Light-dependent synthesis of a nucleotide second messenger controls bacterial motility

Jun Xu[1,a], Nobuo Koizumi[2], Yusuke V. Morimoto[3,4], Ryo Ozuru[5,b], Toshiyuki Masuzawa[6], Shuichi Nakamura[7]*

[1]Department of Animal Microbiology, Graduate School of Agricultural Science, Tohoku University., Sendai, Miyagi, Japan; [2]Department of Bacteriology I, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan; [3]Faculty of Computer Science and Systems Engineering, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Kawazu, Iizuka, Fukuoka, Japan; [4]Japan Science and Technology Agency, PRESTO, Honcho, Kawaguchi, Saitama, Japan; [5]Faculty of Medicine, Tottori University, Yonago, Tottori, Japan; [6]Faculty of Pharmacy, Chiba Institute of Science, Choshi, Chiba, Japan; [7]Department of Applied Physics,Graduate School of Engineering, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

J.X., N.K., and Y.V.M contributed equally to the work.

*e-mail: naka@bp.apph.tohoku.ac.jp

Present affiliation: [a]Department of Bacteriology, Graduate School of Medicine, University of the Ryukyus, Uehara, Nishiharacho, Okinawa, Japan; [b]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan

Keywords: Nucleotide second messenger, cAMP, bacterial motility, photoresponsivity

Nucleotide second messengers are universally crucial factors for the signal transduction of various organisms. In prokaryotes, cyclic nucleotide messengers are involved in the bacterial life cycle and function, such as virulence, biofilm formation, and others mainly via gene regulation. Here we show that the swimming motility of a soil bacterium is rapidly modulated by cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) synthesized upon light exposure. Analysis of a loss-of-photoresponsivity mutant obtained by transposon random mutagenesis determined the novel sensory gene, and its expression in *Escherichia coli* through codon optimization revealed the light-dependent synthesis of cAMP. GFP labeling showed the localization of the photoresponsive enzyme at the cell poles where flagellar motors reside. The present findings highlight the new role of cAMP that rapidly controls the flagella-dependent bacterial motility and

the global distribution of the discovered photoactivated cyclase among diverse microbial
species.

In all domains of life, nucleotide messengers cAMP, cGMP, c-di-AMP, and c-di-GMP have vital
roles in signaling networks, allowing organisms to recognize changes in environmental factors and
regulate their physiologies and behaviors. Many cyclic nucleotide-binding proteins have been identified,
e.g., the binding of cAMP or cGMP to cyclic nucleotide-gated channels triggers cation flux[1]. The
capacitation of mammalian sperms involves phosphorylation of the associated proteins by
cAMP-dependent activation of protein kinase A, and its flagellar beating is accelerated by cAMP derived
from soluble adenylyl cyclase[2]. Also, the c-di-GMP level is known to be involved in biofilm formation,
motility, and virulence of bacteria[3,4].

Here we show that the bacterial motility is rapidly modulated by cAMP generated upon light
exposure. Motile bacteria possess varied machinery for moving in liquid or over surfaces[5]. A major
motility form is flagella-dependent swimming: The peritrichous bacteria *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*
enterica swim by rotating bundled flagella; *Vibrio cholerae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are propelled
by a single polar flagellum[6]. While these species possess flagella at the cell exterior, spirochetes such
as *Borrelia burgdorferi* (Lyme disease pathogen) and *Treponema pallidum* (syphilis) have their flagella
(endoflagella) within the periplasmic space[7]. The endoflagella of spirochetes are thought to rotate within
the periplasmic space, rolling or transforming the spiral cell body for generating thrust[7]. We discovered
that the spirochete *Leptospira kobayashii* isolated from soil in Japan[8,9] drastically alters the swimming
pattern immediately after sensing light. We demonstrated the responsibility of cAMP synthesized by a
novel photoresponsive adenylyl cyclase for motility control. It has been known that cAMP affects gene
regulation for pili synthesis in cyanobacteria[10–12], but the soil bacteria respond to light exposure in
subsecond order. We termed the novel adenylyl cyclase as *L. kobayashii* photoactivation-associated
adenylyl cyclase (LkPAAC). This is the first report of cAMP-dependent rapid control of flagella-driven
bacterial motility.

