**Adipose triglyceride lipase promotes prostaglandin-dependent actin remodeling by**

**regulating substrate release from lipid droplets**

Michelle S. Giedt[*, 1], Jonathon M. Thomalla[*, 2], Matthew R. Johnson[2], Zon Weng Lai[3], Tina L.

Tootle[#, 1], and Michael A. Welte[#, 2]

[*]equal contribution

[#]co-senior and co-corresponding authors, tina-tootle@uiowa.edu and

michael.welte@rochester.edu

[1] Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA

[2] Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

[3] Harvard T.H. Chan Advanced Multi-omics Platform, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public

Health, Boston, MA

Short Title: ATGL regulates actin remodeling via prostaglandin signaling

Key words: actin cytoskeleton, lipid droplets, prostaglandin signaling, Drosophila, oogenesis

**Abstract**

A key factor controlling oocyte quality and fertility is lipids. Even though lipid droplets (LDs) are

crucial regulators of lipid metabolism, their roles in fertility are poorly understood. During

*Drosophila* oogenesis, LD accumulation in nurse cells coincides with dynamic actin remodeling

necessary for late-stage follicle morphogenesis and fertility. Loss of the LD-associated Adipose

Triglyceride Lipase (ATGL) disrupts both actin bundle formation and cortical actin integrity, an

unusual phenotype also seen when Pxt, the enzyme responsible for prostaglandin (PG)

synthesis, is missing. Dominant genetic interactions and PG treatment of follicles *in vitro* reveal

that ATGL and Pxt act in the same pathway to regulate actin remodeling, with ATGL upstream

of Pxt. Further, lipidomic analysis detects arachidonic acid (AA) containing triglycerides in

ovaries. Because AA is the substrate for Pxt, we propose that ATGL releases AA from LDs to

drive PG synthesis necessary for follicle development. We also find that exogenous AA is toxic

to follicles *in vitro*, and LDs modulate this toxicity. This leads to the model that LDs both

sequester AA to limit toxicity, and release AA via ATGL to drive PG production. We speculate

that the same pathways are conserved across organisms to regulate oocyte development and

promote fertility.

**Introduction**

Rates of infertility have increased globally between 1990 and 2017 (Sun *et al.*, 2019), and combatting this increase is considered a public health priority (2014). Fertility requires the production of high-quality oocytes. It is becoming increasingly clear that among the key factors ensuring oocyte quality are the amount and types of lipids present during oogenesis (Dunning *et al.*, 2014; Brusentsev *et al.*, 2019). In mammalian follicles, for example, fatty acids (FAs) likely contribute a critical source of energy since inhibitors of FA oxidation impair oocyte maturation (Dunning *et al.*, 2010; Dunning *et al.*, 2011). In addition, lipid signaling molecules – from steroid hormones to eicosanoids – control diverse aspects of oocyte development and fertility (Prates *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, prostaglandins (PGs), a class of eicosanoids, regulate oocyte development, ovulation, fertilization, implantation, maintenance of pregnancy, and childbirth (Tootle, 2013; Sugimoto *et al.*, 2015). Thus, control of lipid metabolism is likely central for oocyte development and fertility, yet few of the underlying mechanisms have been elucidated.

Key regulatory steps in lipid metabolism are mediated by lipid droplets (LDs), the cellular organelles dedicated to the storage of neutral lipids (Walther and Farese, 2012). For example, LDs store excess amounts of cholesterol and related sterols as sterol esters, and safely sequester toxic FAs in the form of triglycerides. Once such FAs are released by LD-bound lipases, they can then be shuttled to mitochondria for oxidative breakdown, used to generate various membrane precursors, or turned into signaling molecules (Welte, 2015). Since both triglycerides and LDs are abundant in oocytes, LDs might perform similar regulatory roles during follicle development. Indeed, LD accumulation, composition, and localization are dynamic during oocyte maturation across organisms (Ami *et al.*, 2011; Dunning *et al.*, 2014; Brusentsev *et al.*, 2019), and, in the context of obesity, oocytes display changes in LDs that are associated with infertility (Jungheim *et al.*, 2010; Cardozo *et al.*, 2011; Marei *et al.*, 2020). However, the functions of LDs in oogenesis remain largely undefined.

*Drosophila* oogenesis is a promising model for uncovering the roles of LDs in follicle

development. Adult female flies have two ovaries, each comprised of ~15 ovarioles or chains of

sequentially maturing follicles, also called egg chambers. Each follicle is made up of ~1000

epithelial cells termed follicle cells as well as 16 germline cells (15 nurse cells and one oocyte).

Follicles develop over the course of ~10 days through 14 stages. LDs undergo dramatic

changes in mid-oogenesis (see Figure 1A-D) (Buszczak *et al.*, 2002). Prior to Stage 8 (S8), only

a few scattered LDs are found throughout the follicle. In S9, LD biogenesis is massively

upregulated in the nurse cells, so that by S10B, the nurse cell cytoplasm is full of uniformly

sized LDs (~0.5 μm in diameter) (Buszczak *et al.*, 2002; Teixeira *et al.*, 2003). Thus, in just a

few hours, tens of thousands of LDs are formed in the nurse cells. During S11, the LDs are

transferred into the oocyte in a process termed nurse cell dumping, in which cytoplasmic

contents of the nurse cells are squeezed into the oocyte through intercellular bridges called ring

canals. These LDs provide the future embryo with stores of energy and specific proteins needed

for embryo development (Li *et al.*, 2012); indeed, embryos with reduced numbers of LDs have

reduced hatching probability (Teixeira *et al.*, 2003; Parra-Peralbo and Culi, 2011). Yet whether

these LDs only provision the embryo or if they already play roles in follicle development remains

unclear.

**Figure 1: LD accumulation and actin cytoskeletal remodeling occur during mid-oogenesis.**

(**A-D**) Single confocal slices of wild-type (Oregon R) follicles of the indicated stages (**E**) stained for LDs (Nile red) in white and DNA (Hoechst) in cyan. (**E**) Schematic depicting the progression of LD accumulation and actin remodeling during oogenesis. (**F-I**) Single confocal slices of wild-type follicles (Oregon R) of the indicated stages (**E**) stained for F-Actin (phalloidin) in white and DNA (Hoechst) in cyan. Note that all images and diagram in A-I are on top of a black box. (**J**)

One potential role of LDs during oogenesis may be to regulate lipid signaling. Indeed,

one class of lipid signaling molecules, prostaglandins (PGs), plays critical roles during mid-

oogenesis. PGs are derived from arachidonic acid (AA), a poly-unsaturated FA, which is

converted first into $PGH_2$ and then into several types of bioactive PGs (Tootle, 2013). These

enzymatic steps are mediated by cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes and specific PG synthases,

respectively. *Drosophila* has a single COX-like enzyme called Pxt, and its absence during

oogenesis results in severe defects in actin cytoskeletal remodeling during S10B necessary for

late-stage follicle morphogenesis; this ultimately causes female sterility (Tootle and Spradling,

2008; Spracklen and Tootle, 2015). During S10B, the actin cytoskeleton is massively

remodeled: parallel actin bundles extend from the plasma membrane to the nuclei to form a

cage, and the cortical actin substantially thickens (Figure 1F-J). Both of these actin structures

are required during S11 for nurse cell dumping; the cortical actin provides the contractile force,

and the actin bundles prevent the nuclei from being pushed into the ring canals and thus

plugging them (Wheatley *et al.*, 1995; Guild *et al.*, 1997; Huelsmann *et al.*, 2013). Loss of Pxt

and the subsequent loss of all PG signaling results in severe disruption in actin bundle

formation, breakdown of cortical actin, and failure of nurse cell contraction (Tootle and

Spradling, 2008; Spracklen and Tootle, 2015). Genetic studies reveal PG signaling acts

upstream of critical actin regulators, including Fascin and Enabled, to drive actin remodeling

necessary for follicle morphogenesis (Groen *et al.*, 2012; Spracklen *et al.*, 2014; Spracklen *et*

*al.*, 2019). However, how PG production is temporally and spatially regulated during *Drosophila*

oogenesis remains unknown, but in many cells the release of AA from cellular lipids is the rate

limiting step (Funk, 2001; Tootle, 2013). Those precursor lipids are generally thought to be

phospholipids in cellular membranes, but in mammalian immune cells neutral lipids are an

essential source of AA for PG synthesis (Dichlberger *et al.*, 2014; Schlager *et al.*, 2015). This

raises the question of whether LD accumulation during mid-oogenesis contributes to PG-

dependent actin remodeling necessary for late-stage follicle morphogenesis.

Given the significance of lipid signals in actin remodeling during *Drosophila* oogenesis

and the central role of LDs in lipid metabolism in other cells, we sought to determine if LDs

contribute to *Drosophila* follicle development. We find that loss of each of two lipid droplet

proteins – LSD-2/PLIN2 (subsequently referred to as PLIN2) or Brummer/ATGL (subsequently

referred to as ATGL) – results in *pxt*-like actin remodeling defects during S10B. The similar

phenotypes led us to ask if these LD proteins and Pxt function in the same pathway to regulate

actin remodeling during *Drosophila* oogenesis. Dominant genetic interaction studies support that

there are two actin regulatory pathways: PLIN2 regulates actin remodeling independent of PG

signaling, whereas ATGL acts in a PG-dependent pathway. We find that ATGL acts upstream of

PG synthesis, loss of ATGL increases ovarian levels of AA containing triglycerides, exogenous

AA is toxic to follicles, and impairing lipid droplet formation enhances, whereas reducing ATGL

suppresses the lipotoxicity of free AA. These data support the model that ATGL is required to

release AA from LDs to provide the substrate for PG production. Ultimately, these PGs control

actin remodeling and thereby follicle development. Our studies thus have uncovered new roles

for LDs in regulating oogenesis, to safely sequester a developmentally important, but potentially

toxic molecule, AA, and to control its release to drive specific processes. As LDs play a similar

role in regulating cytotoxic histones during embryogenesis (Li *et al.*, 2012; Stephenson *et al.*,

2021), this work suggests LDs may have a general role in tightly regulating the levels of critical

molecules to support proper development.

**Results**

*Major lipid droplet proteins are required for actin remodeling in nurse cells*

During *Drosophila* oogenesis, LDs undergo dramatic changes over the course of just a

few hours (Figure 1A-D). LDs start to accumulate in S9, fill the nurse cells by S10B, and are

transferred to the oocyte by S12 (Figure 1B-D). In most tissues, the main neutral lipids stored in

LDs are triglycerides and/or sterol esters (Walther and Farese, 2012). Using thin-layer

chromatography on tissue extracts, we find that developing follicles accumulate both classes of

neutral lipids, with a large predominance of triglycerides, and that neutral lipid accumulation

measured biochemically mirrors LD accumulation detected by microscopy (Figure 1K-L).

To begin to uncover the role of LDs during oogenesis, we focus on two LD-specific

proteins: ATGL and PLIN2. These proteins have critical roles in LD metabolism and – according

to RNA-seq data – are highly expressed in ovaries (Brown *et al.*, 2014). Both PLIN2 protein and

ATGL mRNA have previously been detected in nurse cells in mid-oogenesis (Teixeira *et al.*,

2003; Jambor *et al.*, 2015). ATGL catalyzes the conversion of triacylglycerol to diacylglycerol

and free FA (Gronke *et al.*, 2005), while PLIN2 is one of the two members of the perilipin family

of LD proteins in flies and regulates lipid metabolism by protecting the stored triglycerides from

the lipolytic machinery, including ATGL (Gronke *et al.*, 2003; Beller *et al.*, 2010; Bi *et al.*, 2012;

Zhao *et al.*, 2022).

Given that LD accumulation coincides with PG-dependent dynamic actin remodeling

(Figure 1E), we asked whether these LD regulators have a role in these events. F-actin present

at the cortex of the nurse cells throughout early stages of oogenesis (Figure 1F and G)

dramatically thickens during S10B (Figure 1H); in addition, actin bundles form that hold the

nurse cell nuclei in place during nurse cell dumping (Figure 1H-J). We find that females lacking

either PLIN2 or ATGL display two types of actin defects (Figure 2A-C). First, actin bundles are

aberrant. Specifically, some nurse cell membranes lack bundles, and the bundles that do form

are shorter, not uniformly distributed, and/or fail to project toward the nurse cell nuclei (Figure

2B and C, green arrowheads). Second, cortical actin is broken down, leading to the appearance

of multinucleate nurse cells (Figure 2B and C, magenta arrowheads).

The combination of actin defects due to loss of PLIN2 or ATGL are rarely seen in other

mutants, as most actin regulators impact either actin bundle formation or cortical actin, but not

both (Wheatley *et al.*, 1995; Buszczak and Cooley, 2000). However, the same combination of

phenotypes is observed when PG signaling is lost: lack of the COX-like enzyme Pxt causes

collapsed, stunted or absent actin bundles, and cortical actin breakdown with a failure of nurse

cell contraction (Figure 2D) (Tootle and Spradling, 2008; Spracklen and Tootle, 2015). In cases

of actin bundling defects, such as loss of Fascin, nurse cell nuclei are pushed into the ring

canals when the cortical actin contracts (Cant *et al.*, 1994). However, this does not occur in *pxt*

(Tootle and Spradling, 2008; Groen *et al.*, 2012), and is rarely observed in *ATGL* or *PLIN2*

mutants (data not shown), suggesting that cortical actin contraction is also disrupted,

presumably as result of the simultaneous breakdown of cortical actin. The fact that loss of all

three proteins results in this unusual combination of phenotypes suggests a functional

relationship between PGs and LD proteins in actin remodeling.

**Figure 2: Lipid droplet proteins regulate actin bundling and cortical actin integrity in S10B follicles.**

(**A-D**) Maximum projections of three confocal slices of S10B follicles stained for F-actin (phalloidin) in white, and DNA (DAPI) in cyan. Arrowheads indicate examples of defective actin bundling (green) and disrupted cortical actin (magenta). Images were brightened by 30% to increase clarity. Black box was placed behind channel label to improve visualization. Scale bars=50μm. (**A**) WT, *wild-type* (*yw*). (**B**) *PLIN2-/- (Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$)*. (**C**) *ATGL-/- (bmm$^1$/bmm$^1$)*. (**D**) *pxt-/- (pxt$^{f01000}$/pxt$^{f01000}$)*. In wild-type late S10B follicles, actin bundles extend from the nurse cell periphery to the nucleus, and the cortical actin is thickened relative to earlier stages (**A**). Actin bundles fail to form or form improperly, and cortical actin is disrupted upon loss of PLIN2 (**B**) or ATGL (**C**). These phenotypes resemble loss of the COX-like enzyme Pxt (**D**).

