Title

***Myoparr*-associated and -independent multiple roles of heterogeneous nuclear**

ribonucleoprotein K during skeletal muscle cell differentiation

Authors

Keisuke Hitachi[1], Yuri Kiyofuji[1], Masashi Nakatani[2], and Kunihiro Tsuchida[1,*]

Affiliations

[1]Division for Therapies against Intractable Diseases, Institute for Comprehensive

Medical Science (ICMS), Fujita Health University, Toyoake, Japan, [2]Faculty of

Rehabilitation and Care, Seijoh University, Tokai, Japan

*Correspondence: tsuchida@fujita-hu.ac.jp

Abstract

RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) regulate cell physiology via the formation of

ribonucleic-protein complexes with coding and non-coding RNAs. RBPs have multiple

functions in the same cells; however, the precise mechanism through which their

pleiotropic functions are determined remains unknown. In this study, we revealed the

multiple inhibitory functions of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNPK)

for myogenic differentiation. We first identified hnRNPK as a lncRNA *Myoparr* binding

protein. Gain- and loss-of-function experiments showed that hnRNPK repressed the

expression of *myogenin* at the transcriptional level. The hnRNPK-binding region of

Myoparr was required to repress *myogenin* expression. Moreover, hnRNPK repressed the

expression of a set of genes coding for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in a *Myoparr-*

independent manner. Mechanistically, hnRNPK regulated the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway, one

branch of the intrinsic pathways of the endoplasmic reticulum sensors, in differentiating

myoblasts. Thus, our findings demonstrate that hnRNPK plays lncRNA-associated and -

independent multiple roles during myogenic differentiation, indicating that the analysis

of lncRNA-binding proteins will be useful for elucidating both the physiological

functions of lncRNAs and the multiple functions of RBPs.

Keywords

transcriptional regulation, myogenic differentiation, RNA-binding protein, endoplasmic

reticulum stress

Introduction

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), which are >200 nucleotides (nt) in length and which do not encode more than 100 amino acids, are emerging as important regulators in diverse biological processes, including transcription, splicing, RNA stability, and translation (Statello et al., 2021). LncRNAs are pervasively transcribed from the noncoding genomic DNA; cis-regulatory regions, including promoter and enhancer, introns, 3' untranslated regions, and repetitive sequences (Chakraborty et al., 2014). LncRNAs are also expressed from the antisense direction of the coding genomic DNA (Hon et al., 2017). Thus, most of the genomic regions have the potential to express lncRNAs. Thus far, more than 260,000 lncRNA genes are registered in the LncBook, which is a curated knowledge-based database for human lncRNAs (Ma et al., 2019). Since lncRNAs exert their molecular functions by interacting with proteins, mRNAs, or microRNAs (Hitachi and Tsuchida, 2020), their molecular functions differ widely, depending on the interacting partners.

As lncRNA-interacting factors, RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are essential to determine the molecular function of each lncRNA (Statello et al., 2021). In the human genome, more than 1,500 genes encode RBPs (Gerstberger et al., 2014). RBPs consist of ribonucleoprotein complexes together with lncRNAs to regulate various biological aspects. For example, the association of Ddx5/Ddx17 with lncRNAs, such as *SRA*, *mrhl*, *MeXis*, or *Myoparr*, is required to activate the expression of downstream genes (Caretti et al., 2006; Hitachi et al., 2019; Kataruka et al., 2017; Sallam et al., 2018). RBPs, including NONO, SFPQ, FUS, and RBM14, associate with *Neat1*, which is a highly abundant lncRNA in mammals, to form a large membrane-less structure paraspeckle in the nucleus (Hirose et al., 2019). A ubiquitously expressed RBP, known as human antigen

R (HuR) associates with lncRNAs and regulates their stability; HuR increases the

cytosolic *linc-MD1* levels in skeletal muscle cells (Legnini et al., 2014), whereas it

promotes the decay of *lincRNA-p21* in HeLa cells (Yoon et al., 2012). Additionally, RBPs

are also involved in RNA splicing, polyadenylation, RNA transport, and translation

(Kelaini et al., 2021). The majority of RBPs are involved in multiple biological processes

in concert with lncRNAs (Briata and Gherzi, 2020; Jonas et al., 2020; Nostrand et al.,

2020), and mutations in genes coding for RBPs are associated with human genetic

disorders (Gebauer et al., 2021).

Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein K (hnRNPK), a member of the

heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein family, has multiple roles, including chromatin

remodeling, transcription, RNA splicing, and translation (Bomsztyk et al., 2004; Wang et

al., 2020). hnRNPK acts together with *lincRNA-p21*, *EWSAT1*, and *lncRNA-OG*, to

regulate the expression of downstream genes in mouse embryonic fibroblasts, Ewing

sarcoma, and bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (Dimitrova et al., 2014;

Howarth et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2018). However, the molecular function of hnRNPK in

skeletal muscle cells has not been fully elucidated. LncRNA *Myoparr* is an essential

regulator of skeletal muscle cell proliferation and differentiation (Hitachi et al., 2019).

Myoparr shares the same promoter region with the *myogenin* gene and activates *myogenin*

expression by promoting the interaction between Ddx17 and histone acetyltransferase

PCAF (Hitachi et al., 2019). We previously identified hnRNPK as a candidate for

Myoparr-associated protein in skeletal muscle cells (Hitachi et al., 2019), suggesting the

unique functions of *Myoparr*-associated hnRNPK during myogenic differentiation. In the

present study, we revealed the inhibitory role of hnRNPK as a *Myoparr*-associated protein

in skeletal muscle cell differentiation. Moreover, by comparing the downstream genes

regulated by *Myoparr* and hnRNPK, we also found a *Myoparr*-independent role of

hnRNPK during myogenic differentiation. Our findings reveal that hnRNPK plays

Myoparr-associated and -independent multiple roles in skeletal muscle cell

differentiation and will contribute to elucidating the complex roles of RBPs in cell

differentiation.

Materials and Methods

Cell cultures, siRNA transfection, and ISRIB treatment

A mouse myoblast cell line, C2C12, was cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle

medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum at 37°C under 5% CO_2.

Myogenic differentiation was induced by replacing the medium with the differentiation

medium, DMEM supplemented with 2% horse serum. C2C12 myoblasts were transfected

with 50 nM of Stealth RNAi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) using

Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

The following siRNAs were used: Stealth RNAi siRNA negative control (Negative

Control, Med GC, Thermo Fisher Scientific), stealth RNAi for *Myoparr*, and stealth

RNAi siRNAs specific for *hnRNPK* (MSS205172 and MSS205173, Thermo Fisher

Scientific). The siRNA sequences are listed in Supplemental Table 1. At 24 h after siRNA

transfection, myogenic differentiation was induced. At 24 h or 72 h after differentiation

induction, cells were collected for the analysis of RNAs and proteins. For ISRIB

treatment, the differentiation medium was added either with or without 1 μM ISRIB

(Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

RNA isolation, reverse transcription reaction, and quantitative RT-PCR

110 Total RNA was extracted from C2C12 cells using ISOGEN II reagent (Nippon

111 Gene, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. After DNase I (Thermo

112 Fisher Scientific) treatment, total RNA was used for reverse transcription reaction using

113 SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or ProtoScript II

114 Reverse Transcriptase (New England Biolabs (NEB), Beverly, MA, USA) with random

115 or oligo (dT) primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative real-time PCR was