Results
Light modulates swimming of soil bacteria. The genus *Leptospira* possesses two endoflagella (one
flagellum per cell end, Supplementary Fig. 1). *Leptospira* spp. swim by rotating the coiled cell body
(swimming mode), and smooth swimming is frequently intermitted by rotation without migration (rotation
mode)[13,14]. It has been known that the transition between swimming and rotation modes of *Leptospira*
spp. occurs stochastically, and that the switching frequency is affected by viscosity[15] and chemical
substrates[16,17]. In contrast, we found that *L. kobayashii* exclusively showed rotation in the dark (~10 lux,
"Dark" in Fig. 1), but their smooth swimming is triggered by light exposure (~1300 lux, "Bright" in Fig. 1,
Movie 1, Supplementary Fig. 2). Since light stimulation increased the migration distance of the bacteria

by the directed swimming (Supplementary Fig. 3), the light-dependent motility was quantified using

swimming velocity of individual cells (i.e., migration distance per second), showing an increase of

velocity up to four-fold by light (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 2). The smooth swimming reached the

maximum velocity at ~1 s after stimulation (Fig. 1c). The light-responsivity depends on the light intensity,

and the duration time for unidirectional swimming got longer with the increase of light intensity

(Supplementary Fig 2). The response to light was observed even at ~40 lux, which is less than 1/10 of a

conventional experimental room illuminated by 32 W fluorescent lamps (500 – 600 lux) (Supplementary

Fig 2). The bacteria can respond to green and blue lights but not to red (Fig 1d). Cumulative cell

fractions obtained from the velocity histograms show that green light induces more cells to swim

smoothly than blue light (Fig 1e).

Identification of the photosensor. To identify the sensor gene responsible for the *L. kobayashii*

photoresponsivity, we explored loss-of-function mutants from a kanamycin-resistant library made by

transposon random mutagenesis. One of ~2400 clones retained motility but lacked photoresponsivity

(Fig 2a, Movie 2). The mutant carried a transposon insertion into the gene LPTSP3_g09850 (Fig. 2b,

annotated as glycosyl hydrolase family), but the lost photoresponsivity was not restored to the mutant by

the complementation of the gene (Supplementary Fig 4). Noting that the gene LPTSP3_g09840

encodes adenylate/guanylate cyclase domain-containing protein and is located immediately

downstream of LPTSP3_g09850, we complemented 1H6 by both LPTSP3_g09850 and

LPTSP3_g09840 genes, resulting in the recovery of photoresponsivity (Fig 2c, d). The photoresponsivity

of 1H6 was recovered to the wild-type level by single complementation of LPTSP3_g09840 gene (Fig

2d), indicating that the gene is responsible for the photoresponsivity of *L. kobayashii*.

Light-dependent sensor activity. Photoactivated adenylyl cyclases (PACs) have been found in both

eukaryotes and prokaryotes[18–24]. The alignment of BDA78054 (LPTSP3_g09840 gene product) with

known functional PACs showed that the C-terminal region of BDA78054 contains a domain similar to the

AC domain of known PACs, whereas the putative sensor domain of BDA78054 was distinct from the

conventional PAC sensor BLUF or LOV domain (Supplementary Fig. 5). Since the light-dependent

elevation of cAMP concentration was not detected in *L. kobayashii* cells (Supplementary Fig. 6a), we

measured the enzyme activity using the overexpression system of *E. coli* carrying the codon-optimized

LPTSP3_g09840 gene (Fig. 3a). The overexpressed BDA78054 showed the increase of the cAMP

concentration in *E. coli* by light exposure (Fig. 3b). Very little cGMP was detected independently of light,

which could be endogenous substrates (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Based on that the light-dependent

cAMP synthesis, BDA78054 was named photoactivation-associated adenylyl cyclase (LkPAAC). The

1H6 mutant cells keep rotating in bright, but the addition of a membrane-permeable cAMP

(8-Bromo-cAMP) induced smooth swimming of the mutant as well as the wild-type cells exposed to light

(Fig. 3c). These results suggest that the observed modulation of flagellar rotation was induced by cAMP

synthesized upon light stimulation.

