Title: **Novel Hendra virus variant detected by sentinel surveillance of Australian horses.**

Authors: Edward J. Annand[1#], Bethany A. Horsburgh[1], Kai Xu, Peter A. Reid, Ben Poole, Maximillian C. de Kantzow, Nicole Brown, Alison Tweedie, Michelle Michie, John D. Grewar, Anne E. Jackson, Nagendrakumar B. Singanallur, Karren M. Plain, Mary Tachedjian, Brenda van der Heide, David T. Williams, Cristy Secombe, Eric D. Laing, Spencer Sterling, Lianying Yan, Louise Jackson, Cheryl Jones, Raina K. Plowright, Alison J. Peel, Ibrahim Diallo, Andrew C. Breed, Christopher C. Broder, Philip N. Britton*, Navneet K. Dhand*, Ina Smith*, John-Sebastian Eden*

[1]These authors contributed equally. *Shared senior authorship. #Corresponding author.

Article Summary Line: A novel variant of Hendra virus was discovered and isolated from an Australian horse with fatal disease.

Running Title: HeV variant associated with fatal disease in a horse

Abstract: A novel Hendra virus (HeV) variant was identified through multidisciplinary and interagency syndromic surveillance of a horse that suffered acute fatal disease consistent with HeV infection. Novel molecular assays for HeV detection are described in the light of routine testing failure. *In silico* analysis of the variant receptor-binding protein in comparison with prototypic HeV supported that the monoclonal antibody m102.4 used for post-exposure prophylaxis, as well as the equine vaccine, should be effective also against this novel variant. Similarity of this virus (99%) to a partial sequence detected from a South Australian grey-headed flying fox, along with case exposure to this species in Queensland, suggests the variant circulates at least across the range of this flying fox species. Investigation into HeV diversity, comparative kinetics and pathogenicity, reservoir-species associations, viral–host co-evolution and spillover dynamics should be prioritized. Biosecurity practices should be updated to appreciate HeV spillover risk across all regions frequented by flying foxes regardless of species.

Keywords: Hendra Virus; Henipavirus; Henipavirus Infections; Encephalitis Viral; Zoonoses; Severe

Acute Respiratory Syndrome; Vasculitis, Central Nervous System, Undiagnosed Diseases; Syndromic

Surveillance; Syncytia (entry term for 'Giant Cells'); Sentinel Surveillance; Catastrophic Illness; Critical

Illness; Acute Disease; One Health; Communicable Diseases, Emerging; Horse Diseases; Sentinel Species;

RNA-Seq; Whole-Genome Sequencing; Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction; Nested Polymerase

Chain Reaction.

Author Affiliations: University of Sydney School of Veterinary Science and Marie Bashir Institute for

Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Sydney, New South Wales, AUS (E. Annand, N. Brown, A. Tweedie, A.

Jackson, K. Plain, N. Dhand); CSIRO Health and Biosecurity Black Mountain Laboratories, Canberra,

Australian Capital Territory, AUS (E. Annand, M. Michie, I. Smith); EquiEpiVet, Aireys Inlet, Victoria, AUS

(E. Annand); Ohio State University College of Veterinary Medicine Department of Veterinary Biosciences,

Columbus, Ohio, USA (K. Xu); Private Equine Veterinary Practice, Brisbane, Queensland, AUS (P. Reid);

Cooroora Veterinary Clinic, Cooroy, Queensland, AUS (B. Poole); Department of Agriculture Water and the

Environment Epidemiology and One Health Section, Australian Capital Territory, AUS (M. de Kantzow, A.

Breed); University of Queensland School of Veterinary Science, Gatton, Queensland, AUS (A. Breed); JData,

Cape Town, ZA (J. Grewar); University of Pretoria, Pretoria, ZA (J. Grewar): Murdoch University School of

Veterinary Medicine and The Animal Hospital, Murdoch, Western Australia, AUS (C. Secombe); Uniformed

Services University of the Health Sciences Microbiology and Immunology, Bethesda, Maryland, USA (E.

Laing, L. Yan, S Sterling, C. Broder); CSIRO Health and Biosecurity, Australian Centre for Disease

Preparedness, Geelong, Victoria, AUS (M. Tachedjian, N. Singanallur); CSIRO Australian Centre for Disease

Preparedness, Diagnostics, Geelong, Victoria, AUS (B. van der Heide, D. Williams); Queensland Department

of Agriculture and Fisheries Biosecurity Sciences Laboratory, Brisbane, Queensland, AUS (I. Diallo, L.

Jackson); Montana State University Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana, USA (R.K.

Plowright); Griffith University Centre for Planetary Health and Food Security, Brisbane, Queensland, AUS

49 (A.J. Peel); University of Sydney School of Medicine, Sydney, New South Wales, AUS (B. Horsburgh, P.

50 Britton, C. Jones, JS. Eden); The Children's Hospital at Westmead, Infectious Diseases, Sydney, New South

51 Wales, AUS (P. Britton, C. Jones); Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Sydney, New South Wales, AUS

52 (JS. Eden. B. Horsburgh).

Introduction

Highly pathogenic zoonotic Hendra virus (HeV) and Nipah virus (NiV) are the prototypic members of the genus *Henipavirus*, family *Paramyxoviridae*, with natural reservoirs in pteropodid flying foxes (1). These viruses exhibit wide mammalian host tropism and cause severe acute respiratory and encephalitic disease mediated by endothelial vasculitis in horses, pigs and humans, with high fatality and chronic encephalitis among survivors (2–4). As of March 2021, 63 natural spillovers of HeV have been recognized in Australian horses, resulting in 105 horse deaths, with four of the resultant seven confirmed human cases being fatal (5–7). NiV has caused zoonotic outbreaks in Asia, resulting in more than 700 human deaths, with human case fatality rates as high as 78% (8,9). Henipaviruses are considered to be one of the most significant infectious threats to global health security (1,10).

Vaccination and post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) strategies have been developed against both HeV and NiV (11) and a subunit vaccine based on the receptor-binding protein (RBP; attachment G glycoprotein) has been licensed and used in horses in Australia since 2012 (12). A human vaccine is under development (13). The monoclonal antibody (mAb) m102.4 has been administrated as emergency PEP in 15 human cases and demonstrated safety and immune efficacy in phase I trials (14). Combinations of cross-reactive humanized antibodies against the fusion (F) protein and the RBP have been described for development as PEP (15–17).

