### **1** Beyond tracking climate: niche evolution during native range expansion and its

### 2 implications for novel invasions

- 3 Nicky Lustenhouwer<sup>1\*</sup> and Ingrid M. Parker<sup>1</sup>
- 4

5

<sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Santa Cruz, USA

6 \* corresponding author: nlustenh@ucsc.edu

7

## 8 Abstract

9 Ecological niche models have been instrumental in understanding and forecasting the widespread 10 shifts in species distributions under global change. However, growing evidence of evolution in 11 spreading populations challenges their key assumption of niche conservatism, limiting model 12 transferability in space and time. Climate niche evolution has been studied extensively in invasive species, but may also occur in native populations tracking climate change, when species 13 14 encounter novel abiotic conditions that vary with latitude. We compared niche shifts during 15 native range expansion and during invasion in *Dittrichia graveolens*, a Mediterranean annual 16 plant species that is currently undergoing both types of spread. We asked whether the species' 17 northward native range expansion in Eurasia matched climate change from 1901-1930 to 1990-18 2019, or if further range expansion was promoted by niche evolution. In addition, we asked how 19 niche expansion in the native range affected forecasts of two ongoing invasions in Australia and 20 California. We quantified niche shifts in environmental space using the analytical framework of 21 niche centroid shift, overlap, unfilling, and expansion (COUE), and examined associated 22 distribution changes in geographic space using Maximum Entropy modeling. Our results show 23 that *D. graveolens* expanded its native range well beyond what would be sufficient to track

24	climate change, a shift associated with a 5.5% niche expansion to include more temperate
25	climates. In contrast, both invasions showed evidence of niche conservatism, with niche filling
26	depending on invader residence time. Including the expanded native niche in invasion
27	projections added new areas at risk of invasion, but none of these has been colonized at present.
28	We conclude that native populations may track climate change and adapt to novel local
29	conditions in parallel, causing an evolutionary expansion of the climate niche and more
30	widespread range expansion than expected based on climate change alone.
31	
32	Keywords
33	Range shift; climate change; invasion; niche evolution; range expansion; habitat suitability
34	
35	Introduction
36	Forecasting the widespread distribution shifts of both native and invasive species under global
37	change represents one of the major challenges in biodiversity conservation (Urban et al. 2016).
38	The climate niche has become a central concept in modeling efforts to understand species'
39	preferred climate conditions, where such suitable habitat will be present under future climates,
40	and which areas are at risk of invasion on other continents (Elith and Leathwick 2009).
41	Ecological niche models (ENMs; also known as habitat suitability models or species distribution
42	models) are widely used and applied to conservation planning (Araújo et al. 2011). However,
43	range-expanding populations have been demonstrated to rapidly adapt to novel environments in a
44	growing body of empirical studies (reviewed in Colautti and Lau 2015, Chuang and Peterson
45	2016), challenging the fundamental assumption of ENMs that niches are conserved in space and
46	time (Pearman et al. 2008). Newly developed mechanistic and hybrid species distribution models

that incorporate evolution of species' physiological limits (Hoffmann and Sgrò 2011, Catullo et
al. 2015) predict markedly different outcomes of climate-induced range shifts (Bush et al. 2016)
and invasions (Kearney et al. 2009) than traditional correlative ENMs. While there is thus a
compelling argument for incorporating evolution into range expansion forecasts (Nadeau and
Urban 2019), a better understanding of the degree to which climate niche evolution promotes
contemporary range expansions is necessary to make informed predictions.

53

54 Niche evolution has been studied extensively in invasive species, with studies comparing the 55 climate conditions occupied by populations in the native and invaded range. While there is 56 strong evidence that invading populations can rapidly evolve to reestablish adaptive clines along 57 environmental gradients similar to those in their native range (e.g., Boheemen et al. 2019), 58 whether species' ultimate niche limits are generally conserved during invasion (Petitpierre et al. 59 2012, Liu et al. 2020) or commonly shift (Early and Sax 2014, Atwater et al. 2018) is highly 60 debated. Niche stasis (sensu Pearman et al. 2008) is defined as the lack of change in either the fundamental niche (the climate where a species can grow in the absence of biotic constraints and 61 62 geographic barriers) or realized niche (the actual climate conditions where a species is observed, 63 which is captured by ENMs). Niches may shift in the invaded range when not all areas with 64 similar climates are occupied (yet) due to dispersal limitation. Niche expansion occurs when 65 biotic interactions or dispersal barriers constraining the realized niche in the native range are 66 lifted in the new range, or when the fundamental niche itself evolves (Alexander and Edwards 67 2010). Examples of evolutionary changes that have been linked to climate niche expansion in 68 invasive populations include plant phenology responses to temperature or photoperiod (Dlugosch

and Parker 2008a, Colautti and Barrett 2013) and insect thermal and moisture tolerance (Kearney
et al. 2009, Hill et al. 2013).

71

72 Much less attention in the empirical literature has been paid to niche evolution during 73 contemporary native range shifts induced by climate change. Instead, species' responses to 74 global warming are commonly viewed as a "move, adapt, or die" conundrum, where populations 75 need to track suitable climates to higher latitudes and altitudes, or adapt *in situ*, or else they will lag behind the pace of climate change and go extinct (Aitken et al. 2008, Corlett and Westcott 76 77 2013). However, poleward-spreading populations face a variety of novel abiotic (as well as 78 biotic) conditions, even if range expansion is initiated by climate change (Spence and Tingley 79 2020). For example, photoperiod and temperature seasonality increase non-linearly with latitude, 80 and plants experience a reduction in photosynthetically active radiation and light quality towards 81 the poles (Taulavuori et al. 2010, Saikkonen et al. 2012). These novel combinations of 82 temperature and photoperiod cues at higher latitudes require a plastic or evolutionary response (Visser 2008). Thus, whether climate-mediated range shifts will involve simultaneous niche 83 84 evolution is now acknowledged as an important open question (Lee-Yaw et al. 2019, Nadeau and 85 Urban 2019). Recent empirical work has demonstrated rapid evolutionary responses to novel 86 abiotic conditions during contemporary native range shifts, including increased thermal niche 87 breadth in damselflies (Lancaster et al. 2015, Dudaniec et al. 2018) and earlier fall phenology in 88 plants (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). Palaeoecological studies of the Pleistocene glaciations have 89 shown that dispersal, plasticity, evolution and niche conservatism have all played a role in past 90 range shifts with climate change (Martínez-Meyer et al. 2004, Nogués-Bravo et al. 2018).

