) and proteins encoded by CRISPR-associated (Cas) genes, which encode the components of a RNA-guided, sequence-specific immune system against invading nucleic acids, often phages, plasmids and other transferable elements [15]. Many CRISPR-Cas systems have the Cas1 and Cas2 proteins mediating spacer acquisition [16] and other Cas proteins involved in expression, maturation/processing and targeting and interference of the foreign DNA or RNA sequences, commonly phages and plasmids [17]. The RNA-guided endonuclease of the Type II CRISPR-Cas system is the Cas9 (Csn1/Csx12) protein, which mediates processing or crRNAs and subsequent interference with the targets, in combination with a guide RNA (tracrRNA) [18].

Early studies using multilocus sequence typing (MLST) indicated a high level of genetic variability in Campylobacter species such as C. jejuni and C. coli [19], and subsequent comparative genomic analyses have shown that this level of genetic variability is achieved by differences in genetic content and high levels of allelic variability [20-22], likely supported by the natural competence of many Campylobacter species. Along with a variety of small plasmids (<10 kb), there are three major classes of 30-60 kb plasmids in C. jejuni and C. coli (pVir, pTet and pCC42) [23-25], although these are of variable size and gene content [26]. There are also four chromosomally located MGEs first identified in C. jejuni RM1221 [27], of which CJIE1 is a Mu-like prophage, CJIE2 and CJIE4 are related temperate prophages [28-31], and CJIE3 is a putative ICE which can contain the Campylobacter Type VI secretion system (T6SS) [32, 33].

In a previous study, we showed that 98% of C. jejuni genomes investigated contain a Type II-C CRISPR-Cas system consisting of cas9-cas1-cas2 genes and a relatively short spacer array (4.9 ± 2.7 spacers, N=1,942 genomes) [34]. In contrast, only 10% of C. coli genomes contained a copy of the C. jejuni CRISPR-Cas system, while genomes from non-agricultural (riparian) C. coli isolates contained a closely related, but separate Type II-C CRISPR-Cas system with the full complement of cas9-cas1-cas2 genes, or an orphan cas9 gene without cas1 or cas2 genes [34]. We have expanded
this survey of CRISPR-Cas systems in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, and show that there is a third, clearly distinct CRISPR-Cas system in both *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, which is located on a relatively conserved chromosomally located ICE (CampyICE1), which represents a family of conjugative plasmids and integrative elements present in related *Campylobacter* species. Finally, two-thirds of the CRISPR spacers on the CampyICE1 element are predicted to target pVir, pTet, pCC42 and other *Campylobacter* plasmids, consistent with an involvement of this CRISPR-Cas element in competition between *Campylobacter* MGEs.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of CRISPR-Cas systems

A collection of complete and draft genome sequences of *C. jejuni* (N=5,829) and *C. coli* (N=1,347) (Table S1) were obtained from the NCBI Genomes database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse/) and the *Campylobacter* pubMLST website (http://pubmlst.org/campylobacter/) [35], and have been used in previous studies on gene distribution in *Campylobacter* [36, 37]. Genome sequences for non-jejuni/coli *Campylobacter* species such as *C. hepaticus*, *C. lari* group and *C. upsaliensis* were obtained from the NCBI genome database using ncbi-genome-download version 0.2.1 (https://github.com/kblin/ncbi-genome-download/). Genome sequences were annotated with Prokka version 1.13 [38], and the annotation searched for Cas9 orthologs using the *C. jejuni* Cj1523c (Cas9) amino acid sequence using BLASTP, while genome sequences were searched using TBLASTN to identify inactivated copies of *cas9* genes. CRISPR arrays were identified as described previously [34], using the CRISPRfinder software (http://crispr.u-psud.fr/Server/) [39] and the CRISPR Recognition Tool CRT [40], further supported by BLAST searches and manual curation. Conservation of sequences was represented using Weblogo [41].

