Genetic stability of *Aedes aegypti* populations following invasion by *w*Mel *Wolbachia*

Meng-Jia Lau[1]*, Tom Schmidt[1]*, Qiong Yang[1], Jessica Chung[1,2], Ary A. Hoffmann[1]*

[1] Pest and Environmental Adaptation Research Group, Bio21 Institute and the School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

[2] Melbourne Bioinformatics, University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

* Corresponding author

Meng-Jia Lau: mengjial2@student.unimelb.edu.au

Tom Schmidt: tom.schmidt@unimelb.edu.au

Abstract

Background

*Wolbachia w*Mel play a major role in mosquito rear and release strategies that aim to inhibit the transmission of arboviruses such as dengue, Zika, Chikungunya and yellow fever. However, the long-term establishment of *w*Mel in natural populations of the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* raises concerns that interactions between *Wolbachia w*Mel and *Ae. aegypti* may lead to changes in the host genome, which could affect useful attributes of *Wolbachia* that allow it to invade and suppress disease transmission.

Results

We applied an evolve-and-resequence approach to study genome-wide genetic changes in *Ae. aegypti* from the Cairns region, Australia, where *Wolbachia w*Mel was first introduced more than 10 years ago. Mosquito samples were collected at three different time points in Gordonvale, Australia, covering the phase before (2010) and after (2013 and 2018) *Wolbachia* releases; an additional three locations where *Wolbachia* replacement happened at different times across the last decade were also sampled in 2018. We found that in general, the genome of mosquito populations mostly remained stable after *Wolbachia* release, with any population differences tending to reflect the geographic location of the populations rather than *Wolbachia* infection status. Whereas on the other hand, outlier analysis suggested that *Wolbachia* may have had an influence on some genes related to immune response, development, recognition and behaviour.

Conclusions

In general, *Ae. aegypti* populations remained geographically distinct after *Wolbachia* releases in North Australia despite their *Wolbachia* infection status. Whereas at some specific genomic loci, we found signs of selection associated with *Wolbachia*,

suggesting potential evolutionary impacts can happen in the future and further monitoring is warranted.

Keywords

Wolbachia, *Aedes aegypti*, evolve-and-resequence, field release

Background

Wolbachia are bacteria that live inside the cells of many insects, and induce a number of important phenotypic effects on its hosts that can be harnessed for pest and disease control. *Wolbachia*-infected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes have now been released in multiple locations of the world [1-3] to help reduce the transmission of arboviruses such as dengue, Zika, Chikungunya and yellow fever [4-6]. *Wolbachia w*Mel, which was transmitted artificially from *Drosophila melanogaster* into an egg of *Ae. aegypti* [6], was first released in Gordonvale and Yorkeys Knob, Queensland, Australia, around a decade ago [7] where it invaded the local population through cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI). CI makes uninfected females unable to produce offspring if they mate with infected males. Additionally, while infected females can mate with uninfected males or males infected by the same *Wolbachia* strain, such matings produce infected offspring [8]. This allows *Wolbachia* to be self-sustained in a population, but may also increase population divergence because it can reduce the "effective migration rate" [9, 10] between infected and uninfected populations. *Wolbachia* can also impact mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) variation through indirect linkage disequilibrium [11, 12].

With the success of *Wolbachia* in suppressing dengue following invasion [1, 3], many studies have now focused on the sustainability of this approach beyond the initial spread, such as the maintenance of high infection levels [13], fitness costs [14, 15] and evolutionary adaptation [16, 17]. The potential evolutionary changes in *Wolbachia w*Mel-infected *Ae. aegypti* as well as in the bacterial genome itself following releases in the field have raised concerns about the long-term effectiveness of the strategy. The genetic background of the mosquito host can affect the capacity of *Wolbachia* to invade populations and suppress arboviruses [2, 18, 19]. *Aedes aegypti* has a short generation interval of ~1 month, and so if the introduction of *Wolbachia* triggers an evolutionary process in the mosquito genome this could be observable within a few years after invasion. Evolutionary changes in response to natural *Wolbachia* infections have previously been noted and appear to involve both the *Wolbachia* and host genomes [20, 21], affecting the population dynamics of *Wolbachia* infections. Adaptations can occur to counter any negative fitness effects of *Wolbachia*, as documented in *Drosophila* [20, 22], and negative fitness effects are particularly evident in novel infections transfected into new hosts [23].

Recently, researchers found that the *w*Mel infection itself has not evolved in terms of either sequence composition or density after being established in *Ae. aegypti* for

around a decade in northern Queensland, Australia [24], CI also remains complete [13]. For the *w*Mel infection in *Ae. aegypti*, fitness comparisons at the phenotypic level suggest limited change since population replacement in this region [17], although the number of fitness-related traits scored so far has been limited. Blockage of virus transmission also appears stable to date [25, 26], and may persist through ongoing selection favouring high viral blocking in *Ae. aegypti* populations [18].

There are at present no published studies investigating evolutionary changes in wild host populations at the genomic level. Any putative changes may provide pointers for further phenotypic comparisons based on the types of candidate genes that might be identified. However, there are challenges in characterizing genome change in *Ae. aegypti* after *Wolbachia w*Mel infection. First, the genome of *Ae. aegypti* contains a large proportion (47%) of transposable elements (TEs), which result in a large genome size (1.38Gb) compared to other mosquitoes [27-29]. TEs might also enhance rates of evolution, given that they are involved with gene regulation, and increase genome plasticity [30]. Moreover, the involvement of other environmental factors in field-collected samples cannot be neglected, impacts of gene flow following the activity of *Wolbachia* release will also increase the difficulty of outlier analyses. Besides, compared to model organisms, the genome of *Ae. aegypti* is still relatively poorly annotated, with only 256 proteins (<1%) reviewed in the Swiss-Prot database (https://www.uniprot.org/taxonomy/7159).

