Phosphate starvation response precedes abscisic acid response under progressive mild drought in plants

Yukari Nagatoshi[1], Kenta Ikazaki[2], Yasufumi Kobayashi[1], Nobuyuki Mizuno[3†], Ryohei Sugita[4], Yumiko Takebayashi[5], Mikiko Kojima[5], Hitoshi Sakakibara[5,6], Natsuko I. Kobayashi[7], Keitaro Tanoi[7], Kenichiro Fujii[1], Junya Baba[1], Eri Ogiso-Tanaka[8‡], Masao Ishimoto[8], Yasuo Yasui[3], Tetsuji Oya[2], Yasunari Fujita[1,9*]

[1] Biological Resources and Post-harvest Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan.

[2] Crop, Livestock and Environment Division, Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan.

[3] Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.

[4] Radioisotope Research Center, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8602, Japan.

[5] RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan.

[6] Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan.

[7] Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan.

[8] Institute of Crop Science, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan.

[9] Graduate School of Life Environmental Science, University of Tsukuba; Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

[†]Present address: Institute of Crop Science, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8518, Japan.

[‡]Present address: Center for Molecular Biodiversity Research, National Museum of Nature and Science; Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0005, Japan.

Yasunari Fujita[1,9*]

Biological Resources and Post-harvest Division, JIRCAS, 1-1 Ohwashi, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan.

[*]Corresponding author. E-mail: yasuf@affrc.go.jp

Author Contributions: Y.N. and Y.F. conceived and designed the study and wrote the paper;
Y.N. performed most of the experiments and analyzed the data; K.I. performed the soil and
chemical analyses; Y.N., K.I., K.F., and Y.F. performed the field experiments, with support
from T.O. and Y.K.; R.S. performed the analysis using radioisotopes; Y.T., M.K. and H.S.
performed quantitative analyses of abscisic acid; N.K., K.T. and R.S. performed elemental
analyses; J.B. assisted Y.N.'s analyses; Y.N., N.M., Y.K., E.O-T., M.I., and Y.Y. performed
the transcriptome analysis. All authors discussed and commented on the manuscript.
43
44   **Competing Interest Statement:** The authors declare no competing interests.
45   **Classification:** Biological Sciences, Plant Biology.
46

Abstract
Drought severely damages crop production, even under conditions so mild that the leaves show
no signs of wilting. However, it is unclear how field-grown plants respond to mild drought.
Here, we show through 6 years of field trials that ridges are a useful experimental tool to mimic
mild drought stress in the field. Mild drought reduces inorganic phosphate levels in the leaves
to activate the phosphate starvation response in soybean plants in the field. Using *Arabidopsis*
thaliana and its mutant plants grown in pots under controlled environments, we demonstrate
that phosphate starvation response (PSR) occurs before abscisic acid response under
progressive mild drought and that PSR plays a crucial role in plant growth under mild drought.
Our observations in the field and laboratory using model crop and experimental plants provide
insight into the molecular response to mild drought in field-grown plants and the relationship
between nutrition and drought stress response.

Introduction

Since the beginning of the history of agriculture, droughts have threatened crop production around the world. Drought is a complex and unpredictable natural disaster, with varying patterns of intensity, timing, persistence, and frequency[1]. Numerous studies, mainly in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants, have revealed that the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) plays an essential role in regulating the responses to a wide variety of drought stress conditions and in optimizing water use in plants[2,3]. Cellular dehydration increases endogenous ABA levels, which triggers multiple physiological and molecular responses, including stomatal closure and the activation of dehydration/ABA-responsive gene expression[2,3]. While studies of severe drought stress responses in plants have revealed the underlying molecular mechanisms, such as the ABA signaling pathway, it has recently become clear that different molecular mechanisms are involved in mild or moderate drought stress responses[4-6]. Indeed, not only ABA-related genes but also genes related to photosynthesis, carbohydrate turnover, cell wall expansion, and growth regulation are involved in the response of plants to mild or moderate drought stress conditions[7-12]. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying mild drought stress responses of plants in the field, where the environment fluctuates, remain elusive.

Phosphorus is one of the three major nutrients, along with nitrogen and potassium, that condition plant growth in natural soils. Although phosphorus is abundant in many natural soils as organic and inorganic matter, plant roots absorb only inorganic orthophosphate (Pi), which is also essential for microbial growth[13,14] and is rarely present in the soil[14]. Plants have therefore evolved a phosphate starvation response (PSR) system that senses phosphate starvation and regulates root and stem growth accordingly[15]. The genetic basis of the PSR has been intensively studied in the model experimental plant *Arabidopsis*[16]. PSR is controlled by a conserved pathway centered on the SPX receptors and PHR transcription factors[17-19]. PHR transcription factors regulate the expression of PSR genes (e.g., those encoding phosphate transporters, phosphatases, and lipid modification proteins) to enhance Pi uptake under Pi-deficit conditions[20]. The establishment of beneficial relationships with some soil microorganisms is one of the strategies for increasing Pi uptake capacity in plants, and genetic mechanisms of the relationship between the PSR and the immune system are emerging[21, 22]. Although it has been reported that drought reduces the amount of Pi in plants[23], the link between the PSR and drought is not well understood.

As a major oil and protein source for food, feed, biodiesel, and industrial products, soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) is the most economically important dicot crop in the world[24]. The growing demand for soybean oil, especially in emerging economies, has led to a rapid increase in soybean production; in recent years, the global production and harvested area of

soybean have increased at rates that exceed those of the other major crops[25]. However, since
soybean is cultivated mostly under rainfed conditions, soybean production is severely
constrained by abiotic stresses, particularly drought[26]. Even mild drought stress that occurs
without obvious outward signs of a stress response, such as leaf wilting, poses a major threat
to soybean productivity[27]. With this growing importance of soybean and the need for soybean
research, techniques have been developed to grow soybean vigorously enough to cross and
promote generation in indoor growth chambers with fluorescent lighting, which are commonly
used to grow *Arabidopsis* plants[28], and various molecular tools and genomic and mutant
resources have accumulated[29]. Thus, soybean is an ideal model crop for bridging the gap
between laboratory and field drought stress response mechanism studies, not only because of
its economic importance but also because of its well-developed research tools.

A wide range of studies have been conducted in controlled environments, such as
indoor growth chambers and greenhouses, and in actual fields, to understand the complex and
elusive mechanism of the drought stress response in plants in the field[30]. For example, in indoor
growth chambers, mild drought stress tests have been carried out using agar medium containing
polyethylene glycol to induce osmotic stress in seedlings, or soil in small pots to provide mild
drought over an extended period of time by manually or mechanically controlling and
monitoring soil moisture[30, 31]. In greenhouses, drought stress tests have been performed to vary
irrigation conditions for plants grown in pots, whereas in the field, the tests have been done in
experimental plots with different irrigation conditions and rainout shelters to keep rain out of
the test plots[32]. While it is relatively easy to control the growing environment indoors, it is not
easy to mimic the complex drought conditions that occur in the field. Field tests do not have
the various constraints that are present in pot tests, but complex changes in the natural
environment make it challenging to control environmental conditions such as drought.
Although there have been attempts to translate research results from the laboratory to the field,
many hurdles remain[33].

Here, using soybean as a model field-grown crop during 6 years of trials, we show
that ridges are a useful experimental tool for mimicking mild drought stress in the field. Using
this method, we determined that mild drought reduces leaf inorganic phosphate levels and
activates the PSR in field-grown soybean plants. Detailed pot-based experiments with field soil
indicated that PSR-related gene expression is mainly observed under drought conditions that
are too mild to activate ABA-mediated gene expression. Furthermore, using *Arabidopsis* and
its mutant plants, in addition to soybean, we demonstrate that the PSR is initiated before the
ABA response during mild drought in plants and that the PSR plays a crucial role in plant
growth under mild drought. Thus, our findings provide insight into the molecular response to

mild drought in field-grown plants and the relationship between nutrition and the plant drought
stress response. We also show that a field-to-pot approach, in which a phenomenon observed
in the field is examined in pot tests, is an effective strategy for elucidating drought response
mechanisms.
145
Results
Ridges induce mild drought and reduce yields in the field. Understanding how plants
respond to drought in the field at the molecular level is quite challenging. For example, the
commonly used method of setting irrigated and non-irrigated plots makes it difficult to see the
effects of drought on the non-irrigated plots when both plots receive sufficient rainfall[34].
Rainout shelters are an effective way to exclude rainfall from the experimental plots, but fixed
shelters with permanently closed roofs have unavoidable effects on microclimate, such as
changes in temperature and photosynthetic radiation, and while roofs that close only during
rainfall can minimize unintended sheltering effects on microclimate, they are very costly to
install and maintain[35]. Perhaps in part because of this situation, most drought experiments have
been conducted in areas biased toward Europe and the United States[36] and have not been
adapted to local conditions and conducted all over the world. While ridges are widely used in
crop production for various purposes, including facilitating drainage, they have not been used
to explore the drought stress response in plants at the molecular level. Therefore, we focused
on "ridges" to overcome the various problems associated with drought trials in the field and to
identify molecular mechanisms in drought response in the field. Soybean, which has the highest
water use per kilogram of product among staple crops such as maize, wheat, and rice[37], was
used as the model for this field experiment.
For each growing season over six consecutive years, we created flat and ridged plots
(referred to as "flats" and "ridges," respectively) in a model experimental field to conduct mild
drought tests. We monitored the volumetric water content (VWC) of the soil using soil
moisture sensors (Fig. 1a, b; Supplementary Figs. 1–3). In 2016, the soil VWC in the flats of
our experimental field fluctuated between 34% (-0.17 MPa) and 49% (-0.04 MPa) (Fig. 1c;
Supplementary Fig. 4). Although the VWC was strongly affected by rainfall (Fig. 1c), the
VWC in ridges (ridge height, 30 cm; Fig. 1a, b) was consistently lower than that of the flats
and fluctuated between 27% (-0.53 MPa) and 41% (-0.044 MPa) (Fig. 1c; Supplementary Fig.
4). We compared soybean growth on the flats and ridges. The aboveground biomass of the
plants grown on ridges was clearly reduced compared with that of plants grown on flats, even
though leaf wilting was not observed in either group of plants (Fig. 1d; Supplementary Fig. 5).
Thermal imaging analysis of the soybean plants showed that the leaf temperature of the plants

grown on ridges was higher than that of plants grown on flats (Fig. 1e), suggesting that
transpiration was lower in the plants grown on ridges, which may have reduced the
photosynthetic rate and biomass. Consequently, the yield of soybean grown on ridges was also
reduced compared with that of soybean grown on flats (Fig. 1f, g; Supplementary Fig. 6). An
increase in leaf temperature and decrease in growth are typical responses of plants to water
deficit, suggesting that ridges induce mild drought stress in plants in the field.

Irrigation can compensate for the reduced growth caused by ridges. To ascertain whether
the reduced growth of the plants on ridges was indeed due to water deficit or rather to changes
in the nutrient composition of the soil, we measured the nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and
potassium (K) contents in the flats and ridges. There was no significant difference in the
contents of these nutrients between the flats and ridges, both at the beginning and at the end of
the soybean growing season (Fig. 1h). We thus next examined whether watering the ridges
would compensate for the negative effect of ridges on plant growth (Fig. 2; Supplementary Fig.
7). Among rainfed plants, there was a noticeable difference in soybean growth on flats and
ridges, whereas this difference was reduced or barely detectable among irrigated plants (Fig.
2; Supplementary Fig. 7b-e). Thus, the negative effect of ridges on plant growth was
complemented by irrigation, indicating that the reduced growth of the plants on ridges was
mainly caused by water deficit. While a water deficit was not adequately induced on ridges in
2017, the results of the remaining 5 years of field trials demonstrated that the reduction in
soybean growth on ridges compared with flats was a result of reduced VWC (Fig. 1;
Supplementary Figs. 7-9). Together, these observations demonstrate that ridges are a valuable
tool for inducing conditions that mimic mild drought stress in the field.

PSR is induced in response to mild drought in plants in the field. To examine how mild
drought stress affects the expression of genes in soybean plants in the field, we analyzed the
fully expanded second trifoliate leaves of 29-day-old pre-flowering plants in the 2015 field
experiment using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (Fig. 3a, b; Supplementary Fig. 9). We
identified 3,045 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the soybean plants grown on
flats and those grown on ridges (Supplementary Data 1), which had soil VWCs at sampling
time of 41% (–0.017 MPa) and 34% (–0.094 MPa), respectively (Supplementary Figs. 4 and
9). We identified 990 and 400 DEGs that were up- and down-regulated ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, FPKM
value > 0, $q < 0.05$), respectively, under mild drought conditions (Fig. 3c; Supplementary Data
2 and 3). Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.05)
revealed that various genes not related to ABA but to nutrition, sugars, vitamins, lignin, and

carbohydrates were enriched in the up-regulated DEGs, while no GO terms were significantly enriched among the down-regulated DEGs (Supplementary Data 4). This result is consistent with the fact that there was no clear difference in ABA content between the leaves of soybean plants grown on flats and those of plants grown on ridges (Supplementary Fig. 10). Notably, 16 and 7 of the up-regulated DEGs were related to "cellular response to phosphate starvation" and "phosphate ion homeostasis", respectively (Supplementary Fig. 11). Furthermore, 11.4% of the up-regulated DEGs were PSR genes, including those encoding signaling factors, phosphatases, transporters, and genes implicated in lipid metabolism in *Arabidopsis*[21] and soybean[38,39] (Fig. 3d, e; Supplementary Data 5 and 6), indicating that a battery of PSR genes were up-regulated under mild drought conditions. Mild drought stress induced the expression of PSR marker genes in not only the second but also the first and third trifoliate leaves of soybean plants in the field (Fig. 3f).

