Genome-wide association studies reveal distinct genetic correlates and increased heritability of antimicrobial resistance in *Vibrio cholerae* under anaerobic conditions

A. Creasy-Marrazzo[1†], M.M. Saber[2†], M. Kamat[3], L. S. Bailey[3], L. Brinkley[1], E. T. Cato[1], Y. Begum[4], M.M. Rashid[4], A. I. Khan[4], F. Qadri[4], K. B. Basso[3], B. J. Shapiro[2*], E. J. Nelson[1*]

[1] Departments of Pediatrics and Environmental and Global Health, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA,
[2] Department of Microbiology & Immunology, McGill University
[3] Departments of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA,
[4] Infectious Diseases Division (IDD) & Nutrition and Clinical Services Division (NCSD), International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b), Dhaka, Bangladesh

[†] Co-first authors
[*] Corresponding authors

Email: eric.nelson@ufl.edu; jesse.shapiro@mcgill.ca

Keywords: Antimicrobial resistance, AMR, antibiotics, cholera, diarrhoea, diarrhea, *Vibrio cholerae*, enteropathogens, Bangladesh, anaerobic, anoxic, hypoxic, respiration

Abstract

The antibiotic formulary is threatened by high rates of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) among enteropathogens. Enteric bacteria are exposed to anaerobic conditions within the gastrointestinal tract, yet little is known about how oxygen exposure influences AMR. The facultative anaerobe *Vibrio cholerae* was chosen as a model to address this knowledge gap. We obtained *V. cholerae* isolates from 66 cholera patients, sequenced their genomes, and grew them under anaerobic and aerobic conditions with and without three clinically relevant antibiotics (ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, doxycycline). For ciprofloxacin and azithromycin, the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) increased under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions. Using standard resistance breakpoints, the odds of classifying isolates as resistant increased over 10 times for ciprofloxacin and 100 times for azithromycin under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions. For doxycycline, nearly all isolates were sensitive under both conditions. Using genome-wide association studies (GWAS), we found associations between genetic elements and AMR phenotypes that varied by oxygen exposure and antibiotic concentrations. These AMR phenotypes were more heritable, and the AMR-associated genetic elements were more often discovered, under anaerobic conditions. These AMR-associated genetic elements are promising targets for future mechanistic research. Our findings provide a rationale to determine if increased MICs under anaerobic conditions are associated with therapeutic failures and/or microbial escape in cholera patients. If so, there may be a need to determine new AMR breakpoints for anaerobic conditions.

Impact statement

Many bacterial pathogens experience anaerobic conditions in the gut, but antimicrobial

resistance (AMR) phenotypes are generally tested under ambient aerobic conditions in

the laboratory. To better understand AMR under conditions more similar to natural

infections, we used *Vibrio cholerae* as a model enteric pathogen. We sequenced the

genomes and assessed the growth of *V. cholerae* isolates with different concentrations

of three antibiotics, under anaerobic and aerobic conditions. In support of the

hypothesis that AMR varies according to oxygen exposure, *V. cholerae* was more

resistant to antibiotics under anaerobic conditions. We found many previously known

genes associated with resistance; however, some of these genes were only resistance-

associated under aerobic conditions. Resistance to azithromycin and doxycycline only

had a detectable genetic component under anaerobic conditions. Together, our results

point to distinct genetic mechanisms of resistance under anaerobic conditions and

suggest several candidate genes for experimental follow-up.

Data summary

All sequencing data generated in this study are available in NCBI under BioProject

PRJNA818081.

INTRODUCTION

Clinically relevant laboratory methods are essential to gauge the extent to which the antibiotic formulary is threatened by antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Knowledge gaps remain on the degree to which *in vitro* AMR assays reflect *in vivo* AMR physiology. Facultative anaerobic pathogens experience hypoxia and anoxia within the gastrointestinal tract, yet AMR assays rely on aerobic conditions [1]. How oxygen exposure effects AMR is poorly understood. To investigate this question, we chose the facultative anaerobe *Vibrio cholerae* as a model system. In *V. cholerae,* classic mechanisms for AMR, and physiologic pathways for anaerobic respiration and fermentation, are well characterized [2-9]. The disease cholera is also one of the few non-invasive diarrheal diseases for which antibiotics are indicated, albeit conditionally [10-12].

Rehydration is the definitive intervention for acute diarrheal disease [11]; antibiotics are supportive and indicated for only a few diarrheal diseases, including cholera. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends ciprofloxacin, azithromycin or doxycycline for cholera patients with severe dehydration [10-12]; antibiotics shorten the frequency and duration of diarrhea. In practice, guideline adherence in cholera endemic regions may be low out of clinical concern that a patient 'might' have cholera and may develop severe dehydration, contributing to rates of inappropriate antibiotic usage that can rise above 90% [13, 14]. Strong regional associations between antibiotic use and rise of AMR have been observed across enteric taxa [15, 16]. Given that AMR genes frequently co-localize on mobile elements [17], inappropriate single-agent therapy poses a risk of multidrug-resistance (MDR) selection.

Associations between AMR phenotypes and genotypes are known for the three

antibiotics recommended to treat cholera; the cognate AMR mechanisms share

commonality across Gram negative taxa. Ciprofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone) resistance

mechanisms include mutations in genes encoding type II topoisomerases:

heterotetrameric DNA gyrase (GyrA$_2$GyrB$_2$) and DNA topoisomerase IV (ParC$_2$ParE$_2$).

Mutations in the quinolone resistance-determining region (QRDR) of *gyrA* and *parC* can

yield additive resistance phenotypes [18]. Fluoroquinolone resistance can also arise by

efflux pump upregulation, by downregulation of outer membrane porins that permit

quinolone entry, and by the expression of the quinolone resistance protein (Qnr, a

pentapeptide repeat protein) that protects the target gyrase protein [18]. Resistance can

increase over 30-fold compared to wild-type when strains harbor *qnr* family genes.

In *V. cholerae*, diverse AMR genes, including *qnr*, often reside on an integrative and

conjugative element (ICE; 'SXT' in *V. cholerae*) [17, 19, 20]. Azithromycin (a macrolide)

resistance mechanisms are similarly diverse and include mutations in the 23S ribosomal

RNA (rRNA) target genes and ribosomal protein genes. Macrolide resistance is

conveyed by carriage of rRNA methyltransferase genes (*erm*) and associated induction

mechanisms, *cis*-acting peptides, efflux systems (e.g. *mef, msr)*, macrolide esterases

(e.g. *ere*), and macrolide phosphotransferases such as *mphA* which can reside on the

V. cholerae SXT element [21]. Doxycycline (a tetracycline) resistance is conferred by

mutations in the 16S rRNA component of the 30S ribosomal subunit [22]. Additional

mechanisms include tetracycline-specific ribosomal protection proteins (RPPs),

tetracycline specific efflux pumps (e.g. *tet*(59)) which can reside on SXT element

intrinsic efflux pumps, AraC-family transcriptional activators (e.g. MarA), and

cytoplasmic ATP-dependent serine proteases [22].