In this study, we analyse pooled whole genome sequencing (WGS) data of mosquitoes from Gordonvale, Australia, where releases first took place, covering three different time points from the pre- and post-release phase. As a comparison, we also sequenced samples in Edge Hill, Redlynch and Yorkeys Knob, where *Wolbachia* replacement happened later and at different times across the last decade. We combined analyses of spatial variation in *Wolbachia* infection status and of genetic diversity in host, to reveal the potential impact of *Wolbachia w*Mel on the genome of its host *Ae. aegypti*.

Results

Genome variation of *Aedes aegypti* populations

In Tajima's pi (nucleotide diversity π) analysis (Fig. 1), differences we found among the populations depended on chromosomal location. We analysed the genome-wide nucleotide diversity in 10 kbp non-overlapping windows; the nucleotide diversities were different among populations at the sides of chromosomes, while in areas near the centre of each chromosome, diversities were low and similar for all populations. This pattern has been shown in previous sequencing studies [29, 31]. Overall, nucleotide diversity was highest on chromosome 1, which contains the sex determining locus and contains relatively lower gene densities and TEs but higher satellites compared to chromosomes 2 and 3 [32].

Fig. 1. LOESS-smoothed curves of genome-wide nucleotide diversity (π). Six populations of *Ae. aegypti* measured in 10 kbp non-overlapping windows. GV10 and GV13 represent samples collected in 2010 and 2013 from Gordonvale; GV18, EH, YK and RL represent samples collected in 2018 from Gordonvale, Yorkeys Knob, Edge Hill and Redlynch respectively.

In Tajima's D analysis, we found that the density distributions of values were similar except for the GV10 population before release which shows a high proportion of negative values (Fig. 2). This pattern is more obvious at the gene level (Fig. 2b) than at the genome level measured in 10 kbp non-overlapping windows (Fig. 2a). The four 2018 populations converge regardless of their *Wolbachia* status or time since *Wolbachia* was invaded. This suggests that the pattern reflects a difference in GV10 before release rather than an effect of *Wolbachia per se*.

Fig. 2. Density distributions of Tajima's D values. Tajima's D measured at (a) the genome level measured in 10 kbp non-overlapping windows and at (b) the gene level. GV10 and GV13 represent samples collected in 2010 and 2013 from Gordonvale; GV18, EH, YK and RL represent samples collected in 2018 from Gordonvale, Yorkeys Knob, Edge Hill and Redlynch respectively.

We obtained a genetic distance matrix from the average of pairwise Fst values through 100 kbp non-overlapping windows (Additional file 1), with temporally-different GV samples tending to have lower Fst values than comparisons across geographically separate samples. In the analysis of isolation by distance, with only four 2018 populations included, the genetic distance had a weak but non-significant correlation with log transformed geographical distance (road distance) in a Mantel test (r=0.66, p=0.12).

461,067 SNPs were left after filtering with minimal depth of 50 in all populations and > 0.1 average MAF. We did a principal components analysis based on these SNPs, the PC1 and PC2 accounting for 24.2% and 22.9% of the variance respectively (Fig. 3a). The three temporally-different GV samples fell out together, but GV10 was closer to GV18 than to GV13. We also did a PCA analysis on pairwise Fst differences in 100 kbp non-overlapping windows across the genome (Fig. 3b, d) or at the gene level (Fig. 3c, e). When testing the similarity of infected or uninfected populations (Fig. 3d, e), we found little evidence for any clustering of populations related to *Wolbachia* infection status either across the genome or at the gene level. On the other hand, these populations were segregated geographically (Fig. 3b, c); the pairwise comparisons of GV samples and a different located sample tended to cluster when comparing across the genome, and were more segregated at the gene level.

Fig. 3. Principal components analysis based on MAF or pairwise Fst. PCA plots of (a) allele frequency of *Aedes aegypti* samples (MAF > 0.1, minimal coverage > 50); (b, d) pairwise Fst throughout the genome with 100 kbp non-overlapping windows, and (c, e) pairwise Fst within genes. Colours in (b, c) represent comparisons between GV samples and a sample from a different location. The blue colour in (d, e) represents comparisons between *Wolbachia* infected and uninfected samples, while red represents comparisons within *Wolbachia* infected samples and green represents the comparison within uninfected samples.

Wolbachia-related outlier analysis

We introduced 461,067 SNPs from the above filtering process for *Wolbachia*-related outlier analysis. In the covariate model of BayPass, which with *Wolbachia* infection status in the comparison, we found 2415 SNPs showing a "substantial" signature of selection with an average BF* > 5, and 391 showing "strongly-selected" signature of selection with an average BF* > 10 (Fig. 4). 950 of the "substantial" SNPs fell into the intersection of top 10% X^TX values in the comparisons between GV10 and GV13 and between GV10 and GV18, including 229 SNPs distributed on chromosome 1, 390

on chromosome 2 and 330 on chromosome 3, while one was found on an assembly scaffold NW_018735222.1. By looking at the distribution of these 950 SNPs, we found four regions on chromosome 1 where these SNPs were segregated, while five small regions on chromosome 2 and three on chromosome 3 were found (Table 1).

Fig. 4. Manhattan plot of SNPs in covariate model representing *Wolbachia* infection status. Only SNPs with positive Bayes Factor are showed. The horizontal blue lines represent the cut-off for SNPs with BF* > 5 (2415 outliers) and the horizontal red lines represent the cut-off for SNPs with BF* > 10 (391 outliers).

Table 1. Selective regions impacted by *Wolbachia* infection status.

