TITLE
Single-cell intracellular pH dynamics regulate the cell cycle by timing G1 exit and the G2 transition

RUNNING TITLE
Dynamic single-cell pHi in cell cycle

AUTHORS AND AFFILIATIONS
Julia S. Spear[1,2] and Katharine A. White[1,2]
[1]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA
[2]Harper Cancer Research Institute, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, USA
*To whom correspondence should be addressed: kwhite6@nd.edu

KEYWORDS
Cell Cycle, Intracellular pH, Single-Cell Methods

SUMMARY STATEMENT
The authors measure single-cell intracellular pH (pHi) during mammalian cell cycle and find spatiotemporal oscillations. Manipulating pHi alters phase lengths and transitions, suggesting pHi dynamics regulate cell cycle progression.

ABSTRACT
Transient changes in intracellular pH (pHi) regulate normal cell behaviors, but roles for spatiotemporal pHi dynamics in single-cell behaviors remains unclear. Here, we mapped single-cell spatiotemporal pHi dynamics during mammalian cell cycle progression both with and without cell cycle synchronization. We found that single-cell pHi is dynamic throughout the cell cycle: pHi decreases at G1/S, increases in mid-S, decreases at late S, increases at G2/M, and rapidly decreases during mitosis. Importantly, while pHi is highly dynamic in dividing cells, non-dividing cells have attenuated pHi dynamics. Using two independent pHi manipulation methods, we found that low pHi inhibits completion of S phase while increased pHi promotes both S/G2 and G2/M transitions. Our data also suggest that low pHi cues G1 exit, with decreased pHi shortening G1 and increased pHi elongating G1. Furthermore, dynamic pHi is required for S phase timing, as high pHi elongates S phase and low pHi inhibits S/G2 transition. This work reveals spatiotemporal pHi dynamics are necessary for cell cycle progression at multiple phase transitions in single human cells.

INTRODUCTION

In normal epithelial cells, intracellular pH (pHi) is near neutral (~7.2) while extracellular pH (pHe) is more alkaline (~7.4) (White et al., 2017a). Transient changes in pHi driven by ion transporter activity (Boron, 2004) have been shown to regulate normal cell behaviors such as differentiation (Ulmschneider et al., 2016), proliferation (Flinck et al., 2018b), migration (Choi et al., 2013; Martin et al., 2011), and apoptosis (Sergeeva et al., 2017). However, most studies of pHi-dependent cell behaviors are limited because average pHi is measured across a population of cells, pHi measurements are performed in non-native cellular environments, or pHi is monitored over short timeframes during a long biological process. Thus, our understanding of how spatiotemporal single-cell pHi dynamics regulate cell behaviors is limited. Better understanding of how pHi dynamics drive single-cell behaviors will reveal mechanistic roles for pHi in regulating biology and validate pHi as a reporter of cell phenotype.

One pHi-dependent behavior where rigorous spatiotemporal single-cell pHi measurements could help reveal mechanism is cellular proliferation. Links between cell cycle and pHi were first identified in unicellular organisms, such as tetrahymena (Gillies and Deamer, 1979), *Dictyostelium* (Aerts et al., 1985), and *S. pombe* (Karagiannis and Young, 2001). In tetrahymena, two increases in pHi (~0.4 pH units) were observed pre- and post-S phase (Gillies and Deamer, 1979). However, all measurements of pHi changes were made at the population level and used harsh synchronization techniques (starvation and heat shock) that can disrupt essential cell metabolic functions in addition to regulating the cell cycle (Gillies and Deamer, 1979). In *Dictyostelium*, increased pHi (~0.2 pH units) was measured during S phase and when pHi was artificially increased, DNA replication and protein synthesis were increased (Aerts et al., 1985). However, no timing or delays in S phase progression were noted with pHi manipulation, and only population-level pHi measurements were reported. In conflict with these two previous studies, no relationship between cell cycle progression and pHi was found in *S. pombe* when pHi was monitored using a genetically-encoded pHi biosensor (pHluorin) (Karagiannis and Young, 2001). Therefore, the existing data in unicellular organisms is inconsistent on whether pHi dynamics are sufficient to regulate (or time) cell cycle progression. We note that these inconsistencies could be biologically meaningful and due to species-specific differences in cell cycle regulation, or the inconsistencies could be artifactual (due to non-physiological pHi measurements and manipulations in these models).

Some studies in animal cell models have also shown a relationship between pH and cell cycle

progression. In quiescent populations of human tumor cells, it was shown that a narrow range of

pHe values (pH 6.8 to 7.2) are required to recruit cells into the cell cycle (Taylor and Hodson,

1984). While this suggests that a defined range of pHe is required for normal proliferation, the

authors did not measure pHi during these experiments (Deutsch et al., 1982). Population-level

analyses of pHi in thymidine-synchronized MCF-7 breast cancer cells showed that pHi fluctuated

after thymidine release but no statistical significance was noted (Flinck et al., 2018a).

Strengthening the link between pHi and cell cycle regulation, knockdown of the Na^+-H^+ exchanger

(NHE1) and the Na^+-HCO_3^- transporter (NBCn1) caused elongation of S phase and a delay in the

G2/M transition in breast cancer cells (Flinck et al., 2018a), but single-cell pHi was not measured.

In another example, an increase in pHi driven by NHE1 was found to be required for G2/M

transition in fibroblasts, but single-cell pHi was not measured and pH was manipulated using

genetic knockout or overexpression of NHE1 (Putney and Barber, 2003). As ion transporters also

serve scaffolding and signaling roles, genetic knockdown produces transport-independent effects

on cell biology. In summary, although these studies lay a strong framework for a relationship

between pHi and cell cycle, single-cell pHi measurements in mammalian cells cultured under

native environments are needed to elucidate how temporal pHi dynamics regulate cell cycle

progression.

Here, we measure single-cell pHi under physiological growth conditions in both asynchronous

and synchronized cell populations to determine how pHi regulates cell cycle progression in single

cells. We found that single-cell pHi oscillates during cell cycle progression. Importantly, we

determined that pHi oscillations correlate with cell cycle stages: pHi decreases near the G1/S

transition, increases during mid-S, decreases again at S/G2 transitions, and finally increases at

G2/M followed by rapid acidification during mitosis. Using pHi manipulation and Fluorescent

Ubiquitination-based Cell Cycle Indicator (FUCCI) reporters, we determined that dynamic pHi is

necessary for normal cell cycle progression. Similar to prior work, we show that increased pHi is

required for successful completion of G2/M. But our work also reveals previously uncharacterized

pHi dynamics regulating both G1 exit and S phase duration. This work highlights advantages of

using single-cell pHi measurements to investigate single-cell behaviors like cell cycle progression

and suggests mechanisms for limiting pHi-dependent cell cycle progression in diseases with

dysregulated pH, such as cancer (increased pHi) (Harguindey et al., 2017; White et al., 2017a)

and neurodegeneration (decreased pHi) (Majdi et al., 2016).

RESULTS

Single-cell pHi in clonal cell lines is heterogeneous

We first examined whether asynchronous single-cell pHi measurements under physiological conditions could recapitulate population-level averages while also reporting on physiological single-cell heterogeneity. We used a genetically-encoded pH biosensor, mCherry-pHluorin (mCh-pHl) (Koivusalo et al., 2010), which has been used to measure pHi in cultured cells (Choi et al., 2013; Koivusalo et al., 2010) and tissues (Grillo-Hill et al., 2015) and has a dynamic linear range between pH 6.5 and 8.0 (Grillo-Hill et al., 2014). Briefly, direct measurement of pHi in single living cells can be achieved by performing ratiometric imaging of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence intensities. Fluorescence of pHluorin is pH-sensitive in the physiological range while mCherry fluorescence is pH-insensitive and used to normalize for biosensor expression. At the end of the experiment, single-cell standardization is performed using isotonic buffers of known pH containing the protonophore nigericin (Fig. 1A). This method of pHi measurement avoids issues of uneven dye loading, washout, and photobleaching associated with pH-sensitive dyes (Grillo-Hill et al., 2014).

We stably expressed mCh-pHl in normal lung epithelial cells (NL20), primary tumor site-derived lung cancer cells (A549), and metastatic site-derived lung cancer cells (H1299). We chose these lung-derived cells because these clonal cell lines are well characterized in literature, are morphologically heterogeneous, and tolerate stable expression of the mCh-pHl biosensor. We first confirmed that biosensor expression does not alter pHi homeostasis in these cells by comparing population pHi measurements of the clonal biosensor lines (NL20-mCh-pHl, A549-mCh-pHl and H1299-mCh-pHl) to matched parental cell lines (Grillo-Hill et al., 2014) (Fig. S1A-C). One distinct advantage of single-cell imaging experiments for pHi measurement is that pHi can be measured directly in conditioned media without the need to use fresh bicarbonate- or HEPES-based isotonic washing solutions that are required for population level assays (see methods for solution composition). Thus, single-cell pHi measurements are more likely to reflect accurate pHi setpoints and dynamics of cells and give better comparison to other cell biological assays or signaling profiles measured from cells cultured continuously in complete media.

Figure 1: Intracellular pH is heterogeneous in normal and cancerous lung cell lines and median pHi significantly increases in cancer cells.
A) Schematic of single-cell pHi measurements using a stably expressed pH biosensor, mCherry-pHluorin (mCh-pHl), and the protonophore nigericin to standardize the biosensor (see methods for details). B-D) Representative images of pHi measurements and standardization in B) NL20, C) A549, and D) H1299 cells stably expressing mCh-pHl. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μm. E-G) Histograms of single-cell pHi in E) NL20 (n=173, 3 biological replicates), F) A549 (n=424, 4 biological replicates), and G) H1299 (n=315, 3 biological replicates). Histograms are binned at 0.02 pH units. Above histograms, median±S.D. is shown. Significance was determined by a Mann Whitney test. (***p<0.001, compared to NL20).