Associations between AMR phenotypes and genotypes have been studied by random

mutagenesis, phenotypic screening, and network analyses [23-28], and applied in *V.*

cholerae [29]. These approaches uncover how the effect of an antibiotic is shaped by a

large number of often more subtle physiologic perturbations, including altered DNA

synthesis/repair, central metabolism/growth, and SOS response [30, 31]. AMR assays

conducted under aerobic conditions alone may not reflect these physiologic

perturbations experienced in the host. Within bacteria, aerobic oxidative

phosphorylation generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are lethal unless a

sufficient defense is mounted by factors like superoxide dismutase, catalase, and

glutathione systems [32, 33]. Under anaerobic conditions, growth rate typically slows

and proton motive force is reduced [34, 35], which can have both synergistic and

antagonistic effects on antibiotics [31, 36]. In *Escherichia coli,* ROS are generated after

fluroquinolone treatment under aerobic conditions [37] and fluoroquinolone resistance

increases under anaerobic conditions [38, 39]. The extent to which tetracyclines and

macrolides induce ROS and how anaerobiosis influences resistance and susceptibility

is less known [30, 40].

The objective of this study was to compare AMR phenotypes, with underlying

genotypes, under aerobic and anaerobic conditions among isolates obtained from

cholera patients. The study rationale assumes that the lower gastrointestinal tract of

cholera patients is hypoxic/anaerobic, despite animal experiments that suggest aerobic

respiration in the upper gastrointestinal tract is important for infection [41, 42]. Using

minimal inhibitory concentration assays (MICs), we found that AMR increased under

anaerobic conditions for select antibiotics, and novel genetic targets for AMR were

discovered under anaerobic conditions.

METHODS
Clinical sample collection. The two sample collections analyzed were part of

previously published IRB approved studies [13, 43]. In the primary collection, stool

samples were obtained during the spring cholera outbreak period of 2006 at the

International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr,b) in Dhaka,

Bangladesh. Samples were collected prior to hospital administration of antibiotics;

patient histories were negative for known antibiotic exposure. The library consisted of

67 *V. cholerae* isolates (Supplementary Table 1); paired stool supernatant for mass

spectrometry was available for 50 isolates. In the secondary collection, samples were

obtained in 2018 as part of a cholera surveillance study conducted across Bangladesh

[13]. Samples were collected at hospital admission independent of reported antibiotic

exposure; 277 out of 282 isolates cultured and were analyzed to assess generalizability

of the AMR profiles identified in the primary collection.

Antimicrobial resistance testing. Growth kinetics and the MIC determinations for

ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, and doxycycline were performed on isolates from the

primary collection in LB broth with twelve two-fold serial dilutions with concentrations

spanning the CLSI MIC breakpoints [1] for *V. cholerae* (ciprofloxacin = 2 µg/ml;

azithromycin = 8 µg/ml; doxycycline = 8 µg/ml) [1]. Isolates were prepared and grown

aerobically at 37˚C in 15-ml tubes containing 5-ml LB broth at 220 rpm. Bacteria were

back-diluted to a final optical density (OD) 600 nm of 0.01 (200 µl/well) in LB with or

without the respective antibiotic dilution-series in black Corning CoStar clear-bottom 96-

well plates. Plates were placed in a BioTek Synergy H1 reader pre-warmed to 37˚C with

the lid on. Anaerobic conditions were generated using a continuous chamber flow (5%

CO_2, 95% N_2) and a BioTek CO_2/O_2 gas controller; anaerobic growth plates were given

a 10-minute equilibration period. OD 600 nm was measured every 2 minutes for 8 hours

at 37˚C with orbital shaking at 220 rpm. A standard logistic equation was fit to growth

curve data using the R package *growthcurver* version 0.3.0 [44]. Outcome measures

were intrinsic growth velocity (growth rate that would occur if there were no restrictions

on total population size), carrying capacity (K; maximum possible population size), and

area under the curve (AUC). The MIC was determined using a logistic fit for growth over

the twelve, two-fold serial dilutions of the test antibiotic. Binary phenotypic

sensitive/resistance categories were set in concordance with Clinical and Laboratory

Standards Institute (CLSI; M45 2018 3rd edition) [45]. In general, CLSI breakpoints are

set by clinical and bacteriological response data, pharmacokinetic and

pharmacodynamic simulations, and expert working group experience. The breakpoints

for *V. cholerae* are based on aerobic assays. Susceptible (sensitive) is defined by CLSI

as the "category that implies that isolates are inhibited by the usually achievable

concentrations of antimicrobial agent when the dosage recommended to treat the site of

infection is used". Those not sensitive were scored as resistant (combines

indeterminate and resistant). Three isolates and one reference strain (E7946) were

used to assess media acidification during anaerobic and aerobic growth; the pH was

measured using dipsticks (pH range 5.2-7.2). The assays were conducted with and

without the addition of 20mM fumarate as an alternative electron acceptor for anaerobic

respiration.

Use of catalase to determine if ROS contribute to antibiotic sensitivity. To test if

the reduction of ROS was associated with increased resistance to antibiotics under

aerobic conditions, MICs were determined for two select strains (E7946, EN160) with

and without catalase (10 U/ml; final concentration) added to the media. Growth curves

were performed with viable counts as endpoints to determine the minimum dose for

lethality by H_2O_2 or protection by catalase.

Whole-genome sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted from *V. cholerae* isolates

from the primary collection using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit. Library

construction was completed using the Illumina Nextera XT v.2 DNA Library Preparation

Kit. Libraries were sequenced in three illumina MiSeq runs. Two batches of twenty-four

genomes and one batch of nineteen were pooled and sequenced on a MiSeq for 500

cycles per run. Using CLC Genomics Workbench v20, raw reads were filtered by length,

trimmed, and mapped to the reference genome (*V. cholerae* O1 El Tor E7946) to

identify single-nucleotide variants. Of the 67 isolates, 66 yielded sufficient coverage

(>50X) of the *V. cholerae* genome. We proceeded with these 66 genomes for further

analysis. To identify genes not present in the reference genome, contigs were

assembled *de novo* using CLC Genomics Workbench v20.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS). To extract genomic variants capturing all

sources of variation in the genome (i.e. single nucleotide variants, indels and gene

presence/absence) without *a priori* assumption about the underlying gene content of

each sample (e.g. accessory genes or plasmids), unitigs were generated from the 66

genomes assembled using GATB [46]. Unitigs are sequences of variable length (unlike

k-mers of fixed length k) which represent the variations in the population of genomes

under study in high-resolution. GWAS were performed using linear mixed models

implemented in pyseer v.1.3.6 and adjusted for population stratification using the

kinship matrix estimated from the phylogenetic tree[47].

To generate the phylogenetic tree, genome alignments consisting entirely of variable

nucleotides were produced from whole genome SNP data generated by CLC Genomics

Workbench v20 using VCF-kit 0.1.6 [48]. The tree was then inferred by RaxML under

the general time reversible (GTR) model with rate variation across sites following a

GAMMA distribution[49]. We used the linear-mixed model approach to adjust for

population stratification and linkage disequilibrium in microbial GWAS [50]. Heritability

(h^2), an estimate of the proportion of the phenotype variance that can be explained by

total genomic variation represented in the unitigs, was also calculated using pyseer

v.1.3.6. Likelihood-ratio test p-values for the association tests were adjusted for

multiple-testing by Bonferroni correction (at a genome-wide false discovery rate of 0.05)

for the number of unique unitig patterns (i.e. only giving one count to a unitig with an

identical presence/absence profile across genomes). We also removed unitigs tagged

with the errors 'bad-chisq', 'pre-filtering-failed', 'lrt-filtering-failed', 'firth-fail' and 'matrix-

inversionerror' after the analysis. To further remove false positive GWAS hits, we

removed any considerable clusters of unitigs (> 20) with identical p-values, as these are

likely to be lineage-specific markers or markers with strong linkage disequilibrium

comprised of mostly non-causal variants linked on the same clonal frame. GWAS hits

were annotated by mapping the unitigs to two reference genomes of *V. cholerae*,

namely, E7946 (NCBI assembly accession number: GCA_002749635.1) and O1

biotype El Tor strain N16961 (NCBI assembly accession number: GCA_003063785.1)

using BWA. Statistically significant GWAS hits were further annotated with the CARD

resistance gene identifier (RGI) after filtering the 'loose' hits and hits with identity <0.90.