Chromosome	Position	Size	Number of SNPs
1	96900000-105500000	8.6Mb	39
1	138000000-140500000	2.5Mb	13
1	148500000-149500000	1.0Mb	11
1	183000000-188300000	5.3Mb	40
1	203100000-206200000	3.1Mb	22
2	15600000-16800000	1.2Mb	27
2	20700000-21200000	0.5Mb	11
2	98800000-99300000	0.5Mb	18
2	345200000-345400000	0.2Mb	19
2	396100000-396500000	0.4Mb	15
3	239700000-249400000	9.7Mb	31
3	311900000-316000000	4.1Mb	25
3	368400000-368600000	0.2Mb	14

These SNPs were distributed within 187 genes (Additional file 2). 1436 genes were potentially involved when a 100-kbp region around the outliers was taken into

account (Additional file 3). We performed a pathway analysis of these 1436 genes through the KEGG database, and found eight pathways significantly involved (Table 2, Additional file 4), including pathways involved with development and immune response (MAPK signalling pathway and Toll and Imd signalling pathway). These genes were also BLAST searched for homologues in *Drosophila melanogaster*; after filtering out the low-quality matches, we obtained 577 homologues proteins (Additional file 5). In the GO enrichment analysis, 108 gene sets were significantly enriched in biological process (Additional file 6), 42 in cellular components (Additional file 7) and 22 in molecular factors (Additional file 8). In general, we found that *Wolbachia w*Mel may modulate genes with diverse functions such as cell development, interaction, cellular response, cellular transport, neurogenesis, lipid and glucide metabolization, behaviour and immune response.

Table 2. Significantly enriched pathways in KEGG database potentially involved with *Wolbachia* infection.

Pathway	Description	Gene Ratio	Bg Ratio	P value
aag00232	Caffeine metabolism	6/296	12/3281	<0.001
aag00981	Insect hormone biosynthesis	9/296	40/3281	0.008
aag00052	Galactose metabolism	7/296	30/3281	0.015
aag04341	Hedgehog signaling pathway - fly	6/296	25/3281	0.021
aag04013	MAPK signaling pathway - fly	14/296	92/3281	0.034
aag00230	Purine metabolism	14/296	96/3281	0.047
aag00270	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	6/296	30/3281	0.048
aag04624	Toll and Imd signaling pathway	9/296	54/3281	0.050

113 SNPs were highly reliably associated with *Wolbachia* infection when a stricter criterion was used: average BF*>=10 and X^TX values falling into the intersection of 95% threshold in the GV10, GV13 comparison and the GV10, GV18 comparison. These SNPs were distributed across 31 genes (Table 3), including some interesting ones, such as cytochrome P450 gene 5564751, which was found enriched in response to dengue virus infection in refractory mosquitoes [33], and associated with insecticide resistance [34, 35]. Carbonic anhydrase gene 5565700 has the function of balancing PH in mosquito midgut [36, 37]. Ecdysone protein E75, encoded by gene 5569135, and lipophorin, encoded by gene 5572681, were highly expressed in females after blood feeding, potentially involved in regulation of oogenesis and vitellogenesis [38, 39]. Charged multivesicular body protein, encoded by gene 5573292, was associated with endosome formation and can influence mosquito immune response [40].

Table 3. SNPs highly associated with *Wolbachia* infection are distributed in 31 genes.

GeneID	Strand	Product
5563771	-	tyrosine-protein kinase Btk29A, transcript variant X3
5564092	-	dynein heavy chain 12, axonemal
5564751	-	cytochrome P450 9e2
5564757	+	uncharacterized LOC5564757
5565513	+	glycogenin-1, transcript variant X7
5565700	-	carbonic anhydrase
5565906	+	rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 7, transcript variant X1
5565937	+	protein serrate
5566564	+	tyrosine-protein phosphatase non-receptor type 9, transcript variant X2
5566587	-	transcription factor grauzone
5567217	+	glutactin, transcript variant X2
5567881	+	centrosomal protein of 135 kDa, transcript variant X2
5568339	+	uncharacterized LOC5568339, transcript variant X1
5568340	+	ribonuclease P protein subunit p14
5569034	+	uncharacterized protein DDB_G0271670, transcript variant X2
5569135	+	ecdysone-inducible protein E75, transcript variant X1
5570156	-	conserved oligomeric Golgi complex subunit 3
5570315	+	mediator of RNA polymerase II transcription subunit 12
5570620	-	high-affinity choline transporter 1
5570732	+	DNA replication factor Cdt1
5570937	-	protein outspread, transcript variant X5
5572681	+	apolipophorins
5573292	+	charged multivesicular body protein 6, transcript variant X1
5573627	+	kinesin-like protein costa
5575671	+	xanthine dehydrogenase
5575737	-	SPARC-related modular calcium-binding protein 2, transcript variant X2
5576373	+	mucin-5AC
5576645	-	thymus-specific serine protease
5579338	-	integrin alpha-PS1, transcript variant X3
110673979	+	mitochondrial intermembrane space import and assembly protein 40
110675820	+	vesicle-associated membrane protein 2, transcript variant X5

Discussion

When interpreting the results from this comparison, it is important to consider the release process and target population and the fact that *Wolbachia* induced CI can increase population divergence by reducing the migration rate across host populations when only one is infected [9, 10]. The population released involved a *w*Mel transinfected strain that had been repeatedly backcrossed to a Cairns field population background, with the expectation that the released background would be 99.9% Cairns [7]. For releases in Yorkeys Knob and sites around Cairns, we did not expect the release population to differ from the background population because there is movement of mosquitoes around this area as reflected by the occasional detection of *Wolbachia* infected mosquitoes after the release [7]. On the other hand, Gordonvale is a relatively isolated population which may have its own seasonal dynamics. Although this population is not genetically isolated based on microsatellite and EPIC markers [41], it does appear to be somewhat separated based on the SNP markers used in the current study. This may account for the pattern noted for Tajima's D where the 2010 Gordonvale population was a clear outlier.

When releasing mosquitoes and following invasion by *Wolbachia*, there is not only complete replacement of the uninfected mosquito population by *Wolbachia*-infected mosquitoes but also replacement of the mtDNA that can hitchhike along with the *Wolbachia* [12]. Also, while any linkage disequilibrium between the *Wolbachia* and nuclear DNA variants is expected to break down relatively quickly [42], new alleles may nevertheless be introduced into the population. The nuclear DNA constitution of the population might be expected to become more like the release stock for a period as released females and their offspring mate with released and resident males, although local selection should then lead to populations becoming more similar to the original population. In our case, the genetic similarity between the Gordonvale populations after release and the original population prior to release might reflect local selection and ongoing introgression of the release stock with the resident population, as GV10 and GV18 are closer than GV13 in PCA analysis.