91 Historic constraints (or the lack of them) on range expansion may inform how evolution will
92 affect recent range shifts with climate change (Diamond 2018).

93

94 Comparing native range shifts and invasions provides valuable insights into the drivers of niche 95 evolution during range expansion in both native and exotic ranges. Quantifying rapid adaptation 96 to novel climates during invasions can inform predictions about the degree of evolutionary 97 change that may be expected during native range expansions on similar time scales (Moran and 98 Alexander 2014). Similarly, the likelihood of niche shifts in the invaded range depends on 99 whether the native range is limited by biotic interactions or fundamental evolutionary constraints 100 such as genetic correlations between traits (Alexander and Edwards 2010). Yet there are also 101 differences between native and exotic range expansions in key processes driving evolutionary 102 change, most notably the level of gene flow. Disconnection from the historic native range could 103 lead to increased niche evolution in invading populations (Jakob et al. 2010), unless genetic 104 diversity and population sizes are too low (Hoffmann and Sgrò 2011). However, genetic 105 bottlenecks have little impact in invasions founded by multiple introductions (Dlugosch and 106 Parker 2008b, Smith et al. 2020). In the native range, the impact of ongoing gene flow will 107 depend on whether it has a maladaptive swamping effect or increases evolutionary potential, an 108 issue which is highly debated (Kottler et al. 2021). Theory suggests that adaptation during range 109 expansion under gene flow is governed by the balance between selection and genetic drift on the 110 one hand, and the steepness of the environmental gradient on the other hand (Polechová and 111 Barton 2015). Overall, authors have hypothesized that niche shifts are more common or rapid in 112 exotic than in native range expansions (Pearman et al. 2008, Wiens et al. 2019), but the empirical 113 data required to test this idea are largely absent.

114 In this study, we examine climate niche evolution during both native and exotic range expansion 115 by taking advantage of a species currently involved in both types of population spread. Dittrichia 116 graveolens (L.) Greuter is an annual plant in the Asteraceae with a native distribution around the 117 Mediterranean Basin (Brullo and De Marco 2000). The species has greatly expanded its native range northward since the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century, coincident with rapid evolution of earlier flowering 118 119 time at the leading edge in response to shorter growing seasons (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). D. 120 graveolens has a ruderal life history and produces large numbers of wind-dispersed seeds, 121 facilitating spread along roads where biotic interactions with other plant species play a minor 122 role in its ecological success. The species has invaded worldwide in most other regions with a 123 Mediterranean climate - first Australia (1860s; Parsons and Cuthbertson 2001) and South Africa 124 (GBIF.org 2020), then California (1980s; Preston 1997), and most recently Chile (Santilli et al. 125 2021). In Australia and California, D. graveolens is considered a noxious weed of high 126 management concern due to a combination of rapid spread and toxicity to livestock, impacts on 127 native plant communities, and human skin allergies (Parsons and Cuthbertson 2001, Brownsey et 128 al. 2013b, USDA 2013).

129

We ask: (a) Did *D. graveolens* simply track climate change during the native range expansion, or was range expansion promoted by climate niche evolution? (b) Is there evidence of niche shifts in the invaded ranges? (c) How does taking into account climate niche evolution during the native range expansion change invasion predictions for California and Australia? To answer these questions, we applied the COUE scheme of niche centroid shift, overlap, unfilling and expansion (Guisan et al. 2014) to *D. graveolens*' native range expansion with climate change (comparing the periods 1901-1930 and 1990-2019) and to the two exotic range expansions. This

137	method quantifies niches in environmental space and is widely used to study niche dynamics of
138	invasive species. In addition, to explore niche shifts during range expansion in geographic space,
139	we fit species distribution models using maximum entropy modeling (Maxent), which was
140	specifically designed for presence-only data (Phillips et al. 2006). Based on prior evidence for
141	rapid evolution of phenology at the northern range edge (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018), we
142	hypothesized that D. graveolens' climate niche expanded during native range expansion in
143	Eurasia. We expected greater niche filling and greater niche expansion in Australia than in
144	California, due to D. graveolens' much longer invasion history in the former region. Finally, we
145	hypothesized that forecasting the two invaded distributions based on the newly expanded native
146	range would increase our invasion risk assessment for a wider range of climates and geographic
147	areas.
148	

149 Material and Methods

## 150 Data collection

151 *Occurrence data* 

152 We compiled presence-only species occurrence data for Dittrichia graveolens (L.) Greuter and 153 its nomenclatural synonyms Inula graveolens (L.) Desf., Cupularia graveolens (L.) Godr. & 154 Gren., and Erigeron graveolens (L.). We used the Holarctic Floral Kingdom (Cox 2001) as our 155 study region (split between Eurasia/North Africa for the native range and North America for one 156 of the invaded ranges), to take into account the broadest range of environments available to D. 157 graveolens in its evolutionary history and facilitate projection of our models to other continents 158 (Merow et al. 2013). This study region also allows for comparison to other studies using the 159 same spatial extent (Petitpierre et al. 2012). Our primary data source was the Global Biodiversity

160	Information Facility (~75% of occurrences; GBIF.org 2020), supplemented by country-level
161	species occurrence databases (FloraWeb 2013, Nikolić 2015, Zając and Zając 2019, BSBI 2020,
162	Info Flora 2020), standard floras, articles in botanical journals, and herbarium records. All
163	citizen science records from iNaturalist in GBIF were verified manually (iNaturalist 2020). We
164	used QGIS v3.8.2 (QGIS Development Team 2019) to combine and convert all data to the
165	WGS84 coordinate system with decimal degrees latitude and longitude. Spatial grids (UTM,
166	MTB, etc.) were imported as cell centroids. To increase sampling density across the study
167	region, we also georeferenced localities without spatial coordinates (such as towns and other
168	geographic features described in sufficient detail) using GEOLocate (Rios 2020). Data quality
169	control included the removal of duplicate records and those located at $(0,0)$ degrees or country
170	centroids. The final (expanded) native range dataset included 8157 occurrences. To study niche
171	shifts in the exotic range of <i>D. graveolens</i> , we selected the invasions in Australia and California
172	because they are both well-documented (using records from GBIF and Calflora 2021,
173	respectively).

