Prepubertal ovariectomy alters dorsomedial striatum indirect pathway neuron excitability and explore/exploit balance in female mice

Kristen Delevich[1,2*], Christopher D. Hall[1], and Linda Wilbrecht[1,2*]

1 Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

2 Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

*co-corresponding author

Linda Wilbrecht, PhD
Department of Psychology
University of California, Berkeley
16 Barker Hall
Berkeley, CA 94720-1650

Tel: +1.510.600.3560
wilbrecht@berkeley.edu

Kristen Delevich, PhD
Department of Integrative Physiology and Neuroscience
College of Veterinary Medicine
Washington State University
P.O. Box 647620 Pullman, WA 99164

Tel: +1.509-335-4829
kristen.delevich@wsu.edu

Abstract:

Decision-making circuits are modulated across life stages (e.g. juvenile, adolescent, or adult)—as well as on the shorter timescale of reproductive cycles in females—to meet changing environmental and physiological demands. Ovarian hormonal modulation of relevant neural circuits is a potential mechanism by which behavioral flexibility is regulated in females. Here we examined the influence of prepubertal ovariectomy (pOVX) versus sham surgery on performance in an odor-based multiple choice reversal task. We observed that pOVX females made different types of errors during reversal learning compared to sham surgery controls. Using reinforcement learning models fit to trial-by-trial behavior, we found that pOVX females exhibited lower inverse temperature parameter (β) compared to sham females. These findings suggest that OVX females solve the reversal task using a more exploratory choice policy, whereas sham females use a more exploitative policy prioritizing estimated high value options. To seek a neural correlate of this behavioral difference, we performed whole-cell patch clamp recordings within the dorsomedial striatum (DMS), a region implicated in regulating action selection and explore/exploit choice policy. We found that the intrinsic excitability of dopamine receptor type 2 (D2R) expressing indirect pathway spiny projection neurons (iSPNs) was significantly higher in pOVX females compared to both unmanipulated and sham surgery females. Finally, to test whether mimicking this increase in iSPN excitability could recapitulate the pattern of reversal task behavior observed in pOVX females, we chemogenetically activated DMS D2R(+) neurons within intact female mice. We found that chemogenetic activation increased exploratory choice during reversal, similar to the pattern we observed in pOVX females. Together, these data suggest that pubertal status may influence explore/exploit balance in females via the modulation of iSPN intrinsic excitability within the DMS.

Introduction:

    As animals interact with their environment in pursuit of rewards in the form of food, water, mates etc., they learn from trial and error to guide their future choices. This process involves learning from positive and negative feedback and also, importantly, deciding how learned information should influence choice, referred to as choice policy. Reinforcement learning (RL) models (Sutton and Barto, 1998) have provided a useful framework for understanding and quantifying aspects of trial-and-error learning, including choice policy. A classic RL problem that hinges on choice policy is the explore/exploit tradeoff. If an animal (or any agent for that matter) adopts an exploit policy, it will consistently select the highest estimated value option, but may miss out on better alternative options. On the other hand, if an animal favors a more exploratory choice policy, characterized by less value-dependent, more stochastic choice behavior, it may discover new and better options more readily (Sutton and Barto, 1998; Daw et al., 2006). Importantly, the optimal balance of exploration and exploitation may depend on the statistics of the environment and/or the needs of the animal as defined by its particular physiological or developmental state (Cohen et al., 2007; Frank et al., 2009; Humphreys et al., 2015; Addicott et al., 2017; Lenow et al., 2017; Gopnik, 2020). In humans, choice behavior generally becomes less exploratory and more exploitative during the transition from childhood to adulthood (Nussenbaum and Hartley, 2019; Gopnik, 2020; Xia et al., 2020; Eckstein et al., 2021). Natural fluctuations in ovarian hormones across the estrous cycle or exogenous estradiol administration have been shown to regulate aspects of value-based decision making in female rats (Uban et al., 2012; Orsini et al., 2021), including explore/exploit balance (Verharen et al., 2019b). These data suggest that the rise in ovarian hormones at puberty could contribute to the developmental shift in choice policy during adolescence in females. In previous work, we observed that pOVX altered performance in a

multiple choice reversal task in adult C57/Bl6 mice (Delevich et al., 2020a). Compared to intact

females, pOVX females showed lower ratios of perseverative to regressive errors during reversal

learning, but the potential underlying biological processes that contributed to this behavioral effect

remained unclear.

The DMS is implicated in the regulation of goal-directed action selection (Tai et al., 2012;

Nonomura et al., 2018; Matamales et al., 2020; Peak et al., 2020) and choice policy (Collins and

Frank, 2014), and recent work suggests that enhancing the activity of D2R(+) SPNs in the dorsal

striatum biases choice behavior to be more exploratory (Lee et al., 2015; Delevich et al., 2020b)

but see (Verharen et al., 2019a). While nuclear estrogen receptors are notably absent from the

dorsal striatum in adulthood (Krentzel et al., 2021), extranuclear estrogen receptors (ERα, ERβ,

and GPER1) localize to SPNs, glia, and the presynaptic terminals of striatal GABAergic and

cholinergic interneurons of adult female rats (Almey et al., 2012). At the neuronal level, estrous

cycle has been shown to regulate the intrinsic excitability of SPNs located within the rodent

striatum (Proano et al., 2018; Alonso-Caraballo and Ferrario, 2019). Studies examining the

influence of estrous cycle on SPN physiology have been primarily performed in rats, where SPN

cell types were not distinguished, but see (Tansey et al., 1983). Taken together, these findings raise

the question of whether pubertal status influences choice strategies employed by females by

modulating striatal SPN physiology. Here we focused on D2R(+) SPNs of the indirect pathway

(iSPNs) within the DMS, whose activity we hypothesized regulates explore/exploit balance in

decision making based on theoretical predictions (Collins and Frank, 2014; Dunovan and

Verstynen, 2016) plus genetic (Beeler et al., 2010; Kwak et al., 2014) and pharmacological (Lee

et al., 2015; McCoy et al., 2019) evidence.

In the current study, after analyzing raw behavioral data, we applied reinforcement learning

modeling to examine how pOVX influenced learning and choice policy processes underlying

performance in the odor-based reversal learning task. We next examined the influence of pOVX

on the intrinsic excitability of genetically identified D2R(+) SPNs within the DMS of adult female

mice. Finally, we chemogenetically activated D2R(+) neurons within the DMS of female mice

during reversal learning and applied our RL model to determine whether this manipulation

recapitulated the reversal learning strategy employed by pOVX females. We found that compared

to intact adult females, pOVX females exhibited a more exploratory choice strategy during reversal

learning as evidenced by a lower explore/exploit inverse temperature parameter, β. In addition,

D2R(+) SPN intrinsic excitability was increased in pOVX females compared to sham females.

Finally, chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) SPNs within the DMS promoted a more exploratory

choice strategy during reversal learning in intact female mice, resembling pOVX female behavior.

Together, these data suggest that pubertal status influences the choice strategy female mice employ

via the modulation of D2R(+) SPN activity.

Materials & Methods:

Animals:

Female C57BL/6NCR (Charles River), Drd2-eGFP BAC (GENSAT), and D2-Cre ER43

(MMRC) mice were bred in-house. Drd2-eGFP BAC and D2-Cre ER43 mice were bred onto the

C57BL/6NCR background for at least 5 generations. All mice were weaned on postnatal day (P)21

and housed in groups of 2–3 same-sex siblings on a 12:12 hr reversed light:dark cycle (lights on

at 2200 h). All procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University

of California, Berkeley and conformed to principles outlined by the NIH Guide for the Care and

Use of Laboratory Animals.

Prepubertal Ovariectomy:

Prepubertal ovariectomy was performed as previously described (Delevich et al., 2020a).

