Synergistic block of SARS-CoV-2 infection by combined drug

inhibition of the host entry factors PIKfyve kinase and TMPRSS2

protease

Alex J.B. Kreutzberger[a,b], Anwesha Sanyal[a,b], Ravi Ojha[c], Jesse D. Pyle[d], Olli Vapalahti[c,e,f],

Giuseppe Balistreri[c,e] and Tom Kirchhausen[a,b,g,#]

[a] Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Av, Boston, MA 02115,

USA

[b] Program in Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, 200 Longwood Av,

Boston, MA 02115, USA

[c] Department of Virology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

[d] Program in Virology, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115, USA

[e] Department of Veterinary Biosciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

[f] Virology and Immunology, Helsinki University Hospital Diagnostic Center (HUSLAB), Helsinki,

Finland

[g] Department of Pediatrics, Harvard Medical School, 200 Longwood Ave, Boston, MA 02115,

USA

Running Head: Synergistic block of SARS-CoV-2 infection

#Address correspondence to Tom Kirchhausen **kirchhausen@crystal.harvard.edu**

ABSTRACT

Repurposing FDA-approved inhibitors able to prevent infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) could provide a rapid path to establish new therapeutic options to mitigate the effects of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Proteolytic cleavages of the spike S protein of SARS-CoV-2, mediated by the host cell proteases cathepsin and TMPRSS2, alone or in combination, are key early activation steps required for efficient infection. The PIKfyve kinase inhibitor apilimod interferes with late endosomal viral traffic, and through an ill-defined mechanism prevents *in vitro* infection through late endosomes mediated by cathepsin. Similarly, inhibition of TMPRSS2 protease activity by camostat mesylate or nafamostat mesylate prevents infection mediated by the TMPRSS2-dependent and cathepsin-independent pathway. Here, we combined the use of apilimod with camostat mesylate or nafamostat mesylate and found an unexpected ~5-10-fold increase in their effectiveness to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection in different cell types. Comparable synergism was observed using both, a chimeric vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) containing S of SARS-CoV-2 (VSV-SARS-CoV-2) and SARS-CoV-2 virus. The substantial ~5-fold or more decrease of half maximal effective concentrations (EC$_{50}$ values) suggests a plausible treatment strategy based on the combined use of these inhibitors.

IMPORTANCE

Infection by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is causing the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-2019) global pandemic. There are ongoing efforts to uncover effective antiviral agents that could mitigate the severity of the

disease by controlling the ensuing viral replication. Promising candidates include small

molecules that inhibit the enzymatic activities of host proteins, thus preventing SARS-

CoV-2 entry and infection. They include Apilimod, an inhibitor of PIKfyve kinase and

camostat mesylate and nafamostat mesylate, inhibitors of TMPRSS2 protease. Our

research is significant for having uncovered an unexpected synergism in the effective

inhibitory activity of apilimod used together with camostat mesylate or with nafamostat

mesylate.

INTRODUCTION

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection has caused

the global pandemic known as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-2019). Currently

there is no widespread use of an antiviral agent against the disease, but several

candidates have been identified (1-6). Apilimod is currently in a clinical trial for the

prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infections in the United States of America (ClinicalTrails.gov

Identifier: NCT04446377). The TMPRSS2 protease inhibitor camostat mesylate has

been tested with hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Denmark (7) and is in a clinical trial

on adult COVID-19 patients in France (ClinicalTrails.gov Identifier: NCT04608266),

while the higher affinity TMPRSS2 protease inhibitor, nafamostat mesylate, is being

used in COVID-19 related trials in Russia (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04623021),

South Korea (ClinicalTrails.gov Identifier: NCT04418128) and Japan (8).

Targeting the entry route of SARS-CoV-2 has been particularly challenging because

there appear to be at least two different pathways for virus entry into cells (1). SARS-

CoV-2 entry is mediated by the virus spike protein (9-11) and requires the receptor

ACE2 in the host cell (1, 12, 13). Upon engagement of the ACE2 receptor, the spike

protein catalyzes fusion of the viral membrane envelope with a host cell membrane to

release the contents of the virus into the cytoplasm of host cells. For the spike protein

to facilitate this reaction, it must first be cleaved by a host cell protease (1, 10). This

can be accomplished by different host proteases including TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4

(14), Factor Xa (15, 16), and by cathepsins during endocytosis (1). The protease

inhibitors E-64 and camostat mesylate target cathepsin and TMPRSS2 and inhibit

SARS-CoV-2 infection (1), but their low (micromolar) affinities have made them unlikely

candidates for clinical use. Nafamostat mesylate inhibits TMPRSS2 with a high

(nanomolar) affinity (2, 6). Apilimod has been shown to function in the endosomal

pathway by inhibiting PIKfyve kinase causing a defect in viral trafficking prior to entry (3)

but how this relates to the cathepsin or TMPRSS2 protease dependent pathways has

not been investigated.

Using a chimeric vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) in which the attachment and fusion

glycoprotein G is replaced by the spike S protein of SARS-CoV-2 (VSV-SARS-CoV-2)

(17) we investigate inhibition of infection in different cell types known to contain different

levels of cathepsin and TMPRSS2 proteases. We tested combinations of the protease

inhibitors E-64 (cathepsin), camostat mesylate and nafamostat mesylate (TMPRSS2)

and the lipid kinase inhibitor apilimod (PIKfyve kinase) on VSV-SARS-CoV-2 infection of

multiple cell types. We observed a 5-fold synergistic effect in the infection of VSV-

SARS-CoV-2 by simultaneous inhibition of TMPRSS2 and PIKfyve kinase. Furthermore,

the synergistic inhibitory effects on infection by VSV-SARS-CoV-2 or a clinical isolate of

SARS-CoV-2 were observed with nanomolar concentrations of camostat mesylate and

apilimod. This finding suggests that a combination of inhibitors that target two different

host factors in the entry pathway of SARS-CoV-2 will likely be more effective than

targeting either alone.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

VSV-SARS2-CoV-2 infection is partially prevented by PIKfyve kinase or TMPRSS2

protease inhibitors

It has been proposed that two distinct host proteases, TMPRSS2 and cathepsin

facilitate different SARS2-CoV-2 viral entry routes - cell surface or endosomal - and

their abundance in different cell types may influence the entry pathway (1). To test this,

we employed a panel of inhibitors on SARS-CoV-2 infection in African green monkey

kidney epithelial derived VeroE6 cells poorly expressing TMPRSS2, VeroE6 cells stably

expressing ectopic TMPRSS2, as well as human colon carcinoma derived Caco-2 cells

and human lung derived Calu-3 cells naturally expressing TMPRSS2.