174

175 *Defining the historic native range limit* 

*D. graveolens* ' historic native distribution is centered around the Mediterranean Basin, extending
eastward to the Middle East and NW-India, and northward into central France (Tutin et al. 1976,
Brullo and De Marco 2000). The first records of a large-scale northward range expansion of *D. graveolens* appear for the 1950s in northern France, initially associated with salt mines and
followed by abundant spread along roads (Wagenitz 1966, Ciardo and Delarze 2005, Parent
2011). We chose 1901-1930 as the pre-expansion time window for our study, which matches the
earliest available information about *D. graveolens*' distribution in floras of France (Coste 1903,

183	Rouy 1903, Bonnier and Layens 1909) and the Balkan Peninsula (Hayek and Markgraf 1931), as
184	well as the earliest monthly climate data (see next section). We used the botanical literature,
185	dated species occurrences, and online databases to define a hypothesized historic native range
186	<i>limit</i> pre-expansion (Supporting Information). We considered administrative regions where D.
187	graveolens is reported as a native species to be part of the historic native range (e.g., Flora
188	Europaea, Tutin et al. 1976, and Euro+Med PlantBase, von Raab-Straube 2021), refining
189	country-level data using other data sources.

190

## **191** Environmental predictors

192 Monthly temperature and precipitation data were compiled from the Climatic Research Unit (CRU TS4.04, Harris et al. 2020) for 1901-1930 (past) and 1990-2019 (present), and used to 193 194 calculate all 19 WORLDCLIM variables (Fick and Hijmans 2017) for each time period (dismo 195 package; Hijmans et al. 2017). In addition, we calculated the average total number of frost days 196 for September-December (hereafter "frost") for the same data sets. We selected 6 predictors 197 (Table 1) based on the biology of *D. graveolens*, the Mediterranean and temperate climates 198 characteristic of the expanded native range, and criteria limiting multicollinearity among 199 variables: a pairwise Pearson correlation of 0.75 or less and a Variance Inflation Factor (usdm 200 package, Naimi et al. 2014) below 5 (as recommended by Guisan et al. 2017). D. graveolens is a 201 late-season annual plant, establishing a rosette in late spring and fruiting in fall (Brownsey et al. 202 2013b), with earlier phenology occurring at higher latitudes (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). In early life stages, precipitation is key to the growth of a tap root (Brownsey et al. 2013a), whereas 203 204 plants are sensitive to cold and especially frost later in the year (Parsons and Cuthbertson 2001), 205 when the end of the growing season constrains plant fitness (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). We

considered climate variables representing temperature, precipitation, and their variability.
Collinearity was evaluated over the entire Eurasian Holarctic. Based on *D. graveolens*' biology,
we discarded annual temperature and precipitation in favor of frost days during the reproductive
period and precipitation in the driest and warmest quarters. Temperature of these quarters was
highly correlated with the other selected variables and excluded.

211

# 212 <u>Modeling approach</u>

213 To combine climate and occurrence data, we scaled the latter down to the same resolution with 214 one record per grid cell (0.5° latitude and longitude, corresponding to ca. 55 by 55 km). Cells 215 containing occurrence records but no climate data (primarily covering sea rather than land, at 216 coastlines or islands) were excluded. We set up two primary datasets, past and present, for our 217 native range analyses covering the same Holarctic study region. The past dataset (representing 218 the historic native range) consisted of climate data for the period 1901-1930 and all occurrence 219 records located within the historic native range limit (n=399), assuming that occurrence locations 220 represent suitable habitat for *D. graveolens* regardless of the date of observation. The present 221 dataset (representing the expanded native range) consisted of climate data for the period 1990-222 2019 and the complete set of occurrence records (n=746). We used present-day climate data for 223 the invaded range datasets. The Australia dataset contained all GBIF occurrences on the 224 continent. The California dataset covered all of North America in spatial extent but occurrence 225 data for California only, to focus on the west coast invasion. All analyses were conducted in R 226 v4.0.0 (R Core Team 2020), using code adapted from Di Cola et al. (2017), Guisan et al. (2017), 227 and Smith (2020a).

## 229 *Niches in environmental space*

230 To quantify niche dynamics during range expansion following the COUE framework (Guisan et 231 al. 2014), we applied the workflow developed by Broennimann et al. (2012), available in the 232 ecospat R package (Di Cola et al. 2017, Broennimann et al. 2020). This approach evaluates 233 niches in environmental space, which is defined by conducting a principal component analysis 234 (PCA) for all environmental variables in both study regions under comparison. Niches are 235 estimated by applying a kernel smoother function to the density of species occurrences from each 236 range in gridded environmental space along the first two PCA axes. Indices of niche change are 237 calculated exclusively for environments that are available in both study regions (using the 90<sup>th</sup> 238 percentile to exclude marginal environments), because shifts to and from nonanalog climates do 239 not represent shifts in the fundamental niche (Guisan et al. 2014). Niche stability is defined as the 240 proportion of occurrences in the new niche that overlap in environmental space with the native niche, while niche expansion (1-stability) is the proportion of occurrences in the new niche that 241 242 are located in novel environments. Finally, niche unfilling quantifies environmental space that is 243 occupied in the native range but that has not been filled in the new range (yet). It is calculated for 244 the native occurrences as the proportion located in climate conditions that are unoccupied (but 245 available) in the new range (Di Cola et al. 2017). Overall *niche overlap* is measured by 246 Schoener's D (Schoener 1970) and used to test for niche conservatism during range expansion 247 with two different tests (Warren et al. 2008, Broennimann et al. 2012). The niche equivalency 248 test randomly reallocates occurrences between the two niches, creating a null distribution of D 249 values based on 100 permutations to test whether the niches are identical (conducting one-sided 250 tests asking if the observed D is greater or lower than expected by chance). The niche similarity 251 test evaluates whether the two niches are more or less similar to each other than to other niches

