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Abstract

Intramembrane proteases of diverse signaling pathways use membrane-embedded active sites to cleave membrane-associated substrates. Interactions of intramembrane metalloproteases with modulators are poorly understood. Inhibition of Bacillus subtilis intramembrane metalloprotease SpoIVFB requires BofA and SpoIVFA, which transiently prevent cleavage of Pro-σ^K during endosporulation. Three conserved BofA residues (N48, N61, T64) in or near predicted transmembrane segment (TMS) 2 were found to be required for SpoIVFB inhibition. Disulfide cross-linking indicated that BofA TMS2 occupies the SpoIVFB active site region. BofA and SpoIVFA neither prevented SpoIVFB from interacting with Pro-σ^K in co-purification assays nor interfered with cross-linking between the C-terminal regions of Pro-σ^K and SpoIVFB. However, BofA and SpoIVFA did interfere with cross-linking between the N-terminal Proregion of Pro-σ^K and the SpoIVFB active site region and interdomain linker. A BofA variant lacking predicted TMS1, in combination with SpoIVFA, was less effective at interfering with some of the cross-links and slightly less effective at inhibiting cleavage of Pro-σ^K by SpoIVFB. A structural model was built of SpoIVFB in complex with BofA and parts of SpoIVFA and Pro-σ^K, using partial homology and constraints from cross-linking and co-evolutionary analyses. The model predicts that N48 in BofA TMS2 interacts with T64 (and possibly N61) of BofA to stabilize a membrane-embedded C-terminal region. SpoIVFA is predicted to bridge the BofA C-terminal region and SpoIVFB. Thus, the two inhibitory proteins block access of the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region to the SpoIVFB active site region. Our findings may inform efforts to develop selective inhibitors of intramembrane metalloproteases.
33 **Significance**
34 Intramembrane metalloproteases (IMMPs) function in numerous signaling processes that impact
35 health. For example, IMMPs function in pathways regulating human cholesterol levels and
36 bacterial pathogenesis. Knowledge of IMMP interactions with modulators could inform design
37 of therapeutics, but structures of complexes have not been reported. We found that a
38 transmembrane segment of BofA occupies the active site region to inhibit SpoIVFB, an IMMP
39 crucial for endosporulation of *Bacillus subtilis*. Because endosporulation enhances persistence
40 of related pathogenic bacteria, our structural model of SpoIVFB in complex with BofA and parts
41 of its other inhibitory protein SpoIVFA and its substrate Pro-σ^K, may lead to strategies to control
42 endosporulation. More broadly, insights from the SpoIVFB inhibition mechanism could guide
43 efforts to develop inhibitors of other IMMPs.
Introduction

Intramembrane proteases (IPs) are membrane proteins containing a membrane-embedded active site. IPs cleave membrane-associated substrates within a transmembrane segment (TMS) or near the membrane surface in a process referred to as regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP) (1). Released substrate fragments impact diverse signaling pathways in a wide variety of organisms (2). There are four known IP families: intramembrane metalloproteases (IMMPs) like SpoIVFB, aspartyl proteases like presenilins, serine proteases (rhomboids), and the glutamyl protease Rce1 (2, 3). Crystal structures have been solved for one or more IP in each family (3-8), revealing that TMSs arrange to form a channel that delivers water to the active site for hydrolysis of a substrate peptide bond. Structures have also been solved for rhomboid-peptide inhibitor complexes (9, 10) and for γ-secretase-substrate complexes (11, 12), which may guide the design of IP modulators as therapeutics or for other purposes.

IMMPs activate transcription factors via RIP in all three domains of life (1, 2). In humans, S2P is involved in regulation of cholesterol homeostasis and responses to endoplasmic reticulum stress and viral infection (13, 14). S2P homologs in plants and in the pathogenic fungus Cryptococcus neoformans play important roles in chloroplast development and virulence, respectively (15, 16). In bacteria, IMMPs enhance pathogenicity, control stress responses and polar morphogenesis, produce mating signals, and clear signal peptides from the membrane (17-19). In Bacillus and Clostridium species, SpoIVFB cleaves inactive Pro-σK to σK, which directs RNA polymerase to transcribe genes necessary for endospore formation (18, 20). Endospores are dormant and are able to survive harsh environmental conditions (21), enhancing the persistence of pathogenic species (22, 23). Knowledge of SpoIVFB interactions with its
inhibitory proteins, BofA and SpoIVFA (24-26), could lead to new strategies to manipulate endosporulation and other processes involving IMMPs in bacteria and eukaryotes.

During endospore formation, *B. subtilis* forms a polar septum that divides the mother cell (MC) and forespore (FS) compartments (27) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The MC engulfs the FS, surrounding it with a second membrane and pinching it off within the MC. SpoIVFB, BofA, and SpoIVFA form a complex in the outer FS membrane during engulfment (28-30). BofA was implicated as the direct inhibitor of SpoIVFB (31), while SpoIVFA appeared to localize and stabilize the heterotrimer (30, 32). Signaling from the FS relieves inhibition of SpoIVFB (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). Two proteases, SpoIVB and CtpB, are exported into the space between the two membranes surrounding the FS (33, 34). SpoIVB cleaves the C-terminal end of SpoIVFA (35-38) and CtpB can cleave the C-terminal ends of both SpoIVFA and BofA (36, 37, 39). Once inhibition is removed, SpoIVFB cleaves the N-terminal 21-residue Proregion from Pro-σ^K, releasing σ^K into the MC (40-42). σ^K directs RNA polymerase to transcribe genes whose products form the spore coat and lyse the MC, releasing a mature spore (43, 44).

Cleavage of Pro-σ^K by SpoIVFB can be reproduced by expressing these proteins in *Escherichia coli* (31) (Fig. 1A). Cleavage could be inhibited by additionally producing GFPΔ27BofA (a functional fusion protein lacking predicted TMS1 of BofA) and SpoIVFA (31, 39). However, we found that the extent of cleavage inhibition was incomplete and variable. Here, we describe an improved cleavage inhibition assay. Using the assay and bioinformatics, we identified three conserved residues of BofA important for inhibition of SpoIVFB in *E. coli* and in sporulating *B. subtilis*. One of the residues, N48, is near the middle of BofA TMS2, close to the sole Cys residue of BofA, C46. We exploited C46 for disulfide cross-linking experiments that showed TMS2 of BofA can occupy the SpoIVFB active site region. These experiments relied on single-
Cys variants of SpoIVFB created previously and used to cross-link residues predicted to be near the active site with residues near the cleavage site in single-Cys variants of Pro-\(\sigma^K\) (45). We created additional single-Cys variants of SpoIVFB, Pro-\(\sigma^K\), and BofA, as well as functional Cys-less SpoIVFA, and performed disulfide cross-linking experiments. We found that BofA and SpoIVFA interfere with cross-linking between the N-terminal Proregion of Pro-\(\sigma^K\) and the SpoIVFB active site region, indicating that the inhibitory proteins block substrate access to the IMMP active site. The results were used in combination with prior cross-linking studies (45, 46), partial homology, and evolutionary co-variation of amino acid residues, to constrain a structural model of SpoIVFB in complex with BofA and parts of SpoIVFA and Pro-\(\sigma^K\). The model predicts that conserved residues in TMS2 and the C-terminal region of BofA interact, and that SpoIVFA bridges the BofA C-terminal region and SpoIVFB to form a membrane-embedded inhibitory complex.

**Results**

**BofA and SpoIVFA Can Prevent Cleavage of Pro-\(\sigma^K\) by SpoIVFB in *E. coli.*** To study RIP of Pro-\(\sigma^K\), *E. coli* was engineered to synthesize variants of SpoIVFB and Pro-\(\sigma^K\), and the two inhibitory proteins, BofA and SpoIVFA, in various combinations. The SpoIVFB variant cytTM-SpoIVFB-FLAG\(_2\)-His\(_6\) (cytTM-SpoIVFB) (Fig. 1A) contains cytTM (47), which improves accumulation (42). The substrate variant Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-127)-His\(_6\) [Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-127)] lacks the C-terminal half of Pro-\(\sigma^K\), but can be cleaved by SpoIVFB and the cleavage product can easily be separated from Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-127) by SDS-PAGE (48) [Note: Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-126) was renamed Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-127) as explained in (46)]. When Pro-\(\sigma^K\)(1-127) was produced with cytTM-SpoIVFB, cleavage was abundant (Fig. 1B, lane 1), as shown previously (42). To quantify cleavage, we measured...
the abundance of cleavage product and divided that by the amount of Pro-σ^K(1-127) plus cleavage product, which resulted in a cleavage ratio of 0.78 ± 0.02. The additional production of GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA from a second plasmid reduced the cleavage ratio to 0.3 ± 0.08, but cleavage product was visible (lane 2). A similar result was seen when full-length BofA (for which no antibody has been described) and SpoIVFA were produced from a second plasmid (lane 3). Since the second plasmid had the same replication origin as the plasmid used to produce Pro-σ^K(1-127) and cytTM-SpoIVFB (i.e., the two plasmids were “incompatible”), the copy number of the two plasmids may be unequal in some cells, despite using different selection markers to try to maintain both plasmids. Unequal copy numbers could result in Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage in cells producing too little of the inhibitory proteins. Therefore, we designed single plasmids to synthesize all four proteins, which we called “pET Quartet plasmids.” When pET Quartets produced either GFPΔ27BofA (lane 4) or BofA (lane 5), inhibition improved, resulting in very little Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage. A longer exposure of the immunoblot revealed a faint cleavage product with pET Quartet GFPΔ27BofA, but not with pET Quartet BofA, indicating that full-length BofA inhibits cleavage slightly better than GFPΔ27BofA.