Prediction of putative targets of CRISPR spacers

A total of 108 unique and 16 variant families of the CampyICE1 CRISPR spacer sequences were used as query on the CRISPRTarget website (http://brownlabtools.otago.ac.nz/CRISPRTarget/crispr_analysis.html) [42], and used to search the Genbank-Phage, Refseq-Plasmid, and Refseq-Viral databases. Only *Campylobacter* targets were included for further analysis. Hits with plasmids from the pVir, pTet and pCC42 families were recorded. Individual genomes with plasmid-specific spacers and positive for either pVir, pTet or
Analysis of MGE and plasmid distribution

Genome sequences were screened using Abricate (https://github.com/tseemann/abricate) version 0.9.8, with each mobile element/plasmid subdivided into 600 nt fragments used as individual queries, and each 600 nt query sequence was only scored positive with a minimum coverage of 70% and minimum sequence identity of 80%. The CJIE1, CJIE2, CJIE3 and CJIE4 elements were obtained from *C. jejuni* reference strain RM1221 [27]. Nucleotide positions in the RM1221 genome (accession number CP000025) were 207,005-244,247 (CJIE1), 498,503-538,770 (CJIE2), 1,021,082-1,071,873 (CJIE3), and 1,335,703:1,371,932 (CJIE4). The T6SS genes were taken from *C. jejuni* 108 (accession number JX436460). For the CampyICE1 element, genome sequences were screened with the CampyICE1 element from *C. jejuni* strain CCN26 (accession number FBML01, nucleotide positions contig 11: 109,469-134,196 and reverse strand contig 17: 19,482-78,836), the Clade 1a *C. coli* strain RM1875 (accession number CP007183, nucleotide positions 1,235,330-1,320,414) and the *C. coli* Clade 2 strain C8C3 (accession number FBQX01, nucleotide positions 905,906-996,822). The pCC42 plasmid sequence was obtained from *C. coli* 15-537360 (accession number CP006703), whereas the pTet (accession number CP000549) and pVir (accession number CP000550) plasmid sequences were obtained from *C. jejuni* 81-176. Other plasmids used were pRM3194 (accession number CP014345), pHELV-1 (accession number CP020479) and pSCJK2-1 (accession number CP038863). Genomes were scored as positive for a mobile element or plasmid if >50% positive for 600 nt queries. Samples scoring between 30-50% were manually inspected for distribution of matches and given a final score. Clinker version 0.0.20 [43] was used to generate comparative gene maps of MGE and plasmids, using the default settings. Table S1 includes the presence/absence information of the pCC42, pTet and pVir plasmids, and the CJIE1, CJIE2, CJIE3 and CJIE4 MGE.

pCC42 were searched for the target sequences of that genome using BLAST.
Phylogenetic trees

Core genome MLST allelic profiles were generated for the 5,829 C. jejuni and 1,347 C. coli genomes using a 678 gene set described previously [44]. Allele calling was performed using chewBBACA version 2.6 [45] using the default settings. The phylogenetic trees were generated using GrapeTree version 1.5.0 [46] with the RapidNJ implementation of Neighbor-Joining, and annotated using the standard 7-gene MLST clonal complexes as determined using the MLST program version 2.19 (https://github.com/tseemann/mlst).

Cas9 protein sequences were aligned with MEGA7 using the MUSCLE algorithm with the default settings [47], and phylogenetic trees constructed using the MEGA7 Neighbor-joining option, pairwise deletion and the Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model, with 500 bootstraps. Trees were visualised using MEGA7 [47] and Figtree version 1.4.2 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).
RESULTS

Campylobacter jejuni and C. coli contain a third type II-C Cas9-encoding gene

A collection of 5,829 C. jejuni and 1,347 C. coli genomes was searched for the presence of Cas9 orthologs using the C. jejuni NCTC11168 Cj1523c and C. coli 76639 BN865_15240c amino acid sequences, representative of the two type II-C Cas9 proteins previously detected in C. jejuni and C. coli [34]. Next to the cas9 genes representative of the C. jejuni/agricultural C. coli and the riparian C. coli genomes, a third cas9 gene was detected in 134 (2.3%) of C. jejuni genomes and 92 (6.8%) of C. coli genomes, predicted to encode a full-length 965 aa protein, with some genomes containing an interrupted ORF. This new cas9 gene did not have adjacent cas1 or cas2 genes.