To eliminate ovarian hormone exposure during and after puberty, ovariectomies were performed

before puberty onset at P25. Prior to ovariectomy (OVX) surgery, all female mice were visually

inspected to confirm that vaginal opening had not occurred. Prior to surgery, mice were injected

with 0.05 mg/kg buprenorphine and 10 mg/kg meloxicam subcutaneously and were anesthetized

with 1–2% isoflurane during surgery. The incision area was shaved and scrubbed with ethanol and

betadine. Ophthalmic ointment was placed over the eyes to prevent drying. A 1 cm incision was

made with a scalpel in the lower abdomen across the midline to access the abdominal cavity. The

ovaries were clamped off from the uterine horn, with locking forceps and ligated with sterile

sutures. After ligation, the ovaries were excised with a scalpel. The muscle and skin layers were

sutured, and wound clips were placed over the incision for 7–10 days to allow the incision to heal.

An additional injection of 10 mg/kg meloxicam was given 24 and 48 h after surgery. Sham control

surgeries were performed in which fat pads were visualized but the ovaries were not clamped,

ligated, or excised. Mice were allowed to recover on a heating pad until ambulatory and were post-

surgically monitored for 7–10 days to check for normal weight gain and signs of

discomfort/distress. Mice were co-housed with 1-2 siblings who received the same surgical

treatment. To confirm the success of prepubertal ovariectomies, necropsy was performed on a

subset of adult sham and ovariectomized mice to confirm that the uteri of pOVX mice were

underdeveloped compared to age-matched sham females (data not shown).

4 choice odor-based reversal task:

Sham or pOVX mice were tested in an odor-based reversal task that has previously been

described in detail (Johnson and Wilbrecht, 2011; Johnson et al., 2016) as young adults (P60-P70).

The task is designed such that only the odor cue is predictive of reward, while spatial and

egocentric information is irrelevant. Briefly, mice were food restricted to ~85% body weight by

the Discrimination phase. Mice were habituated to the testing arena on day 1, they were taught to

dig for a honey nut cheerio reward in a pot filled with unscented wood shavings on day 2,

underwent a 4 choice odor Discrimination on day 3, and finally, were tested on Recall of the

previously learned odor-reward association, which was immediately followed by a Reversal phase

on day 4. During the Discrimination phase of the task, mice learned to discriminate among four

pots with different scented wood shavings (anise, clove, litsea, and thyme). All four pots were

sham-baited with cheerio (under wire mesh at bottom) but only one pot was rewarded (anise). The

pots of scented shavings were placed in each corner of an acrylic arena (12", 12", 9") which was

divided into four quadrants. Mice were placed in a cylinder in the center of the arena, and a trial

started when the cylinder was lifted. Mice were then free to explore the arena and indicate their

choice by making a bi-manual dig in one of the four pots of wood shavings. The cylinder was

lowered as soon as a choice was made. If the choice was incorrect, the trial was terminated and the

mouse was gently encouraged back into the start cylinder. Trials in which no choice was made

within 3 minutes were considered omissions. If mice omitted for two consecutive trials, they

received a reminder: a baited pot of unscented wood shavings was placed in the center cylinder

and mice dug for the "free" reward. Mice were disqualified if they committed four pairs of

omissions. The location of the four odor scented pots was shuffled on each trial, and criterion was

met when the mouse completed 8 out of 10 consecutive trials correctly. 24 hours after completing

Discrimination, mice were tested for Recall of the initial odor Discrimination to criterion, after

which, mice immediately proceeded to the Reversal phase in which the previously rewarded odor

(anise) was no longer rewarded, and a previously unrewarded odor (clove) was now rewarded.

During the Reversal phase, Odor 4 (thyme) was replaced by a novel odor (eucalyptus) that was

unrewarded. Again, mice were run until they reached a criterion of 8 out of 10 consecutive correct

trials.

4 choice odor-based reversal task analysis:

To compare reversal task performance across groups, trials to criterion and errors (incorrect

choices) were compared for each phase of the task (Discrimination, Recall, and Reversal).

Omission trials did not count towards trials to criterion. In addition, for the Reversal phase we

separated errors in which mice chose the odor that was rewarded during Discrimination (Odor 1)

into two types: 1) perseverative errors occurred when Odor 1 was chosen prior to the first correct

trial and 2) regressive errors occurred when Odor 1 was chosen after the first correct trial during

the Reversal phase. To compare the relative proportion of these error types within mice, we

calculated Reversal error bias as (perseverative – regressive errors)/(perseverative + regressive

errors). Therefore, a value > 1 indicates a bias for perseverative errors whereas a value < 1 indicates

a bias for regressive errors. Finally, we examined how quickly mice accumulated rewards after the

first correct trial during the Reversal phase by aligning trial histories to the first correct trial and

summing rewarded trials across the subsequent 8 trials. Data were fit by linear regression for each

group and the slope of the lines compared to determine whether groups significantly differed in

their rate of reward accumulation. Behavioral data from 14 of the 16 pOVX females and 10 of the

15 sham females presented here were included in a previously published study examining sex

differences of prepubertal gonadectomy on approach-avoidance behaviors, but latent decision

variables were not examined (Delevich et al., 2020a).

Reinforcement learning modeling of 4 choice odor-based reversal task

We modeled Discrimination and Reversal phase behavior using a reinforcement learning

model driven by an iterative error-based rule (Rescorla and Wagner, 1972; Sutton and Barto,

1998). The model uses a prediction error (δ) to update the value (V) of each odor stimulus, where

δ is the difference between the experienced feedback (λ) and the current expected value (r= 100

for rewarded, r= 0 for unrewarded) scaled by a learning rate parameter (α), with 0<α<1:

$$V(t+1)_{choice} = V(t)_{choice} + \alpha \times \delta(t)$$

$$\delta(t) = r(t) - V(t)_{choice}$$

$$r(t) \begin{cases} 100 \; if \; rewarded \; choice \\ \quad\quad else \; 0 \end{cases}$$

216
Because mice exhibit innate preferences for odors, we set initial odor values to fixed

parameters [v1,v2,v3,v4] for all mice tested by calculating the probability of choosing each odor

during the first 4 trials of Discrimination $\times$ 100 (see Johnson et al. 2016). To model trial-by-trial

choice probabilities, the stimulus values were transformed using a softmax function to compute

choice probabilities based on estimated odor values, $V(O)_i$. The inverse temperature parameter

(β), which we refer to in the text as the explore/exploit parameter, determined the stochasticity of

the choices:

$$\sigma(V(O))_i = \frac{e^{\beta V(O)_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^{\beta V(O)_i}}$$

For RL modeling, trial histories from Discrimination and Recall phases were concatenated

to create one Discrimination phase trial history. We compared the alternative models using AIC

(Watanabe, 2010) and found that the best fit model included phase-specific (non-zero) α and β

parameters; all RL model comparisons for pOVX and sham females are presented in Table S1. To

assess model performance, trial-by-trial behavioral data was recovered using the best fit

parameters for each animal, and average recovered choices to criterion for Discrimination and

Reversal phases (100 simulations/animal) were plotted against the actual choices to criterion for

each animal.

Stereotaxic Virus Injection

Female D2-Cre mice (6-8 weeks) were deeply anesthetized with 5% isoflurane (vol/vol) in

oxygen and placed into a stereotactic frame (Kopf Instruments; Tujunga, CA) upon a heating pad.

Anesthesia was maintained at 1-2% isoflurane during surgery. An incision was made along the

midline of the scalp and small burr holes were drilled over each injection site. Virus was delivered

via microinjection using a Nanoject II injector (Drummond Scientific Company; Broomall, PA).

