Known mechanisms cannot account for at least one third of reduced susceptibility in a

diverse collection of non-*aureus* staphylococci

Heather Felgate[1,4], Lisa C. Crossman[1,2,3], Elizabeth Gray[1], Rebecca Clifford[1], John Wain[1,2], and

Gemma C. Langridge[1,4]

Affiliations

1. Medical Microbiology Research Laboratory, Norwich Medical School, University of

East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7UQ, United Kingdom.

2. School of Biological Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park,

Norwich, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom.

3. SequenceAnalysis.co.uk, Norwich Research Park Innovation Centre, Norwich, NR4

7JG, United Kingdom.

4. Current address: Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4

7UA, United Kingdom.

Running title: Antimicrobial resistance in non-*aureus* staphylococci

Abstract

Introduction: Non-*aureus* staphylococci (NAS) are implicated in many healthcare-acquired

infections and an understanding of the genetics of antimicrobial resistance in NAS is

important in relation to both clinical intervention and the role of NAS as a reservoir of

resistance genes.

Gap statement: The burden of antimicrobial resistance in NAS, particularly to clinically

relevant antimicrobials, is under recognised.

Methodology: We sourced 394 NAS isolates from clinical samples, healthy human volunteers,

animals and type cultures and subjected them to agar dilution susceptibility testing against

eight antimicrobials. Cefoxitin was used to screen for methicillin resistance in *S. aureus*, as it

stimulates expression of *mecA*. We performed whole genome sequencing on 366 isolates and

analysed these genotypically for the presence of genetic mechanisms responsible for the

phenotypic levels of reduced antimicrobial susceptibility.

Results: We observed 175 sequenced isolates with a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

of at least 4 μg/ml to cefoxitin, of which 50% (87/175) did not harbour a known *mec*

homologue. Eight clinical NAS isolates displayed high daptomycin MICs (>4 μg/ml), with no

known mechanism identified. Differences in MICs against erythromycin were attributable to

the presence of different resistance genes (*msrA* and *ermC*). In total, 49% (193

/394) of isolates displayed reduced susceptibility to three or more of the antimicrobials

tested.

Conclusions: The widespread presence of reduced antimicrobial susceptibility in NAS is a

concern, with an increased likelihood of (1) harder to treat infections caused directly by NAS,

and (2) resistance genes being passed on to other bacteria via horizontal gene transfer, both

of which have clinical implications for treatment and management of patients.

Introduction

The non-*aureus* staphylococci (NAS) represent an important source of nosocomial disease, including prosthetic joint infection (PJI), infective endocarditis and infection in pre-term babies (1). In the UK, over 215,000 joint replacements (hip, knee and shoulder) took place in 2016, with a year-on-year increase of 4% (2). Of these replacements, 1.5% require surgical revision due to infection (2). These infections are most commonly caused by *Staphylococcus* spp., and attributed to NAS in approximately 31% of cases across Europe (3). In our local hospital, the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital (NNUH), 50% of isolates identified in suspected PJI are NAS.

In clinical microbiology, staphylococci are classified using the coagulase test, with coagulase positive samples overwhelmingly identified as *S. aureus* and coagulase negative samples grouped together under the term coagulase negative staphylococci (CoNS). CoNS is therefore the term found in antimicrobial surveillance data. However, since coagulase negative *S. aureus* strains exist (as do coagulase positive strains of other staphylococcal species), we use the term "non-*aureus* staphylococci" (NAS) to encompass all staphylococci which are not *S. aureus*, regardless of coagulase activity.

There is currently an intense focus upon the presence and spread of bacterial antimicrobial resistance, typified in *S. aureus* by methicillin resistance (MRSA). While the body of literature in antimicrobial resistance research is growing for staphylococci, NAS data remains eclipsed by the focus on *S. aureus*. Few studies have investigated antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in NAS. Those that have suggest 45% of NAS harbour methicillin resistance (4), and that NAS may be resistant to a larger number of antimicrobial classes than *S. aureus* (4, 5).

