Untangling the ecological signal in the dental morphology in the bat superfamily Noctilionoidea

Camilo López-Aguirre[1], Suzanne J Hand[2], Nancy B Simmons[3], Mary T Silcox[1]

[1]Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto Scarborough, Toronto, ON, Canada

[2]Earth & Sustainability Science Research Centre, School of Biological, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

[3]Department of Mammalogy, Division of Vertebrate Zoology, The American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Diet has been linked to the diversification of the bat superfamily Noctilionoidea, a group that underwent an impressive ecological adaptive radiation within Mammalia. For decades, studies have explored morphological adaptations and diversity of noctilionoid bats to reveal macroevolutionary trajectories in their ecological diversity. Surprisingly, despite such interest and recent application of novel techniques, ecomorphological studies have failed to fully resolve the link between diet and a critical component of the feeding apparatus: dental morphology. Using multivariate dental topographic analysis and phylogenetic comparative methods, we examined the phylogenetic, biological and ecological signal in the dental morphology of noctilionoid bats. Analysing the lower first molars of 110 species, we tested the

effect of diet on dental morphology, accounting for three different dimensions of diet (guild,

composition and breadth). Phylogenetic and allometric structuring of the dental topography

data shows it does not respond only to diet, highlighting the need to account for multiple

sources of variation. Frugivorous noctilionoids have sharper molars compared to other

frugivorous mammals. Nectarivorous noctilionoids showed reduced lower molar crown height

and steepness, whereas animalivorous species had larger molars. Dietary composition

suggested that the intensity of exploitation of a resource is also linked to different dimensions

of dental morphology. Large molar area positively correlated with increased carnivory, whereas

crown height and slope correlated positively with insectivory, and negatively with frugivory and

nectarivory. Dietary breadth showed that generalist species had greater molar sharpness and

topographic complexity, whereas specialist herbivores and specialist animalivores fell at

opposite ends in the range of tooth steepness and crown height. Together, the results suggest

that adaptations affecting different attributes of dental morphology likely facilitated the dietary

diversity and specialisation found in Noctilionoidea.

Keywords

Introduction

The bat superfamily Noctilionoidea (order Chiroptera) is one of the most ecologically diverse

groups of modern mammal, having the broadest range of dietary adaptations within Chiroptera

(Rojas et al., 2016). Representing ~17% of modern chiropteran species diversity, noctilionoid

bats encompass the entire dietary range found in the more than 1400 species of bats (Rojas et

al., 2016; Simmons and Cirranello, 2021). Feeding ecology (diet and foraging behaviours) has

been repeatedly linked to the taxonomic and morphological diversification of this group

(Dumont et al., 2012; López-Aguirre et al., 2021a; Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Rojas et al.,

2018; Rossoni et al., 2019). Stemming from an ancestral insectivorous diet, noctilionoid bats

have adapted to feed on blood, seeds, terrestrial vertebrates, fish, fruit, leaves, nectar and

pollen (Rojas et al., 2011). Bat dietary adaptations unique to Noctilionoidea include sanguivory

(i.e. feeding on blood) in the subfamily Desmodontinae, and granivory (i.e. feeding on seeds)

and folivory (i.e. feeding on leaves) in species of the subfamily Stenodermatinae (Duque-

Márquez et al., 2019; Nogueira & Peracchi, 2003; Villalobos-Chaves et al., 2016). Levels of

omnivory across a herbivory-to-carnivory gradient have also been described in Noctilionoidea

and linked to macroevolutionary trajectories during its diversification (Rojas et al., 2018). Most

of noctilionoid dietary diversity is concentrated in the Neotropical family Phyllostomidae, with

the exception of piscivory which is exclusive to the family Noctilionidae, being common in one

species (*Noctilio leporinus*) and perhaps occasionally in another *N. albiventris* (Hood &

Pitocchelli, 1983; Brooke, 1994). Species of the families Furipteridae, Mormoopidae,

Myzopodidae and Thyropteridae are broadly categorised as insectivores (Arbour et al., 2019;

Rojas et al., 2018), whereas species of the family Mystacinidae exhibit a broad omnivorous diet

apparently facilitated by terrestrial locomotion (Arkins et al., 1999). There are multiple

instances of convergent evolution of some dietary adaptations within Noctilionoidea (e.g.

carnivory and nectarivory), highlighting the high ecological adaptability of this group (Datzmann

et al., 2010; Santana & Cheung, 2016).

There are multiple dimensions to the dietary diversity of noctilionoid bats, complicating the

study of its ecomorphological basis. Comparisons across dietary guilds have been the main tool

to quantify morphological differences, reflecting broad similarities across species (e.g. Arbour et

al., 2019; Dumont et al., 2012; Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Santana & Cheung, 2016).

Classifying species into dietary guilds can oversimplify an individual species' diet (Rojas et al.,

2012, 2018), potentially underestimating the variety of food items from different guilds that

species feed on (herein dietary breadth). For example, *Vampyrum spectrum,* commonly

classified as a carnivore, also feeds on insects, fruit and nectar (Rojas et al., 2018; Santana &

Cheung, 2016). Additionally, the extent to which species exploit a given resource represents a

different dimension of the diet of species (herein diet composition), as it reflects levels of niche

partitioning and specialisation across species along a specialisation gradient (Hall et al., *in press*;

Rojas et al., 2018). For example, nectarivory is used to different degrees in phyllostomid species

that are specialist nectarivores (e.g. *Musonycteris harrisoni*), omnivore herbivores (e.g. *Carollia*

perspicillata) and omnivore animalivores (e.g. *Lophostoma silvicolum*), exemplifying different

dietary niches (Hall et al., *in press*; Rojas et al., 2018). Articulating the multidimensionality of

dietary adaptations within a single comparative framework can better elucidate its ecological

and evolutionary drivers (DeCasien et al., 2017; Hall et al., *in press*; Makedonska et al., 2012).

The functional link between the morphological and dietary diversity of extant noctilionoids has

been an active area of research for decades (Arbour et al., 2019; Dumont, 2007; Dumont et al.,

2012; Freeman, 1981, 1988; Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Nogueira et al., 2009; Santana et al.,

2011, 2012; Santana & Cheung, 2016). The main area of study with respect to the relationship

between diet and form in noctilionoids has been the ecomorphology of the skull, with a strong

correspondence being found between aspects of cranial anatomy and elements of the diet

(Arbour et al., 2019; Freeman, 1981; Rossoni et al., 2017, 2019; Santana et al., 2012). Different

morphological adaptations have been linked to the exploitation of specific resources: increased

body size with carnivory (Freeman, 1988; Santana & Cheung, 2016), elongated rostrum with

nectarivory (Arbour et al., 2019; Freeman, 1995), sharpened incisors and reduced post-canine

dentition with sanguivory (Arbour et al., 2019; Freeman, 1988), and u-shaped mandible and

shorter rostrum with durophagy in frugivory (Arbour et al., 2019; Freeman, 1988; Santana et al.,

2012). Moreover, phenotypic specialisations to particular feeding ecologies (e.g. carnivory and

nectarivory) have been found to converge across Noctilionoidea (Arbour et al., 2019; Freeman,

1995; Morales et al., 2019; Santana & Cheung, 2016). As such, foraging strategies represent a

significant driver of phenotypic variation in bats, with feeding ecology correlating with both

cranial and postcranial morphology (Gaudioso et al., 2020; López-Aguirre et al., 2021a; Morales

et al., 2019). An emerging body of research has also recently begun to focus on molecular and

morphological adaptations in sensory systems associated with adaptation to particular dietary

niches (Arbour et al., 2021; Hall et al., *in press*; Leiser-Miller & Santana, 2020).

