Bacterial and metabolic factors of staphylococcal planktonic and biofilm
environments differentially regulate macrophage immune activation

Elisabeth Seebach[1]*, Tabea Elschner[1], Franziska V. Kraus[2], Margarida Souto-Carneiro[2]
and Katharina F. Kubatzky[1]*

[1] Department of Infectious Diseases, Medical Microbiology and Hygiene, Heidelberg
University, Germany

(Im Neuenheimer Feld 324, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany)

[2] Department of Rheumatology, Medical Clinic 5, Heidelberg University Hospital, Germany

(Im Neuenheimer Feld 410, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany)

* Correspondence:
Elisabeth Seebach
elisabeth.seebach@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Katharina F. Kubatzky
kubatzky@uni-heidelberg.de

ORCID: 0000-0003-3739-9329

Keywords: Implant-related bone infections, Staphylococcus, biofilm, macrophages,
immune response, metabolites

Abstract

Biofilm formation is a leading cause for chronic implant-related bone infections as biofilms shield bacteria against the immune system and antibiotics. Additionally, biofilms generate a metabolic microenvironment that shifts the immune response towards tolerance. Here, we compared the impact of the metabolite profile of bacterial environments on macrophage immune activation using *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) and *epidermidis* (SE) conditioned media (CM) of planktonic and biofilm cultures. The biofilm environment had reduced glucose and increased lactate concentrations. Moreover, the expression of typical immune activation markers on macrophages was reduced in the biofilm environment compared to the respective planktonic CM. However, all CM caused a predominantly pro-inflammatory macrophage cytokine response with a comparable induction of *Tnfa* expression. In biofilm CM this was accompanied by higher levels of anti-inflammatory *Il10*. Planktonic CM on the other hand, induced an IRF-7 mediated *Ifnb* expression which was absent in the biofilm environments. For SA but not for SE planktonic CM, this was accompanied by IRF3 activation. Stimulation of macrophages with TLR-2/-9 ligands under varying metabolic conditions revealed that, like in the biofilm setting, low glucose concentration reduced the *Tnfa* to *Il10* mRNA ratio. However, addition of extracellular L-lactate but not D-lactate increased the *Tnfa* to *Il10* mRNA ratio upon TLR-2/-9 stimulation. In summary, our data indicate that the mechanisms behind the activation of macrophages differs between planktonic and biofilm environments. These differences are independent of the metabolite profiles, suggesting that the production of different bacterial factors is ultimately more important than the concentrations of glucose and lactate in the environment.

Introduction

Chronic implant-related bone infections are a major complication in orthopedic and trauma surgery with severe consequences for the patients including long-term antibiotic treatment, repeated surgeries, implant revision, and at worst amputation of the infected limb [1, 2]. Bacteria can take advantage of the indwelled, foreign body and form biofilms on the implant surface. Such infections are frequently persistent because the biofilm matrix acts as a physical barrier that shields bacteria against eradication through host immune system and antibiotic treatment [3-6]. Furthermore, several studies show that biofilm formation promotes a more tolerant immune response, which facilitates bacterial persistence [7, 8].

Staphylococcus aureus (SA) and *epidermidis* (SE) are the most frequently isolated bacteria in implant-related bone infections [1, 6]. SA expresses a broad range of virulence factors, is able to form small colony variants (SCV), can survive inside osteoblasts and osteoclasts [9, 10], persist in cortical bone structures [11], and form biofilms [12]. The commensal SE in comparison mainly relies on biofilm formation as an immune evasion strategy but does not produce aggressive pathogenic factors [13, 14]. Thus, SA is pre-dominantly found in early and acute infections that are associated with pain, swelling, and fever and implicate a high risk for infection recurrence after antibiotic and surgical treatment [15]. SE causes only mild symptoms and low-grade inflammation. Therefore, SE infections are commonly detected when the infection gets chronic, which is closely linked to biofilm formation [16].

Recognition of specific bacterial pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by innate immune cells causes activation of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), such as the toll-like receptors (TLRs). Binding of a PAMP to its respective TLR leads to the activation of the nuclear factor "kappa-light-chain-enhancer" of activated B cells (NF-κB) pathway and subsequent to

the induction of inflammatory cytokines. Further, TLR activation can induce a Type 1 IFN
response mainly via the IRF7 pathway resulting in the production of IFN-α and IFN-β [17].
Next to TLRs, cytosolic PRRs including Nod-like receptors (NLRs) and dsDNA sensors such
as cGAS/STING contribute to an effective immune response against invading bacteria [18, 19].
Binding of SA lipopeptides and lipoteichoic acid (LTA) triggers immune cell activation through
surface-bound TLR-2 while the recognition of bacterial DNA motifs occurs via endosomal
TLR-9 [20]. Biofilm formation however, is discussed to prevent TLR-2 and TLR-9 recognition
of embedded bacteria by masking or retaining PAMPs within the biofilm matrix [21, 22].

Macrophages are innate immune cells with an important role in the first line of defense against
invading pathogens. Together with the other cells of the innate immune system, they fight
bacterial infections by phagocytosis and production of anti-microbial molecules such as nitric
oxygen (NO), anti-microbial peptides, and cytokines [23]. *In vitro*, macrophages can be
polarized into rather pro-inflammatory (M1) or anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotypes [24],
associated either with bacterial clearance or tolerance and persistence, respectively [25]. This
classification is defined by the expression of specific surface markers, inducible nitric oxide
synthase (iNOS, M1) or arginase 1 (Arg-1, M2), and the cytokine profile of the respective
macrophage population (M1: TNF-α, IL-1 and IL-12 vs. M2: IL-10 and TGF-β) [25].
Macrophage subtypes are also characterized by different metabolic activities. While M1
macrophages pre-dominantly rely on aerobic glycolysis, M2 macrophages are associated with
oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) [26]. Usually, an effective pro-inflammatory macrophage
immune response is able to clear an infection caused by planktonic bacteria. However, this often
fails once these bacteria start building a biofilm. Metabolically, biofilm formation is
characterized by extraction of glucose from the environment and accumulation of fermentation
products such as lactate due to the anaerobic growth conditions within the biofilm [27]. This
metabolic microenvironment is considered to support the biofilm-mediated anti-inflammatory
macrophage polarization and thus, impairs them to exert their clearance functions [28].

So far, most studies focused on investigation of the infection after a biofilm has formed. Here,
we addressed the question if the differences in metabolite levels could be a central cause for the
distinct macrophage response against planktonic and biofilm environments, or if bacterially
derived factors impact macrophage activation. Thus, we treated murine RAW 264.7
macrophages with conditioned media (CM) generated from SA or SE planktonic and biofilm
cultures, respectively and analyzed the induction of pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine
production and their metabolic activity. Additionally, we evaluated the effect of low glucose or
high lactate concentrations on macrophage polarization upon combined TLR-2/-9 activation or
stimulation with SA planktonic CM.

Materials and Methods

Bacteria culture and preparation of conditioned media

Staphylococcus aureus strain ATCC 49230 (UAMS-1, isolated from a patient with chronic
osteomyelitis) [29] and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* strain DSM 28319 (RP62A, isolated from
a catheter sepsis) were used for preparation of conditioned media. Bacteria were cultured on
Columbia agar plates with 5% sheep blood (BD, Germany) and streaked onto fresh agar plates
a day before experiment. 3 to 5 colonies were transferred into trypticase soy bouillon (TSB;
BD, Germany) and cultivated under shaking for 3 hours at 37 °C to receive growth state
bacteria. Bacterial density was measured photometrically (Den-1, Grant Instruments, UK) and
adjusted to a concentration of $6*10^5$ CFU/ml in DMEM high glucose (Anprotec, Germany) +

10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom GmbH, Germany). For planktonic
culture, bacteria were cultivated under shaking (200 rpm) for 24 hours at 37°C and 5% CO_2.
For biofilm culture, bacteria were plated in 24 well with 1 ml per well and cultivated under
static conditions for 6 days. In biofilm cultures, medium carefully was replaced every 24 hours.
For CM, planktonic medium after 24 hours of culture or the last 24 hours medium change before
day 6 biofilm culture was harvested by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. For biofilm
CM, media of wells were pooled before centrifugation. Harvested media were streaked onto
agar plates, cultivated over night at 37°C and bacterial appearance (colony size and color) was
controlled to ensure no contamination by other bacteria. Supernatants were then sterile filtered
through a 0.2 µm filter and frozen at -80°C. To rule out remaining bacterial growth, sterile
filtered media were inoculated in TSB and cultivated over night at 37°C. Before use in cell
culture, pH of CM was adjusted to physiological pH of growth medium (DMEM high glucose
+ 10% FCS) by drop by drop titration with 0.5 N NaOH and color check of the pH indicator
Phenol Red. Aliquots were stored at -80°C. Planktonic and biofilm CM from the same approach
were compared within one experiment. For unstimulated CM control, growth medium (DMEM
high glucose + 10% FCS) of the respective approach was treated analogously to CM without
bacteria inoculation.

