SOFTWARE ARTICLE

CulebrONT: a streamlined long reads multi-assembler pipeline for prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes

Julie Orjuela[1,2,3*†], Aurore Comte[2,3†], Sébastien Ravel[2,3], Florian Charriat[2,3], Tram Vi[2,4], François Sabot[1,3] and Sébastien Cunnac[2]

*Correspondence:
julie.orjuela@ird.fr
[1]DIADE Unit, University of Montpellier, CIRAD, IRD, 911 Avenue Agropolis, F-34394 , Montpellier Cedex 5, France
[2]PHIM Plant Health Institute, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France
[3]IFB - South Green Bioinformatics Platform, Bioversity, CIRAD, INRAE, IRD, F-34398 Montpellier, France
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article
[†]Equal contributor

Abstract

Background: Using long reads provides higher contiguity and better genome assemblies. However, producing such high quality sequences from raw reads requires to chain a growing set of tools, and determining the best workflow is a complex task.

Results: To tackle this challenge, we developed CulebrONT, an open-source, scalable, modular and traceable Snakemake pipeline for assembling long reads data. CulebrONT enables to perform tests on multiple samples and multiple long reads assemblers in parallel, and can optionally perform, downstream circularization and polishing. It further provides a range of assembly quality metrics summarized in a final user-friendly report.

Conclusions: CulebrONT alleviates the difficulties of assembly pipelines development, and allow users to identify the best assembly options.

Keywords: long reads; assembly; QC; reproducibility; snakemake

Background

Third-generation sequencing technologies, namely Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) and Pacific Biosciences (PB), provide reads up to hundreds of thousands of bases in length. They can extend over repeats or structural variants, and thus result in higher contiguity and accuracy of genome assembly. Due to its low price, speed, portability and easy-access system, ONT is increasingly used worldwide, generally by laboratories sequencing their favorite organism, but with limited expertise in assembly methods. Nonetheless, assembly is not trivial: eukaryotic genomes assembly is a highly complex task (large genome sizes, high rates of repeated sequences, high heterozygosity levels and even polyploidy), and while prokaryotic genomes may appear less challenging, specific features such as circular DNA molecules, must be taken into consideration to achieve high quality assembly.

Numerous long-read assembly and post-assembly tools are available, relying on a large variety of approaches and algorithms, and many of them are frequently updated. However, even with this plethora of tools, there is no single silver bullet to genome assembly for all taxonomic groups. The systematic assessment of these assembly tools is needed to properly exploit data [1], but performing benchmarks to find the best combination of tools for a given dataset is highly complex, and require accessible, scalable, traceable and reproducible data processing workflows.

A few solutions, such as Katuali [2] or CCBGpipe [3], were previously developed to tackle these issues, but they are dedicated to either eukaryotic or prokaryotic genomes, or provide a restricted choice of assemblers. Some of these are also diffi-cult to parallelize in a HPC environment, or to update with the latest versions of software components. In addition, for the subsequent evaluation of the assemblies, it is critical to organize and aggregate the numerous Quality Control (QC) metrics generated by various tools, in order to facilitate comparisons. To solve these issues, we developed CulebrONT, a pipeline allowing users to easily assemble, circularize (if needed) and polish assemblies on multiple datasets with multiple alternative tools, while reporting various QC metrics for each assembly.

Implementation

CulebrONT uses Snakemake [4] functionalities, enabling readability of the code, local and HPC scalability, reentry, reproducibility and modularity. CulebrONT assembles, circularises, polishes and corrects genome sequences from raw read se-quences in fastq format, and provides QC metrics. To provide more flexibility to the user, individual tools are optional and include the most popular ones. Thus, CulebrONT can be used either to prototype and explore assemblies on new organ-isms or in assembly production. While originally developed primarily for working on ONT data, CulebrONT can also be used on PB data with generalist tools.

Six recent community-validated assemblers are currently included (Canu [5], Flye [6], Raven [7], MiniAsm [8] (coupled with minipolish [9] for an initial polishing), Shasta [10] and smartDeNovo [11]). Several tools for polishing (Racon [12], Pilon [13], Medaka [14] and Nanopolish [15]) were also included. If requested, Circlator [16] can automatically circularise the primary output of assemblers that cannot handle circular molecules (see Additional file 1 section 2.2 for more details). Each processing step in CulebrONT, from raw to final assembly, can be optionally as-sessed by various quality control tools (Busco [17], QUAST [18], BlobTools [19], KAT [20], Assemblytics [21], Samtools Flagstats [22] and Mauve [23]).