252 selected at random from the study area (shifting niches across both time periods for the native 253 study area, but in the invaded study area only for Australia and California). We applied the above 254 workflow to niche changes between (i) the past and present dataset (native range expansion), (ii) 255 the past dataset and each invaded range, and (iii) the present dataset and each invaded range. 256 257 *Projections in geographic space* To project niche changes in geographic space, we fit Maxent species distribution models 258 259 (Phillips et al. 2006) to the past dataset (Past Model) and present dataset (Present Model). 260 Maxent performs well for presence-only data under a range of sampling scenarios (Grimmett et 261 al. 2020). Because the geographic availability of our native range occurrence data was highly 262 concentrated in Western Europe, we used 3468 target background sites of taxonomically related 263 species to correct for bias in sampling effort (Phillips et al. 2009, Supporting Information). Models were fit using the maxnet package in R (Phillips 2017, Phillips et al. 2017). We first 264 265 tuned the Past Model, using the trainMaxnet function (enmSdm package, Smith 2020b) to select 266 the optimal combination of features (starting with all classes) and regularization parameters (β of 267 0.5 and 1 to 10). The best model (lowest AIC) contained linear, quadratic and product features 268 with  $\beta$ =0.5. We fit the Present Model using the same features and regularization. To ask whether 269 D. graveolens simply tracked optimal climate conditions or expanded its native range beyond 270 that, we created three model projections: the Past Model on the past and present climate, and the 271 Present Model on the present climate. To evaluate model performance, we partitioned the past 272 and present datasets randomly into training and test data using 5 k-folds. We computed AUC 273 (dismo, Hijmans et al. 2017) and the Continuous Boyce Index (CBI; enmSdm, Smith 2020b) for 274 each k-fold and calculated the mean and standard deviation across models. To project areas at

potential risk of invasion in California and Australia, we applied a Minimum Presence Threshold
(the lowest habitat suitability at which *D. graveolens* is already present in the invaded range).
We then compared projections generated by the Past and Present model for each invaded range.

278

## 279 Results

280 Over the course of less than a century, *D. graveolens* has shifted its native range limit northward

by nearly 7 degrees latitude. During this range expansion, the climate niche expanded by 5% to

include more temperate environments with lower diurnal range and precipitation seasonality

(bio2, bio15), increased precipitation in the driest and warmest quarters (bio17, bio18), and

increased temperature seasonality (bio4) and fall frost (Fig. 1a,b). Niche overlap (Schoener's D =

0.71) of the historic and expanded native niche was significantly lower than expected by chance

286 (niche equivalency test; Fig. 1c, Table 2), indicating a niche shift during range expansion.

287 Nonetheless, the two niches were more similar to each other than to randomly selected niches in

the study area (niche similarity test; Fig. 1d, Table 2). Niche expansion was not driven by

climate change between the past and present period, which happened in a different direction in

environmental space (reduced frost and temperature seasonality; Fig. 1a).



298	found a minor northward shift in favorable conditions, particularly adjacent to the original
299	northern range limit in France. However, the observed range expansion of D. graveolens
300	extended much further northward and eastward (Fig. 2c). The Present Model, fit to the present
301	climate and occurrences (Supporting Information), predicts a much wider distribution in Europe
302	(Fig. 2d), outperforming the Past Model especially when predicting the actual probability of
303	occurrence in the present (CBI of 0.93 and 0.61, respectively; Table 3).

304

305 The invasions in California and Australia exhibited contrasting niche dynamics, consistent with 306 their difference in invader residence time. In California, only a small subset of the climate 307 conditions in the native niche are already occupied (niche unfilling was 93% at the scale of North 308 America; Fig. 3d, Table 2). In contrast, the Australian invasion has already spread into most 309 areas that show similar climatic conditions to the native range (unfilling 6%; Fig. 3a, Table 2). These contrasting results were reflected in the niche equivalency test, which showed that the 310 311 California niche was less similar to the historic native range than expected by chance, while the 312 Australian niche was more similar than expected (Table 2). We found near-complete niche 313 stability in both invasions, meaning that invasive populations occur almost exclusively in climate 314 conditions that also existed in the historic native range. Accordingly, niche expansion was low 315 (Table 2). Niche conservatism could not be rejected in the niche similarity test for either 316 invasion, indicating that it cannot be ruled out that any niche differentiation between native and 317 exotic ranges was driven by the availability of environments in the exotic ranges.

318

Niche change indices were similar for both invasions when including the native range expansion(Table 2). Even though the peak of native occurrences shifted toward more temperate climates

321	(shading in Fig. 3e), California niche unfilling remained stable because the majority of native
322	occurrences already occurred outside the invaded climate space. Of the newly invadable climate
323	conditions, most are absent in Australia (Fig. 3b), but present in North America (Fig. 3e).
324	Combining projections of the Past and Present Maxent models onto Australia and California
325	revealed additional areas that would be at risk of invasion if niche shifts were to happen as in the
326	native range. However, neither invasion has yet advanced into areas solely included by the
327	Present Model (Fig. 3c, 3f).

328

## 329 Discussion

330 As many native species are shifting their ranges to track climate change, the ecological and 331 evolutionary drivers of range expansion have become a key focus of global change research 332 (Nadeau and Urban 2019). Ecological studies of native range shifts have found varying degrees 333 to which species keep up with shifting climate isotherms (Chen et al. 2011, Lenoir et al. 2020), 334 including some species that outpace climate warming. Two studies using a similar approach to 335 ours, comparing historic and current ranges using ENMs, found incomplete climate tracking (*Ilex* 336 *aquifolium*, Walther et al. 2005) or range contractions rather than expansions (montane rodents, 337 Pardi et al. 2020). In contrast, our results show that D. graveolens has undergone an extensive 338 northward expansion of its native range, beyond what would be expected based on climate 339 tracking alone.

340

At the start of the native range expansion, the first records of *D. graveolens* north of the historic
range limit in France (GBIF.org 2020) match the availability of new suitable habitat there with
climate change (Fig. 2b). Theory predicts that rapid evolution of both local adaptation and

344 increased dispersal during range expansion could cause populations to spread beyond shifting 345 climate isotherms, while expanding their fundamental niche to include colder climates (Kubisch 346 et al. 2013). Our results are consistent with such a scenario, where adaptation to climate 347 conditions at northern latitudes (Fig. 1) facilitated further spread of D. graveolens (Fig. 2c). 348 Niche expansion (5.5%, following Broennimann et al. 2012) was high relative to comparative 349 studies of niche shifts during invasion using the same metrics, falling in the 78th percentile of expansion estimates by Petitpierre et al. (2012, n = 50 plant species), and the 60th percentile of 350 351 Liu et al. (2020, n = 211 plant species with COUE estimates). Niche expansion was low 352 compared to results in Early & Sax (2014). These authors found much higher niche expansion 353 overall than Petitpierre et al., which they attributed life history differences between the study 354 species; Early & Sax considered endemic species with small native ranges while Petitpierre et al. 355 studied weedy species that were widely distributed in their native range, more similar to D. 356 graveolens.

