The activity and functions of soil microbial communities in the Finnish sub-Arctic vary across vegetation types

Sirja Viitamäki[1*], Igor S. Pessi[1,2], Anna-Maria Virkkala[3,4], Pekka Niittynen[3], Julia Kemppinen[5], Eeva Eronen-Rasimus[1, 6], Miska Luoto[2,3] & Jenni Hultman[1,2,7*]

[1] Department of Microbiology, University of Helsinki, Finland

[2] Helsinki Institute of Sustainability Science (HELSUS), Helsinki, Finland.

[3] Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, Finland

[4] Woodwell Climate Research Center, Falmouth, Massachusetts, USA

[5] Geography Research Unit, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland

[6] Finnish Environment Institute, Marine Research Centre, Helsinki, Finland

[7] Natural Resources Institute Finland, Helsinki, Finland

* Correspondence:

sirja.viitamaki@helsinki.fi

jenni.hultman@luke.fi

Keywords: microbial communities, transcriptomics, microbial ecology, tundra, climate change

Abstract

Increased microbial activity in high-latitude soils due to climate change might lead to higher greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. However, mechanisms of microbial GHG production and consumption in tundra soils are not thoroughly understood. We analyzed 116 soil metatranscriptomes from 73 sites in the Finnish sub-Arctic to investigate how the diversity and functional potential of bacterial and archaeal communities vary across vegetation types and soil layers. Soils differed in physicochemical conditions, with meadow soils being characterized by higher pH and low soil organic matter (SOM) and carbon/nitrogen ratio whereas dwarf shrub-dominated ecosystems with high SOM and low pH. Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, and Planctomycetes predominated all communities but there were significant differences on genus level between vegetation types, as plant polymer degrading groups were more active in shrub-dominated soils compared to meadows. Given that climate change scenarios predict expansion in dwarf shrubs at high latitudes, our results indicate that the rate of carbon turnover in tundra soils may increase in the future. Additionally, transcripts of methanotrophs were detected in the mineral layer of all soils, potentially moderating methane fluxes from deeper layers. In all, this study provides new insights into possible shifts in tundra microbial diversity and activity with climate change.

Introduction

The Arctic is one of the regions experiencing the most rapid and severe effects of climate change (IPCC, 2021). Major ecological disturbances have already been observed in Arctic ecosystems and are expected to become more frequent over the coming decades even if anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions are curbed (Post *et al.*, 2019). For example, a systematic greening of the Arctic tundra has been observed over the last decades, accompanied by increased plant productivity and the northward and upslope expansion of tall shrubs and trees into this otherwise treeless biome (Frost & Epstein, 2014; Heijmans *et al.*, 2022). In addition to regional disturbances, the effects of Arctic climate change might have wider global consequences due to the vast amounts of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) stored in frozen tundra soils (Mackelprang *et al.*, 2011; Johnston *et al.*, 2019). Given that warmer temperatures lead to increased rates of soil decomposition and GHG release, Arctic organic matter stocks can contribute to a positive warming feedback loop (Bond-Lamberty *et al.*, 2018; Jansson & Hofmockel, 2020).

Microorganisms are important drivers of nutrient cycling in the tundra, and thus the investigation of how microbial communities respond to local environmental variation in tundra soils is essential to

predict the impacts of climate change on the GHG budget of this biome (Buckeridge *et al*., 2013;
Virkkala *et al*., 2018; Mod *et al*., 2021). Tundra microbial communities are shaped by extreme
environmental stressors such as fluctuating temperatures, long periods of sub-zero temperatures,
frequent freeze-thaw events, intensive UV radiation, and drought. However, microbial community
composition is stable and diverse across seasons in the sub-Arctic tundra, with Acidobacteria being
the predominant phylum in acidic soils (Männistö *et al*., 2007, 2013; Pessi *et al*., 2021a). Soil
microbes participate in organic matter decomposition, methanogenesis, and methanotrophy in the
high-Arctic and permafrost soil ecosystems (e.g., Hultman *et al*., 2015; Schostag *et al*., 2015; Tveit
et al., 2015), including in peatlands experiencing permafrost thaw (McCalley *et al*., 2014; Singleton
et al., 2018; Woodcroft *et al*., 2018). However, their functional potential has not been explored in
detail due to technical limitations and their vast diversity. This, in turn, hinders a comprehensive
understanding of the contribution of tundra microorganisms to and their feedback with climate
change. A better understanding of tundra microbial communities and their functions is the key to
acquiring process-level knowledge on biogeochemistry and developing accurate models of GHG
cycling.

At large geographic scales, the composition of Arctic tundra vegetation is primarily shaped by
climate (e.g., mean summer temperature) (Walker *et al*., 2005). However, tundra vegetation is
typically heterogeneous at the local level, as growing conditions (microclimate, soil moisture, soil
nutrients) vary greatly at small spatial scales (le Roux *et al.*, 2013; Kemppinen *et al.*, 2021a). Different
vegetation types affect soil biotic and abiotic factors which, in turn, influence the local soil microbial
community. For example, tundra soils have relatively low pH (4-6) (Hobbie & Gough, 2002;
Männistö *et al.*, 2007), which is generally one of the most important drivers of microbial community
composition and activity (Chu *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the quantity and quality of soil organic matter
(SOM), N availability, and C/N ratio affect microbial processes and primary production in tundra
soils (Koyama *et al.*, 2014, Zhang *et al.*, 2014). Despite a great local heterogeneity, only fragmentary
knowledge exists regarding microbial community composition and activity across different
vegetation types in the drier upland tundra, which is a noteworthy ecosystem that covers ca. 90% of
the Arctic (Walker *et al*., 2005). This knowledge gap is relevant as most of the Arctic is greening and
the typically low-growing Arctic vegetation is being gradually replaced by taller woody plants, a
development known as shrubification (Mod & Luoto 2016, Myers-Smith *et al*., 2020; Heijmans *et
al*., 2022). Shifts in vegetation and, in particular, shrub expansion across the Arctic tundra are some
of the most important ecosystem responses to climate change. These shifts in vegetation potentially
alter ecosystem carbon balances by affecting a complex set of soil-plant–atmosphere interactions
(Mekonnen *et al*., 2021). In general, decomposition in the Arctic tundra has been slower than plant

growth, causing a build-up of detritus in tundra soils. Climate warming may result in carbon loss by

accelerating the decomposition of SOM. Future C storage in the Arctic tundra will depend on the

balance of C losses from SOM and C storage in plant pools due to higher productivity and changes

in plant community assemblages (Weintraub *et al.*, 2005).

The warming trend in the Arctic region is alarming but the response of Arctic ecosystems to

climate change is only poorly understood. The effects of climate change are particularly complex in

tundra ecosystems given their high biotic and abiotic heterogeneity. Thus, elucidating the taxonomic

and functional composition of microbial communities across tundra soils is essential to a better

understanding of the effects of climate change on the Arctic and potential feedbacks with the global

climate system. Here we used metatranscriptomics to investigate the activity of microbial

communities during the active growing season across 73 sites in a mountain tundra ecosystem in the

Finnish sub-Arctic covering different vegetation types and a wide range of microclimatic and soil

nutrient conditions. We aimed at investigating the effect of different vegetation types and soil nutrient

conditions on microbial diversity and activity in tundra soils to obtain insights on potential future

changes on microbial communities and functions associated with the increased greening of Arctic

ecosystems.

Materials and methods

Study setting and sampling

The study area is located in Kilpisjärvi, north-western Finland, and extends to the Scandinavian

Mountains **(Figure 1)**. The 3 km^2 area covers parts of two mountains, Mount Saana (69°02′N,

20°51′E) and Mount Korkea-Jehkas (69°04′N, 20°79′E) and the valley in between. The elevation

range in the study area is 320 m, with the highest point on Mount Saana at 903 m a.s.l. The study area

is topographically heterogeneous and part of the sub-Arctic alpine tundra biome. Consequently, the

area comprises relatively broad environmental gradients of soil microclimate, moisture, and pH,

among others (Kemppinen *et al.*, 2021a). The vegetation type is mainly mountain heath dominated

by dwarf shrubs such as *Empetrum nigrum* and *Betula nana* and to a lesser extent by *Juniperus*

communis, Vaccinium vitis-idaea, *V. uliginosum,* and *V. myrtillus* (Kemppinen *et al.*, 2019).