We tested whether both GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA are required for cleavage inhibition by engineering “pET Triplet plasmids” to synthesize Pro-σ^K(1-127), cytTM-SpoIVFB, and either GFPΔ27BofA or SpoIVFA. With pET Triplet GFPΔ27BofA, cleavage of Pro-σ^K(1-127) was observed (ratio = 0.7 ± 0.1), but accumulation of cytTM-SpoIVFB, Pro-σ^K(1-127), and cleavage product was greatly diminished (Fig. 1C, lane 2), suggesting that GFPΔ27BofA inhibits synthesis and/or enhances degradation of the other proteins when SpoIVFA is absent. With pET Triplet SpoIVFA (lane 3), the cleavage ratio (0.6 ± 0.05) and accumulation of cytTM-SpoIVB, Pro-σ^K(1-127), and cleavage product were similar to the control plasmid that synthesized only...
cytTM-SpoIVFB and Pro-σ^K(1-127) (lane 1). We conclude that both GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA are necessary to strongly inhibit Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage by cytTM-SpoIVB in *E. coli* (lane 4). Both inhibitory proteins are required to prevent cleavage of Pro-σ^K by SpoIVFB during *B. subtilis* sporulation (24, 30, 49).

Efficient relief of SpoIVFB inhibition by BofA and SpoIVFA during *B. subtilis* sporulation requires SpoIVB and CtpB (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1), but SpoIVB alone can partially relieve inhibition (34, 36, 37, 39). Likewise, SpoIVB partially relieved inhibition when produced in *E. coli* from “pET Quintet plasmids” (i.e., pET Quartet plus SpoIVB) (*SI Appendix*, Results and Fig. S2). In the absence of SpoIVB, an F66A substitution in SpoIVFB-YFP can partially overcome inhibition by BofA and SpoIVFA during *B. subtilis* sporulation (49). The F66A substitution in cytTM-SpoIVFB likewise partially overcame inhibition by GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA when produced from pET Quartet in *E. coli* (*SI Appendix*, Results and Fig. S3). Hence, the heterologous system mimics the endogenous pathway of *B. subtilis* in these respects.

We next exploited the improved cleavage inhibition assay based on pET Quartet plasmids in *E. coli* to identify residues of BofA important for SpoIVFB inhibition.

**Three Conserved Residues of BofA Are Important for Inhibition of SpoIVFB in *E. coli***. To identify residues of BofA that may play a role in SpoIVFB inhibition, an alignment of 70 BofA orthologs was made (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4A). The majority of conserved residues reside in predicted TMS2 and the C-terminal region, which could explain why GFPΔ27BofA (lacking predicted TMS1) is a functional inhibitor (30, 31) (Fig. 1B). Most endospore formers encode BofA, but about half lack a recognizable gene for SpoIVFA (20, 50). A smaller alignment (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4B) was made to see if additional residues are conserved in BofA orthologs of
species encoding SpoIVFA. In total, 17 residues of interest were conserved in BofA: 13 within TMS2 and the C-terminal region of the larger alignment, and 4 from the C-terminal region of the smaller alignment.

We substituted conserved residues in GFPΔ27BofA with alanine to investigate their roles. Since deletion of three residues from the C-terminal end of BofA caused a loss of function or stability in B. subtilis (26, 51), we also made Ala substitutions for F85 and I87 (as well as I86, which is conserved) in GFPΔ27BofA. Each GFPΔ27BofA variant was co-produced with Pro-σ^K(1-127), cytTM-SpoIVFB, and SpoIVFA from pET Quartet plasmids in E. coli, and the Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage ratio was quantified (Fig. 2). Three GFPΔ27BofA variants, N48A, N61A, and T64A (lanes 4, 7 & 8), led to the greatest cleavage ratios, indicating that these substitutions strongly impaired inhibition of cytTM-SpoIVFB. However, less of the N61A and T64A variants accumulated than most of the other GFPΔ27BofA variants, and for all three variants, less SpoIVFA and cytTM-SpoIVFB accumulated, indicating that the variants reduce the synthesis and/or stability of proteins that normally form the SpoIVFB inhibition complex (24, 30, 31, 39, 49). We reasoned that accumulation of the proteins may differ in E. coli and sporulating B. subtilis, so we examined the effects of GFPΔ27BofA N48A, N61A, and T64A variants during sporulation (see below).

Importantly, deletion of three residues from the C-terminal end of GFPΔ27BofA strongly impaired inhibition of cytTM-SpoIVFB in E. coli (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S5A), mimicking results obtained for the endogenous pathway of B. subtilis (26, 51). We also used pET Quartet plasmids in E. coli to show that nine residues preceding predicted TMS2 of GFPΔ27BofA contribute to its inhibitory function (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S5B).
The Conserved Residues of BofA Are Important for SpoIVFB Inhibition during *B. subtilis*

**Sporulation.** A *B. subtilis spoIVB165 bofA::erm* double mutant provides a genetic background to test the effects of Ala substitutions on BofA function during sporulation. The *spoIVB165* null mutation alone would block Pro-σ^K cleavage, but cleavage is restored in the *spoIVB165 bofA::erm* double mutant, and ectopic production of GFPΔ27BofA under control of an MC-specific promoter in the double mutant leads to inhibition of SpoIVFB (30, 31).

To test the effects of the three GFPΔ27BofA variants (N48A, N61A, and T64A) during sporulation, each was produced ectopically under control of the *bofA* promoter in the *spoIVB165 bofA::erm* double mutant background (Fig. 3A). As a control, in wild-type *B. subtilis* strain PY79, BofA and SpoIVFA inhibited Pro-σ^K cleavage until between 4 and 5 h poststarvation (PS), and the levels of SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB decreased between 4 and 5 h PS (lanes 1 & 2).

For the *spoIVB165* single mutant, very little Pro-σ^K cleavage was observed, as expected, and the SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB levels did not decrease at 5 h (lanes 3 & 4). For the *spoIVB165 bofA::erm* double mutant, inhibition of SpoIVFB was expected to be lost, and in agreement the Pro-σ^K cleavage ratio at 4 h (lane 5) was greater than for the wild-type strain at 4 h (lane 1) and similar to the ratios at 5 h (lanes 2 and 6). Very little SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB was detected (lanes 5 & 6), consistent with the need for BofA to stabilize these proteins (30). As expected, ectopic production of GFPΔ27BofA in the double mutant inhibited SpoIVFB so that little Pro-σ^K cleavage was observed, and the SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB levels did not decrease at 5 h (lanes 7 & 8), similar to the *spoIVB165* single mutant (lanes 3 & 4). GFPΔ27BofA allowed a greater Pro-σ^K cleavage ratio at 5 h (lane 8) than BofA (lane 4), suggesting that full-length BofA is a slightly better inhibitor of SpoIVFB during *B. subtilis* sporulation, as observed in *E. coli* (Fig. 1B, longer exposure).
Strikingly, ectopic production of the GFPΔ27BofA N48A or N61A variant in the double mutant did not inhibit SpoIVFB, as Pro-σK was cleaved at 4 h (Fig. 3A, lanes 9 & 11). The level of the N48A variant (lanes 9 & 10) was comparable with GFPΔ27BofA (lanes 7 & 8), but less of the N61A variant was observed, especially at 5 h (lanes 11 & 12). In comparison with the strain that produced GFPΔ27BofA, the SpoIVFA level was normal in the strain that produced the N48A variant, but less SpoIVFB was observed, and less of both SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB was observed in the strain producing the N61A variant. Production of the T64A variant in the double mutant (lanes 13 & 14) showed a pattern similar to the wild-type strain (lanes 1 & 2); Pro-σK cleavage increased between 4 and 5 h, and the levels of SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB decreased. The levels of all three proteins were slightly lower in the strain producing the T64A variant than in the wild-type strain. The level of the T64A variant was similar to the N48A and N61A variants at 4 h, yet the Pro-σK cleavage ratio was lower (lanes 9, 11 & 13), suggesting that the T64A variant inhibits SpoIVFB, although not as well as GFPΔ27BofA (lane 7). We conclude that the three conserved residues of BofA are important for SpoIVFB inhibition during sporulation.