Bi-polar localization of the photoresponsive cyclase. We examined the localization of LkPAAC in the
cell body by labeling the protein with GFP. The GFP labeling did not affect the photoresponsivity of the
bacterium (Supplementary Fig. 7). Epi-fluorescent microscopy showed the localization of LkPAAC-GFP
at both ends of the cell body (Fig. 4a). Based on the fluorescent intensity of single AcGFP molecules, we
estimated that 5.5 ± 3.8 molecules of LkPAAC (n = 46 poles) are localized at one pole (Fig. 4b) while
those in the membrane pool diffused along the cell body (Movie 3). Although the increase of cAMP
concentration in *L. kobayashii* was likely to be below the detection limit (Supplementary Fig. 6), the
bi-polar localization of LkPAAC could condense cAMP near the flagellar motor and realizes rapid
response to light.

Discussion
Organisms react to light through various sensory systems, leading to vision, signaling, and energetic
activities. For example, the retinal-binding membrane proteins rhodopsins play a crucial role in vision,
ion pumping, and microbial taxis[25,26]; the flavin-binding proteins cryptochromes are involved in the
growth of plants and the circadian clock of animals[27]. Investigating such light-driven proteins deepens
our understanding of essential cellular activities. In addition, they have great potential for application as
an optogenetic tool, enabling energetic or signaling modulation in live cells under arbitral spatial and
temporal conditions. The adenylyl cyclase discovered in the soil spirochete modulated the swimming
pattern through cAMP synthesized in a light-dependent manner. Although cAMP signaling has been
known to be involved in pilus/flagella biogenesis and biofilm formation in bacteria, they are slow
responses through gene regulation[10,12,28]. Our finding of subsecond-order stimulation of bacterial
swimming by cAMP shows a novel role of the nucleotide second messenger. Subcellular localization of
the LkPAAC near the flagellar motors implies a high local concentration of cAMP, offering a rapid and
sensitive response of the flagellar rotation.

The genus *Leptospira* comprises pathogenic and saprophytic species[8]. The species that belong
to the saprophytic clade S2 containing *L. kobayashii* can respond to light, whereas one of the major
pathogenic species *L. interrogans* swims even in the dark and does not react to light despite carrying the
homologous gene (Supplementary Fig. 8). Since the LkPAAC-deficient mutant retains motility but cannot
migrate (Movie 2), cAMP-dependent swimming could be important for exploring environments of the
free-living species. In contrast, the pathogens' motility in irrelevant to light is significant for migrating
within the host body[29]. Interestingly, a search of the amino-acid sequence database showed that many
microbial species have a gene homologous with LkPAAC in their genome (Supplementary Fig. 9).
Although LkPAAC would not be involved in photoresponsive motility in all bacteria, cAMP synthesized by
LkPAAC may be used in some signal transductions. Adenylyl cyclases are categorized into six classes,

e.g., class I has been found in gamma-proteobacteria, and class II is used by pathogenic bacteria, such

as *Bacillus anthracis* and *P. aeruginosa*[30]. Since PACs that have functional similarity to LkPAAC belong

to a universal class III used by many species of eukaryotes (e.g., fungi and protozoa) and prokaryotes

(e.g., eubacteria and archaea), successful expression of functional LkPAAC in other bacterial species is

the crucial first step for optogenetic application. Understanding the molecular basis of the fast

photosensory of LkPAAC and cAMP signaling will be a future subject.

Methods

Methods

Bacteria and media. *Leptospira* spp. were grown in enriched Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris

(EMJH) liquid medium (BD Difco, NJ, USA) at 30°C for 2 to 4 days (depends on the strains) until the

log-phase. *E. coli* strains C41(DE3) and β2163 were grown in L-broth and LB medium supplemented

with 0.3 mM diaminopimelate, respectively.

Screening of a photoresponsivity-deficient mutant by random transposon mutagenesis. Random

insertion mutagenesis of *L. kobayashii* strain E30 using *Himar1* transposon was conducted as described

previously[31,32]. By conjugation with *E. coli* β2163 carrying pCjTKS2[32], about 2400 transconjugant

colonies were observed on plates of EMJH agar (1% agar) containing kanamycin at a final concentration

of 25 μg/ml. Each transconjugant was independently inoculated to 150 μl of liquid EMJH containing

kanamycin and grown at 30°C for 4 days. The grown bacteria were observed using a dark-field

microscope (BX50, mercury lamp, 10x objective, dry condenser; Olympus) for screening

photoresponsivity-deficient mutants. The transposon insertion site was identified using the semi-random

PCR technique[32].

