The emergence of highly fit SARS-CoV-2 variants accelerated by recombination

Michael R. Garvin[1,2+*], Erica T. Prates[1,2+], Jonathon Romero[3], Ashley Cliff [3], Joao Gabriel Felipe

Machado Gazolla[1,2], Monica Pickholz[4,5], Mirko Pavicic[1,2], Daniel Jacobson[1,2,*]

Affiliations:

[1]Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Computational Systems Biology, Biosciences, Oak Ridge, TN; [2]National Virtual

Biotechnology Laboratory, US Department of Energy; [3]The Bredesen Center for Interdisciplinary Research and

Graduate Education, University of Tennessee Knoxville, Knoxville, TN; [4]Departamento de Física, Facultad de

Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina; [5]Instituto de Física de Buenos

Aires (IFIBA), CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

*Correspondence: garvinmr@ornl.gov, jacobsonda@ornl.gov

[+]**Contributed equally**

Key words: SARS-CoV-2; recombination; haplotype; Delta variant; variants of concern;

COVID-19, syncytia

Running title: Highly fit SARS-CoV-2 generated from recombination

Abstract

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has entered an alarming new phase with the emergence of the variants of concern (VOC), P.1, B.1.351, and B.1.1.7, in late 2020, and B.1.427, B.1.429, and B.1.617, in 2021. Substitutions in the spike glycoprotein (S), such as $\text{Asn}^{501}\text{Tyr}$ and $\text{Glu}^{484}\text{Lys}$, are likely key in several VOC. However, $\text{Asn}^{501}\text{Tyr}$ had been circulating for months in earlier strains and $\text{Glu}^{484}\text{Lys}$ is not found in B.1.1.7, indicating that they do not fully explain those fast-spreading variants. Here we use a computational systems biology approach to process more than 900,000 SARS-CoV-2 genomes and map spatiotemporal relationships, revealing other critical attributes of these variants. Comparisons to earlier dominant mutations and protein structural analyses indicate that the increased transmission is promoted by the combination of functionally complementary mutations in S and in other regions of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome. We report that the VOC have in common mutations in non-S proteins involved in immune-antagonism and replication performance, such as the nonstructural proteins 6 and 13, suggesting a convergent evolution of the virus. Critically, we propose that recombination events among divergent coinfecting haplotypes greatly accelerates the emergence of VOC by bringing together cooperative mutations and explaining the remarkably high mutation load of B.1.1.7. Therefore, extensive community distribution of SARS-CoV-2 increases the probability of future recombination events, further accelerating the evolution of the virus. This study reinforces the need for a global response to stop COVID-19 and future pandemics.

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

"Nothing in Biology Makes Sense Except in the Light of Evolution" -Theodosius Dobzhansky

1. Introduction

In late 2020, three SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern, VOC; B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1 (also called Alpha, Beta, and Gamma respectively) rapidly became the predominant source of infections due to enhanced transmission rates and have since been linked to increased hospitalizations and mortalities (Alpert et al. 2021; Challen et al. 2021; Faria et al. 2021; Funk et al. 2021; Sabino et al. 2021; Washington et al. 2021; Davies et al.; Volz et al.). In early 2021, several new VOC appeared including, B.1.427 (Epsilon), B.1.526 (Iota), and B.1.617 (Delta). B.1.617 is of immediate concern because it is responsible for the COVID-19 crisis that recently began in India (Singh et al. 2021), is causing the majority of new infections in the United Kingdom (UK), and the United States (USA), and has now been observed in more than 70 countries worldwide. Notably, several of these VOC have rapidly spread even in regions such as the UK that depend on robust sampling efforts for early detection. There is therefore a critical need to identify accurate predictors and biological causes for the increased transmission of the next VOC, which will inevitably emerge if the viral spread is not globally restrained.

Although extensive efforts are underway to achieve these ends, integrating new findings is critical to unravel the multiple biomolecular and environmental factors influencing viral evolution. Toward a holistic understanding of VOC emergence, two major weaknesses need to be addressed: (1) currently, the mutations used to identify VOC and potentially explain the altered biology of the virus are predominantly focused on the changes observed in the spike glycoprotein (S) whereas those in other genomic regions are largely ignored, and (2) the molecular models used to reconstruct the evolutionary history of the virus employ phylogenetic trees that are useful for species-level but not population-based analyses, which is the case with SARS-CoV-2 (Huson and Bryant 2006; Velasco 2013).

For example, the $Asn^{501}Tyr$ substitution in S is likely key because it increases affinity for the host receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) (Liu et al. 2021), and is often used to identify the late 2020 VOC (Fratev; Luan et al.), but this mutation has been circulating widely at low frequency and only expanded seven months after being first detected. Similarly, the $Glu^{484}Lys$ substitution in S is often discussed in the context of P.1 and B.1.351 VOC and may allow escape from neutralizing antibodies (Starr et al. 2021; Greaney et al.), but is not found in

B.1.1.7 and therefore does not explain the increased transmission of all three late 2020 VOC.

These characteristics suggest that several mutations including those in S are being transmitted as

a linked set, i.e., a haplotype and their combined effects (i.e., epistasis) may be contributing to

the rapid viral spread.

Widely used molecular evolutionary models based on phylogenetic trees are also

problematic because the *algorithms* that are applied assume that mutations appearing in different

SARS-CoV-2 haplotypes are due to repeated, independent mutations and the *scientific*

community is interpreting this as evidence for the same; i.e., the logic is circular. Alternatively,

these apparent repeated mutations may represent recombination, which is a common mechanism

to accelerate evolution compared to single site mutations in positive strand RNA viruses such as

SARS-CoV-2 (Bentley and Evans 2018). Furthermore, phylogenetic trees are unable to

incorporate important molecular events and metadata such as geospatial and temporal data that

would be highly informative for detecting current and future VOC.

In contrast, median-joining networks (MJN) are an efficient and accurate means to

analyze haploid genomic data at the population level (Bandelt et al. 1999) such as SARS-CoV-2,

(Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020). Unlike independently segregating sites represented in phylogenetic

trees, the unit of interest in an MJN is the haplotype, which more accurately reflects the biology

of coronaviruses and enables the detection of important evolutionary events such as

recombination. Furthermore, a network can be annotated with information including frequency,

geospatial location, demographic, or clinical outcomes associated with a unique haplotype to

create interpretable patterns of genome variation.

Here, we processed more than 900,000 SARS-CoV-2 genomes using a computational

workflow that combines MJN and protein structural analysis (Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020; Prates

et al. 2020) to identify critical attributes of these VOC and provide substantial evidence that the

genome-wide mutation load of the late 2020 VOC results from recombination between divergent

strains. Via focused structural analysis and molecular dynamics simulations, we explore the

individual effects of key mutations in S and other proteins of SARS-CoV-2 that are shared

among different VOC. We propose that linked mutations in VOC act cooperatively to enhance

viral spread and our results emphasize the major role of community spread in generating future
VOC (Sheikh et al. 2021).

