Comparative transcriptomics of tropical woody plants supports fast and furious strategy along the leaf economics spectrum in lianas

U. Uzay Sezen [1*], Samantha J. Worthy[2], Maria N. Umaña[3], Stuart J. Davies[4,5], Sean M. McMahon[1], Nathan G. Swenson[6,2],

1 Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, 647 Contees Wharf Rd, Edgewater, Maryland, 21037 USA.
2 Department of Evolution and Ecology, University of California, Davis, California, 95616 USA.
3 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48109 USA.
4 Forest Global Earth Observatory, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Gamboa, Panama.
5 Department of Botany, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC, 20560 USA.
6 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, 46556 USA.

* uzay.sezen@gmail.com

Abstract

Lianas, climbing woody plants, influence the structure and function of tropical forests. Climbing traits have evolved multiple times, including ancestral groups such as gymnosperms and pteridophytes, but the genetic basis of the liana strategy is largely unknown. Here, we use a comparative transcriptomic approach for 47 tropical plant species, including ten lianas of diverse taxonomic origins, to identify genes that are consistently expressed or downregulated only in lianas. Our comparative analysis of full-length transcripts enabled the identification of a core interactomic network common to lianas. Sets of transcripts identified from our analysis reveal features related to functional traits pertinent to leaf economics spectrum in lianas, include upregulation of genes controlling epidermal cuticular properties, cell wall remodeling, carbon concentrating mechanism, cell cycle progression, DNA repair and a large suit of downregulated transcription factors and enzymes involved in ABA-mediated stress response as well as lignin and suberin synthesis. All together, these genes are known to be significant in shaping plant morphologies through responses such as gravitropism, phyllotaxy and shade avoidance.

Introduction

Lianas are woody vines that have evolved multiple times since at least the Devonian period in vascular plant lineages, including in the Gnetales and repeatedly in the Angiosperms with fascinating diversity in stem anatomy even within a single genus [1, 2]. The Neotropics carry close to 11,000 liana species belonging to 977 genera under 119

families [3], while the Old-world tropics contain about 12,000 species found in 143 families and 1415 genera [4]. In tropical forests, lianas may account for as much as 25% of the woody plant species, but can also represent 40% of canopy leaf cover [5,6]. As structural parasites, lianas affect host tree recruitment, growth, survival, and reproduction [7–9]. Lianas also play significant roles in the cycling of carbon, nitrogen and water, and can hold back recovery after gap-forming events [6,10–17]. Despite their exceptional diversity and ecological importance, little is known about the genetic characteristics that distinguish them from other vascular plants that help explain their convergence.

The basic advantage of the liana life form is clear: lianas harvest light from the treetops without investing in the woody structures necessary to support a canopy of leaves [18]. Several trade-offs emerge from this strategy of structural parasitism that might provide a path toward understanding convergent liana phenotypes. Specifically, in order to gain access to the canopy, lianas need to balance leaf area, length of vascular tissues, and tolerance to high temperature, excess light and dehydration which suggest potential underlying genetic mechanisms towards a liana growth form in multiple plant families [19,20]. In the following, we consider each of these challenges to the liana lifestyle as a means of generating expectations regarding where similarities in gene expression profiles among a phylogenetically diverse set of lianas may exist. The liana life form involves a relatively longer stem length compared to that of trees culminating with a large total leaf area that sprawls over the crowns of many trees would make lianas more vulnerable to xylem vessel embolism than trees and shrubs [21,22]. Liana leaves must have evolved adaptations that complement and compensate for hydraulic limitations and occupy a distinct range along the leaf economic spectrum (LES) [23,24]. Compared to trees, lianas tend to have thinner blades with higher specific leaf area (SLA) and nutrient content. The foliar chemistry of lianas and trees also form a contrast based on leaf mass and area [25]. However, their leaves can also exhibit higher photosynthetic efficiencies despite the fact that the general leaf qualities reflect inexpensive, disposable, short longevity structures with little or no investment on defense [26].

The direct solar radiation and high heat in the canopies, where most liana leaves are deployed can impose significant constraints for lianas. For instance, the photosystem II has been shown to be vulnerable to temperature and light stress in a liana as compared to its tree relative [27]. Canopy trees have the potential to mediate this damage through higher leaf area with thinner boundary layers for efficient cooling. There is evidence that liana leaves have lower leaf transmittance values, better for light-capture, but worse for damage to tissues [27,28]. It is highly possible that lianas have evolved certain epicuticular and parenchymal properties that have a set upper limit for safe light harvest and deflect excessive photosynthetically active radiation. When leaf temperature exceeds photosynthetic optimum, CO_2 assimilation rates and stomatal conductance decrease while respiration increases [29]. Seasonal observations indicate that carbon fixation and efficiencies of water and nitrogen use are especially high in lianas during drought giving them a growth advantage [30–32]. We, therefore, expect that leaf surface qualities and mesophyll properties should be under selective pressures for dealing with light, thermal and dehydration stress. Guard cell openings can be set to maintain a steady rate of water loss enough to last through the day and from *Vitis vinifera* (grapevine from here in) we know that lianas can employ a range of anisohydric and isohydric control of stomatal conductance as water use strategy. Through their cheap but efficient leaves, lianas may also be carrying out sufficient levels of photosynthesis rapidly before midday to escape from the sun's pernicious rays.

There has been some research on stress responses of a few liana species [33,34]. However, most of the information comes from grapevine due to the large amount of

genomic and transcriptomic information generated for the species [35–39]. A lack of high throughput sequencing data for additional liana species has reduced our ability to explore their potential genetic commonalities that distinguish them from non-liana plants.

Here, we provide a comparative transcriptomic analysis across a phylogenetically diverse group of trees, shrubs, and lianas. We use reference transcriptome assemblies of 37 tree and shrub species and ten liana species (SI Figure S1, SI Dataset S1). Using network analyses, we ask the following questions: (1) what genes are uniquely expressed and downregulated in some or all of the lianas? (2) Are there unique genetic similarities among lianas related to their shared ecological challenges (e.g., long distance nutrient transport, stress including hydraulic, thermal and light, leaf tissue quality, unidirectional growth and light sensing)? And (3) are there unique genetic similarities in lianas that reflect specific metabolic pathways underpinning the building of structural biomass?

Materials and Methods

Sample set

The Luquillo transcriptomic set included six lianas (DIO) *Dioscorea polygonoides* (Dioscoreaceae), (HET) *Heteropterys laurifolia* (Malpighiaceae), (PAU) *Paullinia pinnata* (Sapindaceae), (SEC) *Securidaca virgata* (Polygonaceae), (SMI) *Smilax coriaceae* (Smilaceae), (DOL) *Dolichandra unguis-cati* (Bignoniaceae). We also included four more liana species from National Center for Biotechnology Information's Sequence Read Archive (NCBI-SRA) and One Thousand Plant Genomes (1KP) dataset [40,41] with the following accessions (GNE) *Gnetum montanum* (Gnetaceae) SRR5908685, (SMISIE) *Smilax sieboldii* (Smilacae) SRR5134200, (PASCAE) *Passiflora caerulea* (Passifloraceae) 1KP id:SIZE, (SCHPAR) *Schlegelia parasitica* (Schlegeliaceae) 1KP id:GAKQ. A full list including non-liana species can be found in the Supplementary Information (SI Dataset S1, SI Figure S1). NCBI-SRA bioproject accession for the Luquillo transcriptomic set is PRJNA837288.

Sample collection and RNA library construction

To analyze the transcriptomes, we chose healthy and fully developed leaves from seedlings of tree and liana species distributed between 350 and 450 m in elevation from the Luquillo Experimental Forest (LEF) in the north eastern part of Puerto Rico. For each species, approximately 5 grams of leaf tissue was collected and placed in a 50 mL polypropylene conical tube with RNAlater (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Explants were cut with a razor blade prior to being placed in the tube to allow the RNAlater to penetrate the mesophyll quickly. Samples were then frozen at -80ºC within two days. Rneasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) was used for RNA extraction. RNA quantification and quality metrics were carried out using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Products, Wilmington, DE, USA) and an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) RNAseq library preparations and sequencing were performed at the Beijing Genomics Institute, Shenzen, China on Illumina Hiseq 2000 sequencer generating 100 bp paired-end reads.

Bioinformatics

Paired-end raw Illumina reads were trimmed, and quality filtered using Sickle (-q 35 -l 30 for minimum quality score and retaining sequences longer than 30 nt). Trimmed fastq files were assembled by Trinity v.2.6.6 with minimum contig length 300 [42]. Quality of the assemblies were assessed by QUAST (Quality Assessment Tool for Genome Assemblies), validated by Bowtie2, and analyzed by BUSCO for completeness using the Embryophyta (embryophyta_odb9) benchmark gene set [43–45] (SI Dataset S1). Transcriptome assemblies were annotated by EnTAP using the Diamond high performance aligner interrogating four protein databases (Uniprot, RefSeq plant proteins 94, RefSeq complete protein 94, RefSeq non-redundant protein 94) [46,47]. Bacterial, archaeal and non-plant eukaryotic contaminants were filtered using Opisthokonta as the taxonomical cut-off (SI Dataset S3). Transcriptomes were frame selected and translated into proteins through built-in GeneMarkS-T module within EnTAP [48] (SI Dataset S3). Full-length protein sequences were filtered from EnTAP results and were blasted against indexed *V. vinifera* proteome v29720. Top hits were selected through vsearch (-ublast -evalue 1e-9 -query_cov 0.9) [49]. Blast results were matched into Grapevine UNIPROT IDs and used as input into STRING database for interactome network construction [50,51]. Co-downregulated and co-expressed protein-protein interactome networks were imported into Cytoscape and merged into a single network [52] (SI Dataset S2). The resulting interactome network was annotated with colored borders and fills to highlight biologically informative nodes. Phylogenetic tree of lianas and non-lianas was constructed using the TimeTree resource compiling evolutionary divergence times derived from molecular sequence data [53]. Gene Ontology (GO) terms were interrogated using g:Profiler by providing gene lists corresponding to UNIPROT IDs [54]. Results generated from g:Profiler are accessible through permalinks in SI Text.

Trait data

Leaf Area (LA) and Specific LA (SLA) trait data came from Zambrano et al. 2019 for mature trees in LEF [55]. For *Dolichandra unguis-cati* we used values from Osunkoya et al. (2014) [56]. Trait data for *Gnetum montanum* was obtained from the China Trait Database Wang et al. 2018 [57]. For the Luquillo vines *Dioscorea polygonoides*, *Heteropterys laurifolia*, *Paullinia pinnata*, *Securidaca virgata*, and *Smilax coriacea* we used unpublished data obtained from seedlings from our co-authors Samantha J. Worthy and Maria N. Umaña. Ordination of leaf traits was done by the ClustVis webserver [58]. Trait values and calculated PCA scores can be found in SI Dataset S1.

A note on co-downregulation

Co-downregulation should not be confused with gene deletions observed in the genomes of many parasitic and carnivorous plants [59]. Absence of gene activity does not necessarily mean gene loss or loss of function. Therefore, here we prefer to use the term co-downregulation to define orthologous genes that are most likely present in lianas but not actively transcribed. Similarly, we define co-expression as orthologous genes from climbers demonstrating a sufficiently high expression pattern compared to non-liana counterparts that allows detection as full-length transcripts.

Table 1. Ortholog numbers, families, and growth forms of species with full-length transcripts BLASTed onto grapevine protein models.