^{1}H NMR metabolomics

^{1}H NMR spectra of one representative CM approach were acquired using a 400 MHz Bruker
spectrometer (Bruker Ultrashield^{TM} Plus 400) equipped with a 5-mm indirect detection probe.
Each spectrum covered a spectral width of 6.4 kHz. A NOESY1D sequence with water-signal
suppression and a 30-degree pulse and a total repetition time of 6.5 seconds were applied to
ensure full relaxation of all proton nuclei in the samples. Before Fourier transformation, each
free induction decay (FID) was multiplied by a decaying exponential with a decay constant of
0.3 Hz. To allow comparison between different spectra, sodium fumarate (10 mM), dissolved
in a 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution prepared with D2O (99.9%), was used as an internal
standard. NMR samples consisted of 140 µL of CM plus 35 µL of fumarate standard. Before
evaluation, spectra were phase adjusted and baseline corrected using the Bruker TopSpin 3.6.3
software. Spectra were further calibrated by setting the resonance of fumarate to $\delta = 6.5$ parts
per million (ppm). For comparison of different CM, spectra were adjusted to each other by
equalizing the resonance of fumarate.

Cell culture and stimulation of macrophages

The murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 (ATCC TIB-71, USA) was used for the
experiments [30]. RAW 264.7 cells were cultivated in DMEM high glucose + 10% heat-
inactivated FCS + 1% Pen/Strep at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Cells were plated into suitable well plate
formats, treated with CM 1:1 diluted in fresh cell growth media or PC (positive control: 1 µg/ml
TLR-2 ligand Pam3CSK4 and 100 nM TLR-9 ligand CpG ODN 1668, both InvivoGen, USA).
For experiments with different glucose concentrations, cells were cultivated on passage before
experiment and stimulation was done either in high glucose DMEM (4.5 g/l) or low glucose
DMEM (1 g/l, Anprotec, Germany). In experiments with different extracellular lactate
concentration, sodium L- or D-lactate (10, 15 and 20 mM, both Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) were
added simultaneously to stimulation.

Flow cytometry

For FACS analysis, 2 million cells / well were plated with 1 ml fresh growth media and 1 ml
CM. After 20 hours supernatants were frozen at -80°C for further investigation and cells were
washed twice with cold PBS. For surface marker staining, 100 µl of cell suspension was either

left in PBS / 2% BSA for the unstained control or stained with 0.2 mg/ml FITC anti-TLR-2
(Novus Biologicals, UK), PE anti-MHC II (Invitrogen, USA) or PE anti-CD80 (BioLegend,
USA) antibodies at 4°C for 1 hour. Cells were washed two times in cold PBS, resuspended in
150 µl cold PBS and then analyzed with the BD FACSCanto™ Flow Cytometer (BD
Biosciences, USA). After measurement, unstained controls were additionally incubated with 30
nM Sytox^R Green nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen, USA) for 5 min and live / dead contribution
was recorded. For intracellular staining of TLR-9, 500 µl of cell suspension were combined
with 500 µl fixation buffer (BioLegend, USA), incubated at 37°C for 15 min, centrifuged at
2000 rpm for 5 min, 2x washed with PBS / 5% BSA and then stored at 4°C, over- night in PBS
/ 5% BSA. Next day, cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 1 ml of -20°C cold TruePhos^{TM}
Perm Buffer (BioLegend, USA) and incubated at -20°C for 1 hour. Cells were then washed two
times and resuspended in 500 µl PBS / 2% BSA. 100 µl of cell suspension was either kept
unstained, stained with 0.5 mg/ml Alexa647 anti-TLR-9 antibody (Novus Biologicals, UK) or
respective isotype at RT for 30 min. Cells were washed twice, resuspended in 300 µl PBS / 2%
BSA and analyzed with the BD FACSCanto™ Flow Cytometer. Results were further analysed
using the Flowing Software (version 2.5.1, Turku Bioscience, Finland).

Cytometric bead array

Supernatants of FACS surface marker analysis were used for cytometric bead array (CBA,
LegendPlex, BioLegend, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A Mouse
Inflammation Panel (Mix and Match Subpanel) was used including beads against TNF-α, IL-
10 and IFN-β. In short, supernatants were centrifuged, diluted 1:5 with Assay Buffer, standard
samples were prepared and samples were transferred into a V-bottom plate. Bead mix was
prepared, added to the samples and incubated on a shaker over night at 4°C in the dark. Next
day, plate was washed 2 times and incubated with detection antibody for 1 hour at RT while
shaking. SA-PE was added and further incubated for 30 min at RT while shaking. Plate was
washed two times; bead pellet was resuspended in wash buffer and data acquisition was done
with the BD® LSR II Flow Cytometer. Analysis and calculation of cytokine concentrations
were performed with the included LEGENDplex^{TM} Data Analysis Software (version 8.0).

Gene expression analysis

For gene expression analysis, cells were stimulated as indicated in the figure legends. Total
RNA extraction was performed using the innuPREP RNA Mini Kit 2.0 (Analytik Jena,
Germany) according to the manufacture's protocol. In short, cells were scraped in lysis buffer
and transferred to a DNA elution column. RNA in the lysate was precipitated by adding 70%
ethanol, transferred to an RNA column, washed and eluated in H$_2$O. Total RNA concentration
was measured using the NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific,
Germany). 1 µg of total RNA was subjected to cDNA synthesis using the Biozym cDNA
synthesis Kit (Biozym Scientific GmbH, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol
using Oligo (dT) primer. A noRT sample (w/o Reverse Transcriptase) consisting of pooled total
RNA of all samples of one experiment was prepared. cDNA was diluted 1:1 in H$_2$O and stored
at -20°C. 2 µl cDNA template and 400 nM of respective primer pairs (Table 1) were used in
qPCR. mRNA levels were evaluated in a two-step PCR reaction (StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR
Cycler, Applied Biosystems, USA) with 60°C annealing/extension temperature for 40 cycles
using the 2x qPCRBIO SyGreen Mix Hi-ROX (PCR Biosystems Ltd., UK). Quality of qPCR
runs and specificity of qPCR products were controlled by included noRT and water samples for
each experiment and primer pair and melting curve comparison. mRNA levels of the respective
genes of interest (Table 1) were normalized to the reference gene HPRT-1 and calculated by
the 2$^{-\Delta CT}$ method.

Immunoblotting

For protein analysis by Western Blot, cells were stimulated as indicated in the figure legends.
Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (1% v/v NP-40 (IGEPAL® CA-630), 0.25% sodium
deoxycholate, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mM Na_3VO_4, 1 mM
NaF) with EDTA-free protease inhibitors (cOmplete™ Tablets) and phosphatase inhibitors
(PhosSTOP™, both Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) for 1 hour at 4°C under rotation.
Lysates were centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Protein concentrations were
determined by BCA assay (Cyanagen Srl, Italy), samples were adjusted to 10 µg protein per 20
µl with ddH2O and 5 µl 4x SDS sample buffer with 10% β-mercaptoethanol and loaded on pre-
cast gradient 4-20% Tris-glycine gels (anamed Elektrophorese GmbH, Germany). Proteins
were transferred onto an Amersham™ Protran™ 0.45 µm nitrocellulose membrane (GE
Healthcare, UK). Membranes were blocked with BlueBlock PF (Serva Electrophoresis GmbH,
Germany) for 30 min at RT before incubation with primary antibodies (Table 2) over night at
4°C. After three times washing with TBST, membranes were incubated with an anti-rabbit
HRP-linked secondary antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, USA) for 1 hour at RT.
Blots were developed with ECL substrate (WESTAR ETA C ULTRA 2.0, Cyanagen Srl, Italy)
and imaged in the ChemoStar ECL & Fluorescence Imager (Intas Science Imaging Instruments
GmbH, Germany).

L-lactate detection

50.000 cells / well were plated in 96 well format and stimulated with 100 µl CM and 100 µl
fresh growth media for 24 hours. Supernatants of three replicates were pooled and stored at -
80°C until further processing. L-lactate concentration was measured in CM as well as in
supernatants of CM stimulated macrophages using an enzyme-based bioluminescent assay
according to the manufacturer's protocol (Lactate-Glo™ Assay, Promega GmbH, Germany).
CM and supernatants were used 1:50 (planktonic CM) or 1:100 (other) diluted with PBS, a
standard curve with defined L-lactate concentrations (0 – 200 µM) was included. Samples and
standard were incubated with the lactate detection reagent for 1 hour at RT and light emission
was recorded by luminometer (LUMIstar® Optima, BMG LABTECH, Germany).