Populations were more segregated at the gene level than at the genome level, which may be a consequence of a high mobility of TEs associated with ORF regions [20]. On the other hand, selection in response to local conditions and the impact of *w*Mel on *Ae. aegypti* may nevertheless influence patterns of genetic differentiation at specific loci [18]. Our Bayesian outlier analysis identified several regions in each chromosome and genes related to immune response, development, recognition and behaviour that may have been under selection. These potential evolutionary impacts of *Wolbachia w*Mel on the genome of *Ae. aegypti* in field suggest that further monitoring is warranted although at this stage other factors unrelated to *Wolbachia* appear to have a larger impact on genomic differentiation among samples.

We found signs of selection on the Toll and Imd signalling pathway in KEGG analysis, these are important pathways in immune systems [43, 44] and viral blocking processes [44-46]. A transcriptomic study about *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* showed up-regulations of these pathways in both *w*Mel and *w*MelPop-infected *Ae. aegypti* [47, 48]. Viral blocking genes are mainly distributed on chromosome 1, in addition to

genes related to cytoskeleton, cell-cell adhesion and signal transduction [18], these genes also show up in our GO analysis. Other than viral blockage, caffeine metabolism was strongly impacted, which may impact hormone metabolic and the detoxification ability when cytochrome P450 is involved [49, 50]. Enriched pathways also involved with development, such as insect hormone biosynthesis and the Hedgehog signalling pathways. In GO enrichment analysis these are represented in cell growth, structure, recognition and behaviour.

Conclusions

*Wolbachia w*Mel -infected *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes have been released successfully in the field to help reduce the transmission of arboviruses whereas interactions between *w*Mel and *Ae. aegypti* may arise new adaptations [51, 52], such as alter the viral blocking efficiency [18, 53], host fecundity [20] and insecticide resistance [54]. In this study, we have identified *Ae. aegypti* populations as being geographically distinct despite their *Wolbachia* infection status. However, selection associated with *Wolbachia* may still have influenced variation at some loci as identified from an outlier analysis. This is the first time that genome evolution associated with *Wolbachia* infection has been examined in field populations. However, it is hard to draw conclusions about long-term impacts of *Wolbachia* on the *Aedes* genome, which may take more time to develop, and which may be different in regions where dengue is endemic unlike in Australia. Our finding highlights the possibility that the effect of *Wolbachia* can interact with the host genomic background, which has been shown previously based on phenotypic assays on the longevity of *w*MelPop in Drosophila [55].

Methods

Samples and study sites

Aedes aegypti samples were collected from four sites around Cairns (Fig. 5, Table 4). In Gordonvale, samples were collected three times: in the summer of 2010 (pre-release), as well as in 2013 and 2018 (2 – 7 years post release given that the area was stably invaded in 2011 [7]). Samples from Yorkeys Knob, Edge Hill and Redlynch were collected in 2018. Yorkeys Knob experienced *Wolbachia* invasion at the same time as Gordonvale in 2011, and Edge Hill which was invaded in 2013 [56]; Redlynch was an uninfected area when sampled in 2018. Gordonvale samples from 2010 and 2013 were collected by BG-Sentinel traps (Biogents, Regensburg, Germany) while 2018 samples were collected by ovitraps, taking care to sample only 1-2 larvae per ovitrap to reduce the likelihood of siblings being sampled [57]. Samples from Gordonvale 2010 (GV10) and 2013 (GV13) were stored in 100% ethanol at the adult stage while samples collected from 2018 (GV18, EH, YK and RL) were stored at the fourth instar larval stage.

Fig. 5. Location of the studied populations. Gordonvale was collected at three different time points in 2010, 2013 and 2018, while other locations were collected in 2018. Axes show longitude (lon) and latitude (lat).

Table 4. Summary of collections and designations of samples used in comparisons

Population name	Collecting year	Location	*Wolbachia* infection (Y/N)	Year of population replacement	Sample size
GV10	2010	Gordonvale	N	2011	56
GV13	2013	Gordonvale	Y	2011	51
GV18	2018	Gordonvale	Y	2011	52
EH	2018	Edge Hill	Y	2013	52
RL	2018	Redlynch	N	2019	52
YK	2018	Yorkeys Knob	Y	2011	52

DNA extraction and library preparation

Whole genomic DNA was extracted from each individual mosquito using Qiagen DNA Blood and Tissue kit (Venlo, Limburg, NL) for 2010 and 2013 samples, using Roche High Pure™ PCR Template Preparation Kits (Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA) for 2018 samples. *Wolbachia* infection status was confirmed by a diagnostic qPCR test as outlined elsewhere [58]. The concentration of extracted individual DNA was measured using Quantitation Qubit™ 1X dsDNA HS Assay Kit (invitrogen life technologies USA). Samples from each of the six populations (Table

4) were pooled prior sequencing based on an equal amount of DNA from each individual. Each population was sent for whole genome sequencing with > 50 depth via Illumina Hiseq2500 using 100 bp paired read chemistry for GV10 and GV13, and 150 bp paired read chemistry for GV18, EH, YK and RL libraries.

Raw sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic v. 0.39 to truncate and remove low quality reads; the reads with a phred score above 20 and length above 70 were kept. The reference genome AaegL5.0 [29] was indexed and reads were aligned to the reference by bowtie2 v. 2.3.4.3 with the very-sensitive-local mode [59]. Samtools v.1.9 was used with default parameters to sort, mark and generate pileup files requiring a minimal mapping quality of 20.

