Title

Importance of cell division angle, position of cell proliferative area, and localization of

AN3 in lateral organ morphology

Authors

Ayaka Kinoshita[1*], Makiko Naito[1*], Hirokazu Tsukaya[1]

*Contributed equally to this work

Affiliations

[1]Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence

Corresponding Author: Hirokazu Tsukaya

Contact: tsukaya@bs.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Word counts & number of figures

Total (main body): 5730 words

Introduction: 993 words

Materials and Methods: 1156 words

Results: 1514 words

Discussion: 2067 words

Number of figures: 11

Number of supporting information: 1

Abstract

Leaf meristem is a cell proliferative zone present in the lateral organ primordia, and it contributes to the expansion of lateral organ lamina. In this study, we investigated how the proliferative zone affects the final morphology of the lateral organs. We examined how cell proliferative zones differ in the primordia of polar –auxin transport inhibitor (PATI)-treated leaves and floral organs from normal foliage leaf primordia of *Arabidopsis thaliana* with focus on the spatial accumulation pattern of mRNA and protein of *ANGUSTIFOLIA3* (*AN3*), a key element for leaf meristem positioning. As a result, we revealed that organ shape change by PATI treatment could not be attributed to changes in leaf-meristem positioning, size of the leaf meristem, or the expression pattern of *AN3*. Instead, it was attributed to altered cell division angles in the leaf meristem. In contrast, different shapes between sepals and petals compared with foliage leaves were observed to be correlated with both altered meristem position associated with altered *AN3* expression patterns and different distributions of cell division angles. These results strongly indicate that lateral organ shapes are regulated via two aspects: position of meristem and cell division angles; the former is mainly governed by the *AN3* expression pattern.

Key words

AN3, cell division, lateral organ, leaf meristem, morphology, polar-auxin-inhibitor

Introduction

The shape of the leaf plays an important role in determining its photosynthetic function. Moreover, the shape of floral organs, which are evolutionarily derived from

leaves, is also important for reproductive success. Therefore, the shape of these lateral

organs varies among species to maximize their survival ability in their natural habitats.

To understand these variations, it is important to understand their developmental

properties.

The center of morphogenesis in plants is the meristem, where active cell division

occurs. The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is essential for the production of new above-

ground organs. In terms of lateral organs, especially for leaf primordia, the leaf meristem

supplies cells to the leaf blade; thus, researchers have investigated the nature of the

meristem to understand the morphogenesis of lateral organs (e.g. Esau, 1977; Donnelly

et al., 1999; Kazama *et al*., 2010; Ichihashi *et al*., 2011).

In many angiosperms, such as *Arabidopsis thaliana*, the leaf meristem is at the

base of each leaf (Tsukaya, 2014). Cell proliferation initially occurs throughout the leaf

primordium but is restricted to its basal regions as the leaf primordium grows further

(Donnelly *et al.*, 1999; Kazama *et al.*, 2010). The control on the restriction of cell

proliferation zone is not completely understood, but a transcriptional coactivator called

ANGUSTIFOLIA3 (AN3)/GRF-INTERACTING FACTOR1(GIF1) is considered to

positively control cell proliferation in leaf primordia; the spatial patterns of AN3/GIF1

accumulation match well the cell proliferation zone, suggesting that AN3/GIF1 may act

as an important determinant in positioning the leaf meristem(Horiguchi *et al.*, 2005;

Kawade *et al.*, 2017) Cell division angles in the *AN3*-expressing region, except for areas

along the margin and vasculature, were observed to be randomized (Yin & Tsukaya, 2016).

AN3 encodes a protein that is homologous to the human synovial sarcoma translocation

protein (Horiguchi *et al.*, 2005; Nelissen *et al.*, 2015), and during leaf development, it is

expressed at the base of leaf primordia. AN3 protein moves (Kawade *et al.*, 2013) and

forms a gradient along the proximal-distal axis on the leaf, with the leaf base having the

highest concentration of AN3 protein (Kawade *et al.*) and thus is involved in the

positioning of the leaf meristem. However, how *AN3* expression is controlled within the

leaf meristem is still unknown.

AN3 is also involved in the morphogenesis of each floral organ (Lee *et al.*, 2009a,

2014). A petal in the *an3* mutant has a smaller number of cells and a narrower shape than

that of the wild type (Lee *et al.*, 2009b), as seen in the foliage leaves, suggesting a

common role of AN3 in leaf and floral organ primordia. However, cell proliferation is

active in the distal part of the petal primordia and not in the basal part, as in the leaf

primordia (Disch *et al.*, 2006; Anastasiou *et al.*, 2007). Thus, if AN3 has the same role

in the positional determination of the meristematic zone in petal primordia, AN3 proteins

are expected to accumulate apically and not basally in petal primordia; however, no

previous studies have examined it. Marginal/apical positioning of meristematic zone in

petals may be an ancestral character that is directly comparable to apical positioning of

SAM (Boyce, 2007). Although the basal positioning of the leaf meristem is common in

angiosperms, marginal/apical positioning in leaf primordia is also known in some ferns

and gymnosperms. Therefore, a comparison of leaf primordia with floral organ primordia

of the same species, with special emphasis on *AN3* expression, may contribute towards

understanding the roles and evolutionary history of differences in the positioning of cell

proliferation activity in the primordia of these lateral organs.

The venation pattern is an observed difference between the leaves of

angiosperms, ferns, and gymnosperms (Boyce, 2007). In the lateral organs of ferns and

gymnosperms harboring meristems along the apical margin, the leaf vein shows a

bifurcated pattern and is open at the end. In contrast, lobed and closed patterns are

common in eudicots including *A. thaliana*, and parallel patterns are common in monocots

(Dengler & Kang, 2001). Thus, the venation pattern may be important in regulating leaf

organogenesis. Mutants with an abnormal venation pattern mostly show altered leaf

shapes in *A. thaliana* (Candela *et al.*, 1999). Based on this correlation, an interaction

between spatial control of the leaf cell proliferation zone and leaf venation pattern has

been suggested (Boyce, 2007).

