The retrotransposon R2 maintains Drosophila ribosomal DNA repeats

Jonathan O. Nelson[1], Alyssa Slicko[1,3], and Yukiko M. Yamashita[1,2,3*]

[1] Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research,

[2]Department of Biology, MIT,

[3]Howard Hughes Medical Institute,

455 Main Street, Cambridge, MA 02142

*Corresponding Author

Ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) account for 80-90% of all transcripts in eukaryotic cells[1]. To meet this demand, the ribosomal DNA (rDNA) gene that codes for rRNA is tandemly repeated hundreds of times, comprising rDNA loci on eukaryotic chromosomes[2–6]. This repetitiveness imposes a challenge to maintaining sufficient copy number due to spontaneous intra-chromatid recombination between repetitive units causing copy number loss[7]. The progressive shrinking of rDNA loci from generation to generation could lead to extinction of the lineage[8], yet the mechanism(s) to counteract spontaneous copy number loss remained unclear. Here, we show that the rDNA-specific retrotransposon R2 is essential for rDNA copy number (CN) maintenance in the Drosophila male germline, despite the perceived disruptive nature of transposable elements. Depletion of R2 led to defective rDNA CN maintenance in multiple contexts, causing a decline in fecundity over generations and eventual extinction of the lineage. Our data suggests that DNA double strand breaks generated by R2 is the initiating event of rDNA CN expansion, stimulating the repair processes proposed to underlie rDNA CN expansion[9]. This study reveals that retrotransposons can provide a benefit to their hosts, contrary to their reputation as genomic parasitic, which may contribute to their widespread success throughout taxa.

rDNA loci contain the hundreds of tandemly repeated copies of rRNA genes needed to support cellular viability. Ironically, this repetitive structure is susceptible to intra-chromatid recombination that causes rDNA CN loss **(Fig. 1a)**, which is a major cause of replicative senescence in budding yeast[8]. Evidence of similar rDNA CN instability has been noted in some tissues from aged dogs and humans[10,11]. Critically, age-associated rDNA CN loss also occurs in the Drosophila male germline and is inherited by the next generation[12]. The essential yet unstable nature of rDNA raises the question as to how the degeneration of rDNA loci over successive generations is prevented to avoid the extinction of the lineage. Intensive studies have revealed that sister chromatid recombination mediates rDNA CN expansion in yeast, thereby maintaining

rDNA repeat abundance over generations[8,13]. rDNA CN is variable between individuals of most

species but maintained within a consistent range throughout the population[2–6], implying that trans-

generational dynamic rDNA CN changes (loss and restoration) are a common feature of rDNA

maintenance. However, the mechanism of trans-generational rDNA CN maintenance in

multicellular organisms has remained a mystery. Over 50 years ago, the phenomenon of 'rDNA

magnification' in Drosophila was first described as the process wherein aberrant rDNA loci

bearing minimal rDNA repeats recover a normal rDNA CN[14]. Similar to yeast, rDNA magnification

was shown to require genes involved in homologous recombination-mediated repair, which can

create duplications of tandemly repeated elements[15]. Despite the robust rDNA CN expansion

activity, it remained unclear if the mechanisms of rDNA magnification served a physiological

function in natural populations. We recently demonstrated that offspring who inherited reduced

rDNA CN from old fathers could also recover normal rDNA CN in their germline[12]. This recovery

depends on the same set of the genes as rDNA magnification, leading us to propose that rDNA

magnification is a manifestation of the physiological mechanisms to maintain rDNA CN across

generations[16]. However, the underlying factors responsible for rDNA magnification and their

normal physiological functions remain poorly understood.

R2 knockdown compromises GSC maintenance

Metazoan rDNA genes are frequently inserted by rDNA-specific transposable elements

(TEs), such as the retrotransposon *R2* in Drosophila. R2 is found throughout arthropods[17] and

R2-like elements are widely present across taxa, including Cnidaria[18], Planaria[19], nematodes[20],

fish[21,22], birds, and reptiles[22]. These TEs use their sequence-specific nuclease to mobilize

specifically within rDNA loci, inserting in rDNA genes and disrupting their function[23] **(Extended**

Data Fig. 1a). Surprisingly, we found that RNAi-mediated knockdown of R2 in the Drosophila

male germline (nos-gal4 driving R2 RNAi expression) **(Extended Data Fig. 1a-b)** resulted in

premature loss of germline stem cells (GSCs) during aging **(Fig. 1b-f)**. GSCs continuously produce differentiating germ cells to sustain sperm production throughout adulthood and thus are the source of the genome passed to the next generation[24]. Whereas newly eclosed R2 RNAi males contained similar numbers of GSCs to controls, GSC number more rapidly declined during aging in R2 knockdown males compared to controls **(Fig. 1b-f)**. Given that R2 is specifically inserted at rDNA, we also examined the effect of R2 knockdown on rDNA stability. Using highly quantitative droplet digital PCR (ddPCR), we found that RNAi-mediated knockdown of R2 enhanced rDNA CN loss in the testis during aging compared to controls **(Fig. 1g)**. rDNA CN insufficiency is likely the primary cause of GSC loss in R2 RNAi animals, because increasing total rDNA CN via introduction of a mini-chromosome harboring an rDNA locus[25] suppressed the premature GSC loss caused by R2 knockdown **(Fig. 1h)**. These results revealed that R2 contributes to sustaining GSC population during aging by supporting rDNA CN maintenance, uncovering an unanticipated benefit of the R2 retrotransposon to the host, despite the widely-held view of TEs being genetic parasites.