Injection coordinates for DMS were (in mm from bregma): 0.90 anterior, +/-1.4 lateral, and -3.0

from surface of the brain. Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) were produced by Addgene viral

service and had titers of $>10^{12}$ genome copies per mL. For chemogenetic manipulations, mice were

bilaterally injected with 0.5 uL of rAAV8-hsyn-DIO-mCherry, rAAV8-hsyn-DIO-hM3Dq-

mCherry, or rAAV8-hsyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry. Mice were given subcutaneous injections of

meloxicam (10 mg/kg) during surgery and 24 and 48 hours after surgery. Mice were group-housed

before and after surgery and 4-6 weeks were allowed for viral expression before behavioral training

or electrophysiology experiments.

Drugs

248   Clozapine-N-Oxide was generously provided by the NIMH Chemical Synthesis and Drug

249   Supply Program (NIMH C-929). CNO was made fresh each day and dissolved in DMSO (0.5%

250   final concentration) and diluted to 0.1 mg/mL in 0.9% saline USP.

Electrophysiology

Mice were deeply anesthetized with an overdose of ketamine/xylazine solution and

perfused transcardially with ice-cold cutting solution containing (in mM): 110 choline-Cl, 2.5 KCl,

7 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 25 NaHCO3, 11.6 Na-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 1.25 NaH2PO4, and 25 D-

glucose, and bubbled in 95% O2/5% CO2. 300 μm thick coronal sections were cut in ice-cold

cutting solution before being transferred to ACSF containing (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3

MgCl2, 2.5 CaCl2, 26.2 NaHCO3, 1 NaH2PO4 and 11 Glucose. Slices were bubbled with 95%

O2/ 5% CO2 in a 37°C bath for 30 min, and allowed to recover for 30 min at room temperature

before recording. All recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and were not

corrected for liquid junction potential. The bath was heated to 32°C for all recordings. Data were

digitized at 20 kHz and filtered at 1 or 3 kHz using a Digidata 1440 A system with pClamp 10.2

software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Only cells with access resistance of <25 MΩ

were retained for analysis. Cells were discarded if parameters changed more than 20%. Data were

analyzed using pClamp or R (RStudio 0.99.879; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,

AT).

Whole-cell current clamp recordings were performed using a potassium gluconate-based

intracellular solution (in mM): 140 K Gluconate, 5 KCl, 10 HEPES, 0.2 EGTA, 2 MgCl2, 4

MgATP, 0.3 Na2GTP, and 10 Na2-Phosphocreatine. Alexa Fluor 594 (40 μM) was added to the

internal solution to enable morphological confirmation of SPN identify following recording. In

order to block NMDA and AMPA-mediated currents, 5 μM AP5 and 25 μM NBQX were added

to the ACSF, respectively for intrinsic excitability data in Figure 2. For all recordings, cells were

allowed to stabilize for 2 min after break in and prior to any current injection. For current clamp

recordings to test the effect of CNO in Gq-DREADD- expressing vs. mCherry-expressing D2R(+)

neurons, baseline input-output curves were collected before 5 minute wash-on of 10 μM CNO.

Histology

Mice were transcardially perfused with PBS followed by 4% PFA in PBS. Following 24h

postfixation, coronal brain slices (75 µm) were sectioned using a vibratome (VT100S Leica

Biosystems; Buffalo Grove, IL). To confirm viral targeting, we performed a standard

immunohistochemical procedure using a primary antibody against red fluorescence protein (RFP)

(rabbit, Rockland 600-401-379; 1:1000) to enhance the mCherry signal expressed in mice

transduced with rAAV8-hSyn-DIO-DREADD-mCherry or rAAV8-hSyn-DIO-mCherry. Sections

were counterstained with DAPI (Life Technologies; Carlsbad, CA). Images were acquired with a

Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 epifluorescence microscope (Molecular Imaging Center, UC Berkeley) at 10x

magnification and viewed using FIJI (ImageJ). Anatomical regions were identified according to

the Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates by Franklin and Paxinos and the Allen Institute Mouse

Brain Atlas.

Statistics and Data Analysis

For comparisons between 2 groups, a t-test was used when data were normally distributed, and

Welch's correction was applied when variance was unequal. The D'Agostino & Pearson test was

used to test for normality. For experiments in which 3 groups were compared, a one-way ANOVA

or Kruskal Wallis test when not normally distributed was performed, followed by two-tailed

uncorrected Fisher's LSD or Dunn's test, respectively, for pairwise comparisons. Two-way

ANOVA was performed when two independent variables were examined (e.g. treatment and error

type), followed by uncorrected Fisher's LSD (two-tailed) for pairwise comparisons. Post-hoc

comparisons were not corrected, due to the limited number of planned comparisons. Throughout

the paper, $p=0.05$ was used as the criterion for a significant statistical difference unless noted

otherwise. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM unless noted otherwise.

Results:

Prepubertal ovariectomy affects reversal learning by promoting exploratory choice policy

We performed sham surgery or pOVX on female C57/Bl6 mice at postnatal day 25 (P25),

prior to puberty onset, and trained them in an odor-based reversal task between P60-70 (Fig. 1A).

The odor-based reversal task consisted of two main phases: 1) a Discrimination phase during

which mice learned through trial and error that one of four scented pots of wood shavings contained

a buried food reward and 2) a Reversal phase in which the odor-reward contingency was reversed

(Fig. 1B). Sham females were not staged for estrous cycle, and both groups performed similarly

in the Recall phase (Supplementary Fig. 1). When comparing Discrimination and Reversal, there

was a significant effect of task phase but not treatment on trials to reach criterion [task phase:

$F_{(1,29)}= 6.31$, p= 0.018; treatment: $F_{(1,29)}= 0.11$, p= 0.74; task phase × treatment: $F_{(1,29)}= 0.05$,

p= 0.83] (Fig. 1C).

Next, we more closely examined the types of errors that mice made during Reversal. Error

types included those made to the previously rewarded odor, which we divided into 2 subtypes:

perseverative (errors made before the first correct trial) and regressive (errors made after first

correct trial). Perseverative errors reflect a tendency to stick to a previously learned rule, whereas

regressive errors reflect a failure to acquire or maintain the new rule. There was a significant

interaction between error type and treatment group [$F_{(5,145)}= 2.79$, p=0.02] (Fig. 1D). Post hoc

analyses revealed that pOVX females made significantly more regressive errors compared to sham

females (p= 0.03 uncorrected Fisher's LSD). We next examined the pattern of perseverative and

regressive errors made by individual mice. Sham females exhibited a significantly higher ratio of

perseverative to regressive errors (Reversal error bias) compared to pOVX females (sham vs.

pOVX females: $t_{(29)}=2.12$, p= 0.04) (Figure 1E). Finally, we observed that sham females

accumulated rewards at a significantly higher rate after the first rewarded trial compared to pOVX

females during Reversal but not Discrimination (Figure 1F). These data suggest that sham females

and pOVX females reach criterion in the reversal task using different trial-by-trial strategies.

We next turned to computational modeling to assess if differences observed in the Reversal

phase between sham and pOVX females arise from a difference in odor value updating, a

difference in choice policy, or a combination of both. To do so, we fit trial-by-trial behavioral data

with RL models and used the maximum log likelihood to determine the parameters that best fit

each animal's behavior. The best fit model included phase-specific parameters for the learning rate

α and the explore/exploit inverse temperature parameter β (Fig. 1G) (see Supplementary Table 1

for alternate model comparison). We found that there was a significant interaction between task

phase and treatment for the explore/exploit parameter β [task phase $\times$ treatment: $F(1,29) = 7.35$,

$p= 0.011$; task phase]. In sham but not pOVX female mice, the explore/exploit parameter was

significantly higher during the Reversal phase compared to Discrimination phase (sham Reversal

vs. Discrimination: $p<0.0001$; pOVX Reversal vs. Discrimination $p= 0.07$ uncorrected Fisher's

LSD) and Reversal phase explore/exploit parameter was significantly lower in pOVX vs. sham

females (pOVX vs. sham: $p= 0.022$ uncorrected Fisher's LSD) consistent with pOVX females

employing a more exploratory choice policy compared to sham females (Fig. 1H).