Pi levels decrease in response to mild drought. To determine whether reduced Pi levels indeed occur under mild drought conditions in the field, we measured Pi concentration of the first to third trifoliate leaves. Pi concentrations in leaves at each of these positions of soybean plants grown on ridges were significantly lower than those of plants grown on flats (Fig. 3g). Notably, Pi concentrations in the third trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges were higher than those in the first and second trifoliate leaves, and Pi concentrations tended to be inversely related to varying degrees with the expression levels of PSR marker genes (Fig. 3f, g). These results suggest that mild drought stress reduced Pi concentrations in the leaves of field-grown soybean plants, resulting in PSR induction.

We performed elemental analysis using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and ICP-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) to determine if other inorganic nutrients were also affected by mild drought (Supplementary Fig. 12). Of the three primary macronutrients, N, P, and K, which are essential for plant growth, only P was markedly lower in the leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges compared with those of soybean plants grown on flats (Supplementary Fig. 12a). Among the secondary macronutrients essential for plant growth, magnesium (Mg) and sulfur (S) contents in the leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges were slightly lower than those of soybean plants grown on flats, but no drastic changes were observed (Supplementary Fig. 12b). Among the micronutrients essential for plant growth, boron (B), zinc (Zn), and nickel (Ni) were significantly higher, whereas copper (Cu) was significantly lower in leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges compared with those of plants grown on flats (Supplementary Fig. 12c). A previous meta-analysis based on 155 observations of plant nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations in a variety of plant

species and soils suggested that drought stress has negative effects on plant nitrogen and
phosphorus concentrations, with drought conditions inducing a larger decrease of phosphorus
than nitrogen[23]. This is consistent with our observation that mild drought conditions reduced P
by 47.3% (±7.8%), whereas nitrogen was reduced by only 11.6% (±5.9%) (Supplementary Fig.
12a). These findings support the notion that mild drought stress reduces levels of Pi, among
nutrient elements, and induces PSR in plants in the field.

Mild drought causes PSR, and more severe drought induces ABA response. We next
explored if the link between mild drought stress and the PSR identified in our field experiments
could be reproduced in pot trials (Fig. 4). When the primary soybean leaves were fully
expanded 10 days after sowing in pots containing the same soil as that used in the field
experiments, we started drought stress treatments with five different levels of VWC, Water
Condition 1 (WC1) to WC5 (increasing water deficit; Fig. 4a). Leaf surface temperatures of
26-day-old soybean plants visualized using infrared thermography paralleled soil VWCs in the
pots (Fig. 4a, b), suggesting that the lower the VWC, the greater the reduction in transpiration
rate under experimental conditions. We analyzed the gene expression profiles of the fully
expanded first trifoliate leaves of 38-day-old seedlings grown in pots. Expression levels of PSR
genes were largely inversely associated with VWC levels of WC1 (VWC 42 to 57%,
<-0.00031 MPa) to WC4 (VWC 24 to 36%, -0.20 to -0.0035 MPa), but not WC5 (VWC 20
to 29%, -0.50 to -0.056 MPa) treatments (Fig. 4c). Thus, soil water status determines the
degree of the PSR under mild drought stress conditions, supporting the findings of our field
experiments. Interestingly, even though the VWC decreased between pots WC4 and WC5, PSR
gene expression did not increase; by contrast, the expression of ABA-responsive genes, which
are established markers of the response to severe drought stress[2, 40], increased (Fig. 4c, d). This
result was supported by observations in pot tests using vermiculite (Supplementary Data 7),
which is commonly used as an artificial soil for experiments, and liquid nutrients with 0.1 mM
KH_2PO_4. With increasing severity of mild drought (Supplementary Fig. 13a, b), aboveground
biomass and leaf water content gradually decreased (Supplementary Fig. 13c, d), whereas the
Pi concentration initially decreased but later increased (Supplementary Fig. 13e). By contrast,
the ABA content increased as drought exceeded a certain level (Supplementary Fig. 13f).
Collectively, these data suggest that PSR occurs mild drought, followed by an ABA response
induced by more severe drought (Fig. 4e).

PSR occurs before ABA response under progressive mild drought. To determine whether
the phenomenon of mild drought causing PSR is independent of plant species, we induce mild

drought in *Arabidopsis* plants grown in vermiculite and liquid nutrients with 0.1 mM KH_2PO_4.

Seven-day-old seedlings grown on agar plates were transplanted into pots filled with

vermiculite soaked in water containing liquid nutrients and grown for 6 days. The pots were

divided into two groups. Pots containing control plants were soaked in water without nutrients,

while pots containing drought-stressed plants were placed on paper towels to reduce soil

moisture (Fig. 5a), meaning that the vermiculite in pots of both treatments contained the same

amount of nutrients, including phosphate. To further explore the response of plants to water

conditions, this experiment also included a rehydration test. After 6 days of drought stress

treatment, plants growing in pots that had been soaked in water and left for 1 day were sampled

for the rehydration treatment sample. We measured the VWCs of pots and the aboveground

biomass of the seedlings after 1, 3, 6, and 7 days of drought stress treatment (Fig. 5b, c). The

VWCs of the pots under drought stress conditions decreased gradually and reached

approximately 16% (−0.37 MPa) after 7 days of drought treatment; the VWC of pots under

control conditions was maintained at around 70% (< −0.00031 MPa) during the experiments.

No significant difference in aboveground biomass of the seedlings was observed after 1 day of

drought treatment, but the biomass was significantly suppressed after 3, 6 and 7 days of drought

treatment compared to the control condition (Fig. 5c). Nevertheless, no wilting was seen in any

of the plants under the drought stress condition, indicating that this treatment induced mild

drought stress in *Arabidopsis* plants grown in pots (Fig. 5d).

We tested whether mild drought stress causes the PSR in *Arabidopsis* plants grown

in pots. We performed RNA-seq of the aboveground parts of *Arabidopsis* seedlings in response

to mild drought stress and identified 663, 1,158, 1,346, and 871 DEGs ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, TPM

value > 0, $q < 0.05$) after 1, 3, 6, and 7 days of drought treatment (Supplementary Data 8–11),

respectively. We observed that 33, 15, 12, and 15% of the up-regulated DEGs after 1, 3, 6, and

7 days of drought treatment were PSR genes, respectively (Fig. 5e; Supplementary Data 6, 8–

11), while only 4, 4, 5, and 6% of DEGs were ABA-inducible genes[40], respectively (Fig. 5e;

Supplementary Data 8–12). Hierarchical clustering of 2,397 genes that were differentially

expressed ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, TPM value > 0, $q < 0.05$) in response to mild drought stress in at

least one sampling in the RNA-seq experiments revealed that two gene sets, designated clusters

A and B, of the 19 clusters were enriched in genes involved in biological processes related to

the PSR and the ABA response (Fig. 5f; Supplementary Data 13). The genes in cluster A were

up-regulated after 1 day of drought treatment, while those in cluster B were up-regulated after

6 days of drought treatment (Fig. 5f, g). Interestingly, cluster A contained 72% of the total PSR

genes in the DEGs (97 genes/135 genes), compared to 26% of the total ABA-responsive genes

in the DEGs (15 genes/58 genes). In contrast, cluster B contained 64% of the total ABA-

responsive genes in the DEGs (37 genes/58 genes), compared to 24% of the total PSR genes in the DEGs (33 genes/135 genes) (Fig. 5f, g). These data show that mild drought stress induces expression of PSR genes before inducing ABA-responsive genes (Fig. 5), consistent with the observation that the Pi concentration gradually decreases during mild drought (Supplementary Fig. 14d), whereas the ABA content increases as drought exceeds a certain level (Supplementary Fig. 14c). Together with the results of mild stress trials in soybean plants grown in pots and the field, these results demonstrate that the PSR induced by Pi reduction is initiated before ABA response in plants grown under progressive mild drought conditions.

Reduced Pi uptake rather than reduced growth causes PSR. It remains unclear whether mild drought reduces the Pi concentration in plants by reducing Pi uptake or by inhibiting growth in response to reduced soil moisture. To test whether the reduction in Pi is a consequence of reduced Pi uptake, we performed uptake experiments with radiolabeled ^{32}Pi (Supplementary Fig. 15a). ^{32}Pi signals were detected in the aboveground parts of control plants on day 4 but not in the aboveground parts of drought-treated plants (Supplementary Fig. 15b, c), indicating that mild drought inhibits Pi uptake in plants, reducing Pi in plants.

We examined whether the reduction in Pi caused by mild drought was responsible for the reduced growth. Rehydration after 6 days of drought treatment rapidly increased Pi concentration (Supplementary Fig. 14d) and rapidly decreased PSR gene expression (Fig. 5f, g; Supplementary Fig. 14i), but there was no clear difference in aboveground biomass between plants after 7 days of drought treatment and plants rehydrated for 1 day after 6 days of drought treatment (Fig. 5c; Supplementary Fig. 14f). These observations indicate that the reduced Pi due to mild drought is involved in response to soil moisture conditions rather than plant growth. Taken together, these findings support the notion that mild drought inhibits Pi uptake, resulting in reduced Pi and thus inducing PSR in plants.

PSR plays a crucial role in plant growth under mild drought. Even when grown with excess Pi (10× Pi: 10 times the amount of Pi), mild drought significantly reduced Pi concentrations compared with those in control plants (Supplementary Fig. 14e), but failed to induce PSR (Supplementary Fig. 14i). Excess promoted plant growth in both control and mild drought-treated plants (Supplementary Fig. 14f–h). These observations suggest that the PSR induced when Pi concentrations in plants fall below a certain threshold affects plant growth under mild drought. Further, to examine the role of PSR under mild drought in planta, we induced mild drought stress in *Arabidopsis phr1 phl1* double mutant plants (Fig. 6; Supplementary Fig. 16), which are deficient in PSR[41, 42]. Although there was variation, Pi concentrations were lower

under mild drought treatment than under control treatment in both the *phr1 phl1* double mutant and wild-type plants (Fig. 6c, d). Interestingly, when wild-type plants were rewatered, Pi concentrations increased rapidly, but no significant increase in Pi concentration was observed in the *phr1 phl1* double mutant (Fig. 6c, d), indicating that the PSR is responsible for the rapid increase in Pi concentration during rewatering. To evaluate the effects of the PSR on growth, we measured the aboveground biomass of seedlings and maximum rosette radius after 1, 3, 6, and 7 days of drought stress treatment (Fig. 6e, f). Both the *phr1 phl1* mutant and wild-type plants grew to varying degrees under control conditions; however, under mild drought conditions, the wild-type plants continued to grow, albeit poorly, while the *phr1 phl1* mutant plants showed no significant growth (Fig. 6e–h). These data indicate that PSR fulfills a crucial role in plant growth under progressive mild drought.

Discussion

Here, we show that the PSR occurs prior to the ABA response in plants under progressive mild drought conditions, revealing a novel link between the PSR and drought stress response in plants grown in the field. With the ultimate aim of minimizing crop loss due to insufficiently optimized water supply, this study focused on mild drought stress that is not severe enough to cause the leaves to wilt, in the field, where available P is not abundant and P acquisition is dependent on the biotic and abiotic environment[43]. Previous papers reporting transcriptome analyses of soybean and *Arabidopsis* plants exposed to mild drought stress were unable to detect PSR behavior because, based on leaf wilting and water potential values, these analyses used more severe drought stress conditions and/or more nutrient-rich conditions in commonly used commercial culture soil than our experiments[7-11, 44-46]. We conducted pot tests in the greenhouse using field soil and established that mild drought causes the PSR and that more severe drought causes the ABA response. Moreover, to determine whether such induction of PSR under mild drought is a phenomenon unique to soybean grown in the field, we performed experiments using *Arabidopsis*, vermiculite, and liquid nutrients and found that PSR gene induction occurs earlier than ABA-induced gene induction under progressive mild drought. Thus, our combined analyses of model crop and experimental plants in the field and in pots in greenhouses and controlled-environment growth rooms, and with the field and artificial soils, provide insights into the mild drought response of plants. Our results therefore demonstrate the importance of field-to-lab–oriented research, in which phenomena observed in the field are verified in the lab, to decipher the mechanism underlying the plant's response to mild drought stress in the field. We have also shown that it is crucial to combine laboratory and field studies, using both model crop and experimental plants, to compensate for the

shortcomings of either system and use the strengths of both.

We also demonstrate that PSR plays a crucial role in plant growth under mild drought,
through the analyses with *Arabidopsis phr1 phl1* mutant deficient in PSR. (Fig. 6;
Supplementary Fig. 16). Our results with soybean and *Arabidopsis* also show that mild drought
inhibits Pi uptake (Supplementary Fig. 15), but that leaf water content is maintained to some
extent (Supplementary Fig. 13d), and that Pi concentration in the leaves is reduced
(Supplementary Fig. 13e, 14d), thus inducing PSR in plants (Fig. 4, 5; Supplementary Fig. 14i).
These results are consistent with the previous observations that reduced soil moisture limits Pi
diffusion in the soil[43, 47]. Under severe drought conditions, we show that PSR induction is
suppressed by a relative increase in Pi concentration (Supplementary Fig.13e) due to a decrease
in leaf water content (Supplementary Fig.13d). By contrast, ABA cannot respond in situations
where some water is maintained in the leaf but responds when the leaf is losing water
(Supplementary Fig. 13d, f) and is increasing the osmotic potential[48]; as a result, the PSR
occurs prior to the ABA response (Fig. 4e). Although further studies are needed on the
molecular mechanisms of PSR in response to mild drought in plants and their relationship to
the behavior of intracellular Pi in response to external water status, our findings clearly indicate
that PSR genes, whose expression is induced earlier than ABA-responsive genes, are
potentially promising early indicators of mild drought stress in plants. So far, the optimal
moisture supply to plants has been calculated by changes in soil moisture contents, which are
a feature of the external environment surrounding plants, and by estimating transpiration
through analysis of plant surface temperature. However, because appropriate soil moisture
conditions for plants vary with plant condition and growth and soil type[49], and because it is
difficult to eliminate the effects of solar radiation and wind speed in the field using
thermographic analysis[50], there has been a need for methods to properly determine plant
moisture stress conditions in the field. Since in addition to ABA-responsive gene expression,
the PSR gene expression could be used as an indicator of how plants perceive external moisture,
the use of such gene markers, combined effectively with soil moisture and thermographic data,
may enable more accurate detection of mild drought stress in plants and optimization of
irrigation before field crop yields are affected by mild drought stress.

