Integrative analyses of convergent adaptation in sympatric extremophile fishes

Ryan Greenway[1], Rishi De-Kayne[2], Anthony P. Brown[3,4], Henry Camarillo[1,5], Cassandra Delich[1],
Kerry L. McGowan[3], Joel Nelson[3], Lenin Arias-Rodriguez[6], Joanna L. Kelley[2‡], and Michael
Tobler[7,8,9‡]

[1] Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

[2] Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz,
    CA, USA

[3] School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, USA

[4] Current address: California National Primate Research Center, University of California, Davis,
    Davis, CA, USA

[5] Current address: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven,
    CT, USA

[6] División Académica de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco,
    Villahermosa, Tabasco, Mexico

[7] Department of Biology, University of Missouri—St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA

[8] Whitney R. Harris World Ecology Center, University of Missouri—St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63121,

USA

[9] WildCare Institute, St. Louis Zoo, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

‡ Corresponding authors: jokelley@ucsc.edu; tobler@umsl.edu

Summary

The evolution of independent lineages along replicated environmental gradients frequently results in

convergent adaptation, yet the degree to which convergence is present across multiple levels of

biological organization is often unclear. Additionally, inherent biases associated with shared ancestry

and variation in selective regimes across geographic replicates often pose challenges for confidently

identifying patterns of convergence. We investigated a system in which three species of poeciliid

fishes sympatrically occur in a toxic spring rich in hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) and an adjacent nonsulfidic

stream to examine patterns of adaptive evolution across levels of biological organization. We found

convergence in morphological and physiological traits and genome-wide patterns of gene expression

among all three species. In addition, there were shared signatures of selection on genes encoding

H_2S toxicity targets in the mitochondrial genomes of each species. However, analyses of nuclear

genomes revealed neither evidence for substantial genomic islands of divergence around genes

involved in H_2S toxicity and detoxification nor substantial congruence of strongly differentiated

regions across population pairs. These non-convergent, heterogenous patterns of genomic

divergence may indicate that sulfide tolerance is highly polygenic, with shared allele frequency shifts

present at many loci with small effects along the genome. Alternatively, H_2S tolerance may involve

substantial genetic redundancy, with non-convergent lineage-specific variation at multiple loci along

the genome underpinning similar changes in phenotypes and gene expression. Overall, we

demonstrate variability in the extent of convergence across organizational levels and highlight the

challenges of linking patterns of convergence across scales.

Keywords: Adaptive evolution | Convergent evolution | Ecological genomics | Hydrogen sulfide |

Local adaptation | Mitochondria | Poeciliidae | Population genomics

Introduction

Evolutionarily independent lineages often evolve similar solutions when facing similar ecological conditions. Although such patterns of convergence may provide evidence for the repeatability and predictability of evolution by natural selection,[1–3] it is often challenging to determine the extent of convergence across biological levels of organization, and ultimately, whether convergent genomic shifts underpin convergence at other scales.[4] Convergent evolution is frequently studied in closely related, but geographically disjunct, population pairs exposed to similar sources of divergent selection, providing spatially replicated instances of local adaptation within a clade.[1] Investigations into convergent evolution in such systems have provided valuable insights across a wide variety of animal and plant systems by highlighting instances of evolutionary convergence at the level of single genes[5,6] and more polygenic signals of convergence.[7,8] However, studies that focus on closely related lineages likely biases our understanding of general patterns of convergence, because shared evolutionary responses may be the result of selection on standing genetic variation and constraints associated with shared genomic architecture.[5,9,10] Similarly, the focus on geographically disjunct populations exposed to seemingly similar selective regimes has the potential to ignore the effects of unquantified environmental variation, which often leads to idiosyncratic evolutionary responses.[11,12] Studying distantly related taxa that are experiencing a shared selective environment in sympatry eliminates these confounding influences, providing the opportunity to investigate convergence in adaptive strategies and determine the predictability and repeatability of evolution.[13–15]

We took advantage of a unique system to explore shared patterns of adaptive evolution among three sympatric lineages of livebearing fishes (Poeciliidae). In Mexico, populations of *Poecilia mexicana*, *Pseudoxiphophorus bimaculatus*, and *Xiphophorus hellerii* coexist both within the La Gloria sulfide spring complex, which is characterized by high concentrations of naturally occurring hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), and in adjacent nonsulfidic streams (Figure 1A, B). The presence and absence of H_2S creates strong divergent selection across habitat types because of its toxic properties. H_2S binds to cytochrome c oxidase (COX) of the electron transport chain and interrupts oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) in mitochondria, ultimately stopping aerobic ATP production necessary for the maintenance of cellular function.[16,17] At the molecular level, the clear biochemical and physiological consequences of H_2S predict adaptive modification of genes associated with OxPhos and enzymatic H_2S detoxification, which is mediated by the mitochondrial sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase (SQR) pathway.[16] Besides the presence and absence of H_2S, the two aquatic habitats

differ in other physical and chemical attributes, with the sulfide spring having lower dissolved

oxygen concentrations and pH, but higher specific conductivity (Figure 1C).

The La Gloria system is particularly interesting because it allows for the juxtaposition of

evolutionary responses to H_2S in distantly related species that occur in sympatry with evolutionary

responses previously documented in geographically disparate populations of sulfide spring fishes

within the family Poeciliidae.[18] Throughout the Americas, highly endemic poeciliids locally-adapted

to sulfide springs have evolved from ancestral populations in adjacent freshwater habitats.[18–20]

Evolutionary responses to the toxic conditions have primarily been studied in geographically

replicated populations of *Poecilia mexicana*, which exhibit convergence in morphology, physiology,

reproductive life histories, gene expression, and the establishment of reproductive isolation as a

byproduct of adaptation via ecological speciation.[18] While some convergence appears to result from

de novo modification of the same genes or biochemical pathways (*e.g.*, in OxPhos), there is also

evidence for selection on standing genetic variation (*e.g.*, in the SQR pathway).[21–26] As in other

systems, the focus on convergence across geographically replicated lineages within *P. mexicana* may

have biased the interpretation of the repeatability and predictability of adaptive evolution in sulfide

springs. Indeed, a recent broad-scale study of adaptation to sulfide springs across the Poeciliidae

found evidence for convergence in gene expression profiles of ten evolutionarily independent

sulfide-spring lineages, but only limited evidence for repeatable patterns of molecular evolution

outside of a few mitochondrially-encoded OxPhos genes.[25] The degree to which this breakdown of

molecular convergence at a broader phylogenetic scale is related to differences in the selective

regimes among geographically disparate sulfide springs or attributable to lineage-specific

evolutionary solutions to a shared source of selection remains unresolved, though evidence suggests

that environmental similarity drives the degree of convergence at the transcriptomic level.[27]

In this study, we aimed to identify signatures of convergence associated with the adaptation of three

independent lineages to the same H_2S-rich spring. This approach helped reduce the confounding

effects of shared ancestral standing genetic variation and allowed us to stringently test for shared

adaptive responses across biological levels of organization in different species. Our results also

provided the opportunity to identify possible links between different aspects of convergence across

scales, including whether convergence in morphology and gene expression are explicitly

underpinned by convergent genomic variation. To address this overarching hypothesis, we (i)

identified signatures of convergent evolution in phenotypic traits that have previously been

associated with adaptation to sulfide spring environments (body shape, H_2S tolerance, and gene

expression), (ii) established evidence for local adaptation between sulfidic and nonsulfidic

populations of each species, and (iii) identified convergent patterns of genomic differentiation

among population pairs in sulfidic and nonsulfidic habitats. Overall, we found evidence for

convergence in adaptation across multiple levels of organization, but convergence at the genomic

level was limited and, outside of the mitochondrial genome, not associated with genomic regions

predicted to respond to selection from H_2S.

Results

Phenotypic variation among habitats

Mechanisms of adaptation to H_2S-rich environments have been studied extensively in poeciliid

fishes, including the biochemical and physiological modifications that directly mitigate H_2S toxicity[25]

and modifications of other traits that are shaped by sources of selection that are correlated with the

presence of H_2S (*e.g.,* hypoxia, increased salinity, changes in trophic resource use, competition, and

predation.[18,28] We quantified variation of natural populations in three complex traits that typically

show adaptive divergence upon sulfide spring colonization: body shape, H_2S tolerance, and gene

expression. We found that sulfide spring populations of all three focal species exhibit convergent

patterns of trait divergence from adjacent nonsulfidic populations in response to colonization of

sulfide springs.

Geometric morphometric analyses of wild-caught, adult fish revealed that body shape significantly

differed between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of all three lineages (Procrustes ANOVA;

species × habitat: $P < 0.001$, $Z = 7.565$, Table S1). Furthermore, there was a significant signal of

morphological convergence across the sulfide spring populations of the three species (habitat: $P =$

0.018, $Z = 2.093$, Table S1). Investigation of thin-plate spline transformation grids visualizing shape

differences for both the "species × habitat" and "habitat" terms indicated that the shared aspects of

variation in body shape between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of each species involved

divergence in head size, with fish from the sulfide spring exhibiting larger heads than those from the

nonsulfidic habitat (Figure 2A).

Survival analyses indicated that wild-caught, adult fish from all three sulfide spring populations

exhibited an increased ability to tolerate acute H_2S exposure relative to nonsulfidic populations

(Figure 2B; Cox regression; habitat: $P = 0.034$, Figure S1). While H_2S tolerance was clearly affected

by habitat of origin, differences in tolerance between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations also varied

among the three species, with sulfidic *P. mexicana* having a higher H_2S tolerance than both

nonsulfidic *Poecilia* and sulfidic populations of the other species (Figure S1). This observation

matched microhabitat uses by the three species within the sulfide spring complex; *P. mexicana* was

the only species inhabiting immediate spring outlets with the highest H_2S concentrations, and the

other two species were more common in areas with more oxygen-rich water (Figure S2).

Analysis of genome-wide gene expression in gill tissues from wild-caught sulfidic and nonsulfidic

fish revealed an overall phylogenetic signal in gene expression variation, with individuals clustering

by species and, secondarily, by habitat type (Figure 3A). There were many differentially expressed

genes (negative binomial regression, FDR < 0.05) between the sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations

of each species, representing 13.4% of all measured genes for *Pseudoxiphophorus*, 20.4% for *Poecilia*,

and 20.9% for *Xiphophorus* (Figure 3B). While most gene expression differences were species-specific

(Table S2), 245 genes (1.3% of all analyzed genes; 6.3–9.8% of differentially expressed genes in each

species) exhibited convergent expression changes across all species (153 upregulated, 92

downregulated; Figure 3B, Table S2), significantly more than predicted by chance (*SuperExactTest*[29]: *P*

< 0.0001). As predicted by the biochemical and physiological effects of H_2S, analysis of Gene

Ontology (GO) IDs associated with differentially expressed genes revealed that shared upregulated

genes were significantly enriched (*FDR* < 0.05) for associations with mitochondria and biological

processes involved in H_2S toxicity and detoxification, including aerobic respiration and the electron

transport chain (OxPhos), enzymatic H_2S detoxification, and the processing and transport of sulfur

compounds (Table S3). Notably, we found consistent upregulation of genes associated with the

primary target of H_2S toxicity (COX) and the primary H_2S detoxification pathway (SQR pathway;

Figure 3C–E). There was no evidence for significant enrichment of any GO terms in downregulated

genes (Table S3).