Species	Family	Form	Orthologs
Dioscorea polygonoides	Dioscoreaceae	Herbaceous	7289
Dolichandra unguis-cati	Bignoniaceae	Woody	9488
Gnetum montanum	Gnetaceae	Woody	7591
Heteropterys laurifolia	Malpighiaceae	Woody	7764
Paullinia pinnata	Sapindaceae	Woody	8275
Passiflora caerulea	Passifloraceae	Herbaceous	7237
Schlegelia parasitica	Schlegeliaceae	Herbaceous	8827
Securidaca virgata	Polygonaceae	Woody	8591
Smilax coriacea	Smilaceae	Woody	6002
Smilax sieboldii	Smilaceae	Woody	8141
Non-liana	–	–	13267
Overall	–	–	18806

Results

Transcriptome assembly, annotation and trait comparison

Using a phylogenetically diverse sample of ten liana species, we identified major convergent gene expression patterns that contrast with 37 coexisting species of trees and shrubs (SI Figure S1, SI Figure S3). Quality metrics of transcriptomes generated by Quality Assessment Tools for Genome Assemblies (QUAST) showed the transcriptomes range in size from 49,040 (*Tetragastris balsamifera*) to 182,060 (*Ixora ferrea*) (SI Dataset S1). The overall alignment rates for the *de novo* assembled reference transcriptomes, validated by Bowtie2 alignments of trimmed and quality filtered reads, were between 83 and 94% (SI Figure S2A). The assembled transcriptomes captured an informative fraction of the expressed genes from 47 species where Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) analyses were able to represent between 52 and 92% of the benchmark eukaryotic genes within the Embryophyta (SI Figure S2B, SI Dataset S1). Annotation and filtering of non-plant transcripts through EnTAP (Eukaryotic non-model Transcriptome Annotation Pipeline) resulted in a full-length set of grapevine orthologs ranging from 6001 (*Smilax coriacea*) to 9488 (*Dolichandra unguis-cati*) for cross-comparison (Table 1, SI Dataset S3). An ordination of key LES traits, leaf area (LA) and specific leaf area (SLA), reflected difference between lianas and non-lianas in our transcriptome set, indicating a phenotypic portrayal of liana biology at a local island scale (SI Figure S4).

A protein-protein interactome overlaid upon transcriptomic base layer

A Venn diagram of grapevine protein orthologs corresponding to our transcripts revealed 827 protein models common to all 47 plant species (SI Dataset S1). After the exclusion of common proteins, a protein-protein interactome network based on curated STRING database was constructed using transcripts exclusively expressed by lianas and non-lianas. The total size of the network including vertices with first and second shells of interaction consisted of 3835 nodes and 14574 edges. Grapevine has 33,568 protein-coding genes and the network occupies a little over 11% of its gene space. Close to half of the network (1687 nodes) comprised genes solely expressed by non-lianas (Fig. 1). The rest included transcripts exclusively expressed by one or more lianas (Fig. 1A).

The most connected node (VIT_11s0016g03430) with 199 interactions represented the ₁₇₂
core of the interactome corresponded to a protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) also known ₁₇₃
as cyclic nucleotide-binding/kinase domain-containing protein (SI Figure S3). This ₁₇₄
protein-serine/threonine phosphatase is a key enzyme in cGMP-dependent signaling, ₁₇₅
abscisic acid perception, commitment to cell cycle and is found within the additional ₁₇₆
shells of interaction in a region of the network subtending nodes exclusively expressed in ₁₇₇
lianas (Fig. 1, Table 2, SI Figure S3). The subsequent highly connected nodes are all ₁₇₈
found within the co-downregulated portion of the network (Fig. 1B, Table 2) ₁₇₉

Transcripts exclusively expressed in lianas ₁₈₀

The transcripts expressed exclusively by more than one liana (L2-L5) species generated ₁₈₁
a network of 705 nodes with 1609 edges (Fig. 1, SI Figure S3). We found no genes that ₁₈₂
are unanimously expressed by all lianas. Two nodes, shared by five lianas (L5), ₁₈₃
corresponded to the ethylene responsive transcription factor SHINE2 (SHN2) and the ₁₈₄
xyloglucan glycosyltransferase 4/cellulose synthase-like C family 4 (CSLC4) enzyme, ₁₈₅
representing the highest points of convergence via individual gene transcripts (Table 2). ₁₈₆
The next set of most nodes shared by four lianas (L4) in different combinations included ₁₈₇
cell wall remodeling enzymes pectin methylesterase 7 (PME7), glycosyl transferase ₁₈₈
(GT), polygalacturonase inhibitor-like (PGIP) as well as a carbon concentrating enzyme ₁₈₉
alpha carbonic anhydrase 4 (alpha-CAH4), and the plant-specific cell cycle regulator ₁₉₀
F-box-like 17 (FBL17) (Table 2, Figure S3). ₁₉₁

The combined controlled vocabulary gene ontology (GO) analysis of transcripts ₁₉₂
shared by more than two lianas (L2-L5) through gProfiler displayed enriched molecular ₁₉₃
functions pertaining to the phytohormone auxin, such as auxin efflux transmembrane ₁₉₄
transporter activity (GO:0010329) and auxin transmembrane transporter activity ₁₉₅
(GO:0080161) (Fig. 1A). Biological processes included auxin associated activities such ₁₉₆
as positive gravitropism (GO:0009958), auxin polar transport (GO:0009926), hormone ₁₉₇
transport (GO:0009914), auxin transport (GO:0060918), tropism (GO:0009606), ₁₉₈
response to gravity (GO:0009629), gravitropism (GO:0009630) and regulation of ₁₉₉
hormone levels (GO:0010817) (Fig. 1A). Enriched Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and ₂₀₀
Genomes (KEGG) pathways included nitrogen metabolism (KEGG:00910) and ABC ₂₀₁
transporters (KEGG:02010) (Fig. 1A). ₂₀₂

The term auxin and gravitropic response identified by gProfiler were consolidated ₂₀₃
around five genes including chaperone protein dnaJ 15-like (VIT_01S0011G03790), ABC ₂₀₄
transporter B family member 1 (VIT_08S0007G05060), protein kinase PINOID-like ₂₀₅
(VIT_10S0003G04320), auxin efflux carrier component (VIT_11S0052G00440), SEC7 ₂₀₆
domain-containing protein (VIT_02S0012G01790), and auxin efflux carrier component ₂₀₇
(VIT_14S0108G00020) (SI Dataset S1). ₂₀₈

Figure 1. Protein orthologs of full-length liana and non-liana transcripts mapped onto grapevine interactome and Manhattan plots of enriched GO terms A, Protein-protein interactions of transcripts solely expressed in ten lianas (the two Smilax species are represented as combined into SMI). Nodes shared by more than two lianas (L2-L5) and co-downregulated transcripts (salmon-colored borders) including their first shell interactors (gray colored borders) occupy distinctly sectorized footprints. GO terms represented molecular functions (MF) pertaining to the phytohormone auxin, biological processes (BP) including positive gravitropism and auxin transport. KEGG pathways included nitrogen metabolism and ABC transporters. No enrichment observed in cellular compartment (CC). **B** Nodes pertaining to mRNA metabolism (red border and green fill) and transcription factors (red border and black fill) are observed to be downregulated in all lianas in the dataset. GO term analysis of co-downregulated transcripts revealed terms such as regulation of gene expression concentrated around transcription factors. Molecular functions were enriched in DNA-binding transcription factor activity and sequence-specific DNA binding. Enriched biological processes included regulation of DNA templated transcription and regulation of macromolecule biosynthesis all localized to the nucleus.

Transcripts not expressed in lianas

Comparative analysis revealed 2684 grapevine orthologs that were not expressed in our liana transcriptome set (SI Dataset S1). Of these, 1687 had hits in the STRING interactome database (Fig. 1). The co-downregulated transcripts (i.e. transcripts that probably have a corresponding gene copy in the liana genomes but were not detected in our set of liana transcripts) enveloped a large portion of the network with 3751 edges. GO term analysis through gProfiler revealed terms pertaining to regulation of gene expression highly concentrated around transcription factors. Molecular functions were enriched in DNA-binding transcription factor activity (GO:0003700) and sequence-specific DNA binding (GO:0043565). Enriched biological processes included regulation of DNA templated transcription (GO:0006355) and regulation of macromolecule biosynthesis (GO:0010556), which are localized to the nucleus (GO:0005634) (Fig. 1B). Transcription factors such as nuclear factor Y (NFY), agamous-like (AGL), dehydration responsive element binding (DREB), BEL-like homeodomain (BLH), and myeloblastosis (MYB) showed significant presence in the co-downregulated sector of the interactome. The largest group of transcription factors consisted of MYB family represented by 32 members where 27 of them belonged to MYB-R2R3 sub-family (Table 2). The co-downregulated portion of the interactome is comprised of nodes pertaining to mRNA metabolism (Fig. 1B).

Table 2. Description of nodes of interest including transcripts exclusively expressed by more than one liana species (L2-L5), most connected nodes, and transcription factors (TFs) found within the co-downregulated portion of the protein-protein interactome network.

Top nodes exclusive to lianas

Liana spp.	Transcript ID	Annotation
L5	VIT_04s0008g05440.t01	ethylene-responsive transcription factor SHN2
L5	VIT_14s0066g01730.t01	xyloglucan glycosyltransferase 4/CSLC4
L4	VIT_03s0038g00680.t01	probable glycosyltransferase GT
L4	VIT_07s0005g00720.t01	pectinesterase/pectinesterase inhibitor 7 PME7
L4	VIT_13s0064g01370.t01	polygalacturonase inhibitor-like PGIP
L4	VIT_00s0252g00120.t01	alpha carbonic anhydrase 4 $\alpha - CAH4$
L4	VIT_07s0141g00330.t01	F-Box/LRR-repeat protein 17 FBL17

Most connected nodes

Number of edges	Transcript ID	Annotation
199	VIT_11s0016g03430.t01	protein phosphatase 2C PP2C
91	VIT_16s0013g00940.t01	kinetochore protein ndc80
86	VIT_07s0005g01430.t01	DNA replication licensing factor MCM7
80	VIT_16s0022g01590.t01	40S ribosomal protein S13
76	VIT_18s0001g03680.t01	serine/threonine-protein kinase mph1
64	VIT_00s1404g00010.t01	abnormal spindle-like microcephaly-associated protein homolog
63	VIT_12s0035g01130.t01	elongation factor 1-gamma
61	VIT_08s0007g03030.t01	ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40-like
59	VIT_10s0003g03080.t01	DNA replication licensing factor MCM3 homolog 2
53	VIT_10s0003g00010.t01	30S ribosomal protein S5, chloroplastic

Downregulated TFs

Gene family	Transcript ID	Annotation

Table 2 continued from previous page

MYB-R2R3	VIT_01s0010g00410.t01	MYB308, MYB-related protein 308
MYB-R2R3	VIT_18s0117g00200.t01	MYB308, MYB-related protein 308
MYB-R2R3	VIT_04s0008g01800.t01	MYB308, MYB-related protein 308
MYB-R2R3	VIT_01s0011g04760.t01	R2R3 MYB transcription factor C2 repressor motif protein MYBC2-L1
MYB-R2R3	VIT_02s0025g00320.t01	MYB86, transcription factor MYB86
MYB-R2R3	VIT_02s0033g00410.t01	MYBA1, transcription factor MybA
MYB-R2R3	VIT_04s0008g00620.t01	MYB37, regulator of axillary meristems RAX1
MYB-R2R3	VIT_04s0008g01830.t01	MYB305, MYB-related protein 305
MYB-R2R3	VIT_06s0004g02110.t01	MYB46, transcription factor MYB46
MYB-R2R3	VIT_06s0004g06280.t01	MYB4, transcription factor MYB4
MYB-R2R3	VIT_08s0056g01190.t01	MYB44, transcription factor MYB44
MYB-R2R3	VIT_09s0002g01380.t01	MYB114, transcription factor MYB114
MYB-R2R3	VIT_09s0002g01400.t01	MYB38, regulator of axial meristems RAX2
MYB-R2R3	VIT_11s0016g02780.t01	MYB36, transcription factor MYB36
MYB-R2R3	VIT_11s0052g01490.t01	MYB36, transcription factor MYB36
MYB-R2R3	VIT_11s0078g00480.t01	MYB4, transcription factor MYB4
MYB-R2R3	VIT_12s0059g00700.t01	GAMYB, transcription factor GAMYB
MYB-R2R3	VIT_13s0067g01360.t01	myb-related protein B
MYB-R2R3	VIT_14s0006g01280.t01	MYB75, transcription factor MYB75
MYB-R2R3	VIT_15s0021g02040.t01	MYB48, transcription factor MYB48
MYB-R2R3	VIT_16s0050g00070.t01	MYB6, transcription repressor MYB6
MYB-R2R3	VIT_17s0000g06410.t01	transcription factor MYB34
MYB-R2R3	VIT_17s0000g08480.t01	MYB-WER, transcription factor MYB-WEREWOLF
MYB-R2R3	VIT_17s0000g08550.t01	MYB98, transcription factor MYB98
MYB-R2R3	VIT_18s0001g05670.t01	MYB39, transcription factor MYB39
MYB-R2R3	VIT_18s0001g15260.t01	MYB-GL1, transcription factor MYB-GL1
MYB-R2R3	VIT_19s0085g00940.t01	MYB26, transcription factor MYB26
MYB	VIT_14s0219g00050.t01	MYB315, myb-related protein 315-like
MYB	VIT_15s0048g02120.t01	transcriptional activator Myb
MYB-TT2	VIT_11s0016g01300.t01	MYB-TT2, MYBPAR, transcription factor MYB
MYB-3R4	VIT_02s0025g04220.t01	MYB transcription factor
MYB-SNAP	VIT_17s0000g02730.t01	MYB-SNAP, snRNA-activating protein complex subunit 4
MADS Box	VIT_03s0088g00590.t01	agamous-like MADS-box protein AGL62
MADS Box	VIT_03s0088g00610.t01	agamous-like MADS-box protein AGL62
MADS Box	VIT_14s0060g00300.t01	agamous-like MADS-box protein AGL80
BLH	VIT_08s0105g00230.t01	BEL1-like homeodomain protein 1
BLH	VIT_13s0019g02450.t01	BEL1-like homeodomain protein 9
HSF	VIT_10s0597g00050.t01	heat stress transcription factor B-2a
HSF	VIT_16s0100g00720.t01	heat stress transcription factor B-2a
NFY	VIT_02s0025g03770.t01	nuclear transcription factor Y subunit C-3
NFY	VIT_05s0020g02790.t01	nuclear transcription factor Y subunit C-3
ILP1	VIT_01s0011g02980.t01	ILP1, negative regulation of transcription by RNA PolII
DEM	VIT_04s0069g01060.t01	[histone H3]-dimethyl-L-lysine9 demethylase
DREB	VIT_08s0007g08150.t01	DREB 2F
TF	VIT_06s0061g00820.t01	RNA polymerase II regulatory region DNA binding
TF	VIT_08s0007g04490.t01	PHD-type domain-containing protein
TF	VIT_11s0016g02020.t01	zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 65
TF	VIT_11s0016g03750.t01	SPEAR3
TF	VIT_14s0006g02730.t01	zinc finger protein 6