Our consecutive 6-year field trials also demonstrate that ridges are a useful
experimental tool for inducing mild drought stress in the field. While ridges are widely used in
crop production for various purposes, they have not been used to study drought stress responses
in plants. Our research using ridges provides insight into the link between plant nutritional and
drought stress responses and paves the way for elucidating the molecular mechanism of the
drought response in field-grown plants. Since it is easy and inexpensive to create ridges in

experimental fields, this method of inducing mild drought stress, albeit vary in degree depending on rainfall and soil type, overcomes a major research barrier to deciphering the response of field-grown crops to various degrees of drought stress and is expected to facilitate efforts around the world, even in countries with emerging economies where it may not be possible to build expensive facilities. The effects of mild drought are difficult to determine visually in the field, but they significantly reduce yield, so proper diagnosis is critical for crop development and water management to maintain yields. Global food security depends on producing crops that can maintain productivity even under mild to moderate soil water deficits[51,52] and fertilizer deficiencies[53]. Thus, our method of inducing mild drought using ridges and our identification of the PSR as a novel marker of mild drought stress constitute an important basis for improving future food security.

Materials and Methods

Field experiment. Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) 'Williams 82', a sequenced model US cultivar[54], was used in this study in all years other than 2019. Soybean 'Enrei', a sequenced model Japanese cultivar[55], was used only in the 2019 field experiment. Field experiments were conducted at the experimental field of the Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS, 36°03′14″ N, 140°04′46″ E, 24 m above sea level) located in Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan, from 2015 to 2020. No fertilizers were applied to the experimental field. To mimic mild drought stress in the experimental field, ridges were created using a rotary tiller after plowing (Supplementary Fig. 1a). In field trials conducted in the 2015–2018 growing seasons, ridges were produced using a TA6 or TA800N tiller (Kubota, Oosaka, Japan) coupled to an RA3 rotary (Kubota) and were 30 cm high and 38 cm wide at the base. In the 2019 and 2020 growing seasons, since the same size rotary was unavailable, ridges were created using a TA800N tiller (Kubota) coupled to a SKB-E15C rotary (Kubota) and were 30 cm high and 63 cm wide at the base. The thickness of A horizon, a topsoil characterized by organic matter accumulation, is greater than 30 cm in the experimental field, so both flat and ridged plots were composed of this topsoil.

In 2015, the experimental layout consisted of two areas with flat and ridged plots (Supplementary Fig. 2a). In each area, three plots were used for analysis. Soybean seeds were sown at 20-cm intervals on August 3rd, and 30 plants were grown per plot. For 5 days after sowing, water was sprayed every day to prompt seed germination. In 2016, the experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four blocks for four replicates (Supplementary Fig. 2b). Soybean seeds were germinated on vermiculite in a temperature-controlled phytotron at JIRCAS (27 ± 6°C), as described

previously[28], on July 7th, and 30 healthy and uniform 6-day-old seedlings were planted per plot
at 20-cm intervals on July 13th. In 2017, the experimental layout was divided into two areas,
one irrigated and the other rainfed. Each area was composed of randomized blocks, including
flat and ridged plots, with four replicates (Supplementary Fig. 3a). In the irrigated area,
EVAFLOW irrigation tubes (Mitsubishi Chemical Agri Dream, Tokyo, Japan) were installed
to supply water to the entire area of each plot. Soybean seeds were sown at 20-cm intervals on
July14th, and 15 plants were grown per plot. To avoid drought stress during seedling
establishment, all plots, including non-irrigated plots, were surrounded by irrigation tubes and
irrigated four times from the day before sowing to 7 days after sowing. Subsequently, the
irrigated area was irrigated 20 times during the period from 18 to 95 days after sowing. Too
much irrigation may have increased soil moisture throughout the test area and failed to induce
adequate water deficit on ridges (Supplementary Fig. 8a). In 2018, the experimental layout
consisted of two areas, one irrigated and the other rainfed (Supplementary Fig. 2c). Each area
comprised randomized blocks, with flat and ridged plots, and with four replicates. In the
irrigated area, each plot was surrounded by irrigation tubes and irrigated nine times during the
period from 15 to 53 days after sowing (Supplementary Fig. 7a). Soybean seeds were sown at
20-cm intervals on July 19th, and 25 plants were grown per plot. In 2019, the experiment was
laid out in a randomized complete block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four
blocks for four replicates (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Seeds were germinated on vermiculite in a
temperature-controlled phytotron ($27 \pm 6°C$) on July 12th, and 20 healthy and uniform 6-day-
old seedlings were planted per plot at 20-cm intervals. In 2020, the experimental layout
consisted of two areas, one irrigated and the other rainfed (Supplementary Fig. 3c). Each area
comprised randomized blocks, with flat and ridged plots, and with four replicates. In the
irrigated area, each plot was surrounded by irrigation tubes and irrigated only once, at 28 days
after sowing (Supplementary Fig. 8c). Seeds were germinated on vermiculite in a temperature-
controlled phytotron ($27 \pm 6°C$) on July 30th, and 25 healthy and uniform seedlings were
planted per plot at 20-cm intervals on August 6th.

Measurements of soil water contents in the field. Based on a preliminary investigation of
soybean roots in the flat and ridged plots in the experimental field of JIRCAS, soil moisture
content was measured at 0–20 cm or 0–30 cm, starting from the top of the ridge or the flat, in
this study. In 2015, the volumetric water content (VWC, $m^3\ m^{-3}$) at a depth of 0–20 cm was
measured in each experimental plot at intervals of approximately 3 days using a Hydrosense II
20-cm-long time domain reflectometry (TDR) probe (Campbell Scientific Inc., UT, USA). *In
situ* calibration was conducted using the gravimetric method according to the manufacturer's

protocol. Tentative VWC values were measured using the TDR probe, and soils at the
measured sites were collected from a depth of 0–20 cm using polyvinyl chloride pipes (4.3 cm
in diameter and 20 cm in length). Soil weights including water were determined. After pre-
drying at 60°C for more than 24 h, soil samples were removed from the pipes and oven-dried
at 105°C for more than 24 h to calculate the gravimetric water content (g g^{-1}). A total of eight
points were measured. Gravimetric water content was then converted into VWC by multiplying
it by the bulk density (BD, Mg m^{-3}), assuming that water density is 1.0 Mg m^{-3}. Since andosols
generally have a high porosity[56] (about 70% in this study) and can be easily compressed, it was
difficult to collect an accurate volume of soil from a depth of 0–20 cm using a single pipe in
the field. Therefore, to determine the BD of soils from a depth of 0–20 cm, soil samples were
carefully collected from a depth of 10–15 cm using 100-ml soil sampling cores (DIK-1801-11;
Daiki Rika Kogyo, Saitama, Japan) at 12 points, and dry weights of the 100-ml soil samples
were then determined after oven-drying at 105°C for more than 48 h. The average BD of soils
from a depth of 0–20 cm was 0.734 ± 0.017 (mean ± SD) Mg m^{-3}. From the relationships
between the tentative VWC values recorded by the TDR probe (estimated value) and those
determined *in situ* (true value), a calibration formula was obtained: actual VWC = 0.9718 ×
tentative VWC + 0.1255.

In 2016–2020, the VWC at a depth of 0–30 cm was automatically recorded in each plot
every day at 10:00 a.m. using a CS616 30-cm-long TDR probe (Campbell Scientific, Inc.)
connected to a CR1000 data logger (Campbell Scientific, Inc.). Soil temperature at a depth of
12–18 cm was also recorded with a model 107 temperature probe (Campbell Scientific, Inc.)
to correct for the temperature dependence of the TDR probes, according to the manufacturer's
protocol. Estimated VWCs recorded by the TDR probes were similarly calibrated using the
gravimetric method according to the manufacturer's protocol. Eight TDR probes were selected
for the calibration and inserted into plots with temperature probes in the experimental field.
Soil samples were collected from four points of the plot using polyvinyl chloride pipes (4.3 cm
in diameter and 30 cm in length), and soil weight was determined. Dry weights of the soil
samples were determined as described above. BD was measured at a depth of 0–10 cm and 10–
30 cm to determine the BD at a depth of 0–30 cm because the BD differed at each depth under
test conditions in the field. To determine the BD of soils at a depth of 0–30 cm, soil samples
were carefully collected from depths of 3–8 cm and 20–25 cm using 100-ml soil sampling
cores (DIK-1801-11; Daiki Rika Kogyo) at eight points each. The BD for depths of 0–30 cm
was determined to be 0.773 Mg m^{-3} from the combined weighted average of the values
obtained for depths of 0–10 cm and 10–30 cm. The output period data for each TDR probe at
the sampling times were corrected for temperature, and tentative VWCs were calculated

according to the manufacturer's protocol. Using the averages of tentative VWC values obtained
using the eight probes and the corresponding actual VWCs determined *in situ* at each sampling
point, the following calibration formula was generated: actual VWC = 0.9397 × tentative VWC
+ 0.1638.
530
Soil water retention curve. For the field experiments, soil samples were collected from a
depth of 10–15 cm using 100-ml soil sampling cores (DIK-1801-11; Daiki Rika Kogyo) at six
points in each plot. The soil water retention, a relationship between the gravimetric water
content and soil water potential (ψ), of each sample was measured using a pressure plate
apparatus at a water potential of between −0.0039 and −0.098 MPa, as described previously[57],
and with a dew point potentiometer (WP4C, Decagon Devices) at values below −0.31 MPa, as
described previously[58]. VWC (θ) values at depths of 0–20 cm and 0–30 cm were calculated
using BD values at each depth, assuming a water density of 1.0 Mg m^{-3}. Averaged VWCs are
shown at matric potential values of −0.0039, −0.098, −0.031, −0.098, −0.619, and −1.554 MPa
(Supplementary Fig. 4). Regression curves at depths of 0–20 cm and 0–30 cm were obtained
by fitting the Fredlund and Xing model[59] using SWRC Fit[60]:

$$\theta = \theta_s \left[\frac{1}{\ln[e + (\psi/a)^n]} \right]^m$$

where θ_s is the saturated VWC, e is the Napier's constant, and a, n, and m are three different
soil parameters. The model showed a good fit (R^2 = 0.985, P < 0.001 for both curves).
For the pot experiments with the field soil (BD value: 0.563), the soil water retention
obtained above was used. For those with two types of horticultural vermiculite (small and
medium grain), a relationship between the gravimetric water content and soil water potential
was similarly measured and VWC (θ) values were calculated using BD values (0.33 for small
grain and 0.14 for medium grain), assuming a water density of 1.0 Mg m^{-3}. VWCs at each
matric potential value are shown (Data Source). Regression curves for medium and small
grains were obtained by fitting the Fredlund and Xing model and below the dual-van
Genuchten model[61], respectively, using SWRC Fit[60]:

$$\theta = \theta_s \left[w \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_1 \psi)^{n_1}} \right]^{m_1} + (1 - w) \left[\frac{1}{1 + (\alpha_2 \psi)^{n_2}} \right]^{m_2} \right]$$

$$m_1 = 1 - 1/n_1, m_2 = 1 - 1/n_2$$

where w and α are soil parameters. The models showed a good fit (R^2 = 0.998, p < 0.001 for
both curves).
557

Measurements of soil nutrients. Soil samples were taken from each plot at a depth of approximately 0–30 cm using a polyvinyl chloride cylinder or soil sampler (DIK-1601; Daiki Rika Kogyo) on July 7 and November 17, 2016, which corresponded to the start and end of the soybean cultivation period, respectively. Samples were air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve, and the fine earth obtained was subjected to soil chemical analysis. The oven-dried weight of the fine earth was measured to obtain moisture correction factors that were used to convert nutrient contents of air-dried soil to a dry weight basis.

Total carbon and total nitrogen contents were determined using the dry combustion method with an elemental analyzer (Sumigraph NC-220F; Sumika Chemical Analysis Service, Osaka, Japan). Exchangeable bases (K^+) extracted in 1 M ammonium acetate (pH 7) were measured essentially as described previously[62], except that inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy with a ICPE-9000 spectrometer (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used in this study. Available phosphorus was determined using the Bray-II method[63] with a UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corporation).

Meteorological data. Meteorological data, such as amount of rainfall, were obtained from the Weather Data Acquisition System of the Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (http://www.naro.affrc.go.jp/org/niaes/aws/). The weather station is located at 36°01′ N, 140°07′ E, approximately 5 km from the JIRCAS experimental field.

Evaluation of growth and yield performance of soybean in the field. To investigate the effect of mild drought stress induced by ridges on soybean growth, the aboveground biomass was measured at three time points per growing season in 2015 and 2016. In 2015, five plants (one or two plants per plot), seven plants (two or three plants per plot), and seven plants (two or three plants per plot) were selected randomly for measuring the aboveground biomass at 21, 30, and 44 days after sowing, respectively. In 2016, 12 plants (three plants per plot) were selected randomly for measurements of aboveground biomass at 20, 36, and 50 days after sowing. Dry weight of the aboveground biomass was measured after oven-drying at 65°C for more than 48 h.