We first established the relative importance of the cathepsin-dependent route for

infection of VSV-SARS-CoV-2 by determining the effect of the cathepsin inhibitor E-64

on expression of eGFP mediated by VSV-SARS-CoV-2. As summarized in the plots in

Fig. 1A, we found a cell type dependence in the extent of infection block with a half

maximal effective concentration (EC_{50}) in the ~5-10 μM range. Notably, only Vero E6

cells treated with E-64 displayed a full infection block, the result expected for cells

expressing cathepsin but no TMPRSS2; in contrast, cells expressing cathepsin and

TMPRSS2 (VeroE6 + TMPRRS2, Caco-2) displayed a partial block, while Calu-3 cells

with minimal expression of cathepsin L (18) did not respond, as expected, to E-64

treatment (1).

We extended the analysis and studied the inhibitory effect by the PIKfyve kinase

inhibitor apilimod on VSV-SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 1 B). We found that all cell types

shown to be sensitive to E-64 also responded to treatment with apilimod with EC_{50} ~ 10

nM whereas Calu-3, which is insensitive to E-64 (19), did not respond. Although

apilimod doesn't inhibit cathepsin B or L (20), these observations are consistent with

potential modulation of the endosomal availability of cathepsin by the activity of PIKfyve

kinase (21, 22).

A similar analysis, to document the reduction of viral infection using camostat mesylate

to block the protease activity of TPMRSS2 (Fig. 1C) or nafamostat mesylate (Fig. 1D)

showed, as anticipated, no response in Vero E6 cells lacking TMPRSS2, partial

inhibition in Vero E6 or Caco-2 cells expressing TMPRSS2 or full inhibition in Calu-3

cells naturally expressing TMPRSS2 but insensitive to cathepsin inhibition.

These observations are consistent with previous results (1, 2, 6, 19) that infection by

VSV-SARS-CoV-2 occurred through two complementary entry pathways with different

importance depending on the cell type, one depending on the proteolytic activity of

cathepsin and the second relying of the proteolytic activity of TMPRSS2. As a negative

control for these experiments, we included infection by the parental VSV (Fig. 1E),

whose ability to infect host cells is known to be independent of the enzymatic activities

of cathepsin, TMPRSS2, or PIKfyve kinase (1-4, 6). As expected, none of the inhibitors

for these enzymes influenced the extent of VSV infectivity in any of the cells used. From

these results we could also exclude potential cytotoxic effects by the compounds in the

concentration range used.

Synergistic prevention of VSV-SARS2-CoV-2 infection by combined use of

PIKfyve kinase and TMPRSS2 protease inhibitors

Since the cathepsin and TMPRSS2-dependent activation of SARS-CoV-2 correspond to

complementary entry pathways thought to act independent of each other, we expected

an additive inhibitory effect upon their simultaneous inhibition in cells that express both

proteases. Indeed, VSV-CoV-2 infection of Vero E6 cells expressing cathepsin and

TMPRSS2 (VeroE6 +TMPRSS2) were equally inhibited (EC_{50} ~ 2 μM) by camostat

mesylate in the absence or increasing concentrations of E-64 up to 20 μM, the

concentration at which E-64 maximally blocked VSV-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 2A). We used

SynergyFinder 2.0 (23) to compare the combined response obtained experimentally

with the expected outcome calculated by the Bliss synergy-scoring model. Using this

reference model, that considers multiplicative effect of single drugs as if they acted

independently (23, 24), we obtained an overall δ-score of 6 indicative of an additive

interaction between camostat and E-64. At combined high levels of camostat and E64,

these protease inhibitors also showed weak concentration dependence, consistent with

the prediction of a published model (25). In contrast, combined use of camostat

mesylate and apilimod led to enhanced inhibition of VSV-CoV-2 infection with a ~ 2-fold

decrease in the EC_{50} of camostat mesylate (from 1 to 0.4 μM) (Fig. 2B), suggestive of a

synergy effect.

We carried a similar set of experiments using Calu-3 cells known to be deficient in

Cathepsin-L (18, 26) and which are poorly susceptible to infection of filoviruses

mediated by the cathepsin-dependent infection route (18). These cells are insensitive to

inhibition by of VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-2 infection by E-64 (1, 19, 27, 28)

(Fig.1B) and apilimod ((19, 29), Fig. 1C). While presence of E-64 did not affect the

inhibition profile of camostat mesylate (Fig. 2C), we detected a ~ 5-fold decrease from 1

µM to 0.2 µM in the EC50 of apilimod in cells simultaneously treated with 10 μM E-64

(Fig. 2D). This result was unexpected, given that infection of the Calu-3 cells by SARS-

CoV-2 didn't appear to be affected by apilimod ((29) and Fig. 1C).

We extended the synergy analysis to verify the effects upon simultaneous use of

variable amounts of apilimod and nafamostat mesylate, another inhibitor of TMPRSS2.

Our data also indicates enhanced infection inhibition for nafamostat mesylate with a ~

20-fold decrease of EC_{50} from 0.02 to 0.001 μM (Fig. 3A, central panel). Simultaneous

treatment with apilimod and increasing amounts of nafamostat mesylate led to an

analogous enhanced potency of apilimod inhibition with a ~10-fold decrease of EC_{50}

from 0.01 to 0.001 μM (Fig. 3A, right panel). Formal evaluation for synergism using the

Bliss reference model was consistent with a synergy δ-score of 41 (a score greater than

10 indicates synergy (23)).

Comparable strong synergistic effects (δ-score of 34) by combined use of apilimod and

nafamostat mesylate were observed in Vero E6 cells expressing TMPRSS2 (Fig. 3B,

central and right panels) infected with VSV-SARS-CoV-2 D614G whose spike S protein

includes the point mutation known to increase infectivity of the native SARS-CoV-2 (30).

While this mutation has no effect on the virus entry mechanism or sensitivity to

proteases, it stabilizes the stalk region of the spike, which otherwise tend to fall apart

after furin cleavage between S1 and S2 (30-33).

Taken together, these synergy results highlight the unexpected non-additive

involvement of PIKfyve kinase activity for the functional effectiveness of TMPRSS2 to

mediate viral entry along the TMPRSS2 route.