Figure 1. Prepubertal OVX is associated with more exploratory reversal learning strategy in

female mice. (A) Female C57/Bl6 mice underwent OVX or sham surgery at P25 and were trained

in the multiple choice reversal task in adulthood (P60-70). **(B)** Mice were trained to a criterion of

8/10 correct consecutive choices to Odor 1 during Discrimination. 24 hours later they were tested

for Recall of the previous day's rule before immediately advancing to a Reversal phase during

which Odor 2, rather than Odor 1, was rewarded. Reversal criterion was reached when mice made

8/10 correct consecutive choices to Odor 2. **(C)** There was a main effect of task phase on trials to

criterion but no effect of treatment (Two-way RM ANOVA main effect of task phase $F_{(1, 29)} =$

6.30, $p < 0.05$). **(D)** There was a significant effect of treatment and error type on the number of

reversal errors (Two-way RM ANOVA treatment × error type interaction: $F_{(5, 145)} = 2.79$,

$p < 0.05$). pOVX females made significantly more regressive errors compared to sham females

(11.25 ± 1.8 vs. 6.13 ± 1.2, $p < 0.05$, uncorrected Fisher's LSD). **(E)** pOVX females had a

significantly lower Reversal error bias (perseverative − regressive errors)/(perseverative +

regressive errors) compared to sham females (0.11 ± 0.13 vs. 0.49 ± 0.12, $p < 0.05$, unpaired t-test).

(F) Sham females accumulated rewards after the first correct Reversal trial faster than pOVX

females (best fit line with 95% C.I. plotted). **(G)** RL model applied to odor-based multiple choice

reversal task. Schematic based on (Verharen et al., 2019b). **(H)** Best-fit α learning rate estimates

did not significantly differ by task phase or treatment. **(I)** There was a significant interaction

between task phase and treatment group on the best-fit explore/exploit β parameter (Two-way

ANOVA task phase × treatment interaction: $F_{(1,29)} = 7.35$, $p < 0.05$). Post-hoc comparisons

revealed that β parameter estimates were significantly higher during Reversal compared to the

Discrimination phase for sham ($p < 0.0001$, Sidak's multiple comparisons test) but not pOVX

females. In addition, Reversal phase β parameter estimates were significantly lower in pOVX

females compared to sham ($p < 0.05$, Sidak's multiple comparisons test).

Prepubertal OVX is associated with increased intrinsic excitability of D2R(+) SPNs

The DMS has been implicated in action selection and determining choice policy, and

previous studies have found evidence that estrous cycle modulates the intrinsic excitability of

striatal SPNs. Furthermore, several lines of evidence suggest that D2R(+) iSPNs: 1) are modulated

by ovarian hormones (Le Saux and Di Paolo, 2005; Le Saux et al., 2006; Krentzel et al., 2019) and

2) can influence explore/exploit balance during decision making (Kwak et al., 2014; Lee et al.,

2015; Delevich et al., 2020b). We therefore investigated whether changes in the intrinsic

excitability of D2R(+) SPNs within DMS may contribute to sham vs. pOVX differences in choice

policy during reversal learning. We performed whole-cell current clamp recordings of visually

identified eGFP+ and neurons within the DMS of adult D2-eGFP transgenic female mice who

underwent pOVX or sham surgery and unmanipulated female mice in the presence of the

excitatory synaptic blockers NBQX and AP5 (Fig. 2A-C). AlexaFluor-594 was included in the

internal solution, and all cells included in analysis were confirmed to have spinous morphology.

We found a main effect of treatment on D2-eGFP(+) SPN input resistance [main effect of

treatment: H= 8.76, p= 0.0125] with pOVX females exhibiting higher input resistance compared

to sham and unmanipulated females (p<0.05, Dunn's multiple comparisons test) (Fig. 2D). When

we injected a series of positive current steps (Fig. 2E), we found that the minimum amount of

current necessary to trigger an action potential (rheobase) was significantly lower in pOVX

females compared to sham and unmanipulated females (p<0.01, Sidak's multiple comparisons

test) (Fig. 2F). In addition, there was a significant interaction between treatment and current on

spike output [F(98, 1715)= 2.517, p<0.0001] (Fig. 2G). While input-output curves were shifted

leftward in pOVX compared to sham and unmanipulated females, there was no significant effect

of treatment on maximum firing rate [F(2, 18.68)= 0.10, p= 0.90] (Fig. 2H). Finally, resting

membrane potential (RMP) did not differ across treatments [F(2, 35)= 2.172, p= 0.129] (Fig. 2I).

These data indicate that D2R(+) SPNs within the DMS are more intrinsically excitable in pOVX

females compared to unstaged sham and unmanipulated female mice.

Figure 2. Prepubertal OVX increases D2R(+) SPN intrinsic excitability in the presence of synaptic blockers. (A) At P25 D2-eGFP(+) female mice underwent sham or pOVX surgery, while a third group of female D2-eGFP(+) mice received no surgery. **(B)** Whole-cell current clamp recordings were made from visually identified D2-eGFP(+) SPNs within the DMS from all groups in adulthood (P65-90). **(C)** Representative responses to negative current steps (-150, -100, -50, 0 pA) in D2R(+) SPNs from sham, pOVX, and unmanipulated females. Scale bar: 100 ms, 5 mV. **(D)** D2-eGFP(+) SPNs in pOVX female mice had higher input resistance compared to sham and unmanipulated females. **(E)** Representative responses to positive current steps (120, 180 pA) in D2R(+) SPNs from sham, pOVX, and unmanipulated females. Scale bar: 100 ms, 50 mV. **(F)**

Decreased rheobase of D2-eGFP(+) SPNs was observed in pOVX compared to sham and

unmanipulated females. **(G)** Spike number across sequential depolarizing current steps (10-500

pA) for D2-eGFP(+) SPNs. Increased spiking was observed in pOVX compared to sham and

unmanipulated females (Two-way RM ANOVA current x treatment interaction: $F_{(98, 1715)}=$

2.52, $p<0.0001$). **(H)** No difference in maximum firing rate was observed across treatment groups.

(I) No difference in RMP was observed across treatment groups. $*p<0.05$, $**p<0.01$; $n/N = 15/5$,

13/5, and 10/3 for sham, pOVX, and unmanipulated mice, respectively.

Chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) SPNs in DMS reduces perseverative bias and promotes

a more exploratory reversal strategy in female mice

Given that OVX females exhibit a more exploratory reversal strategy and greater intrinsic

excitability of D2R(+) SPNs in DMS, we next asked whether experimentally increasing D2R(+)

SPN intrinsic excitability would similarly bias intact female mice towards increased exploration

during the Reversal phase. Female D2-Cre mice were bilaterally infused with 0.5 µL of Cre-

dependent DREADD virus (hM4Di-mCherry or hM3Dq-mCherry) and trained 4-6 weeks later in

the 4 choice odor-based reversal learning task (Fig. 3A). Female mice expressing Cre-inducible

mCherry were used to control for any effects of surgery, AAV infection, and clozapine-N-oxide

(CNO) administration on behavior. To examine how CNO activation of hM3Dq expressed by

D2R(+) SPNs in DMS alters their activity, we performed whole-cell current clamp recordings of

identified mCherry+ neurons in mice that expressed the excitatory DREADD hM3Dq or mCherry

alone (Fig. 3B). Briefly, spike output in response to depolarizing steps (0–360 pA, 20 pA steps)

was recorded from visually identified mCherry+ neurons in D2-mCherry or D2-hM3Dq-mCherry

expressing SPNs in DMS (Fig. 3A-D). Next, 10 µM CNO was bath-applied for 5 minutes and

spike output to the same sequential series of depolarizing current steps was recorded (Fig. 3A-D).