Population Structure

As phenotypic divergence between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of each species was

measured using wild-caught fish, we cannot rule out the possibility that both adaptation and

phenotypic plasticity contribute in some part to phenotypic responses to the colonization of the

sulfidic habitat. However, since divergent selection on key ecological traits generally reduces gene

flow between populations, local adaptation and phenotypic plasticity are predicted to have different

population genomic signatures. When plasticity alone underpins phenotypic differentiation across

environmental contrasts, we expect to see little genome-wide population structure, as gene flow

between habitats prevents genomic differentiation from establishing. In contrast, where divergent

selection has resulted in the establishment of barriers to gene flow, we expect to find lower rates of

gene flow between populations and signals of population structure, even though the La Gloria

sulfide spring complex is spatially restricted (~200 m in length), flows directly into the adjacent

nonsulfidic stream (Figure 1A), and there are no physical barriers preventing fish movement

between habitat types. Additionally, previous investigations into the signatures of gene expression

associated with adaptation of *P. mexicana* to sulfide springs have provided evidence of heritable

variation in gene expression,[30,31] which highlights the potential for a component of adaption to be

underpinned by genomic variation rather than plasticity alone. To test for genetic signatures of local

adaptation, we sampled 20 individuals per species in each habitat type and conducted population

genomic analyses based on whole-genome resequencing (average sequence depth per individual: 3.8

$\pm$ 0.4; Table S4) to infer population structure between the sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations.

Maximum-likelihood-based estimates of admixture proportions with two inferred clusters ($k = 2$)

unambiguously clustered individuals by their habitat type of origin and only detected low levels of

admixture between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations within each species (Figure 4A), indicating a

substantial reduction in gene flow between the two habitats. Principal component analysis (PCA)

corroborated these results, consistently separating populations by habitat type along the primary axis

of genetic variance in each species (17.5–53.5% of genomic variation explained by PC1; Figure S3).

Both structure analysis and PCA identified two *P. mexicana* individuals that appear to be early

generation hybrids (F1 and a possible F2 backcross), while all other individuals of all species exhibit

clear assignment to their habitat of origin with limited, asymmetric gene flow from sulfidic into

nonsulfidic populations.

We also conducted *in situ* reciprocal translocation experiments of wild-caught, adult fish, which

explicitly tested for local adaptation[32] and natural selection against migrants.[33] We found strong,

habitat-specific differences in the survival of sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of each lineage

(Figure 4B; binomial GLMM; habitat of origin × testing habitat: $P < 0.001$; Table S5). Fish tested in

their own habitat had significantly higher survival rates (88–100%) than those tested in the opposite

habitat type, with the lowest survival observed for fish moved from the nonsulfidic into the sulfidic

habitat (0–21%). Fish moved from the sulfidic into the nonsulfidic habitat had comparatively high

survival (68–84%)—though significantly lower than resident nonsulfidic fish—ultimately supporting

the observed asymmetry of gene flow between habitat types. Overall, this experiment supported the

inference of local adaptation and that natural selection against migrants likely serves as a strong

mechanism generating population structure between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations.

Landscape of Genomic Divergence

The explicit biochemical and physiological effects of H_2S—along with the convergent changes at the

transcriptome level—predict clear targets of selection at the genomic level. We first explored

potential convergent changes in the mitochondrial genome, which includes genes for three subunits

of H_2S' primary toxicity target (COX). Mitochondrial haplotype networks revealed that sulfidic

populations harbor mitochondrial lineages that are highly divergent from those in the nonsulfidic

populations (Figure 5A). Consistent with the asymmetric patterns of gene flow, we found sulfidic

mitochondrial haplotypes in nonsulfidic habitats, especially in *P. mexicana* and *X. hellerii*, while

nonsulfidic mitochondrial haplotypes were not found in sulfidic populations (except for one

apparent first-generation hybrid in *P. mexicana* described above). Using analyses of molecular

evolution, we detected evidence for positive selection (elevated nonsynonymous to synonymous

substitution rates, ω; likelihood-ratio test, $P < 0.01$; Table S6) acting on five mitochondrial genes in

all three sulfidic populations, including two COX subunits (COX1 and COX3) and genes encoding

subunits of OxPhos complexes I (ND2 and ND3) and III (CYTB), corroborating previous analyses

of sulfide spring fishes.[21,25]

Unlike in the mitochondrial genome, recombination in the nuclear genome can mediate highly

heterogeneous divergence during local adaptation. When few genomic regions are directly involved

in adaptation, these regions often exhibit disproportionally high divergence (genomic islands of

divergence) against a background of regions of low divergence that is homogenized by gene flow.[34,35]

If the observed convergent morphological and transcriptomic differentiation had a convergent

genomic basis across lineages, we would predict overlapping genomic islands of divergence between

sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations across the three species, including regions with mitochondrially-

functioning genes that are involved in H_2S toxicity and detoxification. Considering the evidence for

positive selection on mitochondrially encoded genes, we also expected to detect evidence for mito-

nuclear coevolution,[36] with disproportionally high divergence in the nuclear genes encoding subunits

of OxPhos complexes I, III, and especially IV. To determine if selection on the same genomic

regions underlies convergence to sulfide spring environments, we used the whole-genome

resequencing data described above to characterize the landscape of genomic divergence in each

population pair using a combination of relative (F_{ST}) and absolute (d_{XY}) divergence metrics in non-

overlapping 5-kb windows.[37,38] Global estimates of relative divergence varied considerably among

species (mean F_{ST} = 0.23 for *Poecilia*, 0.06 for *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and 0.03 for *Xiphophorus*; Figure S4),

while absolute divergence across the genome was similar (mean d_{XY} = 0.003 for *Poecilia*, 0.004 for

Pseudoxiphophorus, and 0.003 for *Xiphophorus*; Figure S5).

Using a composite analysis that simultaneously considered relative and absolute divergence,[39] we

observed heterogeneous divergence across much of the genome within and among population pairs,

rather than locally pronounced and shared genomic islands of divergence (Figure 5B; Table S7). By

identifying the most differentiated regions between sulfidic and nonsulfidic individuals within each

species (top 1% most differentiated windows; 1,341–1,366 5-kb windows per species), we found that

regions of elevated divergence were significantly enriched for genes involved in oxygen transport

(*Pseudoxiphophorus*) and immune function (*Xiphophorus* and *Poecilia*), including hemoglobins and major

histocompatibility complex genes (GO enrichment analysis: *FDR* < 0.05; although in *Poecilia* this

enrichment was non-significant following FDR correction; Tables S8). To test for convergent

patterns of differentiation, we identified regions that were amongst the most differentiated windows

across all three species (top 1% most differentiated in each of the three comparisons to account for

variation in average genome-wide differentiation across the three comparisons; Figure 5B). Thirty-

four strongly differentiated regions—containing 28 genes—were shared across all three species

(significantly more than expected by chance; *SuperExactTest*, P < 0.0001), and 102–156 of these

regions were shared between pairs of the three species (Table S7). There was no significant

enrichment of GO terms in strongly differentiated regions shared among all lineages, and we found

no evidence for genes with known roles in H_2S detoxification or processing in regions of elevated

divergence within species (Tables S8). We did observe some overlap of shared differentiated regions

and differentially expressed genes within each species (150–198 genes), but not more than would be

expected by chance (Fisher's Exact Test, P = 0.24–1.0).

We also carried out site-frequency-spectrum based scans for selective sweeps[40] in each sulfidic

population and compared putative sweep regions across the three sulfidic and nonsulfidic contrasts.

We found no shared selective sweep outlier regions across all three species (Figure S6), and the small

subset of genes shared among pairs of species was not enriched for any biological functions (Table

S9). *Pseudoxiphophorus bimaculatus* exhibited enrichment of both ion transport and oxygen transport

genes, as well as evidence for a selective sweep in the region containing SQR, a key H_2S

detoxification enzyme (Table S10). However, neither *P. mexicana* nor *X. hellerii* exhibited any

evidence for selective sweeps in regions containing genes related to H_2S, and only *X. hellerii* exhibited

limited enrichment for GO terms in sweep regions (plasma membrane associated genes; Table S10).

Discussion

The three poeciliid fishes that have colonized the La Gloria sulfide spring complex provided a

unique opportunity to examine how distantly related lineages respond to the same sources of

divergent selection. This approach helped reveal which aspects of evolutionary responses are

repeatable and predictable and how responses and the predictability of these responses vary across

biological scales of organization. We found evidence for local adaptation in each of the lineages and

detected signatures of convergence in phenotypic traits that span levels of organismal organization.

In addition, there was convergent selection on mitochondrially-encoded genes associated with H_2S

toxicity. However, evidence for convergence in the nuclear genomes was scant and not associated

with genomic regions predicted to respond to selection from H_2S. Hence, landscapes of genomic

divergence can be highly idiosyncratic even when species experience the same selective regime in

sympatry, suggesting that evolutionary outcomes are contingent on the genomic substrates that

selection is acting on. These results also highlight the potential methodological challenges of

identifying convergent shifts associated with highly polygenic traits, phenotypic traits that are

associated with high genetic redundancy, or traits underpinned by convergent structural variation.

Evidence for Convergence in Traits Associated with Adaptation to Sulfide Springs

Consistent with previous findings,[41,42] we found significant differences in body shape between

sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of all three lineages at La Gloria, with sulfide spring fishes

exhibiting larger heads than those in nonsulfidic habitats. Previous studies have indicated that

increased head size in sulfide spring poeciliids reflects a response to selection on oxygen acquisition

in the hypoxic sulfide springs, as head size is correlated with increased gill surface area and higher

ventilation capacity in sulfide spring populations of *P. mexicana*[41,43] and other fishes.[44,45]

Convergent phenotypic differentiation in H_2S tolerance between sulfidic and nonsulfidic fishes was

also observed. Sulfide spring populations exhibited higher tolerance to acute H_2S exposure than

populations from adjacent nonsulfidic populations, and, accordingly, nonsulfidic fishes suffered high

mortality when placed into the natural conditions of the sulfide spring. Previous studies have

demonstrated that sulfide spring populations of *P. mexicana* consistently exhibit higher tolerance to

acute exposures to H_2S, whether it is quantified at a molecular or whole-organism level.[25,41] Increased

tolerance results from modification of the expression and protein coding sequences of H_2S toxicity

and detoxification genes in well characterized biological pathways.[21,25] Additionally, our findings

supported those of previous studies carried out in more divergent poeciliid lineages occupying

sulfide springs across the Neotropics, which indicated that convergent shifts in the expression of

H_2S toxicity and detoxification genes is correlated with H_2S tolerance.[25,46] We found that upregulated

genes in the gills of sulfidic populations from all three species were enriched for genes with

mitochondrial functions and H_2S processing, including genes (*COX* and *SQR*) demonstrated to

exhibit adaptations for processing H_2S or resisting its toxicity in sulfide spring *P. mexicana*.[21,25]

Ultimately, these shared expression patterns across the three sulfide spring populations provide

robust support for convergent regulation of genes with known roles in H_2S toxicity and

detoxification.