We next measured single-cell pHi in individual NL20-mCh-pHl (Fig. 1B), A549-mCh-pHl (Fig. 1C), and H1299-mCh-pHl (Fig. 1D) cells. Representative pHluorin and mCherry channels and single-cell standardization curves can be found in Fig. S1D-I. To assay pHi heterogeneity in these clonal cell lines, we prepared distribution histograms of single-cell pHi measurements and found that the pHi of primary tumor cells (A549-mCh-pHl) (Fig. 1F; 7.54±0.07) was increased compared to normal lung epithelial cells (NL20-mCh-pHl) (Fig. 1E; 7.42±0.07). Importantly, metastatic tumor cells (H1299-mCh-pHl) had the highest median pHi (Fig. 1G; 7.65±0.07), which was significantly higher than both the normal and primary tumor clonal cell lines. To support these results, we also measured single-cell pHi in metastatic triple negative breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) and found it was also significantly increased (7.52±0.18) compared to matched normal breast

epithelial cells (MCF10A) (7.23±0.11) (Figure S2). Taken together, we find that aggressive cancer
cell lines have higher single-cell pHi compared to normal epithelial cells across multiple tissue
origins. Importantly, our data show that pooled single-cell pHi measurements reveal significant
heterogeneous pHi distributions that are lost in population-level analyses. These data also
suggest that even genetically-identical clonal cell lines exhibit single-cell pHi heterogeneity that
may be biologically meaningful and could report on non-genetic cell phenotype such as cell cycle
status.

Cells released from G1 synchronization have dynamic pHi
Next, we sought to measure pHi dynamics during cell cycle progression. We synchronized H1299-
mCh-pHl cells using Palbociclib, which blocks phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein and
synchronizes cells prior to the G1 checkpoint (Liu et al., 2018) (Fig. 2A). Palbociclib is an efficient
G1 synchronizer in H1299 cells, with nearly 85% synchronization after 24 h treatment and minimal
DNA damage (Trotter and Hagan, 2020). After Palbociclib synchronization, cells were imaged at
0, 4, 8, 12, 24, and 36 hours (h) after release (Fig. 2B) and single-cell pHi distributions were
measured (Fig. 2C). Qualitatively, we noticed that cells were larger at earlier time points (0-4 h)
and that at 12 h cells had cell rounding and smaller apparent cell size indicative of mitotic cells
(Fig. 2B). We observed oscillating pHi distributions during cell cycle progression where single-cell
pHi significantly decreased between 0 and 4 h, significantly increased between 4 and 8 h,
decreased again between 8 and 12 h, and finally increased between 12 and 24 h (Fig. 2D). These
data suggest that pHi is dynamic during cell cycle progression with temporally regulated
fluctuations in pHi after synchronization release.

We confirmed that Palbociclib appropriately synchronized the cells by immunoblotting for cyclins
from matched cell lysates (Fig. 2E). Cyclin E1 regulates G1/S (Siu et al., 2012), cyclin A2
regulates S and G2 phases (De Boer et al., 2008), while cyclin B1 regulates G2 and must be
degraded prior to anaphase in mitosis (Chang et al., 2003). We observe that cyclin E1, a marker
of G1/S, significantly increases from 0 to 4 h, which is expected for a cell population properly
synchronized in G1 phase (Fig. 2F). These cells undergo mitosis approximately 24 h post-
Palbociclib release because cyclin B1 levels, an inducer of G2/M, peaked 24 h post-release (Fig.
2H). By 36 h, protein abundance was similar across all cyclins, as expected in an asynchronous
population. Cyclin immunoblots and pHi agreed across 3 biological replicates (additional pHi
replicates and blots in Fig. S3).

Figure 2: Intracellular pH is dynamic following G1 synchronization and correlates with cyclin levels. A) Schematic of image acquisition after Palbociclib synchronization. B) Representative images of H1299-mCh-pHl cells at indicated time points after release. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios. Scale bar: 50 μm. C) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected as in B, from one biological replicate. Histograms binned at 0.02 pH units. Additional replicates in Fig. S3. D) Table of pHi values from data in C (median±S.D.). E) Representative immunoblots for cyclin E1, A2, and B1 with actin loading controls. Box and whisker plots of F) cyclin E1, G) cyclin A2, and H) cyclin B1 immunoblot data (3 biological

replicates). Additional replicates in Fig. S3. I) Violin plots of raw pHi (median red bars; 0 h, n=231; 4 h, n= 253; 8 h, n=262; 12 h, n=273; 24 h, n=338; 36 h, n=262; 3 biological replicates). In D and I, significance was determined by Kruskal Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons correction. In F-H, significance was determined by paired t-test. In D and F-I, each time point was compared to the preceding time point and in I, 0 h was compared to 24 h. (*p<0.05; ***p<0.001).

Single-cell pHi measurements on Palbociclib-treated cells were compared over three biological replicates and we found that pHi significantly decreased at the G1/S transition (4 h, 7.75±0.15) and in late S phase (12 h, 7.69±0.09), significantly increased at G2/M (24 h, 7.82±0.11), and then significantly decreased again at the end of the experiment in asynchronous cells (36 h, 7.67±0.10) (Fig. 2I). To assess if Palbociclib treatment alters resting pHi, we pooled synchronized time points (Fig. 2I, 0-24 h) and compared these data to pHi measurements at experiment endpoint (Fig. 2I, 36 h) and to untreated, asynchronous H1299-mCh-pHl pHi data (Fig. 1G). We note that pHi in Palbociclib-treated cells was significantly increased compared to untreated, asynchronous cells, indicating that Palbociclib synchronization may also alter pHi homeostasis (Fig. S3C). Previous work did find that Palbociclib induced markers of senescence and autophagy when used for >36 h (Capparelli et al., 2012), so this is a confounding factor on pHi at 24 h of treatment. However, the increases in resting pHi were uniform in our data and trends in pHi dynamics were robust across multiple biological replicates.

Cells exhibit cell cycle-linked pHi dynamics independent of cell cycle synchronization method

To confirm that the temporal pHi dynamics observed in Figure 2 were linked to specific cell cycle phases and not an artifact, or off-target effect, of Palbociclib synchronization, we next synchronized H1299-mCh-pHl cells in early S phase using a double-thymidine block (Chen and Deng, 2018). Thymidine acts as a DNA synthesis inhibitor by accumulating dTTP and depleting dCTP within the cell (Bjursell and Reichard, 1973; Bolderson et al., 2004). We synchronized H1299-mCh-pHl cells and imaged them at 0, 4, 8, 12, and 24 h after thymidine release (Fig. 3A-B). Qualitatively, cells were larger at 0 h and by 8 h had altered morphology that could indicate mitosis (Fig. 3B). In this representative replicate, single-cell pHi significantly decreased between 0 and 4 h, significantly increased between 4 and 8 h, decreased between 8 and 12 h, and increased again between 12 and 24 h (Fig. 3C-D). This general trend supports pHi data from cells released earlier in the cell cycle (Palbociclib, G1) (Fig. 2). Importantly, the observed phase-shifted pHi oscillations confirm that pHi dynamics are linked to cell cycle timing and not experiment timing, regardless of the synchronization method used.

We also confirmed that thymidine treatment appropriately synchronized the cells by
immunoblotting for cyclins from matched cell lysates (Fig. 3E). We found that cyclin E1 (G1/S)
peaks at 0 h, as expected for a cell population synchronized in early S phase (Fig. 3F). The cells
undergo mitosis approximately 8 h after thymidine release as cyclin A2 (S/G2) was highest at 4
h and significantly decreased by 8 h (Fig. 3G), while cyclin B1 (G2/M) peaked 8 h after release
(Fig. 3H). Cyclin E1 levels increased again by 12 h, suggesting that by 12 h most cells in this
assay had completed the cell cycle and progressed back to G1 (Fig. 3F). By 24 h, protein
abundance was similar across all cyclins, as we would expect in an asynchronous population.
Immunoblots for additional replicates are shown in Fig. S4, and pooled cyclin results match
previously published data on synchronized H1299 cell populations (Chen and Deng, 2018).

Single-cell pHi measurements from pooled thymidine-treated biological replicates revealed that
the median pHi of the cell populations decreased significantly at 4 h (late S phase), increased
from 4 to 8 h (G2/M), and decreased again from 8 to 12 h (M/G1) (Fig. 3I). This preserves the
oscillating pHi pattern measured in the individual replicate (Fig. 3B-C, additional replicates in Fig.
S4). Thymidine synchronization did not alter homeostatic pHi when compared to pooled
synchronized thymidine data (Fig. 3I, 0-12 h), asynchronous thymidine data (Fig. 3I, 24 h), or
untreated H1299-mCh-pHl cells (Fig. 3G) (Fig. S4C). The single-cell pHi data after thymidine
release recapitulates both the decreased pHi in late S phase and increased pHi at G2/M that we
measured after Palbociclib release, while also revealing a significant pHi increase during early S
phase.

To confirm that cell-cycle linked pHi dynamics are not unique to H1299 cells, we synchronized
A549-mCh-pHl with a double-thymidine block and observed similar pHi dynamics (Fig. S5). Cell
morphology and pHi oscillations matched H1299-mCh-pHl at respective time points (Fig. S5A),
with a decrease in pHi from 0 h to 4 h, an increase from 4 h to 8 h, and decreases at 12 h and 24
h (Fig. S5B-C). Again, synchronization was confirmed using cyclin immunoblots, where cyclin A2
(S/G2) peaked at 4 h, cyclin B1 (G2/M) peaked at 8 h, and both proteins were low at 12 h indicating
the start of a new cycle with cells in G1 (Fig. S5D). Like H1299-mCh-pHl cells, pooled A549-mCh-
pHl cell pHi significantly increased from 4 h to 8 h (G2/M) and decreased following mitosis at 12
h (Fig. S5E). Observing identical cell-cycle linked pHi dynamics across different cell models
suggests pHi increases leading to division (G2/M, 4-8 h) and decreases after division (G1, 8-12
h) may be necessary for division timing and re-entry into the cell cycle.