Antibiotic detection by liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

The approach was based on a prior study [51]. Stool supernatant from the primary

collection were obtained by centrifugation and filtration (0.2 µM surfactant-free cellulose

acetate; Thermo Scientific Nalgene). Proteins were precipitated (1:7 ratio (v/v) of

water:methanol). Supernatants were diluted with methanol and water (1:1 v/v) in 0.1%

formic acid for liquid chromatography, and 5 μl of supernatant was injected for analysis.

LC/MSMS was performed on a 2.1 x 150-mm Hypersil Gold aQ column (particle size, 3

µm) using a high-performance liquid chromatography system (Thermo UltiMate 3000

series) with an LTQ XL ion trap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Mobile

phases were 1% formic acid in water (A) and 1% formic acid in methanol (B) and held at

a constant 5%B for 2min before ramping to 95%B at 15 min where it was held for an

additional minute before returning to starting conditions for a total run time of 25 min.

Eluent was ionized using electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive mode at a spray

voltage of 5 kV, a nitrogen sheath gas flow rate of 8 L min^{-1}, and capillary temperature

of 300°C. Two scan events were programmed to perform an initial scan from *m/z* 100 to

1000, which was followed by targeted collision induced dissociation based on a

retention time and mass list. Retention time windows ranged from 0.35 minutes to 6.50

min, depending on the elution range of the standards at high and low concentrations.

Masses were targeted for the most abundant adduct or ion associated with each

antibiotic (typically the [M+H]$^+$ ion) with a *m/z* 1 window. Data analysis for amoxicillin,

sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, azithromycin, tetracycline, doxycycline, metronidazole,

nalidixic acid, and ciprofloxacin was performed manually using extracted ion

chromatograms and MSMS matching with an in-house antibiotic MSMS library using

Xcalibur 2.2 SP 1.48 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Statistical analysis. Bivariate analyses of categorical data were analyzed using

Fisher's Exact Test, and continuous data were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U

Test (alpha = 0.05). McNemar's test was used to analyze paired data (alpha = 0.05).

RESULTS

Comparison of antimicrobial resistance under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

We measured baseline growth parameters for each isolate under anaerobic and aerobic

conditions and found that carrying capacity, area under the growth curve (AUC), and

growth velocity were all significantly lower under anaerobic conditions (Supplementary

Table 2). We tested an assumption that under anaerobic conditions mixed fermentation

and anaerobic respiration would occur in LB media. Analyzing a subset of three isolates

and the reference strain E7946, we monitored for acidification as a sign of fermentation

and assessed the impact of the addition of an alternative electron acceptor (20 mM

fumarate) for anaerobic respiration. Under anaerobic conditions, one out of four strains

acidified the LB media to 6.0 (Supplementary Table 3), suggesting fermentation in at

least some isolates. The addition of fumarate as an alternative electron acceptor under

anaerobic conditions resulted in a small increase in AUC of 25-27% (Supplementary

Table 3), suggesting that in LB media anaerobic respiration likely occurs but is limited

for alternative electron acceptors. These results are consistent with mixed fermentation

and anerobic respiration in our experimental conditions.

In this physiologic context and using standard antibiotic breakpoints established for

aerobic conditions, AMR differed between anaerobic versus aerobic conditions (Fig. 1);

distributions of single and multi-agent AMR phenotypes are shown (Fig. 2A). The MIC

modes for ciprofloxacin were 8 µg/ml (min=0.016 µg/ml; max=32 µg/ml) and 2 µg/ml

(min=0.004 µg/ml; max=8 µg/ml) under anaerobic and aerobic conditions, respectively

(Supplementary Table 4); the rates of resistance under anaerobic (93%; N=62/67) and

aerobic (54%; N=36/67) conditions were significantly different (Fig. 1A; McNemar's test

p<0.001; Supplementary Table 5). For azithromycin, the MIC modes were 32 µg/ml

(min= 8 µg/ml; max=124 µg/ml) and 4 µg/ml (min=1 µg/ml; max=32 µg/ml) under

anaerobic and aerobic conditions respectively (Supplementary Table 4). The rates of

resistance under anaerobic (n=67/67; 100%) and aerobic (n=15/67; 22%) conditions

were significantly different (Fig. 1B; McNemar's test p<0.001; Supplementary Table 5).

For doxycycline, the MIC modes were 1 µg/ml under both aerobic and anaerobic

conditions, respectively (Supplementary Table 4); one isolate was resistant under

anaerobic conditions alone, and one isolate was resistant under both anaerobic and

aerobic conditions. The odds of classifying isolates as resistant increased over 10 times

for ciprofloxacin (OR= 10.5; 95% CI= 3.61-37.7) and over 200 times for azithromycin

(OR = 213; 95% CI= 31.9->5000) under anaerobic compared to aerobic conditions.

To evaluate the generalizability of these findings from the primary sample collection, we

also compared aerobic and anaerobic growth curves of 277 isolates from the secondary

sample collection. For ciprofloxacin, the rates of resistance were significantly different

under anaerobic conditions (21%; n= 58/277) compared to aerobic conditions (1.1%; n=

3/277; McNemar's test p<0.001; Supplementary Table 6). For azithromycin, the rates of

resistance were significantly different under anaerobic conditions (100%; N= 277/277)

compared to aerobic conditions (57%; N= 159/277; McNemar's test p<0.001;

Supplementary Table 6). For doxycycline, only two isolates were resistant under

anaerobic conditions alone and one under both anaerobic and aerobic conditions

(Supplementary Table 6). The odds of classifying isolates as resistant increased over

25 times for ciprofloxacin (OR= 25.7; 95% CI= 18.8-34.6) and 119 times for

azithromycin (OR = 119; 95% CI= 20.95 – 4739) under anaerobic compared to aerobic

conditions.

Addition of catalase to test if reactive oxygen species effect antibiotic

resistance/sensitivity under aerobic conditions. In this experiment, catalase was

added to the media to quench hydrogen peroxide with the objective of testing the

hypothesis that susceptibility under aerobic conditions was associated with ROS (e.g.

hydrogen peroxide). For ciprofloxacin, the MICs for the sensitive reference strain E7946

(Cip^S, Azi^S, Dox^S) and the resistant clinical isolate EN160 (Cip^R, Azi^R, Dox^S) remained

unchanged when catalase was added to the media under aerobic conditions. The

addition of catalase was not associated with differences in AUCs for both E7946 and

EN160 in media containing ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, or doxycycline at 2-fold below

the MIC. The AUCs in LB media with and without catalase alone for E7946 and EN160

were not statistically different (Supplementary Table 7).