In vein development in the leaf, biosynthesis and transportation of the plant

hormone auxin (indole-3-acetic acid, IAA) plays an important role (Cheng *et al.*, 2006).

For example, *GNOM* and *PIN-FORMED* (*PIN*) genes that control polar auxin transport

(PAT) (Verna *et al.*, 2019) regulate the vein formation during leaf development. Members

of the PIN family have been extensively studied as major factors in PAT, the most famous

being PIN1 (Okada *et al.*, 1991). Mutations in many PIN genes induce defects in leaf vein

patterns, with wide and bifurcated midveins and altered leaf blade shapes (Sawchuk *et*

al., 2013).

Many PAT inhibitors (PATIs) have been used to examine the role of PAT in plant

organogenesis, including 2,3,5-triiodobenzoic acid (TIBA) and N-1-naphthylphthalamic

acid (NPA). Through indirect evidence, they bind to the same auxin efflux carriers to

inhibit their activity (Teale & Palme, 2018). When plants are treated with PATI, their

leaves exhibit abnormal leaf venation patterns, including very thick midveins and

marginal veins, similar to that of *pin1* mutants (Sieburth, 1999). In addition, leaf shape

becomes rounder and shorter than that of control plants. However, to date, no study has

examined the effect of PATI on the cell proliferation pattern in leaf primordia.

To completely understand the morphogenesis process of lateral organs, it is

necessary to understand the role of the lateral organ meristem on the final organ shape

and factors that affect the properties of meristems. In this study, we utilized PATI-treated

leaves and floral organs as leaves with altered venation patterns or modified leaves and

investigated the spatial position of cell proliferative area, cell division angle, and possible

factors that control the properties of lateral organ meristems, using AN3 as a key clue.

Materials and Methods

<u>Plant growth</u>

For analysis of the leaf primordia, *A. thaliana* Col-0 (wild type [WT]), or those

carrying　　*CYCLINB1;1*(*CYCB1;1*)::GUS,　　*an3-4*,　　*an3-4/pAtAN3::AN3-GREEN*

FLUORESCNT PROTEIN (*GFP*), *an3-4/pAtAN3::AN3-3xGFP*, *gl-s92f*, or *gl-s92f/an3*

were grown on sterile growth medium that contained $0.5 \times$ Murashige and Skoog medium

(MS, Wako, Osaka, Japan), 1% (w/v) sucrose (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), and 0.8%

(w/v) agar (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) (Wako, Osaka, Japan) adjusted to pH 5.8

(KOH). Approximately, 1 M PATI (TIBA and NPA) stocks were dissolved in dimethyl

sulfoxide and added to the medium to a final concentration of 10 μM. The medium

composition was based on that described by Sieburth (1999).

Seeds were sterilized by immersing in a solution of 2% (v/v) Plant Preservative

Mixture^{TM} (Plant Cell Technology, Washington, D.C., USA), 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100

(Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), and 50 mg/L $MgSO_4$ for 6 h or a solution of 10% (v/v)

sodium hypochlorite (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) and 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 5 min

and twice with sterile water prior to plating. The plates were incubated at 24°C under

constant illumination.

For analyses of the floral organ primordia, *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *an3-*

4/pAtAN3::AN3-1xGFP (Kawade *et al.*, 2013) were sown on rockwool (Toyobo, Osaka,

Japan) and grown under white fluorescent light conditions (ca. 40 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) at 22–

23°C supplied with water containing 1 g/L powder Hyponex (Hyponex, Osaka, Japan).

<u>GUS experiments</u>

Detection of β-glucuronidase (GUS) activity was carried out using 5-bromo-4-

chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucuronide (X-Gluc) as a substrate. Plant tissue was first placed in

90% (v/v) acetone on ice for 10 min, washed with sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and

then placed in X-Gluc buffer solution (0.5 mg/mL X-Gluc, 100 mM NaPO$_4$ (pH 7.0), 5

mM K$_3$Fe(CN)$_6$, 5 mM K$_4$Fe(CN)$_6$, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100) under

vacuum for 15 min or more and then placed in the dark at room temperature (ca. 20°C).

After GUS detection, plant tissues were rinsed in 70% (v/v) ethanol and fixed in

ethanol: acetic acid (6:1) solution. After chlorophyll was removed, the tissue was

preserved in 70% EtOH in the dark. Plant tissues were mounted on slides with chloral

hydrate solution (50 g chloral hydrate, 5 g glycerol, 12.5 mL distilled water) (Tsuge *et al.*,

1996) and observed under a microscope after the tissue became transparent enough.

<u>AN3-GFP observations</u>

Leaf primordia (5 days after sowing stage: DAS) and flower primordia of *A.*

thaliana an3-4/pAN3::AN3-GFP and *an3-4/pAN3::AN3-3xGFP* lines were fixed in 4%

(v/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 0.05% (v/v)

Triton X-100 by immersing them in the fixation mixture, deairing them for 10 min (for

leaf primordia) or 15 min (twice, for flower primordia) and placed at 4°C overnight.

The samples were then washed in PBS (10 min, twice) and stored in PBS at room

temperature for leaf primordia and at 4°C for flower primordia until observation.

The samples were then dissected using a sharp razor under the microscope. Leaf

primordia were mounted on slides with PBS and observed under a confocal microscope

(FV3000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with a GFP filter for leaf primordia and an upright

fluorescent microscope (DM4000; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) for

floral organ primordia.

<u>Data analysis on the position of leaf meristem and the arrest front</u>

This method was derived from Kazama *et al*. (2010) and Ikeuchi *et al.* (2011).

This method is used to determine the position of the leaf meristem. First, an image of a

leaf with a GUS expression pattern was prepared. The outer region of leaf was cropped,

and the image was rotated so that the leaf base was on the left side of the image. Then,

the blue region was extracted, and a binary image was created using ImageJ

(https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/). The number of white pixels was counted for each column, and

the arrest front was determined based on the definition of the point at which the ratio of

white pixels was half that of the maximum and farthest from the blade base. The distance

from the leaf base of each arrest front point was plotted for each condition in a box plot.

Statistical analysis was performed using the R software.