CulebrONT builds a workflow using the user setup file *config.yaml*. Data can come from a single sample as well as from multiple ones (one fastq file per sample in the data folder). Tools paths are imported from *tools_path.yaml*, and cluster resources are managed using the *cluster_config.yaml* file (Figure 1.1). The dedicated Cule-brONT class checks configuration files, controls if data and software environments exist and ensures the global coherence of the requested steps. This python class imports snakefiles to build a specific instance of the workflow (Figure 1.2). Upon execution (on HPC or a single machine, Figure 1.3), in addition to the expected output, individual rules will generate log files (Figure 1.4). CulebrONT relies on conda [24] and singularity [25] to optimize and simplify software installation, to secure environments and to ensure reproducibility.

CulebrONT is available as a Python Package in PyPi to ease its installation https://pypi.org/project/culebrONT/; in addition, the CulebrONT API adapts the installation to local or distributed/HPC environment.

[1]Results

[2]CulebrONT was developed in order to facilitate comparisons between primary assemblies, but also to check the effect of polishing on sequence accuracy. CulebrONT compiles information from these steps, but also essential quality information calculated at each activated step. An individual output directory with a specific subdirectories topology (see Additional file 1 to details) is generated for each samples. It notably contains a sub-directory corresponding to each activated step on the *config.yaml* file (assemblers, circularisation, polishing, correction, fixstart, and QC, for instance). In addition, a log directory includes execution information for all steps. CulebrONT generates an global HTML report, found in the *FINAL RE-PORT* folder. In this report, summarized statistics and relevant information can be found, such as the configuration parameters used or the tools versions and the computational time for each steps (Figure 2). These information can be useful for users that want to benchmark and identify suitable workflows for their data.

[16] In order to provide an illustration of how CulebrONT can help benchmark assembly pipelines, ONT genome sequencing datasets for four highly contrasted species were tested : *i.* a strain of *Acinetobacter baumannii*[26] (ABJ9: reads N50 15,130 bp; median 7,621 bp; 741 Mb), *ii.* a strain of *Haemophilus haemolyticus*[26] (HM1C1321: reads N50 10,569 bp; median 7,027 bp; 1,6 Gb) *iii. Meloidogyne graminicola* nematoda[27] (VN18: reads N50 9,372 bp; median 2,853 bp; 3.2 Gb) and *iv.* a *Oryza sativa* dataset (unpublished data) (DJ123: N50 22,328 bp, median 13 544 bp, total 14,6 Gb).

[25] For bacterial sequences, all available assemblers included in CulebrONT were tested using reads for samples ABJ9 and HM1C1321 from *A. baumannii* and *H. haemolyticus*. For ABJ9, good results were obtained by Canu and Miniasm, but the *best* (the longest N50 and lowest L50) were found using Miniasm + 2 minipolish rounds + Medaka correction with only 2 circularised contig (N50 3,798,675 bp and L50 1) obtaining and a Busco score of 98.4%. Busco results obtained on this sample can be found on the figure 2. For HM1C1321, Flye gave the highest number of circularised contigs (N50 2,052,024 bp and L50 1) and the Busco score for conserved orthologous genes on the final assembly was 95.2%. More details can be found on the table 1).

[36] Five assemblers were tested for *M. graminicola* (Flye, Shasta, Miniasm, Smartde-novo and Raven). With the VN18 sample, the lowest number of contigs (617) was obtained using a combination of Flye for assembly with a N50 of 402,454 bp and a L50 of 29 and followed by two rounds of Racon and ultimately Medaka polishing (see table 1).

[42] On *Oryza sativa*, due to the larger genome size, only three assemblers were activated (Flye, Shasta and Raven) and polishing was performed with Racon only. For DJ123 rice sample, Flye + two Racon rounds seemed to be the optimal solution, with the best N50 (14,895,042 bp) and lower L50 (10 for 12 chromosomes). An overview of a CulebrONT report is shown on the figure 2.B using rice as an example.

More details can be found on table 1 and more reports generated by CulebrONT are available at: https://itrop.ird.fr/culebront_utilities/BMC_RESULTS.

