1   **Running title:** Study of post-transcriptional regulation in pigs

3   Modeling microRNA-driven post-transcriptional regulation

4   by using exon-intron split analysis (EISA) in pigs

Emilio Mármol-Sánchez[1*], Susanna Cirera[2], Laura M. Zingaretti[3], Mette Juul Jacobsen[2],

Yuliaxis Ramayo-Caldas[4], Claus B. Jørgensen[2], Merete Fredholm[2], Tainã Figueiredo

Cardoso[1†], Raquel Quintanilla[4], Marcel Amills[1,5]

[1]Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG), CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB,

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain. [2]Department of

Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of

Copenhagen, 1871 Frederiksberg C, Denmark. [3]Universidad Nacional de Villa María,

Villa María, Córdoba, Argentina. [4]Animal Breeding and Genetics Program, Institute for

Research and Technology in Food and Agriculture (IRTA), Torre Marimon, 08140

Caldes de Montbui, Barcelona, Spain. [5]Departament de Ciència Animal i dels Aliments,

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain.

*Emilio Mármol-Sánchez current affiliation: [1]Department of Molecular Biosciences,

The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden. [2]Centre for

Paleogenetics, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden.

[†]Tainã Figueiredo Cardoso current affiliation: Embrapa Pecuária Sudeste, Empresa

Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (EMBRAPA), 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil.

Corresponding author: Emilio Mármol-Sánchez. Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute. Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: emilio.marmol.sanchez@gmail.com

Emilio Mármol-Sánchez: emilio.marmol.sanchez@gmail.com

Susanna Cirera: scs@sund.ku.dk

Laura M. Zingaretti: m.lau.zingaretti@gmail.com

Mette Juul Jacobsen: pmn418@alumni.ku.dk

Yuliaxis Ramayo-Caldas: yuliaxis@gmail.com; yuliaxis.ramayo@irta.cat

Claus B. Jørgensen: clausbj@sund.ku.dk

Merete Fredholm: mf@sund.ku.dk

Tainã Figueiredo Cardoso: tainafcardoso@gmail.com

Raquel Quintanilla: raquel.quintanilla@irta.cat

Marcel Amills: Marcel.Amills@uab.cat

Abstract

The contribution of microRNAs (miRNAs) to mRNA regulation has often been explored by *post hoc* selection of downregulated genes and determining whether they harbor binding sites for miRNAs of interest. This approach, however, does not discriminate whether these mRNAs are also downregulated at the transcriptional level. Here, we have characterized the transcriptional and post-transcriptional changes of mRNA expression in two porcine tissues: *gluteus medius* muscle of fasted and fed Duroc gilts and adipose tissue of lean and obese Duroc-Göttingen minipigs. Exon-intron split analysis (EISA) of RNA-seq data allowed us to identify downregulated mRNAs with high post-transcriptional signals in fed or obese states, and we assessed whether they harbor binding sites for upregulated miRNAs in any of these two physiological states. We found 26 downregulated mRNAs with high post-transcriptional signals in the muscle of fed gilts and 21 of these were predicted targets of upregulated miRNAs also in the fed state. For adipose tissue, 44 downregulated mRNAs in obese minipigs displayed high post-transcriptional signals, and 25 of these were predicted targets of miRNAs upregulated in the obese state. These results suggest that the contribution of miRNAs to mRNA repression is more prominent in the skeletal muscle system. Finally, we identified several genes that may play relevant roles in the energy homeostasis of the pig skeletal muscle (*DKK2* and *PDK4*) and adipose (*SESN3* and *ESRRG*) tissues. By differentiating transcriptional from post-transcriptional changes in mRNA expression, EISA provides a valuable view about the regulation of gene expression, complementary to canonical differential expression analyses.

Keywords: Exon-intron split analysis, microRNA, pigs, energy homeostasis.

Introduction

The post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression plays a fundamental role towards shaping fine-tuned biological responses to environmental changes (Schaefke *et al.* 2018). Such regulation can take place at multiple levels including splicing, 3′-cleavage and polyadenylation, decay or translation, and its main effectors are RNA binding proteins and non-coding RNAs (Schaefke *et al.* 2018). Of particular importance are microRNAs (miRNAs), which are primarily engaged in the post-transcriptional control of gene expression through inhibition of translation and/or degradation of target mRNAs (Bartel, 2018).

Multiple differential expression studies have been performed in pigs during the last decade (Pérez-Montarelo *et al.* 2013; Óvilo *et al.* 2014; Pilcher *et al.* 2015; Horodyska *et al.* 2018; Benítez *et al.* 2019). One of the main limitations of these studies is that the transcriptional and post-transcriptional components of gene regulation are not independently analyzed. This means that genes that are transcriptionally upregulated and post-transcriptionally downregulated, or vice versa, might not be detected as significantly differentially expressed. Another disadvantage of this approach is that it does not provide insights about the causes of the observed downregulation of RNA transcripts. For instance, studies have typically focused on specific sets of downregulated genes harboring binding sites for miRNAs, in order to disentangle regulatory functions driven by miRNAs (Han *et al.* 2017; Xie *et al.* 2019; Ali *et al.* 2021). This approach, however, cannot distinguish between transcriptional or post-transcriptional repression, which is essential to understand at which level of the mRNA life-cycle regulation is taking place.

To overcome this important limitation, Gaidatzis *et al.* (2015) devised the *exon-intron split analysis* (EISA), which separates the transcriptional and post-transcriptional

components of gene regulation by comparing the amounts of exonic and intronic reads

from expressed mRNA transcripts. The main assumption of this method is that intronic

reads are mainly derived from nascent unprocessed mRNAs or pre-mRNAs, so they

reflect transcriptional changes, while post-transcriptional changes can be inferred from

differences between the levels of the exonic and intronic fractions (Ameur *et al.* 2011;

Gaidatzis *et al.* 2015). For instance, a gene showing little to no differences in the

number of sequenced intronic reads, but a strong downregulation of exonic reads after a

certain treatment or challenge (nutrition, infection, temperature etc.), could be subjected

to post-transcriptional repression (Gaidatzis *et al.* 2015; Cursons *et al.* 2018; Pillman *et*

al. 2019). Recent developments on this principle have also been applied to infer the

transcriptional fate of cells (La Manno *et al.* 2018).

The main goal of the present study was to investigate the contribution of miRNAs to

post-transcriptional regulatory responses using the EISA methodology, combined with

in silico prediction of miRNA-mRNA interactions and covariation analyses in porcine

skeletal muscle and adipose tissues.

Materials and methods

Experimental design, sampling and processing

Two experimental systems were used:

(i) Duroc pigs: Twenty-three gilts were subjected to two fasting/feeding regimes, i.e. 11

gilts (*AL-T0*) were slaughtered in fasting condition, while 12 gilts (*AL-T2*) were

slaughtered after 7 h of having access to food (Cardoso *et al.* 2017; Ballester *et al.*

2018; Mármol-Sánchez *et al.* 2020). Immediately after slaughtering, *gluteus medius*

(GM) skeletal muscle samples were collected and snap-frozen at -80°C.

(ii) Duroc-Göttingen minipig F_2 inter-cross: Ten individuals fed *ad libitum* with

divergent fatness profiles for body mass index (BMI, 5 *lean* and 5 *obese*) were selected

from the UNIK resource population (Kogelman *et al.* 2013; Jacobsen *et al.* 2019).

Retroperitoneal adipose tissue was collected at slaughter and mature adipocytes were

subsequently isolated as previously reported (Jacobsen *et al.* 2019). UNIK minipigs

BMI profiles are detailed in **Table S1.**

RNA-seq and small RNA-seq expression data generated in the framework of these two

experimental systems have been described previously (Cardoso *et al.* 2017; Jacobsen *et*

al. 2019; Mármol-Sánchez *et al.* 2020). Sequencing reads from the RNA-seq and small

RNA-seq datasets were trimmed with the Cutadapt software (Martin, 2011). RNA-seq

reads were then mapped with the HISAT2 aligner (Kim *et al.* 2019) using default

parameters. The Bowtie Alignment v.1.2.1.1 software (Langmead *et al.* 2009) was used

to align small RNA-seq reads by considering small sequence reads specifications

(*bowtie -n 0 -m 20 -k 1 --best*). The Sscrofa11.1 porcine reference assembly (Warr *et al.*

2020) was used for mapping.

Exon/Intron quantification

We generated exonic and intronic-specific annotations spanning all available genes by

using the Sscrofa.11.1 v.103 gene annotation (Ensembl repositories:

http://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-103/gtf/sus_scrofa/). Overlapping intronic/exonic

regions (10 bp at both ends of introns), as well as singleton positions were removed

(Lawrence *et al.* 2013). We then used the *featureCounts* tool (Liao *et al.* 2014) to

independently quantify exon and intron expression levels for each mRNA encoding

gene, as well as expression levels of mature miRNAs.

Differential expression analyses

Canonical differential expression analyses were carried out with the *edgeR* tool (Robinson *et al.* 2010) by considering only the exonic counts of mRNAs and the miRNA expression levels measured in the two experimental systems under study. Only genes showing average expression values above 1 count-per-million in at least 50% of animals within each treatment group (*AL-T0* and *AL-T2* for GM tissue and *lean* and *obese* for adipocyte isolates) were retained for further analyses. Expression filtered raw counts for exonic mRNA and miRNA reads were normalized with the trimmed mean of M-values normalization (TMM) method (Robinson & Oshlack 2010) and the statistical significance of mean expression differences was tested with a quasi-likelihood F-test (Robinson *et al.* 2010). Multiple hypothesis testing correction was implemented with the false discovery rate method (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). Messenger RNAs were considered to be significantly differentially expressed when the absolute value of the fold-change (FC) was higher than 2 ($|FC| > 2$) and the *q*-value < 0.05. Fasting Duroc gilts (*AL-T0*) as well as UNIK *obese* minipigs were classified as baseline controls in differential expression analyses, i.e. any given upregulation in exonic counts represents and overexpression of the corresponding gene in fed (*AL-T2*) Duroc gilts or *lean* UNIK minipigs with respect to their fasting (*AL-T0*) and *obese* counterparts, respectively.