While these signals of convergence are substantial, we cannot eliminate the possibility that

differences in body shape, sulfide tolerance, and gene expression observed in our experiments with

wild-caught specimens were at least in part shaped by plastic responses to H_2S exposure, rather than

evolved differences between populations. Many physiological traits, such as sulfide tolerance and

gene expression, are known to exhibit short-term plastic responses to changes in environmental

conditions.[30] Common-garden rearing of these species will be needed to better understand how

genetic differentiation and plasticity interact to shape gene expression patterns observed in nature;

however, laboratory rearing of these sulfidic populations has proven difficult (Greenway and Tobler,

personal observation). Previous common-garden experiments of other sulfidic and nonsulfidic *P.*

mexicana populations, notably less divergent than the populations examined in this study, have

provided evidence for a heritable basis to divergence in body shape,[47] sulfide tolerance,[48] and

expression variation in H_2S-processing and OxPhos-related genes.[30,31] Furthermore, our population

genetic analyses demonstrated that fish collected from the sulfidic and nonsulfidic habitat exhibit

very little gene flow between them, despite the possibility of panmixia due to the connectedness of

the streams in which they are found, suggesting that the phenotypic differentiation we observed

likely does not solely reflect habitat-specific inducible effects but are at least in part the result of

evolved differences.

Genetic Basis of Adaptation

Even with clear evidence for convergent phenotypic responses to shared environmental conditions,

we found that patterns of convergence were not consistent across scales of biological organization.

Specifically, we found limited evidence for convergent evolution at the genomic level, suggesting

that convergence in morphology, physiological tolerance, and gene expression do not simply stem

from genomic differentiation at one, or few, genomic loci. Our results show that nuclear genes

typically implicated in H_2S adaptation were not associated with shared or species-specific outlier

regions, including genes of the SQR pathway that are involved in enzymatic H_2S detoxification and

nuclear genes associated with COX and other OxPhos components. These results stand in stark

contrast to previous investigations of replicated *P. mexicana* populations adapted to sulfide springs

across southern Mexico, where a variety of approaches have demonstrated predictable evolution of

genes with known roles in H_2S detoxification and metabolism.[21,23,24] This high level of convergence

among closely related populations paired with the limited convergence observed among divergent

lineages in the present study suggests that even the strong physiological demands of H_2S toxicity are

not sufficient to constrain adaptation to one or a few solutions at the genomic level. Rather, access

to shared pools of genetic variation, as is the case for replicated *P. mexicana* populations,[23,26] appears

to facilitate convergence at the genomic level. These results align with previous studies investigating

genome-wide patterns of changes in protein coding sequences (based on transcriptomic data), which

found little evidence for convergent evolution of protein coding sequences outside of the

mitochondrial genome.[25] However, in line with previous studies, we did observe consistent

modification of genes encoded by the mitochondrial genome across all three sulfidic populations,

including the direct targets of H_2S toxicity (*COX* subunits). Additionally, nonsulfidic mitochondrial

haplotypes were not found in individuals sampled from the sulfidic habitat (except for one apparent

F1 hybrid), suggesting a critical role of mitochondrial DNA in mediating survival in the toxic

conditions. Despite evidence for selection on mitochondrial genes,[49] it remains unclear how genetic

variation in mitochondrial versus nuclear loci contribute to adaptive variation in OxPhos function.

The evolution of H_2S-resistance could be largely driven by modification of mitochondrial *COX*

subunits that form the reactive center of the protein and without coevolutionary changes in the

corresponding nuclear subunits. This finding challenges the general paradigm that adaptation of

mitochondrial function coincides with mito-nuclear coevolution.[49]

While evidence of selection on nuclear genes associated with H_2S detoxification and OxPhos was

sparse, functional annotation of shared and unique outlier regions did find limited evidence for

enrichment of genes involved in oxygen transport and immune function (Tables S8). Evidence for

selection on oxygen transport proteins, such as hemoglobin is not surprising, as H_2S is capable of

binding to and impairing hemeprotein function.[50,51] The presence of hemoglobin genes in outlier

regions also confirms findings of positive selection on hemoglobin genes in other sulfide spring

poeciliids.[52] In contrast, the large number of genes associated with immune function was not

expected, although immune genes are known hotspots for selection in vertebrates.[53] Selection on

immune genes could be driven by differences in parasite and pathogen communities between

sulfidic and nonsulfidic habitats, which have been documented in another sulfide spring inhabited by

a poeciliid fish.[54] Alternatively, there have been long-standing, but poorly investigated, hypotheses

about the potential role of H_2S-oxidizing microsymbionts in adaptation to sulfide spring

environments,[16,55] and changes in host-microbe interactions upon sulfide-spring colonization may

also exert selection on immune genes.[56]

Even though H_2S has clear-cut biochemical and physiological effects, tolerance to this toxicant

involves numerous genes. OxPhos complexes alone contain over 80 nuclear-encoded genes,[57] and

dozens of genes contribute to the physiological processing of H_2S and other sulfur compounds.[58,59]

For this reason, the lack of convergence we observed at the genome level could be the result of the

polygenic nature of H_2S tolerance, which is known to pose substantial challenges for detecting

patterns of genomic convergence, since associated loci are unlikely to form large islands of

divergence but rather be scattered throughout the genome. It is possible that subtle allele frequency

shifts across multiple polymorphic OxPhos or H_2S processing genes could result in adaptive

modifications to these pathways without leaving a molecular signature detectable by scans for

genomic differentiation between divergent species pairs.[60,61] Such subtle allele frequency shifts are

now detectable when closely related populations with shared allelic variants are exposed to similar

sources of selection[62] but not in highly divergent population pairs that were investigated here. It is

also important to emphasize that environmental gradients between sulfidic and adjacent nonsulfidic

habitats are complex (Figure 1C). The multifarious sources of selection have caused adaptive

modifications of whole suites of complex traits beyond H_2S tolerance, and most of these traits likely

have different genomic bases.[18] Finally, another important factor influencing our ability to detect

genomic convergence is whether H_2S tolerance involves substantial genetic redundancy, where many

different combinations of alleles throughout the genome can underpin the same phenotype. If

genetic redundancy is high, lineage-specific responses to selection that are in part shaped by

historical contingencies[63–65] may underpin the convergence signatures of phenotypic traits and gene

expression without genomic convergence across species.[66]

It is also possible that adaptation to H_2S may primarily be driven by changes in mitochondrial

protein function and the expression of detoxification genes, with lineage-specific mechanisms

producing the convergent gene expression patterns uncovered by transcriptome analyses. Because

differentially expressed genes were not overrepresented in the outlier genome regions as might be

expected by selection acting on *cis*-regulatory elements,[24] outlier regions may harbor *trans*-regulatory

elements mediating adaptive shifts in gene expression.[67] Divergent selection acting on regulatory

elements has been implicated in adaptive divergence for several well-known models in evolutionary

ecology[68–70]. The problem of detecting the genetic basis of trait variation by any of the above means

can be exacerbated when adaptive evolution gives rise to reproductive isolation. Selection on small-

effect loci spread across the genome can facilitate strong and stable reductions in gene flow over

short timescales,[71,72] similar to the reduced gene flow observed for all three lineages in our study.

High levels of background divergence resulting from post-speciation divergence can further obscure

the signatures of selection on the loci mediating adaptation during earlier stages of divergence.[73–75]

Conclusions

Our results showed that while convergent evolution in response to shared selective pressure is

present across diverse lineages, patterns of convergence to extreme environments are not consistent

across levels of biological organization. We also demonstrated that mechanistically linking strong

signals of convergence in morphology, physiology, and gene expression to genomic variation is

challenging due to the magnitude of ways in which different aspects of genomic variation interplay

to affect phenotypic variation. Although we observed signatures of independent local adaptation and

reproductive isolation between sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations in three highly divergent

lineages, variation in the complexity and genomic architecture of traits and the degree of genetic

redundancy may reduce our ability to confidently identify signals of convergence at the genome

level. While substantial progress has been made in understanding the molecular basis of trait

evolution, methodological and statistical limitations are prevalent when traits are complex, leading to

an underrepresentation of studies covering the adaptation of non-model organisms to complex

ecological stressors.

STAR Methods

Study sites and sample collection

In the foothills of the Sierra Madre de Chiapas, several freshwater springs rich in naturally occurring

H_2S form the La Gloria spring complex.[41,76] The spring complex is located near the city of Teapa,

Mexico, and is part of the Río Pichucalco drainage. Habitats with high H_2S concentrations are

spatially restricted (~200 m in length), and the spring run flows directly into a nearby nonsulfidic

stream (Arroyo Caracol). There are no physical barriers that prevent fish movement between the

sulfidic spring run and the nonsulfidic stream, but there are stark differences in water chemistry

(Figure 1). Populations of the poeciliid fishes *Poecilia mexicana, Pseudoxiphophorus bimaculatus*, and

Xiphophorus hellerii have colonized H_2S-rich habitats at La Gloria, and ancestral populations also

occur in adjacent nonsulfidic habitats.[77] Note that the population of *P. mexicana* at La Gloria likely

belongs to an endemic species described from sulfide springs, *P. sulphuraria*.[77,78] All samples used in

this study were collected by seine from the La Gloria sulfide spring complex and nonsulfidic habitats

in the adjacent Arroyo Caracol. The sole exception were *Pseudoxiphophorus* gill tissues for the

nonsulfidic population, which were collected from another nearby stream (Table S11).

Physical and chemical water parameters were analyzed for several sites within the La Gloria spring

complex and Arroyo Caracol. Temperature, specific conductivity, pH, and oxygen content were

measured using a Hydrolab Multisonde 4A (Hach Environmental). Environmental H_2S

concentrations were measured with a methylene blue assay using a Hach DR1900 Portable

Spectrophotometer (Hach Company, Loveland, CO, USA). Measurements and calibration of probes

were conducted according to the manufacturer's recommendations. At least three Hydrolab readings

and two H_2S samples were taken at each site. Measurements were averaged for each site prior to use

in a principal component analysis.

Evidence for convergence in traits associated with adaptation to sulfide springs

To identify potential convergent evolution across the three focal species, we investigated three

distinct complex traits that have previously been implicated in adaptation to sulfide spring

environments: (1) body shape; (2) tolerance to acute H_2S exposure; and (3) genome-wide patterns of

gene expression.

Analysis of body shape

To test for divergence in body shape among populations in sulfidic and nonsulfidic habitats, adult

Poecilia, *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and *Xiphophorus* were collected from the two sites using seines. Lateral

photographs were taken for all specimens using a Canon EOS 400D digital camera (Canon USA

Inc., Lake Success, NY, USA) mounted on a copy stand. We digitized 14 morphological landmarks

(Figure S7) on each photograph using the software program tpsDig.[79] We extracted coordinates of

these digitized landmarks to conduct geometric morphometric analyses[80] using the GEOMORPH

package in R.[81] We first aligned landmark coordinates via least-squares superimposition implemented

in the gpagen function to remove effects of translation, rotation, and scale, yielding aligned

Procrustes coordinates that describe body shape variation and centroid size as a measure of size.

Procrustes coordinates were then analyzed using a Procrustes ANOVA (procD.lm) using residual

randomization over 9999 iterations and type III sums of squares. Predictor variables included

species (*Poecilia*, *Pseudoxiphophorus*, or *Xiphophorus*), habitat (sulfidic vs. non-sulfidic), and sex as

factors, centroid size as a covariate, as well as their interaction terms. *P*-values were based on a

Cohen's f-squared sampling distribution. For data visualization, we calculated divergence vector

scores[82] for each individual based on the first principle component of the among-group covariance

matrix for the habitat term from a preparatory MANCOVA using the same model as for the

Procrustes ANOVA.[83] This approach allowed us to visualize aspects of body shape variation

associated with habitat type among species (*i.e.*, convergent aspects of body shape), while accounting

for all other terms in the model.