116 conducted using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) and a Thermal

117 Cycler Dice Real Time System TP800 (Takara Bio Inc.). The results were normalized to

118 the *Rpl26* expression. The primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

120 **Protein extraction and Western blotting**

121 Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl,

122 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) containing protease inhibitors

123 (1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, 4 μg/ml leupeptin) and

124 phosphatase inhibitors (5 mM NaF, 5 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1 mM Na_3VO_4). The

125 protein concentration was measured using a Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo

126 Fischer Scientific). Equal amounts of protein were used for Western blotting. The

127 following primary antibodies were used: myogenin antibody (F5D) (sc-12732, Santa

128 Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA), MHC antibody (MF20, Developmental Studies

129 Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)), Myod antibody (CE-011A, Cosmo Bio Co. Ltd., Tokyo,

130 Japan), hnRNPK antibody (#4675, Cell Signaling Technology (CST), Beverly, MA,

131 USA), hnRNPK antibody (F45P9C7, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA), TIAR antibody

132 (#8509, CST), and Atf4 antibody (693901, BioLegend). The following HRP-linked

133 secondary antibodies were used: anti-mouse IgG (#7076, CST), anti-rabbit IgG (#7074,

CST), and TrueBlot ULTRA anti-Ig HRP, Mouse (Rat) (18-8817-33, Rockland

Immunochemicals Inc., Limerick, PA, USA). Can Get Signal Immunoreaction Enhancer

Solution (Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) was used when necessary. The signal was detected with

ImmunoStar LD reagent (FUJIFILM Wako, Osaka, Japan) using a cooled CCD camera

system (Light-Capture, ATTO, Tokyo, Japan).

Immunofluorescence assay

Immunofluorescence analyses of C2C12 cells were performed as previously

described (Hitachi et al., 2019). Briefly, 24 h or 72 h after the induction of differentiation,

cells were fixed with 4% PFA and permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100. After blocking

with 5% FBS, cells were stained with an anti-myogenin antibody (F5D, DSHB) or with

an anti-MHC antibody (MF20, DSHB). The following secondary antibodies were used:

Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor

488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Dojindo,

Kumamoto, Japan). A DMI4000B microscope with a DFC350FX CCD camera (Leica,

Wetzlar, Germany) was used for visualization of signals. Images were analyzed using the

Image J software program (ver. 1.53a).

Identification of *Myoparr*-binding proteins

Myoparr-binding proteins were collected with the RiboTrap Kit (Medical &

Biological Laboratories (MBL), Aichi, Japan) using BrU labeled RNAs, as described

previously (Hitachi et al., 2019). BrU-labeled *Myoparr* and *EGFP* RNA were prepared

using the Riboprobe System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Twenty-four hours after the

induction of differentiation, nuclear extract was prepared from differentiating C2C12

myoblasts. The *Myoparr* or *EGFP* RNA (50 pmol) were mixed with the nuclear extract

from differentiating C2C12 myoblasts for 2 h at 4°C. The RNA-protein complexes were

collected by Protein G Plus Agarose (Thermo Fisher Scientific) conjugated to an anti-

BrdU antibody, and proteins were eluted by adding BrdU. Purified proteins were detected

by Western blotting using a specific antibody, as described above.

RNA immunoprecipitation and the RNA pull-down assay

Immunoprecipitation of endogenous RNAs (*Myoparr*, *Xist*, or *Neat1*) was

performed using the RIP-Assay Kit (MBL) using 2×10^7 C2C12 cells 48 h after the

induction of differentiation, as previously described (Hitachi et al., 2019). The following

antibodies were used for RNA immunoprecipitation: normal rabbit IgG (#2729S, CST),

anti-HNRNPK pAb (RN019P, MBL), or TIAR mAb (#8509, CST). After treatment with

DNase I, immunoprecipitated RNAs was used for the reverse transcription reaction. The

precipitation percentage (precipitated RNA vs. input RNA) was calculated by qRT-PCR

using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 1.

An RNA pull-down assay was performed with a RiboTrap Kit. Various lengths

of *Myoparr* were subcloned into a pGEM-Teasy vector (Promega). BrU-labeled *Myoparr*

(10 pmol each) was bound to Protein G Plus Agarose conjugated to an anti-BrdU antibody

and mixed with the *in vitro* transcribed/translated hnRNPK protein. After several washing

steps, the binding of hnRNPK to *Myoparr* was analyzed by Western blotting using an

hnRNPK antibody as described above.

Luciferase reporter assay

The upstream region of *myogenin* (-1650/+51) was PCR-amplified and cloned

into the pGL4.20 vector (Promega). The *hnRNPK*-expressing plasmid was a kind gift

from Dr. H. Okano (Yano et al., 2005). Proliferating C2C12 cells were transfected with

the *myogenin* promoter and *hnRNPK*-expressing plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000

(Thermo Fisher Scientific). The total amount of DNA was kept constant by the addition

of the pcDNA3 vector. Cells were collected at 24 h after the induction of differentiation

and dissolved in Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega). The effect of hnRNPK on *myogenin*

promoter was measured using a Lumat LB 9507 luminometer (Berthold Technologies,

Bad Wildbad, Germany) with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega)

according to the manufacturer's protocol. The pGL4.74 vector (Promega) was used as an

internal control. The relative luciferase activity is shown as the firefly to Renilla luciferase

ratio.

To reconstitute the complex chromatin structure and epigenetic regulation *in*

vitro (Liu et al., 2001), the upstream regions of *myogenin* (-242/+51 and -1650/+51) were

subcloned into the episomal luciferase vector, pREP4-luc, and the -242-Luc and -1650-

Luc constructs were generated. To create the -1650Δccawmcc-Luc construct, the

upstream region (-971/-902) of *myogenin* was deleted from the -1650-Luc construct. The

region containing the putative hnRNPK-binding motif ccawmcc was identified by

RBPmap (Paz et al., 2014). The episomal luciferase vector, pREB7-Rluc, was used as an

internal control for the luciferase assay. The pREP4-luc and pREP7-Rluc vectors were

gifts from Dr. K. Zhao (Liu et al., 2001). Subconfluent C2C12 cells were transfected with

the indicated episomal luciferase vectors using Lipofectamine 2000. After myogenic

induction, cells were collected and used to measure the relative luciferase activity.

Analysis of downstream genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD

Downstream genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD were identified as

described previously (Hitachi and Tsuchida, 2019) using our RNA-Seq raw data

(accession No. DRA005527). Briefly, statistical analysis of differentially expressed genes

by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD was performed using DESeq2 ver. 1.12.4 software

(Love et al., 2014) with a Wald test (cut-offs: false discovery rate (adjusted *p*-value, padj)

< 0.05 and log 2 fold change > 0.75 or < -0.75). A pathway analysis of significantly

upregulated genes coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases was performed

based on the KEGG (Kanehisa et al., 2020). A Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of

differentially expressed genes was performed with DAVID ver. 6.8

(https://david.ncifcrf.gov/). An enrichment analysis was performed using Metascape

(Zhou et al., 2019).