Since changes in the expression of miRNAs are often subtler than those of mRNAs, the thresholds to consider a miRNA as truly differentially expressed were set to $|FC| > 1.5$ and *q*-value < 0.05 (Guo *et al.* 2015) for fasted (*AL-T0*) vs fed (*AL-T2*) Duroc gilts. Given the relatively low statistical significance of miRNA expression changes observed in *obese* vs *lean* minipigs, we considered, as potential miRNA regulators, those that were significantly ($FC < -1.5$; *q*-value < 0.05) and suggestively ($FC > 1.5$ and *P*-value < 0.01) upregulated in *lean* minipigs.

175 Exon/intron split analysis (EISA).

We applied EISA to differentiate transcriptional from post-transcriptional changes in

mRNA expression in our two experimental systems (muscle and fat). Normalization

was performed independently for exon and intron counts as described by Gaidatzis *et al.*

(2015). Exonic and intronic gene abundances were subsequently $\log_2$ transformed,

adding a pseudo-count of 1 and averaged within each considered treatment group.

Only genes for which both exonic and intronic read counts were successfully quantified

were further considered. Observed differences in each ith gene were expressed as the

increment of exonic/intronic counts in fed (*AL-T2*) and *obese* animals with respect to

fasting (*AL-T0*) and *lean* animals, respectively. In this way, the increment of intronic

and exonic counts was calculated considering $\Delta Int = Int_{2i} - Int_{1i}$ and $\Delta Ex = Ex_{2i} - Ex_{1i}$,

respectively. The magnitudes of the transcriptional (Tc) and post-transcriptional (PTc)

changes in mRNA expression were then calculated. The Tc contribution to the observed

counts is explained by ΔInt (Pillman *et al.* 2019), while PTc can be deduced from $\Delta Ex -$

ΔInt. In this way, the significance of Tc scores was assessed as in canonical differential

expression analyses but using the intronic fraction as input. Besides, for assessing the

statistical significance of PTc scores, we incorporated the fraction type (exonic or

intronic counts) as an interaction term with the condition type (fasted *AL-T0* vs fed *AL-*

T2 or *obese* vs *lean*) in the framework of the generalized linear model (Gaidatzis *et al.*

2015) and using *edgeR* tool with a quasi-likelihood F-test as in differential expression

analyses (Robinson *et al.* 2010). Both Tc and PTc components were z-scored to make

ΔEx and ΔInt estimates comparable. Moreover, Tc and PTc scores were considered

significant when $|FC| > 2$ and q-value < 0.05. Multiple hypothesis testing correction was

implemented by using the false discovery rate approach (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995).

In order to obtain a prioritized list of genes showing high signals of post-transcriptional

regulation, the top 5% of expressed genes with the most negative PTc scores were

retrieved (irrespective of their statistical significance after multiple testing correction).

From these, we only focused on genes showing strongly reduced ΔEx values of at least

2-fold for post-transcriptional signals in both experimental systems (i.e. ΔEx < -1 in the

log$_2$ scale). All implemented analyses have been summarized in **Fig. S1**. A ready-to-use

modular pipeline for running EISA is publicly available at

https://github.com/emarmolsanchez/EISAcompR.

miRNA target prediction

Putative interactions between the seed of expressed miRNAs (2nd-8th 5' nts) and the 3'-

UTRs of expressed mRNA genes were predicted on the basis of sequence identity using

the Sscrofa11.1 reference assembly and the seedVicious v1.1 tool (Marco 2018). The

annotated 3'-UTRs longer than 30 nts from porcine mRNAs were retrieved from

http://www.ensembl.org/biomart, while mature miRNA sequences were obtained from

miRBase (Kozomara *et al.* 2019). Redundant seeds from mature porcine microRNAs

were removed, and 8mer, 7mer-m8 and 7mer-A1 miRNA-mRNA interactions were

taken into account (Bartel 2018).

Based on Grimson *et al.* (2007), *in silico*-predicted miRNA-mRNA interactions

matching any of the following criteria were removed: (i) Binding sites located in 3'-

UTRs at less than 15 nts close to the end of the open reading frame (and the stop codon)

or less than 15 nts close to the terminal poly(A) tail (E criterion), (ii) binding sites

located in the middle of the 3'-UTR in a range comprising 45-55% of the central region

of the non-coding sequence (M criterion), and (iii) binding sites that lack AU-rich

sequences in their immediate upstream and downstream flanking regions comprising 30

nts each (AU criterion). A schematic representation of such criteria is available at **Fig.**

S2.

Covariation patterns between miRNAs and their predicted mRNA targets were assessed

by computing Spearman's correlation coefficients (ρ) with the TMM normalized and

$\log_2$ transformed expression profiles of the exonic fraction of mRNA and miRNA

genes. Only miRNA-mRNA predicted pairs comprising significantly upregulated

miRNAs and downregulated mRNA genes with high PTc scores (see exon/intron split

analysis section) were considered.

miRNA target enrichment analyses

We predicted which downregulated mRNA genes, from those with highly negative

post-transcriptional signals, are putatively targeted by at least one significantly

upregulated miRNA. Subsequently, we investigated whether the sets of mRNA genes

identified in this way are more enriched in binding sites for upregulated miRNAs than

the whole set of expressed mRNAs genes with available 3'-UTRs (control background).

Enrichment analyses were carried out using the Fisher's exact test in R. Significance

level was set at a nominal P-value < 0.05.

We also tested whether downregulated mRNA genes with highly negative post-

transcriptional signals were significantly enriched to be targets of at least one of the top

5% most highly expressed miRNA genes (considering their overall average expression

and excluding significantly upregulated miRNAs), as well as of significantly

downregulated miRNAs.

As an additional control randomized test for enrichment analyses between miRNAs and

downregulated mRNAs with highly negative post-transcriptional signals, we

implemented a bootstrap corrected iteration to generate 100 random sets of 10 expressed

mature miRNA genes without seed redundancy. In this way, we predicted which

downregulated mRNA genes, from those with highly negative post-transcriptional

signals, are putatively targeted by at least one miRNA of the random sets generated. The

distribution of odds ratios obtained after enrichment tests over each random set of

miRNAs (N = 100) were then compared with the observed odds ratios obtained with the

set of significantly upregulated miRNAs.

The *P*-value for the significance of the deviation of observed odds ratios against the

bootstrapped odds ratios distribution was defined as:

$P - value = 1 - \frac{r+1}{k+1}$, where r is the number of permuted odds ratios with values

equal or higher than the observed odds ratio, and k is the number of permutations (N =

100).

Gene covariation network and covariation enrichment score

We used *edgeR* to identify differentially expressed genes in the *AL-T0* vs *AL-T2* (N =

454 genes) and *obese* vs *lean* (N = 299 genes) comparisons showing *q*-value < 0.05

after multiple testing correction. Then, the normalized exonic and intronic estimates in

the $\log_2$ scale obtained from EISA analyses were independently used to compute

Spearman's correlation coefficients (ρ). Significant correlations were identified with the

Partial Correlation with Information Theory (PCIT) algorithm (Reverter & Chan 2008)

implemented in the *pcit* R package (Watson-Haigh *et al.* 2010). In this way, the number

of overall significant pairwise correlations was compared with that obtained when only

considering the set of downregulated mRNA genes with highly negative post-

transcriptional signals and putatively targeted by significantly upregulated miRNAs.

Thus, the potential contribution of miRNAs to the observed differences in covariation

among the two sets of genes was assessed by calculating a covariation enrichment score

(CES), as reported by Tarbier *et al.* (2020). Further details about the algorithm used to

calculate the CES values and control tests can be found in **Supplementary Methods**.

Significant differences among the set of exonic, intronic and control CES values were

tested with a Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test (Mann & Whitney 1947).

Verification of RNA-seq expression profiles by qPCR

By using qPCR, we have verified that the expression profiles of selected mRNAs and

miRNAs highlighted in the adipose tissue experiment were in accordance with those

obtained with RNA-seq and small RNA-seq data. Since this experiment is just a control

of the quality of expression estimates, further details are reported in **Supplementary**

Methods. Primers for mRNA and miRNA qPCR expression profiling are available at

Table S2. Raw Cq values for each assay are available at **Table S3**.

Results

The analysis of post-transcriptional regulation in muscle samples from fasting and

fed Duroc gilts

Differential expression and EISA analyses

Total RNA and small RNA were independently sequenced in muscle samples from

fasting (*AL-T0*) and fed (*AL-T2*) Duroc gilts. About 45.2 million reads (93%) per

sample from protein coding and non-coding genes were successfully mapped against the

Sscrofa.11.1 assembly when analyzing the GM muscle total RNA-seq data. Besides,

around 2.2 million reads per sample (42%) obtained from the small RNA-seq

experiment were successfully mapped to 370 annotated porcine miRNA genes.

A total of 30,322 (based on exonic reads) and 22,769 (based on intronic reads) genes

were successfully quantified after splitting the reference genome assembly between

exonic and intronic features. Exonic counts were ~23 fold more abundant than those

corresponding to intronic regions.

By using *edgeR*, we detected 454 mRNA genes with *q*-value < 0.05 (**Table S4a**).

