Title

The interaction between a parasite and sub-optimal temperatures contributes to honey bee

decline

Davide Frizzera, Laura Andreuzza, Giulia Boaro, Mauro D'Agaro, Simone Del Fabbro, Virginia

Zanni, Desiderato Annoscia, Francesco Nazzi

Dipartimento di Scienze AgroAlimentari, Ambientali e Animali, Università degli Studi di Udine,

Udine, Italy.

Abstract

Global insect decline and, in particular, honey bee colony losses are related to multiple stress

factors, including landscape deterioration, pollution, parasites and climate change. However, the

implications of the interaction among different stress factors for insect health are still poorly

understood; in particular, little is known on how challenging environmental conditions can

influence the impact of parasites. Here we exploited the honey bee as a model system to

approach this problem and carried out extensive lab and field work aiming at assessing how

suboptimal temperatures and parasitic challenges can alter the homeostatic balance of individual

bees and the whole colony, leading to individual death and colony collapse.

We found that mite infestation further than increasing the mortality of bees, induces an anorexia

that in turn reduces the capacity of bees to thermoregulate, thus exposing them to the detrimental

effect of lower temperatures. This, in turn, has important implications for the colony as a whole.

The results highlight the role that abiotic factors can have in shaping the effect of parasitic

challenges on honey bees. Furthermore, the multilevel and holistic approach adopted here can

represent a useful template for similar studies on other insect species, which are particularly

urgent in view of climate change and the continuous pressure of natural and exotic parasites on

insect populations.

1. Introduction

In recent years, large losses of honey bee colonies have become a global issue [1,2,3], causing

justified concern in view of the essential pollination service provided by this species[4]. At the

same time, other reports revealed similar problems affecting wild bees[5], confirming previous

studies dealing with other insects' taxa[6]. In 2017, a long population monitoring study carried out

in Germany highlighted a 76% decline in flying insect biomass[7]; more recently, another long-

term study in the rain forests of Puerto Rico reported biomass losses above 78% for ground-

foraging and canopy-dwelling arthropods[8]. Overall, these latter and other studies indicate that

several insect taxa are experiencing severe losses[6], which, in view of the ecological role played

by insect in most terrestrial ecosystems, require urgent and careful consideration.

It has been suggested that such declines are caused by a number of potential stressors including

habitat loss, pollution, parasites and climate change[6]. However, despite none of these factor

comes in isolation, our knowledge about the possible combined effect of more stressors on insect

populations is dramatically scarce[9]; in particular, the combination between biotic and abiotic

stressors, like parasites and adverse environmental conditions, respectively is still largely

unexplored, although this latter case appears particularly important in view of the growing

importance of climate change in shaping the already complex interactions within the ecosystems.

This lack of knowledge is not surprising in view of the complexity of the necessary

multifactorial studies; for example, a recent literature survey of insect studies in which different

classes of stressors were manipulated in a full-factorial manner, produced only 133 studies

covering 24 stressor pairs[9]. Under this respect, honey bees offer a unique opportunity in view of

the detailed knowledge of their biology, the large suite of molecular tools available since the

sequencing of the honey bee genome[10], and the relative ease of access and manipulation[11],

notwithstanding the opportunity of investigating effects at both the individual and colony level.

In the northern hemisphere, where honey bee colony losses are reported, most of them occur

during the autumn-winter period [12,13,14]. In fact, monitoring programs in the US highlighted a

higher mortality of bee colonies in northern states[15], and, in some cases, a correlation was found

between winter temperature and colony losses[16]. To our knowledge, in Europe, where extensive

surveys of colony losses were carried out, a similar pattern has not been reported so far, although

published data support the hypothesis that colony losses are somewhat higher in northern Europe

as compared to southern European countries[14].

It has been shown that colony losses are related to the progressive build-up of viral infections

promoted by the increasing *Varroa destructor* infestation[17]. In fact, both mite infestation and

deformed wing virus (DWV) prevalence and abundance gradually increase along the season,

peaking at the end of Summer, when thousands of mites can be present in each colony, DWV

prevalence reaches 100% and viral load in bees can be as high as 10^{15}-10^{18} viral particles per

bee[17]. This in turn, causes increased honey bee mortality, leading to the progressive weakening

of the colony, which eventually collapse during autumn or the following winter[17].

Concurrently, under temperate climatic conditions, a more or less marked decrease of

temperature, according to latitude and continentality, is also observed[18]. However, regardless of

the external fluctuations, nest temperature is constantly maintained around 34-35 °C[19,20,21]; this,

under lower external temperatures, is made possible by the capacity of a conveniently large

cohort of bees to warm up their thorax after consuming an adequate supply of honey[22,23].

Since both the number of bees involved in thermoregulation and their efficiency, as well as the

supply of honey could be influenced by mite infestation, we hypothesized that the capacity of

bees to maintain a convenient nest temperature can be impaired as a result of the increasing mite

infestation and asked if and how this can influence the conditions of the bee colony, further

aggravating the already negative impact of the parasite. To this aim, we studied the effect of an

increasing mite infestation and a concurrent low temperature on individual bees and bee

colonies. In general, we aimed at understanding how the influence of a biotic challenge, like a

parasitic mite, can be shaped by an abiotic factor, like environmental temperature, both at the

individual and colony level. This way we wanted to significantly enlarge the growing body of

research about the effects of interacting stress factors on honey bee health[24,25], eventually

including an environmental factor that has been overlooked so far. In doing so we tried to

provide a useful reference for similar studies with other insect species.