Similarly, the arrest front position was determined as following; first, a series of

z-stack images were stacked using ImageJ software. Stacked images with the outer side

of the leaf were cropped and rotated so that the leaf base was on the left side of the image.

The region with GFP fluorescence was extracted, and from this image, a binary image

was created. The number of black pixels was counted in each column. The arrest front

was determined based on the definition of the point at which the ratio of black pixels was

half that of the maximum and farthest from the blade base. The distance from the leaf

base of each arrest front point was divided by the leaf length because of the size difference

between lines. The obtained data were plotted for each condition in a box plot. Statistical

analysis was performed using the R software.

Observation of Aniline Blue signal

This method was derived from previous studies for the detection of newly

formed cell walls (Kuwabara & Nagata, 2006; Kuwabara *et al.*, 2011). Leaf primordia (7

DAS) of *A. thaliana gl1(glabra1)-s92f* and *gl1-s92f/an3-4* mutants and Col-0 flower

petals and sepals were first fixed in a mixture of ethanol and acetic acid (4:1, v/v) for 30

min and then rinsed in 100% ethanol. Then, the samples were immersed in a mixture of

ethanol and 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 9.0; 1:1, v/v) for 30 min and then in 100 mM

phosphate buffer (pH 9.0) for 10 min. Finally, the samples were immersed in a 0.02%

(w/v) solution of aniline blue in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 9.0) for at least 7 days and

up to 30 days at 4°C. Leaf primordia were mounted on slides with the staining solution

and observed under a confocal microscope (FV10C-PSU; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) under

UV excitation with a DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) filter. The data were

analyzed by taking each angle of the septum wall. Calculations were performed using

Microsoft® Excel.

Observation of EdU-marked cells

We used the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging Kit (Thermo Fischer

Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to visualize the cells in S phase. We dissected the

inflorescence of *A. thaliana* into several pieces and soaked the flower clusters into 10 μM

5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) solution in 1/2 MS medium with 1% sucrose for 3 h

under ~45 µmol m^{-2} s^{-1} white fluorescent light. The samples were washed with PBS

containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and fixed with FAA (37% [v/v] formaldehyde, 5% [v/v]

acetic acid, 50% [v/v] ethanol) and stored at 4°C. Subsequent fluorescent labeling with

Alexa Fluor 488 or 555 (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltman, MA, USA) was conducted

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Floral organs were mounted on slides, and

fluorescent dye signals conjugated to EdU were observed under fluorescent microscope

(DM4000; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany).

Results

<u>Change in the length of cell proliferation zone</u>

226   In the PATI-treated plants, the leaves were shorter and rounder than those of the

227 control plants (Fig. **1D-F**, Fig. **2**). The leaf vein pattern also differed in PATI-treated

228 leaves (Fig. **1D-F**, Fig. **2**); namely, the midvein was widened and bifurcated, the lateral

229 veins ran parallel to each other, and the veins adjacent to leaf margins were also widened.

230 These observations are consistent with those of previous reports (Mattsson *et al.*, 1999;

231 Sieburth, 1999; Sawchuk *et al.*, 2013).

232   To investigate the effect of inhibition of PAT on the leaf meristem, the cell

233 proliferation zone was observed in the leaf primordia of PATI-treated plants. The

234 *CYCB1;1::GUS* line is used to visualize dividing G2-M phase cells (Donnelly *et al.*,

235 1999). The first and second rosette leaves of 6-DAS seedlings for control plants and 7-

236 day-old seedlings for PATI-treated plants were used for this experiment, considering the

237 growth retardation observed in the PATI-treated plants.

238   In the PATI-treated plants, the cell proliferation zone remained in the proximal

239 position, similar to the control plants (Fig. **1A-C**). The images were processed to further

240 examine the positioning of the cell proliferation zone (Fig. **3**). We observed that both the

241 length of the cell proliferation zone from the leaf base and the total ratio of cell

242 proliferation zone to the total leaf length were found to be increased in PATI-treated leaves

243 (Fig. **4**).

245 <u>*AN3* mRNA and AN3 protein localization in leaf primordia</u>

246  To investigate how the length of the cell proliferation zone increased, we

247 examined the localization of *AN3*, a positive regulator of cell proliferation. As AN3

248 protein can move between cells, two lines, *an3-4/pAtAN3::AN3-GFP* and *an3-*

249 *4/pAtAN3::AN3-3xGFP*, were used for the observation. The former can detect actual

250 protein localization, whereas the latter is used to monitor mRNA localization because it

251 represents accumulation of the protein without cell-to-cell movement ability (Kawade *et*

252 *al.*, 2013, 2017). The first and second rosette leaves of 5 DAS seedlings were used for

253 this experiment.

254  We observed that the overall localization of AN3 under PATI treatment did not

255 change from that of the control; it remained in the proximal region (Fig. **5**). This confirms

256 the observation in the cell proliferation zone described above. The *AN3*-expressing

257 regions were also determined using the same image processing method used for the

258 analysis of cell proliferation zone. As a result, the *AN3*-mRNA expressing regions were

259 slightly longer in the PATI-treated leaves than in control; in NPA-treated leaves,

260 significant differences were detected compared with that of the control. However, protein

261 localization did not show a statistical difference between TIBA- and NPA-treated plants

262 (Fig. **6**). Therefore, even though changes in *AN3* mRNA expression pattern may influence

263 the increase in length in the cell proliferation regions, the spatial gradient of AN3 protein

along the longitudinal axis of leaf primordia did not change. Thus, the influence of PATI

treatment on the AN3 protein accumulation pattern is rather limited.

In contrast, when *an3-4/pAtAN3::AN3-3xGFP* were treated with PATI, we

recognized that *AN3* localization was missing in the vasculature region. This missing

localization was also observed in control conditions, but in PATI-treated leaves, the

vasculature was very thick, and therefore, the absence was recognizable (Fig. **1D-F**, Fig.

5).