Alignment of the predicted new Cas9 proteins from C. jejuni and the C. coli clades with Cas9 proteins from Campylobacter and Helicobacter spp. showed the new Cas9 proteins to form a separate cluster (Fig. 1), suggesting these have originated from a different source than the default Campylobacter CRISPR-Cas systems. Alignment of the additional Cas9 proteins from C. jejuni and the different C. coli genetic clades showed that the three RuvC motifs, the HNH motif and R-rich region were all conserved (Fig. S1).

The novel CRISPR-Cas system is located on an integrative conjugative mobile element

We first looked for the genomic region containing the gene encoding the new Cas9 protein in completed C. jejuni and C. coli genomes. Only two complete C. coli genomes contained the additional cas9 gene; an inactivated copy of the cas9 gene was found on the C. coli RM1875 genome (Clade 1a), while a complete copy of the gene was present on C. coli C8C3 (Clade 2). The cas9 gene was flanked by a short CRISPR-repeat region with five to six repeats, similar to the Campylobacter repeat lengths reported previously [34]. Investigation of the surrounding genes showed the downstream presence of a putative Type IV conjugative transfer system, with traG,
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114  *traN*, *traL* and *traE* genes, as well as a *parM* gene encoding the chromosome segregation protein

115  ParM, while upstream of *cas9*, genes annotated as DNA primase, thymidine kinase, XerC tyrosine

116  recombinase, and an integrase were detected, with the conjugative element and the integrase

117  flanked by a tRNA gene (Fig. 2), thus matching the common components of an ICE [10]. We used

118  the *C. coli* RM1875 and *C. coli* C8C3 genomic regions to search all 134 *C. jejuni* and 92 *C. coli*

119  genomes for contigs matching these sequences, and ordered these contigs accordingly. We were

120  able to reconstruct the corresponding genomic regions for 81 *C. coli* and 133 *C. jejuni* genomes,

121  annotated these and each showed genetic synteny. The size of the ICE ranged from 70.0-129.3 kb

122  (average 87.7 kb, n=214), started with a gene encoding a putative integrase (in Genbank often

123  annotated as 30S ribosomal subunit protein), tyrosine recombinase XerC, and then six gene

124  modules of which the third ends with the *cas9* gene, and the fourth and the fifth contain the

125  conjugation proteins (Fig. 2A). Finally, the mobile element also contained up to three putative

126  CRISPR arrays, each with at most a few repeats. The conservation of the mobile element within *C.

127  jejuni* and *C. coli* is shown using three *C. coli* and three *C. jejuni* examples is shown in Figure 2B.

128  BLAST searches using Genbank identified orthologs of this ICE in *C. doylei*, where the

129  element is split into two parts with the *cas9* gene-containing middle part lacking. Next to this there

130  were also regions with sequence and gene structure similarity to *C. upsaliensis* plasmid pCU110

131  and *C. iguaniorum* plasmid pCIG1485E, both lacking the *cas9* gene. Subsequent searches in other

132  Campylobacter spp genomes in the Genbank database allowed the identification of other plasmids

133  and potential ICE elements with similar layouts from diverse *Campylobacter* species such as *C.

134  helveticus, C. insulaenigrae, C. lari* and *C. subantarcticus*, although none of those contained the

135  *cas9* gene (Fig. S2). We have named the *cas9*-containing ICE element CampyICE1, and will use

136  this designation for the remainder of this manuscript.

