Progression and Resolution of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Golden Syrian Hamsters

Kathleen R. Mulka[1], Sarah E. Beck[1,2], Clarisse V. Solis[1], Andrew L. Johanson[1], Suzanne E. Queen[1], Megan E. McCarron[1], Morgan R. Richardson[1], Ruifeng Zhou[4], Paula Marinho[4], Anne Jedlicka[4], Selena Guerrero-Martin[1], Erin N. Shirk[1], Alicia Braxton[1], Jacqueline Brockhurst[1], Patrick S. Creisher[4], Santosh Dhakal[4], Cory F. Brayton[1], Rebecca T. Veenhuis[1], Kelly A. Metcalf Pate[1], Petros C. Karakousis[6], Sabra L. Klein[4], Sanjay K. Jain[5], Patrick M. Tarwater[7], Andrew S. Pekosz[1,4], Jason S. Villano[1], and Joseph L. Mankowski[1,2,3] for the Johns Hopkins COVID-19 Hamster Study Group

[1]Department of Molecular and Comparative Pathobiology, The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[2]Department of Pathology, The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[3]Department of Neurology, The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[4]W. Harry Feinstone Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[5]Department of Pediatrics, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[6]Department of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[7]Department of Epidemiology, The Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Joseph L. Mankowski, jmankows@jhmi.edu

Current address for K. Metcalf Pate: Division of Comparative Medicine and Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

Running Head

Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Hamsters

Grant numbers and sources of funding

These studies were also supported through the generosity of the collective community

of donors to the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine for COVID research

(JLM), NIH OD T32OD011089 (JLM), The Johns Hopkins Center of Excellence in

Influenza Research and Surveillance (HHSN272201400007C AP, SLK), the NIH/NCI

COVID-19 Serology Center of Excellence U54CA260492 (SLK), the NIH/ORWH/NIA

Specialized Center of Research Excellence in Sex Differences U54AG062333 (SLK),

R01AI153349 (SKJ), support from the Center for Infection and Inflammation Imaging

Research (Johns Hopkins University, SKJ).

Abstract

To catalyze SARS-CoV-2 research including development of novel interventive and preventive strategies, we characterized progression of disease in depth in a robust COVID-19 animal model. In this model, male and female golden Syrian hamsters were inoculated intranasally with SARS-CoV-2 USA-WA1/2020. Groups of inoculated and mock-inoculated uninfected control animals were euthanized at day 2, 4, 7, 14, and 28 days post-inoculation to track multiple clinical, pathology, virology, and immunology outcomes. SARS-CoV-2-inoculated animals consistently lost body weight during the first week of infection, had higher lung weights at terminal timepoints, and developed lung consolidation per histopathology and quantitative image analysis measurements. High levels of infectious virus and viral RNA were reliably present in the respiratory tract at days 2 and 4 post-inoculation, corresponding with widespread necrosis and inflammation. At day 7, when infectious virus was rare, interstitial and alveolar macrophage infiltrates and marked reparative epithelial responses (type II hyperplasia) dominated in the lung. These lesions resolved over time, with only residual epithelial repair evident by day 28 post-inoculation. The use of quantitative approaches to measure cellular and morphologic alterations in the lung provides valuable outcome measures for developing therapeutic and preventive interventions for COVID-19 using the hamster COVID-19 model.

Introduction

In December 2019, a novel beta coronavirus was isolated from patients that presented with severe and ultimately fatal pneumonia in Wuhan, China[1]. The virus was designated

Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and rapidly spread

through human-to-human transmission, causing the current global pandemic of

Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). As of June 2021, there have been over 168

million confirmed cases and over 3.5 million deaths globally attributed to SARS-CoV-2

infection[2].

While many organ systems can be affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection, pulmonary

disease has been most frequently associated with severe and fatal cases of COVID-

19[3]. The earliest stage of disease is characterized by edema and vascular damage

including endothelial cell degeneration and necrosis, with neutrophilic infiltration of

alveolar septa and capillaries (endothelialitis and capillaritis) and microthrombosis[3–6].

This is followed by an exudative phase of diffuse alveolar damage (DAD), with fibrinous

edema in the alveolar spaces, increased numbers of macrophages and epithelial

multinucleated giant cells, hyaline membrane formation, and epithelial necrosis followed

by type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia. Additionally, vascular changes occur, including

endothelial necrosis, hemorrhage, thrombosis of capillaries and small arteries, and

vasculitis[5,7]. In turn, the organizing stage of DAD, and the final fibrotic stage of DAD

ensue which may include proliferation of myofibroblasts within the lung interstitium, and

deposition of collagen leading to fibrosis. Squamous metaplasia has also been

observed[3,8].

The emergent and widespread nature of this pandemic necessitated the rapid

development of multiple animal models and biologic systems to study various aspects of

pathogenesis, treatment, and prevention of disease. To date, reported animal models of

COVID-19 pathology include human ACE2 transgenic mice[9–12], golden Syrian

hamsters[12–18], nonhuman primates[19,20], and ferrets[21,22]; recent comprehensive reviews

of animal models of COVID-19 were provided by Zeiss et al., and Veenhuis and Zeiss,

2021[23,24]. Each model species has advantages and limitations with respect to similarity

to disease in humans, expense, and practicality. The hamster model offers several

advantages over other animal models: it is a relatively small, immunocompetent animal

that is susceptible to infection with varied SARS-CoV-2 clinical isolates and readily

develops pulmonary disease. Specifically, hamsters consistently develop moderate to

severe bronchointerstitial pneumonia characterized by acute inflammation, edema, and

necrosis 2-4 days post SARS-CoV2 challenge, progressing to proliferative interstitial

pneumonia with type II pneumocyte hyperplasia by 7 days post-challenge. Pulmonary

lesions have been reported to resolve around 10-14 days post-inoculation with little to

no evidence of residual damage [13–18,20,25].

Although several studies have provided an overview of pulmonary pathology during

acute infection, comprehensive longitudinal assessments of pulmonary pathology are

lacking, including chronic time points. Likewise, there is a dearth of information available

integrating clinical, pathology, virology, and immunology findings or reporting systemic

pathologic findings associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection in hamsters. In this study, we

provide in-depth, longitudinal, pathological characterization of multisystemic disease

manifestation caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection in male and female golden Syrian

hamsters. Furthermore, we objectively measured tissue damage and inflammatory

responses by digital image analysis using an open-source platform, QuPath[26,27]. Our

results show that inoculating hamsters intranasally with SARS-CoV-2 reliably induces

acute damage to the respiratory tract with initial viral replication followed by a

macrophage-dominant pulmonary immune response. In turn, a reparative phase follows

with abundant type II pneumocyte hyperplasia restoring the alveolar lining, mirroring

SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans.

Materials and Methods

Animals

7 to 8 week old male and female golden Syrian hamsters *Mesocricetus auratus*

(Envigo) were singly housed in the JHU CRB Animal Biosafety Level 3 (ABSL)-3 facility.

After acclimation (7 day minimum), hamsters were sedated intramuscularly with

xylazine and ketamine then inoculated intranasally with 10^5 $TCID_{50}$ of SARS-CoV-2

USA-WA1/2020, (BEI Resources NR#52281) diluted in 100uL DMEM; virus stocks.