Despite steady interest in the ecomorphology of diet in bats, dental adaptations and variability

in teeth across extant bats remain poorly known and understudied. Freeman (1984, 1988)

summarized broad patterns of dental adaptations to diet across bats but primarily considered

tooth proportions. Dental traits have often been used to attempt to reconstruct dietary habits

of fossil bats (e.g. Hand, 1985; Hand et al., 2018; Simmons et al., 2016) but mostly in a

qualitative rather than quantitative fashion. Other studies have looked at teeth and variation in

more detail, but often with either very small sample sizes and/or in highly taxonomically

restricted groups. For example, Dumont (1995) evaluated the relationship between enamel

thickness and diet in six species of bats as part of a broader study; Santana et al. (2011)

explored differences in teeth crown occlusal complexity between dietary guilds; Ghazali and

Dzheverin (2013) evaluated correlations between dental form and diet in 9 species of *Myotis*;

and a recent study examined dental variation in species of flying foxes and its correlation to diet

and phylogenetic relationships (Zuercher et al., 2021). In this context, the goal of the current

study was to evaluate dental variation in a much more ecologically diverse clade of bats using

larger sample sizes and accounting for three different dimensions of diet (guild, composition,

and breadth). To accomplish this, we used methods that serve to quantify multiple aspects of

dental variation.

Dental Topographic Analysis (DTA) comprises an increasingly widely used set of metrics that

aim to quantify diet-based dental adaptations (Berthaume et al., 2020; Evans et al., 2007;

Ledogar et al., 2013; Selig et al., 2021; Ungar et al., 2018). Originally based on geographic

information system analysis (e.g., Ungar & M'Kirera, 2003; Evans et al., 2007), DTA has

revolutionised the understanding of how the dentition adapts to the biomechanical properties

of foodstuff (Bunn et al., 2011; Ledogar et al., 2013; Melstrom & Irmis, 2019; P. S. Ungar et al.,

2018). A robust set of DTA metrics has been developed in recent decades, allowing

quantification of varying aspects of a complex adaptive landscape (Berthaume et al., 2019a,

2020; Boyer, 2008; Cooke, 2011; Evans et al., 2007; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017; Winchester et

al., 2014). In contrast to geometric morphometrics (another widespread set of morphometric

tools), DTA is homology-free, facilitating comparison among a wide range of taxa (Berthaume et

al., 2020; Melstrom & Irmis, 2019; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017). Dental topographic analysis was

developed and has been used primarily to study mammal dentitions, most regularly applied to

study Primates (e.g., Berthaume et al., 2020; Boyer, 2008; Bunn et al., 2011; Evans et al., 2007;

Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017). Comparative studies of modern taxa have successfully elucidated

patterns of variation across mammal clades reflecting dietary specialisations (Evans et al., 2007;

Lazzari et al., 2008; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017; Selig et al., 2019). General predictive patterns

also posit ecological and evolutionary drivers for common mammalian patterns (Selig et al.,

2021). Dental topography has also been an informative tool to reconstruct the diet of extinct

mammals and to discover and document macroecological patterns (López-Torres et al., 2018;

Prufrock et al., 2016; Selig et al., 2020; Ungar, 2004). In bats, DTA has only been applied in two

studies (López-Aguirre et al., 2021b; Santana et al., 2011). Santana et al. (2011) explored

differences between bat insectivores, omnivores and herbivores, finding higher topographic

complexity in frugivores. Comparisons of values of DTA in modern bats to reconstruct the diet

of the fossil species *Notonycteris magdalenensis* suggests DTA can reflect the diet of bats

(López-Aguirre et al., 2021b). These studies revealed an increase in dental complexity and

sharpness in frugivorous noctilionoids and a decrease in species with liquid diets (i.e.

nectarivory and sanguivory). However, broader patterns that indicate common pathways of

dental morphological adaptation to exploit different dietary resources largely remain to be

explored in bats.

In this study we aimed to trace the ecomorphological connection between dental morphology

and diet in noctilionoid bats. Using a battery of DTA metrics, we quantified aspects of the

dental morphology for 110 noctilionoid species representing the range and multidimensionality

of dietary adaptations. Three-dimensional models of lower first molars were analysed within a

multivariate statistical framework using phylogenetic comparative methods, accounting for the

effect of evolutionary kinship and body mass in our data. We represented diet in three

dimensions (guild, composition and breadth) and tested how dental morphology is related to

each parameter. Considering the repeated evolution of various noctilionoid lineages to occupy

the same dietary guilds, we hypothesised that 1) bat dental morphology does not have strong

phylogenetic structuring; 2) noctilionoid bats show a significant allometric effect on dental

morphology, following a general mammalian pattern in molar size (Billet & Bardin, 2021); 3)

topographic complexity increases in guilds representing biomechanically demanding diets (i.e.

frugivory and animalivory); and 4) dietary composition will reveal patterns of variation in the

DTA metrics that reflect the level of intensity with which species exploit different food items. In

particular, we predicted that we would find higher values of OPCR and DNE in animalivore and

frugivore diets and higher values of RFI and slope in species with liquid diets. Given that most

species are known to feed on a variety of resources (despite extreme diet-based morphological

specialisations), we did not expect to see a pattern in DTA in response to dietary breadth.

Methods

Sample composition

Our sample consisted of 90 specimens representing 85 noctilionoid species (Supplementary

Table 1). Data for an additional 25 species were retrieved from the literature to complete a

total of 115 samples and 110 species. Species were represented by one to five specimens, with

average values being used in analyses for species represented by more than one specimen. We

sampled all noctilionoid families (Furipteridae, Mormoopidae, Mystacinidae, Myzopodidae,

Noctilionidae, Phyllostomidae and Thyropteridae), 44% of currently recognized noctilionoid

species and the entire dietary range found in Chiroptera (Fig. 1). The majority of μCT scans of

specimens were retrieved from the Digitizing Extant Bat Diversity Project (Shi et al., 2018) and

more generally from MorphoSource. To complement our sampling of rare lineages (e.g.

Myzopodidae), additional specimens were sourced from Digimorph (Supplementary Table 1).

Data we retrieved from the literature were extracted from specimens that were also sourced

from (Shi et al., 2018), ensuring that the imaging protocols were generally consistent across our

sample. Only specimens without excessive wear (no dentine exposed in occlusal view) were

selected. Each specimen was represented by a single lower left first molar (m1). Lower first

molars have been proposed as an effective proxy to test dietary diversity in bats based on DTA

(Berthaume et al., 2020). Freeware 3D Slicer was used to process raw scan data and to segment

individual m1s (Fedorov et al., 2012). Meshlab was used to process 3D meshes of individual

m1s (Cignoni et al., 2008), cropping each crown below the cingulid (following established

protocols for DTA in mammals and bats) (Berthaume et al., 2019b). To standardise our 3D

models, individual meshes were simplified to 10,000 faces and smoothed using 3 iterations of

the Laplacian Smoothing filter in Meshlab (Berthaume et al., 2019b).

Figure 1

Dental morphology quantification

Data for five DTA metrics were collected (Fig. 2): Dirichlet Normal Energy (DNE, Bunn et al.,

2011), Orientated Patch Count Rotated (OPCR, Evans et al., 2007), Relief Index (RFI, Boyer,

2008), slope (Ungar & M'Kirera, 2003) and molar area (MS). Dirichlet Normal Energy uses

changes in normal vectors generated for individual faces in the mesh to quantify curvature of

the crown (Bunn et al., 2011). Higher values of DNE are usually associated with greater shearing

capacity and animalivory in mammals (Ledogar et al., 2013, 2018; López-Torres et al., 2018;

Selig et al., 2021). Oriented Patch Count Rotated estimates surface complexity by quantifying

the number of clusters of faces (i.e. patches) in the mesh that are similarly oriented in space

(Evans et al., 2007). High OPCR values are interpreted as a representing a greater set of tools

for processing biomechanically demanding diets (e.g. folivory and animalivory, (Evans et al.,

2007)). Relief Index is the ratio of 3D-to-2D area of the tooth crown, representing an index of

crown height (Allen et al., 2015; Boyer, 2008; Cooke, 2011). Low RFI values associated with flat

tooth crowns have been found in mammalian frugivores and high RFI values with tall cusps in

folivores and animalivores (Pampush, Spradley, et al., 2016). Slope is the mean slope across the

surface in occlusal view, averaging the slope of all faces of the mesh (P. S. Ungar & M'Kirera,

2003). Molar area was estimated as the 2D surface area of a mesh. All DTA metrics were

calculated using the R package *molaR* (Pampush, Winchester, et al., 2016).