2. Results

2.1. Colony conditions according to mite infestation

To study the effect of the decreasing environmental temperature on honey bee colonies exposed or not to the parasitic mite *V. destructor*, we established two groups of hives, one of which was treated with acaricides throughout the trial, to maintain mite infestation at the lowest possible level, while the other was left untreated until October.

As expected, a high number of mites, largely exceeding 5000 parasites in 3 out of the 4 surviving colonies, was found in the untreated group in October, whereas a significantly lower mite infestation was recorded in the colonies where an appropriate acaricide treatment was carried out (Mann-Whitney U test: $n_1=4$, $n_2=5$, $U=0$, $P = 0.007$; Fig. 1a).

In turn, higher mite infestation caused increasing viral load in bees belonging to the colonies where the parasite population was higher. In fact, the proportion of individuals with deformed wings, a symptom associated to elevated viral infections, was higher in the colonies with higher parasitic pressure (Mann-Whitney U test: $n_1=5$, $n_2=5$, $U=0$, $P = 0.005$; Fig. 1b).

As a result of the increasing mite infestation and the associated viral infection, a higher bee mortality was observed in the group of colonies suffering from a higher parasitic pressure (Mann-Whitney U test: $n_1=4$, $n_2=5$, $U=0$, $P = 0.007$; Fig. 1c).

Figure 1. Effects of mite infestation on honeybee colonies: total mites collected from mite

infested colonies and uninfested colonies after a control treatment (a); total number of deformed

wing bees observed in mite infested and uninfested colonies along the experimental period (b);

honey bee mortality (dead bees*1000/total bees*day) recorded in November in mite infested and

uninfested colonies (c).

This in turn accelerated seasonal depopulation in mite infested colonies, such that in November a

significantly lower number of bees was found in mite infested colonies as compared to

uninfested ones (Mann-Whitney U test: n_1=5, n_2=5, U=0, P = 0.005; Fig. 2a). By October one

mite infested colony had collapsed, soon followed by another two, whereas no losses were

observed in the other group.

2.2. Temperature control in mite infested colonies

The average daily environmental temperature gradually decreased from August, when 26 °C was

recorded, to November, when it reached 15 °C (Fig. 2b). In the same period, the temperature

inside the hives showed a concurrent, albeit less marked decrease, starting from 35.5 °C

registered in August. However, whilst the internal temperature of control colonies was around 30

°C in November, that of mite infested colonies dropped to 25 °C in the same period (Fig. 2b).

Figure 2. Estimated number of honey bees recorded during the trial in mite infested and

uninfested colonies (a) and temperature recorded inside mite infested and uninfested colonies

during the trial as compared to the external temperature (b).

2.3. The combined effect of low temperatures and mite infestation on individual bees

Since the field trial revealed that the temperature within the nest can be lower than optimal in

both uninfested and mite infested colonies by a few Celsius degrees, we investigated how this

can affect the survival of uninfested bees and bees that were mite infested during the pupal stage.

To this aim, at eclosion, we exposed both mite infested and uninfested adult bees to different

temperature regimes under laboratory conditions and assessed the effect on DWV replication,

survival of bees and expression of some selected genes.

As expected, mite infestation significantly influenced viral replication, such that bees parasitized

by one mite during development had a higher viral load as compared to unparasitized bees (two-

way ANOVA test: d.f. = 1, F = 16.873, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3) while low temperature did not affect

viral dynamics (two-way ANOVA test: d.f. = 1, F = 2.799, $P = 0.106$; Fig. 3).

Figure 3. DWV infection in uninfested and mite infested bees exposed to two different

temperatures. *Varroa* infestation is associated to higher virus load while low temperature and the

interaction of mite and cold stress did not affect DWV load.

As a result of mite infestation and viral infection, a reduced survival of parasitized bees was

observed (Cox regression analysis: HR=1.759, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4); also, a similar but smaller

effect of a lower rearing temperature was observed (Cox regression analysis: HR=1.274, $P =$

0.027; Fig. 4). Interestingly, mite infested bees exposed to low temperatures (i.e. 32 °C) had a

longevity further reduced in comparison to control bees and bees exposed to either mite

infestation or low temperature. Since the interaction between the infestation and low temperature

is not significant (Cox regression analysis: HR=1.153, $P = 0.516$; Fig. 4) the observed reduced

survival seems to be due to an addictive effect of the two stressors.

Fig. 4. Survival of honey bees exposed to *V. destructor* during the pupal stage and exposed to

two temperature regimes at the adult stage.

We also exposed bee pupae to different temperature regimes under laboratory conditions and

assessed the effect on development and survival. We found that the low temperature (i.e. 32 °C)

did not affect the survival of bee pupae (the proportion of eclosing bees from pupae maintained

at 32 and 34.5 °C was 89.1 and 90.3%, respectively); however, all pupae maintained at 32 °C

took 2 days longer than normal to reach the adult stage.

