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Paleoecological implications of Lower-Middle Triassic stromatolites and microbe-metazoan build-ups in the Germanic Basin: Insights into the aftermath of the Permian – Triassic crisis

Yu Pei¹, Hans Hagdorn², Thomas Voigt³, Jan-Peter Duda⁴ and Joachim Reitner¹,⁵

Abstract

The aftermath of the Permian – Triassic crisis is characterized by ubiquitous occurrences of microbial sediments around the world. For instance, Triassic deposits of the Germanic Basin have shown to provide a rich record of stromatolites as well as of microbe-metazoan build-ups with non-spicular demosponges. Despite their paleoecological significance, however, all of these microbialites have only rarely been studied. This study aims to fill this gap by examining and comparing microbialites from the Upper Buntsandstein (Olenekian, Early Triassic) and the lower Middle Muschelkalk (Anisian, Middle Triassic). By combining analytical petrography (optical microscopy, micro X-ray fluorescence, Raman spectroscopy) and geochemistry (δ¹³C_carb, δ¹⁸O_carb), we show that all studied microbialites formed in hypersaline lagoons to sabkha environments. Olenekian deposits in Jena and surroundings and Anisian strata at Werbach contain stromatolites. Anisian successions at Hardheim, in contrast, host microbe-metazoan build-ups. Thus, the key-difference is the absence or presence of non-spicular demosponges in microbialites. After the Permian – Triassic crisis, the widespread microbialites (e.g., stromatolites/microbe-metazoan build-ups) possibly resulted from suppressed ecological competition and occupied the vacant ecological niche. It seems plausible that microbes and non-spicular demosponges had a mutualistic relationship and it is tempting to speculate that the investigated microbial-metazoan build-ups reflect an ancient evolutionary and ecologic association. Furthermore, both microbes and non-spicular demosponges may benefit from elevated salinities. Perhaps it was minor differences in salinities that controlled whether or not non-spicular demosponges could develop.
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1 Introduction

Microbialites represent benthic microbial communities (i.e., biofilms or microbial mats) fossilized through trapping and binding of detrital sediment and/or localized mineral precipitation (Burne and Moore 1987; Arp et al. 2001; Riding and Liang 2005; Riding 2006). Dating as far back as ca. 3.4 billion years ago (e.g., Duda et al. 2016), they are abundant during most of the Precambrian, but show a marked decline during the Phanerozoic (Arp et al. 2001; Riding 2006). However, microbialites show marked recurrences at certain times in the Phanerozoic, as for instance in the aftermath of the Permian – Triassic crisis (Woods 2014; Wu et al. 2017; Heindel et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019; Pei et al. 2019). The temporary proliferation of microbial mats in this critical interval likely resulted from a suppressed ecological competition and/or seawater chemistry at that time (Arp et al. 2001; Riding and Liang 2005; Foster et al. 2020).

Depending on their characteristics, microbialites can be classified into different types (e.g., stromatolites, thrombolites, dendrolites and leiolites: Kalkowsky 1908; Aitken 1966; Burne and Moore 1987; Riding 1991; Braga et al. 1995). Among these, stromatolite derives from the term ‘Stromatolith’, which was coined by Kalkowsky (1908) to describe layered carbonate structures in the Lower Triassic Buntsandstein of central Germany. Importantly, this term does not consider the nature of biofilms or microbial mats. In fact, the microbial communities involved in stromatolite formation might range from phototrophs (e.g., cyanobacteria) (e.g., Walter 1972; Dravis 1983; Arp et al. 1999; Bosak et al. 2012) to light-independent chemolithotrophic or heterotrophic microbes (e.g., Playford et al. 1976; Böhm and Brachert 1993; Heim et al. 2015, 2017; Mißbach et al. 2021). Particularly noteworthy are stromatolites formed by syntrophic consortia of anaerobic methane-oxidizing archaea and sulfate reducing bacteria (Reitner et al. 2005a, b; Arp et al. 2008).