However, due to fine-scale environmental variation and broad gradients, the landscape forms a

mosaic of different vegetation types, as both vegetation cover and plant species composition can vary

over very short distances (le Roux *et al.*, 2013). The soils in the area are mostly poorly developed

leptosols with shallow organic layers and occasional podzolization; however, the meadows have soils

with thicker organic layers. Permafrost is absent from these soils but can be found in the bedrock

above 800 m a.s.l. (King and Seppälä 1987). The average air temperature and precipitation in July for the period 1981–2010 measured at the Kilpisjärvi meteorological station (69°05′N 20°79′E, 480 m a.s.l.) were 11.2°C and 73 mm, respectively (Pirinen et al. 2012).

Samples were collected in July 2017 from 73 sites **(Figure 1a, Supplementary Table 1)**. Sampling sites encompassed four vegetation types, namely barren soil, deciduous shrub, evergreen shrub, and meadow **(Figure 1b-e)**, which were classified according to the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map (Walker *et al.* 2005). All sampling equipment was disinfected with 70% ethanol before and between samples to avoid contamination. The soil was bored with a 50-mm diameter stainless-steel soil corer with a plastic inner casing. When available, both organic and mineral layer sub-samples were collected. Sampling was targeted below the plant roots, with a 5-cm target depth for the organic layer sample, whereas the mineral layer sample was taken from the lowest part of the core from 10-15 cm. The samples were placed in a Whirl-Pak sampling bag (Nasco, Fort Atkinson, WI, USA) with a metal spoon and immediately frozen on dry ice and kept frozen at –80°C until nucleic acid extraction. Samples were collected from 73 sites, from which 116 metatranscriptomes were sequenced.

Soil physicochemical data

For the analysis of soil physicochemical properties, approximately 0.2 dm^3 of soil was collected with a steel cylinder and stored at 4 °C. The soils were lyophilized according to the Finnish standard SFS300 and pH was analysed according to international standard ISO10390. SOM content was determined by loss on ignition analysis according to the Finnish standard SFS3008. CNS analysis (carbon, nitrogen, and sulphur) was performed with a Vario Micro Cube analyser (Elementar, Langenselbold, Germany). For this, mineral samples were sieved through a 2-mm plastic sieve and the organic samples were homogenized by hammering the material into smaller pieces. Differences in soil physicochemical properties between vegetation types were assessed using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the pairwise Wilcoxon test with Bonferroni correction (functions *kruskal.test* and *pairwise.wilcox.test*, R-core package).

Figure 1. a) Map of the sampling sites in Kilpisjärvi, north-western Finland. The inset shows the location of Kilpisjärvi in Fennoscandia. **b–e)** Pictures of the four types of soil vegetation studied (b: meadow; c: evergreen shrub; d: deciduous shrub, e: barren). The number of samples analysed from each vegetation type is indicated. **f)** Soil physicochemical properties across the four vegetation types. Categories with the same letter are not statistically different (one-way ANOVA, p > 0.05). One outlier was removed from the mineral C/N plot.

Nucleic acid extraction

Three replicate nucleic acid extractions were performed for each sample. Samples were kept on ice during weighing and extraction and all steps were performed promptly with nuclease-free labware to avoid RNA degradation. Solutions and water were treated with 0.1% diethylpyrocarbonate. Nucleic acids were extracted using a modified hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), phenol-chloroform, and bead-beating protocol (Griffiths *et al.*, 2000; DeAngelis *et al.*, 2009). On dry ice, approximately 0.5 g of frozen soil was transferred to a 2-mL Lysing Matrix E tube (MP Biomedicals, Heidelberg, Germany) and 0.5 mL of CTAB buffer (consisting of equal amounts of 10% CTAB in 1 M sodium chloride and 0.5 M phosphate buffer in 1 M NaCl), 50 µl 0.1 M ammonium aluminium sulfate ($NH_4(SO_4)_2 \cdot 12\ H_2O$), and 0.5 mL phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added. After bead-beating with FastPrep (MP Biomedicals, Heidelberg, Germany) at 5.5 m s^{-1} for 30 s, 0.5 mL chloroform was added. Nucleic acids were precipitated with polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG6000, 30% in 1.6 M NaCl) and washed with ethanol. Nucleic acids were extracted again from the leftover soil pellet to maximize yields. Nucleic acids were resuspended in 25 µl of Buffer EB and 250 µl Buffer RLT with β-mercaptoethanol added. Buffers EB and RLT were from an AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All centrifugations were performed at 4°C. Finally, RNA and DNA were purified with AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), where RNA was treated with DNAse I. The amount and integrity of RNA were assessed on a Bioanalyzer RNA 2100 Nano/Pico Chip with Total RNA Assay (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA). To ensure that RNA was DNA-free, a check-up PCR with universal primers and gel electrophoresis was performed. Triplicates were pooled by combining equal amounts of RNA from each triplicate. Ribosomal RNA was not depleted and thus the total RNA approach was used (Urich *et al.*, 2008).

Sequencing

Complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries were constructed with the Ultra II RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). cDNA concentrations were measured using a Qubit fluorometer with a dsDNA BR/HS kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Before sequencing, the libraries were analysed with Fragment analyzer (Advanced Analytical, Ames, IA, USA) and small cDNA fragments were removed to avoid primer binding to the flow cell and to reduce cluster density. Single-end sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq 500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) with 150 cycles at the Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, Finland.

Metatranscriptomic data processing and analysis

One sample (site 11202, organic layer) yielded a much higher than average amount of reads (80.4 million) and was randomly reduced to 4 million reads with seqtk v. 1.3 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk). The quality of the sequences was assessed with FastQC v. 0.11.5 (https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) and MultiQC v. 1.3 (Ewels *et al.*, 2016). Trimming and quality filtering was performed with Cutadapt v. 1.10 (Martin, 2011) applying a quality cut-off of 25 and a minimum adapter overlap of 10 bp. Metaxa2 v. 2.1.3 (Bengtsson-Palme *et al.*, 2015) was used to identify reads mapping to the small subunit (SSU) rRNA. These were then classified against the SILVA database release 132 (Quast *et al.*, 2013) using the mothur v. 1.40.5 classify.seqs command with a confidence cut-off of 60% (Schloss *et al.*, 2009). The taxonomy of abundant taxa was manually updated according to the Genome Taxonomy database (Parks *et al.*, 2018, 2020). For the analysis of protein-coding genes, reads were mapped to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Prokaryote database release 86 (Kanehisa & Goto, 2000) using DIAMOND blastx v. 2.1.3 (Buchfink *et al.,* 2015) with an E-value cut-off of 0.001. The KEGG orthology (KO) identifier of the best hit was assigned to each read and mapped to the KEGG module hierarchy, and spurious pathways were removed using MinPath v. 1.4 (Ye & Doak, 2009). Since genes for methane and ammonia oxidation (*pmo* and *amo*, respectively) are not distinguished in KEGG we used blastx (Altschul *et al.*, 1990) to compare putative *pmoA-amoA* genes against a manually curated database of five PmoA and nine AmoA sequences from both bacteria and archaea **(Supplementary Figure 1)**.

Statistical analyses and visualization were performed with R v. 3.6.2 (R Core Team 2020). For multivariate analyses, taxonomic (genera abundances) and functional (KO abundances) matrices were transformed into Bray-Curtis distance matrices (function *vegdist*, R-package vegan v. 2.5-6; https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan). Community-wide differences between vegetation types and soil layers were tested with permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (function *adonis*, R-package vegan v. 2.5-6) followed by pairwise PERMANOVA (function pairwise.perm.manova, R-package RVAideMemoire v.0.9-78; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/RVAideMemoire). Differences in community structure were visualized using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) (function *ordinate*, R-package Phyloseq v. 1.30.0; McMurdie & Holmes, 2013). The relationship between community structure and soil physicochemical properties was assessed using distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) with forward selection (functions *capscale* and *ordistep*, R-package vegan v. 2.5-6). Soil physicochemical data was log-transformed prior to the analysis. Differences in the abundance of individual bacterial and archaeal genera and functional genes between vegetation types were tested with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (functions *lm* and *aov*, R-core package) followed by pairwise t-test (function *pairwise.t.test*, R-core package).

 Data availability

 Sequences have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under accession number
 PRJEB45463.