Tolerance to acute H_2S exposure

To test for differences in H_2S tolerance between individuals collected from the sulfidic and

nonsulfidic populations, we conducted acute H_2S exposure trials, subjecting wild-caught fish to

logarithmically increasing concentrations of H_2S.[41] We collected adult *Poecilia*, *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and

Xiphophorus from the two sites using seines, transferred them to insulated coolers filled with water

from the collection site, and transported them to a nearby field station. Fish were kept in population

and species-specific holding tanks with aeration for at least 24 hours prior to testing. To standardize

experimental conditions, water from the collection sites was slowly replaced with H_2S-free well water

over the first 8 hours in the holding tanks. Water was continuously aerated and filtered during this

time, and the fish received no food.

For the experiment, we prepared stock solutions of 10 mM aqueous H_2S solution by

dissolving 2.4 g sodium sulfide hydrate ($Na_2S \cdot 6H_2O$) into 1 L of well water deoxygenated through

purging with nitrogen.[84] Individual fish were placed into clear plastic containers with 150 mL water

from the holding tanks and allowed to acclimate for 5 minutes. Following acclimation, 10 mL of H_2S

solution were added to the experimental container at 2-minute intervals using a syringe placed under

the water surface. Each fish was observed as H_2S concentration increased in the experimental

container. We measured the time until the fish lost equilibrium, at which point the fish was removed

from the container, sexed, weighed, and placed into a heavily aerated recovery tank. Experiments

were ended after 32 minutes (15 sulfide additions) if a fish did not lose equilibrium.

We analyzed variation in H_2S tolerance using a survival analysis (Cox regression) with the

coxph function implemented in the R package SURVIVAL.[85] We used time to loss of equilibrium as

the response variable, and individuals that did not lose equilibrium during the experiment were

censored. Body mass (log_{10}-transformed), species, sex, and habitat of origin (sulfidic vs. nonsulfidic)

were used as independent variables. Adjusted survival curves were generated for the habitat term of

the Cox regression to visualize expected survival curves, using the ggadjustedcurves function from

the SURVMINER package.[86]

Transcriptome sequencing and alignment

We used an RNA-sequencing approach to identify differentially expressed genes between the

sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of each species. We collecteded adult female individuals of

Poecilia, *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and *Xiphophorus* in the La Gloria sulfide spring and from the adjacent

nonsulfidic stream. Following capture with a seine, fish were instantly euthanized, and gill tissues

were extracted from both sides of the head and immediately preserved in 2 mL of RNAlater

(Ambion, Inc.). We selected gill tissue because the gills mediate physiological processes necessary for

the maintenance of homeostasis,[87] are in direct contact with the H_2S-rich water,[16] and show strong,

heritable transcriptional responses upon H_2S exposure.[30,46] RNA was isolated from gill tissues, and

cDNA libraries were constructed for each sample as described for samples in previous studies.[25,46] Briefly, we extracted total RNA from pulverized gill tissues using the NucleoSpin RNA kit (Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol. We conducted mRNA isolation and cDNA library preparation with the NEBNext Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module (New England Biolabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA) and NEBNext Ultra Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA), following the manufacturers' protocol with minor modifications. Each cDNA library was assigned a unique barcode, quantified, and pooled in sets of 11–12 samples that were sequenced together in a way that different species and habitat types were sequenced together. cDNA libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 with paired-end, 100-bp reads at the Washington State University Spokane Genomics Core.

Raw RNA-sequencing reads were sorted by barcode and trimmed twice (*--quality* 0 to remove adapters, followed by *--quality* 24) with Trimgalore![88] Trimmed reads for all 36 individuals were mapped to the *X. maculatus* reference genome used for genomic analyses[89] using BWA-MEM.[90] On average, ~97% of reads mapped across all individuals (Table S12). We functionally annotated genes from the *X. maculatus* reference genome by extracting the longest transcript per gene (using the perl script gff2fasta.pl[91]) and then searching against the human SWISSPROT database (critical E-value 0.001; access date 11/15/2018) using BLASTx.[92] Each *X. maculatus* gene was annotated with the top BLAST hit based on the top high-scoring segment pair. Annotations were used for analysis of differentially expressed genes as well as for analyses of outlier regions from genomic data (see below).

We used STRINGTIE v.1.3.3b[93,94] to quantify the number of RNA-seq reads mapped to each gene in the *X. maculatus* reference genome for each individual and used a Python script provided with STRINGTIE (prepDE.py) to generate a read counts matrix.[94] We then removed genes that did not have at least two counts per million in 3 or more individuals across all species, resulting in a set of 18,598 genes for analysis of gene expression patterns. We first conducted hierarchical cluster analysis on the full set of retained genes. The hierarchical cluster analysis was performed on log_2-transformed counts-per-million and visualized with the heatmap.2 function in the GPLOTS package in R.[95]

To identify differentially expressed genes, we used generalized linear models (GLMs) as implemented in the Bioconductor package EDGER.[96] We used glmFit to fit a negative binomial GLM to the normalized read counts of each gene based on tagwise dispersion estimates and a design

matrix describing the comparison between the sulfidic and nonsulfidic population of each species.

We assessed statistical significance with the GLM likelihood-ratio test with a false-discovery rate

(FDR) of < 0.05 calculated with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction.[97] We then intersected the

significantly upregulated and downregulated genes from all three species-specific comparisons

separately to identify genes with consistent evidence for differential expression among all three

species. After identifying the set of genes with differential expression between sulfidic and

nonsulfidic populations of each species, and genes with evidence for shared differential expression

across all three species-specific analyses (Table S2), we used a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment

analysis to explore the putative biological functions of these candidate sets of genes (Tables S3). We

first annotated all genes that had a match in the human SWISSPROT database with GO IDs.[98] In

total, 10,817 unique SWISSPROT annotations were associated with a term in the GO database. We

then tested for the enrichment of specific GO IDs in these sets of genes with differential expression

relative to the full dataset of 18,598 analyzed genes using GORILLA (*P*-value threshold: 0.0001,

accessed 04/11/2019).[99]

Evidence for local adaptation

To test for local adaptation in each of the three sulfidic/nonsulfidic population pairs, we used

whole-genome resequencing to assess the contemporary levels of population genetic structure. We

also conducted a reciprocal translocation experiment to compare the survival of individuals across

the sulfidic/nonsulfidic environmental gradient.

Genomic DNA library preparation and whole genome sequencing

We resequenced the genomes of 120 individuals (40 per species, 20 per population). DNA was

extracted from fin clip tissues that were stored in ethanol using the NucleoSpin Tissue kit

(Macherey-Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany) following the protocol in the user manual

(version January 2017/Rev. 17). For the final elution step, extracted DNA was eluted in 100 μL of

elution buffer.

Library preparation and sequencing were conducted at the Washington State University Spokane

Genomics Core. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was quantified using the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer with the

dsDNA HS assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). One hundred nanograms of gDNA

were used as input for library preparation using the TruSeq Nano DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina,

San Diego, CA). gDNA was sheared using the M220 Focused-Ultrasonicater (Covaris, Woburn,

MA), followed by end repair, size selection (350-bp insert size), dA-tailing, adaptor ligation, and

library amplification by eight rounds of PCR. The quality of the DNA libraries was assessed by

Fragment Analyzer with the High Sensitivity NGS Fragment Analysis Kit (Advanced Analytical

Technologies, Ankeny, IA). Library concentrations were measured by the StepOnePlus Real-Time

PCR System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with the KAPA Library Quantification Kit

(Kapabiosystems, Wilmington, MA). Each library was labeled with an index sequence during the

adaptor-ligation step using Illumina's TruSeq Dual Indexing system (Illumina, San Diego, CA),

which contains 96 unique indices. The 120 libraries were split and pooled into two groups at equal

molar concentrations, with each library having a unique index within its group. The library pools

were diluted to 2 nM with Reticulocyte Standard Buffer (RSB; 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5) and

denatured with 0.1 M NaOH. Eighteen pM libraries were clustered in a high-output flow cell using

the HiSeq Cluster Kit v4 on a cBot (Illumina, San Diego, CA). After cluster generation, the flow cell

was loaded onto HiSeq 2500 for sequencing using the HiSeq SBS kit v4 (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

DNA was sequenced with paired-end, 100-bp chemistry among four lanes. Raw bcl files were

converted to fastq files and demultiplexed using the program bcl2fastq2.17.1.14

(https://github.com/thepler/bcl2fastq2).

Mapping and genotyping

Raw reads were trimmed to remove adapters with Trimgalore! *(--quality 0)*.[88] Trimmed reads for all

120 individuals were mapped to the *Xiphophorus maculatus* reference genome (v.5.0, RefSeq accession

number: GCF_002775205.1)[89] using BWA-MEM.[90] We used this reference genome because *X.*

maculatus was the only species in the family Poeciliidae with a chromosome-scale genome assembly.

On average, ~94% of trimmed reads mapped across all individuals (Table S4). After mapping, based

on percent of mapped reads, it became clear that one sample (sample MX16-205) labeled as

Pseudoxiphophorus from a sulfidic habitat was likely mislabeled, and this sample was excluded from all

further analyses. Note that we conducted the same analyses mapping to the *P. mexicana* reference

genome, and all results were consistent.

The resequenced genomes generated for this study had an average sequence depth of 3.8 ($\pm$ 0.4;

Table S4). We used the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK, v.3.5)[100] and Picard Tools[101] to mark

duplicate reads (using the MarkDuplicates tool) and realign mapped reads around indels (using the

RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner tools) following GATK best practices.[102,103] The resulting

realigned bam-file for each individual was used in subsequent analyses. GATK was also used to

identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) per population. HaplotypeCaller was used to

identify genotypes per individual with the *--ERC* GVCF flag.[100]

Samples were genotyped jointly on a per-species basis using GATK's GenotypeGVCFs tool after

combining GVCF files from the sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations using the GATK

CombineGVCFs tool. Genotypes were identified using the *--include-non-variant-sites* flag to retain all

sites in the initial VCF. We recoded genotypes inferred as phased missing (.|.) as unphased missing

(./.) due to a formatting issue with VCFtools. We used the GATK SelectVariants to extract biallelic

SNPs from the original genotyped GVCF files, except for the d_{XY} analysis (see below). For all

analyses relying on biallelic SNPs, combined VCF files with both the sulfidic and nonsulfidic

populations of each species were filtered to exclude sites with >25% missing data, a minor allele

frequency below 5%, and alleles that were fixed for the non-reference allele were removed using

VCFtools v.0.1.17 with the following flags: *--max-missing 0.75*, *--remove-filtered-all*, *--maf 0.05*, and *--max-*

non-ref-af-any 0.999. After filtering, 1,994,206 biallelic SNPs were retained for *Poecilia*, 5,284,684 for

Pseudoxiphophorus, and 4,624,801 for *Xiphophorus*. For calculating d_{XY}, only indels and sites with >25%

missing data were removed from the merged VCF using VCFtools with the *-remove-indels* and *-max-*

missing 0.75 flags, respectively (note that this filtering retained monomorphic sites for accurate

estimation of d_{XY}).[104] This resulted in the retention of 439,564,228 monomorphic and biallelic sites

for *Poecilia*, 528,674,992 for *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and 611,098,475 for *Xiphophorus*.