Yield of soybean plants in field trials was evaluated during 2015–2020. In 2015, 11 plants (three to four plants per plot) grown on the flat plots and 12 plants (four plants per plot) grown on the ridged plots were selected randomly and harvested on December 1st. The number of seeds per plant and total air-dried seed weight per plant were measured. In 2016, 20 plants (five plants per plot) for each condition were selected and harvested on November 10th. After

oven-drying at 65°C for more than 48 h, the following parameters were measured for each

plant: number of seeds, total seed weight, stem weight, and pod sheath weight. Harvest index

was calculated as total seed weight/(total seed weight + stem weight + pod sheath weight). In

2017, 24 plants (six plants per plot) were selected randomly for each treatment and harvested

on November 15th. After oven-drying at 65°C for more than 48 h, the following parameters

were measured for each plant: number of seeds, total seed weight, combined stem and pod

sheath weight, and plant height. In 2018, 48 plants (12 plants per plot) were selected randomly

for each treatment and harvested on November 14th. After oven-drying at 65°C for more than

48 h, the following parameters were measured for each plant: number of seeds, total seed

weight, stem and pod sheath weight, plant height, and total branch length. In 2019, 40 plants

(10 plants per plot) were selected randomly for each treatment and harvested on November

13th. Since soybean plants were damaged by a typhoon on September 8th, only the total biomass,

including seeds, stem, and pod sheath, was measured as preliminary data. In 2020, 40 plants

(10 plants per plot) were selected randomly for each treatment and harvested on November 2nd.

After oven-drying at 65°C for more than 48 h, the following parameters were measured for

each plant: total seed weight and combined stem and pod sheath weight.

Image acquisition. Images of soybean plants were taken using a CPA-T640A thermal imaging

infrared camera (CHINO, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Aerial

images of the experimental field were obtained using a Phantom 4 unmanned aerial vehicle

(DJI, Shenzhen, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Transcriptome analysis of soybean plants grown in the field. Ten plants (three or four plants

per plot) were randomly selected from each treatment group, and the first to third trifoliate

leaves were collected individually and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen in the field on

September 1, 2015. The frozen second trifoliate leaves were powdered using a multi-bead

shocker (Yasui Kikai, Osaka, Japan), and the other leaves were powdered using a mortar and

pestle. For RNA-seq analysis, total RNA was extracted from powdered samples of fully

expanded second trifoliate leaves using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the

manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA samples extracted from three independent plants per plot

were mixed for one replicate, and three biological replicates were performed for each treatment.

The extracted RNA was used to construct paired-end libraries using a TruSeq RNA Library

Preparation Kit v2 (Illumina). The libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000

sequencer with a 100-bp paired-end protocol (Macrogen). Trimmomatic v0.36[64] with the

options SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20, MINLEN:40, LEADING:20, and TRAILING:20 was used

for trimming low-quality reads and adaptor sequences. Trimmed reads were mapped against

the reference sequence of 'Williams 82' (Wm82.a2.v1:

https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) using STAR v2.5.1[65] with the options

outSJfilterReads: Unique; outFilterMatchNminOverLread: 0.96; and

outFilterScoreMinOverLread: 0.8. The FPKM (fragments per kilobase of exon per million

mapped reads) of each gene was calculated using Cufflinks v2.2.1[66]. Differential expression

analysis was performed using Cuffdiff embedded in Cufflinks v2.2.1[66]. Genes that showed a q

value < 0.05 were defined as differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between samples grown

on flat and ridged plots. Gene annotation such as homology to *Arabidopsis* genes and GO was

obtained from gene annotation information in Wm82.a2.v1

(Gmax_275_Wm82.a2.v1.annotation_info.txt).

639  A heatmap of up- and down-regulated DEGs was constructed using the 'heatmap.2'

function of the gplots package in R software v3.3.3[67]. Representatives of the different types of

phosphate starvation–responsive genes were selected among up-regulated genes based on

previous reports[21, 38, 39]. GO enrichment analysis using the clusterProfiler package in R[68] was

performed for the up- and down-regulated DEGs, satisfying the following criteria: $|\log_2(FC)| \geq$

1, FPKM value > 0, q < 0.05. A directed acyclic graph (DAG) was constructed using the

clusterProfiler package in R. GO terms were regarded as significantly enriched when FDR <

0.05. GO descriptions were obtained using AnnotationHub ("AH13355") in R[69].

ABA quantification. Powdered and frozen soybean samples were distributed into 2-ml tubes,

freeze-dried using a FDU-2200 freeze dryer (EYELA, Tokyorika, Tokyo, Japan), and weighed

(dry weight). For *Arabidopsis*, three seedlings from each pot were collected in 2-ml tubes,

immediately weighed to determine fresh weight, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. ABA was

extracted, semi-purified[70, 71], and quantified using an ultra-high-performance liquid

chromatography (UHPLC) electrospray interface quadrupole orbitrap mass spectrometer

(UHPLC/Q-Exactive™; Thermo Scientific) with an ODS column (AQUITY UPLC BEH C18

1.7 μm, 2.1 × 100 mm, Waters)[71, 72].

RT-qPCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from soybean leaves and *Arabidopsis* seedlings

using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) or RNAiso Plus (Takara Bio) according to the

manufacturer's protocols. Extracted total RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase

(Promega). Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized using PrimeScript RT Master Mix

(Takara Bio). RT-qPCR using GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega) was performed on a

QuantStudio7 Flex (Applied Biosystems). Relative amounts of target mRNAs were calculated

using the relative standard curve method and normalized against *actin* (*Glyma.15G050200*) for

soybean and *PP2Aa3* (*AT1G13320*) for *Arabidopsis* as a reference gene. Primers used in this

study are listed in Supplementary Data 14.

Measurements of inorganic phosphate contents. Inorganic phosphate (Pi) contents in

soybean leaves and *Arabidopsis* seedlings were examined using a molybdate colorimetric

assay[73, 74]. Frozen powdered soybean leaf samples used for gene expression analysis were also

used to analyze Pi content. For *Arabidopsis*, three seedlings from each pot were collected in 2-

ml tubes, immediately weighed to determine fresh weight, and frozen in liquid nitrogen. A total

of 20–45 mg of each sample was mixed with 1%, v/v, acetic acid (20 µl/mg sample weight),

vortexed for 15 min, and incubated at 42°C for 1 h in a heat block. Following centrifugation at

18,300 *g* for 5 min at room temperature, the supernatant was used for Pi assay. Reaction

solutions containing 140 µl molybdate solution (master mix of 6:1 0.42%, w/v, ammonium

molybdate in 1 N H_2SO_4 and 10%, w/v, ascorbic acid in water) and 60 µl supernatant were

incubated in a 96-well plate at 42°C for 20 min using a thermal cycler. The absorbance of 100

µl of the reaction solutions at 820 nm was measured using a ARVOX3 plate reader

(PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) or a BioTek Synergy H1 (BioTek Instruments Inc.,

Winooski, VT, USA). The amount of Pi in the solution was calculated using a calibration curve

based on a diluted phosphorus standard solution (FUJIFILM Wako, Osaka, Japan) or KH_2PO_4.

Element Analysis. The same soybean leaf samples used for RNA-seq analysis were used for

element analysis. Powdered and frozen samples were distributed into 2-ml tubes and then

lyophilized using a FDU-2200 freeze dryer. Ten milligrams of the dried samples were

immersed in 69% (v/v) HNO_3 (Kanto Chemical, Tokyo, Japan) at room temperature for 12 h

and subsequently heated to 90°C for 30 min for complete digestion before being diluted with

Milli-Q water (Merck Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). A NexION 350S inductively coupled

plasma mass spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) was used to analyze mineral

contents (P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Fe, Mn, B, Zn, Cu, and Ni) in the digested sample. To determine

the nitrogen (N) content, dried samples were ground into a powder. Samples of 1 to 2 mg were

weighed using a microbalance (BM-22; A&D Company Limited, Japan) and analyzed for total

N content using an NC analyzer (Series II CHNS/O Analyzer 2400; PerkinElmer, Waltham,

MA, USA).

Mild drought stress tests in soybean in a greenhouse using pots. Mild drought stress tests

in soybean using pots were conducted in a greenhouse (20 ± 10°C) at JIRCAS to examine how

soil volumetric water content (VWC) affects phosphate starvation response (PSR)-related gene expression in soybean. Soybean seeds were germinated on moistened vermiculite in a temperature-controlled greenhouse, and 5-day-old seedlings were transferred to 350-ml pots filled with A horizon soil collected from the JIRCAS experimental field. Seedlings were grown under well-watered conditions (up to a VWC of 43%) for 5 days in a greenhouse. When primary leaves were fully expanded at 10 days after sowing, VWC began to be adjusted to five different levels, WC1 (57%), WC2 (50%), WC3 (43%), WC4 (36%), and WC5 (29%), by measuring the weight of the pot and adding water every Monday, Wednesday, and Friday. The ranges of variation in VWCs during the period from day 7 after sowing to day 38 were 42–57% (WC1), 35–50% (WC2), 30–43% (WC3), 24–36% (WC4), and 20–29% (WC5). The fully expanded first trifoliate leaves of 38-day-old seedlings were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA from leaves was used for gene expression analysis.

Mild drought stress tests of soybean in a temperature-controlled growth chamber. Mild drought stress tests of soybean in pots were conducted in a temperature-controlled growth chamber[28] equipped with a CO_2 regulator (LH-350S, AMC-CO_2-1S; Nippon Medical & Chemical Instruments) under a 14-h-light 25°C/10-h-dark 23°C photoperiod to examine how soil water content affects the physiological status and Pi and ABA concentrations. Soybean seeds were sown in 300-ml pots filled with equal volume of vermiculite (grain size: 3–5 mm) and water to a VWC of approximately 40%, and the pots were well watered (up to a VWC of 60%) with liquid medium [0.1 mM KH_2PO_4, 0.4 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.23 μM H_3BO_3, 0.035 μM $MnCl_2$, 0.001125 μM $CuSO_4$, 0.005 μM Na_2MoO_4, 0.25 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2$, 0.19 μM Na-Fe-EDTA, and 0.25 mM KNO_3] for 12 days. Nutrient conditions of the vermiculite and liquid medium were not significantly different from those of the field soil used in this study in terms of P content (Supplementary Data 7). VWC was adjusted to eight different levels, i.e., WC1 (75%), WC2 (67%), WC3 (58%), WC4 (50%), WC5 (42%), WC6 (33%), WC7 (25%), and WC8 (without water until the end), by weighing the pots and adding water every Monday, Wednesday, and Friday from 12 days after sowing.

The ranges of variation in VWCs during the period from day 17 after sowing to day 20 were as follows: WC1 (52–75%), WC2 (51–67%), WC3 (42–58%), WC4 (34–50%), WC5 (28–42%), WC6 (28–33%), WC7 (23–25%), and WC8 (minimum value :18%). The fully expanded first trifoliate leaves of 20-day-old seedlings were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen for analyzing the concentrations of Pi and ABA ($n = 4$ for each treatment). To determine aboveground biomass, seedlings were cut at the position of the cotyledon and immediately weighed ($n = 4$ for each treatment). Leaf water content was calculated for each

interval as $[(FW) − (DW)/ (FW)] \times 100$, where FW and DW are fresh weight and dry weight,

respectively, for any given interval.

Mild drought stress tests in *Arabidopsis thaliana* in a growth chamber using pots.

Arabidopsis thaliana L. accession Columbia-0 (Col-0, CS60000) and the *phr1 phl1* double

mutant were used in this study. The *phr1 phl1* double mutant was generated by crossing *phr1*

(SALK_067629) with *phl1* (SALK_079505), both of which were provided by NASC. Primer

sets for confirmation of T-DNA insertion and gene expression in the mutant are shown in

Supplementary Data 14. *Arabidopsis* seeds were grown on GM agar plates for 6 to 7 days after

stratification[28] with a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle (40 ± 10 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}). Six-day-old

seedlings grown on GM agar plates were transplanted into each pot (7.7-cm diameter) filled

with equal amounts of vermiculite (grain size: <1.5 mm) (Midorisangyou, Fukuoka, Japan).

Pots were soaked in liquid medium [0.1 mM KH_2PO_4, 0.4 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.23 μM H_3BO_3, 0.035

μM $MnCl_2$, 0.001125 μM $CuSO_4$, 0.005 μM Na_2MoO_4, 0.25 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2$, 0.19 μM Na-Fe-

EDTA, and 0.25 mM KNO_3], and seedlings were grown for 7 days in an environmentally

controlled growth room at 21°C under a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle (70 ± 20 μmol photons m^{-2}

s^{-1}). For 10× Pi liquid nutrient tests, liquid nutrient medium had 10 times the phosphate content

(1.0 mM KH_2PO_4). For mild drought tolerance tests, the pots were divided into two groups at

13 days after stratification. Pots of control plants were soaked in water, whereas pots of

drought-stressed plants were placed on paper towels to reduce soil moisture (Fig. 5a). For

rehydration tests, after 6 days of drought stress treatment, plants grown in pots that had been

soaked in water and left for 1 day were sampled for the rehydration treatment sample. The

relationships between soil VWC and water potential were 39.9% (–0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 32.0%

(–0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 27.5% (–0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 25.0% (–0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 9.6%

(–0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). To determine the aboveground biomass, the fresh aboveground weights

of six seedlings were measured at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatments. The collected seedlings

were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA from leaves was used for RNA-seq

analysis or RT-qPCR analysis. Maximum rosette radius was measured using ImageJ software

(v.1.51).

Transcriptome analysis of *Arabidopsis* plants grown in pots. Total RNA was extracted from

three *Arabidopsis* seedlings per pot using RNAiso Plus (Takara Bio, Japan) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Three biological replicates per treatment were performed for

RNA-seq analysis. The extracted RNA was used to construct paired-end libraries using a

TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina). The libraries were sequenced on an

Illumina NovaSeq6000 sequencer with a 151-bp paired-end protocol (Macrogen).

Trimmomatic v0.39[64] with the options SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20 and MINLEN:40 was used

for trimming low-quality reads and adaptor sequences. Trimmed reads were mapped against

the reference sequence of TAIR10 (https://www.arabidopsis.org/) using STAR v2.5.1[65] with

the options outSAMstrandField: intronMotif; and outFilterType: BySJout. The mapped reads

and TPM (transcripts per million) of each gene were calculated using featureCounts[75] and

TPMCalculator[76], respectively. Differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR

package version 3.30.3[77]. The up- and down-regulated DEGs satisfying the following criteria,

$|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, TPM value > 0, and $q < 0.05$, were defined as DEGs between control samples

and dry conditions.