Comparison of three families having liana and non-liana pairs   241

Three families belonging to Bignoniaceae, Sapindaceae, and Malpighiaceae included   242
pairs of liana and non-liana members in our dataset. We scrutinized these with the   243
anticipation of detecting the most informative gene expression differences (Fig. 2).   244
Enrichment analysis for transcripts expressed only in the liana members resulted in five   245
GO terms and a single KEGG pathway shared between Bignoniaceae and Sapindaceae.   246
These shared terms are involved in developmental process during reproduction   247
(GO:0003006), system development (GO:0048731), intracellular anatomical structure   248
(GO:0005622), chloroplast (GO:0009507), plastid (GO:0009536), and biosynthesis of   249
cofactors (KEGG:01240) (Fig. 2). Terms enriched in Malpighiaceae did not show any   250
overlap with the other two families (SI Dataset S1).   251

Figure 2. Phylogenetic placement of lianas and non-lianas together with interactome and GO term enrichment   253
of three families bearing liana and non-liana pairs: *Dolichandra unguis-cati* vs *Tabebuia heterophylla* (Bignoniaceae),   254
Paullinia pinnata vs *Matayba domingensis* (Sapindaceae), *Heteropterys laurifolia* vs *Byrsonima spicata* (Malpighiaceae). Members   255
of the lianas (yellow colored border with green fill) and non-lianas (salmon colored border with no fill) are highlighted inside   256
interactome networks for each of three within-family comparisons. Co-expressed nodes shared by more than two lianas (L2-L5)   257
are retained for visual guidance as in Fig. 1. A total of six enriched GO and KEGG terms were shared between *D. unguis-*   258
cati and *P. pinnata*. Terms enriched in *H. laurifolia* did not show overlap with the other two lianas. Permalinks for the   259
gProfiler GO term analysis are *P. pinnata* vs *M. domingensis*: https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gplink/l/TZwIGOGgSk; *H. laurifolia* vs *B.*   260
spicata:https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gplink/l/FmLAWHzES9; *D. unguis-cati* vs *T. heterophylla*:https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gplink/l/iXBz-L_6T2   261

Discussion

Lianas and trees represent two widely separate growth forms with distinct trade off in biomass allocation and growth. Instead of self-supporting stems, lianas allocate most of their resources on shoot and leaf production. Liana leaves must have evolved adaptations to reduce hydraulic failure due to vascular embolism, damage on light harvesting complexes due to intense light and thermal stress, dehydration due to insufficient control of stomatal conductance, inefficient carbon fixation due to mesophyllic carbon diffusion limitations. Here, we provide a wide snapshot of physiologically interpretable differences in gene expression between lianas and non-lianas within a subtropical plant community and make an attempt to learn about genotypic drivers of life-history phenotypes from transcriptional responses of co-habitants. [60–62] (SI Figure S1, SI Dataset S1). At early developmental stages, transcriptomic differences between woody lianas and herbaceous vines are most likely minimal. For this reason, we included three herbaceous climbers in the dataset with the assumption that leaves of woody and herbaceous climbers would experience similar constraints independent from their stem morphology and would perform in parallel (Table 1). Despite the ontogenetic and tissue diversity limitations, there exists a suite of differences consistent with expectations from the biology of climbing plants and functional traits theorized in LES [23, 24, 26, 63, 64]. Top leaf level functional traits of lianas correspond to higher SLA and maximal photosynthetic rates. Enzymes we highlight orchestrate cuticular and parenchymal properties related to mesophyll conductance (Fig. 3). Liana leaves may do more with less through selective endoreduplication. The resultant action of cell wall building enzymes and control of lignification appears to be in line with the predictions of the LES in lianas. Downregulation of a high number of MYB transcription factors belonging to the largest gene family in plants indicates a crosstalk with cell wall building enzymes since many R2R3-MYBs are known to be involved in lignin deposition. A few R2R3-MYBs are also involved in ABA-mediated control of transpiration which may explain growth advantage experienced by lianas observed in seasonally dry tropical forests [31, 32, 65].

Top co-expressed transcripts in lianas include enzymes relevant to LES

Top co-expressed genes in our analysis correspond to enzymes synthesizing or modifying cell wall components, cuticle properties, carbon concentrating mechanism, cell cycle progression through endoreduplication and double-stranded DNA damage response (Table 2, Fig. 3, SI Figure S3). Modifications of cell wall components and cuticle properties are beneficial for lianas in developing leaves with high SLA and optical properties since excessive light levels may damage light harvesting complexes. Reduced production cost due to altered secondary cell wall composition, increased rates of carbon fixation and fast tissue turnover in most liana species may be an outcome of series of mesophyllic adaptations. Facilitation of carbon capture and diffusion through an active carbon concentrating enzyme capable of scavenging low levels of CO_2 floating inside the leaf could be adaptive since leaves with high SLA can accommodate only a few mesophyll cell layers. Lianas could be achieving more with less by increasing gene dosage through selective endoreduplication. In lianas, under hydraulic constraints, machinery evolved for the repair of damaged nuclear genetic material may be primed as early as the seedling stage.

Cuticle properties controlled by SHN2

We found co-expression of SHN2 transcription factor exclusively by five liana species involved in regulation of lipid biosynthesis (Table 2, Fig. 3, SI Figure S3). SHNs together with wax inducers (WINs) stimulate biosynthesis of cuticular wax in leaf epidermis and reduce stomatal density [66,67]. SHNs can induce changes in the leaf cuticle to make it more resistant to light stress and avoid excessive heating [68]. Overexpressed SHN2 may indirectly suppress the biosynthesis of lignified secondary wall [69]. This is relevant for lianas because the leaf economical spectrum of lianas predict forms with cheap, disposable but efficient blades with reduced longevity and defense [26]. In our study, many MYBs, some of which involved in lignified secondary wall biosynthesis, have been observed to be downregulated in lianas (Fig. 1B, Table 2, SI Figure S3). All 149 genes belonging to AP2/ERF protein family in grapevine genome have been transcriptionally characterized and the Arabidopsis SHN2 ortholog gene (GSVIVP00032652001/VvERF044) also showed an upregulated pattern in the grapevine leaves [70].

Cell wall remodeling enzymes

We observed upregulation of a suite of cell wall remodeling enzymes such as CSLC4, PME7, GT, PGIP (Fig. 1A, Fig. 3, Table 2, SI Figure S3) [71]. These cell wall modifying enzymes not only determine leaf morphogenesis but also phyllotaxis [72]. Less lignified leaves with altered cellulose and hemicellulose composition may be one way to achieve set of traits defining liana leaves with fast growth, resource uptake and high productivity end of the leaf economic spectrum. The rigidity of the cell wall is proportional to the number of xyloglucan connections maintained through Golgi-located xyloglucan synthesizing enzymes [73,74]. Removal of xyloglucan ties loosens cell wall leading to asymmetries in elasticity and resulting in highly convoluted structures [75]. Overexpression of xyloglucanase in transgenic poplar resulted in droopy leaves with petioles slanted downward [76]. This could be significant because lianas tend to evolve longer petioles when exposed to full light and rigidity of petioles can determine photosynthetic efficiency especially in cordate leaves [77,78]. Microtubules laid on the cell wall during development can become a reinforcing factor in anisotropic growth since cellulose synthases move along those tubules altering orientations of cellulose deposits [79]. Cellulose is the main load bearing component especially in secondary cell walls [71,80]. Quintuple mutant analysis of Arabidopsis has revealed that besides CSLC4 four other CSLC genes (CSLC5, CSLC6, CSLC8, and CSLC12) also possess xyloglucan synthase activity [81]. Another cell wall remodeling enzyme showing upregulation among lianas is the PME7 (Table 2, Fig. 3, SI Figure S3). A composition of esterified and de-esterified pectin residues control calcium-mediated stiffness of gel matrix within the cell wall and contributes to diversification of organ shapes through directional growth. Arabidopsis mutants show that xyloglucans and pectin can together influence shoot meristem and phyllotaxis [72]. Cell wall remodeling could be a morphogenetic response resulting in shade avoidance in lianas [81]. For instance, disrupted phyllotaxy observed in Arabidopsis mutants may have been reinforced in lianas by coordinated expression of cell wall modifying enzymes which are also responsible from gravitropic response such as the positive gravitropism (GO:0009958), tropism (GO:0009606), response to gravity (GO:0009629), gravitropism (GO:0009630) enriched among GO term analysis of upregulated transcripts (Fig. 1A) [72,73].

An active component of carbon concentrating mechanism along the mesophyll may increase fixation efficiency of liana leaves

Our results also show exclusive activity of alpha-CAH4 in lianas but not in trees and shrubs indicating enhanced carbon concentrating mechanism along the liana mesophyll (Table 2, Fig. 3, SI Figure S3). The carbon concentrating mechanism describes the enzymatic pathways the atmospheric CO_2 flows through the mesophyll cell wall and the plasma membrane into the liquid cytosolic phase imposing limitations on diffusion known as mesophyll conductance. Mesophyll conductance is a significant driving factor in LES [64]. Carbonic anhydrases are zinc metalloenzymes driving the reversible hydration of CO_2 significantly aiding downstream carboxylases such as pyruvate carboxylase, acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase, PEP carboxylase and RUBISCO [82]. Plants have three classes (alpha-, beta-, gamma-) of carbonic anhydrases [83]. Alpha-carbonic anhydrases are the largest class in the plant kingdom and are highly compartmentalized [84]. Their expression has been detected in cytosol and chloroplast. Carbonic anhydrases can capture CO_2 generated by dark respiration as well as photorespiration enhancing carbon assimilation efficiency of RUBISCO. Stomatal metrics and morphology can determine water use efficiency [85]. Liana leaves with typically lower stomatal densities could be expressing alpha-CAH4 in higher levels increasing the efficiency of the spongy mesophyllic conductance along the CO_2 diffusion pathway (Fig. 3). An active carbonic anhydrase may contribute to the maintenance of lower stomatal densities. Fossil evidence and present-day observations confirm that plants grown in elevated CO_2 environments decrease their stomatal conductance and stomatal index [86,87]. Plants over-expressing carbonic anhydrase in their guard cells have the potential to improve their water use efficiency. In guard cells, carbonic anhydrases serve as upstream regulators induced by CO_2 levels independent of leaf photosynthetic rates [88]. High carbonic anhydrase activity could be making lianas more resilient in hotter and drier conditions by reducing the need for evapotranspiration [32,65].