Analyzing population structure

We assessed structure between populations in sulfidic and nonsulfidic habitats of each species. To

do so, we removed sites with an r^2 above 0.7 within 5 kb within VCFtools *(--geno-r2 --min-r2 0.7 --ld-*

window 5000), producing a set of unlinked SNPs for each species (62,738 in *Poecilia*, 64,405 in

Pseudoxiphophorus, and 65,515 in *Xiphophorus*). We investigated the extent of gene flow between

populations using FastStructure,[105] a maximum-likelihood-based assignment method that estimates

admixture proportions for each individual. FastStructure was run with the number of populations

(*K*) set as 2 to test if individuals cluster by habitat of origin (*i.e.*, sulfidic vs. nonsulfidic). Population

structure was further examined by principal component analysis (PCA) based on a variance-

standardized genetic relationship matrix computed with *PLINK* v.2.00.[106]

From the structure analyses, we identified an admixed individual of *P. mexicana* that was likely an F1

hybrid between the sulfidic and non-sulfidic populations (MX16-023). We also identified another

admixed individual in *P. mexicana* that was possibly an F1 or F2 backcross (MX16-121). We removed

these admixed individuals from the *Poecilia* SNP sets for downstream analyses.

Reciprocal translocation experiments

To experimentally validate local adaptation[32] and test for natural selection against migrants between

sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations,[33] we conducted reciprocal translocation experiments using

previously established approaches.[19,107] Large (20-liter) plastic buckets were placed into the two

habitat types as experimental mesocosms. Two holes (18 × 32 cm) were cut into opposite sides of

each bucket and sealed with 1.5 mm plastic mesh to allow the free exchange of water with the

environment. Approximately 50 small holes (<1 mm) were drilled into the bucket lids to facilitate air

exchange. Mesocosms were placed into shallow areas of the sulfidic and nonsulfidic streams, seeded

with a 3–4 cm layer of natural substrate, and allowed to settle overnight before the start of the

experiment. We established ten mesocosms in each habitat. Water conditions in these mesocosms

have been shown to closely match conditions in the surrounding stream.[107]

We collected adult *Poecilia*, *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and *Xiphophorus* by seine and placed them in insulated

coolers with aerated water for transport to the mesocosm locations. Five haphazardly chosen

individuals of the same species and habitat type were introduced into a mesocosm. Half of the

mesocosms in each habitat were used as controls to test the survival of resident fish, and the other

half to test survival of fish from the opposite habitat type. Transportation and handling times were

minimal (<1 hour) and were balanced for resident and translocated individuals. Fish were measured

for standard length prior to introduction. Experiments ran for ~20 hours before termination.

Following the experimental period, we quantified survival and returned surviving individuals to their

original collection site.

To analyze variation in survival, we used a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) with a binomial

error distribution and a logit-link function, as implemented in the R package LME4.[108] Survival

(binary data: 0 = died; 1 = survived) was used as the response variable. We included species, habitat

of origin (sulfidic vs. nonsulfidic), and testing habitat (sulfidic vs. non-sulfidic) as fixed effects

(including their interactions terms), and standard length ($\log_{10}$-transformed) as a covariate.

Additionally, mesocosm ID was included as a random effect.

Evidence for convergence at a genomic level

The final objective of this study was to identify genomic signatures of convergent evolution. To do

so, we leveraged the genome resequencing data described above. We analyzed patterns of molecular

evolution in the mitochondrial genomes of focal populations and explored patterns of divergence

and signatures of selection in the nuclear genomes as well.

Mitochondrial genome assembly and analysis

Trimmed reads from the whole-genome re-sequencing were used as input for NOVOPlasty,[109]

which allows for *de novo* assembly and variant-calling in short circular genomes. The *X. maculatus*

reference mitochondrial genome (NCBI Accession NC_011379) was used as the seed input and

reference sequence. Sequences for the 13 protein-coding genes were identified using

MitoAnnotator.[110]

To investigate the evolutionary history of mitochondrial genomes among populations, we performed

phylogenetic analyses using 12 of the 13 protein-coding mitochondrial genes (*atp8* was excluded

from analyses due to poor assembly for this short gene in many individuals). For each gene, we

aligned nucleotide sequences of all individuals using the default options of the MAFFT progressive

alignment method,[111] guided by a translated amino acid sequence with TranslatorX.[112] We used

PopART v.1.7[113] to construct a TCS haplotype network[114] for the concatenated mitochondrial

sequences of each population pair separately.

Since mitochondria and OxPhos are the direct target of H_2S toxicity, we analyzed patterns of

molecular evolution and the effect of positive selection in lineages from sulfidic habitats for 12 of

the 13 mitochondrially encoded protein-coding genes, following a previously developed approach.[25]

In brief, because of the high coverage of the RNAseq data, we used GATK[100] to realign BWA-MEM

mapped RNAseq reads around indels and used the UnifiedGenotyper to detect variants following

GATK best practices.[102,103] We genotyped individuals on a per-population basis using the

UnifiedGenotyper EMIT_ALL_SITES output mode to create a VCF-file for each population. We

then used a custom pipeline to fill positions lacking sequence data in each VCF with Ns, rather than

the GATK default (which fills in missing data with the reference allele).[115] We used BCFtools v.1.3

to designate the genotype at all variable sites as the major allele in each population's VCF-file with

the setGT tool.[116] Using the coordinates for each mitochondrial gene from the *X. maculatus* reference

genome gene annotation file (GTF), we created population-specific consensus sequences for each

mitochondrial gene across all individuals in a population using the consensus tool in BCFtools. We

aligned nucleotide sequences for all populations using the MAFFT progressive alignment method[111]

guided by the translated amino acid sequence as implemented in TranslatorX,[112] producing an in-

frame multispecies alignment of each gene. We constructed gene-specific phylogenies (gene trees)

for each sequence using the DNAML program in PHYLIP v.3.696.[117]

To identify mitochondrial genes that have experienced positive selection in multiple sulfide spring

populations, as evidenced by an excess rate of non-synonymous to synonymous substitutions (ω) for

codons in each mitochondrial gene across the sulfidic branches of our phylogenies, we used branch

models implemented by codeml in PAML v.4.9a.[118] For each mitochondrial gene, codeml was run

using the in-frame multispecies alignment and gene tree with the three sulfidic populations

designated as foreground branches and nonsulfidic populations as background branches, allowing us

to test whether ω differed between foreground and background branches in a two-ratio branch

model (M2; model = 2, NSsites = 0) compared against a null model with a single ω value for all

branches (M0; model = 0, NSsites = 0). A likelihood-ratio test was used to assess whether models

significantly differed for each gene, with the test statistic calculated as two times the difference

between the ln-likelihood of the branch model and the ln-likelihood of the null model, and *P*-values

calculated from a c^2 approximation.[119]

Characterizing the genomic landscape of divergence

To characterize the landscape of genomic divergence, we calculated genomic differentiation (F_{ST},

relative divergence) and divergence (d_{XY}, absolute divergence) between the sulfidic and nonsulfidic

population of each species across non-overlapping 5-kb windows spanning the genome from our

filtered dataset (excluding the two putative, early-stage hybrids in *Poecilia*, as described above) using

the popgenWindows.py script.[120–122] Divergent selection between habitats should lead to elevated

levels of both F_{ST} and d_{XY} at and around loci under selection (those contributing to the maintenance

of adaptive divergence), while regions of the genome with reduced diversity in one or both

populations (likely a consequence of background selection or low recombination rates) are expected

to exhibit elevated F_{ST} but not d_{XY}.[34,37,123] To identify probable targets of divergent selection between

sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations, we used a composite outlier analysis of both statistics as

implemented in the R package MINOTAUR.[38] First, we converted F_{ST} and d_{XY} to rank-based *P*-values

using a right-tailed test, then –$\log_{10}$-transformed these *P*-values, and calculated the Mahalanobis

distances among windows (the "Md-rank-P" method recommended for summary statistics).[39] We

considered windows in the top 1% of the resulting Mahalanobis distance distribution as genomic

regions likely experiencing divergent natural selection between habitat types in each species. We also

identified regions that were classified as outlier windows across all three species and between each

pairwise combination of species.

We investigated the link between divergent selection and the putative biological functions of genes

found in these outlier windows for each species using a GO-enrichment analysis (as described

above). We first identified genes in outlier regions from the *X. maculatus* genome annotation file

(GTF) using the *intersect* tool in BEDTools v.2.25.0.[124] We then annotated all genes that had a match

in the human SWISSPROT database (21,891 genes) with GO IDs and tested for enrichment of

specific GO IDs in outlier regions relative to all human annotated genes in the *X. maculatus* genome

using GORILLA (*P*-value threshold: 0.0001, accessed 09/29/2020).[99] In total, 12,247 unique

SWISSPROT annotations were associated with a GO term.

Scans for positive selection

To further test for signals of natural selection driving genomic divergence between sulfidic and

nonsulfidic populations, we implemented the cross-population composite likelihood ratio (XP-

CLR)[40] test to identify regions of the genome with evidence for selective sweeps in the sulfide spring

population of each species based on differentiation in multilocus allele frequencies compared to the

nonsulfidic population. We further filtered our biallelic SNP data to include only sites with no more

than 10% missing data (957,379 in *Poecilia*, 2,885,156 in *Pseudoxiphophorus*, and 3,419,489 in

Xiphophorus), and limited our analyzes to chromosomes in the assembly, excluding all short scaffolds.

For XP-CLR, the local two-dimensional site-frequency-spectrum (SFS) was compared to the

genome-wide two-dimensional SFS to identify genomic regions putatively under selection.[40] For

each species, we designated the nonsulfidic population as the reference population, and the sulfidic

population was the target population for each of the comparisons. Prior to running XP-CLR, we

partitioned the genome into discrete 25-kb windows. If a window contained more than 200 SNPs,

only the first 200 SNPs were used to calculate the composite likelihood ratio. The XP-CLR test

statistic was not calculated if a window contained fewer than ten SNPs. We considered windows

within the top 1% as putative selective sweeps.

Data Availability

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the community of Teapa, especially the owners of Rancho La Gloria, for

providing access to study sites, and to the Centro de Investigación e Innovación para la Enseñanza y

Aprendizaje (CIIEA) for their hospitality and support during the nearly decade-long course of this

project. We thank N. Barts, C. Carson, Z. Culumber, T. Doumas, G. W. Hopper, C. N. Passow, and

E. A. Renner for assistance in the field, as well as O. Cornejo, K. B. Gido, A. G. Hope, D. A.

Marques, and T. J. Morgan for their comments and discussions. This work was supported by grants

from the NSF (IOS-1557860, IOS-1931657, and IOS-2311366) and the US Army Research Office

(W911NF-15-1-0175, W911NF-16-1-0225) to JLK and MT. RG was supported by the American

Museum of Natural History (Theodore Roosevelt Memorial Fund grant), Friends of Sunset Zoo

(Conservation Scholar Program), American Livebearer Association (Vern Parish Fund award),

Society for the Study of Evolution (Rosemary Grant Advanced Graduate Research Excellence

Grant), American Society of Naturalists (Student Research Award), and a NSF Graduate Research

Fellowship (DGE-1746899). MT was supported by the Des Lee Collaborative Vision in Zoological

Studies.