*Pxt functions in a linear pathway with ATGL, but not with PLIN2, to regulate actin remodeling*

The similar actin phenotypes in *pxt*, *PLIN2*, and *ATGL* mutants suggest these proteins

may act in the same pathway to regulate actin remodeling. To test this hypothesis, we used a

dominant genetic interaction assay to assess actin remodeling defects. We developed a method

to quantify both actin bundle and cortical actin defects from confocal stacks of phalloidin-stained

S10B follicles. The degree of penetrance of actin bundle and cortical actin defects are scored

separately and summed to give an Actin Defect Index (ADI) that is used to classify each follicle

into three categories of defects: normal, mild, or severe (see the Material and Methods and

Figure 3- supplemental figure 1 for details).

Specifically, we asked whether reducing the level of PLIN2 or ATGL causes actin

remodeling defects in the sensitized background of heterozygosity for Pxt (*pxt-/+*) (Figure 3-

supplemental figure 2). Heterozygosity for the individual mutations has limited effects on actin

remodeling, with the majority of follicles appearing normal: *PLIN2-/+* (63% normal), *ATGL-/+*

(84% normal), and *pxt-/+* (80-87% normal) (Figure 3A, B, D, G, H, and I). If either PLIN2 and Pxt

or ATGL and Pxt function in the same pathway, then double heterozygotes for *PLIN2* and *pxt* or

*ATGL* and *pxt* will exhibit severe actin defects. Conversely, if they function in separate

pathways, then actin defects in the double heterozygotes will remain low or be additive of what

is observed in the single heterozygotes (Figure 3- supplemental figure 2).

In this assay, PLIN2 and ATGL behaved very differently. Co-reduction of PLIN2 and Pxt

(*PLIN2/+;; pxt/+*) does not significantly increase the frequency of actin defects (Figure 3C and

G; 60% normal). This failure to exhibit a genetic interaction is not likely due to insufficient protein

reduction as PLIN2 heterozygotes show PLIN2 protein level of ~60% of wild type (Figure 3-

supplemental figure 3). Rather, it suggests that Pxt and PLIN2 regulate actin remodeling via

separate pathways. In contrast, only 46% of the double heterozygotes of *pxt* and *ATGL*

(*pxt/ATGL*) exhibit normal actin remodeling, and the frequency of severe cases increases from

3% in the single heterozygotes to 22% in the double heterozygotes (Figure 3E and H). This

synergistic increase in actin defects indicates that ATGL and Pxt regulate actin remodeling via a

shared pathway.

Taken together, these data reveal an intricate relationship between Pxt and LD proteins

in which activity of both is necessary for proper actin remodeling. Specifically, our data reveals

two independent pathways control actin remodeling, one involving ATGL and Pxt, the other

involving PLIN2. Indeed, when we assessed dominant genetic interactions between PLIN2 and

ATGL, the frequency of actin remodeling defects observed in the double heterozygotes

(*PLIN2/+; ATGL/+*) is similar to that seen in the single heterozygotes (Figure 3F and I),

reinforcing that PLIN2 and ATGL/Pxt represent distinct pathways (see Figure 8).

**Figure 3: ATGL acts in a linear pathway with Pxt to regulate actin remodeling, while PLIN2 controls it independently of ATGL and Pxt.**

(**A-F**) Maximum projections of three confocal slices of S10B follicles stained for F-Actin (Phalloidin) in white, and DNA (DAPI) in cyan. Arrowheads indicate examples of defective actin

bundling (green) and disrupted cortical actin (magenta). Images were brightened by 30% to

increase clarity. Scale bars=50μm. (**A**) *PLIN2-/+ (Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+*). (**B**) *pxt-/+ (pxt$^{f01000}$/+*). (**C**)

*PLIN2-/+;; pxt-/+ (Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+;; pxt$^{f01000}$/+*). (**D**) *ATGL-/+ (bmm$^{1}$/+*). (**E**) *ATGL/pxt*

(*bmm$^{1}$/pxt$^{f01000}$*). (**F**) *PLIN2-/+;; ATGL-/+ (Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+;; bmm$^{1}$/+*). (**G-I**) Graphs of quantification

of actin phenotypes. Data were from the following genotypes: *PLIN2-/+ = Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+; pxt-/+ =*

*pxt$^{f01000}$/+ and pxt$^{EY03052}$/+; PLIN2-/+;; pxt-/+ = Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+;; pxt$^{f01000}$/+ and Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+;;*

*pxt$^{EY03052}$/+; ATGL-/+ = bmm$^{1}$/+; ATGL/pxt = bmm$^{1}$/pxt$^{f01000}$ and bmm$^{1}$/pxt$^{EY03052}$; and PLIN2-*

*/+;;ATGL-/+ = Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/+;;bmm$^{1}$/+*. Actin defects were quantified by scoring the penetrance

of actin bundle and cortical actin defects. Scores were summed and the total binned into one of

three categories: normal, mild defects, and severe defects. For a detailed description of the

quantification see the Materials and Methods and Figure 3 - supplemental figure 1. *p<0.01,

****p<0.0001, ns>0.05, Pearson's chi-squared test. Error bars, SD. Both actin bundles and

cortical actin are largely normal in *PLIN2-/+* (**A, G, I**), *PLIN2-/+;; pxt-/+* (**C, G**), and *PLIN2-/+;;*

*ATGL-/+* (**F, I**) S10B follicles. In contrast, in *ATGL/pxt* follicles (**E, H**), actin bundles are absent,

sparse, and stunted, and there are instances of cortical actin breakdown. The double

heterozygotes thus exhibit significantly more mild and severe actin defects (**H**) than either *pxt-/+*

(**B**) or *ATGL-/+* (**D**) follicles.

**Figure 3 – supplemental figure 1: Examples and criteria used for scoring actin**

**remodeling phenotypes.**

Maximum projection of three confocal slices of representative S10B follicles stained for F-Actin

(phalloidin) in white, and DNA (DAPI) in cyan, and written definitions of the indicated actin

bundle and cortical actin phenotypes. Actin bundle and cortical actin phenotypes were

independently binned into four categories: Normal, Mild Defects, Moderate Defects, or Severe

Defects. Images were brightened by 30% to increase clarity. Green arrowheads indicate

 examples of actin bundle defects, and magenta arrowheads indicate examples of cortical actin

281     breakdown. Scale bar=50μm.

## Controls

## Experimental

**Figure 3 – supplemental figure 2: Schematic of dominant genetic interactions.**

Schematic of the premise of how dominant genetic interactions can be used to determine if two

factors act in the same pathway. Specifically shown are the controls and potential experimental

outcomes for assessing if ATGL and Pxt function separately or together to control actin

remodeling during S10B. The top depicts the Controls, single heterozygous follicles that have

either reduced ATGL (**Control 1**) or reduced Pxt (**Control 2**); these control follicles are

expected to have few actin defects. The bottom depicts the two possible experimental

outcomes. **Outcome 1** depicts that ATGL and Pxt function in two separate pathways to regulate

actin remodeling. In this case, the double heterozygous follicles will have actin defects that are

not significantly greater than those seen in the control conditions. **Outcome 2** depicts that ATGL

and Pxt function in the same pathway, with either ATGL acting upstream of Pxt or vice versa, to

control actin remodeling. In this case, the double heterozygous follicles will exhibit a significant

increase in actin defects compared to the control conditions. The latter outcome is what is

observed in Figure 3H.

**Figure 3 – supplemental figure 3: *PLIN2-/+* heterozygotes have reduced PLIN2 protein.**

(**A**) Immunoblot comparing PLIN2 protein levels in wild type (WT, Oregon R), *PLIN2-/+* ((*Lsd-*

*2$^{KG00149}$/+*), and *PLIN2-/-* (*Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$/ Lsd-2$^{KG00149}$*) S10B follicles. (**B**) Quantification of (**A**), in

which PLIN2 band intensity was normalized to the nonspecific bands (asterisk). *p=<0.0001*,

unpaired t-test, two-tailed. PLIN2 protein levels are reduced by approximately half in *PLIN2-/+*

S10B follicles.

*ATGL acts upstream of Pxt*

Our genetic analysis indicates that ATGL and Pxt act in the same pathway to regulate

actin remodeling during S10B, but does not address whether ATGL acts upstream of Pxt or vice

versa. For example, PGs produced by Pxt might control the ability of ATGL to sequester actin

regulators to LDs and thus effectively inactivate them, altering actin dynamics. Alternatively,

ATGL might control Pxt expression or the availability of the substrate Pxt acts on. To determine

the order in which ATGL and Pxt act, we took advantage of the fact that application of

exogenous $PGF_{2\alpha}$, the PG responsible for S10B actin remodeling, can suppress the actin

defects due to loss of Pxt (Tootle and Spradling, 2008); a stabilized $PGF_{2\alpha}$ analog, Fluprostenol

(Flu), is used in these studies. If ATGL acts upstream of Pxt, $PGF_{2\alpha}$ should similarly suppress

defects due to loss of ATGL. If, in contrast, Pxt acts upstream of ATGL, $PGF_{2\alpha}$ should not be

able to modulate the *ATGL* mutant phenotype.

We utilized our *in vitro* egg maturation (IVEM) assay, in which isolated S10B follicles

mature *in vitro* in a simple culture medium (Spracklen and Tootle, 2013). This assay does detect

the genetic interaction between Pxt and ATGL, as the majority of S10B follicles from single

heterozygotes of *pxt* and *ATGL* develop *in vitro*, while 55% of the follicles from double

heterozygotes fail to develop (Figure 4A). These data recapitulate what we observed when we

quantitatively assessed the actin defects in the double heterozygotes (Figure 3H). Using the

same assay, we then tested the role of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ downstream of ATGL. Treatment of S10B follicles

with 1.5mM aspirin (an inhibitor of COX enzymes, including Pxt) inhibits ~50% of follicle

development, and this is suppressed by addition of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ (Figure 4B). Of the *ATGL* mutant

follicles, only ~38% develop, but addition of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ results in significant improvements, with

~58% developing (Figure 4B). These data indicate that Pxt and $PGF_{2\alpha}$ act downstream of ATGL.

**Figure 4: ATGL functions upstream of $PGF_{2\alpha}$.**

(**A**) Graph of the percentage of S10B follicles developing in the IVEM assay, normalized to wild-type (*yw*) development, for the following genotypes: *ATGL-/+* (*bmm$^1$/+*)*, pxt-/+* (*pxt$^{f01000}$/+*), and *ATGL/pxt* (*bmm$^1$/pxt$^{f01000}$*). **p<0.005, ns>0.05, unpaired t-test, two-tailed. Error bars, SD. (**B**) Graph of the percentage of S10B follicles developing in the IVEM assay with either control (ethanol, EtOH) or 20nM $PGF_{2\alpha}$ analog (Fluprostenol, Flu) treatment of wild-type (*yw*) and *ATGL-/-* (*bmm$^1$/bmm$^1$*). *p<0.05, **p<0.005, ns>0.05, unpaired t-test, two-tailed. Error bars, SD. In the IVEM assay, ATGL and Pxt genetically interact, as *ATGL/pxt* follicle development is reduced by ~50% compared to the *ATGL-/+* and *pxt-/+* controls (**A**). Complete loss of ATGL strikingly reduces the percentage of follicles developing in control media; this impairment of development is significantly suppressed by supplying a $PGF_{2\alpha}$ analog exogenously (**B**). This finding indicates that $PGF_{2\alpha}$ functions downstream of ATGL.

**Figure 4 – supplemental figure 1: ATGL does not affect Pxt expression.**

(**A**) Immunoblot for Pxt and α-Tubulin of the indicated genotypes: WT, wild-type (Oregon R),

*ATGL-/-* (*bmm¹/bmm¹*) and *pxt-/-* (*pxt^{f01000}/pxt^{f01000}*). The * and # lanes correspond to unrelated

genotypes. (**B**) Quantification of **A**, in which band intensity was normalized as indicated.

ns=p>0.05, unpaired t-test, two-tailed. (**C-D**) Simultaneously scanned immunoblots comparing

the blotting patterns of live versus formaldehyde fixed wild-type whole ovary samples, 5 ovaries

per lane, for Pxt (**C**) and PLIN2 (**D**). Loss of ATGL has no effect on Pxt levels (**A-B**). The live vs.

fixed banding pattern is comparable for both antibodies tested (**C-D**). Fixed samples were used

in panel **A** and Figure 3 – supplemental figure 3.

*AA is present in ovary triglycerides*

We next sought to determine how ATGL functions upstream of PGs. One means by

which ATGL could act upstream of PG production is by regulating the expression of Pxt, the

enzyme responsible for PG synthesis. This is not the case, as loss of ATGL does not alter Pxt

levels (Figure 4 – supplemental figure 1). Studies in mammalian cells suggest an alternative

possibility. There, ATGL releases AA, the substrate for COX enzymes, from triglycerides stored

in LDs (Dichlberger *et al.*, 2014). If ATGL similarly generates the substrate for Pxt, *Drosophila*

follicles should have AA-containing triglycerides. We therefore extracted lipids from wild-type

and *ATGL* mutant ovaries and analyzed them by LC-MS/MS mass spectrometry. Among the 98

different triglyceride species identified (Figure 5- supplemental table 1), two contain a twenty-

carbon FA with four double bonds (20:4), presumably AA. AA was relatively rare, making up on

the order of 0.06-0.07% of all FAs detected in triglycerides (Figure 5A), with four other FA

species accounting for the bulk (~85%) of FAs: the mono-unsaturated palmitoleic (16:1) and

oleic (18:1) acids, and the saturated myristic (14:0) and palmitic (16:0) acids. We did not detect

AA in the phospholipids identified in our analysis (data not shown).

Our lipidomic analysis did not uncover a significant difference in the content of

phospholipids (Figure 5C) or of total triglycerides (Figure 5D) between wild-type and *ATGL*

mutant ovaries. The overall FA profile in triglycerides was also similar between the two

genotypes (Figure 5A and B). In addition, there was no significant difference in total triglycerides

in S14 oocytes, as detected by thin layer chromatography (Figure 5E and F), even though we

could confirm the previously described reduced triglyceride loading in PLIN2 mutants (Teixeira

*et al.*, 2003). Thus, ATGL mediated lipolysis during oogenesis does not appear to lead to bulk

turnover of LDs and may be restricted to supporting lipid signaling.

Supporting this possibility, wild-type and *ATGL* mutant ovaries exhibited differences in

the AA-containing triglycerides, both of which were elevated in the absence of ATGL (Figure 5G

and H). This trend persisted even when measurements were normalized to total triglycerides

(not shown) or all lipids in the sample (Figure 5- supplemental figure 1). These observations are

consistent with the possibility that in the *ATGL* mutants less AA is released from triglycerides,

resulting in a reduced pool of free AA available for signaling.