Figure 2

Body mass and dietary data acquisition

Body mass of species was compiled from the literature and averages were used in further

studies (Giannini et al., 2020; Moyers Arévalo et al., 2018). Noctilionoid bats show the greatest

range of dietary adaptations among mammals (Arbour et al., 2019; Rossoni et al., 2017), but

also a high degree of plasticity, with species feeding on a variety of items (Rojas et al., 2011,

2012, 2018). To capture the dietary complexity of noctilionoid bats, we quantified three

dimensions of dietary specialisation: 1) guild, 2) composition and 3) breadth. Species were

assigned to one of seven dietary guilds previously used to study dietary adaptations in bats (e.g.

by Arbour et al., 2019; Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Rossoni et al., 2019), grouping species

based on broad dietary similarities: carnivory, frugivory, insectivory, nectarivory, omnivory,

piscivory and sanguivory. Use of dietary guilds alone can oversimplify the diets of species that

differ in the intensity of exploitation of a given item (e.g. strict frugivores versus facultative

frugivores) and the variety of food items exploited (Rojas et al., 2018). Therefore, we also

gathered data on dietary composition and dietary breadth. For dietary composition, food items

were classified into seven categories: arthropods, blood, terrestrial vertebrates, fish, flower

pieces, pollen and nectar, fruit and seeds (Rojas et al., 2011, 2012, 2018). For each species, the

relative importance of each food item was codified as either: absent (one), complementary

(two), predominant (three), or exclusive (four), following Rojas et al. (2011, 2012, 2018).

Quantifying diet composition of each species and the relative importance of each item allows

the comparison of species across a range of intensity of exploitation of a given resource. Finally,

dietary breath was compiled as the marginality index developed by (Rojas et al., 2018) based on

the diet composition data described above. This marginality index places species within a range

of values between zero and one, representing a gradient of specialisation from herbivory to

carnivory. Species with values close to zero indicate a specialised herbivore diet, values close to

one indicate a specialised animalivore diet, whereas species with values close to 0.5 represent

generalist species capable of both herbivory and animalivory. Marginality calculates diet as a

continuous numerical variable that can be included as a factor in statistical analyses that can

facilitate the reconstruction of an ecological transition from strict herbivory to strict

animalivory. A caveat with this approach is that it obscures dietary subguilds at the extremes of

the range of marginality values (e.g. frugivory and nectarivory within herbivory). Data for

dietary composition and breadth were retrieved from (Rojas et al., 2011, 2012, 2018), whereas

dietary guild data were retrieved from (Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Rossoni et al., 2019).

Statistical analyses

We used the phylogeny of (Shi & Rabosky, 2015) to test the effect of evolutionary kinship in our

data, pruning the phylogeny to match our sample composition. We tested for the presence of

phylogenetic signal using the K–statistic implemented in the "phylosig" function in the R

package *phytools* (Revell, 2012). Before testing the effect of body mass on dental topography,

we first tested for autocorrelation between body mass and diet using a phylogenetic linear

model (log(BM)~Marginality). A weak but significant correlation was found, marginality

explaining less than 4% of variation in body mass (R^2= 0.031, *P*= 0.035). In light of this result, all

further analyses were performed with log-transformed body mass. Phylogenetic ANOVAs were

used to test for statistical differences in DTA metrics across dietary guilds using the

"procD.pgls" function in the R package *Geomorph* (Adams et al., 2013). Tukey post hoc tests

were used to further explore pairwise differences between dietary guilds, using a Bonferroni

correction to account for autocorrelation between multiple comparisons. Boxplots were

generated to visually explore patterns of variation in DTA metrics across guilds. A principal

components analysis was performed to explore multivariate patterns of variation in DTA,

reducing the dimensionality of our data. Additionally, we performed a phylogenetic principal

component analysis to account for the phylogenetic structuring (i.e. significant phylogenetic

signal) of our data, using the "phyl.pca" function in *phytools* (Revell, 2012). We calculated the

dental topographic disparity (quantified as the sum of variances across DTA metrics) per guild

and tested for significant differences across guilds using the R package *dispRity* (Guillerme,

2018). Per guild sum of variances across DTA metrics was used as a disparity metric and a

Wilcoxon test was applied for pairwise comparisons across guilds with a Bonferroni correction.

Next, the association between diet composition and dental morphology was assessed. Dietary

composition (measured as the relative importance of a given resource) allowed us to test how

DTA metrics may differentially correlate with specialisation for feeding on a particular type of

resource. We focused on dietary guilds that show a range in the intensity of exploitation of the

resource that characterises that guild (i.e. carnivory, insectivory, frugivory and nectarivory).

Phylogenetic regression models were used to quantify the relative association of each DTA

metric with increasing exploitation of a given resource. The relative importance of each DTA

metric in each model was estimated using the lmg metric implemented in the "relaimpo"

function in the R package *relaimpo* (Groemping, 2006). The lmg metric deconstructs the

variance explained by a model, averaging the marginal contribution of each predictor over all

orderings of predictors (Groemping, 2006). Barplots were used to visualise the relative

importance of each DTA metric for the increasing exploitation of a resource. Finally, we

analysed the influence of dietary breadth on dental morphology. Phylogenetic regression

models were used to discern the relative effect of body mass and dietary breadth (marginality)

on each DTA metric (log(DTA)~log(BM)+Marginality), using the "procD.pgls" function in

Geomorph (Adams et al., 2013). Biplots were used to visualise patterns of association between

DTA metrics and marginality.

Results

Differences across dietary guilds

A significant phylogenetic signal was detected for all five DTA metrics, OPCR showing the weakest signal and RFI the strongest (Table 1). Boxplots revealed differences in DTA metrics between dietary guilds (Fig. 3 left column). Dirichlet Normal Energy was markedly high in the piscivorous species, followed by frugivorous, insectivorous, omnivorous and carnivorous species and lower in species with liquid diets (i.e. nectarivorous and sanguivorous, Fig. 3). A similar pattern was found in OPCR values, with the piscivore showing similar values to carnivores, frugivores and insectivores, and with lower values found in species with liquid diets (Fig. 3). In contrast, sanguivorous and piscivorous species showed the highest values of RFI and slope, whereas the lowest values for those two metrics were found in frugivorous species, followed by nectarivorous species (Fig. 3). Molar area was noticeably greater in carnivorous and piscivorous species and lower in species with liquid diets (Fig. 3).

Figure 3

Phylogenetic ANOVAs indicated statistically significant differences between dietary guilds across all DTA metrics (Table 2). Pairwise comparisons revealed slope and RFI in frugivorous species were significantly different from all other guilds, whereas OPCR and DNE in species with liquid diets were significantly different from all other guilds with solid diets (Supplementary Table 2).

Dental topographic disparity was found to be higher in noctilionoid omnivores and frugivores

and lower in nectarivores and sanguivores (Fig. 4). Wilcoxon pairwise comparisons showed

statistical differences in disparity between all guilds but not between frugivores and omnivores

(Table 3).