215 Results

Soil properties across vegetation types

We observed a high variability in soil properties between samples from the organic and mineral layers
and across vegetation types. SOM content varied from 2% to 92%, gravimetric soil water
concentration from 9% to 432%, and pH from 3.9 to 5.8 **(Figure 1f)**. pH was generally higher in the
mineral layer whereas SOM, N, C/N ratio, and gravimetric water content were higher in the organic
layer. Soil properties also differed between vegetation types (Kruskal-Wallis test; $P < 0.01$). In the
organic layer, meadow sites were less acidic and contained less SOM than deciduous or evergreen
shrubs. Meadows also had a lower C/N ratio than shrubs or barren soils. The physicochemical
properties of the mineral layer did not differ significantly between vegetation types. Varying degrees
of collinearity between the physicochemical variables was observed **(Supplementary Figure 2)**.

Microbial community composition along the tundra landscape

We obtained 281.1 million sequence reads using a total RNA metatranscriptomic approach (Urich *et*
al., 2008). First, we analyzed reads corresponding to the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA).
SSU rRNA represented 35 ± 2% (mean ± standard deviation) of the reads. Over 80% of the SSU
rRNA sequences were bacterial, 0.1 % archaeal (mostly Thaumarchaea), and 19% were of eukaryotic
origin, with fungal SSU rRNA representing 12% of the sequences (Ascomycota, 8%; Basidiomycota,
3%). Furthermore, 0.2% of the sequences were recognized as SSU rRNA but could not be assigned
unambiguously to a specific domain.
The predominant bacterial groups were assigned to the phylum Actinobacteria (27 ± 9% of the
sequences; orders Acidothermales and Solirubrobacterales), phylum Acidobacteria (17 ± 3%; orders
Acidobacteriales and Solibacterales), class Alphaproteobacteria (16 ± 3%; orders Rhizobiales and
Acetobacterales), and phylum Planctomycetes (14 ± 3%; order Gemmatales) **(Figure 2)**. Classes
Deltaproteobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria, as well as phyla Chloroflexi and Verrucomicrobia,
were also abundant. The most abundant genera were *Acidothermus* (phylum Actinobacteria; 13 ±
5.7%) and *Ca*. Solibacter (phylum Acidobacteria; 3.11 ± 0.83%), followed by *Bryobacter* (phylum

Acidobacteria; 2.06 ± 0.38%), *Pajaroellobacter* (class Deltaproteobacteria; 1.64 ± 0.55%), and *Roseiarcus* (class Alphaproteobacteria; 1.48 ± 1.07%) **(Figure 3, Supplementary Table 2)**.

Figure 2. Relative abundances of bacterial orders in metatranscriptomes (RNA) and metagenomes (DNA) of samples from the **a)** organic and **b)** mineral layer. Samples from the same vegetation type were pooled and unclassified taxa were removed.

When comparing SSU rRNA sequences from metatranscriptomes (i.e. RNA, representing active microbes) to sequences from metagenomes (i.e. DNA, representing the whole microbial community) (Pessi *et al.,* 2021a), the most abundant microbial groups were largely the same but with notable differences **(Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 3a)**. Orders with greater relative abundance in the metatranscriptomes included Gemmatales (Planctomycetes)**,** Acidothermales (Actinobacteria), Solibacterales (Acidobacteria), and Myxococcales (Deltaproteobacteria), whereas Subgroup 2 (Acidobacteria), Rickettsiales (Alphaproteobacteria), Dormibacterota, Chitinophagales (Verrucomicrobia), and Gemmatimonadales (Gemmatimonadetes) were more abundant in the metagenomes. In the metatranscriptomes, the orders with more than 1% relative abundance accounted together for 78% of the communities compared to 64% in the metagenomes. Additionally, more than 1500 bacterial and archaeal genera were identified from the metatranscriptomes and less than 500 bacterial and archaeal genera from the metagenomes.

Figure 3. Relative abundances of the 80 most abundant bacterial genera across the samples. Abundances were square root-transformed to improve visualization.

Shifts in microbial community composition across the different vegetation types

Genus-level community structure was significantly different in the organic and mineral layers (PERMANOVA; $R^2 = 0.07$; $P < 0.001$) **(Supplementary Figure 3b)**. Interestingly, communities also differed significantly across the four different vegetation types both in the organic ($R^2 = 0.16$; $P < 0.001$) and mineral layers ($R^2 = 0.13$; $P < 0.01$). Pairwise analyses revealed that the communities in the organic layer of meadow sites were significantly different from all other vegetation types, whereas communities from the mineral layer differed only between the meadow and evergreen shrub sites **(Supplementary Table 3)**.

Genus-level comparisons were conducted for the metatranscriptomes across vegetation types and soil layers. For this, we considered only abundant genera (i.e. genera with a mean abundance at least twice the mean of all genera). In the organic layer, the alphaproteobacterial genera *Bradyrhizobium*,

Nitrobacter, *Rhodoplanes*, and *Rhodomicrobium* were significantly more abundant in samples from the meadow sites than sites with other vegetation types (ANOVA; $P < 0.01$) **(Figure 4a)**. The same was observed for other taxa, namely *Gaiella* (Actinobacteria), *Ca*. Nostocoida (Planctomycetes), "HSB_OF53-F07" (Chloroflexi), *Anaeromyxobacter* (Deltaproteobacteria), *Gemmatimonas* (Gemmatimonadetes), "R4B1" (Acidobacteria), *Ca*. Udaeobacter and "ADurb.Bin063-1" (Verrucomicrobia). On the other hand, *Acidothermus* (Actinobacteria) was less abundant in the meadow than the shrub sites, whereas *Roseiarcus* (Alphaproteobacteria), the acidobacterial genera *Acidipila*, *Granulicella*, and *Edaphobacter*, as well as *Gemmata* (Planctomycetes) were less abundant in the meadow sites compared to all other vegetation types. In the mineral layer, "HSB_OF53-F07" (Chloroflexi), *Anaeromyxobacter* (Deltaproteobacteria), "Adurb.Bin063-1" (Verrucomicrobia), and "R4B1" (Acidobacteria) were more abundant in the meadows compared to all other vegetation types, whereas *Bauldia* (Alphaproteobacteria) was more abundant in the meadows only in relation to the shrub sites **(Figure 4b)**.

Figure 4. Boxplots showing abundant genera (mean abundance larger than the twofold mean of all genera) that were differentially active across vegetation types in **a)** organic layer and **b)** mineral layer (one-way ANOVA, $P < 0.01$).

We used db-RDA with forward selection to investigate which factors underly the observed differences in microbial community structure. In the mineral layer, the best model included vegetation, pH, and gravimetric water content ($R^2 = 0.25$; $P < 0.05$), whereas in the organic layer the best model included vegetation, pH, and C/N ratio ($R^2 = 0.28$; $P < 0.05$). However, it is important to note that there are varying degrees of collinearity between the variables selected by the forward selection procedure and other variables **(Supplementary Figure 2)**. For example, gravimetric water content in the mineral layer and pH and C/N ratio in the organic layer were correlated with SOM, C, and N content ($-0.5 \leq r \geq 0.5$). Thus, in these cases, the variables selected by the model should be considered, to some extent, as a proxy of the intercorrelated variables.

Microbial community functions across vegetation types

Differences in protein-coding gene composition between samples from the organic and mineral layers were small (PERMANOVA; $R^2 = 0.03$; $P < 0.001$). Community structure based on protein-coding genes was also significantly different between vegetation types in the organic layer ($R^2 = 0.10$; $P < 0.001$), with communities from the meadow sites differing from shrub and barren sites and evergreen shrub communities differing from barren sites. No significant differences were observed between vegetation types in the mineral layer.

Genes with a KEGG classification represented only a small fraction ($1.39 \pm 0.27\%$) of the protein-encoding genes. While genes with no KEGG classification were not analysed in this study, they corresponded mostly to genes encoding proteins with unknown function **(Supplementary Figure 4)**. The most abundantly transcribed genes that were mapped to KEGG pathways are involved in genetic information processing, including i) folding, sorting, and degradation, ii) transcription, and iii) metabolism **(Supplementary Figure 5)**. ABC transporter genes were widely transcribed, including genes encoding transport system substrate-binding proteins for ribose (*rbsB*), D-xylose (*xylF*), and sorbitol/mannitol (*smoE*, *mtlE*), and multiple sugar transport system ATP-binding proteins (*msmX*, *msmK*, *malK*, *sugC*, *ggtA*, *msiK*), indicating the decomposition of plant polymers. Other widely transcribed ABC transporters included genes for branched-chain amino acid transport system proteins (*livKGFHM*) and urea transport system substrate-binding proteins (*urtA*). In addition to transporters, the chaperone genes *groEL* and *dnaK* and the cold shock protein gene *cspA* involved in survival in cold temperatures were among the most transcribed genes across all samples. The gene *coxL*/*cutL* encoding the large subunit of the aerobic carbon-monoxide dehydrogenase enzyme was also widely expressed, as well as two genes involved in nitrogen uptake, namely glutamine synthetase (*glnA*) and ammonium transporter (*amt*).