Hierarchical clustering of 2,397 genes that were differentially expressed ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$,

TPM value > 0, $q < 0.05$) in response to mild drought stress in at least one sampling in the

RNA-seq experiments was constructed using the 'heatmap.2' function of the gplots package

v3.1.3 in R software v3.3.3[67]. Representatives of the different types of PSR genes were selected

among up-regulated genes based on a previous report (Supplementary Data 6)[21]. For the ABA-

responsive marker gene set (Supplementary Data 12)[40], 193 genes were collected from the top

of the gene list of DEGs whose expression was up-regulated at 24 hours after ABA treatment[40],

the same number as for PSR.

Inorganic phosphate uptake assay using $H_3{}^{32}PO_4$. Radiolabeled Pi was used for determining

Pi uptake under different soil water conditions in *Arabidopsis*. *Arabidopsis* (Col-0, CS60000)

was grown under the same conditions as described in Fig. 6. Before drought treatment, all pots

were removed from nutrient solution and kept on paper towels to remove excess water for 10

min. Pots were soaked in 20 kBq/ml ^{32}Pi solution (H_3PO_4, PerkinElmer, Inc., Japan) in filtrated

water for 1 h. After ^{32}Pi treatment, pots were divided into two groups as described in Fig. 6.

The aboveground parts of three seedlings were collected from each pot at 1 and 4 days after

drought treatment and ^{32}Pi radioactivity was measured using an imaging plate (BAS-IP MS,

FUJIFILM, Tokyo Japan) and a Typhoon FLA-7000 image reader (Cytiva, Tokyo, Japan).

Signal intensities detected in the region of interest (ROI) were measured using ImageJ software.

Statistical analysis. Statistical tests in this study, except for the hypergeometric enrichment

analysis of PSR marker genes (Fig. 3d, Fig. 5e) conducted using the R function "phyper," were

performed in GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software Inc.; San Diego, CA, USA). An unpaired,

two-tailed *t*-test or a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test was used in

most experiments. For the randomized block tests in the field two-tailed paired samples t-test
was used.
804
805 **Data availability**
806 RNA-seq data are available from the DNA Data Bank of Japan (www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/) under
807 accession numbers DRA012279 and DRA014734.
808

Acknowledgments
We thank S. Shu, M. Toyoshima, K. Ozawa, N. Komatsu, H. Ishiyama, K. Matayoshi, K.
Kawamura, N. Hisatomi, Y. Saito, Y. Shirai, Y. Nakamura, Y. Nonoue, Y. Takigushi, Y.
Masamura, A. Aoyama, K. Mitadera, I. Gejima, H. Ohwada, N. Tayama, K. Watanabe, T.
Sekine, S. Nakamura, K. Yoshihara, and S. Takasugi for technical assistance, and J. Kikuchi,
Y. Tsuboi, K. Yoshida, T. Fujiwara, T. Taji, K. Nakashima, M. Fujita, T. Ogata, Y. Murata, T.
Kashiwa, Oscar Rollano-Peñaloza, J. Quezada, and S. Neyrot for discussions. This work was
supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) from the Japan Society for
the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (Grant Nos. JP18K05379 to YN; JP21H02158 to YN, YF;
JP16K07412, JP24510312 to YF), the Cabinet Office, Government of Japan, Moonshot
Research and Development Program for Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (funding agency:
Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution; Grant No. JPJ009237), the Japan
International Cooperation Agency (JICA) for the Science and Technology Research
Partnership for Sustainable Development (SATREPS; Grant No. JPMJSA1907), and the
Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) of Japan.

References
1.　Pennisi, E. The blue revolution, drop by drop, gene by gene. *Science* **320**, 171-173
(2008).
2.　Fujita, Y., Fujita, M., Shinozaki, K. & Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. ABA-mediated
transcriptional regulation in response to osmotic stress in plants. *J Plant Res* **124**, 509-
525 (2011).
3.　Zhang, H., Zhu, J., Gong, Z. & Zhu, J.K. Abiotic stress responses in plants. *Nat Rev*
Genet **23**, 104-119 (2022).
4.　Skirycz, A. et al. Survival and growth of Arabidopsis plants given limited water are not
equal. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**, 212-214 (2011).
5.　Claeys, H., Van Landeghem, S., Dubois, M., Maleux, K. & Inzé, D. What is stress?
Dose-response effects in commonly used *in vitro* stress assays. *Plant Physiol* **165**, 519-

837  527 (2014).

838 6. Verslues, P.E. Time to grow: factors that control plant growth during mild to moderate
839  drought stress. *Plant Cell Environ* **40**, 177-179 (2017).

840 7. Harb, A., Krishnan, A., Ambavaram, M.M.R. & Pereira, A. Molecular and
841  physiological analysis of drought stress in Arabidopsis reveals early responses leading
842  to acclimation in plant growth. *Plant Physiol* **154**, 1254-1271 (2010).

843 8. Des Marais, D.L. et al. Physiological genomics of response to soil drying in diverse
844  Arabidopsis accessions. *Plant Cell* **24**, 893-914 (2012).

845 9. Ma, X., Sukiran, N.L., Ma, H. & Su, Z. Moderate drought causes dramatic floral
846  transcriptomic reprogramming to ensure successful reproductive development in
847  Arabidopsis. *BMC Plant Biol* **14**, 164 (2014).

848 10. Clauw, P. et al. Leaf growth response to mild drought: natural variation in *Arabidopsis*
849  sheds light on trait architecture. *Plant Cell* **28**, 2417-2434 (2016).

850 11. Dubois, M., Claeys, H., Van den Broeck, L. & Inzé, D. Time of day determines
851  Arabidopsis transcriptome and growth dynamics under mild drought. *Plant Cell*
852  *Environ* **40**, 180-189 (2017).

853 12. Claeys, H. & Inzé, D. The agony of choice: how plants balance growth and survival
854  under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Physiol* **162**, 1768-1779 (2013).

855 13. Zhu, Q., Riley, W.J., Tang, J. & Koven, C.D. Multiple soil nutrient competition between
856  plants, microbes, and mineral surfaces: model development, parameterization, and
857  example applications in several tropical forests. *Biogeosciences* **13**, 341-363 (2016).

858 14. Richardson, A.E. & Simpson, R.J. Soil microorganisms mediating phosphorus
859  availability update on microbial phosphorus. *Plant Physiol* **156**, 989-996 (2011).

860 15. Poirier, Y. & Bucher, M. Phosphate transport and homeostasis in Arabidopsis.
861  *Arabidopsis Book* **1**, e0024 (2002).

862 16. Chiou, T.J. & Lin, S.I. Signaling network in sensing phosphate availability in plants.
863  *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **62**, 185-206 (2011).

864 17. Ried, M.K. et al. Inositol pyrophosphates promote the interaction of SPX domains with
865  the coiled-coil motif of PHR transcription factors to regulate plant phosphate
866  homeostasis. *Nat Commun* **12**, 384 (2021).

867 18. Zhou, J. et al. Mechanism of phosphate sensing and signaling revealed by rice SPX1-
868  PHR2 complex structure. *Nat Commun* **12**, 7040 (2021).

869 19. Guan, Z. et al. Mechanistic insights into the regulation of plant phosphate homeostasis
870  by the rice SPX2 - PHR2 complex. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1581 (2022).

871 20. Sun, L., Song, L., Zhang, Y., Zheng, Z. & Liu, D. *Arabidopsis* PHL2 and PHR1 act

redundantly as the key components of the central regulatory system controlling transcriptional responses to phosphate starvation. *Plant Physiol* **170**, 499-514 (2016).

21. Castrillo, G. et al. Root microbiota drive direct integration of phosphate stress and immunity. *Nature* **543**, 513-518 (2017).

22. Hiruma, K. et al. Root endophyte *Colletotrichum tofieldiae* confers plant fitness benefits that are phosphate status dependent. *Cell* **165**, 464-474 (2016).

23. He, M. & Dijkstra, F.A. Drought effect on plant nitrogen and phosphorus: a meta-analysis. *New Phytol* **204**, 924-931 (2014).

24. Graham, P.H. & Vance, C.P. Legumes: importance and constraints to greater use. *Plant Physiol* **131**, 872-877 (2003).

25. Faostat-Production, F. A. O. "Crops and livestock products." accessed on July 2022.

26. Manavalan, L.P., Guttikonda, S.K., Tran, L.S.P. & Nguyen, H.T. Physiological and molecular approaches to improve drought resistance in soybean. *Plant Cell Physiol* **50**, 1260-1276 (2009).

27. Gebre, M.G. & Earl, H.J. Soil water deficit and fertilizer placement effects on root biomass distribution, soil water extraction, water use, yield, and yield components of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] grown in 1-m rooting columns. *Front Plant Sci* **12**, 581127 (2021).

28. Nagatoshi, Y. & Fujita, Y. Accelerating soybean breeding in a CO_2-supplemented growth chamber. *Plant Cell Physiol* **60**, 77-84 (2019).

29. Zhang, M. et al. Progress in soybean functional genomics over the past decade. *Plant Biotechnol J* **20**, 256-282 (2022).

30. Verslues, P.E., Agarwal, M., Katiyar-Agarwal, S., Zhu, J. & Zhu, J.K. Methods and concepts in quantifying resistance to drought, salt and freezing, abiotic stresses that affect plant water status. *Plant J* **45**, 523-539 (2006).

31. Kuromori, T., Fujita, M., Takahashi, F., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. & Shinozaki, K. Inter-tissue and inter-organ signaling in drought stress response and phenotyping of drought tolerance. *Plant J* **109**, 342-358 (2022).

32. Prince, S.J. et al. Root xylem plasticity to improve water use and yield in water-stressed soybean. *J Exp Bot* **68**, 2027-2036 (2017).

33. Nelissen, H., Moloney, M. & Inzé, D. Translational research: from pot to plot. *Plant Biotechnol J* **12**, 277-285 (2014).

34. Fuganti-Pagliarini, R. et al. Characterization of soybean genetically modified for drought tolerance in field conditions. *Front Plant Sci* **8**, 448 (2017).

35. Kundel, D. et al. Design and manual to construct rainout-shelters for climate change

experiments in agroecosystems. *Front Environ Sci* **6**, 14 (2018).

36.   Hoover, D.L., Wilcox, K.R. & Young, K.E. Experimental droughts with rainout
shelters: a methodological review. *Ecosphere* **9**, e02088 (2018).

37.   Mekonnen, M.M. & Hoekstra, A.Y. The green, blue and grey water footprint of crops
and derived crop products. *Hydrol Earth Sys Sci* **15**, 1577-1600 (2011).

38.   Li, C. et al. Identification of soybean purple acid phosphatase genes and their
expression responses to phosphorus availability and symbiosis. *Ann Bot* **109**, 275-285
(2012).

39.   Yao, Z., Tian, J. & Liao, H. Comparative characterization of GmSPX members reveals
that GmSPX3 is involved in phosphate homeostasis in soybean. *Ann Bot* **114**, 477-488
(2014).

40.   Song, L. et al. A transcription factor hierarchy defines an environmental stress response
network. *Science* **354** (2016).

41.   Bustos, R. et al. A central regulatory system largely controls transcriptional activation
and repression responses to phosphate starvation in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genet* **6**,
e1001102 (2010).

42.   Wang, Z., Zheng, Z., Zhu, Y., Kong, S. & Liu, D. PHOSPHATE RESPONSE 1 family
members act distinctly to regulate transcriptional responses to phosphate starvation.
Plant Physiol **191**, 1324-1343 (2023).

43.   Pang, J., Ryan, M.H., Lambers, H. & Siddique, K.H. Phosphorus acquisition and
utilisation in crop legumes under global change. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **45**, 248-254
(2018).

44.   Chen, W. et al. Identification and comparative analysis of differential gene expression
in soybean leaf tissue under drought and flooding stress revealed by RNA-Seq. *Front
Plant Sci* **7**, 1044 (2016).

45.   Marcolino-Gomes, J. et al. Diurnal oscillations of soybean circadian clock and drought
responsive genes. *PLoS One* **9**, e86402 (2014).

46.   Li, M. et al. Transcriptome analysis reveals key drought-stress-responsive genes in
soybean. *Front Genet* **13**, 1060529 (2022).

47.   Suriyagoda, L.D.B., Ryan, M.H., Renton, M. & Lambers, H. Plant responses to limited
moisture and phosphorus availability: A meta-analysis. *Advances in Agronomy* **124**,
143-200 (2014).

48.   Kalladan, R. et al. Natural variation in 9-cis-epoxycartenoid dioxygenase 3 and ABA
accumulation. *Plant Physiol* **179**, 1620-1631 (2019).

49.   Jones, H.G. Monitoring plant and soil water status: established and novel methods

revisited and their relevance to studies of drought tolerance. *J Exp Bot* **58**, 119-130 (2007).

50. Jones, H.G. & Leinonen, I. Thermal imaging for the study of plant water relations. *J Agric Meteorol* **59**, 205-217 (2003).

51. Tardieu, F., Simonneau, T. & Muller, B. The physiological basis of drought tolerance in crop plants: A scenario-dependent probabilistic approach. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **69**, 733-759 (2018).

52. Gupta, A., Rico-Medina, A. & Cano-Delgado, A.I. The physiology of plant responses to drought. *Science* **368**, 266-269 (2020).

53. Approaching peak phosphorus. *Nat Plants* **8**, 979 (2022).

54. Schmutz, J. et al. Genome sequence of the palaeopolyploid soybean. *Nature* **463**, 178-183 (2010).

55. Shimomura, M. et al. The *Glycine max* cv. Enrei genome for improvement of Japanese soybean cultivars. *Int J Genomics* **2015**, 358127 (2015).