ATP detection

50.000 cells / well were plated in 96 well format and stimulated with 100 µl CM and 100 µl
fresh growth media for 24 hours. Samples were performed in triplicates. Media were removed
and 100 µl of CTG reagent (CellTiter-Glo®, Promega GmbH, Germany; 1:1 with PBS) per
well was added. Cells were lysed for 1 min at RT under continuous shaking. After 10 min
incubation in the dark, supernatants were transferred into a white 96 well plate. Relative ATP
content was determined by bioluminescent light reaction in a luminometer (LUMIstar®
Optima, BMG LABTECH, Germany).

Mitochondrial activity

300.000 cells / well were transferred in 24 well plates and stimulated with CM 1:1 diluted with
fresh growth media in a total of 1 ml. Mitochondrial activity was measured after 24 hours by
adding 100 nM of a mitochondrial membrane potential-sensitive dye (stock conc.: 1 mM in
DMSO, MitoTracker® Deep Red FM, Cell Signaling Technology, USA) to the cells for 30 min
at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Cells were then washed three times with cold PBS, scraped in PBS and
transferred into FACS tubes. Mitochondrial activity was analyzed with the BD FACSCanto™
Flow Cytometer according to the fluorescence intensity of the dye. Only the living cell

population was included in further analysis using the Flowing Software (version 2.5.1, Turku
Bioscience, Finland).

Statistical analysis

Experiments were done in n = 4-5 independent replicates as stated in the figure legends. Data
are presented as mean + SD and single values as dots. Statistical evaluation was performed
using Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc multiple comparison testing and Bonferroni
correction. A p-value below 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. * is indicating
significance against Medium, # is showing significance between different treatments. Data
analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism for Windows (Version 9.3.1, GraphPad Software
Inc., USA).

Results

In vitro generated CM represent the in vivo biofilm environment characterized by low glucose
and high lactate levels

To validate the experimental system, we monitored the ability of the two bacterial strains, SA
and SE, to form biofilms. As described in the literature, we could reproduce that SA strain
UAMS-1 is a moderate biofilm producer on non-coated plastic surfaces *in vitro* [31], whereas
SE RP62A is known to possess strong *in vitro* biofilm formation capacities on plastic [32] (Fig.
1A, B). Supernatants were prepared from bacterial cultures under planktonic or biofilm-specific
culture conditions (Figure 1B, C). ^{1}H-NMR analysis of these supernatants revealed that glucose
could still be detected in the CM of planktonic SA and SE, whereas all glucose was metabolized
in the respective biofilm CM (Fig. 1D). In all conditions, bacteria released acetate. Interestingly,
the levels differed between SA and SE and were higher in CM from planktonic SA and biofilm
SE. As lactate was strongly increased in the CM of SA and SE biofilm cultures, but could only
be detected in residual amounts in the respective planktonic CM we conclude that the generated
CM represent the *in vivo* situation, characterized by glucose deprivation and lactate
accumulation.

All CM induce a pro-inflammatory macrophage immune response, which is less pronounced in
biofilm CM

To evaluate potential differences in the activation of macrophages by either the planktonic or
biofilm environments of SA and SE, we stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages with the
respective CM. As a control, we also stimulated cells with the TLR-2/-9 ligands Pam3CSK4
and CpG ODN (PC). Figure 2A shows that stimulation of macrophages with planktonic CM
increased the surface protein expression of the immune activation markers TLR-2, MHC II and
CD80 much stronger than the respective biofilm CM. This is in line with previous findings that
the biofilm environment promotes immune cell tolerance. Protein levels of intracellular TLR-
9, however, were only slightly increased with no major differences between planktonic and
biofilm CM. Unexpectedly, gene expression analysis revealed that all CM induced the
expression of the pro-inflammatory cytokine *Tnfa* to a similar extent. However, mRNA levels
of the anti-inflammatory cytokine *Il10* were higher in biofilm CM. As TNF-α is associated with
a pro-inflammatory (M1) and IL-10 with an anti-inflammatory (M2) macrophage phenotype,
the ratio of the respective mRNA levels was used as an indicator of macrophage polarization.
Here, the *Tnfa*/*Il10* ratio suggests an overall reduced pro-inflammatory macrophage
polarization in biofilm compared to planktonic CM (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, in planktonic

environments we observed an induction of *Ifnb* gene expression, which was highest for
stimulation with SA planktonic CM (Fig. 2C). The corresponding protein analysis corroborated
that TNF-α secretion was increased for all CM treatments, with the lowest concentration found
for SA planktonic CM. Also, IL-10 release was increased on the protein level after CM
treatment but in contrast to mRNA levels, no differences were observed between planktonic
and biofilm environments (Supp. Fig. 1A). In line with the gene expression analysis, IFN-β
protein levels were only increased after stimulation with planktonic CM (Supp. Fig. 1B).
However, it has to be taken into account that high Protein A contents in SA planktonic CM
might have interfered with the antibody-based detection and contributed to the cytokine
concentrations measured in the supernatants of samples treated with SA planktonic CM.

On the level of signal transduction, we found an activation of the NF-κB pathway as indicated
by increased levels of phosphorylated p65 (Fig. 2D), which is in line with the observed increase
in *Tnfa* and *Il10* expression. While both SA and SE planktonic CM were able to trigger IRF7
phosphorylation, the master regulator of *Ifnb* induction, the IRF-3 pathway was only activated
after treatment with SA planktonic CM. Also, the *Ifnb* gene expression caused by TLR
activation was independent of phospho-IRF3 as only an activation of the IRF7 pathway was
observed for PC (Fig. 2D + E). To rule out that the observed differences between planktonic
and biofilm CM were due to cell viability, we stained for dead cells, but could not detect any
differences between the treatment groups (Supp. Fig 1C). Thus, our data suggest that both
planktonic as well as biofilm CM lead to a pro-inflammatory macrophage immune response,
however, the expression of immune surface markers is less prominent after treatment with
biofilm CM and the induction of an IFN-β response was limited to planktonic CM.

Macrophage immune response is dominated by glycolytic metabolism with a shift towards
increased mitochondrial activity in SE biofilm CM

As the metabolic state shapes the activity of immune cells and supports immune cell
polarization, we analyzed the metabolic activity of RAW 264.7 macrophages upon CM
treatment. In a first step we investigated the levels of L-lactate in the CM. As expected from
the ^{1}H-NMR results, only low levels of L-lactate were present in planktonic CM, whereas
biofilm CM contained high amounts of bacterially derived L-lactate (Fig. 3A). Next, we
measured L-lactate levels from RAW macrophage cultures after stimulation with the CM. Here,
we detected increased L-lactate concentrations in the supernatants of all culture conditions.
However, it has to be considered that the L-lactate generated by the bacteria during biofilm
formation contributed to the L-lactate concentrations present in the supernatant of macrophages
treated with biofilm CM (Fig. 3B). Thus, we corrected the measured L-lactate concentrations
in the macrophage supernatants with the theoretical L-lactate concentration delivered by the
respective CM 1:1 diluted in fresh cell culture media. The calculated L-lactate production by
the macrophages indicated an increased aerobic glycolysis of the cells especially in the
planktonic environment (Fig 3C). Aconitate decarboxylase 1 (ACOD-1, also known as IRG-1)
catalyzes the reaction of cis-aconitate into the anti-inflammatory itaconate and thus interrupts
the Krebs cycle, shifting cellular metabolic activity towards glycolysis [33, 34]. *Acod1* gene
expression was induced by TLR-2/-9 ligands and CM-treated macrophages (Fig. 3D). A
mitochondrial activity assay revealed that also mitochondrial activity was induced after
stimulation with TLR-2/-9 ligands or CM. This was most pronounced in the biofilm
environment of SE biofilm but comparatively low for treatment with TLR ligands (Fig. 3E).
Total ATP levels remained similar across all treatment conditions (Fig. 3F).

Overall, our data corroborate that in a pro-inflammatory scenario macrophage metabolism
mainly relies on aerobic glycolysis. Again, this was found to be more pronounced in the

planktonic environment. Especially for SE, a shift towards increased mitochondrial activity
become apparent in the biofilm environment, which generally is associated with an anti-
inflammatory immune response.