Among these, only genes with |FC| > 2 were retained, resulting in 52 upregulated and 80

downregulated genes (**Table S4a** in bold and **Fig. S3a**). The analysis of small RNA-seq

data with *edgeR* revealed 16 miRNAs significantly differentially expressed, of which 8

were upregulated in *AL-T2* gilts. These 8 miRNAs, which represented 6 unique miRNA

seeds (ssc-miR-148a-3p, ssc-miR-7-5p, ssc-miR-30-3p, ssc-miR-151-3p, ssc-miR-374a-

3p and ssc-miR-421-5p; **Table S5** in bold), were selected as potential post-

transcriptional regulators of mRNA genes.

On the other hand, EISA highlighted 26 mRNA genes displaying the top 5% negative

PTc scores with at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction (**Table 1** and **Fig. S3b**). Eighteen out of

these 26 genes (69.23%) appeared as significantly downregulated (FC < -2; *q*-value <

0.05, **Table 1** and **Table S4b** in bold) according to differential expression analyses.

Also, we detected 133 mRNA genes with significant PTc scores (|FC| > 2; *q*-value <

0.05, **Table S6a**), of which three experienced at least a 2-fold reduction of their ΔEx

fraction (**Table S6a** in bold). Two out from these three mRNA genes ranked within

those with the top 5% negative PTc scores (**Table 1** and **Table S6a**). Among this set of

133 genes, only seven (5.26%) were also significantly differentially expressed (**Table**

S6a).

Moreover, with EISA we detected 344 genes displaying significant Tc scores (|FC| > 2;

q-value < 0.05, **Table S6b**) and among these, 71 (20.63%) were also significantly

differentially expressed (**Tables S6b**). Besides, 91 out of these 344 genes (26.45%) also

showed significant PTc scores (**Table S6b** in bold), but none of them were among the

mRNA genes displaying the top 5% negative PTc scores with at least 2-fold ΔEx

reduction (N = 26, **Table 1**). The whole list of expressed mRNA genes after differential

expression analyses is available at **Table S4c**. The whole lists of expressed mRNA

genes after EISA and their PTc and Tc scores are available at **Table S6c** and **S6d**,

respectively.

Context-based pruning of predicted miRNA-mRNA interactions removes spurious

unreliable target events

Before making *in silico* predictions of miRNA-mRNA interactions, we investigated

their reliability (**Table S7**). To do so, we first evaluated the enrichment in the number of

genes with binding sites for at least one of the 6 non-redundant miRNAs upregulated in

the GM muscle of *AL-T2* gilts (ssc-miR-148a-3p, ssc-miR-7-5p, ssc-miR-30-3p, ssc-

miR-151-3p, ssc-miR-374a-3p and ssc-miR-421-5p) over a random background of

expressed genes with no context-based removal of predicted binding sites (see

Methods). Introducing additional context-based filtering criteria to remove unreliable

binding site predictions resulted in an overall increased enrichment of target genes

within the list of the top 1% (N = 13 genes, **Fig. S4a**) and 5% (N = 26 genes, **Fig. S4b**)

genes with negative PTc scores and displaying at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction. This

significant enrichment was more evident when using the AU criterion, as shown in **Fig.**

S4a.

Table 1: mRNA genes with the top 5% post-transcriptional (PTc) scores and at least 2-

fold exonic fraction (ΔEx) reduction (equivalent to -1 in the $\log_2$ scale) of *gluteus*

medius skeletal muscle samples from fasting (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12)

Duroc gilts.

ID	Gene	$\log_2 FC^a$	ΔEx^b	PTc^c	*P*-value	*q*-value	DE^d	miRNA target
ENSSSCG00000032094	DKK2	-2.010	-1.431	-4.738	1.654E-05	3.830E-03		x
ENSSSCG00000015334	PDK4	-2.108	-5.250	-4.698	4.693E-03	1.330E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000015037	IL18	-1.655	-1.191	-3.682	4.787E-03	1.340E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000005385	NR4A3	-1.337	-3.082	-3.646	4.038E-02	4.098E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000003766	DNAJB4	-1.391	-1.008	-3.348	8.358E-03	1.905E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000015969	CHRNA1	-1.561	-1.339	-3.341	2.606E-03	9.406E-02	x	x
ENSSSCG00000039419	SLCO4A1	-1.055	-2.279	-3.180	2.820E-02	3.544E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000049158		-1.107	-1.096	-3.164	3.182E-02	3.735E-01		
ENSSSCG00000004347	FBXL4	-1.298	-1.126	-3.133	1.422E-03	6.520E-02	x	x
ENSSSCG00000004979	MYO9A	-1.239	-1.003	-3.043	7.296E-03	1.731E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000013351	NAV2	-1.163	-1.196	-2.863	2.605E-04	2.301E-02	x	x
ENSSSCG00000032741	TBC1D9	-0.913	-1.061	-2.736	1.534E-02	2.583E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000031728	ABRA	-1.238	-1.393	-2.704	1.295E-03	6.116E-02	x	x
ENSSSCG00000006331	PBX1	-0.891	-1.039	-2.480	1.135E-02	2.177E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000035037	SIK1	-1.357	-1.289	-2.475	3.999E-03	1.212E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000038374	CIART	-1.027	-1.321	-2.052	1.543E-02	2.587E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000023806	LRRN1	-0.776	-1.013	-1.983	1.580E-01	7.074E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000009157	TET2	-0.381	-1.123	-1.792	4.880E-01	9.582E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000011133	PFKFB3	-0.022	-2.256	-1.785	9.712E-01	9.987E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000002283	FUT8	-0.578	-1.286	-1.784	9.887E-02	6.059E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000023133	OSBPL6	-0.432	-1.088	-1.772	3.835E-01	9.108E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000017986	NDEL1	-0.767	-1.644	-1.759	1.006E-02	2.081E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000031321	NR4A1	-0.630	-1.328	-1.720	6.298E-02	5.006E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000035101	KLF5	-0.519	-1.487	-1.708	2.942E-01	8.488E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000004332	BACH2	-0.714	-2.105	-1.705	9.089E-02	5.861E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000017983	PER1	-0.773	-1.073	-1.627	3.000E-02	3.662E-01	x	

ENSSSCG00000049158 did not have any annotated 3´-UTR so it was excluded from further analyses. [a]Log$_2$FC:

estimated $\log_2$ fold change for mean exonic fraction from *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle samples of fasted *AL-T0* and

fed *AL-T2* Duroc gilts; [b]ΔEx: exonic fraction increment ($Ex_2 – Ex_1$) in $\log_2$ scale when comparing exon abundances in

AL-T0 (Ex_1) vs *AL-T2* (Ex_2) Duroc gilts; [c]PTc: post-transcriptional signal ($\Delta Ex – \Delta Int$) in z-score scale. The *q*-value

has been calculated with the false discovery rate approach (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). [d]DE: Significantly

differentially expressed (FC < -2; *q*-value < 0.05). The "x" symbols indicate significantly downregulated genes

according to their exonic counts, as well as those mRNA genes targeted by at least one of the significantly

upregulated miRNAs excluding redundant seeds (N = 6, **Table S5**).

 Several genes with relevant post-transcriptional signals detected with EISA are

predicted to be targets of upregulated miRNAs

Target prediction and context-based pruning of miRNA-mRNA interactions for mRNA

genes displaying the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold reduction in the

ΔEx exonic fraction (N = 26, **Table 1**, **Fig. 1a**) made possible to detect 11 8mer, 21

7mer-m8 and 22 7mer-A1 miRNA binding sites for the six non-redundant seeds of

miRNAs significantly upregulated in *AL-T2* gilts (**Table S5** in bold) in 21 out of the 26

analyzed mRNAs (80.77%, **Table S7**). Moreover, 14 out of these 21 genes (66.67%)

were also significantly differentially expressed (**Table 1** and **Table S4b** in bold).

This set of 21 mRNA genes with putative post-transcriptional repression mediated by

miRNAs showed a significant enrichment in 8mer, 7mer-m8 and 7mer-A1 sites for the

6 miRNAs significantly upregulated in *AL-T2* gilts, especially when combining these

three types of binding sites altogether (**Fig. 1b**). The miRNAs with the highest number

of significant miRNA-mRNA interactions were ssc-miR-30a-3p and ssc-miR-421-5p,

which showed nine and eight significant interactions, followed by ssc-miR-148-3p with

four significant interactions (**Table S7**).

We also evaluated the enrichment of the mRNA genes within the list of the top 5%

negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction (N = 26, **Table 1**) to be targets of

at least one of the following: (i) miRNAs downregulated in *AL-T2* fed gilts (**Table S5**),

(ii) top 5% most expressed miRNAs, excluding those significantly upregulated (ssc-

miR-1, ssc-miR-133a-3p, ssc-miR-26a, ssc-miR-10b, ssc-miR-378, ssc-miR-99a-5p,

ssc-miR-27b-3p, ssc-miR-30d, ssc-miR-486 and ssc-let-7f-5p), and (iii) random sets (N

= 100) of 10 expressed miRNAs, as a control test. In none of these three analyses a

significant enrichment was detected (**Fig. 1b**).

The mRNA with the highest negative and most significant PTc score was the Dickkopf

WNT Signaling Pathway Inhibitor 2 (*DKK2*), being a strong candidate to be repressed

by miRNAs (**Table 1**). Indeed, *DKK2* was the only gene harboring two miRNA 8mer

binding sites (**Table S7**). Interestingly, this locus was almost significantly differentially

expressed (**Table 1** and **Table S4b**). The discordance between EISA and differential

expression results can be fully appreciated when comparing **Fig. 1a** (genes with high

post-transcriptional repression after EISA) and **Fig. 1c** (differential expression

analyses), where only 18 out of the 26 mRNA genes detected with EISA appeared as

significantly downregulated in the *edgeR*-based differential expression analyses (**Table**

1). Although several of the mRNA genes shown in **Table 1** were highly downregulated

(**Table S4b**), the majority were mildly to slightly downregulated or not significantly

differentially expressed.