References

1.   Schluter, D., and Nagel, L.M. (1995). Parallel speciation by natural selection. Am. Nat. *146*,
292–301. 10.1086/285799.

2.   Kaeuffer, R., Peichel, C.L., Bolnick, D.I., and Hendry, A.P. (2012). Parallel and nonparallel
aspects of ecological, phenotypic, and genetic divergence across replicate population pairs of
lake and stream stickleback. Evolution *66*, 402–418. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01440.x.

3.   Blount, Z.D., Lenski, R.E., and Losos, J.B. (2018). Contingency and determinism in evolution:
Replaying life's tape. Science *362*, eaam5979. 10.1126/science.aam5979.

4.   Rosenblum, E.B., Parent, C.E., and Brandt, E.E. (2014). The molecular basis of convergent
evolution. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. *45*, 203–226.

5.   Colosimo, P.F., Hosemann, K.E., Balabhadra, S., Villarreal, G., Dickson, M., Grimwood, J.,
Schmutz, J., Myers, R.M., Schluter, D., and Kingsley, D.M. (2005). Widespread parallel
evolution in sticklebacks by repeated fixation of ectodysplasin alleles. Science *307*, 1928–1933.

6.   Rosenblum, E.B., Römpler, H., Schöneberg, T., and Hoekstra, H.E. (2010). Molecular and
functional basis of phenotypic convergence in white lizards at White Sands. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. U. S. A. *107*, 2113–2117.

7.   Chaturvedi, S., Gompert, Z., Feder, J.L., Osborne, O.G., Muschick, M., Riesch, R., Soria-
Carrasco, V., and Nosil, P. (2022). Climatic similarity and genomic background shape the
extent of parallel adaptation in Timema stick insects. Nat Ecol Evol *6*, 1952–1964.
10.1038/s41559-022-01909-6.

8.   Yeaman, S., Hodgins, K.A., Lotterhos, K.E., Suren, H., Nadeau, S., Degner, J.C., Nurkowski,
K.A., Smets, P., Wang, T., Gray, L.K., et al. (2016). Convergent local adaptation to climate in
distantly related conifers. Science *353*, 1431–1433. 10.1126/science.aaf7812.

9.   Conte, G.L., Arnegard, M.E., Peichel, C.L., and Schluter, D. (2012). The probability of genetic
parallelism and convergence in natural populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society B *279*,
5039–5047. 10.1098/rspb.2012.2146.

10.   Soria-Carrasco, V., Gompert, Z., Comeault, A.A., Farkas, T.E., Parchman, T.L., Johnston, J.S.,
Buerkle, C.A., Feder, J.L., Bast, J., Schwander, T., et al. (2014). Stick insect genomes reveal
natural selection's role in parallel speciation. Science *344*, 738–742. 10.1126/science.1252136.

11.   Stuart, Y.E., Veen, T., Weber, J.N., Hanson, D., Ravinet, M., Lohman, B.K., Thompson, C.J.,
Tasneem, T., Doggett, A., Izen, R., et al. (2017). Contrasting effects of envrionment and
genetics generate a continuum of parallel evolution. Nature Ecology & Evolution *1*, 0158.

12.   Morales, H.E., Faria, R., Johannesson, K., Larsson, T., Panova, M., Westram, A.M., and Butlin,
R.K. (2019). Genomic architecture of parallel ecological divergence: Beyond a single
environmental contrast. Sci. Adv. *5*, eaav9963. 10.1126/sciadv.aav9963.

13.   Rosenblum, E.B., and Harmon, L.J. (2011). "Same same but different": replicated ecological
speciation at White Sands. Evolution *65*, 946–960. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.01190.x.

14.   Raeymaekers, J.A.M., Chaturvedi, A., Hablützel, P.I., Verdonck, I., Hellemans, B., Maes, G.E.,
De Meester, L., and Volckaert, F.A.M. (2017). Adaptive and non-adaptive divergence in a
common landscape. Nat. Commun. *8*. 10.1038/s41467-017-00256-6.

15.   Weber, A.A.-T., Rajkov, J., Smailus, K., Egger, B., and Salzburger, W. (2021). Speciation
dynamics and extent of parallel evolution along a lake-stream environmental contrast in African
cichlid fishes. Sci Adv *7*, eabg5391. 10.1126/sciadv.abg5391.

16. Tobler, M., Passow, C.N., Greenway, R., Kelley, J.L., and Shaw, J.H. (2016). The evolutionary
ecology of animals inhabiting hydrogen sulfide-rich environments. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.
47, 239–262. 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-121415-032418.

17. Cooper, C.E., and Brown, G.C. (2008). The inhibition of mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase by
the gases carbon monoxide, nitric oxide, hydrogen cyanide and hydrogen sulfide: chemical
mechanism and physiological significance. Journal of Bioenergy and Biomembranes *40*, 533–
539.

18. Tobler, M., Kelley, J.L., Plath, M., and Riesch, R. (2018). Extreme environments and the origins
of biodiversity: adaptation and speciation in sulphide spring fishes. Mol. Ecol. *27*, 843–859.
10.1111/mec.14497.

19. Plath, M., Pfenninger, M., Lerp, H., Riesch, R., Eschenbrenner, C., Slattery, P.A., Bierbach, D.,
Herrmann, N., Schulte, M., Arias-Rodriguez, L., et al. (2013). Genetic differentiation and
selection against migrants in evolutionarily replicated extreme environments. Evolution *67*,
2647–2661. 10.1111/evo.12133.

20. Riesch, R., Tobler, M., Lerp, H., Jourdan, J., Doumas, T., Nosil, P., Langerhans, R.B., and
Plath, M. (2016). Extremophile Poeciliidae: multivariate insights into the complexity of
speciation along replicated ecological gradients. BMC Evol. Biol. *16*, 136. 10.1186/s12862-016-
0705-1.

21. Pfenninger, M., Lerp, H., Tobler, M., Passow, C., Kelley, J.L., Funke, E., Greshake, B., Erkoc,
U.K., Berberich, T., and Plath, M. (2014). Parallel evolution of cox genes in H2S-tolerant fish
as key adaptation to a toxic envrionment. Nat. Commun. *5*, 3873.

22. Pfenninger, M., Patel, S., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Feldmeyer, B., Riesch, R., and Plath, M. (2015).
Unique evolutionary trajectories in repeated adaptation to hydrogen sulphide-toxic habitats of a
neotropical fish (*Poecilia mexicana*). Mol. Ecol. *24*, 5446–5459. 10.1111/mec.13397.

23. Brown, A.P., McGowan, K.L., Schwarzkopf, E.J., Greenway, R., Rodriguez, L.A., Tobler, M.,
and Kelley, J.L. (2019). Local ancestry analysis reveals genomic convergence in extremophile
fishes. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *374*, 20180240. 10.1098/rstb.2018.0240.

24. Brown, A.P., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Yee, M.-C., Tobler, M., and Kelley, J.L. (2018). Concordant
changes in gene expression and nucleotides underlie independent adaptation to hydrogen-
sulfide-rich environments. Genome Biol. Evol. *10*, 2867–2881. 10.1093/gbe/evy198.

25. Greenway, R., Barts, N., Henpita, C., Brown, A.P., Arias Rodriguez, L., Rodríguez Peña, C.M.,
Arndt, S., Lau, G.Y., Murphy, M.P., Wu, L., et al. (2020). Convergent evolution of conserved
mitochondrial pathways underlies repeated adaptation to extreme environments. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *117*, 16424–16430. 10.1073/pnas.2004223117.

26. Ryan, K., Greenway, R., Landers, J., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Tobler, M., and Kelley, J.L. (2023).
Selection on standing genetic variation mediates convergent evolution in extremophile fish.
Mol. Ecol. *32*, 5042–5054. 10.1111/mec.17081.

27.   Tobler, M., Greenway, R., and Kelley, J.L. (2021). Ecology drives the degree of convergence in
the gene expression of extremophile fishes. bioRxiv, 2021.12.13.472416.
10.1101/2021.12.13.472416.

28.   Tobler, M., and Plath, M. (2011). Living in extreme habitats. In Ecology and evolution of
poeciliid fishes, J. Evans, A. Pilastro, and I. Schlupp, eds. (University of Chicago Press), pp.
120–127.

29.   Wang, M., Zhao, Y., and Zhang, B. (2015). Efficient Test and Visualization of Multi-Set
Intersections. Sci. Rep. *5*, 16923. 10.1038/srep16923.

30.   Passow, C.N., Henpita, C., Shaw, J.H., Quackenbush, C.R., Warren, W.C., Schartl, M., Arias-
Rodriguez, L., Kelley, J.L., and Tobler, M. (2017). The roles of plasticity and evolutionary
change in shaping gene expression variation in natural populations of extremophile fish. Mol.
Ecol. *26*, 6384–6399. 10.1111/mec.14360.

31.   Tobler, M., Henpita, C., Bassett, B., Kelley, J.L., and Shaw, J.H. (2014). H_2S exposure elicits
differential expression of candidate genes in fish adapted to sulfidic and non-sulfidic
environments. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol. *175*, 7–14.
10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.04.012.

32.   Kawecki, T.J., and Ebert, D. (2004). Conceptual issues in local adaptation. Ecol. Lett. *7*, 1225–
1241.

33.   Nosil, P., Vines, T.H., and Funk, D.J. (2005). Perspective: Reproductive isolation caused by
natural selection against immigrants from divergent habitats. Evolution *59*, 705–719.

34.   Ravinet, M., Faria, R., Butlin, R.K., Galindo, J., Bierne, N., Rafajlović, M., Noor, M.A.F.,
Mehlig, B., and Westram, A.M. (2017). Interpreting the genomic landscape of speciation: a road
map for finding barriers to gene flow. J. Evol. Biol. *30*, 1450–1477. 10.1111/jeb.13047.

35.   Nosil, P., and Feder, J.L. (2012). Genomic divergence during speciation: causes and
consequences. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *367*, 332–342.

36.   Rand, D.M., Haney, R.A., and Fry, A.J. (2004). Cytonuclear coevolution: the genomics of
cooperation. Trends Ecol. Evol. *19*, 645–653.

37.   Cruickshank, T.E., and Hahn, M.W. (2014). Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of
speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. Mol. Ecol. *23*, 3133–3157.
10.1111/mec.12796.

38.   Verity, R., Collins, C., Card, D.C., Schaal, S.M., Wang, L., and Lotterhos, K.E. (2017).
minotaur: A platform for the analysis and visualization of multivariate results from genome
scans with R Shiny. Mol. Ecol. Resour. *17*, 33–43. 10.1111/1755-0998.12579.

39.   Lotterhos, K.E., Card, D.C., Schaal, S.M., Wang, L., Collins, C., and Verity, B. (2017).
Composite measures of selection can improve the signal-to-noise ratio in genome scans.
Methods Ecol. Evol. *8*, 717–727. 10.1111/2041-210x.12774.

40. Chen, H., Patterson, N., and Reich, D. (2010). Population differentiation as a test for selective
sweeps. Genome Res. *20*, 393–402. 10.1101/gr.100545.109.