Statistical analysis

Error bars represent standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed

using unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-tests. For comparisons of more than 2 groups, a one-

way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test was performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad

Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical significance is reported in the Figures and

Figure legends. *P* values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Identification of hnRNPK as a *Myoparr*-binding protein in skeletal muscle cells

Our proteomics analysis identified both hnRNPK and TIAR as candidates for

Myoparr-associated proteins (Hitachi et al., 2019). To reveal whether hnRNPK and TIAR

are associated with the *Myoparr* function during myogenic differentiation, we first

examined the specific interaction of *Myoparr* with endogenous hnRNPK and TIAR. *In*

vitro synthesized *Myoparr* was labeled with 5-bromouridine (BrU) and mixed with the

nuclear extract from differentiating C2C12 myoblasts. After purification of *Myoparr* by

immunoprecipitation with a BrdU antibody, specific binding between *Myoparr* and

hnRNPK protein was confirmed by immunoblotting (Figure 1A). Since binding between

EGFP mRNA and hnRNPK was not observed, *EGFP* mRNA was used as a negative

control. Intracellular binding between endogenous *Myoparr* and hnRNPK was shown by

RNA immunoprecipitation using an hnRNPK-specific antibody without a crosslinking

(Figure 1B and C). This enrichment of *Myoparr* by hnRNPK was stronger than that of

Xist or *Neat1*, both of which interact with hnRNPK in other cells (Chu et al., 2015;

Kawaguchi et al., 2015). Although TIAR protein was retrieved by synthesized *Myoparr*,

intracellular endogenous interaction between *Myoparr* and TIAR was not observed

(Supplementary Figure 1A-C). These results suggested that hnRNPK has function

associated with *Myoparr*-binding during skeletal muscle differentiation.

hnRNPK represses the expression of *myogenin* in differentiating myoblasts

During myogenic differentiation, the expression of *Myoparr* gradually

increases and is required to activate the expression of *myogenin* (Hitachi et al., 2019).

Thus, we examined the changes in the expression of hnRNPK during C2C12 cell

differentiation. Although the expression of myogenin was highly increased after

myogenic induction, the expression of hnRNPK gradually decreased (Figure 2A),

suggesting the regulatory role of hnRNPK in the expression of *myogenin*. We next

knocked down *hnRNPK* using the small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in differentiating

C2C12 cells. An immunocytochemistry analysis of the myogenin expression after

hnRNPK knockdown (KD) by two distinct siRNAs did not show a sufficient increase in

the ratio of myogenin-positive cells comparing to the control (Figure 2B). However, we

observed that the number of cells with high intensity of myogenin signal was increased

by *hnRNPK* KD (Figure 2B and C). In addition, western blotting analyses showed that

hnRNPK KD was associated with a significant increase in the expression of myogenin

(Figure 2D-F). These results indicated that although *hnRNPK* KD is not enough to induce

the expression of myogenin in cells where the expression of myogenin is not intrinsically

present, *hnRNPK* KD increases the expression of myogenin in cells with intrinsic

myogenin expression.

263   We observed that the expression of *myogenin* was significantly increased by

hnRNPK KD (Figure 2G and H). Although the differences were not statistically

significant, the *Myoparr* expression levels also tended to be increased by *hnRNPK* KD

(Figure 2I). From these results, we surmise that hnRNPK negatively regulates the

expression of *myogenin* at the transcriptional level. The effect of hnRNPK on the

transcription of *myogenin* was examined using the *myogenin*-promoter-driven luciferase

assay. The overexpression of hnRNPK in differentiating C2C12 cells decreased the

myogenin promoter activity (Figure 2J), indicating that hnRNPK negatively regulates the

expression of *myogenin* via the *myogenin* promoter.

The hnRNPK-binding region of *Myoparr* is required to repress *myogenin* expression

274   The hnRNPK-binding region of *Myoparr* was determined by RNA pull-down

experiments using the various forms of *Myoparr* (Figure 3A). Figure 3B showed that

hnRNPK bound to the full-length sense-strand of *Myoparr* (#3 in Figure 3B), but not to

the full-length antisense-strand of *Myoparr* (#4). Although deletion of the 5'-region (#5

and 6) or 3'-region (#2 and 7) of *Myoparr* did not affect binding to hnRNPK, the deletion

of the 3'-half of *Myoparr* (#1) completely diminished the binding to hnRNPK (Figure

3B). These results indicated that an approximately 300-nt region (613-952 nt) of *Myoparr*

is indispensable for binding to hnRNPK. Searching the motif sequence of RBPs from the

300-nt region revealed that there are 8 ccawmcc motifs, which are recognized by

hnRNPK (Figure 3C). The deletion of the motifs (660-729 nt) from the full-length of

Myoparr (#8) markedly weakened the binding to hnRNPK (Figure 3B). Thus, the

ccawmcc motifs on *Myoparr* were shown to be required for binding to hnRNPK.

To clarify whether *Myoparr* is involved in regulating the expression of

myogenin by hnRNPK, we examined the effect of the ccawmcc motif on the *myogenin*

promoter activity. To imitate a chromatin structure and epigenetic regulation on the

plasmid DNA (Liu et al., 2001), the upstream region of *myogenin* (-1649 to +52)

including *Myoparr* was cloned into an episomal luciferase vector. In accordance with our

previous findings (Hitachi et al., 2019), the *myogenin* promoter showed high activity in

the presence of *Myoparr* (-1650-Luc) in comparison to the -242-Luc construct, which

only contains the *myogenin* promoter region in differentiating myoblasts (Figure 3D).

Intriguingly, the activity of the -1650-Luc construct was further enhanced by the deletion

of a region of approximately 70 bp (1650Δccawmcc-Luc), which corresponds to the 660-

729 nt region on *Myoparr* (Figure 3D). These results indicate that the hnRNPK-binding

region of *Myoparr* is required to repress the expression of *myogenin* during skeletal

muscle differentiation.

hnRNPK inhibits skeletal muscle differentiation but is required for normal myotube

formation

The inhibitory role of hnRNPK in the expression of *myogenin* possibly via the

ccawmcc motif on *Myoparr* suggested that hnRNPK and *Myoparr* have common

downstream genes. Our RNA-Seq analysis (Hitachi and Tsuchida, 2019) revealed that

hnRNPK KD significantly increased the expression of 226 genes and significantly

decreased the expression of 190 genes. We compared the downstream genes regulated by

hnRNPK KD and *Myoparr* KD, and the comparative heatmap analysis showed that the

genes regulated by *hnRNPK* KD showed the opposite direction to the *Myoparr* KD

(Figure 4A). Twenty percent of genes (84/416) altered by *hnRNPK* KD overlapped with

genes regulated by the *Myoparr* KD (Figure 4B and Supplementary Talbe 2). The

intersection of these genes was 12.3-fold greater than that expected by chance (p =

1.393037×10^{-45}). Although these 84 genes showed a low correlation coefficient

(R=0.0758323), we observed a negative correlation trend for one segment of them,

including *myogenin*; the expression of 50 genes belonging to this segment was increased

by *hnRNPK* KD and decreased by *Myoparr* KD (red frame in Figure 4C). These genes

were enriched in sarcomere organization, myofibril assembly, and muscle contraction

categories in GO terms (Figure 4D), indicating that hnRNPK inhibits myogenic

differentiation and maturation.