Low glucose and high lactate levels only show moderate but slightly different effects on
macrophage cytokine response upon TLR-2/-9 stimulation

To investigate if the changes in the macrophage activity pattern were primarily caused by the
observed shift in metabolite abundance in the biofilm environment, we stimulated RAW 264.7
macrophages with the TLR ligands Pam3CSK4 and CpG ODN (PC) in the presence of high
(4.5 g/l) and low (1 g/l) glucose levels or different concentrations of lactate added to high
glucose medium and investigated the resulting macrophage cytokine response and metabolic
activity as described previously. Compared to eukaryotes where L-lactate is the predominant
form, SA is able to produce both enantiomers, respectively [35]. Thus, we included D-lactate
in our investigations. Figure 4A shows that there were only slight glucose concentration-
dependent changes in the expression of *Tnfa* or *Il10*, which resulted in a statistically significant
decrease in pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization (*Tnfα/Il10* ratio) in low glucose
compared to a high glucose environment (Fig. 4A). The gene expression level of the glucose
transporter *Slc2a1* was not affected by the amount of glucose in the medium (Fig. 4B). Different
glucose concentrations also did not change basal or TLR induced mitochondrial activity (Fig.
4C). The increase in *Tnfa* mRNA levels observed upon TLR-2/-9 activation was further
elevated by addition of extracellular L-lactate. However, this was not accompanied by a change
in *Il10* induction. In sum, this led to a concentration-dependent increase of pro-inflammatory
macrophage polarization by extracellular L-lactate upon TLR-2/-9 activation (Fig. 4D).
Interestingly, increasing extracellular L-lactate levels further enhanced the mitochondrial
activity observed upon stimulation with PC (Fig. 4E). Adding D-lactate to the medium had no
effect on the gene expression levels of *Tnfa* and led to an only slightly but not significantly
(p=0.1989 PC vs. PC+20mM) increase in induction of *Il10* mRNA levels not enough to change
macrophage polarization (Fig. 4F). Eventually, the L-lactate present in the FCS of the cell
culture medium has partially overshadowed the effects of D-lactate. This might equally explain,
why the increasing D-lactate concentration led to an increase in mitochondrial activity upon
TLR-2/-9 activation (Fig. 4G). We also investigated the effect of increasing extracellular acetate
concentrations and found that gene expression levels of *Tnfa* only slightly (p=0.1046 PC vs.
PC+20mM) but those from *Il10* significantly increased at high acetate concentrations, which
did not result in an overall change in pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization (Supp. Fig. 2).

In summary, our data indicate that low glucose or high lactate concentrations in the environment
only have moderate effects on TLR-2/-9 mediated induction of *Tnfa* and *Il10* gene expression
in macrophages. Although glucose and, partially, acetate can contribute to a more anti-
inflammatory and L-lactate to a more pro-inflammatory macrophage immune response, the net
effect remained comparatively small.

Low glucose and high lactate concentrations have no effect on macrophage IRF3 mediated Ifnb
gene expression induced by SA planktonic CM

We further investigated if different glucose and lactate concentrations affected the ability of
macrophages to produce IFN-β in a SA planktonic environment. Therefore, we investigated
IRF3 pathway activation and the subsequent induction of *Ifnb* gene expression to see if the low
glucose and high lactate environment observed in SA biofilm CM could inhibit this pathway.
We stimulated the cells with SA planktonic CM diluted in high or low glucose medium or added
increasing concentrations of extracellular L- and D-lactate. Stimulation with SA biofilm CM

was included for comparison. Figure 5A shows that glucose did not affect the increase in *Tnfa*
and *Il10* mRNA levels observed for SA planktonic environment resulting in an unchanged
macrophage polarization status (Fig. 5A). In addition, the induction of *Ifnb* gene expression and
the IFN-β target gene *Isg15* remained unchanged by different extracellular glucose
concentration (Fig. 5B). Consistently, activation of the NF-κB or IRF3 pathway by SA
planktonic CM was independent of the extracellular glucose concentrations (Fig. 5C).
Comparable results were observed for different L- (Fig. 5D-G) and D- (Fig. 5H-K) lactate
concentrations. We could observe that the differences in induction of *Tnfa* and *Il10* gene
expression and pro-inflammatory macrophage polarization between SA planktonic and SA
biofilm CM were not triggered by the increased lactate concentrations characteristic for the
biofilm environment (Fig. 5D+H). Furthermore, *Ifnb* mRNA and p-IRF3 protein levels upon
stimulation with SA planktonic CM were not affected by addition of extracellular L- or D-
lactate (Fig. 5E/F+I/J). The cells responded to the higher extracellular L- or D-lactate
concentrations with a slightly increased induction of *Il6* mRNA levels upon stimulation with
SA planktonic CM when compared with conditions without lactate addition (Fig 5 G+K).
However, this increase did not reach the levels in the SA biofilm samples (Fig. 5G+K).

Our data demonstrate that artificial low glucose and high lactate concentrations combined with
the stimulation of macrophages with SA planktonic CM is not sufficient to induce a more anti-
inflammatory macrophage immune response or to prevent IRF3 mediated *Ifnb* induction as seen
in the respective biofilm environment.

4. Discussion

Biofilm formation is a major cause for chronic progression of implant-related bone infections.
The biofilm environment is discussed to shift the immune reaction towards a more tolerogenic
response that supports bacterial persistence. Macrophages play an important role in the early
defense against invading bacteria and their pro- versus anti-inflammatory polarization is critical
for effective bacterial clearance. In the present study we wanted to investigate if the biofilm
metabolite environment characterized by low glucose and high lactate levels is a main factor
determining the intensity and direction of the macrophage immune response in planktonic
versus biofilm infectious situations. In addition, we included two relevant bacteria strains,
Staphylococcus aureus and *epidermidis*. SA is highly virulent, produce a panel of toxins, is able
to survive intracellularly and can form biofilms [12]. In contrast, the pathogenicity of SE mainly
depends on biofilm formation [13]. To address this difference, we used the SE reference strain
RP62A, which possesses a high *in vitro* biofilm formation capacity [32] and the SA strain
UAMS-1, which originates from an osteomyelitis patient and shows only moderate biofilm
formation on uncoated plastic surfaces [29, 31]. Independent of the different capacities in
biofilm formation, the CM of both SA and SE shared similar characteristics with low glucose
and high lactate levels in the biofilm CM, nicely representing glucose deprivation and lactate
accumulation known for the local biofilm micromilieu [27, 28]. We cultivated RAW 264.7
macrophages in planktonic or biofilm CM generated from SA and SE cultures, respectively,
and analyzed immune cell activation by measuring cell surface proteins, cytokine gene
expression, metabolic activity and underlying signal transduction events. We showed that
planktonic and biofilm environments both are able to elicit a predominantly pro-inflammatory
immune response with increased glycolytic activity. However, this was less pronounced in
biofilm CM and the increased gene expression levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10
support this finding. Our data further indicate that only planktonic bacteria are able to initiate
an IRF7 mediated IFN-β response, which was not detected in the respective biofilm

environment. Interestingly, only in SA planktonic CM, *Ifnb* induction was also associated with
IRF3 pathway activation. This can be explained by the profuse arsenal of virulence factors
expressed by planktonic SA which causes more severe immune reactions than SE. Mimicking
the metabolite profile of the biofilm environment with low glucose or high lactate
concentrations had no effect on macrophage cytokine induction after TLR-2/-9 activation or
stimulation with SA planktonic CM. In summary, our data indicate that the biofilm environment
indeed elicits a less strong immune activation and supports a more anti-inflammatory
macrophage phenotype compared to the respective planktonic environment. This was
confirmed for SA as well as for SE. Further, our data clearly show that mimicking the biofilm
metabolite environment during stimulation with relevant TLR ligands or planktonic CM is
insufficient to shift the macrophage immune response towards the biofilm situation. Thus, our
results suggest that ultimately, differentially released pathogenicity factors by the bacteria either
growing planktonic or in biofilm are the central mediators that shape the resulting immune
response.

In line with previous data from SA biofilm infection models [21, 22], biofilm CM of SA and
SE induced a less pronounced upregulation of TLR-2 surface localization compared to
planktonic CM. Despite the reduced surface levels of TLR-2, MHCII and CD80 in biofilm CM,
planktonic as well as biofilm CM of both strains induced a comparable increase in NF-κB
signaling that resulted in the expression of proportionate amounts of pro-inflammatory TNF-α.
Equal or even increased TNF-α levels upon stimulation with supernatants generated from
biofilm compared to planktonic SA cultures were also detected in human keratinocytes or
fibroblasts [36, 37]. Our findings are in contrast to a similar study that found a suppression of
pro-inflammatory macrophage activity by biofilm CM of SA which was mediated by KLF2
[38], a known negative regulator of NF-κB transcriptional activity [39]. The authors suggest
that the observed increase in KLF2 expression might be caused by secretion of class II
exotoxins such as α-hemolysin into the environment. However, the UAMS-1 strain used in our
study is negative for α-hemolysin due to a mutation of the *hla* gene [40], which might explain
the different results. Further, the authors focused on *Il6* and *Il1b* gene expression and did not
check for *Tnfa* gene expression which might be differentially regulated. Our data suggest that
the initial release of pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α from macrophages might be less
important in the inefficient immune response against biofilms than the subsequent initiation of
a T-cell response through MHCII mediated antigen presentation. As an impaired T cell response
is discussed as one of the reasons behind chronicity of biofilm infections [7], effects of the
biofilm environment on the macrophage/T-cell interaction should be investigated in more
detail. Our experiments further demonstrated an induction of *Ifnb* gene expression in
macrophages upon stimulation with planktonic CM of SA and SE. For SA infections, induction
of an IFN-β response has been described previously [41]. Here, we showed that also SE is able
to trigger IFN-β production. The direct comparison of *Ifnb* induction by planktonic and biofilm
environments revealed that, this specifically happens in the presence of a planktonic
environment and is not triggered by the corresponding biofilm environment. Planktonic CM
strongly induced TLR signaling that could have been one cause for the activation of the IRF7
pathway detected in these environments. For SA planktonic CM an additional activation of
IRF3 was observed, which can be a downstream target of cytosolic nucleic acid sensor
pathways such as the cGAS-STING pathway [42]. A recent study showed that indeed STING-
IRF3 signaling is involved in IFN-β induction upon infection of macrophages with live SA [43].
Another study showed that also SA biofilms can lead to a STING-dependent IFN-β induction
in macrophages via the release of c-di-AMP due to bacterial lysis [44]. This is in contrast to our
findings as we did not detect IRF3 activation and *Ifnb* induction in the biofilm environment
which could be caused by the use of different SA strains, varying culturing conditions and
experimental setups. In virus infections, IFN-β interferes with cellular proliferation and induces