Since GFPΔ27BofA co-localizes with SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB to the outer FS membrane during sporulation (28-30), we examined the ability of the GFPΔ27BofA variants to localize to the FS (Fig. 3B). As a control, GFPΔ27BofA produced in the spoIVB165 bofA::erm double mutant localized discretely to the FS at 3 h PS. Strains producing the N48A and T64A variants showed GFP signal localized to the FS, but signal was also observed in the cytoplasm and possibly at the membrane of the MC, suggesting partial mislocalization. The strain producing the N61A variant appeared to have GFP signal dispersed evenly throughout the MC cytoplasm, suggesting a loss of FS localization. The fusion proteins were intact, suggesting the cytoplasmic signal was not attributable to breakdown (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Inability of the N61A variant to
localize to the FS may explain the loss of SpoIVFB inhibition and the abundant cleavage of Pro-σ^K at 4 h (Fig. 3A, lane 11). Importantly, the similar ability of the N48A and T64A variants to localize to the FS (Fig. 3B) does not account for their differential effects on the level of SpoIVFB and its ability to cleave Pro-σ^K (Fig. 3A). The strain producing GFPΔ27BofA N48A exhibited less SpoIVFB yet more Pro-σ^K cleavage at 4 h (lane 9) than the strain producing GFPΔ27BofA T64A (lane 13), so the N48A substitution more severely impairs the ability of GFPΔ27BofA to inhibit SpoIVFB.

**BofA TMS2 Can Occupy the Active Site Region of SpoIVFB.** N48 within TMS2 is conserved among BofA orthologs (SI Appendix, Fig. S4) and potentially in proximity to the active site of SpoIVFB. The SpoIVFB catalytic core formed by a zinc ion near E44 of the HELGH metalloprotease motif within TMS2 is predicted to be surrounded by five other TMSs in the membrane (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). The model of the SpoIVFB membrane domain is based on the crystal structure of an archaeal homolog (6). The model is supported by cross-linking studies of catalytically-inactive SpoIVFB E44Q in complex with Pro-σ^K(1-127), whose Proregion is predicted to occupy the SpoIVFB active site region (45, 46, 52). If BofA TMS2 instead occupied the SpoIVFB active site region, it would likely inhibit Pro-σ^K cleavage.

To determine whether BofA TMS2 can occupy the SpoIVFB active site region, we performed disulfide cross-linking experiments that took advantage of the sole Cys residue of BofA, C46, located near N48 in TMS2. We created MBPΔ27BofA because MBP (unlike GFP) contains no Cys residues. As a negative control, we replaced C46 with Ser to obtain Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA C46S. We also created Cys-less SpoIVFA C77L C82L, which cannot form disulfide cross-links. Cys-less SpoIVFA and either MBPΔ27BofA or Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA
were functional when produced from a pET Quartet plasmid, since Pro-σK(1-127) cleavage by cytTM-SpoIVFB was inhibited in *E. coli* (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S8). For the disulfide cross-linking experiments, we used Cys-less Pro-σK(1-127) C110S and single-Cys variants of cytTM-SpoIVFB created previously (45).

To test whether BofA TMS2 C46 could be cross-linked to a Cys located at the SpoIVFB active site, MBPΔ27BofA C46 and single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB were co-produced with Cys-less variants of SpoIVFA and Pro-σK(1-127) from a pET Quartet plasmid in *E. coli*. Cells were treated with the oxidant Cu²⁺(phenanthroline)₃ to promote disulfide bond formation. For MBPΔ27BofA C46 (Fig. 4A, lane 2), but not the Cys-less C46S negative control (lane 5), treatment with oxidant caused formation of a species of the expected size for a cross-linked complex with single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB, which was detected by immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibodies. Treatment with the reducing agent DTT greatly diminished the abundance of the apparent complex, consistent with cross-link reversal (lane 3). A species of the expected size for a cross-linked dimer of single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB was also observed. Formation of the apparent dimer varies (see below), as reported previously (45). As expected, anti-MBP antibodies detected the presumptive cross-linked complex of MBPΔ27BofA C46 with single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB, albeit weakly, and the negative control with E44Q rather than E44C failed to form the complex (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9, lanes 2 & 5). Since the signal for the complex was stronger with anti-FLAG antibodies (Fig. 4A), we used those antibodies in the cross-linking experiments reported below.

In addition to E44 of SpoIVFB, V70 in a predicted membrane-reentrant loop and P135 in a predicted short loop interrupting TMS4 (Fig. 1A and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S7A) were shown to be in proximity to the Proregion of Pro-σK(1-127) (45). Therefore, we tested whether
MBPΔ27BofA C46 could be cross-linked to single-Cys V70C or P135C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q variants. We included the inactivating E44Q substitution since the V70C and P135C variants (unlike the E44C variant) could cleave Cys-less Pro-σK(1-127) (45), even though Cys-less SpoIVFA and either MBPΔ27BofA or Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA were expected to almost completely inhibit cleavage (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). MBPΔ27BofA C46 formed a complex with the P135C variant, but not with the V70C variant (Fig. 4B, lanes 2 & 8). As expected, Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA C46S failed to form a complex with either variant (lanes 5 & 11). Full-length BofA C46 also formed a complex of the expected (smaller) size with the E44C and P135C variants, but not with the V70C variant (SI Appendix, Fig. S10, lanes 2, 14, & 8). As expected, Cys-less BofA C46S failed to form a complex with any of the variants (lanes 5, 11, & 17). Our cross-linking results show that BofA TMS2 can occupy the active site region of SpoIVFB, placing BofA C46 in proximity to SpoIVFB E44 and P135, but not V70.

Based on our cross-linking results, we modeled BofA TMS2 in the SpoIVFB active site region, and tested predictions of the model using additional disulfide cross-linking experiments (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S11). The results confirmed predictions of the initial model and suggested a preferred orientation of BofA TMS2 in the SpoIVFB active site region, which led to a refined model (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B).

**BofA and SpoIVFA Do Not Prevent Pro-σK(1-127) from Interacting with SpoIVFB.** Since our cross-linking results show that BofA TMS2 can occupy the SpoIVFB active site region, we tested whether BofA and SpoIVFA prevent Pro-σK(1-127) from interacting with SpoIVFB in *E. coli*. Using a catalytically-inactive E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB variant containing the FLAG2 epitope tag but lacking His6, co-produced Pro-σK(1-127), SpoIVFA, and GFPΔ27BofA co-purified with
the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant in pull-down assays with anti-FLAG antibody beads (Fig. 5). All four proteins were seen in the bound sample (lane 4), indicating that GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA did not completely prevent Pro-σK(1-127) from interacting with the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant. However, only the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant was detected when the bound sample was diluted tenfold (lane 3) to match the input sample concentration (lane 1), and portions of the other three proteins were observed in the unbound sample (lane 2). The results indicate inefficient co-purification and/or recovery from the beads. A negative control with the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant lacking the FLAG₂ epitope tag showed no Pro-σK(1-127) in the bound sample, but small amounts of GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA were detected (lane 8), indicative of weak, nonspecific binding to the beads.

We also performed pull-down assays with cobalt resin, which binds to the His₆ tag on Pro-σK(1-127), and we performed both types of pull-down assays (i.e., anti-FLAG antibody beads and cobalt resin) when full-length BofA rather than GFPΔ27BofA was co-produced with the other three proteins (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S12). Neither BofA nor GFPΔ27BofA when co-produced with SpoIVFA prevented Pro-σK(1-127) from interacting with the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant. However, GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA reduced co-purification of full-length Pro-σK-His₆ with the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant in both types of pull-down assays (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S13), as compared with Pro-σK(1-127) (Fig. 5 and SI Appendix, Fig. S12A), suggesting that the C-terminal half of Pro-σK affects complex formation (SI Appendix, Discussion). As expected, GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA strongly inhibited cytTM-SpoIVFB cleavage of full-length Pro-σK-His₆ (SI Appendix, Fig. S14), similar to the inhibition of Pro-σK(1-127) cleavage we observed (Fig. 1B, lane 4). To further investigate the strong inhibition of cleavage despite the presence of substrate in the pulled-down protein complexes, we next
examined the effects of full-length BofA or MBPΔ27BofA co-produced with SpoIVFA on the interaction of Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) with cytTM-SpoIVFB using disulfide cross-linking approaches.