357

358 We found little evidence for niche expansion in the invaded range. Australia and California 359 differed strongly in niche unfilling, illustrating the importance of invader residence time in 360 invasion risk assessment (Wilson et al. 2007). Although the California invasion appears to be in 361 much earlier stages with further dispersal expected, both invasive niches almost exclusively 362 cover climatic conditions that are also present in the native niche (high stability), a pattern 363 known as climate matching, which has been found for many successful invasive species (Hayes 364 and Barry 2008). Interestingly, despite its longer history, the Australian niche barely expands 365 beyond the native niche, suggesting niche conservatism. Incorporating the native range 366 expansion into projections of niche change and invasion risk adds newly invadable

climates/habitat especially in North America (Fig. 3). However, given that neither invasion has
yet spread into these areas, it remains an open question whether any similar niche evolution can
be expected in the invaded ranges in the future. The strong overlap in climate conditions
occupied in the historic native range and both exotic ranges does suggest that invasive

371 populations originated from a Mediterranean part of the native range.

372

373 <u>Limitations</u>

374 Any niche modeling study is constrained by the available data. Although the native and invaded 375 distributions of D. graveolens are relatively well-documented, occurrence records do contain 376 temporal and spatial biases that may have affected our results. Because the majority of our 377 occurrence data was reported in recent decades, we included all occurrences south of the original 378 range limit in the historic native range, regardless of the date they were reported. It is possible 379 that past climate conditions at these locations were less favorable for D. graveolens. However, 380 because our study is focused on a northward range expansion tracking climate change, and the 381 historic native range now represents the trailing edge of the distribution, our assumption to treat 382 all occurrences as historical presences should underestimate the niche shift during range 383 expansion and therefore represents a conservative approach. To address the spatial bias in our 384 data, we employed the target background record approach to reflect sampling effort across the 385 study area. This solution was a great improvement over randomly chosen background points 386 (which resulted in overfitting to climate areas with high sampling effort), but was still not 387 optimal given the low number of target background points available compared to Maxent's 388 standard of 10,000. However, at our spatial resolution (0.5°) even near 215,000 target records 389 from 296 species covered only 3468 cells across the entire study area (Supporting Information).

Higher-resolution climate data would allow for more background points (Merow et al. 2013) butwas not available for the past time period of interest.

392

393 Niche expansion as quantified in this study can represent either a change in the realized niche 394 due to lifted biotic or dispersal constraints, or be caused by evolution of the fundamental niche. 395 D. graveolens has very high spread potential due to a combination of an annual life history, wind-dispersed seeds and the production of tens of thousands of seeds per plant. No historic 396 397 barriers to dispersal are apparent in the native range. Moreover, D. graveolens has a typical 398 ruderal life history and has expanded its native range primarily along roadsides, with limited 399 biotic interactions with other species. Finally, previous work has demonstrated that rapid 400 evolution of earlier phenology increased plant fitness in northern leading-edge populations 401 (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). We therefore argue that evolution of the fundamental niche is the most plausible explanation for the observed climate niche expansion. 402

403

## 404 Broader implications

405 Our finding of greater niche expansion during native range expansion than during invasion 406 contradicts hypotheses in the literature that niche stasis should be more pronounced in the native 407 range (Pearman et al. 2008, Wiens et al. 2019). Rather than having a maladaptive swamping 408 effect, gene flow in the native range brought in genetic variation from the northern end of 409 existing latitudinal clines that was adaptive in northern climates (Lustenhouwer et al. 2018). In 410 order to definitively assess the evolutionary potential of D. graveolens in California and predict 411 whether this invasion will proceed into areas forecasted by the Present Model (Fig. 3c), empirical 412 studies comparing plants of native and invasive origin will be necessary. Nonetheless, our study

supports calls to limit multiple introductions of invasive species, even if they are widespread
already (Smith et al. 2020), because the introduction of genotypes from northern Europe to
California would put new areas at risk of invasion (Fig. 3e,f).

416

417 In conclusion, our results suggest that climate change may act as a catalyst for range expansion 418 and subsequent climate niche evolution in plants. The generality of this phenomenon for other 419 species will depend on their evolutionary potential (generation time, heritable genetic diversity), 420 range-limiting factors, and dispersal ability (Catullo et al. 2015). Recent work on invertebrates 421 suggests that range expansion can promote increased thermal and diet niche breadth at the range 422 edge in many species (Lancaster 2016, 2020). We encourage future studies validating native 423 range shift projections with observed spread (e.g., Araújo et al. 2005), to examine whether 424 climate niche evolution during native range expansion is common and causes populations to 425 spread further than expected under climate tracking. Ultimately, the study of both biological 426 invasions and native species threatened by climate change will benefit from a better 427 understanding of the drivers of niche evolution during range expansion.

428

### 429 Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Zarina Pringle for her assistance in the occurrence data collection. We also
thank A. Pliszko, M. Kaligarič, G. Király, P. Eliáš, and D. Schmidt for pointing us to occurrence
records in Poland, Slovenia, Slovakia, and Hungary. Finally, we thank the Botanical Society of
Britain and Ireland for providing data from the UK. Members of the Parker and Gilbert labs
provided helpful comments at several points in the study.