**Figure 5. Ovary triglycerides contain arachidonic acid.**

(**A-F**) Lipids were extracted from wild-type (Oregon R) and *ATGL-/-* (*bmm$^1$/bmm$^1$*) ovaries and analyzed by mass spectrometry. Error bars, SD. (**A**) Abundance of individual fatty acids in triglycerides expressed as fraction of all such fatty acids; significance evaluated using Sidak's multiple comparisons test. (**B**) Total amount of saturated (SF), monounsaturated (MUFA) or polyunsaturated (PUFA) fatty acids relative to all fatty acids in triglycerides; significance evaluated using Tukey's multiple comparisons test. (**C**) Levels of the three phospholipid classes phosphatidylcholine (PC), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and phosphatidylinositol (PI); significance evaluated using Sidak's multiple comparisons test. (**D**) Overall triglyceride levels. ns = *p = 0.1239*, unpaired t-test, two-tailed. (**E**) Thin layer chromatograph of whole-lipid extracts from S14 follicles of the indicated genotypes. (**F**) Quantitation of the triacylglycerol (TAG) to cholesterol ratio in (**D**). *\*p=0.0477*, Dunnett's multiple comparisons test. (**G, H**) Quantification of two triglyceride species containing arachidonic acid (AA). *\*p=0.0419, \*\*p=0.0019*, unpaired t-tests, two-tailed. Wild-type and *ATGL* mutant ovaries exhibit a similar abundance of fatty acids in triglycerides (**A, B**), phospholipids (**C**), and total triglycerides (**D**) by lipidomic analysis. Similarly, thin layer chromatographic analysis of Stage 14 follicles reveals no significant differences in triglycerides (**E-F**). However, two AA-containing triglyceride species are present in wild-type ovaries, and their levels are elevated in the absence of ATGL (**G, H**).

**Figure 5 – supplemental table 1: Quantitation of triglyceride species in ovaries**

Column A lists the fatty acid content of various triglyceride species detected in ovaries by lipidomics. Columns B through H show the relative amount of those species in three wild-type (Oregon R) and four ATGL-/- (*bmm$^1$/bmm$^1$*) samples. Reads are background corrected. A fourth wild-type sample was discarded as an outlier because the detected lipid amounts were an order of magnitude lower than in the other samples.

**Figure 5 – supplemental figure 1: AA-containing TAG and total TAG normalized to total**

**lipids in sample.**

(**A-D**) Lipids were extracted from wild-type (Oregon R) and *ATGL-/-* (*bmm¹/bmm¹*) ovaries and

analyzed by mass spectrometry. Data from Figure 5 re-plotted as relative abundance

normalized to total lipids in the sample. Error bars, SD. (**A, B**) Two triglyceride species

containing arachidonic acid (AA). Error bars, SD, *\*p=0.0279, \*\*p=0.0019*, unpaired t-tests, two-

tailed. (**C**) Overall triglyceride levels are slightly increased in *ATGL-/-* ovary lipids. Error bars,

SD, *\*\*p=0.002*, unpaired t-test, two-tailed. (**D**) Relative amounts of phosphatidylcholine (PC),

phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), and phosphatidylinositol (PI) in wild-type versus *ATGL-/-* ovary

lipids. Error bars, SD, *\*p=0.0329, \*p=0.0416, \*\*\*p=0.0001*, Sidak's multiple comparisons test.

While overall triglyceride levels are similar between the two genotypes (**C**), the AA-containing

triglycerides are increased (**A, B**), and three classes of phospholipids are decreased (**D**) in the

absence of ATGL. The reason for the decrease in phospholipids is not clear. One possibility is

that ATGL breaks down triglycerides to generate precursors for phospholipid production;

alternatively, in the absence of ATGL, ovaries may contain a different mix of follicle stages (and

thus different levels of triglyceride accumulation) due to altered developmental progression.

_LDs modulate the toxicity of exogenous AA_

Our lipidomics data supports the model that AA is incorporated into triglycerides that are

stored in LDs. This storage may be critical because for many cells free AA is toxic at near

physiological levels (Pompeia _et al._, 2003). Indeed, exogenously applied AA is typically first

routed to LDs (Weller and Dvorak, 1994; Bozza _et al._, 2009; Bozza _et al._, 2011), likely a

mechanism to prevent free AA from reaching toxic levels. To test if free AA is also toxic to

_Drosophila_ follicles, we assessed the dose-dependent effects of AA on wild-type S10B follicle

development using a modified IVEM assay. One of the IVEM medium's key components is fetal

bovine serum (FBS), which supplies growth factors and numerous nutrients, including unknown

amounts of FAs such as AA. We therefore reduced the amount of FBS from 10% to 2.5%, which

still allows the majority of follicles to mature, and then assessed the effects of AA. At ~60µM AA,

a slightly higher percentage of follicles developed than in control medium, but that fraction

dropped steadily as AA concentrations were raised further (Figure 6A). Notably, oleic acid (OA)

does not impair follicle development at any concentration (Figure 6A). Thus, it is specifically free

AA that is dangerous to the follicles, which provides a rationale for why free AA is rapidly

sequestered into LDs.

Free FAs must be incorporated into triglycerides to be stored in LDs. The final step of

triglyceride synthesis is mediated by diacylglycerol O-acyl transferases (DGATs), which catalyze

the attachment of a third FA to diacylglycerol. Like most animals, _Drosophila_ encodes two such

enzymes, DGAT1/Midway and DGAT2 (Yen _et al._, 2008). Genome-wide expression data

indicate that DGAT1 predominates in most tissues, including in ovaries (Chintapalli *et al.*, 2007).

In *DGAT1* loss-of-function mutants, LDs fail to accumulate and follicles die by S8/9 of oogenesis

(Buszczak *et al.*, 2002). If exogenous AA, as we hypothesize, is incorporated into LDs to protect

follicles, then reducing DGAT1 levels should enhance AA toxicity (Figure 6B). We tested this

idea with our modified IVEM assay and treated follicles with 125µM AA. At this concentration,

64% of wild-type follicles develop whereas only 39% of *DGAT1-/+* follicles develop (Figure 6C).

These data support the model that exogenous AA is sequestered into LDs.

452           We next asked whether ATGL is required to release AA from internal LDs stores and

453    thus generate free AA. If this is true, then reducing ATGL levels should partially suppress the

454    toxicity of high levels of exogenous AA (Figure 6B), as total free AA levels will be lower. Indeed,

455    two-fold more S10B follicles from *ATGL* heterozygotes develop in the presence of 500µM AA

456    than wild-type follicles (Figure 6C). These data are consistent with the model that ATGL

457    releases AA from LDs.

458           Together these findings support the model that AA is stored in LDs to prevent toxicity

459    and that ATGL is required to release AA from LDs. This AA can then be used for PG production

460    and thus promotes actin remodeling necessary for follicle development.

**Figure 6: LDs buffer follicles against damage from free arachidonic acid.**

(**A**) Dose curve of the percent of *wild-type* (*yw*) S10B follicles developing in the modified IVEM

assay with either increasing concentrations of arachidonic acid (black circles and line, AA) or

oleic acid (green triangles and line). Error bars, SD. (**B**) Schematic depicting the proposed

mechanisms whereby LDs buffer AA toxicity. Exogenous AA is rapidly sequestered into LDs by

DGAT1, and ATGL can release AA from LD triglycerides. Too much free AA results in toxicity.

(**C-D**) Graph of the percentage of S10B follicles developing in the modified IVEM assay for the

indicated genotypes and conditions. Error bars, SD, *p<0.05, paired t-test, two-tailed. In **C**, *wild-*

*type* (*WT*, *yw*) and *DGAT1-/+* (*mdy*$^{QX25}$*/+*) follicles were treated with 125μm AA; this is an

intermediate dose of AA. In **D**, *wild-type* (*WT*, *yw*) and *ATGL-/+* (*bmm*$^{1}$*/+*) follicles were treated

with 500μm AA; this is a high dose of AA. High levels of AA suppress S10B follicle development

(**A**). In contrast, similar levels of oleic acid have no effect on follicle development (**B**). Reducing

the level of DGAT1, which is expected to decrease LD formation, significantly enhances the

ability of an intermediate dose of AA to block *in vitro* follicle development (**C**). Conversely,

reducing ATGL significantly suppresses the ability of a high dose of AA to block *in vitro* follicle

development; this supports the model that ATGL is required to release AA from LDs (**D**).

*Pxt is not enriched on LDs*

480            How does ATGL provide AA to Pxt for PG synthesis? PG production could occur on

LDs, as in other systems components of the PG synthesis machinery are sometimes enriched

on LDs (Bozza *et al.*, 2011). To determine whether this is true during *Drosophila* oogenesis, we

used immunostaining, LD purification, and *in vivo* centrifugation to assess Pxt's relationship to

LDs in wild-type nurse cells. All three methods show that Pxt is not enriched on LDs. By

immunostaining, Pxt localizes to the Golgi compartments prior to S9 and to the Endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) by S10B, but not obviously to LDs (Figure 7A-C'''). Further, Pxt localization is not

affected by loss of ATGL or PLIN2 (Figure 7- supplemental figure 1). We also prepared a LD

enriched biochemical fraction from ovary lysates, as previously described for embryos (Li *et al.*,

2012), and analyzed it by Western blotting for Pxt, PLIN2 (as a marker for LDs), and Calnexin

(as marker for the ER). Pxt behaves like an ER protein, not like an LD protein (Figure 7D-E).

Finally, we separated LDs from other cellular components by *in vivo* centrifugation: when living

*Drosophila* follicles are centrifuged, their contents separate by density within each nurse

cell/oocyte, with LDs accumulating on the side that faced up during centrifugation (Cermelli *et*

*al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2012). Staining of fixed centrifuged follicles reveals no enrichment of Pxt

(magenta) in the LD layer (green, marked by yellow arrowhead) (Figure 7F-F'''). We conclude

that the majority of Pxt is not present on LDs. This finding, in combination with our other data,

reveals that LDs and ATGL can regulate PG production even when the PG synthesis machinery

is localized at other cellular sites. Given that in most cells COX enzymes localize to the ER and

the contiguous nuclear envelope, the role of LDs in regulating PG signaling is likely much more

widespread than previously thought.

Together our data support the model that during Stage 10B, AA stored in LD

triglycerides is released by ATGL and serves as the substrate for $PGF_{2\alpha}$ production by ER

localized Pxt. $PGF_{2\alpha}$ signaling then drives actin remodeling necessary for late-stage follicle

development (Figure 8).

**Figure 7: Pxt is not enriched on LDs.**

(**A-C'''**) Single confocal slices of wild-type (Oregon R) nurse cells of the indicated stages,

stained for Pxt (**A-C**), organelle marker (**A', B', and C'**), and DNA (**A", B", and C"**, Hoechst).

Merged image (**A'''**, **B'''** and **C'''**): Pxt, magenta; organelle marker, green; and DNA, cyan.

Organelle marker: LDs (**A', A'''**; Nile red); Golgi-eYFP (**B', B'''**); and ER-eYFP (**C', C'''**). Scale

bars=10µm. (**D**) Western blots of purified LDs and a dilution serious of ovary lysate (OL) for

Calnexin (magenta) and Pxt (green) in the top half of the blot and α-Tubulin (magenta) and

PLIN2 (green) in the bottom half of the blot; dashed line indicates where the membrane was cut.

(**E**) Quantification the abundance of proteins in the LD fraction relative to undiluted ovary lysate

(OL). The ratio of signal for the LD and OL lane in Western blots like in (**D**) were computed for

the indicated proteins and normalized to the average value for PLIN2. Error bars, SD.

*\*\*p=<0.0028*, Tukey's multiple comparisons test. (**F-F'''**) Single confocal slices of centrifuged

wild-type Stage 10B follicle stained for Pxt (**F**), LDs (**F'**, Nile red), and DNA (**F''**, Hoechst).

Merged image (**F'''**): Pxt, magenta; LDs, green; and DNA, cyan. Scale bars=50µm. Pxt does not

colocalize with LDs (**A-A'''**), is not enriched on purified LDs (**D-E**), and does not co-accumulate

with LDs in centrifuged follicles (**F-F'''**). Instead, Pxt localizes to the Golgi during S 8 (**B-B'''**)

and the ER during S10B (**C-C'''**).

**Figure 7 – supplemental figure 1: ATGL and PLIN2 do not affect Pxt's localization to the**

**ER.**

(**A-C''''**) Single confocal slices of S10B nurse cells of the indicated genotypes, stained for Pxt

(**A-C**), Calnexin (**A', B' and C'**, ER marker), and DNA (**A'', B'' and C''**, Hoechst). Merged image

(**A''', B''' and C'''**): Pxt, magenta; Calnexin, green; and DNA, cyan. Genotypes: WT, wild-type

(Oregon R); *PLIN2-/-* (*LSD-2^{KG00149}/LSD-2^{KG00149}*); *ATGL-/-* (*bmm^1/bmm^1*), and *pxt-/-*

(*pxt^{f01000}/pxt^{f01000}*). Scale bars = 10μm. Black boxes were added under panel and/or channel

labels in **A, A' and A'''** to aid in visualization. In S10B follicles, Pxt's localization to the ER is

similar to wild-type (**A-A'''**) in *PLIN2* (**B-B''''**) and *ATGL* mutants (**C-C''''**).

**Figure 8: LD proteins regulate actin remodeling via two pathways.**

Schematic (created with Biorender.com) summarizing the findings presented. Synthesis of TAG
(triglyceride) by DGAT1 (magenta) leads to accumulation of TAG between the inner and outer
leaflets of the ER membrane and eventually LD budding from the ER (not shown). Arachidonic
acid (AA, red lines) is stored either in the form of TAG or is incorporated into the phospholipid
membrane. We identified two pathways by which LD proteins regulate actin remodeling. In the
first pathway, ATGL (yellow) can liberate AA from TAG stored in LDs, providing the substrate,
either directly or indirectly, for Pxt and PG production (orange) to regulate actin remodeling. The
AA freed from TAG by ATGL (red) might be supplied directly to Pxt or be first incorporated into
phospholipids (surrounding the neutral lipid core of LDs or present in the ER or other cellular
membranes). In a subsequent step, cPLA2 (light blue) would then release AA from these
phospholipids to provide the substrate for PG production. In the second pathway, PLIN2 (blue)
regulates actin remodeling by a PG- and ATGL-independent mechanism.