There was no significant interaction between current step and drug on spike output in D2-mCherry

expressing SPNs [Two-way RM ANOVA, current x drug: $F_{(18,36)}=0.89$, $p=0.59$] (Fig. 3C) but

there was a significant interaction between current and drug on spike output in D2-hM3Dq-

mCherry SPNs [Two-way RM ANOVA, current x drug: $F_{(18,36)}=3.93$, $p=0.0002$] (Fig. 3D).

Finally, there was a significant interaction between virus and drug on rheobase [Two-way RM

ANOVA $F_{(1,4)}=16.0$, $p=0.016$] (Fig. 3E).

Figure 3. CNO increases intrinsic excitability of hM3Dq-expressing iSPNs. (A) Schematic of

injection and representative brain section showing hM3Dq-mCherry expression in the DMS of

D2-Cre mouse. **(B)** Schematic of indirect pathway expression (sagittal view) and whole-cell patch-

clamp configuration of mCherry+ or hM3Dq-mCherry+ iSPNs in female D2-Cre mice. **(C)** Top

panel: representative responses to positive current steps (100, 120, 140, 160 pA) in mCherry+

iSPNs before and after CNO wash on. Scale bar: 100 ms, 50 mV. Bottom panel: no significant

interaction between current step and CNO treatment on spike output in D2R(+) mCherry-

expressing iSPNs. **(D)** Top panel: representative responses to positive current steps (100, 120, 140,

160 pA) in hM3Dq-mCherry+ iSPNs before and after CNO wash on. Scale bar: 100 ms, 50 mV.

Bottom panel: significant interaction between current step and CNO treatment on spike output in

D2R(+) mCherry-expressing iSPNs (Two-way ANOVA current step × drug, p<0.0001). **(E)**

Summary of CNO wash on effect on rheobase (Two-way ANOVA virus × drug, p<0.05).

Prior to Discrimination training all mice received i.p. injections of saline (Fig. 4A) and

learned through trial and error that one of four presented odors indicated the location of a buried

food reward. Mice completed the Discrimination task phase when they selected the rewarded odor

(Odor 1) on 8/10 consecutive trials. Twenty-four hours later, all groups were administered CNO

(1.0 mg/kg, i.p.) and tested for their recall of discrimination learning followed immediately by a

Reversal phase in which Odor 1 was no longer rewarded and Odor 2 became rewarded. There was

a significant effect of task phase on trials to criterion [Reversal vs. Discrimination; $F_{(1,17)}$= 16.58,

p= 0.0008] but no significant effect of virus [$F_{(2,17)}$ = 0.37, p= 0.69] or interaction between virus

and task phase [$F_{(2,17)}$= 0.09, p=0.92] (Fig. 4B). While there was no significant effect of

chemogenetic manipulation on Reversal phase trials to criterion, we found a significant interaction

between virus and error type during Reversal [$F_{(10,85)}$= 2.721, p= 0.006] (Fig. 4C) that was absent

during Discrimination when mice were on saline (Supplementary Figure 2). D2-hM3Dq mice

made significantly fewer perseverative errors compared to D2-mCherry (p= 0.015, uncorrected

Dunn's test) and D2-hM4Di groups (p= 0.028, uncorrected Fisher's LSD) and made significantly

more regressive errors compared to D2-hM4Di mice (p= 0.03, uncorrected Fisher's LSD) (Fig.

4C). We next examined whether chemogenetic manipulation of D2R(+) neurons in the DMS

altered Reversal error bias within mice. There was a significant effect of virus on Reversal error

bias (H= 9.06, p= 0.005 Kruskal-Wallis test), with D2-hM3Dq mice having a significantly lower

Reversal error bias compared to D2-mCherry (p=0.019 uncorrected Dunn's test) and D2-hM4Di

groups (p= 0.005 uncorrected Dunn's test) (Fig. 4D), consistent with a greater tendency to make

regressive errors compared to perseverative errors. This data suggests that chemogenetic activation

of D2R(+) neurons in the DMS produced a pattern of reversal phase choice behavior that was

similar to the effect seen in pOVX mice.

Finally, we applied RL modeling to determine whether similar changes in decision-making

parameters might explain the pattern of reversal behavior we observed in pOVX female mice and

D2-hM3Dq female mice. Fitting the same RL model (task phase-specific α and β parameters; see

Methods) we found that there was no significant interaction between virus and task phase on

learning rate α [$F_{(2,17)}$= 0.49, p=0.62] (Fig. 4E), but there was a significant interaction between

virus and task phase for the explore/exploit parameter β [$F_{(2,17)}$= 4.09, p=0.035] (Fig. 4F). The

Reversal phase explore/exploit parameter was significantly lower in D2-hM3Dq mice compared

to D2-mCherry (p=0.0003 uncorrected Fisher's LSD) and D2-hM4Di (p= 0.0095 uncorrected

Fisher's LSD) (Fig. 4F). These data suggest that chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) neurons

within DMS biases choice strategy in female mice to be more exploratory during reversal learning.

Moreover, chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) neurons within the DMS produced behavior in

female mice that mimicked the behavioral pattern seen in OVX females, including a reduction in

Reversal error bias during reversal learning and a reduced explore/exploit β parameter consistent

with a less exploitative, more exploratory choice policy. Taken together with evidence that D2R(+)

iSPNs within the DMS are more intrinsically excitable in pOVX compared to sham females, these

data support a model whereby pOVX biases reversal learning strategy towards exploration by

modulating iSPN intrinsic excitability within DMS (Fig. 5).

Figure 4. Chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) neurons in DMS promotes a more exploratory

reversal strategy in intact female mice. (A) Top panel: schematic illustrating injection site and

viral spread female D2-Cre DIO-mCherry (N=9), DIO-hM3Dq (N=6), and DIO-hM4Di (N=5)

mice. Bottom panel: summary of behavioral training. **(B)** There was a main effect of task phase

but no effect of virus on trials to criterion (Two-way RM ANOVA main effect of task phase:

$F_{(2,17)}= 16.58$, $p<0.001$). **(C)** There was a significant interaction between error type and virus on

reversal errors (Two-way RM ANOVA error type $\times$ manipulation interaction: $F_{(10,85)}= 2.72$,

p<0.01) with D2-hM3Dq mice making fewer perseverative errors (p<0.05, uncorrected Fisher's

LSD) compared to D2-mCherry and D2-hM4di mice and more regressive errors compared to D2-

hM4di mice (p<0.05, uncorrected Fisher's LSD). **(D)** There was a main effect of virus on Reversal

error bias (W=9.06, p<0.01 Kruskal-Wallis test), with D2-hM3Dq mice showing reduced bias for

perseverative errors compared to D2-mCherry (p<0.05, uncorrected Dunn's test) and D2-hM4Di

mice (p<0.01, uncorrected Dunn's test). **(E)** Best-fit α learning rate did not significantly differ by

task phase or virus. **(F)** There was a significant interaction between task phase and treatment group

on the best-fit explore/exploit parameter β (Two-way ANOVA task phase x treatment interaction:

$F_{(2,17)}$= 4.09, p<0.05). Post-hoc comparisons revealed that β parameter estimates were

significantly higher during Reversal compared to Discrimination phase for D2-mCherry mice

(p<0.01, uncorrected Fisher's LSD) but not D2-hM3Dq mice (p=0.28, uncorrected Fisher's LSD).

In addition, Reversal phase β parameter estimates were significantly lower in D2-hM3Dq mice

compared to D2-mCherry and D2-hM4Di mice. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Figure 5. Results summary. Both pOVX and chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) neurons within

the DMS are associated with increased intrinsic excitability of iSPNs. In turn, both manipulations

are associated with less perseverative, more exploratory choice strategy during reversal learning.