Serological testing has detected anti-HeV antibodies in all four Australian mainland *Pteropus* spp., colloquially known as flying foxes. Reported HeV seroprevalence rates across all these species vary between ~20% and ~65% (18,19), including *P. poliocephalus*, the grey-headed flying fox (GHFF), for which HeV seroprevalence has been reported as ~40% from roosts sampled in South Australia and Victoria (20) and 57% from a roost in south-east Queensland (19). However, HeV transmission from flying foxes to horses is thought

to occur primarily via flying fox urine (21) and the spatial distribution of detections of spillover to horses, as well as flying fox testing to detect HeV excretion, suggests that mainly black flying foxes (BFF, *P. alecto*) and spectacled flying foxes (SFF, *P. conspicillatus*) play active roles in disease transmission (21,22).

Horses are the only species known to be infected by natural HeV spillover from flying foxes. Humans become infected after close contact with infected horses. Horses are raised and kept for a variety of purposes across Australia, with numbers estimated to exceed 1 million, including several hundred thousand wild horses known as 'brumbies' (23,24). Horses grazing behavior, combined with their large respiratory tidal volume and the large surface area ratio of their highly vascularized upper respiratory epithelium, likely contribute to their vulnerability to respiratory droplet HeV spillover from flying foxes (25,26). Detection of spillover to horses relies on the attending veterinarian recognizing symptoms consistent with disease, appropriate sampling, and laboratory submission for priority state laboratory testing.

Passive surveillance via suspect disease testing is affected by strong regional bias for regions where HeV has previously been detected, including coastal north Queensland to northern New South Wales, and where relatively large horse populations overlap with the distribution of BFFs and SFFs (27). Testing for HeV is less commonly performed on horses suffering similar disease further south in Australia due to a perception that the likelihood of disease occurrence is lower in regions without these flying fox species (28).

Over 1000 horses are tested for HeV annually; many of which are suffering disease that is clinically consistent with HeV, such as acute severe respiratory and/or neurological disease often featuring pyrexia and other signs of disease mediated via endothelial vasculitis. However, less than 1% of horses tested annually are found to be positive (29). Studies analyzing Australian flying fox urine have identified multiple novel flying fox-borne rubulaviruses and a novel henipavirus, cedar virus (CedPV), through virus isolation (30,31) and molecular evidence of many more related paramyxoviruses (32). Routine testing for HeV infection is specific for the matrix (M) gene (33). Alternative PCR diagnostic approaches are only routinely applied to HeV-positive samples that undergo further molecular characterization as part of confirmatory testing (34). Importantly, this means that most horse-disease cases found to be negative for HeV are not investigated further. This is consistent

with the traditional approach to animal health surveillance globally, which prioritizes an exclusion testing approach for specific and well-characterized disease agents rather than a more open-ended approach pursuing diagnoses in animals with disease.

Our 'Horses as Sentinels for New and Emerging Infectious Diseases' research used an innovative multidisciplinary and interagency approach combining clinical–syndromic analysis, and molecular and serological testing, to verify our hypothesis that some severe viral-like diseases in horses considered consistent with HeV, but negative when tested, may result from novel paramyxovirus spill-over from flying foxes, potentially posing similar zoonotic risk. We report the identification of a previously unrecognized variant of HeV (HeV-var) that resulted in severe neurological and respiratory disease in a horse that was clinically indistinguishable from prototypic HeV infection.

Materials and Methods

Study cohort

We constructed a biobank of diagnostic specimens collected from horses that underwent HeV testing in Queensland between 2015 and 2018, were negative by reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and for which no causative diagnosis had been determined. Clinical, epidemiological and sample-related data were recorded, and samples archived at –80°C. Information collected on each individual case was drawn from primary laboratory submission documentation and variably included age, sex, breed, geographic location, clinical findings, samples submitted, vaccination status, number at risk, number affected, treatments administered and other notes by the submitting veterinarian such as perceived exposure to flying foxes. We applied a decision algorithm based on analysis of clinical disease and by syndrome and categorized each case by its likelihood of having an infectious viral cause (Table 1). Samples given the highest likelihood of having an infectious cause (priority 1 & 2) were plated for serological screening and high-throughput RNA extraction (EDTA blood, serum, nasal swab, rectal swab) using the MagMAX™ mirVANA kit (ThermoFisher, Australia). Samples from the entire cohort were extracted using the MagMAX™ CORE pathogen kit to obtain total nucleic acid for confirmatory and comparative testing.

  Pan-paramyxovirus RT-PCR screening

     cDNA was prepared from extracted RNA using Invitrogen SuperScript IV VILO mastermix with

  ezDNase (ThermoFisher, Australia). A 'nested' pan-paramyxovirus reverse transcriptase-PCR (RT-PCR) assay

  targeting the L protein gene was adapted from the approach described by Tong et al (35) for use with the

  Qiagen AllTaq PCR Core kit (QIAGEN, Australia). Positive bands corresponding to the expected size (584 bp)

  were identified by gel electrophoresis before purification with AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Australia). To

  capture weak hits, pools were also prepared by equal volume mixing of all PCR products across plated rows and

  purified as before. Next-generation sequencing was performed using an Illumina iSeq with the Nextera XT

  DNA library preparation kit (Illumina, Australia). For analysis, reads were assembled with MEGAHIT before

  identification of viral sequence by comparison to NCBI GenBank with BLAST (36).

  HeV-var whole-genome sequencing

     Samples positive by RT-PCR for the novel HeV-var were subjected to meta-transcriptomic sequencing

  to determine the complete genome sequence and identify co-infecting agents. RNA was reverse-transcribed

  with Invitrogen SSIV VILO mastermix (ThermoFisher, Australia) and FastSelect reagent (QIAGEN, Australia).

  Second-strand synthesis was performed with Sequenase 2.0 before DNA library preparation with Nextera XT

  and unique dual indexes. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq (Illumina, Australia) generating

  100 M paired reads (75 bp) per library.

     Assembly and comparative genomic and phylogenetic analyses

     For genome assembly, RNA sequencing reads were trimmed and mapped to a horse reference

  genome (GenBank GCA_002863925.1) using STAR aligner to remove host sequences. The non-host reads

  were *de novo* assembled with MEGAHIT (37) and compared with the NCBI GenBank nucleotide and protein

  databases using blastn and blastx. The putative virus contig was extracted and reads were remapped to this draft

  genome using bbmap v37.98 (https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap) to examine sequence coverage and

identify any misaligned reads. The majority consensus sequence was extracted, aligned and annotated with the

prototype HeV strain in Geneious Prime v2021.1.1.