Uninfected animals were mock-inoculated with 100 μL of DMEM intranasally to serve as

controls. Groups of 12 animals (4 mock and 8 SARS-CoV-2 inoculated, equal numbers

of males and females) were euthanized at 2, 4, 7 and 14 days post inoculation (DPI). An

additional group of 4 mock (2 male and 2 female) and 19 SARS-CoV-2-inoculated

hamsters (10 male and 9 female) were euthanized at 28 DPI. Body weights were

measured daily until 10 DPI, then on 14, 21, and 28 DPI. Blood samples were collected

at 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 DPI depending on group. Terminal blood samples obtained via

cardiac puncture were saved for serology, coagulation assays, FACS analysis,

hematology, and clinical chemistries. At study endpoints, animals were euthanized with

intraperitoneal sodium pentobarbital. A complete post-mortem examination with

comprehensive tissue harvest (flash frozen and 10% NBF immersion fixed samples)

was performed on all hamsters. ACUC statement. The animal procedures in this study were

in accordance with the principles set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

at Johns Hopkins University and the National Research Council's Guide for the Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals (8th edition)

SARS-CoV-2 measurements

Infectious virus titers in the respiratory tissue homogenates were determined by the

50% tissue culture infectious dose ($TCID_{50}$) assay[28]. Briefly, tissue homogenates were

serially diluted 10-fold, transferred in sextuplicate into 96-well plates confluent with

Vero-E6-TMPRSS2 cells (obtained from the Japan Institute of Infectious Diseases),

incubated at 37^0C for 4 days, and then stained with naphthol blue-black solution for

visualization. Infectious viral titers ($TCID_{50}$/mL) were determined by Reed and Muench

method. For detection of SARS-CoV-2 genome copies, RNA was extracted from lungs

using the Qiagen viral RNA extraction kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcriptase PCR

(RTqPCR) was performed as previously described[29]. Viral data from a subset of these

animals has been included in a report on humoral responses in this model[28].

Postmortem examination

Standard necropsy procedures included gross examination of all major organs. After

obtaining organ weights, samples were either frozen or immersion fixed in 10% neutral-

buffered formalin. The lungs were weighed en bloc, and then the left lobe was removed

at the level of the left bronchus. Formalin was gently infused into the left bronchus to

inflate the left lobe, then the entire lobe was submerged in formalin. The right lung lobes

were divided into different frozen sample collection tubes for preparing tissue

homogenates and RNA extraction.

Histopathology analyses

After immersion fixation in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for 72 hours, tissues were

trimmed, processed, and embedded in paraffin. 5µm thick sections were mounted on

glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Histopathological analysis

was performed independently by two veterinary pathologists (S.B. and K.M.) blinded to

animal identification and infection status. Tissues evaluated included nasal cavity,

trachea, lung (left lobe), esophagus, stomach, small intestine, cecum, large intestine,

brain, heart, kidney, liver, gallbladder, spleen, adrenal gland, reproductive organs,

urinary bladder, lymph nodes, salivary glands, bone, haired skin, skeletal muscle, bone

marrow, and decalcified cross sections of the head.

In situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry

In situ hybridization to detect SARS-CoV-2 RNA

In situ hybridization (ISH) was performed on 5µm-thick sections of formalin-fixed lung

mounted on charged glass slides using the Leica Bond RX automated system (Leica

Biosystems, Richmond, IL). Heat-induced epitope retrieval was conducted by heating

slides to 95°C for 15 minutes in EDTA-based ER2 buffer (Leica Biosystems, Richmond,

IL). The SARS-CoV-2 probe (cat. 848568, Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, CA) was

used with the Leica RNAScope 2.5 LS Assay-RED kit and a hematoxylin counterstain

(Leica Biosystems, Richmond, IL). Slides were treated in protease (Advanced Cell

Diagnostics, Newark, CA) for 15 minutes and probes hybridized to RNA for 1 minute. An

RNApol2 probe served as a hamster gene control to ensure ISH sensitivity; a probe for

the bacterial dap2 gene was used as a negative control ISH probe.

Immunohistochemistry

10% NBF-fixed lung sections of SARS-CoV-2 infected and control animals were

immunostained with anti-Iba-1 antibody (1:2000; Wako; 019-19741 Richmond, VA),

anti-CD3 antibody (1:200; DAKO; Ref: A0452 Santa Clara, CA, or anti-pan-cytokeratin

antibody (1:1000; Santa Cruz; sc-8018 Dallas, TX). Heat-induced epitope retrieval was

conducted by heating slides to 95°C for 20 minutes in sodium citrate-based ER1 buffer

(Leica Biosystems, Richmond, IL) before immunostaining. Dual immunostaining for the

epithelial marker pan-cytokeratin and the macrophage marker Iba-1 was performed on

lung sections of SARS-CoV-2-infected animals. For epitope retrieval, slides were

heated to 100°C in sodium citrate-based ER1 buffer for 20 minutes (Leica Biosystems,

Richmond, IL). Slides were then stained with anti-Iba1 antibody (1:2000; Wako; 019-

19741 Richmond, VA) using the Bond Polymer Refine Red Kit (cat. DS9390 Leica

Biosystem, Richmond, IL). The slides were stained using a pan-cytokeratin antibody

(1:1000; Santa Cruz; Sc-8018; Dallas, TX) with the Bond Polymer Refine Kit (cat.

DS9800 Leica Biosystem, Richmond, IL). Immunostaining was performed using the

Bond RX automated system (Leica Biosystems, Richmond, IL). Positive immunostaining

was visualized using DAB and RED, and slides were counterstained with hematoxylin.

Digital Image Analysis

Whole slides containing sections of the entire left lung lobe cut through the long axis

were scanned at 20x magnification on the Zeiss Axio Scan.Z1 platform using automatic

tissue detection with manual verification. Lung sections were analyzed using QuPath v.

0.2.2 (complete code included in supplemental methods). To measure Iba-1 and CD3

immunostaining, the create thresholder function was applied to detect levels of DAB

above a threshold that was designated as positive within a given annotated area, or

region of interest (ROI). The percent positive ROI was calculated using positive area

quantitated by the thresholder divided by total area of the ROI. For SARS-CoV-2 ISH

quantitation, the train pixel classifier tool was used. Within an ROI, annotations were

created and designated as either positive or ignore, which allowed QuPath to correctly

identify areas of positive staining. Percent positive ROI was calculated using positive

area detected by the classifier divided by total area of the ROI.

To quantitate consolidation of 7 DPI lungs, the wand tool was used to outline each

scanned section of lung, creating an annotation. Superpixels were generated using the

DoG superpixel segmentation function in QuPath. These detections were then selected,

and intensity features were added. Next, areas within the ROI were annotated using

multiple slides of infected and control animals that were designated as "Consolidation",

"Non-consolidated", "Atelectasis", or "Ignore". This allowed the classifier to successfully

detect areas of affected tissue, while ignoring areas that were unaffected, densely

stained due to normal tissue architecture, or collapsed due to variable formalin infusion

of the lungs. Percent consolidation was calculated using the number of superpixels

identified as consolidated divided by the total number of superpixels detected for a

given slide.

Flow Cytometry

Freshly collected whole blood in EDTA was stained for FACS analysis with the limited

antibodies available that cross-react in the hamster model at the initiation of the study.

Cell surface markers for CD4 (anti-mouse, clone GK1.5, Biolegend, San Diego, CA),

CD8 (anti-rat, clone 341, BD biosciences, San Jose, CA), MHC II (anti-mouse, clone 14-

4-4S, BD Biosciences), and a Live/Dead discriminator (Fixable Near-IR Dead Cell Stain

Kit, ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA) consistently stained populations of lymphocytes or

monocytes allowing for a limited understanding of the proportion of these cell types.