An understanding of the genetics of AMR in NAS is important in relation to clinical intervention upon diagnosis of PJI (and other infections) as well as understanding the role of

NAS as a reservoir of resistance genes. To address this, we assembled a collection of 394 NAS

from clinical samples, healthy human volunteers, animals and type cultures and assessed their

susceptibility to a range of antimicrobials. We also performed whole genome sequencing to

correlate mechanisms of resistance with minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs).

Materials & Methods

NAS collection

Under NHS Research Ethics Committee approval, the Norwich Biorepository banks blood,

solid tissue and bacterial isolates from the NNUH and research institutes on the Norwich

Research Park, including the University of East Anglia (UEA), and makes these available to the

research community. This enabled us to assemble a collection of 380 NAS from a) clinical

specimens which were isolated from suspected NAS PJI infections (229, NNUH), b) healthy

human volunteers (114, UEA), and c) animal samples (33, UEA) with five having no source

recorded. An additional 14 strains of NAS from the National Collection of Type Cultures

(NCTC) were supplied by Public Health England.

Isolates were identified using MALDI-TOF (Bruker) to the species level (Table 1). All strains

were cultured overnight on TSA plates (Oxoid), checked for contamination and purified. Once

purified, the NAS collection was stored as glycerol stocks to be screened for their

antimicrobial susceptibility. *Staphylococcus aureus* NCTC 12973 was used as a control.

Susceptibility testing

To assay the entire NAS collection, five deep well 96-well microplates (VWR) were prepared

with 1 ml TSB (Oxoid) per well. Glycerol stocks were used to inoculate the corresponding well.

Per plate, one well was designated as a sterility control (TSB only) and one well was inoculated

with the *S. aureus* control. After inoculation, plates were sealed and incubated at 37 °C at

180 rpm for a minimum of 10 hours. The experimental design enabled 13.5% of the collection

to be tested in duplicate; MIC data was compared and then tabulated (Table S1).

Iso-sensitest agar (Oxoid) was prepared in 250 ml aliquots and autoclaved. Antimicrobial

stocks were added to obtain the desired final concentrations once the media had cooled to <

50 °C. For daptomycin, Ca^{2+} was also added at 50µg/ml. The agar antimicrobial mixture was

then poured into sterile rectangular plates (Fisher Scientific) and dried.

Per strain, a 1:10 dilution of overnight culture was transferred to a 96 well plate and the OD_{600}

was measured. An average OD_{600} was calculated for each column, which was then diluted to

approximately OD_{600} 0.6 to generate an inoculum plate for susceptibility testing.

Using a 96-pin multi-point inoculator (Denley), ~1 µl of inoculum per isolate was stamped

onto the agar containing antimicrobials, from the lowest concentration to the highest.

Between inoculum plates, the pins were washed in 70 % ethanol for 30 s and allowed to dry

before stamping on an antimicrobial-free plate to confirm sterility. Washes were also carried

out between antimicrobials using sterile water. All stamped plates were incubated at 37 °C.

Isolates found to have reduced susceptibility to daptomycin had their MICs determined for a

second time by spotting 10 µl of culture onto TSA plates containing various daptomycin

concentrations (supplemented with Ca^{2+} at 50 µg/ml). To increase *mecA* expression, 14

isolates which contained *mecA* but on initial testing showed susceptibility to cefoxitin (MIC <

4 µg/mL) were re-tested on Muller Hinton Agar with 3 % NaCl added alongside a further 6

isolates. Overnight cultures were diluted in PBS and 5 µl spots containing 10^{4} cells were

spotted onto plates which were incubated at 35 °C.

Test MIC ranges were determined from British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

(BSAC) surveillance MIC data and EUCAST for coagulase negative staphylococci (CoNS) in 2016

(6). Data for daptomycin were taken from 2010. In µg/ml, the ranges tested were: daptomycin

0.25-2, erythromycin 0.125-256, gentamicin 0.016-64, rifampicin 0.004-0.064, teicoplanin

0.25-16, tetracycline 0.25-256 and vancomycin 1-4. No CoNS surveillance data were available

for cefoxitin, hence the test range of 0.25-4 µg/ml was based upon published work (7) .

Isolates were considered to have reduced susceptibility to the specified antibiotic if they

displayed the following MICs: $\geq$ 4 µg/mL (cefoxitin, teicoplanin, vancomycin); $\geq$ 2 µg/mL

(tetracycline, erythromycin); $\geq$ 1 µg/mL (gentamicin, daptomycin); $\geq$ 0.06 µg/mL (rifampicin).

