1

Nascent alt-protein chemoproteomics reveals a repressor of ribosome biogenesis

Xiongwen Cao[1,2], Alexandra Khitun[1,2], Cecelia M. Harold[3], Carson J. Bryant[4], Shu-Jian Zheng[1,2], Susan J. Baserga[3,4,5], Sarah A. Slavoff[1,2,4]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

[2]Institute of Biomolecular Design and Discovery, Yale University, West Haven, Connecticut 06516, United States

[3]Department of Genetics, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

[4]Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

[5]Department of Therapeutic Radiology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States

*Correspondence: sarah.slavoff@yale.edu

Abstract

Many unannotated microproteins and alternative proteins (alt-proteins) have recently been found to be co-encoded with canonical proteins, but few of their functions are known. Motivated by the hypothesis that alt-proteins undergoing active or stress-induced synthesis could play important cellular roles, here, we developed a chemoproteomic pipeline to identify nascent alt-proteins in human cells. We identified 22 actively translated unannotated alt-proteins, one of which is upregulated after DNA damage stress. We further defined MINAS-60 (*MI*croprotein that *N*egatively regulates *AS*sembly of the pre-*60*S ribosomal subunit), a nucleolar localized alt-protein co-encoded with human RBM10.

Depletion of MINAS-60 increases the amount of the mature 60S ribosomal

subunit, consequently upregulating global protein synthesis and cell proliferation

by repressing late-stage pre-60S assembly and export of the 60S ribosome

subunit to the cytoplasm. Together, these results implicate MINAS-60 as a

repressor of ribosome biogenesis, and demonstrate that chemoproteomics can

enable generation of functional hypotheses for uncharacterized alt-proteins.

Introduction

Expression of thousands of previously unannotated small open reading frames

(smORFs, typically defined as ORFs comprising fewer than 100 codons and, in

some studies, up to 150 codons[1]) has recently been revealed in mammalian

cells[2]. These smORFs are found in long non-coding RNAs, 5' and 3' untranslated

regions (UTRs) of mRNAs, and frame-shifted ORFs overlapping protein coding

sequences (CDS), the latter of which are termed alternative ORFs or "alt-ORFs"[3].

A rapidly increasing number of smORF-encoded proteins (SEPs, also known as

micropeptides and microproteins) and alt-ORF-encoded proteins (alt-proteins)[2]

have been shown to play important roles in vertebrate biology. For example, the

human SEPs BRAWNIN and MOCCI function in oxidative phosphorylation[4,5], and

MP31 is a tumor suppressor that regulates lactate metabolism in glioblastoma[6].

Fewer alt-proteins have been well-defined, including alt-FUS, which cooperates

with FUS in formation of cytotoxic aggregates[7], and alt-RPL36, which regulates

the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway[8]. Recently, genome-scale CRISPR screens

revealed that hundreds of smORFs regulate human cell growth and survival[9,10].

These studies demonstrate that defining the function of bioactive SEPs and alt-

proteins represents a major opportunity to gain insights into biological complexity.

Currently, there is proteomic and ribosome profiling evidence for tens of

thousands of human smORFs and alt-ORFs[3,11], but the overwhelming majority of

smORFs and alt-ORFs remain uncharacterized, in part because their short

lengths and, in some cases, limited conservation render homology-based

annotation challenging[12]. Furthermore, most alt-ORFs remain unstudied because

it is challenging to separate their functions from the canonical protein CDS in

which they are nested. Because strategies to identify alt-proteins that participate

in biological processes are currently in the developmental stages, it remains

unclear whether alt-proteins are broadly functional. We hypothesize that alt-

proteins with properties (e.g., chemical reactivity, regulated expression) similar to

those of canonical proteins are likely to play important cellular roles, and that

chemoproteomic strategies targeted to those properties can be leveraged to

identify functional alt-proteins. Providing precedent, a chemoproteomic profiling

study of microproteins containing reactive cysteine residues, which is a feature of

the active site of many enzymes, revealed 16 nucleophilic microproteins, though

their cellular roles were not explored in that study[13]. In this work, we test the

hypothesis that alt-proteins undergoing active or stress-induced synthesis are

likely to be functional, and we develop a chemoproteomic approach to identify

them.

Using this method, we identify an alt-ORF that overlaps the human RBM10 CDS

and encodes a repressor of ribosome large subunit (LSU) biogenesis. Ribosome

biogenesis is a highly spatially and temporally regulated cellular process

essential for growth and development[14-17]. In humans, ribosome biogenesis

starts in the nucleolus with the transcription of a 47S precursor rRNA (pre-rRNA)

by RNA polymerase I (RNAPI). The 47S pre-rRNA is chemically modified and

complexed with ribosome assembly factors and ribosomal proteins to form the

90S pre-ribosomal particle. Endonucleolytic cleavage of the 47S pre-rRNA

subsequently generates the pre-40S and pre-60S particles, which undergo

individual maturation and quality-control steps prior to export to the cytoplasm.

Pre-60S ribosomal particles have recently been probed by cryo-electron

microscopy (cryo-EM)[18,19], revealing a sequential maturation process involving

quality control checkpoints for 5S ribonucleoprotein particle incorporation and

rotation[20], active site formation, and removal of internal transcribed spacer 2

(ITS2) prior to export from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. In the cytoplasm, the

final steps of maturation occur to produce large 60S and small 40S subunits,

which associate to form translation-competent ribosomes. Dysregulated

ribosome biogenesis has been linked to numerous human disorders, including

cancer[21], Alzheimer's disease[22], and congenital disorders termed

ribosomopathies[23,24].

In this study, we developed a chemoproteomic pipeline to identify nascent alt-

proteins, which modifies a powerful previously reported strategy for metabolic

unnatural amino acid incorporation to be amenable to microprotein enrichment

and profiling, and identified 22 unannotated alt-proteins in a human cell line. We

confirmed the translation of six selected alt-proteins, and functionally defined one

alt-ORF nested in the human RBM10 CDS. We name the encoded alt-protein

MINAS-60, or *MI*croprotein that *N*egatively regulates *AS*sembly of the pre-*60*S

ribosomal subunit. We show that MINAS-60 localizes to the nucleolus,

associates with LSU assembly factors GTPBP4 and MRTO4, and co-fractionates

with pre-60S complexes in nuclear extracts. Finally, we engineer MINAS-60

knockdown and rescue cell lines to demonstrate that loss of MINAS-60 increases

cytoplasmic 60S ribosome subunit levels, global protein synthesis, and cell

proliferation. This is independent of the function of the canonical RBM10 protein,

which has been previously shown to inhibit cell proliferation through its role in

alternative pre-mRNA splicing[25-29]. These results implicate MINAS-60 as a rare

negative regulator of late nuclear steps in LSU biogenesis, and demonstrate that

chemoproteomic profiling can prioritize alt-ORFs for functional study.

Results

Chemoproteomic profiling of nascent alt-proteins

Motivated by the hypothesis that alt-proteins undergoing active synthesis or

stress-induced synthesis could play important cellular roles, we set out to

develop a chemoproteomic approach to identify newly translated alt-proteins

(Figure 1a). We leveraged bio-orthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging

(BONCAT), an *in vivo* labeling strategy to identify nascent proteins[30]. BONCAT

utilizes the methionine analogue azidohomoalanine (AHA), which can be

metabolically incorporated into all newly synthesized proteins by the endogenous

protein translation machinery. Previous BONCAT workflows included procedures,

such as column-based biotin removal, that were likely to eliminate small proteins.

We modified the protocol to capture these small proteins, including microproteins

and alt-proteins. Labeled (and unlabeled) small proteins were selectively

enriched from whole proteome extracts with a C8 column following a previously

reported strategy[31] (Figure 1b), and AHA-containing small proteins were captured

with click chemistry directly on dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) magnetic beads. On-

bead digest was followed with our previously reported liquid

chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry-based method for identification of

unannotated microproteins and alt-proteins[32]. Using this strategy, we profiled

translation in HEK 293T cells under basal growth conditions (DMSO treatment),

oxidative stress (sodium arsenite treatment), DNA damage stress (etoposide

treatment) and unfolded protein response stress (DTT treatment). In total, we

identified 22 unannotated alt-proteins (Figure 1c, Supplementary Data 1), nine of

which were specifically detected under stress conditions, including alt-CNPY2,

which was specifically detected after etoposide treatment (Figure 1d,

Supplementary Data 1).

To confirm the translation and examine subcellular localization of six selected alt-

proteins (Supplementary Figures 1a-f, Supplementary Table 1), the cDNA

sequence comprising the 5'UTR of the encoding transcript through the stop

codon of the putative alt-ORF was cloned into a mammalian expression vector

with a FLAG-HA epitope tag on the C-terminus of the alt-protein, followed by

transfection and immunostaining. As shown in Figure 1e, over-expressed alt-

DRAP1 (previously validated[12] and included as a positive control), alt-PRR3, alt-

PRH1, alt-CNPY2 and alt-CACTIN were nucleocytoplasmic. Over-expressed

MINAS-60 co-localized with a nucleolar protein, fibrillarin (Figure 1f). Western

blotting further confirmed the translation of the six alt-proteins (Figure 1g).