Since honey bees are capable of producing heat in response to low external temperatures by

contracting their thoracic flight muscles, provided that a convenient food supply is available, we

wondered if mite infested bees are as efficient as uninfested bees in this activity when exposed to

a sub-optimal temperature. We found that bees infested at the pupal stage responded less

efficiently to a lower temperature than uninfested bees (Mann-Whitney U test: $n1 = 12$, $n2 = 12$,

$U = 19$, $P = 0.001$; Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Average body temperature of mite infested and uninfested honey bees as assessed with a thermographic camera (FLIR, model i5).

The feeding activity of *V. destructor* during the pupal stage of bees, normally results in lighter emerging bees which often display underdeveloped wings, suggesting that some anatomical damage can occur because of the parasitic infestation. We therefore tested the effect of mite parasitization on the histology and mass of flight muscles in emerging bees. The flight muscles of bees exposed to mite infestation resulting in deformed wings did not appear to be affected in comparison to those of unparasitized bees (Fig. 6a). Furthermore, no significant differences were found between the weight of thorax (where flight muscles are located) of mite infested and uninfested bees (Mann-Whitney U test: $n1 = 10$, $n2 = 10$, $U = 34$, $P = 0.113$; Fig. 6b; Tab. S1).

Instead, the different weight of parasitized bees appeared to be related to the lower amount of

haemolymph that can be found in bees as a result of mite feeding (Fig. 6b, Tab. S1).

Fig. 6. MicroCT scan of DWV symptomatic honey bee thorax (a). Weight of mite infested and

uninfested eclosing honey bees and their body parts; "other" likely represent the weight of the

haemolymph lost after dissection (b).

After proving that no anatomical deficit was apparent in mite infested bees, we investigated if a

sufficient amount of nutrients was available to support the metabolic activity of such apparatus

and investigated sugar consumption in mite infested bees as compared to uninfested ones.

As expected, we found that, at low temperatures, both uninfested and mite infested bees

increased sugar consumption (two-way ANOVA test: d.f. = 1, F =7.412, P = 0.009; Fig. 7);

however, in case of mite infestation, sugar consumption was significantly reduced (two-way

ANOVA test: d.f. = 1, F = 21.09, P < 0.001; Fig. 7).

Fig. 7. Sugar consumption of mite infested and uninfested bees exposed to two temperature

regimes.

2.4 The effect of Varroa parasitization on insulin/insulin-like signalling (IIS) pathway

To gain insight into the *Varroa* induced anorexia on honey bees, we studied the expression of

insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1), a key protein in the insulin/insulin-like signalling (IIS)

pathway as affected by mite infestation. IRS-1 appeared to be up-regulated in mite infested bees

(Mann-Whitney U test: n1=12, n2=12, U=38, P = 0.025; Fig. 8a) highlighting an influence of

Varroa mite infestation on bee metabolism. Furthermore, to verify that the upregulation of IRS-1

in mite infested bees was not influenced by the reduced dietary input in those bees, we studied

IRS-1 expression in uninfested bees reared at the standard temperature of 34.5 °C and at 32°C, a

condition under which an increased sugar consumption is observed. In this case, relative

expression of IRS-1 was not different between bees maintained at normal and lower temperature

(Mann-Whitney U test: n1=8, n2=10, U=34, P = 0.297; Fig. 8b).

Fig. 8. Relative expression of IRS-1 in bees infested or not with *Varroa destructor* at 34.5 °C (a)

and in bees reared at 34.5 °C and 32 °C (b).

3. Discussion

The progressive decline of mite infested honey bee colonies towards the end of Summer is a very

common situation under temperate climates in the Northern Hemisphere and was clearly

confirmed here. In particular, we provided further evidence that this decline is related to the

increased mortality of bees associated to high DWV infection levels caused by the parasitic

activity of the mite *V. destructor*, vectoring the virus and triggering its replication in infected

bees[17,26,27].

We also showed that colony decline parallels the concurrent decrease in environmental

temperature, which is observed in the Autumn months under these climatic conditions.

Moreover, we noted that mite infested honey bee colonies are less efficient at countervailing the

decreasing temperature, as sub-optimal temperatures are more often observed in those colonies

during this period. This is likely due both to the reduced number of bees in mite infested colonies

and to the reduced capacity of the surviving bees to thermoregulate, as demonstrated under

laboratory conditions.

A detailed laboratory investigation into the effects of the concurrent exposition of bees to a

parasitic infection and sub-optimal temperatures, clearly showed that the negative effects of

these two stressors add up to reduce the survival of bees. In particular, by recording the

temperature of both mite infested and uninfested adult bees upon exposition to a low

temperature, we noted that the first have a reduced capacity to warm up their bodies to

counteract the lowering external temperature: a result that, to our knowledge, has not been

reported before, despite the thermoregulatory capacity of bees has been investigated in

considerable detail[28,29].

Our results indicate that the flight muscles of parasitized bees are normally developed. A great

deal of research has been dedicated to the effect of mite infestation on individual bees; however,

so far[30,31], apart from some early studies on wing development[32] and some recent results

regarding the fat body beneath the feeding hole[33], little is known about the anatomy of honey

bees affected by mite parasitization. Here we suggest that the internal anatomy of infested bees'

thorax is not impaired by the feeding mite.