2. Results and Discussion

Molecular evolution of populations is best represented by a network

The COVID-19 pandemic is both an unprecedented tragedy and an opportunity to study
molecular evolution given the abundant and global sampling of the mutational space of SARS-
CoV-2. The MJN is a valuable method of integrating these data to understand viral evolution
because the model assumes single mutational steps in which each node represents a haplotype
and the edge between nodes is a mutation leading to a new one. Typically, a subsample of extant
haplotypes for a taxon is obtained and unsampled, or extinct lineages are inferred. In contrast,
SARS-CoV-2 sequence data repositories provide extensive sampling of haplotypes and
collection dates (the calendar date of the sample). Given that in an MJN, the temporal
distribution of haplotypes is inherent (the model assumes time-ordered sets of mutations), the
mutational history of the virus can be traced as a genealogy that can incorporate both the relative
and absolute times of emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants. Importantly, when the single-
mutational step MJN model fails, it produces features such as loops or clusters of inferred
haplotypes that can indicate biologically important processes such as recombination events, back
mutations, or repeat mutations at a site that may be under positive selection.

To make a direct comparison, we generated a network and a phylogenetic tree of SARS-
CoV-2 haplotypes that were identified from sequences sampled during the first four months of
the pandemic and deposited into GISAID (A Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Flu Data,
gisaid.org) (Figure 1). Clearly, important metadata such as haplotype frequency, date of
emergence, and mutations of interest are easily displayed on the network but are not on the
phylogenetic tree. Likewise, at day 96, reticulations (i.e., homoplasy loops) begin to appear in
the MJN, indicating reverse mutations to the ancestral states at specific sites or possibly
recombination events that can be explored further. Another important feature identified when
using networks, but is lost when using phylogenetic trees, is the presence of polytomies. So-

called soft polytomies often indicate unsampled genomic information at the species level and
hard polytomies are molecular events often found in rapidly expanding populations. For
example, haplotype H04 in the MJN (Figure 1) represents a hard polytomy and indicates that a
frequent variant is further undergoing multiple independent mutational events, but the
phylogenetic tree is unable to convey this information.

Fig. 1. Comparison of a median-joining network (MJN) and phylogenetic tree generated with SARS-CoV-2 sequences sampled through April 2020. **a**. MJN of SARS-CoV-2 haplotypes, 96, and 120 days. Node sizes in the MJN correspond to sample sizes for a given haplotype and node colors indicate the time of its first report relative to the putative origin of the pandemic in Wuhan. The most abundant haplotypes are named H02 - H05 and numerals 1 - 6 identify important mutations (Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020). Diamond shape nodes denote haplotypes that harbor a 3-bp mutation in the nucleocapsid gene (N) that is highly conserved and directly affects viral replication *in vitro (Tylor et al. 2009; Thorne et al. 2021)*. **b**. The phylogenetic tree is unable to convey the same information. For example, rapidly expanding populations often display polytomies, i.e., single mutations from a common central haplotype. Those events are readily identified on the MJN but difficult to interpret on a tree because they are usually visualized as a multi-pronged fork (outlined in the dashed-line box) rather than a star pattern (compare H04 in (a) and (b)). These true biological processes also cause tree algorithms to perform poorly because they violate their

assumptions, slowing convergence. Additionally, MJN are able to indicate reticulations (i.e., loops) that could
denote recombination, reverse mutations, or other biologically important events whereas the forced bifurcation of
phylogenetic tree algorithms is unable to display these. Reference sequence: NC_045512, Wuhan, December 24,
2019.

Lineage-defining mutations of Variants of Concern

We processed more than 900,000 SARS-CoV-2 genomes from human and mink, built a MJN

network using the 640,211 genomes that survived our quality control workflow, and annotated

with PANGO lineages defined in the GISAID database (Figure 2, *see* Methods). This

genealogy-based approach to molecular evolution identifies the mutations that define a given

haplotype based on the edge between nodes. Here, they define the variants of concern/interest

based on the edge that initiates their corresponding clusters of nodes (Table 1).

This approach also enables the identification of all the common features acquired in

different VOC, which can elucidate the set of molecular features underlying their rapid spread.

For example, the B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1 variants, here referred to as late_2020_VOC, can all

be defined by a triple amino acid deletion in the nonstructural protein 6 (nsp6; $Ser^{106}\_Phe^{108}del$)

as well as $Asn^{501}Tyr$ in S. Notably this latter mutation has received considerable attention

compared to the former (Figure 2a, Table 1), but both are likely key to the biology of these VOC.

The MJN also reveals what appears to be a previous dominant but now rare variant (D.2) in

Australia; an $Ile^{120}Phe$ mutation in nsp2 was followed immediately by S $Ser^{477}Asn$, which seems

to have led to its rapid expansion in April 2020, indicated by increased node size. This

underscores the usefulness of the MJN approach because it is able to convey the sequence of

timing of mutational events and the number of individuals carrying those haplotypes

simultaneously.

In order to account for sampling bias (there are a disproportionate number of sequences

contributed to GISAID by the UK and Australia as well as the high frequency of B.1.1.7 and D.2

in those two geographic locations), we plotted the number of daily samples of selected variants

relative to their respective total number of cases to date (April, 2021) and compared the resulting

slopes of the linear range of the curves (Figure 2c). The late_2020_VOC, early_2021_VOC, and

early_2021_VOI (Table 1) display higher daily accumulation rates, between 1% and 3% of total

observed cases per day, compared to other variants (e.g., B.1.177), which show less than 1%

accumulation per day. Notably, the rapid increase in D.2 (2%) supports the MJN view of this as

a likely VOC. This analysis and the MJN confirm the importance of monitoring these variants

closely and identify both S and non-S mutations that define the current and potential SARS-

CoV-2 VOC (Table 1).

Fig. 2. Median-joining network (MJN) of SARS-CoV-2 genomes. a. MJN of haplotypes found in more than 30
individuals (N=640,211 sequences) using 2,128 variable sites. Colors identify PANGO lineages from GISAID.
Diamond-shaped nodes correspond to haplotypes carrying a three base pair deletion in the nucleocapsid gene (N) at
sites 28881-28883 (Arg[203]Lys, Gly[203]Arg). Black square nodes are inferred haplotypes, dashed-line box defines a
subgroup of haplotypes within a lineage with a disjoint mutation that is also found in B.1.1.7. Several lineages show
introgression from others (e.g., cyan nodes, B.1.160, into brick red, B.1.177). **b.** Several important mutations in S
and non-S proteins appear in multiple lineages. For example, the B.1.1.7 variant carries four mutations that are in

disjoint nodes: S Asn[501]Tyr, S Pro[681]His, a silent mutation in the codon for amino acid 1089 in nsp3, and the S
His[69]_Val[70]del that is also found in a clade of haplotypes from mink (blue dashed-line box in (a)). **c.** Accumulation
rate for common GISAID lineages including VOC represented by the ratio between the accumulated number of
reported sequences of a given lineage per day since the appearance of that haplotype (N_{acc}) divided by the
corresponding total number (N_{tot}) at the final sample date for this study. Colors of curves correspond to node colors
in (a). All VOC display accumulation rates of at least 1% of the total for that variant per day. The remaining are
less than 1% except for the VOI B.1.526 (not displayed in MJN) that is the highest with 3% per day, indicating
further scrutiny of this variant is warranted. We also plotted the accumulation rate for lineages that carry the widely
reported S Asp[614]Gly mutation but without the nsp12 Pro[323]Leu commonly found with it, supporting our previous
hypothesis (Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020) that mutations in S alone are not responsible for the rapid transmission of
these VOC/VOI but is a function of epistasis among S and non-S mutations. Reference sequence: NC_045512,
Wuhan, December 24, 2019.