Molecular AMR correlates under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The distribution

of known AMR genetic elements is shown (Fig. 2B). AMR-associated point mutations

(likely transmitted vertically, not on an established mobilizable element), and genes on

known horizontally transferred mobilizable elements, are provided (Supplementary

Material). The integrative conjugative element (ICE) SXT/R391 was found in 90%

(60/67) of isolates. The ICE elements contained the pentapeptide repeat protein that

confers fluoroquinolone resistance (qnr_{Vc}), the macrolide-inactivating

phosphotransferase (*mphA*), and the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) efflux pump

conferring tetracycline resistance (*tet*(59)) [52-55]. The genes qnr_{Vc}, *mphA*, and *tet*(59)

were found in 78% (52/66), 33% (22/66), and 78% (52/66) of isolates, respectively.

Ciprofloxacin resistance under both anaerobic and aerobic conditions was significantly

associated with qnr_{VC}, *gyrA* and *parC* (Supplementary Table 8). Identification of the

known azithromycin AMR gene *mphA* was significantly associated with resistance under

aerobic conditions alone ($P<0.001$). The gene *tet*(59) was not associated with

doxycycline resistance under aerobic or aerobic conditions (both $p=0.566$).

We next used GWAS to comprehensively explore the genetic basis of AMR. This

approach used the phenotype of AUC to represent 'growth' with or without exposure to

the three test antibiotics at five concentrations under either aerobic or anaerobic

conditions. Growth phenotypes (analyzed by AUCs) at similar antibiotic concentrations

were positively correlated within aerobic and anaerobic conditions for all three

antibiotics (Fig. 3). Growth phenotypes were also positively correlated between aerobic

and anaerobic conditions for ciprofloxacin (Fig. 3A). However, phenotypes were weakly,

or even negatively, correlated between aerobic and anaerobic conditions for

azithromycin and doxycycline (Fig. 3B,C). These results support the hypothesis that

anaerobic and aerobic growth under antibiotic pressure can differ and be distinct to

antibiotic type.

The heritability of the AMR phenotypes (AUCs) was estimated prior to the GWAS.

Heritability (h^2) is defined as the proportion of phenotypic variation explained by genetic

variation, measured as unique contiguous tracts of the assembled genomes (unitigs)

that tag both single nucleotide variants, indels, and gene content changes (Methods).

We found relatively high heritability (h^2 in the range 0.60-0.99) of growth across

concentrations of ciprofloxacin under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, yielding

statistically significant GWAS hits (Table 1; Supplementary Data Files S1 and S2): 20

under aerobic conditions and 16 under anaerobic conditions. In contrast, heritability

tended to be much lower under aerobic compared to anaerobic conditions for both

azithromycin and doxycycline, yielding significant GWAS hits only under anaerobic

conditions (Table 1; Supplementary Data Files S3 and S4, respectively): 3 for

azithromycin under anaerobic conditions alone and 57 for doxycycline under anaerobic

conditions alone.

AMR genes identified by GWAS were diverse (Fig. 4; Supplementary Data Files S1-S4).

These candidates included known AMR genes, such as qnr_{Vc} and *dfrA,* which were

associated with ciprofloxacin resistance under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

We identified seven genes associated with ciprofloxacin resistance under anaerobic

conditions alone (including the stress response gene *barA* and a *radC* homolog involved

in DNA repair; Supplementary Data File S2), and ten genes under aerobic conditions

alone (including *rtxB*; Supplementary Data File S1). Under anaerobic conditions, most

genes were identified at ciprofloxacin concentrations at, or above, 0.25 µg/ml; however,

four genes, including *barA*, were identified under one of the lowest tested ciprofloxacin

concentrations (0.13 µg/ml; Supplementary Data File S2). GWAS hits for azithromycin

and doxycycline resistance were found only under anaerobic conditions. For

azithromycin, two genetic elements were identified: *mphA* and a region between *ompT*

and *dinG* (*ompT-dinG*; Supplementary Data File S3). For doxycycline, 23 genes were

shared across concentrations; however, the gene discovery rate was highest at the

lower concentrations (n=53 at 0.13 µg/ml; n =26 µg/ml; Supplementary Data File S4).

GWAS hits included the major facilitator superfamily antibiotic efflux pump *tet*(59) (Fig.

4, Supplementary Data Files). Most genetic elements identified have unknown function.

The identification of known AMR genes by GWAS serves as positive control and

suggests that the genes of unknown function may indeed play a role in AMR.

Antibiotics detected in stool by LC-MS/MS. Finally, we sought to test the hypothesis

that AMR genotypes and phenotypes would be associated with measured

concentrations of antibiotics in stool. A combined total of 196 antibiotics were detected

in the 51 stool supernatants tested by mass spectrometry using a targeted technique for

9 common antibiotics (Fig. 5). At least one antibiotic was detected in 98% (n=50/51), at

least two antibiotics were detected in 94% (n=48/51), and three or more antibiotics were

detected in 90% (n=46/51) of stool supernatants (Fig. 5). Antibiotics detected were

ciprofloxacin (n=48/51; 94%), tetracycline / doxycycline (n=46/51; 90%), nalidixic acid

(n=41/51; 80%), metronidazole (n=37/51; 73%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim

(n=22/51; 43%), and amoxicillin (n=2/51; 4%); azithromycin was not detected. Detection

of quinolone/fluoroquinolone and tetracycline/doxycycline in stool by LC-MS/MS was not

associated with AMR genotypes or phenotypes (Supplementary Table 9). Associations

for azithromycin could not be tested because azithromycin was not detected in any stool

supernatant.

DISCUSSION

In this study, *V. cholerae* isolates from cholera patients were found to be more resistant

to antibiotic exposure under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions (Fig.

1). This phenotype differed by antibiotic class. Novel genetic elements were found to

associate with AMR under anaerobic conditions which also differed by antibiotic class

(Fig. 4). The approach of using a continuous variable (area under the growth curve

(AUC)) for the AMR phenotype within the framework of GWAS may provide a new

approach to identify putative AMR genetic targets for future mechanistic research.

CLSI breakpoints for enteropathogens like *V. cholerae* were developed under aerobic

conditions [45, 56]. CLSI and other clinical reference bodies set breakpoints to have

clinical relevance despite limited data from clinical studies [56-58]. In this context, the

odds of classifying isolates in the primary collection as resistant under anaerobic

conditions compared to aerobic conditions increased over 10 times for ciprofloxacin and

over 200 times for azithromycin. These results are likely general across *V. cholerae*

because in the secondary collection, which is separated by more than 10 years, we

found that the odds of classifying isolates as resistant under anaerobic conditions

compared to aerobic conditions increased over 20 times for ciprofloxacin and over 100

times for azithromycin.

There are several physiologic explanations for increased antibiotic resistance under

anaerobic conditions. ROS induce both intracellular and cell-wall stress and are at

higher concentrations under aerobic conditions [59]. ROS may have acted

synergistically to potentiate antibiotic lethality [31, 60]. The assays that utilized catalase

to quench hydrogen peroxide under aerobic conditions were conducted to evaluate this

possibility. The MICs for all three antibiotics did not increase with the addition of

catalase suggesting that the reduction of ROS alone cannot account for increased MICs

observed under anaerobic conditions (Supplementary Table 7). We hypothesize that

reduced growth under anaerobic conditions might decrease the effectiveness of

antimicrobial agents that directly or indirectly disrupt cell envelope integrity [61]. While

reduced growth was observed under anaerobic conditions, the antibiotics tested are not

known to directly disrupt the cell envelope. However, off target effects (e.g. cell

envelope stress) by CIP, AZI, and DOX may have occurred.