Liana leaves express FBL17 transcription factor controlling cell cycle progression and double-stranded DNA damage response

We have observed that four lianas exclusively expressed the plant-specific FBL17 transcript that serves as a major checkpoint in cell cycle progression from Gap1 (G1) into Synthesis (S) phases [89] (Table 2, Fig. 3, SI Figure S3). From the LES perspective, leaf construction is the sum of spatially controlled cytoplasmic growth and cell division. During leaf expansion, a plant can do more with less through a process called endoreduplication where distinct cells may increase their genomic content and gene dosage without cell division [90–92]. During G1 into S phase transition cyclin dependent kinase type A (CDKA) and cyclin D (CYCD) work together to trigger an expression cascade of DNA replication genes. FBL17 is an indispensable protein component of E3 ubiquitin ligase SCF (ASK-Cullin-F-box) for ubiquitination of CDK inhibitor proteins KRPs (KIP-related protein/inhibitor-interactor of CDKs) for proteasomal degradation and forms a checkpoint in G1/S transition together with CDKA/CYD complex. Unregulated FBL17 expression causes disruptions in cell cycle genes, while at the same time it can be involved in double stranded DNA damage response leading to abnormalities in root and shoot meristems [93,94]. In plants, FBL17 is involved in double stranded DNA break induced damage response, which is crucial for cell cycle arrest at the first G1/S checkpoint before being committed to division [94,95]. Loss of function in FBL17 shuts off endoreduplication in Arabidopsis trichomes [93]. Liana leaves appear to be well protected especially against UV-induced damage with thickened secondary cell wall and waxy cuticle, therefore, upregulation of FBL17 might be a

deliberate strategy to avoid interruptions in cell cycle. Downregulation of MYB4 and MYB75 involved in biosynthesis of UV-protecting flavonoids and anthocyanins and MYB46 involved in upstream of endoreduplication regulators could be a similarly reconciliatory exertion [96–99] (Table 2, SI Figure S3). Compatible with FBL17, some of the enriched GO terms for the top ten most connected nodes include (GO:0022402) cell cycle, (GO:0007049), double-strand break repair via break-induced replication (GO:0000727) (SI Dataset S1).

Figure 3. A conceptual sketch of transcripts and their cellular compartments highlighted in this study. Lianas appear to invest in thickened cuticular layers through upregulation of SHN2. Cell wall remodeling enzymes CSLC4, GT, PGIP, PME7 similarly show upregulation where CSLC4, PME7 and GT are Golgi localized together with pectin, xyloglucan and wax. GT and CSLC4 move into plasma membrane (PM) and deposit xyloglucan, pectin, and cellulose onto the cell wall following microtubule orientation patterns. Upregulated FBL17 is involved in cell cycle control, endoreduplication and double-stranded DNA damage response. Alpha-CAH4 is localized to the cytosol and chloroplast and is a significant player in the CO_2 concentrating mechanism. A large number of R2R3-MYB transcription factors were co-downregulated in lianas playing significant roles as activators and repressors controlling diverse functions such as pectin, lignin and suberin mediated xylogenesis, branching and phyllotaxis and ABA mediated stress tolerance. Cell wall qualities are affected by lignin deposition and pectin crosslinking complexed with Ca^{2+}. PP2C is the most connected node forming the core of the interactome as a key player in ABA induced stress response and interacts with MYBs. Salient liana leaf transcripts collectively may form genetic features underlying the fast end of the LES.

Co-downregulated transcripts are dominated by MYB-R2R3 transcription factors

Silencing of even a single transcription factor can lead to drastic changes in phenotype with many examples from domesticated plants [100, 101]. In our comparisons,

transcripts not expressed in lianas are overwhelmingly dominated by R2R3 subclass   428
MYB transcription factors (Fig. 1, Fig. 3, Table 2, SI Figure S3). Significance of   429
MYB-R2R3 co-downregulation for lianas could include stomatal control, lignification   430
and plant architecture including phyllotaxis. The MYB-R2R3 serve as major activators   431
and repressors controlling diverse functions such as pectin, lignin and suberin mediated   432
xylogenesis through control of the phenolic acid metabolism, modulation of   433
developmental signaling, cell cycle, epidermal cell fate and patterning [102–105]. There   434
may be a crosstalk among the silent MYB-R2R3 transcription factors and exclusively   435
upregulated set of cell wall building enzymes together shaping the liana leaf economy.   436

   MYB genes are found in all eukaryotes and is the largest transcription factor family   437
in Arabidopsis [105]. The MYB-R2R3 subfamily in land plants (Embryophyta) has   438
expanded during the Silurian widening the structural complexity of tissues through   439
secondary cell wall biosynthesis and vascularization [106–108]. Functional predictions of   440
most MYBs have been sufficiently characterized both experimentally and   441
computationally in myriad model plants. The grapevine and Arabidopsis have 134 and   442
126 R2R3-MYB genes, respectively [105, 109]. Structurally, the MYB family harbors   443
significant levels of intrinsically disordered regions outside their canonical DNA-binding   444
domains that possess potential for other functions regulated by post-transcriptional   445
modifications [110]. Here we will highlight a subset of the R2R3-MYBs (MYB44,   446
MYB4/MYB308, MYB46, MYB39, MYB38, MYB37) showing no expression in lianas   447
(Fig. 1, Table 2, SI Figure S3).   448

MYB44 and ABA-mediated stress tolerance   449

One particular R2R3-MYB downregulated in our analysis is MYB44 that deserves   450
special attention since it is heavily involved with the core node of the interactome the   451
protein phosphatase PP2C (Table 2, SI Figure S3). Lianas adopted features such as   452
smaller and low-density stomatal openings with sunken guard cells for reduction of   453
water loss due to excessive transpiration as early as the Paleozoic based on cuticular   454
analysis of pteridosperm lianas [111]. The sesquiterpenoid plant hormone ABA mediates   455
crucial physiological processes optimizing water use, carbon uptake and light signaling.   456
Although guard cells can endogenously synthesize ABA, the main source for the whole   457
plant is vascular parenchyma. In the presence of ABA, ABA receptors   458
(PYR/PYL/RCAR) aggregate with clade A PP2Cs reducing the ability of PP2Cs to   459
inhibit sugar non-fermenting 1 related protein kinase 2 (SnRk2) kinases. Freed from   460
PP2C control, SnRK2 kinases phosphorylate and arm transcription factor genes to   461
collectively induce or repress ABA-responsive genes downstream elucidating   462
ABA-dependent stress response [112, 113]. The behavior of MYB44 appears to have   463
opposing modes. In one mode, under stress MYB44 exerts suppressive effect on PP2C.   464
The over expressed MYB44 has been shown to have antagonistic interaction with PP2C   465
enzymes which control ABA signal transduction cascade in concert with ABA   466
receptors [112, 114, 115] (Table 2). Overexpressed MYB44 also appeared to have binding   467
interaction with ABA receptors [116]. In the other mode, MYB44 binds to transcription   468
start sites of PP2C genes and represses their expression in normal unstressed   469
conditions [117]. Moreover, akin to the prokaryotic end-product repression, MYB44 can   470
bind to its own promoter in an act of self-silencing [118]. Induction of PP2Cs is   471
reported from drought challenged grapevine transcriptomes [119]. In lianas, absence of   472
MYB44 activity may be releasing repressed PP2C and could be a desensitization   473
mechanism for less aggressive stomatal control. For instance, transgenic gray poplar   474
trees expressing mutant ABA insensitive 1 (abi1) belonging to PP2Cs led to large and   475
unresponsive stomata with inhibited lateral bud growth [120]. Downregulation of   476
MYB37 could be a part of this desensitization scheme [121] (Table 2, SI Figure S3).   477
   One noteworthy observation is that the top connected node forming the core of our   478

interactome is another PP2C with kinase activity belonging to clade L protein   479
phosphatases (VIT_11s0016g03430.t01/AT2G20050) [115](Table 2, SI Figure S3). The   480
secondary messenger cyclic GMP binds and inhibits the phosphatase activity of this   481
PP2C in favor of kinase activity. For this reason, this PP2C is known as   482
cGMP-dependent protein kinase (PKG) and it phosphorylates the transcription factor   483
GAMYB to upregulate gibberellic acid-responsive genes [122]. Leaf cell expansion   484
following cell division also revolves around PP2C through ATPase activity acidifying   485
cell walls [92]. In addition to PP2C, many of the rest of the highly connected nodes are 486
pertaining to chromatin modification (Table 2). Chromatin modelers modify histone   487
tails and contribute to the repressor activity of MYB44 ([117, 118].   488

MYB44 is central in transduction of multiple local abiotic stresses into whole plant   489
response. For instance, SHN2 and MYB86 are responsive to excessive light stress in   490
leaves but when plants experience heat stress simultaneously, incorporation of multiple 491
forcings into a systemic acclimation is carried out by mediators including MYB44 [68].   492

R2R3-MYBs as regulators of secondary cell wall biosynthesis   493

Lignin biosynthesis is repressed and activated by several R2R3-MYB genes, and it is   494
curious whether the downregulated R2R3-MYBs identified in our study show biological   495
associations with the set of upregulated cell wall remodeling and epicuticular enzymes   496
(Fig. 1, Table 2, SI Figure S3). For instance, overexpressed SHN2 suppresses many   497
MYBs involved in lignified secondary wall biosynthesis as evidenced from poplar [69].   498
Transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall related lignin deposition in liana leaves 499
appears to be fine-tuned since they downregulate a set of R2R3-MYBs serving as   500
suppressors and activators of lignin biosynthesis [80] (Table 2). Lignin biosynthesis   501
contains many redundant enzymes and lianas may be regulating multiple check points   502
through silencing of multiple MYBs to achieve their functional leaf morphology   503
encapsulated by LES hypothesis [64, 99].   504

Downregulated R2R3-MYBs as repressors of lignin biosynthesis   505

We have identified MYB46, MYB4/MYB308, and MYB75 reported to be involved in   506
lignin repression downregulated in liana leaves [123] (Table 2, SI Figure S3). MYB46   507
targets a set of 13 genes in lignin biosynthesis [80, 99, 123–125]. A repressor of   508
endoreduplication called E2Fc is also known to bind to the promoter of MYB46 and   509
suggests a potential crosstalk with the cell cycle regulator FBL-17 [99, 124].   510
Overexpressed MYB4, an ortholog of MYB308, leads to suppression of lignin   511
biosynthesis and reduced elongation of stem internodes, which is a characteristic   512
liana-associated trait [126]. MYB4 is induced by MYB46 but also (similar to MYB44) is   513
inhibited by its own in an end-product repression fashion [80, 123]. MYB75 is a   514
repressor of lignin and secondary wall biosynthetic genes but also an inducer of   515
anthocyanins and flavonoids. Loss of function mutants in Arabidopsis displayed   516
thickened secondary cell walls [127, 128]. MYB75 is a highly phosphorylation dependent   517
protein with elevated internode expression in maize transcriptome and its role in lianas 518
could be parallel to that of MYB4 [126, 128, 129].   519

Downregulated R2R3-MYBs as activators of secondary cell wall   520
synthesis and changers of epidermal properties   521

Downregulated R2R3-MYBs involved as positive regulators of secondary cell wall   522
synthesis are MYB48, MYB26, MYB39, MYB98, (Table 2, SI Fig. S3). MYB48 appears   523
to play role in xylogenesis but its mechanism of control is unclear containing evidence of 524
alternative splicing through intron-retention [103, 109]. MYB26 positively regulates   525

secondary cell wall biosynthesis in anthers [125]. MYB39 (SUBERMAN) has been $\quad$ 526
shown to be a positive regulator of suberin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis root endodermal $\quad$ 527
tissue [130]. Reduction of suberin in liana leaves maybe a factor in generally low-quality $\quad$ 528
foliage with high turnover as predicted by the LES hypothesis [64, 131]. $\quad$ 529

R2R3-MYB functions linked to epidermal morphology and $\quad$ 530
plant architecture $\quad$ 531