137

138  Distribution of CampyICE1 and other mobile elements and linkage to MLST-clonal
complexes

To assess whether the distribution of CampyICE1 and other MGEs was linked to specific MLST-types or isolation source, we screened a collection of 5,829 C. jejuni and 1,347 C. coli genomes [36] using BLAST+ for the presence of CampyICE1, CJIE1, CJIE2, CJIE3, CJIE4, the plasmids pVir, pTet, pCC42, and the CJIE3-associated T6SS (Table 1). The CJIE1 element was the most common in C. jejuni, while CJIE4 was the least common of the MGEs from C. jejuni RM1221, although still more common than CampyICE1. In C. coli, the CJIE1, CJIE2 and CJIE3 elements were present in similar fractions, and again much more common than CJIE4 and CampyICE1 (Table 1). There was clear variation within the CJIE1-CJIE4 genetic elements, mostly in length but also in gene content (Fig. S3), with the CJIE3 element differing due to the presence or absence of the T6SS. With regard to the three plasmids, pVir was rare in both C. jejuni and C. coli, while pTet is present in approximately a quarter of the C. jejuni and C. coli genomes. The pCC42 plasmid was relatively rare in C. jejuni, but the most common plasmid in C. coli (Table 1). The plasmids showed more conservation of gene structure and content (Fig. S4), although there were combinations of plasmids and mobile elements that lead to megaplasmids with phage elements or the T6SS [48] which were not separately included in this analysis.

The C. jejuni genomes were clustered in a phylogenetic tree based on a 678 gene core genome (cg)MLST scheme [44], which grouped the genomes mostly according to clonal complexes of the seven-gene MLST for C. jejuni (Fig. 3) and the different C. coli clades (Fig. 4). With the exception of CJIE3 and the associated T6SS in C. jejuni, there was no clear association with specific MLST clonal complexes in either C. jejuni or C. coli. In C. jejuni, CJIE3 without the T6SS was restricted to clonal complexes ST-354 and ST-257, while the CJIE3 with T6SS was mostly found in clonal complexes ST-464, ST-353, ST-573 and ST-403 (Fig. 3). There was no obvious link between isolation source and any of the MGEs, although it should be noted that the dataset used is biased towards human isolates. Similar to the mobile elements, the pVir, pTet and pCC42 plasmids did not...
show an association with either MLST clonal complex in *C. jejuni* or *C. coli* clade, or isolation source (Fig. 3, Fig. 4). The specific distribution per genome is provided in Table S1.

The majority of CampyICE1 CRISPR spacers are predicted to target *Campylobacter* plasmids

CRISPR arrays consist of the CRISPR repeats and the individual spacers, which are used to generate the cRNAs used for interference, and the tracrRNA [18]. The layout of the CampyICE1 CRISPR arrays is distinct from most other Type II CRISPR-Cas systems, where the CRISPR array and tracrRNA are often found directly next to the Cas genes. In contrast, the CampyICE1 system does not contain the ubiquitous *cas1* and *cas2* genes, and has a total of three CRISPR arrays spaced over the element (Fig. 2). We were able to identify spacers from 81 *C. coli* and 133 *C. jejuni* CampyICE1 elements. The first array contained 3.0 ± 1.5 spacers (N=197, range 1-6), and also contained a putative tracrRNA in the opposite transcriptional orientation (Fig. 5A), while the second CRISPR array contained 3.1 ± 1.7 spacers (N=208, range 1-10) and lacked a potential tracrRNA. The third CRISPR array is shorter and contained 1.0 ± 0.6 spacers (N=182, range 1-3). The tracrRNA and repeat sequence are distinct from the previously described *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* CRISPR systems [34], but matched the sequence differences between the repeat and tracrRNA (Fig. 5A, 5B). The predicted Protospacer Adjacent Motif (PAM) was 5’-A(C/T)A(C/T) (Fig. 5A), which matches well with the 5’-ACAc PAM-motif described for the *C. jejuni* Cas9 protein [34, 49].