Figure 3: Intracellular pH is dynamic after release from early S phase in H1299-mCh-pHI cells and correlates with cyclin levels.

A) Schematic of image acquisition after a double-thymidine synchronization. B) Representative images of H1299-mCh-pHI cells at indicated time points after release. Ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bar: 50 μm. C) Histograms of single-cell pHi data collected in B, from one biological replicate. Histograms binned at 0.02 pH units. Additional replicates in Fig. S4. D) Table of pHi values from data in C (median±S.D.). E) Representative immunoblots for cyclin E1, A2, and B1 with respective actin loading controls. Box and whisker plots of F) cyclin E1, G) cyclin A2, and H) cyclin B1 immunoblot data (4 biological replicates). Additional replicates in Fig. S5. I) Violin plots of raw pHi values (median red bars; 0 h, n=500; 4 h, n= 468; 8 h, n=517; 12 h, n=558; 24 h, n=652; 4 biological replicates). In D and I, significance

276 was determined by a Kruskal Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons correction. In F-H,
277 significance was determined by a paired t-test. In D and F-I, each time point was compared to its
278 preceding time point and in I, 0 h compared to 24 h. (*$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$; ***$p<0.001$).
279

From these data, we conclude that pHi is dynamic through the cell cycle at the single-cell level:

pHi decreases during G1/S, increases in early S phase, decreases leading to S/G2, increases

prior to G2/M, and decreases following mitosis.

Single cells alkalize prior to G2/M, followed by rapid acidification during mitosis and pHi

recovery in daughter cells

In the previous experiments, cells from matched populations were identically treated and released

from synchronization for imaging at various time points after release. These time points showed

that single-cell pHi distributions oscillate with cell cycle progression, but the snapshots may not

reflect continuous single-cell pHi dynamics or cell cycle progression phenotypes. To address this

limitation, we established a time-lapse microscopy approach to track pHi dynamics over an entire

cell cycle in a single cell.

We first measured pHi changes in single asynchronous H1299-mCh-pHl cells. We observed cells

randomly dividing throughout the time-lapse, indicating the cells were asynchronous (Fig. S6A),

and representative stills of ratiometric time-lapse pHi imaging in a dividing cell are shown (Fig.

4A, Movie 1). For this cell, pHi quantification shows oscillating pHi dynamics similar to those

observed in the snapshot experiments (Figure 4B). Although we were able to mark entry into

mitosis via DNA condensation at prophase, we cannot determine other cell phase transitions with

this approach. We noted there is a prominent alkalization in the hours prior to mitosis (Figure 4A-

B, 19 h), followed by rapid acidification during mitosis (Figure 4A-B, P-C). To compare trends in

single-cell pHi dynamics across many individual cells, we selected prophase as a "normalization

point" for each individual dividing cell. We observed a significant period of alkalization that began

~7 h prior to division and persisted until prophase (Fig. 4C). These pHi increases in single dividing

cells correlate with the increased pHi observed during G2/M in the discontinuous endpoint data

(Fig. 2I, 3I, S5E) and suggest that increased pHi may be a required signal for division of single

cells. The single-cell time-lapse analysis also allows us to distinguish cells that undergo mitosis

and cells that do not. If pHi dynamics are a sufficient regulator of cell cycle progression, we may

expect to see attenuated pHi dynamics in non-dividing cells. To test this hypothesis, we quantified

pHi from non-dividing cells. Representative stills of ratiometric time-lapse pHi imaging in a non-

dividing cell from the asynchronous population are shown (Fig. 4D, Movie 2). We found that pHi

dynamics are attenuated in non-dividing cells compared to dividing cells (Fig. 4E-F), suggesting that pHi dynamics are correlated with successful cell cycle progression. Thus, pHi dynamics may be an important biomarker for or driver of normal cell cycle progression.

Figure 4: Intracellular pH increases leading to G2/M, followed by rapid acidification prior to division and pHi recovery in daughter cells.
A) Representative stills from Movie 1 of a dividing H1299-mCh-pHl cell at indicated time (h). Top is Hoechst dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom is ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 µm. B) Traces of calculated pHi values of the cell in A) (black, solid line) and in daughter cells (red and blue dotted lines). C) pHi changes in dividing cells, relative to pHi at prophase (P) for each individual cell (median±IQ range, n=39, 4 biological replicates). Significance was determined by a one-sample Wilcoxon test compared to 0 (red points, *p<0.05). D) Representative stills from Movie 2 of a non-dividing H1299-mCh-pHl cell at indicated time (h). Top is Hoechst dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom is ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 µm. F) Trace of pHi values of cell in E) (black, solid line) over time. G) pHi changes in non-dividing cells, relative pHi at 15 h (median±IQ range, n=25, 4 biological replicates). Significance was determined by a one-sample Wilcoxon test compared to 0 (red points, *p<0.05).

In order to directly compare single-cell time-lapse pHi dynamics to the prior data, we next collected time-lapse pHi measurements in thymidine-synchronized H1299-mCh-pHl cells. We observed bursts of mitotic cells at 15 h, indicating thymidine was appropriately synchronizing individual cells

(Fig. S6B), and representative stills of ratiometric time-lapse pHi imaging in a dividing cell are

shown (Fig. 5A, Movie 3). For this dividing cell, pHi increased through late S and G2 phases

(matched to Fig. 3 cyclin timing data), decreased during mitosis, and recovered rapidly in daughter

cells (Fig. 5B). Similarly to the asynchronous time-lapse data above, we used prophase as a

"normalization point" for comparing pHi in individual dividing cells and observed oscillating pHi

dynamics with a significant period of alkalization beginning ~11 h prior to division and persisting

until prophase, followed by a rapid acidification during mitosis and recovery in daughter cells (Fig.

5C). Non-dividing cells had significantly attenuated pHi dynamics compared to dividers at the

single-cell level (Fig. 5D-E, Movie 4). To compare trends in single-cell pHi dynamics for non-

dividing cells, change in pHi was calculated for each non-dividing cell from the average prophase

time in the synchronized time-lapses (15 h). We again observed attenuated pHi dynamics in single

non-dividing cells (Fig. 5F). Importantly, the extended alkalization observed prior to prophase (Fig.

4C, Fig. 5C) is not observed in either non-divider dataset. This suggests that dynamic pHi could

be a hallmark for cells moving through the cell cycle.

Since cell synchronization confers increased consistency of cell phase transitions, we binned the

thymidine data depending on timing of prophase (Fig. S6B). Importantly, we observed significant

alkalizations in each group ~5 hours prior to prophase regardless of mitosis timing (Fig. S6C),

which correlates with G2 entry based on previous data in H1299 cells (Rajal et al., 2021) and our

own data with FUCCI cell cycle reporters (shown below; G2 is ~4.0 h). These data strongly

suggest that the increased pHi we observe across all time-lapse datasets at 5 h coincides with

G2 entry. Next, to confirm that the dynamics we observed in the time-lapse are not an artifact of

increased mCh-pHl biosensor expression or altered biosensor photobleaching rates, we tracked

mCherry and pHluorin intensities over time in both dividers and non-dividers from the

synchronous time-lapses (Fig. S6D-E). We observed that mCherry fluorescence dynamics in

dividing cells show similar trends to non-dividing cells across the time-lapse experiment (Fig.

S6D). Furthermore, the pHluorin increases observed over time in dividing cells are not correlated

with increased mCherry fluorescence, indicating observed pHluorin increases are not due to

increases in biosensor expression (Fig. S6E) but instead reflect dynamic pHi in single cells.

These time-lapse data suggest that increased single-cell pHi dynamics may be correlated with

(or regulate) single-cell cell cycle progression. Supporting this hypothesis, parent cells that divided

within the 24 h period showed a significantly higher median pHi increase (0.16±0.07; Fig. 5G)

when compared to non-dividing cells (0.10±0.03; Fig. 5G). We note that the magnitude of pHi

changes observed in single dividing cells (~0.16 pH units) corresponds well with physiological pHi

increases previously reported in single cells during other cell behaviors, such as cell migration

(0.1-0.35) (Denker and Barber, 2002).

Figure 5: Cells released from S phase synchronization show pHi increases leading to G2/M,
rapid acidification prior to division, and pHi recovery of daughter cells.
A) Representative stills from Movie 3 of a dividing H1299-mCh-pHl cell at indicated time (h). Top
is Hoechst dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom is ratiometric display of pHluorin/mCherry
fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μm. B) Traces of calculated pHi values of the cell in A) (black,
solid line) and in daughter cells (red and blue dotted lines). C) pHi changes in dividing cells,
relative to pHi at prophase (P) for each individual cell (median±IQ range, n=39, 3 biological
replicates). Significance was determined by a one-sample Wilcoxon test compared to 0 (red
points, *p<0.05). D) Representative stills from Movie 4 of a non-dividing H1299-mCh-pHl cell at
indicated time (h). Top is Hoechst dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge. Bottom is ratiometric display
of pHluorin/mCherry fluorescence ratios, scale bars: 50 μm. E) Trace of pHi values of cell in D
(black, solid line) over time. F) pHi changes in non-dividing cells, relative to the pHi at average
time of prophase (determined from dividing cell data) (median±IQ range, n=22, 3 biological
replicates). G) Scatter plot of max pHi change in individual dividing (D) and non-dividing (ND)
cells (mean±S.D.). For D and F, significance was determined by a one-sample Wilcoxon test
compared to 0 (red points, *p<0.05). For G, significance determined by an unpaired t-test
(**p<0.01).
386

Taken together, these time-lapse data suggest that single dividing cells have oscillating pHi
dynamics with an increase in pHi leading to mitosis, a rapid acidification during mitosis, and
recovered pHi in daughter cells. Our next question was whether pHi dynamics regulate or time
cell cycle progression.