R2 facilitates rDNA magnification

Why is R2 necessary for rDNA copy number maintenance? We found that R2 is required for rDNA magnification, a phenomenon of rDNA CN expansion classically assayed by the restoration of normal cuticular development in animals inheriting rDNA loci that had insufficient rDNA CN in the previous generation **(Fig. 2a)**. The *Drosophila melanogaster* genome has two rDNA loci, one on each X and Y chromosome[26]. rDNA magnification almost exclusively occurs to X chromosome rDNA loci harboring the minimal viable amount of rDNA (bb^{Z9}, **Extended Data Fig. 2a)** when combined with a Y chromosome completely lacking rDNA (bb^{Z9}/Ybb^{0}, 'magnifying males' hereafter)[14] **(Extended Data Fig. 2b)**. Importantly, rDNA magnification never occurs in males with a normal Y chromosome containing intact rDNA (bb^{Z9}/Y^{+}, 'non-magnifying males'

hereafter)[27], indicating the presence of mechanisms to monitor rDNA CN to activate expansion.

We found that RNAi-mediated knockdown of R2 eliminated rDNA magnification observed in

magnifying males from 13.73% to 0% (n = 414, p = 5.6×10^{-7}) **(Fig. 2b)**. Moreover, quantification

of rDNA CN by ddPCR revealed that 87.5% of bb^{Z9} chromosomes increased rDNA CN in

magnifying males compared to the non-magnifying males (n = 96, p = 1.8×10^{-4}), with an average

increase of 18.29 rDNA copies across all samples (n = 96, p = 3.1×10^{-12}) **(Fig. 2c)**. This

widespread rDNA CN increase indicates that rDNA magnification broadly increases rDNA CN

throughout the germline, despite only 13.73% of bb^{Z9} chromosomes having enough CN increase

to support normal cuticle development. We found this rDNA CN increase is also eliminated upon

R2 knockdown in magnifying males (n = 96, p = 4.7×10^{-10}) **(Fig. 2c)**. These results reveal that R2

is required for rDNA CN expansion during rDNA magnification. Interestingly, we found that R2

knockdown only disrupted rDNA magnification when the R2 RNAi construct was expressed by

the *nanos*-gal4 driver in early germ cells (including GSCs)[28], but not when expressed in later germ

cells (excluding GSCs) by the bam-gal4 driver[29] **(Fig. 2b)**. These results indicate that R2 primarily

functions in the earliest stages of germ cells (including GSCs) to support rDNA magnification.

We further found that R2 is sufficient for rDNA CN expansion. Ectopic expression of R2 in

the germline induced rDNA magnification of the bb^{Z9} locus in non-magnifying males (bb^{Z9}/Y$^+$) **(Fig.**

2d-e). While assessment of dorsal cuticle phenotype never detects rDNA magnification from

control males, we found 3.3% of bb^{Z9} rDNA loci from non-magnifying males expressing a

transgenic copy of R2 were phenotypically magnified **(Fig. 2d,** n = 877, p = 3.2×10^{-5}).

Quantification of rDNA CN by ddPCR revealed that ectopic overexpression of R2 in non-

magnifying males (bb^{Z9}/Y$^+$) also increases the average rDNA CN at bb^{Z9} rDNA loci among all

offspring compared to controls **(Fig. 2e**, n = 94, p = 0.0256), revealing R2 is sufficient to induce

rDNA CN expansion. Critically, expression of a nuclease dead R2 transgene (NucDeadR2) in

non-magnifying males **(Extended Data Fig. 3a)**[30] failed to induce phenotypic detection of rDNA

magnification **(Fig. 2d)**, suggesting that the nuclease activity of R2 is essential for its ability to

induce rDNA CN expansion.

R2 forms DSBs during rDNA magnification

How does R2's nuclease activity contribute to rDNA magnification? In yeast, rDNA CN

expansion is initiated by double-stranded breaks (DSBs) at the rDNA intergenic sequence, which

induces sister chromatid recombination that results in rDNA gene duplication[31]. While the

mechanism of rDNA magnification remains unclear, all proposed models of Drosophila rDNA CN

expansion require an initiating DSB at the rDNA locus, the most prominent model being sister

chromatid recombination resulting in unequal sister chromatid recombination[32] **(Fig. 3a;**

Extended Data Fig. 4a-b for additional models**)**. Indeed, artificial introduction of DSBs at rDNA

loci by I-CreI endonuclease expression has been reported to induce rDNA magnification[33], but

the endogenous factor that induces rDNA magnification remained unclear. R2 is capable of

creating DSBs through sequential nicking of opposite DNA strands during retrotransposition[34]. It

has been speculated that DSBs created during R2 retrotranspostion may be an initiating event of

rDNA magnification[9], although this possibility has yet to be empirically tested. We first confirmed

that ectopic overexpression of R2, but not NucDeadR2, indeed induces chromosomal breaks at

rDNA loci identified by chromosome spreads **(Extended Data Fig. 3b-d)**. R2 overexpression (but

not NucDeadR2) in the germline also increased the frequency of GSCs with DSBs, identified by

γH2Av expression **(Extended Data Fig. 3e)**. Next, we found rDNA magnification is associated

with an elevation in DSBs in GSCs: the frequency of γH2Av-positive GSCs is increased in

magnifying males (bb^{Z9}/Ybb^0) compared to non-magnifying males (bb^{Z9}/Y^+) **(Fig. 3b-c, e**; n = 519,

p = 8.8×10^{-4}). Strikingly, we observed that knockdown of R2 in magnifying males reduced the

frequency of γH2Av-positive GSCs to levels comparable to non-magnifying males **(Fig. 3d-e**; n =

537, p = 7.1x10^{-4}**)**, indicating that R2 is responsible for the DSBs formed in GSCs during rDNA

magnification. Taken together, these results suggest that rDNA-specific endonuclease activity of