Synergistic prevention of SARS2-CoV-2 infection by combined use of PIKfyve

kinase and TMPRSS2 protease inhibitors

To determine whether SARS-CoV-2 virus also display an enhanced block of infection

upon combined use of apilimod and camostat mesylate we infected Caco-2 cells

ectopically expressing hACE2, the main receptor for SARS-CoV-2. This cell line was

created as a way to enhance the susceptibility of Caco-2 to infection by SARS-CoV-2

since the parental cells express low endogenous levels of ACE2 (34); successful

infection was scored 18 hrs post infection by the appearance of viral N protein using

immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 4, left panel). The inhibitory EC_{50} of camostat

mesylate decreased ~ 6-fold from 0.6 to 0.1 μM when used together with apilimod, (Fig.

4, right panel). This observation extends our results from VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimeras to

SARS-CoV-2 virus, and illustrates that combined chemical inhibition of PIKfyve kinase

and TMPRSS2 protease activities is likely to also prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection with

strong synergy.

Final remarks

According to our present understanding of early steps necessary for successful

infection, SARS-CoV-2 would enter cells using two main redundant routes, each

requiring cleavage of the viral S spike protein, one dependent on the proteolytic

activities of members of the cathepsin family, the other requiring proteolysis by

TMPRSS2 or similar transmembrane-serine proteases. Expression level of these

proteases depends on cell type and hence their relative importance to support

successful infection would hinge in part on their relative expression. Cathepsin is

primarily found in late endosomes or lysosomes and requires low pH for optimal

enzymatic activity; for these reasons it has been proposed that SARS-CoV-2 entry

occurs from the endolysosomal compartment (35, 36). In contrast, TMPRSS2 is thought

to be at the cell surface (37) and its optimal proteolytic activity is pH independent (38);

hence it has been inferred that TMPRSS2 cleavage of spike S protein occurs on virions

at the plasma membrane from which viral entry is then assumed to occur (1).

Not surprising for protease inhibitors with different targets, combined inhibition of the

enzymatic activities of cathepsin and TMPRSS2 by the respective protease inhibitors E-

64 and camostat mesylate led to additive prevention of infection by

SARS-CoV-2 (1) and by the VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimera (this study).

Apilimod specifically inhibits PIKfyve kinase thereby blocking accumulation of PI(3,5)P2,

a key phosphoinositide required to modulate the function of a number of proteins

involved in late endosomal traffic (3, 21, 39, 40). While it is now well established that

interference with PIKfyve kinase prevents infection of a selected group of viruses

including Ebola (20), VSV-Ebola (3), Marburg (20), VSV-SARS-CoV-2 (3) and native

SARS-CoV-2 (3-5), the molecular mechanism events responsible for the interference

remain to be determined. It has been shown that Apilimod has anti-Ebola infection

synergy with clomiphene cytrate (δ-score of 23.8; re-calculated from data in Fig. 1B of

(41) using the Bliss reference model (23)) and also with other drugs that interfere, by

unknown mechanisms, with Ebola entry in late endosomes (41). We have now shown

synergistic inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection by combined use of apilimod and

camostat or apilimod and nafamostat mesylate, even in cells as diverse as Vero (which

have an active cathepsin entry pathway) and Calu-3 (which lack it).

Prevailing models for the cellular location of TMPRSS2-activated SARS-CoV-2 entry

favor fusion at the cell surface rather than from endosomal compartments, because

TMPRSS2 activity is pH independent. Ebola or SARS-CoV-2 viruses accumulate in

EEA1 containing early endosomes (3, 20), a behavior consistent with the disruption of

endolysosomal traffic that occurs when PIKfyve kinase activity is inhibited by genetic or

pharmacological means (21, 40, 42). It is therefore possible that changes in the

endosomal milieu, combined with redirection of SARS-CoV-2 and/or TMPRSS2 traffic in

the presence of apilimod, might make infection more dependent on TMPRSS2

pharmacological inactivation than in its absence. Combined presence of apilimod and

camostat or nafamostat mesylate would manifest as synergy of these compounds to

prevent infection. These observations would also be consistent with favored entry of

TMPRSS2-activated SARS-CoV-2 from internal membrane compartments rather than

from the cell surface.

The strong synergy we described in the laboratory setting for single cell types upon

combined use of the PIKfyve kinase and TMPRSS2 inhibitors highlights the potential

clinical advantage of using a similar strategy to ameliorate the viral load and potentially

reduce the risk to COVID-19 in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 based on

simultaneous inhibition of these enzymes. Variants of apilimod and camostat, rendered

more soluble by mesylate modification, are currently in clinical trials as orally

administered inhibitors dispensed alone for various indications, including COVID-19 (7,

43, 44). More soluble nafamostat mesylate has been administered intravenously to

treat COVID-19 patients (8). We do not yet know how efficiently these inhibitors reach

nasopharyngeal and lung tissues; nevertheless, we surmise that combined use of these

PIKfyve and TMPRSS2 inhibitors might also recapitulate the ~5-10-fold increase in

inhibitor efficiency that we observe in the laboratory setting, offering considerable

advantage for clinical application.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

 Fig. 1. Protease inhibitors E-64, apilimod, camostat mesylate or nafamostat

 mesylate prevent infection by VSV-SARS-CoV-2 but not by VSV.

 (A) Schematic of infectivity assay for cells pretreated for 1 h or not with the inhibitors,

 subsequently infected with VSV-SARS-CoV-2 for 1 h in the presence or absence of

 inhibitors. The cells were incubated for another 7 h in the presence or absence of

 inhibitors and then fixed; the percentage of cells expressing eGFP measured by

 spinning disc confocal microscopy.

 (B-F) Quantification of the number of infected cells from three independent experiments,

 each determined from 5 fields of view containing 80-200 cells per experiment (error bars

 show SEM) for the indicated cell types. Infected Vero **(B)** or Vero + TMPRSS2 cells **C**)

 were analyzed 8 hpi using 0.5 μg/mL VSV-SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Infected Caco-2 **(D)** or

 Calu-3 cells **(E)** were analyzed 8 hpi using 5 μg/mL VSV-SARS-CoV-2 RNA. Cells

 infected with 0.075 μg/mL VSV RNA **(F)** were analyzed 6 hpi. In each case, these virus

 concentrations and conditions of infection corresponded to an MOI of ~ 0.5.

 Fig. 2. Synergistic inhibition of VSV-SARS2-CoV-2 infection by combined use of

 apilimod and camostat mesylate.