Figure 4

The first two principal components of dental morphospace (based on principal component

analysis) explained 83.79% of variation (Fig. 5 left). Piscivorous and sanguivorous species were

the only taxa occupying non-overlapping subspaces, whereas frugivores showed the greatest

dispersion across morphospace and the greatest overlap with other guilds. Low values of

Principal Component 1 were correlated with high values of OPCR and DNE, separating species

that feed on vertebrates (i.e. piscivory and carnivory) from those with liquid diets. High values

of Principal Component 2 were associated with low values of slope and RFI. A similar pattern

was found in phylomorphospace (based on phylogenetic principal component analysis), with

the first two components explaining 78.52% of variation (Fig. 5 right) and the same pattern of

correlation with the various DTA metrics.

Figure 5

Dietary composition and level of specialisation

Comparing dental morphology along trajectories of increasing importance of a given resource

in a species' diet showed decoupled patterns across DTA metrics (Fig. 6). Mean DNE values

increased with the increasing importance of carnivory, frugivory and insectivory, and mean

values decreased as nectarivory increased. Values of OPCR increased as degrees of carnivory

and frugivory increased, remained stable across varying relative amounts of insectivory and

values decreased as nectarivory increased. Values of RFI and slope increased as amounts of

carnivory and insectivory increased and both values and slope decreased as degree of frugivory

and nectarivory decreased. Molar area showed a dramatic increase with increasing levels of

carnivory, remained relatively stable for differing degrees of frugivory and insectivory, and

decreased with increasing nectarivory. Relief Index had the greatest relative importance in our

models of increasing specialisation to frugivory, insectivory and nectarivory (Fig. 7). Molar area

was the most highly correlated metric with specialising carnivory, followed by RFI. Slope was

the second-most highly correlated metric with specialising to frugivory and insectivory, whereas

DNE and slope were equally correlated to specialising to nectarivory. Phylogenetic regression

models confirmed the diverging association of different DTA metrics with specialisation for

different diets (Supplementary Table 3).

Figure 6

Effect of dietary breadth

Phylogenetic linear models revealed a significant effect of body mass and marginality (degree of

herbivory vs animalivory) on all DTA metrics, with body mass explaining on average 19.94% of

variation and marginality explaining 20.37% (Table 4). Body mass correlated more strongly with

molar area (explaining 38.86% of variation), whereas marginality correlated more strongly with

RFI and slope (explaining 39.91% and 25.74% of variation, respectively). Slope and BM did not

significantly correlate with body mass and marginality, respectively. Biplots show the strong

correspondence between RFI and slope and marginality, with specialist herbivores having the

lowest values of both metrics and specialist animalivores the highest values (Fig. 3).

Figure 7

Discussion

Multivariate DTA strongly supports a functional link between dental phenotypic variation and

dietary complexity in Noctilionoidea. Statistically significant allometry and phylogenetic signal

indicate dental morphology is also influenced by body mass and evolutionary relatedness,

contrary to our prediction of a lack of phylogenetic structuring. After controlling for

phylogenetic structuring and allometry, retrieved patterns of DTA variation showed differential

effects of dietary guild, composition and breadth, suggesting there are different evolutionary

pathways for dental morphology to facilitate and/or reflect diet. Differences in DTA metrics

across dietary guilds reflect biomechanical differences between diets (e.g. liquid diets vs. solid

diets). Higher OPCR and DNE in frugivorous and animalivorous species supported our

hypothesis of increased complexity and sharpness in biomechanically demanding diets. RFI and

slope were the variables most highly correlated with specialisation for insectivory, frugivory, or

nectarivory, whereas molar area was found to be the main covariate associated with carnivory

in noctilionoid bats. Contrary to our prediction, aspects of dental morphology correlated with

dietary breadth, RFI and occlusal slope showing the most significant associations, with values

that decrease as diet narrows to specialise for herbivory and increase as diet narrows to

specialise for animalivory.

Differences between dietary guilds

Consistent with previous studies of DTA in bats, we found a significant phylogenetic and

ecological signal in dental morphology, reflecting both dietary adaptations and evolutionary

relatedness (López-Aguirre et al., 2021b). Differences in dental morphology across noctilionoid

bats revealed biomechanical similarities in foodstuff processing within dietary guilds, following

patterns previously reported in other bats and mammals (López-Aguirre et al., 2021b; Pineda-

Munoz et al., 2017; Santana et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014). General patterns in diet-

based variation in DNE among mammals typically suggest a negative correlation between DNE

and frugivory, and an increase in DNE in omnivorous and insectivorous taxa (Berthaume et al.,

2019a; Fulwood et al.,2021; Winchester et al., 2014). Our finding that values of DNE are instead

broadly similar in frugivorous, insectivorous and omnivorous noctilionoid bats confirms

previous findings from a smaller sample of species (López-Aguirre et al., 2021b), indicating a

clear deviation from the general mammalian pattern in these bats (Fulwood et al., 2021; Pérez-

Ramos et al., 2020; Winchester et al., 2014). This suggests a unique pattern morphological

adaptation to frugivory in bats, at least in the dentition.

Dirichlet Normal Energy has usually been interpreted as a measure of tooth sharpness, so our

findings suggest frugivorous noctilionoids have sharper molars than the average frugivorous

mammal. We hypothesise that the reduced capacity that bats have to handle fruits with their

forelimbs and the fact they feed either perched upside down or during flight represented a

selective pressure for sharper molars to optimise food processing, due to a reduced potential

for pre-ingestion foodstuff processing (Aguirre et al., 2003; Santana et al., 2011; Santana &

Dumont, 2009). However, to our knowledge our study is only the second one to investigate

DNE in bats, so further studies are required to determine if this is a chiropteran feature or

something specific to noctilionoids.

Higher dental complexity has been proposed as a common adaptation for frugivory in bats

(López-Aguirre et al., 2021b; Santana et al., 2011), contrary to recent studies that have found an

opposite pattern in ursid carnivorans and strepsirrhine primates (Fulwood et al., 2021; Pérez-

Ramos et al., 2020). Our results support the general chiropteran pattern of higher dental

complexity in frugivores, as indicated by our results of OPCR in noctilionoid bats. Values of RFI

increased on the scale from herbivory to animalivory, similar to previous findings in primates.

Values calculated for RFI were higher in nectarivorous bats than in frugivorous bats, which

would seem to be in opposition to the hypothesis that high RFI necessarily means more

mastication surface area by Pineda-Munoz et al. (2017). Additionally, we found high slope and

RFI showed a marked association with liquid diets, confirming previous findings in bats (López-

Aguirre et al., 2021b). Reduction of size in postcanine dentition has been proposed as a

common adaptation in mammals specialised to different liquid diets (e.g. sanguivory and

exudativory; (Burrows et al., 2020)). Compared to gummivore and exudativore primates

(Burrows et al., 2020; Burrows & Nash, 2010; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017), noctilionoid bats with

liquid diets have laterally compressed molars, which reduces the 2D area of the crown and

inflates RFI and slope (see Fig. 2).

In terms of dental disparity, the significantly greater dental variability in frugivorous and

omnivorous noctilionoid bats showcases the extreme phenotypic diversity found associated

with frugivory, reflecting previous findings supporting the importance that shifts to feeding on

fruit apparently had on morphological and taxonomic diversification of bats (Arbour et al.,

2019; Monteiro & Nogueira, 2011; Rojas et al., 2012; Rossoni et al., 2019). Overall, our results

reveal noctilionoid bats do not follow the general mammalian pattern of OPCR variation across

dietary guilds. Further, DNE in noctilionoid frugivores and RFI and slope in species with liquid

diets showed deviations from the previous findings in mammals.