Applications

CulebrONT has been also successfully used on many various organisms, including bacteria (*Xanthomonas sp*) (S. Cunnac, pers com), haploid fungi (*Pseudocercospora fijiensis*) (J. Carlier, pers com) and (*Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. cubense*) (E. Wicker, pers com), green algae (*Bathycoccus sp*), insects (*Drosophila sp* [28]), diploid grasses (*Paspalum sp* (O. Blanc, pers com) and other *Oryza sp*), as well as on allopolyploid plants (ABB triploid banana; M. Rouard, pers com).

Conclusion

In summary, CulebrONT simplifies the analysis of large-scale assemblies by allowing fast and reproducible processing of a single dataset or on a collection of samples simultaneously. The output facilitates the comparative evaluation of the assembly workflows while keeping a traceable record of the analyses.

Availability and requirements

Project name: CulebrONT pipeline

Project home page: https://github.com/SouthGreenPlatform/CulebrONT_pipeline

Documentation: https://culebront-pipeline.readthedocs.io/en/latest/

Available Python Package: https://pypi.org/project/culebrONT

Source code: https://dataverse.ird.fr/dataset.xhtml?persistentId=doi:10.23708/TBRNWJ

Operating system: Platform independent

Programming language: Python >=3.6

Other requirements: Snakemake >=5.10

License: GPLv3

Any restrictions to use by non-academics: GPLv3

List of abbreviations

N50 : the smaller contig length required to cover 50 percent of the assembled genome sequence with the largest contigs.

L50 : The number of sequences required to obtain N50.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
Results generated by CulebrONT can be found in https://itrop.ird.fr/culebront_utilities/BMC_REPORTS/.
Available data test can be found in https://itrop.ird.fr/culebront_utilities/Data-Xoo-sub/

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding
This work was supported by PHIM and DIADE research units. AC was recruited by a IRD engineer contract. TV was recruited by PHIM funding and the CRP-Rice program. FC contract was recruited by the MagMAX ANR-18-CE20-0016 grant awarded to Pierre Gladieux.

Author's contributions

JO, FS and SC conceived the original idea. JO, AC, SR, FC, TV and SC written code source. Documentation was performed by JO, SR, FS, SC and AC. JO and FS analysed data. JO, FS and SC drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Data processing was performed at the High-Performance Computing Cluster i-Trop of IRD. Authors thank N.Tando for administration support and the South Green Plateform. We also thanks the French Bioinformatics Infrastructure IFB for feedback on CulebrONT installation.

Author details

¹DIADE Unit, University of Montpellier, CIRAD, IRD, 911 Avenue Agropolis, F-34394 , Montpellier Cedex 5, France. ²PHIM Plant Health Institute, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, IRD, Montpellier, France. ³IFB - South Green Bioinformatics Platform, Bioversity, CIRAD, INRAE, IRD, F-34398 Montpellier, France. ⁴Agricultural Genetics Institute, Vietnam Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Hanoi, Vietnam.

References

1. Murigneux, V., Rai, S.K., Furtado, A., Bruxner, T.J.C., Tian, W., Harliwong, I., Wei, H., Yang, B., Ye, Q., Anderson, E., Mao, Q., Drmanac, R., Wang, O., Peters, B.A., Xu, M., Wu, P., Topp, B., Coin, L.J.M., Henry, R.J.: Comparison of long-read methods for sequencing and assembly of a plant genome. GigaScience **9**(12) (2020). doi:10.1093/gigascience/giaa146. giaa146. https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article-pdf/9/12/giaa146/35044370/giaa146.pdf

2. Katuali: https://nanoporetech.github.io/katuali/index.html (2020). https://nanoporetech.github.io/katuali/index.html

3. Liao, Y.-C., Cheng, H.-W., Wu, H.-C., Kuo, S.-C., Lauderdale, T.-L.Y., Chen, F.-J.: Completing circular bacterial genomes with assembly complexity by using a sampling strategy from a single minion run with barcoding. Frontiers in microbiology **10**, 2068–2068 (2019). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.02068. 31551994[pmid]

4. Köster, J., Rahmann, S.: Snakemake—a scalable bioinformatics workflow engine. Bioinformatics **28**(19), 2520–2522 (2012). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts480. https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-pdf/28/19/2520/819790/bts480.pdf

5. Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H., Phillippy, A.M.: Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Research **27**(5), 722–736 (2017). doi:10.1101/gr.215087.116. http://genome.cshlp.org/content/27/5/722.full.pdf+html

6. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y., Pevzner, P.A.: Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. Nature Biotechnology **37**(5), 540–546 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