Figure 5. Relative abundances of genes involved in methane oxidation and the serine pathway of formaldehyde assimilation. Abundances were square root-transformed to improve visualization.

Given the high abundance of genes involved in the transport of carbohydrates, we expanded our analysis to other genes related to C cycling and metabolism **(Supplementary Figure 6)**. Interestingly, the *pmoABC-amoABC* genes involved in methane/ammonia oxidation were significantly more transcribed in the mineral layer than in the organic layer (ANOVA*; pmoA*: R^2 = 0.13, *pmoB*: R^2 = 0.09, *pmoC*: R^2 = 0.12; P < 0.001) **(Figure 5)**. To further distinguish between the closely related *amoA* and *pmoA* genes, we performed a blastx analysis against a manually curated database of PmoA and AmoA sequences from different organisms. This indicated that 96% of the sequences identified as *pmoA-amoA* using the KEGG database correspond to the *pmoA* gene, indicating methane oxidation by the particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO). However, the soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO) genes *mmoXYZBCD*, as well as the genes *mxaF* or *xoxF* which encode methanol dehydrogenases for methanol oxidation to formaldehyde, were in general not transcribed **(Figure 5)**. Genes for formaldehyde assimilation using the serine pathway, including *glyA* encoding the enzyme glycine hydroxymethyltransferase, were transcribed. This indicates the utilisation of the serine cycle instead of the ribulose monophosphate (*RuMP*) cycle for formaldehyde assimilation in these microbial communities.

Discussion

We analysed over 100 soil metatranscriptomes across a sub-Arctic tundra landscape to investigate how microbial community composition and their functions vary across soil layers and vegetation types. Soil physicochemical composition varied according to vegetation type in the organic layer, but not in the mineral layer. This is likely related to vegetation being the primary source of material for the organic layer, whereas the mineral layer properties are more affected by bedrock and soil texture, among other factors (Jenny 1941; Haichar *et al.*, 2008). Thus, environmental conditions are more homogeneous in the mineral layer, leading to more uniform microbial communities irrespective of

vegetation cover as observed in the present study. Differences in soil properties between vegetation types were more pronounced in the organic layer, with the meadows differing significantly from the other vegetation types by higher pH and lower SOM and C/N ratio. As revealed by our multivariate analyses, these factors were significantly associated with differences in community structure observed between vegetation types, which can be presumably linked to differences in SOM quality. Evergreen shrubs such as *Empetrum nigrum*, which is the dominant plant species in the study area, produce recalcitrant, acidic, and slowly decomposing litter. On the other hand, meadows are dominated by forbs, grasses, and sedges, which produce litter that decomposes faster and has higher nutrient concentrations with lower C/N ratio (Hobbie *et al.*, 2000; Eskelinen *et al.*, 2009).

Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, and Planctomycetes were the most active phyla in all vegetation types which is consistent with previous studies from Arctic regions (Männistö *et al.*, 2007; Hultman *et al.*, 2015; Taş *et al.*, 2018; Tripathi *et al.*, 2019, Ivanova *et al.*, 2018). These phyla, excluding Planctomycetes, were also among the most abundant in the metagenomics dataset from these samples (Pessi *et al.*, 2021a). Archaea, which represented only 0.1% of the transcripts, consisted mostly of Thaumarchaea in the mineral layer as previously observed (Lu *et al.*, 2017; Shao *et al.*, 2019; Pessi *et al.*, 2021b). Communities in both the organic and mineral layers and across all vegetation types were dominated by aerobic acidophilic genera that play a role in the degradation of plant organic matter, including *Acidothermus*, *Ca*. Solibacter, and *Bryobacter* (Mohagheghi *et al.*, 1986, Ward *et al.*, 2009, Kulichevskaya et al., 2010). *Acidothermus* was the most abundant active genus overall and was significantly more abundant in the shrub sites. *Acidothermus cellulolyticus*, the only described species in this genus, is a thermophilic, acidophilic, and cellulolytic species first isolated from an acidic hot spring (Mohagheghi *et al.*, 1986). Furthermore, these microorganisms tolerate temperature and moisture fluctuation and low-nutrient conditions, which are characteristic of tundra soils (Ward *et al.*, 2009; Rawat *et al.*, 2012). Interestingly, genome analysis of *Ca*. Solibacter revealed not only the ability to utilise complex plant cell-wall polysaccharides and simple sugars but also carbon monoxide (CO), a toxic gas, as a complementary energy source in a mixotrophic lifestyle (Ward *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, the *coxL/cutL* gene encoding the carbon monoxide dehydrogenase enzyme responsible for the oxidation of CO was widely expressed in the present study. In addition, *Ca*. Solibacter and *Bryobacter* are facultative anaerobes that play a role in the nitrogen cycle as they harbour candidate nitrite and nitric oxide reductases (Pessi *et al.*, 2021a). In general, our results show that the dominant active microorganisms in the tundra soils studied here are versatile degraders of plant polymers with the ability to thrive in fluctuating conditions and have potential roles in the C and N cycle.

Our results evidenced a link between soil microbial community composition/activity and vegetation via physicochemical factors such as pH and SOM content. Meadow soils, which were characterized by higher pH and lower SOM content and C/N ratio harboured distinct microbial communities compared to the other vegetation types. Most of the genera that were abundant in the meadows are poorly known, and more research is required to understand their roles in this ecosystem. Interestingly, members of *Gaiella, Bradyrhizobium, Ca*. Udaeobacter, and *Gemmatimonas* have been implicated in the cycling of the atmospheric gases H_2, CO_2, and N_2O (Lepo *et al*., 1980; Park *et al*., 2017; Severino *et al*., 2019, Willms *et al*., 2020). Shrub soils, characterized by lower pH and higher SOM content, had a higher abundance of the Acidobacteria genera *Acidipila, Granulicella*, and *Edaphobacter*. These genera most likely have a role in degrading plant-derived organic matter in these shrub soils, as seen in other acidic upland soils (Pankratov & Dedysh, 2010; Männistö *et al*.; 2013, Ivanova *et al*., 2020, 2021). Altogether, our results indicate that shrub soils have a higher abundance of chemoorganotrophs that degrade complex plant polymers, whereas meadows harbour also microbial groups that are not solely dependent on plant-derived organic matter.

Figure 6. A conceptual figure on the implications of the study. The arrows denote the change in the measured environmental variables and the microbial phyla active in the sites are marked to each vegetation type and soil layer.

Interestingly, our results evidenced a potential for methane oxidation in the mineral layer, as the *pmo* gene and genes for the serine pathway were transcribed together with the activity of methanotrophic bacteria such as *Methylocapsa*. Of the known *Methylocapsa* species, *M. gorgona* grows only on methane, whereas *M. acidiphila* and *M. palsarum* grow also on low methanol concentrations and *M. aurea* on methanol and acetate (Dedysh *et al*., 2002, 2015; Dunfield *et al*., 2010; Tveit *et al*., 2019). A recent *in situ* ${}^{13}CH_4$-DNA-SIP enrichment study showed that *Methylocapsa* were the dominant active methane oxidizers in high Arctic soil (Altshuler *et al*., 2022). Based on the results shown here

and by others (e.g., Belova *et al.*, 2020), *Methylocapsa* could have a significant role as methane oxidizers in sub-Arctic tundra soils. Moreover, our results showed similar activity levels of methane oxidizers in the mineral layer across all vegetation types, which suggests that methane oxidation is not dependent on vegetation cover in deeper soil layers. Future studies employing e.g. stable isotope probing (SIP) could shed light on the regulation of methane oxidation in tundra soils.