Genes showing post-transcriptional regulatory signals predominantly covary at the

exonic level

To further elucidate whether the set of 21 mRNA genes with putative post-

transcriptional repression mediated by upregulated miRNAs showed covarying

expression profiles, we evaluated the number of significant co-expressed pairs among

them and among the whole set of mRNA genes with *q*-value < 0.05, including those

from the set of 21 mRNA genes with *q*-value > 0.05 after differential expression

analyses (**Table 4b**).

By calculating CES values for both exonic and intronic fractions (see Methods) of the

21 genes putatively targeted by the 6 significantly upregulated miRNAs, our analyses

revealed that 19 out of these 21 genes showed increased covariation in their exonic

fraction when compared to their intronic fraction (**Table S8**, **Fig. 1d**), and *DKK2* was

again the gene with the strongest exonic covariation fold change compared to its

intronic covariation (**Table S8**). As expected, control random sets of genes (N = 1,000)

displayed CES ≈ 1, indicative of no covariation (**Fig. 1d**). The observed CES

distributions of exonic and intronic sets were significantly different (*P*-value = 3.663E-

06) after running non-parametric tests (**Fig. 1d**), thus supporting that the majority of

these 21 genes might be indeed co-regulated at the post-transcriptional level by

upregulated miRNAs.

Figure 1: (**a**) Scatterplot depicting genes expressed in *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle of

fasted (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts according to their exonic

fraction (ΔEx) and post-transcriptional (PTc) scores. Genes with the top 5% negative

PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction (equivalent to -1 in the log$_2$ scale) are

highlighted in purple and delimited by dashed lines. (**b**) Enrichment analyses comparing

all expressed mRNA genes and the set of mRNA genes with the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction as being putatively targeted by either significantly upregulated miRNAs (FC > 1.5; *q*-value < 0.05), significantly downregulated miRNAs (FC < -1.5; *q*-value < 0.05) or the top 5% most highly expressed miRNAs, excluding significantly upregulated miRNAs. As indicated with the dashed line, a nominal *P*-value = 0.05 was set as a significance threshold. (**c**) Scatterplot depicting genes expressed in *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle of fasted (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts according to their exonic fraction (ΔEx) and post-transcriptional (PTc) scores. Genes significantly upregulated are in green, while those being downregulated are in red (|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05). (**d**) Covariation enrichment scores (CES) for the exonic and intronic fractions of the 21 mRNA genes with the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction predicted to harbor binding sites for upregulated miRNAs (N = 6) in the *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle of fasted (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts. The control set was established by generating 1,000 permuted lists of 21 genes chosen at random and using their exonic and intronic fractions for calculating their CES values. Statistical significance was assessed using a Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test (Mann & Whitney 1947). The dashed line represents a CES of 1, equivalent to an observed null fold change in covariation.

Studying post-transcriptional signals in adipose tissue using the UNIK minipig population

After pre-processing and filtering of sequenced reads from adipocyte samples, we were able to retrieve ~98.1 and ~0.87 million mRNA and small RNA reads per sample, and ~96.5% and ~73.4% of these reads mapped to annotated porcine mRNA and miRNA

genes, respectively. Differential expression analyses revealed a total of 299 genes with q-value < 0.05, of which 52 and 95 were significantly downregulated and upregulated (FC > |2|; q-value < 0.05), respectively (**Table S9a** in bold). Only one miRNA (ssc-miR-92b-3p) was significantly upregulated in *lean* minipigs (**Table S10**), while six additional miRNAs showed suggestive differential expression (*P*-value < 0.01), of which four were upregulated (ssc-miR-148a-3p, ssc-miR-204, ssc-miR-92a and ssc-miR-214-3p; **Table S10** in bold).

After running EISA, only the sestrin 3 (*SESN3*) gene showed a significant PTc score, having the second highest negative PTc score (**Table 2** and **Table S11a**). Moreover, *SESN3* was also detected as the most significantly downregulated gene by *edgeR* (**Table S9a**). A total of 44 downregulated mRNAs in *lean* minipigs displayed the top 5% PTc scores with reduced ΔEx of at least 2-fold (**Table 2** and **Fig. 2a**). Among them, only 12 (27.27%) appeared as significantly downregulated (FC < -2; q-value < 0.05, **Table 2** and **Table S9b** in bold). The whole list of expressed genes after differential expression analyses are available at **Table S9c**.

Twenty-five out of the 44 (58.14%, **Table S9b**) mRNAs downregulated in *lean* minipigs and displaying the top 5% negative PTc scores were classified as putative targets of the set of miRNAs upregulated in *lean* minipigs (N = 4, ssc-miR-92b-3p, ssc-miR-148a-3p, ssc-miR-204 and ssc-miR-214-3p; **Table S10** in bold). Target prediction and context-based pruning of miRNA-mRNA interactions for these 25 genes made possible to detect eight 8mer, 21 7mer-m8 and 24 7mer-A1 miRNA binding sites (**Table S12**) for upregulated miRNAs (N = 4) in *lean* UNIK minipigs (**Table S10**). Again, the *SESN3* gene showed the highest number of predicted putative miRNA target sites in its 3´-UTR (**Table S12**).

Enrichment analyses for these 25 mRNAs (**Table 2**) revealed no significant enrichment

for 8mer, 7mer-m8 and 7mer-A1 miRNA binding sites (**Fig. 2b**). Besides, only seven of

them (26.92%) appeared as significantly downregulated (FC < -2; *q*-value < 0.05) in the

differential expression analyses with *edgeR* (**Table S9b** and **Table 2**, **Fig. 2c**). The

exonic fractions of 18 out of these 25 mRNA genes showed significantly increased

covariation (*P*-value = 2.703E-02) compared to the covariation observed for the intronic

fractions (**Fig. 2d** and **Table S13**).

Regarding Tc scores, a total of 195 genes showed significant transcriptional signals

(|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, **Table S11b**), and 48 of them were also significantly

differentially expressed (24.61%, **Tables S9a** and **S11b**). Moreover, three of them

(*ARHGAP27*, *CDH1* and *LEP*) were found among those with the top 5% post-

transcriptional signals (**Table 2** and **Table S11b** in bold). The whole lists of expressed

genes after EISA and their PTc and Tc scores are available at **Table S11c** and **S11d**,

respectively.

Results obtained for qPCR verification analyses are described in **Fig. S5**.

Table 2: mRNA genes with the top 5% post-transcriptional (PTc) scores and at least 2-

fold exonic fraction (ΔEx) reduction (equivalent to -1 in the log2 scale) of adipocytes

from *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs classified in accordance with their

body mass index.

mRNA	Gene	$\log_2$FC[a]	ΔEx[b]	PTc[c]	*P*-value	*q*-value	DE[d]	miRNA target
ENSSSCG00000010814	*ESRRG*	-0.591	-5.305	-6.425	7.364E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000037015	*SESN3*	-2.000	-1.378	-5.707	2.541E-07	2.477E-03	x	x
ENSSSCG00000032452	*WFS1*	-2.198	-2.138	-5.510	9.509E-03	9.996E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000039548	*PTGFR*	-1.634	-1.590	-4.915	8.804E-03	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000013829	*SYDE1*	-1.670	-1.160	-4.188	5.795E-04	6.000E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000002265	*FAM174B*	-1.244	-1.726	-4.179	5.385E-02	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000016233	*SERPINE2*	-1.735	-2.060	-3.603	5.684E-02	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000006243	*PENK*	-0.420	-2.104	-3.573	7.628E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000038879	*RELB*	-1.272	-1.056	-3.512	3.659E-03	9.996E-01	x	

Gene ID	Gene	Log2FC	ΔEx	PTc	q-value	q-value		
ENSSSCG00000023408	*SAMD4A*	-1.328	-1.156	-3.509	4.486E-02	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000008449	*SLC3A1*	-1.014	-1.154	-3.491	5.859E-02	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000014921	*PRSS23*	-1.141	-1.739	-3.360	2.719E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000017186	*RNF157*	-1.218	-2.338	-3.317	2.413E-01	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000035403	*RFX2*	-1.109	-1.022	-2.958	1.550E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000010893		-0.655	-1.352	-2.931	4.068E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000031819	*TP53I11*	-1.002	-1.711	-2.883	4.102E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000017137	*METRNL*	-0.674	-1.102	-2.812	2.422E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000032562	*TMC6*	-0.837	-1.152	-2.765	2.078E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000031261	*RHOQ*	-0.903	-1.046	-2.750	1.839E-02	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000001089	*GPLD1*	-0.872	-1.761	-2.723	4.302E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000034259	*PMEPA1*	-0.880	-1.348	-2.720	3.583E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000017014	*PANK3*	-0.614	-1.037	-2.557	2.288E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000003377	*ACOT7*	-0.790	-2.688	-2.544	3.439E-01	9.996E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000010079	*PPM1F*	-0.762	-1.035	-2.473	4.967E-02	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000040464	*LEP*	-0.747	-2.186	-2.463	1.880E-01	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000022029	*RAP1GAP*	-0.120	-1.109	-2.418	8.822E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000022099	*TP53INP2*	-0.628	-1.058	-2.403	3.683E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000025652	*CDH1*	-0.472	-2.592	-2.372	6.533E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000027266	*PNPLA3*	-0.443	-1.386	-2.198	5.725E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000015401	*PCLO*	-0.674	-1.492	-2.182	4.537E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000020872		-1.029	-1.128	-2.090	1.340E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000032633	*FAM53A*	-0.749	-1.033	-2.066	4.576E-02	9.996E-01	x	
ENSSSCG00000015559	*NCF2*	-0.679	-1.221	-2.061	3.570E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000015766	*WDR17*	-0.609	-1.139	-1.998	2.093E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000009761	*NCOR2*	-0.681	-1.421	-1.913	2.643E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000016928	*RAB3D*	-0.491	-1.142	-1.888	2.953E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000011230	*OSBPL10*	-0.576	-1.594	-1.869	4.272E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000017298	*TANC2*	-0.615	-1.541	-1.846	4.896E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000007899		-0.524	-1.036	-1.814	4.500E-01	9.996E-01		x
ENSSSCG00000026421	*PKD2L2*	-0.463	-1.230	-1.800	5.098E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000015332	*PON1*	-0.626	-1.076	-1.763	2.530E-01	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000009215	*ABCG2*	-0.455	-1.446	-1.749	5.684E-01	9.996E-01		
ENSSSCG00000017328	*ARHGAP27*	-0.235	-2.788	-1.699	8.113E-01	9.996E-01	x	x
ENSSSCG00000017199	*TRIM47*	-0.362	-1.057	-1.645	6.717E-01	9.996E-01		x

ENSSSCG00000016928 did not have an annotated 3´-UTR and was therefore excluded from further analyses.