Estimation of genome variation

We investigated patterns of genetic variation within populations using PoPoolation v. 1.2.2 [60] with the genomic annotation file from the reference AaegL5.0. We calculated Tajima's pi (nucleotide diversity π) for each population at 10 kbp non-overlapping windows when the coverage was not less than 20. Windows with low coverage generated no values and were neglected before adjusting the shape of lines across each chromosome by a LOESS smooth curve [61]. We also calculated Tajima's D for each population at 10 kbp non-overlapping windows and at the gene level with a minimal coverage of 20. The value of Tajima's D was calculated from allele frequencies in selected regions, and was used to detect selection direction. Under a standard neutral model with no change in population size, a strongly negative Tajima's D value can indicate directional selection removing variation, while a strongly positive value can indicate balancing selection maintaining variation, with a 0 value reflecting an absence of selection.

For the genetic variation between populations, we used PoPoolation2 v. 1.201 [62] to obtain allele frequency differences for each SNP. The SNPs were then filtered by the following parameters before further analyses: locus coverage > 50 in all populations and an average minor allele frequency (MAF) more than 0.1 [63]. Using this set of SNPs, we obtained pairwise Fst (fixation index) values for non-overlapping 100 kbp windows and for gene sets. We then undertook a principal component analysis (PCA) generated by the prcomp function and package ggbiplot in R [64] based on: 1) MAF across the SNPs after initial filtering as mentioned above; 2) pairwise Fst values from 100 kbp non-overlapping windows to indicate genetic distance patterns across the genome (genome level) [65]; and 3) pairwise Fst for genes (gene level). These Fst estimates were then used to assess patterns of similarity among samples with the same *Wolbachia* infection status in a pairwise comparison, and the same geographic distance in a pairwise comparison.

We further investigated isolation by distance patterns among 2018 samples from the four locations by computing Fst*=Fst/(1 − Fst) [66] based on the averaged pairwise Fst values from 100k bp non-overlapping windows. A geographic distance matrix was built based on the natural log transformation of the shortest road distance between the

sampled locations as mosquito movement would be mostly by road transport [67]. We then looked for Fst patterns that might be related to this distance measure and ran a Mantel test through the ade4 package in R to test the relationship between genetic distance and geographic distance [68], with only 2018 populations included.

Identification of outliers potentially associated with *Wolbachia*

The samples provided multiple variables including *Wolbachia* infection, geographic distance and temporal distance. We used two models from a Bayesian outlier approach BayPass v. 2.2 [69] to identify outliers associated with *Wolbachia* infection. Firstly, we used standard covariate model [69, 70], which requires a file providing values of each covariate to produce the Bayes Factor (BF), the ratio of the likelihood of posterior and prior hypotheses, which can quantify the probability of a candidate SNP being under selection [71]. Bayes Factors were exported in dB units ($BF^* = 10 \times \log10(BF)$); the association with environmental variance was considered "substantial" when BF* > 5, "strongly-selected" when BF* > 10 and "decisively-selected" when $BF^* > 13$ following Jeffreys [71]. We modelled *Wolbachia* infection status as a binary covariate by setting each infected sample as 1 and each uninfected sample as 0. The measures of BFs are based on an Importance Sampling Approximation, which is unstable for single runs in particular when the number of populations is small, so we averaged the BF values of three runs with different seeds for the random number generators following the suggestion in the manual of BayPass [69]. SNPs were considered as "substantial" outliers if the average BF* >= 5 and "strongly-selected" outliers if the average BF* >= 10. The introduction of linear relationships in this model, however, can have high false positives from sampling noise in particular when large environmental effects are involved in populations with outliers in terms of allele frequency and environmental variables, as the relationships of allele frequency among multiple populations are influenced by genetic drift and migration, and are not statistically independent with each other [72]. Based on this model, we made Manhattan plots for SNPs with average BF* > 0 to identify the potential effects of the *Wolbachia* infection at the genome level; SNPs that could not be assigned a position on one of the three autosomes were discarded.

Secondly, we used a BayPass core model to identify outliers from comparisons between GV10 and GV13, GV10 and GV18, given that Gordonvale was the only location where we had samples at time points before and after the release. The infection of *Wolbachia* was considered the main variable across time. An X^TX algorithm approach was used in this model, which was analogous to an Fst comparison [69, 72]. The X^TX value was used to identify selection pressure, with higher values under positive selection and smaller values under balancing selection [72]. In this model, we considered SNPs with X^TX values greater than the 90 % threshold or 95% threshold in both the GV10 and GV13 comparison and the GV10 and GV18 comparison as candidates for intersecting with the "substantial" SNPs and "strongly-selected" SNPs from the first analysis. This model on the other hand, is unable to exclude the noise from gene flow or genetic drift due to the lack of

duplicates, which can also cause false positives. We therefore considered the intersection of outliers from the above two models as SNPs potentially associated with *Wolbachia* infection. These SNPs were then matched with GTF annotation file from NCBI to obtain a list of outlier genes.

Pathway analysis and gene ontology enrichment analysis

We considered SNPs from the intersection of the two BayPass models above as being of potentially under selection and searched the open reading frame (ORF) to obtain a list of potentially important genes within a 100 kbp region around each SNP (50 kbp upstream and 50 kbp downstream) [73]. These genes were then searched through the KEGG pathway database for interpretation. We then used BLAST search (version 2.9.0 +) in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database [74] through system Entrez to identify homologous proteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Only best matches were retained and further filtered with an e-value cut off 1.0E-10 and > 60% identity [75, 76]. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was then undertaken in the R packages clusterProfiler [77] and DOSE [78], with a significant false positive rate and false discovery rate cut-off of 0.05.

References

1. Nazni WA, Hoffmann AA, NoorAfizah A, Cheong YL, Mancini MV, Golding N, Kamarul GM, Arif MA, Thohir H, NurSyamimi H: **Establishment of *Wolbachia* strain *w*AlbB in Malaysian populations of *Aedes aegypti* for dengue control**. *Curr Biol* 2019, **29**(24):4241-4248.

2. Garcia GdA, Sylvestre G, Aguiar R, da Costa GB, Martins AJ, Lima JBP, Petersen MT, Lourenço-de-Oliveira R, Shadbolt MF, Rašić G *et al*: **Matching the genetics of released and local *Aedes aegypti* populations is critical to assure *Wolbachia* invasion**. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2019, **13**(1):e0007023.