On the other hand, we noticed that the sugar intake of mite infested bees is significantly affected,

suggesting that mite infested bees suffer from a kind of parasite induced anorexia. In addition,

the expression pattern of IRS-1, a key gene in the IIS pathway, suggests that this anorexia is

probably elicited by the molecular effects of *Varroa* parasitization on bee's metabolism. In fact,

this protein is upregulated in presence of the mite but is not modified by sugar intake; this latter

evidence confirming previous results about the lack of response of insulin receptor substrate and

insulin like peptide 2 to dietary inputs[34]. Recent results showing that the downregulation of

insulin receptor 1, stimulates the sensitivity to sucrose and weight gaining by honey bees[35] seem

to confirm our proposed mechanistic explanation of the observed anorexia.

In the honey bee the insulin/insulin-like signalling pathway is involved in lifespan and regulation

of social behaviors, including the onset of foraging and the type of collected food[36,37,38]. The

regulation of behavioral maturation has a strong influence on the total lifespan of honey bees

with those commencing foraging at a younger age living significantly shorter than those foraging

later[39]. Since IIS genes are differentially expressed in forager and nurse bees[37], it is possible that

the previously reported accelerated behavioral maturation in mite infested bees[40,41] could be

responsible for the effect of *Varroa* on IIS pathway. In any case, the mite induced anorexia we

report here parallels other cases of disease induced anorexia in insects, and in particular, the

reduced feeding of caterpillar larvae infected by nucleopolyhedrovirus (NPV)[42].

Furthermore, we observed that the lower temperature inside the hive could also affect the

developmental time and the survival of emerging adult bees.

In conclusion, it appears that mite infestation further than increasing *per se* the mortality of bees,

reduces the capacity of bees to thermoregulate, exposing them to the detrimental effect of lower

temperatures. In addition, the reduction in the number of bees engaged in thermoregulation

together with their reduced efficiency, can in turn affect the developing bees further aggravating

the phenomenon. In sum, a number of dangerous positive feed-back loops are generated, with

devastating effects on the survival of the colony, clearly revealed by our field results. Therefore,

it appears that the decreasing temperature observed during the cold season can enhance the

negative effect of the increasing mite infestation, further reducing the survival of bees and thus

impairing the very sustainability of the colony.

These results eventually provide a more comprehensive understanding of the reported higher

colony losses in northern regions[12,13,14] where lower temperatures are observed during the cold

season[16]. Furthermore, these results may provide additional insight into the peculiar world

distribution of colony losses. In 2010, Neumann and Carreck[2] noted that, until that date, large

losses of honey bee colonies had been observed only north of a parallel separating the regions of

the world where the mite *V. destructor* had been responsible of severe infestations from those

where the mite, albeit present, had had a reduced impact. They therefore coined the fortunate

expression "*Varroa* equator" to denote that parallel, underlining the crucial role of the mite in the

phenomenon of colony losses. Further studies clearly indicated that the ultimate responsible of

the loss of bee colonies observed in the northern hemisphere is the deformed wing virus, which

has a worldwide distribution[43,44]. Here we would like to further refine the concept of "*Varroa*

equator" suggesting that the occurrence of elevated colony losses north of that parallel is

certainly the result of the impact of the *Varroa*-DWV association on bee colonies but this impact

is further exacerbated by the lower temperatures that are observed in the northern hemisphere in

the Autumn months; a situation that is certainly rarer in the Southern hemisphere where most

land masses are distributed north of the 40th parallel where milder winters are more common.

Finally and importantly, the results reported here highlight the relevant role that abiotic factors

can have in shaping the effect that biotic factors already exert on the health of honey bees. To

our knowledge this is the first study, in honey bees and insects in general, dealing with the

interaction between an abiotic factor such as the environmental temperature and a widespread

parasite. Although some conclusions of this study are clearly restricted to honey bees with their

peculiar biology, the experimental approach adopted here (i.e. multilevel and holistic) can

represent a useful template for similar studies on other insect species, aiming at elucidating the

critical positive feed-back loops triggered under these conditions. We hope that similar studies

will become more common in view of the alarming news regarding climate change and its

potential impact on terrestrial ecosystems[45] and the continuous pressure of natural and exotic

parasites on insect populations[46,47].

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Mite infestation and temperature control in the hive

Two apiaries, made of 5 colonies each, housed into ten frames Dadant Blatt hives (385 mm x

452 mm x 310 mm), were set up in Udine (Italy); in particular, the untreated apiary was placed

north of the city (46°04'54.2"N, 13°12'34.2"E), while the treated one in south of it (46°02'10.9"N

13°13'24.9"E), about 4 km apart. Previous studies indicated that local colonies are hybrids

between *Apis mellifera ligustica* Spinola and *Apis mellifera carnica* Pollmann[48,49].

In the untreated apiary, no acaricidal treatments were carried out throughout the Summer, so that

mite infestation could naturally increase along the season. In the treated apiary, mite population

was kept under control with different acaricides; in particular, 2 strips of an Amitraz based

product (Apitraz, Laboratorios Calier S.A.) were used in August, followed by three treatments

with a thymol based product in tablets (ApiLife Var, Chemical Laif S.p.A) from September to

mid-October and with 2 strips of a Fluvalinate based product (Apistan, Vita Europe) in October.