For classification, we used an alignment of the paramyxovirus polymerase (L) protein sequence

according to International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) guidelines (38). We also prepared an

alignment of partial nucleocapsid (N) and phosphoprotein (P) nucleotide sequences with other known HeV

strains from the GenBank database. Both phylogenies were prepared using a maximum likelihood approach

using MEGA X (https://www.megasoftware.net/) according to the best fit substitution model and 500 bootstrap

replicates.

RT-qPCR assay development

We adapted an existing RT-qPCR assay targeting the HeV M gene (33) to target the novel HeV-var. The

duplex assay uses the Applied Biosystems AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR kit (ThermoFisher, Australia) and

distinguishes prototype and variant HeV strains. Briefly, 4 µL RNA was combined with 10 µL 2× RT-PCR

Buffer, 0.8 µL 25× RT-PCR Enzyme Mix, 2 µL nuclease-free water and 3.2 µL primer/probe mix (0.6 µL each

primer, 0.3 µL each probe from 10 µM stock; Table 2). The reaction was performed as follows: 10 min at 50°C

for cDNA synthesis and 10 min at 95°C for RT inactivation followed by 50 cycles of 95°C for 15s, 60°C for

30s using the FAM and HEX channels. As a positive control, gene fragments were synthesized encoding a T7

promoter upstream of the partial M gene for both the prototype and variant HeV strains (Supplementary Figure

1). RNA transcripts were expressed using the NEB HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA Synthesis kit (New England

Biolabs, Australia).

Virus isolation

Positive samples were submitted to the Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness for isolation in Vero

cells (ATCC CCL-81) and primary kidney cells derived from *P. alecto* (PaKi; (39) (Supplementary methods).

Serological analysis

Serological analysis was performed using multiplex microsphere immunoassays with a Luminex MAGPIX™ system (ThermoFisher, Australia). Initial screening for IgG antibodies was undertaken using an extensive panel of bacterial (*Leptospira*, *Brucella*) and viral antigens (paramyxovirus, filovirus, coronavirus, flavivirus, alphavirus and hepatitis E virus) coupled to MagPlex beads (Bio-Rad, Australia) for multiplex screening. Briefly, blood or serum, diluted 1:100 was added to the beads, with binding detected following the addition of a combination of biotinylated-Protein-G and -A and streptavidin–R–phycoerythrin. The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for 100 beads was read. An immunoglobulin (Ig)M assay was also applied in which biotinylated anti-equine IgM antibodies were used instead of the biotinylated Protein A and G.

In silico *analysis of the RBP homology and mAb binding*

The translated protein sequence of the HeV-var RBP sequence was compared with the X-ray crystal structures of the HeV RBP protein structure bound to mAb m102.4 (40) and to ephrin B2 and B3 using SWISS-MODEL (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) to assess the ability of m102.4 to neutralize this variant and determine the likelihood of antibodies produced by immunization with the HeV vaccine to be protective against this variant.

Results

Case report

In September 2015, a 12-year-old Arabian gelding suffered an acute fatal disease that was considered clinically indistinguishable from prototypic HeV infection on the property on which he was bred near Gympie in south-east Queensland. Clinical assessment determined moribund disease featuring depressed (obtunded) demeanor, abnormal gingival mucous membranes (specifically, darkened red–purple with darker periapical line and prolonged capillary refill time), tachycardia (75 beats/min), tachypnoea (60 breaths/min), normal rectal temperature (38.0°C), muscle fasciculations, head pressing and recumbency with rapid deterioration over 24 h resulting in euthanasia. The attending veterinarian, who had previously managed a confirmed case of HeV, recognized the similarity with HeV disease and instigated appropriate biosecurity protocols. Nasal, oral and

rectal swab samples were obtained postmortem and combined in 50 mL of sterile saline, and blood was collected in an EDTA tube. The pooled swabs and blood samples were submitted to the Queensland Biosecurity Sciences Laboratory for priority disease investigation HeV testing. Testing for HeV by RT-qPCR targeting the M gene did not detect viral RNA and IgG antibodies against the HeV RBP (G glycoprotein) were not detected by ELISA (41). A flying fox roost near the property fluctuates in size seasonally and annually, hosting BFFs, GHFFs and little red flying foxes (42).

Identification of novel HeV-var

The case received highest categorization by likelihood of infectious cause based on the combination of injected mucous membranes with severe acute respiratory dysfunction and encephalitic signs (Table 1). The polymerase (L protein) gene sequence of a novel paramyxovirus, most closely related to HeV ($\approx$11% nucleotide sequence difference in this region) was detected in both the EDTA blood and pooled swab samples by nested pan-paramyxovirus RT-PCR (35).

A near-full length genome of a novel HeV with a mean mapped read depth of 46.9x. (Figure 1A) was obtained by RNA sequencing of EDTA blood RNA. The virus was less abundant in the pooled swab sample, with a mean coverage depth of 0.6X reads spanning 9.9% of the genome (Figure 1B). Importantly, no other viruses were present in either the EDTA blood or pooled swab samples. The only other microbial reads assembled in the blood were from *Staphylococcus aureus* (common microflora) and in the pooled swab were commensal microorganisms including *Aeromonas*, *Veillonella*, *Pseudarthrobacter*, *Streptococcus*, *Acinetobacter* and *Psychrobacter* species.

Confirmation of HeV infection

A comparison of the primer and probe binding sites used for routine diagnostic assay (33) revealed mismatches in the primers and probe binding sites, explaining the initial failure to detect this variant (Figure 2). An RT-qPCR assay was designed to detect both prototype and variant HeV strains in duplex (Table 2, Supplementary Figures 1, 2), which amplified the viral templates with similar high efficiency (>94%) and

sensitivity allowing detection for <100 copies of target RNA (Supplementary Figure 2). The EDTA blood and

pooled swabs samples were quantified with this assay and confirmed the RNA sequencing result with higher

abundance in the EDTA blood than in the pooled swabs – with a quantification cycle (Cq) of 26.87 from blood

versus 30.67 from pooled swabs. Virus isolation was successful from the EDTA blood sample only in Vero

cells.

Blood was tested for IgM and IgG antibodies against an extensive panel of 33 antigens representative of

bacterial and viral zoonoses to which Australian horses might be exposed, including the paramyxoviruses HeV,

NiV, CedPV, Mojang, Ghana, Tioman, Menangle, Grove and Yeppoon viruses. No significant reactions were

observed to this group in either the IgG or IgM assays, signifying a lack of detectable antibodies consistent with

the acute viremic stage of infection.