Table 1. Major lineages shown in the median-joining network and their defining mutations. Center for disease
control (CDC)-defined variants and their timing are listed under *Lineages* and discussed in the text. L-VOC denotes
likely variants of concern, that is, those that we propose to have strong potential to become VOC. Non-VOC (N-
VOC) are not identified by CDC as VOC. Potential epistatic non-S mutations lineage-defining mutations are listed
for the VOC, L-VOC, and VOI. Sites in red font are discussed in the text.

Lineage	Class	Spike Mutation(s)	Likely non-S Epistatic Partner(s)	First major detection
B.1	Early_2020_VOC	D614G	nsp12 P323L	Germany
B.1.1.7 (alpha)	Late_2020_VOC	N501Y, del 69-70, P681H*, T716I,D1118H	nsp6 del 106-108, N L3D, N S235Y	United Kingdom
B.1.351 (beta)	Late_2020_VOC	N501Y, E484K, K417N	nsp6 del 106-108	South Africa
P.1 (gamma)	Late_2020_VOC	N501Y, E484K, K417N	nsp6 del 106-108	Brazil
B.1.427 (epsilon)	Early_2021_VOC	L452R, S13I	nsp13 D260Y	United States, California
B.1.429	Early_2021_VOC	L452R, W152C	nsp13 D260Y	United States, Washington
B.1.617 (delta)	Early_2021_VOC	L452R, E484Q, P681R*	N R203M, ORF7a V82G, ORF3a S26L	India
A.2.5	L-VOC	L452R, del 142-145	nsp1 L4P, nsp3 K839E, nsp4 P308Y	Panama
D.2	L-VOC	S477N	nsp2 I120F	Australia
B.1.160	N-VOC	S477N	na	Denmark
B.1.177	N-VOC	A222V	na	United Kingdom/Denmark
B.1.258	N-VOC	N434K, del 69-70	na	Denmark
B.1.526 (iota)	Early_2021_VOI	L5P, T95I, D253G	nsp6 del 106-108, nsp4 L438P, nsp13 Q88H	United States, New York

* multibasic furin cleavage site

Recombination is the likely source for the rapidly expanding variants

Haploid, clonally replicating organisms such as SARS-CoV-2 are predicted to eventually

become extinct due to the accumulation of numerous slightly deleterious mutations over time,

i.e., Muller's ratchet (Muller 1964). Recombination is not only a rescue from Muller's ratchet, it

can also accelerate evolution by allowing for the union of advantageous mutations from

divergent haplotypes (Bentley and Evans 2018). In SARS-CoV-2, recombination manifests as a

template switch during replication when more than one haplotype is present in the host cell, i.e.

the virus replisome stops processing a first RNA strand and switches to a second one from a

different haplotype, producing a hybrid virus (Simon-Loriere and Holmes 2011). In fact,

template switching is a necessary step during the negative-strand synthesis of SARS-CoV-2
when the replisomes functions as an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and pauses at
transcription-regulatory motifs of the sub-genomic template to add the leader sequence from the
5' end of the genome (this "recombination" is not detected if only a single strain is present, i.e.
there is no variation) (Kim et al. 2020),). Given this and the fact that recombination is a major
mechanism of coronavirus evolution (Boni et al. 2020) it would be improbable for this process
not to occur in the case of multiple strains infecting a cell (Gribble et al. 2021).

The late_2020_VOC exhibits large numbers of new mutations relative to any closely
related sequence indicating rapid evolution of SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 3a). For example, the
original node of B.1.1.7 differs from the most closely related node by 28 mutations. However,
the majority of this total (15) corresponds to deletions that could be considered two single
mutational events, as does a 3-bp change in N (28280-22883) since they occur in factors of three
(a codon), maintaining the coding frame. By summing the two deletions and the full codon
change 3-bp change in N with the 10 remaining single site mutations, a conservative estimate
would be 13 distinct mutational events leading to B.1.1.7. The plot of the accumulating
mutations in the 640,211 haplotypes sampled to date reveals a linear growth of roughly 0.05
mutations per day (Figure 3b) and therefore, given this pace, it would be expected to take 260
days for these 13 mutational events to accumulate in a haplotype. For the B.1.1.7 to appear in
October 2020 as reported, the genealogy would have to have been initiated in January 2020 and
yet the nearest node harboring the S Asn[501]Tyr mutation was not sampled until June 2020 and no
intermediate haplotypes have been identified to date.

Alternatively, it could be that the 13 mutational events occurred between June and
October (122 days), but the probability of this is about one in 10^{15} (Supplemental Methods).
Furthermore, all 28 differences between the Wuhan reference sequence and B.1.1.7 appeared in
earlier haplotypes (Table S1) and therefore, if rapid evolution were the cause, it would require
the extremely unlikely process of 28 independent, repeat mutations to the same nucleotide state.
In order to test this, we plotted the population-level mutations per day (including repeat
mutations at variable sites), which did not reveal any increase in mutation rate at the time of the
B.1.1.7 and in fact displayed a *decrease* with the emergence of the late_2020_VOC (Figure 3c,
Figure S1). Possible explanations are either a large increase in mutations in a small number of

individuals over a short time period (that would have to occur on multiple continents to explain

B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1), or recombination between two or more divergent haplotypes carrying

the VOC mutations (Figure 3d).

Recombination is the most parsimonious explanation given (1) the absence of a

substantial increase in mutation rate at any time prior to the appearance of the VOC, (2) the

widespread and early circulation of the majority of the mutations associated with them in other

haplotypes and, (3) that several mutations appear disjointly across the MJN (Figure 2a). The

first notable disjoint mutation is $Ser^{477}Asn$ in S that defines D.2 along with nsp2 $Ile^{120}Phe$

(Figure 2a), which then appears in B.1.160. Likewise, $Asn^{501}Tyr$ and $Pro^{681}His$ in S appear in

divergent haplotypes, including one mink subgroup from Denmark and a basal node to B.1.351

(without the nsp6 deletion). It could be argued that those in S ($Asn^{501}Tyr$, $Ser^{477}Asn$, and

$Pro^{681}His$) are the result of multiple independent mutation events because they are under positive

selection (Martin et al. 2021), but we also identified a mutation in nsp3 that is one of the lineage-

defining mutations for B.1.1.7 and appears in disjoint nodes, but is unlikely to be under selection

because it is synonymous. It should also be noted that recombination can generate a high

number of false-positives when testing for signs of positive selection (Anisimova et al. 2003),

and the complexity of coronavirus recombinants compared to those generated in diploid

organisms through homologous chromosome crossovers (Figure 3d) makes that process difficult

to detect. Therefore, analyses that test for positive selection based on multiple independent

mutations at a site may, in fact, be false positives that result from recombination events. The

majority of the mutations found in B.1.1.7 could be explained by the admixture and

recombination among lineages and a random scan of 100 FASTQ files from B.1.1.7 available in

the NCBI SRA database identified two co-infected individuals in further support of this

hypothesis (Table S2). Large-scale analyses of these data may enable the detection of

recombinants.