<u>Cell division angles in leaves</u>

Based on the changes in the size or pattern of the cell proliferation zone, the

observed leaf-shape change by PATI treatment could not be explained. Analysis of cell

division angles was performed to further investigate how it affects organ shape under

PATI treatment. We used the *gl1-s92f* mutant and *gl1-s92f/an3-4* double mutants for this

experiment. We used *gl1-s92f* mutants that lacked trichomes as a control, because

trichomes obstruct cell division plane observation using aniline blue staining. The angles

were determined against the proximal-distal axis, starting from the leaf base and parallel

to the midvein for the first and second rosette leaves of 7 DAS seedlings (Fig. **8**; Fig. **S1**).

As a result, a variety of cell division angle patterns were observed (Fig. **7A**, **B**,

Fig. **8**). In the control plants, the cell division angle occurred around 130°–140° (Fig. **7A**).

In PATI-treated leaves, this peak was less evident, and cell division was likely to occur in

all directions (Fig. **7A**). This may have contributed to changes in leaf shape, with rounder

and shorter leaves, when treated with PATI (Fig. **1D-F**, Fig. **2**).

Cell division angle investigation was also performed in the *an3-4* mutant plants.

In the *an3-4* mutant without PATI, the peak of cell division angle was around 140–150°,

which differed from that of the control condition (Fig. **7A, B**), suggesting that AN3 might

shift the cell division angle to smaller angles, that is, a shift from proximo-distal to medio-

lateral direction. In the *an3* mutant treated with PATI, however, the distribution of cell

division angle became similar to that of WT plants treated with PATI (Fig. **7A, B**). This

suggests that the randomizing effect of PATI on cell division angle is superior to that of

the *an3* genotype of the plant biasing cell division angle to the proximo-distal axis. In

addition, there was a specific pattern change in the cell division angle of each PATI type

(TIBA and NPA).

<u>The position of cell proliferative area in floral organs</u>

Although floral organs such as sepals, petals, stamens, and carpels are homeotic

to leaves, their shapes are absolutely different. Even between sepals and petals, both of

which are planar organs, there are distinct differences in shape in *A. thaliana*. In the distal

part, the sepal is narrower, and the petal is wider. In this aspect, foliage leaves are

narrower in the distal part, similar to sepals.

We observed cell proliferation patterns in floral organ primordia to investigate

whether these patterns might influence the difference in the final organ shape. To visualize

dividing cells in floral organs, we used EdU. In a sepal, cell division was observed in the

basal part of the organ primordia through the observed developmental stages (Fig. **10**),

which resembled to that in leaves. In contrast, cell division in the petal primordia was

observed in whole organ when the organ was around 100–150 μm in length, and the distal

and marginal regions when the organ was around 400 μm in length (Fig. **10**), which

marked a clear difference from that of leaves and sepals. A similar tendency was also

observed in the aniline-blue-stained samples (Fig. **9**).

AN3 protein localization in floral organs

As AN3 is a key regulator of the leaf meristem position, and it promotes the cell

division of petals and sepals, we suspected that AN3 protein accumulation patterns may

be different between them, which have different positions of the cell proliferative area.

AN3-GFP signals were only observed in the basal part of sepal organ primordia, whereas

the signals were observed in the distal region through the observed developmental stages

in petal organ primordia. Moreover, in terms of petal primordia, sparse signals were also

observed in the central region and the basal part in the later stage 9 (Fig. **10**), where EdU

signals were rarely observed.

Phenotype of *an3* mutant in sepal

It is reported that *an3* mutants have narrower petals and lesser number of cells

than that of the WT (Horiguchi *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2009a); the sepal phenotype has

not been investigated. In order to investigate whether AN3 maintains leaf meristem in

sepal primordia, which was suggested by the AN3 protein accumulation pattern and

proliferative area, we compared the phenotype of *an3-4* and the WT in the sepals (Fig.

11A–B). The area of the organ was smaller in *an3* than in the WT (Fig. **11C**), and we also

observed that the *an3* mutant had less complex veins as compared to that of the WT,

which was evaluated based on the number of secondary and higher veins in the m-shaped

or two n-shaped primary veins (Fig. **11C, D**).

 <u>Cell division angles in floral organs</u>

     To observe the influence of cell division angle on the final shape of floral organs,

 cell division angle analysis was conducted in the sepals and petals. Flowers at stages 8–

 10 were used in this experiment to observe active cell proliferation (Alvarez-Buylla *et al.*,

 2010).

     The cell division angle in floral organs peaked at approximately 60°–90° and

 140°–180° (Fig. **8**). This twin peak pattern is different from the cell division angle in

 leaves, which only had one peak (Fig. **7A, B**). Because sepals and leaves had similar

 localization of the cell proliferation zone, we expected that the distribution of cell-

 division angles would be similar, but on the contrary, the overall tendency of cell division

 in sepals was similar to that of petals. This suggests that the pattern of cell division angles

 is not associated with the localization of the cell proliferation zone but with organ identity.

     The cell divisions with the 60°–90° peak, which were divisions in the

 mediolateral direction of the primordia, were identified mostly in the central regions of

 the petal primordia. In contrast, the divisions that corresponded to the 140°–180° peak,

 which were divisions in the proximo-distal direction, were identified mostly in the

 marginal regions of the petal primordia (Fig. **9**).

 Discussion

     In this study, we examined how cell proliferative zones differ in the primordia

 of PATI-treated leaves and floral organs from normal foliage leaf primordia of *A. thaliana*

 with focus on the spatial expression pattern of *AN3*, a key element for leaf meristem

 positioning (Kawade *et al.*, 2010). As a result, we revealed that organ shape change by

 PATI treatment cannot be attributed to changes in leaf-meristem positioning, size of the

leaf meristem, or the expression pattern of *AN3* and is rather attributed to altered cell

division angles in the leaf meristem. In contrast, different shapes of sepals and petals

compared with foliage leaves were found to be correlated with both altered meristem

position associated with altered *AN3* expression patterns and different distributions of cell

division angles. These results strongly indicate that lateral organ shapes are regulated via

two aspects: position of meristem and cell division angles; the former is mainly governed

by the *AN3* expression pattern. In the following sections, several aspects of the above

findings are discussed.

