In vitro inhibition of *H. pylori* in a preferential manner using bioengineered *L. lactis* releasing guided Antimicrobial peptides

Ankan Choudhury[1], Patrick Ortiz[1], Christopher M. Kearney[1]

[1] Baylor University, Department of Biology, Waco, TX, USA

Correspondence:
Christopher M. Kearney
Chris_Kearney@baylor.edu

Abstract

*Objectives***:** Targeted therapies seek to selectively eliminate a pathogen without disrupting the resident microbial community. This is even more important when a pathogen like *H. pylori* resides in stomach, a sensitive microbial ecosystem. Using a probiotic like *Lactococcus lactis* and bioengineering it to release a guided Antimicrobial Peptide (AMP) targeted towards the pathogen offers a pathway to specifically knock-out the deleterious species and not disturbing the stomach microbiome.

*Results***:** Three AMPs, Alyteserin, CRAMP and Laterosporulin, were genetically fused to a guiding peptide MM1, which selectively binds to Vacuolating Toxin A (VacA) of *H. pylori* and cloned into an excretory vector pTKR inside *L. lactis*. When cultured together *in vitro,* the *L. lactis* bioengineered with guided AMPs selectively killed *H. pylori* when compared to *E. coli* or *Lactobacillus plantarum,* as determined by qPCR. Chemically synthesized Alyteserin and MM1-Alyteserin showed similar preferential inhibition of *H. pylori* when compared against *E. coli*, with the MIC of MM1-Alyteserin becoming significantly higher for *E. coli* than Alytserin whereas no such effet was observed against *H. pylori*.

*Conclusions***:** Probiotics bioengineered to excrete guided AMPs can be a novel and useful

approach for combating pathogens without endangering the natural microbial flora. Given the

wealth of AMPs and guiding ligands, both natural and synthetic, this approach can be adapted to

develop a diverse array of chimeric guided AMPs and can be cloned into probiotics to create a safe

and effective alternative to conventional chemical antibiotics.

Introduction

Helicobacter pylori is the source of one of the most prevalent infections in the world, with over

50% prevalence in many countries but often over 90% in Africa and East Asia (Salih, 2009)**.** Over

60% of cases of gastric cancer can be attributed to *H. pylori* infection (Correa and Piazuelo, 2011)**,**

making it one of the most widespread cancers caused by an infectious agent (Wroblewski et al.,

2010)**.** Multidrug resistant strains of *H. pylori* constitute an increasing portion of *H. pylori*

infections, from >10% in European countries to >40% of infections in Peru (Boyanova et al.,

2019). Newest treatment regimens for *H. pylori* infection include triple and quadruple antibiotic

therapies to match the growing challenge of antibiotic resistance. Such therapeutic regimens

include combinations of amoxicillin, tetracycline, bismuth, metronidazole, clarithromycin, and

more. In return, quadruple, quintuple, and sextuple antibiotic-resistant strains have been detected

(Boyanova et al., 2019)**.** This escalation of antibiotic resistance in *H. pylori* has heightened the

need for new therapeutic strategies to combat infection. The multiple actions of these antibiotics

such as rRNA inhibition, β-lactams, nucleic acid inhibitors also deleteriously effect off target

bacteria, and a growing list of antibiotics administered to curb a single infection increases the

dysbiosis of commensal microbiota caused by killing of off-target bacteria (Becattini et al., 2016;

Langdon et al., 2016; Zarrinpar et al., 2018)**.** Antibiotic-associated Dysbiosis often precipitates

into intestinal inflammatory diseases like colitis (Strati et al., 2021), worsens neuro-immune

mechanisms and viscerosensory functionalities (Aguilera et al., 2015) and often makes way for

bloom of pathogens (Vangay et al., 2015) creating other possibly more serious infectious diseases.

This presents a dilemma, as stronger small molecule antibiotics are required to kill bacteria with

ever-evolving antibiotic resistance mechanisms, but stronger antibiotics kill a wider variety of

commensal bacteria (Becattini et al., 2016; Langdon et al., 2016; Zarrinpar et al., 2018).

To meet the challenges associated with this infection, one strategy proposed has been the

use of AMPs. AMP refers to a broad group of short, usually cationic peptides with bactericidal or

bacteriostatic properties (Lei et al., 2019). Because many of them exhibit a broad mechanism of

action that forms pores in bacterial membranes, it has been suggested that it may be more difficult

for bacteria to evolve resistance mechanisms to these peptides than traditional antibiotic drugs,

though resistance can still occur (Assoni et al., 2020; Di et al., 2020; El Shazely et al., 2020)**.** While

the broad category of AMP comprises many diverse peptides that exhibit some antimicrobial

activity, several specific types of AMP have been demonstrated to effectively kill *H. pylori.*

Cathelicidins such as LL-37 and its murine homolog Cathelin-related Antimicrobial Peptide

(CRAMP) have been demonstrated to effectively kill *Helicobacter pylori* in both *in vitro* and *in*

vivo experiments (Hase et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2016, 2013)**.** Bacteriocins are small, stable AMPs

released by other bacteria, that have broad bactericidal ability against a variety of gram-positive

and gram-negative bacteria including *H. pylori* (Neshani et al., 2019). Among them, Type IId

bacteriocins including Laterosporulin has been well documented for their bactericidal activity with

well-established mechanisms (Baindara et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2015).   As more novel AMPs

are discovered, a catalog of AMPs with activity against *H. pylori* has grown, showing promise as

potential therapeutics.

While many of these AMPs have demonstrated effective antibacterial activity towards *H.*

pylori, they also kill many other bacterial taxa. The double-edged sword of antibacterial therapies

is that they have the unintended consequence of killing commensal microbiota. To deal with the

problem of off target killing there have been several proposed solutions. Some AMPs naturally

have increased activity towards specific bacterial taxa, and if utilized properly might avoid causing

dysbiosis of microbiota in certain settings. Another option has been to modify AMPs, making

chimeric peptides that use a short glycine linker and a guide peptide to "target" a specific taxon.

Such guided antimicrobial peptides (gAMPs) have been shown to be effective in several settings

against a variety of bacteria (Choudhury et al., 2020; Eckert et al., 2012, 2006; Kim et al., 2020).