Recently, a new type of microbialite formed by microbes and metazoans (mainly non-spicular demosponges) was established (Luo and Reitner 2014, 2016) and is called microbe-metazoan build-ups (Pei et al. 2021). Previously, ‘reticular fabrics’ were described by Szulc (1997) attributed to sponges in microbialites from the Middle Muschelkalk Diplomorpha Dolomite of South Poland. Unfortunately, the build-ups are easily overlooked in geological records. This is because the involved demosponges have a relatively low fossilization potential due to the absence of sponge spicules and possess hardly identifiable morphological characteristics. However, pioneering
work established robust criteria for the identification of non-spicular demosponges in ancient records and demonstrated the presence of these organisms in various Phanerozoic microbe-metazoan build-ups (Luo and Reitner 2014, 2016). Afterwards, much attention and interests have been aroused (Friesenbichler et al. 2018; Heindel et al. 2018; Lee and Riding 2021; Baud et al. 2021; Pei et al. 2021).

In the Lower and Middle Triassic, the Germanic Basin recorded at least five intervals of major microbialite development, namely (i) in the Lower Buntsandstein (Kalkowsky 1908; Paul et al. 2011), (ii) in the Upper Buntsandstein (Naumann 1928), (iii) in the Karlstadt Formation of the lower Middle Muschelkalk (Genser 1930, Schwarz 1970, Vossmerbäumer 1971, Hagdorn and Simon 1993), (iv) in the Diemel Formation of the upper Middle Muschelkalk (Pei et al. 2021), and (v) in the Lower Keuper (Bachmann and Gwinner 1971; Bachmann 2002; Luo and Reitner 2016). Despite their significance for understanding the aftermath of the crisis, these microbialites have only rarely been studied. We aim to fill this gap by examining and comparing microbialites from the Upper Buntsandstein (Olenekian, Early Triassic) and the lower Middle Muschelkalk (Anisian, Middle Triassic). Based on our findings, we will discuss paleoecological implications of these records.

2 Geological setting

The Permian–Triassic Germanic Basin stretched across large areas of today’s Western and Central Europe. During these times, the basin was situated on the edge of the subtropical western Tethys Ocean (Meliata Ocean) (Scotese and McKerrow 1990; Ziegler 1990; Stampfli 2000) (Fig. 1). In the Olenekian (Early Triassic), it was connected to the Tethys Ocean systems through the East Carpathian Gate (ECG). During the Anisian (Middle Triassic), it was additionally linked by and the Silesian – Moravian Gate (SMG) and the Western Gate (WG) (Ziegler 1990; Götz and Feist-Burkhardt 2012; Hagdorn 2020) (Fig. 1). Paleogeographically, three of the studied sections (Jena and surroundings, Werbach and Hardheim) were located between the Rhenish Massif (RM) and the Vindelician – Bohemian – Massif (VBM) (Fig. 1).

Sedimentary rocks in Jena and surroundings (Thuringia, central Germany) belong to the Lower Röt Formation (Upper Buntsandstein Subgroup, Olenekian, Early Triassic). The rocks are characterized by evaporites and marls to sandstones that are intercalated with dolomites and bioclastic limestones (Fig. 2). The Tennis-bank at the base of the section contains abundant specimens of the ammonoid Beneckeia tenuis, the earliest Triassic ammonoid in the Germanic Basin, and is followed by stromatolites (Fig. 2). The researched stromatolites at Werbach quarry (Baden-Württemberg, Southwest Germany) belong to the Geislingen Bed, a supraregional marker horizon (Simon et al. 2020), in the lower part of the Karlstadt Formation of the Middle Muschelkalk Subgroup (Anisian, Middle Triassic) (Fig. 3). From bottom to top, the section can be subdivided into the Karlstadt, the Heilbronn and the Diemel formations. The Karlstadt Formation is composed of dolomites, dolomitic marls, and dolomitic limestones. Locally, it contains fossils of hypersaline organisms and stromatolites. The salinar Heilbronn Formation is almost devoid of any fossils. The Diemel Formation consists of dolomitic limestones and locally contains euryhaline faunas (Simon et al. 2020). The microbe-metazoan build-ups exposed at the abandoned quarry near Hardheim (Baden-Württemberg, Southwest Germany) occur on top of the Geislingen Bed. The build-ups are stratigraphically correlated with the stromatolites at Werbach section.

3 Materials and methods

3.1 Fieldwork and petrography

Sections in Jena and surroundings and at Werbach were examined in the field and fresh samples were taken (stromatolites from Jena and surroundings, stromatolites and associated facies from Werbach, and microbe-metazoan build-ups from Hardheim). Petrographic thin sections were prepared and analyzed using a Zeiss Stereoscope Discovery.V12 stereomicroscope coupled to an AxioCamMRc camera. The samples were then further studied by means of analytical imaging techniques and stable isotope analyses (see below).