the production of ISGs that impairs viral replication [45]. It is becoming increasingly clearer
that IFN-β has important but controversial functions in bacterial infections [46, 47]. The fact
that *Ifnb* was dominantly expressed after incubation with planktonic bacteria that usually can
be cleared through the immune system suggests that a focus should be set on investigating its
role in the course of chronic implant-related bone infections. Metabolic analysis revealed that
especially in the planktonic environments, the macrophage immune response is dominated by
aerobic glycolysis. However, it could be observed that the cells started to enhance their
mitochondrial activity upon stimulation with CM, which was most pronounced for CM derived
from SE biofilm cultures. Increased OxPhos activity is associated with a more anti-
inflammatory M2 macrophage polarization [26] and might play a role in chronic biofilm
infections [28]. Our finding for SE biofilm CM is in line with a recent study, where a shift
towards OxPhos activity was shown in monocytes over the time course of an orthopedic biofilm
infection. Furthermore, the authors showed that inhibiting OxPhos *in vivo* by a nanoparticle-
based delivery of oligomycin restored an effective pro-inflammatory monocyte immune
response and reduced biofilm burden [48]. Extracellular lactate was found to be associated with
an inhibitory effect on the pro-inflammatory immune response of macrophages [49-51]. In a
recent study, the group of Tammy Kielian compared the effects of biofilm derived L- and D-
lactate on the production of anti-inflammatory IL-10 using mutant SA strains deficient in L-
and D-lactate production [35]. Their data suggest that biofilm-derived lactate is responsible for
an increased IL-10 synthesis by myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and macrophages
via inhibition of histone deacetylase 11 (HDAC11) and an unchecked *Il10* promotor activity.
Although we detected high amounts of bacterial lactate only for biofilm CM, in our
experimental setup, a strong *Il10* induction could be detected for both, planktonic and biofilm
CM. In the biofilm CM, induction of *Il10* gene expression was higher than in the respective
planktonic environment which might be due to its higher lactate concentration. As we did not
detect bacterial lactate in planktonic CM, we suggest that the mechanisms behind *Il10* induction
may differ between planktonic and biofilm environments. In addition, independently of
bacterial derived lactate concentrations, planktonic CM was able to induce *Il10* gene expression
as a consequence of increased TLR signaling.

We further evaluated the effect of extracellular low glucose or high lactate levels on
macrophage polarization upon TLR-2/-9 stimulation. Most studies have investigated the impact
of extracellular lactate as a product of aerobic glycolysis triggered by LPS mediated TLR-4
signaling [52]. In these studies, high lactate concentrations were associated with a suppression
of a pro-inflammatory macrophage responses [49, 51], which was also seen for TLR-2
stimulation by Pam3Cys [50]. Conversely, we detected an increase of *Tnfa* mRNA levels with
increasing extracellular L-lactate concentrations resulting in a more pro-inflammatory
macrophage polarization upon TLR-2/-9 activation. In comparison to our setup, the other
studies used either higher lactate concentrations (up to 100 mM) or lactate pre-incubation before
LPS treatment which might have led to different results. Further, it is possible that the effects
of lactate on the macrophage immune response vary between different TLR ligands. In addition,
we observed that addition of extracellular lactate dose-dependently enhanced mitochondrial
activity after stimulation with TLR-2/-9 ligands, which after a longer time period of several
days might lead to a metabolically induced switch towards a more anti-inflammatory response.
Compared to lactate, the impact of extracellular glucose levels on a TLR mediated immune
response has been investigated to a lesser extent. High extracellular glucose levels were linked
to LPS-induced inflammasome activation, pyroptosis and IL-1β production in macrophages
[53, 54]. In our setting, we only observed minor effects of extracellular glucose levels on *Tnfa*
and *Il10* gene expression levels after TLR-2/-9 stimulation. Nonetheless, the ratio between the
two indicated that macrophage polarization shifted towards a less pro- and more anti-
inflammatory response at low glucose levels. Mitochondrial activity, however, remained

unaffected. In a paper investigating the effects of different glucose concentrations on LPS-
mediated immune responses of macrophages from non-diabetic and diabetic mice [55], it was
found that the effect of extracellular glucose concentrations on the LPS response was getting
stronger over time. After 24 hours, the authors detected only slight changes in cytokine release
of healthy macrophages, whereas higher glucose concentrations decreased TNF-α cytokine
levels after 7 days of LPS stimulation. Furthermore, we investigated the effects of different
extracellular glucose and lactate concentrations on the macrophage cytokine response upon
stimulation with SA planktonic CM. Low glucose or addition of lactate had no effect on
induction of *Tnfa* gene expression in response to SA planktonic CM nor increased *Il10* mRNA
levels like in the SA biofilm CM. This clearly differed from our results observed for our
bacterial CM which were shown by ^{1}H-NMR to contain low glucose and high lactate
concentrations under biofilm conditions. Our data suggest that mimicking biofilm metabolite
conditions in a planktonic environment by reducing glucose or adding lactate was not sufficient
to shift the macrophage polarization towards the biofilm situation. Therefore, the difference in
the macrophage activation profile is primarily dependent on further substances from the CM
and not on the metabolite levels. Low glucose or high lactate levels also did not affect IRF3
activation and the subsequent induction of *Ifnb* gene expression upon stimulation with SA
planktonic CM. This again indicates that the metabolite profile of the biofilm environment does
not prevent the IRF3 mediated *Ifnb* induction. It rather seems that IFN-β production requires an
additional bacterial stimulus that is present under planktonic conditions but missing in the
biofilm environment. This is not unexpected, as the induction of bacterial genes associated with
biofilm formation and metabolic adaption is often accompanied by a downregulation of
virulence factors predominantly expressed in the planktonic lifestyle [56, 57]. Identifying these
immunogenic, bacterial mediators that discriminate between planktonic and biofilm
environments will help to develop future treatment options to strengthen the immune response
in chronic implant-related bone infections.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Author contribution

ES was responsible for study conception and design, acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data and wrote the manuscript. TE and FVK participated in data acquisition, analysis and interpretation. MSC was involved in data interpretation and critically revised the manuscript. KFK supervised the study, contributed to data interpretation, helped to draft the manuscript and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Elisabeth Seebach was funded by the Physician Scientist Program of the Medical Faculty of Heidelberg University.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Katharina Draxel and Stella Cavicchioli for their help with the experiments. Furthermore, we thank Gabriele Sonnenmoser for technical assistance.

Additional information

Supplementary material accompanies the manuscript, which is available in a separate file. This manuscript is available online in the pre-print version https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.26.453923.

Figure 1. **Biofilm formation of selected bacteria strains and preparation and characterization of conditioned media.** *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) strain ATCC 49230 (UAMS-1) and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (SE) strain DSM 28319 (RP62A) were used in this study. Bacteria were freshly streaked out a day before experiment. To generate log-phase bacteria, 3-5 colonies were transferred in TSB, cultured for 3 hours and then adjusted to 10^6 CFU per ml. A) *In vitro* biofilm formation of study strains. 100 µl of bacteria (10^5 CFU/ well) in TSB with 1% glucose were transferred into 96 well plates and cultivated at 37°C for 24, 72 and 144 hours. TSB with 1% glucose was replaced every 24 hours. Biofilm formation was quantified by crystal violet staining (1% crystal violet in H_2O). Data are presented as OD at 570 nm which is proportional to biofilm mass. n=2 experiments in triplicates, mean ± SD are shown.