[a]Log2FC: estimated $\log_2$ fold change for mean exonic fraction from adipocytes of *lean* and *obese* UNIK minipigs;

[b]ΔEx: exonic fraction increment ($Ex_2 - Ex_1$) in $\log_2$ scale when comparing exon abundances in obese (Ex_1) vs lean

(Ex_2) UNIK minipigs; [c]PTc: post-transcriptional signal ($\Delta Ex - \Delta Int$) in z-score scale. The *q*-value has been calculated

with the false discovery rate (FDR) approach (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995); [d]DE: Significantly differentially

expressed (FC < -2; *q*-value < 0.05). The "x" symbols indicate significantly downregulated genes according to their

exonic counts, as well as those mRNA genes targeted by at least one of the significantly upregulated miRNAs

excluding redundant seeds (N = 4, **Table S10**).

Figure 2: (**a**) Scatterplot depicting genes expressed in adipocytes obtained from UNIK

minipigs with *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) phenotypes (using their body mass index

as reference) according to their exonic fraction (ΔEx) and post-transcriptional (PTc)

scores. Genes with the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction

(equivalent to -1 in the $\log_2$ scale) are highlighted in purple and delimited by dashed

lines. (**b**) Enrichment analyses comparing all expressed mRNA genes and the set of

mRNA genes with the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction as

being putatively targeted by either significantly upregulated miRNAs (FC > 1.5; *q*-value

< 0.05), significantly downregulated miRNAs (FC < -1.5; *q*-value < 0.05) or the top 5%

most highly expressed miRNAs, excluding significantly upregulated miRNAs. As

indicated with the dashed line, a nominal *P*-value = 0.05 was set as a significance

threshold. (**c**) Scatterplot depicting genes expressed in adipocytes obtained from UNIK

minipigs with *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) phenotypes (using their body mass index

as reference) according to their exonic fraction (ΔEx) and post-transcriptional (PTc)

scores. Genes significantly upregulated are in green, while those being downregulated

are in red ($|FC| > 2$; q-value < 0.05). (**d**) Covariation enrichment scores (CES) for the

exonic and intronic fractions of the 25 mRNA genes with the top 5% negative PTc

scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction predicted to harbor binding sites for

upregulated miRNAs (N = 4) in adipocytes obtained from UNIK minipigs with *lean* (N

= 5) and *obese* (N = 5) phenotypes. The control set was established by generating 1,000

permuted lists of 25 genes chosen at random and using their exonic and intronic

fractions for calculating their CES values. Statistical significance was assessed using a

Mann-Whitney U non-parametric test (Mann & Whitney 1947). The dashed line

represents a CES of 1, equivalent to an observed null fold change in covariation.

Discussion

Contribution of the Tc and PTc components of gene regulation to energy homeostasis in porcine muscle and adipose tissues

After running EISA on both muscle and adipose tissue datasets, we observed that the

number of genes with significant transcriptional signals (Tc) was much higher than that

of loci with significant post-transcriptional signals (PTc). Such difference evidences that

gene expression changes induced by feeding or obesity might be mostly driven by

transcriptional rather than post-transcriptional modulators. It is worth noting, however,

that few mRNAs showed post-transcriptional signals alone and were thus mixed with

transcriptional signals either in concordant or in opposite directions.

For prioritizing putative post-transcriptionally repressed mRNA genes by miRNAs, we

focused on those with the strongest observed downregulation based on their ΔEx values

(at least 2-fold reduction) and PTc signal (top 5% negative scores). Hence, we did not

consider the significance of PTc scores as a relevant criterion, as these will appear as

significant only when the post-transcriptional response is strong and not confounded by

a cooperative repressive transcriptional signal. Alternative thresholds other than the top

5% negative PTc scores or 2-fold for ΔEx fraction could be applied, depending on the

strength of the post-transcriptional signal detected.

Besides, it is worth noting that our RNA-seq data was generated following

manufacturer's instructions for TruSeq stranded libraries. This commonly used protocol

selects for poly(A) mRNAs, and the majority of non-poly(A) intronic lariats generated

after splicing will be lost, thus producing an artificial decrease in the quantified intronic

fraction (intronic reads). Although the intronic yield is decreased in poly(A) RNA

compared to total RNA protocols (Ameur *et al.* 2011), the recoverable intronic fraction

is still highly correlated with nascent transcription (Gaidatzis *et al.* 2015; La Manno *et*

al. 2018), probably derived from the presence of poly(A) introns or unspliced mRNAs

being sequenced, albeit at low abundance. Thus, the remaining intronic reads might

conform a limited and indirect yet representative proxy of the transcriptional activity.

Alternative methods for measuring such transcriptional activity by directly monitoring

transcription across the genome have also been applied (Patel *et al.* 2020), and might be

preferred over the use of intronic fractions. However, the implementation of such

alternatives is limited so far, and the relatively simple and cost-effective usage of

intronic reads present in already available RNA-seq data justifies the use of the EISA

approach.

Differential expression analyses and EISA highlight different sets of genes

Few genes with significant Tc and PTc components were also classified by *edgeR* as

significantly differentially expressed. Such a discrepancy between EISA and differential

expression analyses is in agreement with the subtle regulation elicited by miRNAs,

which is dependent on the expression level of miRNAs and the number of target sites

within a given mRNA 3'-UTR (Bartel 2018). In this way, EISA might serve as a good

approach to identify both strong and subtle post-transcriptional effects mediated by

miRNAs that canonical differential expression approaches might not be able to capture.

Importantly, these discrepancies were reduced when we focused on genes with top 5%

negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold reduction in their ΔEx values: as much as

69.23% (skeletal muscle) and 27.27% (adipose tissue) of such genes were also detected

as differentially expressed. This increase in concordance was more pronounced in the

skeletal muscle (fasted vs fed gilts) experimental system. This might be due to the

overall stronger upregulation of miRNAs observed for this dataset when compared with

that generated in the adipose tissue experiment, which can be explained by intrinsic

genomic differences among pig breeds, the tissues analyzed and/or the metabolic

challenge undertaken.

Predicting the contribution of miRNAs to the post-transcriptional regulatory

response in porcine muscle and adipose tissues

Since the efficacy of miRNA-based repression of mRNA expression depends on the

context of the miRNA binding site within the 3'-UTR (Grimson *et al.* 2007), we have

assessed the usefulness of introducing context-based filtering criteria for removing

spurious *in silico*-predicted binding sites for miRNAs. Using enrichment analyses, we

were able to link the expression of the set of mRNAs with downregulated exonic

fraction to the expression of upregulated miRNAs predicted to target them.

In the skeletal muscle system, prediction of miRNA binding sites in mRNA genes

displaying the top 5% negative post-transcriptional signals and at least 2-fold reduction

in their exonic fraction revealed that the majority of them (80.77%) harbored at least

one binding site for the corresponding set of significantly upregulated miRNAs (N = 6).

In contrast, such pattern was much less evident in the adipose tissue, which could be

explained by the fact that the majority of upregulated miRNAs in *lean* minipigs did not

reach significance.

Although we verified by qPCR the RNA-seq expression levels of selected

downregulated mRNAs and upregulated miRNAs in the UNIK adipose tissue

experiment, further experimental validation of the reported mRNA-miRNA interactions

is needed. *In silico* predictions of miRNA binding sites, EISA and covariation analyses

might be helpful to identify miRNA-mRNA pairs to be experimentally validated with

co-transfection gene reporter assays, in order to increase the yet scarce collection of

validated mRNA-miRNA interactions in domestic species.

Covariation patterns in the expression of downregulated mRNAs predicted to be

targeted by upregulated microRNAs

We further hypothesized that mRNA genes showing relevant post-transcriptional

downregulation might be repressed by the same set of significantly upregulated

miRNAs, which could induce shared covariation in the expression profiles of such

mRNAs at the exonic level. In contrast, their intronic fraction would be mainly

unaffected as introns would have been excised prior to any given miRNA-driven

downregulation. In this way, an increased gene covariation in downregulated mRNAs

with high post-transcriptional signals might be detectable at the exon but not at the

intron level. Indeed, our results revealed an increased covariation in downregulated

mRNAs with high post-transcriptional signals at their exonic fraction compared with
covariation patterns of their intronic fraction, suggesting that their expression might be
repressed by a common set of upregulated miRNAs.