3.2 Analytical imaging techniques

Micro-X-ray fluorescence (µ-XRF) was applied to obtain element distribution images of the sampled stromatolites and microbe-metazoan build-ups. The analyses were conducted with a Bruker M4 Tornado instrument equipped with an XFlash 430 Silicon Drift Detector. Measurements (spatial resolution = 25–50 μm, pixel time = 8–25 ms) were performed at 50 kV and 400 μA with a chamber pressure of 20 mbar.

Raman spectroscopy analyses included point measurements (single spectra) and mapping (spectral images). For these analyses, a WITec alpha300R fiber-coupled ultra-high throughput spectrometer was used. Before analysis, the system was calibrated using an integrated light source. The experimental setup included a laser with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm, an automatically controlled laser power of 20 mW, a 100× long working distance objective with a numerical aperture of 0.75, and a 300 g mm⁻¹ grating. The spectrometer was centered at 2220 cm⁻¹, covering a spectral range from 68 cm⁻¹ to 3914 cm⁻¹. This setup has a spectral resolution of 2.2 cm⁻¹. For single spectra, each spectrum was collected by two accumulations with an integration time of 2 s. For Raman spectral images, spectra were collected at a step size of 1 μm in horizontal and vertical direction by an integration time of 0.25 s for each spectrum. Automated cosmic ray correction, background subtraction, and fitting using a
Lorentz function were performed using the WITec Project software. Raman images were additionally processed by spectral averaging/smoothing and component analysis.

### 3.3 Stable isotope analyses (δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\), δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{\text{carb}}\))
Fifteen samples (ca. 100µg each) were extracted with a high-precision drill from individual mineral phases of polished rock slabs. The measurements were performed at 70 °C using a Thermo Scientific Kiel IV carbonate device coupled to a Finnigan DeltaPlus gas isotope mass spectrometer. Carbon and oxygen stable isotope ratios of carbonate minerals are reported as delta values (δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) and δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{\text{carb}}\), respectively) relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemmite (VPDB) reference standard. The standard deviation is 0.08‰ for δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{carb}}\) and 0.11‰ for δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{\text{carb}}\). All preparation and analytical work have been carried out at the Geoscience Center of the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Sedimentary succession in Jena and surroundings (Upper Buntsandstein, Olenekian, Early Triassic)
The Jena and surroundings succession begins with a 1.5 m thick gypsum bed that contains no fossils (Bed 1) (Fig. 2). The gypsum bed is followed by a ~3.5 m thick interval of grayish-green marls intercalated with two thin layers of dolomites (Bed 2) (Fig. 2). The marl interval is overlain by a 0.5 m thick bed of gray bioclastic limestone (Temnias-bank) (Bed 3) which is marked by the first occurrence of the ammonoid *Beneckeia tenuis*. In addition to abundant ammonites, the Temnias-bank contains various bivalves such as *Pseudomyoconcha gastrochaena*, *Hoernesia socialis*, *Neoschizodous elongatus*, *Neoschizodous ovatus* and *Costatoria costata*. It is directly followed by a 10 cm thick stromatolite bed (Fig. 2). This bed can be divided into a lower non-laminated and an upper laminated part (Fig. 2). The lamination is planar to wavy (Fig. 4a), but locally appears to be disrupted (Fig. 4b). The stromatolite bed consists mainly of dolomite as indicated by µ-XRF and Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 5). It is overlain by a ~4.5 m thick interval of grayish-green sandy marl (Bed 4). The upper part of this interval contains a 0.6 m thick bed of grayish-green sandstone that contains fossils of various bivalves (*Pleuronympa mosculoides*, *Bakevellia mytiloides*, *C. costata*) and brachiopods (*Lingularia tenuissima*).