**Full-length BofA and SpoIVFA Interfere with Cross-Linking between the Proregion of Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) and the SpoIVFB Active Site Region, and MBPΔ27BofA in Combination with SpoIVFA Is Less Effective at Interfering with Some of the Cross-links.** SpoIVFB cleaves Pro-\(\sigma^K\) (43) and Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) (31) between residues S21 and Y22. In disulfide cross-linking experiments, Cys substitutions for several residues near the cleavage site of otherwise Cys-less Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) formed a cross-linked complex with single-Cys (E44C, V70C, P135C) cytTM-SpoIVFB variants (45). The complex was most abundant with the E44C and V70C variants, so we compared these interactions in the presence or absence of Cys-less inhibitory proteins.

To examine proximity between single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and the Proregion, we first co-produced single-Cys (F18C, V20C, S21C, K24C) Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) variants in the absence of inhibitory proteins and measured time-dependent cross-linking. We quantified the cross-linking by measuring the abundance of the complex and dividing that by the total amount of the cytTM-SpoIVFB variant monomer, dimer, and complex. The ratio over time was plotted with a best-fit trend line. Single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB formed abundant complex with single-Cys V20C and K24C Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) variants, and the amount of complex increased over time, but with the F18C and S21C variants much less complex formed, only slightly more than with the Cys-less Pro-\(\sigma^K(1-127)\) negative control (Fig. 6A and SI Appendix, Fig. S15A). Figure 6B shows a representative immunoblot (60-min oxidant treatment).
Co-production of Cys-less inhibitory proteins greatly reduced complex formation between single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and the V20C and K24C Pro-σ^K(1-127) variants.

When Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA were co-produced, much less complex formed and its abundance did not increase over time (Fig. 6C and SI Appendix, Fig. S15B). The decrease in abundance of the complex is most evident comparing representative immunoblots (60-min oxidant treatment) with (Fig. 6D) or without (Fig. 6B) co-produced inhibitory proteins. When Cys-less full-length BofA and SpoIVFA were co-produced, similar decreases in abundance of the complex were observed (SI Appendix, Fig. S16). Figure 6E shows a comparison of the cross-linking time courses with and without inhibitory proteins for single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB with single-Cys V20C Pro-σ^K(1-127). The effects of Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA and Cys-less full-length BofA were indistinguishable. Similar results were observed with single-Cys K24C Pro-σ^K(1-127) (compare Fig. 6C and SI Appendix, Fig. S16B). We conclude that MBPΔ27BofA (lacking TMS1) is as effective as full-length BofA at interfering with cross-linking between the Proregion of Pro-σ^K and single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB. These results likely explain why BofA TMS1 is dispensable for most of the inhibitory function of BofA (30, 31) (Figs. 1B and 3A). SpoIVFB E44 normally activates a water molecule to hydrolyze the bond between S21 and Y22 of Pro-σ^K. Interfering with this interaction is expected to inhibit cleavage.

We next examined proximity between V70 of SpoIVFB and the Proregion of Pro-σ^K(1-127). V70 is located in a predicted long and potentially flexible membrane-reentrant loop in the SpoIVFB active site region (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A), perhaps explaining why single-Cys V70C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q formed abundant cross-linked complex with both the F18C and K24C Pro-σ^K(1-127) variants in the absence of inhibitory proteins (45) (Fig. 6 F and G; SI Appendix, Fig. S17 A and B). With co-production of Cys-less variants of MBPΔ27BofA and
SpoIVFA, a lower relative amount of complex was measured, which did not increase over time (Fig. 6 F and G; SI Appendix, Fig. S17 C and D), but the impact on complex formation was not as great as when Cys-less variants of full-length BofA and SpoIVFA were co-produced (Fig. 6 F and G; SI Appendix, Fig. S17 E and F). Full-length BofA and SpoIVFA also caused four novel species to be observed, including with the Cys-less Pro-σ^K(1-127) negative control (SI Appendix, Fig. S17G), perhaps due to cross-linking of the V70C cytTM-SpoIVFB variant to E. coli proteins (i.e., BofA may cause the SpoIVFB membrane-reentrant loop to be exposed). In any case, full-length BofA hindered cross-linking more than MBPΔ27BofA (lacking TMS1) (Fig. 6 F and G), suggesting that both TMSs of BofA interfere with the normal interaction between the membrane-reentrant loop of SpoIVFB and the Proregion of Pro-σ^K.

Since full-length BofA also inhibited cleavage of Pro-σ^K(1-127) in E. coli (Fig. 1B) and Pro-σ^K in B. subtilis (Fig. 3A) slightly more than GFPΔ27BofA (lacking TMS1), we compared time-dependent cross-linking of BofA and MBPΔ27BofA to single-Cys cytTM-SpoIVFB variants. The results suggest that full-length BofA C46 forms slightly more complex with single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys P135C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q over time, whereas the abundance of complex did not increase over time in the case of MBPΔ27BofA C46 (SI Appendix, Fig. S18). Hence, BofA TMS1 may slightly enhance TMS2 occupancy in the active site region of SpoIVFB.

BofA and SpoIVFA Interfere with Cross-Linking between Pro-σ^K(1-127) and the SpoIVFB Interdomain Linker, but Not with Cross-Linking between Pro-σ^K(1-127) and the SpoIVFB CBS Domain. To further examine the effects of the inhibitory proteins on the interaction of Pro-σ^K(1-127) with SpoIVFB, we identified additional residues that formed disulfide cross-linked
complexes. A model of catalytically-inactive SpoIVFB E44Q in complex with Pro-σ^K(1-127) (46) predicts interactions between the C-terminal part of SpoIVFB and parts of Pro-σ^K(1-127). The C-terminal part of SpoIVFB includes a 26-residue interdomain linker and a CBS domain (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. S7A). For both the linker and the CBS domain, we used the model to predict residues of SpoIVFB in proximity to residues of Pro-σ^K(1-127). We tested the predictions by co-producing single-Cys variants of the two proteins and performing disulfide cross-linking experiments. We discovered that a single-Cys Y214C linker variant of cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q formed abundant complex with single-Cys L41C Pro-σ^K(1-127), and a single-Cys A231C CBS domain variant of cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q formed complex with single-Cys A97C Pro-σ^K(1-127) (SI Appendix, Fig. S19 A and B). We showed that the Cys substitutions do not impair cytTM-SpoIVFB activity or Pro-σ^K(1-127) susceptibility to cleavage (SI Appendix, Fig. S19C). Finally, we measured time-dependent cross-linking in the presence or absence of Cys-less inhibitory proteins.

Interestingly, formation of cross-linked complex between the Y214C linker variant of cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys L41C Pro-σ^K(1-127) was hindered more by Cys-less full-length BofA than by Cys-less MBPAΔ27BofA, when co-produced with Cys-less SpoIVFA (Fig. 6H and SI Appendix, Fig. S20A). The pattern is similar to that observed for the V70C membrane-reentrant loop variant of cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys F18C or K24C Pro-σ^K(1-127) (Fig. 6F and G). The similar pattern suggests that in both cases BofA TMS2 partially interferes with the interaction and BofA TMS1 augments the interference.

Strikingly, co-production of Cys-less inhibitory proteins had little or no effect on formation of cross-linked complex between the A231C CBS domain variant of cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys A97C Pro-σ^K(1-127) (Fig. 6I and SI Appendix, Fig. S20B). These results suggest
that neither full-length BofA nor MBΔ27BofA, when co-produced with SpoIVFA, prevent Pro-σ^K(1-127) from interacting with the CBS domain of SpoIVFB in *E. coli*, consistent with our finding that inhibitory proteins did not completely prevent co-purification of SpoIVFB and Pro-σ^K(1-127) (Fig. 5 and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S12).

**A Model of SpoIVFB in Complex with BofA and Parts of SpoIVFA and Pro-σ^K.**

Computational models were generated using a similar protocol as described previously (46), but including additional constraints reflecting experimental cross-linking data reported herein, as well as newly predicted intra- and inter-chain contacts based on co-evolutionary couplings. Two final models were generated: 1) Full-length SpoIVFB modeled as a tetramer, with part of one Pro-σ^K molecule (residues 1-114), referred to as ‘fb.sigk’; 2) Full-length SpoIVFB, again modeled as a tetramer, with one molecule each of full-length BofA and parts of Pro-σ^K (residues 38-114) and SpoIVFA (residues 65-111), referred to as ‘fb.sigk.bofa.fa’. The omitted residues of Pro-σ^K and SpoIVFA could not be placed with sufficient confidence. The first model is described in *SI Appendix*, Methods and Fig. S21.