56. Driessen, P., Deckers J., Spaargaren, O. & Nachtergaele, F. "Andosols" in Lecture Notes on the Major Soils of the World. *World Soil Resources Rep.* **94**, FAO (2001).

57. Dane, J.H. & Hopmans, J.W. "Pressure plate extractor" in Methods of Soil Analysis, J. H. Dane, G. C. Topp, Eds. (SSSA, Madison, 2002), Part 4: Physical methods, pp. 688–690. (2002).

58. Scanlon, B.R., Andraski, B.J. & Bilskie, J. "Dew point potentiameter" in Methods of soil analysis, Part 4: Physical methods, J. H. Dane, G. C. Topp, Eds. (SSSA, Madison, 2002) pp. 662–665.

59. Fredlund, D.G. & Xing, A. Equations for the soil-water characteristic curve. *Can. Geotech. J.* **31**, 521–532 (1994).

60. Seki, K. SWRC fit – a nonlinear fitting program with a water retention curve for soils having unimodal and bimodal pore structure. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci Discuss* **4**, 407–437 (2007).

61. Durner, W. Hydraulic Conductivity estimation for soils with heterogeneous pore structure. *Water Resour Res* **30**, 211-223 (1994).

62. Van Reeuwijk, L.P. "Procedures for Soil Analysis" Tech. Paper Vol. 9, International Soil Reference and Information Centre (ISRIC), Wageningen, 2002.

63. Bray, R.H. & Kurtz, L.T. Determination of total, organic, and available forms of phosphorus in soils. *Soil Science* **59** (1945).

64. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114-2120 (2014).

977   65.   Dobin, A. et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15-21
978   (2013).

979   66.   Trapnell, C. et al. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals
980   unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nat*
981   *Biotechnol* **28**, 511-515 (2010).

982   67.   Warnes, G.R. et al. gplots: Various R programming tools for plotting data, 2016.
983   https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gplots/index.html. (2016).

984   68.   Yu, G., Wang, L.G., Han, Y. & He, Q.Y. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing
985   biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**, 284-287 (2012).

986   69.   Morgan, M., Carlson, M., Tenenbaum, D. & Arora, S. AnnotationHub: Client to access
987   AnnotationHub resources. R package version 2.10.1., 2017. (2017).

988   70.   Kojima, M. et al. Highly sensitive and high-throughput analysis of plant hormones
989   using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry:
990   an application for hormone profiling in *Oryza sativa*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **50**, 1201-1214
991   (2009).

992   71.   Kojima, M. & Sakakibara, H. Highly sensitive high-throughput profiling of six
993   phytohormones using MS-probe modification and liquid chromatography-tandem mass
994   spectrometry. *Methods Mol Biol* **918**, 151-164 (2012).

995   72.   Shinozaki, Y. et al. Ethylene suppresses tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit set
996   through modification of gibberellin metabolism. *Plant J* **83**, 237-251 (2015).

997   73.   Ames, B.N. Assay of inorganic phosphate, total phosphate and phosphatases. *Methods*
998   *Enzymolo.* **8,** 115-118 (1966).

74.   Chiou, T.J. et al. Regulation of phosphate homeostasis by microRNA in *Arabidopsis*.
Plant Cell **18**, 412-421 (2006).

75.   Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program
for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923-930 (2014).

76.   Vera Alvarez, R., Pongor, L.S., Mariño-Ramírez, L. & Landsman, D. TPMCalculator:
one-step software to quantify mRNA abundance of genomic features. *Bioinformatics*
35, 1960-1962 (2019).

77.   Robinson, M.D., McCarthy, D.J. & Smyth, G.K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139-
140 (2010).

1009

1010   **Figure legends**

Fig. 1. Ridges artificially induce mild drought and reduce soybean yield. a Photograph of the experimental field, including the VWC data collection station, watering tubes, and probes (yellow arrows). **b** Twelve-day-old soybean plants on flat and ridged plots (referred to as "flats" and "ridges," respectively). **c** Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of soil VWC (n = 4 independent replicates) in the flats (blue lines and points) and ridges (pink lines and points) over the study period in the 2016 field. Relationships between soil VWC and water potential were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **d** Aboveground biomass (dry weight, DW) per plant grown on flats and ridges (n = 12 biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. **e** Thermogram and the corresponding digital image of 9-week-old soybean plants grown on flats and ridges in 2016. **f** Total seed weight per plant grown on flats and ridges (n = 20 biologically independent replicates). **g** Seeds from individual representative plants grown on flats and ridges. Bars, 10 cm. **h** Nutrient contents (N, P, and K) of soil in flats and ridges before (July) and after (November) soybean cultivation in 2016 (n = 4 independent replicates). Error bars in **c, d, f,** and **h** denote SD. *P < 0.05, two-tailed paired samples t-test (**d, f**). ns, no significant difference, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in ridged versus flat plots for each season (**h**).

Fig. 2. Irrigation compensates for the reduced growth of plants on ridges. a Aerial view of 7-week-old soybean plants in the 2018 field. F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. Left half, irrigated area. Right half, rainfed area. **b** Representative soybean plants from flats and ridges with and without irrigation. Bar, 10 cm. **c** Biological yield (total dry weight of seed, stem, and pod sheaths) per plant grown on flats and ridges (n = 48 biologically independent replicates). ***P < 0.001, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Fig. 3. Mild drought induces PSR in field-grown soybean plants. a Positions of the second trifoliate leaves (dotted enclosure) used for RNA-seq analysis in 29-day-old soybean plants grown on flats and ridges in 2015. Bars, 10 cm. **b** Schematic representation of a soybean plant. **c** Heat map of $\log_2$ fold change in expression of 990 up-regulated and 400 down-regulated genes ($|\log_2(\text{FC})| \geq 1$, FPKM value > 0, q < 0.05) under mild drought conditions induced by ridges. PSR genes are indicated on the lower row. **d** Ratio of PSR marker genes to the total number of genes, the number of up-regulated genes, and the number of down-regulated genes. **e** Representative up-regulated PSR marker genes under mild drought conditions. **f** PSR gene expression determined using RT-qPCR in the first to third trifoliate leaves of 29-day-old plants

grown on ridges and flats in 2015 ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates for the second trifoliate leaves; $n = 10$ biologically independent replicates for the first and third trifoliate leaves). F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. The *actin* gene (*Glyma.15G050200*) was used as an internal control for gene expression. **g** Pi concentration of first to third trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges and flats; same samples described in (**f**). $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in ridged versus flat plots for each leaf position; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Fig. 4. Mild drought causes PSR and severe drought induces ABA response in pot-grown soybean plants. a Time-course analysis of VWCs in potted soybean plants with five different moisture contents ($n = 6$ independent replicates). The range of variation in VWCs during the period from day 7 after sowing (when plants were transplanted into pots) to day 38 (when sampling was performed) is shown on the right. Drought stress treatments were imposed from 10 days after sowing. Relationships between soil VWC and water potential were 36.9% (-0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 32.7% (-0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 30.0% (-0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 28.1% (-0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 19.1% (-0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Thermogram and corresponding digital image of 26-day-old soybean plants in pots. **c–d** Relative expression of PSR (**c**) and ABA-responsive (**d**) genes in the first trifoliate leaves of the 38-day-old plants shown in (**b**), as determined by RT-qPCR ($n = 6$ biologically independent replicates). The *actin* gene (*Glyma.15G050200*) was used as an internal control for gene expression. $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in WC2–WC5 versus WC1. Error bars denote SD. **e** Proposed model of the plant's response to increasing levels of water deficit stress in terms of gene expression.

Fig. 5. Progressive mild drought induces earlier expression of PSR genes than ABA-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis* plants. a Schematic overview of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 13-day-old plants soaked in water with liquid nutrients after transplanting 6-day-old plants from agar plates were soaked in water as a control or placed on paper towels for 6 days for mild drought treatment and then soaked in water for 1 day for rehydration treatment. Plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b** VWCs at treatment initiation and sampling in pots ($n = 3$ independent replicates). Error bars denote SD. Relationships between the average of soil VWC and water potential were control treatment (69.8%, < -0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat

 c Total aboveground

biomass (fresh weight, FW) per pot; six plants were grown per pot under control or mild

drought conditions ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates). [***]$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; two-

tailed Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for 7-dat). Error bars denote SD.

C, D, and R denote control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. **d**

Photographs of *Arabidopsis* seedlings at 1 and 7 days after treatment in control and drought-

treated pots. **e** Percentage of PSR or ABA marker genes relative to the total number of genes

(white bars), the number of up-regulated genes (red bars), and the number of down-regulated

genes (green bars) at each sampling time point. **f** Hierarchical clustering of 2,397 genes that

were differentially expressed ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, TPM value > 0, $q < 0.05$) in response to mild

drought stress in at least one sampling in the RNA-seq experiments. Right rows indicate PSR

and ABA genes. Two gene sets, designated clusters A and B, of the 19 clusters were enriched

in genes involved in biological processes related to PSR and ABA responses. **g** Heat maps of

$\log_2$ fold change in expression of representative PSR genes in cluster A.

Fig. 6. PSR plays a crucial role in plant growth under mild drought. a Schematic overview

of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment in *Arabidopsis*

plants. Pots containing 14-day-old plants soaked in water with liquid nutrients after

transplanting 7-day-old plants from agar plates were soaked in water as a control or placed on

paper towels for mild drought treatment for 6 days and then soaked in water for 1 day for

rehydration treatment. Plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b**

Volumetric water content (VWC) at treatment initiation and sampling in pots ($n = 4$

independent replicates). Error bars denote SD. Relationships between the average of soil VWC

and water potential were control treatment (74.0%, <－0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat

(55.7%, <－0.0031 MPa), mild drought 3-dat (35.4%, －0.0063 MPa), mild drought 6-dat

(22.8%, －0.16 MPa), and mild drought 7-dat (20.0%, －0.24 MPa). **c, d** Pi concentrations of

the seedlings in wild-type (**c**) and *phr1 phl1* mutant (**d**) ($n =4$ biologically independent pot

replicates, three seedlings per pot). [*]$P < 0.05$, [**]$P < 0.01$, and [***]$P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student's

t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for 7-dat). C, D, and R denote control, mild

Supplementary Fig. 1. Experimental field plot, equipped with soil moisture sensors and an irrigation system, for analysis of ridge-induced mild drought. a Ridge made using a tiller coupled to a rotary used in this study. **b** Time domain reflectometry (TDR) probes inserted into the soil to measure volumetric water content (VWC) over time during the cultivation period. **c, d** Soil VWC data collected from TDR probes inserted into the soil were sent to a Campbell CR1000 data logger (**c**) through coaxial cables (black cables) in a VWC data collection station (white box) powered by a solar panel (**d**). **e** The VWC data collection station was installed on a tower in the center of the experimental field equipped with an irrigation system. The area on the right was irrigated, whereas that on the left was not.

Supplementary Fig. 2. Schematic layouts of the experimental plots in 2015, 2016, and 2018. a In 2015, the experimental layout consisted of two areas, one with flat plots (left) and the other with ridged plots (right). In each area, three plots were used for the analysis. The other two plots in each area were not analyzed in this study. **b** In 2016, the experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four blocks for four replicates. Each block included a wider ridged plot that was not analyzed in this study. To monitor soil VWCs over time, TDR probes and a VWC data collection station with a built-in data logger were installed from 2016 onwards (Supplementary Fig. 1). **c** In 2018, the layout consisted of two separate areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area consisted of randomized blocks, each including flat and ridged plots, with four replicates. Wider ridges were constructed along the length of each block, on either side, and were not analyzed in this study.

Supplementary Fig. 3. Schematic layouts of the experimental plots in 2017, 2019, and 2020. a In 2017, the experiment layout was divided into two areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area included randomized blocks with flat and ridged plots, and with

four replicates. Each block included a ridged plot with a wider base, which was not analyzed in this study. **b** In 2019, the experiment was laid out in a randomized block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four blocks for four replicates. The upper side of one block contained flats and ridged plots that were not analyzed in this study. **c** In 2020, the experimental layout was divided into two areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area included randomized blocks, including flat and ridged plots, with four replicates.

Supplementary Fig. 4. Relationship between VWC and matric potential of the soil in the experimental field. Soil samples collected from the experimental field were used to measure the soil water retention. Dark green dots and light green triangles indicate the matric potential at a depth of 0–30 cm (BD value = 0.773) and 0–20 cm (BD value = 0.734), respectively (n = 6 independent replicates). For soil VWCs, VWCs at depths of 0–20 cm, starting from the top of the ridge or the flat, were measured in the 2015 field trials, and VWCs at depths of 0–30 cm, starting from the top of the ridge or the flat, were measured in the 2016 and subsequent field trials. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 5. **Growth of soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots at various growth stages in the 2016 field experiment. a** Representative 20-, 36-, and 50-day-old soybean plants grown on flat and ridged plots. Bars, 10 cm. **b** Representative 20-, 36-, and 50-day-old soybean plants in the field, on flat and ridged plots. Differences in soil color reflect the soil moisture at the time of sampling.