Dysregulated pHi dynamics affect cell cycle phase duration and cause phase-specific
arrests

We have shown pHi is dynamic and correlates with cell cycle phases in asynchronous cells, cells
synchronized at G1 with Palbociclib (Fig. 2), and cells synchronized at early S phase with
thymidine (Fig. 3). To compare pHi data from both synchronization techniques, we aligned pHi
data (Fig. 2I, Fig 3I) according to significantly increased cyclin B1 expression (Fig. 2H, Fig. 3H)
and found oscillating pHi dynamics throughout the cell cycle (Fig. 5A). We observed that pHi
decreases during G1/S, increases in mid-S phase, decreases prior to S/G2, and increases prior
to G2/M (Fig. 6A). In addition, the time-lapse data in asynchronous (Fig. 4C) and thymidine-
synchronized single cells (Fig. 5C) confirmed the dynamic increases in pHi leading to G2/M and
uncovered a rapid acidification during M phase (Fig. 6A). These data suggest a correlation
between pHi and cell cycle progression, but to determine a causal relationship, we sought to
manipulate pHi and monitor effects on cell cycle phases in real-time. To do this, we established
pHi manipulation techniques (Larsen et al., 2012; White et al., 2017b) and used the FUCCI cell
cycle reporter (Grant et al., 2018) to track single cells during cell cycle progression.

To manipulate pHi, we used combinations of selective ion transporter inhibitors to lower pHi and
media supplementation to raise pHi. To lower pHi, we used: Concanamycin A (CMA), which
inhibits V-ATPases (Huss et al., 2002); 5-(N-ethyl-N-isopropyl)amiloride (EIPA), which inhibits
NHE1 (White et al., 2017b); and 2-chloro-N-[[2′-[(cyanoamino)sulfonyl][1,1′-biphenyl]-4-
yl]methyl]-N-[(4-methylphenyl)methyl]-benzamide (S0859) (Larsen et al., 2012), which inhibits the
Na^+-HCO_3^- transporter (NBCn1) (see methods for details). Both incubation with CMA and
combination treatment with EIPA and S0859 (E+S) lowered pHi compared to CRL (lowering pHi
by ~0.08 and ~0.18 pH units, respectively; Fig. 6B). To raise pHi, we supplemented the media
with ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) or with bicarbonate (HCO_3^-) (raising pHi by ~0.23 and ~0.25 pH
units compared to CRL, respectively; Fig. 6B).

Figure 6: Single-cell pHi manipulation shows that pHi dynamics are key regulators of cell cycle. A) Median plots of single-cell delta pHi from synchronizations and asynchronous (Asynch.) time-lapses. Data reproduced from Fig. 2I, 3I, and 4C (thymidine, 4 h, n=4; Palbociclib, 12 h, n=3; Asynch., n=4) B) Single-cell pHi of H1299-mCh-pHl cells treated for 24 h with 15 μM EIPA and 30 μM S0859 (E+S, n=233) or 1 μM Concanamycin A (CMA, n=79) to lower pHi, untreated (CRL, n=602), or supplemented with 100 mM NaHCO₃ (HCO₃⁻, n=146) or 20 mM ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl, n=193) to raise pHi (see methods for details). Additional treatment time points are shown in Fig. S8. C) Representative stills from Movie 5. Shown is a single H1299-FUCCI cell with PIP-mVenus (green) and mCherry-Geminin (magenta) tracked through each cell cycle phase. Hoechst dye (DNA, cyan) and DIC merge shown; scale bars: 50 μm. D) Schematic of PIP-FUCCI reporter fluorescence during cell cycle phase transitions (Grant et al., 2018). E) Successful phase entry of cells starting in G1, where each treatment is normalized to matched controls. E+S (n=27), CMA (n=13), HCO₃⁻ (n=13), or NH₄Cl (n=13). For B, scatter plots (median±IQ range), with Mann-Whitney test to determine statistical significance (***p<0.001).

FUCCI reporters use regulatory domains of cell cycle proteins to differentially express fluorescent proteins and report on cell cycle progression in single cells (Fig. 6C-D). We used the PIP-FUCCI reporter, which allows improved delineation of S phase (Grant et al., 2018) compared to older FUCCI variants. PIP-FUCCI reporter fluorescence is driven by regulatory domains of PCNA interacting protein degron from human $Cdt1_{1-17}$ (PIP) fused to mVenus and $Geminin_{1-110}$ fused to mCherry. PIP-mVenus accumulates in the nucleus during G1 and is rapidly lost during onset of DNA replication (S phase). At the beginning of S phase, mCherry-Geminin accumulates and is

expressed throughout S, G2, and M phases. During the S-G2 transition, mVenus accumulates

again, and both mVenus and mCherry are co-expressed until division. Thus, the PIP-FUCCI

reporter system enables accurate delineation of both G1/S and S/G2. M phase is marked by

nuclear envelope breakdown and diffusion of mVenus and mCherry fluorescent proteins

throughout the cell. Mitosis and cytokinesis can also be monitored by DNA stain and DIC imaging

(Fig. 6C). Following cytokinesis, only mVenus is expressed in the two daughter cell nuclei,

marking G1.

To determine how pHi dynamics regulate cell cycle progression, we stably expressed PIP-FUCCI

in H1299 cells (H1299-FUCCI) (Fig. 6C, Movie 5) and applied the validated pHi manipulation

techniques to experimentally raise and lower pHi in cells (Fig. 6B). Using time-lapse confocal

microscopy, we tracked single cells (with and without pHi manipulation) over a 36 h period and

analyzed mVenus and mCherry fluorescent intensities to determine successful progression of

single-cell cell cycle phases (see methods for details).

First, we analyzed the successful transition of cells from G1 to subsequent cell cycle phases in

each pHi manipulation treatment compared to untreated (CRL) cells. We observed some common

effects of pHi manipulation on the ability of single cells to progress normally through the cell cycle.

First, successful S/G2 transitions were reduced when pHi was lowered with either E+S (11.8%)

or CMA (46.2%) and increased when pHi was raised with either HCO_3^- (107.6%) or NH_4Cl

(106.3%) (all compared to CRL, Fig. 6E). Second, successful G2/M transitions were similarly

reduced when pHi was lowered with E+S (0%) or CMA (23.3%) and increased when pHi was

raised with either HCO_3^- (116.7%) or NH_4Cl (130.2%) (all compared to CRL, Fig. 6E). We also

note that the phenotype of successful phase transitions correlated with the magnitude of pHi

changes with low pHi manipulation: larger decreases in pHi induced by E+S produced stronger

phenotypes compared to smaller pHi decreases induced by CMA. These data indicate that pH

dynamics do regulate successful cell cycle phase transitions, where decreased pHi is detrimental

to S/G2 and G2/M transitions and increased pHi promotes these transitions in single cells.

We next wanted to explore how pHi manipulation alters the length of cell cycle phases. We first

tested whether the selected pHi manipulation approaches induce replicative stress, as it has been

previously shown that replication stress can cause cell cycle phase dysregulation (Matthews et

al.; Técher et al., 2017). We treated cells with each of the pHi manipulation techniques (Fig. 6B)

and stained the cells for gamma-H2AX (H2AX), a common marker of replicative stress (Kuo and

Yang, 2008). We found that only CMA significantly increased H2AX staining, so we removed that

treatment condition from further analysis (Fig. S7). While prior work has shown that high

concentrations of EIPA can induce H2AX (Rolver et al., 2020), we did not observe induction of

H2AX with E+S in our system. We next considered whether altered metabolism contributed

significantly to the observed results. While supplementation with HCO_3^- raised pHi (Fig. 6B), it

also can alter extracellular pH (which we noted by change in phenol red indicator in the HCO_3^--

treated media) and also drastically alters cellular metabolism (Krycer et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020)

which can lead to dysregulated cell cycle phases independent of pHi (Toshihiro Mitaka, 1991).

For this reason, we also did not continue with full phase length quantification with HCO_3^-

treatment.

One benefit of using FUCCI reporters is that they can be used to assay cell cycle phase

completion but can also be used to directly measure cell cycle phase length (Fig. 7A). To quantify

cell cycle phase length, we plotted single-cell traces of FUCCI fluorescence intensities (mVenus,

mCherry) aligned to division time (Fig. 7B-D). Importantly, we validated that even within 4 hours

of treatment the pHi manipulations were sufficient to significantly change pHi (Fig. S8). Using

fluorescence intensity cutoffs to determine G1/S and S/G2 (Fig. 7A, see methods), we measured

significant differences in phase durations with high and low pHi conditions (Fig. 7E-H). From the

single cell traces, we first noted that G1 phase in daughter cells (dotted lines, after 0 h) was altered

in both E+S and NH_4Cl treated cells compared to CRL. G1 phase (high mVenus, low mCherry)

was significantly shortened in daughter cells at low pHi (Fig. 7E, 1.7±0.4 h) and significantly

elongated at high pHi (Fig. 7E, I 8.7±2.3 h) compared to CRL cells (Fig. 7E, 6.0±1.8 h). These

pHi-dependent changes in G1 phase duration indicate that low pHi may be a cue for G1 exit and

that aberrant alkalization delays this cell cycle transition. These data align with the measured

decreased pHi at G1/S in the prior endpoint assays (Fig. 6A).

Figure 7: Single-cell FUCCI traces show low pHi is a cue for G1 exit, S phase requires high and low pHi, and S/G2 requires high pHi. A) Schematic of PIP-mVenus (green) and mCherry-Geminin (magenta) fluorescence intensities during cell cycle phases. B-D) Traces from single H1299-FUCCI cells treated as in Fig. 6B (E+S: 15 µM EIPA + 30 µM S0859; NH₄Cl: 20 mM NH₄Cl). Traces aligned at time of division at 0 h and daughter cells are indicated by dotted lines: B) E+S, (n=23); C) CRL (n=187); D) NH₄Cl (n=72). (CRL and NH₄Cl: 3 biological replicates, E+S, 2 biological replicates). E-H) Cell cycle phase durations from all cell populations (dividers and non-dividers). E) G1 (E+S, n=22; CRL, n=151; NH₄Cl, n=51) F) S (E+S, n=3; CRL, n=88; NH₄Cl, n=26), G) G2 (CRL, n=90; NH₄Cl, n=34), and H) M (E+S, n=18; CRL, n=113; NH₄Cl, n=33). For E-H, scatter plots (median±IQ range), with Mann-Whitney test to determine statistical significance (*p<0.05; ***p<0.001).