R2, which functions during R2 retrotransposition, creates DSBs at the rDNA loci that may in turn

induce rDNA CN expansion.

rDNA CN regulates R2 expression

Given the threat R2 mobilization poses to the host genome, both by disruption of rRNA

function and causing excessive DSB formation[34], how is the potential benefit of R2 to rDNA CN

maintenance balanced with the detriment of R2 retrotransposition? We found R2 expression in

the germline is specifically de-repressed under conditions of reduced rDNA CN, potentially

explaining how the conflicting consequences of R2 expression are resolved. Using RNA

fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA FISH) to examine R2 expression at a single cell resolution,

we found that the frequency of GSCs expressing R2 was significantly increased in magnifying

males (bb^{Z9}/Ybb^{0}), whereas non-magnifying males (bb^{Z9}/Y^{+}) rarely expressed R2 **(Fig. 3f-h**; n =

231, p = 1.7x10^{-10}). Moreover, we found that GSCs from aged animals and the sons of old fathers,

which inherit reduced rDNA CN[12]**,** also exhibited a higher frequency of R2 expression compared

to GSCs from young, wild type flies **(Extended Data Fig. 5a-b, d**; n = 1,247, p = 8.3x10^{-4} for old

animals; n = 1,107, p = 1.5x10^{-4} for offspring). Importantly, the frequency of R2 expression among

GSCs in the sons of old fathers returned to the basal level after 20 days of age, when rDNA CN

was shown to have recovered[12] **(Extended Data Fig. 5c-d**; n = 617, p = 0.036**)**. These results

indicate that R2 expression is dynamically regulated in response to changing rDNA CN. Taken

together, we propose that R2 expression is finely tuned to function when most beneficial to the

host while minimizing unnecessary exposure to the harmful effects of transposition.

R2 is required for germline immortality

Based on the finding that R2 plays a critical role in maintaining germline rDNA CN, we postulated that R2 is essential to prevent continuous multi-generational rDNA loss capable of causing the extinction of the lineage. In *C. elegans*, the loss of genome integrity is known to cause gradual loss of fertility, a phenotype known as mortal germline (morg)[35]. To test whether R2-mediated rDNA maintenance is required to maintain fertility through generations, we established multiple independent lines expressing R2 RNAi in their germline and tracked their fecundity at each generation through the ability of each line to produce sufficient offspring to establish a new generation **(Extended Data Fig. 6)**. We found many R2 RNAi lines failed to produce sufficient progeny, with over half failing by the fourth generation, while nearly all control lines survived throughout the duration of the experiments **(Fig. 4a)**, indicating that R2 is essential for continuity of the germline lineage. Males of extinguishing R2 RNAi lineages had ~20% reduction in rDNA CN compared to control lines (n = 22, p = 0.031) **(Fig 4b)**. These results suggest that R2-mediated maintenance of rDNA contributes to germline immortality.

Together, our findings reveal an unanticipated 'function' of retrotransposons to benefit the host genome through a role in rDNA CN maintenance. Repetitive DNA sequences such as rDNA are among the most vulnerable elements of the eukaryotic genome[36,37]. We propose that DSBs generated by R2 in GSCs with diminished rDNA loci stimulate sister chromatid recombination that results in rDNA CN expansion **(Fig. 4c)**. Our proposed 'function' for R2 in rDNA maintenance may represent a novel mutualistic host-TE relationship, which are rarely described in eukaryotes[38]. R2's de-repression when it can be beneficial (i.e. decreased rDNA CN) may be the key to this mutualistic host-TE relationship. It awaits future investigation to understand how the mechanisms that repress R2[39–41] may tune this activity for host's benefit. The widespread presence of R2 and other rDNA-specific TEs in both vertebrates and invertebrates[22] suggests that similar host-TE mutualism may support rDNA CN maintenance throughout Metazoa. Interestingly, many of these

191   other R2-like elements have little sequence similarity to R2, instead appearing to be derived from

192   other non-specific TEs[22], suggesting this host-TE mutualism may have evolved multiple times

193   over the course of evolution. In summary, our study provides an example of beneficial TEs in the

194   maintenance of eukaryotic genomes, and we propose that more beneficial TEs are yet to be

195   discovered.

References

1. Palazzo, A. F. & Lee, E. S. Non-coding RNA: what is functional and what is junk? *Frontiers Genetics* **6**, 2 (2015).

2. Schmidtke, J., Zenzes, M. T., Weiler, C., Bross, K. & Engel, W. Gene action in fish of tetraploid origin. IV. Ribosomal DNA amount in clupeoid and salmonoid fish. *Biochem Genet* **14**, 293–297 (1976).

3. Miller, L. & Knowland, J. The number and activity of ribosomal RNA genes in Xenopus laevis embryos carrying partial deletions in both nucleolar organizers. *Biochem Genet* **6**, 65–73 (1972).

4. Henderson, A. S., Eicher, E. M., Yu, M. T. & Atwood, K. C. The chromosomal location of ribosomal DNA in the mouse. *Chromosoma* **49**, 155–160 (1974).

5. Lofgren, L. A. *et al.* Genome-based estimates of fungal rDNA copy number variation across phylogenetic scales and ecological lifestyles. *Mol Ecol* **28**, 721–730 (2019).

6. Rabanal, F. A. *et al.* Unstable Inheritance of 45S rRNA Genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* **7**, 1201–1209 (2017).

7. Park, P. U., Defossez, P.-A. & Guarente, L. Effects of Mutations in DNA Repair Genes on Formation of Ribosomal DNA Circles and Life Span inSaccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol Cell Biol* **19**, 3848–3856 (1999).

8. Ganley, A. R. D. & Kobayashi, T. Ribosomal DNA and cellular senescence: new evidence supporting the connection between rDNA and aging. *Fems Yeast Res* **14**, 49–59 (2014).