Statistical comparison of clinical and non-clinical isolates

Using Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, USA, v 5.04), a Mann-Whitney test was performed (non-

parametric test, two-tailed with Gaussian approximation) to compare between the MIC of

clinical and non-clinical isolates. Statistical significance was given to a *p* value < 0.05.

DNA extraction and sequencing

Overnight cultures derived from single colonies were pelleted and resuspended in lysis buffer

(Qiagen), transferred to 2 ml lysis matrix B tubes (MPBio) and subjected to bead beating for

15 min at 30 Hz (Tissuelyser II, Qiagen) with RNAse A added. DNA was extracted according to

the QiaCube HT protocol with an additional 30 min incubation at 65 ⁰C after proteinase K

addition and eluted into Tris-10mM HCL.

Libraries for sequencing were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Protocol and

sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq or NextSeq with a loading concentration of 1.8 picomolar.

Genome analysis

The raw reads were subject to FastQC quality control (8), adapters were trimmed using

Trimmomatic [v 0.39] (9) using the supplied NexteraXT adapter sequences. In some cases,

read normalisation was performed using BBNorm [v35.85] (10) to remove low coverage

contamination. The lowest coverage cutoff level parameter used was dependent on the total

coverage of the sequence since some sequencing runs had a high difference in coverage level

across the run. Finally, reads were concatenated if they originated from Illumina NextSeq

since this platform produces eight reads per sample, four forward and four reverse. A total

of 364 samples passed QC and were suitable for downstream analysis. These reads were used

as described below. Sequences are available from the European Nucleotide Archive, under

project PRJEB31403.

To determine which antimicrobial resistance genes and associated individual mutations were

present in each of our 364 NAS genomes, reference gene sequences were downloaded from

CARD v2.0.0 (11) and used as input to ARIBA v2.13.2 (12) which generates local assemblies

from sequence reads and reports back which reference genes (and individual mutations) are

identified, with a minimum percent identity cut off at 90% (Table S5). For genes where 'partial'

or 'interrupted' was reported, this was not considered sufficient evidence for intact gene

presence. The tabulated results were evaluated for gene and mutation presence/absence

relative to MIC per antimicrobial. Twelve NCTC sequences were downloaded as genome

assemblies from the European Nucleotide Archive (accessions: SAMEA4364213;

SAMEA4364214; SAMEA4384234; SAMEA4384058; SAMEA4384237; SAMEA4384064;

SAMEA4412661; SAMEA4384059; SAMEA4384235; SAMEA4384060; SAMEA4384339;

SAMEA4384403) and analysed by ABRIcate v0.9.7 (13) using CARD v2.0.0 (11) as the reference

database with a minimum DNA coverage of 90%. NCTC 13831 and 13837 sequence data was

not available at time of sequencing and therefore these isolates were sequenced as described

above for the main NAS collection. Protein level conservation was assessed using BLAST

v2.10.1 against the NCBI AMR database. Hits were recorded for greater than 40% identity at

the protein level over 80% of the query and subject sequence.

Results & Discussion

Our NAS collection comprised over 30 species of *Staphyloccocus*, including at least 10 isolates

of *S. epidermidis*, *S. capitis*, *S. haemolyticus*, *S. hominis*, *S. saprophyticus*, *S. simulans* and *S.*

warneri (Table 1)*.* Isolates were collected over a four-year period from 2013 to 2016.

The range of antimicrobials tested were selected based upon clinical relevance and availability

(Table S1). Observed MIC distributions per antimicrobial are shown in Figure 1.

Cefoxitin screening does not correlate with mecA *presence in clinically relevant NAS*

Cefoxitin is used to screen for methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* as it induces *mecA* expression.