We also found that high pHi significantly elongated S phase (Fig. 7F, 16.3±2.4 h) compared to CRL (Fig. 7F, 12.3±3.0 h), while low pHi inhibited the S/G2 transition for all but 7.8% of cells (Fig. 7E). This suggests that high pHi is a requirement for S phase transition to G2, but there is also a need for low pHi for correct timing of S phase duration. The requirement for an increase and decrease in pHi is supported by the synchronized single-cell pHi data, which showed an increase in pHi during mid-S phase and a decrease in late S phase or near the S/G2 transition (Fig. 6A). Thus, our data suggests that without an increase in pHi, cells cannot complete the S/G2 transition but that dynamic pHi is required for correct S phase timing.

We did not measure a significant difference in G2 phase length with high pHi compared to CRL

(Fig. 7G). Unfortunately, because low pHi cells could not complete the S/G2 transition, G2 phase

times could not be measured for this treatment. M phase duration for low pHi cells could be

measured only for cells in G2 or M during the start of the experiment. Based on these data, we

hypothesized that if a high pHi threshold was already met during early G2, low pHi cells had the

ability to complete division. This hypothesis aligns with the time-lapse data showing high pHi ~5

h prior to division followed by a rapid acidification during mitosis (Fig. 4C, 5C). We saw no

significant differences in M phase timing with pH manipulation. However, the longer acquisition

window (20 minutes) of the time-lapse experiments in this work could also reduce accuracy of M

phase length measurements.

The single-cell measurements presented here, both via endpoint assays and single-cell time-

lapse measurements, show novel oscillating pHi dynamics throughout the cell cycle. Our data

support prior work using ion transporter knockdown that showed high pHi regulates S phase

length (Flinck et al., 2018a) and G2/M (Putney and Barber, 2003). However, our work also reveals

novel decreases in pHi during G1/S, late S, and mitosis (Fig. 6A). Our combined use of single-

cell pHi manipulation and cell cycle reporters show that pHi plays an important role in regulating

the cell cycle, particularly for correct timing of G1 exit, S phase progression, and G2 entry (Fig.

8). Taken together, these results indicate that decreased pHi may be a cue for G1 exit but

prevents cells from completing S/G2 and G2/M. We also found that dynamic increases/decreases

are required for S phase, and increased pHi is necessary for G2 entry. In conclusion, our work

suggests oscillating single-cell pHi not only reports on but regulates cell cycle progression in

single cells.

Figure 8: Single-cell pHi is dynamic during cell cycle progression and regulates G1 exit, S phase duration, and S/G2 transition. During cell cycle progression, pHi decreases at the G1/S boundary, increases in mid-S phase before dropping in late S, increases through G2 and decreases leading to division. When pHi is experimentally decreased, cells have a shortened G1 and less S/G2 transitions. When pHi is experimentally increased, G1 and S phases are elongated. This suggests that low pHi cues G1 exit and high pH is necessary for G2 entry.

DISCUSSION

Intracellular pHi dynamics have been implicated in diverse cellular processes like differentiation (Ulmschneider et al., 2016), proliferation (Flinck et al., 2018b), migration (Martin et al., 2011), and apoptosis (Sergeeva et al., 2017). However, we have limited mechanistic understanding of how spatiotemporal and single-cell pHi dynamics regulate cell behaviors. This is partially due to reliance on population analyses, non-physiological environments, or genetic ion transporter ablation approaches to link pHi and phenotype (Czowski et al., 2020).

Here, we sought to characterize the relationship between pHi and cell cycle progression in single cells. Prior work at the population level suggested a role for pHi in regulating cell cycle progression (Flinck et al., 2018b). We show that single-cell pHi is dynamic and oscillates over an entire cell cycle, with pHi significantly decreasing at the G1/S boundary, increasing in mid-S, decreasing in late S phase, increasing through G2 and peaking at G2/M, before acidifying during mitosis and recovering in daughter cells. Here, we present three key results suggesting a regulatory link between pHi dynamics and cell cycle at both the population and single-cell levels.

First, we show that pHi significantly decreases at the G1/S boundary. These results were
consistent regardless of which cell cycle synchronization method was used. The single-cell pHi
manipulation data suggests that decreased pHi is a cue for G1 exit, as low pHi significantly
shortened G1 and high pHi significantly elongated G1 compared to control cells. These results
indicate a novel regulatory role for pHi acidification in regulating G1 exit. Future work will
investigate what molecular drivers may be responding to the low pHi observed at the G1/S
transition to time G1 exit or S phase entry in single cells.

Second, we show that pHi increases in mid-S phase and decreases before the S/G2 transition.
Experimentally lowering pHi inhibited S/G2 transitions and raising pHi allowed for increased
success of S/G2 transitions, but we also observed significantly elongated S phase with high pHi.
These data suggest that increased pHi is necessary for successful entry into G2, but dynamic pHi
(both increases and decreases) are important for proper timing of S phase. These data confirm
prior results at the population level showing pHi increases in S phase (Flinck et al., 2018a) and
successful transition through G2/M (Putney and Barber, 2003). However, our work also reveals
novel temporally-regulated decreases in pHi that may be necessary for successfully timed G1/S
and S/G2 transitions.

Third, we show that single-cell pHi peaks at G2/M and rapidly acidifies during M phase. The
increase in pHi during G2/M confirms prior work showing increased pHi correlated with increased
G2/M transition (Putney and Barber, 2003; Sellier et al., 2006). However, our data also suggests
a novel role for intracellular acidification during late M phase and division. Supporting our results,
recent work investigating intracellular lactate levels during cell cycle progression found that lactate
regulates the anaphase promoting complex (APC) and is important for efficient mitotic exit (Liu et
al., 2023). As lactate production releases protons as a byproduct, this recent work fits with the
rapid acidification we measured in single cells during mitosis. Future work by our lab will apply
optogenetic tools to spatiotemporally change pHi in single cells (Donahue et al., 2021) to further
characterize roles for decreased pHi in regulating M phase timing or successful chromosomal
segregation and division.

We also note that for most cells in the pHi manipulation experiments, we only monitored one
division. Prior work in single-cell cell cycle progression has suggested that mother cell mitogen
history affects daughter cell cycle (Min et al., 2020). Under E+S treatment, no daughter cells in
the dataset successfully continued a second round of the cell cycle after the G1/S transition,

though a handful of daughter cells divided again in the control population (~25%). Future work
will explore how dysregulated pHi dynamics in the mother cell alters or modulates daughter cell
outcomes.

Prior work has suggested increased pHi in cancer promotes proliferation and tumorigenesis
(Korenchan and Flavell, 2019; White et al., 2017a). Here, we show that while median pHi is
increased in cancer cells compared to normal cells from the same tissue, single-cell pHi is
heterogeneous and dynamic during cell cycle progression. However, the acidification of pHe also
plays a role in maintaining these phenotypes (Boedtkjer and Pedersen, 2020) and altered pHe
has been linked to cell cycle progression phenotypes in unicellular organisms (Aerts et al., 1985;
Gillies and Deamer, 1979) as well as in mammalian cells (Deutsch et al., 1982). The 2D imaging
in large relative volumes of bulk media performed here is unlikely to reflect proliferation in a tissue
and extremely unlikely to mimic the competitive and confined environment that is produced during
tumor growth and compression of surrounding tissue. Thus, in order to fully recapitulate roles for
pHi in proliferation of a tissue or tumor, we must explore the role for spatiotemporal pHi dynamics
in three dimensional environments. Future work will measure pHi gradients in normal and cancer
cells in three dimensional environments with various extracellular matrix compositions and
stiffnesses. This future work will have implications for how spatiotemporal pHi dynamics regulate
biology and could lead to new therapeutic routes for limiting pHi-dependent behaviors in diseases
with dysregulated pHi, such as cancer (Harguindey et al., 2017; White et al., 2017a) and
neurodegeneration (Majdi et al., 2016).

Single-cell techniques can elucidate single-cell behaviors and reveal heterogeneity not found at
the population level. Here, we addressed a critical need in the field to understand how pHi
dynamics regulate single cells during cell cycle progression. These pHi dynamics could be
essential for understanding complex cell biology that integrates single-cell and tissue-level
behaviors. For example, prior work showed pHi gradients are generated in morphogenetic tissues
(Weiß and Bohrmann, 2019). Our work now supports the hypothesis that bursts of synchronized
cell proliferation may underlie these observations. More work is necessary to determine how
temporal pHi gradients are generated during cell cycle phase transitions and whether a threshold
of pHi changes is required. With our data establishing a framework of pHi regulation during an
entire cell cycle, future work will determine which pH-sensitive proteins may be mediating and
correctly timing pH-dependent cell cycle progression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Conditions

Complete media for H1299 cells (ATCC CRL-5803): RPMI 1640 (Corning, 10-040-CV) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Peak Serum, PS-FB2); A549 (ATCC CCL-185) and MDA-MB-231 (ATCC HTB-26): DMEM (Corning, MT10013CVV) supplemented with 10% FBS; and NL20 (ATCC CRL-2503): Ham's F12 (Lonza, 12001-578) supplemented with 4% FBS, 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate (Sigma, S6014), 2.7 g/L glucose (VWR, BDH9230), 2.0 mM Glutamax (Gibco, 35050079), 0.1 mM nonessential amino acids (Lonza, BW13114E), 0.005 mg/mL insulin (Sigma, I1882), 10 ng/mL EGF (Peprotech, AF-100-15), 1 μg/mL transferrin (BioVision, 10835-642), 0.5 μg/mL hydrocortisone (Sigma, H0888). MCF10A (ATCC CRL-10317): DMEM/50% F12 w/ GlutaMax (Invitrogen, 10565-018) supplemented with 5% Horse Serum (Invitrogen, 16050-122), 0.02 μg/mL EGF (Peprotech, AF-100-15), 5 μg/mL Hydrocortisone (Sigma, H-0888), 0.01 mg/mL insulin (Sigma, I-1882), 0.1 μg/mL Cholera toxin (Sigma, C-8052), and 1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (Corning, 30-001-CI). All cells were maintained at 5% CO_2 and 37°C in a humidified incubator. All cell lines were authenticated and tested for mycoplasma in November 2022.