In this study, we investigated the microbial activity across different vegetation types (barren, deciduous shrub, evergreen shrub, and meadow) in tundra soils to understand how future changes in vegetation cover such as shrubification may affect the microbial community diversity and activity. In the dwarf shrub-dominated tundra, shrubs influence microclimate and soil moisture, which can lead to cooling and drying of the soils in the growing season (Kemppinen *et al.*, 2021b). Our findings indicate that plant polymer-degrading microorganisms would be active in these conditions. However, the overall greening of the Arctic is more complex, as graminoids instead of shrubs are increasing in colder parts of the region (Elmendorf *et al.*, 2012). In addition, with increasing temperatures, high latitudes will receive more precipitation as rainfall across the Arctic (Bintanja & Andry 2017), which will likely affect microbial communities which are strongly reliant on soil moisture resources (Evans *et al.*, 2022). Therefore, the consequences of macroclimatic changes on soil moisture are not straightforward. Yet, it is evident that, in addition to the direct effects on microbial communities, future moisture conditions will have a strong effect also in shrubification (Ackerman *et al.*, 2016), plant diversity, and assemblages (le Roux *et al.*, 2013), and overall ecosystem functions (Bjorkman *et al.*, 2018) in the tundra, including microbial mediated processes. In all, despite the overall similarity in bacterial community composition in this study, shrubs had a more abundant and active community of potential degraders of plant-derived organic matter. Therefore, we hypothesize that if shrub soils become more prevalent, heterotrophic microbial activity may increase and lead to increased CO_2 fluxes.

Acknowledgments

The study was funded by the Academy of Finland (Grant 1314114) and the University of Helsinki's three-year grant to JH. SV was funded by the Doctorate Program in Microbiology and Biotechnology. PN was funded by Kone Foundation and Nessling Foundation. JK was funded by the Arctic Interactions at the University of Oulu and Academy of Finland (grant no. 318930, Profi 4). AMV acknowledges Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (Grant 8414). Permission to perform fieldwork was granted by Metsähallitus. We acknowledge the CSC – IT Center for Science for the computational resources and Mr. Kimmo Mattila for assistance. We acknowledge the Kilpisjärvi

446 Biological Station and staff and members of the BioGeoClimate Modelling Lab for use of the
447 premises and assistance with fieldwork. We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their
448 valuable contribution to improving the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

450 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Ackerman D, Griffin D, Hobbie SE & Finlay JC (2017) Arctic shrub growth trajectories differ across
soil moisture levels. Glob Chang Biol **23**: 4294–4302.

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW & Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool J
Mol Biol **215:** 403-410.

Altshuler I, Raymond-Bouchard I, Magnuson E *et al*. (2022) Unique high Arctic methane
metabolizing community revealed through in situ $^{13}CH_4$-DNA-SIP enrichment in concert with
genome binning. Sci Rep **12**: 1160.

Belova SE, Danilova OV, Ivanova AA, Merkel AY & Dedysh SN (2020) Methane-oxidizing
communities in lichen-dominated forested tundra are composed exclusively of high-affinity
USC_α methanotrophs. Microorganisms **8**: 2047.

Bengtsson-Palme J, Hartmann M, Eriksson KM *et al*. (2015) METAXA 2: improved identification
and taxonomic classification of small and large subunit rRNA in metagenomic data. Mol Ecol
Resour **15**: 1403-1414.

Bintanja R & Andry O (2017) Towards a rain-dominated Arctic. Nature Clim Change **7**: 263–267.

Bjorkman AD, Myers-Smith IH, Elmendorf SC *et al*. (2018) Plant functional trait change across a
warming tundra biome. Nature **562**: 57–62.

Bond-Lamberty B & Thomson A (2010) Temperature-associated increases in the global soil
respiration record. Nature **464:** 579-582.

Bond-Lamberty B, Bailey VL, Chen M, Gough CM & Vargas R (2018) Globally rising soil
heterotrophic respiration over recent decades. Nature **560:** 80-83.

Buchfink B, Xie C & Huson DH (2015) Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. Nat
Meth **12:** 59-60.

Buckeridge KM, Banerjee S, Siciliano SD & Grogan P (2013). The seasonal pattern of soil microbial
community structure in mesic low arctic tundra. Soil Biol Biochem **65**: 338-347.

Chu H, Fierer N, Lauber CL, Caporaso JG, Knight R & Grogan P (2010) Soil bacterial diversity in
the Arctic is not fundamentally different from that found in other biomes. Environ Microbiol **12:**
2998-3006.

DeAngelis KM, Brodie EL, DeSantis TZ, Andersen GL, Lindow SE & Firestone MK (2009)
Selective progressive response of soil microbial community to wild oat roots. ISME J **3:** 168-178.

Dedysh SN, Didriksen A, Danilova OV, Belova SE, Liebner S & Svenning MM (2015) *Methylocapsa*
palsarum sp. nov., a methanotroph isolated from a subArctic discontinuous permafrost
ecosystem. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **65:** 3618-3624.

Dedysh SN, Khmelenina VN, Suzina NE, Trotsenko YA, Semrau JD, Liesack W & Tiedje JM (2002)
Methylocapsa acidiphila gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel methane-oxidizing and dinitrogen-fixing
acidophilic bacterium from *Sphagnum* bog. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **52:** 251-261.

Dunfield PF, Belova SE, Vorob'ev AV, Cornish SL, Dedysh SN (2010) *Methylocapsa aurea* sp. nov.,
a facultative methanotroph possessing a particulate methane monooxygenase, and emended
description of the genus *Methylocapsa*. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **60**: 2659-2664.

Elmendorf S, Henry G, Hollister R *et al*. (2012) Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and
links to recent summer warming. Nature Clim Change **2**: 453–457.

Eskelinen A, Stark S & Männistö M (2009) Links between plant community composition, soil organic
matter quality and microbial communities in contrasting tundra habitats. Oecologia **161:** 113-123.

Evans SE, Allison SD & Hawkes CV (2022) Microbes, memory and moisture: Predicting microbial
moisture responses and their impact on carbon cycling. Funct Ecol: online version of record
before inclusion in an issue

Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S & Käller M (2016) MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics **32:** 3047-3048.

Frost GV & Epstein HE (2014) Tall shrub and tree expansion in Siberian tundra ecotones since the
1960s. Glob Change Biol **20:** 1264-1277.

Griffiths RI, Whiteley AS, O'Donnell AG & Bailey MJ (2000) Rapid method for coextraction of
DNA and RNA from natural environments for analysis of ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-based
microbial community composition. Appl Environ Microbiol **66:** 5488-5491.

Haichar FZ, Marol C, Berge O *et al*. (2008) Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial
community structure. ISME J **2:** a1221-1230.

Heijmans MMPD, Magnússon RÍ, Lara MJ *et al*. (2022) Tundra vegetation change and impacts on
permafrost. Nat Rev Earth Environ **3:** 68-84.

Hobbie SE & Gough L (2002) Foliar and soil nutrients in tundra on glacial landscapes of contrasting
ages in northern Alaska. Oecologia **131:** 453-462.

Hobbie SE, Schimel JP, Trumbore SE & Randerson JR (2000) Controls over carbon storage and turnover in high-latitude soils. Glob Change Biol **6:** 196-210.

Hultman J, Waldrop MP, Mackelprang R *et al.* (2015) Multi-omics of permafrost, active layer and thermokarst bog soil microbiomes. Nature **521:** 208-212.

IPCC (2021) Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A *et al.* (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. In Press.

Ivanova AA, Kulichevskaya IS & Dedysh SN (2021) *Gemmata palustris* sp. nov., a novel Planctomycete from a fen in northwestern Russia. Microbiology **90**: 598–606.

Ivanova AA, Wegner C, Kim Y, Liesack W & Dedysh SN (2018) Metatranscriptomics reveals the hydrolytic potential of peat-inhabiting Planctomycetes. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **111:** 801-809.

Ivanova AA, Zhelezova AD, Chernov TI & Dedysh SN (2020) Linking ecology and systematics of acidobacteria: distinct habitat preferences of the Acidobacteriia and Blastocatellia in tundra soils. PLOS One **15:** e0230157.

Jansson JK & Hofmockel KS (2020) Soil microbiomes and climate change. Nat Rev Microbiol **18:** 35-46.

Jenny H (1941) Factors of soil formation: a system of quantitative pedology. Dover Publications, New York, 281 p.

Johnston ER, Hatt JK, He Z *et al.* (2019) Responses of tundra soil microbial communities to half a decade of experimental warming at two critical depths. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **116:** 15096-15105.

Kanehisa M & Goto S (2000) KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic Acids Res **28:** 27-30.

Kemppinen J, Niittynen P, Aalto J, le Roux PC & Luoto M (2019) Water as a resource, stress and disturbance shaping tundra vegetation. Oikos **128:** 811-822.

Kemppinen J, Niittynen P, le Roux PC *et al.* (2021a) Consistent trait-environment relationships within and across tundra plant communities. Nat Ecol Evol **5:** 458-467.

Kemppinen J, Niittynen P, Virkkala AM *et al.* (2021b) Dwarf Shrubs Impact Tundra Soils: Drier, Colder, and Less Organic Carbon. Ecosystems **24:** 1378–1392.