Whole-blood samples were stained with pre-titered amounts of the indicated

monoclonal antibodies using 50ul of whole blood at room temperature for 20 min. Whole

blood samples were then lysed and fixed in 2 ml of FACS Lysing Solution (BD

Biosciences, San Jose, CA) for 10 min at room temperature. Samples were collected in

a centrifuge at 400 x g for 5min, washed in 2 ml of 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS),

and then resuspended in 0.5 ml of PBS for analysis. Flow cytometry was performed on

a BD LSRFortessa (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Data were analyzed using FlowJo

10.0.8 software (FlowJo, LLC, Ashland, OR). Whole blood was first gated on size and

complexity using FSC-A and SSC-A to remove debris, followed by using FSC-H and

FSC-A and SSC-H and SSC-A measurements to remove doublet populations. Single

cells were then gated into lymphocyte or monocyte populations based on size and

complexity profiles, and then gated to include only live cells using a Live/Dead viability

stain. Live lymphocyte-sized cells were gated as MHC II negative/dim (T cells) or MHC

II+ (B cells). The MHC II-negative cells were further gated on their expression of CD4 or

CD8. Populations of MHC II+ B cells, CD4+ lymphocytes, and CD8+ lymphocytes were

calculated as a proportion of total viable lymphocytes. Live monocyte-sized cells were

further gated as MHC II+.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.04. One-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect significant differences between

groups of animals sampled at different time points post-inoculation. The two-sample t-

test was used to evaluate differences between two groups. Infectious virus titers and

viral RNA copies were log transformed and compared using two-way ANOVA with

mixed-effects analysis followed by Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

Results

Clinical Outcomes

The most robust finding following SARS-CoV-2 inoculation was decreased body weight

(**Fig 1A**). Animals inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 progressively lost body weight in the

first week of infection until the 6 DPI nadir (-13.8% decline in group mean body weight

from baseline; 19.3 % lower than control animal group mean at 6 DPI, P < 0.001) before

gradually rebounding over the course of the following 3 weeks. At day 28 DPI, control

and infected group body weights were not significantly different (P=0.095). Sex

differences in body mass loss have been documented in hamsters infected with SARS-

CoV-2, and those differences were explored previously[28].

SARS-CoV-2-inoculated hamsters did not consistently develop clinical signs of

respiratory disease; only mild nasal discharge or slight increased respiratory effort was

observed intermittently in very few animals.

SARS-CoV-2 infectious virus and viral RNA measurements in the respiratory tract

Peak infectious viral titers in the nasal turbinates, trachea, and lungs were present at 2

DPI, then decreased at 4 DPI (Fig. 2). The highest levels of infectious SARS-CoV-2

were found in nasal turbinates at 2 DPI (5.0×10^7 TCID$_{50/mL}$); lung levels were highest at

2 DPI (7.33×10^6 TCID$_{50}$). While infectious virus was cleared from the respiratory tract

of most of the hamsters by 7 DPI, infectious virus was detected in the lungs of a single

animal. No sex differences in viral load were detected in infected hamsters[28].

Gross Pathology

On gross necropsy examination, lungs from both infected and control animals were

indistinguishable, with variably mottled red to brown coloration randomly distributed in

all lung lobes, suggesting that this change was due to perimortem procedures and not a

reliable indicator of pathology related to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Two animals had

adhesions between the lung lobes and the diaphragm; both were infected animals

euthanized 7 DPI. Compared to uninfected control animals, average post-mortem lung

weights were 50% higher in infected animals at 4 DPI and 105% higher at 7 DPI (**Fig**

1B; $P = 0.014$; $P < 0.0001$, respectively, 2-way ANOVA multiple comparisons). All other

tissues were unremarkable in both control and SARS-CoV-2-infected animals.

Longitudinal Progression of Lesions in the Respiratory System

2 DPI:

The nasal cavity contained eosinophilic proteinaceous exudate with abundant

degenerate neutrophils in all infected animals (8/8) and no uninfected animals (0/4). In 7

of 8 infected animals, olfactory epithelium damage ranged from degeneration to

necrosis with erosion accompanied by infiltrating neutrophils classified as rhinitis. The

tracheal submucosa contained mild infiltrates of mononuclear cells in 3/6 infected

animals with tissue available for evaluation, (tissue not present in 2/8 infected animals),

and no uninfected animals. In infected animals, within the lungs, (Fig 3, 2 DPI) the

following changes were observed: minimal to moderate suppurative bronchitis and

bronchiolitis (8/8), bronchial and bronchiolar intraluminal neutrophilic necrotic cellular

debris (7/8), bronchial epithelial syncytia (2/8), alveolar septa expanded by inflammatory

cells and eosinophilic proteinaceous material (4/8), intra-alveolar macrophages,

neutrophils, necrotic cellular debris, and fibrinous exudate (6/8). Vascular changes in

lungs included: reactive vascular endothelium within small to medium arteries (4/8),

perivascular edema, and leukocytes infiltrating through the vascular walls (2/8).

The lungs of the uninfected control animals had no significant findings. Intra-alveolar

hemorrhage was observed intermittently in both uninfected and infected groups at all

time points attributed to tissue collection artifact.

4 DPI:

The nasal cavity still contained eosinophilic proteinaceous exudate mixed with abundant

degenerate neutrophils in all infected animals and none of the uninfected animals.

Olfactory epithelium was multifocally eroded with degenerate and necrotic epithelium;

inflammatory cells consisted of predominantly neutrophils infiltrating into the mucosa.

The tracheal submucosa only contained mild scattered infiltrates of mononuclear cells in

one infected animal.

Lung changes within the medium to large airways in infected animals (Fig. 3, 4 DPI)

included minimal to moderate suppurative bronchitis and bronchiolitis (8/8), bronchial

and bronchiolar intraluminal neutrophilic necrotic cellular debris (8/8), bronchial

epithelial syncytia (8/8), and bronchial epithelial hyperplasia (8/8). Inflammatory

changes extended into the alveolar parenchyma, and included increased numbers of

intra-alveolar macrophages, neutrophils, necrotic cellular debris, and eosinophilic

fibrinous exudate (8/8), fibrin lining alveoli (7/8), and alveolar septal necrosis (2/8).

Additionally, small foci of type II pneumocyte hyperplasia were present with large,

atypical cells (8/8). Atypical cells were characterized by large, 15-20-μm diameter

nuclei, with lacy chromatin and 1-3 prominent nucleoli, and moderate to large amounts

of basophilic vacuolated cytoplasm. Vascular changes included reactive vascular

endothelium within small to medium arteries (8/8), perivascular lymphocytic aggregates

(8/8), and mild to severe vasculitis (7/8).

7 DPI:

The nasal cavity contained mild to moderate amounts of eosinophilic proteinaceous

exudate with some degenerate neutrophils in 8/8 infected animals and 0/4 uninfected

animals. At this stage, the changes in the lungs reflect a culmination of the inflammatory

and reparative processes. All infected animals (8/8) developed large areas of pulmonary

consolidation encompassing most of the lung (see Fig 3, 7 DPI) characterized by type

II pneumocyte hyperplasia and bronchial epithelial hyperplasia that was frequently

atypical, with large nuclei in variably sized cells, and frequent multinucleated cells and

mitotic figures, resulting in massive thickening of the alveolar septa. Remaining alveolar

air spaces in these affected areas of lung contained large numbers of macrophages

with fewer degenerate neutrophils and multifocal necrotic debris. Aggregates of

lymphocytes and plasma cells occasionally surrounded (8/8) and infiltrated (2/8)

medium sized pulmonary arteries.

14 DPI:

The nasal cavity and trachea morphology were unremarkable in all infected (8/8) and

control (4/4) animals. In infected animals, within the lungs (Figure 2, 14 DPI) there were

scattered tufts of cuboidal, type II pneumocyte hyperplasia (8/8), rare randomly

distributed clusters of macrophages that occasionally admixed with lymphocytes and

neutrophils (8/8), small perivascular aggregates of lymphocytes (5/8), and multifocal

small clusters of pigmented macrophages (4/8).