B) Pictures showing planktonic cultures after 24 hours of shaking and biofilm formation of
static culture in 24 wells after 6 days. For generation of conditioned media (CM), bacteria
($6*10^5$ CFU/ well/ ml) were cultured in growth media (DMEM high glucose + 10% FCS)
without antibiotics at 37°C and 5% CO_2. During biofilm formation media were replaced every
24 hours. C) Pictures of respective CM before pH adjustment. CM were harvested by
centrifugation and sterile filtration after 24 hours of planktonic culture or the last 24 hours
media of 6-days biofilm culture. D) ^{1}H NMR spectra of CM were acquired using a 400 MHz
Bruker spectrometer (Bruker Ultrashield^{TM} Plus 400). To allow comparison between different
spectra, sodium fumarate (10 mM), dissolved in a 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution prepared
with D2O (99.9%), was used as an internal standard. For comparison of different CM, spectra
were adjusted to each other by equalizing the resonance of fumarate. Resonances of metabolites
were then compared between the different CM. Shown are multiple display expansions of
spectra of growth medium and CM for fumarate (singlet; $\delta = 6.50$ ppm), ^{12}C glucose (doublet;
$\delta = 5.22$ ppm), ^{12}C acetate (singlet; $\delta = 1.90$ ppm) and ^{12}C lactate (doublet; $\delta = 1.31$ ppm).

Figure 2. **Macrophage immune activation after stimulation with conditioned media.** RAW 264.7 cells were cultivated in CM 1:1 diluted in fresh growth media (DMEM high glucose + 10% FCS + 1% Pen/Strep) and immune response was investigated. A) Immune marker profile of macrophages. Cells were stimulated with CM for 20 hours and surface protein levels of TLR-2, MHC complex II (MHCII) and co-stimulatory protein CD80 as well as endosomal TLR-9 were measured by FACS analysis. Data are presented as median expression levels measured by fluorescence intensity. B+C) Gene expression analysis of relevant cytokines. Cells were stimulated with CM for 20 hours and mRNA levels of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-inflammatory *Il10* (B) and *Ifnb* (C) were quantified by RT-qPCR. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. D+E) Activation of NF-κB, IRF3 and IRF7 signaling in macrophages. Cells were stimulated with CM for 4 hours and presence of phospho-NFκB p65 and phospho-IRF3 (D) or phospho-IRF7 (E) as activated forms of the transcription factors was visualized by Western Blot. HSP90 was used as loading control, n=3 experiments. For A-C: Data are presented as mean + SD and single values are shown as dots. n=4-5 experiments, p-values are calculated by Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni corrected multiple comparison. * is indicating significance against Medium, # is showing significance between respective planktonic and biofilm CM. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$; # $p<0.05$, ## $p<0.01$, ### $p<0.001$. PC: positive control (1 µg Pam3CSK4 + 100 nM CpG ODN).

Figure 3. **Metabolic changes in macrophages after stimulation with conditioned media.** RAW 264.7 cells were cultivated in CM 1:1 diluted in fresh growth media (DMEM high glucose + 10% FCS + 1% Pen/Strep) and metabolic parameters were investigated. A) L-lactate amount in conditioned media. Bacteria were cultivated either in planktonic culture for 24 hours or biofilm culture for 6 days. CM were harvested 24 hours after last media exchange and L-lactate concentration was quantified by an enzyme-based assay. Data are presented as concentration (mM) measured by bioluminescent light release. B) L-lactate amount in supernatants of CM-treated macrophages. Cells were stimulated with CM 1:1 diluted in fresh growth media for 24 hours. Total L-lactate in the supernatant was quantified by an enzyme-based assay. Data are presented as concentration (mM) measured by bioluminescent light release. C) L-lactate release by macrophages after CM stimulation. Amount of L-lactate released by macrophages was calculated by total L-lactate concentration of macrophage supernatants minus the theoretical L-lactate concentration of CM 1:1 diluted in fresh growth medium. Data are presented as concentration (mM). D) Gene expression analysis of *Acod1*. Cells were stimulated with CM for 4 hours and mRNA levels of *Acod1* were quantified by RT-qPCR. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. E) Mitochondrial activity of macrophages. Cells were stimulated with CM for 24 hours and mitochondrial activity was measured by FACS analysis using a membrane potential-dependent fluorescent dye. Data are presented as median mitochondrial potential measured by fluorescence intensity. F) ATP production by macrophages. Cells were stimulated with CM for 24 hours and total ATP content was measured in cell lysates by enzyme-based assay (CTG). Data are presented as relative light units measured by bioluminescent light release. For all: Data are presented as mean + SD and single values are shown as dots. n=4-5 experiments (for CTG

651 assay mean of technical triplicates was included in statistics), p-values are calculated by
652 Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni corrected multiple comparison. * is
653 indicating significance against Medium, # is showing significance between respective
654 planktonic and biofilm CM. * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$; # $p<0.05$, ## $p<0.01$, ###
655 $p<0.001$. PC: positive control (1 µg Pam3CSK4 + 100 nM CpG ODN).

Figure 4. **Effect of biofilm metabolite profile on macrophage TLR-2/-9 response.** RAW 264.7 cells were stimulated with PC (positive control: 1 µg Pam3CSK4 + 100 nM CpG ODN) for 20 hours under low glucose or high lactate conditions and cytokine response and mitochondrial activity were evaluated. A-C) Effect of extracellular glucose concentration. Cells were stimulated by PC either in high (4.5 g/L) or low (1 g/L) glucose media. A+B) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-inflammatory *Il10* (A) and glucose transporter *Slc2a1* (B). Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. C) Mitochondrial activity was measured by FACS analysis using a membrane potential-dependent fluorescent dye. Data are presented as normalized fluorescence intensity with medium sample set as 1. D+E) Effect of extracellular L-lactate concentration. Cells were stimulated by PC in media supplemented with different L-lactate concentrations (10 and 20 mM). D) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-inflammatory *Il10*. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. E) Mitochondrial activity was measured by FACS analysis using a membrane potential-dependent fluorescent dye. Data are presented as normalized fluorescence intensity with medium sample set as 1. F+G) Effect of extracellular D-lactate concentration. Cells were stimulated by PC in media supplemented with different D-lactate

concentrations (10 and 20 mM). G) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and
anti-inflammatory *Il10*. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for
macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest
related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. H) Mitochondrial activity was measured by FACS analysis
using a membrane potential-dependent fluorescent dye. Data are presented as normalized
fluorescence intensity with medium sample set as 1. For all: Data are presented as mean + SD
and single values are shown as dots. n=4-5 experiments, p-values are calculated by Ordinary
one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni corrected multiple comparison. * is indicating
significance against Medium, # is showing significance between respective planktonic and
biofilm CM. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$; # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$, ### $p < 0.001$.

Figure 5. **Effect of biofilm metabolite profile on IRF3 mediated *Ifnb* induction by SA planktonic CM.** RAW 264.7 cells were cultivated in SA plankt. 1:1 diluted in fresh growth media (DMEM high glucose + 10% FCS + 1% Pen/Strep) with different extracellular glucose or lactate concentrations and immune response was investigated. A-C) Effect of extracellular glucose concentration. Cells were stimulated with SA plankt. CM 1:1 diluted either in high (4.5 g/L) or low (1 g/L) glucose media. A+B) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-inflammatory *Il10* (A) and *Ifnb* (B) after 20 hours of stimulation. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. C) Activation of NF-κB and IRF3 signaling in macrophages. Cells were stimulated for 4 hours and presence of phospho-NFκB p65 and phospho-IRF3 as activated forms of the transcription factors was visualized by Western Blot. HSP90 was used as loading control, n=3 experiments. D-G) Effect of extracellular L-lactate concentration. Cells were stimulated with SA plankt. CM 1:1 diluted in media ± 15 mM L-lactate or SA biofilm CM for comparison. D+E) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-inflammatory *Il10* (D) and *Ifnb* (E) after 20 hours of stimulation. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10* expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. F) Activation of NF-κB and IRF3 signaling in macrophages. Cells were stimulated for 4 hours and presence of phospho-NFκB p65 and phospho-IRF3 as activated forms of the transcription factors was visualized by Western Blot. HSP90 was used as loading control, n=3 experiments. G) Gene expression analysis of *Il6* after 20 hours of stimulation. Data are presented as relative gene expression normalized on SA plankt. CM. H-K) Effect of extracellular D-lactate concentration. Cells were stimulated with SA plankt. CM 1:1 diluted in media ± 15 mM D-lactate. G+H) Gene expression analysis of pro-inflammatory *Tnfa* and anti-

inflammatory *Il10* (G) and *Ifnb* (H) after 20 hours of stimulation. Ratio of *Tnfa* to *Il10*
expression levels was used as indicator for macrophage polarization. Data are presented as
relative gene expression of gene of interest related to the reference gene *Hprt1*. J) Activation of
NF-κB and IRF3 signaling in macrophages. Cells were stimulated for 4 hours and presence of
phospho-NFκB p65 and phospho-IRF3 as activated forms of the transcription factors was
visualized by Western Blot. HSP90 was used as loading control, n=3 experiments. K) Gene
expression analysis of *Il6* after 20 hours of stimulation. Data are presented as relative gene
expression normalized on SA plankt. CM. For A+B, D+E+G, H+I+K: Data are presented as
mean + SD and single values are shown as dots. n=4-5 experiments, p-values are calculated by
Ordinary one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni corrected multiple comparison. * is
indicating significance against Medium, # is showing significance between respective
planktonic and biofilm CM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001; # p<0.05, ## p<0.01, ###
p<0.001.