**Discussion**

Our data reveal that the LDs produced during *Drosophila* oogenesis are not just lipid and

protein stores for the future embryo, but already play a critical role during follicle development.

In particular, loss of the triglyceride lipase ATGL results in cortical actin breakdown, cortical

contraction failure, and defective actin bundle formation during S10B of oogenesis, an unusual

combination of phenotypes also observed in flies lacking Pxt, the *Drosophila* COX-like enzyme

(Tootle and Spradling, 2008). Dominant genetic interactions and PG treatment of follicles *in vitro*

reveal that ATGL and Pxt act in the same pathway to regulate actin remodeling, with ATGL

upstream of Pxt. We propose that LDs provide AA as substrate for Pxt, and that the PGs

subsequently produced regulate actin dynamics. While PG signaling is known to have a

conserved role in regulating actin remodeling across organisms and cell-types (Peppelenbosch

*et al.*, 1993; Pierce *et al.*, 1999; Dormond *et al.*, 2002; Tamma *et al.*, 2003; Bulin *et al.*, 2005;

Birukova *et al.*, 2007), this study provides the first evidence linking LDs to PG-dependent actin

remodeling.

*LDs provide the substrate for PG production*

The enzymatic release of AA from cellular lipids is the rate limiting step for PG

production (Funk, 2001; Tootle, 2013). The free AA that serves as Pxt substrate might originate

from two different sources: phospholipids and triglycerides. In mammalian systems, both

sources make contributions to PG synthesis (Weller and Dvorak, 1994). Cytoplasmic

phospholipase A2 (cPLA2) releases AA from phospholipids, and in many cell types, inhibiting

cPLA2 severely impairs PG production (Barbour and Dennis, 1993; Miyaura *et al.*, 2003; Ghosh

*et al.*, 2004). Conversely, knockdown/inhibition of ATGL decreases PG production in mast cells

(Dichlberger *et al.*, 2014) and leukocytes (Schlager *et al.*, 2015).

Our data support the model that in *Drosophila* follicles LD triglycerides are a major

source of AA for PG production and that ATGL is responsible for its release. This AA might

either directly serve as substrate for Pxt, or it might first be incorporated into phospholipids, to

be released by cPLA2 in a subsequent step (Figure 8). Such a model explains the genetic

interaction between Pxt and ATGL (Figure 3B, D, E, and H and Figure 4A), the increase in AA-

containing ovary triglycerides in *ATGL* mutants (Figure 5G and H), the fact that exogenous

$PGF_{2\alpha}$ can promote maturation of *ATGL* mutant follicles (Figure 4B), and that reducing ATGL

suppresses the lipotoxicity of exogenous AA (Figure 6D).

Our studies also provide the first direct evidence that AA has a functional role during

*Drosophila* oogenesis: AA is present in ovary triglycerides (Figure 5A, G and H); exogenous AA

has both beneficial and detrimental effects on follicle development (Figure 6A), depending on

concentration; and DGAT1 and ATGL heterozygosity shift the sensitivity of follicles to

exogenous AA (Figure 6B-D). These findings are particularly important as it has been debated

whether AA is present in flies. Some studies report that AA is not detectable in various life

stages and body parts (Yoshioka *et al.*, 1985; Shen *et al.*, 2010; Tan *et al.*, 2016), while others

find it (Steinhauer *et al.*, 2009; Parisi *et al.*, 2011; Sieber and Spradling, 2015). These

differences may be due to varying detection sensitivities and the fact that lipid profiles vary

dramatically between tissues and/or on different diets (Carvalho *et al.*, 2012).

*Site of PG synthesis*

In a variety of mammalian cell types, the PG synthesis machinery localizes to LDs and

produces PGs there (Bozza *et al.*, 2011). For example, cPLA2, and its activators, localize to

LDs and provide AA for PG production (Yu *et al.*, 1998; Moreira *et al.*, 2009), and COX2 and

$PGE_2$ synthases localize to and produce $PGE_2$ on LDs in cultured colon adenocarcinoma cells

(Accioly *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, $PGE_2$ production is observed on LDs in normal rat intestinal

epithelial cells (Moreira *et al.*, 2009) and in macrophages during parasitic infection (D'Avila *et*

*al.*, 2011).

In fly ovaries, in contrast, the site of PG synthesis remains unknown. By

immunofluorescence or biochemical purification, Pxt is not enriched on LDs, but is present

throughout the ER (Figure 7). Two different scenarios might account for these observations. On

one hand, AA released from LDs may reach only Pxt molecules that are in closely associated

ER regions, while Pxt further away never sees substrate. As both ER and LDs are highly

abundant in the cytoplasm of S10B follicles (Figure 1C and Figure 7C'), AA from LDs could

quickly reach Pxt in close proximity. In addition, in many cells, LDs remain physically connected

to the ER via lipidic bridges, which could convey AA to nearby ER (Hugenroth and Bohnert,

2020). On the other hand, AA released from LDs might get incorporated into phospholipids in

the ER, which can then diffuse throughout the entire ER; in a second step, AA is then released

by cPLA2. In this scenario, LD derived AA would indirectly provide substrate to Pxt anywhere in

the ER. To resolve exactly where in nurse cells PG synthesis occurs, it will be important to

determine if cPLA2 and PG-type specific synthases are enriched on LDs or elsewhere and to

directly visualize the site of PG production.

We find that LDs can still function as critical regulators of PG signaling even when the

relevant COX enzyme shows no particular LD association. This finding should prompt a careful

re-examination of the functional impact of LDs in the many PG-producing cell types in which

COX enzymes are distributed throughout the ER, nuclear envelope, and Golgi, similar to

*Drosophila* follicles. Given the emerging role of LDs as central hubs for cellular fatty acid

trafficking, they may regulate PG synthesis much more widely than previously recognized.

*Why LDs?*

If AA released from phospholipids can serve as substrate for Pxt, why is AA incorporated into

LDs at all? AA in triglycerides may act as a storage form of AA for the embryo, to be

incorporated there into new membranes and possibly to also sustain PG production. However,

our experiments in Figure 6 raise the additional possibility that LDs are needed to buffer the

toxicity of free AA. We find that high levels of exogenous AA inhibit follicle development (Figure

6A), similar to the case of bovine granulosa cells where AA induces apoptosis (Zhang *et al.*,

2019). In fact, AA is cytotoxic for many cells, e.g., cultured hippocampal neurons (Okuda *et al.*,

1994), even at concentrations that overlap physiological ones (Pompeia *et al.*, 2003). This

toxicity is likely due to AA's many diverse roles in cellular signaling (Brash, 2001; Tallima and El

Ridi, 2018). Because of such toxicity, levels of free AA are tightly regulated across organisms,

often by turning excess AA into inert triglycerides stored in LDs. Indeed, in mammalian cells,

exogenous AA is initially almost exclusively incorporated into LDs (Weller and Dvorak, 1994;

Bozza *et al.*, 2009; Bozza *et al.*, 2011). LDs likely have a similar buffering role in *Drosophila*

follicles, as reduced ability to incorporate AA into triglycerides (in DGAT1 heterozygotes)

increases AA toxicity, while reduced ability to release AA from triglycerides (in ATGL

heterozygotes) ameliorates toxicity to exogenous AA (Figure 6B-D).

It seems likely that LDs also buffer AA released from endogenous sources. Because

*Drosophila* lacks the enzymes to synthesize AA (Shen *et al.*, 2010; Suito *et al.*, 2020), AA

present in the ovary must be derived from food and be transported, like other FAs, through the

hemolymph via lipoprotein particles. These lipoproteins are captured by lipophorin receptors at

the surface of nurse cells which deliver FAs to the germ line (Parra-Peralbo and Culi, 2011).

Because in the wild nutrient availability fluctuates, AA flux into follicles is likely variable and

unpredictable, potentially putting follicles at risk. Thus, we propose that storing AA in

triglycerides in LDs avoids the dangers of high levels of free AA while allowing release of just

enough AA to support PG synthesis.

Such an AA buffering function of LDs aligns with the recent recognition of LDs as a

critical way station during FA trafficking in cells (Welte, 2015); they transiently sequester excess

FAs from external and internal sources to prevent cellular damage, such as ER stress and

mitochondrial dysfunction (Chitraju *et al.*, 2017; Nguyen *et al.*, 2017). Once safely stored in LDs,

FAs can then be released in a regulated manner and directed to specific intracellular fates, like

energy production or signaling (Haemmerle *et al.*, 2011; Rambold *et al.*, 2015). Thus, LDs serve

as FA trafficking hubs to both channel FAs to the correct intracellular destinations and buffer the

FA supply.

Our finding may reflect a broader function of LDs to handle essential, but potentially

harmful factors during development. Indeed, *Drosophila* oocytes accumulate large amounts of

histones needed to support embryonic development, but free histones are cytotoxic. For a

subset of histones this problem is solved by sequestering them to LDs (Li *et al.*, 2012;

Stephenson *et al.*, 2021). Thus, for both histones and AA, LDs limit the availability of potentially

toxic molecules by sequestering them and thus keeping them available for later use.

*ATGL functions in development*

Like in mammals, ATGL in flies is best known for its role in fat storage and energy

homeostasis in adipose tissue (Gronke *et al.*, 2005). ATGL is highly expressed in the larval and

adult fat body; its absence causes triglyceride overaccumulation in this tissue, while

overexpression depletes organismal fat stores (Gronke *et al.*, 2005). In this context, ATGL and

PLIN2 act antagonistically, with ATGL promoting triglyceride breakdown and PLIN2 preventing it

(Gronke *et al.*, 2003; Beller *et al.*, 2010; Bi *et al.*, 2012; Zhao *et al.*, 2022). While our

experiments do not address whether a similar antagonism operates in follicles, PLIN2 must

affect actin remodeling via a PG-independent mechanism since loss of PLIN2 causes actin

defects (Figure 2B) and there is no synergistic effect between PLIN2 and ATGL/Pxt in our

dominant genetic interaction tests (Figure 3C, G and I). One speculative possibility is that PLIN2

recruits actin and actin binding proteins to LDs and either controls their concentration in the

cytoplasm or delivers them to sites of actin remodeling. Indeed, actin and actin regulators are

candidate LD proteins in a number of cell types (e.g., Fong *et al.*, 2001; Pfisterer *et al.*, 2017;

Bersuker *et al.*, 2018; Kilwein and Welte, 2019; Tan *et al.*, 2021), and PLIN2 regulates LD

motility (Welte *et al.*, 2005).

Here we show that ATGL has a critical role in follicle development, via PG signaling and

actin remodeling. ATGL has previously been implicated in other critical developmental

transitions, though the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. In male flies, ATGL in neurons

and testes regulates whole-body energy storage by an as-yet uncharacterized mechanism

thought to involve systemic signaling (Wat *et al.*, 2020). And in mammalian muscle, ATGL in

satellite cells is important for proper differentiation and efficient recovery from muscle injury

(Yue *et al.*, 2022). It will be interesting to determine whether in these instances ATGL also acts

via AA release to drive PG production. Finally, ectopic ATGL expression has beneficial roles in

two *Drosophila* disease models: neurodegeneration due to mitochondrial dysfunction (Liu *et al.*,

2015) and impairment of nephrocytes, components of the renal system, due to high fat diet

(Lubojemska *et al.*, 2021). Here, tissue-specific overexpression of ATGL in glia or nephrocytes,

respectively, reverts disease-induced LD accumulation as well as disease phenotypes.

Intriguingly, glia cells in other organisms activate PG signaling during brain

inflammation/neurodegeneration (Tzeng *et al.*, 2005; Lima *et al.*, 2012); and in the nephrocyte

example, ectopic ATGL affects transcription via lipid signaling, but exact mechanisms remain

unknown. Our work identifies a specific signaling pathway, PG signaling, regulated by ATGL

during oogenesis. It will be important to determine how widely used this new signaling pathway

is, given the strong potential for PGs to play roles in tissues with known ATGL functions.

*LDs as regulators of female fertility*

Animal development requires careful regulation of metabolism, specific to tissue type

and developmental stage (Sieber and Spradling, 2017). Yet despite the fact that LDs have a

central role in lipid metabolism and energy homeostasis in normal physiology and diseases

(Walther and Farese, 2012; Hashemi and Goodman, 2015; Welte, 2015), they have so far been

implicated as regulators of development in only a handful of cases (Chan *et al.*, 2007; Li *et al.*,

2012; Johnson *et al.*, 2018; Stephenson *et al.*, 2020; Yue *et al.*, 2022). Our analysis now reveals

that LDs not only play a critical role at a specific transition during follicle development, but

identifies PG signaling as one of the mechanisms by which LDs exert their regulatory role.

We speculate that this pathway is conserved across organisms. Indeed, LD

accumulation, composition, and localization are dynamic during oocyte maturation (Ami *et al.*,

2011; Dunning *et al.*, 2014), LDs are hubs for FA trafficking, and FA levels (including that of AA)

are critical for oocyte development in many species (Dunning *et al.*, 2014; Prates *et al.*, 2014;

Brusentsev *et al.*, 2019).  Further, in both mouse models and human patients, metabolic

syndrome causes failures in oocyte maturation and decreased fertility (Wattanakumtornkul *et*

*al.*, 2003; Jungheim *et al.*, 2010; Cardozo *et al.*, 2011; Marei *et al.*, 2020). Specifically, obesity

seems to directly impair oocyte quality, as fertilized oocytes from healthy donors can be

successfully transplanted into obese recipients without affecting implantation rates or pregnancy

success (Styne-Gross *et al.*, 2005). In addition, it is well-established that PG signaling plays

critical roles in oocyte development across organisms (Akil *et al.*, 1996; Smith *et al.*, 1996; Lim

*et al.*, 1997; Pall *et al.*, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2004; Takahashi *et al.*, 2006; Takahashi *et al.*, 2010).

Recent evident also points to a link between LDs and PGs, and implicates LDs as a foci of PG

production during reproduction. For example, COX enzymes localize to LDs in the rat corpus

luteum (Arend *et al.*, 2004), and in fetal membranes during advanced gestation and at induction

of labor, times when PG synthesis and signaling are upregulated (Meadows *et al.*, 2003;

Meadows *et al.*, 2005). Whether the PG effects on oogenesis and reproduction in mammals are

due to changes in the actin cytoskeleton is not yet known. However, cytoplasmic actin density

and cortical actin thickness increase during oocyte maturation, contribute to meiotic resumption,

and play roles in fertilization and early embryonic divisions (Coticchio *et al.*, 2015; Namgoong

and Kim, 2016). Given these data, and our findings connecting LDs, PG signaling, and actin

remodeling during *Drosophila* oogenesis, we speculate the same pathways that regulate oocyte

development in the fly are conserved across organisms to regulate oocyte development, and

contribute to infertility issues due to limited or excess nutrition.