MYB98 can be expressed in trichomes and has 83 downstream target genes in $\quad$ 532
synergids [132, 133]. Downregulation of MYB98 maybe a coordinated expression pattern $\quad$ 533
favoring a particular leaf epidermal structure in liana seedlings. This maybe in concert $\quad$ 534
with MYB114 repressor affecting epidermal cell fate and with MYB48 and MYB39 $\quad$ 535
where in grapevine their low expression leads to anthocyanin accumulation in fruit $\quad$ 536
skin [134] (Table 2, SI Figure S3). Branching of the shoots into axillary meristems is $\quad$ 537
controlled by MYB37 and MYB38 also known as regulator of axillary meristems (RAX1 $\quad$ 538
and RAX2) which are positively controlled by a WRKY transcription factor excessive $\quad$ 539
branches 1 (EXB1) [135]. Transcripts of MYB37 and MBY38 are mobile from $\quad$ 540
cell-to-cell [136]. Their downregulation could be a means to control side branching $\quad$ 541
towards unidirectional growth in lianas especially required during the early ontogenetic $\quad$ 542
developmental stages. Shoot meristems can be influenced by a mutant ribosomal $\quad$ 543
protein gene [137]. In our interactome network some of the highest connected nodes $\quad$ 544
were ribosomal proteins such as 40S ribosomal protein S13, 60S ribosomal protein L40, $\quad$ 545
and chloroplast 30S ribosomal protein S5 (Table 2). $\quad$ 546

Conclusions $\quad$ 547

This study explores transcriptomic signatures related to liana leaf properties. Compared $\quad$ 548
to trees, liana leaves generally carry traits colloquially conceptualized as "fast and $\quad$ 549
furious" type life-history strategy associated with quick growth, low cost, high turnover, $\quad$ 550
high capacity for water movement, minimal investment on defense. Our results are in $\quad$ 551
accordance with the LES encapsulating construction costs, rates of carbon fixation and $\quad$ 552
tissue turnover. A set of uniquely expressed enzymes in charge of cell wall building, $\quad$ 553
epicuticular wax synthesis, carbon capture, cell cycle appears to complement with $\quad$ 554
another set of a large number of transcription factors not expressed in lianas. Through $\quad$ 555
comparative transcriptomics of a diverse spectrum of families, we believe we were able $\quad$ 556
to interrogate a wide set of orthologs to contribute towards understanding some of the $\quad$ 557
genetic underpinnings of biology leading to the liana growth form from the leaf $\quad$ 558
perspective. As further sequencing data from additional liana species with more diverse $\quad$ 559
tissue types become available, the genetic basis of this fascinatingly convergent plant $\quad$ 560
form will be more comprehensible. $\quad$ 561

Supporting Information $\quad$ 562

Dryad accession permalink: https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.n5tb2rbw3 for the following $\quad$ 563
supporting information. **Network file:** A Cytoscape source file $\quad$ 564
(Liana-L5-L2.DRYAD.cys) for the network used in Figure 1. For reproducible results, $\quad$ 565
we recommend selecting Ripple as the visual style and Preset as layout to retain $\quad$ 566
formatting used in the manuscript. **Sequences:** Four fasta formatted files for each $\quad$ 567
species: (a) TRINITY assembled unfiltered transcripts (SPECIES.Trinity.fasta), (b) $\quad$ 568
EnTAP filtered transcripts where non-plant contaminant sequences have been removed $\quad$ 569
(SPECIES.decon.fasta), (c) EnTAP filtered translated/frame-selected full-length protein $\quad$ 570

sequences (SPECIES-fl-protein.fasta), (d) EnTAP filtered full-length transcript nucleotide sequences (SPECIES-fl-nt.fasta).

SI Dataset S1

Spreadsheet. A spreadsheet (Dataset-Sezen_etal_Liana.xlsx) containing separate tabs for species list, leaf traits and their PCA scores, QUAST, Bowtie2, BUSCO, gProfiler analysis results, enriched genes, domains and categories.

SI Figure S1

Caption of Fig. S1. A community representation of transcriptome sequenced trees, shrubs and lianas from Luquillo ForestGEO Forest Dynamics Plot, Puerto Rico. Plant heights reflect approximate mature stature for each species. Lianas are shown with horizontal curves. Non-Luquillo climbers are listed on the upper right.

SI Figure S2

Caption of Fig. S2. (A) Validation of the de novo assembled transcriptomes via Bowtie2 alignment of trimmed and quality filtered reads. (B) Evaluation for transcriptome completeness using BUSCO analysis. Climbing plant species highlighted in bold. Percentages represent alignment and matching hits.

SI Figure S3

Caption of Fig. S3. An annotated view of the highlighted nodes within the co-downregulated (light salmon pink boundaries) and co-expressed transcripts (cyan boundaries) with their first shell interactors using the Grapevine interactome (STRING DB v29290). The interactome spans 1107 nodes and 2994 edges. Labels and colors are the same as those in Fig. 1.

SI Figure S4

Caption of Fig. S4. Ordination of leaf area and specific leaf area traits among lianas and non-lianas in the study. Unit variance scaling was applied to each trait. Principal components were calculated by singular value decomposition with imputation. Prediction ellipses represent 0.95 probability ie. a new observation from the same group expected to fall inside the ellipse. N = 46 data points. Lianas are shown in red. Non-lianas are shown in blue.

Competing Interests

None declared.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Jill Wegrzyn for being a valuable resource person in bioinformatics and providing access to University of Connecticut's Xanadu High-Performance Computation Cluster. We would like to thank participants of the 2019 Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute-CTFS ForestGEO annual data analysis workshop in Singapore especially to Dr. Marco Visser for his useful insight and

discussion that has helped shape the final version of this manuscript. This work was 608
funded by the US National Science Foundation (DEB-1638488; DEB-1643052). 609

Author Contributions 610

UUS analyzed the data, prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript with input from
all authors. UUS NGS SMM conceived and articulated the idea. SJW MNU NGS
collected the samples and carried out the molecular lab work. NGS coordinated the
sequencing, data curation, analytical verification and provided bioinformatic support.
SJW MNU SJD SMM NGS contributed to the conceptualization, writing, review and
proofreading, SJD NGS helped with the project coordination, implementation and
funding.

References

1. William E. Stein, Christopher M. Berry, Linda VanAller Hernick, and Frank
Mannolini. Surprisingly complex community discovered in the mid-Devonian
fossil forest at Gilboa. *Nature*, 483(7387):78–81, March 2012.

2. Joyce G. Chery, Marcelo R. Pace, Pedro Acevedo-Rodríguez, Chelsea D. Specht,
and Carl J. Rothfels. Modifications during Early Plant Development Promote
the Evolution of Nature's Most Complex Woods. *Current Biology*,
30(2):237–244.e2, January 2020.

3. Alwyn H. Gentry. The distribution and evolution of climbing plants, January
1992.

4. Liang Hu and Mingguang Li. Diversity and Distribution of Climbing Plants in
Eurasia and North Africa. In N. Parthasarathy, editor, *Biodiversity of Lianas*,
Sustainable Development and Biodiversity, pages 57–79. Springer International
Publishing, Cham, 2015.

5. Stefan A. Schnitzer and Frans Bongers. The ecology of lianas and their role in
forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 17(5):223–230, May 2002.

6. Francis E. Putz. The Natural History of Lianas on Barro Colorado Island,
Panama. *Ecology*, 65(6):1713–1724, 1984.

7. George C. Stevens. Lianas as Structural Parasites: The Bursera Simaruba
Example. *Ecology*, 68(1):77–81, 1987.

8. Frank W. Ewers, Julieta A. Rosell, and Mark E. Olson. Lianas as Structural
Parasites. In Uwe Hacke, editor, *Functional and Ecological Xylem Anatomy*,
pages 163–188. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015.

9. Marco D. Visser, Helene C. Muller-Landau, Stefan A. Schnitzer, Hans de Kroon,
Eelke Jongejans, and S. Joseph Wright. A host–parasite model explains
variation in liana infestation among co-occurring tree species. *Journal of
Ecology*, 106(6):2435–2445, 2018.

10. Stefan A. Schnitzer, James W. Dalling, and Walter P. Carson. The impact of
lianas on tree regeneration in tropical forest canopy gaps: evidence for an
alternative pathway of gap-phase regeneration. *Journal of Ecology*,
88(4):655–666, 2000.

11. Martin G. Barker and Diego Pérez-Salicrup. Comparative water relations of mature mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla) trees with and without lianas in a subhumid, seasonally dry forest in Bolivia. *Tree Physiology*, 20(17):1167–1174, November 2000.

12. Stefan A. Schnitzer. A Mechanistic Explanation for Global Patterns of Liana Abundance and Distribution. *The American Naturalist*, 166(2):262–276, August 2005.

13. S. Joseph Wright, M. Alejandra Jaramillo, Javier Pavon, Richard Condit, Stephen P. Hubbell, and Robin B. Foster. Reproductive size thresholds in tropical trees: variation among individuals, species and forests. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, 21(3):307–315, May 2005.

14. Stefan A. Schnitzer and Walter P. Carson. Lianas suppress tree regeneration and diversity in treefall gaps. *Ecology Letters*, 13(7):849–857, 2010.

15. José Luis Andrade, Frederick C. Meinzer, Guillermo Goldstein, and Stefan A. Schnitzer. Water uptake and transport in lianas and co-occurring trees of a seasonally dry tropical forest. *Trees*, 19(3):282–289, May 2005.

16. Oliver L. Phillips, Rodolfo Vásquez Martínez, Luzmila Arroyo, Timothy R. Baker, Timothy Killeen, Simon L. Lewis, Yadvinder Malhi, Abel Monteagudo Mendoza, David Neill, Percy Núñez Vargas, Miguel Alexiades, Carlos Cerón, Anthony Di Fiore, Terry Erwin, Anthony Jardim, Walter Palacios, Mario Saldias, and Barbara Vinceti. Increasing dominance of large lianas in Amazonian forests. *Nature*, 418(6899):770, August 2002.

17. Stefan A. Schnitzer, Scott A. Mangan, James W. Dalling, Claire A. Baldeck, Stephen P. Hubbell, Alicia Ledo, Helene Muller-Landau, Michael F. Tobin, Salomon Aguilar, David Brassfield, Andres Hernandez, Suzanne Lao, Rolando Perez, Oldemar Valdes, and Suzanne Rutishauser Yorke. Liana Abundance, Diversity, and Distribution on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *PLOS ONE*, 7(12):e52114, December 2012.

18. Charles Darwin. On the Movements and Habits of Climbing Plants. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London, Botany*, 9(33-34):1–118, 1865.

19. Francis E. Putz and Harold A. Mooney, editors. *The Biology of vines*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge ; New York, 1991.

20. Lan Zhang, Yajun Chen, Keping Ma, Frans Bongers, and Frank J. Sterck. Fully exposed canopy tree and liana branches in a tropical forest differ in mechanical traits but are similar in hydraulic traits. *Tree Physiology*, 39(10):1713–1724, October 2019. Publisher: Oxford Academic.

21. L. S. Santiago and S. J. Wright. Leaf functional traits of tropical forest plants in relation to growth form. *Functional Ecology*, 21(1):19–27, 2007. _eprint: https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01218.x.

22. Ryuji Ichihashi and Masaki Tateno. Biomass allocation and long-term growth patterns of temperate lianas in comparison with trees. *New Phytologist*, 207(3):604–612, 2015. _eprint: https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.13391.

23. Ian J. Wright, Peter B. Reich, Mark Westoby, David D. Ackerly, Zdravko Baruch, Frans Bongers, Jeannine Cavender-Bares, Terry Chapin, Johannes H. C. Cornelissen, Matthias Diemer, Jaume Flexas, Eric Garnier, Philip K. Groom, Javier Gulias, Kouki Hikosaka, Byron B. Lamont, Tali Lee, William Lee, Christopher Lusk, Jeremy J. Midgley, Marie-Laure Navas, Ülo Niinemets, Jacek Oleksyn, Noriyuki Osada, Hendrik Poorter, Pieter Poot, Lynda Prior, Vladimir I. Pyankov, Catherine Roumet, Sean C. Thomas, Mark G. Tjoelker, Erik J. Veneklaas, and Rafael Villar. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. *Nature*, 428(6985):821–827, April 2004. Number: 6985 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

24. Jeanne L. D. Osnas, Jeremy W. Lichstein, Peter B. Reich, and Stephen W. Pacala. Global Leaf Trait Relationships: Mass, Area, and the Leaf Economics Spectrum. *Science*, 340(6133):741–744, May 2013. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science Section: Report.

25. Gregory P. Asner and Roberta E. Martin. Contrasting leaf chemical traits in tropical lianas and trees: implications for future forest composition. *Ecology Letters*, 15(9):1001–1007, 2012. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01821.x.