## 436 **References**

- Aitken, S. N. et al. 2008. Adaptation, migration or extirpation: climate change outcomes for tree
  populations. Evol. Appl. 1: 95–111.
- Alexander, J. M. and Edwards, P. J. 2010. Limits to the niche and range margins of alien species.
  Oikos 119: 1377–1386.
- 441 Araújo, M. B. et al. 2005. Validation of species–climate impact models under climate change. 442 Glob. Change Biol. 11: 1504–1513.
- 443 Araújo, M. B. et al. 2011. Climate change threatens European conservation areas. Ecol. Lett.
  444 14: 484–492.
- Atwater, D. Z. et al. 2018. Climatic niche shifts are common in introduced plants. Nat. Ecol.
  Evol. 2: 34–43.
- Boheemen, L. A. van et al. 2019. Rapid and repeated local adaptation to climate in an invasive
  plant. New Phytol. 222: 614–627.
- Bonnier, G. and Layens, G. 1909. Flore complète de la France et de la Suisse (comprenant aussi toutes les espèces de Belgique, d'Alsace et de Lorraine). Libraire générale de l'enseignement.
- Broennimann, O. et al. 2012. Measuring ecological niche overlap from occurrence and spatial
  environmental data. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 21: 481–497.
- Broennimann, O. et al. 2020. ecospat: Spatial Ecology Miscellaneous Methods. R package
   version 3.1.
- Brownsey, R. et al. 2013a. Seed and Germination Biology of Dittrichia graveolens (Stinkwort). Invasive Plant Sci. Manag. 6: 371–380.
- Brownsey, R. et al. 2013b. Stinkwort is rapidly expanding its range in California. Calif. Agric.
  67: 110–115.
- Brullo, S. and De Marco, G. 2000. Taxonomical revision of the genus *Dittrichia* (Asteraceae). Port. Acta Biol. 19: 341–354.
- BSBI 2020. Botanical Society of Britain and Ireland Distribution Database. Records for
   *Dittrichia graveolens* accessed June 5, 2020.
- Bush, A. et al. 2016. Incorporating evolutionary adaptation in species distribution modelling
  reduces projected vulnerability to climate change. Ecol. Lett. 19: 1468–1478.
- 466 Calflora 2021. Calflora: Information on California plants for education, research and
   467 conservation [web application]. The Calflora Database [a non-profit organization].

468 469 470	Catullo, R. A. et al. 2015. Extending spatial modelling of climate change responses beyond the realized niche: estimating, and accommodating, physiological limits and adaptive evolution Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 24: 1192–1202.
471 472	Chen, IC. et al. 2011. Rapid range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming Science 333: 1024–1026.
473 474	Chuang, A. and Peterson, C. R. 2016. Expanding population edges: theories, traits, and trade- offs Glob. Change Biol. 22: 494–512.
475 476	Ciardo, F. and Delarze, R. 2005. Observations floristiques sur l'autoroute lémanique: espèces nouvelles, halophiles et rares Saussurea Traveaux Société Bot. Genève 35: 83–89.
477 478	Colautti, R. I. and Barrett, S. C. H. 2013. Rapid adaptation to climate facilitates range expansion of an invasive plant Science 342: 364–366.
479 480	Colautti, R. I. and Lau, J. A. 2015. Contemporary evolution during invasion: evidence for differentiation, natural selection, and local adaptation Mol. Ecol. 24: 1999–2017.
481 482	Corlett, R. T. and Westcott, D. A. 2013. Will plant movements keep up with climate change? - Trends Ecol. Evol. 28: 482–488.
483 484	Coste, H. 1903. Flore descriptive et illustrée de la France, de la Corse, et des contrées limitrophes P. Klincksieck.
485	Cox, B. 2001. The biogeographic regions reconsidered J. Biogeogr. 28: 511-523.
486 487	Di Cola, V. et al. 2017. ecospat: an R package to support spatial analyses and modeling of species niches and distributions Ecography 40: 774–787.
488 489	Diamond, S. E. 2018. Contemporary climate-driven range shifts: Putting evolution back on the table Funct. Ecol. 32: 1652–1665.
490 491	Dlugosch, K. M. and Parker, I. M. 2008a. Invading populations of an ornamental shrub show rapid life history evolution despite genetic bottlenecks Ecol. Lett. 11: 701–709.
492 493 494	Dlugosch, K. M. and Parker, I. M. 2008b. Founding events in species invasions: genetic variation, adaptive evolution, and the role of multiple introductions Mol. Ecol. 17: 431– 449.
495 496	Dudaniec, R. Y. et al. 2018. Signatures of local adaptation along environmental gradients in a range-expanding damselfly ( <i>Ischnura elegans</i> ) Mol. Ecol. 27: 2576–2593.
497 498 499	Early, R. and Sax, D. F. 2014. Climatic niche shifts between species' native and naturalized ranges raise concern for ecological forecasts during invasions and climate change Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 23: 1356–1365.

500 Elith, J. and Leathwick, J. R. 2009. Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation and 501 Prediction Across Space and Time. - Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 40: 677-697. 502 Fick, S. E. and Hijmans, R. J. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces 503 for global land areas. - Int. J. Climatol. 37: 4302–4315. 504 FloraWeb 2013. Dittrichia graveolens in FloraWeb: Daten und Informationen zu Wildpflanzen 505 und zur Vegetation Deutschlands. 506 GBIF.org 2020. GBIF Occurrence Download for Dittrichia graveolens (L.) Greuter, 507 https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.s0qcs0. 508 Grimmett, L. et al. 2020. Presence-only species distribution models are sensitive to sample 509 prevalence: Evaluating models using spatial prediction stability and accuracy metrics. -510 Ecol. Model. 431: 109194. 511 2017. 6. Environmental Predictors: Issues of Processing and Selection. - In: Guisan, A. et al. 512 (eds), Habitat Suitability and Distribution Models: With Applications in R. Ecology, 513 Biodiversity and Conservation. Cambridge University Press, pp. 61–109. 514 Guisan, A. 2017. Habitat suitability and distribution models, with applications in R. - Cambridge 515 University Press. 516 Guisan, A. et al. 2014. Unifying niche shift studies: insights from biological invasions. - Trends 517 Ecol. Evol. 29: 260-269. 518 Harris, I. et al. 2020. Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution gridded multivariate 519 climate dataset. - Sci. Data 7: 109. 520 Hayek, A. and Markgraf, F. 1931. Prodromus florae peninsulae balcanica / A. Hayek; F. 521 Markgraf; 2: Dicotyledoneae sympetalae. Hayes, K. R. and Barry, S. C. 2008. Are there any consistent predictors of invasion success? -522 523 Biol. Invasions 10: 483-506. 524 Hijmans, R. J. et al. 2017. dismo: Species Distribution Modeling. Hill, M. P. et al. 2013. A predicted niche shift corresponds with increased thermal resistance in 525 526 an invasive mite, Halotydeus destructor. - Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 22: 942–951. 527 Hoffmann, A. A. and Sgrò, C. M. 2011. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. - Nature 528 470: 479-485. 529 iNaturalist 2020. Available from https://www.inaturalist.org. 530 Info Flora 2020. Dittrichia graveolens in: Info Flora, the National Data and Information Center 531 on the Swiss Flora. www.infoflora.ch.