Comparison of the spacers from 214 CampyICE1 elements showed that these consisted of 108 unique spacer sequences, and an additional 40 spacers that were subdivided in 16 variant families, where 2-6 spacers had one or two nucleotide differences to each other and were predicted to match the same targets (Table S2). The spacers were used to search phage and plasmid databases for putative targets, and a total of 60 unique spacers and seven variant families were predicted to target the *Campylobacter* plasmids pCC42 (31 unique spacers, two variants), pTet (16 unique spacers, six variants) and pVir (15 unique spacers, see Fig. 5C for an example). Furthermore there were spacers
predicted to target the *Campylobacter helveticus* plasmid pHELV-1 (one unique spacer) and pSCJK2-1 from *C. jejuni* SCJK2 (six unique spacers, two variants). The pHELV-1 and pSCJK2-1 plasmids were not detected in the 5,829 *C. jejuni* and 1,347 *C. coli* genomes used in this study. The predicted targets on the plasmids pCC42, pTet and pVir were plotted against the plasmid maps (Fig. 5D), and showed that targets for pCC42 and pVir were distributed all over the plasmids, whereas pTet was only targeted in two genes, of which YSU_08860 is not universally present on pTet plasmids.

Plasmid-mapping CampyICE1 CRISPR spacers are associated with an absence of the corresponding plasmids

To assess whether the CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas9 system can function to exclude plasmid by using plasmid-mapping spacers, the 226 *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* CampyICE1-positive genome assemblies were compared for presence of plasmid contigs and matches with spacer sequences (Table 2). As one possible escape for CRISPR-Cas9 surveillance could be sequence mutations/changes in the plasmids, we also checked whether the predicted plasmid-matching spacer would recognise any sequence in the genome assemblies (which include plasmid contigs). Of the *C. coli* assemblies, spacers were detected in 81/92 assemblies, and 56 had no plasmid/spacer matches. Of the 25 assemblies where there were plasmid/spacer matches, three had an inactivated CampyICE1 *cas9* gene, and 11 did not have sequences matching the spacer(s) or only partial matches in their genome assembly, suggesting that mutations in the plasmid sequence have made the spacer unusable. This left 11 *C. coli* assemblies with a functional *cas9* gene and spacer matching the pCC42 plasmid. Similarly, for *C. jejuni*, spacers were detected in 133/134 genomes, and 109 had no plasmid/spacer matches. Of the 24 assemblies where there were plasmid/spacer matches, two had an inactivated CampyICE1 *cas9* gene with frameshifts and stop codons, and seven did not have sequences matching the spacer(s) or only partial matches in their genome assembly. This left
15 *C. jejuni* assemblies with a functional *cas9* gene and spacer matching the pCC42 (seven) and pTet (eight) plasmids. The matching of spacers, CampyICE1 Cas9 status and plasmid presence/absence is given in Table 2, with more detailed data in Table S3.
DISCUSSION

In the last 25 years, CRISPR-Cas has gone from a relatively obscure repeat system in bacteria to a Nobel Prize winning phenomenon [50]. CRISPR-Cas systems are widespread in prokaryotic organisms, and while early reports predicted them to be a bacterial version of the adaptive immune system against phages, it is now clear that they target a wide variety of MGEs, and can also have a diverse set of alternative functions. Recent studies show that CRISPR-Cas systems are not just located on genomes, but can also be found on MGEs. Type IV and Type I CRISPR-Cas systems have been reported on enterobacterial plasmids [51, 52], and have been predicted to function in competition between plasmids [53]. Vibrionaceae species contain a variety of CRISPR-Cas systems associated with putative MGEs and genomic islands [54, 55], although data on their potential role in MGE competition are still lacking. To our knowledge, our study is the first to feature an incomplete Type II-C CRISPR-Cas9 system that is associated with an MGE, and where the majority of spacers matched competing plasmids. We have shown that CampyICE1 is highly conserved in both C. jejuni and C. coli, that it has up to three short spacer arrays on the ICE, and that the presence of a functional CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas system and anti-plasmid spacers is associated with the absence of the three targeted plasmid types in C. jejuni and C. coli.