Tables

Table 1 List of oligonucleotides used for quantitative RT-PCR analysis.

Gene for	RefSeq	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Acod1	NM_008392.1	CAGCTCTATCGGAAGCCCTG	CAGAAACTTGGACGCAGCAG
Slc2a1	NM_011400.3	CAGTTCGGCTATAACACTGGTG	GCCCCCGACAGAGAAGATG
Hprt1	NM_013556.2	GGGGACATAAAAGTTATTGGTGG	CATTTTGGGGCTGTACTGCT
Ifnb	NM_010510.1	TGGGAGATGTCCTCAACTGC	CCAGGCGTAGCTGTTGTACT
Il6	NM_031168.2	CCGGAGAGGAGACTTCACAG	TTCTGCAAGTGCATCATCGT
Il10	NM_010548.2	GGTTGCCAAGCCTTATCGGA	ACCTGCTCCACTGCCTTGCT
Isg15	NM_015783.3	CCTGGTGAGGAACGAAAGGG	AAGCGTGTCTACAGTCTGCG
Tnfa	NM_013693.3	AAAATTCGAGTGACAAGCCTGTAG	CCCTTGAAGAGAACCTGGGAGTAG

729 Mouse specific primers were designed intron-flanking and included all transcript variants if
730 possible and were obtained from biomers.net GmbH, Germany. If more transcript variants are
731 present, RefSeq is given for transcript variant 1. Gene name is shown in brackets if different
732 from protein name.

734 Table 2 List of antibodies used for Immunoblotting (Western Blot).

Protein	Source	Size (kDa)	Dilution	Company
HSP-90	rabbit	90	1:1000	Cell Signaling Technology, USA
Phospho-IRF3 (Ser396)	rabbit	45-55	1:1000	Cell Signaling Technology, USA
Phospho-IRF7 (Ser437/438)	rabbit	55	1:1000	Cell Signaling Technology, USA
Phospho-NFκB p65 (Ser536)	rabbit	65	1:1000	Cell Signaling Technology, USA

Antibodies were all recommended for use in mouse and applied according to the manufacturer's
advice. Proteins were detected by chemiluminescent luminol reaction after incubation with
respective HRP-linked secondary antibody and imaged in a ChemoStar ECL Imager.

References

1.   Zimmerli W and Sendi P. Orthopaedic biofilm infections. *APMIS*. (2017) 125:353-64.
doi: 10.1111/apm.12687
2.   Tande AJ and Patel R. Prosthetic joint infection. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. (2014) 27:302-45.
doi: 10.1128/CMR.00111-13
3.   Otto M. Staphylococcal Biofilms. *Microbiol Spectr*. (2018) 6:doi:
10.1128/microbiolspec.GPP3-0023-2018
4.   Watters C, Fleming D, Bishop D, and Rumbaugh KP. Host Responses to Biofilm. *Prog*
Mol Biol Transl Sci. (2016) 142:193-239. doi: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2016.05.007
5.   Zimmerli W and Sendi P. Pathogenesis of implant-associated infection: the role of the
host. *Semin Immunopathol*. (2011) 33:295-306. doi: 10.1007/s00281-011-0275-7
6.   Arciola CR, Campoccia D, and Montanaro L. Implant infections: adhesion, biofilm
formation and immune evasion. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. (2018) 16:397-409. doi:
10.1038/s41579-018-0019-y
7.   Seebach E and Kubatzky KF. Chronic Implant-Related Bone Infections-Can Immune
Modulation be a Therapeutic Strategy? *Front Immunol*. (2019) 10:1724. doi:
10.3389/fimmu.2019.01724
8.   Gries CM and Kielian T. Staphylococcal Biofilms and Immune Polarization During
Prosthetic Joint Infection. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. (2017) 25 Suppl 1:S20-S4. doi:
10.5435/JAAOS-D-16-00636
9.   Loffler B, Tuchscherr L, Niemann S, and Peters G. Staphylococcus aureus persistence
in non-professional phagocytes. *Int J Med Microbiol*. (2014) 304:170-6. doi:
10.1016/j.ijmm.2013.11.011
10. Krauss JL, Roper PM, Ballard A, Shih CC, Fitzpatrick JAJ, Cassat JE, et al.
Staphylococcus aureus Infects Osteoclasts and Replicates Intracellularly. *mBio*. (2019)
10:doi: 10.1128/mBio.02447-19
11. Zoller SD, Hegde V, Burke ZDC, Park HY, Ishmael CR, Blumstein GW, et al. Evading
the host response: Staphylococcus "hiding" in cortical bone canalicular system causes
increased bacterial burden. *Bone Res*. (2020) 8:43. doi: 10.1038/s41413-020-00118-w
12. Muthukrishnan G, Masters EA, Daiss JL, and Schwarz EM. Mechanisms of Immune
Evasion and Bone Tissue Colonization That Make Staphylococcus aureus the Primary
Pathogen in Osteomyelitis. *Curr Osteoporos Rep*. (2019) 17:395-404. doi:
10.1007/s11914-019-00548-4
13. Le KY, Park MD, and Otto M. Immune Evasion Mechanisms of Staphylococcus
epidermidis Biofilm Infection. *Front Microbiol*. (2018) 9:359. doi:
10.3389/fmicb.2018.00359
14. Foster TJ. Immune evasion by staphylococci. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. (2005) 3:948-58. doi:
10.1038/nrmicro1289
15. Masters EA, Trombetta RP, de Mesy Bentley KL, Boyce BF, Gill AL, Gill SR, et al.
Evolving concepts in bone infection: redefining "biofilm", "acute vs. chronic
osteomyelitis", "the immune proteome" and "local antibiotic therapy". *Bone Res*. (2019)
7:20. doi: 10.1038/s41413-019-0061-z
16. Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, and Ochsner PE. Prosthetic-joint infections. *N Engl J Med*.
(2004) 351:1645-54. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra040181
17. Kawai T and Akira S. The role of pattern-recognition receptors in innate immunity:
update on Toll-like receptors. *Nat Immunol*. (2010) 11:373-84. doi: 10.1038/ni.1863
18. Kufer TA and Sansonetti PJ. Sensing of bacteria: NOD a lonely job. *Curr Opin*
Microbiol. (2007) 10:62-9. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2006.11.003

19.   Marinho FV, Benmerzoug S, Oliveira SC, Ryffel B, and Quesniaux VFJ. The Emerging
789   Roles of STING in Bacterial Infections. *Trends Microbiol*. (2017) 25:906-18. doi:
790   10.1016/j.tim.2017.05.008

791   20.   Pietrocola G, Arciola CR, Rindi S, Di Poto A, Missineo A, Montanaro L, et al. Toll-like
792   receptors (TLRs) in innate immune defense against Staphylococcus aureus. *Int J Artif*
793   *Organs*. (2011) 34:799-810. doi: 10.5301/ijao.5000030

794   21.   Thurlow LR, Hanke ML, Fritz T, Angle A, Aldrich A, Williams SH, et al.
795   Staphylococcus aureus biofilms prevent macrophage phagocytosis and attenuate
796   inflammation   in   vivo.   *J   Immunol*.   (2011)   186:6585-96.   doi:
797   10.4049/jimmunol.1002794

798   22.   Bernthal NM, Pribaz JR, Stavrakis AI, Billi F, Cho JS, Ramos RI, et al. Protective role
799   of IL-1beta against post-arthroplasty Staphylococcus aureus infection. *J Orthop Res*.
800   (2011) 29:1621-6. doi: 10.1002/jor.21414

801   23.   Murray PJ and Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets.
802   *Nat Rev Immunol*. (2011) 11:723-37. doi: 10.1038/nri3073

803   24.   Sica A and Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. *J Clin*
804   *Invest*. (2012) 122:787-95. doi: 10.1172/JCI59643

805   25.   Benoit M, Desnues B, and Mege JL. Macrophage polarization in bacterial infections. *J*
806   *Immunol*. (2008) 181:3733-9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.6.3733

807   26.   Kelly B and O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells
808   in innate immunity. *Cell Res*. (2015) 25:771-84. doi: 10.1038/cr.2015.68