- Jakob, S. S. et al. 2010. Population demography influences climatic niche evolution: evidence
   from diploid American Hordeum species (Poaceae). Mol. Ecol. 19: 1423–1438.
- Kearney, M. et al. 2009. Integrating biophysical models and evolutionary theory to predict
  climatic impacts on species' ranges: the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* in Australia. Funct. Ecol. 23: 528–538.
- Kottler, E. J. et al. 2021. Draining the swamping hypothesis: little evidence that gene flow
  reduces fitness at range edges. Trends Ecol. Evol. 36: 533–544.
- Kubisch, A. et al. 2013. Predicting range shifts under global change: the balance between local
  adaptation and dispersal. Ecography 36: 873–882.
- Lancaster, L. T. 2016. Widespread range expansions shape latitudinal variation in insect thermal
  limits. Nat. Clim. Change 6: 618–621.
- Lancaster, L. T. 2020. Host use diversification during range shifts shapes global variation in
   Lepidopteran dietary breadth. Nat. Ecol. Evol.: 1–7.
- Lancaster, L. T. et al. 2015. Latitudinal shift in thermal niche breadth results from thermal
  release during a climate-mediated range expansion. J. Biogeogr. 42: 1953–1963.
- Lee-Yaw, J. A. et al. 2019. Range shifts and local adaptation: integrating data and theory towards
  a new understanding of species' distributions in the Anthropocene. New Phytol. 221:
  644–647.
- Lenoir, J. et al. 2020. Species better track climate warming in the oceans than on land. Nat.
  Ecol. Evol.: 1–16.
- Liu, C. et al. 2020. Most invasive species largely conserve their climatic niche. Proc. Natl.
   Acad. Sci. 117: 23643–23651.
- Lustenhouwer, N. et al. 2018. Rapid evolution of phenology during range expansion with recent
   climate change. Glob. Change Biol. 24: e534–e544.
- Martínez-Meyer, E. et al. 2004. Ecological niches as stable distributional constraints on mammal
   species, with implications for Pleistocene extinctions and climate change projections for
   biodiversity. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 13: 305–314.
- Merow, C. et al. 2013. A practical guide to MaxEnt for modeling species' distributions: what it
   does, and why inputs and settings matter. Ecography 36: 1058–1069.
- Moran, E. V. and Alexander, J. M. 2014. Evolutionary responses to global change: lessons from
   invasive species. Ecol. Lett. 17: 637–649.
- 563 Nadeau, C. P. and Urban, M. C. 2019. Eco-evolution on the edge during climate change. Ecography in press.

- Naimi, B. et al. 2014. Where is positional uncertainty a problem for species distribution
  modelling? Ecography 37: 191–203.
- 567 Nikolić, T. 2015. Flora Croatica Database, http://hirc.botanic.hr/fcd.
- Nogués-Bravo, D. et al. 2018. Cracking the Code of Biodiversity Responses to Past Climate
   Change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 33: 765–776.
- 570 Pardi, M. I. et al. 2020. Testing climate tracking of montane rodent distributions over the past
  571 century within the Great Basin ecoregion. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 24: e01238.
- 572 Parent, G. H. 2011. Etudes ecologiques et chorologiques sur la flore Lorraine. Note 26: quelques
  573 taxons nouveaux ou meconnus de Lorraine française. Donnees rassemblees de 1993 a
  574 2005. Inst. Grand-ducal Luxemb. Sect. Sci. Nat. Phys. Mathématiques Nouv. Sér. 46:
  575 5–40.
- 576 Parsons, W. T. and Cuthbertson, E. G. 2001. Stinkwort. In: Noxious Weeds of Australia.
  577 Second Edition. Csiro Publishing, pp. 281–283.
- 578 Pearman, P. B. et al. 2008. Niche dynamics in space and time. Trends Ecol. Evol. 23: 149–158.
- 579 Petitpierre, B. et al. 2012. Climatic niche shifts are rare among terrestrial plant invaders. 580 Science 335: 1344–1348.
- 581 Phillips, S. 2017. Maxnet: fitting "maxent" species distribution models with "glmnet". R package
  582 version 0.1.2.
- Phillips, S. J. et al. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. Ecol. Model. 190: 231–259.
- Phillips, S. J. et al. 2009. Sample selection bias and presence-only distribution models:
  implications for background and pseudo-absence data. Ecol. Appl. 19: 181–197.
- 587 Phillips, S. J. et al. 2017. Opening the black box: an open-source release of Maxent. Ecography
  588 40: 887–893.
- Polechová, J. and Barton, N. H. 2015. Limits to adaptation along environmental gradients. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112: 6401–6406.
- 591 Preston, R. E. 1997. *Dittrichia graveolens* (Asteraceae), new to the California weed flora. 592 Madroño 44: 200–203.
- 593 QGIS Development Team 2019. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source594 Geospatial Foundation.
- R Core Team 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
   Statistical Computing.