MINAS-60 and alt-CNPY2 produced two immunoreactive bands, which is due to

multiple in-frame start codon for MINAS-60 (vide infra), and may be caused by

multiple start sites, phosphorylation or other post-translational modification for alt-

CNPY2, analogous to alt-RPL36[8].

We hypothesized that the alt-proteins detected specifically under stress

conditions are induced in response to cellular stress. To determine whether the

expression of alt-CNPY2 is induced by DNA damage, we treated HEK 293T

over-expressing alt-CNPY2 with etoposide, followed by western blotting. As

shown in Figure 1h, alt-CNPY2 is upregulated up to two fold upon etoposide

treatment in both dose- and time-dependent manner. However, the mRNA level

of *CNPY2* did not change (Supplementary Figure 1g), indicating that upregulation

of alt-CNPY2 is likely due to increased translation or decreased proteolysis, but

not increased transcription. Taken together, these results suggest that our

chemoproteomic pipeline is able to detect nascent or stress-induced alt-proteins.

MINAS-60 is cell-cycle regulated and conserved in mouse

We selected MINAS-60 from the BONCAT-detected microprotein dataset for

further study because it localizes to the nucleolus, and only one nucleolar

microprotein has previously been identified[33]; furthermore, MINAS-60 is nested

within the RBM10 CDS, and therefore probing its cellular and molecular roles

could shed light on the poorly characterized class of overlapping alt-proteins

(Figure 2a, Supplementary Data 2). A different MINAS-60 tryptic peptide was

previously detected in human colorectal cancer samples, supporting expression

of MINAS-60 in human tissue, but the alt-protein was not defined or

characterized in that study[34]. To validate expression of endogenous MINAS-60

from the *RBM10* genomic locus, we generated two independent Cas9-directed

knock-in (KI) HEK 293T cell lines with a 3×GFP11-FLAG-HA tag appended to the

3' end of MINAS-60 alt-ORF[35], followed with immunostaining. As shown in Figure

2b, endogenously expressed MINAS-60 co-localizes with fibrillarin, consistent

with the over-expression results, suggesting MINAS-60 likely functions in the

nucleolus.

The nucleolus is the site of ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotic cells. Ribosome

biogenesis starts with the transcription of rDNA, which oscillates during the cell

cycle, nearly ceasing during M phase, increasing during G1 phase, and

maximizing during S and G2 phases in human cells[36-39]. If MINAS-60 regulates

ribosome biogenesis, we hypothesized that the expression of nucleolar MINAS-

60 would be correlated with ribosome biogenesis during the cell cycle.

Immunostaining of synchronized MINAS-60 KI HEK 293T cells revealed that

nucleolar MINAS-60 staining intensity increased at early S phase, peaking at the

2 h time point. MINAS-60 expression then decreased by late S phase, and was

very low during G2/M phase. At G1 phase, the MINAS-60 staining intensity again

increased (Supplementary Figure 2). These results were confirmed with western

blotting (Figure 2c). MINAS-60 expression is therefore coordinated with ribosome

biogenesis activity during the cell cycle.

We then identified the start codon(s) that initiate MINAS-60 translation. Alt-ORFs

have been reported to initiate at upstream, non-AUG start codons[8,12,40] and

internal, AUG start codons[7,41]. We tested two upstream in-frame non-AUG start

codons, $A_{383}TC$ and $A_{386}GG$ (numbered relative to the first nucleotide of the

cDNA), as well as seven internal AUG start codons (numbered ATG1 – ATG7)

(Supplementary Figure 3a). The ~20 kDa MINAS-60 isoform likely initiates at

ATG1 and the ~15 kDa MINAS-60 isoform likely initiates at ATG6 or 7, because

the indicated species is abrogated only when the corresponding start codon is

deleted or mutated (Supplementary Figures b-c). These results were further

confirmed by over-expressing truncated MINAS-60 coding sequences starting

from ATG1 or ATG6 and comparing their products' sizes with the wild-type

construct (Supplementary Figure 3d). The MINAS-60 smORF is therefore entirely

contained within the RBM10 coding sequence, and the MINAS-60 ATG1 start

codon is only 7 nucleotides downstream of the RBM10 start codon (Figure 2a).

We therefore speculate that MINAS-60 translation may initiate within *RBM10*

transcript variant 1 via leaky scanning, and that the additional MINAS-60 isoform

diversity observed in KI cells could be generated by alternative splicing,

analogous to PTBP3[41].

ClustalW alignment of hypothetical MINAS-60 homologs from mouse, cattle, and

monkey revealed significant sequence similarity, suggesting MINAS-60 is

conserved (Figure 2d). To determine whether mouse MINAS-60 is also

translated, a mammalian expression vector contains the 5'UTR of mouse *RBM10*

transcript variant 1 through the stop codon of the putative mouse MINAS-60

homolog was transfected into 3T3 cells, followed by immunostaining. As shown

in Figure 2e, over-expressed mouse MINAS-60 localized to the nucleolus,

comparable to human MINAS-60. Taken together, these results indicate that

MINAS-60 is endogenously expressed, cell-cycle regulated and conserved from

humans to mouse.

MINAS-60 is associated with nucleolar LSU assembly factors

Because many SEPs characterized to date bind to and regulate other proteins[42],

we performed a co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) with the nuclear lysates from

MINAS-60-FLAG KI cells, and HEK 293T cells as a control. Two major bands

were specifically present in the KI co-IP after SDS-PAGE that, upon analysis via

label-free quantitative proteomics[43], yielded 17 proteins enriched >30-fold over

control. GO analysis of these 17 hits shows that the top 2 enriched biological

processes are ribosome biogenesis and ribosomal large subunit (LSU)

biogenesis (Supplementary Figures 4a-c, and Supplementary Data 3). To obtain

a more comprehensive picture of MINAS-60-associated proteins, we performed

co-IPs and analyzed the entire molecular weight range with quantitative

proteomics; while abundant ribosomal proteins limited depth of detection of other

proteins, we observed enrichment of four LSU assembly factors: GTPBP4,

MRTO4, BRIX1 and NOP2 (Figure 3a and Supplementary Data 4). Co-IP

followed by western blotting confirmed the association of these four factors with

MINAS-60 (Figure 3b). The association of MINAS-60 with GTPBP4 and MRTO4

did not depend on RNA, because these associations largely survived RNaseA

treatment. In contrast, co-purification of MINAS-60 with BRIX1 and NOP2 was

severely diminished after treatment with RNaseA, suggesting that their

association with MINAS-60 was likely indirect and RNA-dependent (Figure 3b).

We therefore hypothesized that MINAS-60 associates with nucleolar, late pre-

60S particles containing GTPBP4 and MRTO4 to regulate ribosome

biogenesis[18,44].

To determine whether MINAS-60 associates with high molecular weight pre-60S

particles containing GTPBP4 and MRTO4, we performed sucrose gradient

fractionation of nuclear extracts of HEK 293T cells stably expressing epitope-

tagged MINAS-60, followed by western blotting analysis. As shown in Figure 3c,

a subpopulation of over-expressed MINAS-60 co-sedimented with ribosome

assembly factors in high-molecular weight fractions coincident with pre-ribosomal

particles. Combined with our co-IP and immunofluorescence data, these results

are consistent with a role for MINAS-60 in pre-ribosomal particles.

MINAS-60 inhibits protein synthesis and cell proliferation

We hypothesized that MINAS-60 could regulate ribosome biogenesis via its

association with GTPBP4 and MRTO4. Ribosome biogenesis is required for

protein synthesis, which promotes cell growth and proliferation. As a result,

ribosome biogenesis is commonly upregulated in cancer cells[21,45]. We reasoned

that, if MINAS-60 regulates ribosome biogenesis, its absence should result in

changes to protein synthesis and cell proliferation. In order to test this

hypothesis, we required a system to query the function of MINAS-60 independent

of RBM10, despite their co-encoding on the same transcript. To this end, we

knocked down (KD) *RBM10* in HEK 293T cells with two different shRNAs, which

silence the entire mRNA and, therefore, both proteins (RBM10 and MINAS-60).

To deconvolute phenotypic effects specific to MINAS-60 in the KD, as well as to

exclude off-target effects of shRNA, we generated rescue cell lines stably

expressing MINAS-60 (Rescue_MINAS-60) or RBM10 (Rescue_RBM10) on the

KD background. qRT-PCR and western blotting analysis revealed that *RBM10*

mRNA is efficiently silenced by both shRNAs, and the KD cells successfully re-

express MINAS-60 or RBM10 after rescue (Supplementary Figure 5). Noting that

the RBM10 rescue construct could be subject to leaky translation to produce both

RBM10 and MINAS-60, we also rescued the KD with an RBM10 construct

bearing an $A_{398}TG$ to TAA mutation that eliminates the first MINAS-60 start

codon while preserving RBM10 translation (Supplementary Figure 5).