However, while methicillin resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) have a high public profile, much less is

known about methicillin resistant NAS (MRNAS). EUCAST guidelines state that for MRSA

"cefoxitin is a very sensitive and specific marker of *mecA/mecC*-mediated methicillin

resistance including in heterogeneous expressing strains and is the agent of choice" (14). In

this collection, we found 194/394 (49%) displayed reduced susceptibility to cefoxitin with

MICs $\geq$ 4 µg/ml (Table S1). The vast majority of these isolates were from clinical samples (FOX

Figure 1, Table S1) but analysis at the nucleotide level (Table S2 and S3) indicated that only

88 out of 175 (50 %) sequenced isolates with an MIC $\geq$ 4µg/ml harboured a known *mecA.*

MecA is extremely well-conserved and searching at the protein level yielded the same results

(Table S4). Other *mec* elements were also identified (e.g. *mecC*, *mecI* and *mecR1*) but only

ever in addition to *mecA*. Breaking this down by species, 20/21 *S. saprophyticus* isolates with

cefoxitin MIC ≥ 4μg/ml harboured no *mecA* (Figure 2). No *mecA* was detected in eleven

species with high cefoxitin MICs and for *S. hominis*, *S. warneri* and *S. haemolyticus,* the

percentage of the population that exhibited MIC ≥ 4μg/ml with no *mecA* was between 28 and

67% (Figure 2). Our results support cefoxitin as a good indicator of *mecA* presence in *S.*

epidermidis (7), but suggest that it performs poorly in the less common, but still clinically

relevant NAS. In addition, we observed 14 cases where the presence of *mecA* did not result

in an MIC ≥ 4μg/ml. These were re-tested (alongside 6 others) under conditions designed to

encourage *mecA* expression and resulted in increased MICs in all isolates, however 7/20

remained <4 ug/mL (Table S1).

Reduced susceptibility only partly explained by known mechanisms

When exposed to gentamicin and tetracycline, isolates could broadly be divided into two

populations, displaying susceptible or reduced susceptibility phenotypes (Figure 1 GEN and

TET). In isolates displaying an MIC ≥1 μg/ml for gentamicin, 49/130 isolates harboured *aac(6')-*

le-aph(2")-la (Table 2) which is associated with gentamicin resistance in *Enterococcus* (15, 16)

but has also been observed in *Staphylococcus* (17, 18). A total of 12 isolates had a match for

aph(3')IIIa, but only five of them were associated with reduced susceptibility.

Six isolates that contained *aac(6')-le-aph(2")-la* displayed susceptible MICs, making them the

equivalent of major errors (MEs) in public health terms, as the isolates were genotypically

resistant but phenotypically susceptible (19). Accordingly, the 81/130 isolates with reduced

susceptibility (≥1 μg/ml) that harboured no *aac(6')-le-aph(2")-la* represented the equivalent

of very major errors (VMEs) as they were genotypically susceptible but phenotypically

resistant (19). This is highly suggestive of novel mechanisms of resistance and was a feature

of other antimicrobials tested (Figure 3).

To identify whether efflux pumps might play a role these phenotypes, we assessed the ARIBA

output for the staphylococcal-specific *norABC, mgrA, mepR* and *qac* genes (20). In the

sequenced NAS collection 168/378 (44.4 %) contained *norA*, however of these less than two

thirds (75/130) had reduced susceptibility to gentamicin.

According to the Comprehensive Antimicrobial Resistance Database [CARD] (11), *tetK* is by far

the most common tetracycline resistance mechanism in *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* (10-

20%), followed by *tetL* (<1%) and *tetM* (<1%). This was borne out in our NAS collection, where

48/148 (32.4 %) isolates with MICs ≥ 2 µg/ml of tetracycline contained *tetK*, as compared to

5/223 (2.2 %) with MICs below 2 µg/ml. One animal isolate with an MIC of 16 µg/ml carried

tetL and one clinical isolate with an MIC of 64 µg/ml carried *tetM*; neither had any other

tetracycline resistance genes. Again, this demonstrated that 98/148 isolates displayed a

reduced susceptibility phenotype that did not associate with a known resistance determinant,

indicative of uncharacterised resistance mechanisms.