Transfections and stable cell line selection

H1299 cells were transfected with the pCDNA3-mCherry-SEpHluorin (Koivusalo et al., 2010) (mCh-pHl) or pLenti-CMV-Blast-PIP-FUCCI using Lipofectamine2000 (Life Technologies, 11668019) per manufacturer's instructions. After 24 h, cells were trypsinized and plated at a low dilution in a 10 cm dish with media containing 0.8 mg/mL Geneticin. Cloning cylinders were used to select colonies expressing mCh-pHl for expansion. A final clone was selected based on microscopy assay for mCh-pHl expression and comparison of cell morphology and pHi to parental H1299. For H1299-FUCCI, cells were trypsinized after 24 h transfection and plated at low dilutions (50 cells/mL) in a 96-well plate in media containing 0.8 mg/mL Blasticidin (Fisher, BP264725). Wells with equal expression were further expanded and screened on a microscopy assay for FUCCI expression and for similar cell morphology and pHi compared to parental H1299.

Lentiviral transfection was used to generate stable mCh-pHl expression in NL20 and A549 cells. Production of the virus was carried out in 293FT cells. Cells were grown to near confluency in a 10 cm dish and transfected with plx304-mCherry-SEpHluorin (Gift of Yi Liu and Diane Barber at UCSF) and two packaging plasmids: psPAX2 (Addgene #12260) and pmd2.G (Addgene #12259) provided by Siyuan Zhang (University of Notre Dame). Three μg each of the plx304-mCherry-

pHluorin, psPAX2, and pmd2.G were transfected into a nearly confluent 10 cm dish of 293FT
cells using Lipofectamine2000 for 18 h. Media was changed and incubated another three days.
Viral supernatant was collected from the cells and centrifuged for 15 min at 3000 rpm. The
supernatant was passed through a 0.2 μm polyethersulfone filter, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen in
1 mL aliquots, and stored at -80°C.

NL20 and A549 cells were plated in a 6-well plate for viral transduction. After 24 h, viral
supernatant was diluted 1:1.6, 1:3, and 1:10 into antibiotic-free media (depending on cell line)
with 10 μg/mL Polybrene (Sigma, TR-1003-G), added to separate wells and incubated for 48-72
hr. Transduced cells were moved to a 10 cm dish and selected with 0.8 mg/mL Blasticidin. NL20
cells were plated at low density in 96-well plates (50 cells/mL). Colonies expressing mCh-pHl
were expanded, and a final NL20-mCh-pHl clone was chosen with matched morphology and pHi
of parentals. A549 cells were sorted using fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), and a
population sort according to mCherry expression was used for all imaging experiments after
confirmation with microscopy.

BCECF plate reader assays
Cells were plated at $4.0x10^5$ - $8.0x10^5$ cells/well in a 24-well plate and incubated overnight. Cells
were treated with 2 μM 2',7'-Bis-(2-Carboxyethyl)-5-(and-6)-Carboxyfluorescein, Acetoxymethyl
Ester (BCECF-AM) (VWR, 89139-244) for 20 min at 37°C and 5% CO_2. NL20 and H1299 cells
were washed 3x5 minutes with a pre-warmed (37°C) HEPES-based wash buffer (30 mM HEPES
pH 7.4, 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM $KHPO_4$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, pH
7.4) to match their low bicarbonate media (RPMI, Ham's F12) and A549 cells were washed 3x5
minutes with a pre-warmed (37°C) Bicarbonate-based wash buffer (25 mM HCO_3, 115 mM NaCl,
5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM $MgSO_4$, 1 mM $KHPO_4$, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, pH 7.4) to match its high
bicarbonate media (DMEM). Two nigericin buffers (25 mM HEPES, 105 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$)
were supplemented with 10 μM nigericin (Fisher, N1495), pH was adjusted to ~6.7 and ~7.7, and
were pre-warmed to 37°C. Fluorescence was read (ex 440, em 535; ex 490, em 535) on a
Cytation 5 (vendor: BioTek) plate reader incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2. Kinetic reads were
taken at 15-sec intervals for 5 min, using a protocol established within Gen5 software. After the
initial pHi read, the HEPES/bicarbonate wash was aspirated and replaced with one of the nigericin
buffer standards, and cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 7 min. BCECF fluorescence
was read by plate reader as above. This process was repeated with the second nigericin standard.
As it takes significant time to equilibrate CO_2 in the plate reader, we did not measure nigericin

standardizations without CO_2. The mean intensity ratio (490/440) was derived from each read.

Measurements were calculated from a nigericin linear regression using exact nigericin buffer pH

to the hundredths place (Grillo-Hill et al., 2014).

Western Blot

Protein lysates were collected from 35-mm dishes or 6-well plates frozen at time points matched

to imaging. Ice-cold lysis buffer [50 mM TRIS, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM

Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 1% Triton X-100, Roche Protease Inhibitor Cocktail]

was added to the samples and incubated for 15 min on ice. Cells were scraped and centrifuged

for 10 min at 13,000 g at 4°C. The supernatant was retained, and protein concentration was

determined by Pierce™ BCA (ThermoFisher, 23225) protein assay.

15 µg protein was loaded onto an SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) that was run

for 3 h at 120 V in 1X Tris-glycine (3.02 g/L Tris, 14.4 g/L glycine, 1.0 g/L SDS). Either a wet-

transfer system or a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) was used to transfer the

proteins to a polyvinylidene fluoride (PDVF) membrane (pre-wet with methanol). For the wet

transfer, 1X transfer buffer (141 g/L Glycine, 0.3 g/L Tris base) with 20% Methanol for 1.5 h at

100 V. For the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer, Bio-Rad transfer buffer was used according to the

manufacturer's protocol (7 min). Membranes were blocked in 5% BSA in TBST (2.42 g/L Tris, 8

g/L NaCl, 0.1% Tween) for 2 h then divided for blotting. Primary antibodies: α-cyclin A2 (1:500;

Abcam, ab38), α-cyclin B1 (1:1,000; Cell Signaling, 12231), α-cyclin E1 (1:1000; Cell Signaling,

4129), actin (1:1,000; Santa Cruz, 2Q1055). Membranes were incubated with primary antibody

solution overnight at 4°C with shaking (4 h at RT with shaking for actin). Membranes were washed

3x10 min TBST at RT with shaking and incubated with secondary antibodies [1:10,000; goat α-

mouse IgG HRP (Bio-Rad, 1721011) or goat α-rabbit IgG HRP (Bio-Rad, 1706515)] for 2 h at RT

with shaking. Membranes were washed 3x10 min TBST at RT with shaking, developed using

SuperSignal™ West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoFisher, 34578), and

visualized using a ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad). ImageJ was used for protein

quantification, normalized to loading control.

Double-Thymidine block

Cells were plated at 10% confluency in 5 replicate 35-mm glass-bottomed dishes and 5 replicate

6-well plates (for protein lysate collection) and incubated overnight. Dishes were identically

treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma, T9250) for 18 h, washed with PBS quickly (<30 sec), and

incubated with fresh complete media for 9 h, then treated for another 18 h with 2 mM thymidine.
Cells were released with a quick (<30 sec) PBS wash and replaced with fresh complete media.
Imaging of the 0 h time point was initiated 20 min after release. Subsequent imaging was collected
at 4, 8, 12, and 24 h after release in respective media. Matched dishes at each time point were
washed twice with PBS and frozen at -80°C for protein lysate collection and immunoblot analysis
of cyclins.

For time-lapse imaging, the double-thymidine block was used as explained above on a single 35-
mm glass-bottomed dish supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep (Corning, 30-001-C1) to avoid
bacterial contamination during time-lapse microscopy. Hoechst 33342 solution (ThermoFisher,
62249) was added to the cells (1:20,000) before release and incubated for 15 min. Dye and
thymidine were removed, and cells were washed with PBS to release cells. Fresh media was
added, and images were collected every 20 min for 24 hr. Acquisition parameters: 700 ms
exposure time and 8% laser power for GFP; 700 ms exposure time and 10% laser power for
TxRed; and 100 ms exposure time and 5% laser power DAPI. A single Z-plane was collected to
avoid photobleaching. Nigericin standards were carried out as previously described (Grillo-Hill et
al., 2014). For the asynchronous time-lapses, cells were plated the day prior to imaging and
images were collected identically to thymidine-treated cells.

Palbociclib Synchronization
Cells were plated at 10% confluency in 5 replicate 35-mm glass-bottomed dishes and 5 replicate
6-well plates (for protein lysate collection) and incubated overnight. Dishes were identically
treated with 0.1 µM Palbociclib (PD-0332991) (Selleck, S1116) for 24 h. Cells were washed with
PBS quickly (<30 sec) and released with complete fresh media. Imaging of the 0 h time point was
initiated 20 min after release. Subsequent images were collected at 4, 8, 12, 24, and 36 h post-
release in respective media (1 replicate 0-24 h, 2 replicates 0-36 h). Matched dishes at each time
point were washed twice with PBS and frozen at -80°C for protein lysate collection and
immunoblot analysis of cyclins.