Relevant features of the second model are illustrated in Figure 7 (only one molecule of SpoIVFB is shown). The first side view shows SpoIVFB with BofA TMS2 and the C-terminal part of Pro-σ^K(1-127) (Fig. 7A). The membrane domain of SpoIVFB (green) interacts with BofA TMS2 (cyan) while the interdomain linker and CBS domain of SpoIVFB interact with the modeled portion of Pro-σ^K(1-127) (red). The enlarged view of the SpoIVFB active site region shows BofA TMS2 surrounded by SpoIVFB (TMSs labeled 1-6). The top view of the membrane domain emphasizes proximity between BofA TMS2, SpoIVFB TMSs 2-4, and the zinc ion (magenta) involved in catalysis. The second side view shows SpoIVFB with full-length BofA.
and the C-terminal part of Pro-σK(1-127) (Fig. 7B). Our model predicts that BofA contains 2 TMSs and a membrane-embedded C-terminal region (labeled C near the C-terminus in the enlarged view of the SpoIVFB active site region) that forms two short α-helices connected by a turn. The enlarged and top views show that BofA interacts extensively with SpoIVFB and occupies its active site region, which would sterically hinder access of the Proregion of Pro-σK.

Figure 7C shows the addition of the modeled portion of SpoIVFA (purple), which is predicted by co-evolutionary couplings to contact the BofA C-terminal region and SpoIVFB TMS4. Hence, the model predicts that SpoIVFA stabilizes the interaction of BofA with SpoIVFB. Since GFPΔ27BofA has the C-terminal region predicted to interact with SpoIVFA, the interaction of GFPΔ27BofA with SpoIVFB is likewise predicted to be stabilized by SpoIVFA, which may explain the dependence of SpoIVFB inhibition on both GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA (Fig. 1C), and why GFPΔ27BofA inhibits substrate cleavage (Fig. 1B and 3A) and MBPΔ27BofA occupies the SpoIVFB active site region (SI Appendix, Fig. S18) nearly as well as full-length BofA.

Discussion

Our results provide evidence that BofA occupies the active site region of SpoIVFB. BofA residue C46 near the middle of TMS2 formed disulfide cross-links with SpoIVFB variants containing a single-Cys at (E44C) or near (P135C) its active site. BofA variants containing a single-Cys near the ends of TMS2 formed cross-links with single-Cys SpoIVFB variants that suggest BofA TMS2 adopts a preferred orientation in the SpoIVFB active site region. N48 within BofA TMS2 and both N61 and T64 near its C-terminal end are highly conserved among BofA orthologs. We showed that these residues are important for SpoIVFB inhibition during B. subtilis sporulation and upon heterologous expression in E. coli. Structural modeling based on
partial homology and constraints from cross-linking and co-evolutionary analyses predicts that BofA N48 and T64 interact, and that nearby N61 may also interact to stabilize the BofA structure. SpoIVFA is also important for SpoIVFB inhibition and the model predicts that SpoIVFA bridges the BofA C-terminal region and SpoIVFB to form a membrane-embedded inhibitory complex (Fig. 7C). We found that BofA and SpoIVFA interfere with cross-linking between the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region and the SpoIVFB active site region, supporting a steric hindrance mechanism of SpoIVFB inhibition. BofA TMS1 enhanced interference with some cross-links between Pro-σ^K and SpoIVFB, and slightly enhanced inhibition of SpoIVFB, suggesting that BofA TMS1 enhances steric hindrance of substrate access to the enzyme active site. Our findings have implications for the design of IMMP inhibitors.

Conserved Residues May Stabilize BofA in a Membrane-Embedded Inhibitory Complex with SpoIVFA that Sterically Hinders Substrate Access to the SpoIVFB Active Site. BofA, SpoIVFA, and SpoIVFB form a heterotrimeric membrane complex that improves accumulation of each protein likely by inhibiting proteolytic degradation (30). Ala substitutions for N48, N61, and T64 in GFPΔ27BofA reduced its level as well as the levels of SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB, both upon heterologous expression in *E. coli* (Fig. 2) and during *B. subtilis* sporulation (Fig. 3A), suggesting that assembly of the inhibitory complex was impaired. In agreement, the Ala substitutions caused partial or complete mislocalization of GFPΔ27BofA during sporulation (Fig. 3B), which normally relies on SpoIVFA (30). Impaired assembly of the inhibitory complex can explain the observed cleavage of Pro-σ^K(1-127) in *E. coli* (Fig. 2) and premature cleavage of Pro-σ^K in sporulating *B. subtilis* (Fig. 3A).
Our structural model predicts proximity within BofA between the N48 side chain and a loop that includes N61 and T64. In particular, the T64 side chain is oriented toward the N48 side chain in the model, predicting an interaction. The N61 side chain points away from the N48 and T64 side chains in the model, but the orientation of the N61 side chain is uncertain given the predicted loop structure, so an interaction with the side chains of N48 and/or T64 remains possible. Alternatively, the three conserved residues may contact other residues within BofA, based on co-evolutionary couplings (SI Appendix, Dataset S4), to stabilize the BofA structure and promote interactions with SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB. For example, disulfide cross-linking supports proximity between BofA L62 and V63 (in the predicted loop that includes N61 and T64) and SpoIVFB M30, which is located in a predicted short loop between TMS1 and TMS2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Our model satisfies restraints based on this observed cross-linking, as well as many other experimental cross-linking results (45, 46) (SI Appendix, Dataset S3). The model also predicts numerous interactions between BofA, SpoIVFA, and SpoIVFB that remain to be tested, which will be facilitated by approaches described herein. Of note, the model predicts that BofA C-terminal residues 85 to 87 interact with SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB, and that BofA residues 28 to 36 preceding predicted TMS2 interact with SpoIVFA (Fig. 7C), which may explain the effects of deleting or changing those BofA residues, including loss of SpoIVFB inhibition resulting in Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage and reduced levels of SpoIVFA and SpoIVFB in *E. coli* (SI Appendix, Results and Fig. S5). The predicted interactions can be tested further by disulfide cross-linking.

BofA and SpoIVFA interfere with cross-linking between the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region and the SpoIVFB active site region, supporting a steric hindrance mechanism of SpoIVFB inhibition. Many latent zymogens likewise use a prosegment or prodomain to sterically hinder
substrate access to their active site (53). Many metalloprotease zymogens in addition use a
ligand switch mechanism of inhibition and activation. Inhibition involves an amino acid side
chain of a residue in the prosegment acting as a metal ligand to prevent catalysis. Activation
requires replacement of the side chain metal ligand with a water molecule necessary for substrate
peptide bond hydrolysis. BofA residue C46 near the middle of TMS2 is well-positioned in our
model to act as a zinc ligand, but C46 is not conserved among BofA orthologs (SI Appendix, Fig.
S4). Therefore, we favor a simple steric hindrance mechanism of SpoIVFB inhibition. During
sporulation, SpoIVFB would be activated when SpoIVB cleaves the C-terminal end of SpoIVFA
(35-38) and CtpB cleaves the C-terminal ends of both SpoIVFA and BofA (36, 37, 39) (SI
Appendix, Fig. S1). These cleavages result in a conformational change in the inhibition complex
(36), which we propose allows the Proregion of Pro-σ^K to be positioned in the active site for
cleavage. After cleavage, the conformational change may also aid in release of the product, σ^K.

BofA TMS1 Appears to Enhance Inhibition of SpoIVFB Slightly by Partially Interfering
with Its Interaction with Pro-σ^K. Full-length BofA inhibited cleavage of Pro-σ^K(1-127) in E.
coli (Fig. 1B) and Pro-σ^K in B. subtilis (Fig. 3A) slightly more than GFPΔ27BofA. The most
likely explanation for the difference, based on our results, is that TMS1 of BofA sterically
hinders some interactions between the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region and SpoIVFB more than BofA
variants lacking TMS1 (such as GFPΔ27BofA and MBPΔ27BofA). The interactions hindered
more by full-length BofA included cross-links between the Pro-σ^K Proregion (F18C and K24C)
and the SpoIVFB membrane-reentrant loop (V70C) (Fig. 6F and G), which may bind to the
Proregion and present it to the SpoIVFB active site for cleavage (46) based on a study of the
homologous membrane-reentrant loop of E. coli RseP (54). Our model predicts that both TMSs
of BofA, as well as its C-terminal region, occupy the active site region of SpoIVFB (Fig. 7B), which would sterically hinder interactions between the SpoIVFB membrane-reentrant loop and the Pro-σ^K Preregion.