Genes displaying the strongest post-transcriptional signals in porcine skeletal muscle and adipose tissue are involved in glucose and lipid metabolism

The mRNA genes showing the strongest post-transcriptional downregulation in fasted vs fed gilts displayed a variety of relevant biological functions. The *DKK2* gene showed the most negative significant PTc score. This gene also displayed the strongest covariation difference in its exonic fraction compared with the intronic one. This consistent post-transcriptional regulatory effect might be mediated by ssc-miR-421-5p and ssc-miR-30a-3p, two highly significantly upregulated miRNAs. The DKK2 protein is a member of the dickkopf family, which inhibits the Wnt signaling pathway through its interaction with the LDL-receptor related protein 6 (LRP6). Its repression has been associated with reduced blood-glucose levels and improved glucose uptake (Li *et al.* 2012), as well as with improved adipogenesis (Yang & Shi 2021) and the inhibition of aerobic glycolysis (Deng *et al.* 2019). These results are consistent with the increased glucose usage and triggered adipogenesis in muscle tissue after nutrient supply. Other relevant post-transcriptionally downregulated mRNAs detected with EISA were: pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (*PDK4*), interleukin 18 (*IL18*), nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 3 (*NR4A3*), acetylcholine receptor subunit α (*CHRNA1*), PBX homeobox 1 (*PBX1*), Tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2 (*TET2*), BTB domain and CNC homolog (*BACH2*), all of which are involved in the regulation of energy homeostasis (Lindegaard *et al.* 2013; Zhang *et al.* 2014; Tamahara *et al.* 2017; Wu *et al.*

2018; Xu *et al.* 2019) and lipid metabolism in muscle cells (Monteiro *et al.* 2011;

Pearen *et al.* 2013) in response to nutrient uptake.

On the other hand, several genes with high post-transcriptional signals were not

predicted to be targets of upregulated miRNAs: the circadian associated repressor of

transcription (*CIART*) and period 1 (*PER1),* oxysterol binding protein like 6 (*OSBPL6*)

and nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1 (*NR4A1*). Interestingly, all of them

were significantly downregulated (Cardoso *et al.* 2017; Mármol-Sánchez *et al.* 2020).

An explanation to this might be that although miRNAs are key post-transcriptional

regulators, other alternative post-transcriptional effectors, such as long non-coding

RNAs (lncRNAs), circular RNAs (circRNAs) or RNA binding proteins (RBPs) might

be at play. Besides, indirect repression via upregulated miRNAs acting over regulators

of these genes, such as transcription factors, could be also a major influence on their

observed repression (Patel *et al.* 2020).

The use of EISA on expression data from adipocytes isolated from *obese* vs *lean* UNIK

minipigs revealed several mRNA genes with high post-transcriptional repression, which

are also involved in the regulation of lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis. The

gene showing the highest post-transcriptional signal was the estrogen related receptor γ

(*ESRRG*), which modulates oxidative metabolism and mitochondrial function in adipose

tissue and inhibits adipocyte differentiation when repressed (Kubo *et al.* 2009). Another

relevant locus identified with EISA was *SESN3*, an activator of the mTORC2 and

PI3K/AKT signaling pathway that promotes lipolysis when inhibited (Tao *et al.* 2015).

This gene showed the most significant downregulation in *lean* minipigs, and gathered

multiple putative binding sites for all the four upregulated miRNAs under study.

Other genes showing significant post-transcriptional downregulation were: sterile α

motif domain containing 4A (*SAMD4A*), prostaglandin F2- receptor protein (PTGFR),

serine protease 23 (PRSS23), ring finger protein 157 (*RNF157*), oxysterol binding

protein like 10 (*OSBPL10*), glycosylphosphatidylinositol phospholipase 1 (GPLD1),

RAP1 GTPase activating protein (RAP1GAP) and leptin (*LEP*), and all of which are

tightly linked to adipocyte differentiation (Perttilä *et al.* 2009; Martínez *et al.* 2013;

Chen *et al.* 2014; Kosacka *et al.* 2018) or energy homeostasis (Ussar *et al.* 2012; Wang

et al. 2018; Izquierdo *et al.* 2019; Kuo *et al.* 2020). Despite the overall weak influence

of putative miRNA-driven downregulation on mRNAs expressed in adipocytes, we

were able to identify a set of genes with high post-transcriptional signals indicative of

putative miRNA-derived repression and tightly related to adipose tissue metabolism

regulation. However, non-miRNA transcriptional and post-transcriptional modulators,

might also contribute to such repression.

Conclusions

EISA applied to study gene regulation in porcine skeletal muscle and adipose tissues

showed that more genes were subjected to transcriptional rather than post-

transcriptional regulation, suggesting that changes in mRNA levels are mostly driven by

factors acting at the transcriptional level. More importantly, the concordance between

the sets of significantly differentially expressed genes and those with significant Tc or

PTc scores was quite limited, but improved (mostly in the skeletal muscle experiment)

when we prioritized the downregulated genes with the top 5% negative post-

transcriptional signals. Nevertheless, many of the genes with relevant PTc signals were

not among the top significantly downregulated loci, thus demonstrating the usefulness

of complementing differential expression analyses with the EISA approach. In the

skeletal muscle, we detected several mRNAs predicted to be co-regulated by a common

set of miRNAs. In contrast, in the adipose tissue such relationship was more subtle,

suggesting that the contribution of miRNAs to mRNA repression might be affected by

tissue type, breed and/or intrinsic experimental factors. Finally, EISA made possible to

identify several genes related with carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, which may play

relevant roles in the energy homeostasis of the skeletal muscle and adipose tissues. By

differentiating the transcriptional from the post-transcriptional changes in mRNA

expression, EISA provides a valuable view, complementary to differential expression

analysis, about the miRNA-driven regulation of gene expression.

Ethics approval

Animal care and management procedures for Duroc gilts followed national guidelines

for the Good Experimental Practices and were approved by the Ethical Committee of

the Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA). Animal care and

management procedures for UNIK minipigs were carried out according to the Danish

"Animal Maintenance Act" (Act 432 dated 9 June 2004).

Availability of data

The RNA-seq and small RNA-seq datasets from skeletal muscle tissue used in the

current study are available at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with

BioProject codes PRJNA386796 and PRJNA595998, respectively. For the adipose

tissue samples, RNA-seq and small RNA-seq datasets are available at PRJNA563583

and PRJNA759240.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The present research work was funded by grants AGL2013-48742-C2-1-R and

AGL2013-48742-C2-2-R awarded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and

Competitivity. E. Mármol-Sánchez was funded with a PhD fellowship FPU15/01733

awarded by the Spanish Ministry of Education and Culture (MECD). YRC is recipient

of a Ramon y Cajal fellowship (RYC2019-027244-I) from the Spanish Ministry of

Science and Innovation.

Authors' contributions

The authors' responsibilities were as follows: M.A. and R.Q. generated the total RNA

and small RNA sequencing data corresponding to the GM muscle, respectively. S.C.,

M.J.J., C.B.J. and M.F produced the total RNA sequencing corresponding to the adipose

tissue. M.A., R.Q., S.C., M.J.J., C.B.J., M.F., T.F.C. and E.M.-S. conducted the

research. S.C. performed qPCR analyses. E.M.-S. analyzed the data. L.M.Z. contributed

to bioinformatic analyses. Y.R.-C. contributed to critical assessment. M.A. and

R.Q. secured funding for the study. E.M.-S., S.C. and M.A. drafted the manuscript. All

authors contributed to manuscript corrections, read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

734   The authors would like to thank the Department of Veterinary Animal Sciences in the

735   Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences of the University of Copenhagen for providing

736   sequencing data and their facilities and resources for qPCR experiments. We also

737   acknowledge Selección Batallé S.A. for providing animal material and the support of

the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitivity for the Center of Excellence Severo Ochoa 2020–2023 (CEX2019-000902-S) grant awarded to the Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics (CRAG, Bellaterra, Spain). Thanks also to the CERCA Programme of the Generalitat de Catalunya for their support.

References

Ali A., Murani E., Hadlich F., Liu X., Wimmers K. & Ponsuksili S. (2021) In utero fetal weight in pigs is regulated by microRNAs and their target genes. *Genes* **12**, 1264.

Ameur A., Zaghlool A., Halvardson J., Wetterbom A., Gyllensten U., Cavelier L. *et al.* (2011) Total RNA sequencing reveals nascent transcription and widespread co-transcriptional splicing in the human brain. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **18**, 1435–40.

Ballester M., Amills M., González-Rodríguez O., Cardoso T.F., Pascual M., González-Prendes R. *et al.* (2018) Role of AMPK signaling pathway during compensatory growth in pigs. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 682.

Bartel D.P. (2018) Metazoan microRNAs. *Cell* **173**, 20–51.

Benítez R., Trakooljul N., Núñez Y., Isabel B., Murani E., De Mercado E. *et al.* (2019) Breed, diet, and interaction effects on adipose tissue transcriptome in Iberian and duroc pigs fed different energy sources, *Genes* **10**, 589.

Benjamini Y. & Hochberg Y. (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)* **57**, 289–300.

Cardoso T.F., Quintanilla R., Tibau J., Gil M., Mármol-Sánchez E., González-Rodríguez O. *et al.* (2017) Nutrient supply affects the mRNA expression profile

of the porcine skeletal muscle. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 603.

Chen Z., Holland W., Shelton J.M., Ali A., Zhan X., Won S, *et al.* (2014) Mutation of mouse *Samd4* causes leanness, myopathy, uncoupled mitochondrial respiration, and dysregulated mTORC1 signaling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)* **111**, 7367–7372.

Cursons J., Pillman K.A., Scheer K.G., Gregory P.A., Foroutan M., Hediyeh-Zadeh S. *et al.* (2018) Combinatorial targeting by microRNAs co-ordinates post-transcriptional control of EMT. *Cell Systems* **7**, 77-91.e7.

Deng F., Zhou R., Lin C., Yang S., Wang H., Li W. *et al.* (2019) Tumor-secreted dickkopf2 accelerates aerobic glycolysis and promotes angiogenesis in colorectal cancer. *Theranostics* **9**, 1001-1014.

Friedman R.C., Farh K.K.H., Burge C.B. & Bartel D.P. (2009) Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Research* **19**, 92–105.