FUCCI cell cycle assays
For H1299-FUCCI time-lapses, cells were plated in a 4-well imaging dish (10,000 cells/well) and
supplemented with 1% Pen/Strep (Corning, 30-001-C1) to avoid bacterial contamination during
long-term acquisition. For HCO_3^- supplementation, 35-mm glass-bottomed dishes were used.
Hoechst dye was added to the cells (1:20,000) 2-4 h prior to imaging and incubated for 15 min,

dye solution was removed, and fresh media was added to the cells. Experiments were started

immediately after treatments were added to the cultured media and images were collected every

20 min for 36 hr. Optimal acquisition parameters were as follows: 200 ms exposure time and 8%

laser power for GFP; 800 ms exposure time and 10% laser power for mCherry; and 200 ms

exposure time and 5% laser power DAPI.

Microscopy

Imaging protocol was derived from Grillo-Hill et al. Cells were plated on a 35-mm imaging dish

with a 14-mm glass coverslip (Matsunami, D35-14-1.5-U) a day before imaging. Microscope

objectives were preheated to 37°C, and the stage-top incubator was preheated to 37°C and kept

at 5% CO_2/95% air. Confocal images were collected on a Nikon Ti-2 spinning disk confocal with

a 40x (CFI PLAN FLUOR NA1.3) oil immersion objective. The microscope is equipped with a

stage-top incubator (Tokai Hit), a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal head (CSU-X1), four laser

lines (405nm, 488nm, 561 nm, 647 nm), a Ti2-S-SE motorized stage, multi-point perfect focus

system, and an Orca Flash 4.0 CMOS camera. Hoechst dye (405 laser ex, 455/50 em), pHluorin

(488 laser ex, 525/36 em), TxRed (561 laser ex, 605/52 em), and mCherry (561 laser ex, 630/75

em), SNARF (561 laser ex, 705/72 em) were used. Acquisition times for each fluorescence

acquisition ranged from 100-800 milliseconds.

Immunofluorescence Assays

Cells were plated in a 4-well imaging dish (20,000 cells/well) overnight, then treated with pHi-

manipulation media or etoposide (positive control to validate H2AX antibody, 10 µM) for 24 h.

Cells were rinsed with PBS and fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde (Alfa Aestar, 33314) at room

temperature for 10 minutes. Cells were washed 3x2 min with DPBS, then incubated lysing buffer

(0.1% Triton-X in DPBS) for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed 3x2 min with

DPBS, then incubated in blocking buffer (1.0% BSA in DPBS) for 1 hour at RT with rocking

followed by 3x2 min wash in DPBS. Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with H2AX (1:100;

Cell Signaling, 9718S) in antibody buffer (0.1% Triton-X, 1.0% BSA in DPBS). Cells were washed

3x2 min in DPBS, then incubated with secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit,

1:1000, Invitrogen, A11008) for 1 h at room temperature. After 3x2 min washes in PBS, Hoechst

dye (1:20,000) in antibody buffer was added for 15 min then removed. Cells were imaged in DPBS

on a spinning disk confocal previously mentioned: Hoechst dye (405 laser ex, 455/50 em),

AlexaFlour488 (488 laser ex, 525/36 em).

SNARF microscopy assays

We note that we were not able to isolate an mCherry-pHluorin stable cell line for MCF10A, so comparisons between MCF10A and MDA-MB-231 were performed using the pH-sensitive dye SNARF [4-(and-6)-CarboxySNARF-1 acetoxymethyl ester, acetate] (Invitrogen, C1272). Cells were plated at 4.0×10^5 cells/well in an imaging dish (Matsunami). Conditioned media was removed and cells were treated with 20 µM SNARF in serum-free media for 15 minutes and then media was replaced with conditioned media. Images were collected similarly to mCh-pHl experiments using nigericin standardization.

Intracellular pH imaging and data collection

For all pHi imaging (SNARF and mCherry-pHluorin), initial fields of view (FOV) were collected on the cells in their respective media. For all imaging, nigericin buffers were prepared identically to BCECF assays, and all buffer exchanges were carried out on the stage incubator to preserve XY positioning. Multiple Z-planes were collected with the center focal plane maintained using the Nikon Ti2 Perfect Focus System (PFS).

For time-lapse pHi and FUCCI imaging, a single Z-plane was collected to avoid excess light and additional water was added to the stage top incubator at 18 h. For pHi manipulation validation, cells were plated at 20% confluency on a 35-mm imaging dish with a 14-mm glass coverslip and incubated overnight. For Fig. 6, cells were treated with a combination of 15 µM EIPA/30 µM S0859 (E+S), 1 µM Concanamycin A (CMA), 100 mM $NaHCO_3^-$ (HCO_3^-), or 20 mM ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl) diluted in fresh media and incubated for 24 h. For Fig. S8, cells were treated with E+S or NH_4Cl for 4, 8, or 12 h. Both imaging collection and pHi calculations were completed identically to other single-cell pHi measurement experiments. E+S, HCO_3^-, and NH_4Cl treated cells with respective controls were corrected for photobleaching by collecting images of cells in nigericin buffers (pH 7.4) with treatment supplemented but without nigericin present.

Image Quantification

Images were background-subtracted using an ROI drawn on a glass coverslip (determined by DIC). For pHi quantification, individual Regions of Interest (ROI) were drawn for each cell in each condition (initial, high pH nigericin, and low pH nigericin). For SNARF assays, mean TxRed and SNARF pixel intensities were quantified for each cell and SNARF/TxRed ratios were calculated in excel. For mCherry-pHluorin assays, mCherry aggregates are removed using thresholding holes and then each pHluorin and mCherry pixel intensities were quantified for each cell. The

pHluorin/mCherry ratios were calculated in excel. In both cases, a cutoff of 100 a.u. was used for

both pHluorin and mCherry intensity values after exporting. For each cell, nigericin values were

used to generate a standard curve, and pHi was back-calculated from the single-cell standard

curve.

For FUCCI analysis, cells were tracked using NIS Analysis software and nuclear regions of

interest (ROI) based on DNA stain. In case of improper tracking, manual tracking was used to

redraw ROIs. Manual tracking was also used during mitosis when the signals diffused throughout

the cell. mVenus and mCherry intensities were exported from matched single-cell nuclear ROI at

each time point over 36 h. Cell cycle phases were determined by mVenus or mCherry

fluorescence intensity, adapted from Grant et al. For each individual cell trace, including

subsequent daughter cells, an excel macro was used to determine timepoints for mVenus and

mCherry cutoffs. G1/S was defined as a decrease in mVenus signal below 5% of maximum

mVenus intensity. As validation of G1/S, S phase entry was defined as the first time point after

mCherry minimum that showed a 3% (determined from mCherry maximum) increase in mCherry

intensity compared to the previous point. S/G2 was defined as point at which mVenus intensity

rose above 2% of mVenus maximum compared to the previous point. G2/M and M/G1 were

defined by nuclear envelope breakdown and division into two daughter cells, respectively.

For H2AX staining, after background subtraction, the nuclear regions of interest (ROI) were drawn

based on DNA stain and GFP intensities were exported for each treatment condition.

Statistics

GraphPad Prism was used to prepare graphs and perform statistical analyses. Normality tests

were performed on all data sets as well as outlier test using the ROUT method (Q=1%). For

normally distributed data, an unpaired t-test (Fig. S1A-C; Fig. 5G) or paired t-test (Fig. 2F-H; Fig.

3F-H) was used. A Mann Whitney test was used for non-normal, unpaired data (Fig. 1F-G; Fig.

S3C; Fig. S4C; Fig. S5F; Fig. 6B, 7E-H; Fig. S8). For time-lapse data (Fig. 4C, 4F; Fig. 5C, 5F),

a one-sample Wilcoxon test was used, compared to a theoretical mean of 0. For non-normal,

unpaired data with more than two sets, a Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons

test was used (Fig. 2D, 2I; Fig. S3A; Fig. 3D, 3I; Fig. S4A; Fig. S5C, S5E). Values were binned

at 0.02 in all frequency distributions. All significance was indicated in figures by the following:

*$p<0.05$; **$p<0.01$; ***$p<0.001$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Dr. Siyuan Zhang (University of Notre Dame) and Dr. Diane Barber (University of California San Francisco) for plasmids. We would also like to thank members of the White lab for their helpful feedback on the manuscript. Figure schematics (Fig. 1A, 2A, 3A, 5D, 6A, 8) created with BioRender.com.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a DP2 (1DP2CA260416-01) to K.A.W.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JSS & KAW Conception and design. JSS & KAW acquisition of data. JSS & KAW acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; drafting and revising manuscript text.

REFERENCES

Aerts, R. J., Durston, A. J. and Moolenaar, W. H. (1985). Cytoplasmic pH and the regulation of the dictyostelium cell cycle. *Cell* **43**, 653–657.

Bjursell, G. and Reichard, P. (1973). Effects of thymidine on deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate pools and deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis in Chinese hamster ovary cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **248**, 3904–3909.

Boedtkjer, E. and Pedersen, S. F. (2020). The Acidic Tumor Microenvironment as a Driver of Cancer. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **82**, 103–126.

Bolderson, E., Scorah, J., Helleday, T., Smythe, C. and Meuth, M. (2004). ATM is required for the cellular response to thymidine induced replication fork stress. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **13**, 2937–2945.

Boron, W. F. (2004). Regulation of intracellular pH. *Am. J. Physiol. - Adv. Physiol. Educ.* **28**, 160–179.

Capparelli, C., Chiavarina, B., Whitaker-Menezes, D., Pestell, T. G., Pestell, R. G., Hulit, J., Andò, S., Howell, A., Martinez-Outschoorn, U. E., Sotgia, F., et al. (2012). CDK inhibitors (p16/p19/p21) induce senescence and autophagy in cancer-associated fibroblasts, "fueling" tumor growth via paracrine interactions, without an increase in neo-angiogenesis. *Cell Cycle* **11**, 3599–3610.

Chang, D. C., Xu, N. and Luo, K. Q. (2003). Degradation of cyclin B is required for the onset of
anaphase in mammalian cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 37865–37873.

Chen, G. and Deng, X. (2018). Cell Synchronization by Double Thymidine Block. *Bio-Protocol*
8,.