In some cases, such constructs can be made to increase the toxicity of a relatively weak AMP

towards a targeted bacterium (Eckert et al., 2006), whereas in others it has been demonstrated to

decrease toxicity of a potent AMP towards off-target bacteria (Choudhury et al., 2020)**.**

Furthermore, while studies have shown the bactericidal effects of such gAMPs in an *in-vivo*

setting, the selectivity of these constructs has not been demonstrated *in-vivo* to ascertain if the

microbiota are relatively undisturbed; nor has a gAMP been utilized against *H. pylori.* One of the

reasons for this is that delivery of engineered peptides may be difficult. Intraperitoneal injections

of purified peptide have been used for gut infections, but infections of the stomach require a

delivery mechanism that will stand up to low pH conditions, peptidases, and provide delivery at

the site of the infection. Antimicrobial peptides, being proteinaceous, are at a greater risk of

enzymatic degradation through oral routes (Moncla et al., 2011; Svenson et al., 2008) and the high

gastric acidity and peptidolytic enzymes cause breakdown of proteins and peptides when ingested

orally. To avoid this gastric degradation, drugs are often delivered through systemic injection. For

peptides, this is problematic as the size and high molecular weight of proteinaceous drug make it

an easier target for opsonization and neutralization by the blood complement system (Vaucher et

al., 2011). Thus, for having the desired therapeutic effect the peptidic drug will have to survive the

degradation in gut and reach the site of action. Encasing the antimicrobial peptide is in a delivery

system that masks it to survive the journey in the oral delivery and release it once the site is reached

would be of great help and would help in microbial infections along the gut for which oral delivery

of drugs is necessary.

Employing food grade bacterial systems like the lactic acid bacteria can solve the problem

of the peptide's survival through degradative environments such as the gastrointestinal tract

(Steidler et al., 2003). These bacteria are adapted to survive, propagate and produce and secrete

their indigenous proteins in low pH conditions of the stomach. Encoding the chimeric

antimicrobial peptide into a secretion vector inside such lactic acid bacteria will ensure that the

protein will survive the journey into the gastrointestinal tract and be released from the cell into the

site of infection (Jeong et al., 2006; Li et al., 2011). The cells will act as a sustained release platform

as the expression of the protein will happen over a time. The cells will also replicate and maintain

a colony of drug-releasing bacteria for an extended period (Drouault et al., 1999), unlike

conventional drug delivery system. This reduces the number of dosages required to maintain the

effective drug level for treatment of the infection. The vector can also be modified to contain an

inducible promoter that is pH dependent (de Vos, 1999; Madsen et al., 1999), like the heat shock

and nitrogen dependent constitutive promoters. A promoter that is induced by low acidic pH, like

P1, P2 and P170 (de Vos, 1999; Madsen et al., 2005, 1999), will enable the lactic acid bacteria to

express and secrete the encoded peptide only when it is exposed to such conditions at the target

location in the gastric system. Thus, a lactic acid bacterium containing a secretion vector with a

pH inducible promoter driving AMP expression constitutes an excellent sustained release drug

delivery system that will protect the peptidic drug from the enzymatic degradation in the

gastrointestinal tract and deliver it to the proper target site. Lactic acid bacteria in the genera

Lactobacillus and *Lactococcus* have been a part of the human diet for millennia. There have been

numerous strains of lactic acid bacteria that are considered safe to consume and graded by the FDA

as such (Nutrition, 2020) Since early 2000s, *Lactococcus lactis* has proven to be an excellent

method for delivering engineered peptides *in-situ* from what has since burgeoned a variety of

engineered probiotic bacteria. These engineered probiotics have been used to deliver signaling

peptides, dyes, interleukins, and even unmodified AMPs (Foligne et al., 2007; Steidler et al., 2003,

1998). *Lactococcus lactis* also holds the distinction of being the first genetically engineered

organism to be used for a therapeutic application in humans (Braat et al., 2006).

In this paper, we will demonstrate the efficacy of *L. lactis* bioengineered to secrete AMPs

and gAMPs to inhibit *H. pylori* when co-cultured *in vitro*. We selected a suitable vector for such

purpose and then cloned it with 3 AMPs of our choice and their corresponding gAMPs. The

gAMPs were created by fusing a guiding peptide to the N- terminus of the corresponding AMP.

The principle behind our gAMPS was to find a unique and attractive target protein on the surface

of *H. pylori,* for which we picked Vacuolating cytotoxin A (VacA). This protein expressed by *H.*

pylori is a major cause for its pathogenicity and can either be released into extracellular space

(Cover and Blaser, 1992; Foegeding et al., 2016; Snider et al., 2016) or a significant portion of it

is left in the bacterial cell surface (Foegeding et al., 2016; McClain and Cover, 2006; Telford et

al., 1994; Voss et al., 2014) which is then transferred to host cell via contact dependent mechanisms

(Ilver et al., 2004; Keenan et al., 2000; McClain and Cover, 2006). VacA toxin (comprised of the

p33 and p55 subunits) binds to host cells and is internalized, causing severe "vacuolation"

characterized by the accumulation of large vesicles that possess hallmarks of both late endosomes

and early lysosomes (Foegeding et al., 2016; Palframan et al., 2012). The development of

"vacuoles" has been attributed to the formation of VacA anion-selective channels in membranes.

Apart from its vacuolating effects, it has recently become clear that VacA also directly affects

mitochondrial function (Foo et al., 2010). The VacA toxin binds to stomach lining cells by

associating with the lipid rafts on the cell membrane which causes it to be internalized by the cell

and promote vacuole formation (Fiocca et al., 1999). Once internalized, the p34 subunit of VacA

toxin also forms an anionic pore into the mitochondrial membrane and interfere with its function

(Domańska et al., 2010). The vacA toxin has been studied for being a major virulence factor and

hence its structures and associations are also explored. One such protein whose association with

the VacA toxin was explored was Multimerin-1(Satoh et al., 2013). Multimerin-1 is a protein

expressed on the surface of human platelets and is bound by the VacA toxin when it induces

platelet CD62P expression76. It was shown that VacA would bind with a specified region of

multimerin-1 from AA 321-340 stronger than any other region, making it the purported region for

attachment with the platelets (Satoh et al., 2013). We used this peptide sequence, fused to the

AMP, as a targeting domain against *H. pylori.* Expression will be driven by the low pH induced

secretion vector in *L. lactis*. This will yield a live vector delivery system for AMP targeted towards

only *H. pylori* and sparing other bacteria.

For expressing the AMPs and gAMPs in *L. lactis* we picked the vector pT1NX (Steidler et

al., 2004, 1998; van Asseldonk et al., 1990; Waterfield et al., 1995). pT1NX contains a native *L.*

lactis promoter called P1 (Madsen et al., 2005) which is induced by the low pH conditions like in

the stomach. P1 is also induced by thermal stress and have been seen to increase expression of

proteins 500-fold when growth conditions are changed from $24^{\circ}C$ to around $40^{\circ}C$, which is closer

to a human physiological condition (de Vos, 1999). pT1NX also contains the native signal peptide

usp45 (van Asseldonk et al., 1990) that secretes any expressed protein placed downstream of it in

the ORF. Thus, placing the AMP or the gAMP placed downstream in the ORF of the vector will

ensure that the usp45 signal peptide will secrete it outside the *L. lactis* cell surface and this

expression and subsequent secretion will be governed by the P1 promoter which will trigger

expression only in low pH conditions. The pT1NX vector was further modified by adding a

Kanamycin Resistant domain to make it a dual bacteria vector and making it possible to be cloned

into *E. coli* along with *L. lactis.*

Methods and Materials

Cloning Antimicrobial peptides(AMPs) and guided Antimicrobial peptides (gAMPs) in L. lactis

The ORFs of the AMPs (Table 3.1), codon-optimized for *Lactococcus lactis* were cloned into the

modified pT1NX plasmid (pT1NX-Kanamycin resistant/pTKR, Figure 3.1) in between the

restriction enzyme sites BamHI and SpeI by replacing the spaX protein of the original plasmid.