The top half of the section starts with a ~0.7 m thick bed of red platy sandstone (Bed 5), followed by a ~1.3 m thick grayish-green marl bed (Bed 6) and a ~0.1 m thick dolomite bed (Bed 7) (Fig. 2). The dolomite bed is overlain by a ~0.9 m thick interval of bioclastic limestones (coquina) with abundant fossils (e.g., *C. costata*, *N. elongatus*, *B. tenuis*) and an oolite layer (Bed 8) (Fig. 2). After a thin marl layer, the succession continues with a ~0.8 m thick red platy sandstone layer that shows wave ripple structures, desiccation cracks, and various types of bivalves (Bed 9) (Fig. 2). The section is terminated by a ~6.5 m thick interval of grayish-green marl, intercalated with thin layers of sandstone, and gray dolomite (Bed 10) (Fig. 2). The dolomite layers exhibit wave ripples and contain (trace-) fossils (e.g., *C. costata*, *Rhizocorallium* sp.). The uppermost part of the grayish-green interval contains red gypsum nodules and (trace-) fossils (bivalve *Leptochondria albertii*, *C. costata*, *Rhizocorallium* sp.) (Fig. 2).

#### 4.2 Sedimentary succession at Werbach (Middle Muschelkalk, Anisian, Middle Triassic)
In case of Werbach, our study focuses on the Karlstad Formation, which constitutes the lower part of the section. The relevant part begins with a ~1.2 m thick bed of gray marl (Bed 1) (Fig. 3). This passes into a ~1.2 m thick layer of ochre-colored dolomite and a ~1m thick layer of ochre-colored dolomitic limestone with about 25 cm thick stromatolites (Bed 2) (Fig. 3). Bed 2 corresponds to the Geislingen Bed, a supraregional marker horizon (Simon et al. 2020). The stromatolites exhibit flat-columnar shapes (Fig. 3) and wavy to columnar laminations (Fig. 4c, d). They are mainly composed of calcite as revealed by µ-XRF and Raman spectroscopy (Fig. 6), but locally contain dolomite crystals (Fig. 6f, i). Following the dolomitic limestone with stromatolites, the section continues with a ~6.5 m thick interval of alternating gray dolomite and marl layers (Bed 3). Bivalve fossils and intraclasts are observed at the base of this interval, whilst stromatolites can be found at the top (Fig. 3). The thickness of the above Heilbronn Formation is strongly reduced due to subsurface dissolution. It mainly consists of halite and gypsum, intercalated with dolomitic marls and limestones (Bed 4) (Fig. 3). The section ends with the Diemel Formation, characterized by dolomitic limestones (Bed 5) (Fig. 3).

#### 4.3 Hardheim microbe-metazoan build-up (Middle Muschelkalk, Anisian, Middle Triassic)
Regarding Hardheim, microbe-metazoan build-ups are ~10 cm thick (Fig. 7a). The build-ups generally consist of calcite, dolomite, quartz, anatase and organic matter, as demonstrated by µ-XRF and Raman spectroscopy (Figs. 8, 9). Two groups of dolomite can be distinguished (Fig. 9c, d). The first group comprises euhedral dolomite crystals (Fig. 9c). The second group includes anhedral dolomite crystals that are intimately associated with organic matter (Fig. 9d). The microbe-metazoan build-ups are characterized by distinctly laminated columns (Fig. 7a). Laminations made of calcite with relatively abundant organic matter alternate with those made of calcite without organic matter (Fig. 8e, g, h). Non-spicular demosponges (“keratose”) can be found between and rarely within the columns (Fig. 7b-e). The sponges can clearly be distinguished by mesh-like fabrics and clotted to peloidal features (see Pei et al. 2021). In case of non-spicular demosponges, clotted to peloidal parts are composed of calcite and
contain abundant organic matter (Fig. 8f, i), whilst areas characterized by mesh-like fabrics solely consist of calcite and are devoid of organic matter (Fig. 8f, j).