Genomic analysis of novel HeV-var

A comprehensive phylogenetic comparison of this novel HeV-var was made to other known

paramyxoviruses (Figure 3A–C). Comparison of the nucleotide similarity of this novel HeV-var to the HeV

prototype strain (GenBank NC_001906) revealed an 83.5% pairwise identity (Figure 3D). Importantly, the

phylogenetic analysis of the L protein sequence revealed that branch lengths of the prototype and variant HeV

strains back to their common node did not exceed 0.03 (Figure 3A,B) and therefore these should be considered

viruses of the same species, consistent with ICTV criteria (38). However, the HeV-var is clearly novel and well

outside the known HeV diversity (Figure 3C). Following our finding, comparison with a partial novel HeV

sequence derived from a GHFF from South Australia in 2013 (43) revealed 99% similarity between the viruses

in the M gene (J Wang, pers. commun.; data not shown), suggesting that this novel HeV-var represents a

previous undescribed lineage, with reservoir-host infection at least across the range of this flying fox species.

In silico *analysis of the RBP*

The pattern of sequence similarity showed greatest variability in the non-coding regions and the mean

pairwise identity across the genome was 86.9% for the coding regions (Figure 3D). At the protein level, the

variant shared between 82.3% and 95.7% amino acid identity (mean 92.5%) when compared with the HeV

prototype (Table 3).

Specifically, the HeV RBP showed 92.7% amino acid identity. Modeling of the novel HeV-var based on

the comparison of translated protein sequence using the previously published X-ray crystal structure of the

prototypic HeV RBP (40) determined that the HeV RBP epitopes for binding of ephrin B2 and B3 receptors

(data not shown) as well as for m102.4 should remain functionally unchanged due to consistency between the

virus protein sequences at key residues (Figure 4).

Discussion

This research provided an opportunity for diagnostic investigations using an innovative syndromic

surveillance and collaborative testing approach beyond what is routinely available. It identified a novel HeV-var

that failed detection by routine diagnostic testing. Based on the ICTV criteria (38), the virus identified is

considered to be a novel variant rather than a new henipavirus species. This finding highlights the potential for

improved novel pathogen detection via a 'One Health' interagency and multidisciplinary approach to syndromic

infectious disease surveillance research extending from routine biosecurity operations and prompts recognition

of the importance of targeted emerging infectious disease (EID) sentinel surveillance. We have described a new

assay suitable for routine human and animal health laboratory diagnosis and surveillance of HeV.

Comparison of the translated protein of the RBP of the HeV-var with the prototype HeV *in silico*

through computer modeling revealed that the HeV-var shares the same ephrin B2 and B3 receptor binding sites.

It is expected that the current PEP using m102.4 will be effective against this virus. Due to the high similarity at

the protein level between this variant and the prototypic HeV, it is also expected that the current HeV horse

vaccine will elicit protective antibodies and current serological assays would be unable to distinguish between

exposure to the variants.

The 99% similarity between the HeV-var and a partial sequence generated from a GHFF in Adelaide

from 2013 highlights that a greater diversity of HeV variants circulate among flying fox species than has been

previously recognized and suggests that this novel variant circulates as a relatively consistent sublineage at least

across the range of this flying fox species.

These finding prompts urgent reassessment of HeV spillover risk for horses and handlers living in

southern NSW, Victoria and South Australia where previously the risk has been considered substantially lower

compared with regions within the distribution of BFFs. Updated molecular assays used in screening flying foxes

for HeV to reliably detect this HeV-var in both research testing and routine surveillance may afford additional

evidence to demonstrate that circulation of this variant of HeV (and possibly others) resolves the previously

reported anomaly of high seropositivity despite low HeV detection (18,19).

Despite relatively high genetic divergence, the predicted phenotypic similarity of this variant to the

prototypic HeV, combined with the observed consistency of equine disease to that seen with prototypic HeV,

supports an understanding of equivalent pathogenicity and infection kinetics. Further characterization of HeV

strain and variant diversity and any host-species associations should offer a greater understanding of

transmission and spillover dynamics, as well as viral–host co-evolution that might have featured co-divergence

and/or been subject to founder effects (44). BFFs have rapidly expanded their range southward in response to

habitat clearing and other anthropogenic influences (45), increasing their overlap with GHFFs. These changes,

along with climatic change and habitat loss, are changing the extent and nature of interspecies interactions.

Sampling of multiple species across time and space will be required to understand how the new HeV-var

circulates within and among species, and if it interacts with classical HeV within this rapidly changing ecology.

Critically, biosecurity practices should be updated to include appreciation of spillover risk in all regions

frequented by flying foxes regardless of species.

Passive disease surveillance and biosecurity risk management for HeV and EIDs in Australian animals

largely relies on recognition and management of suspect disease cases by private veterinarians who play crucial

roles that are relevant to animal and human health. In performing these roles, veterinarians have been

challenged by tensions between obligations to simultaneously serve the animal patient and animal owner,

manage biosecurity risk and meet their *Workplace Health and Safety Act and Biosecurity Act* requirements (46–

49). Guidelines to assist in this process have been developed by an Inter-Agency Technical Working Group (50). Prioritization of this case in our research testing pathway was based on the clear description of disease consistent with HeV by the attending veterinarian. This detection highlights the potential for improved EID surveillance via interdisciplinary research targeting novel and divergent priority One Health pathogens extending from government biosecurity significant disease investigations. Systematic interpretation of clinical and field observations guided parallel serology and molecular testing pathways constructed to best suit available sample-types and to target diseases of highest relevance to clinical, species and regional context. The example serves a proof of concept, highlighting need and benefit in further integration of such approaches with routine on-going biosecurity operations.

Acknowledging the limitations of this single case and the unavailability of tissue for histopathology and immunohistochemistry, it is nonetheless appropriate that this HeV-var be considered equally pathogenic to the known HeV based on the coherent and consistent available evidence. Specifically: the observed clinical signs of disease and pathology; evidence of viraemia; the phylogenetic analysis indicating that the variant belongs to the HeV species; and the modeling of the interactions of the functional RBP domain to the cell receptors ephrin B2/B3 predicts this is unchanged relative to the prototypic HeV. Moreover, this case fits the case definition for HeV infection in Australia's AUSVETPLAN, which is that an animal tests positive to HeV using one or more of PCR, virus isolation or immunohistochemistry (18).