To test the effect of MINAS-60 expression on cellular protein synthesis, we

labeled nascent peptides in the control, RBM10 KD, Rescue_MINAS-60,

Rescue_RBM10 and Rescue_RBM10($A_{398}TG$-TAA) cell lines with puromycin

followed by anti-puromycin western blotting[46]. As shown in Figure 3d and

Supplementary Figure 6a, depletion of the entire *RBM10* mRNA led to a

significant increase in global protein synthesis, and this increase was rescued by

reintroduction of MINAS-60. Partial rescue by RBM10 reintroduction was also

observed, but was not present in the RBM10($A_{398}TG$-TAA) rescue cells. Similar

results were observed for a second shRNA targeting RBM10 (Supplementary

Figures 6b-c). Taken together, these results indicate that MINAS-60 plays an

unusual role in ribosome biogenesis to downregulate global protein synthesis. At

the same time, RBM10 is unlikely to play a role in this process. We speculate

that the partial rescue observed with RBM10 could have been due to leaky

expression of MINAS-60 from the internal alt-ORF in the RBM10 construct.

Because protein synthesis, cell growth and proliferation are linked[16,21], we asked

whether MINAS-60 regulates cell proliferation. As shown in Figure 3e, *RBM10*

depletion led to a significant increase in cell proliferation, consistent with a

published report using HeLa cells[29]. Remarkably, this increase was rescued by

reintroduction of MINAS-60 alone, and partially rescued by RBM10

reintroduction. Similar results were observed for a second shRNA targeting

RBM10 (Supplementary Figure 6d). These results are consistent with a model in

which MINAS-60 inhibits ribosome biogenesis, subsequently downregulating

cellular protein synthesis and cell proliferation.

MINAS-60 inhibits the export of pre-60S ribosome subunits

Eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis can be divided into sequential processes[47]: pre-

rRNA transcription; chemical modification and processing of the pre-rRNA, both

of which occur in the nucleolus; folding, assembly and maturation of the pre-

ribosomal subunits in the nucleolus and nucleus; and export and final maturation

of ribosome subunits in the cytoplasm. Because MINAS-60 co-purified with

proteins involved in various steps of LSU biogenesis, we wished to determine the

step in this process that it regulates.

To determine whether MINAS-60 controls pre-rRNA transcription, we performed

qRT-PCR targeting the primary pre-rRNA (47S/45S/30S) using previously

published primers[46] in lysates from control, RBM10 KD, Rescue_MINAS-60 and

Rescue_RBM10 cell lines. No significant differences in primary pre-rRNA were

observed, suggesting that MINAS-60 does not regulate pre-rRNA transcription

(Supplementary Figures 7a-b). To determine whether MINAS-60 regulates the

processing of LSU pre-rRNA, we performed northern blotting analysis with the

control, RBM10 KD, Rescue_MINAS-60 and Rescue_RBM10 cell lines using a

probe complementary to ITS2, which detects all LSU pre-rRNA processing

products, including 41S, 32S and 12S pre-rRNAs[46]. As shown in Supplementary

Figure 7c, no significant differences were observed between these cell lines,

suggesting that MINAS-60 does not regulate the processing of LSU pre-rRNA.

We therefore examined the role of MINAS-60 in LSU assembly and export. To

determine whether MINAS-60 regulates nucleocytoplasmic export of the pre-60S

ribosomal subunit, we quantified the ratio of nuclear vs. cytoplasmic RPL29-

GFP[48] stably expressed in the control, RBM10 KD, Rescue_MINAS-60 and

Rescue_RBM10 cell lines. As shown in Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure 8a,

depletion of RBM10 decreased the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic RPL29-GFP,

which can be rescued by reintroduction of MINAS-60, and partially rescued by

RBM10 reintroduction, likely due to leaky MINAS-60 translation. Similar results

were observed for a second shRNA targeting RBM10 (Figure 4a, right, and

Supplementary Figures 8b-c). These observations are consistent with the

inhibition of cytoplasmic export of pre-60S subunits by MINAS-60. As a control,

to determine whether MINAS-60 regulates nucleocytoplasmic export of the pre-

40S ribosomal subunit, we quantified the ratio of nuclear vs. cytoplasmic RPS2-

GFP[48] stably expressed in the control, RBM10 KD, Rescue_MINAS-60 and

Rescue_RBM10 cell lines. As shown in Supplementary Figure 9, no significant

changes were observed between these cell lines, suggesting MINAS-60 does not

regulate the assembly or export of 40S ribosomal subunits.

The observation of upregulated pre-60S export in the absence of MINAS-60

predicted that the same conditions should lead to an increase in mature

cytoplasmic 60S ribosomal subunits. To test this, we performed cytoplasmic

polysome profiling. As shown in Figures 4b-c, knockdown of RBM10 increased

the ratio of cytoplasmic 60S/40S ribosome subunits, and this increase can be

rescued by reintroduction of MINAS-60, and partially rescued by RBM10. Similar

results were observed for a second shRNA targeting RBM10 (Figure 4c, right

and Supplementary Figure 10). Taken together, these results suggest that

MINAS-60 specifically decreases mature large ribosomal subunits by negatively

regulating the assembly or export of pre-60S particles.

MINAS-60 inhibits the late-stage assembly of pre-60S

Lastly, we speculated that MINAS-60 functions as a checkpoint inhibitor in pre-

60S assembly prior to export from the nucleus, which would suggest that the

protein composition of LSU precursors should change in cells lacking MINAS-60.

MRTO4 and BRIX1 are LSU biogenesis factors present in multiple intermediate-

to-late, or early-to-intermediate, pre-60S particles, respectively, and we

examined their interactomes as a readout of changes in pre-60S protein

composition in the presence or absence of MINAS-60 (Figure 5a)[18]. We stably

expressed MRTO4-FLAG or BRIX1-FLAG, in control or RBM10 KD HEK 293T

cells to enable affinity purification of pre-ribosomal particles, followed by

quantitative proteomics and western blotting. We detected statistically significant

increases in several late LSU assembly factors co-purified with MRTO4 in

RBM10 KD cells (Figure 5b, Supplementary Data 5). These increases were

further confirmed by western blotting (Figure 5c). Similar results were observed

for BRIX1 co-IP, though fold changes were smaller (Figures 5d-f, Supplementary

Data 6). These results suggest that remodeling of pre-60S particles toward more

mature stages occurs in the absence of MINAS-60, consistent with MINAS-60

acting as an inhibitor for LSU assembly and export.

Discussion

In this work, we developed a bio-orthogonal strategy for the direct detection of

unannotated nascent or stress-induced alt-proteins. BONCAT has been

powerfully applied in prior studies to examine nascent protein synthesis,

particularly in neurons[30], but standard BONCAT workflows, like many proteomics

protocols, include column or gel resolution steps that de-enrich small proteins

and peptides, and are therefore refractory to detection of microproteins and alt-

proteins. For the first time in this work, we followed azidohomoalanine labeling

with small protein enrichment using a previously reported C8 column strategy[31],

coupled with direct on-bead capture to eliminate the need for column-based

removal of excess biotin probe molecules, which also remove small proteins.

Interfacing this BONCAT-based chemoproteomic pipeline with our platform

technology for unannotated microprotein and alt-protein detection, we identified

22 alt-proteins undergoing active synthesis in HEK 293T cells. For six selected

alt-proteins, we verified their translation, one of which, alt-CNPY2, is likely post-

transcriptionally upregulated by DNA damage stress. We furthermore propose

that BONCAT-mediated detection of MINAS-60 reflects the subpopulation of cells

that actively synthesize this protein during S phase of the cell cycle, consistent

with its coordinated synthesis with the ribosome biogenesis machinery. Taken

together, these results show that our method is able to detect unannotated alt-

proteins expressed during the cell cycle and cellular stress, and that these

proteins may play important roles in the cell. In the future, an important next step

will be to characterize the biological role of alt-CNPY2, and to expand this

strategy to additional cellular stress conditions.

We then conducted a focused functional study of nucleolar MINAS-60, loss of

which promotes the late-stage assembly of pre-60S and the export of pre-60S

particles into cytoplasm, leading to increases in cytoplasmic 60S ribosomal

subunits, global protein synthesis, and cell proliferation (Figure 6). The MINAS-

60 alt-protein is therefore a repressor of LSU biogenesis. In contrast to smORF-

encoded proteins, few alt-ORFs nested within canonical protein CDS have been

defined in molecular detail. This work, combined with previous literature[7,49],

expands the recent finding that a single human transcript can encode

overlapping, sequence-independent, yet functionally related proteins.

Importantly, the *RBM10* gene plays important roles in human physiology and

disease. RBM10 (RNA Binding Motif 10) is an RNA binding protein that regulates

alternative pre-mRNA splicing[29,50]. Null mutations in the *RBM10* gene are found

in patients with TARP syndrome[51], an X-linked inherited pathology associated

with malformation of multiple organs and significant early-life mortality. The

RBM10 gene was also found to be among the most frequently mutated genes in

lung adenocarcinoma samples, and RBM10 inhibits cancer cell proliferation[52].