Supplementary Fig. 6. Yield components of soybean grown on flat and ridged plots in the 2016 field experiment. a-f Measured values of yield components of soybean grown on flat and ridged plots in the 2016 field experiment. F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. Number of pods per plant (**a**), number of seeds per pod (**b**), 100-seed weight (**c**), number of seeds per plant (**d**), dried aboveground biomass consisting of stem and pods (**e**), and calculated values of harvest index (**f**) of plants grown on the flat and ridged plots (n = 20 biologically independent replicates). $^{**}P$ < 0.01, $^{***}P$ < 0.001, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 7. Irrigation compensated for the reduced growth of plants on ridges in the 2018 field experiment. a Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time -course of the soil VWCs (n = 4 independent replicates) in the flat (blue and purple lines and points) and ridged (pink and red lines and points) plots with (purple and red lines and points) and without (blue

and pink lines and points) irrigation over the growing period in 2018. Dashed green arrows indicate the day of irrigation. The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Forty-nine-day-old soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots with and without irrigation. **c-e** Plant height (**c**), total branch length (**d**), and total seed weight per plant (**e**) of the plants grown on the flat and ridged plots with (irrigated) and without (rainfed) irrigation (n = 12 biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars in (**a**), (**c**), (**d**), and (**e**) denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 8. Ridges reduce soil water contents in the field. a-c Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of the soil VWCs (n = 4 independent replicates) in the flat (blue and purple lines and points) and ridged (pink and red lines and points) plots with (purple and red lines and points) and without (blue and pink lines and points) irrigation over the growing period in 2017 (**a**), 2019 (**b**), and 2020 (**c**). The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). Dashed green arrows indicate the day of irrigation. Error bar = SD. **d-f** The yield or biomass of the harvested soybean grown on flat (blue dots) and ridged (pink dots) plots with (irrigated) or without (rainfed) irrigation. F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. n = 24 biologically independent replicates (**d**), n = 40 biologically independent replicates (**e, f**). Error bars denote SD. $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference.

Supplementary Fig. 9. **Ridges induced mild drought and hampered soybean growth in the 2015 field experiment. a** Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of the soil VWCs (n = 3 independent replicates) in the flat (blue lines and dots) and ridged (pink lines and dots) plots over the growing period in the 2015 field. Magenta arrow indicates the day of sampling for RNA-seq analysis. The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 48.1% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 42.6% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 39.1% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 36.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 25.0% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Representative 21-, 30-, and 44-day-old soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots. Bars, 10 cm. **c** Aboveground biomass (dry weight) per plant of 21-, 30-, and 44-day-old plants grown on the flat and ridged plots (n = 5 or 7 biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flat and ridged plots,

1215 respectively. **d** Number of seeds per plant (left) and 100-seed weight (right) of the harvested

1216 plants grown on the flat and ridged plots (n = 10 or 11). $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed

1217 Student's t-test. Error bars in (**a**), (**c**), and (**d**) denote SD.

1218

1219 **Supplementary Fig. 10**. **ABA content did not clearly differ between the leaves of soybean**

1220 **plants grown on flats and ridges.** ABA contents of second trifoliate leaves of soybean plants

1221 grown on flats (F) and ridges (R) (n = 9 biologically independent replicates); the same samples

1222 were used for the RNA-seq analysis presented in Fig. 3. ns indicates not significant, two-tailed

1223 Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

1224

Supplementary Fig. 11. Mild drought induces the expression of many PSR genes in

soybean plants in the field. Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis of genes up-

regulated in soybean under ridge-induced mild drought conditions. GO analysis (FDR < 0.05,

$p < 0.05$) revealed a total of 53 GO terms, mainly involving stress-responsive genes, that were

significantly enriched in up-regulated DEGs. Notably, among the up-regulated DEGs, 16 and

7 were related to "cellular response to phosphate starvation" and "phosphate ion homeostasis",

respectively. The enriched GO terms are visualized in a directed acyclic graph (DAG) with

colors representing the p value (red shows a lower p value than yellow).

1233

Supplementary Fig. 12. Elemental analysis shows a marked decrease in P in plant leaves

under mild drought. The contents of primary macronutrients (**a**), secondary macronutrients

(**b**), and micronutrients (**c**) in second trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on flats and

ridges; the same samples were used for the RNA-seq analysis presented in Fig. 3. F and R

denote flat and ridged plots, respectively (n = 9 biologically independent replicates). $^{*}P < 0.05$,

$^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$; ns indicates not significant, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars

denote SD.

1241

Supplementary Fig. 13. Mild drought reduces Pi and severe drought increases ABA in

pot-grown soybean plants. a Time-course analysis of VWCs in potted soybean plants with

eight different moisture contents (n = 8 independent replicates). The range of variation in

VWCs during the period from day 17 after sowing to day 20 (when sampling was performed)

is shown on the right. Drought stress treatments were imposed from 12 days after sowing. The

relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 36.0% (−0.0039 MPa,

pF 1.6), 32.5% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 25.8% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 16.9% (−0.098 MPa, pF

3.0), and 3.9% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Thermogram and corresponding digital image of 20-

day-old soybean plants in pots viewed from the side and top. **c-f** Aboveground biomass (c), leaf water content (d), Pi concentration (e), and ABA content (f) of the second trifoliate leaves ($n = 4$ biologically independent replicates). Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 14. PSR induced by Pi reduction initially occurs before ABA response under progressive mild drought in pot-grown *Arabidopsis* plants. a Schematic overview of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 14-day-old seedlings soaked in water with control liquid nutrients or liquid nutrients with excess Pi (10× Pi: 10 times the amount of Pi) after transplanting 7-day-old plants from agar plates were placed on paper towels for mild drought treatment, soaked in water for the control, or placed on paper towels for 6 days and then soaked in water for 1 day for the rehydration treatment. The plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b** VWCs at treatment initiation and sampling in pots. The solid line indicates control nutrients ($n = 4$ independent replicates), and the dotted line indicates liquid nutrients with 10× Pi ($n = 3$ independent replicates). Relationships between the average of soil VWC and water potential were control treatment (71.6%, < − 0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat (57.2%, < − 0.0031 MPa), mild drought 3-dat (34.9%, − 0.0079 MPa), mild drought 6-dat (21.9%, − 0.19 MPa), and mild drought 7-dat (19.4%, − 0.25 MPa). **c** ABA contents of the seedlings grown in pots soaked in water with control liquid nutrients ($n = 4$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot). **d, e** Pi concentrations of the seedlings grown in pots soaked in water with control liquid nutrients (**d**, $n = 4$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot) and with liquid nutrients with 10× Pi (**e**, $n = 3$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot). The values on the vertical axis differ for control liquid nutrients and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi. **f, g** Total aboveground biomass (fresh weight, FW) per pot; nine plants were grown per pot in control liquid nutrients (**f**, $n = 4$ independent pot replicates) and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi (**g**, $n = 3$ independent pot replicates). **h** Photographs of *Arabidopsis* seedlings at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after control and mild drought treatment in pots pre-soaked in control liquid nutrients and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi. **i, j** Relative expression of PSR (**i**)- and ABA-responsive (**j**) genes in control liquid nutrients ($n = 4$ independent pot replicates) and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates), as determined by RT-qPCR. Expression was normalized to that of *PP2Aa3*. C, D, and R denote control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$ and ****$P < 0.0001$; ns

1282 indicates not significant, two-tailed Student's t-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for
1283 7-dat). Error bars denote SD.

1284

Supplementary Fig. 15. Mild drought inhibits Pi uptake into the aboveground parts of
plants. Evaluation of Pi uptake using *Arabidopsis* plants radiolabeled with ^{32}Pi. **a** Schematic
overview of the experimental design for control and mild drought in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots
containing 14-day-old seedlings soaked in water with liquid nutrients after transplanting 7-day-
old plants from agar plates were soaked in water containing ^{32}Pi for 1 h. The pots were placed
on paper towels for the mild drought treatment or soaked in water for the control. The plants
were sampled at 1 and 4 days after treatment (dat). **b** Autoradiography of the aboveground
parts of the *Arabidopsis* plants radiolabeled with ^{32}Pi. **c** ^{32}P content of each plant (aboveground
parts) calculated using a photostimulated luminescence (PSL) value and calibration curve
based on the standard spots ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates). $^{**}P < 0.01$, two-tailed
Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

1296

Supplementary Fig. 16. *Arabidopsis phr1 phl1* double knockout mutant deficient in PSR.
a Diagrams of *PHR1* and *PHL1* genes indicating the positions of T-DNA insertions. LB, LP
and RP indicate the primer positions used to check the T-DNA insertions. rF and rR indicate
the primer positions used to analyze the gene expression by RT-qPCR. **b** Relative expression
levels of *PHR1* and *PHL1* genes in the *phr1 phl1* double knockout mutant were determined by
RT-qPCR ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot) with total RNA isolated
from 18-day-old seedlings of the WT and *phr1 phl1* double mutant. Expression was normalized
to that of *PP2Aa3*. $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

1305

1306

Fig. 1. Ridges artificially induce mild drought and reduce soybean yield. a Photograph of the experimental field, including the VWC data collection station, watering tubes, and probes (yellow arrows). **b** Twelve-day-old soybean plants on flat and ridged plots (referred to as "flats" and "ridges," respectively). **c** Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of soil VWC ($n = 4$ independent replicates) in the flats (blue lines and points) and ridges (pink lines and points) over the study period in the 2016 field. Relationships between soil VWC and water potential were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **d** Aboveground biomass (dry weight, DW) per plant grown on flats and ridges ($n = 12$ biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. **e** Thermogram and the corresponding digital image of 9-week-old soybean plants grown on flats and ridges in 2016. **f** Total seed weight per plant grown on flats and ridges ($n = 20$ biologically independent replicates). **g** Seeds from individual representative plants grown on flats and ridges. Bars, 10 cm. **h** Nutrient contents (N, P, and K) of soil in flats and ridges before (July) and after (November) soybean cultivation in 2016 ($n = 4$ independent replicates). Error bars in **c, d, f,** and **h** denote SD. *$P < 0.05$, two-tailed paired samples t-test (**d, f**). ns, no significant difference, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in ridged versus flat plots for each season (**h**).

Fig. 2. Irrigation compensates for the reduced growth of plants on ridges. a Aerial view of 7-week-old soybean plants in the 2018 field. F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. Left half, irrigated area. Right half, rainfed area. **b** Representative soybean plants from flats and ridges with and without irrigation. Bar, 10 cm. **c** Biological yield (total dry weight of seed, stem, and pod sheaths) per plant grown on flats and ridges ($n = 48$ biologically independent replicates). ***$P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Fig. 3. Mild drought induces PSR in field-grown soybean plants. a Positions of the second trifoliate leaves (dotted enclosure) used for RNA-seq analysis in 29-day-old soybean plants grown on flats and ridges in 2015. Bars, 10 cm. **b** Schematic representation of a soybean plant. **c** Heat map of $\log_2$ fold change in expression of 990 up-regulated and 400 down-regulated genes ($|\log_2(FC)| \geq 1$, FPKM value > 0, $q < 0.05$) under mild drought conditions induced by ridges. PSR genes are indicated on the lower row. **d** Ratio of PSR marker genes to the total number of genes, the number of up-regulated genes, and the number of down-regulated genes. **e** Representative up-regulated PSR marker genes under mild drought conditions. **f** PSR gene expression determined using RT-qPCR in the first to third trifoliate leaves of 29-day-old plants grown on ridges and flats in 2015 ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates for the second trifoliate leaves; $n = 10$ biologically independent replicates for the first and third trifoliate leaves). F and R denote flats and ridges, respectively. The *actin* gene (*Glyma.15G050200*) was used as an internal control for gene expression. **g** Pi concentration of first to third trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on ridges and flats; same samples described in (**f**). $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in ridged versus flat plots for each leaf position; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Fig. 4. Mild drought causes PSR and severe drought induces ABA response in pot-grown soybean plants. a Time-course analysis of VWCs in potted soybean plants with five different moisture contents (*n* = 6 independent replicates). The range of variation in VWCs during the period from day 7 after sowing (when plants were transplanted into pots) to day 38 (when sampling was performed) is shown on the right. Drought stress treatments were imposed from 10 days after sowing. Relationships between soil VWC and water potential were 36.9% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 32.7% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 30.0% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 28.1% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 19.1% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Thermogram and corresponding digital image of 26-day-old soybean plants in pots. **c–d** Relative expression of PSR (**c**) and ABA-responsive (**d**) genes in the first trifoliate leaves of the 38-day-old plants shown in (**b**), as determined by RT-qPCR (*n* = 6 biologically independent replicates). The *actin* gene (*Glyma.15G050200*) was used as an internal control for gene expression. $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test in WC2–WC5 versus WC1. Error bars denote SD. **e** Proposed model of the plant's response to increasing levels of water deficit stress in terms of gene expression.

Fig. 5. Progressive mild drought induces earlier expression of PSR genes than ABA-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis* plants. a Schematic overview of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 13-day-old plants soaked in water with liquid nutrients after transplanting 6-day-old plants from agar plates were soaked in water as a control or placed on paper towels for 6 days for mild drought treatment and then soaked in water for 1 day for rehydration treatment. Plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b** VWCs at treatment initiation and sampling in pots ($n = 3$ independent replicates). Error bars denote SD. Relationships between the average of soil VWC and water potential were control treatment (69.8%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat (42.6%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 3-dat (30.7%, −0.019 MPa), mild drought 6-dat (20.9%, −0.21 MPa), and mild drought 7-dat (15.6%, −0.37 MPa). **c** Total aboveground biomass (fresh weight, FW) per pot; six plants were grown per pot under control or mild drought conditions ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates). ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; two-tailed Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for 7-dat). Error bars denote SD. C, D, and R denote control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. **d** Photographs of *Arabidopsis* seedlings at 1 and 7 days after treatment in control and drought-treated pots. **e** Percentage of PSR or ABA marker genes relative to the total number of genes (white bars), the number of up-regulated genes (red bars), and the number of down-regulated genes (green bars) at each sampling time point. **f** Hierarchical clustering of 2,397 genes that were differentially expressed ($|\log_2(\text{FC})| \geq 1$, TPM value > 0, $q < 0.05$) in response to mild drought stress in at least one sampling in the RNA-seq experiments. Right rows indicate PSR and ABA genes. Two gene sets, designated clusters A and B, of the 19 clusters were enriched in genes involved in biological processes related to PSR and ABA responses. **g** Heat maps of $\log_2$ fold change in expression of representative PSR genes in cluster A.