This discovery resulted from our innovative 'Horses as Sentinels for New and Emerging Infectious Diseases' collaborative research approach and serves to demonstrate the value in integrated multidisciplinary and multisector infectious disease research extending beyond routine state biosecurity operations. Updated PCR diagnostics for routine use have been developed and are in use in Australia's animal and human health laboratories.

Our findings demonstrate the limitation of exclusion-based testing for emerging zoonoses and a gap in our understanding of how frequently the detection of known zoonoses across a broad range of systems is missed because of the diagnostic tools used.

Further investigations to determine the prevalence of HeV-var circulation among and excretion from all

Australian flying fox species should be prioritized. The risk of zoonotic HeV disease in horses and in-contact

humans should be interpreted across all regions frequented by flying foxes (regardless of species), particularly

in areas previously considered to be low risk for HeV spillover.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to: The laboratory staff of Biosecurity Sciences Laboratory, Brisbane, DAF,

Queensland for their work in processing of samples and submission information for this case and others in the

comparative cohort. Ms Andrea Certoma and Mrs Mel Hargreaves (ACDP) for technical assistance in the

isolation of the virus and Dr Jianning Wang (ACDP) for assistance with sequence comparisons. A/Prof. Holly

High, Prof. Emer. Adrian Horridge, Dr Tim Annand, Dr Nathan Hitchcock, Dr Stacey Lynch, Prof. James

Gilkerson, Dr Charlie el Hage, Dr Steve Dennis, Dr Greg Smith, Prof. Eddie Holmes, Dr Mang Shi, Dr Sam

Hamilton, Dr Laurie Dowling, Dr Deb Middleton, Dr Andrew Easton, Ms Jenn Barr, Dr Kim Blasdell, Dr

Anthony Keyburn, Dr R Burneikiene-Petraityte, Dr A Zvirbliene, Dr Anna Gonzalez, Dr Greg Baldwin, Dr

Richard l'Estrange, Dr Doug English, Dr Martin Lenz, Dr Allison Crook, Dr Sarah Britton, Dr Paul Freeman,

Dr Jim Kerr, Dr Nina Kung, Dr Carly Garling, Ms Nicole Popovic, Ms Kirstie Hobson, Dr Caroline Spelta,

A/Prof. Jenny-Anne Toribio, Mrs Anna Waldron, Ms Rebecca Maurer, Dr Marine Fuhrmann, Ms Marion

Saddington, Dr Kathrin Schemann, Dr Alexandra Green, Dr A/Prof Katrina Bosward, Prof. Stephan Graves, Dr

Jon Stenos, Prof. Jacqueline Norris, Ms Victoria Boyd, Ms Kym Newbury, Dr Tim Bowden, Dr Axel Colling,

Prof. Wes Johnson, Prof. Wesley Johnson, Dr Darren Underwood, Dr Wafa Shinwari, Dr Lorna Melville, Dr

Richard Wier, Dr Christopher J Cowled, Dr Tali Corbet, Dr Emma Croser, Dr Caitlin Wood, Prof. Nigel

Perkins, Dr Amy Burroughs, Dr Robyn Hall, Dr Tanja Strive, Prof. Marietjie Venter, Dr Deborah Finlaison, Dr

Peter Kirkland, Dr Paul Hick, Mr Jeffrey Wilkinson, Dr Kevin de Witte, Prof. Ruth Zadoks, Prof. Tania Sorrell,

Prof. Ben Marais, Prof. Rosanne Taylor, Dr Robert Johnson, Ms Harkeet Puria, Ms Cheryl Poon, Ms Judith

O'Hagan, Prof. Frazer Allan, Dr Jonathan Happold, Dr Sandra Steele, Dr John Morten, Prof. Emer. John

Boulton, Dr John Bingham, Prof. Robyn Alders, Dr James E. Crowe Jr, Dr Brendan Cowled, Dr Michael

Walsh, Prof. Michael Ward, Dr Peter Black, Dr Keren Cox-Witton, Dr Anita Gordon, Dr Kim Halpin, Dr Debbie Eagles and Dr Dwane O'Brien for support of this research, useful scientific discussion and/or contributions to the larger project from which this finding arose. We also thank Mr David Bath, Dr Narelle Clegg, Dr Mark Schipp, Dr Robyn Martin, Dr Ariella Hayek, Dr Corrisa Miller, Dr Rachel Iglesias and Dr William Wong from the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment.

Great thanks to the Allan and Lyn Davies and their wonderful family DALARA foundation, without whose enduring belief in this project and early financial support this knowledge gap might not have been closed for a great deal time longer. Generations of veterinarians and horsepersons to come will be safer and better informed in their management of this deadly zoonotic disease as a result of your support of this research.

We especially thank the great veterinarians, horse-owners and -carers of Australia who attend horses, managing their health and that of the public in relation to HeV and other zoonotic disease. These veterinary friends and colleagues, represented and supported by Equine Veterinarians Australia of the Australian Veterinary Association, inspired this work together with the many friends and wonderful people who enjoy living and working with horses in Australia.

Funding: Biosecurity Innovation Project 2020-21 Project ID 202043 'Metagenomic Investigation of Horses as Sentinels' research; Dalara Foundation, philanthropic donation: 'Horses and Human Health'; Marie Bashir Institute of Biosecurity and Infectious Diseases: Internal USYD seed funding; CSIRO Health and Biosecurity: internal funding; Australian Government Research Training Program scholarship (EA).

Author Biographies

Dr Annand is an equine veterinarian epidemiologist in private practice and a Research Associate at the University of Sydney School of Veterinary Science, Marie Bashir Institute of Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity. His research interests include One Health infectious disease surveillance and risk management.

Dr Horsburgh is an early-career researcher at the Westmead Institute for Medical Research. She is interested in using single-copy assays and high-throughput sequencing to characterize viral genomes and understand their effect on human health.

References:

1.   Eaton BT, Broder CC, Middleton D, Wang L-F. Hendra and Nipah viruses: different and dangerous. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2006 Jan;4(1):23–35.

2.   Selvey LA, Wells RM, McCormack JG, Ansford AJ, Murray K, Rogers RJ et al. Infection of humans and horses by a newly described morbillivirus. Medical Journal of Australia 1995;162:642–645.

3.   Wong KT, Tan CT. Clinical and pathological manifestations of human henipavirus infection. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology. 2012;359:95–104.