26. Felipe N. A. Mello, Sergio Estrada-Villegas, David M. DeFilippis, and Stefan A. Schnitzer. Can Functional Traits Explain Plant Coexistence? A Case Study with Tropical Lianas and Trees. *Diversity*, 12(10):397, October 2020. Number: 10 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

27. S. B. Zhang, Q. L. Deng, and Y. J. Hao. Effects of high temperature and high light on photosystem II activity in leaves of two Bauhinia species. *Photosynthetica*, 57(4):1094–1099, November 2019. Publisher: Photosynthetica.

28. Gerardo Avalos, Stephen S. Mulkey, and Kaoru Kitajima. Leaf Optical Properties of Trees and Lianas in the Outer Canopy of a Tropical Dry Forest. *Biotropica*, 31(3):517–520, 1999. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1744-7429.1999.tb00395.x.

29. J. Antonio Guzmán Q., G. Arturo Sánchez-Azofeifa, and Benoit Rivard. Differences in Leaf Temperature between Lianas and Trees in the Neotropical Canopy. *Forests*, 9(6):307, June 2018. Number: 6 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

30. Zhi-Quan Cai, Stefan A. Schnitzer, and Frans Bongers. Seasonal differences in leaf-level physiology give lianas a competitive advantage over trees in a tropical seasonal forest. *Oecologia*, 161(1):25–33, August 2009.

31. Stefan A. Schnitzer. Testing ecological theory with lianas. *New Phytologist*, 220(2):366–380, 2018. _eprint: https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.15431.

32. José A. Medina-Vega, Frans Bongers, Lourens Poorter, Stefan A. Schnitzer, and Frank J. Sterck. Lianas have more acquisitive traits than trees in a dry but not in a wet forest. *Journal of Ecology*, 109(6):2367–2384, 2021. _eprint: https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2745.13644.

33. Helena Augusto Gioppato, Mariana Bombardi da Silva, Scott Carrara, Bruna Rafaella Zanardi Palermo, Tatiana de Souza Moraes, and Marcelo Carnier Dornelas. Genomic and transcriptomic approaches to understand Passiflora

physiology and to contribute to passionfruit breeding. *Theoretical and Experimental Plant Physiology*, 31(1):173–181, March 2019.

34. Tin Hang Hung, Thea So, Syneath Sreng, Bansa Thammavong, Chaloun Boounithiphonh, David H. Boshier, and John J. MacKay. Reference transcriptomes and comparative analyses of six species in the threatened rosewood genus Dalbergia. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1):17749, October 2020. Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

35. Olivier Jaillon, Jean-Marc Aury, Benjamin Noel, Alberto Policriti, Christian Clepet, Alberto Casagrande, Nathalie Choisne, Sébastien Aubourg, Nicola Vitulo, Claire Jubin, Alessandro Vezzi, Fabrice Legeai, Philippe Hugueney, Corinne Dasilva, David Horner, Erica Mica, Delphine Jublot, Julie Poulain, Clémence Bruyère, Alain Billault, Béatrice Segurens, Michel Gouyvenoux, Edgardo Ugarte, Federica Cattonaro, Véronique Anthouard, Virginie Vico, Cristian Del Fabbro, Michaël Alaux, Gabriele Di Gaspero, Vincent Dumas, Nicoletta Felice, Sophie Paillard, Irena Juman, Marco Moroldo, Simone Scalabrin, Aurélie Canaguier, Isabelle Le Clainche, Giorgio Malacrida, Eléonore Durand, Graziano Pesole, Valérie Laucou, Philippe Chatelet, Didier Merdinoglu, Massimo Delledonne, Mario Pezzotti, Alain Lecharny, Claude Scarpelli, François Artiguenave, M. Enrico Pè, Giorgio Valle, Michele Morgante, Michel Caboche, Anne-Françoise Adam-Blondon, Jean Weissenbach, Francis Quétier, and Patrick Wincker. The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. *Nature*, 449(7161):463–467, September 2007.

36. Jun Wen, Zhiqiang Xiong, Ze-Long Nie, Likai Mao, Yabing Zhu, Xian-Zhao Kan, Stefanie M. Ickert-Bond, Jean Gerrath, Elizabeth A. Zimmer, and Xiao-Dong Fang. Transcriptome Sequences Resolve Deep Relationships of the Grape Family. *PLOS ONE*, 8(9):e74394, September 2013.

37. Daniel H. Chitwood, Aashish Ranjan, Ciera C. Martinez, Lauren R. Headland, Thinh Thiem, Ravi Kumar, Michael F. Covington, Tommy Hatcher, Daniel T. Naylor, Sharon Zimmerman, Nora Downs, Nataly Raymundo, Edward S. Buckler, Julin N. Maloof, Mallikarjuna Aradhya, Bernard Prins, Lin Li, Sean Myles, and Neelima R. Sinha. A Modern Ampelography: A Genetic Basis for Leaf Shape and Venation Patterning in Grape. *Plant Physiology*, 164(1):259–272, January 2014.

38. Silvina Dayer, Idan Reingwirtz, Andrew J. McElrone, and Gregory A. Gambetta. Response and Recovery of Grapevine to Water Deficit: From Genes to Physiology. In Dario Cantu and M. Andrew Walker, editors, *The Grape Genome*, Compendium of Plant Genomes, pages 223–245. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019.

39. Samia Daldoul, Hatem Boubakri, Mahmoud Gargouri, and Ahmed Mliki. Recent advances in biotechnological studies on wild grapevines as valuable resistance sources for smart viticulture. *Molecular Biology Reports*, 47(4):3141–3153, April 2020.

40. Naim Matasci, Ling-Hong Hung, Zhixiang Yan, Eric J Carpenter, Norman J Wickett, Siavash Mirarab, Nam Nguyen, Tandy Warnow, Saravanaraj Ayyampalayam, Michael Barker, J Gordon Burleigh, Matthew A Gitzendanner, Eric Wafula, Joshua P Der, Claude W dePamphilis, Béatrice Roure, Hervé Philippe, Brad R Ruhfel, Nicholas W Miles, Sean W Graham, Sarah Mathews, Barbara Surek, Michael Melkonian, Douglas E Soltis, Pamela S Soltis, Carl

Rothfels, Lisa Pokorny, Jonathan A Shaw, Lisa DeGironimo, Dennis W Stevenson, Juan Carlos Villarreal, Tao Chen, Toni M Kutchan, Megan Rolf, Regina S Baucom, Michael K Deyholos, Ram Samudrala, Zhijian Tian, Xiaolei Wu, Xiao Sun, Yong Zhang, Jun Wang, Jim Leebens-Mack, and Gane Ka-Shu Wong. Data access for the 1,000 Plants (1KP) project. *GigaScience*, 3:17, October 2014.

41. James H Leebens-Mack, Michael S Barker, Eric J Carpenter, Michael K Deyholos, Matthew A Gitzendanner, Sean W Graham, Ivo Grosse, Zheng Li, Michael Melkonian, Siavash Mirarab, et al. One thousand plant transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature*, 574(7780):679–685, October 2019.

42. Manfred G. Grabherr, Brian J. Haas, Moran Yassour, Joshua Z. Levin, Dawn A. Thompson, Ido Amit, Xian Adiconis, Lin Fan, Raktima Raychowdhury, Qiandong Zeng, Zehua Chen, Evan Mauceli, Nir Hacohen, Andreas Gnirke, Nicholas Rhind, Federica di Palma, Bruce W. Birren, Chad Nusbaum, Kerstin Lindblad-Toh, Nir Friedman, and Aviv Regev. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(7):644–652, July 2011.

43. Alexey Gurevich, Vladislav Saveliev, Nikolay Vyahhi, and Glenn Tesler. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics*, 29(8):1072–1075, April 2013.

44. Ben Langmead and Steven L. Salzberg. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, 9(4):357–359, April 2012.

45. Felipe A. Simão, Robert M. Waterhouse, Panagiotis Ioannidis, Evgenia V. Kriventseva, and Evgeny M. Zdobnov. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, 31(19):3210–3212, October 2015.

46. Alexander J. Hart, Samuel Ginzburg, Muyang (Sam) Xu, Cera R. Fisher, Nasim Rahmatpour, Jeffry B. Mitton, Robin Paul, and Jill L. Wegrzyn. EnTAP: Bringing Faster and Smarter Functional Annotation to Non-Model Eukaryotic Transcriptomes. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 0(ja), October 2019.

47. Benjamin Buchfink, Chao Xie, and Daniel H. Huson. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nature Methods*, 12(1):59–60, January 2015.

48. Shiyuyun Tang, Alexandre Lomsadze, and Mark Borodovsky. Identification of protein coding regions in RNA transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(12):e78–e78, July 2015.

49. Torbjørn Rognes, Tomáš Flouri, Ben Nichols, Christopher Quince, and Frédéric Mahé. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ*, 4, October 2016.

50. Christian von Mering, Lars J. Jensen, Berend Snel, Sean D. Hooper, Markus Krupp, Mathilde Foglierini, Nelly Jouffre, Martijn A. Huynen, and Peer Bork. STRING: known and predicted protein–protein associations, integrated and transferred across organisms. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(suppl_1):D433–D437, January 2005.

51. Andrea Franceschini, Damian Szklarczyk, Sune Frankild, Michael Kuhn, Milan Simonovic, Alexander Roth, Jianyi Lin, Pablo Minguez, Peer Bork, Christian von Mering, and Lars J. Jensen. STRING v9.1: protein-protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41(D1):D808–D815, January 2013.

52. Paul Shannon, Andrew Markiel, Owen Ozier, Nitin S. Baliga, Jonathan T. Wang, Daniel Ramage, Nada Amin, Benno Schwikowski, and Trey Ideker. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. *Genome Research*, 13(11):2498–2504, November 2003.

53. Sudhir Kumar, Glen Stecher, Michael Suleski, and S. Blair Hedges. TimeTree: A Resource for Timelines, Timetrees, and Divergence Times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 34(7):1812–1819, July 2017.

54. Uku Raudvere, Liis Kolberg, Ivan Kuzmin, Tambet Arak, Priit Adler, Hedi Peterson, and Jaak Vilo. g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(W1):W191–W198, July 2019.

55. Jenny Zambrano, William F. Fagan, Samantha J. Worthy, Jill Thompson, Maria Uriarte, Jess K. Zimmerman, Maria N. Umaña, and Nathan G. Swenson. Tree crown overlap improves predictions of the functional neighbourhood effects on tree survival and growth. *Journal of Ecology*, 107(2):887–900, 2019. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2745.13075.

56. Olusegun O. Osunkoya, Richard Boyne, and Tanya Scharaschkin. Coordination and plasticity in leaf anatomical traits of invasive and native vine species. *American Journal of Botany*, 101(9):1423–1436, 2014. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.3732/ajb.1400125.

57. Han Wang, Sandy P. Harrison, Iain C. Prentice, Yanzheng Yang, Fan Bai, Henrique F. Togashi, Meng Wang, Shuangxi Zhou, and Jian Ni. The China Plant Trait Database: toward a comprehensive regional compilation of functional traits for land plants. *Ecology*, 99(2):500–500, 2018. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ecy.2091.

58. Tauno Metsalu and Jaak Vilo. ClustVis: a web tool for visualizing clustering of multivariate data using Principal Component Analysis and heatmap. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(W1):W566–W570, July 2015.

59. Paul G. Nevill, Katharine A. Howell, Adam T. Cross, Anna V. Williams, Xiao Zhong, Julian Tonti-Filippini, Laura M. Boykin, Kingsley W. Dixon, and Ian Small. Plastome-Wide Rearrangements and Gene Losses in Carnivorous Droseraceae. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 11(2):472–485, February 2019.

60. Jenny Zambrano, Yoshiko Iida, Robert Howe, Luxiang Lin, Maria Natalia Umana, Amy Wolf, Samantha J. Worthy, and Nathan G. Swenson. Neighbourhood defence gene similarity effects on tree performance: a community transcriptomic approach. *Journal of Ecology*, 105(3):616–626, 2017. _eprint: https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2745.12765.

61. Nathan G. Swenson and F. Andrew Jones. Community transcriptomics, genomics and the problem of species co-occurrence. *Journal of Ecology*, 105(3):563–568, 2017. _eprint: https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2745.12771.