The P1 promoter upstream of the BamHI cut-site controls the downstream expression as a

constitutive promoter which is upregulated by low pH. The usp45 gene immediately upstream of

BamHI site is an endogenous signal peptide of *Lactococcus* species that transports the attached

protein to extracellular location.

After ligation of the AMP/gAMP into pTKR vector, it was transformed into *E. coli* (10β,

NEB) and plated onto Kanamycin selective plate. The pT1NX plasmid (LMBP 3498) has

erythromycin resistance but pTKR is a dual vector with kanamyicin resistance for cloning into

electrocompetent *E. coli* (10β, NEB) for plasmid propagation. Extracted plasmid from the *E. coli*

was then electroporated into electrocompetent *L. lactis* MG1363 (LMBP 3019) and plated on

erythromycin selective GM17 plate (30ºC, microaerobic, overnight). After screening for the

presence of the AMP/gAMP ORFs with PCR, selected colonies are propagated in liquid cultures

of M17 broth with Glucose (0.5% w/v) in the presence of erythromycin (5 µg/ml).

AMP/ gAMP	Peptide Sequence
Laterosporulin	ACQCPDAISGWTHTDYQCHGLENKMYRHVYAICMNGTQVYCRTEWGSSC
Multimerin1(MM1)-Laterosporulin	MQKMTDQVNYQAMKLTLLQKSGGGSACQCPDAISGWTHTDYQCHGLENKMYRHVYAICMNGTQVYCRTEWGSSC
Alyteserin	GLKDIFKAGLGSLVKGIAAHVAN
MM1-Alyteserin	MQKMTDQVNYQAMKLTLLQKSGGGSGLKDIFKAGLGSLVKGIAAHVAN
CRAMP	ISRLAGLLRKGGEKIGEKLKKIGQKIKNFFQKLVPQPE
MM1-CRAMP	MQKMTDQVNYQAMKLTLLQKSGGGSISRLAGLLRKGGEKIGEKLKKIGQKIKNFFQKLVPQPE
Blue = Multimerin1, Red = linker	

193   TABLE 1. The peptide sequences of 3 AMPs and their corresponding gAMPs with Multimerin1 fragment fused to
194   the N-terminus separated by a linker

200   (A)

201   (B)

FIGURE 1. pTKR (pT1NX with kanamycin resistance gene) vector with the AMP sequence (A) and the MM1-AMP/ gAMP sequence (B) in between the BamHI and SpeI cutsites downstream of P1 promoter and usp45 sequence.

In vitro assay: Co-culture assays with cloned *L. lactis* and *H. pylori* SS1

Desired variant of *L. lactis* cloned with AMP/tAMP were propagated from glycerol stocks

and grown in GM17 broth overnight with erythromycin (5 µg/ml) with no shaking. *H. pylori* SS1

stocks were first propagated on Blood-Tryptic Soy agar (TSA) overnight with microaerobic

condition and >5% CO_2 environment. Then colonies from the plate were transferred to a TS broth

with 5% Newborn Calf serum (NCS) and grown overnight under microaerobic condition and >5%

CO_2 environment. The *L. lactis* cultures were serially diluted in a 96 well culture plate with TS

broth to make up a volume of 100 µL. To each well, 10 µL of the overnight *H. pylori* culture were

added and each well volume was brought up to 200 µL with more TS broth. The plate is left to

grow overnight in a microaerobic environment with >5% CO_2. After 24 hrs, the each well content

from the culture plate is transferred on a 96 well PCR plate. That PCR plate was sealed and heated

for 15 min at 100^oC and chilled at 4^oC for 5 min. Then the plate was centrifuged at 2000g for 2

min and the supernatant was used as the template for qPCR. The qPCR was done using primers

for VacA gene to quantify *H. pylori* (forward: 5′-ATGGAAATACAACAAACACAC-3′, reverse:

5′-CTGCTTGAATGCGCCAAAC-3′) and primers for *acma* gene for quantifying *L. lactis*

(forward: 5' GGAGCTCGTGAAAGCTGACT 3', reverse: 5' GCCGGAACATTGACAACCAC

3'). Standard curves for *H. pylori* and *L. lactis* were constructed by determining C_T values for

different dilutions of the overnight cultures of the respective bacteria (1/10, 1/100, 1/1000,

1/10000) in the qPCR plates, the CFUs for the dilutions were determined by plating on their

respective agar plates. Same procedure was followed by co-culturing *Lactobacillus plantarum* and

E. coli with serially diluted cultures of *L. lactis* for 24 hrs and their amount was determined by

qPCR using primers for species specific genes for either bacteria. The amount of *L. lactis* added

to the co-cultures of all the three assays ranged from around 4000 to 512000 CFU/ul.

In vitro assay of chemically synthesized AMP and gAMP against H. pylori and E. coli

The peptide Alyteserin (2.28 kD) and its guided counterpart MM1-Alyteserin (5.02 kD) were synthesized and purchased from GenScript USA. Aliquots of the AMP/gAMP were used to plate 96 well bactericidal assay using both *H. pylori* and *E. coli.* AMP/ gAMP were serially diluted to achieve a range of concentrations from 800 µM to 6.25µM in each wells. Each well had a total volume of 100 µl with 50 µl of peptide solution in PBS, 45 µl of growth media (TSB with 5% NCS for *H. pylori* and Lennox Broth for *E. coli*) and 5 µl of bacterial culture grown overnight and diluted to OD600 of 0.6. The assay plates for *H. pylori* were grown in microaerobic condition with >5% CO_2 at 37°C while *E. coli* plates were cultured in aerobic conditions overnight at 37°C. The MICs were determined by the concentration of the last well in ascending order that had bacterial growth associated turbidity. The inhibition of bacterial growth in the clear wells were confirmed by plating the clear well contents on a Mueller-Hinton agar at respective microaerobic and aerobic conditions.