4.   Playford EG, McCall B, Smith G, Slinko V, Allen G, Smith I, et al. Human Hendra virus encephalitis associated with equine outbreak, Australia, 2008. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2010 Feb;16(2):219–23.

5.   Murray K, Rogers R, Selvey L, Selleck P, Hyatt A, Gould A, et al. A novel morbillivirus pneumonia of horses and its transmission to humans. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 1995 Mar;1(1):31–3.

6.   New South Wales Health. Summary of human cases of Hendra virus infection - Control guidelines. [cited 2021 Apr 23]. Available from: https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/Infectious/controlguideline/Pages/hendra-case-summary.aspx

7.   Business Queensland. Summary of Hendra virus incidents in horses. 2015 [cited 2020 Feb 14]. Available from: https://www.business.qld.gov.au/industries/service-industries-professionals/service-industries/veterinary-surgeons/guidelines-hendra/incident-summary

8.   Goh KJ, Tan CT, Chew NK, Tan PSK, Kamarulzaman A, Sarji SA, et al. Clinical features of Nipah virus encephalitis among pig farmers in Malaysia. New England Journal of Medicine. 2000;342(17):1229–35.

9.   Nikolay B, Salje H, Hossain MJ, Khan AKMD, Sazzad HMS, Rahman M, et al. Transmission of Nipah virus: 14 years of Investigations in Bangladesh. New England Journal of Medicine. 2019;380(19):1804–14.

10.   World Health Organization. R&D Blue print and Nipah virus [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2021 May 4]. Available from: https://www.who.int/teams/blueprint/nipah

11.   Broder CC, Xu K, Nikolov DB, Zhu Z, Dimitrov DS, Middleton D, et al. A treatment for and vaccine against the deadly Hendra and Nipah viruses. Antiviral Research. 2013;100(1):8–13.

12.   Broder CC, Weir DL, Reid PA. Hendra virus and Nipah virus animal vaccines. Vaccine. 2016;34(30):3525–34.

13.   Geisbert TW, Bobb K, Borisevich V, Geisbert JB, Agans KN, Cross RW, et al. A single dose investigational subunit vaccine for human use against Nipah virus and Hendra virus. NPJ Vaccines. 2021;6(1):23.

14.   Playford EG, Munro T, Mahler SM, Elliott S, Gerometta M, Hoger KL, et al. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and immunogenicity of a human monoclonal antibody targeting the G glycoprotein of henipaviruses in healthy adults: a first-in-human, randomised, controlled, phase 1 study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020;20(4):445–54.

15.   Dong J, Cross RW, Doyle MP, Kose N, Mousa JJ, Annand EJ, et al. Potent henipavirus neutralization by antibodies recognizing diverse sites on Hendra and Nipah virus attachment glycoproteins. Cell. 2020;183:1536–50.

16.   Doyle MP, Kose N, Borisevich V, Binshtein E, Amaya M, Nagel M, et al. Functional cooperativity mediated by rationally selected combinations of human monoclonal antibodies targeting the henipavirus receptor binding protein. bioRxiv. 2021;2021.02.17.431743.

17.   Dang HV, Cross RW, Borisevich V, Bornholdt ZA, West BR, Chan Y-P, et al. Broadly neutralizing antibody cocktails targeting Nipah virus and Hendra virus fusion glycoproteins. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology. 2021;28(5):426–34.

18.   Animal Health Australia. Australia Veterinary Emergency Plan AUSVETPLAN, Response policy brief Hendra virus infection Version 4.0. [Internet]. Animal Health Australia; 2016 [cited 2021 May 5]. Available from: https://www.animalhealthaustralia.com.au/download/2602/

19.   Edson D, Peel AJ, Huth L, Mayer DG, Vidgen ME, McMichael L, et al. Time of year, age class and body condition predict Hendra virus infection in Australian black flying foxes (Pteropus alecto). Epidemiology and Infection [Internet]. 2019 Jul 10;147:e240. [cited 2021 May 28] Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6625375/

20.   Boardman WSJ, Baker ML, Boyd V, Crameri G, Peck GR, Reardon T, et al. Seroprevalence of three paramyxoviruses; Hendra virus, Tioman virus, Cedar virus and a rhabdovirus, Australian bat lyssavirus, in a range expanding fruit bat, the Grey-headed flying fox (*Pteropus poliocephalus*). PLoS One. 2020;15(5):e0232339.

21.   Edson D, Field H, McMichael L, Vidgen M, Goldspink L, Broos A, et al. Routes of Hendra virus excretion in naturally-infected flying-foxes: implications for viral transmission and spillover risk. PLoS One [Internet]. 2015 Oct 15 [cited 2021 May 21];10(10):e0140670. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4607162/

22.   Burroughs AL, Durr PA, Boyd V, Graham K, White JR, Todd S, et al. Hendra Virus infection dynamics in the grey-headed flying fox (Pteropus poliocephalus) at the Southern-most extent of its range: further evidence this species does not readily transmit the virus to horses. PLoS One.11(6):e0155252.

23.   Pilkington M. Australian horses as a primary industry: numbers, organisation and research needs. Canberra: AGPS, 1993; xviii+113.

24.   Australian Government Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. Feral horse (*Equus caballus*) and feral donkey (*Equus asinus*) [Internet]. The Department, 2011 [cited 2021 Apr 21]. Available from: https://www.environment.gov.au/system/files/resources/b32a088c-cd31-4b24-8a7c-70e1880508b5/files/feral-horse.pdf

25.   Annand EA, Reid PA. Clinical review of two fatal equine cases of infection with the insectivorous bat strain of Australian bat lyssavirus. Australian Veterinary Journal. 2014;92(9):324–32.

26.   Plowright RK, Eby P, Hudson PJ, Smith IL, Westcott D, Bryden WL, et al. Ecological dynamics of emerging bat virus spillover. Proc Biol Sci. 2015:282(1798):20142124.

27.   Animal Health Australia. Animal Health Surveillance Quarterly – Animal Health Australia [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 21]. Available from: https://www.animalhealthaustralia.com.au/our-publications/animal-health-surveillance-quarterly/

28.   Government of South Australia. Department of Primary Industries and Regions. Hendra virus in South Australia [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2021 May 21]. Available from: https://pir.sa.gov.au/biosecurity/animal_health/horses/hendra_virus

29.   Agnihotri K, Pease B, Oakey J, Campbell G. Confirmation of Elsey virus infection in a Queensland horse with mild neurological signs. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation. 2016;28(4):445–8.