Fig. 3 Mutation rates and genomic mutation load of SARS-CoV-2. a. A rapid increase in the number of mutations per individual genome is evident in the late_2020_VOC. The outliers of the B.1.1.7 lineages (mint green) are a subset of that lineage due to a single, 57 base pair deletion in ORF7a (amino acids 5-23). **b.** Mean mutation load per individual, based on 2,128 high-confidence sites by date. The SARS-CoV-2 virus accumulated an estimated 0.05 mutations per day until the appearance of B.1.1.7, when it increased five-fold. Circles with numbers denote three processes occurring at different timepoints: (1) emergence, (2) haplotype expansion, and (3) recombination of divergent lineages. **c.** A population-level analysis of new mutations per day over the same time period (dark squares) displays a declining rate of mutations with a slight increase around the emergence of D.2 in Australia but not an increase with the emergence of B.1.1.7 that could explain the rapid accumulation of mutations shown in (b) plotted as percent accumulation (unfilled circles). **d**. Recombination in a diploid organism results from the crossover of homologous chromosomes during meiosis. In RNA+ betacoronaviruses, recombination occurs when two or more strains (haplotypes) infect a single cell (1). The replisome dissociates (2) from one strand and switches to another, (3) generating a hybrid recombinant. The resulting chimera (4) can be as simple as a section of *strain A* fused to a section of *strain B* or more complex recombinants if strand switching occurs more than once or there are multiple strains per cell (green section). Reference sequence: NC_045512, Wuhan, December 24, 2019.

Fig. 4. Location of mutation sites of SARS-CoV-2 VOC on the structure of the spike glycoprotein. a. Several mutations associated with dominant haplotypes are located in the receptor-binding domain (RBD, aa. 331-506), N-terminal domain (NTD, aa. 13-305), and subdomains 1 and 2 (SD1/2, aa. 528-685) of S. The structure of S in the prefusion conformation derived from PDB ID 6VSB (Wrapp et al. 2020) and completed *in silico* (Casalino et al. 2020) is shown. Glycosyl chains are not depicted, and the S trimer is truncated at the connecting domain for visual clarity. The secondary structure framework of one protomer is represented and the neighboring protomers are shown as a gray surface. **b.** Mutation sites in the S RBD of SARS-CoV-2 VOC, such as 484, 452, 477, and 501 are located at or near the interface with ACE2. Notably, site 452 and 484 reside in an epitope that is a target of the adaptive immune response in humans (aa. 480-499, in violet) and site 501 is also located near it (B.-Z. Zhang et al. 2020). Dashed lines represent relevant polar interactions discussed here. PDB ID 6M17 was used (R. Yan et al. 2020). **c.** The sites 69 and 70 on the NTD, which are deleted in the VOC B.1.1.7, are also found near an epitope (aa. 21-45, in violet) (B.-Z. Zhang et al. 2020). **d.** Time progression of N---O distances between atoms of Asn[501] in RBD and Lys[353] in human and ferret ACE2 (hACE2 and fACE2, respectively) from the last 500 ns of the simulation runs.

311   Colors in the plots correspond to the distances Lys^{353}_N---Asn^{501}_O (cold colors) and Lys^{353}_O---Asn^{501}_N (warm
312   colors) in three independent simulations of each system. These distances are represented in the upper part of the
313   figure.

The potential functional impact of key mutations in S and non-S proteins

Given the results from the MJN analysis and our previous hypothesis (Garvin, Prates, et al.

2020) that the cooperative effects of mutations in S and non-S proteins (i.e., epistasis) define and

are responsible for the increased transmission of prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants (Lauring and

Hodcroft 2021), we performed protein structural analyses and discuss below the functional

effects of these individual and combined mutations in SARS-CoV-2 VOC. We analyze ten likely

key mutation sites (red font, Table 1) in S and non-S proteins.

S Asn^{501}Tyr - Located in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 S,

immunoprecipitation assays reveal that site 501 plays a major role in the affinity of the virus to

the host receptor, ACE2 (Shang et al. 2020). Via structural analysis and extensive molecular

dynamics simulations, Ali et al. highlighted the importance of the interactions with human ACE2

(hACE2) near the site 501 of the receptor-binding domain of S, particularly via a sustained

hydrogen bond between RBD Asn^{498} and hACE2 Lys^{353} (Ali and Vijayan 2020). Deep

mutational scanning of SARS-CoV-2 RBD reveals that the naturally occurring mutations at site

501, Asn^{501}Tyr and Asn^{501}Thr, lead to an increased affinity to hACE2 (Starr et al.). Additionally,

this site is located near a linear B cell immunodominant site (B.-Z. Zhang et al. 2020), and

therefore the mutation may allow SARS-CoV-2 variants to escape neutralizing antibodies

(Figure 4, Figure 5). Indeed, neutralizing antibodies derived from vaccinations and natural

infection have significantly reduced activity against pseudotyped viruses carrying this mutation

(Wang et al. 2021).

Table 2. Surface exposed residues of ACE2 orthologues forming the region of contact with site 501 of SARS-
CoV-2 S. Relative to the human sequence, almost all these residues are either conserved ("ı") or replaced by a nearly
equivalent amino acid in mouse, American mink, European mink, ferret, and pangolin. Notably, there is a
nonconservative substitution of Gly^{354} to a bulky positively charged amino acid in most species. Our structural
analyses suggests that this substitution contributes to a putative host-dependent selective pressure at site 501 of
SARS-CoV-2 S. Prevalent residues reported at this site are informed in order of frequency.

Species	Residues in ACE2									S 501
	D38	Y41	Q42	L45	K353	G354	D355	R357	I358	
Homo sapiens **(Human)**	D38	Y41	Q42	L45	K353	G354	D355	R357	I358	Y, N
Mus musculus (House mouse)					H					Y, N
Neovison vison (American mink)	E					H				N, T
Mustela lutreola (European mink)	E					R				N, T
Mustela putorius furo (Ferret)	E					R				T, N
Manis pentadactyla (Pangolin)	E					H				N, T

343   Transmission between human and non-human hosts for SARS-CoV-2 provides further
344 information on the evolutionary selectivity of site 501 in S. Repeated infection of mice with
345 human SARS-CoV-2 resulted in the selection of a mouse-adapted strain carrying S Tyr[501] (Gu et
346 al. 2020). It is possible that Asn[501]Tyr results in an additional stabilization of the RBD-ACE2
347 interaction via π-stacking of Tyr[501] with Tyr[41] in ACE2 (Figure 4a-b). In contrast, several
348 introductions into farmed mink (*Neovison vison*), which caused a substantial increase in their
349 mortality (Oude Munnink et al. 2021), have not led to the same selection. To date, reported
350 sequences in GISAID of SARS-CoV-2 in this host carry either S Asn[501], which is prevalent, or S
351 Thr[501], which appeared independently in mink farms (Table S3) (Oude Munnink et al. 2021). In
352 ACE2 of these taxa, Tyr[41] is conserved, but near this site, a larger, positively charged amino
353 acid, His[354], replaces Gly[354]. Table 2 shows that the amino acids in the RBD 501-binding region
354 of the ACE2 orthologues are conserved, except for Gly[354], indicating that this site may play a key
355 role in viral fitness.