Results

L. lactis cloned with gAMPs selectively killed H. pylori when co-cultured in vitro

On culturing together for 24 hrs, the cloned *L. lactis* showed a pronounced bactericidal activity towards *H. pylori* as determined by the qPCR method (Figure 2). The value of the *H. pylori* titer were calculated by using a concentration curve with Ct values found for CFU/ul values of *H. pylori* when plated. The gAMP containing *L. lactis* had similar bactericidal effect on *H. pylori* as their corresponding AMPs, except for MM1-Alyteserin showing a significant reduction of *H. pylori* CFUs at *L. lactis* doses around 64,000 CFU/ul or higher. The gAMP-*L. lactis,* at a

concentration of 64,000 CFU/ul of *L. lactis,* reduced the *H. pylori* load to 1/30th of the *H. pylori*

load when co-cultured with *L. lactis* with empty vector at similar concentration on average, with

MM1-Alyteserin-*L. lactis* reducing it to 1/1000th. The average reduction of *H. pylori* by the AMP-

L. lactis was only 1/6th of that with the *L. lactis* containing empty vector, with Laterosporulin-*L.*

lactis performing the best at 1/60th reduction at 64,000 CFU/ul. The reduction of *H. pylori* load

increased with the concentration of *L. lactis* for both AMP and gAMP containing *L. lactis.*

FIGURE 2. The CFU/μl of *H. pylori* grown in the co-culture after 24 hrs of incubation, estimated from the
corresponding C_t values determined by qPCR, plotted against the CFU/μl of *L. lactis* added to the co-culture

But when co-cultured with *L. plantarum* (Figure 3) and *E. coli* (Figure 4), the gAMP-*L. lactis* had

significantly less bactericidal action on either bacterium compared to their corresponding AMP-*L.*

lactis. The difference in reduction of both *E. coli* and *L. plantarum* was evident even at the lowest

concentration of *L. lactis* given (4000 CFU/µl). The bacterial load of *E. coli* and *L. plantarum* for

the gAMP-*L. lactis* by 3.4x and 1.8x times higher on average respectively than the bacterial load

when cultured with AMP-*L. lactis* at even 4000 CFU/µl, and the difference tapered with the

increase in load of *L. lactis* upto 512000 CFU/µl. Hence, we can see that the *L. lactis* releasing

gAMPs had significantly lesser killing potential for non-*H. pylori* bacteria than the *L. lactis*

releasing corresponding AMPs, and this difference is only erased with exponentially higher doses

of *L. lactis.* But inversely, gAMP releasing *L. lactis* killed *H. pylori* at almost similar rate with the

AMP-*L. lactis* with MM1-Alyteserin performing the best among all the 6 AMP and gAMP tested.

FIGURE 3. The CFU/µl of *L. plantarum* found in the co-culture after 24 hrs of incubation, estimated from the
corresponding C_t values determined by qPCR, plotted against the CFU/µl of *L. lactis* added to the co-culture

FIGURE 4. The CFU/ul of *E. coli* found in the co-culture after 24 hrs of incubation, estimated from the corresponding
C$_t$ values determined by qPCR, plotted against the CFU/ul of *L. lactis* added to the co-culture

Chemically synthesized gAMP showed preferential bactericidal activity towards H. pylori over E.
coli

Bacterial inhibition assay with the chemically synthesized peptide demonstrated that

Alyteserin when conjugated with the MM1 fragment domain had preferential inhibitory action

against *H. pylori* over the non-target bacteria *E. coli*. The MIC recorded against *H. pylori* and *E.*

coli for both Alyteserin and MM1-Alyteserin is shown in Table 2 and the mean values are shown

graphically in Figure 5. The MIC against *H. pylori* for Alyteserin and MM1-Alyteserin were not

significantly different even though MM1-Alyteserin had a lower MIC but the MIC against *E. coli*

the MIC for MM1-ALytserin was significantly higher (p<0.01) than that of Alyteserin, thus

showing that MM1-Alyteserin shows significantly lower bactericidal activity towards the non-

target *E. coli* compared to *H. pylori*. This echoes the results seen when the *L. lactis* cloned with

AMPs and gAMPs were co-cultured with *H. pylori* and the non-target bacteria *E. coli* and *L.*

plantarum.

Bacteria	MIC (µM)	Alyteserin	MM1-Alyteserin	P-value
H. pylori	Mean	83.8	61.2	0.1475
	SE	5.429	10.842	
E. coli	Mean	25.2	160.8	0.0004
	SE	1.906	11.80	

291 TABLE 2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) exhibited by chemically synthesized Alyteserin and MM1-
292 Alyteserin against *H. pylori* and *E. coli*.

(A)   (B)

295 FIGURE 5. MIC values (µM) of Alyteserin and MM1-Alyteserin against *H.pylori* (A) and *E. coli* (B)

Discussion

Both the *in vitro* assays using *L. lactis* cloned with AMP/gAMP and using chemically synthesized Alyteserin/MM1- Alyteserin demonstrated effective inhibtion of *H. pylori*. Co-culture assays showed that the *L. lactis* cloned with gAMPs that the Multimerin-1 fragment attached to it had similar efficacy against *H. pylori* to that of the *L. lactis* cloned with their AMP counterparts, with MM1-Alyteserin being the only gAMP that had significantly better microbicidal effect than its AMP counterpart. The measure of microbicidal effect was done by the estimating the CFU/µl of *H. pylori* in the co-culture after overnight incubation with a particular concentration of bioengineered *L. lactis*, the lesser *H. pyloti* load the better microbicidal action exhibited. So, we can assume that attachment of MM1 fragment did not necessarily turn the AMP a more potential killer of the target bacteria, *H. pylori.* But when looking at the effect on the other two non-*Helicobacter* species; *E. coli* and *L. plantarum*, we see that the gAMPs with MM1 fragment had a significant drop in their microbicidal effect as a higher CFU/ul of gAMP-*L. lactis* were required to get a similar drop in non-target bacterial load exhibited by a lower CFU/ml dose of AMP-*L. lactis.* Hence, similar concentration of gAMP-*L. lactis* that caused a significant reduction in *H. pylori* CFU/µl did not have the same effect on either *E. coli* or *L. plantarum* unlike the AMP-*L. lactis* which had similar microbicidal effect on all three bacteria. This shows that the gAMPs released by the *L. lactis* did not kill the non-target bacteria as it would *H. pylori*, proving that it has achieved an extent of specificity towards *H. pylori*. The fragment of MM1 that we used was found to have the highest dissociation coefficient (K_D) with the vacA toxin on the surface of the *H. pylori* and may facilitate the attachment of the AMP to the bacteria. This enhanced attachment may not enhance the toxic effect that much as the amount of gAMP molecules approaching the bacterial cell membrane is not significantly different than the AMP molecules and attachment of

gAMP molecules to the membrane above the saturation concentration does not necessarily make

it more toxic. But the MM1 fragment may be an impairment to the attachment of the gAMP

molecules to the non-target bacterial cell membrane and hence deceasing attachment which

translates to decreased toxicity. This effect has also been seen in previous literature where targeting

moieties did not necessarily enhance the toxic activity but rather decreased action against the other

non-target bacteria. This ensures that the gAMPs have a certain specificity towards the bacteria

they are designed to kill and sparing other non-target species which may be beneficial to the host

microbiome.