28 DPI:

The nasal cavity and trachea morphology were unremarkable in all infected (8/8) and

control (4/4) animals. In infected animals, the lungs (Fig. 2, 28 DPI) contained multifocal

residual areas of cuboidal, typical type II pneumocyte hyperplasia (8/8) often centered

on terminal bronchioles, small clusters of pigmented macrophages (6/8), and rare

aggregates of perivascular lymphocytes with pigmented macrophages (2/8). SARS-

CoV-2-infected hamsters could be distinguished from uninfected animals by the

presence of persistent type II pneumocyte hyperplasia in the infected animals. In

contrast, lung inflammation had resolved, and fibrosis had not developed.

Tracking SARS-CoV-2 RNA by *in situ* hybridization

RNAScope *in situ* hybridization was used to map distribution and amount of viral RNA in

the lungs of infected animals throughout infection. Viral RNA expression was

quantitated using QuPath pixel classification. Expression of viral RNA was significantly

different over time in infected animals (one-way ANOVA, $p<0.0001$): 2 DPI lungs

demonstrated the highest expression in alveolar epithelial cells, bronchiolar and

bronchial epithelial cells, and alveolar macrophages (Figure 4). At 4 DPI, lung

expression was decreased compared to 2 DPI, with positive staining for viral RNA

largely restricted to the alveolar epithelial cells and alveolar macrophages in lung. At 7

DPI, lungs were largely negative; only one animal had multifocal low-level staining for

viral RNA within scattered alveolar epithelial cells. In addition to lung, scattered positive

staining for viral RNA was found within tracheobronchial lymph nodes and tracheal

epithelium at 2 DPI (7/7 animals with tissue present), and less frequently at 4 DPI (2/5

animals with tissue present). Viral RNA was detected by qRT-PCR for up to 28 DPI in

SARS-CoV-2-infected hamsters (Figure 6), suggesting that this may be the most

sensitive method for viral detection, though not indicative of infectivity.

Immunophenotypic Characterization of Lung Inflammation

To establish the immunophenotype and progression of inflammatory cell infiltrates in the

lung, lung sections from mock-inoculated and SARS-CoV 2-infected animals were

evaluated for expression of the macrophage marker Iba-1 and the T-cell marker CD3 at

2, 4, 7, and 14 DPI. Following immunostaining, QuPath was used to quantitate percent

positive ROI in whole slide scanned images of the left lung lobe, the largest hamster

lung lobe. Iba-1 expression was significantly different in infected animals versus

uninfected animals over time (one-way ANOVA, $p < 0.0001$). Specifically, Iba-1

immunostaining was higher in infected animals compared with uninfected control

animals at 2, 4, 7, and 14 DPI (t-tests, $p < 0.001$, $p<0.001$, $P<0.0001$, $P= 0.04$,

respectively).

CD3 expression reflecting T-cell responses was more variable than Iba-1 expression.

CD3 expression in infected animals was different over time (one-way ANOVA,

$p<0.0001$). CD3 expression was significantly greater in infected animals compared with

uninfected control animals at 4 and 7 DPI (t tests, $p<0.0001$, $p=0.023$, respectively).

Expression of both Iba-1 and CD3 increased until 7 DPI, and then decreased at 14 DPI

(Figure 4) however IBA-1 expression was much more abundant than CD3, indicating

that macrophages comprised a greater portion of the inflammatory response in this

model, consistent with previous reports[17]. Many of the atypical and multinucleated cells

in the day 4 and day 7 animals were immunonegative for Iba-1 expression.

Immunostaining for pancytokeratin revealed that numerous atypical and multinucleated

cells were immunopositive, indicating that these cells were epithelial, consistent with

proliferative type II pneumocytes contributing to alveolar repair (Figure 5). Double

immunostaining demonstrated that both macrophages and proliferative epithelial cells

were present within the densely cellular areas of the lungs of 7 DPI animals, confirming

that inflammatory and reparative responses were contributing to the vast areas of

consolidation at this time point.

Quantitation of Lung Consolidation at 7 DPI

We used QuPath for digital image analysis to quantitate the percentage of lung that was

affected with inflammation and reparative processes in SARS-CoV2-infected animals.

The goal of this consolidation scoring approach was to encompass inflammatory cells

(macrophages, neutrophils, and scattered lymphocytes), type II pneumocyte

hyperplasia, necrotic cellular debris, and proteinaceous exudate while excluding

artefactual collapsed or poorly insufflated regions (atelectasis). Because atelectatic

areas in which alveolar septa are collapsed are common in postmortem lung examples,

there was a baseline level of detection above zero in control animals. When comparing

the percentage of consolidation in infected animals to the baseline level in control

animals, day 7 animals had a significant increase in fold change. Infected animals at 7

DPI had a mean value of 2.978-fold change above baseline (P= 0.02, Tukey's multiple

comparisons test). The highest percentage of affected lung was 59% and occurred in a

7 DPI animal. Consolidation scores were highly correlated with IBA-1 quantitation by

digital image analysis (Fig 8b; Pearson's r = 0.84; P<0.0001).

Flow cytometry to detect alterations in circulating cells

Fold change, relative to mock-infected hamsters at each time point, was used to assess

changes in the proportions of T-lymphocyte populations circulating in blood. A

significant early decrease in the proportion of CD8+ lymphocytes at 2 DPI was observed

(Fig. 8A, P<0.001). No significant changes were observed in CD4+ lymphocytes (Fig.

8B, P = 0.06), however, the two 2 animals with the largest decrease in CD8+

lymphocytes also had a detectable decrease in CD4+ lymphocytes. No changes were

observed in either B cells or monocyte-sized MHCII+ cells in any animals (data not

shown).

Histopathology of Organ Systems

Minimal to mild multifocal hepatitis was observed in numerous animals. In some animals

this was associated with minimal hepatocellular necrosis with few neutrophils, in others

it was primarily lymphocytic. This was considered a background lesion as hepatitis was

seen in control animals as well as infected animals. The bone marrow in all animals was

densely cellular, with abundant myeloid cells including numerous band cells, as well as

erythroid and megakaryocyte lineages. The spleen in all animals was comprised of

predominantly red pulp, with no obvious signs of lymphoid depletion nor lymphoid

hyperplasia. Abdominal lymph nodes occasionally contained germinal centers in control

and infected animals. Incidental findings included rare protozoa associated with

superficial gastric mucosa (12/48), morphologically suggestive of *Cryptosporidium* spp,

with infrequent and mild hyperplasia of the affected gastric mucosa. No significant

changes were noted within the remaining gastrointestinal tract, including the oral cavity,

esophagus, small intestine, cecum, and colon. Kidneys in animals across all groups

(29/45) had minimal protein and mineral within the tubules. Adrenal glands within

hamsters of all groups had variable numbers of pigmented cells within the cortex, which

has been reported to be a change in aged hamsters[30]. Other organs that were

examined without significant changes on histopathology included: brain, heart,

gallbladder, male and female reproductive organs, urinary bladder, salivary glands,

bone, haired skin, skeletal muscle, and decalcified cross sections of the head.

Discussion

In this study, we conducted a longitudinal, in-depth comprehensive histopathological

analysis of all tissues and organ systems within male and female golden Syrian

hamsters inoculated intranasally with SARS-CoV-2, spanning 2 to 28 days post-

inoculation. Additionally, we characterized the immunophenotype of the inflammatory

infiltrates within the lungs across all stages of disease and assessed viral load within the

lungs via *in situ* hybridization, virus titration, and qRT-PCR for viral RNA. We quantified

these morphologic findings using QuPath, an open-source digital image analysis

platform applied to analyze an entire lung lobe imaged via whole slide scanning. This

objective approach provided robust outcome measures for IHC, ISH, and consolidation,

enhancing rigor of this COVID-19 model.