To further investigate AMR phenotypes under anaerobic conditions, future studies will

benefit from the use of a defined medium (such as M9) where the carbon source and an

alternative electron acceptor (e.g. fumarate, nitrate, dimethylsulfoxide, or trimethylamine

N-oxide) can be supplemented to strictly control anaerobic respiration versus

fermentation. Buffering the medium (e.g. with PBS or bicarbonate) to a neutral pH is

important because *V. cholerae* can acidify the medium over time, with toxic effects. In

prior research, acidification occurred after 10 hours [9]. In our shorter 8-hour assay,

acidification was observed under anaerobic conditions among 1 of 4 strains tested

(Supplementary Table 3). Furthermore, buffering to the alkalinic pH found in cholera

stool (pH 8.5-9) will provide important insight given that *V. cholerae* utilizes nitrate for

anaerobic respiration only at alkaline pH [2, 9]. Here we used an undefined medium

(LB) without a defined, saturating concentration of an alternative electron acceptor. This

approach likely resulted in mixed anaerobic respiration and fermentation. To address

these limitations in future studies, robotic automation and 384-well formatted assays

would enable a scalable system for multiple defined media across broad gradients of

antibiotics. Ideally, we would simulate the conditions of the human gut, but in practice

these conditions can only be approximated.

There are many knowledge gaps on the genetic basis of the AMR phenotypes under

varying environmental conditions. This study prioritized the factor of oxygen exposure

as a determinant of AMR phenotypes because facultative anaerobic enteropathogens

experience hypoxia and anoxia in the animal gut [9]. The first phase of the analysis

focused on previously known AMR genotypes with known AMR phenotypes. For

ciprofloxacin, mutations in *parC* and carriage of *qnr$_{Vc}$* were significantly associated with

phenotypic resistance under aerobic and anaerobic conditions; mutations in *gyrA* were

significantly associated with resistance under anaerobic conditions alone

(Supplementary Table 8). For azithromycin, *mphA* was identified and significantly

associated with AMR under aerobic conditions alone. While *tet*(59) was identified, very

few isolates were identified as resistant to doxycycline under aerobic (n=1) or anaerobic

conditions (n=2). These associative data begin to reveal a difference between AMR

genotypes and phenotypes under aerobic and anaerobic conditions.

The second phase of analysis sought to use GWAS to identify previously unknown

genetic targets associated with AMR. The continuous variable of AUC, as opposed to

the binary variable of growth/ no growth, was used in assays with and without antibiotic

exposure under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Antibiotics at the breakpoint and sub-

breakpoint concentrations were chosen based on a rationale that different genetic

elements might contribute differently to AMR phenotypes at different antibiotic

concentrations. As expected, GWAS identified qnr_{Vc} for ciprofloxacin exposure under

both aerobic and anaerobic conditions (Fig. 4). GWAS identified *mphA* for azithromycin

exposure under anaerobic conditions alone and *tet*(59) for doxycycline exposure under

anaerobic conditions alone. These results of known AMR genes served as 'positive

controls' for the GWAS. We also note that genes known to be important for anaerobic

respiration (e.g. *tatA1, tatC, ccmA-F, ccmH, moaA-D, moeA-B, napA-D, napF, fnr, narP-*

Q, nqrF, dsbA, dsbD, hemN) in *V. cholerae* were not identified as GWAS hits [9]. This

suggests that our GWAS was specific to AMR phenotypes and was not liable to detect

genes related to anaerobic conditions alone. We therefore expect novel GWAS hits to

be likely candidates for involvement in AMR phenotypes.

For ciprofloxacin, seven genes were associated with AMR in anaerobic conditions

alone; these included a gene involved in DNA repair (*radC*), 2-component histidine

kinase involved in stress response (*barA*), and an ATP-dependent zinc protease. The

gene *dfrA31* encodes a trimethoprim-resistant dihydrofolate reductase and APH(6)-Id

encodes a streptomycin phosphotransferase enzyme; both genes were identified for

aerobic and anaerobic conditions. These two genes are located on the SXT element

along with qnr_{Vc} and may therefore be associated due to genetic linkage rather than due

to causal roles in ciprofloxacin resistance. For azithromycin, one additional genetic

element under anaerobic conditions was discovered to associate with AMR: an

intergenic region between *ompT* (porin; known to be associated with AMR) [62, 63] and

dinG (ATP-dependent DNA helicase). For doxycycline, a diverse set of 57 genetic

elements under anaerobic conditions alone were discovered to associate with AMR.

These include *vexK* (efflux RND transporter permease associated with AMR) [64-66],

and *zorA* (anti-phage defense system ZorAB subunit A; a putative proton channel that

may respond to membrane perturbation by depolarization) [67].

In addition to the SXT element, genes associated with an AMR phenotype were also

discovered on the Vibrio Pathogenicity Island II (VSPII; N16961 VC0506-VC0512 /

E7946 loci RS02705-RS02745); these loci are genetically diverse in Bangladesh [68].

The GWAS hits in VSPII encode both biofilm/ auto-aggregation associated factors as

well as an aerotaxis protein (AerB; VC0512) [69]; findings consistent with roles in AMR

and aerobic/anaerobic conditions. These GWAS analyses were of limited power due to

the modest sample size, and could be sensitive to false positives at AMR 'hot-spots' like

SXT. Despite these limitations, GWAS enabled the discovery of an intriguing list of

genetic targets that were associated with AMR and require future mechanistic molecular

analysis to test for causal relationships.

LC-MS/MS analysis on the stools from the primary collection, stools from which the

isolates were obtained, was conducted to test the hypothesis that the rates of AMR

genotypes and phenotypes were higher when the stool samples contained the cognate

antibiotic. Nearly all patients shed at least one antibiotic, making it difficult to identify

AMR correlates to exposure (Fig. 5). This finding is important because studies that

leverage natural infection to set clinically meaningful AMR breakpoints under aerobic

conditions, and now anaerobic conditions, cannot readily be performed because of the

degree of antibiotic exposure among diarrheal patients. Therefore, future interventional

clinical studies with known antibiotic exposure determined *a priori* may be required.

Given that the primary collection is from patients that self-reported not taking antibiotics,

the detection of a combined total of 196 antibiotics further highlights the ubiquity of

antibiotics and the limited value of self-reported antibiotic exposure.

Conclusions

Facultative enteropathogens are exposed to antibiotics under aerobic and anaerobic

conditions in both the human gut and in the environment. We used the facultative

anaerobic enteropathogen *V. cholerae* as a model to test for differences in AMR

phenotypes under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Increased resistance was found

under anaerobic conditions compared to aerobic conditions. Using AMR breakpoints

established for aerobic conditions, the odds of classifying isolates as resistant under

anaerobic compared to aerobic conditions increased over 10 times for two of the three

antibiotics tested. While several known resistance genes were associated with AMR

under both conditions, many genes were only associated with AMR under one

condition. Heritability tended to be higher, and more genes associated with resistance,

under anaerobic conditions. This suggests that key genetic determinants of resistance

may be missed when experiments are only performed aerobically. Our findings provide

a rationale to determine if increased MICs under anaerobic conditions are associated

with therapeutic failures and/or microbial escape in cholera patients, and if true, there

may be a need to determine AMR breakpoints for anaerobic conditions.