In accordance with the results of the RNA-Seq analysis, we observed a

significant increase in the expression of Myod, one of master regulators of myogenesis,

and Myosin heavy chain (MHC), which is a later marker of myogenic differentiation and

maturation, in the early stages of differentiation with *hnRNPK* KD (Figure 4E), indicating

the *hnRNPK* KD causes premature differentiation of myoblasts. Although *hnRNPK* KD

increased MHC expression in the late stages of differentiation (Figure 4F), *hnRNPK* KD

did not affect the percentage of differentiated cells; this was shown by the fusion index

(Figure 4G) as well as the results from immunocytochemistry to detect myogenin (Figure

2C). Instead, we observed the appearance of locally spherical myotubes following

hnRNPK KD. These differed from the normal tube-shaped myotubes (Figure 4G). Thus,

these results indicated that hnRNPK is required for normal myotube formation, possibly

through the inhibitory effect on the premature differentiation of myoblasts at early stages

of differentiation.

hnRNPK represses the expression of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases via the

eIF2α/Atf4 pathway

The Venn diagram in Figure 4B indicates that 332 genes regulated by *hnRNPK*

KD were *Myoparr*-independent, suggesting the *Myoparr*-independent role of hnRNPK in

differentiating myoblasts. We performed an enrichment analysis of genes regulated by

hnRNPK KD and compared them with genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD. As reported

previously (Hitachi et al., 2019), genes related to cell cycle and cell division were only

enriched in genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD (Figure 5A). Skeletal muscle-associated

genes were regulated by both *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD (Figure 5A). Intriguingly,

genes coding for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases were regulated specifically by *hnRNPK*

KD (red frame in Figure 5A). In mice, there are two-types of aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases: cytosolic and mitochondrial aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase. Our RNA-Seq

analysis showed that *hnRNPK* KD significantly increased the expression of 10 genes

coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, whereas it had little effect on the

expression of genes coding for mitochondrial aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases

(Supplementary Figure 2). To confirm the RNA-Seq results, we randomly picked up 5

genes coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, and their expression changes by

hnRNPK KD were verified by qRT-PCR. *hnRNPK* KD using two distinct siRNAs

significantly increased the expression of *Aars*, *Gars*, *Iars*, *Nars*, and *Sars* (Figure 5B-F).

This regulation was not observed following *Myoparr* KD (Figure 5B-F), indicating that

hnRNPK regulates the expression of these genes in a *Myoparr*-independent manner.

The expression of genes coding for almost all cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases is activated by transcription factor Atf4 (Harding et al., 2003; Shan et al.,

2016). Thus, we examined whether *hnRNPK* KD altered the expression of Atf4 in skeletal

muscle cells. Although not statistically significant, the *Atf4* expression in differentiating

myoblasts tended to be increased by *hnRNPK* KD (Figure 5G). *Myoparr* KD did not affect

the expression of *Atf4* (Figure 5G). The effect of *hnRNPK* KD was more pronounced in

the expression of Atf4 protein. The amount of Atf4 protein was highly increased by

hnRNPK KD (Figure 5H). We further examined the expression changes of other ATF4

target genes by *hnRNPK* KD in differentiating myoblasts. The expression levels of *Asns*

and *Psat1*, which encode proteins related to amino acid synthesis, were significantly

increased by *hnRNPK* KD (Supplementary Figure 3A and B). In addition, the expression

levels of *Chop*, *Chac1*, and *Trb3*, pro-apoptosis genes, and *Gadd34*, an another ATF4

target gene, also tended to be increased by *hnRNPK* KD (Supplementary Figure 3C-F).

These results suggest that hnRNPK regulates the expression of genes associated with

amino acid synthesis via the expression of Atf4.

Under the condition of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, *Atf4* mRNA is

translated more efficiently and contributes to the restoration of cell homeostasis via the

regulation of cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (Afroze and Kumar, 2017). Thus, to

reveal the molecular mechanism by which hnRNPK regulates the expression of Atf4, we

finally focused on ER stress. ISRIB is an inhibitor of eIF2α, which is a downstream

component of PERK signaling, one branch of the ER stress sensors. We investigated

whether ISRIB treatment could suppress the increase in the expression of Atf4 induced

by *hnRNPK* KD and found that ISRIB treatment completely rescued this *hnRNPK*-KD-

induced increase (Figure 6A). Furthermore, ISRIB treatment abrogated the increased

expression of Atf4 target genes, *Aars*, *Gars*, *Iars*, *Nars*, and *Sars* by *hnRNPK* KD (Figure

6B-F and Supplementary Figure 4A-E). Thus, these results indicate that *Myoparr*-

independent hnRNPK function is the regulation of the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway during

myogenic differentiation.

383   Discussion

RBPs have multiple molecular functions, including RNA splicing, transcription,

translation, RNA stability, and the formation of the nuclear structure, to regulate cell

proliferation, differentiation, development, and diseases (Kelaini et al., 2021). Although

many RBPs have multiple functions in the cells (Briata and Gherzi, 2020; Jonas et al.,

2020; Nostrand et al., 2020), it is still unclear how their pleiotropic functions are

determined. In this study, we revealed novel multiple functions of hnRNPK, a member of

the hnRNP family of RBPs, in skeletal muscle cells. By focusing on a lncRNA *Myoparr*-

associated protein, we found that hnRNPK repressed the expression of *myogenin*, coding

for one of the master regulators of muscle differentiation. Deletion of the hnRNPK-

binding region of *Myoparr* activated the expression of *myogenin*. Moreover, our

comparative analysis of the downstream genes of hnRNPK and *Myoparr* showed that the

function of hnRNPK was pleiotropic. During myogenic differentiation, hnRNPK

repressed the expression of a set of genes coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases via the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway. Taken together, our study revealed multiple

inhibitory roles of hnRNPK in skeletal muscle cells: one was *Myoparr*-associated and the

other was *Myoparr*-independent (Figure 7). Recently, Xu et al. reported that the

deficiency of 36 amino acids in hnRNPK diminished C2C12 differentiation (Xu et al.,

2018). However, our results provided strong evidence to support that hnRNPK has an

inhibitory effect on muscle differentiation. In addition, we observed the appearance of

locally spherical myotubes following *hnRNPK* KD. Considering the facts that

dysregulated Myod expression leads to premature myogenic differentiation (Bröhl et al.,

2012) and results in the formation of dysfunctional myofibers in mice (Schuster-Gossler

et al., 2007), uncoordinated increases in Myod, myogenin, and MHC expression by

hnRNPK KD may lead to abnormal shape of myotubes. In addition, the morphology of

these myotubes closely resembles myotubes with myofibril-assembly defects (Wang et

al., 2013), suggesting that hnRNPK may also be involved in the regulation of the

myofibril assembly in myotubes. Therefore, despite its lncRNA-associated and -

independent roles in the inhibition of myogenic differentiation, hnRNPK is apparently

required for the formation of normal myotubes.