**Materials and Methods**

**Key Resources Table**

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *pxt*[EY03052] | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center | FLYB: FBgn0261987; RRID:BDSC_15620 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *pxt*[f01000] | Harvard Exelixis Collection; Thibault, 2004 PMID: 14981521 | | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *Lsd-2*[KG00149] | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center | FLYB: FBgn0030608; RRID:BDSC_13382 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *bmm*[1] | Gronke et al., 2005 PMID: 16054079 | | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *y*[1]*w*[1] | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center | RRID:BDSC_1495 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *Oregon R* | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center | RRID:BDSC_5 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *mdy*[QX25] | Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center | RRID:BDSC_5095 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *ER-eYFP* | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center; LaJeunesse, 2004 | RRID:BDSC_7195 | |
| genetic reagent (*Drosophila melanogaster*) | *Golgi-eYFP* | Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center; LaJeunesse, 2004 | RRID:BDSC_7193 | |
| antibody | Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin | Thermo Fisher Scientific (Invitrogen) | Cat#A12379 | lot 1262669 (1:250) |

| antibody | Alexa Fluor 647 Phalloidin | Thermo Fisher Scientific (Invitrogen) | Cat#A22287 | lot 2151755 (1:250) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| antibody | Alexa Fluor 568 Phalloidin | Thermo Fisher Scientific (Invitrogen) | Cat#A12380 | lot 2170291 (1:250) |
| antibody | Nile Red | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#72485 CAS Number 7385-67-3 | (1:5000) |
| antibody | mouse anti-Calnexin99A | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Munro, S. | Cat#Cnx99A 6-2-1 RRID:AB2722011 | (1:1000) |
| antibody | mouse anti-Golgin84 | Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, PMID:30910009 | Cat#Golgin84 12-1 RRID:AB2722113 | (1:1000) |
| antibody | rabbit anti-pxt | Spracklen, 2014 PMID: 24284900 | RRID:AB_2569638 | 3873S (1:1000) |
| antibody | mouse anti-Tubulin | Cell Signaling Technologies, | DM1A RRID:AB_1904178 | (1:5000) |
| antibody | rabbit anti-PLIN2 | Welte, 2005 PMID: 15647372 | | (1:5000) |
| antibody | goat anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 | Thermo Fisher (Invitrogen) | RRID:AB_2536161 | (1:1000) |
| antibody | goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 633 | Thermo Fisher (Invitrogen) | | (1:1000) |
| antibody | goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488 | Thermo Fisher (Invitrogen) | | (1:1000) |
| chemical compound, drug | arachidonic acid | Cayman Chemical Company | Cat#90010 CAS Number 506-32-1 | Batch No. 6570304-49 |
| chemical compound, drug | aspirin | Cayman Chemical Company | Cat#70260 CAS Number 50-78-2 | Batch No. 0479934-28 |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| chemical compound, drug | oleic acid | Cayman Chemical Company | Cat#90260 CAS Number 112-80-1 | |
| chemical compound, drug | Hoechst | Thermo Fisher | Cat#62249 | (1:1000) |
| chemical compound, drug | DAPI (4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) | Thermo Fisher (Invitrogen) | D3571 | lot 699931 (1:1000) |
| chemical compound, drug | Fluprostenol | Cayman Chemical Company | Cat#16768 CAS Number 54276-17-4 | |
| chemical compound, drug | Calyculin A (10g) (Serine/Threonine Phosphatase Inhibitor) | Cell Signaling | Cat#9902S | |
| chemical compound, drug | Protease Inhibitor Cocktail | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat#P2714-1BTL | |
| software, algorithm | R Project for Statistical Computing | www.r-project.org | RRID:SCR_001905 | |
| software, algorithm | FIJI-FIJI is Just ImageJ | PMID 22743772 | RRID:SCR_002285 | software, algorithm |
| software, algorithm | ZEN Digital Imaging for Light Microscopy | Carl Zeiss | RRID:SCR_013672 | software, algorithm |
| software, algorithm | GraphPad Prism | GraphPad | RRID:SCR_002798 | software, algorithm |
| software, algorithm | Image Studio Lite | Li-COR | RRID:SCR_013715 | software, algorithm |
| software, algorithm | Leica Application Suite X | Leica Microsystems | RRID:SCR_013673 | software, algorithm |
| other | Zeiss LSM 700 microscope | Carl Zeiss | RRID:SCR_017377 | other |
| other | Zeiss LSM 880 with Airy Scan Cofocal microscope | Carl Zeiss | RRID:SCR_020925 | other |
| other | Leica TCS SPE confocal microscope | Leica Microsystems | RRID:SCR_002140 | other |
| other | Aqua Polymount | PolySciences | Cat#18606-100 | other |

 *Fly stocks*

Flies used in actin quantification and IVEM experiments were maintained on

cornmeal/agar/yeast food at room temperature except as noted below. Flies used in all other

experiments were maintained on molasses/agar/yeast/malt extract/corn flour/soy flour food at

room temperature except as noted below. Stocks used were $y^1w^1$ (BDSC1495), Oregon R

(BDSC 5), $pxt^{f01000}$ (Harvard Exelixis Collection, (Thibault *et al.*, 2004))*, $pxt^{EY03052}$ (BDSC 15620),

$bmm^1$ (Gronke *et al.*, 2003), $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}$ (BDSC 13382), $mdy^{QX25}$ (BDSC 5095), *sqh-EYFP-ER*

(BDSC 7195, (LaJeunesse *et al.*, 2004)), and *sqh-EYFP-Golgi* (BDSC 7193, (LaJeunesse *et al.*,

2004)).

*Immunofluorescence and fluorescent reagent staining*

For Figures 1, 7 and 7 – supplemental figure 1 the following method, referred to as Staining

Method 1, was used. Adult female and male flies (to allow for mating) younger than two weeks

old were fed dry yeast for 48 hours at room temperature before ovary dissection in PBS-T

(phosphate buffered saline, 0.1% Triton X-100). Ovaries were fixed with 3.6% formaldehyde in

PBS for 12 minutes at room temperature. Ovaries were washed with PBS-T, and follicles of

desired stages were isolated using forceps and pin vises. Follicles were blocked in ovary block

(10% BSA, PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.02% sodium azide) overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies

were diluted in ovary block and incubated overnight at 4°C. The following primary antibodies

were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) developed under the

auspices of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development and maintained by

the Department of Biology, University of Iowa (Iowa City, Iowa): mouse anti-Calnexin99A at

1:1000 (Cnx99A 6-2-1, Munro, S.) and mouse anti-Golgin84 at 1:1000 (Golgin84 12-1, Munro,

S.) (Riedel *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, the following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Pxt

preabsorbed at 1:10 on *pxt-/-* ovaries in ovary block and used at 1:1000 (Spracklen *et al.*, 2014).

Samples were washed 3x with PBS-T, and then protected from light for the remainder of the

experiment. Samples were incubated in the following secondary antibodies diluted to 1:1000 in

ovary block overnight at 4°C: goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 633 (Invitrogen), goat anti-mouse

IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen), and goat anti-rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). Samples

were washed 3x with PBS-T and then stained with the following reagents diluted in ovary block:

Phalloidin Alexa Fluor 633 (Invitrogen) 1:150, 1 hour at room temperature; Nile Red (1 mg/ml,

Sigma-Aldrich) 1:50, 1 hour at room temperature; and Hoechst 33342 (1 mg/ml, Thermo Fisher

Scientific) 1:1000, 20 minutes at room temperature. Samples were washed 3x with PBS-T, and

then mounted on coverslips in Aqua Polymount (PolySciences).

For Figures 2, 3, and 3 - supplemental figure 1 the following protocol, referred to as

Staining Method 2, was used: Adult female and male flies (to allow for mating) within 24hrs of

eclosion were fed wet yeast paste for 72 hours at room temperature before dissection in room-

temperature Grace's medium (Lonza). Ovaries were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in Grace's

medium for 10 minutes at room temperature. Ovaries were washed 6x 10 minutes in antibody

wash (1X PBS-T + 0.1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)). Samples were stained overnight at 4°C

with Alexa Fluor-488, -568, or -647 Phalloidin (Invitrogen) at 1:250. Samples were then washed

5-6 times in 1X PBS-T for 10 minutes each and stained with 4'6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI, 5 mg/mL) at 1:5000 in 1X PBS for 10 minutes. Samples were rinsed in 1X PBS and

mounted in 1 mg/mL phenylenediamine in 50% glycerol, pH 9 (Platt and Michael, 1983).

_Image acquisition and processing_

Microscope images of fixed and stained _Drosophila_ follicles were obtained using the following

microscopes: Leica SPE confocal microscope with an ACS APO x20/0.60 IMM CORR-/D or

ACS APO x40/1.5 oil CS objective (Leica Microsystems), Zeiss 700 confocal microscope or

Zeiss 880 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy) using a Plan-Apochromat x20/0.8

working distance (WD)=0.55 M27 objective,  Zeiss 980 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss

Microscopy) using a Pln Apo x20/0.8 or Pln Apo 40x/1.3 oil objective, or Leica SP5 confocal

microscope (Leica Microsystems) using an HCX PL APO CS 63.0x1.40 oil UV objective and

Leica HyD detectors. Maximum projections of images, image cropping, and image rotation were

performed in Fiji/ImageJ software (Abramoff *et al.*, 2004), scale bars were added in either

Adobe Illustrator or Adobe Photoshop (Adobe, San Jose, CA) and Figures were assembled

using Adobe Illustrator. Images in Figures 2, 3, and 3 – supplemental figure 1 were brightened

by 30% in Adobe Photoshop to improve visualization.

*Quantification of actin defects*

Confocal images of phalloidin stained S10B follicles were collected as described. Actin bundle

and cortical actin defects were scored by scanning through confocal z-stacks of S10B follicles in

ImageJ in a genotypically blinded manner. Representative images of follicles and scoring

criteria are provided in Figure 3 – supplemental figure 1. Briefly, actin bundles were scored as

normal (score = 0) if they were straight, forming from the nurse cell plasma membrane and

oriented inwards toward the nurse cell nuclei. Mild defects in bundling (score = 1) were defined

as sparse bundle formation or slight delay in bundle growth relative to follicle development.

Moderate defects (score = 2) were defined as collapsed, thick, and/or missing bundles from

regions of the nurse cell plasma membrane. Severe defects (score=3) included those previously

described, as well as a complete failure of bundles to form. Cortical actin was scored based on

whether the cortical actin was intact or disrupted. Defects in cortical actin are evident by an

absence or incomplete phalloidin staining between nurse cells and/or by nurse cell nuclei in

close proximity or contacting each other. Normal cortical actin (score = 0) is defined as being

completely intact and fully surrounding each nurse cell. Degree of severity of cortical actin

defect was determined by the relative number of disruptions in cortical actin observed with mild

defects (score=1) having a single instance, moderate defects (score=2) having two instances,

and severe defects (score = 3) having three or more instances of disrupted cortical actin. The

actin defect index (ADI) was then calculated by adding the bundle and cortical actin defect

scores and binning them into normal (total score = 0-1), mild defects (total score = 2-3), or

severe defects (score = 4-6). Pearson's chi-squared analysis was performed using R (www.r-

project.org).

*In vitro egg maturation (IVEM) assays*

For both the standard IVEM and modified IVEM assays, adult female and male flies (to allow for

mating) within 24hrs of eclosion were fed wet yeast paste daily for 3 days prior to dissection.

Ovaries were dissected in room temperature IVEM or modified IVEM medium. IVEM medium:

Grace's medium (Lonza), 1x penicillin/streptomycin (100x, Gibco) and 10% fetal bovine serum

(FBS, Atlanta Biologicals). Modified IVEM medium: Grace's medium, 1x penicillin/streptomycin,

and 2.5% FBS. S10B follicles were isolated and distributed between wells of a 24-well plastic

tissue culture plate (Falcon) and 1ml of fresh medium was added with or without additions

(described below). Follicles were incubated overnight at room temperature in the dark. The next

day the number of follicles at each developmental stage were counted, and the percentage

developing was calculated; follicles that reached S12 and older were considered developed.

Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired t-test function in Prism 8 or 9 (GraphPad

Software).

For the exogenous $PGF_{2\alpha}$ analog (Fluprostenol, Flu) experiments the standard IVEM

was performed and stock solutions of $10\mu M$ Flu (Cayman Chemical Company) and 0.5M aspirin

(Cayman Chemical Company) were prepared in 100% EtOH.  For each genotype, there were

two wells of S10B follicles with one well treated with EtOH (control) and the other with Flu (final

concentration of 20nM). As an additional control, wild-type follicles were also treated with

1.5mM aspirin to verify that Flu rescues the loss of PG synthesis; any experiment where Flu

failed to suppress the effects of aspirin was excluded from the analysis. In all conditions the total

amount of EtOH was kept constant. Follicle development was assessed as described above.

For the exogenous fatty acid (AA or OA) experiments the modified IVEM was performed,

AA and OA stock solutions were made in 100% EtOH, and total EtOH volumes were kept

constant in each experiment. Follicle development was assessed as described above.

*Western blots*

Follicles from well-fed females of the indicated genotypes and stage were dissected and fixed

as described in Staining Method 1. Note that proteins from such samples run at the same

molecular weight as those from lysates prepared from live ovary tissue (Figure 4- supplemental

figure 1C-D). 25-50 follicles were collected per sample and boiled in sample buffer (Laemmli

Sample Buffer, Bio-Rad + 2-mercaptoethanol, Bio-Rad). Samples were run on 4-15% gradient

gels (Bio-Rad) at 80-120V and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Immobilon-FL, EMD

Millipore) in Towbin (10% Tris-Glycine + 20% MeOH; 80V for 30 minutes) transfer buffer.

Membranes were blocked for 1 hour at room temperature in Li-COR Odyssey Block (LI-COR

Biosciences). Primary antibodies diluted in Odyssey Block were performed overnight at 4

degrees. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Pxt (1:5000) (Spracklen *et al.*,

2014), rabbit anti-PLIN2 (1:5000) (Welte *et al.*, 2005), mouse anti-Calnexin99A (1:5000, DSHB),

and mouse anti-$\alpha$-Tubulin (1:5000, Cell Signaling Technologies). Membranes were washed 3

times in 1X PBS-0.1% Tween 20 (PBS-Tween). The following secondary antibody incubations

were performed for 1 hour at room temperature: IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (1:5000,

Li-COR), and IRDy3 680RD Goat anti-Mouse IgG (1:5000, Li-COR). After secondary antibody

incubation, membranes were washed two times in PBS-Tween and once in 1X PBS.