3. Ryan PA, Turley AP, Wilson G, Hurst TP, Retzki K, Brown-Kenyon J, Hodgson L, Kenny N, Cook H, Montgomery BL: **Establishment of *w*Mel *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes and reduction of local dengue transmission in Cairns and surrounding locations in northern Queensland, Australia**. *Gates Open Res* 2019, **3**.

4. Van den Hurk AF, Hall-Mendelin S, Pyke AT, Frentiu FD, McElroy K, Day A, Higgs S, O'Neill SL: **Impact of *Wolbachia* on infection with chikungunya and yellow fever viruses in the mosquito vector *Aedes aegypti***. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2012, **6**(11):e1892.

5. Aliota MT, Peinado SA, Velez ID, Osorio JE: **The *w*Mel strain of *Wolbachia* reduces transmission of Zika virus by *Aedes aegypti***. *Sci Rep* 2016, **6**:28792.

6. Walker T, Johnson PH, Moreira LA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Frentiu FD, McMeniman CJ, Leong YS, Dong Y, Axford J, Kriesner P *et al*: **The *w*Mel

Wolbachia strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes aegypti* populations. *Nature* 2011, **476**(7361):450-453.

7. Hoffmann AA, Montgomery B, Popovici J, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Johnson P, Muzzi F, Greenfield M, Durkan M, Leong Y, Dong Y: **Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission**. *Nature* 2011, **476**(7361):454-457.

8. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA: **Cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Drosophila simulans*: dynamics and parameter estimates from natural populations**. *Genetics* 1995, **140**(4):1319-1338.

9. Telschow A, Hammerstein P, Werren JH: **Effects of *Wolbachia* on genetic divergence between populations: mainland-island model**. *Integr Comp Biol* 2002, **42**(2):340-351.

10. Telschow A, Hammerstein P, Werren JH: **The effect of *Wolbachia* on genetic divergence between populations: models with two-way migration**. *Am Nat* 2002, **160 Suppl 4**:S54-66.

11. Kraaijeveld K, Franco P, de Knijff P, Stouthamer R, van Alphen JJ: **Clonal genetic variation in a *Wolbachia*-infected asexual wasp: horizontal transmission or historical sex?** *Molecular ecology* 2011, **20**(17):3644-3652.

12. Hale LR, Hoffmann AA: **Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism and cytoplasmic incompatibility in natural populations of *Drosophila simulans***. *Evolution* 1990, **44**(5):1383-1386.

13. Hoffmann AA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Callahan AG, Phillips BL, Billington K, Axford JK, Montgomery B, Turley AP, O'Neill SL: **Stability of the *w*Mel *Wolbachia* infection following invasion into *Aedes aegypti* populations**. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2014, **8**(9):e3115.

14. McMeniman CJ, Lane RV, Cass BN, Fong AWC, Sidhu M, Wang Y-F, O'Neill SL: **Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes aegypti***. *Science* 2009, **323**(5910):141-144.

15. Ross PA, Wiwatanaratanabutr I, Axford JK, White VL, Endersby-Harshman NM, Hoffmann AA: ***Wolbachia* infections in *Aedes aegypti* differ markedly in their response to cyclical heat stress**. *PLoS Pathog* 2017, **13**(1):e1006006.

16. Bull JJ, Turelli M: ***Wolbachia* versus dengue: Evolutionary forecasts**. *Evol Med Public Health* 2013, **2013**(1):197-207.

17. Ross P, Hoffmann A: **Continued susceptibility of the *w*Mel *Wolbachia* infection in *Aedes aegypti* to heat stress following field deployment and selection**. *Insects* 2018, **9**(3):78.

18. Ford SA, Allen SL, Ohm JR, Sigle LT, Sebastian A, Albert I, Chenoweth SF, McGraw EA: **Selection on *Aedes aegypti* alters *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue virus blocking and fitness**. *Nat Microbiol* 2019, **4**(11):1832-1839.

19. Ritchie SA, Townsend M, Paton CJ, Callahan AG, Hoffmann AA: **Application of *w*MelPop *Wolbachia* strain to crash local populations of *Aedes aegypti***. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2015, **9**(7):e0003930.

20. Weeks AR, Turelli M, Harcombe WR, Reynolds KT, Hoffmann AA: **From parasite to mutualist: rapid evolution of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of *Drosophila***. *PLoS biology* 2007, **5**(5):e114.

21. Hornett EA, Charlat S, Duplouy AM, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GD: **Evolution of male-killer suppression in a natural population**. *PLoS Biol* 2006, **4**(9):e283.

22. Carrington LB, Hoffmann AA, Weeks AR: **Monitoring long-term evolutionary changes following *Wolbachia* introduction into a novel host: the *Wolbachia* popcorn infection in *Drosophila simulans***. *Proc Biol Sci* 2010, **277**(1690):2059-2068.

23. Ross PA, Turelli M, Hoffmann AA: **Evolutionary Ecology of *Wolbachia* Releases for Disease Control**. *Annu Rev Genet* 2019, **53**:93-116.

24. Huang B, Yang Q, Hoffmann AA, Ritchie SA, van den Hurk AF, Warrilow D: ***Wolbachia* genome stability and mtDNA variants in *Aedes aegypti* field populations eight years after release**. *iScience* 2020:101572.

25. Frentiu FD, Zakir T, Walker T, Popovici J, Pyke AT, van den Hurk A, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL: **Limited dengue virus replication in field-collected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes infected with *Wolbachia***. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2014, **8**(2).

26. Carrington LB, Tran BCN, Le NTH, Luong TTH, Nguyen TT, Nguyen PT, Nguyen CVV, Nguyen HTC, Vu TT, Vo LT *et al*: **Field- and clinically derived estimates of *Wolbachia*-mediated blocking of dengue virus transmission potential in *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2018, **115**(2):361-366.