 Data are from infection results using VSV-SARS2-CoV-2 obtained with different cell

 types in the absence or combined presence at increasing concentrations of E-64 and

 camostat mesylate **(A, C)** or apilimod and camostat mesylate **(B, D)**. Representative

 maximum-Z projections views (left panels) are from whole-cell volume images obtained

 with optical sections separated by 0.5 μm using spinning disk confocal microscopy; cells

were infected with 0.5 μg/mL viral RNA VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and imaged 8 hpi. Scale

bar: 50 µm. Corresponding quantifications of infection (right panels) are shown in the

plots. Each point corresponds to one independent experiment; the data represent

results from 5 fields of view containing 80-200 cells per experiment. Estimated EC_{50}'s

are indicated. Significance in the difference of EC_{50} was determined from fitting

replicated experiments and using an unpaired t-test; P-values were 0.02 for **(B)** and

0.002 for **(D);** there was no statistically significant difference in the EC50 values for the

experiments reported in **(A)** or **(C)**.

Fig. 3. Synergistic inhibition of VSV-SARS2-CoV-2 infection by combined use of

apilimod and nafamostat mesylate.

Data are from infection results obtained with VeroE6 + TPRSS2 cells in the absence or

combined presence at increasing concentrations of apilimod and nafamostat mesylate.

Representative maximum-Z projections views (left panels) are from whole-cell volume

images obtained with optical sections separated by 0.5 μm using spinning disk confocal

microscopy; cells were infected with 0.5 μg/mL viral RNA VSV-SARS-CoV-2 **(A)** or 0.2

μg/mL VSV-SARS-CoV-2 D614G viral RNA **(B)** and imaged 8 hpi. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Corresponding quantifications of infection (right panels) are shown in the plots. Each

point corresponds to one independent experiment; the data represent results from 5

fields of view containing 80-200 cells per experiment. Estimated EC_{50}'s are indicated.

Both plots in the central and right panels used the same data.

Fig. 4. Synergistic inhibition of SARS2-CoV-2 infection by combined use of

apilimod and camostat mesylate.

Natural SARS-CoV-2 infection inhibition in Caco-2 + hACE2 cells (MOI = 0.5) by

camostat mesylate in the absence or combined presence of apilimod. Representative

examples of images of fixed samples stained with an antibody specific for N (red) and

with Hoechst DNA stain (cyan) to identify the nuclei obtained using wide field

epifluorescence microscopy (left panels) and corresponding quantifications of infection

(right panels) are shown. Each data points were from 4 independent experiments,

representing results from 6,000-10,000 cells per experiment. Estimated EC_{50}'s are

shown. Significance in the difference (P-value of 0.01) of EC_{50} was determined using an

unpaired t-test after a least square non-linear fitting of the data curves from replicated

experiments.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

These reagents were purchased as indicated: Dulbecco modified Eagles Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 4.5 g/L glucose, L-glutamine, and sodium pyruvate (Corning, Inc., cat. 10-013-CV), fetal bovine serum (FBS, Atlanta Biologicals, cat. S11150H), penicillin-streptomycin 100x solution (VWR, cat. 45000-652), camostat mesylate (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. SML0057), E-64 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, cat. sc-201276), nafamostat mesylate (Cayman Chemical Company, cat. #14837), apilimod (MedChem Express, cat. HY-14644), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich, cat. 26855), Round cover glass #1.5, 25 mm (Cellvis cat. C8-1.5H-N), Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, SYLGARD from Krayden, cat. DC4019862), isopropyl alcohol (VWR, cat. 9080-03/MK303108), potassium hydroxide (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. 484016), wheat germ agglutinin (WGA)-Alexa647 (Invitrogen, cat. W32466), paraformaldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. P6148), sodium phosphate dibasic (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. BP329-1), potassium phosphate monobasic (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. P5379), sodium chloride (EMD Millipore, cat. SX0420-5), and potassium chloride (Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat. P217-500), TRIS (Goldbio, cat. T-400-500), EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. E5134), sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. S0389), fetal calf serum (FCS, Hyclone, cat. SH30073.03), bovine serum albumin (GE Healthcare), Triton-X (Thermo Fischer, cat. 28314), Hoechst DNA stain (Thermo Fischer, cat. 62249), L-glutamine (Sigma, cat. G7513), Hoechst DNA dye (cat. H6021, Sigma-Aldrich), and Alexa 647 fluorescently labelled goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher, cat. A32733).

Purification of VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimeras

Generation of recombinant VSV (Indiana serotype) expressing eGFP (VSV-eGFP) and VSV-eGFP chimeras whose glycoprotein G was replaced with either the wild type spike S protein of SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan-Hu-1 strain (VSV-SARS-CoV-2) or with the point mutant D614G (VSV-SARS-CoV-2 D614G) was done as described (17). Briefly, VSV was grown by infection of BSRT7/5 and VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimeras were grown by infection of MA104 cells. Cells were grown in 12-18 150 mm dishes and infected at an MOI of 0.01. Virus-containing supernatant was collected at 48 hours post infection. The supernatant was clarified by low-speed centrifugation at 1,000 × g for 10 min at room temp. An initial pellet of virus and extracellular particles was generated by centrifugation in a Ti45 fixed-angle rotor at 30,000 × g (25,000 rpm) for 2 hours at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended overnight in 1X NTE (100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA) at 4°C. The resuspended pellet was layered on top of a 15% sucrose-NTE cushion and subjected to ultracentrifugation in a SW55 swinging bucket rotor at 110,000 × g (35,000 rpm) for 2 hours at 4°C. The supernatant was aspirated and virus pellet resuspended in 1X NTE overnight at 4°C. The resuspended virus pellet was separated on a 15-45% sucrose-NTE gradient by ultracentrifugation in a SW55 swinging bucket rotor at 150,000 × g (40,000 rpm) for 1.5 hours at 4°C. The predominant light-scattering virus band was observed in the lower third of the gradient and was extracted by side puncture of the gradient tube. Extracted virus was diluted in 1X NTE and collected by ultracentrifugation in a Ti60 fixed-angle rotor at 115,000 × g (40,000 rpm) for 2 hours at 4°C. The final pellet was re-suspended overnight in 1X NTE in a volume of 0.2 to 0.5 mL depending on the size of the pellet and stored at 4°C for use in subsequent imaging experiments.