Fig. 5. Response to viral infection. a. As part of the innate immune response, (Step 1) the SARS-CoV-2 virus is internalized into endosomes and degraded. (Step 2) viral RNA activates the mitochondrial antiviral innate immunity (MAVS) pathway and (Step 3) degraded proteins activate the toll receptor pathway (TLR3/TLR7), which result in the (Step 4) phosphorylation of TBK1 and translocation of NF-*k*B and IRF3 to the nucleus, where they regulate the transcription of immune genes including interferons (IFNs, Step 5). IFNs recruit CD8+ T cells that, (Step 6) recognize fragments of the virus on the cell surface via their class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC I) receptors and are activated by dendritic cells (antigen processing cells, or APC). If the virus bypasses innate immunity (orange arrows) nonstructural proteins (nsp6 and nsp13) block the IRF3 nuclear translocation. **b.** APCs recruit B lymphocytes and stimulate the production of antibodies that recognize SARS-CoV-2 S (whereas T cells recognize fragments of S bound to MHC I). **c.** The neutralizing antibodies block binding of the virus to the ACE2 receptor and can prevent re-infection but mutations in the receptor-binding domain (RBD), e.g., S Asn[501]Tyr, prevent binding of the antibodies and the virus is then able to bind the receptor again even if individuals experienced exposure to an earlier strain or were vaccinated. *Created with BioRender.com.*

Similarly, ferrets (*Mustela putorius furo*) and pangolins (*Manis pentadactyla)*, relevant

potential reservoirs of SARS-CoV-2, carry a large basic residue at site 354 (an arginine and

histidine, respectively). Sawatzki et al. reported that the constant exposure of ferrets to infected

humans did not result in natural transmission in a domestic setting, suggesting that ferret

infection may require improved viral fitness (Sawatzki et al. 2021). In agreement with that,

Richard et al. (Richard et al. 2020) reported that the adaptive substitution Asn[501]Thr was detected

in all experimentally infected ferrets in the laboratory. In order to further investigate the role of

the Gly[354] versus Arg[354] in the adaptive mutation of site 501 in S RBD, we performed extensive

molecular dynamics simulations of the truncated complexes of Asn^{501}-carrying RBD of SARS-

CoV-2 and ACE2, from human (hACE2) and ferret (fACE2). The simulations indicate that there

is a remarkable difference in the interaction pattern between the two systems in the region

surrounding site 501 of RBD. Firstly, we identified the main ACE2 contacts with Asn^{501}, which

were the same for both species, namely, Tyr^{41}, Lys^{353}, and Asp^{355}, and we also show that the

intensity of these contacts is lower in the simulations of fACE2 (Table S4).

To investigate further, we analyzed structural features in the interaction between ACE2

Lys^{353} and RBD Asn^{501}. Distances between polar atoms computed from the simulations indicate

a weaker electrostatic interaction between this pair of residues in ferret compared to human

(Figure 4d). This effect is accompanied by a conformational change of fACE2 Lys^{353}. Figure S2

shows that, in ferret, the side chain of Lys^{353} exhibits more stretched conformations, i.e., a higher

population of the *trans* mode of the dihedral angle formed by the side chain carbon atoms. This

conformational difference could be partially attributed to the electrostatic repulsion between the

two consecutive bulky positively charged amino acids in ferrets, Lys^{353} and Arg^{354}. Additionally,

the simulations suggest a correlation, in a competitive manner, between other interactions that

these residues display with the RBD. For example, Figure S3 shows that the salt bridge

fACE2_Arg^{354}---RBD_Asp^{405} and the HB interaction fACE2_Lys^{353}---RBD_Tyr^{495} (backbone)

alternate in the simulations. This also suggests that the salt bridge formed by fACE2 Arg^{354} drags

Lys^{353} apart from RBD Asn^{501}, weakening the interaction between this pair of residues in ferrets

relative to humans.

Altogether, these analyses indicate that site 354 in ACE2 significantly influences the

interactions with RBD in the region of site 501 and is likely playing a major role in the

selectivity of the size and chemical properties of this residue in SARS-CoV-2. We propose that,

in contrast to Tyr^{501}, a smaller HB-interacting amino acid at site 501 of RBD, such as the

threonine reported in farmed mink and ferrets, may ease the interactions on the region, e.g., the

salt bridge between fACE2 Arg^{354} and RBD Asp^{405}. The differences in the region of ACE2 in

contact with site 501 seem to have a key role for host adaptation and are worth further

investigation as it may also reveal details of the origin of this zoonotic pandemic.

S His^{69}_Val^{70} deletion - The His^{69}_Val^{70} deletion (in B.1.1.7) is adjacent to a linear epitope at the

N-terminal domain of S (Figure 4a,c) (B.-Z. Zhang et al. 2020), suggesting it too may improve

fitness by reducing host antibody effectiveness.

S Leu^{452}Arg - The Leu^{452}Arg mutation in S is a core change in the early_2021_VOC (Table 1,

Figure 4a-b). Although Leu^{452} does not interact directly with ACE2, this mutation was shown to

moderately increase infectivity in cell cultures and lung organoids using Leu^{452}Arg-carrying

pseudovirus (Deng et al. 2021). It is possible that the substitution of the leucine, hydrophobic, to

arginine, a positively charged residue, creates a direct binding site with ACE2 via the

electrostatic interaction with Glu^{35}. However, in Starr et al., experiments with the isolated RBD

expressed on the cell surface of yeast show that this mutation is associated with enhanced

structural stability of RBD, while it only slightly improves ACE2-binding (Starr et al.). An

alternative but not mutually exclusive hypothesis is that it causes a local conformational change

that impacts the complex dynamic interchange between interactions of RBD with the spike

trimer itself and with the host receptor. Noteworthy, site 452 resides in a significant

conformational epitope in RBD and Leu^{452}Arg was shown to decrease binding to neutralizing

antibodies (Figure 4b) (Deng et al. 2021; Li et al. 2021).

As noted in Deng et al., S Leu^{425}Arg has been reported in rare variants starting in March 2020

from Denmark, i.e., several months before the surge of the VOC that carry this mutation

(B.1.427, B.1.429, and B.1.617) (Deng et al. 2021). This indicates that the high transmissibility

of the early_2021_VOC is not fully explained by the increased infectivity caused by Leu^{425}Arg

and combined mutations may be essential for the rapid spread. Besides the other mutations in the

spike in these VOC, the substitution Asp^{260}Tyr in the SARS-CoV-2 helicase (nsp13, below) is

especially interesting, as it was identified in the MJN analysis as a defining mutation of both

B.1.427 and B.1.429 variants.

S Ser^{477}Asn - Variants carrying the S Ser^{477}Asn mutation spread rapidly in Australia (Figure 1,

Figure 3b). This site, located at the loop β4-5 of the RBD, is predicted not to establish persistent

interactions with ACE2 (Ali and Vijayan 2020). However, deep scanning shows that this

mutation is associated with a slight enhancement of ACE2-binding. Molecular dynamics

simulations suggest that Ser^{477}Asn affects the local flexibility of the RBD at the ACE2-binding

interface, which could be underlying the highest binding affinity with ACE2 reported from

potential mean force calculations (Singh et al.). Additionally, this site is located near an epitope

and may alter antibody recognition and counteract the host immune response (Figure 4b).

S Glu^{484}Lys - A recent computational study suggests that Glu^{484} exhibits only intermittent

interactions with Lys^{31} in ACE2 (Ali and Vijayan 2020). Deep scanning shows that this mutation

is associated with higher affinity to ACE2 (Starr et al.) and may be explained by its proximity to

Glu^{75} in ACE2, which would form a salt bridge with Lys^{484}. Aside from the potential impact of

Glu^{484}Lys between virus-host cell interaction, this site is part of a linear B cell immunodominant

site (B.-Z. Zhang et al. 2020) and this mutation was shown to impair antibody neutralization

(Wang et al. 2021).