7. Vaser, R., Šikić, M.: Raven: a de novo genome assembler for long reads. bioRxiv (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.08.07.242461. https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2020/08/10/2020.08.07.242461.full.pdf

8. Li, H.: Minimap and miniasm: fast mapping and de novo assembly for noisy long sequences. Bioinformatics **32**(14), 2103–2110 (2016). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw152. https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-pdf/32/14/2103/19567911/btw152.pdf

9. Wick, R., Holt, K.: Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole genome sequencing [version 3; peer review: 4 approved]. F1000Research **8**(2138) (2020). doi:10.12688/f1000research.21782.3

10. Shafin, K., Pesout, T., Lorig-Roach, R., Haukness, M., Olsen, H.E., Bosworth, C., Armstrong, J., Tigyi, K., Maurer, N., Koren, S., Sedlazeck, F.J., Marschall, T., Mayes, S., Costa, V., Zook, J.M., Liu, K.J., Kilburn, D., Sorensen, M., Munson, K.M., Vollger, M.R., Monlong, J., Garrison, E., Eichler, E.E., Salama, S., Haussler, D., Green, R.E., Akeson, M., Phillippy, A., Miga, K.H., Carnevali, P., Jain, M., Paten, B.: Nanopore sequencing and the shasta toolkit enable efficient de novo assembly of eleven human genomes. Nature Biotechnology **38**(9), 1044–1053 (2020). doi:10.1038/s41587-020-0503-6

11. Liu, H., Wu, S., Li, A., Ruan, J.: Smartdenovo: A de novo assembler using long noisy reads. https://www.preprints.org/ (2020). doi:10.20944/preprints202009.0207.v1. https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202009.0207/v1

12. Vaser, R., Sović, I., Nagarajan, N., Šikić, M.: Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. Genome research **27**(5), 737–746 (2017). doi:10.1101/gr.214270.116. 28100585[pmid]

13. Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., Young, S.K., Earl, A.M.: Pilon: An integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS ONE **9**(11), 112963 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112963

14. Medaka: https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka (2021). https://github.com/nanoporetech/medaka

15. Nanopolish: https://nanopolish.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html (2020). https://nanopolish.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html

16. Hunt, M., Silva, N.D., Otto, T.D., Parkhill, J., Keane, J.A., Harris, S.R.: Circlator: automated circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads. Genome Biology **16**(1), 294 (2015). doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0849-0

17. Simão, F.A., Waterhouse, R.M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E.V., Zdobnov, E.M.: BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics **31**(19), 3210–3212 (2015). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351. https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-pdf/31/19/3210/17086320/btv351.pdf

18. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., Tesler, G.: QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics **29**(8), 1072–1075 (2013). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

19. Laetsch, D., Blaxter, M.: Blobtools: Interrogation of genome assemblies [version 1; peer review: 2 approved with reservations]. F1000Research **6**(1287) (2017). doi:10.12688/f1000research.12232.1

20. KAT: The K-mer Analysis Toolkit, https://github.com/TGAC/KAT (2018). https://github.com/TGAC/KAT

21. Nattestad, M., Schatz, M.C.: Assemblytics: a web analytics tool for the detection of variants from an assembly. Bioinformatics **32**(19), 3021–3023 (2016). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw369

22. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and, R.D.: The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics **25**(16), 2078–2079 (2009). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

23. Darling, A.C.E., Mau, B., Blattner, F.R., Perna, N.T.: Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. Genome research **14**(7), 1394–1403 (2004). doi:10.1101/gr.2289704. 15231754[pmid]

24. Anaconda: Anaconda Software Distribution. Anaconda Inc. (2021). https://docs.anaconda.com/

25. Kurtzer, G.M., Sochat, V., Bauer, M.W.: Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute. PLOS ONE **12**(5), 1–20 (2017). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0177459

26. Wick, R.R., Judd, L.M., Cerdeira, L.T., Hawkey, J., Méric, G., Vezina, B., Wyres, K.L., Holt, K.E.: Trycycler: consensus long-read assemblies for bacterial genomes. Genome Biology **22**(1), 266 (2021). doi:10.1186/s13059-021-02483-z

27. Phan, N.T., Orjuela, J., Danchin, E.G.J., Klopp, C., Perfus-Barbeoch, L., Kozlowski, D.K., Koutsovoulos, G.D., Lopez-Roques, C., Bouchez, O., Zahm, M., Besnard, G., Bellafiore, S.: Genome structure and content of the rice root-knot nematode (meloidogyne graminicola). Ecology and Evolution **10**(20), 11006–11021 (2020). doi:10.1002/ece3.6680. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ece3.6680