4.4 Carbon and oxygen stable isotopes (δ¹³C_carb, δ¹⁸O_carb)

δ¹³C_carb and δ¹⁸O_carb data for microbialites from the different localities cluster in three discrete groups (Fig. 10; Tab. 1). δ¹³C_carb and δ¹⁸O_carb values of Group 1 (Jena and surroundings stromatolite) range from -5.5 ‰ to -4.7 ‰ and -2.1 ‰ to -0.6 ‰, respectively. δ¹³C_carb values of Group 2 (Werbach stromatolite) vary between -5.8 ‰ and -5.5 ‰, in the similar range with those of Group 1. δ¹⁸O_carb signatures of these samples, however, appear to be more negative, with an average value of -6.5 ‰. Marl samples from below the stromatolite layer at Werbach exhibits totally different δ¹³C_carb and δ¹⁸O_carb values, ranging from 1.3 ‰ to 1.5 ‰ and -2.0 ‰ to -1.9 ‰, respectively. δ¹³C_carb and δ¹⁸O_carb values of Group 3 (Hardheim microbe-metazoan build-up) vary from -1.5 ‰ to 0.6 ‰ and -6.8 ‰ to -5.8 ‰, respectively. An extracalst contained in a microbe-metazoan build-up from Hardheim has a δ¹³C_carb value of -4.7 ‰ and a δ¹⁸O_carb value of -6.6 ‰ (Fig. 10; Tab. 1). δ¹³C_carb and δ¹⁸O_carb values of Group 1 (Jena and surroundings stromatolite) show a relatively low coefficient of determination (R² = 0.4005; N = 4) (Fig. 10), which might indicate a little effect of diagenetic alteration (Bishop et al. 2014). The R² value for Group 3 (Hardheim microbe-metazoan build-up) is also low (0.1117; N = 6) and may be interpreted similarly (Fig. 10). However, the significantly negative δ¹⁸O_carb values of Group 3 perhaps reflect meteoric influence during diagenesis (Craig 1961), which could also be the case for Group 2 (Werbach stromatolite).

5 Discussion

5.1 Sedimentary environments

During Permian and Triassic times, the Germanic Basin was located on the edge of the western subtropical Tethys Ocean systems (Scotese and McKerrow 1990; Ziegler 1990; Stampfl 2000) (Fig. 1). In the Olenekian, a transgression from the western Tethys via the East Carpathian Gate resulted in the establishment of marine shelf environments in the surroundings of South Poland. Temporary, short-term transgressions entered the central Germanic basin, followed by dessication and playa deposits. In the area of Thuringia, this is reflected by the widespread deposition of marls, limestones, and dolomites, together with oolites and stromatolites. The sequence is formed by three cycles, each starting with marine transgressive deposition. The oolithic and bioclastic limestones and dolomites at both sections can be correlated stratigraphically (Simon et al. 2020). Anisian stromatolites from Werbach show wavy to columnar laminations (Fig. 8a, b) and consist mainly of calcite and Feist-Burkhardt; Hagdorn 2020) (Fig. 1). Taken together, Lower-Middle Triassic microbialites probably formed in hypersaline lagoons to sabkha environments.

5.2 Stromatolites vs. microbe-metazoan build-ups

Olenekian stromatolites from Jena and surroundings exhibit planar to wavy lamination (Fig. 4a) and consist mainly of dolomite (Fig. 5). Anisian stromatolites from Werbach show wavy to columnar laminations (Fig. 4c, d) but are mainly composed of calcite (Fig. 6), which perhaps formed through dedolomitization (Hauck et al. 2018). Microbe-metazoan build-ups at Hardheim are characterized by columnar laminations (Fig. 7a) and consist mainly of calcite, dolomite, and organic matter (Figs. 8, 9). The distinct laminations textures result from the relative proportion of organic matter (Fig. 8e, g, h). Laminae with abundant organic matter likely represent exopolymeric substances (EPS) secreted by microbial mat communities (see Suarez-Gonzalez and Reitner 2021). Those devoid of organic matter, in contrast, might be dominated by authigenic mineral precipitation and/or trapping and binding of detrital materials (Awramik et al. 1976; Reitner 2011; Suarez-Gonzalez et al. 2019).

The major difference between all the studied microbialites is the presence of non-spicular demosponges in the microbe-metazoan build-ups from Hardheim. As discussed above, non-spicular demosponges occur between and within laminated columns, and are readily discernable by mesh-like fabrics and clotted to peloidal features (Fig. 7b-e). Parts characterized by mesh-like fabrics solely consist of calcite (Fig. 8f, j) while those exhibiting clotted to peloidal features also contain organic matter (Fig. 8f, i). Three-dimensional reconstructions of modern and fossil non-spicular demosponges establish that the mesh-like fabrics represent skeletal elements that originally consist of spongin/chitin (Luo and Reitner 2014, 2016; see Pei et al. 2021, their Fig. 1). The clotted to peloidal features,
in contrast, reflect automicrite that form through the in-situ microbial decay of microbe-rich sponge tissue (Reitner 1993; Reitner et al. 1995).