30.   Barr J, Smith C, Smith I, de Jong C, Todd S, Melville D, et al. Isolation of multiple novel paramyxoviruses from pteropid bat urine. Journal of General Virology. 2015;96(Pt 1):24–9.

31.   Marsh GA, Jong CD, Barr J, Tachedjian M, Smith C, Middleton D, et al. Cedar virus: a novel henipavirus isolated from Australian bats. PLOS Pathogens. 2012;8(8):e1002836.

32.   Vidgen ME, Jong CD, Rose K, Hall J, Field H, Smith C. Novel paramyxoviruses in Australian flying-fox populations support host–virus coevolution. Journal of General Virology. 2015;96:1619–25.

33. Smith IL, Halpin K, Warrilow D, Smith GA. Development of a fluorogenic RT-PCR assay (TaqMan) for the detection of Hendra virus. Journal of Virological Methods. 2001;98(1):33–40.

34. Yuen KY, Fraser NS, Henning J, Halpin K, Gibson JS, Betzien L, et al. Hendra virus: epidemiology dynamics in relation to climate change, diagnostic tests and control measures. One Health [Internet]. 2020 Dec 21 [cited 2021 May 21];12. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7750128/

35. Tong S, Chern S-WW, Li Y, Pallansch MA, Anderson LJ. Sensitive and broadly reactive reverse transcription-PCR assays to detect novel paramyxoviruses. Journal of Clinical Microbiology. 2008;46(8):2652–8.

36. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology. 1990;215(3):403–10.

37. Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(10):1674–6.

38. Rima B, Balkema-Buschmann A, Dundon WG, Duprex P, Easton A, Fouchier R, et al. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: paramyxoviridae. Journal of General Virology. 2019;100(12):1593–4.

39. Crameri G, Todd S, Grimley S, McEachern JA, Marsh GA, Smith C, et al. Establishment, immortalisation and characterisation of pteropid bat cell lines. PLoS One. 2009;4(12):e8266.

40. Xu K, Rockx B, Xie Y, DeBuysscher BL, Fusco DL, Zhu Z, et al. Crystal structure of the Hendra virus attachment G glycoprotein bound to a potent cross-reactive neutralizing human monoclonal antibody. PLoS Pathogens [Internet]. 2013 Oct 10 [cited 2021 Apr 27];9(10). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3795035/

41.   Colling A, Lunt R, Bergfeld J, McNabb L, Halpin K, Juzva S, et al. A network approach for

provisional assay recognition of a Hendra virus antibody ELISA: test validation with low sample numbers from

infected horses. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation. 2018;30(3):362–9.

42.   Australian Government Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment. National

Flying-Fox Monitoring Program [Internet]. Monitoring Flying-Fox Populations. [cited 2021 May 28]. Available

from: https://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/flying-fox-monitoring

43.   Wang J, Anderson D, Valdeter S, Chen H, Walker S, Meehan B, et al. A novel henipavirus in

bats, Australia. In: Proceedings of the One Health EcoHealth Congress [Internet]. Melbourne: One Health

EcoHealth, 2016 [cited 2021 Feb 18]. Available from: https://publications.csiro.au/rpr/pub?pid=csiro:EP173003

44.   Ramachandran S, Deshpande O, Roseman CC, Rosenberg NA, Feldman MW, Cavalli-Sforza

LL. Support from the relationship of genetic and geographic distance in human populations for a serial founder

effect originating in Africa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences United States of America.

2005;102(44):15942–7.

45.   Roberts BJ, Catterall CP, Eby P, Kanowski J. Latitudinal range shifts in Australian flying-foxes:

a re-evaluation. Austral Ecology. 2012;37(1):12–22.

46.   Annand EJ, Reid PA, Johnson J, Gilbert GL, Taylor M, Walsh M, et al. Citizens' juries give

verdict on whether private practice veterinarians should attend unvaccinated Hendra virus suspect horses.

Australian Veterinary Journal. 2020;98(7):273–9.

47.   Mendez DH, Judd J, Speare R. Unexpected result of Hendra virus outbreaks for veterinarians,

Queensland, Australia. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2012;18(1):83–5.

48.   Australian Government. Biosecurity Act 2015 [Internet]. No. 61. 2015 Jul 3, 2019. Available

from: https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2019C00097/Html/Text,

http://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2019C00097

49.   Australian Government. Work Health and Safety Act 2011 [Internet]. No. 137, 2011 Jul 1, 2018. Available from: https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/C2018C00293/

50.   Hendra Virus Interagency Technical Working Group. Hendra Virus Infection Prevention Advice - Hendra Virus Interagency Technical Working Group incl. Biosecurity Queensland, Australian Veterinary Association, Queensland Health, Workplace Health & Safety Queensland [Internet]. Queensland Government; 2014. Available from: https://www.health.qld.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0026/428624/hev-inf-prev-adv.pdf

Address for correspondence: Dr Edward Annand, Sydney School of Veterinary Science, The University of Sydney, Camden, NSW 2570, Australia; email: ed.annand@sydney.edu.au

Supplementary Methods

Viral isolation

Positive case samples for the novel HeV were sent to the Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness (ACDP), a World Organisation for Animal Health Reference Laboratory for Hendra and Nipah virus diseases, in line with established national arrangements for confirmatory testing of notifiable disease of animals. Virus isolation was attempted in Vero cells (ATCC CCL-81) and primary kidney cells derived from *P. alecto* (PaKi; (39) on whole blood and pooled nasal, oral and rectal swab samples. Vero cells were grown at 37°C in EMEM (ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; ThermoFisher Scientific), supplemented with 1% v/v L-glutamine, 10 mM HEPES, 0.25% v/v penicillin–streptomycin and 0.5% v/v amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich). PaKi cells were cultured in DMEM/F-12 media (ThermoFisher Scientific) with 5% FCS and supplemented as above.

For virus isolation, washed monolayers of cells were inoculated with 500 µL of whole blood diluted 1:5 in culture media or 500 µL of pooled swab sample prefiltered (0.45-µm cellulose acetate) to remove bacteria and

any residual solid particles. Inoculum was removed after 45 min and cell monolayers were washed with

phosphate-buffered saline, then overlaid with culture media containing 1% (v/v) FCS. Flasks were incubated at

37°C for 6–7 days and regularly monitored for cytopathic effect by light microscopy. Cells were then frozen,

thawed and the cell suspension clarified by centrifugation ($1000g$ at 4°C). Supernatant (500 µL) was then

passaged onto fresh cell monolayers. A maximum of three passages per sample were performed on each cell

line. Final pass samples were tested by RT-qPCR to detect the presence of replicating HeV genome.