We observed that *hnRNPK* KD increased myogenin protein levels more

robustly than *myogenin* mRNA. The peak expression of myogenin protein is detected 1-

2 days after that of *myogenin* mRNA in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* myogenesis (Angelis et

al., 1992; Figueroa et al., 2003), suggesting that a slight increase in *myogenin* mRNA by

hnRNPK KD in the early stages of myogenic differentiation eventually led to a marked

increase in myogenin protein. Therefore, the fine-tuning of the *myogenin* expression by

hnRNPK at the early stages of differentiation may have a significant impact on the overall

myogenic differentiation processes through the RNA-protein network. Intriguingly,

despite the percentage of myogenin-positive cells was not changed, the expression of

myogenin protein was increased by *hnRNPK* KD. We observed that *hnRNPK* KD only

increased the number of cells with high intensity of myogenin signal. These results

suggest that hnRNPK can specifically repress the expression of *myogenin* in a subset of

responding cells, rather than by simply turning off the expression of *myogenin* in every

myoblast. Our experiments showed that hnRNPK repressed the expression of *myogenin*

at the transcriptional level possibly via binding to the ccawmcc motif on *Myoparr*,

suggesting that the existence of *Myoparr* would be necessary for hnRNPK to inhibit the

expression of *myogenin*. Since *myogenin* and *Myoparr* share the same promoter region

(Hitachi et al., 2019), *myogenin* and *Myoparr* are likely expressed in the same cells. Thus,

the expression of *myogenin* would not be activated in the cells without the cell-intrinsic

expression of *Myoparr*, even if *hnRNPK* is depleted in every myoblast. Further studies

are required to investigate the more precise molecular mechanism by which hnRNPK

regulates the expression of *myogenin* via binding to *Myoparr*.

ER stress is induced by several perturbations disrupting cell homeostasis,

including protein misfolding, viral infection, and changes in intracellular calcium

concentration (Hetz et al., 2015). The cells recognize those stresses with three branches

of ER transmembrane sensors signaling, PERK, inositol-requiring protein 1 (IRE1), and

ATF6 (Afroze and Kumar, 2017). During myogenic differentiation, ATF6 signaling was

activated and led to apoptosis in myoblasts (Nakanishi et al., 2005). The increased

phosphorylation of eIF2α, a component of PERK signaling, was observed in myoblasts

at the early stage after the induction of differentiation (Alter and Bengal, 2011). In

addition, treatment with ER stress inducers enhanced apoptosis in myoblasts but led to

efficient myogenic differentiation in the remaining surviving cells (Nakanishi et al., 2007).

Recently, the deletion of PERK in satellite cells, which are adult muscle stem cells, was

shown to inhibit myogenic differentiation and led to impaired skeletal muscle regeneration in adult mice (Xiong et al., 2017), indicating that ER stress promotes myogenic differentiation. In this study, we showed that the *hnRNPK* KD in differentiating myoblasts increased the expression of Atf4 and this effect was diminished by treatment with ISRIB, a specific inhibitor of eIF2α. The expression levels of a set of genes coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases, which are regulated by Atf4, were also increased by the *hnRNPK* KD, and were completely rescued after ISRIB treatment. The inhibitory effects of hnRNPK on Atf4 and cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases were independent of *Myoparr*. Therefore, our findings suggest that hnRNPK fine-tunes the myogenic differentiation process by modulating ER stress via eIF2α/Atf4 signaling in a lncRNA-independent manner. Since hnRNPK is involved in the translational efficiency (Lynch et al., 2005; Yano et al., 2005), a decrease in hnRNPK may induce the unfolded protein response via the translational machinery in myoblasts and alter eIF2α/Atf4 signaling.

In conclusion, hnRNPK plays multiple lncRNA-dependent and -independent roles in the inhibition of myogenic differentiation. Thus, the analysis of RBPs bound to lncRNAs will be useful for elucidating both the physiological functions of lncRNAs and the complex functions of RBPs in cell differentiation. Induced ER stress, including increased PERK signaling, was observed in skeletal muscle biopsy samples from myotonic dystrophy 1 patients and in mdx mice, a model of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (Hulmi et al., 2016; Ikezoe et al., 2007). Moreover, mutations in genes coding for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are implicated in human neuromuscular disorders (Benarroch et al., 2020), and autoantibodies against aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are found in autoimmune disease (Targoff et al., 1993). Collectively, downstream genes of

hnRNPK are strongly associated with neuromuscular and other disorders in humans,

suggesting that targeting hnRNPK to regulate the expression of these genes and signaling

may become a new therapeutic strategy for human diseases.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI (19H03427 and 20K07315),

Intramural Research Grants (2-5) for Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders of NCNP,

and a Grant-in-Aid from the Mochida Memorial Foundation for Medical and

Pharmaceutical Research.

Author Contributions

K.H. and K.T. designed research; K.H. analyzed data; K.H., Y.K., and M.N. performed

research; K.H. and K.T. wrote the paper. All authors revised, edited, and read the

manuscript and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing interests in association with the present study.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Intracellular interaction between *Myoparr* and hnRNPK in differentiating

C2C12 cells. (A) Synthesized *Myoparr* was mixed with C2C12 nuclear extract.

Following purification of *Myoparr* by immunoprecipitation, the interaction between

Myoparr and hnRNPK was confirmed by immunoblotting using an hnRNPK-specific

antibody. *EGFP* mRNA was used for the control. Note that the smaller band would be a

splicing isoform of hnRNPK (Makeyev and Liebhaber, 2002). (B) RNA

immunoprecipitation for *Myoparr*, *Xist*, and *Neat1* in differentiating C2C12 cells by an

hnRNPK antibody. The interaction between endogenous *Myoparr* and hnRNPK was

detected by qRT-PCR 2 days after the differentiation induction. Normal rabbit IgG was

used for the control. The presence or absence of reverse transcription reaction is shown

by (RT+) or (RT-), respectively. n = 4, mean ± SD. ***$p < 0.001$. (C) hnRNPK protein

from C2C12 cells purified by immunoprecipitation in (B) was confirmed by Western

blotting.

Figure 2. hnRNPK represses the expression of myogenin at the transcriptional level.

(A) Western blots showing the hnRNPK and myogenin expression during C2C12

differentiation. The tubulin expression served as an internal control. (B)

Immunocytochemistry for myogenin 48 h after *hnRNPK* KD in C2C12 cells. Nuclei were

counterstained with DAPI. Bar, 100 μm. The percentage of the myogenin-positive cells

is shown as the percentage of the control. n = 4, mean ± SD. n.s., not significant. (C) The

results of the cell count based on the signal intensity of immunocytochemistry for

myogenin of (B). The red line indicates the median. Control; n=824, *hnRNPK* siRNA-1;

n=859, *hnRNPK* siRNA-2; n=761. ***$p < 0.001$. A Mann–Whitney nonparametric test

was used for comparisons between each group. (D) Western blots showing increased

myogenin expression in C2C12 cells 48 h after *hnRNPK* KD. Blots are representative of

four repeats. (E-F) Relative quantification of hnRNPK (E) and myogenin (F) from (D). n

= 4, mean ± SD. ***$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$. (G-I) Quantitative RT-PCR to detect the

expression of *hnRNPK* (G), *myogenin* (H), and *Myoparr* (I) after *hnRNPK* knockdown. n

= 3-4, mean ± SD. ***$p < 0.001$, *$p < 0.05$, n.s., not significant. (J) Exogenous hnRNPK

decreased the promoter activity of *myogenin* in differentiating C2C12 cells. n = 3, mean

± SD. *$p < 0.05$.

Figure 3. The hnRNPK region on *Myoparr* is required for repression of *myogenin*

promoter activity. (A) A schematic diagram of various lengths of *Myoparr* used for RNA

pull-down assays. (B) *In vitro* transcribed/translated hnRNPK protein was pulled down

by *Myoparr* and then detected by Western blotting using an hnRNPK-specific antibody.