Isolation and propagation of SARS-CoV-2

Human samples were obtained under the Helsinki University Hospital laboratory

research permit 30 HUS/32/2018 § 16. Isolation of SARS-CoV-2 from a COVID-19

Briefly, a nasopharyngeal swab in 500 µl of Copan UTM® Universal Transport Medium

was inoculated on Calu-3 cells (P1) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, after which the

inoculum was removed and replaced with Minimum Essential Medium supplemented

with 2% FBS, L-glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin. Virus replication was determined

by RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 RdRP (45), and the infectious virus collected 48h after

inoculation. The P1 stock was propagated once (P2) in VeroE6+TMPRSS2, sequenced

and stored at -80 °C. Virus stocks were stored in DMEM, 2% FCS, 2 mM L-glutamine,

1% penicillin-streptomycin.

Cell Culture

VeroE6 (ATCC CRL-1586), Caco-2 (ATCC HTB-37), and Calu-3 cells (ATCC HTB-55)

were purchased from ATTC. VeroE6+TMPRSS2 cells were a gift from Siyan Ding (14).

VeroE6, VeroE6+TMPRSS2, Caco-2, and Calu-3 cells were maintained in DMEM

supplemented with 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-

streptomycin. VeroE6 and VeroE6+TMPRSS2 cells were grown at $37°C$ and 5% CO_2

and split at a ratio of 1:10 every 3-4 days when cells were at ~90% confluency. Caco-2

cells were grown at $37°C$ and 5% CO_2 and split at a ratio of 1:5 every 3-4 days when

cells were at ~95% confluency. Calu-3 cells were grown at $37°C$ and 7% CO_2 and split

at a ratio of 1:3 every 5-6 days when cells were at ~95% confluency. BSR-T7 cells

were derived from BHC cells (46) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS

and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. BSR-T7 were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2 and split at a

ratio of 1:20 every 2-3 days when cells were at ~90% confluency. MA104 cells (ATCC,

CRL-2378.1) were grown in Media 199 supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-

streptomycin. MA104 cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2 and split at a ratio of 1:3

every 2 days when cells were at ~90% confluency.   The media was changed in all cell

types every 2 days and regularly tested for presence of mycoplasma.

Caco-2 cells stably expressing human ACE2 were generated by transduction with third

generation lentivirus pLenti7.3/V5 DEST ACE2-EmGFP (prepared by the cloning facility

Dream-Lab, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland; the

expression of Emerald GFP is driven by the SV40 promoter. Cells expressing eGFP

were isolated by FACS. These cells were grown in DMEM media supplemented with

10% fetal calf serum, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. Cells were

kept at 37°C, 5% CO_2, and split every 2-4 days when ~90% confluent.

Infection protocol for VSV and VSV-SARS-CoV-2

Polydimethylsiloxane was cured by vigorously mixing with curing reagent at a ratio of 1

to 10. PDMS was poured into 10 cm petri dish (5 g of PDMS per plate) and incubated

at 90 °C for ~4 hours. Plates were removed and stored at room temperature until use.

PDMS was removed from petri dish using a razor blade. 3 mm holes were punched into

PDMS which was cut with a blade to be ~6-10 mm. Glass slides were cleaned by

sonication first in isopropanol for 20 min then in 0.5M potassium hydroxide for 20 min

followed by extensive washing in Milli-q water. Glass was dried in an oven 60 °C for 30

minutes then bonded to the PDMS by exposing PDMS and glass to air plasma at 750

mTorr, 30 W for 2 minutes using a PDC-001 plasma cleaner (Harrick Plasma) then

firmly pressing glass and PDMS together. This was followed by placing bonded glass

and PDMS in an oven at 90 °C for 20 minutes. The glass cover slips mounted with a

PDMS well were then placed in 70% ethanol for 10 minutes to sterilize prior to use for

cell culture.

The day prior to the experiment cells were plated in PDMS wells on the glass slide

stored in a 6 well plate at a density to achieve ~70% confluence the day of the

experiment. On the day of the experiment cells were incubated with the desired

inhibitor concentration for 1 hour. Media was then removed and virus that had been

diluted into media containing the indicated inhibitor concentration was added to the well

in a volume of 10 μL. Media was left in the 6 well plate outside of the PDMS well at a

level less than the height of the PDMS well to maintain humidity and prevent

evaporation. After the virus was incubated with the cells for 1 hour the cells were

washed with media containing the indicated inhibitor and then the well was filled with

fresh media. In all experiment's cells were kept at 37°C and 5% (for Vero,

Vero+TMRPSS2, and Caco-2 cells) or 7% CO_2 (for Calu-3 cells) and media was pre

warmed to 37°C. At 6 hours after initiating the infection with VSV or 8 hours after

initiating the infection with VSV-SARS-CoV-2 media was removed and the cells were

stained by adding 5μg/mL WGA-Alexa647 in PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM

Na_2HPO_4, 2 mM KH_2PO_4, pH 7.4) for 30 seconds at room temperature. Cells were then

washed with sterile PBS 2 times and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS.

Infected cells were imaged using a spinning disk confocal microscope with a 40X oil

objective with a pixel size of 0.33 μm where 20 optical planes were taken at 0.5 μm

apart for every field of view (47). Cells were considered infected when they displayed a

cytosolic eGFP fluorescence signal with a relative intensity of 1.4 times that of the

background of uninfected cells. Example images are max intensity projections of the cell

volume where the outline (white) line was obtained by tracing the WGA-Alexa647 signal

outlining the cell.

Infection protocol for SARS-CoV-2

All experiments with SARS-CoV-2 were performed in BSL3 facilities at the University of

Helsinki with appropriate institutional permits. Virus samples were obtained under the

Helsinki University Hospital laboratory research permit 30 HUS/32/2018 § 16. Virus titers

were determined by plaque assay in VeroE6+TMPRSS2 cells. Cells in DMEM,

supplemented with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 20 mM

HEPES (pH 7.2 were seeded 48 h before treatment at a density of 15,000 cells per well

in 96-well imaging plates (PerkinElmer cat. 6005182). Inhibitors, or DMSO control, were

either added 60 min prior to infection, or added 90 min post infection at a multiplicity of

infection (MOI) 0.5 plaque forming units per cell. Infections were carried for 20 h at 37 °C

and 5% CO_2. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 30 min at room

temperature before being processed for immuno-detection of viral N protein, automated

fluorescence imaging and image analysis. Briefly, viral NP was detected with an in house

developed rabbit polyclonal antibody (34) counterstained with Alexa-647-conjugated goat

anti rabbit secondary antibody, and nuclear staining done using Hoechst DNA dye.