The finding that *RBM10* dually encodes MINAS-60, which also downregulates

cell proliferation via repression of ribosome biogenesis, opens the question of

whether this alt-protein contributes to *RBM10* mutation associated disease

phenotypes, analogous to alt-FUS, which forms pathogenic cytoplasmic

aggregates similar to those caused by the co-encoded FUS protein[3].

Several studies have suggested that as many as 50% of multicistronic human

genes encode proteins that directly interact to form complexes[9,53]. In contrast, the

alt-protein alt-RPL36 can regulate the same pathway (translation) as the

canonical protein co-encoded with it (RPL36), without directly interacting with

each other[8]. In this work, we show that MINAS-60 and RBM10 exhibit

comparable antiproliferative cellular effects but act via independent pathways:

ribosome biogenesis vs. alternative pre-mRNA splicing, respectively. While it

remains possible that RBM10 regulates splicing of some nucleolar proteins,

RBM10 reintroduction did not rescue the protein translation defect observed in

cells lacking *RBM10* expression in this study. Based on these results we

conclude that RBM10 does not significantly contribute to ribosome biogenesis.

We therefore speculate that selective pressure for co-encoding multiple

functional proteins in multicistronic human genes may act at the level of cellular

fitness, and direct interaction between alt-proteins and canonical proteins is only

one mechanism among many by which the fitness effects of co-encoded proteins

may be optimized. Another possible mechanism is that, since alt-ORFs may

represent protogenes[54], *de novo* acquisition of a novel alt-ORF within a protein

coding gene might lead to positive selection if both the alt-ORF and canonical

protein coding sequence increase cellular fitness under the same conditions,

without necessarily acting on the same pathway or process. This broadens the

current models of multicistronic human gene function[9].

To our knowledge, MINAS-60 is only the second human microprotein or alt-

protein validated to localize to the nucleolus to date, and the first reported to

regulate ribosome biogenesis. A previous study identified nucleolar microprotein

C11orf98, which interacts with nucleolar proteins NPM1 and NCL, but no role for

this factor in ribosome biogenesis has been investigated[33]. In this work, we

reported MINAS-60 as the first protein that specifically inhibits LSU biogenesis,

and we suspect that it functions as a mammal-specific checkpoint to ensure

correct pre-60S assembly prior to nuclear export. Supporting the hypothesis that

MINAS-60 has arisen in higher eukaryotes, the *RBM10* gene is not conserved in

yeast, though we cannot yet rigorously conclude that a functional homolog of

MINAS-60 is absent in lower eukaryotes. Direct experimental evidence will be

required to test the hypothesis that MINAS-60 could represent a control point for

ribosome biogenesis that is unique to mammalian cells.

Interestingly, another mammal-specific, putative LSU biogenesis inhibitor has

recently been identified. A structural study revealed that an unidentified protein

(protein X) is positioned to block the incorporation of nuclear export factor NMD3

into the late pre-60S particle, hypothetically suppressing pre-60S export into the

cytoplasm[44]. Protein X binds in the immature peptidyl transfer center and directly

contacts GTPBP4 as well as helix 89 of the rRNA. Importantly, protein X was

specifically observed in cryo-electron microscopy structures of human pre-60S

particles and has not been detected in structures of yeast LSU precursors[44].

Based on the observations that 1) both MINAS-60 and protein X likely function as

repressors of LSU biogenesis at the late assembly and export stage; and 2) both

MINAS-60 and protein X associate with GTPBP4, we speculate that MINAS-60

functions by a similar mechanism to protein X. It is possible that protein X and

MINAS-60 are the same protein, and that protein X could not be identified due to

its absence from the UniProt database; however, efforts to model MINAS-60

sequences into the reported structures remain inconclusive to date. It is therefore

also possible that multiple previously unknown mammalian proteins regulate LSU

biogenesis, suggesting that regulation of ribosome biogenesis may be more

complex in human cells than in yeast.

Why, then, might MINAS-60 have arisen in mammalian cells? In order to achieve

a balance between cellular growth requirements and energy-intensive ribosome

production[15], and to ensure that improperly assembled ribosome subunits do not

lead to mistranslation[23], ribosome biogenesis needs to be precisely monitored in

cells. For example, the Rio1-Nob1-Pno1 network establishes a checkpoint to

safeguard against the release of immature 40S subunits into translating

ribosomes[55]. However, while hundreds of proteins required for ribosome

biogenesis in human cells have now been identified[56], few repressors of

ribosome biogenesis have been reported, and the ones that have been identified

predominately act on pre-ribosomal RNA transcription[57-59]. LSU biogenesis

involves multiple composition and conformational changes during generation of

the peptidyl transferase center (PTC). Our data lead us to speculate that MINAS-

60 and/or Protein X may act as checkpoints for this process to safeguard cellular

energy expenditure and/or faithful PTC formation. This hypothesis could be

tested by examining the effects of MINAS-60 on cellular fitness under nutrient

stress, as well as on the fidelity and accuracy of global protein translation.

In conclusion, this study, combined with previous literature[13], demonstrates the

power of chemoproteomics to reveal alt-proteins that are regulated and/or

functional in important cellular processes including DNA damage and ribosome

biogenesis, and reveals an entirely new regulatory node of the ribosome

biogenesis pathway, meriting further development of chemical tools to enrich and

identify functional alt-proteins.

Figure 1. BONCAT-based chemoproteomic identification of newly synthesized alt-proteins. a Schematic workflow for BONCAT-based chemoproteomic analysis of nascent alt-proteins. **b** Size distribution of canonical, annotated proteins detected using C8 column-based selection (black) and our previously reported[60] gel-based selection (gray). **c** Distribution of locations of identified alt-proteins relative to the annotated coding sequence (CDS). **d** Venn diagram of alt-proteins identified under control or stress conditions. **e, f** Top: a schematic representation of human *DRAP1* transcript, *PRR3* transcript variant 2, *PRH1* transcript variant 1, *CNPY2* transcript variant 1, *CACTIN* transcript variant 1, or *RBM10* transcript variant 1 (**c**); light gray arrow, 5' and 3' untranslated

regions (UTR); red, alternative open reading frame (alt-ORF) coding sequence;

black, annotated coding sequence. Middle: a schematic representation of the

expression constructs containing the complete 5'UTR and the alt-ORF of the

transcript indicated above, with a dual FLAG and HA tag appended to the C-

terminus of the alt-protein. Bottom: HEK 293T cells transfected with the

expression construct indicated (middle) were immunostained with anti-HA (red),

DAPI (cyan), and anti-fibrillarin (green, **c**). Scale bar, 10 µm. Data are

representative of three biological replicates. **g** HEK 293T cells transfected with

the expression construct (middle) were immunoblotted (IB) with antibodies

indicated to the right, with untransfected (no transfection) HEK 293T cells as a

control. Data are representative of three biological replicates. **h** HEK 293T cells

transfected with the alt-CNPY2 expression construct were treated with increasing

amounts of etoposide or vehicle for 2 h (left), or with 60 µM etoposide for

different times or vehicle (right), followed by western blotting with antibodies

indicated to the right. Quantitative analysis ($N = 3$) of the western blot signal of

alt-CNPY2-FLAG-HA are indicated at the bottom.

Figure 2. MINAS-60 is endogenously expressed, cell-cycle regulated and conserved in mouse. a Shown is the cDNA sequence of human *RBM10* transcript variant 1 with the protein sequences of MINAS-60 and RBM10 indicated below. The start codons of RBM10 (391) and MINAS-60 (398) are numbered above the cDNA sequence. Highlighted in red is the tryptic peptide of MINAS-60 detected by LC-MS/MS in this study. **b** Immunostaining of two MINAS-60 knock-in (KI) HEK 293T cell lines with anti-HA (red), anti-fibrillarin (green), and DAPI (cyan). Scale bar, 10 μm. Data are representative of three biological replicates. **c** Western blotting analysis of synchronized MINAS-60 KI cells released from the G1/S boundary at the indicated time points with antibodies indicated on the right. Data are representative of three biological replicates. **d** RBM10 mRNAs from *Mus musculus* (NM_145627.3), *Bos taurus* (NM_001206693.1), and *Macaca mulatta* (XM_015127275.2) were obtained from NCBI nucleotide database, then translated in the +1, +2 and +3 frames using the

Expasy translate tool. Cognate start codons in-frame with sequences

homologous to human MINAS-60 were identified in the 5'UTR of each transcript

in order to predict the full-length sequence of hypothetical MINAS-60 homologs.

Shown is the ClustalW2 alignment of predicted MINAS-60 protein sequences. **e**

3T3 cells transfected with the mouse MINAS-60 (mMINAS-60) expression

construct were immunostained with anti-HA (red), anti-fibrillarin (green), and

DAPI (cyan). Scale bar, 10 μm. Data are representative of three biological

replicates.