Gaidatzis D., Burger L., Florescu M. & Stadler M.B. (2015) Analysis of intronic and exonic reads in RNA-seq data characterizes transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation. *Nature Biotechnology* **33**, 722–729.

Grimson A., Farh K.K.H., Johnston W.K., Garrett-Engele P., Lim L.P. & Bartel D.P. (2007) MicroRNA targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. *Molecular Cell* **27**, 91–105.

Guo Y., Liu J., Elfenbein S.J., Ma Y., Zhong M., Qiu C., Ding Y. *et al.* (2015) Characterization of the mammalian miRNA turnover landscape. *Nucleic Acids Research* **43**, 2326–2341.

Han H., Gu S., Chu W., Sun W., Wei W., Dang X. *et al.* (2017) miR-17-5p regulates differential expression of NCOA3 in pig intramuscular and subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Lipids* **52**, 939–949.

Horodyska J., Wimmers K., Reyer H., Trakooljul N., Mullen A.M., Lawlor P.G. *et al.*
(2018) RNA-seq of muscle from pigs divergent in feed efficiency and product
quality identifies differences in immune response, growth, and macronutrient and
connective tissue metabolism. *BMC Genomics* **19**, 791.

Izquierdo A.G., Crujeiras A.B., Casanueva F.F. & Carreira M.C. (2019) Leptin, obesity,
and leptin resistance: where are we 25 years later? *Nutrients* **11**, 2704.

Jacobsen M.J., Havgaard J.H., Anthon C., Mentzel C.M.J., Cirera S., Krogh P.M. *et al.*
(2019) Epigenetic and transcriptomic characterization of pure adipocyte fractions
from obese pigs identifies candidate pathways controlling metabolism. *Frontiers*
in Genetics **10**, 1268.

Kim D., Paggi J.M., Park C., Bennett C. & Salzberg S.L. (2019) Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature*
Biotechnology **37**, 907–915.

Kogelman L.J.A., Kadarmideen H.N., Mark T., Karlskov-Mortensen P., Bruun C.S.,
Cirera S. *et al.* (2013) An F2 pig resource population as a model for genetic
studies of obesity and obesity-related diseases in humans: Design and genetic
parameters. *Frontiers in Genetics* **4**, 29.

Kosacka J., Nowicki M., Paeschke S., Baum P., Blüher M. & Klö ting N. (2018) Up-
regulated autophagy: as a protective factor in adipose tissue of WOKW rats with
metabolic syndrome. Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome **10**, 13.

Kozomara A., Birgaoanu M. & Griffiths-Jones S. (2019) MiRBase: From microRNA
sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, D155–D162.

Kubo M., Ijichi N., Ikeda K., Horie-Inoue K., Takeda S. & Inoue S. (2009) Modulation
of adipogenesis-related gene expression by estrogen-related receptor γ during
adipocytic differentiation. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Gene Regulatory*

Mechanisms **1789**, 71–77.

Kuo C.-S., Chen J.-S., Lin L.-Y., Schmid-Schönbein G.W., Chien S., Huang P.-H. *et al.*

(2020) Inhibition of serine protease activity protects against high fat diet-induced

inflammation and insulin resistance. *Scientific Reports* **10**, 1–11.

La Manno G., Soldatov R., Zeisel A., Braun E., Hochgerner H., Petukhov V. *et al.*

(2018) RNA velocity of single cells. *Nature* **560**, 494–498.

Langmead B., Trapnell C., Pop M. & Salzberg S.L. (2009) Ultrafast and memory-

efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome*

Biology **10**, R25.

Lawrence M., Huber W., Pagès H., Aboyoun P., Carlson M., Gentleman R. *et al.* (2013)

Software for computing and annotating genomic ranges. *PLoS Computational*

Biology **9**, e1003118.

Li X., Shan J., Chang W., Kim I., Bao J., Lee H.-J. *et al.* (2012) Chemical and genetic

evidence for the involvement of Wnt antagonist Dickkopf2 in regulation of

glucose metabolism. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (USA)*

109, 11402–11407.

Liao Y., Smyth G.K. & Shi W. (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**,

923–930.

Lindegaard B., Mathews V.B., Brandt C., Hojman P., Allen T.L., Estevez E. *et al.*

(2013) Interleukin-18 activates skeletal muscle AMPK and reduces weight gain

and insulin resistance in mice. *Diabetes* **62**, 3064–3074.

Mann H.B. & Whitney D.R. (1947) On a test of whether one of two random variables is

stochastically larger than the other. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics* **18**, 50–60.

Marco A. (2018) SeedVicious: Analysis of microRNA target and near-target sites. *PLoS*

One 13, e0195532.

Mármol-Sánchez E., Ramayo-Caldas Y., Quintanilla R., Cardoso T.F., González-

Prendes R., Tibau J. *et al.* (2020) Co-expression network analysis predicts a key

role of microRNAs in the adaptation of the porcine skeletal muscle to nutrient

supply. *Journal of Animal Science & Biotechnology* **11**, 10.

Martin M. (2011) Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. *EMBnet.Journal* **17**, 10.

Martínez P., Gómez-López G., García F., Mercken E., Mitchell S., Flores J.M. *et al.*

(2013) RAP1 protects from obesity through its extratelomeric role regulating

gene expression. *Cell Reports* **3**, 2059–2074.

Monteiro M.C., Sanyal M., Cleary M.L., Sengenès C., Bouloumié A., Dani C. *et al.*

(2011) PBX1: a novel stage-specific regulator of adipocyte development. *Stem*

Cells **29**, 1837–1848.

Óvilo C., Benítez R., Fernández A., Núñez Y., Ayuso M., Fernández A.I. *et al.* (2014)

Longissimus dorsi transcriptome analysis of purebred and crossbred Iberian pigs

differing in muscle characteristics. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 413.

Patel R.K., West J.D., Jiang Y., Fogarty E.A. & Grimson A. (2020) Robust partitioning

of microRNA targets from downstream regulatory changes. *Nucleic Acids*

Research **48**, 9724–9746.

Pearen M.A., Goode J.M., Fitzsimmons R.L., Eriksson N.A., Thomas G.P., Cowin G.J.

et al. (2013) Transgenic muscle-specific Nor-1 expression regulates multiple

pathways that effect adiposity, metabolism, and endurance. *Molecular*

Endocrinology **27**, 1897–1917.

Pérez-Montarelo D., Fernández A., Barragán C., Noguera J.L., Folch J.M., Rodríguez

M.C. *et al.* (2013) Transcriptional characterization of porcine leptin and leptin

receptor genes. *PLoS One* **8**, e66398.

Perttilä J., Merikanto K., Naukkarinen J., Surakka I., Martin N.W., Tanhuanpää K. *et al.*

(2009) *OSBPL10*, a novel candidate gene for high triglyceride trait in

dyslipidemic Finnish subjects, regulates cellular lipid metabolism. Journal of

Molecular Medicine **87**, 825–835.

Pilcher C.M., Jones C.K., Schroyen M., Severin A.J., Patience J.F., Tuggle C.K. *et al.*

(2015) Transcript profiles in longissimus dorsi muscle and subcutaneous adipose

tissue: A comparison of pigs with different postweaning growth rates. *Journal of*

Animal Science **93**, 2134–2143.

Pillman K.A., Scheer K.G., Hackett-Jones E., Saunders K., Bert A.G., Toubia J. *et al.*

(2019) Extensive transcriptional responses are co-ordinated by microRNAs as

revealed by Exon–Intron Split Analysis (EISA). *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**,

8606-8619.

Reverter A. & Chan E.K.F. (2008) Combining partial correlation and an information

theory approach to the reversed engineering of gene co-expression networks.

Bioinformatics **24**, 2491–2497.

Robinson M.D. & Oshlack A. (2010) A scaling normalization method for differential

expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biology* **11**, R25.

Robinson M.D., McCarthy D.J. & Smyth G.K. (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package

for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data.

Bioinformatics **26**, 139–40.

Schaefke B., Sun W., Li Y.-S., Feng L. & Chen W. (2018) The evolution of

posttranscriptional regulation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews* **9**, e1485.

Tamahara T., Ochiai K., Muto A., Kato Y., Sax N., Matsumoto M. *et al.* (2017) The

mTOR-Bach2 cascade controls cell cycle and class switch recombination during

B cell differentiation. Molecular and Cellular Biology **37**, e00418-17.

Tao R., Xiong X., Liangpunsakul S. & Dong X.C. (2015) Sestrin 3 protein enhances

hepatic insulin sensitivity by direct activation of the mTORC2-Akt signaling.

Diabetes **64**, 1211–1223.

Tarbier M., Mackowiak S.D., Frade J., Catuara-Solarz S., Biryukova I., Gelali E. *et al.*

(2020) Nuclear gene proximity and protein interactions shape transcript

covariations in mammalian single cells. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5445.

Ussar S., Bezy O., Blüher M. & Kahn C.R. (2012) Glypican-4 enhances insulin

signaling via interaction with the insulin receptor and serves as a novel

adipokine. *Diabetes* **61**, 2289–2298.

Wang Y., Yan S., Xiao B., Zuo S., Zhang Q., Chen G. *et al.* (2018) Prostaglandin F 2α

facilitates hepatic glucose production through CaMKIIγ/p38/FOXO1 signaling

pathway in fasting and obesity. *Diabetes* **67**, 1748–1760.

Warr A., Affara N., Aken B., Beiki H., Bickhart D.M., Billis K. *et al.* (2020) An

improved pig reference genome sequence to enable pig genetics and genomics

research. *Gigascience* **9**, 1–14.

Watson-Haigh N.S., Kadarmideen H.N. & Reverter A. (2010) PCIT: an R package for

weighted gene co-expression networks based on partial correlation and

information theory approaches. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 411–413.

Wu D., Hu D., Chen H., Shi G., Fetahu I.S., Wu F. *et al.* (2018) Glucose-regulated

phosphorylation of TET2 by AMPK reveals a pathway linking diabetes to cancer.