404   DTA and dietary composition

Patterns of DTA based on the intensity of exploitation of different resources supported our

hypothesis that dietary composition, in addition to putative guild, has an effect on dental

morphology. Increasing values of DNE in species that rely more on fruit supports our previous

findings that frugivorous noctilionoids tend to have sharper molars, possibly to optimise food

handling (López-Aguirre et al., 2021b). This seems to be correlated with the evolution of a labial

rim on the molars, formed by the displacement of the paracone and metacone from a central

position in the occlusal surface of the molar (i.e. dilambdodonty) to the labial edge of the tooth,

a trait which is found in specialised frugivore noctilionoids (Freeman, 1988). Decreasing values

of DNE and OPCR associated with increasing amounts of nectarivory also support our prediction

that species with liquid diets have less biomechanically demanding food processing needs, in

accordance with previous findings (Freeman, 1995). All DTA metrics tended to increase with

intensified carnivory, suggesting that evolution of specialized carnivory represents a

multifaceted adaptation process, rather than encompassing change in a single dimension of

dental morphology that facilitated the colonisation of this dietary niche (Freeman, 1988;

Norberg & Fenton, 1988; Santana & Cheung, 2016). The difference in the relative importance of

DTA metrics in our different models of intensifying exploitation showed decoupled patterns of

ecomorphological adaptation. Molar area explaining the greatest proportion of variance in the

model of intensifying carnivory reflects previous findings on the importance of increased body

size for the adoption of carnivory in Chiroptera (Freeman, 1988; Norberg & Fenton, 1988;

Santana & Cheung, 2016). It has been suggested that increased body size was the primary

adaption required for carnivory during the evolution of noctilionoid bats (Freeman, 1988;

Giannini et al., 2020; Norberg & Fenton, 1988), predating other dental or skeletal adaptations

(López-Aguirre et al., 2021b). Relief Index had the highest explicatory power in our models of

intensifying frugivory, insectivory and nectarivory, suggesting that crown height had high

evolutionary lability with changes in diet during the diversification of Chiroptera (Freeman,

1981, 1988, 1995). Slope had the second-highest explicatory power in our models of

intensifying frugivory and insectivory, possibly reflecting opposite extremes of crown steepness,

with that feature increasing with greater insectivory and decreasing with greater frugivory. It is

interesting that DNE had the second-highest explicatory power in our model of intensifying

nectarivory, suggesting that reduction in molar sharpness played a major role during the

adoption of nectarivory in Noctilionoidea.

DTA and dietary breadth

Contrary to our prediction, all DTA metrics with the exception of molar area showed a

significant association with dietary breadth, revealing that the diversity in species' diets is also

reflected in dental morphology. Nevertheless, the variation in the strength and direction of the

association across DTA metrics indicates different pathways shaping phenotypic adaptions to

generalist and specialist diets. The stronger linear correlation between slope, RFI and

marginality points to a common path of specialisation for herbivory on the one hand and

animalivory on the other. The pattern of values of slope and RFI decreasing in species with

narrow herbivorous diets and increasing in those that have narrow animalivorous diets

underscore previous findings regarding dietary guilds and diet composition (López-Aguirre et

al., 2021b). Wider molar occlusal surfaces are commonly found in frugivorous noctilionoids

(particularly in the subfamily Stenodermatinae, comprised largely of specialised frugivores),

whereas taller and steeper molar crowns are characteristic of animalivorous bats (Freeman,

1981, 1988, 1995; Santana & Cheung, 2016). Biplots of marginality and DNE, OPCR and molar

area suggest higher values of these three metrics in species with broader diets (i.e. generalist

omnivores), explaining the weaker linear correlation between the three metrics and

marginality. More omnivorous species face the challenge of consuming a wider range of

foodstuffs that present a myriad of biomechanical challenges (Aguirre et al., 2003; Santana et

al., 2011; Santana & Dumont, 2009). Higher DNE and OPCR values suggest that omnivory exerts

a functional selective pressure towards increased molar sharpness and topographic complexity

(Rojas et al., 2018). We hypothesise that increased DNE and OPCR equip generalist species with

the dental tools necessary to process a variety of foods with different biomechanical properties.

Combined, our results suggest that variability in RFI and slope provide evolutionary pathways to

specialist diets, whereas increases in DNE and OPCR represent adaptations to omnivory.

Conclusions

Using phylogenetic comparative methods and multivariate DTA, we deconstructed variation in

noctilionoid dental morphology into its phylogenetic, ecological and biological components. Our

finding of significant phylogenetic and allometric structuring in DTA indicates that dental

topography does not respond only to diet-related functional demands, but also to phylogenetic

relatedness, highlighting the need to account for multiple sources of variation. Our results

suggest frugivorous noctilionoids have sharper molars (higher DNE values) than expected

compared with other frugivorous mammals, that species with liquid diets show increased molar

crown height and steepness, and that animalivorous noctilionoids have relatively larger molars

than herbivorous taxa. We also recovered DTA adaptations to dietary composition, revealing

that the intensity of exploitation of a resource also correlates with dental morphology. Molar

area was the trait most strongly associated with carnivory, whereas RFI and slope explained the

greatest variation in our models of increasing insectivory, frugivory and nectarivory. Finally,

generalist noctilionoids were found to have higher DNE and OPCR, whereas the range of RFI

and slope values revealed a base point for the transitions to specialist herbivory and specialist

animalivory. Incorporating data on biomechanical properties of food items (e.g. item hardness

and size) in futures studies could further elucidate still unexplored patterns of dental variation

in bats.

References

References

Adams, D. C., Otárola-Castillo, E., & Paradis, E. (2013). Geomorph: An R package for the

collection and analysis of geometric morphometric shape data. *Methods in Ecology and*

Evolution, *4*(4), 393–399. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.12035

Aguirre, L. F., Herrel, A., Van Damme, R., & Matthysen, E. (2003). The Implications of Food

Hardness for Diet in Bats. *Functional Ecology*, *17*(2), 201–212.

Allen, K. L., Cooke, S. B., Gonzales, L. A., & Kay, R. F. (2015). Dietary Inference from Upper and

Lower Molar Morphology in Platyrrhine Primates. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), e0118732.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118732

Arbour, J. H., Curtis, A. A., & Santana, S. E. (2019). Signatures of echolocation and dietary

ecology in the adaptive evolution of skull shape in bats. *Nat Commun*, *10*(1), 2036.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09951-y

Arbour, J. H., Curtis, A. A., & Santana, S. E. (2021). Sensory adaptations reshaped intrinsic

factors underlying morphological diversification in bats. *BMC Biology*, *19*(1), 88.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-021-01022-3

Giannini, N. P., Amador, L. I., & Moyers Arévalo, R. L. (2020). The Evolution of Body Size in

Noctilionoid Bats. In T. H. Fleming, L. M. Dávalos, & M. A. R. Mello (Eds.), *Phyllostomid*

Bats: A Unique Mammalian Radiation (p. 123).

Arkins, A. M., Winnington, A. P., Anderson, S., & Clout, M. N. (1999). Diet and nectarivorous

foraging behaviour of the short-tailed bat (Mystacina tuberculata). *Journal of Zoology*,

247(2), 183–187. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1999.tb00982.x

Berthaume, M. A., Lazzari, V., & Guy, F. (2020). The landscape of tooth shape: Over 20 years of

dental topography in primates. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews*,

n/a(n/a). https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.21856

Berthaume, M. A., Winchester, J., & Kupczik, K. (2019a). Ambient occlusion and PCV (portion de

ciel visible): A new dental topographic metric and proxy of morphological wear

resistance. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(5), e0215436. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215436

Berthaume, M. A., Winchester, J., & Kupczik, K. (2019b). Effects of cropping, smoothing, triangle

count, and mesh resolution on 6 dental topographic metrics. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(5),

e0216229. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216229

Billet, G., & Bardin, J. (2021). Segmental Series and Size: Clade-Wide Investigation of Molar

Proportions Reveals a Major Evolutionary Allometry in the Dentition of Placental

Mammals. *Systematic Biology*, *syab007*. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syab007

Boyer, D. (2008). Relief index of second mandibular molars is a correlate of diet among

prosimian primates and other euarchontan mammals. *Journal of Human Evolution*, *55 6*,

1118–1137.