Choi, C. H., Webb, B. A., Chimenti, M. S., Jacobson, M. P. and Barber, D. L. (2013). pH
sensing by FAK-His58 regulates focal adhesion remodeling. *J. Cell Biol.* **202**, 849–859.

Czowski, B. J., Romero-Moreno, R., Trull, K. J. and White, K. A. (2020). Cancer and pH
dynamics: Transcriptional regulation, proteostasis, and the need for new molecular tools.
Cancers (Basel). **12**, 1–19.

De Boer, L., Oakes, V., Beamish, H., Giles, N., Stevens, F., Somodevilla-Torres, M.,
DeSouza, C. and Gabrielli, B. (2008). Cyclin A/cdk2 coordinates centrosomal and nuclear
mitotic events. *Oncogene* **27**, 4261–4268.

Denker, S. P. and Barber, D. L. (2002). Cell migration requires both ion translocation and
cytoskeletal anchoring by the Na-H exchanger NHE1. *J. Cell Biol.* **159**, 1087–1096.

Deutsch, C., Taylor, J. S. and Wilson, D. F. (1982). Regulation of intracellular pH by human
peripheral blood lymphocytes as measured by 19F NMR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **79**,
7944–7948.

Donahue, C. E. T., Siroky, M. D. and White, K. A. (2021). An Optogenetic Tool to Raise
Intracellular pH in Single Cells and Drive Localized Membrane Dynamics. *J. Am. Chem.*
Soc. **143**, 18877–18887.

Flinck, M., Kramer, S. H., Schnipper, J., Andersen, A. P. and Pedersen, S. F. (2018a). The
acid-base transport proteins NHE1 and NBCn1 regulate cell cycle progression in human
breast cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* **17**, 1056–1067.

Flinck, M., Kramer, S. H. and Pedersen, S. F. (2018b). Roles of pH in control of cell
proliferation. *Acta Physiol.* **223**, e13068.

Gillies, R. J. and Deamer, D. W. (1979). Intracellular pH changes during the cell cycle in
Tetrahymena. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **100**, 23–31.

Grant, G. D., Kedziora, K. M., Limas, J. C., Gowen Cook, J. and Purvis, J. E. (2018).
Accurate delineation of cell cycle phase transitions in living cells with PIP-FUCCI.

Grillo-Hill, B. K., Webb, B. A. and Barber, D. L. (2014). Ratiometric Imaging of pH Probes. In
Methods in Cell Biology, pp. 429–448.

Grillo-Hill, B. K., Choi, C., Jimenez-Vidal, M. and Barber, D. L. (2015). Increased H+ efflux is
sufficient to induce dysplasia and necessary for viability with oncogene expression. *Elife*
2015, 1–31.

Harguindey, S., Stanciu, D., Devesa, J., Alfarouk, K., Cardone, R. A., Polo Orozco, J. D.,
Devesa, P., Rauch, C., Orive, G., Anitua, E., et al. (2017). Cellular acidification as a new
approach to cancer treatment and to the understanding and therapeutics of
neurodegenerative diseases. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **43**, 157–179.

Huss, M., Ingenhorst, G., König, S., Gaßel, M., Dröse, S., Zeeck, A., Altendorf, K. and
Wieczorek, H. (2002). Concanamycin A, the specific inhibitor of V-ATPases, binds to the
V(o) subunit c. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 40544–40548.

Karagiannis, J. and Young, P. G. (2001). Intracellular pH homeostasis during cell-cycle
progression and growth state transition in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *J. Cell Sci.* 2929–
2941.

Koivusalo, M., Welch, C., Hayashi, H., Scott, C. C., Kim, M., Alexander, T., Touret, N.,
Hahn, K. M. and Grinstein, S. (2010). Amiloride inhibits macropinocytosis by lowering
submembranous pH and preventing Rac1 and Cdc42 signaling. *J. Cell Biol.*

Korenchan, D. E. and Flavell, R. R. (2019). Spatiotemporal pH heterogeneity as a promoter of
cancer progression and therapeutic resistance. *Cancers (Basel).* **11**, 1026.

Krycer, J. R., Fisher-Wellman, K. H., Fazakerley, D. J., Muoio, D. M. and James, D. E.
(2017). Bicarbonate alters cellular responses in respiration assays. *Biochem. Biophys.*
Res. Commun. **489**, 399.

Kuo, L. J. and Yang, L. X. (2008). γ-H2AX - A Novel Biomarker for DNA Double-strand Breaks.
In Vivo (Brooklyn). **22**, 305–309.

Larsen, A. M., Krogsgaard-Larsen, N., Lauritzen, G., Olesen, C. W., Honoréhansen, S.,
Boedtkjer, E., Pedersen, S. F. and Bunch, L. (2012). Gram-Scale Solution-Phase
Synthesis of Selective Sodium Bicarbonate Co-transport Inhibitor S0859: in vitro Efficacy
Studies in Breast Cancer Cells. *ChemMedChem* **7**, 1808–1814.

Li, S., Shyam, R., Ogando, D. G. and Bonanno, J. A. (2020). Bicarbonate activates glycolysis
and lactate production in corneal endothelial cells by increased pHi. *Exp. Eye Res.* **199**,
108193.

Liu, M., Liu, H. and Chen, J. (2018). Mechanisms of the CDK4/6 inhibitor palbociclib (PD
0332991) and its future application in cancer treatment (Review). *Oncol. Rep.* **39**, 901–911.

Liu, W., Wang, Y., Bozi, L. H. M., Fischer, P., Jedrychowski, M. P., Xiao, H., Wu, T.,
Darabedian, N., He, X., Mills, E. L., et al. (2023). Lactate regulates cell cycle by
remodeling the anaphase promoting complex. *Nat. 2023* 1–3.

Majdi, A., Mahmoudi, J., Sadigh-Eteghad, S., Golzari, S. E. J., Sabermarouf, B. and
Reyhani-Rad, S. (2016). Permissive role of cytosolic pH acidification in

neurodegeneration: A closer look at its causes and consequences. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **94**, 879–887.

Martin, C., Pedersen, S. F., Schwab, A. and Stock, C. (2011). Intracellular pH gradients in migrating cells. *Am. J. Physiol. - Cell Physiol.* **300**, 490–495.

Matthews, H. K., Bertoli, C. and M Bruin, R. A. Cell cycle control in cancer.

Min, M., Rong, Y., Tian, C. and Spencer, S. L. (2020). Temporal integration of mitogen history in mother cells controls proliferation of daughter cells. *Science (80-.).* **368**, 1261–1265.

Putney, L. K. and Barber, D. L. (2003). Na-H Exchange-dependent Increase in Intracellular pH Times G2/M Entry and Transition. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 44645–44649.

Rajal, A. G., Marzec, K. A., McCloy, R. A., Nobis, M., Chin, V., Hastings, J. F., Lai, K., Kennerson, M., Hughes, W. E., Vaghjiani, V., et al. (2021). A non-genetic, cell cycle-dependent mechanism of platinum resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. *Elife* **10**,.

Rolver, M. G., Elingaard-Larsen, L. O., Andersen, A. P., Counillon, L. and Pedersen, S. F. (2020). Pyrazine ring-based Na+/H+ exchanger (NHE) inhibitors potently inhibit cancer cell growth in 3D culture, independent of NHE1. *Sci. Rep.* **10**,.

Sellier, C., Bodart, J. F., Flament, S., Baert, F., Cannon, J. and Vilain, J. P. (2006). Intracellular acidification delays hormonal G2/M transition and inhibits G2/M transition triggered by thiophosphorylated MAPK in xenopus oocytes. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **98**, 287–300.

Sergeeva, T. F., Shirmanova, M. V., Zlobovskaya, O. A., Gavrina, A. I., Dudenkova, V. V., Lukina, M. M., Lukyanov, K. A. and Zagaynova, E. V. (2017). Relationship between intracellular pH, metabolic co-factors and caspase-3 activation in cancer cells during apoptosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell Res.* **1864**, 604–611.

Siu, K. T., Rosner, M. R. and Minella, A. C. (2012). An integrated view of cyclin E function and regulation. *Cell Cycle* **11**, 57–64.

Taylor, I. W. and Hodson, P. J. (1984). Cell cycle regulation by environmental pH. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **121**, 517–525.

Técher, H., Koundrioukoff, S., Nicolas, A. and Debatisse, M. (2017). The impact of replication stress on replication dynamics and DNA damage in vertebrate cells. *Nat. Publ. Gr.* **18**,.

Toshihiro Mitaka, G. L. S. and H. C. P. (1991). The Bicarbonate Ion Is Essential for Efficient DNA Synthesis by Primary Cultured Rat Hepatocytes on JSTOR. *Vitr. Cell. Dev. Biol.* 549–556.

Trotter, E. W. and Hagan, I. M. (2020). Release from cell cycle arrest with Cdk4/6 inhibitors generates highly synchronized cell cycle progression in human cell culture. *Open Biol.* **10**,

1011   200200.

Ulmschneider, B., Grillo-Hill, B., Benitez, M., Azimova, D., Barber, D. and Nystul, T. (2016).
       Increased intracellular pH is necessary for adult epithelial and embryonic stem cell
       differentiation. *J. Cell Biol.* **215**, 345–355.

Weiß, I. and Bohrmann, J. (2019). Electrochemical gradients are involved in regulating
       cytoskeletal patterns during epithelial morphogenesis in the Drosophila ovary. *BMC Dev.*
       Biol. **19**,.

White, K. A., Grillo-Hill, B. K. and Barber, D. L. (2017a). Cancer cell behaviors mediated by
       dysregulated pH dynamics at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* **130**, 663–669.

White, K. A., Ruiz, D. G., Szpiech, Z. A., Strauli, N. B., Hernandez, R. D., Jacobson, M. P.
       and Barber, D. L. (2017b). Cancer-associated arginine-to-histidine mutations confer a gain
       in pH sensing to mutant proteins. *Sci. Signal.* **10**,.