Figure 3. MINAS-60 associates with nucleolar LSU assembly factors,

downregulates global protein synthesis and cell proliferation. a Volcano plot

of quantitative proteomics (N = 3) of anti-FLAG pulldown from MINAS-60 KI (KI)

or control (Ctrl) HEK 293T nuclear lysates. Ribosomal proteins are indicated in

blue. Enriched LSU assembly factors are indicated in red and gene names are

labeled. For complete quantitative proteomics results, see Supplementary Data

4. **b** HEK 293T cells were transfected with MINAS-60-FLAG-HA (MINAS-60-FH,

567 lanes 2 and 3) or vehicle (lane 1), and immunoprecipitation (FLAG-IP) was

568 performed in absence (lanes 1 and 2) or presence (lane 3) of RNaseA, followed

569 with immunoblotting (IB) with antibodies indicated on the right. Cell lysates (4%)

570 before IP (input, lanes 4-6) were used as loading controls. **c** Top: Sucrose-

571 gradient sedimentation analysis of nuclear lysates containing ribosome precursor

572 complexes (pre-40S, pre-60S and 90S pre-ribosome) from HEK 293T cells stably

573 expressing MINAS-60-FLAG-HA. Bottom: Western blot analysis of fractions

574 numbered at the top with antibodies indicated on the right. **d** ImageJ was used to

575 quantify the relative puromycin incorporation for cells indicated at the bottom

576 relative to sh-Ctrl from three biological replicates. Data represent mean values ±

577 s.e.m., and significance was evaluated with two-tailed *t*-test. **e** Growth curve of

578 control (sh-Ctrl), *RBM10* knockdown (sh-RBM10-1), rescue with MINAS-60

579 (Rescue_MINAS-60) and rescue with RBM10 (Rescue_RBM10) HEK 293T cells

580 at the indicated number of days ($N = 3$). Data represent mean values ± s.e.m.,

581 and significance was evaluated with two-tailed *t*-test and shown below.

Figure 4. MINAS-60 inhibits LSU export. a Quantitation of the ratio of RPL29-
GFP intensity in the nucleus vs. cytoplasm in control (sh-Ctrl), *RBM10*
knockdown with one of the two shRNAs (sh-RBM10-1 (left), sh-RBM10-2 (right)),
rescue with MINAS-60 (Rescue_MINAS-60), or rescue with RBM10
(Rescue_RBM10) HEK 293T cells stably expressing RPL29-GFP. At least 13
fields of view were analyzed, totaling > 350 cells for each measurement. Data
represent mean values ± s.e.m., and significance was evaluated with two-tailed *t*-
test. **b** Sucrose-gradient sedimentation analysis of ribosomal fractions (40S, 60S,
80S and polysomes) of cytoplasmic lysates from control (sh-Ctrl), *RBM10*
knockdown (sh-RBM10-1), rescue with MINAS-60 (Rescue_MINAS-60) or
rescue with RBM10 (Rescue_RBM10) HEK 293T cells. Data are representative
of three biological replicates. **c** Quantitation of the ratio of cytoplasmic 60S to
40S subunits in the cell lines indicated below after sucrose gradient fractionation.
The area under each peak was measured using ImageJ following a previously
published method[61]. Data represent mean values ± s.e.m., and significance was
evaluated with two-tailed *t*-test.

Figure 5. *RBM10* **silencing promotes pre-60S assembly. a, d** Schematic

representation of pre-60S assembly factors associated with different states

based on a pre-60S structure report[18]. The bait protein MRTO4 (**a**) and BRIX1

(**d**) is indicated in blue. **b, e** Volcano plot of quantitative proteomics (*N* = 4 (**b**), *N*

= 5 (**e**)) of MRTO4-FLAG (**b**) or BRIX1-FLAG (**e**) pulldown from HEK 293T cells

stably expressing the bait protein and control shRNA (Ctrl), or the bait protein

and *RBM10* shRNA (KD), to quantify relative changes in the bait protein co-IP of

LSU assembly factors in *RBM10* KD over control HEK 293T cells. Increased

assembly factors are indicated in red and gene names are labeled. For complete

quantitative proteomics results, see Supplementary Datas 5 and 6. **c, f** MRTO4

FLAG-IP (**c**) or BRIX1-FLAG-IP (**f**) and western blotting with antibodies indicated

on the right using the two cell lines described above. Cell lysates (4%) before IP

(input) were used as the loading control. Data are representative of three

biological replicates.

Figure 6. Model of MINAS-60 regulatory pathway. MINAS-60 localizes to the
nucleolus, where it associates with multiple pre-60S assembly factors, including
GTPBP4 and MRTO4, to inhibit the late-stage pre-60S assembly and the export
of pre-60S into cytoplasm, consequently downregulating global protein synthesis
and cell proliferation.

Online methods

Data analysis. Two-tailed *t*-test were performed using Microsoft Excel or GraphPad Prism, and *F*-tests were performed to evaluate equal variance between samples.

Antibodies. Primary antibodies for western blotting include the following: anti-FLAG (Sigma, F3165 or Cell Signaling, 14793); anti-HA (Invitrogen, 71-5500); anti-β-actin (Invitrogen, BA3R); anti-GTPBP4 (Abclonal, A4565); anti-MRTO4 (ThermoFisher, 20194-1-AP); anti-NSA2 (Abclonal, A14475); anti-NOP2 (Cell Signaling, 25017); anti-BRIX1 (Abclonal, A14481); anti-RPF2 (Abclonal, A17224); anti-GNL2 (Abclonal, A13191); anti-RPL11 (Cell Signaling, 18163); anti-RPL36 (Bethyl Laboratories, A305065A-M); anti-RPS2 (Invitrogen, PA5-30160); anti-RBM10 (Abcam, ab72423); anti-puromycin (Kerafast, EQ0001); anti-GFP (Abcam, ab183734); anti-cyclin B1 (Cell Signaling, 4138). Immunoprecipitation was performed with anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (Sigma, A2220). Secondary antibodies for western blotting are goat anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Rockland, 611-1302) and goat anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Rockland, 610-1319-0500). Primary antibodies for immunostaining are rabbit anti-HA (Invitrogen, 71-5500) and mouse anti-Fibrillarin (abcam, ab4566). Secondary antibodies for immunostaining are goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa fluor 568 (Invitrogen, A11011) and goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa fluor 647 (Invitrogen, A21235).

Cloning and genetic constructs. A DNA sequence comprising the full 5'UTR of human *DRAP1* transcript, *PRR3* transcript variant 2, *PRH1* transcript variant 1, *CNPY2* transcript variant 1, *CACTIN* transcript variant 1, or *RBM10* transcript variant 1 through the stop codon of the relative alt-protein was amplified by PCR with a dual FLAG and HA epitope tag appended to the 3' end of the alt-protein coding sequence from an in-house library of reverse-transcribed HEK 293T cDNAs, then subcloned into pcDNA3. Deletion or mutation constructs of MINAS-60 bearing a dual FLAG and HA tag were generated by ligating PCR products

into BamHI and EcoRI cloning sites in the pcDNA3 vector. For generation of HEK

293T cells stably expressing MINAS-60, a dual FLAG and HA tag were

appended to the 3' end of MINAS-60 by PCR, and the dually tagged coding

sequence was then cloned into pLJM1. The cDNA clone expressing RPS2 was

purchased from Addgene (a gift from Thomas Tuschl), and the coding sequences

of MRTO4, BRIX1 and RPL29 were amplified by PCR from an in-house HEK

293T cDNA library, then subcloned into pJLM1 for producing lentivirus. RNAi

constructs were made by synthesizing oligonucleotides encoding a 21 bp short

hairpin RNA that targets RBM10 (shRNA1, CTTCGCCTTCGTCGAGTTTAG;

shRNA2, TCCAACGTGCGCGTCATAAAG), then subcloned into pLKO.1. The

empty pLKO.1 vector control was purchased from Sigma (SHC001). qPCR

primer sequences are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Cell culture, lentivirus production and stable cell line generation. HEK 293T

cells were purchased from ATCC and early-passage stocks were established in

order to ensure cell line identity. Cells were maintained up to only 10 passages.

HEK 293T cells were cultured as previously described[8]. To produce lentivirus

and generate stable cell lines, HEK 293T cells were co-transfected using

polyethyleneimine (Polysciences, 23966) with expression construct in pLJM1,

along with pMD2.G and psPAX2, and growth media were replaced after 7-8 h. 48

h post-transfection, media containing viruses was harvested, filtered through a

0.45-μm filter, and infection was performed by mixing with two volumes of fresh

media containing suspended HEK 293T cells. 24 h post-infection, the growth

media was replaced. 48 h post-infection, stably expressing cells were selected

with 4 μg/mL puromycin for 2 days. Early stocks of stable cell lines were

established after selection. Stable cell lines were released from puromycin for 2

days prior to use in experiments.

Immunostaining and live-cell imaging. HEK 293T cells were plated on glass

coverslips and transfected the next day. Forty-eight hours later, the cells were

fixed in 10% formalin for 15 min at room temperature (RT), permeabilized with

PBS containing 0.2% (v/v) TritonX-100, then incubated with primary antibodies

for 18 h at 4°C. After washing with PBS, the cells were incubated with secondary

antibodies and DAPI for 1 h at RT, washed with PBS and mounted with Mowiol

(Sigma, 81381) before viewing.