Acknowledgements

We thank the patients for participating in this study and the icddr,b clinical and

laboratory teams that collected the samples. We are grateful to Randy Autrey and Krista

Berquist for their administrative expertise, as well as Glenn Morris at the Emerging

Pathogens Institute and Desmond Schatz in the Department of Pediatrics at the

University of Florida for their ongoing support. Stephen Calderwood, Jason Harris, and

Regina LaRocque were the principal investigators (PI) of the parent study/IRB protocol

(Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard University School of Medicine, USA) under

which the samples were collected by EJN when he was a NIH Fogarty Fellow. Andrew

Camilli provided additional reagents and insights for this manuscript; FQ was the

principal investigator in Bangladesh and PI of the ERC/RRC approvals at the icddr,b.

This generous research infrastructure and support was invaluable to the success of this

study.

Financial Support

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants to EJN

[DP5OD019893, R21TW010182] and KBB [S10 OD021758-01A1] and internal support

from the Emerging Pathogens Institute, and the Departments of Pediatrics and the

Department of Environmental and Global Health at the University of Florida. BJS and

MMS were supported by a Genome Canada and Genome Quebec Bioinformatics and

Computational Biology grant. ACM was supported in part by a grant from the Children's

Miracle Network (Florida).

Disclaimer

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to

publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Potential conflicts of interest.

All authors: No reported conflicts.

FIGURES

FIGURE 1

FIG 1 Distribution of minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) under aerobic and anaerobic conditions among clinical isolates from the primary collection. Ciprofloxacin (CIP; **a**), Azithromycin (AZI; **b**), and Doxycycline (DOX; **c**). Data are from 67 human-shed *V. cholerae* isolates. The MICs for each isolate under aerobic (green) and anaerobic (blue) conditions were enumerated, and the number of isolates with a given MIC (µg/ml) are represented as bars. Dotted lines are the breakpoint for resistance per CLSI standards which are based on assays under aerobic conditions (CIP = 2 µg/ml; AZI = 8 µg/ml; DOX = 8 µg/ml). S=sensitive. R = Resistant. "*" represents a significant difference in the frequency of isolates identified as resistant to ciprofloxacin and azithromycin by McNemar's test (both p<0.001).

FIGURE 2

a.

AMR Phenotype

Aerobic

Anaerobic

b.

AMR Genotype

533
FIG 2 AMR phenotypes and known AMR genetic elements in human-shed *V. cholerae* isolates from the
primary collection. Pink, yellow, and blue color coding is used to respectively indicate AMR phenotypes
and genotypes to azithromycin (AZI), doxycycline (DOX) and ciprofloxacin (CIP); colors blend when
overlapped. Isolates with no resistance (sensitive) are shown in white circles. (**a**) Proportional Venn
diagram (Euler) of AMR phenotypes to AZI, DOX, and/or CIP under aerobic (left) and anaerobic
conditions (right). Counts indicate the number of isolates with the corresponding phenotype. (**b**) AMR
genotypes from known resistance genes in whole genome sequences. Shown is the distribution of known
AMR genetic elements by proportional Venn diagram (Euler; left) and bar chart (right). On right, the X axis
of the bar chart depicts the presence (black points) of known AMR genes (*mphA, gyrA, parC, tet*(59)*,
qnr*$_{Vc}$) in a given genome and the Y axis depicts the number of isolates that share the given combination
of AMR genes. Coloured bars to the left indicate the number of isolate genomes encoding resistance
genes to CIP (blue), AZI (pink), or DOX (yellow). AMR genetic elements to other antibiotics are not
shown.

FIGURE 3

548
FIG 3 Correlation analysis of growth phenotypes at different concentrations of antibiotics under aerobic
and anaerobic conditions among *V. cholerae* clinical isolates from the primary collection. Antibiotic
exposures were ciprofloxacin (**a**), azithromycin (**b**), and doxycycline (**c**). AUC was analyzed as the growth
parameter. Aerobic/anaerobic conditions are labeled horizontally and vertically with the antibiotic
concentration in μg/ml (e.g., "Anaerobic-0.06"). Analyses are grouped: aerobic vs aerobic = red boxes;
anaerobic vs aerobic = purple box; anaerobic vs anaerobic = blue boxes. Heatmaps show correlation
coefficients (scale bar is to right) for similar (yellow) vs dissimilar (purple) growth at two given conditions.

FIGURE 4

FIG 4 Distribution of AMR genes associated with AMR growth phenotypes at different concentrations of
antibiotics under aerobic and anaerobic conditions among isolates from the primary collection. Venn
diagrams show the overlap between genes associated with (**a**) ciprofloxacin resistance under aerobic vs.
anaerobic conditions, (**b**) ciprofloxacin at different concentrations (μg/ml) under aerobic conditions, (**c**)
ciprofloxacin at different concentrations (μg/ml) under anaerobic conditions, and (**d**) doxycycline at
different concentrations (μg/ml) under anaerobic conditions. Genes shown in boxes had statistically
significant associations.

FIGURE 5.

	CIP	TET/DOX	NAL	MET	BAC	AMO	AZI	ERY	CEF	Detected (N)
EN123	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	6
EN160	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	6
EN127	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN129	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN135	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN149	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN153	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN162	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN164	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN166	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN168	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN178	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN182	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN183	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN185	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN188	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	5
EN071	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN078	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN079	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN125	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN143	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN144	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN145	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN148	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN150	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN155	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN156	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN159	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN165	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN167	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN169	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN171	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN174	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	4
EN181	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN184	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN189	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	4
EN018	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN026	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN027	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN095	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN096	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN133	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN134	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN141	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN147	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN191	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	3
EN072	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	2
EN173	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	2
EN137	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
EN146	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
EN132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Detected (N)	48	46	41	37	22	2	0	0	0	196 total detected
Positive (%)	94	90	80	73	43	4	0	0	0	98% with antibiotic(s)

FIG 5 Antibiotic detection in stool supernatants by mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) among cholera samples from the primary collection. Green with "+" = Detected. White with "-" = not detected. CIP= ciprofloxacin, TET/DOX= tetracycline and/or doxycycline, NAL = nalidixic acid, MET = metronidazole, BAC = sulfamethoxazole and/or trimethoprim, AMO = amoxicillin, ERY = erythromycin, CEF = ceftriaxone. Stool supernatants were not available for EN80, 86, 88, 92, 100, 103, 109, 116-120, 126, 124, 130, 131.

577 **Table 1.** Identification of genetic elements by GWAS that associate with AMR.

Condition	Outcome [b]	Antibiotic Concentration (µg/ml)				
Ciprofloxacin[a]		CIP4	CIP1	CIP0.5	CIP0.25	CIP0.13
Aerobic	Heritability (h^2)	0.99	0.73	0.60	0.74	0.92
	Associated genes	0	11	9	2	6
Anaerobic	Heritability (h^2)	0.81	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.87
	Associated genes	8	8	8	10	4
Azithromycin[a]		AZI16	AZI8	AZI4	AZI2	AZI1
Aerobic	Heritability (h^2)	-	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.11
	Associated genes	-	-	-	-	-
Anaerobic	Heritability (h^2)	0.30	0.77	0.66	0.38	0.00
	Associated genes	2	1	0	0	-
Doxycycline[a]		DOX1	DOX0.5	DOX0.25	DOX0.13	DOX0.06
Aerobic	Heritability (h^2)	0.00	0.27	0.23	0.30	0.50
	Associated genes	-	0	0	0	0
Anaerobic	Heritability (h^2)	0.10	0.61	0.72	0.72	0.77
	Associated genes	0	23	55	54	17

578 [a] Ciprofloxacin = CIP; Azithromycin = AZI; Doxycycline = DOX
579 [b] Heritability is the proportion of phenotypic variation that is explained by genetic variation. Associated
580 genes are all significant GWAS hits after correction for multiple hypothesis testing ($P < 0.05$ after
581 Bonferroni correction).
582

References

1.   *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, 27th ed. Wayne, PA (USA): Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institue (CLSI); 2017.