27. Nene V, Wortman JR, Lawson D, Haas B, Kodira C, Tu ZJ, Loftus B, Xi Z, Megy K, Grabherr M: **Genome sequence of *Aedes aegypti*, a major arbovirus vector**. *Science* 2007, **316**(5832):1718-1723.

28. Maringer K, Yousuf A, Heesom KJ, Fan J, Lee D, Fernandez-Sesma A, Bessant C, Matthews DA, Davidson AD: **Proteomics informed by transcriptomics for characterising active transposable elements and genome annotation in *Aedes aegypti***. *BMC Genomics* 2017, **18**(1):101-101.

29. Matthews BJ, Dudchenko O, Kingan SB, Koren S, Antoshechkin I, Crawford JE, Glassford WJ, Herre M, Redmond SN, Rose NH *et al*: **Improved reference genome of *Aedes aegypti* informs arbovirus vector control**. *Nature* 2018, **563**(7732):501-507.

30. Feschotte C: **Transposable elements and the evolution of regulatory networks**. *Nat Rev Genet* 2008, **9**(5):397-405.

31. Lee Y, Schmidt H, Collier TC, Conner WR, Hanemaaijer MJ, Slatkin M, Marshall JM, Chiu JC, Smartt CT, Lanzaro GC *et al*: **Genome-wide divergence among invasive populations of *Aedes aegypti* in California**. *BMC Genomics* 2019, **20**(1):204.

32. Timoshevskiy VA, Kinney NA, Becky Sd, Mao C, Tu Z, Severson DW, Sharakhov IV, Sharakhova MV: **Genomic composition and evolution of *Aedes aegypti* chromosomes revealed by the analysis of physically mapped supercontigs**. *BMC Biol* 2014, **12**(1):27.

33. Behura SK, Gomez-Machorro C, Harker BW, deBruyn B, Lovin DD, Hemme RR, Mori A, Romero-Severson J, Severson DW: **Global cross-talk of genes of the mosquito *Aedes aegypti* in response to dengue virus infection**. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2011, **5**(11):e1385.

34. Bariami V, Jones CM, Poupardin R, Vontas J, Ranson H: **Gene amplification, ABC transporters and cytochrome P450s: unraveling the molecular basis of pyrethroid resistance in the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti***. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2012, **6**(6):e1692.

35. Stevenson BJ, Pignatelli P, Nikou D, Paine MJ: **Pinpointing P450s associated with pyrethroid metabolism in the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypt*i: developing new tools to combat insecticide resistance**. *PLoS neglected tropical diseases* 2012, **6**(3):e1595.

36. Corena M, Seron TJ, Lehman HK, Ochrietor JD, Kohn A, Tu C, Linser PJ: **Carbonic anhydrase in the midgut of larval *Aedes aegypti*: cloning, localization and inhibition**. *J Exp Biol* 2002, **205**(Pt 5):591-602.

37. del Pilar Corena M, VanEkeris L, Salazar MI, Bowers D, Fiedler MM, Silverman D, Tu C, Linser PJ: **Carbonic anhydrase in the adult mosquito midgut**. *J Exp Biol* 2005, **208**(Pt 17):3263-3273.

38. Pierceall WE, Li C, Biran A, Miura K, Raikhel AS, Segraves WA: **E75 expression in mosquito ovary and fat body suggests reiterative use of ecdysone-regulated hierarchies in development and reproduction**. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 1999, **150**(1-2):73-89.

39. van Heusden MC, Thompson F, Dennis J: **Biosynthesis of *Aedes aegypti* lipophorin and gene expression of its apolipoproteins**. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 1998, **28**(10):733-738.

40. Bartholomay LC, Cho WL, Rocheleau TA, Boyle JP, Beck ET, Fuchs JF, Liss P, Rusch M, Butler KM, Wu RC *et al*: **Description of the transcriptomes of immune response-activated hemocytes from the mosquito vectors *Aedes aegypti* and Armigeres subalbatus**. *Infect Immun* 2004, **72**(7):4114-4126.

41. Endersby NM, Hoffmann AA, White VL, Lowenstein S, Ritchie S, Johnson PH, Rapley LP, Ryan PA, Nam VS, Yen NT *et al*: **Genetic structure of *Aedes aegypti* in Australia and Vietnam revealed by microsatellite and exon primed intron crossing markers suggests feasibility of local control options**. *J Med Entomol* 2009, **46**(5):1074-1083.

42. Turelli M, Hoffmann AA, McKechnie SW: **Dynamics of cytoplasmic incompatibility and mtDNA variation in natural *Drosophila simulans* populations**. *Genetics* 1992, **132**(3):713-723.

43. Waterhouse RM, Kriventseva EV, Meister S, Xi Z, Alvarez KS, Bartholomay LC, Barillas-Mury C, Bian G, Blandin S, Christensen BM *et al*: **Evolutionary dynamics of immune-related genes and pathways in disease-vector mosquitoes**. *Science* 2007, **316**(5832):1738-1743.

44. Pan X, Pike A, Joshi D, Bian G, McFadden MJ, Lu P, Liang X, Zhang F, Raikhel AS, Xi Z: **The bacterium *Wolbachia* exploits host innate immunity to**

establish a symbiotic relationship with the dengue vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *The ISME journal* 2018, **12**(1):277-288.

45.   Xi Z, Ramirez JL, Dimopoulos G: **The *Aedes aegypti* toll pathway controls dengue virus infection**. *PLoS Pathog* 2008, **4**(7):e1000098-e1000098.

46.   Kambris Z, Blagborough AM, Pinto SB, Blagrove MSC, Godfray HCJ, Sinden RE, Sinkins SP: ***Wolbachia* stimulates immune gene expression and inhibits plasmodium development in *Anopheles gambiae***. *PLoS Pathog* 2010, **6**(10):e1001143-e1001143.

47.   Kambris Z, Cook PE, Phuc HK, Sinkins SP: **Immune Activation by Life-Shortening Wolbachia and Reduced Filarial Competence in Mosquitoes**. *Science* 2009, **326**(5949):134-136.