Nature **559**, 637–641.

Xie S., Li X., Qian L., Cai C., Xiao G., Jiang S. *et al.* (2019) An integrated analysis of

mRNA and miRNA in skeletal muscle from myostatin-edited Meishan pigs.

Genome **62**, 305–315.

Xu S., Ni H., Chen H. & Dai Q. (2019) The interaction between STAT3 and nAChRα1
interferes with nicotine-induced atherosclerosis via Akt/mTOR signaling
cascade. *Aging* **11**, 8120–8138.

Yang J. & Shi B. yin (2021) Dickkopf (Dkk)-2 is a beige fat-enriched adipokine to
regulate adipogenesis. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*
548, 211–216.

Zhang S., Hulver M.W., McMillan R.P., Cline M.A. & Gilbert E.R. (2014) The pivotal
role of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinases in metabolic flexibility. *Nutrition &*
Metabolism **11**, 10.

924 Supplementary Materials

Figure S1: Diagram depicting the routine/pipeline implemented for studying miRNA-
driven post-transcriptional regulatory signals applying the EISA approach and
additional enrichment and covariation analyses.

Figure S2: Diagram representing each one of the context-based filtering criteria used
for excluding *in silico*-predicted miRNA-mRNA interactions. AU: miRNA binding sites
with AU-rich flanking sequences (30 nts upstream and downstream). M: miRNA
binding sites located in the middle of the 3'-UTR sequence (45-55%). E: miRNA
binding sites located too close (< 15 nts) to the beginning or the end of the 3'-UTR
sequences.

Figure S3: Scatterplots depicting the exonic (ΔEx) and intronic (ΔInt) fractions of
expressed genes from *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle samples of fasting (*AL-T0*, N =
11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts. (**a**) Genes differentially expressed and
showing either significant upregulation (FC > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, in green) or

downregulation (FC < -2, q-value < 0.05, in red) in fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts

with respect to their fasted (*AL-T0*, N = 11) counterparts. (**b**) Genes with the top 5%

post-transcriptional (PTc) negative scores and at least 2-fold reduced exonic (ΔEx)

fraction (equivalent to -1 in the $\log_2$ scale) are highlighted in purple.

Figure S4: Enrichment analyses comparing all expressed mRNA genes and the set of

mRNA genes with the (**a**) top 1% and (**b**) top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold

ΔEx reduction as being putatively targeted by significantly upregulated miRNAs (FC >

1.5; q-value < 0.05) from *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle samples of fasting (*AL-T0*, N =

11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts. Results show the change in enrichment

significance (expressed as -$\log_{10}$ of the estimated *P*-value) when incorporating context-

based pruning of 8mer, 7mer-m8 and 7mer-A1 miRNA binding sites. R: Raw

enrichment analyses without any additional context-based pruning. AU: Enrichment

analyses removing miRNA binding sites without AU-rich flanking sequences (30 nts

upstream and downstream). M: Enrichment analyses removing miRNA binding sites

located in the middle of the 3'-UTR sequence (45-55%). E: Enrichment analyses

removing miRNA binding sites located too close (< 15 nts) to the beginning or the end

of the 3'-UTR sequences. The dashed line represents a nominal *P*-value of 0.05 set as

significance threshold.

Figure S5: Quantification of selected genes and miRNAs expressed in the pig adipose

tissue by qPCR. (**a**) Barplots depicting qPCR $\log_2$ transformed relative quantities (Rq)

for *LEP, OSBPL10, PRSS23, RNF157* and *SERPINE2* mRNA transcripts measured in

adipocytes from the retroperitoneal fat of *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK

minipigs. (**b**) Barplots depicting qPCR $\log_2$ transformed relative quantities (Rq) for ssc-

miR-148a-3p, ssc-miR-214-3p and ssc-miR-92b-3p miRNA transcripts measured in

isolated adipocytes from the retroperitoneal fat of *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK

minipigs. All the analyzed mRNA genes showed a reduced expression in *lean* minipigs compared with their *obese* counterparts, and the *LEP* gene was the most significantly downregulated gene. For miRNAs, the opposite pattern of expression was observed, being all of them upregulated in *lean* minipigs. Moreover, ssc-miR-92b-3p showed the most significant increased expression in *lean* minipigs, in agreement with results obtained in differential expression analyses (**Table S10**).

Table S1: Phenotypic values of body mass index (BMI) trait and sex classification recorded in 11 Duroc-Göttingen minipigs from the F2-UNIK resource population and grouped as *obese* or *lean* in accordance with their BMI.

Table S2: Primers for qPCR verification of selected mRNAs and miRNAs in the F2-UNIK Duroc-Göttingen minipig population after comparing *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) individuals.

Table S3: Raw Cq values obtained in qPCR analyses measuring adipocyte expression levels of selected mRNAs and miRNAs from *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs.

Table S4: Differential expression analyses of RNA-seq data using the *edgeR* tool and comparing *gluteus medius* expression profiles of fasted *AL-T0* (N = 11) and fed *AL-T2* (N = 12) Duroc gilts. (**a**) Differentially expressed genes (q-value < 0.05, N = 454). In bold are genes either upregulated (N = 52) or downregulated (N = 80) with |FC| > 2 and q-value < 0.05. (**b**) Differential expression results for genes with top 5% post-transcriptional (PTc) scores and at least 2-fold reduced exonic fraction (ΔEx) (equivalent to -1 in the $\log_2$ scale). The 18 genes with FC < -2 and *q*-value < 0.05 are shown in bold. (**c**) Differential expression results showing the whole list of expressed

genes with an average expression above 1 CPM in at least 50% of samples within each

group (N = 9,492).

Table S5: Differential expression analyses of microRNAs using the *edgeR* tool and

comparing *gluteus medius* expression levels of fasted *AL-T0* (N = 11) and fed *AL-T2* (N

= 12) Duroc gilts. In bold are microRNAs detected as significantly differentially

expressed (|FC| > 1.5; *q*-value < 0.05, N = 16).

Table S6: Post-transcriptional (PTc) and transcriptional (Tc) signals detected with

EISA in genes expressed in *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle samples from fasted (*AL-T0*,

N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts. (**a**) Genes with significant PTc scores

(|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, N = 133). In bold are genes with at least 2-fold reduced ΔEx

fraction (N = 3). (**b**) Genes with significant Tc scores (|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, N =

344). In bold are genes also significant in their PTc scores (|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, N =

91). EISA results showing the whole list of genes with an average expression above 1

CPM in at least 50% of samples within each group (N = 9,492) and their (**c**) PTc and (**d**)

Tc scores.

Table S7: Binding sites in the 3'-UTRs of mRNA genes (with the top 5% negative PTc

scores and at least 2-fold reduction in the exonic fraction) predicted as targets (N = 21)

of non-redundant significantly upregulated miRNAs (N = 6) expressed in the *gluteus*

medius skeletal muscle samples from fasting (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12)

Duroc gilts.

Table S8: Covariation enrichment scores (CES) for the exonic and intronic fractions of

mRNA genes (with the top 5% negative post-transcriptional signals PTc and at least 2-

fold reduction in their exonic ΔEx fraction) predicted as targets of non-redundant

significantly upregulated miRNAs (N = 6) expressed in *gluteus medius* skeletal muscle

samples from fasting (*AL-T0*, N = 11) and fed (*AL-T2*, N = 12) Duroc gilts.

Table S9: Differential expression analyses of RNA-seq data using the *edgeR* tool and comparing adipocyte expression profiles of *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs classified in accordance with their body mass index. (**a**) Differentially expressed genes (*q*-value < 0.05, N = 299). In bold are genes either upregulated (N = 52) or downregulated (N = 95) with |FC| > 2 and *q*-value < 0.05. (**b**) Differential expression results for genes with top 5% post-transcriptional (PTc) scores and at least 2-fold reduced exonic fraction (ΔEx) (equivalent to -1 in the $\log_2$ scale). The 12 genes with FC < -2 and *q*-value < 0.05 are shown in bold. (**c**) Differential expression results showing the whole list of expressed genes with an average expression above 1 CPM in at least 50% of samples within each group (N = 9,746).

Table S10: Differential expression analyses of microRNAs using the *edgeR* tool and comparing adipocyte expression profiles from *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs classified in accordance with their body mass index. In bold are microRNAs detected as significantly differentially expressed (|FC| > 1.5; *P*-value < 0.01, N = 7).

Table S11: Post-transcriptional (PTc) and transcriptional (Tc) signals detected with EISA in genes expressed in adipocytes from *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs classified in accordance with their body mass index. (**a**) Genes with significant PTc scores (|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, N = 1). In bold are genes with at least 2-fold reduced ΔEx fractions (N = 1). (**b**) Genes with significant Tc scores (|FC| > 2; *q*-value < 0.05, N = 195). In bold are genes also among the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold ΔEx reduction (N = 3). EISA results showing the whole list of genes with an average expression above 1 CPM in at least 50% of samples within each group (N = 9,746) and their (**c**) PTc and (**d**) Tc scores.

Table S12: Binding sites in the 3'-UTRs of mRNA genes (with the top 5% negative PTc scores and at least 2-fold reduction in the exonic fraction) predicted as targets (N =

25) of non-redundant significantly upregulated miRNAs (N = 4) expressed in

adipocytes from *lean* (N = 5) and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs.

Table S13: Covariation enrichment scores (CES) for the exonic and intronic fractions

of mRNA genes (with the top 5% negative post-transcriptional signals PTc and at least

2-fold reduction in their exonic ΔEx fraction) predicted as targets of non-redundant

significantly upregulated miRNAs (N = 4) expressed in adipocytes from *lean* (N = 5)

and *obese* (N = 5) UNIK minipigs.