The Type II-C Cas9 protein encoded on CampyICE1 is closely related to the Cas9 proteins found in other Campylobacter and Helicobacter species, but clusters separately, suggesting it may have been co-opted from a genomic location in an ancestral Campylobacteraceae species. Interestingly, it lacks the cas1 and cas2 genes that are required for the acquisition of new protospacers [56]. This could indicate that the CampyICE1 system is incapable of acquiring new spacers, which is supported by the relative lack of spacer diversity in the 214 genomes containing CampyICE1. However, we cannot exclude that the CampyICE1 Cas9 may be able to co-opt the Cas1 and Cas2 proteins from the chromosomal version of the CRISPR-Cas system in C. jejuni and C. coli. We have previously shown that ~98% of all C. jejuni genomes have a CRISPR-Cas system,
while this is more limited in *C. coli*, where only ~10% of *C. coli* genomes have a CRISPR-Cas system [34]. Since the diversity in CRISPR spacers is also low in the chromosomal version of CRISPR-Cas of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* and most spacers cannot (yet) be linked to mobile elements or phages [34, 57-59], it may represent additional or alternative functions for Cas9 in *C. jejuni*, such as control or activity in virulence [60-64]. However, this is not the case for the CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas9 system, as a majority of spacers can be linked to the three main families of plasmids in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*: pTet, pVir and pCC42.

In our collection of genomes, 41.7% of *C. coli* and 24.3% of *C. jejuni* genomes are predicted to contain one or more of these three plasmids, in different combinations. The three plasmids do not show signs of incompatibility, as 93 *C. jejuni* and 166 *C. coli* genomes had a combination of two plasmids or all three plasmids together. The role of plasmids in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* is still unclear; they can carry virulence factors and contribute to the dissemination of antibiotic resistance, but plasmids are not absolutely required for this, and plasmid-free isolates are common. This is similar for the CJIE elements, where different combinations of the CJIE-elements and CampyICE1 were detected. The different roles of the CJIE-elements in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* is still not clear, although the T6SS from CJIE3 has been linked with virulence [32, 33, 65, 66], and the DNases of the CJIE1, CJIE2, and CJIE4 elements are associated with reduced biofilm formation and reduced natural transformation [29, 30, 67].

The CRISPR-Cas9 system of the CampyICE1 element has some unique properties, as there are up to three short CRISPR arrays on the mobile element, with the essential tracrRNA not located with the *cas9* gene but located in another CRISPR spacer array on CampyICE1. Although the arrays detected were small, there were still 108 unique spacers and 16 spacer families, with a spacer family defined as spacers differing by one or two nucleotides only. The majority of CampyICE CRISPR spacers and variants were predicted to target *Campylobacter* plasmids (69 spacers and 10 variants, 63.7%), with most spacers predicted to target pCC42, pTet and pVir, the three major plasmids in *C.
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*jejuni* and *C. coli*, which is a very high proportion compared to many other CRISPR-Cas studies.

For example, a study on type IV CRISPR-Cas systems could only match 12% of spacers with targets, and this was reduced to only 7% for the non-type IV CRISPR-Cas systems [53]. In our previous study [34] we were also unable to match most *Campylobacter* spacers with putative targets, which is common. The presence of CampyICE1, functional CRISPR-Cas9 and anti-plasmid spacers was associated with the absence of the competing plasmids targeted, suggesting that CampyICE1 has used its CRISPR-Cas9 system for "plasmid warfare" as a form of incompatibility.

The match is not perfect, as there are several examples of a complete CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas9 system with plasmid-targeting spacers, to which the spacers mapped were present with 100% sequence identity between spacer and predicted plasmid contigs. This could mean that the system can prevent acquisition of new plasmids, but cannot remove plasmids already present, although speculative. It also suggests that the CampyICE1 plasmid restriction can be avoided by mutation of the target site disrupting the sequence matching, making the system less functional, especially in a bacterium known for its high levels of genetic variation. We also speculate that DNA modification and transcriptional variation/regulation may play a role in spacer-target discrepancies.