41. Tobler, M., Palacios, M., Chapman, L.J., Mitrofanov, I., Bierbach, D., Plath, M., Arias-
Rodriguez, L., de León, F.J.G., and Mateos, M. (2011). Evolution in extreme environments:
replicated phenotypic differentiation in livebearing fish inhabiting sulfidic springs. Evolution
65, 2213–2228. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01298.x.

42. Tobler, M., and Hastings, L. (2011). Convergent patterns of body shape differentiation in four
different clades of poeciliid fishes inhabiting sulfide springs. Evol. Biol. *38*, 412–421.
10.1007/s11692-011-9129-4.

43. Camarillo, H., Arias Rodriguez, L., and Tobler, M. (2020). Functional consequences of
phenotypic variation between locally adapted populations: swimming performance and
ventilation in extremophile fish. J. Evol. Biol. *33*, 512–523. 10.1111/jeb.13586.

44. Langerhans, R.B., Chapman, L.J., and DeWitt, T.J. (2007). Complex phenotype-environment
associations revealed in an East African cyprinid. J. Evol. Biol. *20*, 1171–1181.

45. Chapman, L.J., Galis, F., and Shinn, J. (2000). Phenotypic plasticity and the possible role of
genetic assimilation: Hypoxia-induced trade-offs in the morphological traits of an African
cichlid. Ecol. Lett. *3*, 387–393.

46. Kelley, J.L., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Patacsil Martin, D., Yee, M.-C., Bustamante, C.D., and Tobler,
M. (2016). Mechanisms underlying adaptation to life in hydrogen sulfide-rich environments.
Mol. Biol. Evol. *33*, 1419–1434. 10.1093/molbev/msw020.

47. Tobler, M., Dewitt, T.J., Schlupp, I., García de León, F.J., Herrmann, R., Feulner, P.G.D.,
Tiedemann, R., and Plath, M. (2008). Toxic hydrogen sulfide and dark caves: phenotypic and
genetic divergence across two abiotic environmental gradients in *Poecilia mexicana*. Evolution *62*,
2643–2659. 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00466.x.

48. Barts, N. (2020). Comparative physiology and the predictability of evolution in extreme
environments.

49. Hill, G.E. (2019). Mitonuclear Ecology. Mol. Biol. Evol. *32*, 1917–1927.
10.1093/molbev/msv104.

50. Pietri, R., Roman-Morales, E., and Lopez-Garriga, J. (2011). Hydrogen sulfide and heme
proteins: knowledge and mysteries. Antioxid. Redox Signal. *15*, 393–404.

51. Evans, S.V., Sishta, B.P., Mauk, A.G., and Brayer, G.D. (1994). Three-dimensional structure of
cyanomet-sulfmyoglobin C. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *91*, 4723–4726.

52. Barts, N., Greenway, R., Passow, C.N., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Kelley, J.L., and Tobler, M. (2018).
Molecular evolution and expression of oxygen transport genes in livebearing fishes (Poeciliidae)
from hydrogen sulfide rich springs. Genome *61*, 273–286. 10.1139/gen-2017-0051.

53.   Shultz, A.J., and Sackton, T.B. (2019). Immune genes are hotspots of shared positive selection
across birds and mammals. Elife *8*. 10.7554/eLife.41815.

54.   Tobler, M., Plath, M., Riesch, R., Schlupp, I., Grasse, A., Munimanda, G.K., Setzer, C., Penn,
D.J., and Moodley, Y. (2014). Selection from parasites favours immunogenetic diversity but not
divergence among locally adapted host populations. J. Evol. Biol. *27*, 960–974.
10.1111/jeb.12370.

55.   Hotaling, S., Quackenbush, C.R., Bennett-Ponsford, J., New, D.D., Arias-Rodriguez, L.,
Tobler, M., and Kelley, J.L. (2019). Bacterial Diversity in Replicated Hydrogen Sulfide-Rich
Streams. Microb. Ecol. *77*, 559–573. 10.1007/s00248-018-1237-6.

56.   Hooper, L.V., Littman, D.R., and Macpherson, A.J. (2012). Interactions between the
microbiota and the immune system. Science *336*, 1268–1273. 10.1126/science.1223490.

57.   Zhang, F., and Broughton, R.E. (2013). Mitochondrial-nuclear interactions: compensatory
evolution or variable functional constraint among vertebrate oxidative phosphorylation genes?
Genome Biol. Evol. *5*, 1781–1791.

58.   Olson, K.R. (2018). H_2S and polysulfide metabolism: Conventional and unconventional
pathways. Biochem. Pharmacol. *149*, 77–90. 10.1016/j.bcp.2017.12.010.

59.   Stipanuk, M.H., and Ueki, I. (2011). Dealing with methionine/homocysteine sulfur: cysteine
metabolism to taurine and inorganic sulfur. J. Inherit. Metab. Dis. *34*, 17–32. 10.1007/s10545-
009-9006-9.

60.   Yeaman, S. (2015). Local Adaptation by Alleles of Small Effect. Am. Nat. *186 Suppl 1*, S74-89.
10.1086/682405.

61.   Simons, Y.B., Bullaughey, K., Hudson, R.R., and Sella, G. (2018). A population genetic
interpretation of GWAS findings for human quantitative traits. PLoS Biol. *16*, e2002985.
10.1371/journal.pbio.2002985.

62.   Whiting, J.R., Paris, J.R., van der Zee, M.J., and Fraser, B.A. (2022). AF-vapeR : A multivariate
genome scan for detecting parallel evolution using allele frequency change vectors. Methods
Ecol. Evol. 10.1111/2041-210x.13952.

63.   Barghi, N., Tobler, R., Nolte, V., Jakšić, A.M., Mallard, F., Otte, K.A., Dolezal, M., Taus, T.,
Kofler, R., and Schlötterer, C. (2019). Genetic redundancy fuels polygenic adaptation in
Drosophila. PLoS Biol. *17*, e3000128. 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000128.

64.   Barghi, N., Hermisson, J., and Schlötterer, C. (2020). Polygenic adaptation: a unifying
framework to understand positive selection. Nat. Rev. Genet. *21*, 769–781. 10.1038/s41576-
020-0250-z.

65.   Láruson, Á.J., Yeaman, S., and Lotterhos, K.E. (2020). The importance of genetic redundancy
in evolution. Trends Ecol. Evol. *35*, 809–822. 10.1016/j.tree.2020.04.009.

66.   Steiner, C.C., Römpler, H., Boettger, L.M., Schöneberg, T., and Hoekstra, H.E. (2009). The
genetic basis of phenotypic convergence in beach mice: similar pigment patterns but different
genes. Mol. Biol. Evol. *26*, 35–45. 10.1093/molbev/msn218.

67.   Mack, K.L., and Nachman, M.W. (2017). Gene Regulation and Speciation. Trends Genet. *33*,
68–80. 10.1016/j.tig.2016.11.003.

68.   Hebert, F.O., Renaut, S., and Bernatchez, L. (2013). Targeted sequence capture and
resequencing implies a predominant role of regulatory regions in the divergence of a sympatric
lake whitefish species pair (Coregonus clupeaformis). Mol. Ecol. *22*, 4896–4914.
10.1111/mec.12447.

69.   Seehausen, O., Butlin, R.K., Keller, I., Wagner, C.E., Boughman, J.W., Hohenlohe, P.A.,
Peichel, C.L., Saetre, G.P., Bank, C., Brannstrom, A., et al. (2014). Genomics and the origin of
species. Nat. Rev. Genet. *15*, 176–192. 10.1038/nrg3644.

70.   Ishikawa, A., Kusakabe, M., Yoshida, K., Ravinet, M., Makino, T., Toyoda, A., Fujiyama, A.,
and Kitano, J. (2017). Different contributions of local- and distant-regulatory changes to
transcriptome divergence between stickleback ecotypes. Evolution *71*, 565–581.
10.1111/evo.13175.

71.   Kautt, A.F., Kratochwil, C.F., Nater, A., Machado-Schiaffino, G., Olave, M., Henning, F.,
Torres-Dowdall, J., Härer, A., Hulsey, C.D., Franchini, P., et al. (2020). Contrasting signatures
of genomic divergence during sympatric speciation. Nature *588*, 106–111. 10.1038/s41586-
020-2845-0.

72.   Flaxman, S.M., Wacholder, A.C., Feder, J.L., and Nosil, P. (2014). Theoretical models of the
influence of genomic architecture on the dynamics of speciation. Mol. Ecol. *23*, 4074–4088.
10.1111/mec.12750.

73.   Renaut, S., Grassa, C.J., Yeaman, S., Moyers, B.T., Lai, Z., Kane, N.C., Bowers, J.E., Burke,
J.M., and Rieseberg, L.H. (2013). Genomic islands of divergence are not affected by geography
of speciation in sunflowers. Nat. Commun. *4*, 1827.

74.   Han, F., Lamichhaney, S., Grant, B.R., Grant, P.R., Andersson, L., and Webster, M.T. (2017).
Gene flow, ancient polymorphism, and ecological adaptation shape the genomic landscape of
divergence among Darwin's finches. Genome Res. *27*, 1004–1015. 10.1101/gr.212522.116.

75.   Feder, J.L., Nosil, P., Wacholder, A.C., Egan, S.P., Berlocher, S.H., and Flaxman, S.M. (2014).
Genome-wide congealing and rapid transitions across the speciation continuum during
speciation with gene flow. J. Hered. *105 Suppl 1*, 810–820. 10.1093/jhered/esu038.

76.   Greenway, R., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Diaz, P., and Tobler, M. (2014). Patterns of
macroinvertebrate and fish diversity in freshwater sulphide springs. Diversity *6*, 597–632.
10.3390/d6030597.

77.   Palacios, M., Arias-Rodriguez, L., Plath, M., Eifert, C., Lerp, H., Lamboj, A., Voelker, G., and
Tobler, M. (2013). The rediscovery of a long described species reveals additional complexity in

speciation patterns of poeciliid fishes in sulfide springs. PLoS One *8*, e71069.
10.1371/journal.pone.0071069.

78. Álvarez del Villar, J. (1948). Descripción de una nueva especie de *Mollienisia* capturada en Baños
del Azufre, Tabasco (Pisces, Poeciliidae). Anales de la Escuela Nacional de Ciencias Biológicas
5, 275–281.

79. Rohlf, F.J. (2004). tpsDig. Available from http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/.

80. Zelditch, M.L., Swiderski, D.L., and David Sheets, H. (2012). Geometric Morphometrics for
Biologists: A Primer (Academic Press).

81. Adams, D. C., M. L. Collyer, A. Kaliontzopoulou, and E.K. Balken (2021). Geomorph:
Software for geometric morphometric analyses.

82. Langerhans, R.B. (2009). Trade-off between steady and unsteady swimming underlies predator-
driven divergence in Gambusia affinis. J. Evol. Biol. *22*, 1057–1075.

83. Klingenberg, C.P., and Spence, J.R. (1993). Heterochrony and allometry: lessons from the
water strider genus Limnoporus. Evolution *47*, 1834–1853.

84. Butler, I.B., Schoonen, M.A.A., and Rickard, D.T. (1994). Removal of dissolved oxygen from
water: a comparison of four common techniques. Talanta *41*, 211–215.