Bee population in the experimental hives was estimated, approximately once a month from

August to December, by counting the number of full or partial "sixth of frames" covered by bees

in each hive at sunset, considering that a fully covered sixth of comb corresponds to 253 adult

bees[50]. The number of brood cells was estimated by means of the same method, considering that

one sixth of frame of brood cells corresponds to 728 worker brood cells.

During the experiment, infestation levels were estimated by counting the number of mites fallen

on a vaseline coated bottom board. At the end of the first decade of October, highly-infested

hives of the untreated apiary were treated with 2 strips each of a fluvalinate based product. The

total number of mites fallen in the next fifteen days confirmed the higher infestation level of the

untreated hives (Fig. 1a). To assess bee mortality, dead bees found in under basket cages placed

in front of the colonies were counted every week from August to November, taking note of the

number of the individuals showing deformed wings.

Data collected from the field (i.e. infestation level, proportion of deformed bees, bees' mortality

and decrease of bee population) were analysed using a Mann-Whitney U test.

4.2. Temperature measurement in apiary

In order to monitor the temperature inside the hives, a temperature probe (Maxim integrated, US) was inserted in the central part of each hive during the warmer months (August - September) and then moved following the honey bee cluster (October - November); monitoring took place from August to November 2018. At the end of the experiment, data collected by the probes were transcribed and the average daily temperature with variability coefficient (standard deviation/mean) calculated.

Average daily external temperature data were derived from the regional meteorological observatory (ARPA FVG – OSMER and GRN, http://www.meteo.fvg.it/).

4.3. Artificial rearing of bee larvae under different temperatures

Two brood frames containing cells sealed by workers in the preceding 15 hours were collected from one hive of the experimental apiary of the Dipartimento di Scienze AgroAlimentari, Ambientali e Animali, University of Udine. Brood frames were transferred into the lab, uncapped and maintained in a climatic chamber (34.5 °C, 75% R.H.), until the 5th instar larvae emerged from the brood cells; larvae were collected and transferred into 10 cm large Petri dishes. Half of the larvae were stored in a climatic chamber at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H. and half in a second chamber at 32 °C, 75 % R.H. Developing larvae were daily monitored and death individuals removed. The experiment was replicated three times. In total 379 larvae were used for this experiment.

4.4. Artificial infestation of bees

Honey bees and mites were collected from the same experimental apiary cited above. The mites and last instar bee larvae were collected from brood cells capped in the preceding 15 h obtained as follows. In the evening of the day preceding the experiment the capped brood cells of several combs were marked. The following morning the combs were transferred to the lab and unmarked

cells, that had been capped overnight, were unsealed. The comb was then placed in an incubator

at 34.5 °C and 75% R.H., where larvae and mites spontaneously emerged. Last instar bee larvae

were transferred into gelatin capsules (Agar Scientific ltd., 6.5 mm diameter) with no mites

(uninfested bees) or 1 mite (infested bees) and maintained at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H. for 12 days[51].

4.5. Honey bee rearing under artificial conditions

Upon eclosion, newly emerged adult bees were separated from the infesting mite and transferred

into four plastic cages ($185 \times 105 \times 85$ mm) with water and sugar candy (Apifonda®), supplied

ad libitum through a small plastic container ($\emptyset = 1.5$ cm), refilled every 2 days and placed on the

floor of the cages. To prevent the exsiccation of the candy, containers were wrapped with

laboratory film (Parafilm®); a small cut was made on the top, to ensure bee feeding. Two cages,

one with bees that were mite infested at the pupal stage and the other with the same number of

uninfested bees were maintained in a climatic chamber at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H.; the other two

cages were maintained in a climatic chamber at 32 °C, 75% R.H.; each cage hosted from 20 to

25 adult bees. Bee survival and diet consumption were recorded daily.

Seven days after the eclosion, at least eight bees per treatment were collected, killed with liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until analysis. The experiment was repeated six times from July to

September. In total, from 112 to 134 bees per group were used.

The hazard ratio was calculated by means of a weighted cox regression hazard model[52] using R,

version 3.6.2[53].

Sugar candy intake under different conditions was monitored from day 3 after the emergence of

bees to day 15 and normalized according to the different weights of infested and control bees[54].

Sugar consumption under different treatments was normalized and analysed by means of a two-

way ANOVA test.

4.6. Honey bee thermoregulation

To test if mite infested bees thermoregulate as well as uninfested bees, honey bee larvae were

artificially infested using the protocol described above[51] or maintained uninfested as a control.

Upon eclosion, newly emerged adult bees were separated from the infesting mite and transferred

into plastic cages (185 × 105 × 85 mm). Uninfested and mite-infested honey bees were

maintained in a climatic chamber at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H.

Starting from day 4, three honey bees collected randomly from the two groups (mite-infested and

uninfested) were placed in polystyrene box, transferred to room temperature (25 °C) and

photographed with an infrared thermographic camera (brand: FLIR; model: i5; thermal

resolution = ± 0.1 °C) with emissivity settled at 0.97[55]. Pictures were taken through a hole in the

polystyrene lid to reduce the possible interference of light radiation. Pictures were taken for four

consecutive days with three technical replicates (i.e. three pictures) for each time point. Images

were analysed with FLIR Tools® software and temperature data were collected, considering the

average value of the warmer part of the bee which always corresponded to thorax. The area used

to calculate the mean temperature was equal for each bee.