King L & Seppälä M (1987) Permafrost thickness and distribution in Finnish Lapland – Results of geoelectrical soundings. Polarforschung **57:** 127-147.

Koyama A, Wallenstein MD, Simpson RT & Moore JC (2014) Soil bacterial community composition altered by increased nutrient availability in Arctic tundra soils. Front Microbiol **5:** 516.

Kulichevskaya IS, Suzina NE, Liesack W & Dedysh SN (2010) *Bryobacter aggregatus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a peat-inhabiting, aerobic chemo-organotroph from subdivision 3 of the Acidobacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **60:** 301-306.

le Roux PC, Aalto J & Luoto M (2013) Soil moisture's underestimated role in climate change impact modelling in low-energy systems. Glob Chang Biol **19:** 2965-2975.

Lepo JE, Hanus FJ & Evans HJ (1980) Chemoautotrophic growth of hydrogen-uptake-positive strains of Rhizobium japonicum. J Bacteriol 141: 664-670

Lu X, Seuradge BJ & Neufeld JD (2017) Biogeography of soil Thaumarchaeota in relation to soil depth and land usage. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **93**: fiw246

Mackelprang R, Waldrop M, DeAngelis K *et al.* (2011) Metagenomic analysis of a permafrost microbial community reveals a rapid response to thaw. Nature **480:** 368-371.

Männistö MK, Tiirola M & Haggblom MM (2007) Bacterial communities in Arctic fjelds of Finnish Lapland are stable but highly pH-dependent. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **59:** 452-465.

Männistö MK, Tiirola M & Haggblom MM (2009) Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on bacterial communities of arctic tundra soil. Microb Ecol **58:** 621-631.

Männistö MK, Kurhela E, Tiirola M & Haggblom MM (2013) Acidobacteria dominate the active bacterial communities of Arctic tundra with widely divergent winter-time snow accumulation and soil temperatures. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **84:** 47-59.

Martin M (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal **17:** 10-12

McCalley CK, Woodcroft BJ, Hodgkins SB *et al.* (2014) Methane dynamics regulated by microbial community response to permafrost thaw. Nature **514:** 478-481.

McMurdie PJ & Holmes S (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. PLOS One **8:** e61217.

Mekonnen ZA, Riley W J, Berner LT, Bouskill NJ, Torn MS, Iwahana G, Grant RF *et al.* (2021) Arctic tundra shrubification: a review of mechanisms and impacts on ecosystem carbon balance. Environ Res Letters **16**: 053001.

Mod, HK & Luoto M (2016). Arctic shrubification mediates the impacts of warming climate on changes to tundra vegetation. Environmental Research Letters, **11**: 124028.

Mod HK, Buri A, Yashiro E *et al.* (2021) Predicting spatial patterns of soil bacteria under current and future environmental conditions. ISME J **15:** 2547-2560.

Mohagheghi A, Grohmann K, Himmel M, Leighton L & Updegraff DM (1986) Isolation and characterization of *Acidothermus cellulolyticus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new genus of thermophilic, acidophilic, cellulolytic bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol **36:** 435-443.

Myers-Smith IH, Kerby JT, Phoenix GK *et al.* (2020) Complexity revealed in the greening of the

Arctic. Nat Clim Chang **10**: 106–117.

Pankratov TA & Dedysh SN (2010) *Granulicella paludicola* gen. nov., sp. nov., *Granulicella*

pectinivorans sp. nov., *Granulicella aggregans* sp. nov. and *Granulicella rosea* sp. nov.,

acidophilic, polymer-degrading acidobacteria from *Sphagnum* peat bogs. Int J Syst Evol

Microbiol **60:** 2951-2959.

Park D, Kim H & Yoon S (2017). Nitrous oxide reduction by an obligate aerobic bacterium,

Gemmatimonas aurantiaca Strain T-27. Appl environ microbiol **83**: e00502-17.

Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW *et al.* (2018) A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on

genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. Nat Biotechnol **36**: 996-1004.

Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil PA, Rinke C, Mussig AJ & Hugenholtz P (2020) A complete

domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. Nat Biotechnol **38**: 1079-1086.

Pessi IS, Viitamäki S, Virkkala A-M *et al.* (2021a) Truncated denitrifiers dominate the denitrification

pathway in tundra soil metagenomes. bioRxiv 2020.12.21.419267v3.

Pessi IS, Rutanen A & Hultman J (2021b) *Candidatus* Nitrosopolaris, a genus of putative ammonia-

oxidizing archaea with a polar/alpine distribution. bioRxiv 2021.12.10.472193v1.

Pirinen P, Simola H, Aalto J, Kaukoranta JP, Karlsson P & Ruuhela R (2012) Tilastoja Suomen

ilmastosta 1981–2010 (Climatological statistics of Finland 1981–2010). Finnish Meteorological

Institute Reports 2012.

Post E, Alley RB, Christensen TR *et al.* (2019) The polar regions in a 2°C warmer world. Sci Adv **5:**

eaaw9883.

Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P *et al.* (2013) The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project:

improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res **41:** D590-6.

Rawat SR, Männistö MK, Bromberg Y & Haggblom MM (2012) Comparative genomic and

physiological analysis provides insights into the role of Acidobacteria in organic carbon

utilization in Arctic tundra soils. FEMS Microbiol Ecol **82:** 341-355.

Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T *et al.* (2009) Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-

independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial

communities. Appl Environ Microbiol **75:** 7537-7541.

Schostag M, Stibal M, Jacobsen CS *et al.* (2015) Distinct summer and winter bacterial communities

in the active layer of Svalbard permafrost revealed by DNA- and RNA-based analyses. Front

Microbiol **6:** 399.

Severino R, Froufe H, Barroso C, Albuquerque L, Lobo-da-Cunha A, da Costa MS & Egas C (2019).

High-quality draft genome sequence of *Gaiella occulta* isolated from a 150 meter deep mineral

water borehole and comparison with the genome sequences of other deep-branching lineages of
the phylum Actinobacteria. MicrobiologyOpen, **8**: e00840.

Shao K, Jiang X, Hu Y *et al*. (2019) Thaumarchaeota affiliated with Soil Crenarchaeotic Group are
prevalent in the alkaline soil of an alpine grassland in northwestern China. Ann Microbiol **69**:
867–870

Singleton CM, McCalley CK, Woodcroft BJ *et al*. (2018) Methanotrophy across a natural permafrost
thaw environment. ISME J **12:** 2544-2558.

Taş N, Prestat E, Wang S *et al*. (2018) Landscape topography structures the soil microbiome in arctic
polygonal tundra. Nat Commun **9:** 777.

Tourna M, Stieglmeier M, Spang A *et al*. (2011) *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, an ammonia oxidizing
archaeon from soil. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **108:** 8420-8425.

Tripathi BM, Kim HM, Jung JY *et al*. (2019) Distinct taxonomic and functional profiles of the
microbiome associated with different soil horizons of a moist tussock tundra in Alaska. Front
Microbiol **10:** 1442.

Tveit AT, Urich T, Frenzel P & Svenning MM (2015) Metabolic and trophic interactions modulate
methane production by Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
112: E2507-E2516.

Tveit AT, Hestnes AG, Robinson SL, *et al*. (2019) Widespread soil bacterium that oxidizes
atmospheric methane. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. **116**: 8515-8524.

Urich T, Lanzen A, Qi J, Huson DH, Schleper C & Schuster SC (2008) Simultaneous assessment of
soil microbial community structure and function through analysis of the meta-transcriptome.
PLOS One **3:** e2527.

Virkkala A-M, Virtanen T, Lehtonen A, Rinne J & Luoto M (2018) The current state of CO_2 flux
chamber studies in the Arctic tundra: a review. Prog Phys Geogr **42:** 162-184.

Walker DA, Raynolds MK, Daniëls FJA *et al*. (2005) The Circumpolar Arctic vegetation map. J Veg
Sci **16:** 267-282.

Ward NL, Challacombe JF, Janssen PH *et al*. (2009) Three genomes from the phylum Acidobacteria
provide insight into the lifestyles of these microorganisms in soils. Appl Environ Microbiol **75:**
2046-2056.

Weintraub MN & Schimel JP (2005) Nitrogen cycling and the spread of shrubs control changes in
the carbon balance of arctic tundra ecosystems. Bioscience **55**: 408-415.

Willms IM, Rudolph AY, Göschel I *et al*. (2020) Globally abundant "*Candidatus* Udaeobacter"
benefits from release of antibiotics in soil and potentially performs trace gas scavenging. mSphere
5: e00186-20.