HEK 293T cells stably expressing RPL29-GFP or RPS2-GFP were grown to 70%

confluency on coverslips in 12-well plates. Coverslips were inverted and imaged

in pre-warmed DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin in MatTek

imaging dishes. Confocal imaging was performed on a Leica SP8 LS confocal

microscope with 63× oil immersion objective with atmosphere-controlled stage at

37°C. Nuclear/cytoplasmic ratios of RPL29 and RPS2 were measured using the

ImageJ Intensity Ratio Nuclei Cytoplasm Tool (RRID:SCR_018573;

https://github.com/MontpellierRessourcesImagerie/imagej_macros_and_scripts/w

iki/Intensity-Ratio-Nuclei-Cytoplasm-Tool).

Immunoprecipitation and proteomics. Control HEK 293T cells or MINAS-60 KI

cells were grown to 80-90% confluency in 15 cm dishes. Cells were harvested

and suspended in 1 mL nuclear isolation buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4,

100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$ with 0.5% NP40 and Roche Complete protease

inhibitor cocktail tablets (Roche, 11873580001)), and incubated on ice for 10 min,

followed by centrifugation at 3,000 g, 4°C, 3 min. The nuclear pellets were

suspended in 1 mL lysis buffer (Tris-buffered saline (TBS) with 1% Triton X-100

and Roche Complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets), followed with sonication

and immunoprecipitation as previously described[8]. After the final wash, elution

was in 40 µL of 3× FLAG peptide (Sigma, F4799), at a final concentration of 100

µg/mL in lysis buffer at 4°C for 1 h. The eluted proteins were subjected to SDS-

PAGE separation prior to LC-MS/MS analysis.

Gel slices, containing either resolved protein bands or entire lanes, were

digested with trypsin at 37°C for 14-16 h. The resulting peptide mixtures were

extracted from the gel, dried, subjected to ethyl acetate extraction to remove

residual detergent, de-salted with peptide cleanup C18 spin column (Agilent

Technologies, 5188-2750), then re-suspended in 35 µL 0.1% formic acid (FA),

followed by centrifugation at 21,130 g, 4℃, 30 min. A 5 µL aliquot of each

sample was injected onto a pre-packed column attached to a nanoAcquity UPLC

(Waters) in-line with a Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive™ Plus Hybrid

QuadrupoleOrbitrap™ mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) and a 130-min

gradient was used to further separate the peptide mixtures as follows (solvent A:

0.1% FA; solvent B: acetonitrile (ACN) with 0.1% FA): Isocratic flow was

maintained at 0.1 µL/min at 1% B for 40 min, followed by linear gradients from

1% B to 6% B over 2 min, 6% B to 24% B over 48 min, 24% B to 48% B over 5

min, 48% B to 80% B over 5 min. Isocratic flow at 80% B was maintained for 5

min, followed by a gradient from 80% B to 1% B over 5 min, and isocratic flow at

1% B was maintained for 10 min. The full MS was collected over the mass range

of 300-1,700 m/z with a resolution of 70,000 and the automatic gain control

(AGC) target was set as 3×10^6. MS/MS data was collected using a top 10 high-

collisional energy dissociation method in data-dependent mode with a normalized

collision energy of 27.0 eV and a 1.6 m/z isolation window. MS/MS resolution

was 17,500 and dynamic exclusion was 90 seconds.

For identification of alt- and microproteins, ProteoWizard MS Convert was used

for peak picking and files were analyzed using Mascot. Oxidation of methionine

and N-terminal acetylation were set as variable modifications, and a previously

reported[12] three-frame translation of assembled transcripts from HEK 293T

mRNA-seq was used as the database. For co-IP proteomics searches and

quantitative analysis, files were analyzed using MaxQuant, oxidation of

methionine and N-terminal acetylation were set as variable modifications, and

human UniProt plus MINAS-60 was used as the database for searching. For all

analysis, a mass deviation of 20 p.p.m. was set for MS1 peaks, and 0.6 Da was

set as maximum allowed MS/MS peaks with a maximum of two missed

cleavages. Maximum false discovery rates (FDR) were set to 1% both on peptide

and protein levels. Minimum required peptide length was five amino acids.

Protein quantitation was accomplished by calculating the LFQ intensity ratio of KI

or KD pulldown to negative control samples using MaxQuant (version 1.6.8.0)

with standard parameters.

Dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO) bead construction. NHS-activated beads (Pierce,

88826) were conjugated to dibenzocyclooctyne-amine (Sigma, 761540) by 90 min

incubation at room temperature with rotation in a saturated 100 mM sodium

bicarbonate (pH 8.0) solution. The beads were then washed and blocked for 2 h

with 3 M ethanolamine according to the manufacturer's instructions. After blocking,

the beads were re-suspended in PBS prior to immediate protein conjugation.

BONCAT (bio-orthogonal non-canonical amino acid tagging). HEK 293T

cells were grown to 80-90% confluency in 15 cm dishes, treated with the

methionine aminopeptidase inhibitor TNP470 (50 nM) for 2 h, then immersed in

methionine-free DMEM (Corning, 17-204-CI) for 30 min before addition of 4 mM

AHA (Click Chemistry tools, 1066-1000) in methionine-free DMEM with 10%

FBS. For stress conditions, cells were treated with 4 mM AHA with simultaneous

exposure to 500 µM sodium arsenite, 20 µM etoposide (Sigma, E1383), or 1 mM

DTT. After a 2 h incubation, the cells were washed twice with cold PBS,

harvested, and flash frozen until further processing.

DBCO bead enrichment of AHA-labeled proteins and ERLIC (electrostatic

repulsion hydrophilic interaction chromatography) fractionation. AHA-

labeled cells were lysed by boiling in 50 mM HCl with 0.01% 2-mercaptoethanol

and 0.05% TritonX-100 for 10 min. The cells were then pelleted at 21,100 g, 4℃

for 30 min, and passed through a 5 µm filter before size selection with a C8

column (Agilent Technologies, 12102100) essentially as previously reported[32].

The C8 column was pre-conditioned with 1 bed volume of methanol and 2 bed

volumes of 0.25 M triethylammonium formate (TEAF, pH 3.0). Then up to 2 bed

volumes of cell lysate were loaded on the column, followed by twice washes with

2 bed volumes of TEAF and elution with 2 bed volumes of ACN:TEAF (1:3). The

sample was then dried and reconstituted in 300 μL PBS. Cell lysates were

incubated with DBCO beads for 1 h at RT. The beads were washed twice with 1

mL RIPA buffer, once with 1 M KCl, once with 0.1 M sodium carbonate, once

with 2 M urea, twice with RIPA buffer, and finally 6 times with PBS. Proteins

covalently conjugated to the beads were then subjected to reduction, alkylation

and on-bead trypsin digestion according to standard protocols[62].

Before LC-MS/MS, the digested peptides were fractionated using ERLIC on an

Agilent 1100 HPLC. Peptides were re-suspended in 55 μL of 85% ACN/0.1% FA

and 50 μL was loaded onto a polyWAX LP column (150×1.0 mm; 5 μm 300 Å;

PolyLC). Samples were run on an 80 min gradient protocol as follows (Solvent A:

80% ACN 0.1% FA; Solvent B: 30% ACN 0.1% FA): Isocratic flow was

maintained at 100% A at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min for 5 min, followed by a 17 min

linear gradient to 8% B, and a 25 min linear gradient to 45% B. Finally, a 10 min

gradient to 100% B was followed by a 5 min hold at 100% B before a 10 min

linear gradient back to 100% A, followed by an 8 min hold at 100% A. The

digested peptides were separated into 12-15 fractions which were dried and re-

suspended in 7 μL of 3:8 70% FA : 0.1% TFA before LC-MS/MS analysis.

Generation of knock-in cell lines. MINAS-60 3xGFP11-FLAG-HA KI HEK 293T

cells were generated using CRISPR-Cas9. Guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed

with the guide design tool from the Zhang lab (crispr.mit.edu) to target the

RBM10 genomic region gRNA1, 5'- TGTCGGCCAGGATTCCTACG-3'; gRNA2,

5'- CCCGATAGTCGCCGTCTCGG-3'. Double-stranded DNA oligonucleotides

corresponding to the gRNAs were inserted into pSpCas9(BB)-2A-GFP vector

(Addgene, as a gift from F. Zhang, MIT, Cambridge, MA). A donor plasmid

containing 300 bp homology left-arm and 300 bp homology right-arm sequence

around the stop codon of MINAS-60, which are separated with 3xGFP11-FLAG-

HA tag and BamHI / NotI restriction sites was synthesized by GenScript, and a

DNA sequence containing pGK promoter and hygromycin resistance gene were

subcloned into the donor plasmid using the BamH1 and NotI restriction sites. An

equal mixture of the gRNA and donor plasmids were transfected into HEK 293T

cells using polyethyleneimine, and hygromycin selection was performed 2 days

post-transfection. MINAS-60-3xGFP11-FLAG-HA KI cells were confirmed by

genomic DNA PCR and sequencing.

Puromycin incorporation. SUnSET was used to measure protein synthesis[63].