The distribution of erythromycin phenotypes was more complex. With this antimicrobial, we

observed both susceptible isolates and those with reduced susceptibility, but the latter

appeared to consist of two populations, one with MICs between ≥2-256 µg/ml and one with

MICs ≥512 µg/ml (Figure 1 ERY). We had sequence data available from 135 of the ≥2-256

µg/ml population and 66 of the ≥512 µg/ml population, and identified the presence of a

resistance gene (*ermA, ermC, msrA)* in 65.9 % (89/135) of the ≥2-256 µg/ml population and

55/66 (83.3 %) of the ≥512 µg/ml population (Table 2). Our results indicated that the presence

of *ermC* rather than *msrA* was the major cause of MICs exceeding 256 µg/ml. Although rare

(n=4), harbouring both genes resulted in an MIC ≥ 512 µg/ml in three cases and 128 µg/ml in

the other. In isolates with an MIC of ≥ 512 µg/ml, *qac* was identified 36 times. In 23 of these

cases *ermC* was also present; *qac* was only found twice with no other known erythromycin

resistance mechanisms present. A total of 101 isolates with an MIC ≥2 µg/ did not contain

qac.

For daptomycin, approximately half the collection displayed reduced susceptibility (MIC $\geq$ 1

µg/ml, Figure 1 DAP and Table S1). A small subset, comprising eight isolates from clinical

samples only, displayed MICs $\geq$ 4 µg/ml; such high MICs to daptomycin have not been

previously reported, according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Testing (EUCAST) and The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (BSAC) surveillance

data. These MICs were repeated a second time and confirmed. This is concerning given that

daptomycin is a current therapeutic choice for treating soft tissue infections caused by NAS

(21). Seven of these isolates were sequenced and our ARIBA analysis (Table 3) indicated only

a single *S. epidermidis* isolate contained genes implicated in daptomycin resistance: *gshF* and

liaFRS with an MIC of 1 µg/ml, the remaining 169 isolates with an ≥1 µg/ml MIC did not

harbour any of these genes. Several mutations or genes are associated with daptomycin

resistance in *S. aureus* (including *mprF*, and SNPs in *rpoC*) but none of these were identified

in the NAS collection (6, 22). More recently, SNPs in *walK* have also been associated with

daptomycin resistance in *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* (23). The three *S. aureus* SNPs are

present in CARD and were not identified in our collection. The V500F mutation from *S.*

epidermidis (23) was also not identified in our *S. epidermidis* with DAP MICs $\geq$ 4 µg/ml. Whilst

walK was identified by protein BLAST as present across the NAS collection (as expected for an

essential gene (24)), sequence variation was observed at the protein level which prevents

SNPs observed in *S. aureus* or *S. epidermidis* being extrapolated to NAS. We therefore

conclude that there are potentially novel daptomycin resistance mechanisms present in these

strains.

Resistance to vancomycin found in clinical samples

Vancomycin is a treatment option in prosthetic joint infection, and 94 % of isolates had an

MIC below 4 µg/ml (Figure 1 VAN). However, of the 24 isolates with reduced susceptibility,

22 (92 %) came from clinical samples and only 2/24 were found in healthy volunteers. This is

indicative of a wider trend, where isolates associated with clinical samples had significantly

higher MICs ($p < 0.005$) than non-clinical isolates for cefoxitin, erythromycin, gentamicin,

tetracycline, daptomycin and vancomycin (Figure S1). Given the importance of NAS in

nosocomial infections, this is a worrying prospect both in terms of what is presenting in the

clinic and also the possibility of AMR gene transfer into organisms more capable of causing

infection, including *S. aureus*. In addition, no known mechanisms of resistance were identified

for vancomycin, rifampicin or teicoplanin (Figure 3 and Table S3).

Over half of the NAS collection displayed susceptibility to multiple antimicrobials

Out of the all the isolates tested, 48 % of (192/394) had reduced susceptibility to three or

more antimicrobials. Twenty-five isolates had reduced susceptibility to six antimicrobials, and

three isolates had reduced susceptibility to seven antimicrobials; of these 24/25 and 3/3 were

isolated from clinical samples (Table S1). The implications of these are difficult to treat

infections and potentially a large reservoir of staphylococcal resistance genes within the

patient under antimicrobial treatment.