9. Hawley, R. S. & Marcus, C. H. Recombinational Controls of rDNA Redundancy in Drosophila. *Annu Rev Genet* **23**, 87–120 (1989).

10. Strehler, B. L., Chang, M.-P. & Johnson, L. K. Loss of hybridizable ribosomal DNA from human

post-mitotic tissues during aging: I. Age-dependent loss in human myocardium. *Mech Ageing Dev* **11**,

371–378 (1979).

11. Johnson, R. & Strehler, B. L. Loss of Genes coding for Ribosomal RNA in Ageing Brain Cells.

Nature **240**, 412–414 (1972).

12. Lu, K. L., Nelson, J. O., Watase, G. J., Warsinger-Pepe, N. & Yamashita, Y. M. Transgenerational

dynamics of rDNA copy number in Drosophila male germline stem cells. *Elife* **7**, e32421 (2018).

13. Kobayashi, T. Ribosomal RNA gene repeats, their stability and cellular senescence. *Proc Jpn Acad*

Ser B **90**, 119–129 (2014).

14. Ritossa, F. M. Unstable redundancy of genes for ribosomal RNA. *Proc National Acad Sci* **60**, 509–

516 (1968).

15. Reams, A. B. & Roth, J. R. Mechanisms of Gene Duplication and Amplification. *Csh Perspect Biol* **7**,

a016592 (2015).

16. Nelson, J. O., Watase, G. J., Warsinger-Pepe, N. & Yamashita, Y. M. Mechanisms of rDNA Copy

Number Maintenance. *Trends Genet* **35**, 734–742 (2019).

17. Burke, W. D., Malik, H. S., Jones, J. P. & Eickbush, T. H. The domain structure and

retrotransposition mechanism of R2 elements are conserved throughout arthropods. *Mol Biol Evol* **16**,

502–511 (1999).

18. Kojima, K. K., Kuma, K., Toh, H. & Fujiwara, H. Identification of rDNA-Specific Non-LTR

Retrotransposons in Cnidaria. *Mol Biol Evol* **23**, 1984–1993 (2006).

19. Burke, W. D., Singh, D. & Eickbush, T. H. R5 Retrotransposons Insert into a Family of Infrequently

Transcribed 28S rRNA Genes of Planaria. *Mol Biol Evol* **20**, 1260–1270 (2003).

20. Burke, W. D., Müller, F. & Eickbush, T. H. R4, a non-LTR retrotransponson specific to the large

subunit rRNA genes of nematodes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **23**, 4628–4634 (1995).

21. Kojima, K. K. & Fujiwara, H. Cross-Genome Screening of Novel Sequence-Specific Non-LTR

Retrotransposons: Various Multicopy RNA Genes and Microsatellites Are Selected as Targets. *Mol Biol*

Evol **21**, 207–217 (2004).

22. Kojima, K. K. & Fujiwara, H. Long-Term Inheritance of the 28S rDNA-Specific Retrotransposon R2.

Mol Biol Evol **22**, 2157–2165 (2005).

23. Yang, J., Malik, H. S. & Eickbush, T. H. Identification of the endonuclease domain encoded by R2

and other site-specific, non-long terminal repeat retrotransposable elements. *Proc National Acad Sci* **96**,

7847–7852 (1999).

24. Fuller, M. T. & Spradling, A. C. Male and Female Drosophila Germline Stem Cells: Two Versions of

Immortality. *Science* **316**, 402–404 (2007).

25. Lindsley, D. L. & Sandler, L. The Meiotic Behavior of Grossly Deleted X Chromosomes in

Drosophila Melanogaster. *Genetics* **43**, 547–63 (1958).

26. Ritossa, F. M., Atwood, K. C. & Spiegelman, S. A molecular explanation of the bobbed mutants of

Drosophila as partial deficiencies of "ribosomal" DNA. *Genetics* **54**, 819–34 (1966).

27. Tartof, K. D. Regulation of ribosomal RNA gene multiplicity in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics*

73, 57–71 (1973).

28. Doren, M. V., Williamson, A. L. & Lehmann, R. Regulation of zygotic gene expression in Drosophila

primordial germ cells. *Curr Biol* **8**, 243–246 (1998).

29. Chen, D. & McKearin, D. M. A discrete transcriptional silencer in the bam gene determines

asymmetric division of the Drosophila germline stem cell. *Development* **130**, 1159–1170 (2003).

30. Govindaraju, A., Cortez, J. D., Reveal, B. & Christensen, S. M. Endonuclease domain of non-LTR

retrotransposons: loss-of-function mutants and modeling of the R2Bm endonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Res*

44, 3276–3287 (2016).

31. Gangloff, S., Zou, H. & Rothstein, R. Gene conversion plays the major role in controlling the stability

of large tandem repeats in yeast. *Embo J* **15**, 1715–1725 (1996).

32. Tartof, K. D. Unequal Mitotic Sister Chromatid Exchange as the Mechanism of Ribosomal RNA

Gene Magnification. *Proc National Acad Sci* **71**, 1272–1276 (1974).

33. Paredes, S. & Maggert, K. A. Expression of I-CreI Endonuclease Generates Deletions Within the

rDNA of Drosophila. *Genetics* **181**, 1661–1671 (2009).

34. Eickbush, T. H. & Eickbush, D. G. Integration, regulation, and long-term stability of R2

retrotransposons. *Microbiol Spectr* **3**, 1127–1146 (2015).

35. Smelick, C. & Ahmed, S. Achieving immortality in the C. elegans germline. *Ageing Res Rev* **4**, 67–82

(2005).

36. Brown, R. E. & Freudenreich, C. H. Structure-forming repeats and their impact on genome stability.

Curr Opin Genet Dev **67**, 41–51 (2021).