- Rios, N. E. 2020. GEOLocate. A platform for georeferencing natural history collections data.
   https://www.geo-locate.org/.
- Rouy, G. 1903. XLIV. Rubiacées XLVIII. Composées. In: Flore de France, ou description des plantes qui croissent spontanément en France, en Corse et en Alsace-Lorraine. Deslis, pp. 205–206.
- Saikkonen, K. et al. 2012. Climate change-driven species' range shifts filtered by
  photoperiodism. Nat. Clim. Change 2: 239–242.
- Santilli, L. et al. 2021. First record of *Dittrichia graveolens* (Asteraceae, Inuleae) in Chile. Darwiniana Nueva Ser.: 31–38.
- Schoener, T. W. 1970. Nonsynchronous Spatial Overlap of Lizards in Patchy Habitats. Ecology
   51: 408–418.
- Smith, A. B. 2020a. Best practices in species distribution modeling: a workshop in R. Available
   at http://www.earthskysea.org/.
- Smith, A. B. 2020b. enmSdm: Tools for modeling niches and distributions of species. R package
   version 0.5.2.9.
- Smith, A. L. et al. 2020. Global gene flow releases invasive plants from environmental
  constraints on genetic diversity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 117: 4218–4227.
- Spence, A. R. and Tingley, M. W. 2020. The challenge of novel abiotic conditions for species
   undergoing climate-induced range shifts. Ecography in press.
- Taulavuori, K. et al. 2010. Growth responses of trees to arctic light environment. In: Lüttge, U.
  et al. (eds), Progress in Botany 71. Progress in Botany. Springer, pp. 157–168.
- Tutin, T. G. et al. 1976. Flora Europaea. Volume 4. Plantaginaceae to Compositae (and
  Rubiaceae). Cambridge University Press.
- Urban, M. C. et al. 2016. Improving the forecast for biodiversity under climate change. Science
  353: aad8466.
- USDA 2013. Weed Risk Assessment for *Dittrichia graveolens* (L.) Greuter (Asteraceae) –
   Stinkwort.
- Visser, M. E. 2008. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to climate
   change. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 275: 649–659.
- 626 2021. Dittrichia graveolens (E von Raab-Straube, Ed.). EuroMed PlantBase
- Wagenitz, G. 1966. Compositae I: Allgemeiner Teil, Eupatorium Achillea. In: Illustrierte
   Flora von Mitteleuropa. Parey, in press.

- Walther, G.-R. et al. 2005. An ecological 'footprint' of climate change. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol.
  Sci. 272: 1427–1432.
- Warren, D. L. et al. 2008. Environmental Niche Equivalency Versus Conservatism: Quantitative
   Approaches to Niche Evolution. Evolution 62: 2868–2883.
- Wiens, J. J. et al. 2019. Rapid niche shifts in introduced species can be a million times faster than
  changes among native species and ten times faster than climate change. J. Biogeogr. 46:
  2115–2125.
- Wilson, J. R. U. et al. 2007. Residence time and potential range: crucial considerations in
  modelling plant invasions. Divers. Distrib. 13: 11–22.
- Zając, A. and Zając, M. 2019. Distribution atlas of vascular plants in Poland: appendix. Institute of Botany, Jagiellonian University.

#### 641 Figures







655 Figure 2 Maxent habitat suitability results for (a) the Past Model (fit to the past climate and 656 historic native range occurrences) projected onto the past climate (1901-1930); (b) the Past Model projected onto the present climate (1990-2019), indicating expected range expansion with 657 658 climate change; (c) the same projection with observed occurrences in the present, and (d) the 659 Present Model (fit to the present climate and all occurrences) projected onto the present climate. 660 Historic native range limit represented by the black line, and species occurrence records in the 661 historic (a,b) and expanded (c,d) native range by dots. Axes display degrees longitude (x) and 662 latitude (y). Projections for the entire study area available in the Supporting Information.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.09.447486; this version posted June 10, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC 4.0 International license.



664 Figure 3 Niche shift during invasion in Australia (a,b) and California (d,e), using the past native 665 (a,d) and present native (b,e) niche and climate as a reference. Colors indicate niche stability, unfilling and expansion as in Fig. 1, with lines representing the climate of the native (orange) and 666 667 exotic (blue) study area. Shading shows the density of occurrences from the historic (a,d) and 668 expanded (b,e) native range in environmental space. Panels c and f show areas at risk of invasion 669 as projected by the Past and Present Model, with cells shaded from dark to light as follows: cell 670 included in the Past Model only, in both models, in the Present Model only, or in neither model. 671 Points indicate observation records for D. graveolens in Australia (c) and California (f).

672

# 673 Tables

- **Table 1** Environmental predictors included in this study, with minimum (min), median (med)
- and maximum (max) values across all pixels in each study area. Data from CRU TS4.04.

Predictor		Eurasian Holarctic (1901-1930)		Eurasian Holarctic (1990-2019)			North America			Australia			
		min	med	max	min	med	max	min	med	max	min	med	max
bio2	mean diurnal range (°C)	4.2	10.5	18.0	4.4	10.3	18.1	4.6	10.5	20.8	6.7	14.4	16.5
bio4	temperature seasonality (100xSD,°C)	289	1288	2448	295	1255	2365	108	1171	1705	113	545	679
bio15	precipitation seasonality (CV, mm)	21	61	173	23	63	176	19	63	183	21	94	162
bio17	precipitation driest quarter (mm)	0	34	470	0	35	503	0	44	403	0	18	236
bio18	precipitation warmest quarter (mm)	0	163	1383	0	169	1248	1	182	930	24	140	1032
frost	frost days Sep-Dec (count)	0	85	180	0	79	177	0	91	174	0	4	82

**Table 2** Niche shift metrics following the COUE framework, calculated using the intersection of

677 the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of environmental conditions in each range. Niche equivalency and similarity

tests were one-sided, with H<sub>1</sub> indicating the alternative hypothesis used.

Com	parison		Niche s	hift metrics		Niche equiva	lency test	Niche similarity test	
niche 1	niche 2	D	expansion	stability	unfilling	H <sub>1</sub>	Р	H <sub>1</sub>	Р
past native	present native	0.71	0.05	0.95	0	lower	0.01**	greater	0.01**
past native	Australia	0.60	0	1.00	0.06	greater	0.01**	greater	0.12
present native	e Australia	0.53	0	1.00	0.11	greater	0.01**	greater	0.07
past native	California	0.05	0.01	0.99	0.93	lower	0.01**	greater	0.31
present native	e California	0.04	0.03	0.97	0.94	lower	0.01**	greater	0.24

679

**Table 3** Maxent model performance for projections of the Past Model in both time periods, and

the projection of the Present Model in the present. All presence and background points were

- allocated randomly to training and test datasets using 5 k-folds for cross-validation (table shows
- 683 points per fold). Mean and standard deviation of AUC and CBI are given across the 5 k-folds.

Climate and o	n presences n background points			nd points	AL	IC	CBI		
model	projection	training	test	training	test	mean	SD	mean	SD
Past Model	past dataset	319	80	2774	694	0.89	0.01	0.85	0.11
Past Model	present dataset	319	149	2774	694	0.76	0.01	0.61	0.14
Present Model	present dataset	597	149	2774	694	0.81	0.01	0.93	0.04