62. Nathan G. Swenson, Yoshiko Iida, Robert Howe, Amy Wolf, María Natalia Umaña, Krittika Petprakob, Benjamin L. Turner, and Keping Ma. Tree co-occurrence and transcriptomic response to drought. *Nature Communications*, 8(1):1–9, December 2017. Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

63. Tomasz P. Wyka, Jacek Oleksyn, Piotr Karolewski, and Stefan A. Schnitzer. Phenotypic correlates of the lianescent growth form: a review. *Annals of Botany*, 112(9):1667–1681, December 2013.

64. Yusuke Onoda, Ian J. Wright, John R. Evans, Kouki Hikosaka, Kaoru Kitajima, Ülo Niinemets, Hendrik Poorter, Tiina Tosens, and Mark Westoby. Physiological and structural tradeoffs underlying the leaf economics spectrum. *New Phytologist*, 214(4):1447–1463, 2017. _eprint: https://nph.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.14496.

65. María Natalia Umaña, Jimena Forero-Montaña, Christopher J. Nytch, Jill Thompson, María Uriarte, Jess Zimmerman, and Nathan G. Swenson. Dry conditions and disturbance promote liana seedling survival and abundance. *Ecology*, 100(1):e02556, January 2019.

66. Asaph Aharoni, Shital Dixit, Reinhard Jetter, Eveline Thoenes, Gert van Arkel, and Andy Pereira. The SHINE Clade of AP2 Domain Transcription Factors Activates Wax Biosynthesis, Alters Cuticle Properties, and Confers Drought Tolerance when Overexpressed in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 16(9):2463–2480, September 2004. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

67. Pierre Broun, Patricia Poindexter, Erin Osborne, Cai-Zhong Jiang, and José Luis Riechmann. WIN1, a transcriptional activator of epidermal wax accumulation in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(13):4706–4711, March 2004. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.

68. Sara I. Zandalinas, Yosef Fichman, Amith R. Devireddy, Soham Sengupta, Rajeev K. Azad, and Ron Mittler. Systemic signaling during abiotic stress combination in plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(24):13810–13820, June 2020. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.

69. Yingying Liu, Minjing Wei, Cong Hou, Tingting Lu, Lulu Liu, Hairong Wei, Yuxiang Cheng, and Zhigang Wei. Functional Characterization of Populus PsnSHN2 in Coordinated Regulation of Secondary Wall Components in Tobacco. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1):42, March 2017. Number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

70. Francesco Licausi, Federico M. Giorgi, Sara Zenoni, Fabio Osti, Mario Pezzotti, and Pierdomenico Perata. Genomic and transcriptomic analysis of the AP2/ERF superfamily in Vitis vinifera. *BMC Genomics*, 11(1):719, December 2010.

71. Edwin R. Lampugnani, Ghazanfar Abbas Khan, Marc Somssich, and Staffan Persson. Building a plant cell wall at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*, 131(2), January 2018. Publisher: The Company of Biologists Ltd Section: CELL SCIENCE AT A GLANCE.

72. Feng Zhao, Wenqian Chen, Julien Sechet, Marjolaine Martin, Simone Bovio, Claire Lionnet, Yuchen Long, Virginie Battu, Grégory Mouille, Françoise

Monéger, and Jan Traas. Xyloglucans and Microtubules Synergistically Maintain Meristem Geometry and Phyllotaxis. *Plant Physiology*, 181(3):1191–1206, November 2019. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

73. Takahisa Hayashi and Rumi Kaida. Functions of Xyloglucan in Plant Cells. *Molecular Plant*, 4(1):17–24, January 2011.

74. Yi-Hsiang Chou, Gennady Pogorelko, Zachary T. Young, and Olga A. Zabotina. Protein–Protein Interactions Among Xyloglucan-Synthesizing Enzymes and Formation of Golgi-Localized Multiprotein Complexes. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 56(2):255–267, February 2015.

75. Yong Bum Park and Daniel J. Cosgrove. Xyloglucan and its Interactions with Other Components of the Growing Cell Wall. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 56(2):180–194, February 2015.

76. Kei'ichi Baba, Yong Woo Park, Tomomi Kaku, Rumi Kaida, Miyuki Takeuchi, Masato Yoshida, Yoshihiro Hosoo, Yasuhisa Ojio, Takashi Okuyama, Toru Taniguchi, Yasunori Ohmiya, Teiji Kondo, Ziv Shani, Oded Shoseyov, Tatsuya Awano, Satoshi Serada, Naoko Norioka, Shigemi Norioka, and Takahisa Hayashi. Xyloglucan for Generating Tensile Stress to Bend Tree Stem. *Molecular Plant*, 2(5):893–903, September 2009.

77. Thomas J. Givnish and Geerat J. Vermeij. Sizes and Shapes of Liane Leaves. *The American Naturalist*, 110(975):743–778, 1976. Publisher: [University of Chicago Press, American Society of Naturalists].

78. Akio Takenaka. Effects of leaf blade narrowness and petiole length on the light capture efficiency of a shoot. *Ecological Research*, 9(2):109–114, 1994. _eprint: https://esj-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1007/BF02347485.

79. Martin Bringmann, Benoit Landrein, Christian Schudoma, Olivier Hamant, Marie-Theres Hauser, and Staffan Persson. Cracking the elusive alignment hypothesis: the microtubule–cellulose synthase nexus unraveled. *Trends in Plant Science*, 17(11):666–674, November 2012.

80. Ruiqin Zhong and Zheng-Hua Ye. Secondary Cell Walls: Biosynthesis, Patterned Deposition and Transcriptional Regulation. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 56(2):195–214, February 2015.

81. Sang-Jin Kim, Balakumaran Chandrasekar, Anne C. Rea, Linda Danhof, Starla Zemelis-Durfee, Nicholas Thrower, Zachary S. Shepard, Markus Pauly, Federica Brandizzi, and Kenneth Keegstra. The synthesis of xyloglucan, an abundant plant cell wall polysaccharide, requires CSLC function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(33):20316–20324, August 2020. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.

82. Claudiu T. Supuran. Carbonic Anhydrases and Metabolism. *Metabolites*, 8(2), March 2018.

83. Robert J. DiMario, Harmony Clayton, Ananya Mukherjee, Martha Ludwig, and James V. Moroney. Plant Carbonic Anhydrases: Structures, Locations, Evolution, and Physiological Roles. *Molecular Plant*, 10(1):30–46, January 2017.

84. Mina Momayyezi, Athena D. McKown, Shannon C. S. Bell, and Robert D. Guy. Emerging roles for carbonic anhydrase in mesophyll conductance and photosynthesis. *The Plant Journal*, 101(4):831–844, 2020. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/tpj.14638.

85. Lígia T. Bertolino, Robert S. Caine, and Julie E. Gray. Impact of Stomatal Density and Morphology on Water-Use Efficiency in a Changing World. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 2019. Publisher: Frontiers.

86. Gregory J. Retallack. A 300-million-year record of atmospheric carbon dioxide from fossil plant cuticles. *Nature*, 411(6835):287–290, May 2001. Number: 6835 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

87. Emmy I. Lammertsma, Hugo Jan de Boer, Stefan C. Dekker, David L. Dilcher, André F. Lotter, and Friederike Wagner-Cremer. Global CO2 rise leads to reduced maximum stomatal conductance in Florida vegetation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(10):4035–4040, March 2011. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.

88. Honghong Hu, Aurélien Boisson-Dernier, Maria Israelsson-Nordström, Maik Böhmer, Shaowu Xue, Amber Ries, Jan Godoski, Josef M. Kuhn, and Julian I. Schroeder. Carbonic Anhydrases are Upstream Regulators in Guard Cells of CO2-Controlled Stomatal Movements. *Nature cell biology*, 12(1):87–18, January 2010.

89. Hirofumi Harashima, Nico Dissmeyer, and Arp Schnittger. Cell cycle control across the eukaryotic kingdom. *Trends in Cell Biology*, 23(7):345–356, July 2013. Publisher: Elsevier.

90. J. E. Melaragno, B. Mehrotra, and A. W. Coleman. Relationship between Endopolyploidy and Cell Size in Epidermal Tissue of Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 5(11):1661–1668, November 1993. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists.

91. Shweta Kalve, Dirk De Vos, and Gerrit T. S. Beemster. Leaf development: a cellular perspective. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 2014. Publisher: Frontiers.

92. Jasmien Vercruysse, Alexandra Baekelandt, Nathalie Gonzalez, and Dirk Inzé. Molecular networks regulating cell division during Arabidopsis leaf growth. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 71(8):2365–2378, April 2020.

93. Sandra Noir, Katia Marrocco, Kinda Masoud, Alexis Thomann, Andi Gusti, Marta Bitrian, Arp Schnittger, and Pascal Genschik. The Control of Arabidopsis thaliana Growth by Cell Proliferation and Endoreplication Requires the F-Box Protein FBL17. *The Plant Cell*, 27(5):1461–1476, May 2015. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

94. Naomie Gentric, Kinda Masoud, Robin P. Journot, Valérie Cognat, Marie-Edith Chabouté, Sandra Noir, and Pascal Genschik. The F-Box-Like Protein FBL17 Is a Regulator of DNA-Damage Response and Colocalizes with RETINOBLASTOMA RELATED1 at DNA Lesion Sites. *Plant Physiology*, 183(3):1295–1305, July 2020. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

95. Xin'Ai Zhao, Hirofumi Harashima, Nico Dissmeyer, Stefan Pusch, Annika K. Weimer, Jonathan Bramsiepe, Daniel Bouyer, Svenja Rademacher, Moritz K.

Nowack, Bela Novak, Stefanie Sprunck, and Arp Schnittger. A General G1/S-Phase Cell-Cycle Control Module in the Flowering Plant Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS Genetics*, 8(8), August 2012.

96. Matthew R Hemm, Klaus M Herrmann, and Clint Chapple. AtMYB4: a transcription factor general in the battle against UV. *Trends in Plant Science*, 6(4):135–136, April 2001.

97. Takayuki Tohge, Miyako Kusano, Atsushi Fukushima, Kazuki Saito, and Alisdair R. Fernie. Transcriptional and metabolic programs following exposure of plants to UV-B irradiation. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 6(12):1987–1992, December 2011.

98. Takayuki Tohge, Yasutaka Nishiyama, Masami Yokota Hirai, Mitsuru Yano, Jun-ichiro Nakajima, Motoko Awazuhara, Eri Inoue, Hideki Takahashi, Dayan B. Goodenowe, Masahiko Kitayama, Masaaki Noji, Mami Yamazaki, and Kazuki Saito. Functional genomics by integrated analysis of metabolome and transcriptome of Arabidopsis plants over-expressing an MYB transcription factor. *The Plant Journal*, 42(2):218–235, 2005. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02371.x.

99. M. Taylor-Teeples, L. Lin, M. de Lucas, G. Turco, T. W. Toal, A. Gaudinier, N. F. Young, G. M. Trabucco, M. T. Veling, R. Lamothe, P. P. Handakumbura, G. Xiong, C. Wang, J. Corwin, A. Tsoukalas, L. Zhang, D. Ware, M. Pauly, D. J. Kliebenstein, K. Dehesh, I. Tagkopoulos, G. Breton, J. L. Pruneda-Paz, S. E. Ahnert, S. A. Kay, S. P. Hazen, and S. M. Brady. An Arabidopsis gene regulatory network for secondary cell wall synthesis. *Nature*, 517(7536):571–575, January 2015. Number: 7536 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

100. Haibao Tang, Uzay Sezen, and Andrew H Paterson. Domestication and plant genomes. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 13(2):160–166, April 2010.

101. Haibao Tang, Hugo E. Cuevas, Sayan Das, Uzay U. Sezen, Chengbo Zhou, Hui Guo, Valorie H. Goff, Zhengxiang Ge, Thomas E. Clemente, and Andrew H. Paterson. Seed shattering in a wild sorghum is conferred by a locus unrelated to domestication. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(39):15824–15829, September 2013. Publisher: National Academy of Sciences Section: Biological Sciences.

102. Erika Cavallini, José Tomás Matus, Laura Finezzo, Sara Zenoni, Rodrigo Loyola, Flavia Guzzo, Rudolf Schlechter, Agnès Ageorges, Patricio Arce-Johnson, and Giovanni Battista Tornielli. The Phenylpropanoid Pathway Is Controlled at Different Branches by a Set of R2R3-MYB C2 Repressors in Grapevine. *Plant Physiology*, 167(4):1448–1470, April 2015. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Articles.