85. Therneau, T. (2021). A package for survival analysis in R.

86. Kassambara, A., Kosinski, M., and Biecek, P. (2021). survminer: drawing survival curves using
"ggplot2."

87. Evans, D.H., and Claiborne, J.B. (2006). The physiology of fishes. In (Taylor & Francis).

88. Krueger, F. (2014). Trim Galore!
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/.

89. Schartl, M., Walter, R.B., Shen, Y., Garcia, T., Catchen, J., Amores, A., Braasch, I., Chalopin,
D., Volff, J.-N., Lesch, K.-P., et al. (2013). The genome of the platyfish, Xiphophorus
maculatus, provides insights into evolutionary adaptation and several complex traits. Nat.
Genet. *45*, 567–572. 10.1038/ng.2604.

90. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. Bioinformatics *25*, 1754–1760. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.

91. Genomics, I.S.U. GFF2FASTA.

92. Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden,
T.L. (2009). BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics *10*, 421.
10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

93. Pertea, M., Pertea, G.M., Antonescu, C.M., Chang, T.-C., Mendell, J.T., and Salzberg, S.L.
(2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads.
Nat. Biotechnol. *33*, 290–295. 10.1038/nbt.3122.

94. Pertea, M., Kim, D., Pertea, G.M., Leek, J.T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2016). Transcript-level
expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. Nat.
Protoc. *11*, 1650–1667. 10.1038/nprot.2016.095.

95. Warnes, G.R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., Liaw, A., Lumley, T.,
Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., et al. (2009). gplots: various R programming tools
for plotting data.

96. Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J., and Smyth, G.K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics *26*, 139–140.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.

97. Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and
powerful approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. *57*, 289–300. 10.1111/j.2517-
6161.1995.tb02031.x.

98. Harris, M.A., Clark, J., Ireland, A., Lomax, J., Ashburner, M., Foulger, R., Eilbeck, K., Lewis,
S., Marshall, B., Mungall, C., et al. (2004). The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics
resource. Nucleic Acids Res. *32*, D258-61. 10.1093/nar/gkh036.

99. Eden, E., Navon, R., Steinfeld, I., Lipson, D., and Yakhini, Z. (2009). GOrilla: a tool for
discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics *10*,
48. 10.1186/1471-2105-10-48.

100. McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernytsky, A., Garimella,
K., Altshuler, D., Gabriel, S., Daly, M., et al. (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a
MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. *20*,
1297–1303.

101. Broad Institute (2018). Picard Toolkit.

102. DePristo, M., Banks, E., Poplin, R., Garimella, K., Maguire, J., Hartl., C., Philippakis, A., del
Angel, G., Rivas, M.A., Hanna, M., et al. (2011). A framework for variation discovery and
genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat. Genet. *43*, 491–498.

103. Van der Auwera, G.A., Carneiro, M.O., Hartl, C., Poplin, R., Del Angel, G., Levy-Moonshine,
A., Jordan, T., Shakir, K., Roazen, D., Thibault, J., et al. (2013). From FastQ data to high
confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. Curr. Protoc.
Bioinformatics *43*, 11.10.1-11.10.33. 10.1002/0471250953.bi1110s43.

104. Korunes, K.L., and Samuk, K. (2021). pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and
divergence in the presence of missing data. Mol. Ecol. Resour. *21*, 1359–1368. 10.1111/1755-
0998.13326.

105. Raj, A., Stephens, M., and Pritchard, J.K. (2014). fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of
population structure in large SNP data sets. Genetics *197*, 573–589.
10.1534/genetics.114.164350.

106. Chang, C.C., Chow, C.C., Tellier, L.C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S.M., and Lee, J.J. (2015). Second-
generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience *4*, 7.
10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8.

107. Tobler, M., Riesch, R., Tobler, C.M., Schulz-Mirbach, T., and Plath, M. (2009). Natural and
sexual selection against immigrants maintains differentiation among micro-allopatric
populations. J. Evol. Biol. *22*, 2298–2304. 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01844.x.

108. Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, Articles *67*, 1–48. 10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

109. Dierckxsens, N., Mardulyn, P., and Smits, G. (2017). NOVOPlasty: de novo assembly of
organelle genomes from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Res. *45*, e18. 10.1093/nar/gkw955.

110. Iwasaki, W., Fukunaga, T., Isagozawa, R., Yamada, K., Maeda, Y., Satoh, T.P., Sado, T.,
Mabuchi, K., Takeshima, H., Miya, M., et al. (2013). MitoFish and MitoAnnotator: a
mitochondrial genome database of fish with an accurate and automatic annotation pipeline.
Mol. Biol. Evol. *30*, 2531–2540. 10.1093/molbev/mst141.

111. Katoh, K., Kuma, K.-I., Toh, H., and Miyata, T. (2005). MAFFT version 5: improvement in
accuracy of multiple sequence alignment. Nucleic Acids Res. *33*, 511–518. 10.1093/nar/gki198.

112. Abascal, F., Zardoya, R., and Telford, M.J. (2010). TranslatorX: multiple alignment of
nucleotide sequences guided by amino acid translations. Nucleic Acids Res. *38*, W7-13.
10.1093/nar/gkq291.

113. Leigh, J.W., and Bryant, D. (2015). Popart : Full-feature software for haplotype network
construction. Methods Ecol. Evol. *6*, 1110–1116. 10.1111/2041-210x.12410.

114. Clement, M., Snell, Q., Walke, P., Posada, D., and Crandall, K. (2002). TCS: estimating gene
genealogies. In Proceedings 16th International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium
(IEEE). 10.1109/ipdps.2002.1016585.

115. Mapping to EVE (Github).

116. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G.,
Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence
Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

117. Felsenstein, J. (1989). PHYLIP - Phylogeny Inference Package (Version 3.2). Cladistics *5*, 164–
166.

118. Yang, Z. (2007). PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol. *24*,
1586–1591.

119. Zhang, J., Nielsen, R., and Yang, Z. (2005). Evaluation of an improved branch-site likelihood
method for detecting positive selection at the molecular level. Mol. Biol. Evol. *22*, 2472–2479.

120. Martin, S.H., Davey, J.W., and Jiggins, C.D. (2015). Evaluating the use of ABBA-BABA
statistics to locate introgressed loci. Mol. Biol. Evol. *32*, 244–257. 10.1093/molbev/msu269.

121. Martin, S.H., Singh, K.S., Gordon, I.J., Omufwoko, K.S., Collins, S., Warren, I.A., Munby, H.,
Brattström, O., Traut, W., Martins, D.J., et al. (2020). Whole-chromosome hitchhiking driven
by a male-killing endosymbiont. PLoS Biol. *18*, e3000610. 10.1371/journal.pbio.3000610.

122. Martin, S. (2020). General tools for genomic analyses.

123. Delmore, K.E., Lugo Ramos, J.S., Van Doren, B.M., Lundberg, M., Bensch, S., Irwin, D.E.,
and Liedvogel, M. (2018). Comparative analysis examining patterns of genomic differentiation
across multiple episodes of population divergence in birds. Evol Lett *2*, 76–87.
10.1002/evl3.46.

124. Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841–842. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.

1148

1149

Figure 1. Sympatric populations of three highly divergent poeciliid lineages co-occur in a sulfide
spring and adjacent nonsulfidic stream in southern Mexico. A. Map of the study site, where yellow
points indicate the H_2S spring heads of the La Gloria spring system, while yellow lines indicate H_2S-
rich stream segments. Blue lines indicate the adjacent nonsulfidic stream system, Arroyo Caracol.
Inset photos depict representative habitats within the two streams (top: La Gloria, sulfidic; left:
Arroyo Caracol, nonsulfidic). B. Phylogeny of the family Poeciliidae highlighting the three focal
genera: *Poecilia* (orange), *Pseudoxiphophorus* (purple), and *Xiphophorus* (red). Each species' position
within its genus is denoted by the extended branch leading to representative photographs of a
sulfidic (S; top) and nonsulfidic (NS; bottom) male of each species. C. Nonsulfidic and sulfidic
habitats differed significantly in physical and chemical water parameters. Principal component
analysis indicated that sites within the La Gloria spring complex (yellow) and Arroyo Caracol (blue)
separated along the primary axis of variation, with nonsulfidic sites exhibiting higher dissolved
oxygen concentrations (DO) and pH and sulfidic sites exhibiting higher H_2S concentrations and
specific conductivities (SpC).

1164

1165

Figure 2. Phenotypic convergence in morphological and physiological traits of sympatric sulfidic

populations. A. Convergent morphological evolution among species between habitat types. Body

shape differentiation along a shared axis of divergence (habitat type) is depicted by the

transformation grids on the top. Significant differences in body shape between populations of each

species is depicted in insets (Table S1). B. Convergence in H_2S tolerance among all populations from

the sulfidic habitat. Cumulative survival in acute H_2S toxicity trials revealed significant differences

between populations, which were most pronounced in *P. mexicana* (Figure S1).

1173

Figure 3. Convergent shifts in gene expression underlie local adaptation. A. Hierarchical clustering

analysis indicates that gene expression profiles cluster by habitat type within each species. Each row

represents expression data for one gene, and each column corresponds to an individual. Genes with

higher relative expression in individuals from sulfidic habitats are indicated in red, genes with lower

relative expression in blue. B. Venn diagram showing the number of differentially expressed genes

between the sulfidic and nonsulfidic populations of each species and those shared among species.

Top numbers are genes upregulated in the sulfidic habitat; bottom numbers are downregulated

genes. C-E. Sulfidic populations consistently exhibit higher expression of key H_2S detoxification

genes (SQR: sulfide:quinone oxidoreductase; ETHE1: persulfide dioxygenase; 3-MPST: 3-

mercaptopyruvate sulfurtransferase) than nonsulfidic populations of the same species. Box plots of

counts per million (CPM) by population. All boxplots have the following elements: center line,

median; box limits, upper and lower quartiles; whiskers, ×1.5 interquartile range; points, outliers

closed circles.

1187

1188

Figure 4. Nonsulfidic and sulfidic populations of three sympatric species exhibit limited gene flow

between habitats mediated by strong natural selection against migrants. A. Admixture analyses

revealed substantial population genetic differentiation in each population pair. Individuals are

grouped by sampling site, corresponding with the detected genetic population clusters (K=2) shown

in blue (nonsulfidic) and yellow (sulfidic). B. Translocation experiments revealed strong selection

against migrants, especially those from nonsulfidic to sulfidic habitats (Table S5). Fish were

transferred between sites (test habitat) to estimate survival of migrants between habitats, with

individuals collected from the nonsulfidic population in blue and from the sulfidic population in

yellow.

1198

1199

Figure 5. Convergent patterns of genomic adaptation are limited to the mitochondrial genome and few nuclear loci. A. Haplotype networks based on concatenated mitochondrial genes indicate high levels of mitochondrial divergence between nonsulfidic (blue) and sulfidic (yellow) populations, with asymmetrical introgression of sulfidic mitochondria into nonsulfidic individuals. The relative size of each circle indicates the number of individuals with a particular mitochondrial haplotype, while numbers in parentheses represent the total number of nucleotide differences between haplotypes. B. Mahalanobis distance ($-\log_{10}$ P-values of F_{ST} and d_{XY}) of non-overlapping 5-kb windows across the genome as a measurement of genomic divergence driven by natural selection. Outlier windows (top 1%) unique to each population pair are shown in yellow, while those shared between two population pairs are in orange. The outlier windows shared among all three population pairs are denoted with red stars.