In summary, we have identified a new putative mobile element in *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* that contains a degenerated CRISPR-Cas9 system predicted to employ this CRISPR-Cas system to compete with other families of *Campylobacter* plasmids. We also show that mobile elements and plasmids are semi-randomly distributed within a large set of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* genomes, and display significant levels of genetic variation within the elements. This fits well with the previously described genetic variability of the genus *Campylobacter*, and adds to the complexity of mobile elements present within these successful foodborne human pathogens.
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**Table 1.** Prevalence of chromosomal and extrachromosomal mobile elements in 5,829 *C. jejuni* and 1,347 *C. coli* genome assemblies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobile element</th>
<th><em>C. jejuni</em> (N=5,829)</th>
<th><em>C. coli</em> (N=1,347)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chromosomal elements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CampyICE1</td>
<td>134 (2.3%)</td>
<td>92 (6.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJIE1</td>
<td>2,136 (36.6%)</td>
<td>254 (18.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJIE2</td>
<td>1,291 (22.1%)</td>
<td>225 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJIE3 with T6SS (^a)</td>
<td>1,137 (19.5%)</td>
<td>203 (15.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJIE3 without T6SS (^b)</td>
<td>537 (9.2%)</td>
<td>2 (0.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJIE4</td>
<td>798 (13.7%)</td>
<td>79 (5.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCC42</td>
<td>253 (4.3%)</td>
<td>383 (28.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTet</td>
<td>1,177 (20.2%)</td>
<td>1,337 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVir</td>
<td>84 (1.4%)</td>
<td>15 (1.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Combined presence of the CJIE3 element and the type VI secretion system

\(^b\) Presence of the CJIE3 element, absence of the Type VI secretion system
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Table 2. Distribution of CampyICE1 plasmid-specific CRISPR-spacers and pVir, pTet and pCC42 plasmids in CampyICE1-positive *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>plasmid</th>
<th>no plasmid</th>
<th>plasmid present</th>
<th>CRISPR effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>matched</td>
<td>ΔCas9+mismatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. jejuni</em> (n=133)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVir</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTet</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCC42</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. coli</em> (n=81)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pVir</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pTet</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCC42</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Plasmid spacers identified by CRISPRfinder, CRISPR Recognition Tool CRT and manual searches were screened for matches with Campylobacter plasmids using CRISPRtarget.

b. CampyICE1-positive genomes positive for pVir, pTet and pCC42 were searched with the plasmid-targeting spacers using BLAST, and recorded for perfect matches and imperfect matches. This was to allow for possible sequence differences with the reference pVir, pTet and pCC42 plasmid sequences, or alternatively to detect mutations introduced to escape CRISPR-Cas functionality. In addition, the presence of a full-length *cas9* gene was checked, as this is required for CRISPR-Cas9 functionality.

c. The match of the CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas system with absence of the respective plasmids is expressed as the percentage of plasmid-negative genomes containing the CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas system with the corresponding plasmid-recognising spacers, for example pTet in *C. coli*: 59 genomes with pTet-spacer(s) lacked the pTet plasmid, of a total of 69 genomes containing CampyICE1 with pTet-recognising spacers.
LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1. The CampyICE1 Cas9 protein (blue) is distinct from the previously described Cas9 proteins of *C. jejuni* & *C. coli* (red), other *Campylobacter* spp. (green), and selected *Helicobacter* spp. (black). Tree was drawn using the Neighbor-Joining method based on an alignment with the MEGA7 Muscle plugin. Bootstrap values are indicated at branches which scored >95%, based on 500 iterations using MEGA7, using the JTT matrix and pairwise deletion. The scale bar represents the number of amino acid substitutions per site. An alignment of a subset of Cas9 proteins with domain annotation is provided in Figure S1.

Figure 2. Structure and genetic conservation of of CampyICE1 from *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*. (A) Schematic overview of the gene structure of CampyICE1 from *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*. Genes are colored based on their predicted role: CRISPR-Cas (pink); DNase (light blue); Integration (green); Mobilisation and replication (orange); Conjugation and transfer (red); Hypothetical (black), Annotated other (yellow). The relative positions of the three CRISPR arrays and the transcriptional orientation is shown as insets. B) Graphical comparison of CampyICE1 elements from *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* genomes, presented as output of a comparison of Prokka-generated annotations [38] using Clinker [43].