For the complementation of photoresponsive-deficient mutant 1H6, LPTSP3_g09850 and/or

lkPAAC (LPTSP3_g09840) genes were expressed under the *flgB* promoter[33,34]. The *flgB* promoter

region was amplified as previously described[29], and the LPTSP3_g09850/*lkPAAC* genes, *lkPAAC* gene,

LPTSP3_g09850 gene with FLAG tag, and *lkPAAC* with FLAG tag were amplified from genomic DNA of

the *L. kobayashii* E30, and the amplified products were cloned into the *Sal*I-digested pCjSpLe94[35] by

NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly cloning (New England BioLabs). For the LkPAAC with GFP joined by a

flexible (GGGGS)$_3$ linker, the *lkPAAC* gene was amplified as described above, and *gfp* was amplified

from pAcGFP1 (Clontech) using the primer containing the (GGGGS)$_3$ linker sequence, and the amplified

products were cloned into the *Sal*I-digested pCjSpLe94 as described above. Primers used in this study

are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Immunoblotting experiments. About 1.5×10^8 leptospiral cells suspended in SDS-PAGE sample

buffer were subjected to 5%-20% SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. The blot was incubated with

antisera raised against the peptide fragment of LkPAAC (NH2-LSWADRTDSIYIWK-COOH) and FlaA2[31]

or monoclonal antibody for FLAG tag.

Motility assay. *Leptospira* culture was diluted 1:20 into a fresh EMJH and was infused into a flow
chamber composed of a coverslip (upper side) and a glass slide (bottom side). To examine the effect of
membrane-permeable cAMP and cGMP on swimming, 8-Bromo-cAMP and 8-Bromo-cGMP dissolved in
10 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.0) were added to *L. kobayashii* cells suspended with the same buffer. Motility of
leptospires was observed under a dark-field microscope (BX53, Splan 40×, NA 0.75; Olympus, Tokyo,
Japan) equipped with a halogen lamp and were recorded by a supersensitive charge-coupled device
(CCD) camera (WAT- 910HX, Watec Co., Yamagata, Japan) at a frame rate of 30 frames per second.
Swimming trajectories and velocities of individual cells were analyzed using ImageJ software (National
Institutes of Health, MD, USA) and VBA-based macros programmed in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, WA,
USA)[14]. Light intensity and wavelength were adjusted with neutral density (ND) filters and bandpass
filters (FF01-488/50-25 for blue, FF01-550/49-25 for green, FF01-650/54-25 for red; Semrock),
respectively. Light intensity was measured using an illuminometer (CHE-LT1, Sanwa Supply INC.)

Observation of LkPAAC-AcGFP1 subcellular localization. Fluorescence of LkPAAC-AcGFP was
observed using an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX-83, Olympus) with a 100× oil immersion
objective lens (UPLSAPO100XO, NA 1.4, Olympus) and an sCMOS camera (Prime95B, Photometrics).
AcGFP was excited by a 130 W mercury light source system (U-HGLGPS, Olympus) with a
fluorescence mirror unit U-FGFP (Excitation BP 460–480; Emission BP 495–540, Olympus).
Fluorescence image processing was performed with the ImageJ version 1.53 software (National
Institutes of Health).

The number of LkPAAC-AcGFP1 at the cell pole was estimated by comparing the fluorescence
spot intensity at the pole with the fluorescence intensity of a single His-AcGFP1 molecule as previously
reported[36]. His-AcGFP1 was purified from *E. coli* C41(DE3) cells carrying pET19b/ His-AcGFP1 using
Ni-NTA agarose (Fujifilm Wako). 10 pg/ml of His-AcGFP1 solution was applied to a coverslip washed by
0.1 M KOH and observed by fluorescence microscopy. In the fluorescent images, a rectangular mask for
the fluorescent spot of 30×30 pixels was applied to the ROI (region of interest). We defined the spot
intensity as the sum of all pixel values within the rectangular mask after subtracting the total background
intensity from each pixel value. The number of LkPAAC-AcGFP1 per pole was estimated as the intensity
of the cell pole divided by the average intensity of a single His-AcGFP1 molecule.