Animal isolates have similar MIC distributions to human isolates

It is generally acknowledged that the presence of reduced susceptibility in microorganisms

isolated from animals can impact upon public health if those organisms also cause infection

in humans (25, 26). In our collection there were 40 NAS isolated from animals (7 NCTC strains),

of which we obtained genome sequences from 23. Although in much fewer numbers than the

human isolates in the collection, the animal isolates displayed very similar MIC distributions

and harboured corresponding genetic mechanisms. This does not rule out the possibility that

animals could be a reservoir of AMR for staphylococci.

Conclusion

Genome analysis of isolates displaying MICs to cefoxitin of $\geq$ 4 µg/ml indicated that

approximately half harboured the *mecA* element. The absence of *mecA* from the other half

suggests that other mechanisms are likely present. This was apparent across many of the

antimicrobials tested as between 0 and 65 % of phenotypic resistance in clinical isolates could

be attributed to known resistance mechanisms. The remaining 35-100 % suggests that there

are potentially numerous unknown mechanisms underpinning NAS resistance, which warrant

further investigation.

Acknowledgements

We thank David Livermore and Iain McNamara for productive discussions on antimicrobial

usage.

Funding

This work was funded by the Orthopaedics Trust (registered charity 1110248).

Transparency declarations

307   None to declare.

References

1.   Becker K, Heilmann C, Peters G. Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci. Clinical
Microbiology Reviews. 2014;27(4):870-926.
2.   NJR. 14th Annual Report 2017. In: National Joint Registry for England W, Northern
Ireland and the Isle of Man, editor. 2017.
3.   Lamagni T. Epidemiology and burden of prosthetic joint infections. . J Antimicrob
Chemother. 2014;69:i5-i10.
4.   Aggarwal V, Bakhshi. H., Ecker, NU., Parvizi, J., Gehrke, T., Kendodd, D. Organism
profile in periprosthetic joint infection: pathogens differ at two arthroplasty infection
referral centers in Europe and in the United States. The Journal of Knee Surgery
2014;27(399-406).
5.   Morgenstern M, Erichsen, C., Hackl, S., Mily, J., Militz, M., Friederichs, J., Hungerer,
S., Buhren, V., Moriarty, TF., Post, V., Richards, RG. and Kates, SL. Antibiotic resistance of
commensal Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci in an international
cohort of surgeons: a prospective point-prevalence study. . Morgenstern M, Erichsen C,
Hackl S. 2016;11(2)::e0148437.
6.   Sabat A, Tinelli M, Grundmann H, Akkerboom V, Monaco M, Del Grosso M, et al.
Daptomycin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Clinical Strain With Novel Non-synonymous
Mutations in the mprF and vraS Genes: A New Insight Into Daptomycin Resistance. Front
Microbiol. 2018;9:2705.
7.   Swenson J, Brasso, WB., Ferraro, MJ., Hardy, DJ., Knapp, CC., Lonsway, D., McAllister,
S., Reller, LB., Sader, HS., Shortridge, D., Skov, R., Weinsteinm MP., Zimmer, BL., and Patel,
JB. Correlation of Cefoxitin MICs with the Presence of mecA in Staphylococcus spp. J Clin
Microbiol 2009;47(6):1902-5.
8.   Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput
sequence data [Available from:
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
9.   Bolger A, Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. . Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.
10.   Institute JG. BBNorm: Kmer-based error-correction and normalization tool.
[Available from: https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/.
11.   Jia B, Raphernua, AR., Alcock, B., Waglechner, N., Guo, P., Tsang, KK., Lago, BA.,
Dave, BM., Pereira S, Sharma, AN., Doshi, S., Courtot, M., Lo, R., Williams, LE., Frye, JG.,
Elsayegh, T., Sarder, D., Westman, EL., Pawlowski, AC., Johnson, TA., Brinkman, FS., Wright,
GD. and McArthur, AG. CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the
comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Res 2017;4(45(D!)):D566-D73.