The position of leaf meristem in PATI-treated plants

When *A. thaliana* plants were treated with PATI, the cell proliferation zone in

leaf primordia did not show any changes in terms of localization. However, both the

length of the cell proliferation zone in leaf primordia and the ratio of the cell proliferation

zone occupying the primordia were observed to be increased (Fig. **4**). As previously

reported (Sieburth, 1999), PATI-treated leaves were rounder and shorter, whereas a longer

proliferation zone would be expected to produce a longer leaf. Instead, changes in the cell

division angles could be attributed to the altered leaf shape.

In this study, it was observed that even when PAT was inhibited, there was no

change in the basal expression pattern of *AN3* (Fig. **5**). The *AN3* mRNA expression zone

was slightly expanded to the distal direction in the expression zone ratio, although the

actual length was not significantly different (Fig. **6**). Indeed, the final AN3 protein

distribution remained unchanged under PATI treatment (Fig. **6**). Moreover, the results for

NPA and TIBA showed the different trend; while TIBA increased the mRNA localization

zone and protein localization of AN3, NPA increased *AN3* mRNA localization zone but

did not affect protein localization of AN3. In either case, *AN3* mRNA expression and AN3

protein diffusion were not regulated by auxin.

We also observed that *AN3* was not expressed in leaf veins (Fig. **5**). This trend

was seen in PATI-treated leaves as well as in control conditions; however, it was not

evident because the veins in control conditions were much thinner than those of PATI-

treated leaves (Fig. **1D-F**). This may happen if *AN3* expression is shut down in

differentiated vascular cells, which may imply that the vasculature differentiation by

auxin is superior to cell proliferation maintenance by AN3.

<u>Cell division angle and leaf shape</u>

A longer proliferation zone is expected to make a longer leaf; however, in PATI

treatment, it produced shorter leaves. The cell division is also an important factor in both

leaf development and leaf vein architecture (Kang *et al.*, 2007). In this study, analysis of

the cell division angle revealed that the pattern differed between PATI-treated plants (Fig.

7A). This difference in the pattern could be a cause for the differences in leaf shape.

Namely, in comparison with control leaf primordia that had major division angles in

130°–140°, PATI-treated leaf primordia had dispersed division angles in many directions,

forming a round and short leaf, which matched the phenotype (Fig. **1D-F**, Fig. **3**). We

observed the *an3* mutant tended to divide around 140°–150°, which partially explains the

narrow leaf phenotype of *an3* mutants, as cell division along the proximal-distal axis was

increased.

 <u>Determining cell division angle in leaves</u>

In this study, there were two components that changed the cell division angle:

PAT and AN3. When PAT was inhibited, the peak in the cell division angle distribution

became less evident (Fig. **7A**). As auxin flow controls vascular cell polarity (Linh *et al.*,

2018), and plays a role in cell division angle (Yin & Tsukaya, 2016), it is possible that the

cells divide in the direction of auxin flow. In contrast, both NPA and TIBA affect actin

dynamics (Teale & Palme, 2018; Zou *et al.*, 2019) that can affect cytoskeletal regulation

of cytokinesis, and may be one of the underlying reasons for the change in cell division

angle.

Additionally, in the *an3* mutant, cell division along the proximal-distal axis

accounted for a larger proportion of cells than that in the WT (Fig. **7B**). The increase in

cell division along the proximal-distal axis may be due to changes in the overall direction

of cell division during leaf development. In a previous report, the phase of cell division

was divided into two phases: the first has more divisions along the proximal-distal axis

than the second phase (Horiguchi *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, in *an3* mutants, the transition

from the first to the second phase does not occur before the termination of cell division

activity (Horiguchi *et al.*, 2011). The shift in the peak of the cell division angle observed

in the *an3* mutant reflects this failure in shifting to the second phase of cell proliferation,

which confirms the results of previous studies. Therefore, AN3 functions in the transition

to second phase of cell division, and as a result, cells divide along the proximal-distal axis

in the absence of AN3. More precisely, AN3 might promote the shift of the cell division

angle from the proximo-distal to medio-lateral direction.

In addition, in *an3* mutants treated with PATI, the cell division angles were

similar to those of WT treated with PATI (Fig. **7A, B**). This suggests that the randomizing

effect of PATI on cell division angle is superior to that of AN3 and that the loss of PAT

results in cell division in random directions irrespective of the presence or absence of

AN3.

<u>Position of meristematic tissue determines the final floral organ shape</u>

The final organ shape is determined by various factors, such as acceleration and

deceleration of proliferation, oriented cell division and expansion, and the meristem

position (Tsukaya, 2018). In this study, we showed that the position of the cell

proliferative area was completely different between sepals and petals, which are

morphologically different. The petal of *A. thaliana* with a modest fan shape has a

proliferative region in the distal part, which is similar to ferns with fan-shaped

morphology that is rare in angiosperm leaves, coinciding with leaf meristem at the apical

margin (Boyce, 2007; Tsukaya, 2014, 2018). This suggests that the morphological

differences between sepals and petals could be partly explained by the meristematic

position in each organ.

Although predominant cell division occurs in submarginal plate meristem to

widen the leaf blade area in leaf primordia (e.g., Poethig and Sussex, 1985), it was also

shown that marginal meristem residing in the margin of the primordia also exists (Alvarez

et al., 2016). Alvarez et al. (2016) showed that when NGATHA and CINCINNATA-class-

TCP were knocked down, indeterminate marginal growth occurred in the entire margin

of the leaf blade, suggesting potential meristem activity in this area. Interestingly,

marginal growth occurs only in the distal region of floral organs, including sepals and

petals. Although in our experiments, active cell division occurred in the proximal part of

the sepal primordia, it is possible that the potential marginal meristem in the distal part

also contributes to the elaborate final shape of the sepal (Floyd & Bowman, 2010). In

terms of petals, active cell division occurs in the distal margin in the first place; therefore,

the marginal meristem may contribute more than leaves or sepals. Since the activity of

meristem in the distal marginal area of a blade has been discussed as an ancestral character

(Floyd & Bowman, 2010), petals may retain this developmental character (Boyce, 2007).

We observed EdU signals in the entire margin of the petal primordia at least until the

organ size was 400 μm in length, even in the proximal region. Considering the results of

Alvarez et al. (2016) on leaf primordia, the nature of proliferative cells in marginal areas

is different among different organs.