37. Pâques, F., Leung, W.-Y. & Haber, J. E. Expansions and Contractions in a Tandem Repeat Induced

by Double-Strand Break Repair. *Mol Cell Biol* **18**, 2045–2054 (1998).

38. Cosby, R. L., Chang, N.-C. & Feschotte, C. Host–transposon interactions: conflict, cooperation, and

cooption. *Gene Dev* **33**, 1098–1116 (2019).

39. Quénerch'du, E., Anand, A. & Kai, T. The piRNA pathway is developmentally regulated during

spermatogenesis in Drosophila. *Rna* **22**, 1044–1054 (2016).

40. He, F., James, A., Raje, H., Ghaffari, H. & DiMario, P. Deletion of Drosophila Nopp140 induces

subcellular ribosomopathies. *Chromosoma* **124**, 191–208 (2015).

41. Guerrero, P. A. & Maggert, K. A. The CCCTC-Binding Factor (CTCF) of Drosophila Contributes to

the Regulation of the Ribosomal DNA and Nucleolar Stability. *Plos One* **6**, e16401 (2011).

Vasa FasIII DAPI

Figure 1: R2 is required for GSC maintenance via rDNA CN maintenance during

Drosophila male germline aging. (a) Tandem rDNA copy repeats are lost through

circularization and extrusion caused by intra-chromatid recombination between rDNA copies. **(b-**

e) GSCs in 0- and 40-day old control (*nos-gal4* driver alone) (b-c) and R2 RNAi (*nos-gal4*

driving *UAS-R2 RNAi*) testes (d-e). Yellow dotted circle = GSC. The major component of the

GSC signaling niche, the hub, is indicated by *. Green = Vasa, White = Fascillin III, Blue =

DAPI. Scale bar = 7.5 μM **(f)** Average number of GSCs per testis in control and R2 RNAi

animals during aging. * indicates $p < 10^{-5}$ determined by Student's t-test. Error bars = 95%

confidence interval (CI). **(g)** rDNA CN determined by ddPCR in testes of 0- and 40-day old

control and R2 RNAi animals. P-value determined by Student's t-test. Error bars = 95% CI. **(h)**

Average number of GSCs per testis during aging in Control and R2 RNAi animals with mini-X

chromosome. Error bars = 95% CI.

Figure 2: R2 is necessary and sufficient for rDNA magnification. (a) Diagram of rDNA

magnification. Animals with insufficient rDNA CN due to having the bb^{Z9} locus as their only

source of rDNA have distinct developmental defects resulting in gaps in the dorsal cuticle (red

arrow). rDNA CN expansion at the bb^{Z9} locus due to rDNA magnification is detected by

reversion of this phenotype to normal cuticles. **(b)** Percent magnified offspring determined by

cuticular phenotype in offspring from magnifying males of the indicated genotypes. P-value

determined by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95% CI. **(c)** bb^{Z9} locus rDNA CN determined by

ddPCR in daughters from males of indicated genotypes. P-value determined by Student's t-test.

Error bars = 95% CI. Non-magnifiying condition is the same data as panel c. **(d)** Percent

magnified offspring from non-magnifying males of the indicated genotypes. P-value determined

by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95% CI. **(e)** bb^{Z9} locus rDNA CN determined by ddPCR in

daughters from non-magnifying males of the indicated genotype. P-value determined by

Student's t-test. Error bars = 95% CI.

Fig 3: Derepressed R2 creates DSBs in GSCs during rDNA magnification. (a) Diagram of

rDNA CN expansion by unequal sister chromatid exchange during DSB repair at rDNA loci.

Recombination between misaligned rDNA copies during DSB repair result in crossovers that

create unequal sister chromatid exchange that increases rDNA CN on one chromatid. **(b-d)**

Detection of DSBs in the early adult male germline by anti-γH2Av staining. R2 RNAi expressed

under the *nos-gal4* driver. Non-RNAi conditions contain the *nos-gal4* driver alone. GSCs

indicated by yellow dotted circle. Blue = DAPI, Green = vasa, Magenta = γH2Av, white = FasIII.

The hub is indicated by *. Scale bar = 10 μM. **(e)** Percentage of γH2Av positive GSCs in the

indicated conditions. P-value determined by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95% CI. **(f-g)** R2

expression in GSCs (yellow dotted circle). Blue = DAPI, Green = R2 mRNA. Isolated R2

channel in f'-g'. The hub is indicated by *. R2 positive cells GSCs are marked by yellow

arrowhead. **(h)** Percentage of R2 positive GSCs. P-value determined by chi-squared test. Error

bars = 95% CI.

Fig 4: R2 is required to maintain rDNA CN and fertility over successive generations. (a)

Kaplan-Meier curve of lineage survival in R2 RNAi and control lineages. Each lineage

constitutes an individual data point. P-value determined by log rank test. Shade represents 95%

CI. **(b)** rDNA CN determined by ddPCR in males of control (*nos-gal4* driver alone) animals at

the 6th generation or R2 RNAi (RNAi expressed under the *nos-gal4* driver) lineages at their

terminating generation. P-value determined by Student's t-test. Error = 95% CI. **(c)** Model of the

role of R2 in germline rDNA CN maintenance. R2 derepression in cells with reduced rDNA CN

leads to DSBs formed by rDNA-specific R2 endonuclease that can induce rDNA CN expansion

through sister chromatid recombination during their repair.

Methods

Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence staining of testes was performed as previously described[42]. Briefly, testes

were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes, then briefly washed two

times in PBS containing 0.1% Triton-X (PBS-T), followed by washing in PBS-T for 30 minutes.