Brooke, A. P. (1994). Diet of the Fishing Bat, Noctilio leporinus (Chiroptera: Noctilionidae).

Journal of Mammalogy, *75*(1), 212–218. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382253

Bunn, J. M., Boyer, D. M., Lipman, Y., St Clair, E. M., Jernvall, J., & Daubechies, I. (2011).

Comparing Dirichlet normal surface energy of tooth crowns, a new technique of molar

shape quantification for dietary inference, with previous methods in isolation and in

combination. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, *145*(2), 247–261.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.21489

Burrows, A. M., & Nash, L. T. (2010). Searching for Dental Signals of Exudativory in Galagos. In

A. M. Burrows & L. T. Nash (Eds.), *The Evolution of Exudativory in Primates* (pp. 211–

233). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-6661-2_11

Burrows, A. M., Nash, L. T., Hartstone-Rose, A., Silcox, M. T., López-Torres, S., & Selig, K. R.

(2020). Dental Signatures for Exudativory in Living Primates, with Comparisons to Other

Gouging Mammals. *The Anatomical Record*, *303*(2), 265–281.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.24048

Cignoni, P., Callieri, M., Corsini, M., Dellepiane, M., Ganovelli, F., & Ranzuglia, G. (2008).

MeshLab: An Open-Source Mesh Processing Tool. Eurographics Italian Chapter

Conference.

Cooke, S. B. (2011). Paleodiet of extinct platyrrhines with emphasis on the Caribbean forms:

Three-dimensional geometric morphometrics of mandibular second molars. *Anat Rec*

(Hoboken), *294*(12), 2073–2091. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.21502

Datzmann, T., von Helversen, O., & Mayer, F. (2010). Evolution of nectarivory in phyllostomid

bats (Phyllostomidae Gray, 1825, Chiroptera: Mammalia). *BMC Evol Biol*, *10*(165).

DeCasien, A. R., Williams, S. A., & Higham, J. P. (2017). Primate brain size is predicted by diet

but not sociality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, *1*(5), 0112.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0112

Dumont, E. R. (2007). Feeding mechanisms in bats: Variation within the constraints of flight.

Integrative and Comparative Biology, *47*(1), 137–146.

https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icm007

Dumont, E. R. (1995). Enamel thickness and dietary adaptation among extant primates and

chiropterans. *Journal of Mammalogy, 76*: 1127-1136.

Dumont, E. R., Davalos, L. M., Goldberg, A., Santana, S. E., Rex, K., & Voigt, C. C. (2012).

Morphological innovation, diversification and invasion of a new adaptive zone. *Proc Biol*

Sci, 279(1734), 1797–1805. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.2005

Duque-Márquez, A., Ruiz-Ramoni, D., Ramoni-Perazzi, P., & Muñoz-Romo, M. (2019). Bat

Folivory in Numbers: How Many, How Much, and How Long? *Acta Chiropterologica*.

https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US202000065528

Evans, A. R., Wilson, G. P., Fortelius, M., & Jernvall, J. (2007). High-level similarity of dentitions

in carnivorans and rodents. *Nature, 445*(7123), 78–81.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05433

Fedorov, A., Beichel, R., Kalpathy-Cramer, J., Finet, J., Fillion-Robin, J. C., Pujol, S., Bauer, C.,

Jennings, D., Fennessy, F., Sonka, M., Buatti, J., Aylward, S., Miller, J. V., Pieper, S., &

Kikinis, R. (2012). 3D Slicer as an image computing platform for the Quantitative Imaging

Network. *Magn Reson Imaging, 30*(9), 1323–1341.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2012.05.001

Freeman, P. W. (1981). Correspondence of Food Habits and Morphology in Insectivorous Bats.

Mammalogy Papers: University of Nebraska State Museum.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/museummammalogy/17

Freeman, P.W. (1984). Functional cranial analysis of large animalivorous bats (Microchiroptera).

Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 21: 387–408.

Freeman, P. W. (1988). Frugivorous and animalivorous bats (Microchiroptera): Dental and

cranial adaptations. *Mammalogy Papers: University of Nebraska State Museum*.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/museummammalogy/21

Freeman, P. W. (1995). Nectarivorous feeding mechanisms in bats. *Biological Journal of the*

Linnean Society, *56*(3), 439–463. https://doi.org/10.1006/bijl.1995.0080

Fulwood, E. L., Shan, S., Winchester, J. M., Gao, T., Kirveslahti, H., Daubechies, I., & Boyer, D. M.

(2021). Reconstructing dietary ecology of extinct strepsirrhines (Primates, Mammalia)

with new approaches for characterizing and analyzing tooth shape. *Paleobiology*, 1–20.

https://doi.org/10.1017/pab.2021.9

Gaudioso, P. J., Martínez, J. J., Barquez, R. M., & Díaz, M. M. (2020). Evolution of scapula shape

in several families of bats (Chiroptera, Mammalia). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and*

Evolutionary Research, *n/a*(n/a). https://doi.org/10.1111/jzs.12383

Ghazali, M., & Dzeverin, I. (2013). Correlations between hardness of food and craniodental

traits in nine *Myotis* species (Chiroptera, Vespertilionidae). *Вестник зоологии*.

Groemping, U. (2006). Relative Importance for Linear Regression in R: The Package relaimpo.

Journal of Statistical Software, *17*(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v017.i01

Guillerme, T. (2018). dispRity: A modular R package for measuring disparity. *Methods in Ecology*

and Evolution, *9*(7), 1755–1763. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13022

Hall, R. P., Mutumi, G. L., Hedrick, B. P., Yohe, L. R., Sadier, A., Davies, K. T. J., Rossiter, S. J.,

Sears, K., Dávalos, L. M., & Dumont, E. R. *in press*. Find the Food First: An Omnivorous

Sensory Morphotype Predates Biomechanical Specialization for Plant Based Diets in

Phyllostomid Bats. *Evolution*. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14270

Hand, S.J. (1985). New Miocene megadermatids (Chiroptera: Megadermatidae) from Australia

with comments on megadermatid phylogenetics. Australian Mammalogy 8: 5–43.

Hand, S. J., Beck, R. M. D., Archer, M., Simmons, N. B., Gunnell, G. F., Scofield, R. P., Tennyson,

A. J. D., De Pietri, V. L., Salisbury, S. W., & Worthy, T. H. (2018). A new, large-bodied

omnivorous bat (Noctilionoidea: Mystacinidae) reveals lost morphological and

ecological diversity since the Miocene in New Zealand. *Scientific Reports*, *8*(1), 235.