Woodcroft BJ, Singleton CM, Boyd JA *et al.* (2018) Genome-centric view of carbon processing in
thawing permafrost. Nature **560:** 49-54.

Ye Y & Doak TG (2009) A parsimony approach to biological pathway reconstruction/inference for
genomes and metagenomes. PLOS Comput Biol **5:** e1000465.

Zhang X, Xu S, Li C *et al*. (2014) The soil carbon/nitrogen ratio and moisture affect microbial
community structures in alkaline permafrost-affected soils with different vegetation types on the
Tibetan plateau. Res Microbiol **165:** 128-139.

S1 Supplementary Table S1. Sampling sites, their coordinates and soil type sampled from (o:

organic, m: mineral).

site	point_x	point_y	org_min
19	492001.7163	7661066.296	om
25	491972.6377	7661365.536	om
31	491943.56	7661661.864	om
37	491915.594	7661960.17	om
91	492137.6413	7660682.24	om
97	492108.5998	7660978.766	om
103	492081.1879	7661276.182	om
109	492050.6532	7661570.414	om
115	492021.724	7661871.922	om
181	492189.9563	7661189.969	o
187	492159.9196	7661487.299	om
193	492133.5337	7661782.781	o
199	492102.3307	7662084.346	o
247	492360.5752	7660497.17	o
265	492273.821	7661395.87	om
271	492241.3317	7661690.826	om
277	492210.8533	7661988.833	om
325	492466.3526	7660411.006	m
349	492350.7307	7661605.052	om
355	492318.0313	7661898.963	om
403	492579.6708	7660322.855	om
421	492489.8345	7661217.659	o
427	492459.9931	7661515.596	o
433	492429.7097	7661815.09	o
439	492400.5412	7662109.863	om
481	492685.3187	7660233.035	om
505	492570.4641	7661423.647	om
511	492538.6456	7661720.31	om
517	492510.545	7662016.268	om
577	492705.3132	7661035.606	om
589	492648.6405	7661627.855	om
595	492618.6039	7661928.998	om
667	492757.2465	7661545.107	om
679	492700.207	7662141.065	om
733	492924.5712	7660857.792	o
739	492896.5419	7661156.949	om
745	492866.4088	7661456.958	om
751	492838.1235	7661756.274	om
757	492808.1934	7662053.996	o
811	493035.5804	7660769.903	o
823	492977.5755	7661365.71	om
835	492917.5722	7661962.542	om
889	493144.4042	7660673.747	o
895	493112.3677	7660979.071	om
901	493083.4854	7661273.049	om
913	493025.7016	7661870.94	o
919	492997.2759	7662166.759	o
961	493283.5397	7660291.576	o
967	493251.6385	7660587.25	om
979	493194.3672	7661182.349	o
1045	493359.8784	7660495.105	o
1075	493213.6389	7661987.52	om
1123	493470.526	7660406.483	om
1159	493295.0253	7662195.316	om
11201	492845.6659	7660149.446	o
11203	492971.6613	7659907.651	o
11204	493012.2967	7659781.888	m
11205	493103.951	7659750.073	o
11207	493267.7171	7659911.392	o
11208	493180.9779	7660039.125	o
11209	492540.0029	7662347.483	o
11210	492576.8888	7662442.109	o
11211	492578.1461	7662627.43	om
11212	492584.1153	7662793.33	om
11213	492664.3919	7662852.22	om
11214	492751.5195	7662776.032	o
11215	492751.2244	7662647.351	om
11216	492750.4017	7662502.435	om
11220	493073.521	7661369.919	m
11221	492985.5579	7661243.017	om
11224	492593.5013	7661897.597	om
11225	492854.5453	7661994.276	o

S2 Supplementary Figure 1. Maximum-likelihood tree of reference amino acid sequences used to

discriminate between *amoA* and *pmoA* transcripts. Branch supports based on 1000 bootstraps are

indicated.

S3 Supplementary Figure 2. Pairwise correlation between soil physicochemical variables.

Comparisons with Pearson correlation values (r) $\geq$ 0.5 or $\leq$ –0.5 are highlighted in red. SOM: soil

organic matter; C: carbon; N: nitrogen.