cAMP ELISA assay. A cAMP ELISA kit (ADI-900-066, Enzo Life Sciences) was used to determine
intracellular cAMP levels following the manufacturer's instructions. *E. coli* C41(DE3) cells carrying
pET22b/ LkPAAC-His were grown in L-broth containing 100 µg/mL ampicillin with or without 1 mM IPTG
at 30°C for 5 hours with shaking. The codon usages of the LkPAAC sequence were optimized to those of
E. coli for efficient protein expression. *L. kobayashii* cells were grown at 30°C for 4 days in EMJH liquid
medium until the late-exponential phase. Cells were photo-stimulated with white LED for 3 min and
subsequently lysed. Cell lysates were used to calculate cAMP values. The intracellular cAMP

concentrations of *E. coli* and *Leptospira* cells were normalized to OD_{600} and OD_{420}, respectively.

References

1. Beavo, J. A. & Brunton, L. L. Cyclic nucleotide research — still expanding after half a century. *Nat Rev*

Mol Cell Biol **3**, 710–717 (2002).

2. Jansen, V. *et al.* Controlling fertilization and cAMP signaling in sperm by optogenetics. *Elife* **4**, (2015).

3. Valentini, M. & Filloux, A. Biofilms and Cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP) signaling: Lessons from

Pseudomonas aeruginosa and other bacteria. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 12547–12555 (2016).

4. Jenal, U., Reinders, A. & Lori, C. Cyclic di-GMP: second messenger extraordinaire. *Nat Rev Microbiol*

15, 271–284 (2017).

5. Miyata, M. *et al.* Tree of motility – A proposed history of motility systems in the tree of life. *Genes to*

Cells **25**, 6–21 (2020).

6. Nakamura, S. & Minamino, T. Flagella-driven motility of bacteria. *Biomolecules* **9**, 279 (2019).

7. Nakamura, S. Spirochete flagella and motility. *Biomolecules* **10**, 550 (2020).

8. Vincent, A. T. *et al.* Revisiting the taxonomy and evolution of pathogenicity of the genus *Leptospira*

through the prism of genomics. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **13**, e0007270 (2019).

9. Nakao, R., Masuzawa, T., Nakamura, S. & Koizumi, N. Complete genome sequence of *Leptospira*

kobayashii strain E30, isolated from soil in Japan. *Microbiology Resource Announcements*

Accepted,.

10.   Yoshimura, H., Yoshihara, S., Okamoto, S., Ikeuchi, M. & Ohmori, M. A cAMP receptor protein,

SYCRP1, is responsible for the cell motility of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. *Plant Cell Physiol* **43**,

460–463 (2002).

11.   Bhaya, D. Light matters: phototaxis and signal transduction in unicellular cyanobacteria. *Mol*

Microbiol **53**, 745–754 (2004).

12.   Terauchi, K. & Ohmori, M. Blue light stimulates cyanobacterial motility via a cAMP signal

transduction system. *Molecular Microbiology* **52**, 303–309 (2004).

13.   Goldstein, S. F. & Charon, N. W. Multiple-exposure photographic analysis of a motile spirochete.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **87**, 4895–4899 (1990).

14.   Nakamura, S., Leshansky, A., Magariyama, Y., Namba, K. & Kudo, S. Direct measurement of

helical cell motion of the spirochete *Leptospira*. *Biophys. J.* **106**, 47–54 (2014).

15.   Takabe, K. *et al.* Viscosity-dependent variations in the cell shape and swimming manner of

Leptospira. *Microbiology* **163**, 153–160 (2017).

16.   Islam, M. S., Takabe, K., Kudo, S. & Nakamura, S. Analysis of the chemotactic behaviour of

Leptospira using microscopic agar-drop assay. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **356**, 39–44 (2014).

17.   Affroze, S., Islam, Md. S., Takabe, K., Kudo, S. & Nakamura, S. Characterization of leptospiral

chemoreceptors using a microscopic agar drop assay. *Curr Microbiol* **73**, 202–205 (2016).

18.   Iseki, M. *et al.* A blue-light-activated adenylyl cyclase mediates photoavoidance in *Euglena*

gracilis. *Nature* **415**, 1047–1051 (2002).