The main lesion type within the lungs was proliferative bronchointerstitial pneumonia,

which started as acute necrosis of alveolar septa and airway epithelium coincident with

SARS-CoV-2 replication. Cellular immune responses were first dominated by recruited

neutrophils but switched to a macrophage-dominant phenotype accompanied by a

robust atypical type II pneumocyte reparative response beginning by day 4 and peaking

at day 7 post-inoculation, resembling other reports of disease timing in this animal

model [13–18]. Pulmonary pathology findings closely emulated changes reported in

humans which include diffuse alveolar damage, intra-alveolar macrophage infiltration,

and type II pneumocyte hyperplasia[31]. Atypical proliferation of type II pneumocytes in

the hamster model during the reparative phase of disease is inconsistently reported but

was a very consistent and prominent finding in this study[14]. The striking proliferation

was more robust than what has been reported in humans with frequent anisocytosis and

anisokaryosis and numerous mitotic figures. Type II pneumocyte hyperplasia in

hamsters has been reported in other experimentally induced respiratory viral

infections[32,33], but is not usually this florid. Hamsters were evaluated from initial stages

of infection at progressive time points, whereas reports of human COVID-19 lung

pathology are cross-sectional, typically at autopsy representing the most severe

disease, the timing of lung sampling may explain this disparity.

Vascular changes are consistently described in human SARS-CoV-2 infection and are

thought to be a key factor in the pathogenesis of severely affected individuals[4,31]. Within

the lungs, vascular changes in humans include endothelial damage, thrombosis,

microangiopathy, congestion, and angiogenesis[4]. In this study, within the pulmonary

vasculature, there was prominent endothelial hypertrophy in days 2-7, as well as overt

vasculitis in small and medium-sized arteries predominantly at day 4. Further

exploration and characterization of these changes in future studies is warranted given

the importance that these factors may play in human disease. Interstitial fibrosis is a

chronic sequela to SARS-CoV-2 infection in some individuals[3,31]. Interestingly, while

interstitial fibrosis was not observed in this study, there was residual type II pneumocyte

hyperplasia at day 14 and 28 frequently present adjacent to terminal bronchioles, which

has not been reported in COVID-19 animal models or in human COVID-19 cases likely

because these changes develop during the reparative stage time points when post-

mortem samples are not typically available.

In this model, a significant decrease in the proportion of CD8+ lymphocytes in peripheral

blood of infected hamsters was detected at day 2 DPI. Further investigation is

warranted to characterize this change to determine if this is representative of a CD8-

dominant lymphopenia, as this could have important implications for pathogenesis and

may be representative of human disease [24,34–37]. In human COVID-19 patients, CD8-

dominant lymphopenia has been consistently documented and critical patients have

more severe lymphopenia than individuals with mild cases[35,37,38]. The mechanisms

underlying this change are unclear. In this study we did not observe destructive

changes in the thymus, spleen, lymph nodes or gut-associated lymphoid tissue

suggestive of lymphoid depletion.

Overall, hamsters developed consistent pulmonary disease associated with SARS-CoV-

2 infection progressing though distinct stages: acute viral replication and cell necrosis

accompanied by infiltrating neutrophils, a transition to macrophage-dominant

inflammation with control of viral replication and robust reparative epithelial responses

when lung consolidation were most severe 7 DPI, and resolution of inflammation with

residual evidence of epithelial repair still evident 28 DPI with SARS-CoV-2. Hamsters

are susceptible to infection with an intact immune system, in contrast to mouse model

systems in which the mouse or virus needs to be altered in order to study this pathogen

and disease. Hamsters also have the advantage of being a small animal model, which

are less expensive and easier to maintain than larger animals such as nonhuman

primates. The consistency of disease in this model further emphasizes the need to

develop additional antibodies and reagents to characterize immunopathologic changes.

This study provides detailed and objectively quantified longitudinal histopathological

analysis of the respiratory system, and describes associated changes observed in all

tissues and organ systems. These comprehensive and integrated findings on disease

progression and resolution serve as a baseline of SAS-CoV-2 infection outcome

measures in this model and will be valuable for determining the efficacy of therapeutic

and preventive interventions for COVID-19.

Acknowledgements

References

1. Ren L-L, Wang Y-M, Wu Z-Q, Xiang Z-C, Guo L, Xu T, Jiang Y-Z, Xiong Y, Li Y-J, Li X-W, Li H, Fan G-H, Gu X-Y, Xiao Y, Gao H, Xu J-Y, Yang F, Wang X-M, Wu C, Chen L, Liu Y-W, Liu B, Yang J, Wang X-R, Dong J, Li L, Huang C-L, Zhao J-P, Hu Y, Cheng Z-S, Liu L-L, Qian Z-H, Qin C, Jin Q, Cao B, Wang J-W: Identification of a novel coronavirus causing severe pneumonia in human: a descriptive study. Chin Med J 2020, 133:1015–1024.

2. World Health Organization: Coronavirus Disease (Covid-19) Pandemic [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2021 Feb 17],. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019

3. Bösmüller H, Matter M, Fend F, Tzankov A: The pulmonary pathology of COVID-19. Virchows Archiv 2021, .

4. Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, Vanstapel A, Werlein C, Stark H, Tzankov A, Li WW, Li VW, Mentzer SJ, Jonigk D: Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis, Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020, 383:120–128.

5. Menter T, Haslbauer JD, Nienhold R, Savic S, Hopfer H, Deigendesch N, Frank S, Turek D, Willi N, Pargger H, Bassetti S, Leuppi JD, Cathomas G, Tolnay M, Mertz KD, Tzankov A: Postmortem examination of COVID-19 patients reveals diffuse alveolar damage with severe capillary congestion and variegated findings in lungs and other organs suggesting vascular dysfunction. Histopathology 2020, 77:198–209.

6. Bösmüller H, Traxler S, Bitzer M, Häberle H, Raiser W, Nann D, Frauenfeld L, Vogelsberg A, Klingel K, Fend F: The evolution of pulmonary pathology in fatal COVID-19 disease: an autopsy study with clinical correlation. Virchows Arch 2020, 477:349–357.

7. Lax SF, Skok K, Zechner P, Kessler HH, Kaufmann N, Koelblinger C, Vander K, Bargfrieder U, Trauner M: Pulmonary Arterial Thrombosis in COVID-19 With Fatal Outcome : Results From a Prospective, Single-Center, Clinicopathologic Case Series. Ann Intern Med 2020, 173:350–361.

8. Carsana L, Sonzogni A, Nasr A, Rossi RS, Pellegrinelli A, Zerbi P, Rech R, Colombo R, Antinori S, Corbellino M, Galli M, Catena E, Tosoni A, Gianatti A, Nebuloni M: Pulmonary post-mortem findings in a series of COVID-19 cases from northern Italy: a two-centre descriptive study. Lancet Infect Dis 2020, 20:1135–1140.

9. Winkler ES, Bailey AL, Kafai NM, Nair S, McCune BT, Yu J, Fox JM, Chen RE, Earnest JT, Keeler SP, Ritter JH, Kang L-I, Dort S, Robichaud A, Head R, Holtzman MJ, Diamond MS: SARS-CoV-2 infection of human ACE2-transgenic

596   mice causes severe lung inflammation and impaired function. Nat Immunol 2020,
597   21:1327–1335.

598   10.   Winkler ES, Bailey AL, Kafai NM, Nair S, McCune BT, Yu J, Fox JM, Chen RE,
599   Earnest JT, Keeler SP, Ritter JH, Kang L-I, Dort S, Robichaud A, Head R,
600   Holtzman MJ, Diamond MS: SARS-CoV-2 infection in the lungs of human ACE2
601   transgenic mice causes severe inflammation, immune cell infiltration, and
602   compromised respiratory function. BioRxiv 2020, .