Membranes were imaged on a Li-COR Odyssey CLx imager and blots were processed and

quantified in Image Studio Lite 5.2 (Li-COR). To quantify, fluorescence intensity of each band

was measured and normalized to respective experimental controls. Data were analyzed and

plotted using Prism (GraphPad).

*Lipid extractions*

25 ovaries per sample were dissected from mated, mixed-age, and dry yeast fed females and

homogenized in a 2mL Eppendorf tube with a motorized pestle (KONTES pellet pestle) in 1X

PBS and kept on ice. Lysates were then incubated 1:1 in a 2:1 chloroform/methanol mixture

overnight at 4°C. Samples were spun in an Eppendorf Microcentrifuge (model 5415D) at max

speed at room temperature for 1 minute, and the bottom organic layer was transferred to a

0.65mL Eppendorf tube. Samples were then vacuum dried and either analyzed immediately or

stored at -80°C for thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis or before being shipped on dry ice

for mass spectrometry analysis.

*Thin layer chromatography*

Evaporated lipids were resuspended in 10µL 2:1 chloroform/methanol and spotted on

dehydrated silica plates (EMD Millipore; dehydrated by baking for 30 minutes at 100°C). Plates

were placed in a chamber (Millipore Sigma/Sigma-Aldrich Z266000) pre-saturated for 30

minutes with Petroleum Ether/Diethyl Ether/acetic acid (32:8:0.8)) and allowed to develop until

the solvent almost reached the top of the plates, and the solvent line was quickly marked with a

pencil after removing plates from the chamber. The plates were then air-dried and briefly

submerged in charring solution (50% ethanol, 3.2% $H_2SO_4$, 0.5% $MgCl_2$). Plates were air-dried

briefly and were charred for 30 minutes at 120°C. Plates were imaged using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc.

Lipids were identified according to Knittelfelder & Kohlwein (Knittelfelder and Kohlwein, 2017)

and by comparing whole lipid extracts to lipid standards (Millipore Sigma, data not shown).

Colorimetric band intensities were quantified in Fiji and triglyceride or sterol ester bands were

normalized to cholesterol band intensity. Dunnett's multiple comparisons test was run in

GraphPad Prism for Figure 5F.

*LC-MS/MS Mass Spectrometry Analysis*

Extracted lipids were dissolved in corresponding volumes of 2:1 methanol:chloroform (v/v) and

5μl of each sample was injected for positive and negative acquisition modes, respectively.

Mobile phase A consisted of 3:2 (v/v) water/acetonitrile, including 10mM ammonium formate

and 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B consisted of 9:1 (v/v) 2- propanol/acetonitrile, also

including 10mM ammonium formate and 0.1% formic acid. Lipids were separated using an

UltiMate 3000 UHPLC (Thermo Fisher Scientific) under a 90 min gradient; during 0–7 minutes,

elution starts with 40% B and increases to 55%; from 7 to 8 min, increases to 65% B; from 8 to

12 min, elution is maintained with 65% B; from 12 to 30 min, increase to 70% B; from 30 to 31

min, increase to 88% B; from 31 to 51 min, increase to 95% B; from 51 to 53 min, increase to

100% B; during 53 to 73 min, 100% B is maintained; from 73 to 73.1 min, solvent B was

decreased to 40% and then maintained for another 16.9 min for column re-equilibration. The

flow-rate was set to 0.2mL/min. The column oven temperature was set to 55°C, and the

temperature of the autosampler tray was set to 4°C. Eluted lipids were analyzed using Orbitrap

Q Exactive (Thermo Fisher Scientific) Orbitrap mass analyzer. The spray voltage was set to 4.2

kV, and the heated capillary and the HESI were held at 320°C and 300°C, respectively. The S-

lens RF level was set to 50, and the sheath and auxiliary gas were set to 35 and 3 units,

respectively. These conditions were held constant for both positive and negative ionization

mode acquisitions. External mass calibration was performed using the standard calibration

mixture every 7 days. MS spectra of lipids were acquired in full-scan/data-dependent MS2

mode. For the full-scan acquisition, the resolution was set to 70,000, the AGC target was 1e6,

the maximum integration time was 50msec, and the scan range was m/z = 133.4–2000. For

data-dependent MS2, the top 10 ions in each full scan were isolated with a 1.0Da window,

fragmented at a stepped normalized collision energy of 15, 25, and 35 units, and analyzed at a

resolution of 17,500 with an AGC target of 2e5 and a maximum integration time of 100msec.

The underfill ratio was set to 0. The selection of the top 10 ions was subject to isotopic exclusion

with a dynamic exclusion window of 5.0sec. Mass spectrometry data was analyzed using

LipidSearch version 4.1 SP (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Identified lipid species with grade A and

B were manually curated. A total of 98 different triglyceride species were identified (Figure 5 –

supplemental table 1).

For the quantitative analysis in Figures 5A-D, 5G-H and Figure 5- supplemental figure 1,

four biological replicates containing 25 ovaries each from both wild-type and *ATGL* mutant

females were analyzed and the quantity of the 98 triglyceride species determined, relative to

background. One wild-type sample contained an order of magnitude less lipid and was

discarded as an outlier (Figure 5- supplemental table 1). In total, 25 different types of FAs were

identified in the ovary triglycerides. The abundance of each FA was estimated by summing the

amount of each triglyceride species containing that FA, weighted by how many times that FA is

represented in that triglyceride. For Figures 5A and B, this abundance is expressed as fraction

of the total amount of fatty acids such identified. For Figure 5C, the signal for all species of

phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, and phosphatidylinositol, respectively, was

summed and normalized to the average of the wild type. For Figure 5D, the signal for all

triglyceride species was summed and normalized to the average of the wild type. To express

the abundance of various lipid species relative to total lipids recovered (Figure 5- supplemental

figure 1), values for various lipid species were divided by the sum of all lipids in the sample. For

Figure 5G and 5H, the signal for two AA-containing triglyceride species was computed, and

normalized to the average of the wild-type signal. Amounts and fractions were calculated using

Microsoft Excel and graphed using Prism 8 (GraphPad Software). Statistical tests for Figure 5

were as follows: 5A and 5C was Sidak's multiple comparisons test, 5B was Tukey's multiple

comparisons test, 5D was an unpaired t-test, two-tailed, and 5G-H were unpaired t-tests, two-

tailed.

*Ovary centrifugation*

Mated adult females of mixed ages fed dry yeast were anesthetized and beheaded before being

mounted in Eppendorf tubes filled with 2.5% apple juice agar, adapting a method previously

described for embryos (Tran and Welte, 2010). Tubes were spun for 10 minutes at 10,000 rpm

at room temperature using an Eppendorf Microcentrifuge (Model 5415D). Flies were removed

from agar with forceps and ovaries were isolated and treated as described in Staining Method 1

above.

*Lipid droplet purification*

250-300 ovaries per sample were rapidly dissected from mated and dry yeast fed females and

kept on ice in TSS (68 mM Na Cl$_2$, 0.03% Triton X-100) in 2mL Eppendorf tubes. Ovaries were

then washed 3x with TKM (50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 25 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl$_2$) to remove detergent.

TKM was removed and the volume of ovaries was estimated visually. Ovaries were kept on ice,

to which were added: 2 times the estimated ovary volume of TKM + 1M sucrose, protease

inhibitor cocktail to final concentration of 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich), and

calyculin A serine/threonine phosphatase inhibitor (10µL per mL of volume; Cell Signaling).

Ovaries were homogenized on ice by grinding with an automated tissue grinder (KONTES pellet

pestle) for 1-2 minutes, and then 20µL ovary lysate samples were transferred from the total

lysate to 0.65mL Eppendorf tubes and stored at -80°C. The remaining ovary lysates were spun

at 13200rpm for 10 minutes in an Eppendorf Microcentrifuge (Model 5145D) at 4°C. The

following was then added to samples slowly to avoid disturbing the LD layer: 300µL TKM + 0.5M

sucrose, 300µL TKM + 0.25M sucrose, and 400µL TKM (no sucrose). Tubes were spun for 20

minutes at 4°C, with the speed adjusted as follows: 1000rpm for 5 minutes, 5000rpm for 5

minutes, 13200rpm for 10 minutes. Purified LDs were then scooped off the top of the sucrose

gradient using a drawn Pasteur pipette loop (Fisher Scientific, loop drawn by holding over a

flame). LDs were washed off the pipette loop with 20µL TKM (no sucrose) and stored at -80°C

until analyzed.

In past Western analyses, we loaded equal amounts of protein from LD preparations and

original tissue lysate for easy comparison (Li *et al.*, 2012). This approach was not feasible here

because of the relatively low amounts of LDs recovered from the large volume of ovaries used.

Instead, we compared the LD sample to dilutions of ovary lysate. Purified LDs and ovary lysates

were diluted as indicated in 2X Laemmli Sample Buffer before being boiled for 30 minutes and

subsequently run on 4-15% SDS-PAGE gradient gels (Western blot protocol as described

above). Before the blocking step, the membrane was cut with a razor blade between 50 and

75kDa and each half was treated separately. Quantification was performed as described above

in Western blots.

**Table 1: Genotype by figures.** List of genotypes shown in the figures. * phenotypic example of

genotype not related to the data presented in the manuscript.

| Figure | Panel | Genotype |
|--------|-------|----------|
| Figure 1 | A | *Oregon R* |
| | B | *Oregon R* |
| | C | *Oregon R* |
| | D | *Oregon R* |
| | F | *Oregon R* |
| | G | *Oregon R* |
| | H | *Oregon R* |
| | I | *Oregon R* |
| | J | *Oregon R* |
| Figure 2 | A | $y^1w^1$ |
| | B | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}$ |
| | C | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |
| | D | $pxt^{f01000}/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| Figure 3 | A | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+$ |
| | B | $pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | C | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+;; pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | D | $bmm^1/+$ |
| | E | $bmm^1/pxt^{f01000}$ |

| | F | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+;;\ bmm^1/+$ |
|---|---|---|
| | G | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+$ |
| | | $pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+;;\ pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | H | $bmm^1/+$ |
| | | $bmm^1/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| | | $pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | I | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+$ |
| | | $bmm^1/+$ |
| | | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+;;bmm^1/+$ |
| Figure 3- supplemental figure 1 | Normal Actin Bundles | $y^1w^1$ |
| | Normal Cortical Actin | $y^1w^1$ |
| | Mild Actin Bundle Defects | * |
| | Mild Cortical Actin Defects | $bmm^1/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| | Moderate Actin Bundle Defects | * |
| | Moderate Cortical Actin Defects | * |
| | Severe Actin Bundle Defects | * |
| | Severe Cortical Actin Defects | $bmm^1/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| Figure 3- supplemental figure 2 | A | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/+$ |
| | | $Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}/Lsd\text{-}2^{KG00149}$ |
| Figure 4 | A | $bmm^1/+$ |
| | | $pxt^{f01000}/+$ |
| | | $bmm^1/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| | B | $y^1w^1$ |
| | | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |
| Figure 4- supplemental figure 1 | A | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |
| | | $pxt^{f01000}/pxt^{f01000}$ |
| | C-D | $Oregon\ R$ |
| Figure 5 | A-H | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |
| Figure 5- supplemental Table 1 | | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |
| Figure 5- supplement figure 1 | A-D | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |

| Figure 6 | A | $y^1w^1$ |
|---|---|---|
| | C | $y^1w^1$ |
| | | $mdyQX25/+$ |
| | D | $y^1w^1$ |
| | | $bmm^1/+$ |
| Figure 7 | A-F''' | $Oregon\ R$ |
| Figure 7- supplemental figure 1 | A-A'''' | $Oregon\ R$ |
| | B-B'''' | $Lsd-2^{KG00149}/Lsd-2^{KG00149}$ |
| | C-C'''' | $bmm^1/bmm^1$ |

**Acknowledgements:** We thank the Dunnwald lab for helpful discussions, and Dr. Martine

Dunnwald, the Tootle lab and the Welte lab for helpful discussions and careful review of the

manuscript. We are grateful to Marcus Kilwein for help with thin layer chromatography. We

thank the Harvard T.H. Chan Advanced Multi-omics Platform at the Harvard T.H. Chan School

of Public Health for performing the lipidomics analysis. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington

Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537) were used in this study. At the University of Iowa,

Information Technology Services – Research Services provided data storage support. This

project is supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01 GM116885 (T.L.T.) and R01

GM102155 (M.A.W), as well as a PumpPrimerII grant from the University of Rochester (M.A.W).

M.S.G. was partially supported by NIH T32 CA078586 Free Radical and Radiation Biology,

University of Iowa.