<u>Determining factors for the meristematic position</u>

Several key genes are known to positively control cell proliferation in the leaf

meristem (Nakata *et al.*, 2012; Ichihashi & Tsukaya, 2015). Among them, the AN3-

protein-accumulated region matches well with the cell proliferative area in leaf primordia

(Kawade *et al.*, 2017), suggesting that AN3 is an important determinant of the leaf

meristem position. Moreover, the smaller size of a petal in *an3* or triple knockdown of

the GIF family (Kim & Kende, 2004; Horiguchi *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2009a) suggested

that AN3 is also involved in the promotion of cell proliferation in the petal. However, its

functioning zone in primordia has not been well investigated. In this study, we showed

that AN3-expressed region overlapped well with the cell proliferative area in both sepals

and petals, as observed in leaf primordia. In addition, we first showed that sepals in *an3*

mutants are likely to have a smaller number of cells as petals or foliage leaves. These

results strongly suggest that AN3 functions as a determinant of the meristematic position

and activity in floral organs. In the past, JAGGED (JAG) was examined as a candidate

of a regulatory gene for the specific morphology in the Arabidopsis petal differed from

leaves, from the following points: the loss-of-function *jag* mutant has narrower and

shorter petals, the *JAG* over-expressor has larger petals; its mRNA is expressed distal

margin (Sauret-Güeto et al. 2013). At that time point JAG was the only one candidate

'organizer' that gives the petal with a pattern of growth orientations that fans out. But

now AN3 became to be an additional candidate that fulfils the required, above-mentioned

conditions. Indeed *AN3* was identified as a direct target of JAG (Schiessl *et al*. 2014). The

role of AN3 as the 'organizer' should be examined carefully in the future.

However, in terms of petal primordia, AN3 protein signals were also observed

in the less proliferative area. This might be due to a lack of associating transcriptional

factors such as GROWTH REGULATING FACTOR5 (GRF5), which is necessary to

promote cell proliferation in leaf primordia (Horiguchi et al., 2005), in such regions.

Alternatively, because signals in the proximal part were not as strong as those in the distal

region, the concentration of AN3 proteins might not be enough to promote cell division

to the extent that EdU was incorporated.

The regulation of meristem position floral organ identity genes needs to be

investigated (Coen and Meyerowitz, 1991). Honma and Goto (2001) and Pelaz et al.

(2001) revealed that when A genes (*APELATA1*) and B genes (*APELATA3* and

PISTILATA) were ectopically expressed together with *SEPALLATA2/3* in rosette leaves,

the rosette leaves obtained petal identity, and the color and cell shape became petal-like.

However, the overall organ shape has not yet been investigated in detail. From our results,

the transformed organ is not fan-shaped, but has a taper off shape, which is similar to

rosette leaves, cauline leaves, and sepals. This suggests that factors other than the floral

identity homeotic genes control the final organ shape. Revealing the mechanisms of *AN3*

expression control might shed light on this possibility.

The leaves of some gymnosperms and ferns are considered to grow from the

meristem in the distal margin. The positioning of these meristems may also be

determined by the spatial distribution of leaf meristem-controlling genes such as

AN3/GIF1. As *GIF* family genes exist in most eukaryotic organisms, including the basal

land plants, *Marchantia polymoprha*, *Physcomitrium patens*, and *Sellaginella*

moellendorffi (Kim and Tsukaya, 2015), further analyses of the *GIFs* in gymnosperms

and ferns will answer this question.

<u>Determining cell division angle in floral organs</u>

In this study, the cell division pattern in floral organ primordia was investigated

for its possible roles in each floral organ morphology, and both the petals and sepals

showed a pattern with twin peaks in the distribution of cell division angles, which was

different from that of leaf primordia that had only a single peak (Fig. 8). Although both

sepal and leaf primordia have a cell proliferation zone in the basal region, the cell division

angle was controlled differently, which may imply that cell division angles depend on

organ identity and affect their final shapes.

In the petal, the cell division occurred at 60°–90° angle in the central regions,

and the cell division with the 140°–180° angle was mostly in the marginal regions,

whereas such a pattern was not seen in the sepals (Fig. **9**). This difference may cause

differences in shape between sepals and petals, and petal shape, with the distal part being

wider than the proximal part, may be caused by the cell divisions that contribute to width

in the marginal regions. Thus, we revealed that lateral organ shapes are regulated by two

factors: the position of the cell proliferative zone governed by the spatial expression

pattern of *AN3* and cell division angles. Even in one species, by changing these two

factors, a variety of lateral organ shapes could be realized.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank MEXT and the Graduate Program for Leaders in Life Innovation

(GPLLI)/World-leading Innovative Graduate Study Program for Life Science and

Technology (WINGS-LST) of the University of Tokyo for providing microscope facilities.

Author Contributions

AK, MN, and HT designed the experiments; AK and MN performed the experiments and

analyzed the data; AK, MN, and HT wrote the manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows (AK, #19J14140) and a

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovation Areas (HT, #25113002 and

19H05672) from MEXT and GPLLI/WINGS-LST of the University of Tokyo (AK).

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest.

Data Availability

Data available on request from the authors.

References

Alvarez-Buylla ER, Benítez M, Corvera-Poiré A, Chaos Cador Á, de Folter S,

Gamboa de Buen A, Garay-Arroyo A, García-Ponce B, Jaimes-Miranda F, Pérez-

Ruiz R V., *et al.* 2010. Flower Development. *The Arabidopsis Book* **8**: e0127.

Alvarez JP, Furumizu C, Efroni I, Eshed Y, Bowman JL. 2016. Active suppression

of a leaf meristem orchestrates determinate leaf growth. *eLife* **5**: e15023.

Anastasiou E, Kenz S, Gerstung M, MacLean D, Timmer J, Fleck C, Lenhard M.

2007. Control of plant organ size by KLUH/CYP78A5-dependent intercellular

signaling. *Developmental Cell* **13**: 843–856.

Boyce CK. 2007. Mechanisms of laminar growth in morphologically convergent leaves

and flower petals. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **168**: 1151–1156.