After washes, samples were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibody in 3% bovine serum

albumin (BSA) in PBS-T. Samples were washed three consecutive times for 20 minutes in PBS-

T, then incubated at 4°C overnight with secondary antibody in 3% BSA in PBS-T, washed three

times again in PBS-T for 20 minutes, and mounted in VECTASHIELD with DAPI (Vector Labs).

The following primary antibodies were used: rat anti-vasa (1:20; DSHB; developed by A.

Spradling), mouse anti-Fascillin III (1:200; DSHB; developed by C. Goodman), and rabbit anti-γ-

H2AvD pS137 (1:200; Rockland). Images were taken with a Leica Stellaris 8 confocal microscope

with 63x oil-immersion objectives and processed using Fiji (ImageJ) software.

RNA FISH

RNA FISH samples were prepared as previously described[12]. In short, dissected testes were fixed

in 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 minutes, briefly washed in PBS, and permeabilized in 70%

ethanol overnight at 4°. Samples were then briefly rinsed in 2xSSC with 10% formamide prior to

hybridization with 50nM Stellaris probes overnight at 37°. Samples were washed twice in 2xSSC

with 10% formamide for 30 minutes and mounted in VECTASHIELD with DAPI (Vector Labs).

Samples were imaged using a Leica Stellaris 8 confocal microscope with 63x oil-immersion

objectives and processed using Fiji (ImageJ) software.

DNA isolation

Testis DNA was isolated from 50 pooled dissected testes frozen in liquid N2. DNA isolation was

performed according to previously described methods for isolation from Drosophila tissues[43]. DNA

was isolated from individual Drosophila animals using a modified protocol of the DNeasy Blood

and Tissue DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). In short, individual animals were homogenized in 200 µL

Buffer ATL containing proteinase K using a pipette tip in Eppendorf tubes, vortexed for 15

seconds, and incubated for 1.5 hours at 56º. Samples were then prepared following the

manufacturer's protocol after incubation. All DNA samples were quantified and checked for purity

by Nanodrop One spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher).

rDNA copy number measurement by Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR)

30 ng of DNA sample were used per 20 µL ddPCR reaction for control gene reactions (RpL and

Upf1), and 0.3 ng of DNA per 20 µL ddPCR reaction for 28S rDNA reactions. Primers and probes

for reactions are listed at **Extended Data Table 1**. ddPCR reactions were carried out according

to the manufacturer's (Bio Rad) protocol. In short, master mixes containing ddPCR Supermix for

Probes (No dUTP) (Bio Rad), DNA samples, primer / probe mixes, and HindIII-HF restriction

enzyme (New England Biolabs) for 28S rDNA reactions (no restriction enzyme for control gene

reactions) were prepared in 0.2 mL Eppendorf tubes, and incubated at room temperature for 15

minutes to allow for restriction enzyme digestion. ddPCR droplets were generated from samples

using QX200 Droplet Generator (Bio Rad) and underwent complete PCR cycling on a C100 deep-

well thermocycler (Bio Rad). Droplet fluorescence was read using the QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio

Rad). Sample copy number was determined using Quantasoft software (Bio Rad). rDNA copy

number per genome was determined by 28S sample copy number multiplied by 100 (due to the

100x dilution of sample in the 28S reaction compared to control reaction) divided by control gene

copy number multiplied by the expected number of control gene copies per genome (2 for RpL in

all samples; 2 for Upf1 in female samples; 1 for Upf1 in male samples). The 28S copy number

values determined by each control gene was averaged to determine 28S copy number for each

sample.

Mitotic chromosome spread and DNA FISH

Larval brain dissections, mitotic chromosome spreads, DNA FISH, and imaging were all done as

previously[44]. In short, brains were dissected from male third instar larvae in PBS and fixed in 25μ

μL of acetid acid and 4% formaldehyde in PBS. Samples were applied to Superfrost plus slides

and manually squashed under a coverslip, then immediately frozen in liquid N2. After freezing

slides were removed from N2, coverslip removed, and slides were dehydrated in 100% ethanol

and dried at room temperature. DNA FISH hybridization was performed in 20 μL of 50%

formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 2X SSC buffer and 0.5 μM each probe applied directly to the

sample on the slide and covered with a cover slip. Samples were incubated at 95° for 5 minutes,

cooled and wrapped in parafilm, then incubated overnight at room temperature in a dark humid

chamber. Coverslips were removed and slides were washed three times for 15 minutes in 0.1x

SSC, dried, and then mounted in VECTASHIELD with DAPI (Vector Labs). Samples were imaged

using a Leica Stellaris 8 confocal microscope with 63x oil-immersion objectives and processed

using Fiji (ImageJ) software. Probes used for this study are as follows: 359, 5'-

AGGATTTAGGGAAATTAATTTTTGGATCAATTTTCGCATTTTTTGTAAG-3'-Cy5; $(TAGA)_6$-

Cy5;   IGS,   5'-

AGTGAAAAATGTTGAAATATTCCCATATTCTCTAAGTATTATAGAGAAAAGCCATTTTAGTGA

ATGGA-3'-Alexa488; $(AATAC)_6$-Cy3; and $(AATAAAC)_6$-Cy3.