**References**

(2014). National Public Health Action Plan for the Detection, Prevention, and Management of
Infertility, Atlanta, Georgia: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
Abramoff, M., Magalhaes, P., and Ram, S. (2004). Image processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics
Int. *11*, 36-42.
Accioly, M.T., Pacheco, P., Maya-Monteiro, C.M., Carrossini, N., Robbs, B.K., Oliveira, S.S.,
Kaufmann, C., Morgado-Diaz, J.A., Bozza, P.T., and Viola, J.P. (2008). Lipid bodies are
reservoirs of cyclooxygenase-2 and sites of prostaglandin-E2 synthesis in colon cancer
cells. Cancer Res *68*, 1732-1740.
Akil, M., Amos, R.S., and Stewart, P. (1996). Infertility may sometimes be associated with
NSAID consumption. Br J Rheumatol *35*, 76-78.
Ami, D., Mereghetti, P., Natalello, A., Doglia, S.M., Zanoni, M., Redi, C.A., and Monti, M. (2011).
FTIR spectral signatures of mouse antral oocytes: molecular markers of oocyte maturation
and developmental competence. Biochim Biophys Acta *1813*, 1220-1229.
Arend, A., Masso, R., Masso, M., and Selstam, G. (2004). Electron microscope
immunocytochemical localization of cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 in pseudopregnant rat corpus
luteum during luteolysis. Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat *74*, 1-10.
Barbour, S.E., and Dennis, E.A. (1993). Antisense inhibition of group II phospholipase A2
expression blocks the production of prostaglandin E2 by P388D1 cells. J Biol Chem *268*,
21875-21882.
Beller, M., Bulankina, A.V., Hsiao, H.H., Urlaub, H., Jackle, H., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2010).
PERILIPIN-dependent control of lipid droplet structure and fat storage in Drosophila. Cell
Metab *12*, 521-532.
Bersuker, K., Peterson, C.W.H., To, M., Sahl, S.J., Savikhin, V., Grossman, E.A., Nomura, D.K.,
and Olzmann, J.A. (2018). A Proximity Labeling Strategy Provides Insights into the
Composition and Dynamics of Lipid Droplet Proteomes. Dev Cell *44*, 97-112 e117.
Bi, J., Xiang, Y., Chen, H., Liu, Z., Gronke, S., Kuhnlein, R.P., and Huang, X. (2012). Opposite
and redundant roles of the two Drosophila perilipins in lipid mobilization. J Cell Sci *125*,
3568-3577.
Birukova, A.A., Zagranichnaya, T., Fu, P., Alekseeva, E., Chen, W., Jacobson, J.R., and
Birukov, K.G. (2007). Prostaglandins PGE(2) and PGI(2) promote endothelial barrier
enhancement via PKA- and Epac1/Rap1-dependent Rac activation. Exp Cell Res *313*,
2504-2520.
Bozza, P.T., Bakker-Abreu, I., Navarro-Xavier, R.A., and Bandeira-Melo, C. (2011). Lipid body
function in eicosanoid synthesis: an update. Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids *85*,
205-213.
Bozza, P.T., Magalhaes, K.G., and Weller, P.F. (2009). Leukocyte lipid bodies - Biogenesis and
functions in inflammation. Biochim Biophys Acta *1791*, 540-551.
Brash, A.R. (2001). Arachidonic acid as a bioactive molecule. J Clin Invest *107*, 1339-1345.
Brown, J.B., Boley, N., Eisman, R., May, G.E., Stoiber, M.H., Duff, M.O., Booth, B.W., Wen, J.,
Park, S., Suzuki, A.M., Wan, K.H., Yu, C., Zhang, D., Carlson, J.W., Cherbas, L., Eads,
B.D., Miller, D., Mockaitis, K., Roberts, J., Davis, C.A., Frise, E., Hammonds, A.S., Olson,
S., Shenker, S., Sturgill, D., Samsonova, A.A., Weiszmann, R., Robinson, G., Hernandez,
J., Andrews, J., Bickel, P.J., Carninci, P., Cherbas, P., Gingeras, T.R., Hoskins, R.A.,
Kaufman, T.C., Lai, E.C., Oliver, B., Perrimon, N., Graveley, B.R., and Celniker, S.E. (2014).
Diversity and dynamics of the Drosophila transcriptome. Nature *512*, 393-399.
Brusentsev, E.Y., Mokrousova, V.I., Igonina, T.N., Rozhkova, I.N., and Amstislavsky, S.Y.
(2019). Role of Lipid Droplets in the Development of Oocytes and Preimplantation Embryos
in Mammals. Russian Journal of Developmental Biology *50*, 230-237.

Bulin, C., Albrecht, U., Bode, J.G., Weber, A.A., Schror, K., Levkau, B., and Fischer, J.W.
(2005). Differential effects of vasodilatory prostaglandins on focal adhesions, cytoskeletal
architecture, and migration in human aortic smooth muscle cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc
Biol *25*, 84-89.
Buszczak, M., and Cooley, L. (2000). Eggs to die for: cell death during Drosophila oogenesis.
Cell Death Differ *7*, 1071-1074.
Buszczak, M., Lu, X., Segraves, W.A., Chang, T.Y., and Cooley, L. (2002). Mutations in the
midway gene disrupt a Drosophila acyl coenzyme A: diacylglycerol acyltransferase.
Genetics *160*, 1511-1518.
Cant, K., Knowles, B.A., Mooseker, M.S., and Cooley, L. (1994). Drosophila singed, a fascin
homolog, is required for actin bundle formation during oogenesis and bristle extension. J
Cell Biol *125*, 369-380.
Cardozo, E., Pavone, M.E., and Hirshfeld-Cytron, J.E. (2011). Metabolic syndrome and oocyte
quality. Trends Endocrinol Metab *22*, 103-109.
Carvalho, M., Sampaio, J.L., Palm, W., Brankatschk, M., Eaton, S., and Shevchenko, A. (2012).
Effects of diet and development on the Drosophila lipidome. Mol Syst Biol *8*, 600.
Cermelli, S., Guo, Y., Gross, S.P., and Welte, M.A. (2006). The lipid-droplet proteome reveals
that droplets are a protein-storage depot. Curr Biol *16*, 1783-1795.
Chan, A.P., Kloc, M., Larabell, C.A., LeGros, M., and Etkin, L.D. (2007). The maternally
localized RNA fatvg is required for cortical rotation and germ cell formation. Mech Dev *124*,
350-363.
Chintapalli, V.R., Wang, J., and Dow, J.A. (2007). Using FlyAtlas to identify better Drosophila
melanogaster models of human disease. Nat Genet *39*, 715-720.
Chitraju, C., Mejhert, N., Haas, J.T., Diaz-Ramirez, L.G., Grueter, C.A., Imbriglio, J.E., Pinto, S.,
Koliwad, S.K., Walther, T.C., and Farese, R.V., Jr. (2017). Triglyceride Synthesis by DGAT1
Protects Adipocytes from Lipid-Induced ER Stress during Lipolysis. Cell Metab *26*, 407-418
e403.
Coticchio, G., Dal Canto, M., Mignini Renzini, M., Guglielmo, M.C., Brambillasca, F., Turchi, D.,
Novara, P.V., and Fadini, R. (2015). Oocyte maturation: gamete-somatic cells interactions,
meiotic resumption, cytoskeletal dynamics and cytoplasmic reorganization. Hum Reprod
Update *21*, 427-454.
D'Avila, H., Freire-de-Lima, C.G., Roque, N.R., Teixeira, L., Barja-Fidalgo, C., Silva, A.R., Melo,
R.C., Dosreis, G.A., Castro-Faria-Neto, H.C., and Bozza, P.T. (2011). Host cell lipid bodies
triggered by Trypanosoma cruzi infection and enhanced by the uptake of apoptotic cells are
associated with prostaglandin E(2) generation and increased parasite growth. J Infect Dis
*204*, 951-961.
Dichlberger, A., Schlager, S., Maaninka, K., Schneider, W.J., and Kovanen, P.T. (2014).
Adipose triglyceride lipase regulates eicosanoid production in activated human mast cells. J
Lipid Res *55*, 2471-2478.
Dormond, O., Bezzi, M., Mariotti, A., and Ruegg, C. (2002). Prostaglandin E2 promotes integrin
alpha Vbeta 3-dependent endothelial cell adhesion, rac-activation, and spreading through
cAMP/PKA-dependent signaling. J Biol Chem *277*, 45838-45846.
Dunning, K.R., Akison, L.K., Russell, D.L., Norman, R.J., and Robker, R.L. (2011). Increased
beta-oxidation and improved oocyte developmental competence in response to l-carnitine
during ovarian in vitro follicle development in mice. Biol Reprod *85*, 548-555.
Dunning, K.R., Cashman, K., Russell, D.L., Thompson, J.G., Norman, R.J., and Robker, R.L.
(2010). Beta-oxidation is essential for mouse oocyte developmental competence and early
embryo development. Biol Reprod *83*, 909-918.
Dunning, K.R., Russell, D.L., and Robker, R.L. (2014). Lipids and oocyte developmental
competence: the role of fatty acids and beta-oxidation. Reproduction *148*, R15-27.

Fong, T.H., Wu, C.H., Liao, E.W., Chang, C.Y., Pai, M.H., Chiou, R.J., and Lee, A.W. (2001).
Association of globular beta-actin with intracellular lipid droplets in rat adrenocortical cells
and adipocytes. Biochem Biophys Res Commun *289*, 1168-1174.
Funk, C.D. (2001). Prostaglandins and leukotrienes: advances in eicosanoid biology. Science
*294*, 1871-1875.
Ghosh, M., Stewart, A., Tucker, D.E., Bonventre, J.V., Murphy, R.C., and Leslie, C.C. (2004).
Role of cytosolic phospholipase A(2) in prostaglandin E(2) production by lung fibroblasts.
Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol *30*, 91-100.
Groen, C.M., Spracklen, A.J., Fagan, T.N., and Tootle, T.L. (2012). Drosophila Fascin is a novel
downstream target of prostaglandin signaling during actin remodeling. Mol Biol Cell *23*,
4567-4578.
Gronke, S., Beller, M., Fellert, S., Ramakrishnan, H., Jackle, H., and Kuhnlein, R.P. (2003).
Control of fat storage by a Drosophila PAT domain protein. Curr Biol *13*, 603-606.
Gronke, S., Mildner, A., Fellert, S., Tennagels, N., Petry, S., Muller, G., Jackle, H., and
Kuhnlein, R.P. (2005). Brummer lipase is an evolutionary conserved fat storage regulator in
Drosophila. Cell Metab *1*, 323-330.
Guild, G.M., Connelly, P.S., Shaw, M.K., and Tilney, L.G. (1997). Actin filament cables in
Drosophila nurse cells are composed of modules that slide passively past one another
during dumping. J Cell Biol *138*, 783-797.
Haemmerle, G., Moustafa, T., Woelkart, G., Buttner, S., Schmidt, A., van de Weijer, T.,
Hesselink, M., Jaeger, D., Kienesberger, P.C., Zierler, K., Schreiber, R., Eichmann, T., Kolb,
D., Kotzbeck, P., Schweiger, M., Kumari, M., Eder, S., Schoiswohl, G., Wongsiriroj, N.,
Pollak, N.M., Radner, F.P., Preiss-Landl, K., Kolbe, T., Rulicke, T., Pieske, B., Trauner, M.,
Lass, A., Zimmermann, R., Hoefler, G., Cinti, S., Kershaw, E.E., Schrauwen, P., Madeo, F.,
Mayer, B., and Zechner, R. (2011). ATGL-mediated fat catabolism regulates cardiac
mitochondrial function via PPAR-alpha and PGC-1. Nat Med *17*, 1076-1085.
Hashemi, H.F., and Goodman, J.M. (2015). The life cycle of lipid droplets. Curr Opin Cell Biol
*33*, 119-124.
Huelsmann, S., Ylanne, J., and Brown, N.H. (2013). Filopodia-like actin cables position nuclei in
association with perinuclear actin in Drosophila nurse cells. Developmental cell *26*, 604-615.
Hugenroth, M., and Bohnert, M. (2020). Come a little bit closer! Lipid droplet-ER contact sites
are getting crowded. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res *1867*, 118603.
Jambor, H., Surendranath, V., Kalinka, A.T., Mejstrik, P., Saalfeld, S., and Tomancak, P. (2015).
Systematic imaging reveals features and changing localization of mRNAs in Drosophila
development. Elife *4*.
Johnson, M.R., Stephenson, R.A., Ghaemmaghami, S., and Welte, M.A. (2018).
Developmentally regulated H2Av buffering via dynamic sequestration to lipid droplets in
Drosophila embryos. Elife *7*.
Jungheim, E.S., Schoeller, E.L., Marquard, K.L., Louden, E.D., Schaffer, J.E., and Moley, K.H.
(2010). Diet-induced obesity model: abnormal oocytes and persistent growth abnormalities
in the offspring. Endocrinology *151*, 4039-4046.
Kilwein, M.D., and Welte, M.A. (2019). Lipid droplet motility and organelle contacts. Contact
(Thousand Oaks) *2*.
Knittelfelder, O.L., and Kohlwein, S.D. (2017). Thin-Layer Chromatography to Separate
Phospholipids and Neutral Lipids from Yeast. Cold Spring Harb Protoc *2017*.
LaJeunesse, D.R., Buckner, S.M., Lake, J., Na, C., Pirt, A., and Fromson, K. (2004). Three new
Drosophila markers of intracellular membranes. Biotechniques *36*, 784-788, 790.
Li, Z., Thiel, K., Thul, P.J., Beller, M., Kuhnlein, R.P., and Welte, M.A. (2012). Lipid droplets
control the maternal histone supply of Drosophila embryos. Curr Biol *22*, 2104-2113.

Lim, H., Paria, B.C., Das, S.K., Dinchuk, J.E., Langenbach, R., Trzaskos, J.M., and Dey, S.K.
(1997). Multiple female reproductive failures in cyclooxygenase 2-deficient mice. Cell *91*,
197-208.
Lima, I.V., Bastos, L.F., Limborco-Filho, M., Fiebich, B.L., and de Oliveira, A.C. (2012). Role of
prostaglandins in neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases. Mediators Inflamm
*2012*, 946813.
Liu, L., Zhang, K., Sandoval, H., Yamamoto, S., Jaiswal, M., Sanz, E., Li, Z., Hui, J., Graham,
B.H., Quintana, A., and Bellen, H.J. (2015). Glial lipid droplets and ROS induced by
mitochondrial defects promote neurodegeneration. Cell *160*, 177-190.
Lubojemska, A., Stefana, M.I., Sorge, S., Bailey, A.P., Lampe, L., Yoshimura, A., Burrell, A.,
Collinson, L., and Gould, A.P. (2021). Adipose triglyceride lipase protects renal cell
endocytosis in a Drosophila dietary model of chronic kidney disease. PLoS Biol *19*,
e3001230.
Marei, W.F.A., Smits, A., Mohey-Elsaeed, O., Pintelon, I., Ginneberge, D., Bols, P.E.J.,
Moerloose, K., and Leroy, J. (2020). Differential effects of high fat diet-induced obesity on
oocyte mitochondrial functions in inbred and outbred mice. Sci Rep *10*, 9806.
Meadows, J.W., Eis, A.L., Brockman, D.E., and Myatt, L. (2003). Expression and localization of
prostaglandin E synthase isoforms in human fetal membranes in term and preterm labor. J
Clin Endocrinol Metab *88*, 433-439.
Meadows, J.W., Pitzer, B., Brockman, D.E., and Myatt, L. (2005). Expression and localization of
adipophilin and perilipin in human fetal membranes: association with lipid bodies and
enzymes involved in prostaglandin synthesis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab *90*, 2344-2350.
Miyaura, C., Inada, M., Matsumoto, C., Ohshiba, T., Uozumi, N., Shimizu, T., and Ito, A. (2003).
An essential role of cytosolic phospholipase A2alpha in prostaglandin E2-mediated bone
resorption associated with inflammation. J Exp Med *197*, 1303-1310.
Moreira, L.S., Piva, B., Gentile, L.B., Mesquita-Santos, F.P., D'Avila, H., Maya-Monteiro, C.M.,
Bozza, P.T., Bandeira-Melo, C., and Diaz, B.L. (2009). Cytosolic phospholipase A2-driven
PGE2 synthesis within unsaturated fatty acids-induced lipid bodies of epithelial cells.
Biochim Biophys Acta *1791*, 156-165.
Namgoong, S., and Kim, N.H. (2016). Roles of actin binding proteins in mammalian oocyte
maturation and beyond. Cell Cycle *15*, 1830-1843.
Nguyen, T.B., Louie, S.M., Daniele, J.R., Tran, Q., Dillin, A., Zoncu, R., Nomura, D.K., and
Olzmann, J.A. (2017). DGAT1-Dependent Lipid Droplet Biogenesis Protects Mitochondrial
Function during Starvation-Induced Autophagy. Developmental cell *42*, 9-21 e25.
Okuda, S., Saito, H., and Katsuki, H. (1994). Arachidonic acid: toxic and trophic effects on
cultured hippocampal neurons. Neuroscience *63*, 691-699.
Pall, M., Friden, B.E., and Brannstrom, M. (2001). Induction of delayed follicular rupture in the
human by the selective COX-2 inhibitor rofecoxib: a randomized double-blind study. Hum
Reprod *16*, 1323-1328.
Parisi, M., Li, R., and Oliver, B. (2011). Lipid profiles of female and male Drosophila. BMC Res
Notes *4*, 198.
Parra-Peralbo, E., and Culi, J. (2011). Drosophila lipophorin receptors mediate the uptake of
neutral lipids in oocytes and imaginal disc cells by an endocytosis-independent mechanism.
PLoS Genet *7*, e1001297.
Peppelenbosch, M.P., Tertoolen, L.G., Hage, W.J., and de Laat, S.W. (1993). Epidermal growth
factor-induced actin remodeling is regulated by 5-lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase
products. Cell *74*, 565-575.
Pfisterer, S.G., Gateva, G., Horvath, P., Pirhonen, J., Salo, V.T., Karhinen, L., Varjosalo, M.,
Ryhanen, S.J., Lappalainen, P., and Ikonen, E. (2017). Role for formin-like 1-dependent
acto-myosin assembly in lipid droplet dynamics and lipid storage. Nat Commun *8*, 14858.