Full-length BofA also hindered cross-links between Pro-σ^K L41C and the SpoIVFB interdomain linker (Y214C) more than MBPΔ27BofA (Fig. 6H). The interdomain linker has been proposed to allow coordination between ATP binding by the CBS domain and Pro-σ^K cleavage by the membrane domain (46). Thus, BofA TMS1 may interfere with coordination between the domains of SpoIVFB by inhibiting movement of the linker, in addition to hindering linker interaction with the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region.

**Design of IMMP Inhibitors.** Our findings reveal concepts that may inform efforts to design selective inhibitors of IMMPs. BofA TMS2 appears to block the SpoIVFB active site (Fig. 7A), similar to the prosegment of many latent zymogens (53). Like BofA, some prosegments can act in trans, an observation that has led to the demonstration of prodomains as selective inhibitors of A Disintegrin And Metalloproteinase (ADAM) family enzymes (55, 56). Selectivity relies on the extensive interaction surface between the prodomain and the enzyme, including features specific to the pair, which can boost efficacy and diminish off-target effects in therapeutic strategies (57). A peptide encompassing residues 48 to 64 of BofA may inhibit SpoIVFB, but our model also predicts extensive interactions between the BofA C-terminal region (residues 65 to 87) and SpoIVFB (Fig. 7B), so a longer BofA peptide, perhaps flexibly linked to SpoIVFA residues 96 to 109, which are also predicted to interact with SpoIVFB (Fig. 7C), may exhibit improved inhibition and selectivity. Since cleavage of Pro-σ^K(1-127) by cytTM-SpoIVFB has been reconstituted in vitro (42), it will be possible to test peptides for inhibition. Structure
determination of SpoIVFB in complex with an inhibitory peptide, GFPΔ27BofA, or full-length BofA is an important goal to facilitate design of IMMP inhibitors. In particular, it may inform efforts to design inhibitors of SpoIVFB orthologs in *Bacillus* and *Clostridium* species, many of which cause disease or provide benefits, and persist by forming endospores (58). Such efforts could lead to new strategies to control endosporulation.

Our findings also suggest that BofA TMS1 interferes with interactions between the Pro-σ^K N-terminal region and SpoIVFB. Therefore, a cyclic peptide inhibitor may prove to be more effective than a linear one. The desirable characteristics of cyclic peptides as therapeutics, and new methods of producing and screening cyclic peptide libraries, make this an attractive possibility (59, 60).

The inhibitor design concepts discussed above could apply equally well to IMMPs that normally use one or more PDZ domains to exclude substrate from their active site region. *E. coli* RseP appears to use two PDZ domains to block access of substrate RseA (61-63). Cleavage of the RseA periplasmic domain by DegS (referred to as site-1 cleavage) (64-66) is proposed to allow entry of the RseA TMS into the RseP active site region for site-2 cleavage (67). Recently, batimastat, a hydroxamic acid derivative that mimics the peptide structure of natural substrates, was shown to selectively inhibit RseP *in vivo* (68). Two other peptidomimetic hydroxamic acids, ilomastat and miramastat, exhibited very little RseP inhibition. A lack of selectivity has been a problem in efforts toward using peptidomimetic hydroxamic acids as matrix metalloprotease inhibitors for treatment of cancer, arthritis, and other diseases (57, 69, 70). The hydroxamic acid group of these compounds strongly coordinates the catalytic zinc ion, and the peptidomimetic portions have not provided enough specificity to prevent off-target effects. Even so, the side effects may not preclude using hydroxamic acid-based peptidomimetics to treat bacterial
infections topically, and systemically if administered briefly. Perhaps weaker zinc ligands based on amino acid side chains would reduce side effects. A cyclic peptidomimetic with a zinc ligand could presumably block access of the RseA TMS to the RseP active site, or substrate access to the active site of other IMMPs. Other small-molecule matrix metalloprotease inhibitors that have already been developed (69) should be screened for activity against bacteria and fungi with IMMPs known to be involved in pathogenesis (16-19).

Methods

Plasmids, Primers, and Strains. Plasmids used in this study are described in SI Appendix, Table S1. Primers used in plasmid construction are listed in SI Appendix, Table S2. Plasmids were cloned in E. coli strain DH5α (71). Relevant parts of plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing with primers listed in SI Appendix, Table S3. B. subtilis strains used in this study are described in SI Appendix, Table S4.

Pro-σ^K(1-127) Cleavage in E. coli. Strain BL21(DE3) (Novagen) was used to produce proteins in E. coli. Two plasmids with different antibiotic resistance genes were cotransformed (31) or a single plasmid was transformed, with selection on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar supplemented with kanamycin sulfate (50 μg/mL) and/or ampicillin (100 μg/mL). Transformants (4-5 colonies) were grown in LB medium with 50 μg/mL kanamycin sulfate and/or 200 μg/mL ampicillin at 37°C with shaking (200 rpm). Typically, overnight culture (200 μL) was transferred to 10 mL of LB medium with antibiotics, cultures were grown at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm) to an optical density of 60-80 Klett units, and isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (0.5 mM) was added to induce protein production for 2 h. For transformants with either pET Quintet or full-
length Pro-$\sigma^K$-His$_6$, overnight growth was avoided. Transformants were transferred directly to 10 mL of LB medium with antibiotic, and cultures were grown and induced as described above. Equivalent amounts of cells (based on optical density in Klett units) were collected (12,000 $\times$ g for 1 min) and extracts were prepared (31), then subjected to immunoblot analysis (SI Appendix, Methods).

**BofA Sequence Analysis.** Orthologs of *B. subtilis* bofA, which are present in the genomes of most endospore-forming bacteria (20), were collected from the NCBI and Uniprot databases. The protein sequences of BofA orthologs were aligned using the T-Coffee multiple sequence alignment package (72). Residues identical in at least 70% of the sequences were considered conserved.

**B. subtilis Sporulation and GFPΔBofA Localization.** GFPΔ27BofA or its variants were expressed under control of the bofA promoter ($P_{bofA}$) after ectopic chromosomal integration. Plasmids bearing $P_{bofA}$-gfpΔ27bofA or its variant, bordered by regions of homology to *B. subtilis* amyE, were transformed into strain ZR264. Transformants with a gene replacement at amyE were selected on LB agar with spectinomycin sulfate (100 $\mu$g/mL) and identified by loss of amylase activity (73). Sporulation was induced by growing cells in the absence of antibiotics followed by the resuspension of cells in SM medium (73). At indicated times PS, samples (50 $\mu$L) were centrifuged (12,000 $\times$ g for 1 min), supernatants were removed, and cell pellets were stored at -80°C. Whole-cell extracts were prepared as described for *E. coli* (31), except samples were incubated at 50°C for 3 min instead of boiling for 3 min (46), and proteins were subjected to immunoblot analysis (SI Appendix, Methods).
To image GFPΔ27BofA localization, samples collected at 3 h PS were examined by fluorescence microscopy using an Olympus FluoView FV-1000 filter-based confocal microscope. GFPΔ27BofA (ex/em ~488/507 nm) was excited using a 458 nM argon laser and fluorescence was captured using a BA465-495 nm band pass filter. The lipophilic dye FM 4-64 (1 μg/mL) (AAT Bioquest) was used to stain membranes. FM 4-64 (ex/em ~515/640 nm) was excited using a 515 nm argon laser and fluorescence was captured using a BA560IF band pass filter (74).

**Disulfide Cross-Linking.** A method described previously (75) was used with slight modifications (45). Briefly, as described above for Pro-σK(1-127) cleavage, E. coli BL21(DE3) was transformed with a plasmid, grown in LB (10 mL), induced with IPTG, and equivalent amounts of cells were collected. Cells were subjected to disulfide cross-linking, then lysed and proteins were precipitated by addition of trichloroacetic acid (5%), and proteins were subjected to immunoblot analysis (SI Appendix, Methods).