The recorded temperatures were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test.

4.7. Honey bee weight

To check the condition of flight muscles of experimental bees, we artificially infested or not

honey bee larvae as described before. The two groups of bees (uninfested and infested) were

maintained in a climatic chamber at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H., dark, for 12 days. Upon eclosion, newly

emerged honey bees were weighted and dissected into head, thorax and abdomen; then, each

section was separately weighted.

The weight of the different body parts of mite infested and uninfested bees was compared with

the Mann-Whitney U test. In total, 10 uninfested and 10 mite infested bees were used.

4.8. Gene expression analysis and DWV quantification

Sampled bees were defrosted in RNA*later* (Ambion®) and gut deprived. The whole body of the

bees was homogenized by means of mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was

extracted from each bee, according to the method suggested by the producer of RNeasy Plus

mini kit (Qiagen®, Germany). The amount of RNA in each sample was quantified using a

NanoDrop® spectrophotomer (ThermoFisher™, US) and integrity verified by means of agarose

gel electrophoresis. cDNA was synthetized starting from 500 ng of RNA following the

manufacturer specifications (PROMEGA, Italy). Additional negative control samples containing

no RT enzyme were included. Ten ng of cDNA from each sample were analysed by qRT-PCR

with the primers reported in Table 1, using SYBR®green dye (Ambion®), according to the

manufacturer specifications, on a BioRad CFX96 Touch™ Real time PCR Detector. Primers

efficiency was calculated according to the formula E=10^(-1/slope)-1)*100. The following

thermal cycling profiles were adopted: one cycle at 95 °C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles at 95 °C for

15s and 60 °C for 1 min, and one cycle at 68 °C for 7 min.

Relative gene expression data were analysed using the 2−ΔΔCt method[56] using actin and

GAPDH as housekeeping genes. Relative expression data were transformed, normalized and

analysed by means of two-way ANOVA test performed with R statistical software, version 3.6.2.

(R Core Team, 2019). At least eight individual bees per experimental group were analysed.

4.9 IRS-1 expression in mite infested and uninfested honey bees

To study IRS-1 expression level in mite infested bees, we artificially infested or not honey bee

larvae as described before. The two groups of bees (uninfested and mite infested) were

maintained in a climatic chamber at 34.5 °C, 75% R.H., dark, for 12 days. Upon eclosion, mite

infested and uninfested newly emerged bees were reared separately in plastic cages. At day 7

bees were sampled to assess the expression of the selected gene. The protocols used for RNA

extraction, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR are the same as those described above. Relative

expression data were analysed by means of the Mann-Whitney U test. Three replicates of the

experiment were performed. Four bees per replicate were analysed.

Name	Sequence	Reference
Actin, forward	GATTTGTATGCCAACACTGTCCTT	57
Actin, reverse	TTGCATTCTATCTGCGATTCCA	
GAPDH, forward	GCTGGTTTCATCGATGGTTT	58
GAPDH, reverse	ACGATTTCGACCACCGTAAC	
DWV, forward	GGTAAGCGATGGTTGTTTG	59
DWV, reverse	CCGTGAATATAGTGTGAGG	
IRS-1, forward	TTTGCAGTCGTTGCTGGTA	60
IRS-1, reverse	TAGCGGTAGTGGCACAGTTG	

441   Table 1. Primer pairs used in this study.

5. Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme, under grant agreement No 773921 (PoshBee) and by the Italian Ministry of University, PRIN 2017 - UNICO (2017954WNT). The microCT scan images were carried out at Elettra Synchrotron Light Laboratory in Trieste (Italy) within the framework of proposal n. 20190281. Authors would like to thank Prof. Alessandro Peressotti for the concession of the thermographic camera used in this article.

6. Author contributions

D.A. D.F. F.N. designed the research; L.A., D.A., G.B., M.D.A., S.D.F., D.F., F.N., V.Z. performed the research; D.A., D.F., F.N., V.Z., analyzed the data; D.A. D.F. F.N. wrote the paper. All authors revised the final version of the manuscript.

7. References

1. Le Conte, Y., Ellis, M., Ritter, W. *Varroa* mites and honey bee health: can *Varroa* explain part of the colony losses? Apidologie **41**, 353–363 (2010).

2. Neumann, P., Carreck, L. Honey bee colony losses. J. Apic. Res. **49**, 1–6 (2010).

3. Potts, S.G. *et al*. Global pollinator declines: trends, impacts and drivers. Trends Ecol. Evol. **25**, 345–353 (2010).

4. Klein, A-M., *et al*. Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proc. R. Soc. B **274**, 303–313 (2006). doi:10.1098/rspb.2006.3721

5. Cameron S.A. *et al*. Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **108**, (2) 662–667 (2011). doi:10.1073/pnas.1014743108

6. Sánchez-Bayo, F., Wyckhuys K.A.G. Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review

of its drivers. Biol. Conserv. **232**, 8–27 (2019). doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2019.01.020

7. Hallmann, C.A. *et al*. More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect

biomass in protected areas. PLoS One, 12 (10): e0185809 (2017).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0185809

8. Lister, B.C., Garcia, A. Climate-driven declines in arthropod abundance restructure a

rainforest food web. Proc. R. Soc. B. **115**, (44) E10397–E10406 (2018).