530 **Table 1**. Infectious disease prioritization categories (with examples) used in this study to identify Hendra-negative equine disease cases with
531 highest likelihood of similar undiagnosed viral cause from larger cohort for further investigation

Infectious disease priority	Description	Example
Category 1 Highest infectious disease suspect	Case features 'pyrexia' or 'abnormal mucous membranes AND one or more other clinical signs related to infectious disease OR the presence of either change AND 'epidemiological observation indicative of infectious cause' based on temporal and/or spatial relationship to similar disease cases	Pyrexia with tachycardia and acute onset respiratory consolidation and/or secretions. Pyrexia and neurological symptoms. Pyrexia and 'injected/congested' mucous membranes. 'Congested/injected' mucous membranes' with acute severe respiratory dysfunction. Clustering of similar cases on same or neighboring properties
Category 2 High infectious disease suspect	Pyrexia OR other clinical signs associated with infectious disease of interest	Acute onset abnormal respiratory secretions. Fever of unknown origin. Colic with the presence of neurological symptoms
Category 3 Moderate infectious disease suspect	Clinical signs may be associated equally with infectious and non-infectious causes	Colic with the presence of dehydration and mucous membrane changes. Ataxia with the absence of pyrexia or known trauma
Category 4 Low infectious disease suspect	Non-infectious etiologies more common or most likely on differential diagnosis list, but infectious cause still possible	Ataxia following known traumatic event. Traumatic wounds following unusual behavioral event. Acute lethargy following chronic non-infectious disease condition
Category 5 No infectious disease suspect	No clinical signs of illness or no infectious cause considered likely	Traumatic wounds in the absence of underlying disease. Screening in unvaccinated horses to manage biosecurity risk prior to invasive procedures addressing non-infectious disease such as is a common requirement for dentistry or admission to equine hospitals in Australia
Category 6 Confirmed infectious disease	Other infectious disease confirmed via diagnostic testing	A case submitted for HeV testing, found negative and then testing positive for alternative known infectious disease such as ABLV, WNV, EHV or RRV*

532 *ABLV, Australian bat lyssavirus; EHV, Equine herpes virus; HeV, Hendra virus; RRV, Ross River Virus; WNV, West Nile virus

533 **Table 2**. Oligonucleotides used for new HeV duplex RT-qPCR targeting matrix gene

Virus	Name	Sequence (5'-3')[1]	Reference
Prototype	Mr_fwd_1	CTTCGACAAAGACGGAACCAA	34
	Mr_rev_1	CCAGCTCGTCGGACAAAATT	
	Mr_prb_1	FAM-TGGCATCTT-ZEN-TCATGCTCCATCTCGG-IABk	
Variant	Mv_fwd_1	TCTCGACAAGGACGGAGCTAA	This study
	Mv_rev_1	CCGGCTCGTCGAACAAAATT	
	Mv_prb_1	HEX-TGGCATCCT-ZEN-TCATGCTTCACCTTGG-IABk	

534 [1]FAM and HEX 5′ reporter dyes were combined with ZEN Internal Quencher and the 3′
535 quencher Iowa Black, and supplied by Integrated DNA Technologies, Singapore.

536   **Table 3**. Novel HeV variant protein length and similarity to prototype strain (NCBI GenBank
537   accession NC_001906)

Protein	Length (AA)	Similarity (%)
Nucleoprotein	532	96.6
Phosphoprotein	707	82.3
Matrix	352	95.7
Fusion	546	95.4
Glycoprotein	603	92.5
Large	2,244	95.7

Figure 1. Sequence coverage of novel Hendra virus (HeV) variant. The RNA sequencing
reads were mapped to the novel HeV variant genome to examine coverage across the genome
and depth for the EDTA blood and pooled swab samples in panels A and B, respectively. The x-
axis shows the genome position with genes annotated and the y-axis shows the sequence read
coverage (depth). The italicized coverage value indicates the mean coverage depth for that
sample.

Figure 2. Genomic variation in the Hendra virus (HeV) matrix gene assay primer/probe
binding sites. The genomic region targeted by the commonly used HeV matrix gene RT-qPCR
assay (Smith et al *J Virol Methods* 2001;98:33–40) was aligned and compared for the prototype
and variant HeV strains. The genomic positions relative to the prototype strain (NCBI GenBank
accession NC_001906) are shown at the top. Primers (forward and reverse) and probe binding
sites are indicated by the colored bars. Mismatches between the sequenced have been highlighted
with red shading.

Figure 3. Phylogenomics of novel Hendra virus (HeV) variant. Panel A shows a maximum-likelihood phylogeny of paramyxoviruses using complete L protein sequences with henipaviruses highlighted in grey. The novel HeV (colored red) groups with the prototypic HeV. Bootstrap support values as proportions of 500 replicates are shown at nodes with values less than 0.7 hidden. Panel B shows the branch lengths for the henipavirus clade, showing that the branch leading back to the common ancestor of all known HeVs and this novel virus does not exceed 0.03; thus they are considered variants of the same species. For the phylogenies, the scale bars indicate the number of substitutions per site. Panel C shows a maximum-likelihood phylogeny of partial N and P where deep branch lengths have been collapsed for visualization only to demonstrate that the variant is well outside the known diversity of HeV. Panel D shows nucleotide genomic similarity of the variant compared with the prototypic HeV strain.

Figure 4. _In silico_ modeling of Hendra virus (HeV) variant G protein (receptor-binding protein) with humanized monoclonal antibody. The translated protein sequence encoded by the HeV variant G gene was modeled using the known protein structure of the prototype virus bound to the humanized monoclonal antibody m102.4. Panel A shows the side view of the interactions between the HeV G protein and m102.4, highlighting key binding residues (red) and the variant positions (orange) relative to the m102.4 heavy (cyan) and light (green) chains. Panel B shows a zoomed top view at the HeV G and m102.4 binding interface, highlighting specific interactions between the complementarity-determining regions. These data show that variable positions do not occur at critical epitopes at the HeV G and m102.4 binding interface. Panel C shows an alignment of the prototypic and variant HeV strain G proteins with variable positions highlighted in color.

580