Automated fluorescence imaging was done using an epifluorescence high-content

Molecular Device Image-Xpress Nano microscope equipped with a 20x objective and a

4.7Mpixel CMOS camera (pixel size 0.332 μm). Image analysis was performed with the

software CellProfiler-3 (www.cellprofiler.org). Automated detection of nuclei was obtained

using the Otsu algorithm inbuilt in the software. To automatically identify infected cells,

an area surrounding each nucleus (5 pixels expansion of the nuclear area) was used to

estimate the fluorescence intensity of the viral NP immuno labeled protein, using an

intensity threshold such that less than 0.01% of positive cells were detected in non-

infected wells.

Statistical analysis

The significance of response (synergy δ-score) upon combined use of two drugs (Fig. 3)

was calculated using the Bliss reference model for combination of two drugs incorporated

in the stand-alone web-application SynergyFind v2 (23). This model assumes a stochastic

process in which the effects of two drugs act independently. δ-score values between -10

and 10 suggest an additive interaction; δ-scores larger than 10 suggest the interaction is

synergistic.

The $EC5_{50}$ values in Figures 2 B, C, and Figure 4 were obtained from replicate

determinations calculated with least-square nonlinear curve fitting using Igor Pro

(WaveMetrics). An unpaired T-test was then used to determine the statistical significance

in the difference in the values of EC_{50} of camostat mesylate as a function of apilimod.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

We thank Sean Whelan for comments and suggestions. Alex J.B. Kreutzberger carried out all the experiments in Figs. 1-3. Ravi Ojha and Giuseppe Balistreri carried out the experiments in Fig. 4. Anwesha Sanyal maintained the cells lines and assisted with infectivity assays. Ravi Ojha generated Caco2-ACE2+EmGFP cells and propagated SARS-CoV-2 virus under the supervision of Olli Vapalahti. Jesse Pyle generated the stocks of VSV-SARS-CoV-2 chimeras. We thank Tegy John Vadakkan for maintaining the spinning disc confocal microscope. This research was supported by a NIH Maximizing Investigators' Research Award (MIRA) GM130386, by research grants from the Danish Technical University and SANA and unrestricted funds from IONIS to T.K; Harvard Virology Program, NIH training Grant T32 AI07245 postdoctoral fellowship to A.J.B.K.; by an Academy of Finland research grant 336490 by the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, by the EU Horizon 2020 program VEO 874735, and by Helsinki University Hospital Funds TYH2018322 to O.V; by a research grant from the Academy of Finland grant 318434 and private funds supporting COVID-19 research to G.B.; by the University of Helsinki graduate program in Microbiology and Biotechnology to R.O. Tom Kirchhausen and Alex J.B. Kreutzberger were responsible for the overall design of the study; Tom Kirchhausen and Alex Kreutzberger drafted the manuscript; all authors commented on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, Kruger N, Herrler T, Erichsen S, Schiergens TS, Herrler G, Wu NH, Nitsche A, Muller MA, Drosten C, Pohlmann S. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell 181:271-280 e8.

2. Hoffmann M, Schroeder S, Kleine-Weber H, Muller MA, Drosten C, Pohlmann S. 2020. Nafamostat Mesylate Blocks Activation of SARS-CoV-2: New Treatment Option for COVID-19. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 64.

3. Kang YL, Chou YY, Rothlauf PW, Liu Z, Soh TK, Cureton D, Case JB, Chen RE, Diamond MS, Whelan SPJ, Kirchhausen T. 2020. Inhibition of PIKfyve kinase prevents infection by Zaire ebolavirus and SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:20803-20813.

4. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, Guo L, Guo R, Chen T, Hu J, Xiang Z, Mu Z, Chen X, Chen J, Hu K, Jin Q, Wang J, Qian Z. 2020. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat Commun 11:1620.

5. Riva L, Yuan S, Yin X, Martin-Sancho L, Matsunaga N, Pache L, Burgstaller-Muehlbacher S, De Jesus PD, Teriete P, Hull MV, Chang MW, Chan JF, Cao J, Poon VK, Herbert KM, Cheng K, Nguyen TH, Rubanov A, Pu Y, Nguyen C, Choi A, Rathnasinghe R, Schotsaert M, Miorin L, Dejosez M, Zwaka TP, Sit KY, Martinez-Sobrido L, Liu WC, White KM, Chapman ME, Lendy EK, Glynne RJ, Albrecht R, Ruppin E, Mesecar AD, Johnson JR, Benner C, Sun R, Schultz PG, Su AI, Garcia-Sastre A, Chatterjee AK, Yuen KY, Chanda SK. 2020. Discovery of SARS-CoV-2 antiviral drugs through large-scale compound repurposing. Nature 586:113-119.

6. Yamamoto M, Kiso M, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Imai M, Takeda M, Kinoshita N, Ohmagari N, Gohda J, Semba K, Matsuda Z, Kawaguchi Y, Kawaoka Y, Inoue JI. 2020. The Anticoagulant Nafamostat Potently Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 S Protein-Mediated Fusion in a Cell Fusion Assay System and Viral Infection In Vitro in a Cell-Type-Dependent Manner. Viruses 12.

7. Gunst JD, Staerke NB, Pahus MH, Kristensen LH, Bodilsen J, Lohse N, Dalgaard LS, Bronnum D, Frobert O, Honge B, Johansen IS, Monrad I, Erikstrup C, Rosendal R, Vilstrup E, Mariager T, Bove DG, Offersen R, Shakar S, Cajander S, Jorgensen NP, Sritharan SS, Breining P, Jespersen S, Mortensen KL, Jensen ML, Kolte L, Frattari GS, Larsen CS, Storgaard M, Nielsen LP, Tolstrup M, Saedder EA, Ostergaard LJ, Ngo HTT, Jensen MH, Hojen JF, Kjolby M, Sogaard OS. 2021. Efficacy of the TMPRSS2 inhibitor camostat mesilate in patients hospitalized with Covid-19-a double-blind randomized controlled trial. EClinicalMedicine doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100849:100849.

8. Doi K, Ikeda M, Hayase N, Moriya K, Morimura N, Group C-US. 2020. Nafamostat mesylate treatment in combination with favipiravir for patients critically ill with Covid-19: a case series. Crit Care 24:392.

9. Huang Y, Yang C, Xu XF, Xu W, Liu SW. 2020. Structural and functional properties of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein: potential antivirus drug development for COVID-19. Acta Pharmacol Sin 41:1141-1149.