809   27.   Zhu Y, Weiss EC, Otto M, Fey PD, Smeltzer MS, and Somerville GA. Staphylococcus
810   aureus biofilm metabolism and the influence of arginine on polysaccharide intercellular
811   adhesin synthesis, biofilm formation, and pathogenesis. *Infect Immun*. (2007) 75:4219-
812   26. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00509-07

813   28.   Yamada KJ and Kielian T. Biofilm-Leukocyte Cross-Talk: Impact on Immune
814   Polarization and Immunometabolism. *J Innate Immun*. (2019) 11:280-8. doi:
815   10.1159/000492680

816   29.   Gillaspy AF, Hickmon SG, Skinner RA, Thomas JR, Nelson CL, and Smeltzer MS.
817   Role of the accessory gene regulator (agr) in pathogenesis of staphylococcal
818   osteomyelitis. *Infect Immun*. (1995) 63:3373-80. doi: 10.1128/IAI.63.9.3373-
819   3380.1995

820   30.   Raschke WC, Baird S, Ralph P, and Nakoinz I. Functional macrophage cell lines
821   transformed by Abelson leukemia virus. *Cell*. (1978) 15:261-7. doi: 10.1016/0092-
822   8674(78)90101-0

823   31.   Beenken KE, Blevins JS, and Smeltzer MS. Mutation of sarA in Staphylococcus aureus
824   limits biofilm formation. *Infect Immun*. (2003) 71:4206-11. doi: 10.1128/iai.71.7.4206-
825   4211.2003

826   32.   Christensen GD, Simpson WA, Younger JJ, Baddour LM, Barrett FF, Melton DM, et
827   al. Adherence of coagulase-negative staphylococci to plastic tissue culture plates: a
828   quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. *J Clin*
829   *Microbiol*. (1985) 22:996-1006. doi: 10.1128/JCM.22.6.996-1006.1985

830   33.   Lampropoulou V, Sergushichev A, Bambouskova M, Nair S, Vincent EE, Loginicheva
831   E, et al. Itaconate Links Inhibition of Succinate Dehydrogenase with Macrophage
832   Metabolic Remodeling and Regulation of Inflammation. *Cell Metab*. (2016) 24:158-66.
833   doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.06.004

834   34.   O'Neill LAJ and Artyomov MN. Itaconate: the poster child of metabolic reprogramming
835   in macrophage function. *Nat Rev Immunol*. (2019) 19:273-81. doi: 10.1038/s41577-
836   019-0128-5

837   35.   Heim CE, Bosch ME, Yamada KJ, Aldrich AL, Chaudhari SS, Klinkebiel D, et al.
838   Lactate production by Staphylococcus aureus biofilm inhibits HDAC11 to reprogramme

the host immune response during persistent infection. *Nat Microbiol*. (2020) 5:1271-84.
doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-0756-3

36.   Secor PR, James GA, Fleckman P, Olerud JE, McInnerney K, and Stewart PS.
Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm and Planktonic cultures differentially impact gene
expression, mapk phosphorylation, and cytokine production in human keratinocytes.
BMC Microbiol. (2011) 11:143. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-11-143

37.   Kirker KR, James GA, Fleckman P, Olerud JE, and Stewart PS. Differential effects of
planktonic and biofilm MRSA on human fibroblasts. *Wound Repair Regen*. (2012)
20:253-61. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2012.00769.x

38.   Alboslemy T, Yu B, Rogers T, and Kim MH. Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm-
Conditioned Medium Impairs Macrophage-Mediated Antibiofilm Immune Response by
Upregulating KLF2 Expression. *Infect Immun*. (2019) 87:doi: 10.1128/IAI.00643-18

39.   Das H, Kumar A, Lin Z, Patino WD, Hwang PM, Feinberg MW, et al. Kruppel-like
factor 2 (KLF2) regulates proinflammatory activation of monocytes. *Proc Natl Acad*
Sci U S A. (2006) 103:6653-8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508235103

40.   Herbert S, Ziebandt AK, Ohlsen K, Schafer T, Hecker M, Albrecht D, et al. Repair of
global regulators in Staphylococcus aureus 8325 and comparative analysis with other
clinical isolates. *Infect Immun*. (2010) 78:2877-89. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00088-10

41.   Scumpia PO, Botten GA, Norman JS, Kelly-Scumpia KM, Spreafico R, Ruccia AR, et
al. Opposing roles of Toll-like receptor and cytosolic DNA-STING signaling pathways
for Staphylococcus aureus cutaneous host defense. *PLoS Pathog*. (2017) 13:e1006496.
doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006496

42.   Sun L, Wu J, Du F, Chen X, and Chen ZJ. Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase is a cytosolic
DNA sensor that activates the type I interferon pathway. *Science*. (2013) 339:786-91.
doi: 10.1126/science.1232458

43.   Zhou Y, Zhao S, Gao X, Jiang S, Ma J, Wang R, et al. Staphylococcus aureus Induces
IFN-beta Production via a CARMA3-Independent Mechanism. *Pathogens*. (2021)
10:doi: 10.3390/pathogens10030300

44.   Gries CM, Bruger EL, Moormeier DE, Scherr TD, Waters CM, and Kielian T. Cyclic
di-AMP Released from Staphylococcus aureus Biofilm Induces a Macrophage Type I
Interferon Response. *Infect Immun*. (2016) 84:3564-74. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00447-16

45.   Katze MG, He Y, and Gale M, Jr. Viruses and interferon: a fight for supremacy. *Nat*
Rev Immunol. (2002) 2:675-87. doi: 10.1038/nri888

46.   Boxx GM and Cheng G. The Roles of Type I Interferon in Bacterial Infection. *Cell Host*
Microbe. (2016) 19:760-9. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.016

47.   Kovarik P, Castiglia V, Ivin M, and Ebner F. Type I Interferons in Bacterial Infections:
A Balancing Act. *Front Immunol*. (2016) 7:652. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00652

48.   Yamada KJ, Heim CE, Xi X, Attri KS, Wang D, Zhang W, et al. Monocyte metabolic
reprogramming promotes pro-inflammatory activity and Staphylococcus aureus biofilm
clearance. *PLoS Pathog*. (2020) 16:e1008354. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1008354

49.   Yang K, Xu J, Fan M, Tu F, Wang X, Ha T, et al. Lactate Suppresses Macrophage Pro-
Inflammatory Response to LPS Stimulation by Inhibition of YAP and NF-kappaB
Activation via GPR81-Mediated Signaling. *Front Immunol*. (2020) 11:587913. doi:
10.3389/fimmu.2020.587913

50.   Ratter JM, Rooijackers HMM, Hooiveld GJ, Hijmans AGM, de Galan BE, Tack CJ, et
al. In vitro and in vivo Effects of Lactate on Metabolism and Cytokine Production of
Human Primary PBMCs and Monocytes. *Front Immunol*. (2018) 9:2564. doi:
10.3389/fimmu.2018.02564

51.   Errea A, Cayet D, Marchetti P, Tang C, Kluza J, Offermanns S, et al. Lactate Inhibits
the Pro-Inflammatory Response and Metabolic Reprogramming in Murine

889   Macrophages in a GPR81-Independent Manner. *PLoS One*. (2016) 11:e0163694. doi:
890   10.1371/journal.pone.0163694
891 52. Manoharan I, Prasad PD, Thangaraju M, and Manicassamy S. Lactate-Dependent
892   Regulation of Immune Responses by Dendritic Cells and Macrophages. *Front Immunol*.
893   (2021) 12:691134. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.691134
894 53. Aki T, Funakoshi T, Noritake K, Unuma K, and Uemura K. Extracellular glucose is
895   crucially involved in the fate decision of LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 murine
896   macrophage cells. *Sci Rep*. (2020) 10:10581. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-67396-6
897 54. Pavlou S, Lindsay J, Ingram R, Xu H, and Chen M. Sustained high glucose exposure
898   sensitizes macrophage responses to cytokine stimuli but reduces their phagocytic
899   activity. *BMC Immunol*. (2018) 19:24. doi: 10.1186/s12865-018-0261-0
900 55. Ayala TS, Tessaro FHG, Jannuzzi GP, Bella LM, Ferreira KS, and Martins JO. High
901   Glucose Environments Interfere with Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophage
902   Inflammatory Mediator Release, the TLR4 Pathway and Glucose Metabolism. *Sci Rep*.
903   (2019) 9:11447. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-47836-8
904 56. Resch A, Rosenstein R, Nerz C, and Gotz F. Differential gene expression profiling of
905   Staphylococcus aureus cultivated under biofilm and planktonic conditions. *Appl
906   Environ Microbiol*. (2005) 71:2663-76. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.5.2663-2676.2005
907 57. Resch A, Leicht S, Saric M, Pasztor L, Jakob A, Gotz F, et al. Comparative proteome
908   analysis of Staphylococcus aureus biofilm and planktonic cells and correlation with
909   transcriptome profiling. *Proteomics*. (2006) 6:1867-77. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200500531