When using a chemically synthesized version of the peptide Alyteserin and MM1-Alyteserin too

reflected the preferential microbicidal tendency when their MICs were determined against *H.*

pylori and *E coli*. Alyteserin is a class of AMPs among which Alyteserin-1 types show specific

activity against Gram negative bacteria (Conlon et al., 2009). We used a specific sequence of an

Alyteserin 1 peptide that has been used against Gram negative bacteria like *E. coli* (Conlon et al.,

2009), *Salmonella* sp. (Volzing et al., 2013), *Acinetobacter* sp. (Conlon et al., 2010). The assay

showed it to have similar MIC against *E. coli* as reported previously (~25 µM) but that MIC was

increased significantly when using MM1-Alyteserin whereas there was no significant changes

between the MICs of either Alyteserin and MM1 Alyteserin against *H. pylori*, albeit the MM1

Alyteserin having a lower MIC against *H. pylori* though it was not significant. This again proves

the validity of our argument for using MM1 as a targeting domain and corroborates the data we

got with co-culture assay using cloned *L. lactis* to inhibit *H. pylori* instead of synthesized peptides

as the peptides have a danger of getting degraded when administered orally. And since both the

naked peptide and the cloned *L lactis* showed efficacy in killing *H. pylori* we can use the latter as

a model of therapeutic against the pathogen instead of the former and making it a more suited

candidate for oral administration.

References

A, V.R., C, V.G.C., Ap, C., V, S., J, F., A, B., 2011. Evaluation of the immunogenicity
and in vivo toxicity of the antimicrobial peptide P34. Int J Pharm 421, 94–98.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.09.020

Aguilera, M., Cerdà-Cuéllar, M., Martínez, V., 2015. Antibiotic-induced dysbiosis alters
host-bacterial interactions and leads to colonic sensory and motor changes in
mice. Gut Microbes 6, 10–23. https://doi.org/10.4161/19490976.2014.990790

Assoni, L., Milani, B., Carvalho, M.R., Nepomuceno, L.N., Waz, N.T., Guerra, M.E.S.,
Converso, T.R., Darrieux, M., 2020. Resistance Mechanisms to Antimicrobial
Peptides in Gram-Positive Bacteria. Front. Microbiol. 11.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.593215

Axelsson, L., Ahrné, S., 2000. Lactic Acid Bacteria, in: Priest, F.G., Goodfellow, M.
(Eds.), Applied Microbial Systematics. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 367–
388. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4020-1_13

Baindara, P., Singh, N., Ranjan, M., Nallabelli, N., Chaudhry, V., Pathania, G.L.,
Sharma, N., Kumar, A., Patil, P.B., Korpole, S., 2016. Laterosporulin10: a novel
defensin like Class IId bacteriocin from Brevibacillus sp. strain SKDU10 with
inhibitory activity against microbial pathogens. Microbiology (Reading) 162,
1286–1299. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000316

Becattini, S., Taur, Y., Pamer, E.G., 2016. Antibiotic-Induced Changes in the Intestinal
Microbiota and Disease. Trends Mol Med 22, 458–478.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2016.04.003

Bermúdez-Humarán, L.G., Langella, P., Cortes-Perez, N.G., Gruss, A., Tamez-Guerra,
R.S., Oliveira, S.C., Saucedo-Cardenas, O., Montes de Oca-Luna, R., Le Loir, Y.,
2003. Intranasal immunization with recombinant Lactococcus lactis secreting
murine interleukin-12 enhances antigen-specific Th1 cytokine production. Infect
Immun 71, 1887–1896. https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.71.4.1887-1896.2003

Bonning, B.C., Pal, N., Liu, S., Wang, Z., Sivakumar, S., Dixon, P.M., King, G.F.,
Miller, W.A., 2014. Toxin delivery by the coat protein of an aphid-vectored plant
virus provides plant resistance to aphids. Nature Biotechnology 32, 102–105.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2753

Boyanova, L., Hadzhiyski, P., Kandilarov, N., Markovska, R., Mitov, I., 2019. Multidrug
resistance in Helicobacter pylori: current state and future directions. Expert Rev
Clin Pharmacol 12, 909–915. https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2019.1654858

Braat, H., Rottiers, P., Hommes, D.W., Huyghebaert, N., Remaut, E., Remon, J.-P., van
Deventer, S.J.H., Neirynck, S., Peppelenbosch, M.P., Steidler, L., 2006. A phase I

trial with transgenic bacteria expressing interleukin-10 in Crohn's disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 4, 754–759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2006.03.028

Buchholz, C.J., Friedel, T., Büning, H., 2015. Surface-Engineered Viral Vectors for Selective and Cell Type-Specific Gene Delivery. Trends Biotechnol 33, 777–790. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2015.09.008

Cao, J., de la Fuente-Nunez, C., Ou, R.W., Torres, M.D.T., Pande, S.G., Sinskey, A.J., Lu, T.K., 2018. Yeast-Based Synthetic Biology Platform for Antimicrobial Peptide Production. ACS Synth Biol 7, 896–902. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.7b00396

Chen, Y., Zhu, X., Zhang, X., Liu, B., Huang, L., 2010. Nanoparticles modified with tumor-targeting scFv deliver siRNA and miRNA for cancer therapy. Mol Ther 18, 1650–1656. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2010.136

Choudhury, A., Islam, S.M.A., Ghidey, M.R., Kearney, C.M., 2020. Repurposing a drug targeting peptide for targeting antimicrobial peptides against Staphylococcus. Biotechnol Lett 42, 287–294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-019-02779-y

Company, N., Nadal, A., La Paz, J.-L., Martínez, S., Rasche, S., Schillberg, S., Montesinos, E., Pla, M., 2014. The production of recombinant cationic α-helical antimicrobial peptides in plant cells induces the formation of protein bodies derived from the endoplasmic reticulum. Plant Biotechnol J 12, 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12119

Conlon, J.M., Ahmed, E., Pal, T., Sonnevend, A., 2010. Potent and rapid bactericidal action of alyteserin-1c and its [E4K] analog against multidrug-resistant strains of Acinetobacter baumannii. Peptides 31, 1806–1810. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2010.06.032

Conlon, J.M., Demandt, A., Nielsen, P.F., Leprince, J., Vaudry, H., Woodhams, D.C., 2009. The alyteserins: two families of antimicrobial peptides from the skin secretions of the midwife toad Alytes obstetricans (Alytidae). Peptides 30, 1069–1073. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.peptides.2009.03.004

Correa, P., Piazuelo, M.B., 2011. Helicobacter pylori Infection and Gastric Adenocarcinoma. US Gastroenterol Hepatol Rev 7, 59–64.

Cover, T.L., Blaser, M.J., 1992. Purification and characterization of the vacuolating toxin from Helicobacter pylori. J Biol Chem 267, 10570–10575.