12.   Hunt M, Mather, AE., Sánchez-Busó, L., Page, AJ., Parkhill, J., Keane, JA., Harrish, SR.
ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb
Genom. 2017;3(10):e000131.
13.   Seemann T. ABRicate: mass screening of contigs for antiobiotic resistance genes.
2016.
14.   EUCAST. EUCAST guidelines for detection of resistance mechanisms and specific
resistances of clinical and/or epidemiological importance. Version 2.0: The European
Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; 2017 [updated March 2018. Available
from: http://www.eucast.org.
15.   Ferretti J, Gilmore, K., Courvalin, P. Nucleotide sequence analysis of the gene
specifying the bifunctional 6'-aminoglycoside acetyltransferase 2"-aminoglycoside
phosphotransferase enzyme in Streptococcus faecalis and identification and cloning of gene
regions specifying the two activities. J Bacteriol. 1986;167:631-8.
16.   Chow J. Aminoglycoside resistance in *enterococci*. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:586-9.
17.   Perreten V, Kadlec, K., Schwarz, S., Grönlund Andersson, U., Finn, M., Greko, C.,
Moodley, A., Kania, SA., Frank, LA., Bemis, DA., Franco, A., Iurescia, M., Battisti, A., Duim, B.,
Wagenaar, JA., van Duijkeren, E., Weese, JS., Fitzgerald, JR., Rossano, A., Guardabassi, L. .
Clonal spread of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus pseudintermedius in Europe and North
America: an international multicentre study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010;65:1145-54.
18.   Schmitz F-J, Fluit, AC., Gondolf, M., Beyrau, R., Lindenlauf, E., Verhoef, J., Heinz, HP.,
Jones, ME. The prevalence of aminoglycoside resistance and corresponding resistance genes
in clinical isolates of staphylococci from 19 European hospitals. J Antimicrob Chemother.
1999;43:253-9.
19.   Neuert S, Nair, S., Day, MR., Doumith, M., Ashton, PM., Mellor, KC., Jenkins, C.,
Hopkins, KL., Woodford, N2., de Pinna, E., Godbole, G., Dallman, TJ.,. Prediction of
Phenotypic Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles From Whole Genome Sequences of Non-
typhoidal Salmonella enterica. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:592.
20.   Sapula SA, Brown MH. Antimicrobial Drug Efflux Pumps in Staphylococcus aureus. In:
Li X-Z, Elkins CA, Zgurskaya HI, editors. Efflux-Mediated Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria:
Mechanisms, Regulation and Clinical Implications. Cham: Springer International Publishing;
2016. p. 165-95.
21.   White B, Seaton, RA. . Complicated skin and soft tissue infections: literature review
of evidence for and experience with daptomycin. Infect Drug Res. 2011;4:115.
22.   Friedman L, Alder, JD., Silverman, JA. . Genetic changes that correlate with reduced
susceptibility to daptomycin in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
2006;50:2137-45.
23.   Yang S, Mishra, NN.. Rubio, A., Bayer, AS. Additional routes to Staphylococcus aureus
daptomycin resistance as revealed by comparative genome sequencing, transcriptional
profiling, and phenotypic studies. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.
2013;57(11):5658-64.
24.   Dubrac S, Boneca IG, Poupel O, Msadek T. New insights into the WalK/WalR
(YycG/YycF) essential signal transduction pathway reveal a major role in controlling cell wall
metabolism and biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(22):8257-
69.
25.   Landers T, Cohen, B., Wittum, TE., Larson, EL. A review of antibiotic use in food
animals: perspective, policy, and potential. . Public Health Rep. 2012;127:4-22.

26.   Woolhouse M, Ward, M., van Bunnik, B. . Antimicrobial resistance in humans,
livestock and the wider environment. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2015;370:20140083.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Antibiotic susceptibility of NAS collection

MIC distributions for 394 NAS isolates grown in the presence of antimicrobials. FOX cefoxitin,

GEN gentamicin, TET tetracycline, ERY erythromycin, DAP daptomycin, VAN vancomycin, RIF

rifampicin and TEC teicoplanin.

Figure 2. Presence of *mecA* in relation to high cefoxitin MIC.

Per staphylococcal species, bars display the total number of sequenced isolates found to have

a cefoxitin MIC of ≥4 µg/ml, in relation to the presence (solid green) and absence (blue

outline) of *mecA*.

Figure 3. Mechanisms of resistance

Percentage of known genetic mechanisms identified in sequenced NAS isolates with reduced

susceptibility. Number of isolates with reduced susceptibility per antimicrobial given in

parentheses. Known mechanisms found per antimicrobial are shown in italics. FOX cefoxitin,

GEN gentamicin, TET tetracycline, ERY erythromycin, DAP daptomycin, VAN vancomycin, RIF

rifampicin and TEC teicoplanin.