While indirect, the convergent behavioral effects of pOVX and chemogenetic activation of DMS

D2R(+) neurons suggest that the increased intrinsic excitability of iSPNs in the DMS of pOVX

mice could contribute to the altered reversal strategy observed. Future experiments should perform

in vivo recording of D2R(+) SPNs and/or chemogenetic manipulation experiments in pOVX

females to probe the relationship between altered D2R(+) SPN intrinsic properties and reversal

learning strategy on a trial-by-trial basis.

511
512 **Discussion:**
513
We found that pOVX altered how female mice solved a reversal learning task. Using RL

models fit to trial-by-trial behavioral data, we found that pOVX mice exhibited a more exploratory

choice policy during reversal learning than sham controls, captured by a lower inverse temperature

β parameter. This difference in exploratory choice behavior was accompanied by increased

intrinsic excitability of D2R(+) iSPNs in the DMS, a region that is implicated in regulating action

selection and choice policy. We then sought to mimic this effect using chemogenetics. We

demonstrated that chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) neurons *in vitro* similarly enhanced iSPN

intrinsic excitability in slices from female brains. In addition, activation of DMS D2R(+) neurons

in vivo decreased the ratio of perseverative to regressive errors and promoted exploratory choice

captured by a lower inverse temperature β parameter. Together, these data suggest that two distinct

manipulations: pOVX and hM3Dq activation converged on similar behavioral effects through a

shared mechanism of enhancing DMS iSPN intrinsic excitability.

Our data are consistent with studies that manipulate D2Rs and model choice policy.

Germline D2R knockout (Kwak et al., 2014), systemic D2R antagonist administration (Eisenegger

et al., 2014), and intrastriatal D2R antagonist infusion (Lee et al., 2015) are each associated with

more exploratory choice policy. However, none of these studies could rule out the contribution of

presynaptic D2 autoreceptors, which is important given the apparent role of tonic dopamine in

modulating explore/exploit balance (Beeler et al., 2010; Humphries et al., 2012; Cinotti et al.,

2019), but see (Costa et al., 2014). Our chemogenetic manipulation experiments (which do not

infect D2R(+) dopamine axon terminals) clearly demonstrate that activation of D2R(+) neurons

within DMS is sufficient to bias performance towards exploration.

We speculate that there are two likely circuit mechanisms downstream of D2R(+) iSPNs

that may be responsible for promoting exploratory choice policy. The first involves local lateral

connections from iSPNs to direct pathway SPNs (dSPNs) and the second involved the interface of

the direct and indirect pathways in basal ganglia output centers such as the substantia nigra pars

reticulata (SNr). One recent study showed that systemic injection of the D2R antagonist raclopride

induced dopamine-dependent transcriptional activation in iSPNs that opposed the activation of

dSPNs, suggesting that iSPN to dSPN transmodulation is an important mechanism for behavioral

flexibility (Matamales et al., 2020). Therefore, it is possible that elevated iSPN activity, either

through pOVX or chemogenetic activation, promotes exploratory choice by dampening the

activity of task-relevant ensembles of dSPNs that would normally promote the selection of the

highest estimated-value option. Opponent mechanisms between the direct and indirect pathway at

convergent downstream targets are also predicted to regulate choice policy (Collins and Frank,

2014).

Studies have shown that the intrinsic properties of striatal SPNs differ between females and

males before puberty (Dorris et al., 2015) and in an estrous cycle-dependent manner after puberty

(Proano et al., 2018). Interestingly, Proano et al. found that the intrinsic excitability of accumbal

SPNs was significantly higher during diestrus/metestrus, when estradiol and progesterone levels

are low, compared to proestrus/estrus (Proano et al., 2018). This may be in keeping with our

observation that the intrinsic excitability of D2R(+) SPNs was higher in pOVX females compared

to sham females, since pOVX females lack gonadally-produced estradiol and progesterone.

Estradiol has also been shown to influence dopamine release and reuptake in the striatum (Calipari

et al., 2017), including the dorsal striatum (Becker and Beer, 1986; Becker, 1990). Recent data

also suggest that dopamine influences the postnatal maturation of intrinsic excitability of SPN

populations within the striatum (Lieberman et al., 2018). Therefore, it is possible that the changes

we observed in D2R(+) SPN excitability in pOVX female mice may occur by direct action on

SPNs or downstream of hormonal effects on presynaptic dopamine release (Lin et al., 2020).

There are several lines of evidence that suggest that ovarian hormones preferentially

modulate the activity of D2R(+) iSPNs versus D1R(+) dSPNs. OVX decreases D2 receptor

binding in the striatum, and estradiol or treatment with an ERβ agonist counteracts the effect of

OVX (Le Saux et al., 2006). The aforementioned treatments did not alter D2R mRNA expression,

suggesting that estradiol modulates D2R binding through a mechanism other than transcriptional

regulation of D2R expression. Furthermore, OVX reduces the expression of preproenkephalin,

which produces the endogenous opioid peptide, enkephalin, which is expressed in iSPNs (Le Saux

and Di Paolo, 2005). Again, the effect of OVX on preproenkephalin expression can be

counteracted by estradiol administration. Finally, in the nucleus accumbens core, rapid

enhancement of mEPSC amplitude by estradiol is inversely correlated with rheobase (Krentzel et

al., 2019). Given that D2R(+) SPNs typically display lower rheobase compared to D1R+ SPNs,

this suggests that estradiol may exert a greater effect on D2R(+) iSPNs compared to D1R(+)

dSPNs. However, it should be noted that the authors did not observe rapid effects of estradiol on

mEPSC amplitude within dorsal striatum (Krentzel et al., 2019).

There are several limitations to our current study that should be noted. First, we cannot

assume that the changes we observed in SPN intrinsic excitability are specific to the dorsomedial

region of the striatum or to the D2R(+) SPN cell type. Second, our evidence linking the increased

excitability of D2R(+) SPNs in DMS to the more exploratory choice strategy used by pOVX

females is correlational. In independent experiments we observed that 1) pOVX promoted

exploratory choice strategy during Reversal 2) pOVX is associated with elevated intrinsic

excitability of D2R(+) SPNs in DMS and 3) that chemogenetic activation of D2R(+) SPNs in DMS

promoted exploratory choice strategy during Reversal. In the future, more direct evidence could

be gained by performing manipulation experiments to reduce the activity of DMS D2R(+) SPNs

in OVX females, or by recording the activity of these same neurons in pOVX and sham females

during behavior. Finally, while we performed OVX prior to puberty onset, we do not know whether

the timing of OVX plays an important role in the observed effect on behavior and physiology. We

also do not know if and when hormone replacement may rescue the effects of pOVX. Future

studies should examine timing effects of OVX and hormone replacement on these outcome

measures. Still, in light of these limitations, our data suggest future lines of inquiry into the

relationship between puberty, ovarian hormones, SPN physiology, and choice policy in value-

based decision making.

As yet, we have not identified the mechanism by which pOVX leads to enhanced

excitability of D2R(+) SPNs. Ovarian hormones have been shown to regulate dendritic complexity

and spine density in cell types in other brain regions (Gould et al., 1990; Woolley et al., 1990;

Wallace et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2019). The dendrites of D2R(+) SPNs are enriched

in Kir2 family inward rectifying K+ channels (Uchimura et al., 1989; Nisenbaum and Wilson,

1995; Mermelstein et al., 1998; Shen et al., 2007), and a reduction in dendritic length/complexity

has been associated with reduced Kir2 expression and increased intrinsic excitability (Cazorla et

al., 2012; Sebel et al., 2017). Therefore, it would be informative to compare iSPN Kir2 channel

currents and dendritic morphology in sham vs. pOVX females. Finally, it is possible that the

increase in intrinsic excitability of the D2R(+) SPNs in pOVX females could represent a

homeostatic plasticity mechanism that accompanies a reduction in excitatory synaptic inputs to

them, but we did not measure synaptic inputs onto D2R(+) SPNs in this study.