603   11.   Sun S-H, Chen Q, Gu H-J, Yang G, Wang Y-X, Huang X-Y, Liu S-S, Zhang N-N, Li
604   X-F, Xiong R, Guo Y, Deng Y-Q, Huang W-J, Liu Q, Liu Q-M, Shen Y-L, Zhou Y,
605   Yang X, Zhao T-Y, Fan C-F, Zhou Y-S, Qin C-F, Wang Y-C: A Mouse Model of
606   SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Pathogenesis. Cell Host Microbe 2020, 28:124–
607   133.e4.

608   12.   Abdel-Moneim AS, Abdelwhab EM: Evidence for SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Animal
609   Hosts. Pathogens 2020, 9.

610   13.   Sia SF, Yan L-M, Chin AWH, Fung K, Choy K-T, Wong AYL, Kaewpreedee P,
611   Perera RAPM, Poon LLM, Nicholls JM, Peiris M, Yen H-L: Pathogenesis and
612   transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in golden hamsters. Nature 2020, 583:834–838.

613   14.   Chan JF-W, Zhang AJ, Yuan S, Poon VK-M, Chan CC-S, Lee AC-Y, Chan W-M,
614   Fan Z, Tsoi H-W, Wen L, Liang R, Cao J, Chen Y, Tang K, Luo C, Cai J-P, Kok K-
615   H, Chu H, Chan K-H, Sridhar S, Chen Z, Chen H, To KK-W, Yuen K-Y: Simulation
616   of the Clinical and Pathological Manifestations of Coronavirus Disease 2019
617   (COVID-19) in a Golden Syrian Hamster Model: Implications for Disease
618   Pathogenesis and Transmissibility. Clin Infect Dis 2020, 71:2428–2446.

619   15.   Imai M, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Hatta M, Loeber S, Halfmann PJ, Nakajima N,
620   Watanabe T, Ujie M, Takahashi K, Ito M, Yamada S, Fan S, Chiba S, Kuroda M,
621   Guan L, Takada K, Armbrust T, Balogh A, Furusawa Y, Okuda M, Ueki H,
622   Yasuhara A, Sakai-Tagawa Y, Lopes TJS, Kiso M, Yamayoshi S, Kinoshita N,
623   Ohmagari N, Hattori S-I, Takeda M, Mitsuya H, Krammer F, Suzuki T, Kawaoka Y:
624   Syrian hamsters as a small animal model for SARS-CoV-2 infection and
625   countermeasure development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2020, 117:16587–16595.

626   16.   Osterrieder N, Bertzbach LD, Dietert K, Abdelgawad A, Vladimirova D, Kunec D,
627   Hoffmann D, Beer M, Gruber AD, Trimpert J: Age-dependent progression of
628   SARS-CoV-2 infection in Syrian hamsters. BioRxiv 2020, .

629   17.   Tostanoski LH, Wegmann F, Martinot AJ, Loos C, McMahan K, Mercado NB, Yu J,
630   Chan CN, Bondoc S, Starke CE, Nekorchuk M, Busman-Sahay K, Piedra-Mora C,
631   Wrijil LM, Ducat S, Custers J, Atyeo C, Fischinger S, Burke JS, Feldman J, Hauser
632   BM, Caradonna TM, Bondzie EA, Dagotto G, Gebre MS, Jacob-Dolan C, Lin Z,
633   Mahrokhian SH, Nampanya F, Nityanandam R, Pessaint L, Porto M, Ali V,
634   Benetiene D, Tevi K, Andersen H, Lewis MG, Schmidt AG, Lauffenburger DA,

Alter G, Estes JD, Schuitemaker H, Zahn R, Barouch DH: Ad26 vaccine protects
against SARS-CoV-2 severe clinical disease in hamsters. Nat Med 2020,
26:1694–1700.

18.   Kreye J, Reincke SM, Kornau H-C, Sánchez-Sendin E, Corman VM, Liu H, Yuan
M, Wu NC, Zhu X, Lee C-CD, Trimpert J, Höltje M, Dietert K, Stöffler L, von
Wardenburg N, van Hoof S, Homeyer MA, Hoffmann J, Abdelgawad A, Gruber
AD, Bertzbach LD, Vladimirova D, Li LY, Barthel PC, Skriner K, Hocke AC,
Hippenstiel S, Witzenrath M, Suttorp N, Kurth F, Franke C, Endres M, Schmitz D,
Jeworowski LM, Richter A, Schmidt ML, Schwarz T, Müller MA, Drosten C,
Wendisch D, Sander LE, Osterrieder N, Wilson IA, Prüss H: A Therapeutic Non-
self-reactive SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Protects from Lung Pathology in a COVID-19
Hamster Model. Cell 2020, 183:1058–1069.e19.

19.   Munster VJ, Feldmann F, Williamson BN, van Doremalen N, Pérez-Pérez L,
Schulz J, Meade-White K, Okumura A, Callison J, Brumbaugh B, Avanzato VA,
Rosenke R, Hanley PW, Saturday G, Scott D, Fischer ER, de Wit E: Respiratory
disease in rhesus macaques inoculated with SARS-CoV-2. Nature 2020, 585:268–
272.

20.   Baum A, Ajithdoss D, Copin R, Zhou A, Lanza K, Negron N, Ni M, Wei Y,
Mohammadi K, Musser B, Atwal GS, Oyejide A, Goez-Gazi Y, Dutton J,
Clemmons E, Staples HM, Bartley C, Klaffke B, Alfson K, Gazi M, Gonzalez O,
Dick E, Carrion R, Pessaint L, Porto M, Cook A, Brown R, Ali V, Greenhouse J,
Taylor T, Andersen H, Lewis MG, Stahl N, Murphy AJ, Yancopoulos GD,
Kyratsous CA: REGN-COV2 antibodies prevent and treat SARS-CoV-2 infection in
rhesus macaques and hamsters. Science 2020, 370:1110–1115.

21.   Kim Y-I, Kim S-G, Kim S-M, Kim E-H, Park S-J, Yu K-M, Chang J-H, Kim EJ, Lee
S, Casel MAB, Um J, Song M-S, Jeong HW, Lai VD, Kim Y, Chin BS, Park J-S,
Chung K-H, Foo S-S, Poo H, Mo I-P, Lee O-J, Webby RJ, Jung JU, Choi YK:
Infection and Rapid Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Ferrets. Cell Host Microbe
2020, 27:704–709.e2.

22.   Muñoz-Fontela C, Dowling WE, Funnell SGP, Gsell P-S, Riveros-Balta AX,
Albrecht RA, Andersen H, Baric RS, Carroll MW, Cavaleri M, Qin C, Crozier I,
Dallmeier K, de Waal L, de Wit E, Delang L, Dohm E, Duprex WP, Falzarano D,
Finch CL, Frieman MB, Graham BS, Gralinski LE, Guilfoyle K, Haagmans BL,
Hamilton GA, Hartman AL, Herfst S, Kaptein SJF, Klimstra WB, Knezevic I,
Krause PR, Kuhn JH, Le Grand R, Lewis MG, Liu W-C, Maisonnasse P, McElroy
AK, Munster V, Oreshkova N, Rasmussen AL, Rocha-Pereira J, Rockx B,
Rodríguez E, Rogers TF, Salguero FJ, Schotsaert M, Stittelaar KJ, Thibaut HJ,
Tseng C-T, Vergara-Alert J, Beer M, Brasel T, Chan JFW, García-Sastre A, Neyts
J, Perlman S, Reed DS, Richt JA, Roy CJ, Segalés J, Vasan SS, Henao-Restrepo
AM, Barouch DH: Animal models for COVID-19. Nature 2020, 586:509–515.

23. Zeiss CJ, Compton S, Veenhuis RT: Animal Models of COVID-19. I. Comparative
Virology and Disease Pathogenesis. ILAR J 2021, .