2.   **Bueno E, Sit B, Waldor MK, Cava F**. Genetic Dissection of the Fermentative and Respiratory Contributions Supporting Vibrio cholerae Hypoxic Growth. *J Bacteriol* 2020;202(24).

3.   **Bueno E, Pinedo V, Cava F**. Adaptation of Vibrio cholerae to Hypoxic Environments. *Front Microbiol* 2020;11:739.

4.   **Xu Q, Dziejman M, Mekalanos JJ**. Determination of the transcriptome of Vibrio cholerae during intraintestinal growth and midexponential phase in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100(3):1286-1291.

5.   **Mandlik A, Livny J, Robins WP, Ritchie JM, Mekalanos JJ et al.** RNA-Seq-based monitoring of infection-linked changes in Vibrio cholerae gene expression. *Cell Host Microbe* 2011;10(2):165-174.

6.   **Beaber JW, Hochhut B, Waldor MK**. SOS response promotes horizontal dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. *Nature* 2004;427(6969):72-74.

7.   **Narendrakumar L, Gupta SS, Johnson JB, Ramamurthy T, Thomas S**. Molecular Adaptations and Antibiotic Resistance in Vibrio cholerae: A Communal Challenge. *Microb Drug Resist* 2019;25(7):1012-1022.

8.   **Das B, Verma J, Kumar P, Ghosh A, Ramamurthy T**. Antibiotic resistance in Vibrio cholerae: Understanding the ecology of resistance genes and mechanisms. *Vaccine* 2020;38 Suppl 1:A83-A92.

9.   **Bueno E, Sit B, Waldor MK, Cava F**. Anaerobic nitrate reduction divergently governs population expansion of the enteropathogen Vibrio cholerae. *Nat Microbiol* 2018;3(12):1346-1353.

10.   **Nelson EJ, Nelson DS, Salam MA, Sack DA**. Antibiotics for both moderate and severe cholera. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364(1):5-7.

11.   *The treatment of diarrhoea -- A manual for physicians and other senior health workers. —4th rev.*, 4th Rev. ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Heath Organization.

12.   **Leibovici-Weissman Y, Neuberger A, Bitterman R, Sinclair D, Salam MA et al.** Antimicrobial drugs for treating cholera. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014(6):CD008625.

13.   **Khan AI, Mack JA, Salimuzzaman M, Zion MI, Sujon H et al.** Electronic decision-support improves diarrhoeal disease guideline adherence (mHealth Diarrhoea Management, mHDM, Trial): a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Lancet DH* 2020;2:e250-258.

14.   **Biswas D, Hossin R, Rahman M, Bardosh KL, Watt MH et al.** An ethnographic exploration of diarrheal disease management in public hospitals in Bangladesh: From problems to solutions. *Soc Sci Med* 2020;260:113185.

15.   **Ingle DJ, Levine MM, Kotloff KL, Holt KE, Robins-Browne RM**. Dynamics of antimicrobial resistance in intestinal Escherichia coli from children in community settings in South Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. *Nat Microbiol* 2018;3(9):1063-1073.

16.   **Towner KJ, Pearson NJ, Mhalu FS, O'Grady F**. Resistance to antimicrobial agents of Vibrio cholerae E1 Tor strains isolated during the fourth cholera epidemic in the United Republic of Tanzania. *Bull World Health Organ* 1980;58(5):747-751.

17.   **Burrus V, Marrero J, Waldor MK**. The current ICE age: biology and evolution of SXT-related integrating conjugative elements. *Plasmid* 2006;55(3):173-183.

18.   **Hooper DC, Jacoby GA**. Topoisomerase Inhibitors: Fluoroquinolone Mechanisms of Action and Resistance. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2016;6(9).

19.   **Garriss G, Waldor MK, Burrus V**. Mobile antibiotic resistance encoding elements promote their own diversity. *PLoS Genet* 2009;5(12):e1000775.

20.   **Fonseca EL, Dos Santos Freitas F, Vieira VV, Vicente AC**. New qnr gene cassettes associated with superintegron repeats in Vibrio cholerae O1. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2008;14(7):1129-1131.

21. **Fyfe C, Grossman TH, Kerstein K, Sutcliffe J**. Resistance to Macrolide Antibiotics in Public Health
Pathogens. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2016;6(10).
22. **Grossman TH**. Tetracycline Antibiotics and Resistance. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*
2016;6(4):a025387.
23. **Zhu Z, Surujon D, Ortiz-Marquez JC, Huo W, Isberg RR et al.** Entropy of a bacterial stress
response is a generalizable predictor for fitness and antibiotic sensitivity. *Nat Commun* 2020;11(1):4365.
24. **Wood S, Zhu K, Surujon D, Rosconi F, Ortiz-Marquez JC et al.** A Pangenomic Perspective on the
Emergence, Maintenance, and Predictability of Antibiotic Resistance. In: Tettelin H, Medini D (editors).
The Pangenome: Diversity, Dynamics and Evolution of Genomes. Cham (CH) 2020. pp. 169-202.
25. **Warrier I, Ram-Mohan N, Zhu Z, Hazery A, Echlin H et al.** The Transcriptional landscape of
Streptococcus pneumoniae TIGR4 reveals a complex operon architecture and abundant riboregulation
critical for growth and virulence. *PLoS Pathog* 2018;14(12):e1007461.
26. **Jensen PA, Zhu Z, van Opijnen T**. Antibiotics Disrupt Coordination between Transcriptional and
Phenotypic Stress Responses in Pathogenic Bacteria. *Cell Rep* 2017;20(7):1705-1716.
27. **van Opijnen T, Dedrick S, Bento J**. Strain Dependent Genetic Networks for Antibiotic-Sensitivity
in a Bacterial Pathogen with a Large Pan-Genome. *PLoS Pathog* 2016;12(9):e1005869.
28. **Cain AK, Barquist L, Goodman AL, Paulsen IT, Parkhill J et al.** A decade of advances in
transposon-insertion sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet* 2020;21(9):526-540.
29. **Dorr T, Delgado F, Umans BD, Gerding MA, Davis BM et al.** A Transposon Screen Identifies
Genetic Determinants of Vibrio cholerae Resistance to High-Molecular-Weight Antibiotics. *Antimicrob
Agents Chemother* 2016;60(8):4757-4763.
30. **Kohanski MA, Dwyer DJ, Hayete B, Lawrence CA, Collins JJ**. A common mechanism of cellular
death induced by bactericidal antibiotics. *Cell* 2007;130(5):797-810.
31. **Dwyer DJ, Belenky PA, Yang JH, MacDonald IC, Martell JD et al.** Antibiotics induce redox-
related physiological alterations as part of their lethality. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111(20):E2100-
2109.
32. **Staerck C, Gastebois A, Vandeputte P, Calenda A, Larcher G et al.** Microbial antioxidant defense
enzymes. *Microb Pathog* 2017;110:56-65.
33. **Smirnova G, Muzyka N, Oktyabrsky O**. Transmembrane glutathione cycling in growing
Escherichia coli cells. *Microbiol Res* 2012;167(3):166-172.
34. **Bryan LE, Kwan S**. Mechanisms of aminoglycoside resistance of anaerobic bacteria and
facultative bacteria grown anaerobically. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1981;8 Suppl D:1-8.
35. **Bryan LE**. General mechanisms of resistance to antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1988;22
Suppl A:1-15.
36. **Bryant RE, Fox K, Oh G, Morthland VH**. Beta-lactam enhancement of aminoglycoside activity
under conditions of reduced pH and oxygen tension that may exist in infected tissues. *J Infect Dis*
1992;165(4):676-682.
37. **Dwyer DJ, Kohanski MA, Hayete B, Collins JJ**. Gyrase inhibitors induce an oxidative damage
cellular death pathway in Escherichia coli. *Mol Syst Biol* 2007;3:91.
38. **Hong Y, Li Q, Gao Q, Xie J, Huang H et al.** Reactive oxygen species play a dominant role in all
pathways of rapid quinolone-mediated killing. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2020;75(3):576-585.
39. **Luan G, Hong Y, Drlica K, Zhao X**. Suppression of Reactive Oxygen Species Accumulation
Accounts for Paradoxical Bacterial Survival at High Quinolone Concentration. *Antimicrob Agents
Chemother* 2018;62(3).
40. **Zhao X, Drlica K**. Reactive oxygen species and the bacterial response to lethal stress. *Curr Opin
Microbiol* 2014;21:1-6.
41. **Van Alst AJ, Demey LM, DiRita VJ**. Vibrio cholerae requires oxidative respiration through the bd-
I and cbb3 oxidases for intestinal proliferation. *PLoS Pathog* 2022;18(5):e1010102.