19.   Ohki, M. *et al.* Structural insight into photoactivation of an adenylate cyclase from a

photosynthetic cyanobacterium. *PNAS* **113**, 6659–6664 (2016).

20.   Stierl, M. *et al.* Light modulation of cellular cAMP by a small bacterial photoactivated adenylyl

cyclase, bPAC, of the soil bacterium *Beggiatoa*. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 1181–1188 (2011).

21.   Penzkofer, A., Stierl, M., Hegemann, P. & Kateriya, S. Photo-dynamics of the BLUF domain

containing soluble adenylate cyclase (nPAC) from the amoeboflagellate *Naegleria gruberi* NEG-M

strain. *Chemical Physics* **387**, 25–38 (2011).

22.   Penzkofer, A., Tanwar, M., Veetil, S. K. & Kateriya, S. Photo-dynamics of photoactivated

adenylyl cyclase TpPAC from the spirochete bacterium *Turneriella parva* strain H[T]. *J Photochem*

Photobiol B **153**, 90–102 (2015).

23.   Penzkofer, A., Tanwar, M., Veetil, S. K. & Kateriya, S. Photo-dynamics of photoactivated

adenylyl cyclase LiPAC from the spirochete bacterium *Leptonema illini* strain 3055T. 24.

24.   Blain-Hartung, M. *et al.* Cyanobacteriochrome-based photoswitchable adenylyl cyclases

(cPACs) for broad spectrum light regulation of cAMP levels in cells. *J Biol Chem* **293**, 8473–8483

(2018).

25.   Inoue, K., Tsukamoto, T. & Sudo, Y. Molecular and evolutionary aspects of microbial sensory

rhodopsins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics* **1837**, 562–577 (2014).

26.   Kandori, H. Biophysics of rhodopsins and optogenetics. *Biophys Rev* **12**, 355–361 (2020).

27.   Chaves, I. *et al.* The cryptochromes: Blue light photoreceptors in plants and animals. *Annual*

Review of Plant Biology **62**, 335–364 (2011).

28.   Liu, C., Sun, D., Zhu, J., Liu, J. & Liu, W. The Regulation of bacterial biofilm formation by

cAMP-CRP. *Front Microbiol* **11**, (2020).

29.   Xu, J., Koizumi, N. & Nakamura, S. Crawling motility on the host tissue surfaces is associated

with the pathogenicity of the zoonotic spirochete *Leptospira*. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, (2020).

30.   Linder, J. U. Class III adenylyl cyclases: molecular mechanisms of catalysis and regulation. *Cell.*

Mol. Life Sci. **63**, 1736 (2006).

31.   Sasaki, Y. *et al.* Leptospiral flagellar sheath protein FcpA interacts with FlaA2 and FlaB1 in

Leptospira biflexa. *PLOS ONE* **13**, e0194923 (2018).

32.   Slamti, L. & Picardeau, M. Construction of a library of random mutants in the spirochete

Leptospira biflexa using a *mariner* transposon. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **859**, 169–176 (2012).

33.   Bono, J. L. *et al.* Efficient targeted mutagenesis in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Journal of Bacteriology*

182, 2445–2452 (2000).

34.   Bauby, H., Saint Girons, I. & Picardeau, M. Construction and complementation of the first

auxotrophic mutant in the spirochaete *Leptospira meyeri*. *Microbiology* **149**, 689–693 (2003).

35.   Picardeau, M. Conjugative transfer between *Escherichia coli* and *Leptospira* spp. as a new

genetic tool. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 319–322 (2008).

36.   Morimoto, Y. V. *et al.* Assembly and stoichiometry of FliF and FlhA in *Salmonella* flagellar basal

body. *Mol Microbiol* **91**, 1214–1226 (2014).

Acknowledgments We thank Dr. E. Isogai (Tohoku University) and Dr. H. Yoneyama (Tohoku
University) for the experiment reagents and the insightful discussion. This work was supported
by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 18J10834 to J.X., 19K07571 to N.K., 15H01307 to S.N.,
and 20H05524 to S.N. This work was also supported by JST PRESTO Grant Number
JPMJPR204B to Y.V.M.