Figure 3. Distribution of mobile elements and plasmids in 5,829 *C. jejuni* genome sequences. The phylogenetic tree was based on core genome MLST. Source and 7-gene MLST information have been included for comparison.

Figure 4. Distribution of mobile elements and plasmids in 1,347 *C. coli* genome sequences. The phylogenetic tree was based on core genome MLST. Source and 7-gene MLST information have
van Vliet et al. have included for comparison.

**Figure 5.** Characteristics of the CampyICE1 CRISPR spacers, protospacers and tracrRNA, and predicted plasmid targeting by the CampyICE1 CRISPR-Cas9 system. (A) A section of the CRISPR array is shown (center) with the corresponding protospacer (top) with 8 nt flanking sequences which contain the PAM motif at the 3’ end of the protospacer, represented using a sequence logo. The tracrRNA sequence and structure are included below. (B) Comparison of the CRISPR-repeats and predicted tracrRNA part of CampyICE1, *C. jejuni* and the three *C. coli* clades. The tracrRNA and CRISPR-repeat show matching changes as indicated by red underlined residues. Asterisks indicate conserved nucleotides, boxes indicate the complementary sequences in CRISPR repeat and tracrRNA. (C) Example of a CampyICE1 CRISPR spacer perfectly matching a segment of the *C. jejuni* 81-176 pVir plasmid. (D) Schematic representation of the pCC42, pTet and pVir plasmids, with the locations of distinct plasmid-targeting CampyICE1 spacers.
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C. jejuni (n=5,829)
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IE1
IE2
IE3/T6SS
IE4
ICE1
pCC42
pTet
pVir
MLST

ST-45 ST-22 ST-353 ST-52 ST-464 ST-61 ST-21
ST-283 ST-658 ST-257 ST-574 ST-48
ST-677 ST-573 ST-443 ST-354 ST-206
ST-483
**A**

**PROTOSPERC**

5'-TATTTTCCaaaagagtttttttagtttcacaaraagtctUTGACAC-3'

REPEAT

ATTGTTTATATGATAAAATaaaagagtttttttagtttcacaaraagtctUTGACAC-3'

3'-UGCUUAGAUGAGUGUCCACUUCGAAACAAAAGGAAAUAAAAUCGGAUAAUUAACAAA-5'

**B**

**CRISPR-tracrRNA interaction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>CRISPR Repeat</th>
<th>Predicted tracrRNA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CampyICE1</td>
<td>GTTTTAGCCTATTATGATAAAAT</td>
<td>AAGGTTTAGCAC&lt;???&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.jeuni</td>
<td>GTTTTAGCCTTTTTTTAAATTTCTTTATGGTAAAAT</td>
<td>AAGAGTTTCCG&lt;???&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.coli CL1</td>
<td>GTTTTAGCCTTTTTTTAAATTTCTTTATGGTAAAAT</td>
<td>AAGAGTTTCCG&lt;???&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.coli CL2</td>
<td>GTTTTAGCCTTTTTTTAAATTTCTTTATGGTAAAAT</td>
<td>AAGAGTTTCCG&lt;???&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.coli CL3</td>
<td>GTTTTAGCCTTTTTTTAAATTTCTTTATGGTAAAAT</td>
<td>AAGAGTTTCCG&lt;???&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C**

**Match to: pVir (Cj81-176) position 25057-25028, with: ice048 Spacer**

5' --------AUUGCAAAAGCUGAGAAAGAUAAACAAAAU-------- 3' CRISPR spacer RNA

3' AATTTTAAAGCTTTTTGCTACTCTTTCTATTTGTTTTATACTGTG 5' Protospercer

5' TTAAAATTATTTGAAAAAGCTGAAAAAGATAAAAATTTGACAC 3' pVir

PAM-motif

**D**

**pCC42**

26.3 kb

33 ORFs

**pTet**

45.0 kb

54 ORFs

**pVir**

37.5 kb

54 ORFs
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