Cripe, T.P., Wang, P.-Y., Marcato, P., Mahller, Y.Y., Lee, P.W., 2009. Targeting Cancer-initiating Cells With Oncolytic Viruses. Mol Ther 17, 1677–1682. https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2009.193

de Vos, W.M., 1999. Gene expression systems for lactic acid bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 2, 289–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5274(99)80050-2

Devocelle, M., 2012. Targeted Antimicrobial Peptides. Front. Immunol. 3. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2012.00309

Di, Y.P., Lin, Q., Chen, C., Montelaro, R.C., Doi, Y., Deslouches, B., 2020. Enhanced therapeutic index of an antimicrobial peptide in mice by increasing safety and activity against multidrug-resistant bacteria. Science Advances 6, eaay6817. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay6817

Domańska, G., Motz, C., Meinecke, M., Harsman, A., Papatheodorou, P., Reljic, B., Dian-Lothrop, E.A., Galmiche, A., Kepp, O., Becker, L., Günnewig, K., Wagner, R., Rassow, J., 2010. Helicobacter pylori VacA toxin/subunit p34: targeting of an anion channel to the inner mitochondrial membrane. PLoS Pathog 6, e1000878. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000878

Drouault, S., Corthier, G., Ehrlich, S.D., Renault, P., 1999. Survival, Physiology, and Lysis of Lactococcus lactis in the Digestive Tract. Appl Environ Microbiol 65, 4881–4886.

Eckert, R., He, J., Yarbrough, D.K., Qi, F., Anderson, M.H., Shi, W., 2006a. Targeted killing of Streptococcus mutans by a pheromone-guided "smart" antimicrobial peptide. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50, 3651–3657. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00622-06

Eckert, R., Qi, F., Yarbrough, D.K., He, J., Anderson, M.H., Shi, W., 2006b. Adding Selectivity to Antimicrobial Peptides: Rational Design of a Multidomain Peptide against Pseudomonas spp. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 50, 1480–1488. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.4.1480-1488.2006

Eckert, R., Sullivan, R., Shi, W., 2012. Targeted Antimicrobial Treatment to Re-establish a Healthy Microbial Flora for Long-term Protection. Adv Dent Res 24, 94–97. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034512453725

El Shazely, B., Yu, G., Johnston, P.R., Rolff, J., 2020. Resistance Evolution Against Antimicrobial Peptides in Staphylococcus aureus Alters Pharmacodynamics Beyond the MIC. Front. Microbiol. 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00103

Ferreyra, J.A., Ng, K.M., Sonnenburg, J.L., 2014. The Enteric Two-Step: nutritional strategies of bacterial pathogens within the gut. Cell Microbiol 16, 993–1003. https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12300

Fiocca, R., Necchi, V., Sommi, P., Ricci, V., Telford, J., Cover, T.L., Solcia, E., 1999. Release of Helicobacter pylori vacuolating cytotoxin by both a specific secretion pathway and budding of outer membrane vesicles. Uptake of released toxin and

vesicles by gastric epithelium. J Pathol 188, 220–226.
https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9896(199906)188:2<220::AID-PATH307>3.0.CO;2-C

Foegeding, N.J., Caston, R.R., McClain, M.S., Ohi, M.D., Cover, T.L., 2016. An Overview of Helicobacter pylori VacA Toxin Biology. Toxins (Basel) 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins8060173

Foligne, B., Dessein, R., Marceau, M., Poiret, S., Chamaillard, M., Pot, B., Simonet, M., Daniel, C., 2007. Prevention and treatment of colitis with Lactococcus lactis secreting the immunomodulatory Yersinia LcrV protein. Gastroenterology 133, 862–874. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2007.06.018

Foo, J.H., Culvenor, J.G., Ferrero, R.L., Kwok, T., Lithgow, T., Gabriel, K., 2010. Both the p33 and p55 Subunits of the Helicobacter pylori VacA Toxin Are Targeted to Mammalian Mitochondria. Journal of Molecular Biology 401, 792–798. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2010.06.065

Ganz, T., 2003. Defensins: antimicrobial peptides of innate immunity. Nature Reviews Immunology 3, 710–720. https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1180

Hancock, R.E., Diamond, G., 2000. The role of cationic antimicrobial peptides in innate host defences. Trends Microbiol 8, 402–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-842x(00)01823-0

Hancock, R.E.W., Sahl, H.-G., 2006. Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-infective therapeutic strategies. Nature Biotechnology 24, 1551–1557. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1267

Hase, K., Murakami, M., Iimura, M., Cole, S.P., Horibe, Y., Ohtake, T., Obonyo, M., Gallo, R.L., Eckmann, L., Kagnoff, M.F., 2003. Expression of LL-37 by human gastric epithelial cells as a potential host defense mechanism against Helicobacter pylori. Gastroenterology 125, 1613–1625. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2003.08.028

Holaskova, E., Galuszka, P., Frebort, I., Oz, M.T., 2015. Antimicrobial peptide production and plant-based expression systems for medical and agricultural biotechnology. Biotechnology Advances, BioTech 2014 and 6th Czech-Swiss Biotechnology Symposium 33, 1005–1023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.03.007

Ilver, D., Barone, S., Mercati, D., Lupetti, P., Telford, J.L., 2004. Helicobacter pylori toxin VacA is transferred to host cells via a novel contact-dependent mechanism. Cell Microbiol 6, 167–174. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-5822.2003.00349.x

Institute of Medicine (US) Forum on Microbial Threats, 2010. Antibiotic Resistance:
Implications for Global Health and Novel Intervention Strategies: Workshop
Summary, The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National
Institutes of Health. National Academies Press (US), Washington (DC).

Jeong, D.-W., Lee, J.-H., Kim, K.H., Lee, H.J., 2006. A food-grade expression/secretion
vector for Lactococcus lactis that uses an alpha-galactosidase gene as a selection
marker. Food Microbiol 23, 468–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2005.06.003

Kaplan, C.W., Sim, J.H., Shah, K.R., Kolesnikova-Kaplan, A., Shi, W., Eckert, R., 2011.
Selective membrane disruption: mode of action of C16G2, a specifically targeted
antimicrobial peptide. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55, 3446–3452.
https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00342-11

Kau, A.L., Ahern, P.P., Griffin, N.W., Goodman, A.L., Gordon, J.I., 2011. Human
nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system. Nature 474, 327–336.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10213

Keenan, J., Day, T., Neal, S., Cook, B., Perez-Perez, G., Allardyce, R., Bagshaw, P.,
2000. A role for the bacterial outer membrane in the pathogenesis of Helicobacter
pylori infection. FEMS Microbiol Lett 182, 259–264.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2000.tb08905.x

Kim, H., Jang, J.H., Kim, S.C., Cho, J.H., 2020. Development of a novel hybrid
antimicrobial peptide for targeted killing of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. European
Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 185, 111814.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.111814

Langdon, A., Crook, N., Dantas, G., 2016. The effects of antibiotics on the microbiome
throughout development and alternative approaches for therapeutic modulation.
Genome Med 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0294-z

Lei, J., Sun, L., Huang, S., Zhu, C., Li, P., He, J., Mackey, V., Coy, D.H., He, Q., 2019.
The antimicrobial peptides and their potential clinical applications. Am J Transl
Res 11, 3919–3931.