Hood, C.S., & Pitocchelli, J. (1983). *Noctilio albiventris*. *Mammalian Species* 197: 1-5

Lazzari, V., Charles, C., Tafforeau, P., Vianey-Liaud, M., Aguilar, J.-P., Jaeger, J.-J., Michaux, J., &

Viriot, L. (2008). Mosaic Convergence of Rodent Dentitions. *PLoS ONE*, *3*(10), e3607.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003607

Ledogar, J. A., Luk, T. H. Y., Perry, J. M. G., Neaux, D., & Wroe, S. (2018). Biting mechanics and

niche separation in a specialized clade of primate seed predators. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(1),

e0190689. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190689

Ledogar, J. A., Winchester, J. M., St. Clair, E. M., & Boyer, D. M. (2013). Diet and dental

topography in pitheciine seed predators. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*,

150(1), 107–121. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22181

Leiser-Miller, L. B., & Santana, S. E. (2020). Morphological Diversity in the Sensory System of

Phyllostomid Bats: Implications for Acoustic and Dietary Ecology. *Functional Ecology*, 34:

1416– 1427. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13561

López-Aguirre, C., Hand, S. J., Koyabu, D., Tu, V. T., & Wilson, L. A. B. (2021a). Phylogeny and

foraging behaviour shape modular morphological variation in bat humeri. *Journal of*

Anatomy, *238*(6), 1312–1329. https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13380

López-Aguirre, C., Czaplewski, N. J., Link, A., Takai, M., & Hand, S. J. (2021b). Dietary and body-

mass reconstruction of the Miocene neotropical bat *Notonycteris magdalenensis*

(Phyllostomidae) from La Venta, Colombia. *Paleobiology*, 1-17. doi:10.1017/pab.2021.21

López-Torres, S., Selig, K. R., Prufrock, K. A., Lin, D., & Silcox, M. T. (2018). Dental topographic

analysis of paromomyid (Plesiadapiformes, Primates) cheek teeth: More than 15 million

years of changing surfaces and shifting ecologies. *Historical Biology*, *30*(1–2), 76–88.

https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2017.1289378

Makedonska, J., Wright, B. W., & Strait, D. S. (2012). The effect of dietary adaption on cranial

morphological integration in capuchins (order Primates, genus Cebus). *PLoS ONE*, *7*(10),

e40398. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0040398

Melstrom, K. M., & Irmis, R. B. (2019). Repeated Evolution of Herbivorous Crocodyliforms

during the Age of Dinosaurs. *Current Biology*, *29*(14), 2389-2395.e3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.05.076

Monteiro, L. R., & Nogueira, M. R. (2011). Evolutionary patterns and processes in the radiation

of phyllostomid bats. *BMC Evol Biol*, *11*, 137. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-137

Morales, A. E., Ruedi, M., Field, K., & Carstens, B. C. (2019). Diversification rates have no effect

on the convergent evolution of foraging strategies in the most speciose genus of bats,

Myotis. *Evolution*, *73*(11), 2263–2280. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13849

Moyers Arévalo, R. L., Amador, L. I., Almeida, F. C., & Giannini, N. P. (2018). Evolution of Body

Mass in Bats: Insights from a Large Supermatrix Phylogeny. *Journal of Mammalian*

Evolution. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-018-9447-8

Nogueira, M. R., & Peracchi, A. L. (2003). Fig-Seed Predation by 2 Species of Chiroderma:

629   Discovery of a New Feeding Strategy in Bats. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *84*(1), 225–233.

630   https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1542(2003)084<0225:FSPBSO>2.0.CO;2

631   Nogueira, M. R., Peracchi, A. L., & Monteiro, L. R. (2009). Morphological correlates of bite force

632   and diet in the skull and mandible of phyllostomid bats. *Functional Ecology*, *23*(4), 715–

633   723. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01549.x

634   Norberg, U. M., & Fenton, M. B. (1988). Carnivorous bats?. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

635   *Society*, *33*(4), 383-394.

636   Pampush, J. D., Spradley, J. P., Morse, P. E., Harrington, A. R., Allen, K. L., Boyer, D. M., & Kay, R.

637   F. (2016). Wear and its effects on dental topography measures in howling monkeys

638   (Alouatta palliata). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *161*(4), 705–721.

639   https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23077

640   Pampush, J. D., Winchester, J. M., Morse, P. E., Vining, A. Q., Boyer, D. M., & Kay, R. F. (2016).

641   Introducing molaR: a New R Package for Quantitative Topographic Analysis of Teeth

642   (and Other Topographic Surfaces). *Journal of Mammalian Evolution*, *23*(4), 397–412.

643   https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-016-9326-0

644   Pérez-Ramos, A., Romero, A., Rodriguez, E., & Figueirido, B. (2020). Three-dimensional dental

645   topography and feeding ecology in the extinct cave bear. *Biology Letters*.

646   https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2020.0792

647   Pineda-Munoz, S., Lazagabaster, I. A., Alroy, J., & Evans, A. R. (2017). Inferring diet from dental

648   morphology in terrestrial mammals. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *8*(4), 481–491.

649   https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12691

Prufrock, K. A., Boyer, D. M., & Silcox, M. T. (2016). The first major primate extinction: An

evaluation of paleoecological dynamics of North American stem primates using a

homology free measure of tooth shape. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, *159*(4), 683–697.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22927

Revell, L. J. (2012). phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *3*(2), 217–223.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00169.x

Rojas, D., Pereira, M. J. R., Fonseca, C., & Dávalos, L. M. (2018). Eating down the food chain:

Generalism is not an evolutionary dead end for herbivores. *Ecology Letters*, *21*(3), 402–

410. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12911

Rojas, D., Vale, Á., Ferrero, V., & Navarro, L. (2011). When did plants become important to leaf-

nosed bats? Diversification of feeding habits in the family Phyllostomidae. *Molecular*

Ecology, *20*(10), 2217–2228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05082.x

Rojas, D., Vale, Á., Ferrero, V., & Navarro, L. (2012). The role of frugivory in the diversification of

bats in the Neotropics. *Journal of Biogeography*, *39*(11), 1948–1960.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2012.02709.x

Rojas, D., Warsi, O. M., & Dávalos, L. M. (2016). Bats (Chiroptera: Noctilionoidea) Challenge a

Recent Origin of Extant Neotropical Diversity. *Systematic Biology*, *65*(3), 432–448.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw011

Rossoni, D. M., Assis, A. P. A., Giannini, N. P., & Marroig, G. (2017). Intense natural selection

preceded the invasion of new adaptive zones during the radiation of New World leaf-

nosed bats. *Scientific Reports*, *7*. https://doi.org/ARTN 11076 10.1038/s41598-017-

08989-6

Rossoni, D. M., Costa, B. M. A., Giannini, N. P., & Marroig, G. (2019). A multiple peak adaptive

landscape based on feeding strategies and roosting ecology shaped the evolution of

cranial covariance structure and morphological differentiation in phyllostomid bats.

Evolution, *73*(5), 961–981. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13715

Santana, S. E., & Cheung, E. (2016). Go big or go fish: Morphological specializations in

carnivorous bats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *283*(1830),

20160615. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0615

Santana, S. E., & Dumont, E. R. (2009). Connecting behaviour and performance: The evolution

of biting behaviour and bite performance in bats. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*,

22(11), 2131–2145. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01827.x

Santana, S. E., Grosse, I. R., & Dumont, E. R. (2012). Dietary hardness, loading behavior, and the

evolution of skull form in bats. *Evolution*, *66*(8), 2587–2598.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01615.x

Santana, S. E., Strait, S., & Dumont, E. R. (2011). The better to eat you with: Functional

correlates of tooth structure in bats. *The Better to Eat You with: Functional Correlates of*

Tooth Structure in Bats. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01832.x

Simmons, N.B., & Cirranello, A.L. (2021). Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic

database. https://batnames.org

Simmons, N. B., Seiffert, E. R., & Gunnell, G. F. (2016). A new family of large omnivorous bats

(Mammalia, Chiroptera) from the Late Eocene of the Fayum Depression, Egypt, with

comments on use of the name "Eochiroptera". *American Museum Novitates*, 3857: 1-43.

Selig, K. R., Khalid, W., & Silcox, M. T. (2021). Mammalian molar complexity follows simple,

predictable patterns. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(1).