There is a growing interest in understanding the mechanisms that underlie sex differences

in value-based decision making. A recent study showed that compared to males, female mice

employed a more consistent strategy while learning a two-dimensional decision-making task

(Chen et al., 2021). This observed tendency for female mice to constrain their decision-space align

with the exploitative reversal learning strategy we observed in sham females. Conversely, we

observed that pOVX females exhibited a markedly more exploratory reversal learning strategy,

sticking less to the previously rewarded odor choice and committing more regressive errors

compared to sham females. These findings suggest that ovarian hormones contribute to the female-

biased choice strategies utilized during value-based decision making. While previous studies have

separately provided evidence that ovarian hormones regulate the intrinsic excitability of SPNs and

aspects of value-based decision making, we show for the first time that pOVX alters

explore/exploit balance of choice strategy while also increasing the intrinsic excitability of D2R(+)

SPNs in the DMS. These data suggest that pubertal status may influence explore/exploit balance

via the modulation of SPN intrinsic excitability within the DMS and highlight a role for ovarian

hormones in establishing sex-specific decision-making strategies in adulthood. These data can

inform the basic science of decision making and the study of the many psychiatric disorders that

emerge after puberty and also show sex differences in their prevalence or manifestation.

Acknowledgments

We thank Yuting Zhang and Kenechukwu Okwuosa for technical assistance with mouse behavior

testing. We thank Dr. Helen Bateup for feedback on the manuscript and Dr. Anne Collins and

Wilbrecht lab members for helpful discussion.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that there are not conflicts of interest.

References:

References:

Addicott MA, Pearson JM, Sweitzer MM, Barack DL, Platt ML (2017) A Primer on Foraging
and the Explore/Exploit Trade-Off for Psychiatry Research. Neuropsychopharmacol
42:1931-1939.
Almey A, Filardo EJ, Milner TA, Brake WG (2012) Estrogen Receptors Are Found in Glia and
at Extranuclear Neuronal Sites in the Dorsal Striatum of Female Rats: Evidence for
Cholinergic But Not Dopaminergic Colocalization. Endocrinology 153:5373-5383.
Alonso-Caraballo Y, Ferrario CR (2019) Effects of the estrous cycle and ovarian hormones on
cue-triggered motivation and intrinsic excitability of medium spiny neurons in the
Nucleus Accumbens core of female rats. Horm Behav 116.
Becker JB (1990) Direct Effect of 17-Beta-Estradiol on Striatum - Sex-Differences in Dopamine
Release. Synapse 5:157-164.
Becker JB, Beer ME (1986) The Influence of Estrogen on Nigrostriatal Dopamine Activity -
Behavioral and Neurochemical Evidence for Both Pre-Naptic and Postsynaptic
Components. Behav Brain Res 19:27-33.
Beeler JA, Daw N, Frazier CRM, Zhuang XX (2010) Tonic dopamine modulates exploitation of
reward learning. Front Behav Neurosci 4.
Calipari ES, Juarez B, Morel C, Walker DM, Cahill ME, Ribeiro E, Roman-Ortiz C,
Ramakrishnan C, Deisseroth K, Han MH, Nestler EJ (2017) Dopaminergic dynamics
underlying sex-specific cocaine reward. Nat Commun 8.
Cazorla M, Shegda M, Ramesh B, Harrison NL, Kellendonk C (2012) Striatal D2 Receptors
Regulate Dendritic Morphology of Medium Spiny Neurons via Kir2 Channels. J
Neurosci 32:2398-2409.
Chen CS, Ebitz RB, Bindas SR, Redish AD, Hayden BY, Grissom NM (2021) Divergent
Strategies for Learning in Males and Females. Curr Biol 31:39-+.
Chen JR, Yan YT, Wang TJ, Chen LJ, Wang YJ, Tseng GF (2009) Gonadal Hormones Modulate
the Dendritic Spine Densities of Primary Cortical Pyramidal Neurons in Adult Female
Rat. Cereb Cortex 19:2719-2727.
Cinotti F, Fresno V, Aklil N, Coutureau E, Girard B, Marchand AR, Khamassi M (2019)
Dopamine blockade impairs the exploration-exploitation trade-off in rats. Sci Rep-Uk 9.
Cohen JD, McClure SM, Yu AJ (2007) Should I stay or should I go? How the human brain
manages the trade-off between exploitation and exploration. Philos T R Soc B 362:933-
942.
Collins AG, Frank MJ (2014) Opponent actor learning (OpAL): modeling interactive effects of
striatal dopamine on reinforcement learning and choice incentive. Psychological review
121:337-366.
Costa VD, Tran VL, Turchi J, Averbeck BB (2014) Dopamine Modulates Novelty Seeking
Behavior During Decision Making. Behav Neurosci 128:556-566.
Daw ND, O'Doherty JP, Dayan P, Seymour B, Dolan RJ (2006) Cortical substrates for
exploratory decisions in humans. Nature 441:876-879.
Delevich K, Hall CD, Piekarski D, Zhang YT, Wilbrecht L (2020a) Prepubertal gonadectomy
reveals sex differences in approach-avoidance behavior in adult mice. Horm Behav 118.
Delevich K, Hoshal BD, Zhang Y, Vedula S, Collins AGE, Wilbrecht L (2020b) Activation But
Not Inhibition of the Indirect Pathway Disrupts Choice Suppression in a Freely Moving,
Multiple Choice Foraging Task. In: Available at SSRN.

Dorris DM, Cao JY, Willett JA, Hauser CA, Meitzen J (2015) Intrinsic excitability varies by sex
in prepubertal striatal medium spiny neurons. J Neurophysiol 113:720-729.
Dunovan K, Verstynen T (2016) Believer-Skeptic Meets Actor-Critic: Rethinking the Role of
Basal Ganglia Pathways during Decision-Making and Reinforcement Learning. Front
Neurosci-Switz 10.
Eckstein MK, Master SL, Dahl RE, Wilbrecht L, Collins AGE (2021) The Unique Advantage of
Adolescents in Probabilistic Reversal: Reinforcement Learning and Bayesian Inference
Provide Adequate and Complementary Models. bioRxiv:2020.2007.2004.187971.
Eisenegger C, Naef M, Linssen A, Clark L, Gandamaneni PK, Muller U, Robbins TW (2014)
Role of Dopamine D2 Receptors in Human Reinforcement Learning.
Neuropsychopharmacol 39:2366-2375.
Frank MJ, Doll BB, Oas-Terpstra J, Moreno F (2009) Prefrontal and striatal dopaminergic genes
predict individual differences in exploration and exploitation. Nat Neurosci 12:1062-
U1145.
Gopnik A (2020) Childhood as a solution to explore-exploit tensions. Philos T R Soc B 375.
Gould E, Woolley CS, Frankfurt M, Mcewen BS (1990) Gonadal-Steroids Regulate Dendritic
Spine Density in Hippocampal Pyramidal Cells in Adulthood. J Neurosci 10:1286-1291.
Humphreys KL, Lee SS, Telzer EH, Gabard-Durnam LJ, Goff B, Flannery J, Tottenham N
(2015) Exploration-Exploitation Strategy is Dependent on Early Experience. Dev
Psychobiol 57:313-321.
Humphries MD, Khamassi M, Gurney K (2012) Dopaminergic control of the exploration-
exploitation trade-off via the basal ganglia. Front Neurosci-Switz 6.
Johnson C, Wilbrecht L (2011) Juvenile mice show greater flexibility in multiple choice reversal
learning than adults. Dev Cogn Neurosci 1:540-551.
Johnson CM, Peckler H, Tai LH, Wilbrecht L (2016) Rule learning enhances structural plasticity
of long-range axons in frontal cortex. Nat Commun 7:10785.
Krentzel AA, Barrett LR, Meitzen J (2019) Estradiol rapidly modulates excitatory synapse
properties in a sex- and region-specific manner in rat nucleus accumbens core and
caudate-putamen. J Neurophysiol 122:1213-1225.
Krentzel AA, Willett JA, Johnson AG, Meitzen J (2021) Estrogen receptor alpha, G-protein
coupled estrogen receptor 1, and aromatase: Developmental, sex, and region-specific
differences across the rat caudate-putamen, nucleus accumbens core and shell. J Comp
Neurol 529:786-801.
Kwak S, Huh N, Seo JS, Lee JE, Han PL, Jung MW (2014) Role of dopamine D2 receptors in
optimizing choice strategy in a dynamic and uncertain environment. Front Behav
Neurosci 8.
Le Saux M, Di Paolo T (2005) Chronic estrogenic drug treatment increases preproenkephalin
mRNA levels in the rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. Psychoneuroendocrino 30:251-
260.
Le Saux M, Morissette M, Di Paolo T (2006) ER beta mediates the estradiol increase of D-2
receptors in rat striatum and nucleus accumbens. Neuropharmacology 50:451-457.
Lee E, Seo M, Dal Monte O, Averbeck BB (2015) Injection of a Dopamine Type 2 Receptor
Antagonist into the Dorsal Striatum Disrupts Choices Driven by Previous Outcomes, But
Not Perceptual Inference. J Neurosci 35:6298-6306.
Lenow JK, Constantino SM, Daw ND, Phelps EA (2017) Chronic and Acute Stress Promote
Overexploitation in Serial Decision Making. J Neurosci 37:5681-5689.