42.   **Van Alst AJ, DiRita VJ**. Aerobic Metabolism in Vibrio cholerae Is Required for Population
Expansion during Infection. *mBio* 2020;11(5).
43.   **Nelson EJ, Chowdhury A, Harris JB, Begum YA, Chowdhury F et al.** Complexity of rice-water
stool from patients with Vibrio cholerae plays a role in the transmission of infectious diarrhea. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A 2007;104(48):19091-19096.
44.   **Sprouffske K, Wagner A**. Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable metrics from
microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2016;17:172.
45.   *M45: Methods for Antimicrobial Dilution and Disk Susceptibility Testing of Infrequently Isolated*
or Fastidious Bacteria, 3rd ed. ed. Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017.
46.   **Drezen E, Rizk G, Chikhi R, Deltel C, Lemaitre C et al.** GATB: Genome Assembly & Analysis Tool
Box. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(20):2959-2961.
47.   **Lees JA, Galardini M, Bentley SD, Weiser JN, Corander J**. pyseer: a comprehensive tool for
microbial pangenome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* 2018;34(24):4310-4312.
48.   **Cook DE, Andersen EC**. VCF-kit: assorted utilities for the variant call format. *Bioinformatics*
2017;33(10):1581-1582.
49.   **Stamatakis A**. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(9):1312-1313.
50.   **Saber MM, Shapiro BJ**. Benchmarking bacterial genome-wide association study methods using
simulated genomes and phenotypes. *Microb Genom* 2020;6(3).
51.   **Alexandrova L, Haque F, Rodriguez P, Marrazzo AC, Grembi JA et al.** Identification of
widespread antibiotic exposure in cholera patients correlates with clinically relevant microbiota
changes. *J Infect Dis* 2019.
52.   **Leclercq SO, Wang C, Zhu Y, Wu H, Du X et al.** Diversity of the Tetracycline Mobilome within a
Chinese Pig Manure Sample. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;82(21):6454-6462.
53.   **Grossman TH, Starosta AL, Fyfe C, O'Brien W, Rothstein DM et al.** Target- and resistance-based
mechanistic studies with TP-434, a novel fluorocycline antibiotic. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
2012;56(5):2559-2564.
54.   **Noguchi N, Emura A, Matsuyama H, O'Hara K, Sasatsu M et al.** Nucleotide sequence and
characterization of erythromycin resistance determinant that encodes macrolide 2'-phosphotransferase
I in Escherichia coli. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1995;39(10):2359-2363.
55.   **Chesneau O, Tsvetkova K, Courvalin P**. Resistance phenotypes conferred by macrolide
phosphotransferases. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2007;269(2):317-322.
56.   **Humphries RM, Ambler J, Mitchell SL, Castanheira M, Dingle T et al.** CLSI Methods
Development and Standardization Working Group Best Practices for Evaluation of Antimicrobial
Susceptibility Tests. *J Clin Microbiol* 2018;56(4).
57.   **Humphries RM, Abbott AN, Hindler JA**. Understanding and Addressing CLSI Breakpoint
Revisions: a Primer for Clinical Laboratories. *J Clin Microbiol* 2019;57(6).
58.   **Ellington MJ, Ekelund O, Aarestrup FM, Canton R, Doumith M et al.** The role of whole genome
sequencing in antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacteria: report from the EUCAST Subcommittee.
Clin Microbiol Infect 2017;23(1):2-22.
59.   **Stokes JM, Lopatkin AJ, Lobritz MA, Collins JJ**. Bacterial Metabolism and Antibiotic Efficacy. *Cell*
Metab 2019;30(2):251-259.
60.   **Wang X, Zhao X, Malik M, Drlica K**. Contribution of reactive oxygen species to pathways of
quinolone-mediated bacterial cell death. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65(3):520-524.
61.   **Kan B, Habibi H, Schmid M, Liang W, Wang R et al.** Proteome comparison of Vibrio cholerae
cultured in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Proteomics* 2004;4(10):3061-3067.
62.   **Mathur J, Waldor MK**. The Vibrio cholerae ToxR-regulated porin OmpU confers resistance to
antimicrobial peptides. *Infect Immun* 2004;72(6):3577-3583.

63.   **Li CC, Crawford JA, DiRita VJ, Kaper JB**. Molecular cloning and transcriptional regulation of
ompT, a ToxR-repressed gene in Vibrio cholerae. *Mol Microbiol* 2000;35(1):189-203.
64.   **Buckley AM, Webber MA, Cooles S, Randall LP, La Ragione RM et al.** The AcrAB-TolC efflux
system of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium plays a role in pathogenesis. *Cell Microbiol*
2006;8(5):847-856.
65.   **Taylor DL, Bina XR, Bina JE**. Vibrio cholerae VexH encodes a multiple drug efflux pump that
contributes to the production of cholera toxin and the toxin co-regulated pilus. *PLoS One*
2012;7(5):e38208.
66.   **Bina XR, Philippart JA, Bina JE**. Effect of the efflux inhibitors 1-(1-naphthylmethyl)-piperazine
and phenyl-arginine-beta-naphthylamide on antimicrobial susceptibility and virulence factor production
in Vibrio cholerae. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;63(1):103-108.
67.   **Doron S, Melamed S, Ofir G, Leavitt A, Lopatina A et al.** Systematic discovery of antiphage
defense systems in the microbial pangenome. *Science* 2018;359(6379).
68.   **Baddam R, Sarker N, Ahmed D, Mazumder R, Abdullah A et al.** Genome Dynamics of Vibrio
cholerae Isolates Linked to Seasonal Outbreaks of Cholera in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *mBio* 2020;11(1).
69.   **Murphy SG, Johnson BA, Ledoux CM, Dörr T**. Vibrio cholerae's mysterious Seventh
Pandemic island (VSP-II) encodes novel Zur-regulated zinc starvation genes involved in chemotaxis and
autoaggregation. *bioRxiv* 2021:2021.2003.2009.434465.
744