Table 1

Frequency of non-*aureus* staphylococcal species in the study collection

Staphylococcus auricularis	1
Staphylococcus capitis	20
Staphylococcus caprae	2
Staphylococcus carnosus	2
Staphylococcus chromogenes	3
Staphylococcus cohnii	1
Staphylococcus condimenti	1
Staphylococcus devriesei	1
Staphylococcus epidermidis	191
Staphylococcus equorum	1
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	43
Staphylococcus hominis	45
Staphylococcus jettensis	1
Staphylococcus lugdunensis	7
Staphylococcus massiliensis	1
Staphylococcus microti	1
Staphylococcus muscae	1
Staphylococcus nepalensis	1
Staphylococcus pasteuri	4
Staphylococcus petrasii	1
Staphylococcus pettenkferi	1
Staphylococcus piscifermentans	1
Staphylococcus rostri	1
Staphylococcus saprophyticus	22
Staphylococcus sciuri	5
Staphylococcus simiae	1
Staphylococcus simulans	10
Staphylococcus sp [1]	1
Staphylococcus stepanovicii	1
Staphylococcus succinus	1
Staphylococcus vitulinus	3
Staphylococcus warneri	18
Staphylococcus xylosus	1

420 *Species designated by MALDI-TOF (Bruker)

422 Table 2 Genetic mechanisms identified using ARIBA/ABRIcate and the CARD database
423 compared to the MIC data (of sequenced isolates only, partial and interrupted sequences are
424 not included, see Table S2)

Antimicrobial	Mechanism (Accession No.)	No. isolates above breakpoint MIC (≥2 µg/ml)		No. isolates below breakpoint
TET	tetK (NC_013452)	48/148		5/223
	tetL (M11036.0)	1/148		0/223
	tetM (AM180355)	1/148		0/223
	All*	**50/**148 **(33.8%)**		**5/223 (2.2%)**
		No. isolates above breakpoint MIC (≥1 µg/ml)		
GEN	aac(6')-Ie-aph(2")-Ia (NC_005024)	49/130		6/246
	aph(3)IIIa (CP004067)	5/130		7/246
	All*	**49/130 (37.7 %)**		**12/246 (4.9 %)**
		MIC ≥512 µg/ml	MIC ≥2 - 256 µg/ml	
ERY	msrA (NC_022598.1)	6/66	75/135	9/173
	ermC (M12730)	42/66	13/135	9/173
	ermA (NC_009632)	6/66	1/135	0/173
	emeA (AB091338)	1/66	0/135	0/173
	All*	**46/66 (69.7 %)**	**88/135 (65.2 %)**	**18/173 (10.4 %)**

*Some isolates harboured multiple resistance genes. TET tetracycline, GEN gentamicin, ERY
erythromycin.

Supplementary data

Table S1. MIC characterisation of the NAS collection

MIC data for all isolates tested; all MIC are shown in µg/ml; FOX cefoxitin, GEN gentamicin,

TET tetracycline, ERY erythromycin, DAP daptomycin, VAN vancomycin, RIF rifampicin and

TEC teicoplanin.

Table S2. ARIBA characterisation of all sequenced NAS isolates, grouped by relevant

antimicrobial.

Table S3. Summary of ARIBA/ABRIcate match data for all sequenced isolates.

Table S4. Comparison of gene presence (using ARIBA) and protein BLAST presence. Data

includes all genes identified in the CARD database.

Table S5. Full list of all reference genes/mutations from the CARD database analysed for

presence/absence.

Figure S1. MICs in clinical and non-clinical isolates

Box and whisker plot of MIC distribution per antimicrobial for clinical (orange, CS) and non-

clinical (yellow, NC) isolates. Thick black bar indicates the median MIC which resided within

the Interquartile Range. The extreme lines are represented as dotted lines and indicate the

data outside the upper (75%) and lower (25%) quartiles, open circles represent potential

outliers. Levels of significance from Mann-Whitney U test denoted by **** ($p<0.0001$), ***

($p<0.005$), ** ($p=0.001$) and * ($p=0.01$).