Candela H, Martínez-Laborda A, Luis Micol J. 1999. Venation pattern formation in

Arabidopsis thaliana vegetative leaves. *Developmental Biology* **205**: 205–216.

Cheng Y, Dai X, Zhao Y. 2006. Auxin biosynthesis by the YUCCA flavin

monooxygenases controls the formation of floral organs and vascular tissues in

Arabidopsis. *Genes and Development* **20**: 1790–1799.

Coen ES, Meyerowitz EM. 1991. The war of the whorls: genetic interactions

controlling flower development. *Nature* **353**: 31–37.

Dengler N, Kang J. 2001. Vascular patterning and leaf shape. *Current Opinion in*

Plant Biology **4**: 50–56.

Disch S, Anastasiou E, Sharma VK, Laux T, Fletcher JC, Lenhard M. 2006. The

E3 ubiquitin ligase BIG BROTHER controls Arabidopsis organ size in a dosage-

dependent manner. *Current Biology* **16**: 272–279.

Donnelly PM, Bonetta D, Tsukaya H, Dengler RE, Dengler NG. **1999**. Cell cycling

and cell enlargement in developing leaves of *Arabidopsis*. *Developmental Biology* **215**:

407–419.

Esau K. **1977**. *Anatomy of Seed Plants, 2nd Edition*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Floyd SK, Bowman JL. **2010**. Gene expression patterns in seed plant shoot meristems

and leaves: Homoplasy or homology? *Journal of Plant Research* **123**: 43–55.

Horiguchi G, Kim GT, Tsukaya H. **2005**. The transcription factor AtGRF5 and the

transcription coactivator AN3 regulate cell proliferation in leaf primordia of

Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Journal* **43**: 68–78.

Horiguchi G, Nakayama H, Ishikawa N, Kubo M, Demura T, Fukuda H, Tsukaya

H. **2011**. *ANGUSTIFOLIA3* plays roles in adaxial/abaxial patterning and growth in leaf

morphogenesis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **52**: 112–124.

Ichihashi Y, Kawade K, Usami T, Horiguchi G, Takahashi T, Tsukaya H. **2011**.

Key proliferative activity in the junction between the leaf blade and leaf petiole of

Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **157**: 1151–1162.

Ichihashi Y, Tsukaya H. **2015**. Behavior of leaf meristems and their modification.

Frontiers in Plant Science **6**: 1–8.

Ikeuchi M, Yamaguchi T, Kazama T, Ito T, Horiguchi G, Tsukaya H. **2011**.

ROTUNDIFOLIA4 regulates cell proliferation along the body axis in arabidopsis shoot.

Plant and Cell Physiology **52**: 59–69.

Kang J, Mizukami Y, Wang H, Fowke L, Dengler NG. **2007**. Modification of cell

proliferation patterns alters leaf vein architecture in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Planta* **226**:

1207–1218.

Kawade K, Horiguchi G, Tsukaya H. **2010**. Non-cell-autonomously coordinated

organ size regulation in leaf development. *Development* **137**: 4221–4227.

Kawade K, Horiguchi G, Usami T, Hirai MY, Tsukaya H. **2013**. ANGUSTIFOLIA3

signaling coordinates proliferation between clonally distinct cells in leaves. *Current*

Biology **23**: 788–792.

Kawade K, Tanimoto H, Horiguchi G, Tsukaya H. **2017**. Spatially different tissue-

scale diffusivity shapes ANGUSTIFOLIA3 gradient in growing leaves. *Biophysical*

Journal **113**: 1109–1120.

Kazama T, Ichihashi Y, Murata S, Tsukaya H. **2010**. The mechanism of cell cycle

arrest front progression explained by a *KLUH/CYP78A5*-dependent mobile growth

factor in developing leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **51**:

1046–1054.

Kim JH, Kende H. **2004**. A transcriptional coactivator, AtGIF1, is involved in

regulating leaf growth and morphology in *Arabidopsis*. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **101**: 13374–13379.

Kuwabara A, Backhaus A, Malinowski R, Bauch M, Hunt L, Nagata T, Monk N,

Sanguinetti G, Fleming A. **2011**. A shift toward smaller cell size via manipulation of

cell cycle gene expression acts to smoothen arabidopsis Leaf Shape. *Plant Physiology*

224: 761–770.

Kuwabara A, Nagata T. **2006**. Cellular basis of developmental plasticity observed in

heterophyllous leaf formation of Ludwigia arcuata (Onagraceae). *Planta* **224**: 761–770.

Lee BH, Ko JH, Lee S, Lee Y, Pak JH, Kim JH. **2009a**. The Arabidopsis *GRF-*

INTERACTING FACTOR gene family performs an overlapping function in determining

organ size as well as multiple developmental properties. *Plant Physiology* **151**: 655–

668.

Lee BH, Ko JH, Lee S, Lee Y, Pak JH, Kim JH. **2009b**. The Arabidopsis GRF-

Interacting Factor gene family performs an overlapping function in determining organ

size as well as multiple. *Plant Physiology* **151**: 655–668.

Lee BH, Wynn AN, Franks RG, Hwang Y sic, Lim J, Kim JH. **2014**. The

arabidopsis thaliana GRF-interacting factor gene family plays an essential role in

control of male and female reproductive development. *Developmental Biology* **386**: 12–

24.

Linh NM, Verna C, Scarpella E. **2018**. Coordination of cell polarity and the

patterning of leaf vein networks. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **41**: 116–124.

Mattsson J, Sung ZR, Berleth T. **1999**. Responses of plant vascular systems to auxin

transport inhibition. *Development* **126**: 2979–2991.

Nakata M, Matsumoto N, Tsugeki R, Rikirsch E, Laux T, Okada K. **2012**. Roles of

the middle domain-specific *WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX* genes in early

development of leaves in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **24**: 519–535.

Nelissen H, Eeckhout D, Demuynck K, Persiau G, Walton A, van Bel M, Vervoort

M, Candaele J, De Block J, Aesaert S, *et al.* 2015. Dynamic changes in

ANGUSTIFOLIA3 complex composition reveal a growth regulatory mechanism in the

maize leaf. *Plant Cell* **27**: 1605–1619.