Briefly, HEK 293T cells were grown to 80-90% confluency in 6 well plates, then

growth media was replaced with media containing 1 μM puromycin and cells

were incubated at $37°C$ in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2 for 1 h

according to a previously published protocol[56]. The cells were then washed once

with PBS, harvested and analyzed with western blotting.

Sucrose gradient profiling. HEK 293T cells were seeded in 15 cm dishes at

$2.5×10^7$ cells per dish and cultured 24 h. Cells were then treated with 100 μg/mL

cycloheximide for 5 min, washed with cold PBS containing 100 μg/mL

cycloheximide twice, harvested and flash frozen until further processing.

For cytoplasmic polysome profiling, after thawing on ice, cells were lysed in

polysome lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$,

100 μg/mL cycloheximide, 1 mM DTT with 1% TritonX-100, Roche Complete

protease inhibitor cocktail tablets and Ribonuclease Inhibitors (Promega N2511)),

and incubated on ice for 10 min, followed by centrifugation at 21,130 g, $4°C$, 10

min. The supernatants were normalized according to absorbance (A260) and

layered onto 12 mL 10-50% sucrose gradients (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 100

mM KCl, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 100 μg/mL cycloheximide, 1 mM DTT with Roche

Complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets and Ribonuclease Inhibitors),

followed with centrifugation in an SW-41Ti rotor at 252,878 g, $4°C$, 3 h, then

sampled using a Biocomp Gradient profiler (model 251) with constant monitoring

of optical density at 254 nm using standard parameters. Data analysis was

performed with Excel.

For sucrose gradient sedimentation analysis of nuclear lysates, thawed cells

were suspended in 1 mL nuclear isolation buffer (10 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4,

100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2 with 0.5% NP40, Roche Complete protease inhibitor

cocktail tablets and Ribonuclease Inhibitors), and incubated on ice for 10 min,

followed by centrifugation at 3,000 g, 4℃, 3 min. The nuclear pellets were

suspended in 1 mL nuclear lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 300 mM

KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT with 1% TritonX-100, Roche Complete protease

inhibitor cocktail tablets and Ribonuclease Inhibitors), followed with sonication

(50% intensity, 5 s pulse with 25 s rest, 5×, MICROSON XL 2000), and

centrifugation at 21,130 g, 4℃, 10 min. The supernatants were normalized by

A260 and layered onto 12 mL 10-50% sucrose gradients, centrifuged and

sampled as described above.

Crystal violet staining and cell cycle synchronization. 4×10^4 HEK 293T cells

were seeded in 12-well plates in triplicate, and fixed in 10% formalin for 15 min at

RT every 24 h. After washing with ddH$_2$O, cells were stained with 0.1% crystal

violet in methanol for 30 min at RT in the dark, followed with three ddH$_2$O

washes and dried. The cells were then immersed in 1 mL 10% acetic acid with

shaking for 20 min. 20 µL of the solution was combined with 80 µL ddH$_2$O in a

96-well plate, and the optical density at 590 nm was monitored with Synergy^{TM}

HT.

For cell cycle synchronization, 1.5×10^5 HEK 293T cells were seeded in 12-well

plates and cultured overnight. Cells were then treated with 2 mM thymidine for 16

h, followed with two PBS washes, and incubated with fresh media for 9 h before

the second 2 mM thymidine block for 14 h following a previously published

protocol[64]. Cells were washed with PBS, then incubated with fresh media to

release from the G1/S boundary, and fixed in 10% formalin for immunostaining or

harvested for western blotting.

Northern blot

Total RNA was extracted from HEK 293T cells using TRIzol reagent. To determine changes in levels of LSU pre-rRNA intermediates, 3 μg of total RNA was run on a 1% agarose/1.25% formaldehyde gel in a 1.5 M tricine/ 1.5 M triethanolamine buffer, followed by an overnight transfer to a Hybond XL nylon membrane (GE Healthcare, RPN 303S) in 10× saline-sodium citrate transfer buffer after a brief 15 min soak in a 0.5 M sodium hydroxide solution. Membranes were then exposed to UV (254 nm) to immobilize the RNA, followed by incubation with denatured yeast tRNA for 1 h at 42℃, and hybridized overnight at 37℃ with 5' end radiolabeled oligonucleotide probe (P4 5'-CGGGAACTCGGCCCGAGCCGGCTCTCTCTTTCCCTCTCCG-3') in a solution of 7.5× Denhardt's solution, 5× sodium chloride-sodium phosphate-EDTA buffer with 0.1% SDS, as previously reported[59]. Membranes were also hybridized with a 7SL probe (7SL 5'-TGCTCCGTTTCCGACCTGGGCCGGTTCACCCCTCCTT-3') as a loading control.

Data availability

Proteomic data were deposited under accession PXD026880. During review, they can be accessed with username reviewer_pxd026880@ebi.ac.uk and password 7dkNTlia.

Acknowledgements

We thank Franziska Bleichert and all members of the Slavoff and Baserga labs for helpful conversations. This work was supported by a Searle Scholars Program Award, an Odyssey Award from the Richard and Susan Smith Family Foundation, and start-up funds from Yale University West Campus (to S. A. S.). X.C. was supported in part by a Rudolph J. Anderson postdoctoral fellowship from Yale University. A.K. was in part supported by an NIH Predoctoral Training Grant (5T32GM06754 3-12). S.J.B, C.J.B. and C.M.H. were supported by R35 GM131687. C.M.H. was supported by an NSF GFRP.

Author contributions

X.C., A.K., C.M.H., C.J.B., and S.Z. and designed and performed experiments

and analyzed data. S. A. S. and S. J. B. designed experiments and analyzed

data. X. C. and S. A. S. wrote the manuscript, and all authors edited and

approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

1.   Basrai, M.A., Hieter, P. & Boeke, J.D. Small open reading frames: beautiful needles in the haystack. *Genome Res* **7**, 768-71 (1997).

2.   Orr, M.W., Mao, Y., Storz, G. & Qian, S.B. Alternative ORFs and small ORFs: shedding light on the dark proteome. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 1029-1042 (2020).

3.   Brunet, M.A., Levesque, S.A., Hunting, D.J., Cohen, A.A. & Roucou, X. Recognition of the polycistronic nature of human genes is critical to understanding the genotype-phenotype relationship. *Genome Res* **28**, 609-624 (2018).

4.   Lee, C.Q.E. et al. Coding and non-coding roles of MOCCI (C15ORF48) coordinate to regulate host inflammation and immunity. *Nat Commun* **12**, 2130 (2021).

5.   Zhang, S. et al. Mitochondrial peptide BRAWNIN is essential for vertebrate respiratory complex III assembly. *Nat Commun* **11**, 1312 (2020).

6.   Huang, N. et al. An Upstream Open Reading Frame in Phosphatase and Tensin Homolog Encodes a Circuit Breaker of Lactate Metabolism. *Cell Metab* **33**, 128-144 e9 (2021).

7.   Brunet, M.A. et al. The FUS gene is dual-coding with both proteins contributing to FUS-mediated toxicity. *EMBO Rep* **22**, e50640 (2021).

8.   Cao, X. et al. Alt-RPL36 downregulates the PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling pathway by interacting with TMEM24. *Nat Commun* **12**, 508 (2021).

9.   Chen, J. et al. Pervasive functional translation of noncanonical human open reading frames. *Science* **367**, 1140-1146 (2020).

10.   Prensner, J.R. et al. Noncanonical open reading frames encode functional proteins essential for cancer cell survival. *Nat Biotechnol* (2021).

11.   Brunet, M.A. et al. OpenProt 2021: deeper functional annotation of the coding potential of eukaryotic genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D380-D388 (2021).

12.   Slavoff, S.A. et al. Peptidomic discovery of short open reading frame-encoded peptides in human cells. *Nat Chem Biol* **9**, 59-64 (2013).

13.   Schwaid, A.G. et al. Chemoproteomic discovery of cysteine-containing human short open reading frames. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**, 16750-3 (2013).

14.   Klinge, S. & Woolford, J.L., Jr. Ribosome assembly coming into focus. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 116-131 (2019).

15.   Pena, C., Hurt, E. & Panse, V.G. Eukaryotic ribosome assembly, transport and quality control. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 689-699 (2017).

16.   De Keersmaecker, K., Sulima, S.O. & Dinman, J.D. Ribosomopathies and the paradox of cellular hypo- to hyperproliferation. *Blood* **125**, 1377-82 (2015).

17.   Nerurkar, P. et al. Eukaryotic Ribosome Assembly and Nuclear Export. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* **319**, 107-40 (2015).

18.   Kater, L. et al. Visualizing the Assembly Pathway of Nucleolar Pre-60S Ribosomes. *Cell* **171**, 1599-1610 e14 (2017).