48.   Rancès E, Ye YH, Woolfit M, McGraw EA, O'Neill SL: **The relative importance of innate immune priming in *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue interference**. *PLoS Pathog* 2012, **8**(2):e1002548.

49.   Coelho A, Fraichard S, Le Goff G, Faure P, Artur Y, Ferveur JF, Heydel JM: **Cytochrome P450-dependent metabolism of caffeine in *Drosophila melanogaster***. *PLoS One* 2015, **10**(2):e0117328.

50.   Chung H, Sztal T, Pasricha S, Sridhar M, Batterham P, Daborn PJ: **Characterization of *Drosophila melanogaster* cytochrome P450 genes**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**(14):5731-5736.

51.   McGraw E, Merritt D, Droller J, O'Neill SL: ***Wolbachia* density and virulence attenuation after transfer into a novel host**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002, **99**(5):2918-2923.

52.   Turelli M: **Evolution of incompatibility-inducing microbes and their hosts**. *Evolution* 1994, **48**(5):1500-1513.

53.   Terradas G, Allen SL, Chenoweth SF, McGraw EA: **Family level variation in *Wolbachia*-mediated dengue virus blocking in *Aedes aegypti***. *Parasit Vectors* 2017, **10**(1):622.

54.   Echaubard P, Duron O, Agnew P, Sidobre C, Noël V, Weill M, Michalakis Y: **Rapid evolution of *Wolbachia* density in insecticide resistant *Culex pipiens***. *Heredity (Edinb)* 2010, **104**(1):15-19.

55.   Carrington LB, Leslie J, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA: **The popcorn *Wolbachia* infection of *Drosophila melanogaster*: can selection alter i longevity effects?** *Evolution* 2009, **63**(10):2648-2657.

56.   Schmidt TL, Barton NH, Rašić G, Turley AP, Montgomery BL, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Cook PE, Ryan PA, Ritchie SA, Hoffmann AA: **Local introduction and heterogeneous spatial spread of dengue-suppressing *Wolbachia* through an urban population of *Aedes aegypti***. *PLoS Biol* 2017, **15**(5):e2001894.

57.   Jasper M, Schmidt TL, Ahmad NW, Sinkins SP, Hoffmann AA: **A genomic approach to inferring kinship reveals limited intergenerational dispersal in the yellow fever mosquito**. *Mol Ecol Resour* 2019.

58.   Lee SF, White VL, Weeks AR, Hoffmann AA, Endersby NM: **High-throughput PCR assays to monitor *Wolbachia* infection in the dengue mosquito (*Aedes**

aegypti) and *Drosophila simulans*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012, **78**(13):4740-4743.

59. Langmead B, Salzberg SL: **Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2**. *Nat Methods* 2012, **9**(4):357-359.

60. Kofler R, Orozco-terWengel P, De Maio N, Pandey RV, Nolte V, Futschik A, Kosiol C, Schlötterer C: **PoPoolation: a toolbox for population genetic analysis of next generation sequencing data from pooled individuals**. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(1):e15925-e15925.

61. Wickham H: **ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis**: Springer; 2016.

62. Kofler R, Pandey RV, Schlötterer C: **PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq)**. *Bioinformatics* 2011, **27**(24):3435-3436.

63. Asif H, Alliey-Rodriguez N, Keedy S, Tamminga CA, Sweeney JA, Pearlson G, Clementz BA, Keshavan MS, Buckley P, Liu C *et al*: **GWAS significance thresholds for deep phenotyping studies can depend upon minor allele frequencies and sample size**. *Mol Psychiatry* 2020.

64. Team RC: **R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria**. In.; 2019.

65. Hivert V, Leblois R, Petit EJ, Gautier M, Vitalis R: **Measuring Genetic Differentiation from Pool-seq Data**. *Genetics* 2018, **210**(1):315-330.

66. Rousset F: **Genetic differentiation and estimation of gene flow from F-statistics under isolation by distance**. *Genetics* 1997, **145**(4):1219-1228.

67. Medley KA, Jenkins DG, Hoffman EA: **Human-aided and natural dispersal drive gene flow across the range of an invasive mosquito**. *Molecular ecology* 2015, **24**(2):284-295.

68. Diniz-Filho JAF, Soares TN, Lima JS, Dobrovolski R, Landeiro VL, de Campos Telles MP, Rangel TF, Bini LM: **Mantel test in population genetics**. *Genet Mol Biol* 2013, **36**(4):475-485.

69. Gautier M: **Genome-Wide Scan for Adaptive Divergence and Association with Population-Specific Covariates**. *Genetics* 2015, **201**(4):1555-1579.

70. Coop G, Witonsky D, Di Rienzo A, Pritchard JK: **Using environmental correlations to identify loci underlying local adaptation**. *Genetics* 2010, **185**(4):1411-1423.

71. Jeffreys H: **The theory of probability**. Oxford.: Oxford University Press; 1961.

72. Günther T, Coop G: **Robust identification of local adaptation from allele frequencies**. *Genetics* 2013, **195**(1):205-220.

73. Quinlan AR, Hall IM: **BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features**. *Bioinformatics* 2010, **26**(6):841-842.

74. Camon E, Magrane M, Barrell D, Lee V, Dimmer E, Maslen J, Binns D, Harte N, Lopez R, Apweiler R: **The Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) Database: sharing knowledge in Uniprot with Gene Ontology**. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**(suppl_1):D262-D266.

75. Pearson WR: **An introduction to sequence similarity ("homology") searching**. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2013, **Chapter 3**:Unit3.1.

76. Tian W, Skolnick J: **How well is enzyme function conserved as a function of pairwise sequence identity?** *J Mol Biol* 2003, **333**(4):863-882.

77. Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y: **clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters**. *OMICS* 2012, **16**(5):284-287.

78. Yu G, Wang L-G, Yan G-R, He Q-Y: **DOSE: an R/Bioconductor package for disease ontology semantic and enrichment analysis**. *Bioinformatics* 2015, **31**(4):608-609.