Author contributions J.X., N.K., Y.V.M., R.O., T.M., and S.N. planned the project; J.X., N.K.
Y.V.M. R.O., T.M., and S.N. carried out the experiments; Y.V.N. and S.N. set up the optical
system and programs for data analysis; J.X., Y.V.M., N.K., R.O., and S.N. analyzed the data;
J.X., N.K., Y.V.N., and S.N. wrote the paper.

Competing interests The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Materials & Correspondence Shuichi Nakamura; Department of Applied Physics, Graduate
School of Engineering, Tohoku University, 6-6-05 Aoba, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8579,
Japan; naka@bp.apph.tohoku.ac.jp

Data availability The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the
corresponding author upon request.

Figures

Figure 1. Light stimulation induces unidirectional swimming of spirochetal bacteria. (a) Swimming trajectories of *Leptospira kobayashii* cells under low-intensity (termed as "Dark" in this study) and high-intensity (termed as "Bright") illumination. The results of 2-s tracking are shown. Colored footprints (inset, time courses in the order from red to blue) show almost zero net migration in dark. Note that the bacteria retain vigorous motility in dark (see the scene "Light OFF" in Movie1). As a light source, a halogen lamp was used with a wide band-pass filter (ca. 400 -700 nm). **(b)** Velocities of individual cells measured in dark (n = 189 cells) and in bright (n = 150 cells). The data were obtained by three independent experiments. **(c)** Time courses of cell displacement. Example traces obtained from 14 cells (thin colored lines) and the averaged trace (thick black line) are shown. Neutral density filters were removed at the time point (indicated by the triangle and set as 0 s) so that the cells were suddenly exposed to stronger light. The sequential micrographs (inset) show the light-dependent initiation of directional swimming. The right panel shows the time course of velocity (gray) obtained from the mean displacement and the result of sigmoidal curve fitting (red). **(d)** Color dependence of the light-controlled motility. Band-pass filters with center wavelengths of 650 nm, 550 nm, and 488 nm were used for red, green, and blue illumination, respectively. The experiments were repeated three times, and ca. 100 cells were measured in total in each condition. **(e)** Cumulative cell fractions calculated from the histograms of bright shown in *d*. The colors indicate those used for illumination: average values (closed circles) and standard deviations (error bars) of three independent experiments.

Figure 2. Identification of a photosensor in the spirochete *Leptospira*. (a) Time traces of the cell
displacement under light exposure. The traces of 8 cells are shown in each strain. (b) Transposon insertion in
the photoresponsivity-deficient mutant 1H6. The position of the transposon insertion is between 1133909th
and 1133920th nucleotides of the *L. kobayashii* chromosome 1 (AP025028). (c) Immunoblotting of whole-cell
lysates from the wild-type *L. kobayashii*, 1H6, and its complemented strains using anti-LkPAAC and
anti-FlaA2 (control) antisera. (d) Effect of transposon insertion and gene complementation on
photoresponsivity. The average values and standard errors were determined by three independent
experiments (ca. 100 cells were measured in total in each condition).

Figure 3. Activity and localization of LkPAAC. (a) Immunoblotting of whole-cell lysates of *L. kobayashii*
(1.5×10^8 cells) and *E. coli* expressing LkPAAC-His (2.0×10^7 cells) with anti-LkPAAC antiserum. Since
long-time exposure was required for detecting the band of *L. kobayashii*, the *E. coli* sample was diluted at
1:10 for observing the band of the overexpressed proteins clearly. (b) Light-dependent synthesis of cAMP in
E. coli carrying the codon-optimized *lkPAAC* gene. The average values and standard deviations were
determined by three independent experiments. (c) Effect of externally supplemented membrane-permeable
cAMP analog (8-Bromo-cAMP) on the 1H6 swimming. The average values and standard errors were
determined by three independent experiments (ca. 100 cells were measured in total in each concentration).

Figure 4. Subcellular localization of LkPAAC. (a) Fluorescent images of LkPAAC-GFP expressed in the 1H6 strain (upper) and 2D intensity profiles (lower). (b) Box plot of the number of LkPAAC-GFP molecules localized at one end of the cell body. The lower and upper box boundaries are 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively. The line in the middle of the box shows the median number. The lower and upper error lines indicate the smallest and largest values, respectively. 46 cell poles were analyzed.