24. Veenhuis RT, Zeiss CJ: Animal Models of COVID-19 II. Comparative Immunology.
ILAR J 2021, .

25. Rogers TF, Zhao F, Huang D, Beutler N, Burns A, He W-T, Limbo O, Smith C,
Song G, Woehl J, Yang L, Abbott RK, Callaghan S, Garcia E, Hurtado J, Parren
M, Peng L, Ramirez S, Ricketts J, Ricciardi MJ, Rawlings SA, Wu NC, Yuan M,
Smith DM, Nemazee D, Teijaro JR, Voss JE, Wilson IA, Andrabi R, Briney B,
Landais E, Sok D, Jardine JG, Burton DR: Isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2
neutralizing antibodies and protection from disease in a small animal model.
Science 2020, 369:956–963.

26. Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernández JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD,
McQuaid S, Gray RT, Murray LJ, Coleman HG, James JA, Salto-Tellez M,
Hamilton PW: QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis.
Sci Rep 2017, 7:16878.

27. Morriss NJ, Conley GM, Ospina SM, Meehan Iii WP, Qiu J, Mannix R: Automated
quantification of immunohistochemical staining of large animal brain tissue using
qupath software. Neuroscience 2020, 429:235–244.

28. Dhakal S, Ruiz-Bedoya CA, Zhou R, Creisher P, Villano J, Littlefield K, Castillo J,
Marinho P, Jedlicka A, Ordonez A, Majewska N, Betenbaugh M, Flavahan K,
Mueller A, Looney M, Quijada D, Mota F, Beck SE, Brockhurst JK, Braxton A,
Castell N, D'Alessio F, Metcalf Pate KA, Karakousis PC, Mankowski JL, Pekosz A,
Jain SK, Klein SL: Sex differences in lung imaging and SARS-CoV-2 antibody
responses in a COVID-19 golden Syrian hamster model. BioRxiv 2021, .

29. Gniazdowski V, Morris CP, Wohl S, Mehoke T, Ramakrishnan S, Thielen P, Powell
H, Smith B, Armstrong DT, Herrera M, Reifsnyder C, Sevdali M, Carroll KC,
Pekosz A, Mostafa HH: Repeat COVID-19 Molecular Testing: Correlation of
SARS-CoV-2 Culture with Molecular Assays and Cycle Thresholds. Clin Infect Dis
2020, .

30. Meyers MW, Charipper HA: A histological and cytological study of the adrenal
gland of the golden hamster (Cricetus auratus) in relation to age. Anat Rec 1956,
124:1–25.

31. Polak SB, Van Gool IC, Cohen D, von der Thüsen JH, van Paassen J: A
systematic review of pathological findings in COVID-19: a pathophysiological
timeline and possible mechanisms of disease progression. Mod Pathol 2020,
33:2128–2138.

32. Baseler L, de Wit E, Scott DP, Munster VJ, Feldmann H: Syrian hamsters
(Mesocricetus auratus) oronasally inoculated with a Nipah virus isolate from

Bangladesh or Malaysia develop similar respiratory tract lesions. Vet Pathol 2015,
52:38–45.

33. Prescott J, Safronetz D, Haddock E, Robertson S, Scott D, Feldmann H: The
adaptive immune response does not influence hantavirus disease or persistence in
the Syrian hamster. Immunology 2013, 140:168–178.

34. Liu J, Li S, Liu J, Liang B, Wang X, Wang H, Li W, Tong Q, Yi J, Zhao L, Xiong L,
Guo C, Tian J, Luo J, Yao J, Pang R, Shen H, Peng C, Liu T, Zhang Q, Wu J, Xu
L, Lu S, Wang B, Weng Z, Han C, Zhu H, Zhou R, Zhou H, Chen X, Ye P, Zhu B,
Wang L, Zhou W, He S, He Y, Jie S, Wei P, Zhang J, Lu Y, Wang W, Zhang L, Li
L, Zhou F, Wang J, Dittmer U, Lu M, Hu Y, Yang D, Zheng X: Longitudinal
characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral
blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. EBioMedicine 2020, 55:102763.

35. Wang F, Nie J, Wang H, Zhao Q, Xiong Y, Deng L, Song S, Ma Z, Mo P, Zhang Y:
Characteristics of Peripheral Lymphocyte Subset Alteration in COVID-19
Pneumonia. J Infect Dis 2020, 221:1762–1769.

36. Liu J, Liu Y, Xiang P, Pu L, Xiong H, Li C, Zhang M, Tan J, Xu Y, Song R, Song M,
Wang L, Zhang W, Han B, Yang L, Wang X, Zhou G, Zhang T, Li B, Wang Y,
Chen Z, Wang X: Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predicts critical illness patients
with 2019 coronavirus disease in the early stage. J Transl Med 2020, 18:206.

37. Tan L, Wang Q, Zhang D, Ding J, Huang Q, Tang Y-Q, Wang Q, Miao H:
Lymphopenia predicts disease severity of COVID-19: a descriptive and predictive
study. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2020, 5:33.

38. Diao B, Wang C, Tan Y, Chen X, Liu Y, Ning L, Chen L, Li M, Liu Y, Wang G,
Yuan Z, Feng Z, Zhang Y, Wu Y, Chen Y: Reduction and Functional Exhaustion of
T Cells in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). Front Immunol
2020, 11:827.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Body weight change over time and postmortem lung weights. A. Body weight

of control mock-inoculated hamsters (shown in blue) compared with SARS-CoV-2

inoculated hamsters (red). Mock-inoculated animals demonstrated a steady

increase typical of young growing animals. In contrast, SARS-CoV-2 inoculated

animals had a sharp decline in body weight until 6 DPI when body weight began to

increase. B. At time of necropsy, lung weights of SARS-CoV-2-inoculated (red)

hamsters had significantly higher total lung weights at both 4 and 7 DPI (P= 0.004,

P= 0.0008, respectively, unpaired t-test) as compared to mock-inoculated animals,

consistent with lesion severity and consolidation.

Figure 2. Viral loads throughout the respiratory system. Tissue culture infectious dose

50 (TCID50) assay revealed the highest levels of infectious virus present in the nasal

turbinates (A), trachea (B), and lungs (C) at 2 DPI. Detectable levels of infectious ARS-

CoV-2 were still present in all these tissues at 4 DPI; only a single animal had low level

infectious virus at 7 DPI.

Figure 3. Progressive morphologic alterations in lung over time. Mock-inoculated

(intranasal saline alone) animals that served as uninfected controls had no lesions

identified by histologic examination. 2DPI: Lung lesions included intraluminal

neutrophilic infiltrates and necrotic cellular debris (black arrow), as well as abundant

intra-alveolar macrophages, neutrophils, necrotic cellular debris (black asterisk), and

fibrinous exudate. 4DPI: Lesions included areas of consolidation consisting of type II

pneumocyte hyperplasia, numerous intra-alveolar macrophages, neutrophils, necrotic

cellular debris, and eosinophilic fibrinous exudate (black asterisk), and multifocal

vasculitis with reactive vascular endothelium (black arrow). 7DPI: Extensive areas of

pulmonary consolidation were present (black asterisk) with atypical proliferative type II

pneumocyte hyperplasia (black arrow), abundant intra-alveolar macrophages

degenerate neutrophils and fewer lymphocytes (black triangle). 14 DPI: There were

multiple scattered areas of residual type II pneumocyte hyperplasia (black arrow), with

rare clusters of macrophages, lymphocytes, and neutrophils. 28 DPI: Scattered small

clusters of type II pneumocyte hyperplasia with cuboidal epithelial cells remained. Top

row images at 2x magnification, bottom row 20x magnification, H&E.