S Pro^{681}Arg and Pro^{681}His - These mutations in the multibasic furin cleavage site are particularly

relevant given the importance of this region for cell-cell fusion (Hoffmann et al. 2020; Papa et

al.). The presence of the multibasic motif of SARS-CoV-2 has shown to be essential to the

formation of syncytia (i.e., multinucleate fused cells), and thus it is thought to be a key factor

underlying pathogenicity and virulence differences between SARS-CoV-2 and other related

betacoronaviruses. Hoffmann et al. recognized the importance of the furin cleavage site in

SARS-CoV-2 and its biochemically basic signature and generated mutants to determine the

effects of specific amino acids. Notably, they showed that pseudotyped virion particles bearing

mutant SARS-CoV-2 S with additional basic residues in this region, including the substitution

Pro^{681}Arg (present in B.1.617), exhibits a remarkable increase in syncytium formation in lung

cells *in vitro* (Hoffmann et al. 2020), which may explain the increased severity of the disease

(Sheikh et al. 2021). Hoffman et al did not include a Pro^{681}His change that is a defining mutation

of B.1.1.7, and so it is not known if this too increases syncytium formation given that it is a basic

amino acid, but should be the target of future studies.

Nucleocapsid Arg^{203}Met - The main function of the nucleocapsid (N) protein in SARS-CoV-2 is

to act as a scaffold for the viral genome and it is also the most antigenic protein produced by the

virus (Dutta et al. 2020). In a previous study, we reported that the Ser-Arg-rich motif of this

protein (a.a. 183-206), shown *in vitro* to be necessary for viral replication (Tylor et al. 2009;

Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020), displays a high number of amino acid changes during the COVID-

19 pandemic and is likely under positive selection. We propose that the RNA gene segment

coding this particular subsequence may be linked to improved fitness of specific SARS-CoV-2

haplotypes including the rapidly spreading Delta variant and is linked to epigenetic alterations

(Figure 6a).

A recent deep transcriptome sequencing study used Oxford Nanopore[TM] technology to

detect epigenetic modifications at 41 sites in the RNA genome that are associated with leader

sequence addition to sub-genomic RNA transcripts, a recombination-like process of SARS-CoV-

2 (Kim et al. 2020). Nanopore instruments can detect epigenetic modifications based on

disruptions of the electrical current as the RNA molecule passes through the molecular pore

(Rand et al. 2017; Simpson et al. 2017), which Kim et al propose is responsible for the pause that

occurs before leader sequence addition. Twenty-five of the 41 modified sites reside in the N gene

and the majority of the sites in this subset are found near the Ser-Arg-rich motif (Figure 6a).

Furthermore, one specific epigenetic site is linked to two highly successful SARS-CoV-2

haplotypes. The first is a triple mutation at sites 28881-28883 (GGG to AAC, $Arg^{203}Lys$) that is

now found in nearly half of all sequences sampled across the globe (diamond nodes, Figure 1)

and the second is $Arg^{203}Met$, which is a defining mutation for the rapidly spreading B.1.617.

Notably, this region of the genome is highly conserved across several hundred years of

coronavirus evolution (Boni et al. 2020) (Figure 6a). Given that these epigenetic sites were

discovered because the RNA pauses as it passes across the pore of the molecular nanopore

sequencer, one interesting hypothesis is that mutations at this region remove the epigenetic

modification and speed the SARS-CoV-2 genome through the replisome (Figure 6b), increasing

the production of virions, which is consistent with the more than 1000-fold higher virion count in

those infected with B.1.617 (Lu et al.).

Fig. 6. Modifications at the Ser-Arg-rich region of N may affect replication speed. a. Location of 41 epigenetic sites reported in Kim et al. 2020 (red bars on SARS-CoV-2 genome). One of the sites in the nucleocapsid gene (nucleotides in red box of aligned sequences) is highly conserved across diverse host-defined coronaviruses. All bats and human coronavirus species from China are completely conserved at the epigenetic site 28881-28883, except for a 3-bp mutation in SARS-CoV-2 that occurred early in the pandemic and now corresponds to ~50% of all sequences globally (diamond nodes in Figure 1). **b.** Kim et al. proposed that N^6-methyladeonsine modification of the genome (purple hexagons), common in RNA viruses, caused the strand to pause while traversing the nanopore sequencing apparatus. We propose that loss of this site via mutations at site 203 in N may increase the replication rate of the RNA strand through the SARS-CoV-2 replisome. *Aselliscus stoliczkanus* - Stoliczka's trident bat, *Chaerephon plicata* - wrinkle-lipped free-tailed bat, *Rhinolophus pusillus* - least horseshoe bat, *R. pearsoni* - Pearson's horseshoe bat*, R. macrotis* - big-eared horseshoe bat*, R. ferrumequinum* - greater horseshoe bat*, R. monoceros* - Formosan lesser horseshoe bat*, R. affinis* intermediate horseshoe bat*, R. sinicus* Chinese rufous horseshoe bat, *R. mayalanis* - Mayalan horseshoe bat, *SARS* - Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, *Manis javanica* - Malayan pangolin. *Created with BioRender.com.*

nsp2 Ile^{120}Phe - The main role of the nonstructural protein 2 (nsp2) in viral performance is not yet defined. Instead, this protein appears to be part of multiple interactions with host proteins involved in a range of processes including the regulation of mitochondrial respiratory function, endosomal transport, and ribosome biogenesis (Verba et al. 2021). Very recently, deep learning-based methods of structure prediction and cryo-electron microscopy density were combined to provide the atomic model of nsp2 (PDB id 7MSW). In a preprint from Verba et al., structural information was used to localize the surfaces that are key for protein-protein interaction with nsp2 (Verba et al. 2021). From structural analysis and mass spectrometry experiments, the

authors pose the interesting hypothesis that nsp2 interacts directly with ribosomal RNA via a

highly conserved zinc ribbon motif to bring ribosomes close to the replication-transcription

complexes.

Here we are particularly interested in the functional impact of the mutation Ile[120]Phe in nsp2

present in the D.2 variant. Site 120, identified in the nsp2 structure on Figure 7a, is a point of

hydrophobic contact between a small helix, rich in positively charged residues, and a zinc

binding site. The positively charged surface of the helix may be especially relevant for a putative

interaction with the phosphate groups from ribosomal RNA. Normal mode analysis from

DynaMut2 predicts that the substitution has a destabilizing effect in the protein structure

(estimated $\Delta\Delta G^{stability}$ = -2 kcal/mol) (Rodrigues et al. 2021). Possibly, this could be caused by π-

π stacking interactions of the tyrosine with aromatic residues in the same helix that would disrupt

the contacts anchoring it to the protein core (Figure 7b). Additionally, site 120 is spatially close

to Glu[63] and Glu[66], which were shown to be relevant for interactions with the endosomal/actin

machinery via affinity purification mass spectrometry in HEK293T cells. Remarkably, upon

mutation of these glutamates to lysines, there is increased interactions with proteins involved in

ribosome biogenesis (Verba et al. 2021).