Okada K, Ueda J, Komaki MK, Bell CJ, Shimura Y. **1991**. Requirement of the auxin

polar transport system in early stages of *Arabidopsis* floral bud formation. *The Plant*

Cell **3**: 677–684.

Pelaz S, Gustafson-Brown C, Kohalmi SE, Crosby WL, Yanofsky MF. **2001**.

APETALA1 and *SEPALLATA3* interact to promote flower development. *The Plant*

Journal **26**: 385–394.

Poethig RS, Sussex IM. **1985**. The cellular parameters of leaf development in tobacco:

a clonal analysis. *Planta* **165**: 170–184.

Sawchuk MG, Edgar A, Scarpella E. **2013**. Patterning of leaf vein networks by

convergent auxin transport pathways. *PLoS Genetics* **9**: e1003294.

Sieburth LE. **1999**. Auxin is required for leaf vein pattern in Arabidopsis. *Plant*

Physiology **121**: 1179–1190.

Teale W, Palme K. **2018**. Naphthylphthalamic acid and the mechanism of polar auxin

transport. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **69**: 303–312.

Tsuge T, Tsukaya H, Uchimiya H. **1996**. Two independent and polarized processes of

cell elongation regulate leaf blade expansion in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L) Heynh.

Development **122**: 1589–1600.

Tsukaya H. **2014**. Comparative leaf development in angiosperms. *Current Opinion in*

Plant Biology **17**: 103–109.

Tsukaya H. **2018**. Leaf shape diversity with an emphasis on leaf contour variation,

developmental background, and adaptation. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental*

Biology **79**: 48–57.

Verna C, Ravichandran SJ, Sawchuk MG, Linh NM, Scarpella E. **2019**.

Coordination of tissue cell polarity by auxin transport and signaling. *eLife* **8**: e51061.

Yin X, Tsukaya H. **2016**. A pulse-chase strategy for EdU labelling assay is able to

rapidly quantify cell division orientation. *The New phytologist* **211**: 1462–1469.

Zou M, Ren H, Li J. 2019. An auxin transport inhibitor targets villin-mediated actin

dynamics to regulate polar auxin transport. *Plant Physiology* **181**: 161–178.

Control   TIBA   NPA

Fig. 1 Cell proliferation is visualized by GUS staining in PATI-treated *CYCB1;1::GUS*.

A: 6 DAS control, B: 7 DAS TIBA-treated, C: 7 DAS NPA-treated leaf primordia.

D: 12 DAS control, E: 12 DAS TIBA-treated, F: 12 DAS NPA-treated leaf primordia.

Leaf primordia of similar length were compared.

Scale bars: A: 100 μm, B, C: 200 μm, D-F: 500 μm

Fig. 2 Leaf length/width ratio of leaf primordia at cell proliferation stage.

From left to right, data on control, TIBA-treated, and NPA-treated leaf primordia are

shown, n = 4, Dunnett's test ***: $p < 0.001$

Fig. 3 Determination of cell proliferation zone.

A: Binary images of GUS-stained leaf primordia. Scale bar: 50 μm

B: The ratio of white pixels from a binary image was calculated, and the length of the cell

proliferation zone (more than 50% of the white pixels) was determined. The red arrow

indicates the end of the cell proliferation zone.

683 **Fig. 4** Length and the ratio of cell proliferation occupying leaf primordia.

684 A: Length of cell proliferation zone from leaf base

685 B: The ratio of cell proliferation zone to the total leaf length.

686 n = 8, Dunnett's test, ***: $p < 0.001$

Fig. 5 GFP localization of *an3/pAtAN3::AN3-3xGFP* (A-C) and *an3/pAtAN3::AN3-GFP*

(D-F).

Control (A, D), TIBA-treated (B, E), and NPA-treated (C, F) leaf primordia are shown.

White dotted lines indicate GFP-localized area, and magenta lines indicate vasculature.

Fig. 6 Ratio of AN3 mRNA and protein-localized area to the total length of leaf primordia.

From left, *an3/pAtAN3::AN3-GFP* Control, TIBA and NPA; *an3/pAtAN3::AN3-3xGFP*

Control, TIBA and NPA. n = 4, Dunnett's test, *: $p < 0.05$. No mark implies no statistically

significant difference was observed.

Fig. 7 Cell division angles in leaf and floral organs. Four samples were investigated for

each condition.

A: From left, WT, TIBA, NPA; 1221, 1158, 1061 pair of cells were analyzed, respectively.

B: From left, *an3* mutant, TIBA (*an3* mutant), NPA (*an3* mutant); 971, 703 and 604 pair

of cells were analyzed, respectively.

Fig. 8 Cell division angles in floral organs. Four samples were investigated for each condition.

From left, WT sepal, WT petal; 56 and 222 pair of cells were analyzed, respectively.

Fig. 9 Cell division angle distribution in floral organs. The angles are mapped to the right

half of the diagram, as the organs are symmetrical.

The magenta dots indicate the cell division angle in 180°–140° (the upper peak in Fig.

8), the green dots indicate 90°-60° (the lower peak in Fig. 8), and the black dots indicate

the angles that are in neither peak. n = 3. A: Sepal; 46 pair of cells were analyzed. B:

Petal; 159 pair of cells were analyzed.

Fig. 10 AN3-1xGFP signals and EdU staining in sepal and petal primordia.

The younger primordia are shown in the left column. Normal transmitted light

microscope images are shown on the right side of each fluorescent microscope image.

The yellow line shows areas with clear AN3-1xGFP signals. Magnified views in each

square of the sepal primordium are shown on the upper right.

Bar = 50 μm

656 **Fig. 11** Phenotype of the sepal in the *an3-4* and the wild type. (A, B) Images of the

657 sepal in each genotype, *an3-4* and the wild type. Some cuts were made to flatten the

658 organs. (C) The area of sepals in each genotype. (D) Two patterns of primary veins

659 defined in this study. (E) The number of higher veins of the sepals in each genotype. *

660 *p* < 0.05, *** *p* < 0.001, Bar = 500 μm