Pierce, K.L., Fujino, H., Srinivasan, D., and Regan, J.W. (1999). Activation of FP prostanoid
receptor isoforms leads to Rho-mediated changes in cell morphology and in the cell
cytoskeleton. J Biol Chem *274*, 35944-35949.
Platt, J.L., and Michael, A.F. (1983). Retardation of fading and enhancement of intensity of
immunofluorescence by p-phenylenediamine. The journal of histochemistry and
cytochemistry : official journal of the Histochemistry Society *31*, 840-842.
Pompeia, C., Lima, T., and Curi, R. (2003). Arachidonic acid cytotoxicity: can arachidonic acid
be a physiological mediator of cell death? Cell Biochem Funct *21*, 97-104.
Prates, E.G., Nunes, J.T., and Pereira, R.M. (2014). A role of lipid metabolism during cumulus-
oocyte complex maturation: impact of lipid modulators to improve embryo production.
Mediators Inflamm *2014*, 692067.
Rambold, A.S., Cohen, S., and Lippincott-Schwartz, J. (2015). Fatty acid trafficking in starved
cells: regulation by lipid droplet lipolysis, autophagy, and mitochondrial fusion dynamics.
Dev Cell *32*, 678-692.
Riedel, F., Gillingham, A.K., Rosa-Ferreira, C., Galindo, A., and Munro, S. (2016). An antibody
toolkit for the study of membrane traffic in Drosophila melanogaster. Biol Open *5*, 987-992.
Schlager, S., Goeritzer, M., Jandl, K., Frei, R., Vujic, N., Kolb, D., Strohmaier, H., Dorow, J.,
Eichmann, T.O., Rosenberger, A., Wolfler, A., Lass, A., Kershaw, E.E., Ceglarek, U.,
Dichlberger, A., Heinemann, A., and Kratky, D. (2015). Adipose triglyceride lipase acts on
neutrophil lipid droplets to regulate substrate availability for lipid mediator synthesis. J
Leukoc Biol *98*, 837-850.
Shen, L.R., Lai, C.Q., Feng, X., Parnell, L.D., Wan, J.B., Wang, J.D., Li, D., Ordovas, J.M., and
Kang, J.X. (2010). Drosophila lacks C20 and C22 PUFAs. J Lipid Res *51*, 2985-2992.
Sieber, M.H., and Spradling, A.C. (2015). Steroid Signaling Establishes a Female Metabolic
State and Regulates SREBP to Control Oocyte Lipid Accumulation. Curr Biol *25*, 993-1004.
Sieber, M.H., and Spradling, A.C. (2017). The role of metabolic states in development and
disease. Curr Opin Genet Dev *45*, 58-68.
Smith, G., Roberts, R., Hall, C., and Nuki, G. (1996). Reversible ovulatory failure associated
with the development of luteinized unruptured follicles in women with inflammatory arthritis
taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Br J Rheumatol *35*, 458-462.
Spracklen, A.J., Kelpsch, D.J., Chen, X., Spracklen, C.N., and Tootle, T.L. (2014).
Prostaglandins temporally regulate cytoplasmic actin bundle formation during Drosophila
oogenesis. Mol Biol Cell *25*, 397-411.
Spracklen, A.J., Lamb, M.C., Groen, C.M., and Tootle, T.L. (2019). Pharmaco-Genetic Screen
To Uncover Actin Regulators Targeted by Prostaglandins During Drosophila Oogenesis. G3
(Bethesda) *9*, 3555-3565.
Spracklen, A.J., and Tootle, T.L. (2013). The utility of stage-specific mid-to-late Drosophila
follicle isolation. J Vis Exp, 50493.
Spracklen, A.J., and Tootle, T.L. (2015). Drosophila – a model for studying prostaglandin
signaling. In: Bioactive Lipid Mediators: Current Reviews and Protocols., eds. T. Yokomizo
and M. Murakami: Springer Protocols, 181-197.
Steinhauer, J., Gijon, M.A., Riekhof, W.R., Voelker, D.R., Murphy, R.C., and Treisman, J.E.
(2009). Drosophila lysophospholipid acyltransferases are specifically required for germ cell
development. Mol Biol Cell *20*, 5224-5235.
Stephenson, R.A., Thomalla, J.M., Chen, L., Kolkhof, P., White, R.P., Beller, M., and Welte,
M.A. (2020). Sequestration to lipid droplets promotes histone availability by preventing
turnover of excess histones. Development.
Stephenson, R.A., Thomalla, J.M., Chen, L., Kolkhof, P., White, R.P., Beller, M., and Welte,
M.A. (2021). Sequestration to lipid droplets promotes histone availability by preventing
turnover of excess histones. Development *148*.

Styne-Gross, A., Elkind-Hirsch, K., and Scott, R.T., Jr. (2005). Obesity does not impact
implantation rates or pregnancy outcome in women attempting conception through oocyte
donation. Fertil Steril *83*, 1629-1634.
Sugimoto, Y., Inazumi, T., and Tsuchiya, S. (2015). Roles of prostaglandin receptors in female
reproduction. J Biochem *157*, 73-80.
Suito, T., Nagao, K., Takeuchi, K., Juni, N., Hara, Y., and Umeda, M. (2020). Functional
expression of Delta12 fatty acid desaturase modulates thermoregulatory behaviour in
Drosophila. Sci Rep *10*, 11798.
Sun, H., Gong, T.T., Jiang, Y.T., Zhang, S., Zhao, Y.H., and Wu, Q.J. (2019). Global, regional,
and national prevalence and disability-adjusted life-years for infertility in 195 countries and
territories, 1990-2017: results from a global burden of disease study, 2017. Aging (Albany
NY) *11*, 10952-10991.
Takahashi, T., Igarashi, H., Amita, M., Hara, S., and Kurachi, H. (2010). Roles of Prostaglandins
During Oocyte Maturation: Lessons from Knockout Mice. Journal of Mammalian Ova
Research *27*, 11-20.
Takahashi, T., Morrow, J.D., Wang, H., and Dey, S.K. (2006). Cyclooxygenase-2-derived
prostaglandin E(2) directs oocyte maturation by differentially influencing multiple signaling
pathways. J Biol Chem *281*, 37117-37129.
Tallima, H., and El Ridi, R. (2018). Arachidonic acid: Physiological roles and potential health
benefits - A review. J Adv Res *11*, 33-41.
Tamma, G., Wiesner, B., Furkert, J., Hahm, D., Oksche, A., Schaefer, M., Valenti, G.,
Rosenthal, W., and Klussmann, E. (2003). The prostaglandin E2 analogue sulprostone
antagonizes vasopressin-induced antidiuresis through activation of Rho. J Cell Sci *116*,
3285-3294.
Tan, L., Xin, X., Zhai, L., and Shen, L. (2016). Drosophila Fed ARA and EPA Yields
Eicosanoids, 15S-Hydroxy-5Z,8Z, 11Z, 13E-Eicosatetraenoic Acid, and 15S-Hydroxy-
5Z,8Z,11Z,13E,17Z-Eicosapentaenoic Acid. Lipids *51*, 435-449.
Tan, Y., Jin, Y., Zhao, P., Wu, J., and Ren, Z. (2021). Lipid droplets contribute myogenic
differentiation in C2C12 by promoting the remodeling of the acstin-filament. Cell Death Dis
*12*, 1102.
Teixeira, L., Rabouille, C., Rorth, P., Ephrussi, A., and Vanzo, N.F. (2003). Drosophila
Perilipin/ADRP homologue Lsd2 regulates lipid metabolism. Mech Dev *120*, 1071-1081.
Thibault, S.T., Singer, M.A., Miyazaki, W.Y., Milash, B., Dompe, N.A., Singh, C.M., Buchholz,
R., Demsky, M., Fawcett, R., Francis-Lang, H.L., Ryner, L., Cheung, L.M., Chong, A.,
Erickson, C., Fisher, W.W., Greer, K., Hartouni, S.R., Howie, E., Jakkula, L., Joo, D.,
Killpack, K., Laufer, A., Mazzotta, J., Smith, R.D., Stevens, L.M., Stuber, C., Tan, L.R.,
Ventura, R., Woo, A., Zakrajsek, I., Zhao, L., Chen, F., Swimmer, C., Kopczynski, C., Duyk,
G., Winberg, M.L., and Margolis, J. (2004). A complementary transposon tool kit for
Drosophila melanogaster using P and piggyBac. Nat Genet *36*, 283-287.
Tootle, T.L. (2013). Genetic insights into the in vivo functions of prostaglandin signaling. The
international journal of biochemistry & cell biology *45*, 1629-1632.
Tootle, T.L., and Spradling, A.C. (2008). Drosophila Pxt: a cyclooxygenase-like facilitator of
follicle maturation. Development *135*, 839-847.
Tran, S.L., and Welte, M.A. (2010). In-vivo centrifugation of Drosophila embryos. J Vis Exp.
Tzeng, S.F., Hsiao, H.Y., and Mak, O.T. (2005). Prostaglandins and cyclooxygenases in glial
cells during brain inflammation. Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy *4*, 335-340.
Walther, T.C., and Farese, R.V., Jr. (2012). Lipid droplets and cellular lipid metabolism. Annu
Rev Biochem *81*, 687-714.
Wang, H., Ma, W.G., Tejada, L., Zhang, H., Morrow, J.D., Das, S.K., and Dey, S.K. (2004).
Rescue of female infertility from the loss of cyclooxygenase-2 by compensatory up-

regulation of cyclooxygenase-1 is a function of genetic makeup. J Biol Chem *279*, 10649-
10658.
Wat, L.W., Chao, C., Bartlett, R., Buchanan, J.L., Millington, J.W., Chih, H.J., Chowdhury, Z.S.,
Biswas, P., Huang, V., Shin, L.J., Wang, L.C., Gauthier, M.L., Barone, M.C., Montooth, K.L.,
Welte, M.A., and Rideout, E.J. (2020). A role for triglyceride lipase brummer in the regulation
of sex differences in Drosophila fat storage and breakdown. PLoS Biol *18*, e3000595.
Wattanakumtornkul, S., Damario, M.A., Stevens Hall, S.A., Thornhill, A.R., and Tummon, I.S.
(2003). Body mass index and uterine receptivity in the oocyte donation model. Fertil Steril
*80*, 336-340.
Weller, P.F., and Dvorak, A.M. (1994). Lipid bodies: intracellular sites for eicosanoid formation.
J Allergy Clin Immunol *94*, 1151-1156.
Welte, M.A. (2015). Expanding roles for lipid droplets. Curr Biol *25*, R470-481.
Welte, M.A., Cermelli, S., Griner, J., Viera, A., Guo, Y., Kim, D.H., Gindhart, J.G., and Gross,
S.P. (2005). Regulation of lipid-droplet transport by the perilipin homolog LSD2. Curr Biol
*15*, 1266-1275.
Wheatley, S., Kulkarni, S., and Karess, R. (1995). Drosophila nonmuscle myosin II is required
for rapid cytoplasmic transport during oogenesis and for axial nuclear migration in early
embryos. Development *121*, 1937-1946.
Yen, C.L., Stone, S.J., Koliwad, S., Harris, C., and Farese, R.V., Jr. (2008). Thematic review
series: glycerolipids. DGAT enzymes and triacylglycerol biosynthesis. J Lipid Res *49*, 2283-
2301.
Yoshioka, T., Inoue, H., Kasama, T., Seyama, Y., Nakashima, S., Nozawa, Y., and Hotta, Y.
(1985). Evidence that arachidonic acid is deficient in phosphatidylinositol of Drosophila
heads. J Biochem *98*, 657-662.
Yu, W., Bozza, P.T., Tzizik, D.M., Gray, J.P., Cassara, J., Dvorak, A.M., and Weller, P.F.
(1998). Co-compartmentalization of MAP kinases and cytosolic phospholipase A2 at
cytoplasmic arachidonate-rich lipid bodies. Am J Pathol *152*, 759-769.
Yue, F., Oprescu, S.N., Qiu, J., Gu, L., Zhang, L., Chen, J., Narayanan, N., Deng, M., and
Kuang, S. (2022). Lipid droplet dynamics regulate adult muscle stem cell fate. Cell Rep *38*,
110267.
Zhang, N., Wang, L., Luo, G., Tang, X., Ma, L., Zheng, Y., Liu, S., C, A.P., and Jiang, Z. (2019).
Arachidonic Acid Regulation of Intracellular Signaling Pathways and Target Gene
Expression in Bovine Ovarian Granulosa Cells. Animals (Basel) *9*.
Zhao, X., Wang, W., Yao, Y., Li, X., Huang, X., Wang, Y., Ding, M., and Huang, X. (2022). An
RDH-Plin2 axis modulates lipid droplet size by antagonizing Bmm lipase. EMBO Rep,
e52669.
1326