**Co-Immunoprecipitation (FLAG₂ Pull-Down Assays).** E. coli BL21(DE3) was transformed with a plasmid, grown in LB (1 L), and induced with IPTG as described above. The culture was split, cells were harvested, and cell pellets were stored at -80°C. Cell lysates were prepared as described (52), except that each cell pellet was resuspended in 20 mL of lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.1 rather than PBS. Cell lysates were centrifuged (15,000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C) to sediment cell debris and protein inclusion bodies. The supernatant was treated with 1% n-dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM) (Anatrace) for 1 h at 4 °C to solubilize membrane proteins, then centrifuged at 150,000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C. The supernatant was designated the input sample.
and 1 mL was mixed with 50 μL anti-DYKDDDDK magnetic agarose (Pierce) that had been equilibrated with buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.1, 0.1% DDM, 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 10% glycerol) and the mixture was rotated for 1 h at 25°C. The magnetic agarose was removed with a DynaMag-2 magnet (Invitrogen) and the supernatant was saved (unbound sample). The magnetic agarose was washed three times by gently vortexing with 500 μL wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.1, 150 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.1% DDM), then washed once with 500 μL water. The magnetic agarose was mixed with 50 μL of 2× sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 200 mM DTT, 0.03% bromophenol blue) and boiled for 3 min (bound sample). A portion of the bound sample was diluted tenfold (1/10 bound sample) with 1× sample buffer to match the concentration of the input sample. Samples were subjected to immunoblot analysis (SI Appendix, Methods).

Colbalt Affinity Purification (His6 Pull-Down Assays). Input sample (15 mL) prepared as described above was mixed with imidazole (5 mM) and 0.5 mL of Talon superflow metal affinity resin (Clontech) that had been equilibrated with buffer (as above for magnetic agarose). The mixture was rotated for 1 h at 4°C. The cobalt resin was sedimented by centrifugation at 708 × g for 2 min at 4°C and the supernatant was saved (unbound sample). The resin was washed three times with 5 mL wash buffer (as above plus 5 mM imidazole), each time rotating the mixture for 10 min at 4°C and sedimenting resin as above. The resin was mixed with 0.5 mL 2× sample buffer and boiled for 3 min (bound sample). A portion of the bound sample was diluted fifteenfold (1/15 bound sample) with 1× sample buffer to match the concentration of the input sample. Samples were subjected to immunoblot analysis (SI Appendix, Methods).
Modeling of Complexes Containing SpoIVFB, BofA, and Parts of SpoIVFA and Pro-\(\sigma^K\).

The modeling proceeded through stages where initial monomeric models were assembled step-by-step into multimeric complexes guided primarily by the restraints from cross-linking experiments and predicted contacts from co-evolutionary coupling analysis. More specifically, a SpoIVFB monomer was first assembled from the membrane and CBS domains. Two monomers were combined into a dimer and the dimer was assembled into a plausible tetramer. Part of one molecule of Pro-\(\sigma^K\) (residues 1-114) was subsequently added, which resulted in ‘fb.sigk’. The ‘fb.sigk.bofa.fa’ model was developed by starting from ‘fb.sigk’, truncating the Pro-\(\sigma^K\) N-terminus (residues 1-37), and subsequently adding first BofA and finally SpoIVFA (residues 65-111). See SI Appendix, Methods for additional description of the modeling.
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1.** Inhibition of Pro-σ^K cleavage. (A) Diagram of SpoIVFB inhibition during *B. subtilis* endosporulation and upon heterologous expression in *E. coli*. During endosporulation (Left), SpoIVFB and its inhibitory proteins BofA and SpoIVFA are produced in the mother cell (MC) and localize to the outer forespore (FS) membrane (OFM). Pro-σ^K is also produced in the MC and associates with membranes. When these proteins are synthesized in *E. coli* (Right), they localize to the inner membrane (IM). The expanded view of the membranes (Center) shows a SpoIVFB variant with an extra N-terminal transmembrane segment (cytTM), and highlights several residues (E44, V70, P135) at or near the active site in the membrane domain, which is connected to the CBS domain by an interdomain linker. When produced in *E. coli*, cytTM-SpoIVFB cleaves Pro-σ^K(1-127), removing its N-terminal Proregion. Co-production of SpoIVFA and either full-length BofA or GFPΔ27BofA (lacking predicted TMS1) inhibits Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage. (B) Cleavage assays comparing inhibition by SpoIVFA and either GFPΔ27BofA or full-length BofA in *E. coli*. Pro-σ^K(1-127) and cytTM-SpoIVFB were produced alone (lane 1, pYZ2) or in combination with GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA (lane 2, pYZ46) or full-length BofA and SpoIVFA (lane 3, pSO212). Alternatively, “pET Quartet” plasmids were used to produce Pro-σ^K(1-127), cytTM-SpoIVFB, SpoIVFA, and either GFPΔ27BofA (lane 4, pSO40) or full-length BofA (lane 5, pSO213). Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were subjected to immunoblot analysis with SpoIVFA (*Top*), GFP (*Middle*), or penta-His antibodies (*Bottom*, 2 and 30 s exposures). The single star (*) indicates cross-reacting proteins below SpoIVFA and the double star (**) indicates breakdown species of GFPΔ27BofA. A breakdown species below SpoIVFA (not indicated) is observed in some experiments. The graph shows quantification of the cleavage ratio (cleavage product/|Pro-σ^K(1-127)|).
Cleavage assays comparing inhibition by either GFPΔ27BofA or SpoIVFA. pET Triplet plasmids were used to produce Pro-σ^K(1-127), cytTM-SpoIVFB, and either GFPΔ27BofA (lane 2, pSO64) or SpoIVFA (lane 3, pSO65). Samples were subjected to immunoblot analysis and quantification as in B.

Fig. 2. Effects of alanine substitutions in GFPΔ27BofA on inhibition of Pro-σ^K(1-127) cleavage in E. coli. pET Quartet plasmids were used to produce Pro-σ^K(1-127), cytTM-SpoIVFB, SpoIVFA, and GFPΔ27BofA (lane 1, pSO40) or Ala-substituted GFPΔ27BofA (lanes 2-20, pSO44-pSO58 and pSO60-pSO63). Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were subjected to immunoblot analysis with SpoIVFA, GFP, and penta-His antibodies. Single (*) and double (**) stars are explained in the Figure 1B legend, as is the graph.

Fig. 3. Effects of alanine substitutions in GFPΔ27BofA during B. subtilis sporulation. (A) Effects of GFPΔ27BofA variants (N48A, N61A, T64A) on Pro-σ^K cleavage. Wild-type strain PY79, a spoIVB165 null mutant, a spoIVB165 bofA::erm double mutant, and the double mutant with PbofA-gfpΔ27bofA integrated ectopically at amyE to express GFPΔ27BofA with no substitution (none) or the indicated Ala substitution, were starved to induce sporulation. Samples collected at 4 and 5 h poststarvation (PS) were subjected to immunoblot analysis with antibodies against SpoIVFA, GFP, SpoIVFB, and Pro-σ^K. The graph shows quantification of the cleavage ratio [cleavage product/(Pro-σ^K + cleavage product)] for three biological replicates. Error bars, 1 standard deviation. Student’s two-tailed t tests were performed to compare certain cleavage ratios (p values). (B) Localization of GFPΔ27BofA and the three variants. Samples
collected at 3 h PS were treated with FM 4-64 to stain membranes. Confocal microscopy images of fluorescence from GFPΔ27BofA, membranes, and merged images are shown for representative sporangia with discrete (no substitution in GFPΔ27BofA, designated “none”), partial (N48A and T64A), or no forespore (FS) localization (N61A). Scale bar, 1 μm. The percentage of sporangia (44-93 counted; non-sporulating cells were not counted) with each localization pattern is shown.

**Fig. 4.** MBPΔ27BofA TMS2 is near the cytTM-SpoIVFB active site. (A) Disulfide cross-linking of single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB to MBPΔ27BofA C46. pET Quartet plasmids were used to produce single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB in combination with MBPΔ27BofA C46 (pSO91) or Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA C46S as a negative control (pSO110), and Cys-less variants of SpoIVFA and Pro-σK(1-127) in *E. coli*. Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were treated with Cu²⁺(phenanthroline)₃ (Cu +) for 60 min or with 2-phenanthroline (Cu –) as a control. Samples were treated with TCA to precipitate proteins and resuspended in sample buffer with DTT (+) or without (−) and subjected to immunoblot analysis with FLAG antibodies to visualize cytTM-SpoIVFB monomer, dimer, and complex with MBPΔ27BofA. (B) Disulfide cross-linking of single-Cys V70C or P135C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q variants to MBPΔ27BofA C46. pET Quartet plasmids were used to produce single-Cys V70C or P135C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q variants in combination with MBPΔ27BofA (pSO92, pSO93) or Cys-less MBPΔ27BofA C46S as a negative control (pSO111, pSO112), and Cys-less variants of SpoIVFA and Pro-σK(1-127) in *E. coli*. Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were treated and subjected to immunoblot analysis as in A. A representative result from at least two biological replicates is shown in A and B.
**Fig. 5.** GFPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA do not prevent Pro-σ^K(1-127) from co-purifying with SpoIVFB. pET Quartet plasmids were used to produce a catalytically-inactive E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB variant containing FLAG$_2$ but lacking His$_6$ (pSO73), or a variant also lacking FLAG$_2$ as a negative control (pSO149), in combination with Pro-σ^K(1-127), GFPΔ27BofA, and SpoIVFA in *E. coli*. Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were subjected to co-immunoprecipitation with anti-FLAG antibody beads. Input, unbound, 1/10 bound (diluted to match input), and (undiluted) bound samples were subjected to immunoblot analysis with FLAG, penta-His, SpoIVFA, and GFP antibodies. The single star (*) indicates cross-reacting proteins below SpoIVFA and the double star (**) indicates a cross-reacting protein or breakdown species of GFPΔ27BofA that fail to co-purify. A representative result from two biological replicates is shown.