Fig. 7. Location of mutations of prevalent SARS-CoV-2 variants on the structure of the nonstructural proteins nsp2, nsp6, and nsp13. a. Site 120 in nsp2 is located in a small helix near a zinc-binding site and residues Glu[63] and Glu[66], which play a role in the interaction with proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis and in the endosomal/actin machinery (Verba et al. 2021). PDB id 7MSW was used. **b.** Ile[120] forms some of the hydrophobic contacts that anchor the helix at the surface of nsp2, where this site resides, to the protein core. **c.** Nsp6 participates in generating double-membrane vesicles (DMV) for viral genome replication. Natural selection for the biological traits of viral entry and replication may explain the increased transmission of variants with adaptive mutations in both S and nsp6. DMVs isolate the viral genome from host cell attack to provide for efficient genome and sub-genome replication and generate virions. **d.** Sites 106-108 are predicted to be located at/near the protein region of nsp6 embedded in the endoplasmic reticulum lumen (structure generated by AlphaFold2 (Jumper et al.)). **e.** Nsp13 is the SARS-CoV-2 helicase, and it is part of the replication complex. **f.** Asp[260] in nsp13 is mutated to tyrosine in B.1.427 and B.1.429 and it is located at the entrance of the NTP-binding site. PDB id 6XEZ was used (Chen et al. 2020).

nsp6 Ser[106]_Phe[108]deletion - The nsp6 protein plays critical roles in viral replication and

suppression of the host immune response (Figure 5a and Figure 7c) (Gupta et al. 2020). Along

with nsp3 and nsp4, nsp6 is responsible for producing double-membrane vesicles from the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER) to protect the viral RNA from host attack and increase replication

efficiency (Figure 7c) (Santerre et al. 2020). The nsp6 Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del is predicted to be located

at a loop in the interface between a transmembrane helix and the ER lumen based on a

preliminary structural analysis of the model generated by the AlphaFold2 system (Figure 7d),

and we hypothesize that the deletion may affect functional interactions of nsp6 with other

proteins. In addition, in agreement with the enhanced suppression of innate immune response

reported for B.1.1.7 (Thorne et al. 2021), changes in immune-antagonists, such as nsp6

Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del, may be key to prolonged viral shedding (Calistri et al. 2021).

nsp13 Asp^{260}Tyr - The nonstructural protein 13 is a component of the viral replication-

transcription complex, (nsp13; or SARS-CoV-2 helicase) and plays an essential role in

unwinding the duplex oligonucleotides into single strands in a NTP-dependent manner (L. Yan et

al. 2020). Hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry demonstrates that the helicase and

NTPase activities of SARS-CoV nsp13 are highly coordinated, and mutations at the NTPase

active site impair both ATP hydrolysis and the unwinding process (Jia et al. 2019). Here we note

that the substitution Asp^{260}Tyr, present in B.1.427 and B.1.429, is located at the entrance of the

NTPase active site and may favor π-π stacking interactions with nucleobases (Figure 7e-f). Given

that, at high ATP concentrations, SARS-CoV nsp13 exhibits increased helicase activity on

duplex RNA (Jang et al. 2020), it is possible that, similarly, the putative optimization on NPT

uptake in nsp13 Asp^{260}Tyr favors RNA unwinding.

Additionally, nsp13 was shown to play an important role as an innate immune antagonist

(Figure 5a). It contributes to the inhibition of the type I interferon response by directly binding to

TBK1 and, with that, it impedes IRF3 phosphorylation (Guo et al. 2021). The dual role of nsp6

and nsp13 in immune suppression and viral replication may suggest a convergent evolution of

SARS-CoV-2 manifested in most of the VOC, which carries either nsp6 Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del or

nsp13 Asp^{260}Tyr.

3. Concluding Remarks

From our thorough analysis of the spatiotemporal relationships of SARS-CoV-2 variants, we

propose that the rapid increase of mutations in the late 2020 VOC is likely a consequence of the

recombination of haplotypes carrying adaptive mutations in S and in non-S proteins that act

cooperatively to enhance viral fitness. For example, as indicative of that, we call attention to five

mutations that occur independently in disjoint clusters of our MJN, four of which   (S Asn^{501}Tyr,

S His^{69}_Val^{70}del, S Phe^{681}His/Arg, and nsp6 Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del) are shared by different VOC,

including B.1.1.7. Notably, S His^{69}_Val^{70}del appeared in human and mink populations

simultaneously in August 2020, prior to the emergence of B.1.1.7, indicating that mink should be

further investigated as a possible component of a recombination event. In turn, our molecular

dynamics simulations indicate that the molecular forces at site 501 in S and how they are altered

upon mutation (S Asn^{501}Tyr in B.1.1.7) are a key component to describe the history of

transmission among other putative zoonotic reservoirs, such as farmed minks, ferrets, and

pangolins.

The S Asp^{614}Gly mutation has been shown to increase infectivity and is now predominant

in the circulating virus (L. Zhang et al. 2020), and S Asn^{501}Tyr is associated with higher

virulence (Gu et al. 2020). We show that the expansion of the strains carrying these mutations

only occurred upon the additional substitutions in nsp12 Leu^{323}Pro (Figure 2b) (Garvin, Prates, et

al. 2020) and nsp6 Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del, respectively. A hypothesis consistent with these

observations is that the changes in S enhance viral entry into the host cells but they do not easily

transmit due to rapid suppression by a robust innate immune response. A secondary mutation is

able to counteract the immune-driven suppression. In the case of S Asp^{614}Gly, the nsp12

Leu^{323}Pro may have increased the replication rate of the virus, which was supported by

quantitative PCR from clinical samples with different viral strains in Korber et al. (Garvin,

Prates, et al. 2020; Korber et al. 2020). However, the separate effects of S Asp^{614}Gly and nsp12

Leu^{323}Pro could not be described in the referred study because it did not include individuals

infected with variants harboring only one of the mutations.

For the late 2020 VOC, nsp6 Ser^{106}_Phe^{108}del may affect viral replication in DMVs or

suppress the interferon-driven antiviral response (Xia et al. 2020). It is likely that other mutations

also enhance viral mechanisms that impair the host immune response. For example, Thorne et al.

recently showed that the B.1.1.7 VOC suppresses the innate immune response by host cells *in*

vitro and attributed it to the increased transcription of the *orf9b* gene, nested within the gene

coding the nucleocapsid protein. (Thorne et al. 2021), although they could not rule out the

possibility that this was due to nsp6 $Ser^{106}\_Phe^{108}del$.

Via focused protein structural analysis, we identify other mutations shared among

different VOC that reside in key locations of proteins involved in viral replication and/or in

suppressing the innate immune suppression, such as nsp13, suggesting a convergent evolution of

SARS-CoV-2. This emphasizes the importance of tracking mutations in a genome-wide manner

as a strategy to avoid the emergence of future VOC. For example, an earlier dominant variant in

Australia (D.2) that carried the mutations $Ser^{477}Asn$ in S and $Ile^{120}Phe$ in nsp6 was successfully

restrained. However, we note that variants harboring only the S $Ser^{477}Asn$ substitution are

currently circulating in several European countries (Figure 2, Table S5) and may only need to

recombine with a variant carrying an advantageous complementary mutation to become the next

VOC.

A second and equally significant outcome from recombination-driven haplotypes is the

generation of variants that allow escape from neutralizing antibodies produced by an adaptive

immune response (Garvin, T Prates, et al. 2020) (Figure 5c). As a case in point, the resurgence of

COVID-19 in Manaus, Brazil, in January 2021, where seroprevalence was above 75% in October

2020, is due to immune escape of new SARS-CoV-2 lineages (Sabino et al. 2021). Broad disease

prevalence and community spread of COVID-19 increase the probability that divergent

haplotypes may come in contact, thereby dramatically accelerating the evolution and

transmission of the virus. This emphasizes that regions with low sequence surveillance can be

viral breeding grounds for the next SARS-CoV-2 VOC.

4. Methods

Sequence data pre-processing

We downloaded SARS-CoV-2 sequences in FASTA format and corresponding metadata from

GISAID and processed as we have reported previously (Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020; Prates et al.