28. Mohamed, M., Dang, N.T.-M., Ogyama, Y., Burlet, N., Mugat, B., Boulesteix, M., Mérel, V., Veber, P., Salces-Ortiz, J., Severac, D., Pélisson, A., Vieira, C., Sabot, F., Fablet, M., Chambeyron, S.: A transposon story: From te content to te dynamic invasion of drosophila genomes using the single-molecule sequencing technology from oxford nanopore. Cells **9**(8), 1776 (2020). doi:10.3390/cells9081776. 32722451[pmid]

Figures

[scale=0.25]image-diagrammeculebront.png

Figure 1 A graphical overview of the CulebrONT pipeline features. **A**. A conceptual diagram of the various tasks involved in setting up a custom CulebrONT workflow: setting up workflow steps and parameters, executing and viewing results of CulebrONT. (1) workflow steps and parameters are provided by the user in the *config.yaml*, *tools_path.yaml* and *cluster_config.yaml* files. (2) CulebrONT python class reads, transforms and chains rules to build the corresponding pipeline. (3) Rule execution can be performed sequentially or in parallel, on a single machine or a HPC infrastructure, with concurrent jobs and multi threaded tasks. (4) The results of individual steps are aggregated in a convenient HTML output report. **B**. Simplified diagrams showing the components of an example CulebrONT workflow for assembling a linear genome. In the upper part, the tools used for assembly (blue shape outline) and polishing/correction (yellow and red shape outline) are connected with arrows following the directional flow of the pipeline. In the central part, the various versions of the assembled genomes are gathered (*preparing_fasta_to_quality*) to serve as input for subsequent quality evaluation tools in the lower part. Ultimately, the output is aggregated in a final report.

[scale=0.25]image-report.png

Figure 2 Example of CulebrONT HTML reports. A. Busco statistics for *Acinetobacter sp.* dataset B. Quast for the rice dataset.

Tables

Table 1 Results obtained using CulebrONT on various biological models and assemblers. The "*Organisms*" section describes input reads features, the "*Activated tools*" section lists the tools activated ('yes') in the corresponding CulebrONT run, and a summary of the best results is presented in the "*Best workflow*" row (considering best by the longest N50 and lowest L50 in this study).

Specie		*Acinetobacter baumannii*	*Haemophilus haemolyticus*	*Meloidogyne graminicola*	*Oryza sativa*
Organism	Sample name	ABJ9	HM1C1321	VN18	DJ123
	Kingdom	Bacteria	Bacteria	Nematoda	Plantae
	Genome Size	3.9M	2.1M	35M	380M
	Ht %	-	-	2%	0.20%
	Ploidy	haploid	haploid	haploid	diploid
Reads	Number	69,135	185,001	671,385	930,909
	Read length N50 (bp)	15,130	10,569	9,372	22,328
	Total bases (bp)	741,274,205	1,619,105,604	3,283,238,647	14,599,973,374
	Depth	190X	771X	93X	38X
Activated tools					
	Assemblers				
	Flye	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Raven	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Miniasm	yes	yes	yes	no
	Smartdenovo	yes	yes	yes	no
	Shasta	yes	yes	yes	yes
	Canu	yes	yes	no	no
	Circulatisation	yes	yes	no	no
	Polishers				
	Racon	x2	x2	x2	x3
	Correcteurs				
	Medaka	yes	yes	yes	no
	Nanopolish	no	no	yes	no
	Pilon	no	no	yes	no
Best workflow	Busco score	Miniasm+2xminipolish+Medaka C:98.4%[S:98.4%,D:0%]	Flye+2xRacon+Medaka C:95.2%[S:95.2%,D:0%]	Flye+2xRacon+Medaka C:65.9%[S:64.7%,D:1.2%]	Flye+3xRacon C:95.1%[S:93.7%,D:1.4%]
	Largest contig (bp)	3,798,675	2,175,110	1,984,010	26,745,371
	Contigs number	2	7	617	261
	N50 (bp)	3,798,675	2,052,024	402,454	14,895,042
	L50	1	1	29	10

Additional Files

Additional file 1 of CulebrONT pipeline

Supplemental file descriptions of the CulebrONT implementation.

A

B