Lieberman OJ, McGuirt AF, Mosharov EV, Pigulevskiy I, Hobson BD, Choi S, Frier MD,
Santini E, Borgkvist A, Sulzer D (2018) Dopamine Triggers the Maturation of Striatal
Spiny Projection Neuron Excitability during a Critical Period. Neuron 99:540-+.
Lin WC, Delevich K, Wilbrecht L (2020) A role for adaptive developmental plasticity in
learning and decision making. Curr Opin Behav Sci 36:48-54.
Matamales M, McGovern AE, Mi JD, Mazzone SB, Balleine BW, Bertran-Gonzalez J (2020)
Local D2-to D1-neuron transmodulation updates goal-directed learning in the striatum.
Science 367:549-+.
McCoy B, Jahfari S, Engels G, Knapen T, Theeuwes J (2019) Dopaminergic medication reduces
striatal sensitivity to negative outcomes in Parkinson's disease. Brain 142:3605-3620.
Mermelstein PG, Song WJ, Tkatch T, Yan Z, Surmeier DJ (1998) Inwardly rectifying potassium
(IRK) currents are correlated with IRK subunit expression in rat nucleus accumbens
medium spiny neurons. J Neurosci 18:6650-6661.
Nisenbaum ES, Wilson CJ (1995) Potassium Currents Responsible for Inward and Outward
Rectification in Rat Neostriatal Spiny Projection Neurons. J Neurosci 15:4449-4463.
Nonomura S, Nishizawa K, Sakai Y, Kawaguchi Y, Kato S, Uchigashima M, Watanabe M,
Yamanaka K, Enomoto K, Chiken S, Sano H, Soma S, Yoshida J, Samejima K, Ogawa
M, Kobayashi K, Nambu A, Isomura Y, Kimura M (2018) Monitoring and Updating of
Action Selection for Goal-Directed Behavior through the Striatal Direct and Indirect
Pathways. Neuron 99:1302-+.
Nussenbaum K, Hartley CA (2019) Reinforcement learning across development: What insights
can we draw from a decade of research? Dev Cogn Neuros-Neth 40.
Orsini CA, Blaes SL, Hernandez CM, Betzhold SM, Perera H, Wheeler AR, Ten Eyck TW,
Garman TS, Bizon JL, Setlow B (2021) Regulation of risky decision making by gonadal
hormones in males and females. Neuropsychopharmacol 46:603-613.
Peak J, Chieng B, Hart G, Balleine BW (2020) Striatal direct and indirect pathway neurons
differentially control the encoding and updating of goal-directed learning. Elife 9.
Proano SB, Morris HJ, Kunz LM, Dorris DM, Meitzen J (2018) Estrous cycle-induced sex
differences in medium spiny neuron excitatory synaptic transmission and intrinsic
excitability in adult rat nucleus accumbens core. J Neurophysiol 120:1356-1373.
Rescorla RA, Wagner AR (1972) A theory of Pavlovian conditioning: variations in the
effectiveness of reinforcement and nonreinforcement. In: Classical Conditioning II:
Current Research and Theory (Black A, Prokasy W, eds), pp 64-99. New York: Appleton
Century Crofts.
Sebel LE, Graves SM, Chan CS, Surmeier DJ (2017) Haloperidol Selectively Remodels Striatal
Indirect Pathway Circuits. Neuropsychopharmacol 42:963-973.
Shen W, Tian X, Day M, Ulrich S, Tkatch T, Nathanson NM, Surmeier DJ (2007) Cholinergic
modulation of Kir2 channels selectively elevates dendritic excitability in striatopallidal
neurons. Nat Neurosci 10:1458-1466.
Sutton RS, Barto AG (1998) Reinforcement learning : an introduction. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT
Press.
Tai LH, Lee AM, Benavidez N, Bonci A, Wilbrecht L (2012) Transient stimulation of distinct
subpopulations of striatal neurons mimics changes in action value. Nat Neurosci 15:1281-
U1152.

Tansey EM, Arbuthnott GW, Fink G, Whale D (1983) Oestradiol-17-Beta Increases the Firing
Rate of Antidromically Identified Neurons of the Rat Neostriatum. Neuroendocrinology
37:106-110.
Uban KA, Rummel J, Floresco SB, Galea LAM (2012) Estradiol Modulates Effort-Based
Decision Making in Female Rats. Neuropsychopharmacol 37:390-401.
Uchimura N, Cherubini E, North RA (1989) Inward Rectification in Rat Nucleus Accumbens
Neurons. J Neurophysiol 62:1280-1286.
Verharen JPH, Adan RAH, Vanderschuren LJMJ (2019a) Differential contributions of striatal
dopamine D1 and D2 receptors to component processes of value-based decision making.
Neuropsychopharmacol 44:2195-2204.
Verharen JPH, Kentrop J, Vanderschuren LJMJ, Adan RAH (2019b) Reinforcement learning
across the rat estrous cycle. Psychoneuroendocrino 100:27-31.
Wallace M, Luine V, Arellanos A, Frankfurt M (2006) Ovariectomized rats show decreased
recognition memory and spine density in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Brain
Res 1126:176-182.
Watanabe S (2010) Asymptotic Equivalence of Bayes Cross Validation and Widely Applicable
Information Criterion in Singular Learning Theory. J Mach Learn Res 11:3571-3594.
Woolley CS, Gould E, Frankfurt M, Mcewen BS (1990) Naturally-Occurring Fluctuation in
Dendritic Spine Density on Adult Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons. J Neurosci 10:4035-
4039.
Xia L, Master S, Eckstein MK, Wilbrecht L, Collins A (2020) Learning under uncertainty
changes during adolescence. In: CogSci.
Ye ZY, Cudmore RH, Linden DJ (2019) Estrogen-Dependent Functional Spine Dynamics in
Neocortical Pyramidal Neurons of the Mouse. J Neurosci 39:4874-4888.
820
821