Notably, anhedral dolomite crystals in microbial-metazoan build-ups from Hardheim are intimately associated with organic matter (Fig. 9d). They are tentatively attributed to protodolomite, hypothetically related to microbial sulfate reduction (Warthmann et al. 2000; Liu et al. 2020). In particular, various modern demosponges (e.g., Chondrosia reniformis, Petrosia ficiformis and Geodia barretti) harbor abundant sulfate reducing bacteria, and pyrite crystals, the typical end product of microbial sulfate reduction, are commonly present in taphonomically mineralized sponge tissue (Reitner and Schumann-Kindel 1997; Schumann-Kindel et al. 1997; Hoffmann et al. 2005; Zhang et al. 2015). It is thus tempting to speculate that the anhedral dolomite crystals in microbe-metazoan build-ups from Hardheim resulted from taphonomic processes associated with the sponges.

5.3 Paleoecological implications of the microbialites
The Permian – Triassic crisis is distinguished by a potentially catastrophic decline of biodiversity in marine and terrestrial ecosystems (Benton and Twitchett 2003; Wignall 2007; Chen and Benton 2012; Payne and Clapham 2012). Furthermore, it is associated with ubiquitous occurrences of unusual sedimentary features, including microbialites (Woods 2014; Wu et al. 2017; Heindel et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2019; Pei et al. 2019). As stated before, microbe-metazoan build-ups are easily overlooked in geological records, but have received much attention and interests recently (Luo and Reitner 2014, 2016; Friesenbichler et al. 2018; Heindel et al. 2018; Baud et al. 2021; Lee and Riding 2021; Pei et al. 2021).

One possible explanation for the widespread occurrence of diverse microbial mats is a suppressed ecological competition with grazing metazoans that would prevent their development (Foster et al. 2020). Although the details remain to be studied, it seems plausible that microbes and non-spicular demosponges had a mutualistic relationship (Luo and Reitner 2016; Lee and Riding 2021; Pei et al. 2021), and it is tempting to speculate that the investigated microbe-metazoan build-ups reflect an ancient evolutionary and ecologic association.

Salinity appears to be an additional influential factor. Microbial mats can develop under salinities of up to 170 ‰ (e.g., Schneider et al. 2013), but most animals suffer from high salinities (Bayly 1972). Notably, various sponges are more tolerant (e.g., Microciona prolifera), capable of surviving in environments with salinities up to 45 ‰ (e.g., Leamon and Fell 1990). The Lower-Middle Triassic microbialites studied herein formed in hypersaline lagoon to sabkha environments. Perhaps it was minor differences in salinities that controlled whether or not non-spicular demosponges could develop. This may explain the preferential development of non-spicular sponges in morphological valleys between laminated columns, since these areas might have been characterized by slightly lower salinities as compared to the top parts of the columns.

6 Conclusion
Triassic microbialites from Jena and surroundings (Upper Buntsandstein Subgroup, Olenekian, Early Triassic) as well as from Werbach and Hardheim (both lower Middle Muschelkalk Subgroup, Anisian, Middle Triassic) formed in hypersaline lagoons to sabkha environments. Olenekian stromatolites in Jena and surroundings exhibit planar to wavy laminations. Anisian stromatolites from Werbach are characterized by wavy to columnar laminations. Anisian microbialites from Hardheim (microbe-metazoan build-ups) consist of columnar laminations, which are different in that they embrace non-spicular demosponges. Stromatolite formation relies on authigenic mineral precipitation controlled by exopolymeric substances secreted by microbes in the mats and/or trapping and binding of detrital sediments. Skeletons of non-spicular demosponges originally consist of spongin/chitin. These organisms preserve through organomineralization processes linked to the microbial degradation of sponge tissue. This specific taphonomic process results in the formation of clotted to peloidal features that are characteristic for fossil non-spicular demosponges. The proliferation of microbial mats and/or microbe-metazoan build-ups was likely due to the suppressed ecological competition after the Permian – Triassic crisis. It appears plausible that microbes and non-spicular demosponges in the build-ups had a mutualistic relationship, and it is tempting to speculate that this association reflects an ancient evolutionary and ecologic strategy. Given the paleoenvironments, salinity might have been another ecological control on the presence of non-spicular demosponges.
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Fig. 1 Paleogeographic map of the Germanic Basin during the Triassic (after Scotese and McKerrow 1990; Ziegler 1990; Stampfli 2000; Götz and Feist-Burkhardt 2012; Hagdorn 2020). During the Olenekian (Early Triassic), the Germanic basin was connected to the Tethys (Melita Ocean) through the East Carpathian Gate (ECG). During the Anisian (Middle Triassic), the Germanic Basin and the Tethys Ocean systems were additionally linked through the Silesian – Moravian Gate (SMG) and the Western Gate (WG). Three of the studied sections (Jena and surroundings, Werbach and Hardheim) were located between the Rhenish Massif (RM) and the Vindelician – Bohemian – Massif (VBM). LBM: London – Brabant – Massif, MM: Malopolska Massif, FSH: Fenno – Scandian High
**Fig. 2** The Jena and surroundings section (Upper Buntsandstein, Olenekian, Early Triassic, Germanic Basin), showing stratigraphical, sedimentological and paleontological features.
Fig. 3 The Werbach section (lower Middle Muschelkalk, Anisian, Middle Triassic, Germanic Basin), showing stratigraphical, sedimentological and paleontological features.
Fig. 4 Thin section images (transmitted light) of stromatolites from Jena and surroundings (a, b) and Werbach (c, d). a Planar to wavy laminations in a Jena and surroundings stromatolite; b Disrupted laminations in a Jena and surroundings stromatolite; c, d Wavy to columnar laminations in a Werbach stromatolite.
Fig. 5 Micro X-ray fluorescence (μ-XRF) images and Raman spectroscopy data (single spectra) for a stromatolite from Jena and surroundings. 