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008850118

Selig, K. R., López-Torres, S., Sargis, E. J., & Silcox, M. T. (2019). First 3D Dental Topographic

Analysis of the Enamel-Dentine Junction in Non-Primate Euarchontans: Contribution of

the Enamel-Dentine Junction to Molar Morphology. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution*,

26(4), 587–598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-018-9440-2

Selig, K. R., Sargis, E. J., Chester, S. G. B., & Silcox, M. T. (2020). Using three-dimensional

geometric morphometric and dental topographic analyses to infer the systematics and

paleoecology of fossil treeshrews (Mammalia, Scandentia). *Journal of Paleontology*,

94(6), 1202–1212. https://doi.org/10.1017/jpa.2020.36

Shi, J. J., & Rabosky, D. L. (2015). Speciation dynamics during the global radiation of extant bats.

Evolution, *69*(6), 1528–1545. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12681

Shi, J. J., Westeen, E. P., & Rabosky, D. L. (2018). Digitizing extant bat diversity: An open-access

repository of 3D µCT-scanned skulls for research and education. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(9),

e0203022. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203022

Ungar, P. S. (2004). Dental topography and diets of Australopithecus afarensis and early Homo.

J Hum Evol, *46*(5), 605–622. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhevol.2004.03.004

Ungar, P. S., Healy, C., Karme, A., Teaford, M., & Fortelius, M. (2018). Dental topography and

diets of platyrrhine primates. *Historical Biology*, *30*(1–2), 64–75.

https://doi.org/10.1080/08912963.2016.1255737

Ungar, P. S., & M'Kirera, F. (2003). A solution to the worn tooth conundrum in primate

functional anatomy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *100*(7), 3874–

3877. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0637016100

Villalobos-Chaves, D., Padilla-Alvárez, S., & Rodríguez-Herrera, B. (2016). Seed predation by the

wrinkle-faced bat Centurio senex: A new case of this unusual feeding strategy in

Chiroptera. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *97*(3), 726–733.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyv222

Winchester, J. M., Boyer, D. M., St Clair, E. M., Gosselin-Ildari, A. D., Cooke, S. B., & Ledogar, J.

A. (2014). Dental topography of platyrrhines and prosimians: Convergence and

contrasts. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, *153*(1), 29–44. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22398

Zuercher, M. E., Monson, T. A., Dvoretzky, R. R., Ravindramurthy, S., & Hlusko, L. J. (2021).

Dental Variation in Megabats (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae): Tooth Metrics Correlate with

Body Size and Tooth Proportions Reflect Phylogeny. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution*,

28: 543-558. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10914-020-09508-7

Figure captions

Figure 1. Evolutionary relationships of species sampled, based on a modified version of Shi & Rabosky (2015)'s phylogeny. Tip colours indicate dietary guilds.

Figure 2. Diversity of dental morphology across the dietary range found in Noctilionoidea, exemplified with DTA metrics; DNE, OPCR and slope. 3D meshes not to scale. Low DNE and slope values in blue and high values in red. For 3D-OPCR, differences in colour relate to the orientation of patches with respect to the 8 cardinal directions.

Figure 3. Variation in dental complexity across Noctilionoidea based on diet. Boxplots comparing DTA metrics across different dietary guilds (left column), and scatterplots showing linear regressions of DTA metrics against marginality (i.e. dietary breadth). Marginality values close to zero represent specialist herbivorous diets, whereas values close to one represent specialist animalivorous diets.

Figure 4. Boxplots of dental topographic disparity (quantified as the sum of variances across DTA metrics) across dietary guilds, based on 100 resampling iterations.

Figure 5. Dental morphospace (based on principal component analysis) and phylomorphospace (based on phylogenetic principal component analysis).

Figure 6. Trajectories of mean values of DTA metrics along a gradient of increasing intensity of exploitation of a given dietary resource in noctilionoid bats.

Figure 7. Relative importance of DTA metrics on a linear regression model of increasing

intensity of exploitation of a dietary resource, expressed as the percentage of R^2 of the model

explained by each DTA metric.

Table captions

Table 1. Statistical test of phylogenetic signal on each DTA metric, based on 10000 iterations.

Table 2. Phylogenetic ANOVAs of differences in DTA metrics between dietary guilds, based on

10,000 iterations.

Table 3. Wilcoxon test of pairwise comparisons of dental disparity across noctilionoid dietary

guilds.

Table 4. Phylogenetic linear regression models of the effect of body mass (BM) and dietary

breadth (marginality) on DTA metrics, based on 10,000 iterations.

Table 1. Statistical test of phylogenetic signal on each DTA metric, based on 10000 iterations.

	K-	*P*
DNE	0.513	<0.0001
OPCR	0.299	0.049
RFI	1.553	0.0001
Slope	0.848	0.0001
Molar size	0.754	0.0002

760 **Table 2.** Phylogenetic ANOVAs of differences in DTA metrics between dietary guilds, based on
761 10,000 iterations.

	df	SS	MS	F	*P*
DNE	6	6.135	1.023	8.986	<0.0001
RFI	6	2.402	0.400	29.11	<0.0001
OPCR	6	3.285	0.546	4.064	0.001
Slope	6	0.273	0.045	12.61	<0.0001
MS	6	34.08	5.680	7.798	<0.0001

763 **Table 3.** Wilcoxon test of pairwise comparisons of dental disparity across noctilionoid dietary
764 guilds.

	W statistic	*P*
Carnivore : Frugivore	48	<0.0001
Carnivore : Insectivore	2367	<0.0001
Carnivore : Nectarivore	6932	<0.0001
Carnivore : Omnivore	200	<0.0001
Carnivore : Sanguivore	8759	<0.0001
Frugivore : Insectivore	8801	<0.0001
Frugivore : Nectarivore	10000	<0.0001
Frugivore : Omnivore	4282	1.000
Frugivore : Sanguivore	10000	<0.0001
Insectivore : Nectarivore	9660	<0.0001
Insectivore : Omnivore	1290	<0.0001
Insectivore : Sanguivore	10000	<0.0001
Nectarivore : Omnivore	0	<0.0001
Nectarivore : Sanguivore	9946	<0.0001
Omnivore : Sanguivore	10000	<0.0001

767   **Table 4.** Phylogenetic linear regression models of the effect of body mass (BM) and dietary
768   breadth (marginality) on DTA metrics, based on 10,000 iterations.

		df	SS	MS	R^2	F	Z	*P*
DNE	BM	1	0.30209	0.302091	0.18349	25.1161	1.9893	0.0001
	Marginality	1	0.05727	0.057273	0.03479	4.7617	1.27	0.0296
OPCR	BM	1	0.25076	0.25076	0.16837	25.452	2.0276	0.0001
	Marginality	1	0.18435	0.184348	0.12378	18.711	1.8726	0.0001
RFI	BM	1	0.017814	0.017814	0.05721	11.261	1.6139	0.0017
	Marginality	1	0.124275	0.124275	0.39914	78.558	2.5358	0.0001
Slope	BM	1	0.000624	0.0006242	0.01215	1.7798	0.8305	0.1829
	Marginality	1	0.013224	0.0132238	0.25741	37.7071	2.211	0.0001
MS	BM	1	3.1885	3.1885	0.3886	69.4906	2.4991	0.0001
	Marginality	1	0.107	0.107	0.01304	2.3321	0.9646	0.1255

769
770

Myzopodidae
Mystacinidae
Furipteridae
Noctilionidae
Thyropteridae
Mormoopidae
Macrotinae
Micronycterinae
Desmodontinae
Glossophaginae
Lonchorhininae
Phyllostominae
Lonchophyllinae
Glyphonycterinae
Carolliinae
Rhinophyllinae
Stenodermatinae

Phyllostomidae

Carnivore
Frugivore
Insectivore
Nectarivore
Omnivore
Piscivore
Sanguivore