Lane numbers are consistent with (A). The RNA lanes indicate various forms of *Myoparr*

used for RNA pull-down. (C) The results of an RBPmap analysis searching the motif of

hnRNPK. Colored letters correspond to the hnRNPK motif. The red frame indicates the

ccawmcc motifs within the region deleted in Δccawmcc, #8 in (A). (D) The left panel

shows a schematic diagram of the constructs used for the luciferase assays. -242-Luc

contains the *myogenin* promoter region. -1650-Luc contains both the *myogenin* promoter

region and *Myoparr*. -1650Δccawmcc-Luc contains the *myogenin* promoter region and

Myoparr without the ccawmcc motifs, corresponding to #8 in A. The right panel shows

the relative luciferase activities of indicated constructs in differentiating C2C12 cells. n

= 4, mean ± SD. ***$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$.

Figure 4. hnRNPK inhibits myogenic differentiation but is required for normal

myotube formation. (A) A heatmap diagram showing the increased or decreased

expression of genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD. (B) The intersection of

genes regulated by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD shows a significant (Fisher's exact test)

overlap, which is 12.3 times larger than would be expected by chance alone. (C) Eighty-

four genes with expression levels that were significantly altered by both *Myoparr* KD and

hnRNPK KD showed an un-correlated pattern (R = 0.0758323, log 2 ratio scale). The red

frame indicates the 50 genes for which the expression was decreased by *Myoparr* KD but

increased by *hnRNPK* KD. (D) Enrichment GO categories of the 50 genes, corresponding

to the red frame in (C). (E) Western blots showing increased MHC and Myod expression

in C2C12 cells 48 h after *hnRNPK* KD. Blots are representative of three repeats. (F)

Western blot showing the increased expression of MHC in C2C12 myotubes 96 h after

hnRNPK KD. The tubulin expression served as an internal control. The blots shown are

representative of three experiments. (G) Immunocytochemistry for MHC 96 h after

hnRNPK KD. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Bar, 100 μm. The fusion index is

shown as the percent of the control. n = 3, mean ± SD. n.s., not significant.

Figure 5. hnRNPK regulates the expression of genes coding for aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases in a *Myoparr*-independent manner. (A) A Metascape analysis of genes

regulated by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD. The red frame indicates the GO terms that

were specifically enriched in *hnRNPK* KD. (B-G) qRT-PCR to detect the expression of

Aars (B), *Gars* (C), *Iars* (D), *Nars* (E), *Sars* (F), *Atf4* (G) after either *Myoparr* KD or

hnRNPK KD. n = 3, mean ± SD. **$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$, n.s., not significant. (H) Western

blot showing the increased expression of Atf4 by *hnRNPK* KD. The tubulin expression

served as an internal control. Blots are representative of three experiments.

Figure 6. hnRNPK regulates the expression of genes coding for aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases via the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway. (A) Western blot showing the increased Atf4

expression after *hnRNPK* KD, and ISRIB treatment suppressed the increase. DMSO is

used as a control. The blots shown are representative of three experiments. (B-F) qRT-

PCR to detect the expression of *Aars* (B), *Gars* (C), *Iars* (D), *Nars* (E), *Sars* (F) after

hnRNPK KD and ISRIB treatment. n = 3, mean ± SD. **$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$, n.s., not

significant.

Figure 7. Multiple inhibitory roles of hnRNPK during myogenic differentiation.

During myogenic differentiation, hnRNPK has two different downstream targets. One is

myogenin, which codes for a regulator of myogenic differentiation. hnRNPK represses

the *myogenin* expression by binding to the ccawmcc motifs on *Myoparr*. The other target

is aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases. hnRNPK regulates the expression of aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetases via the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway. In hnRNPK-depleted cells, the hyperactivated

expression of these genes may lead to the locally spherical formation of myotubes.

Supplementary Table 1. Primer and siRNA sequences.

Supplementary Table 2. The value (log2 fold change) of the genes for which expression

levels were increased or decreased by *Myoparr* KD and *hnRNPK* KD.

Supplementary Figure 1. Detection of the interaction between *Myoparr* and TIAR

in differentiating C2C12 cells. (A) The interaction between synthesized *Myoparr* and

TIAR was detected by immunoblotting using a TIAR antibody. (B) qRT-PCR for the

detection of *Myoparr* and *Xist* following RNA-immunoprecipitation using a TIAR

antibody. The presence or absence of reverse transcription reaction is shown by (RT+) or

(RT-), respectively. Bars indicate the average of two independent experiments, and circles

and triangles represent the values of each experiment. (C) Purified TIAR protein from

C2C12 cells by immunoprecipitation using a TIAR antibody was confirmed by Western

blotting.

Supplementary Figure 2. RNA-Seq revealed the altered expression of a group of

genes coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases by *hnRNPK* KD. (A) The

KEGG pathway diagram of cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis. The gene names

surrounded by the red frame indicate genes that are significantly upregulated by *hnRNPK*

KD. (B-C) The results of the RNA-Seq analysis showing the altered expression of genes

coding for cytosolic aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases (B) and mitochondrial aminoacyl-

tRNA synthetases (C) by *hnRNPK* KD. Red and blue indicate genes that are significantly

upregulated or downregulated, respectively, by *hnRNPK* KD.

Supplementary Figure 3. The expression changes of ATF4 target genes by *hnRNPK*

KD in differentiating myoblasts. (A-F) The results of qRT-PCR for detecting the

expression of *Asns* (A), *Psat1* (B), *Gadd34* (C), *Chop* (D), *Chac1* (E), and *Trb3* (F)

following *hnRNPK* KD. n = 3, mean ± SD. ***$p < 0.001$, *$p < 0.05$.

Supplementary Figure 4. hnRNPK regulates the expression of a group of genes

coding for aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases via the eIF2α/Atf4 pathway. (A-E) The

results of qRT-PCR for detecting the altered expression of *Aars* (A), *Gars* (B), *Iars* (C),

Nars (D), and *Sars* (E) following *hnRNPK* KD (using a different siRNA from Figure 6)

and ISRIB treatment. n = 3, mean ± SD. *$p < 0.05$.

References

Afroze, D. and Kumar, A. (2017). ER stress in skeletal muscle remodeling and
myopathies. *Febs J* **286**, 379‑398.

Alter, J. and Bengal, E. (2011). Stress-induced C/EBP Homology Protein (CHOP)
represses MyoD transcription to delay myoblast differentiation. *Plos One* **6**, e29498.

Angelis, M. G. C.-D., Lyons, G., Sonnino, C., Angelis, L. D., Vivarelli, E., Farmer, K.,
Wright, W. E., Molinaro, M., Bouchè, M. and Buckingham, M. (1992). MyoD,
myogenin independent differentiation of primordial myoblasts in mouse somites. *J*
Cell Biology **116**, 1243‑1255.

Benarroch, L., Bonne, G., Rivier, F. and Hamroun, D. (2020). The 2021 version of the
gene table of neuromuscular disorders (nuclear genome). *Neuromuscular Disord* **30**,
1008‑1048.

Bomsztyk, K., Denisenko, O. and Ostrowski, J. (2004). hnRNP K: One protein multiple
processes. *Bioessays* **26**, 629‑638.

Briata, P. and Gherzi, R. (2020). Long non-coding RNA-ribonucleoprotein networks in
the post-transcriptional control of gene expression. *Non-coding Rna* **6**, 40.