	Domain	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	M_Barren	M_Decidou	M_Evergreen	M_Meadow	O_Barren	O_Decidou	O_Evergreen	O_Meadow
1	Archaea	Archaea_unclassified	Archaea_unclassified	Archaea_unclassified	Archaea_unclassified	Archaea_unclassified	9.476E-06	2.0821E-05	1.0554E-05	0.00001715	2.721E-06	6.032E-06	6.015E-06	1.1359E-05
2	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	Bathyarchaeia	Bathyarchaeia_or	Bathyarchaeia_fa	Bathyarchaeia_ge	0	0	0	0	3.931E-06	0	0	0
3	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	Crenarchaeota_unclassified	Crenarchaeota_unclassified	Crenarchaeota_unclassified	Crenarchaeota_unclassified	0	0	0	1.14E-07	0	0	8.7E-08	1.73E-07
4	Archaea	Crenarchaeota	Thermoprotei	Thermoprotei_unclassified	Thermoprotei_unclassified	Thermoprotei_unclassified	0	0	3.9E-08	0	0	0	0	0
5	Archaea	Diapherotrites	Diapherotrites_unclassified	Diapherotrites_unclassified	Diapherotrites_unclassified	Diapherotrites_unclassified	1.62E-07	5.75E-07	3.33E-07	0.00000183	2.49E-07	0	0	0
6	Archaea	Diapherotrites	Iainarchaeia	Iainarchaeia_or	Iainarchaeia_fa	Iainarchaeia_unclassified	0	0.00000026	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Micrarchaeia	Micrarchaeia_or	Micrarchaeia_fa	Micrarchaeia_ge	9.394E-06	1.0925E-05	4.391E-06	1.2772E-05	2.662E-06	4.995E-06	5.699E-06	5.298E-06
8	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Euryarchaeota_unclassified	Euryarchaeota_unclassified	Euryarchaeota_unclassified	Euryarchaeota_unclassified	5.66E-07	1.5874E-05	9.72E-07	0.00000241	0	6.9E-08	1.45E-07	1.2646E-05
9	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Halobacteria	Halobacteriales	Haloferacaceae	Haloferacaceae_unclassified	0	1.14E-07	1.51E-07	0	0	0	0	0
10	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanobacteria	Methanobacteriales	Methanobacteriaceae	Methanobacteriaceae_unclassified	0	0	0	8.6E-08	0	0	0	0
11	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanobacteria	Methanobacteriales	Methanobacteriaceae	Methanobacterium	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.329E-06	0
12	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanobacteria	Methanobacteriales	Methanobacteriaceae	Methanobrevibacter	0	0	0	2.573E-06	0	0	1.08E-07	1.92E-07
13	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanocellales	Methanocellaceae	Rice_Cluster_I	0	0	0	4.42E-07	0	0	0	0
14	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanomicrobiales	Methanocorpusculaceae	Methanocorpusculum	0	0	0	1.72E-07	0	0	0	0
15	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanomicrobia_unclassified	Methanomicrobia_unclassified	Methanomicrobia_unclassified	0	0	6.4E-08	0	0	0	0	0
16	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanosarcinales	Methanosarcinaceae	Methanosarcina	0	0	0	2.95E-07	0	0	0	0.00000009
17	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Methanomicrobia	Methanosarcinales	Methanosarcinales_unclassified	Methanosarcinales_unclassified	0	7.8E-08	0	0	0	0	0	0
18	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmata	Marine_Group_II	Marine_Group_II_fa	Marine_Group_II_ge	0	0.00000052	5.5E-08	0	0	0	0	4.51E-07
19	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmata	Methanomassiliicoccales	Methanomassiliicoccaceae	uncultured	0	7.8E-08	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmata	Methanomassiliicoccales	uncultured	uncultured	0	7.3E-08	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmata	Thermoplasmatales	Thermoplasmatales_unclassified	Thermoplasmatales_unclassified	0	0	0	0	0	1.14E-07	0	0
22	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	Thermoplasmata	Thermoplasmata_unclassified	Thermoplasmata_unclassified	Thermoplasmata_unclassified	1.564E-06	2.1713E-05	1.431E-06	2.459E-06	0	3.38E-07	3.03E-07	1.6649E-05
23	Archaea	Euryarchaeota	uncultured	uncultured	uncultured_fa	uncultured_ge	6.9358E-05	0.00015084	6.2387E-05	0.00022958	7.85E-07	0.00003911	3.2635E-05	0.00012752
24	Archaea	Nanoarchaeaeota	Nanoarchaeaeota_unclassified	Nanoarchaeaeota_unclassified	Nanoarchaeaeota_unclassified	Nanoarchaeaeota_unclassified	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Archaea	Nanoarchaeaeota	Nanohaloarchaeia	Deep_Sea_Euryarchaeotic_Group(DSEG)	Deep_Sea_Euryarchaeotic_Group(DSEG)	Deep_Sea_Euryarchaeotic_Group(DSEG)_ge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5.4E-08
26	Archaea	Nanoarchaeaeota	Woesearchaeia	Woesearchaeia_or	Woesearchaeia_fa	Woesearchaeia_ge	5.01E-07	8.85E-07	2.69E-07	2.843E-06	0	4.22E-07	5.02E-07	9.201E-06
27	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Group_1.1c	Group_1.1c_or	Group_1.1c_fa	Group_1.1c_ge	0.00078532	0.00097865	0.00078362	0.00075091	0.00011372	0.00040784	0.00034561	0.00038292
28	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Marine_Benthic_Group_A	Marine_Benthic_Group_A_or	Marine_Benthic_Group_A_fa	Marine_Benthic_Group_A_ge	0	0	0	8.6E-08	0	0	0	0
29	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrosopumilales	Nitrosopumilaceae	Candidatus_Nitrosotenuis	0	0.00000286	0	0	0	0	0	5.4E-08
30	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrosopumilales	Nitrosopumilaceae	Nitrosopumilaceae_unclassified	0	4.086E-06	0	3.433E-06	0	0	0	1.62E-07
31	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	Nitrososphaeraceae	Candidatus_Nitrocosmicus	1.788E-06	8.26E-07	1.717E-06	4.449E-06	1.4866E-05	4.74E-07	1.281E-06	5.816E-06
32	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	Nitrososphaeraceae	Candidatus_Nitrososphaera	9.57E-07	0.00000571	2.699E-06	6.037E-06	0	2.53E-07	0.00000031	8.195E-06
33	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	Nitrososphaeraceae	Nitrososphaeraceae_ge	0.00010259	0.0010473	0.00069985	0.00202781	1.3196E-05	5.8644E-05	0.0001033	0.00074576
34	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaerales	Nitrososphaeraceae	Nitrososphaeraceae_unclassified	4.394E-06	1.3221E-05	1.1794E-05	2.6686E-05	1.1466E-05	1.514E-06	2.166E-06	1.8335E-05
35	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrososphaeria_unclassified	Nitrososphaeria_unclassified	Nitrososphaeria_unclassified	2.55E-07	0.00000254	0.00010138	8.263E-06	6.132E-06	3.05E-07	2.87E-07	3.135E-06
36	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrosotaleales	Nitrosotaleaceae	Candidatus_Nitrosotalea	0	6.058E-06	2.19E-07	2.3265E-05	0	2.01E-07	0	9.623E-06
37	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrosotaleales	Nitrosotaleaceae	Nitrosotaleaceae_ge	0.00038029	0.00046232	0.00029061	0.00042086	1.3661E-05	0.00007475	6.9252E-06	9.8463E-05
38	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	Nitrosotaleales	Nitrosotaleaceae	Nitrosotaleaceae_unclassified	0	0.00000234	0	2.035E-06	0.00000042	0	0	4.87E-07
39	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Nitrososphaeria	uncultured	uncultured	uncultured_ge	0	0	0	2.843E-06	0	0	0	8.3E-08
40	Archaea	Thaumarchaeota	Thaumarchaeota_unclassified	Thaumarchaeota_unclassified	Thaumarchaeota_unclassified	Thaumarchaeota_unclassified	6.399E-06	1.4669E-05	6.0276E-06	4.999E-06	6.19E-07	3.542E-06	3.6266E-06	0.00000358
41	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria_cl	Acidobacteria_or	Acidobacteria_fa	Acidobacteria_ge	1.27E-07	7.8E-08	2.31E-07	0	0	0	0	0
42	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria_unclassified	Acidobacteria_unclassified	Acidobacteria_unclassified	Acidobacteria_unclassified	0.00074499	0.00071387	0.00055727	0.00085723	0.00043194	0.00049114	0.00044956	0.00091677
43	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Acidicapsa	0.00035835	0.0035096	0.00048656	0.00021723	0.00059667	0.0054378	0.0006162	0.00034798
44	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Acidipila	0.00825043	0.00573047	0.00085806	0.00382121	0.0161975	0.0102638	0.01195231	0.00368823
45	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)_ge	0.0001125	7.0302E-05	8.7759E-05	7.467E-05	0.00016188	0.0012971	0.00011493	0.00008238
46	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)_unclassified	0.0079756	0.0059448	0.00767856	0.00457046	0.01211952	0.01005733	0.00990809	0.00519095
47	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Acidobacterium	0.00010309	0.00009254	0.00010138	8.2633E-05	0.00014221	0.00014099	0.0001127	0.00019095
48	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Bryocella	0.00017051	0.00016398	0.00014943	5.5394E-05	0.0004127	0.00015661	0.00017535	4.7645E-05
49	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Edaphobacter	0.00165518	0.00109701	0.00146594	0.00053253	0.0019044	0.00202036	0.00176144	0.00069302
50	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Granulicella	0.00796019	0.00550444	0.00683133	0.00300316	0.00955469	0.010571	0.00839664	0.00316407
51	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Occallatibacter	0.00300781	0.00229441	0.00337044	0.00195685	0.0052074	0.00511708	0.00415173	0.00346818
52	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Telmatobacter	7.6188E-05	4.9494E-05	0.00006841	4.9626E-05	9.8927E-05	7.1708E-05	7.2797E-05	5.0477E-05
53	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Terracidiphilus	0.00033578	0.00024983	0.00032748	0.00026845	0.00059055	0.00041129	0.00044677	0.00036388
54	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	Terriglobus	0.00080177	0.00054635	0.00059443	0.00027121	0.00074585	0.00079496	0.00067628	0.00032024
55	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriaceae_(Subgroup_1)	uncultured	0.00018419	0.00013735	0.00013846	0.00014838	0.00019076	0.00019739	0.00018731	0.00020617
56	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales_fa	Acidobacteriales_fa	Acidobacteriales_ge	0.00052475	0.00043176	0.00020647	0.00038577	0.00016262	0.00003154	8.3842E-05	0.00033337
57	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Acidobacteriales_unclassified	Acidobacteriales_unclassified	0.00586052	0.0061176	0.00454641	0.00565704	0.00364848	0.00499888	0.00395978	0.00485682
58	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	Koribacteraceae	Candidatus_Koribacter	0.0024422	0.0027562	0.00172891	0.00240005	0.00248365	0.00248365	0.0018353	0.00200741
59	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteriales	uncultured	uncultured	0.04015724	0.04425961	0.04577566	0.04263877	0.02731357	0.04417625	0.03819691	0.03300528
60	Bacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria	Acidobacteria_unclassified	Acidobacteria_unclassified	Acidobacteria_unclassified	0.00057924	0.00050822	0.00049176	0.00053226	0.00051953	0.00048029	0.0004663	0.00055711

S5 **Supplementary Figure 3.** Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) showing differences in genus-level taxonomic composition between **a)** metatranscriptomes (this study) and metagenomes (Pessi *et al.*, 2021a) and **b)** soil layers in the metatranscriptomes. Ellipses in panel **b** represent 1.5 standard deviations from the group centroid.

S6 Supplementary Table 3. Differences in the active microbial communities between organic and mineral layers and the four different vegetation types based on pairwise PERMANOVA analysis. NS: not significant.

	Meadow	Deciduous shrub	Evergreen shrub
Deciduous shrub	org:<0.01, min:NS		
Evergreen shrub	org:<0.01, min:<0.01	org:NS, min:NS	
Barren	org:<0.05, min:NS	org:NS, min:NS	org:NS, min:NS

S7 Supplementary Figure 4. Heatmap showing the most abundant genes across all samples that did not match to any sequence present in the KEGG database. Abundances were square root-transformed to improve visualization.

Barren · **Deciduous shrub** · **Evergreen shrub** · **Meadow**

S8 Supplementary Figure 5. Heatmap showing the 100 most abundant genes that were mapped to KEGG pathways. Abundances were square root-transformed to improve visualization.

S9 Supplementary Figure 6. Heatmap showing the genes belonging to metabolism pathways in KEGG. Abundances were square root-transformed to improve visualization.