Generation of rDNA deletion animals

rDNA copy number loss was induced during larval development in *yw* / Y ;; *HS-I-CreI, Sb* / TM6B

males with a *y, w* X chromosome by I-CreI expression as previously described[33]. In brief, parental

animals mated and laid eggs for three days, then removed from food. After one additional day of

larval development, animals were exposed to 37° C heat shock for 45 minutes on two consecutive

days. identify X chromosomes with significant rDNA copy number reduction (bb), adult males that

experienced I-CreI expression were mated to bb^{158} / *FM6* females, and virgin non-*FM6* daughters

(bb / bb^{158}) were screened for the *bobbed* phenotype. 28 out of 946 non-*FM6* daughters screened

were *bobbed*. To isolate potentially reduced rDNA loci and remove HS-I-CreI from the

background, TM6B containing *bobbed* females were individually mated to wild-type males. Male

offspring from each individual female candidates were subsequently individually mated to bb^{158} /

FM6 females. Any mating that failed to produce non-FM6 daughters were eliminated (due to

having the bb^{158} and not the candidate bb chromosome). All viable non-FM6 daughters were

double checked for the *bobbed* phenotype, and stocks with all *bobbed* non-FM6 daughters had

FM6 containing siblings collected and used to establish a bb / FM6 stock. This method isolated

the novel rDNA deletion allele, bb^{Z9}, used in this study.

Drosophila genetics

All Drosophila lines used in this study are found in **Extended Data Table 2**. All animals we reared

on standard Bloomington medium at 25º. All aging was done in roughly 1:1 mixed presence of

males and females, provided fresh food every four to six days. UAS:R2 RNAi strains were

designed using SNAP-DRAGON shRNA target software, and oligos containing target hairpin

sequence were cloned into the WALLIUM20 vector for phiC31 site-directed integration into the

Drosophila genome. All UAS:R2 transgenes were synthesized into pUAST:attB by VetcorBuilder

(Chicago, IL) for site-directed integration. All injections and selection of animals containing

integrated transgenes were performed by BestGene, Inc (Chino Hills, CA).

Lineage survival assay

Independent lineages of nos:Gal4 / CyO; UAS:R2 RNAi / Tm6B or nos:Gal4 / CyO; TM2 / TM6B

animals were established by collecting siblings of the indicated genotypes from nos:Gal4 / CyO;

TM2 / TM6B males mated to Sp / CyO; UAS:R2 RNAi / TM6B females. At each generation in

each lineage, 3 males were mated with 5 females for 5 days, and offspring were collected 10 days

after mated ended. Any lineages that did not have at least 3 males and 5 females at collection

time were terminated due to insufficient animals.

Statistics

For all comparisons of percentage of samples with categorical values (percent γH2Av or R2

positive cells), significance was determined by chi-squared test. For all comparisons of samples

with independent values (number of GSCs; rDNA copy number), significance was determined by

Student's t-test between experimental and control conditions, unless otherwise indicated.

Methods References

42. Cheng, J. *et al.* Centrosome misorientation reduces stem cell division during ageing. *Nature* **456**, 599–604 (2008).

43. Huang, A. M., Rehm, E. J. & Rubin, G. M. Quick Preparation of Genomic DNA from Drosophila. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* **2009**, pdb.prot5198-pdb.prot5198 (2009).

44. Jagannathan, M., Warsinger-Pepe, N., Watase, G. J. & Yamashita, Y. M. Comparative Analysis of Satellite DNA in the Drosophila melanogaster Species Complex. *G3 Genes Genomes Genetics* **7**, 693–704 (2017).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Kyoto Drosophila Stock Center and Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank for reagents. We thank the Yamashita lab members and Dr. Andy Clark for discussion and comments on the manuscript. We thank ATCC for design of the 28S and RpL ddPCR assays. This research was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Jonathan Nelson is supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship (133949-PF-19-133-01-DMC) from the American Cancer Society.

Author Contributions

J.O.N. contributed to the conception, design, and execution of experiments, the collection,

management, and analysis of data, and the writing and editing of the manuscript. A.S.

contributed to the execution of experiments, the collection and management of data, and the

editing of the manuscript. Y.M.Y contributed to the conception and design of experiments, the

management and analysis of data, and the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

 Extended Data

a

Extended Data Figure 1: Design and efficiency of R2 RNAi. (a) Diagram the 45S rRNA cistron

constituting an 'rDNA copy' and the sequence-specific R2 insertion site in the 28S rRNA gene. **(b)**

Confirmation of R2 expression knockdown by R2 RNAi. Precent of GSCs expressing R2 detected by

RNA FISH in *nos-gal4* driver only condition (nos>) and R2 RNAi expressed by the *nos-gal4* driver

(nos>R2 RNAi). P-value determined by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95% CI.

Extended Data Figure 2: Diagram of phenotypic assessment to detect rDNA

magnification at the bb^{Z9} allele. (a) rDNA CN quantification of the bb^{Z9} allele determined by

ddPCR. All samples from individual females with either the bb^{Z9} allele or X chromosome from

which the allele was isolated heterozygous with an X chromosome completely lacking rDNA

(bb^{158}). P-value determined by Student's t-test. Error bars = 95% CI. **(b)** Scheme to detect rDNA

magnification of bb^{Z9} allele. Tested magnifying males (bb^{Z9} / Ybb^0) are crossed to females

heterozygous for the bb^{158} rDNA deletion allele. Resultant bb^{Z9} / bb^{158} daughters completely rely

on the bb^{Z9} locus for their rDNA. (Left) bb^{Z9} / bb^{158} offspring with the 'bobbed' cuticular defects

associated with rDNA insufficiency (red arrow) are determined to have inherited a bb^{Z9} allele

that did not undergo rDNA magnification. (Right) bb^{Z9} / bb^{158} offspring with wild-type cuticles are

determined to have inherited a bb^{Z9} allele that restored normal rDNA content through rDNA

magnification (bb^{Z9-Mag}). Thus, the portion of bb^{Z9} / bb^{158} offspring with wild-type cuticles

represents the portion of bb^{Z9} alleles that underwent rDNA magnification. bb^{Z9} / bb^{158} animals

are also collected for rDNA CN quantification, and total rDNA CN in these animals represents

the rDNA from the bb^{Z9} locus alone.