103. Sookyung Oh, Sunchung Park, and Kyung-Hwan Han. Transcriptional regulation of secondary growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54(393):2709–2722, December 2003.

104. José Tomás Matus, Felipe Aquea, and Patricio Arce-Johnson. Analysis of the grape MYB R2R3 subfamily reveals expanded wine quality-related clades and conserved gene structure organization across Vitis and Arabidopsis genomes. *BMC Plant Biology*, 8(1):83, July 2008.

105. Christian Dubos, Ralf Stracke, Erich Grotewold, Bernd Weisshaar, Cathie Martin, and Loïc Lepiniec. MYB transcription factors in Arabidopsis. *Trends in Plant Science*, 15(10):573–581, October 2010.

106. Peter H. Raven, University Ray F. Evert, Ray F. Evert, Susan E. Eichhorn, and University Susan E. Eichhorn. *Biology of Plants*. Macmillan, 2005.

107. Chen-Kun Jiang and Guang-Yuan Rao. Insights into the Diversification and Evolution of R2R3-MYB Transcription Factors in Plants. *Plant Physiology*, 183(2):637–655, June 2020. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

108. Xiaojun Chang, Shupeng Xie, Lanlan Wei, Zhaolian Lu, Zhong-Hua Chen, Fei Chen, Zhongxiong Lai, Zhenguo Lin, and Liangsheng Zhang. Origins and Stepwise Expansion of R2R3-MYB Transcription Factors for the Terrestrial Adaptation of Plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 2020. Publisher: Frontiers.

109. Darren Chern Jan Wong, Rudolf Schlechter, Alessandro Vannozzi, Janine Höll, Ibrahim Hmmam, Jochen Bogs, Giovanni Battista Tornielli, Simone Diego Castellarin, and José Tomás Matus. A systems-oriented analysis of the grapevine R2R3-MYB transcription factor family uncovers new insights into the regulation of stilbene accumulation. *DNA Research*, 23(5):451–466, October 2016.

110. Peter S. Millard, Birthe B. Kragelund, and Meike Burow. R2R3 MYB Transcription Factors – Functions outside the DNA-Binding Domain. *Trends in Plant Science*, 24(10):934–946, October 2019.

111. Michael Krings, Hans Kerp, Thomas N. Taylor, and Edith L. Taylor. How Paleozoic vines and lianas got off the ground: On scrambling and climbing carboniferous-early permian pteridosperms. *The Botanical Review*, 69(2):204–224, April 2003.

112. Choonkyun Jung, Nguyen Hoai Nguyen, and Jong-Joo Cheong. Transcriptional Regulation of Protein Phosphatase 2C Genes to Modulate Abscisic Acid Signaling. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(24):9517, January 2020. Number: 24 Publisher: Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

113. Fen-Fen Soon, Ley-Moy Ng, X. Edward Zhou, Graham M. West, Amanda Kovach, M. H. Eileen Tan, Kelly M. Suino-Powell, Yuanzheng He, Yong Xu, Michael J. Chalmers, Joseph S. Brunzelle, Huiming Zhang, Huaiyu Yang, Hualiang Jiang, Jun Li, Eu-Leong Yong, Sean Cutler, Jian-Kang Zhu, Patrick R. Griffin, Karsten Melcher, and H. Eric Xu. Molecular Mimicry Regulates ABA Signaling by SnRK2 Kinases and PP2C Phosphatases. *Science*, 335(6064):85–88, January 2012. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science Section: Report.

114. Choonkyun Jung, Jun Sung Seo, Sang Won Han, Yeon Jong Koo, Chung Ho Kim, Sang Ik Song, Baek Hie Nahm, Yang Do Choi, and Jong-Joo Cheong. Overexpression of AtMYB44 Enhances Stomatal Closure to Confer Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*, 146(2):623–635, February 2008. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: ENVIRONMENTAL STRESS AND ADAPTATION TO STRESS.

115. Tongtong Xue, Dong Wang, Shizhong Zhang, Juergen Ehlting, Fei Ni, Stephen Jakab, Chengchao Zheng, and Yuan Zhong. Genome-wide and expression analysis of protein phosphatase 2C in rice and Arabidopsis. *BMC genomics*, 9:550, November 2008.

116. Masrur R. Jaradat, J. Allan Feurtado, Daiqing Huang, Yongquan Lu, and Adrian J. Cutler. Multiple roles of the transcription factor AtMYBR1/AtMYB44 in ABA signaling, stress responses, and leaf senescence. *BMC Plant Biology*, 13(1):192, November 2013.

117. Nguyen Hoai Nguyen and Jong-Joo Cheong. AtMYB44 interacts with TOPLESS-RELATED corepressors to suppress protein phosphatase 2C gene transcription. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 507(1):437–442, December 2018.

118. Nguyen Hoai Nguyen and Jong-Joo Cheong. H2A.Z-containing nucleosomes are evicted to activate AtMYB44 transcription in response to salt stress. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 499(4):1039–1043, May 2018.

119. Muhammad Salman Haider, Cheng Zhang, Mahantesh M. Kurjogi, Tariq Pervaiz, Ting Zheng, Chaobo Zhang, Chen Lide, Lingfie Shangguan, and Jinggui Fang. Insights into grapevine defense response against drought as revealed by biochemical, physiological and RNA-Seq analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 7, October 2017.

120. Matthias Arend, Jörg-Peter Schnitzler, Barbara Ehlting, Robert Hänsch, Theo Lange, Heinz Rennenberg, Axel Himmelbach, Erwin Grill, and Jörg Fromm. Expression of the Arabidopsis Mutant abi1 Gene Alters Abscisic Acid Sensitivity, Stomatal Development, and Growth Morphology in Gray Poplars. *Plant Physiology*, 151(4):2110–2119, December 2009. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: DEVELOPMENT AND HORMONE ACTION.

121. Yong-Tao Yu, Zhen Wu, Kai Lu, Chao Bi, Shan Liang, Xiao-Fang Wang, and Da-Peng Zhang. Overexpression of the MYB37 transcription factor enhances abscisic acid sensitivity, and improves both drought tolerance and seed productivity in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 90:267–279, 2016.

122. Qingwen Shen, Xinqiao Zhan, Pei Yang, Jing Li, Jie Chen, Bing Tang, Xuemin Wang, and Yueyun Hong. Dual Activities of Plant cGMP-Dependent Protein Kinase and Its Roles in Gibberellin Signaling and Salt Stress. *The Plant Cell*, 31(12):3073–3091, December 2019.

123. Marc Behr, Gea Guerriero, Jacqueline Grima-Pettenati, and Marie Baucher. A Molecular Blueprint of Lignin Repression. *Trends in Plant Science*, 24(11):1052–1064, November 2019.

124. Won-Chan Kim, Joo-Yeol Kim, Jae-Heung Ko, Hunseung Kang, and Kyung-Hwan Han. Identification of direct targets of transcription factor MYB46 provides insights into the transcriptional regulation of secondary wall biosynthesis. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 85(6):589–599, August 2014.

125. Yoshimi Nakano, Masatoshi Yamaguchi, Hitoshi Endo, Nur Ardiyana Rejab, and Misato Ohtani. NAC-MYB-based transcriptional regulation of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in land plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 2015. Publisher: Frontiers.

126. Lodovico Tamagnone, Angel Merida, Adrian Parr, Steve Mackay, Francisco A. Culianez-Macia, Keith Roberts, and Cathie Martin. The AmMYB308 and AmMYB330 Transcription Factors from Antirrhinum Regulate Phenylpropanoid and Lignin Biosynthesis in Transgenic Tobacco. *The Plant Cell*, 10(2):135–154,

February 1998. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

127. Apurva Bhargava, Shawn D. Mansfield, Hardy C. Hall, Carl J. Douglas, and Brian E. Ellis. MYB75 Functions in Regulation of Secondary Cell Wall Formation in the Arabidopsis Inflorescence Stem. *Plant Physiology*, 154(3):1428–1438, November 2010. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: SYSTEMS BIOLOGY, MOLECULAR BIOLOGY, AND GENE REGULATION.

128. Anna E. Kreynes, Zhenhua Yong, and Brian E. Ellis. Developmental phenotypes of Arabidopsis plants expressing phosphovariants of AtMYB75. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 16(1):1836454, January 2021. Publisher: Taylor & Francis _eprint: https://doi.org/10.1080/15592324.2020.1836454.

129. Scott C. Stelpflug, Rajandeep S. Sekhon, Brieanne Vaillancourt, Candice N. Hirsch, C. Robin Buell, Natalia de Leon, and Shawn M. Kaeppler. An Expanded Maize Gene Expression Atlas based on RNA Sequencing and its Use to Explore Root Development. *The Plant Genome*, 9(1):plantgenome2015.04.0025, 2016. _eprint: https://acsess.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.3835/plantgenome2015.04.0025.

130. Hagai Cohen, Vadim Fedyuk, Chunhua Wang, Shuang Wu, and Asaph Aharoni. SUBERMAN regulates developmental suberization of the Arabidopsis root endodermis. *The Plant Journal*, 102(3):431–447, 2020. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/tpj.14711.

131. José Graça. Suberin: the biopolyester at the frontier of plants. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 3, 2015. Publisher: Frontiers.

132. Ryushiro D. Kasahara, Michael F. Portereiko, Linda Sandaklie-Nikolova, David S. Rabiger, and Gary N. Drews. MYB98 Is Required for Pollen Tube Guidance and Synergid Cell Differentiation in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 17(11):2981–2992, November 2005. Publisher: American Society of Plant Biologists Section: Research Article.

133. Jayson A. Punwani, David S. Rabiger, Alan Lloyd, and Gary N. Drews. The MYB98 subcircuit of the synergid gene regulatory network includes genes directly and indirectly regulated by MYB98. *The Plant Journal*, 55(3):406–414, 2008. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03514.x.

134. Varsha Tirumalai, Chenna Swetha, Ashwin Nair, Awadhesh Pandit, and Padubidri V Shivaprasad. miR828 and miR858 regulate VvMYB114 to promote anthocyanin and flavonol accumulation in grapes. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70(18):4775–4792, September 2019.

135. Dongshu Guo, Jinzhe Zhang, Xinlei Wang, Xiang Han, Baoye Wei, Jianqiao Wang, Boxun Li, Hao Yu, Qingpei Huang, Hongya Gu, Li-Jia Qu, and Genji Qin. The WRKY Transcription Factor WRKY71/EXB1 Controls Shoot Branching by Transcriptionally Regulating RAX Genes in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell*, 27(11):3112–3127, November 2015.

136. Christoph J. Thieme, Monica Rojas-Triana, Ewelina Stecyk, Christian Schudoma, Wenna Zhang, Lei Yang, Miguel Miñambres, Dirk Walther, Waltraud X. Schulze, Javier Paz-Ares, Wolf-Rüdiger Scheible, and Friedrich

Kragler. Endogenous *Arabidopsis* messenger RNAs transported to distant tissues. *Nature Plants*, 1(4):15025, April 2015.

137. Petra Stirnberg, Jin-Ping Liu, Sally Ward, Sarah L Kendall, and Ottoline Leyser. Mutation of the cytosolic ribosomal protein-encoding RPS10B gene affects shoot meristematic function in Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biology*, 12:160, September 2012.

A

L2-L5 · DIO · GNE · PAS · SCP · SMI
Co-downregulated · DOL · HET · PAU · SEC · 1st-2nd shell

GO:0010329 auxin efflux transmembrane transporter activity
GO:0080161 auxin transmembrane transporter activity
GO:0009958 positive gravitropism
GO:0009926 auxin polar transport
GO:0009914 hormone transport
GO:0009606 tropism
GO:0009630 gravitropism

GO:0060918 auxin transport
GO:0010817 regulation of hormone levels
KEGG:00910 nitrogen metabolism
KEGG:02010 ABC transporters

−log₁₀(P_adj)

GO:MF   GO:BP   GO:CC

B

Transcription factor · RNA metabolism
Co-downregulated · 1st-2nd shell

GO:00033700 DNA-binding transcription factor activity
GO:0043565 sequence-specific DNA binding
GO:0006355 regulation of DNA templated transcription
GO:0010556 regulation of macromolecule biosynthesis

GO:0005634 nucleus

−log₁₀(P_adj)

GO:MF   GO:BP   GO:CC