945   19.   Sanghai, Z.A. et al. Modular assembly of the nucleolar pre-60S ribosomal subunit.
946   *Nature* **556**, 126-129 (2018).
947   20.   Micic, J. et al. Coupling of 5S RNP rotation with maturation of functional centers during
948   large ribosomal subunit assembly. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3751 (2020).
949   21.   Pelletier, J., Thomas, G. & Volarevic, S. Ribosome biogenesis in cancer: new players and
950   therapeutic avenues. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**, 51-63 (2018).
951   22.   Nyhus, C., Pihl, M., Hyttel, P. & Hall, V.J. Evidence for nucleolar dysfunction in
952   Alzheimer's disease. *Rev Neurosci* **30**, 685-700 (2019).
953   23.   Farley-Barnes, K.I., Ogawa, L.M. & Baserga, S.J. Ribosomopathies: Old Concepts, New
954   Controversies. *Trends Genet* **35**, 754-767 (2019).
955   24.   Mills, E.W. & Green, R. Ribosomopathies: There's strength in numbers. *Science*
956   **358**(2017).
957   25.   Hernandez, J. et al. Tumor suppressor properties of the splicing regulatory factor
958   RBM10. *RNA Biol* **13**, 466-72 (2016).
959   26.   Inoue, A. et al. RBM10 regulates alternative splicing. *FEBS Lett* **588**, 942-7 (2014).
960   27.   Rodor, J., FitzPatrick, D.R., Eyras, E. & Caceres, J.F. The RNA-binding landscape of RBM10
961   and its role in alternative splicing regulation in models of mouse early development.
962   *RNA Biol* **14**, 45-57 (2017).
963   28.   Sutherland, L.C. et al. Splicing arrays reveal novel RBM10 targets, including SMN2 pre-
964   mRNA. *BMC Mol Biol* **18**, 19 (2017).
965   29.   Bechara, E.G., Sebestyen, E., Bernardis, I., Eyras, E. & Valcarcel, J. RBM5, 6, and 10
966   differentially regulate NUMB alternative splicing to control cancer cell proliferation. *Mol*
967   *Cell* **52**, 720-33 (2013).
968   30.   Dieterich, D.C., Link, A.J., Graumann, J., Tirrell, D.A. & Schuman, E.M. Selective
969   identification of newly synthesized proteins in mammalian cells using bioorthogonal
970   noncanonical amino acid tagging (BONCAT). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 9482-7 (2006).
971   31.   Ma, J. et al. Improved Identification and Analysis of Small Open Reading Frame Encoded
972   Polypeptides. *Anal Chem* **88**, 3967-75 (2016).
973   32.   Khitun, A. & Slavoff, S.A. Proteomic Detection and Validation of Translated Small Open
974   Reading Frames. *Curr Protoc Chem Biol* **11**, e77 (2019).
975   33.   Chu, Q. et al. Identification of Microprotein-Protein Interactions via APEX Tagging.
976   *Biochemistry* **56**, 3299-3306 (2017).
977   34.   Wang, T., Liu, Y., Liu, Q., Cummins, S. & Zhao, M. Integrative proteomic analysis reveals
978   potential high-frequency alternative open reading frame-encoded peptides in human
979   colorectal cancer. *Life Sci* **215**, 182-189 (2018).
980   35.   Kamiyama, D. et al. Versatile protein tagging in cells with split fluorescent protein. *Nat*
981   *Commun* **7**, 11046 (2016).
982   36.   Iyer-Bierhoff, A. & Grummt, I. Stop-and-Go: Dynamics of Nucleolar Transcription During
983   the Cell Cycle. *Epigenet Insights* **12**, 2516865719849090 (2019).
984   37.   Andrews, J.O. et al. qSR: a quantitative super-resolution analysis tool reveals the cell-
985   cycle dependent organization of RNA Polymerase I in live human cells. *Sci Rep* **8**, 7424
986   (2018).
987   38.   Gorski, S.A., Snyder, S.K., John, S., Grummt, I. & Misteli, T. Modulation of RNA
988   polymerase assembly dynamics in transcriptional regulation. *Mol Cell* **30**, 486-97 (2008).
989   39.   Hernandez-Verdun, D. Assembly and disassembly of the nucleolus during the cell cycle.
990   *Nucleus* **2**, 189-94 (2011).
991   40.   Cao, X. & Slavoff, S.A. Non-AUG start codons: Expanding and regulating the small and
992   alternative ORFeome. *Exp Cell Res* **391**, 111973 (2020).

993   41.   Tan, L.Y. et al. Generation of functionally distinct isoforms of PTBP3 by alternative
994   splicing and translation initiation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 5586-600 (2015).

995   42.   Saghatelian, A. & Couso, J.P. Discovery and characterization of smORF-encoded
996   bioactive polypeptides. *Nat Chem Biol* **11**, 909-16 (2015).

997   43.   Cox, J. et al. Accurate proteome-wide label-free quantification by delayed normalization
998   and maximal peptide ratio extraction, termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **13**, 2513-26
999   (2014).

1000   44.   Liang, X. et al. Structural snapshots of human pre-60S ribosomal particles before and
1001   after nuclear export. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3542 (2020).

1002   45.   Liu, Y., Deisenroth, C. & Zhang, Y. RP-MDM2-p53 Pathway: Linking Ribosomal Biogenesis
1003   and Tumor Surveillance. *Trends Cancer* **2**, 191-204 (2016).

1004   46.   Sondalle, S.B., Longerich, S., Ogawa, L.M., Sung, P. & Baserga, S.J. Fanconi anemia
1005   protein FANCI functions in ribosome biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 2561-2570
1006   (2019).

1007   47.   Bassler, J. & Hurt, E. Eukaryotic Ribosome Assembly. *Annu Rev Biochem* **88**, 281-306
1008   (2019).

1009   48.   Wild, T. et al. A protein inventory of human ribosome biogenesis reveals an essential
1010   function of exportin 5 in 60S subunit export. *PLoS Biol* **8**, e1000522 (2010).

1011   49.   Klemke, M., Kehlenbach, R.H. & Huttner, W.B. Two overlapping reading frames in a
1012   single exon encode interacting proteins--a novel way of gene usage. *EMBO J* **20**, 3849-60
1013   (2001).

1014   50.   Inoue, A. RBM10: Structure, functions, and associated diseases. *Gene* **783**, 145463
1015   (2021).

1016   51.   Niceta, M. et al. TARP syndrome: Long-term survival, anatomic patterns of congenital
1017   heart defects, differential diagnosis and pathogenetic considerations. *Eur J Med Genet*
1018   **62**, 103534 (2019).

1019   52.   Imielinski, M. et al. Mapping the hallmarks of lung adenocarcinoma with massively
1020   parallel sequencing. *Cell* **150**, 1107-20 (2012).

1021   53.   Gagnon, M. et al. Potentiation of B2 receptor signaling by AltB2R, a newly identified
1022   alternative protein encoded in the human bradykinin B2 receptor gene. *J Biol Chem* **296**,
1023   100329 (2021).

1024   54.   Carvunis, A.R. et al. Proto-genes and de novo gene birth. *Nature* **487**, 370-4 (2012).

1025   55.   Parker, M.D., Collins, J.C., Korona, B., Ghalei, H. & Karbstein, K. A kinase-dependent
1026   checkpoint prevents escape of immature ribosomes into the translating pool. *PLoS Biol*
1027   **17**, e3000329 (2019).

1028   56.   Farley-Barnes, K.I. et al. Diverse Regulators of Human Ribosome Biogenesis Discovered
1029   by Changes in Nucleolar Number. *Cell Rep* **22**, 1923-1934 (2018).

1030   57.   Nguyen le, X.T., Raval, A., Garcia, J.S. & Mitchell, B.S. Regulation of ribosomal gene
1031   expression in cancer. *J Cell Physiol* **230**, 1181-8 (2015).

1032   58.   Xing, Y.H. et al. SLERT Regulates DDX21 Rings Associated with Pol I Transcription. *Cell*
1033   **169**, 664-678 e16 (2017).

1034   59.   Ogawa, L.M. et al. Increased numbers of nucleoli in a genome-wide RNAi screen reveal
1035   proteins that link the cell cycle to RNA polymerase I transcription. *Mol Biol Cell* **32**, 956-
1036   973 (2021).

1037   60.   Cao, X. et al. Comparative Proteomic Profiling of Unannotated Microproteins and
1038   Alternative Proteins in Human Cell Lines. *J Proteome Res* **19**, 3418-3426 (2020).

1039   61.   Gregory, B. et al. The small and large ribosomal subunits depend on each other for
1040   stability and accumulation. *Life Sci Alliance* **2**(2019).

1041   62.   Weerapana, E., Speers, A.E. & Cravatt, B.F. Tandem orthogonal proteolysis-activity-
1042   based protein profiling (TOP-ABPP)--a general method for mapping sites of probe
1043   modification in proteomes. *Nat Protoc* **2**, 1414-25 (2007).
1044   63.   Schmidt, E.K., Clavarino, G., Ceppi, M. & Pierre, P. SUnSET, a nonradioactive method to
1045   monitor protein synthesis. *Nat Methods* **6**, 275-7 (2009).
1046   64.   Cao, X. et al. Histone H4K20 Demethylation by Two hHR23 Proteins. *Cell Rep* **30**, 4152-
1047   4164 e6 (2020).

1048