9. Kaunisto, S., Ferguson, L.V., Sinclair, B.J. Can we predict the effects of multiple

stressors oninsects in a changing climate. Cur. Opin. Insect. Sci. **17**, 55–61 (2016).

10. Grozinger, C.M. and Robinson, G.E. The power and promise of applying genomics to

honeybee health. Cur. Opin. Insect. Sci. **10**, 124–132 (2015).

11. Page, R.E. The COLOSS BEEBOOK Volume I—Foreword. J. Apic. Res. **52**, 4 1–2

(2013).

12. Amdam, G.V., Hartfelder, K., Norberg, K., Hagen, A., Omholt, S.W. Altered physiology

in worker honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) infested with the mite *Varroa destructor*

(Acari: Varroidae): A factor in colony loss during overwintering? J. Econ. Entomol. **97**,

741–747 (2004).

13. Genersch, E. *et al*. The German bee monitoring project: a long term study to understand

periodically high winter losses of honey bee colonies. Apidologie **41**, 332–352 (2010).

14. Jacques, A. *et al*. A pan-European epidemiological study reveals honey bee colony

survival depends on beekeeper education and disease control. PLoS One **12**, e0172591

(2017).

15. Kulhanek, K. *et al*. A national survey of managed honey bee 2015–2016 annual colony

losses in the USA. J. Apic. Res. **56**, 328–340 (2017).

16. vanEngelsdrop, D., Hayes, J., Underwood, R.M., Pettis, J. A. Survey of Honey Bee

Colony Losses in the U.S., Fall 2007 to Spring 2008. PLoS One **3**, 12, 1–6 (2008).

17. Nazzi, F. *et al*. Synergistic parasite pathogen interactions mediated by host immunity can

drive the collapse of honeybee colonies. PLoS Path. **8**, e1002735 (2012).

18. Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. "Learn what is a climate in geography".

Encyclopedia Britannica, 29 Apr. 2021, https://www.britannica.com/summary/climate-

meteorology.

19. Seeley, T.D., Heinrich, B. The Mechanisms and Energetics of Honeybee Swarm

Temperature Regulation. J. Exp. Biol. **91**, 25–55 (1981).

20. Bujok, B., Kleinhenz, M., Fuchs, S., Tautz, J. Hot spots in the bee hive.

Naturwissenschaften **89**, 299–301 (2002).

21. Kleinhenz, M., Bujok, B., Fuchs, S., Tautz, J. Hot bees in empty broodnest cells: heating

from within. J. Exp. Biol. **206**, 4217–4231 (2003).

22. Sacktor, B. Regulation of intermediary metabolism with special reference to the control

mechanisms in insect flight muscle. Adv. Insect. Physiol. **7**, 267–347 (1970).

23. Rothe, U., Nachtigall, W. Flight of the honey bee. IV Respiratory quotients and

metabolic rates during sitting, walking and flying. J. Comp. Physiol. B **158**, 739–749

(1989).

24. Nazzi, F., Pennacchio, F. Disentangling multiple interactions in the hive ecosystem.

Trends Parasitol. **30**, 556–561 (2014).

25. Goulson, D., Nicholls, E., Botías, C., Rotheray, E.L., Bee declines driven by combined

stress from parasites, pesticides, and lack of flowers. Science, **347**, 1255957 (2015).

26. de Miranda, J.R., Genersch, E. Deformed wing virus. J. Invertebr. Pathol. **103**, S48–S61

(2010).

27. Annoscia, D. *et al*. Haemolymph removal by *Varroa* mite destabilizes the dynamical

interaction between immune effectors and virus in bees, as predicted by Volterra's

model. Proc. R. Soc. B. **286**, 20190331 (2019).

28. Free, J.B., Spencer-Booth, Y. Chill-coma and cold death temperatures of *Apis mellifera*.

Ent. Exp. et Ap. **3**, 222–230 (1960).

29. Heinrich, B. The hot-blooded insects: mechanisms and evolution of thermoregulation.

(Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1993).

30. Rosenkranz, P., Aumeier, P., Ziegelmann, B. Biology and control of *Varroa destructor*.

J. Invertebr. Pathol. **103**, S96–S119 (2010).

31. Nazzi, F. Le Conte, Y. Ecology of *Varroa destructor*, the major ectoparasite of the

western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. Annu. Rev. Entomol. **61**, 417–432 (2016).

32. de Miranda, J.R., Genersch, E. Deformed wing virus. J. Invertebr. Pathol. **103**, S48–S61

(2010).

33. Ramsey, S.D. *et al*. *Varroa destructor* feeds primarily on honey bee fat body tissue and

not hemolymph. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **116**, 1792–1801 (2019).

34. Ihle, K.E., Baker, N.A., Amdam, G.V. Insulin-like peptide response to nutritional input in

honey bee workers. J. Insect Physiol. **69**, 49-55 (2014).