Figure 4: *In situ* hybridization and immunohistochemistry findings in lung. RNAscope *in*

situ hybridization showed widespread, punctate staining in the lungs within alveolar

epithelial cells, alveolar macrophages, bronchial and bronchiolar epithelial cells, and

vascular endothelial cells at 2DPI, within alveolar epithelial cells and alveolar

macrophages at 4DPI, and in one animal within alveolar epithelial cells at 7DPI. No

staining was present at 14DPI (RNAscope *in situ* hybridization with red chromogen and

hematoxylin counterstain). Immunostaining to detect Iba-1 revealed that macrophages

comprised a major portion of the inflammatory infiltrate beginning at 2DPI and peaking

at 7DPI. CD3+ lymphocytes represented a much smaller portion of the inflammatory

population in the lungs but also increased from 2-7 DPI then decreased at 14 DPI.

(Immunostaining with brown chromogen and hematoxylin counterstain). 20x

magnification.

Figure 5. Consolidation in the lungs comprised of both large numbers of macrophages

and extensive type II pneumocyte hyperplasia. A. Representative image of lung at 7DPI

showing severe consolidation, including type II pneumocyte hyperplasia with large

atypical epitheial cells (white arrows) and multinucleated syncytial cells (black arrow).

H&E. B. Similar area of consolidation within the lungs at 7DPI with immunostaining to

detect pancytokeratin (red chromogen) and Iba-1 (brown chromogen) revealed that the

large, atypical cells and multinucleated cells were epithelial whereas the macrophages

were within the alveolar and interstitial spaces. Hematoxylin counterstain, 40X

magnification.

Figure 6. Quantitative digital image analysis of ISH and IHC staining in the lungs using

QuPath analysis. A. Quantitation of staining for SARS-CoV-2 RNA within the lungs

showed highest levels at 2DPI, with virus still detectable at 4 DPI. No measurable virus

was detected at 7 or 14 DPI. B. Copy numbers of viral RNA within the lungs detected by

qRT-PCR reached highest levels at 2 and 4 DPI, with progressively lower amounts

detected at 7 DPI extending through 28 DPI. C. Quantitation of Iba-1 immunolabeling

within the lungs revealed an increase in macrophages in infected animals until 7DPI,

with a decrease at 14 DPI, though still above levels found in mock-inoculated animals.

D. Quantitation of CD3+ immunolabeling within the lungs revealed an increase in

lymphocytes until 4-7DPI. Numbers of lymphocytes were 10-fold lower than

macrophages.

Figure 7. Flow cytometry of whole blood. Whole blood FACS revealed an early

decrease in the proportion of circulating CD8+ lymphocytes at 2 DPI (A) while CD4

lymphocytes do not differ from baseline (B).

Supplemental figure 1. Additional images of lung pathology at 7 DPI. A. Many large,

atypical cells were present lining the alveolar septa that contained large nuclei with

prominent nucleoli and lacy chromatin. B. Frequent multinucleated epithelial cells were

present throughout affected areas of lung. C. Numerous mitotic figures were present

within areas of type II pneumocyte hyperplasia indicating a robust reparative response.

40X magnification, H&E.

Supplemental figure 2. Pathology of the nasal cavity in SARS-CoV-2-infected hamsters.

At 2 and 4 DPI, the nasal cavity of infected animals contained abundant suppurative

exudate admixed with proteinaceous material. Inflammatory cells infiltrated into the

nasal mucosal epithelium, and there were multiple areas of epithelial erosion and

ulceration. A. 2x magnification. B. 20x magnification.

Supplemental figure 3. Quantitation of consolidation of the lungs at 7 DPI using QuPath.

A. Representative image of SARS-CoV-2 infected animal at 7 DPI showing multiple

coalescing areas of consolidation. B. Quantitation of affected area using QuPath-

generated superpixel classified as either "consolidation" (green), "unaffected" (blue), or

"atelectasis" (yellow). Areas where tissue is visible (bronchiolar epithelium, blood

vessels), represent superpixels classfied as "ignore". C. Quantitation of consolidated

area. Infected animals had significantly higher percentage of tissue consolidation

compared to mock animals ($P = 0.0007$, unpaired t-test)

Supplemental figure 4. *In situ* hybridization of SARS Co-V 2 at 2DPI. SARS CO-V 2

RNA (red chromogen) is detectable within the tracheal epithelium (A), bronchial lymph

node (B), bronchiolar epithelium (C), and within alveolar epithelial cells and

macrophages (D). 40X magnification, hematoxylin counterstain.

Supplemental QuPath Script Information

Note: Annotation of lung sections was performed individually using the "wand" tool.

<u>IBA-1 detection and quantification:</u>

setImageType('BRIGHTFIELD_H_DAB');

setColorDeconvolutionStains('{"Name" : "H-DAB default", "Stain 1" : "Hematoxylin",
"Values 1" : "0.65111 0.70119 0.29049 ", "Stain 2" : "DAB", "Values 2" : "0.26917
0.56824 0.77759 ", "Background" : " 255 255 255 "}');

addPixelClassifierMeasurements("IBA1_Lung_1", "IBA1_Lung_1")

<u>CD3 detection and quantification:</u>

setImageType('BRIGHTFIELD_H_DAB');

setColorDeconvolutionStains('{"Name" : "H-DAB default", "Stain 1" : "Hematoxylin",
"Values 1" : "0.65111 0.70119 0.29049 ", "Stain 2" : "DAB", "Values 2" : "0.26917
0.56824 0.77759 ", "Background" : " 255 255 255 "}');

selectAnnotations();

addPixelClassifierMeasurements("CD3_5", "CD3_5")

<u>ISH detection and quantification:</u>

setImageType('BRIGHTFIELD_H_DAB');

setColorDeconvolutionStains('{"Name" : "H-DAB default", "Stain 1" : "Hematoxylin",
"Values 1" : "0.65111 0.70119 0.29049 ", "Stain 2" : "DAB", "Values 2" : "0.26917
0.56824 0.77759 ", "Background" : " 255 255 255 "}');

selectAnnotations();

addPixelClassifierMeasurements("SARS_4", "SARS_4")

<u>Consolidation:</u>

```
866    setImageType('BRIGHTFIELD_H_E');

867    setColorDeconvolutionStains('{"Name" : "H&E default", "Stain 1" : "Hematoxylin",
868    "Values 1" : "0.65111 0.70119 0.29049 ", "Stain 2" : "Eosin", "Values 2" : "0.2159 0.8012
869    0.5581 ", "Background" : " 255 255 255 "}');

870    selectAnnotations();

871    runPlugin('qupath.imagej.superpixels.DoGSuperpixelsPlugin', '{"downsampleFactor":
872    8.0,  "sigmaMicrons": 5.0,  "minThreshold": 15.0,  "maxThreshold": 200.0,
873    "noiseThreshold": 0.5}');

874    selectDetections();

875    runPlugin('qupath.lib.algorithms.IntensityFeaturesPlugin', '{"pixelSizeMicrons": 1.0,
876    "region": "ROI",  "tileSizeMicrons": 25.0,  "colorOD": false,  "colorStain1": true,
877    "colorStain2": true,  "colorStain3": true,  "colorRed": false,  "colorGreen": false,
878    "colorBlue": false,  "colorHue": false,  "colorSaturation": false,  "colorBrightness": false,
879    "doMean": true,  "doStdDev": false,  "doMinMax": true,  "doMedian": false,  "doHaralick":
880    true,  "haralickDistance": 1,  "haralickBins": 32}');

881    runObjectClassifier("D7_8");

882
```

Figure 1

Figure 2

Days Post Inoculation

| Control | 2 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 28 |

Figure 3

	SARS CoV2 ISH	Macrophages Iba-1	T cells CD3
Control			
Day 2			
Day 4			
Day 7			
Day 14			

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Days Post Inoculation

Figure 7

Figure 8

Supplemental Figure 1

Supplemental figure 2.

Supplemental Figure 3.

Supplemental Figure 4