**Fig. 6.** Effects of inhibitory proteins on cross-linking between cytTM-SpoIVFB and Pro-σ^K(1-127). (A) Quantification of disulfide cross-linking between single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys Pro-σ^K(1-127) variants in the absence of inhibitory proteins. pET Duet plasmids were used to produce single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB in combination with single-Cys F18C (pSO167), V20C (pSO169), S21C (pSO170), or K24C (pSO128) Pro-σ^K(1-127), or with Cys-less Pro-σ^K(1-127) (pSO79) as a negative control, in *E. coli*. Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were treated with Cu$^{2+}$(phenanthroline)$_3$ (oxidant; Cu $+$ in *B*) for 15, 30, 45, or 60 min or with 2-phenanthroline (Cu $-$ in *B*) as a control. Samples were treated with TCA to precipitate proteins and resuspended in sample buffer with DTT (+ in *B*) or without (– in *B*) and subjected to immunoblot analysis with FLAG antibodies to visualize the cytTM-SpoIVFB monomer, dimer,
and complex with Pro-σ^K(1-127) (SI Appendix, Fig. S15A). Abundance of the complex was divided by the total amount of cytTM-SpoIVFB monomer, dimer, and complex. The ratio over time was plotted (n=2) with a best-fit trend line. (B) Representative immunoblots of 60-min samples from the experiment described in A. (C) Quantification of cross-linking between single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys Pro-σ^K(1-127) variants in the presence of Cys-less variants of MBPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA. pET Quartet plasmids were used to produce single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB in combination with single-Cys F18C (pSO163), V20C (pSO165), S21C (pSO166), or K24C (pSO131) Pro-σ^K(1-127), or with Cys-less Pro-σ^K(1-127) (pSO110) as a negative control, and Cys-less variants of MBPΔ27BofA and SpoIVFA in E. coli. Samples collected after 2 h of IPTG induction were treated and subjected to immunoblot analysis as in A (SI Appendix, Fig. S15B). (D) Representative immunoblots of 60-min samples from the experiment described in C. (E) Quantification of cross-linking between single-Cys E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB and single-Cys V20C Pro-σ^K(1-127) in the absence or presence of inhibitory proteins. Data from A (No BofA), C (MBPΔ27BofA), and SI Appendix, Fig. S16A (full-length BofA) are plotted along with Cys-less Pro-σ^K(1-127) as a negative control. (F and G) Quantification of cross-linking between single-Cys V70C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q and single-Cys F18C or K24C Pro-σ^K(1-127) variants in the absence or presence of inhibitory proteins. Data from SI Appendix, Fig. S17 are plotted using symbols and lines as in E. (H and I) Quantification of cross-linking between single-Cys Y214C or A231C cytTM-SpoIVFB E44Q and single-Cys L41C or A97C Pro-σ^K(1-127) in the absence or presence of inhibitory proteins. Data from SI Appendix, Fig. S20 are plotted using symbols and lines as in E.
Fig. 7. Model of SpoIVFB monomer with BofA and parts of SpoIVFA and Pro-σ^K. (A) Model of SpoIVFB, BofA TMS2, and the C-terminal part of Pro-σ^K(1-127). At Left, a side view of the complex, showing the six TMSs of the SpoIVFB membrane domain with the active site zinc ion (magenta), the interdomain linker, and the CBS domain (green), BofA TMS2 (cyan), and Pro-σ^K(38-114) (red). In the enlarged view of the active site region (Center), TMSs 1–6 of SpoIVFB and TMS2 of BofA are numbered. At Right, a top view is shown. (B) Model of SpoIVFB with full-length BofA and Pro-σ^K(38-114). Predicted TMSs 1 and 2 of BofA are numbered and its C-terminal region is labeled “C” near the C-terminus in the views shown in the Center and at Right. (C) Model of SpoIVFB with full-length BofA, SpoIVFA(65-111) (purple, residue 111 is numbered), and Pro-σ^K(38-114).
Fig. 2

The figure shows a gel electrophoresis analysis with the following bands:

- αSpoIVFα
- αGFP
- αHis

The bands are labeled with various protein names and alleles, such as SpoIVFα, GFPΔ27BofA, cytTM-SpoIVFB, Pro-α°(1-127), and cleavage product. The graph below the gel shows the cleavage ratio for each lane, with 3 replicates per condition.

Lane: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20

Cleavage ratio: 0.0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0

n = 3
Fig. 3

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substitution in GFPΔ27BofA</th>
<th>Time PS (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N48A</td>
<td>4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N61A</td>
<td>4 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T64A</td>
<td>4 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time PS (h)</th>
<th>αSpoIvFA</th>
<th>αGFP</th>
<th>αSpoIvFB</th>
<th>αPro-σκ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>spolVB</td>
<td>wild type</td>
<td>spolVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substitution in GFPΔ27BofA</th>
<th>GFP</th>
<th>Membranes</th>
<th>Merge</th>
<th>FS localization of GFPΔ27BofA (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td><img src="none" alt="GFP" /></td>
<td><img src="none" alt="Membranes" /></td>
<td><img src="none" alt="Merge" /></td>
<td>Discrete 99 Partial 1 None 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N48A</td>
<td><img src="N48A" alt="GFP" /></td>
<td><img src="N48A" alt="Membranes" /></td>
<td><img src="N48A" alt="Merge" /></td>
<td>0 84 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N61A</td>
<td><img src="N61A" alt="GFP" /></td>
<td><img src="N61A" alt="Membranes" /></td>
<td><img src="N61A" alt="Merge" /></td>
<td>0 1 99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T64A</td>
<td><img src="T64A" alt="GFP" /></td>
<td><img src="T64A" alt="Membranes" /></td>
<td><img src="T64A" alt="Merge" /></td>
<td>0 77 23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 4

A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E44C cytTM-SpoI/VFB</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBPΔ27BofA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>- + +</td>
<td>- + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>+ - +</td>
<td>+ - +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW (kDa)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aFLAG</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lane: 1 2 3 4 5 6

B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V70C cytTM-SpoI/VFB</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MBPΔ27BofA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>- + +</td>
<td>- + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTT</td>
<td>+ - +</td>
<td>+ - +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW (kDa)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aFLAG</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lane: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

complex

cytTM-SpoI/VFB dimer

cytTM-SpoI/VFB
Fig. 5

**FLAG\(_2\) Pull-down Assays**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Unbound</th>
<th>1/10 Bound</th>
<th>Bound</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Unbound</th>
<th>1/10 Bound</th>
<th>Bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>αFLAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αHis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αSpoIVFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αGFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lane: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

- cytTM-SpoIVFA
- Pro-σ\(^{\text{K}}\)(1-127)
- SpoIVFA
- GFPΔ27BofA
- **
- *
Fig. 6

A. E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB
No Inhibitory Proteins

B. E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB
No Inhibitory Proteins

C. E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB
MBPΔ27BofA + SpoIVFA

D. E44C cytTM-SpoIVFB
MBPΔ27BofA + SpoIVFA

E. E44C SpoIVFB → V20C Pro-αK

F. V70C SpoIVFB → F18C Pro-αK

G. V70C SpoIVFB → K24C Pro-αK

H. Y214C SpoIVFB → L41C Pro-αK

I. A231C SpoIVFB → A97C Pro-αK
Fig. 7
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Membrane domain
Linker
CBS domain

Side view
Active site region
Top view

B

Membrane domain
Linker
CBS domain

Side view
Active site region
Top view

C

Membrane domain
Linker
CBS domain

Side view
Active site region
Top view

90°