- **a** Scan image (reflected light);
- **b** Calcium (Ca) distribution;
- **c** Magnesium (Mg) distribution;
- **d** Calcium (Ca) plus Magnesium (Mg) distribution;
- **e, g, h** Raman spectra of dolomite in the lower non-laminated part of the stromatolite;
- **f, i, j** Raman spectra of dolomite in the upper laminated part of the stromatolite.
Fig. 6 Micro X-ray fluorescence (μ-XRF) images and Raman spectroscopy data (single spectra) for a stromatolite from Werbach. 

- **a**: Scan image (reflected light); 
- **b**: Calcium (Ca) distribution; 
- **c**: Magnesium (Mg) distribution; 
- **d**: Calcium (Ca) plus Magnesium (Mg) distribution; 
- **e, g, h**: Raman spectra of calcite; 
- **f, i**: Raman spectra of dolomite.
Fig. 7 Overview photo and thin section images (transmitted light) of a microbe-metazoan build-up from Hardheim. 

a The build-up is mainly composed of columnar laminations; 
b, c Non-spicular demosponges between the laminated columns, showing characteristic mesh-like fabrics and clotted to peloidal features; 
d, e Non-spicular demosponges within laminated columns
Fig. 8 Micro X-ray fluorescence (μ-XRF) images and Raman spectroscopy data (single spectra) of a microbe-metazoan build-up from Hardheim. 

- **a** Scan image (reflected light)
- **b** Calcium (Ca) distribution
- **c** Magnesium (Mg) distribution
- **d** Calcium (Ca) plus Magnesium (Mg) distribution
- **e, g, h** Laminations made of calcite with relatively abundant organic matter alternate with those made of calcite without organic matter
- **f, i, j** Clotted to peloidal parts of non-spicular demosponge fossils consist of calcite and contain abundant organic matter, while areas characterized by mesh-like fabrics solely consist of calcite and are devoid of organic matter
Fig. 9 Raman spectroscopy data (spectral images) of a microbe-metazoan build-up from Hardheim (location indicated in 7b). a Combined image of calcite, dolomite, quartz, anatase and organic matter; b Distribution of calcite; c Distribution of euhedral dolomite; d Distribution of anhedral dolomite with organic matter; e Distribution of quartz; f Distribution of anatase
Fig. 10 Carbon and oxygen stable isotope data ($\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}}$, $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{carb}}$, respectively) for microbialites from Jena and surroundings, Werbach, and Hardheim

Tab. 1 Carbon and oxygen stable isotope data ($\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}}$, $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{carb}}$, respectively) for microbialites from Jena and surroundings, Werbach and Hardheim

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{carb}}$ vs. VPDB(‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{carb}}$ vs. VPDB(‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jena and surroundings stromatolite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.1</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werbach stromatolite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werbach marl</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardheim microbe-metazoan build-up</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardheim extraclast</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>