35. Leonard, S.P *et al.* Engineered symbionts activate honey bee immunity and limit

pathogens. Science **367**, 573–576 (2020)

36. Wang, Y. et al. Down-Regulation of Honey Bee IRS Gene Biases Behavior toward Food

Rich in Protein. PLoS Genet. **6**, (2010), doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000896.

37. Ament, S.A., Corona, M., Pollock, H.S., Robinson, G.E. Insulin signaling is involved in

the regulation of worker division of labor in honey bee colonies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

USA **105**, 4226–4231 (2008), doi:10.1073/pnas.0800630105

38. Fontana, L.; Partridge, L.; Longo, V.D. Extending Healthy Life Span-From Yeast to

Humans. Science **328**, 321–326 (2010), doi:10.1126/science.1172539.

39. Amdam, G.V. *et al*. Social reversal of immunosenescence in honey bee workers. Exp.

Gerontol. **40**, 939–947 (2005), doi:10.1016/j.exger.2005.08.004.

40. Downey, D.L., Higo, T.T, Winston, M.L. Single and dual parasitic mite infestations on

the honey bee, Apis mellifera L. Insect sociaux, **47**, 171-176 (2000).

41. Zanni, V. *et al*. Transcriptional signatures of parasitization and markers of colony decline

in Varroa-infested honey bees (Apis mellifera). Insect. Biochem. Mol. Biol. **87**, 1-13

(2017).

42. Povey, S., Cotter, S.C., Simpson, S.J., Wilson, K. Dynamics of macronutrient self-

medication and illness-induced anorexia in virally infected insects. J. Anim. Ecol. **83**,

245–255 (2013).

43. Wilfert L. *et al*. Deformed wing virus is a recent global epidemic in honeybees driven by

Varroa mites. Science **351**, 594–597 (2016).

44. Grozinger, M.C., Flenniken, M.L. Bee Viruses: Ecology, Pathogenicity, and Impacts.

Annu. Rev. Entomol. **64**, 205–226 (2019).

45. Connor, N, *et al*. Past and future global transformation of terrestrial ecosystems under

climate change. Science **361**, 6405, 920–923 (2018).

46. Cunningham, A.A., Daszak, P., Rodríguez, J.P. Pathogen Pollution: defining a

parasitological threat to biodiversity conservation. J. Parasitol. **89**(Supplemental), S78–

S83 (2003).

47. Daszak, P., Cunningham, A.A., Hyatt. A.D. Wildlife ecology—emerging infectious

diseases of wildlife—threats to biodiversity and human health. Sci. **287**, 443–449 (2000).

48. Comparini, A., Biasiolo, A. Genetic characterization of Italian bee *Apis mellifera*

ligustica Spin, versus Carnolian bee, *Apis mellifera carnica* Poll, by allozyme variability

analysis. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. **19**, 189–194 (1991).

49. Nazzi, F. Fluctuation of forewing characters in hybrid honey bees from northeastern

Italy. J. Apic. Res. **31**, 1 (1992).

50. Marchetti S. Il "metodo dei sesti" per la valutazione numerica degli adulti in famiglie di

Apis mellifera. L. Apicoltura **1**, 41–61 (1985).

51. Nazzi, F., Milani N. A technique for reproduction of *Varroa jacobsoni* Oud. under

laboratory conditions. Apidologie **25**, 579–584 (1994).

52. Dunkler, D., Ploner, M., Schemper, M., Heinze, G. Weighted Cox regression using the R

package coxphw. J. Stat. Softw. **84**, Issue 2 (2018).

53. R Core Team (2019) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Available

from https://www.R-project.org/

54. Annoscia, D., Del Piccolo, F., Covre, F., Nazzi, F. How does the mite *Varroa destructor*

kill the honeybee *Apis mellifera*? Alteration of cuticular hydrocarbons and water loss in

infested honeybees J. Insect Physiol. **58**, 1548–1555 (2015).

55. Stabentheiner, A., Kovac, H., Brodschneider, R. Honeybee Colony Thermoregulation

Regulatory Mechanisms and Contribution of Individuals in Dependence on Age,

Location and Thermal Stress. PLoS One **5**, e8967 (2010).

56. Livak, K.J., Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using Real Time

Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT method. Methods **25**, 402–408 (2001).

57. Di Prisco, G. *et al*. A mutualistic symbiosis between a parasitic mite and a pathogenic

virus undermines honey bee immunity and health. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **113**, 3203–

3208 (2016).

58. Huang, Q., Kryger, P., Le Conte, Y., Moritz, R.F.A. Survival and immune response of

drones of a Nosemosis tolerant honey bee strain towards *N. ceranae* infections. J.

Invertebr. Pathol. **109**, 297–302 (2012).

59. Mondet, F., de Miranda, J.R., Kretzschmar, A., Le Conte, Y., Mercer, A.R. On the Front

Line: Quantitative Virus Dynamics in Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) Colonies along a

New Expansion Front of the Parasite *Varroa destructor*. PLoS Pathog. **10**, e1004323

(2014).

60. Mutti, N.S. *et al*. IRS and TOR nutrient-signaling pathways act via juvenile hormone to

influence honey bee caste fate. J. Exp. Biol. **214**, 3977–3984 (2011).