10. Shang J, Wan Y, Luo C, Ye G, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. 2020. Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 117:11727-11734.

11. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh CL, Abiona O, Graham BS,
McLellan JS. 2020. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion
conformation. Science 367:1260-1263.
12. Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. 2020. Structural basis for the recognition
of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. Science 367:1444-1448.
13. Yang J, Petitjean SJL, Koehler M, Zhang Q, Dumitru AC, Chen W, Derclaye S, Vincent
SP, Soumillion P, Alsteens D. 2020. Molecular interaction and inhibition of SARS-CoV-
2 binding to the ACE2 receptor. Nat Commun 11:4541.
14. Zang R, Gomez Castro MF, McCune BT, Zeng Q, Rothlauf PW, Sonnek NM, Liu Z,
Brulois KF, Wang X, Greenberg HB, Diamond MS, Ciorba MA, Whelan SPJ, Ding S.
2020. TMPRSS2 and TMPRSS4 promote SARS-CoV-2 infection of human small
intestinal enterocytes. Sci Immunol 5.
15. Du L, Kao RY, Zhou Y, He Y, Zhao G, Wong C, Jiang S, Yuen KY, Jin DY, Zheng BJ.
2007. Cleavage of spike protein of SARS coronavirus by protease factor Xa is associated
with viral infectivity. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 359:174-9.
16. Frydman GH, Streiff MB, Connors JM, Piazza G. 2020. The Potential Role of
Coagulation Factor Xa in the Pathophysiology of COVID-19: A Role for Anticoagulants
as Multimodal Therapeutic Agents. TH Open 4:e288-e299.
17. Case JB, Rothlauf PW, Chen RE, Liu Z, Zhao H, Kim AS, Bloyet LM, Zeng Q, Tahan S,
Droit L, Ilagan MXG, Tartell MA, Amarasinghe G, Henderson JP, Miersch S, Ustav M,
Sidhu S, Virgin HW, Wang D, Ding S, Corti D, Theel ES, Fremont DH, Diamond MS,
Whelan SPJ. 2020. Neutralizing Antibody and Soluble ACE2 Inhibition of a Replication-
Competent VSV-SARS-CoV-2 and a Clinical Isolate of SARS-CoV-2. Cell Host
Microbe 28:475-485 e5.
18. Gonzalez-Hernandez M, Muller A, Hoenen T, Hoffmann M, Pohlmann S. 2019. Calu-
3cells are largely resistant to entry driven by filovirus glycoproteins and the entry defect
can be rescued by directed expression of DC-SIGN or cathepsin L. Virology 532:22-29.
19. Dittmar M, Lee JS, Whig K, Segrist E, Li M, Kamalia B, Castellana L, Ayyanathan K,
Cardenas-Diaz FL, Morrisey EE, Truitt R, Yang W, Jurado K, Samby K, Ramage H,
Schultz DC, Cherry S. 2021. Drug repurposing screens reveal cell-type-specific entry
pathways and FDA-approved drugs active against SARS-Cov-2. Cell Rep 35:108959.
20. Nelson EA, Dyall J, Hoenen T, Barnes AB, Zhou H, Liang JY, Michelotti J, Dewey WH,
DeWald LE, Bennett RS, Morris PJ, Guha R, Klumpp-Thomas C, McKnight C, Chen
YC, Xu X, Wang A, Hughes E, Martin S, Thomas C, Jahrling PB, Hensley LE, Olinger
GG, Jr., White JM. 2017. The phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate 5-kinase inhibitor
apilimod blocks filoviral entry and infection. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11:e0005540.
21. Baranov MV, Bianchi F, Schirmacher A, van Aart MAC, Maassen S, Muntjewerff EM,
Dingjan I, Ter Beest M, Verdoes M, Keyser SGL, Bertozzi CR, Diederichsen U, van den
Bogaart G. 2019. The Phosphoinositide Kinase PIKfyve Promotes Cathepsin-S-Mediated
Major Histocompatibility Complex Class II Antigen Presentation. iScience 11:160-177.
22. Gayle S, Landrette S, Beeharry N, Conrad C, Hernandez M, Beckett P, Ferguson SM,
Mandelkern T, Zheng M, Xu T, Rothberg J, Lichenstein H. 2017. Identification of
apilimod as a first-in-class PIKfyve kinase inhibitor for treatment of B-cell non-Hodgkin
lymphoma. Blood 129:1768-1778.
23. Ianevski A, Giri AK, Aittokallio T. 2020. SynergyFinder 2.0: visual analytics of multi-
drug combination synergies. Nucleic Acids Res 48:W488-W493.