Bröhl, D., Vasyutina, E., Czajkowski, M. T., Griger, J., Rassek, C., Rahn, H.-P.,
Purfürst, B., Wende, H. and Birchmeier, C. (2012). Colonization of the satellite
cell niche by skeletal muscle progenitor cells depends on Notch signals. *Dev Cell* **23**,
469‑481.

Caretti, G., Schiltz, R. L., Dilworth, F. J., Padova, M. D., Zhao, P., Ogryzko, V.,
Fuller-Pace, F. V., Hoffman, E. P., Tapscott, S. J. and Sartorelli, V. (2006). The
RNA helicases p68/p72 and the noncoding RNA SRA are coregulators of MyoD and
skeletal muscle differentiation. *Dev Cell* **11**, 547‑560.

Chakraborty, S., Deb, A., Maji, R. K., Saha, S. and Ghosh, Z. (2014). LncRBase: An
enriched resource for lncRNA information. *Plos One* **9**, e108010.

Chu, C., Zhang, Q. C., da Rocha, S. T., Flynn, R. A., Bharadwaj, M., Calabrese, J.
M., Magnuson, T., Heard, E. and Chang, H. Y. (2015). Systematic discovery of
Xist RNA binding proteins. *Cell* **161**, 404‑416.

Dimitrova, N., Zamudio, J. R., Jong, R. M., Soukup, D., Resnick, R., Sarma, K.,
Ward, A. J., Raj, A., Lee, J. T., Sharp, P. A., et al. (2014). LincRNA-p21 activates
p21 in cis to promote polycomb target gene expression and to enforce the G1/S
checkpoint. *Mol Cell* **54**, 777‑790.

Figueroa, A., Cuadrado, A., Fan, J., Atasoy, U., Muscat, G. E., Muñoz-Canoves, P.,

Gorospe, M. and Muñoz, A. (2003). Role of HuR in skeletal myogenesis through
coordinate regulation of muscle differentiation genes. *Mol Cell Biol* **23**, 4991‑5004.

Gebauer, F., Schwarzl, T., Valcárcel, J. and Hentze, M. W. (2021). RNA-binding
proteins in human genetic disease. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**, 185‑198.

Gerstberger, S., Hafner, M. and Tuschl, T. (2014). A census of human RNA-binding
proteins. *Nat Rev Genet* **15**, 829‑845.

Harding, H. P., Zhang, Y., Zeng, H., Novoa, I., Lu, P. D., Calfon, M., Sadri, N., Yun,
C., Popko, B., Paules, R., et al. (2003). An integrated stress response regulates amino
acid metabolism and resistance to oxidative stress. *Mol Cell* **11**, 619‑633.

Hetz, C., Chevet, E. and Oakes, S. A. (2015). Proteostasis control by the unfolded
protein response. *Nat Cell Biol* **17**, 829‑838.

Hirose, T., Yamazaki, T. and Nakagawa, S. (2019). Molecular anatomy of the
architectural NEAT1 noncoding RNA: The domains, interactors, and biogenesis
pathway required to build phase‑separated nuclear paraspeckles. *Wiley Interdiscip*
Rev Rna **10**, e1545.

Hitachi, K. and Tsuchida, K. (2019). Data describing the effects of depletion of Myoparr,
myogenin, Ddx17, and hnRNPK in differentiating C2C12 cells. *Data Brief* **25**,
104172.

Hitachi, K. and Tsuchida, K. (2020). The Chemical Biology of Long Noncoding RNAs.
Rna Technologies 431‑463.

Hitachi, K., Nakatani, M., Takasaki, A., Ouchi, Y., Uezumi, A., Ageta, H., Inagaki,
H., Kurahashi, H. and Tsuchida, K. (2019). Myogenin promoter-associated
lncRNA Myoparr is essential for myogenic differentiation. *Embo Rep* **20**, e47468.

Hon, C.-C., Ramilowski, J. A., Harshbarger, J., Bertin, N., Rackham, O. J. L., Gough,
J., Denisenko, E., Schmeier, S., Poulsen, T. M., Severin, J., et al. (2017). An atlas
of human long non-coding RNAs with accurate 5′ ends. *Nature* **543**, 199‑204.

Howarth, M. M., Simpson, D., Ngok, S. P., Nieves, B., Chen, R., Siprashvili, Z., Vaka,
D., Breese, M. R., Crompton, B. D., Alexe, G., et al. (2014). Long noncoding RNA
EWSAT1-mediated gene repression facilitates Ewing sarcoma oncogenesis. *J Clin*
Invest **124**, 5275‑5290.

Hulmi, J. J., Hentilä, J., DeRuisseau, K. C., Oliveira, B. M., Papaioannou, K. G.,
Autio, R., Kujala, U. M., Ritvos, O., Kainulainen, H., Korkmaz, A., et al. (2016).
Effects of muscular dystrophy, exercise and blocking activin receptor IIB ligands on

the unfolded protein response and oxidative stress. *Free Radical Bio Med* **99**, 308‑
322.

Ikezoe, K., Nakamori, M., Furuya, H., Arahata, H., Kanemoto, S., Kimura, T.,
Imaizumi, K., Takahashi, M. P., Sakoda, S., Fujii, N., et al. (2007). Endoplasmic
reticulum stress in myotonic dystrophy type 1 muscle. *Acta Neuropathol* **114**, 527‑
535.

Jonas, K., Calin, G. A. and Pichler, M. (2020). RNA-binding proteins as important
regulators of long non-coding RNAs in cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**, 2969.

Kanehisa, M., Furumichi, M., Sato, Y., Ishiguro-Watanabe, M. and Tanabe, M.
(2020). KEGG: integrating viruses and cellular organisms. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**,
D545-D551.

Kataruka, S., Akhade, V. S., Kayyar, B. and Rao, M. R. S. (2017). Mrhl long
noncoding RNA mediates meiotic commitment of mouse spermatogonial cells by
regulating Sox8 expression. *Mol Cell Biol* **37**, e00632-16.

Kawaguchi, T., Tanigawa, A., Naganuma, T., Ohkawa, Y., Souquere, S., Pierron, G.
and Hirose, T. (2015). SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complexes function in
noncoding RNA-dependent assembly of nuclear bodies. *Proc National Acad Sci* **112**,
4304‑4309.

Kelaini, S., Chan, C., Cornelius, V. A. and Margariti, A. (2021). RNA-binding proteins
hold key roles in function, dysfunction, and disease. *Biology* **10**, 366.

Legnini, I., Morlando, M., Mangiavacchi, A., Fatica, A. and Bozzoni, I. (2014). A
feedforward regulatory loop between HuR and the long noncoding RNA linc-MD1
controls early phases of myogenesis. *Mol Cell* **53**, 506‑514.

Liu, R., Liu, H., Chen, X., Kirby, M., Brown, P. O. and Zhao, K. (2001). Regulation
of CSF1 promoter by the SWI/SNF-like BAF complex. *Cell* **106**, 309‑318.

Love, M. I., Huber, W. and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550.

Lynch, M., Chen, L., Ravitz, M. J., Mehtani, S., Korenblat, K., Pazin, M. J. and
Schmidt, E. V. (2005). hnRNP K binds a core polypyrimidine element in the
eukaryotic translation initiation Factor 4E (eIF4E) promoter, and its regulation of
eIF4E contributes to neoplastic transformation. *Mol Cell Biol* **25**, 6436‑6453.