Li, L., Guo, L., Lux, R., Eckert, R., Yarbrough, D., He, J., Anderson, M., Shi, W., 2010.
Targeted Antimicrobial Therapy Against Streptococcus mutans Establishes
Protective Non-cariogenic Oral Biofilms and Reduces Subsequent Infection. Int J
Oral Sci 2, 66–73. https://doi.org/10.4248/IJOS10024

Li, R., Takala, T.M., Qiao, M., Xu, H., Saris, P.E.J., 2011. Nisin-selectable food-grade
secretion vector for Lactococcus lactis. Biotechnol Lett 33, 797–803.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-010-0503-6

Li, Y., 2011. Recombinant production of antimicrobial peptides in Escherichia coli: a
        review. Protein Expr Purif 80, 260–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pep.2011.08.001

Madsen, S.M., Arnau, J., Vrang, A., Givskov, M., Israelsen, H., 1999. Molecular
        characterization of the pH-inducible and growth phase-dependent promoter P170
        of Lactococcus lactis. Mol Microbiol 32, 75–87. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-
        2958.1999.01326.x

Madsen, S.M., Hindré, T., Le Pennec, J.-P., Israelsen, H., Dufour, A., 2005. Two acid-
        inducible promoters from Lactococcus lactis require the cis-acting ACiD-box and
        the transcription regulator RcfB. Mol Microbiol 56, 735–746.
        https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2005.04572.x

Malanovic, N., Lohner, K., 2016. Antimicrobial Peptides Targeting Gram-Positive
        Bacteria. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph9030059

Mannam, P., Jones, K.F., Geller, B.L., 2004. Mucosal vaccine made from live,
        recombinant Lactococcus lactis protects mice against pharyngeal infection with
        Streptococcus pyogenes. Infect Immun 72, 3444–3450.
        https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.6.3444-3450.2004

Marty, C., Schwendener, R.A., 2005. Cytotoxic tumor targeting with scFv antibody-
        modified liposomes. Methods Mol Med 109, 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-
        59259-862-5:389

McClain, M.S., Cover, T.L., 2006. CHAPTER 24 - Helicobacter pylori vacuolating toxin,
        in: Alouf, J.E., Popoff, M.R. (Eds.), The Comprehensive Sourcebook of Bacterial
        Protein Toxins (Third Edition). Academic Press, London, pp. 468–490.
        https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012088445-2/50029-9

Moncla, B.J., Pryke, K., Rohan, L.C., Graebing, P.W., 2011. Degradation of naturally
        occurring and engineered antimicrobial peptides by proteases. Adv Biosci
        Biotechnol 2, 404–408. https://doi.org/10.4236/abb.2011.26059

Morassutti, C., De Amicis, F., Skerlavaj, B., Zanetti, M., Marchetti, S., 2002. Production
        of a recombinant antimicrobial peptide in transgenic plants using a modified
        VMA intein expression system. FEBS Letters 519, 141–146.
        https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(02)02741-2

Mygind, P.H., Fischer, R.L., Schnorr, K.M., Hansen, M.T., Sönksen, C.P., Ludvigsen, S.,
        Raventós, D., Buskov, S., Christensen, B., De Maria, L., Taboureau, O., Yaver,
        D., Elvig-Jørgensen, S.G., Sørensen, M.V., Christensen, B.E., Kjærulff, S.,
        Frimodt-Moller, N., Lehrer, R.I., Zasloff, M., Kristensen, H.-H., 2005. Plectasin
        is a peptide antibiotic with therapeutic potential from a saprophytic fungus.
        Nature 437, 975–980. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04051

Neshani, A., Zare, H., Eidgahi, M.R.A., Chichaklu, A.H., Movaqar, A., Ghazvini, K.,
2019. Review of antimicrobial peptides with anti-Helicobacter pylori activity.
Helicobacter 24, e12555. https://doi.org/10.1111/hel.12555

Nicholson, J.K., Holmes, E., Kinross, J., Burcelin, R., Gibson, G., Jia, W., Pettersson, S.,
2012. Host-gut microbiota metabolic interactions. Science 336, 1262–1267.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1223813

Norian, L.A., James, B.R., Griffith, T.S., 2011. Advances in Viral Vector-Based TRAIL
Gene Therapy for Cancer. Cancers (Basel) 3, 603–620.
https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers3010603

Nutrition, C. for F.S. and A., 2020. Microorganisms & Microbial-Derived Ingredients
Used in Food (Partial List) [WWW Document]. FDA. URL
https://www.fda.gov/food/generally-recognized-safe-gras/microorganisms-
microbial-derived-ingredients-used-food-partial-list (accessed 5.25.21).

Oeemig, J.S., Lynggaard, C., Knudsen, D.H., Hansen, F.T., Nørgaard, K.D., Schneider,
T., Vad, B.S., Sandvang, D.H., Nielsen, L.A., Neve, S., Kristensen, H.-H., Sahl,
H.-G., Otzen, D.E., Wimmer, R., 2012. Eurocin, a new fungal defensin: structure,
lipid binding, and its mode of action. J Biol Chem 287, 42361–42372.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.382028

Palframan, S.L., Kwok, T., Gabriel, K., 2012. Vacuolating cytotoxin A (VacA), a key
toxin for Helicobacter pylori pathogenesis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00092

Peschen, D., Li, H.-P., Fischer, R., Kreuzaler, F., Liao, Y.-C., 2004. Fusion proteins
comprising a Fusarium -specific antibody linked to antifungal peptides protect
plants against a fungal pathogen. Nature Biotechnology 22, 732–738.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt970

Reinhardt, A., Neundorf, I., 2016. Design and Application of Antimicrobial Peptide
Conjugates. Int J Mol Sci 17. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17050701

Robinson, K., Chamberlain, L.M., Schofield, K.M., Wells, J.M., Le Page, R.W., 1997.
Oral vaccination of mice against tetanus with recombinant Lactococcus lactis. Nat
Biotechnol 15, 653–657. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0797-653

Salih, B.A., 2009. Helicobacter pylori Infection in Developing Countries: The Burden for
How Long? Saudi J Gastroenterol 15, 201–207. https://doi.org/10.4103/1319-
3767.54743

Satoh, K., Hirayama, T., Takano, K., Suzuki-Inoue, K., Sato, T., Ohta, M., Nakagomi, J.,
Ozaki, Y., 2013. VacA, the vacuolating cytotoxin of Helicobacter pylori, binds to

multimerin 1 on human platelets. Thromb J 11, 23. https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-
9560-11-23

Singh, P.K., Solanki, V., Sharma, S., Thakur, K.G., Krishnan, B., Korpole, S., 2015. The
intramolecular disulfide-stapled structure of laterosporulin, a class IId bacteriocin,
conceals a human defensin-like structural module. FEBS J 282, 203–214.
https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.13129

Snider, C.A., Voss, B.J., McDonald, W.H., Cover, T.L., 2016. Growth phase-dependent
composition of the Helicobacter pylori exoproteome. J Proteomics 130, 94–107.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2015.08.025

Steidler, L., Hans, W., Schotte, L., Neirynck, S., Obermeier, F., Falk, W., Fiers, W.,
Remaut, E., 2000. Treatment of murine colitis by Lactococcus lactis secreting
interleukin-10. Science 289, 1352–1355.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5483.1352

Steidler, L., Neirynck, S., Huyghebaert, N., Snoeck, V., Vermeire, A., Goddeeris, B.,
Cox, E., Remon, J.P., Remaut, E., 2003. Biological containment of genetically
modified Lactococcus lactis for intestinal delivery of human interleukin 10. Nat
Biotechnol 21, 785–789. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt840

Steidler, L., REMAUT, R.E., Fiers, W., 2004. USE OF A CYTOKINE-PRODUCING
LACTOCOCCUS TRIBE FOR TREATING COLUMN. DE69914932T2.