Fig. 6. PSR plays a crucial role in plant growth under mild drought. a Schematic overview of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 14-day-old plants soaked in water with liquid nutrients after transplanting 7-day-old plants from agar plates were soaked in water as a control or placed on paper towels for mild drought treatment for 6 days and then soaked in water for 1 day for rehydration treatment. Plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b** Volumetric water content (VWC) at treatment initiation and sampling in pots ($n = 4$ independent replicates). Error bars denote SD. Relationships between the average of soil VWC and water potential were control treatment (74.0%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat (55.7%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 3-dat (35.4%, −0.0063 MPa), mild drought 6-dat (22.8%, −0.16 MPa), and mild drought 7-dat (20.0%, −0.24 MPa). **c, d** Pi concentrations of the seedlings in wild-type (**c**) and *phr1 phl1* mutant (**d**) ($n = 4$ biologically independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot). *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for 7-dat). C, D, and R denote control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. **e, f** Total aboveground biomass (**e**) (fresh weight, FW) and maximum rosette radius (**f**); nine plants were grown per pot under control and mild drought conditions ($n = 4$ independent pot replicates). Error bars denote SD. Blue dots, pink dots and purple dots indicate control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test for each day versus 0 dat. **g** Photographs of *Arabidopsis* seedlings at 0 and 7 days after control or mild drought treatment. **h** Enlarged photographs of the central seedlings at 7 days after control or mild drought treatment. Bars, 1 cm (**g, h**).

Supplementary Fig. 1

Supplementary Fig. 1. Experimental field plot, equipped with soil moisture sensors and an irrigation system, for analysis of ridge-induced mild drought. a Ridge made using a tiller coupled to a rotary used in this study. **b** Time domain reflectometry (TDR) probes inserted into the soil to measure volumetric water content (VWC) over time during the cultivation period. **c, d** Soil VWC data collected from TDR probes inserted into the soil were sent to a Campbell CR1000 data logger (**c**) through coaxial cables (black cables) in a VWC data collection station (white box) powered by a solar panel (**d**). **e** The VWC data collection station was installed on a tower in the center of the experimental field equipped with an irrigation system. The area on the right was irrigated, whereas that on the left was not.

Supplementary Fig. 2

•**Supplementary Fig. 2. Schematic layouts of the experimental plots in 2015, 2016, and 2018. a** In 2015, the experimental layout consisted of two areas, one with flat plots (left) and the other with ridged plots (right). In each area, three plots were used for the analysis. The other two plots in each area were not analyzed in this study. **b** In 2016, the experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four blocks for four replicates. Each block included a wider ridged plot that was not analyzed in this study. To monitor soil VWCs over time, TDR probes and a VWC data collection station with a built-in data logger were installed from 2016 onwards (Supplementary Fig. 1). **c** In 2018, the layout consisted of two separate areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area consisted of randomized blocks, each including flat and ridged plots, with four replicates. Wider ridges were constructed along the length of each block, on either side, and were not analyzed in this study.

Supplementary Fig. 3

Supplementary Fig. 3. Schematic layouts of the experimental plots in 2017, 2019, and 2020. a In 2017, the experiment layout was divided into two areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area included randomized blocks with flat and ridged plots, and with four replicates. Each block included a ridged plot with a wider base, which was not analyzed in this study. **b** In 2019, the experiment was laid out in a randomized block design, including flat and ridged plots, with four blocks for four replicates. The upper side of one block contained flats and ridged plots that were not analyzed in this study. **c** In 2020, the experimental layout was divided into two areas, one irrigated and the other exclusively rainfed. Each area included randomized blocks, including flat and ridged plots, with four replicates.

Supplementary Fig. 4

Supplementary Fig. 4. Relationship between VWC and matric potential of the soil in the experimental field. Soil samples collected from the experimental field were used to measure the soil water retention. Dark green dots and light green triangles indicate the matric potential at a depth of 0–30 cm (BD value = 0.773) and 0–20 cm (BD value = 0.734), respectively (n = 6 independent replicates). For soil VWCs, VWCs at depths of 0–20 cm, starting from the top of the ridge or the flat, were measured in the 2015 field trials, and VWCs at depths of 0–30 cm, starting from the top of the ridge or the flat, were measured in the 2016 and subsequent field trials. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 5

Supplementary Fig. 5. **Growth of soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots at various growth stages in the 2016 field experiment. a** Representative 20-, 36-, and 50-day-old soybean plants grown on flat and ridged plots. Bars, 10 cm. **b** Representative 20-, 36-, and 50-day-old soybean plants in the field, on flat and ridged plots. Differences in soil color reflect the soil moisture at the time of sampling.

Supplementary Fig. 6

Supplementary Fig. 6. Yield components of soybean grown on flat and ridged plots in the 2016 field experiment. a-f Measured values of yield components of soybean grown on flat and ridged plots in the 2016 field experiment. F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. Number of pods per plant (**a**), number of seeds per pod (**b**), 100-seed weight (**c**), number of seeds per plant (**d**), dried aboveground biomass consisting of stem and pods (**e**), and calculated values of harvest index (**f**) of plants grown on the flat and ridged plots ($n = 20$ biologically independent replicates). $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 7

Supplementary Fig. 7. Irrigation compensated for the reduced growth of plants on ridges in the 2018 field experiment. a Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time -course of the soil VWCs ($n = 4$ independent replicates) in the flat (blue and purple lines and points) and ridged (pink and red lines and points) plots with (purple and red lines and points) and without (blue and pink lines and points) irrigation over the growing period in 2018. Dashed green arrows indicate the day of irrigation. The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Forty-nine-day-old soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots with and without irrigation. **c-e** Plant height (**c**), total branch length (**d**), and total seed weight per plant (**e**) of the plants grown on the flat and ridged plots with (irrigated) and without (rainfed) irrigation ($n = 12$ biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference. Error bars in (**a**), (**c**), (**d**), and (**e**) denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 8

Supplementary Fig. 8. Ridges reduce soil water contents in the field. a-c Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of the soil VWCs ($n = 4$ independent replicates) in the flat (blue and purple lines and points) and ridged (pink and red lines and points) plots with (purple and red lines and points) and without (blue and pink lines and points) irrigation over the growing period in 2017 (**a**), 2019 (**b**), and 2020 (**c**). The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 50.7% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 44.9% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 41.2% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 38.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 26.3% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). Dashed green arrows indicate the day of irrigation. Error bar = SD. **d-f** The yield or biomass of the harvested soybean grown on flat (blue dots) and ridged (pink dots) plots with (irrigated) or without (rainfed) irrigation. F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. $n = 24$ biologically independent replicates (**d**), $n = 40$ biologically independent replicates (**e, f**). Error bars denote SD. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$, two-tailed paired samples t-test; ns, no significant difference.

Supplementary Fig. 9

Supplementary Fig. 9. **Ridges induced mild drought and hampered soybean growth in the 2015 field experiment. a** Daily rainfall (dark green bars) and time course of the soil VWCs ($n = 3$ independent replicates) in the flat (blue lines and dots) and ridged (pink lines and dots) plots over the growing period in the 2015 field. Magenta arrow indicates the day of sampling for RNA-seq analysis. The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 48.1% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 42.6% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 39.1% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 36.6% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 25.0% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Representative 21-, 30-, and 44-day-old soybean plants on the flat and ridged plots. Bars, 10 cm. **c** Aboveground biomass (dry weight) per plant of 21-, 30-, and 44-day-old plants grown on the flat and ridged plots ($n = 5$ or 7 biologically independent replicates). F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively. **d** Number of seeds per plant (left) and 100-seed weight (right) of the harvested plants grown on the flat and ridged plots ($n = 10$ or 11). *$P < 0.05$, ***$P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars in (**a**), (**c**), and (**d**) denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 10

Supplementary Fig. 10. **ABA content did not clearly differ between the leaves of soybean plants grown on flats and ridges.** ABA contents of second trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on flats (F) and ridges (R) ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates); the same samples were used for the RNA-seq analysis presented in Fig. 3. ns indicates not significant, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 11

Supplementary Fig. 11. Mild drought induces the expression of many PSR genes in soybean plants in the field. Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis of genes up-regulated in soybean under ridge-induced mild drought conditions. GO analysis (FDR < 0.05, p < 0.05) revealed a total of 53 GO terms, mainly involving stress-responsive genes, that were significantly enriched in up-regulated DEGs. Notably, among the up-regulated DEGs, 16 and 7 were related to "cellular response to phosphate starvation" and "phosphate ion homeostasis", respectively. The enriched GO terms are visualized in a directed acyclic graph (DAG) with colors representing the p value (red shows a lower p value than yellow).

Supplementary Fig. 12

Supplementary Fig. 12. Elemental analysis shows a marked decrease in P in plant leaves under mild drought. The contents of primary macronutrients (**a**), secondary macronutrients (**b**), and micronutrients (**c**) in second trifoliate leaves of soybean plants grown on flats and ridges; the same samples were used for the RNA-seq analysis presented in Fig. 3. F and R denote flat and ridged plots, respectively ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates). $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, and $^{***}P < 0.001$; ns indicates not significant, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 13

Supplementary Fig. 13. Mild drought reduces Pi and severe drought increases ABA in pot-grown soybean plants. a Time-course analysis of VWCs in potted soybean plants with eight different moisture contents (*n* = 8 independent replicates). The range of variation in VWCs during the period from day 17 after sowing to day 20 (when sampling was performed) is shown on the right. Drought stress treatments were imposed from 12 days after sowing. The relationships between soil VWC and water potential in the graphs were 36.0% (−0.0039 MPa, pF 1.6), 32.5% (−0.0098 MPa, pF 2.0), 25.8% (−0.031 MPa, pF 2.5), 16.9% (−0.098 MPa, pF 3.0), and 3.9% (−0.61 MPa, pF 3.8). **b** Thermogram and corresponding digital image of 20-day-old soybean plants in pots viewed from the side and top. **c-f** Aboveground biomass (c), leaf water content (d), Pi concentration (e), and ABA content (f) of the second trifoliate leaves (*n* = 4 biologically independent replicates). Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 14. PSR induced by Pi reduction initially occurs before ABA response under progressive mild drought in pot-grown *Arabidopsis* plants. a Schematic overview of the experimental design for control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 14-day-old seedlings soaked in water with control liquid nutrients or liquid nutrients with excess Pi (10× Pi: 10 times the amount of Pi) after transplanting 7-day-old plants from agar plates were placed on paper towels for mild drought treatment, soaked in water for the control, or placed on paper towels for 6 days and then soaked in water for 1 day for the rehydration treatment. The plants were sampled at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after treatment (dat). **b** VWCs at treatment initiation and sampling in pots. The solid line indicates control nutrients ($n = 4$ independent replicates), and the dotted line indicates liquid nutrients with 10× Pi ($n = 3$ independent replicates). Relationships between the average of soil VWC and water potential were control treatment (71.6%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 1-dat (57.2%, <−0.0031 MPa), mild drought 3-dat (34.9%, −0.0079 MPa), mild drought 6-dat (21.9%, −0.19 MPa), and mild drought 7-dat (19.4%,−0.25 MPa). **c** ABA contents of the seedlings grown in pots soaked in water with control liquid nutrients ($n = 4$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot). **d, e** Pi concentrations of the seedlings grown in pots soaked in water with control liquid nutrients (**d**, $n = 4$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot) and with liquid nutrients with 10× Pi (**e**, $n = 3$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot). The values on the vertical axis differ for control liquid nutrients and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi. **f, g** Total aboveground biomass (fresh weight, FW) per pot; nine plants were grown per pot in control liquid nutrients (**f**, $n = 4$ independent pot replicates) and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi (**g**, $n = 3$ independent pot replicates). **h** Photographs of *Arabidopsis* seedlings at 1, 3, 6, and 7 days after control and mild drought treatment in pots pre-soaked in control liquid nutrients and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi. **i, j** Relative expression of PSR (**i**)- and ABA-responsive (**j**) genes in control liquid nutrients ($n = 4$ independent pot replicates) and liquid nutrients with 10× Pi ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates), as determined by RT-qPCR. Expression was normalized to that of *PP2Aa3*. C, D, and R denote control, mild drought, and rehydration treatment, respectively. $^{*}P < 0.05$, $^{**}P < 0.01$, $^{***}P < 0.001$ and $^{****}P < 0.0001$; ns indicates not significant, two-tailed Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test (for 7-dat). Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 15

Supplementary Fig. 15. Mild drought inhibits Pi uptake into the aboveground parts of plants. Evaluation of Pi uptake using *Arabidopsis* plants radiolabeled with ^{32}Pi. **a** Schematic overview of the experimental design for control and mild drought in *Arabidopsis* plants. Pots containing 14-day-old seedlings soaked in water with liquid nutrients after transplanting 7-day-old plants from agar plates were soaked in water containing ^{32}Pi for 1 h. The pots were placed on paper towels for the mild drought treatment or soaked in water for the control. The plants were sampled at 1 and 4 days after treatment (dat). **b** Autoradiography of the aboveground parts of the *Arabidopsis* plants radiolabeled with ^{32}Pi. **c** ^{32}P content of each plant (aboveground parts) calculated using a photostimulated luminescence (PSL) value and calibration curve based on the standard spots ($n = 9$ biologically independent replicates). $^{**}P < 0.01$, two-tailed Student's t-test. Error bars denote SD.

Supplementary Fig. 16

a

Supplementary Fig. 16. *Arabidopsis phr1 phl1* **double knockout mutant deficient in PSR. a** Diagrams of *PHR1* and *PHL1* genes indicating the positions of T-DNA insertions. LB, LP and RP indicate the primer positions used to check the T-DNA insertions. rF and rR indicate the primer positions used to analyze the gene expression by RT-qPCR. **b** Relative expression levels of *PHR1* and *PHL1* genes in the *phr1 phl1* double knockout mutant were determined by RT-qPCR ($n = 3$ independent pot replicates, three seedlings per pot) with total RNA isolated from 18-day-old seedlings of the WT and *phr1 phl1* double mutant. Expression was normalized to that of *PP2Aa3*. ***$P < 0.001$, two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Error bars denote SD.