Extended Data Figure 3: Transgenic R2 expression induces double stranded breaks at

rDNA loci. (a) Alignment of 44 amino acids in the endonuclease domain of *Bombyx mori* R2

(Bm) and *Drosophila melanogaster* R2 (Dm). Residues shown to be essential for Bm R2

endonuclease activity[30] labeled in blue and respective Dm R2 residues in red. Mutations found

to render Bm R2 endonuclease dead[30] and their respective amino acid changes in Dm R2 are

indicated above highlighted residues. **(b-c)** DNA FISH in mitotic chromosome spreads of larval

neuroblasts in animals with the neuroblast driver *wor-gal4* (wor>, B) or transgenic R2 expressed

by the *wor-gal4* driver (wor>R2, B') animals. rDNA is labelled by probes targeting the rDNA

intergenic sequence (IGS) (Green), Y- chromosome is labelled by probes targeting AATAC and

AATAAAC satellite sequences (Cyan) and X-chromosome is labelled by probes against 359 and

TAGA satellite sequences (Red). Y* indicates Y-chromosome fragments broken at the rDNA

locus. **(d)** Percentage of neuroblasts with DSBs at rDNA loci determined by DNA FISH in mitotic

spreads in indicated genotypes. P-values determined by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95% CI.

(e) Percentage of γH2Av positive GSCs in the indicated genotypes. Transgenic R2 constructs

expressed in the germline by the *nos-gal4* driver (nos>). P-value determined by chi-squared

test. Error bars = 95% CI.

Extended Data Figure 4: Models of rDNA CN expansion initiated by DSBs at rDNA locus.

(a) rDNA CN expansion by strand-dependent strand annealing (SDSA). DSBs at rDNA loci can

be repaired via recombination of a single strand with sister chromatid and synthesis. Synthesis

can run on to replicate multiple rDNA copies before re-annealing with broken strand. Upon

repair after synthesis, rDNA CN is expanded on one sister chromatid while CN of the other

sister remains intact. **(b)** DSBs formed at rDNA loci induce homology-dependent repair that

causes recombination with existing extrachromosomal rDNA circles (ERCs), allowing for

reintegration of the ERC rDNA copies into the genomic rDNA locus.

Extended Data Figure 5: R2 expression in GSCs is regulated in response to changing

rDNA copy number during aging. (a-c) R2 RNA FISH in the male germline of young (0-days

old), (a) old (40-days old) (b), and recovered offspring of old animals (c). Isolated R2 channel in

a'-c'. GSCs indicated by yellow dotted circle. * indicates GSC niche signal hub. R2 positive cells

indicated by yellow arrowhead. **(c)** Percentage of R2 positive GSCs at indicated ages in the

offspring of 0- or 40-day old fathers. P-value determined by chi-squared test. Error bars = 95%

CI.

males ✕ 5 females

Mate for 5 days

Remove adults

10 days

Collect offspring

≥ 3 males and 5 females   < 3 males and 5 females

Cross next generation

Terminate lineage

Extended Data Figure 6: Scheme for testing lineage survival in multi-generational R2

RNAi experiment. Each lineage is established using 3 males and 5 females of the given

genotype. Males and females are mated for 5 days and removed from the vial. 10 days later, all

offspring are collected. If total offspring from the mating contains at least 3 males and 5 females,

then 3 males and 5 females are randomly selected to establish the next generation of the

lineage. If the total offspring contained fewer than 3 males or 5 females, then the lineage was

terminated and future generations were not established. This procedure was repeated at each

generation for each lineage for 6 generations or until termination.

549 **Extended Data Table 1: List of oligomers used in this study for ddPCR assays.**

Oligo Name	Type	Target	Sequence	Modifications
dd-RpL32 F	Primer	RpL32	GCTTCAAGGGACAGTATCTG	
dd-RpL32 R	Primer	RpL32	AACGCGGTTCTGCATGAG	
dd-RpL32 Probe	Probe	RpL32	ATGCCCAACATCGGTTAC	5' HEX AND 3' Iowa Black FQ
dd-28S F	Primer	28S	GAGCTGCCATTGGTACAG	
dd-28S R	Primer	28S	GCTTTCGCCTTGAACTTAG	
dd-28S Probe	Probe	28S	TGGTGGATAGTAGCAAATAATCG	5' 6-FAM AND 3' Iowa Black FQ
dd-Upf1 F	Primer	Upf1	CACACTTTATGTCCACCATTATTG	
dd-Upf1 R	Primer	Upf1	GAGTTTCCGTAGGGACCAC	
dd-Upf1 Probe	Probe	Upf1	CCG TAA CCG CCA CTG CGG T	5' 6-FAM AND 3' Iowa Black FQ

 Extended Data Table 2: List of Drosophila stocks used in this study.

Genotype	Source	Use
Dp(1;f)122; C(1)RM, y^1 v^1 f^1 / C(1;Y)6, Df(1)259, w^1	Kyoto Drosophila Genomics and Genetic Resource Center (DGRC) #107274	Mini-x Chromosome (Dp(1;f))
yw	Bloomington Stock Center (BSC) #1495	Source for isolating novel rDNA deletion strain
w[1118]; P{v[+t1.8]=hs-I-CreI.R}1A Sb[1]/TM6	BSC #6937	I-CreI endonucelase
nos-gal4	PMID: 9501989	Early germ cell driver
wor-gal4	BSC #56553	Neuroblast driver
bam-gal4	PMID: 12571107	Germ cell driver
y[1] eq[1]/Df(YS)bb[-]	DGRC #101260	Y chromosome complete rDNA deletion
Df(1)bb158, y[1] / Dp(1;Y)y[+] / C(1)*; ca[1] awd[K]	DGRC #106876	X chromosome complete rDNA deletion