Steidler, L., Robinson, K., Chamberlain, L., Schofield, K.M., Remaut, E., Le Page, R.W.,
Wells, J.M., 1998a. Mucosal delivery of murine interleukin-2 (IL-2) and IL-6 by
recombinant strains of Lactococcus lactis coexpressing antigen and cytokine.
Infect Immun 66, 3183–3189. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.66.7.3183-3189.1998

Steidler, L., Viaene, J., Fiers, W., Remaut, E., 1998b. Functional display of a
heterologous protein on the surface of Lactococcus lactis by means of the cell
wall anchor of Staphylococcus aureus protein A. Appl Environ Microbiol 64,
342–345. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.1.342-345.1998

Strati, F., Pujolassos, M., Burrello, C., Giuffrè, M.R., Lattanzi, G., Caprioli, F., Troisi, J.,
Facciotti, F., 2021. Antibiotic-associated dysbiosis affects the ability of the gut
microbiota to control intestinal inflammation upon fecal microbiota
transplantation in experimental colitis models. Microbiome 9, 39.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00991-x

Svenson, J., Stensen, W., Brandsdal, B.-O., Haug, B.E., Monrad, J., Svendsen, J.S.,
2008a. Antimicrobial peptides with stability toward tryptic degradation.
Biochemistry 47, 3777–3788. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi7019904

Svenson, J., Stensen, W., Brandsdal, B.-O., Haug, B.E., Monrad, J., Svendsen, J.S., 2008b. Antimicrobial peptides with stability toward tryptic degradation. Biochemistry 47, 3777–3788. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi7019904

Telford, J.L., Ghiara, P., Dell'Orco, M., Comanducci, M., Burroni, D., Bugnoli, M., Tecce, M.F., Censini, S., Covacci, A., Xiang, Z., 1994. Gene structure of the Helicobacter pylori cytotoxin and evidence of its key role in gastric disease. J Exp Med 179, 1653–1658. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.179.5.1653

van Asseldonk, M., Rutten, G., Oteman, M., Siezen, R.J., de Vos, W.M., Simons, G., 1990. Cloning of usp45, a gene encoding a secreted protein from Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis MG1363. Gene 95, 155–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(90)90428-T

Vandenbroucke, K., de Haard, H., Beirnaert, E., Dreier, T., Lauwereys, M., Huyck, L., Van Huysse, J., Demetter, P., Steidler, L., Remaut, E., Cuvelier, C., Rottiers, P., 2010. Orally administered L. lactis secreting an anti-TNF Nanobody demonstrate efficacy in chronic colitis. Mucosal Immunology 3, 49–56. https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2009.116

Vangay, P., Ward, T., Gerber, J.S., Knights, D., 2015. Antibiotics, Pediatric Dysbiosis, and Disease. Cell Host Microbe 17, 553–564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.04.006

Vaucher, R. de A., Velho Gewehr, C. de C.V., Correa, A.P.F., Sant'Anna, V., Ferreira, J., Brandelli, A., 2011. Evaluation of the immunogenicity and in vivo toxicity of the antimicrobial peptide P34. Int J Pharm 421, 94–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.09.020

Volzing, K., Borrero, J., Sadowsky, M.J., Kaznessis, Y.N., 2013. Antimicrobial Peptides Targeting Gram-negative Pathogens, Produced and Delivered by Lactic Acid Bacteria. ACS Synth. Biol. 2, 643–650. https://doi.org/10.1021/sb4000367

Voss, B.J., Gaddy, J.A., McDonald, W.H., Cover, T.L., 2014. Analysis of Surface-Exposed Outer Membrane Proteins in Helicobacter pylori. J Bacteriol 196, 2455–2471. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01768-14

Waterfield, N.R., Le Page, R.W., Wilson, P.W., Wells, J.M., 1995. The isolation of lactococcal promoters and their use in investigating bacterial luciferase synthesis in Lactococcus lactis. Gene 165, 9–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(95)00484-n

Wroblewski, L.E., Peek, R.M., Wilson, K.T., 2010. Helicobacter pylori and Gastric Cancer: Factors That Modulate Disease Risk. Clin Microbiol Rev 23, 713–739. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00011-10

Xiong, Y.Q., Hady, W.A., Deslandes, A., Rey, A., Fraisse, L., Kristensen, H.-H.,
Yeaman, M.R., Bayer, A.S., 2011. Efficacy of NZ2114, a Novel Plectasin-
Derived Cationic Antimicrobial Peptide Antibiotic, in Experimental Endocarditis
Due to Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus ▽. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother 55, 5325–5330. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00453-11

Zarrinpar, A., Chaix, A., Xu, Z.Z., Chang, M.W., Marotz, C.A., Saghatelian, A., Knight,
R., Panda, S., 2018. Antibiotic-induced microbiome depletion alters metabolic
homeostasis by affecting gut signaling and colonic metabolism. Nature
Communications 9, 2872. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05336-9

Zha, J., Liu, X.-M., Zhu, J., Liu, S.-Y., Lu, S., Xu, P.-X., Yu, X.-L., Liu, R.-T., 2016. A
scFv antibody targeting common oligomeric epitope has potential for treating
several amyloidoses. Sci Rep 6, 36631. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36631

Zhang, L., Wu, W.K.K., Gallo, R.L., Fang, E.F., Hu, W., Ling, T.K.W., Shen, J., Chan,
R.L.Y., Lu, L., Luo, X.M., Li, M.X., Chan, K.M., Yu, J., Wong, V.W.S., Ng,
S.C., Wong, S.H., Chan, F.K.L., Sung, J.J.Y., Chan, M.T.V., Cho, C.H., 2016.
Critical Role of Antimicrobial Peptide Cathelicidin for Controlling Helicobacter
pylori Survival and Infection. J Immunol 196, 1799–1809.
https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1500021

Zhang, L., Yu, J., Wong, C.C.M., Ling, T.K.W., Li, Z.J., Chan, K.M., Ren, S.X., Shen, J.,
Chan, R.L.Y., Lee, C.C., Li, M.S.M., Cheng, A.S.L., To, K.F., Gallo, R.L., Sung,
J.J.Y., Wu, W.K.K., Cho, C.H., 2013. Cathelicidin protects against Helicobacter
pylori colonization and the associated gastritis in mice. Gene Therapy 20, 751–
760. https://doi.org/10.1038/gt.2012.92

Zorko, M., Jerala, R., 2010. Production of recombinant antimicrobial peptides in bacteria.
Methods Mol Biol 618, 61–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-594-1_5

694