601 24. Bliss C. 1939. The Toxicity Of Poisons Applied Jointly. Ann Appl Biol
602    doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1939.tb06990.x:585–615.
603 25. Padmanabhan P, Desikan R, Dixit NM. 2020. Targeting TMPRSS2 and Cathepsin B/L
604    together may be synergistic against SARS-CoV-2 infection. PLoS Comput Biol
605    16:e1008461.
606 26. Park JE, Li K, Barlan A, Fehr AR, Perlman S, McCray PB, Jr., Gallagher T. 2016.
607    Proteolytic processing of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus spikes expands
608    virus tropism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 113:12262-12267.
609 27. Murgolo N, Therien AG, Howell B, Klein D, Koeplinger K, Lieberman LA, Adam GC,
610    Flynn J, McKenna P, Swaminathan G, Hazuda DJ, Olsen DB. 2021. SARS-CoV-2
611    tropism, entry, replication, and propagation: Considerations for drug discovery and
612    development. PLoS Pathog 17:e1009225.
613 28. Mykytyn AZ, Breugem TI, Riesebosch S, Schipper D, van den Doel PB, Rottier RJ,
614    Lamers MM, Haagmans BL. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 entry into human airway organoids is
615    serine protease-mediated and facilitated by the multibasic cleavage site. Elife 10.
616 29. J K, Uckeley Z, P D, M S, S B, PY L. 2020. Host Cell Proteases Drive Early or Late
617    SARS-CoV-2 Penetration. bioRxiv doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.22.423906.
618 30. Plante JA, Liu Y, Liu J, Xia H, Johnson BA, Lokugamage KG, Zhang X, Muruato AE,
619    Zou J, Fontes-Garfias CR, Mirchandani D, Scharton D, Bilello JP, Ku Z, An Z, Kalveram
620    B, Freiberg AN, Menachery VD, Xie X, Plante KS, Weaver SC, Shi PY. 2020. Spike
621    mutation D614G alters SARS-CoV-2 fitness. Nature doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2895-3.
622 31. Gobeil SM, Janowska K, McDowell S, Mansouri K, Parks R, Manne K, Stalls V, Kopp
623    MF, Henderson R, Edwards RJ, Haynes BF, Acharya P. 2021. D614G Mutation Alters
624    SARS-CoV-2 Spike Conformation and Enhances Protease Cleavage at the S1/S2
625    Junction. Cell Rep 34:108630.
626 32. Yurkovetskiy L, Wang X, Pascal KE, Tomkins-Tinch C, Nyalile TP, Wang Y, Baum A,
627    Diehl WE, Dauphin A, Carbone C, Veinotte K, Egri SB, Schaffner SF, Lemieux JE,
628    Munro JB, Rafique A, Barve A, Sabeti PC, Kyratsous CA, Dudkina NV, Shen K, Luban
629    J. 2020. Structural and Functional Analysis of the D614G SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein
630    Variant. Cell 183:739-751 e8.
631 33. Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, Ojha A, Peng H, Quinlan BD, Rangarajan ES, Pan A,
632    Vanderheiden A, Suthar MS, Li W, Izard T, Rader C, Farzan M, Choe H. 2020. SARS-
633    CoV-2 spike-protein D614G mutation increases virion spike density and infectivity. Nat
634    Commun 11:6013.
635 34. Cantuti-Castelvetri L, Ojha R, Pedro LD, Djannatian M, Franz J, Kuivanen S, van der
636    Meer F, Kallio K, Kaya T, Anastasina M, Smura T, Levanov L, Szirovicza L, Tobi A,
637    Kallio-Kokko H, Osterlund P, Joensuu M, Meunier FA, Butcher SJ, Winkler MS,
638    Mollenhauer B, Helenius A, Gokce O, Teesalu T, Hepojoki J, Vapalahti O, Stadelmann
639    C, Balistreri G, Simons M. 2020. Neuropilin-1 facilitates SARS-CoV-2 cell entry and
640    infectivity. Science 370:856-860.
641 35. Bayati A, Kumar R, Francis V, McPherson PS. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 infects cells
642    following viral entry via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. J Biol Chem
643    doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100306:100306.
644 36. Khan N, Chen X, Geiger JD. 2020. Role of Endolysosomes in Severe Acute Respiratory
645    Syndrome Coronavirus-2 Infection and Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pathogenesis:
646    Implications for Potential Treatments. Front Pharmacol 11:595888.

647   37.   Uhlen M, Fagerberg L, Hallstrom BM, Lindskog C, Oksvold P, Mardinoglu A,
648   Sivertsson A, Kampf C, Sjostedt E, Asplund A, Olsson I, Edlund K, Lundberg E, Navani
649   S, Szigyarto CA, Odeberg J, Djureinovic D, Takanen JO, Hober S, Alm T, Edqvist PH,
650   Berling H, Tegel H, Mulder J, Rockberg J, Nilsson P, Schwenk JM, Hamsten M, von
651   Feilitzen K, Forsberg M, Persson L, Johansson F, Zwahlen M, von Heijne G, Nielsen J,
652   Ponten F. 2015. Proteomics. Tissue-based map of the human proteome. Science
653   347:1260419.
654   38.   Glowacka I, Bertram S, Muller MA, Allen P, Soilleux E, Pfefferle S, Steffen I, Tsegaye
655   TS, He Y, Gnirss K, Niemeyer D, Schneider H, Drosten C, Pohlmann S. 2011. Evidence
656   that TMPRSS2 activates the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein
657   for membrane fusion and reduces viral control by the humoral immune response. J Virol
658   85:4122-34.
659   39.   de Lartigue J, Polson H, Feldman M, Shokat K, Tooze SA, Urbe S, Clague MJ. 2009.
660   PIKfyve regulation of endosome-linked pathways. Traffic 10:883-93.
661   40.   Kim GH, Dayam RM, Prashar A, Terebiznik M, Botelho RJ. 2014. PIKfyve inhibition
662   interferes with phagosome and endosome maturation in macrophages. Traffic 15:1143-
663   63.
664   41.   Dyall J, Nelson EA, DeWald LE, Guha R, Hart BJ, Zhou H, Postnikova E, Logue J,
665   Vargas WM, Gross R, Michelotti J, Deiuliis N, Bennett RS, Crozier I, Holbrook MR,
666   Morris PJ, Klumpp-Thomas C, McKnight C, Mierzwa T, Shinn P, Glass PJ, Johansen
667   LM, Jahrling PB, Hensley LE, Olinger GG, Jr., Thomas C, White JM. 2018.
668   Identification of Combinations of Approved Drugs With Synergistic Activity Against
669   Ebola Virus in Cell Cultures. J Infect Dis 218:S672-S678.
670   42.   Soares AC, Ferreira A, Marien J, Delay C, Lee E, Trojanowski JQ, Moechars D, Annaert
671   W, De Muynck L. 2021. PIKfyve activity is required for lysosomal trafficking of tau
672   aggregates and tau seeding. J Biol Chem 296:100636.
673   43.   Billich A. 2007. Drug evaluation: apilimod, an oral IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor for the
674   treatment of autoimmune diseases and common variable immunodeficiency. IDrugs
675   10:53-9.
676   44.   Uno Y. 2020. Camostat mesilate therapy for COVID-19. Intern Emerg Med 15:1577-
677   1578.
678   45.   Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DK, Bleicker T, Brunink
679   S, Schneider J, Schmidt ML, Mulders DG, Haagmans BL, van der Veer B, van den Brink
680   S, Wijsman L, Goderski G, Romette JL, Ellis J, Zambon M, Peiris M, Goossens H,
681   Reusken C, Koopmans MP, Drosten C. 2020. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus
682   (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill 25.
683   46.   Buchholz UJ, Finke S, Conzelmann KK. 1999. Generation of bovine respiratory syncytial
684   virus (BRSV) from cDNA: BRSV NS2 is not essential for virus replication in tissue
685   culture, and the human RSV leader region acts as a functional BRSV genome promoter. J
686   Virol 73:251-9.
687   47.   Cocucci E, Aguet F, Boulant S, Kirchhausen T. 2012. The first five seconds in the life of
688   a clathrin-coated pit. Cell 150:495-507.
689

SARS-CoV-2 : Caco-2 + hACE2