Ma, L., Cao, J., Liu, L., Du, Q., Li, Z., Zou, D., Bajic, V. B. and Zhang, Z. (2019).
LncBook: a curated knowledgebase of human long non-coding RNAs. *Nucleic Acids*

Res **47**, D128-D134.

Makeyev, A. V. and Liebhaber, S. A. (2002). The poly(C)-binding proteins: A

multiplicity of functions and a search for mechanisms. *Rna* **8**, 265‑278.

Nakanishi, K., Sudo, T. and Morishima, N. (2005). Endoplasmic reticulum stress

signaling transmitted by ATF6 mediates apoptosis during muscle development. *J Cell*

Biology **169**, 555‑560.

Nakanishi, K., Dohmae, N. and Morishima, N. (2007). Endoplasmic reticulum stress

increases myofiber formation in vitro. *Faseb J* **21**, 2994‑3003.

Nostrand, E. L. V., Freese, P., Pratt, G. A., Wang, X., Wei, X., Xiao, R., Blue, S. M.,

Chen, J.-Y., Cody, N. A. L., Dominguez, D., et al. (2020). A large-scale binding and

functional map of human RNA-binding proteins. *Nature* **583**, 711‑719.

Paz, I., Kosti, I., Ares, M., Cline, M. and Mandel-Gutfreund, Y. (2014). RBPmap: a

web server for mapping binding sites of RNA-binding proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**,

W361‑W367.

Sallam, T., Jones, M., Thomas, B. J., Wu, X., Gilliland, T., Qian, K., Eskin, A., Casero,

D., Zhang, Z., Sandhu, J., et al. (2018). Transcriptional regulation of macrophage

cholesterol efflux and atherogenesis by a long noncoding RNA. *Nat Med* **24**, 304‑

312.

Schuster-Gossler, K., Cordes, R. and Gossler, A. (2007). Premature myogenic

differentiation and depletion of progenitor cells cause severe muscle hypotrophy in

Delta1 mutants. *Proc National Acad Sci* **104**, 537‑542.

Shan, J., Zhang, F., Sharkey, J., Tang, T. A., Örd, T. and Kilberg, M. S. (2016). The

C/ebp-Atf response element (CARE) location reveals two distinct Atf4-dependent,

elongation-mediated mechanisms for transcriptional induction of aminoacyl-tRNA

synthetase genes in response to amino acid limitation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, 9719‑

9732.

Statello, L., Guo, C.-J., Chen, L.-L. and Huarte, M. (2021). Gene regulation by long

non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* **22**, 96‑118.

Tang, S., Xie, Z., Wang, P., Li, J., Wang, S., Liu, W., Li, M., Wu, X., Su, H., Cen, S.,

et al. (2018). LncRNA-OG promotes the osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow-

derived mesenchymal stem cells under the regulation of hnRNPK. *Stem Cells* **37**,

270‑283.

Targoff, I. N., Trieu, E. P. and Miller, F. W. (1993). Reaction of anti-OJ autoantibodies

with components of the multi-enzyme complex of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in

addition to isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase. *J Clin Invest* **91**, 2556‑2564.

Wang, Z., Cui, J., Wong, W. M., Li, X., Xue, W., Lin, R., Wang, J., Wang, P., Tanner,

J. A., Cheah, K. S. E., et al. (2013). Kif5b controls the localization of myofibril

components for their assembly and linkage to the myotendinous junctions.

Development **140**, 617‑626.

Wang, Z., Qiu, H., He, J., Liu, L., Xue, W., Fox, A., Tickner, J. and Xu, J. (2020). The

emerging roles of hnRNPK. *J Cell Physiol* **235**, 1995‑2008.

Xiong, G., Hindi, S. M., Mann, A. K., Gallot, Y. S., Bohnert, K. R., Cavener, D. R.,

Whittemore, S. R. and Kumar, A. (2017). The PERK arm of the unfolded protein

response regulates satellite cell-mediated skeletal muscle regeneration. *Elife* **6**,

e22871.

Xu, Y., Li, R., Zhang, K., Wu, W., Wang, S., Zhang, P. and Xu, H. (2018). The

multifunctional RNA-binding protein hnRNPK is critical for the proliferation and

differentiation of myoblasts. *BMB Rep* **51**, 350‑355.

Yano, M., Okano, H. J. and Okano, H. (2005). Involvement of Hu and heterogeneous

nuclear ribonucleoprotein K in neuronal differentiation through p21 mRNA post-

transcriptional regulation. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 12690‑12699.

Yoon, J.-H., Abdelmohsen, K., Srikantan, S., Yang, X., Martindale, J. L., De, S.,

Huarte, M., Zhan, M., Becker, K. G. and Gorospe, M. (2012). LincRNA-p21

suppresses target mRNA translation. *Mol Cell* **47**, 648‑655.

Zhou, Y., Zhou, B., Pache, L., Chang, M., Khodabakhshi, A. H., Tanaseichuk, O.,

Benner, C. and Chanda, S. K. (2019). Metascape provides a biologist-oriented

resource for the analysis of systems-level datasets. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1523.

Figure 1

A

kDa
69 —   hnRNPK

B

Percent of input

□ IgG
■ hnRNPK

***

4.5
4.0
3.5
3.0
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0

Myoparr   *Xist*   *Neat1*   *Myoparr*   *Xist*   *Neat1*

RT+   RT-

C

IP

kDa   Input   IgG   hnRNPK
69 —   hnRNPK

Figure 2

Figure 3

A

1		1-612
2		1-952
3		1-1167 (sense)
4		1-1167 (antisense)
5		361-1167
6		596-1167
7		361-952
8		Δccawmcc (Δ660-729)

B

C

Protein: HNRNPK(Hs/Mm)

Position	Motif	Occurrence	Z-score	P-value
274	ccawmcc	gucagucccaugagacccuaaaga**ccuacca**cuaccacaucaggaccacuccagau	2.420	7.76e-03
295	ccawmcc	aagaccuaccacuaccacaucagga**ccacucc**agauuugggcgugugugugugugu	2.435	7.45e-03
674	ccawmcc	auuuucuucauuuacauuucaaaug**cuauccc**aaaaguucccauaccccccuccc	2.884	1.96e-03
690	ccawmcc	uuucaaaugcuaucccaaaaguucc**cuauacc**ccccuccccccgcccugcuccccu	2.986	1.41e-03
695	ccawmcc	aaugcuaucccaaaaguucccuaua**cccccc**uccccccgccccugcuccccuaccca	2.957	1.55e-03
699	ccawmcc	cuaucccaaaaguucccuauacccc**cccuccc**ccgcccugcuccccuacccacuca	3.246	5.85e-04
700	ccawmcc	uauccaaaaguucccuauaccccc**ccuuccc**ccgcccugcuccccuacccacucau	3.000	1.35e-03
706	ccawmcc	aaaaguucccuauacccccccucc**cccgccc**ugcuccccuacccacucauuccac	3.000	1.35e-03
718	ccawmcc	uacccccccuccccccgccugcucc**cccuacc**cacucauucccacuucuuggcccug	2.957	1.55e-03
719	ccawmcc	accccccucccccccgcccugcucc**cccuaccc**acucauucccacuucuuggcccugg	3.319	4.52e-04

D

Relative luciferase activity

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Normal myotubes

Abnormal myotubes