2021). To ensure that deletions were accounted for, full genome sequences were aligned with

MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2002) to the established reference genome (accession NC_045512),

uploaded into CLC Genomics Workbench, and trimmed to the start and stop codons (nsp1 start

site and ORF10 stop codon). Aligned sequences in tab-delimited format were imported into R to

count the number of variable accessions at each of the 29,409 sites.

Variable sites were determined with all sequences downloaded up through the end of

January, 2021. In order to reduce false-positive mutation sites (those that were due to technical

error), we selected sites that were variable in 25 or more individuals (0.01%) compared to the

reference (all 25 were required to be the same state: A, G, T, C, or -). We further pruned these

by removing sites in which 20% or more of the accessions harbored an unknown character state

("N"), leaving 2,128 variable sites for downstream analyses. After removing sequences with an

"N" at any of these sites, we retained 280,409 individuals. Prior to submission, we updated the

number of sequences through April 19, 2021, keeping the same 2128 variable sites, which

allowed us to capture the most up-to date metadata and produced 640,211 for analysis. We kept

haplotypes that occurred in more than 35 individuals to remove rare or artifact-derived

haplotypes. For the comparison of median-joining networks and phylogenetic trees, we used

sequences from the pandemic sampled through the end of April 2020. We used variable sites

found in more than ten individuals and haplotypes found in five or more individuals as we had in

previous work (Garvin, Prates, et al. 2020). This produced 410 unique haplotypes based on 467

variable sites.

Median-joining network (MJN)

Haplotypes were coded in NEXUS format and uploaded to PopArt (Leigh and Bryant 2015). An

MJN was produced with the epsilon parameter set to 0. The networks were exported as a table

and visualized in Cytoscape (Shannon et al. 2003) with corresponding metadata. The date of

emergence of each haplotype was defined by the sample date subtracted from the report date for

the Wuhan reference sequence (December 24, 2019) and then one day was added to remove

zeros. For samples that only reported the month but no day, we recorded the day as the 15th of

that month. We excluded samples with no sampling date.

Phylogenetic tree

We used the program MrBayes to generate a phylogenetic tree (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck

2003). Parameters were set to *Nucmodel=4by4*, *Nst=6*, *Code=Universal*, and *Rates=Invgamma*.

We performed 5,000,000 mcmc generations, which produced a stable standard deviation of split

frequencies of 0.014. A consensus tree was generated using the 50% majority rule and visualized

using FigTree v1.4.4 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/)

Estimation of genome mutation load

We estimated the mutation load using two data sets. First, we used the 640,211 sequences based

on 2,128 variable sites used for the MJN because these represent high-confidence mutations. For

each of the 640,211 accessions, we counted the number of differences of the 2,128 variable sites

compared to the reference genome (accession NC_045512) and recorded the day of emergence.

The mutational load for all accessions for a given day was then averaged and this was plotted

across time. For the second estimate of mutation rate, we used all variable sites across the full

genome (29,409 sites) to include rare variants and removed all sequences with at least one

ambiguous site, leaving 584,119 accessions.

For the population-level estimate of mutation accumulation, we applied the filters used to

identify the 2,128 variable sites that were used for the MJN for all sequences up through April

19, 2021. We did not include new mutations because the B.1.1.7 VOC and its downstream

haplotypes had become the predominant variants globally at that time and, consequently, much

early information of the molecular evolution is lost when applying frequency filters on the entire

GISAID database. This is exacerbated with the MJN approach because the software algorithm

used to generate the network is computationally intractable with greater than 1,000 haplotypes

and therefore future efforts will either need to ignore early molecular events or use new methods

that can handle the large datasets and any recombination events that occur (an alternative

approach would be to now use the Alpha or Delta variant as the reference sequence because they

are now the predominant strains globally).

For calculations of population-level mutation accumulation, it is possible (and necessary)

to include all sequences to determine if mutation or recombination are the cause of the high

mutation load seen in the late 2020 VOC. After applying the frequency and haplotype filters, we

retained 5,011 variable sites that define 12,282 unique haplotypes for further analysis. Mutations

to five possible states (A, G, T, C, and -) were counted at each site on the first date that they

appeared and their appearance at later dates were excluded. Multiple mutations at a site to

different states were counted with this method.

For lineage-specific mutation curves, we extracted all sequences based on their PANGO

lineage listed in the metadata from GISAID that also had a sample data and plotted the

cumulative number over time, where time is represented by days from first appearance. To

estimate the rate of accumulation, we calculated the slope for the linear portion of each of the

curves.

Probability of mutation accumulation

To calculate the chance of accumulating several mutations in a certain period, the probability

density function for a normal distribution is used:

$PDF(x) = exp(-(x - \mu)^2/2\sigma^2)/sqrt(2\,\pi * \sigma^2),$

where μ is the expected number of mutations for that date, x is the measured value, and σ is the

standard deviation of error calculated from the data shown in Fig. 1b, considering the difference

between the actual and predicted number of mutations. The expected value of mutations μ for a

given time period is computed from the estimated rate of mutations per day (Figure 3, 0.05). c.

The period of interest to our discussion (June-October 2020) corresponds to 122 days, for which,

the integral of PDF(x=13) gives the probability of $1*10^{-15}$ to accumulate 13 mutational events.

Screen for coinfected individuals with UK B.1.1.7

We extracted 25 samples from the Sequence Read Archive at NCBI for each of the months of

October, November, December, and January listed as variant B.1.1.7 from the UK (Table S2) for

a total of 100 samples to check for coinfection. The reads were mapped to the NC_045512

Wuhan reference using CLC Genomics Workbench using the default parameters except for

length fraction and similarity fraction were set to 0.9. Three sites specific to UK B.1.1.7 were

analyzed for possible heterozygosity. Of the 100 we sampled, two appeared to be cases of

coinfection. This supports the hypothesis that the large expansion in overall mutations seen in
UK B.1.1.7 are likely due to recombination. In addition, it also supports the case that coinfection
is occurring at a baseline sufficient to allow for occasional recombination.

Protein structure analysis

VMD was used to visualize the protein structures and analyze the potential functional effects of
mutations (Humphrey et al. 1996). Figure 3 was created using Inkscape (https://inskape.org/)
and Gimp 2.8 (https://www.gimp.org) (Anon).

Molecular dynamics simulations

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were used to study interactions between SARS-CoV-2
RBD and ACE2 from ferret and human. Three independent extensive MD simulations were
performed for each species using GROMACS 2020 package (Lindahl et al. 2020) and the
CHARMM36 force field for protein and glycans (Guvench et al. 2011; Huang and MacKerell
2013). Each simulation ran up to 800 ns, being the last 500 ns used for analysis. PDB id 6M17
was used to build the ACE2-RBD complexes. Given the high sequence identity between human
and ferret ACE2 (83%), we performed local modeling of the non-conserved amino acid residues
in ferret ACE2 using the human homolog as the template, via RosettaRemodel (Huang et al.
2011).

The inputs for simulations were generated using CHARMM-GUI (Jo et al. 2008).
Counterions were added for electroneutrality (0.1 M NaCl). The complexes were surrounded by
TIP3P water molecules to form a layer of at least 10 Å relative to the box borders (Jorgensen et
al. 1983). Simulations were performed using the NPT ensemble. The temperature was
maintained at 310 K with the Nosé–Hoover thermostat using a time constant of 1.0 ps (Evans
and Holian 1985). The pressure was maintained at 1 bar with the isotropic Parrinello–Rahman
barostat using a compressibility of 4.5×10^{-5} bar^{-1} and a time constant of 1.0 ps in a rectangular
simulation box (Parrinello and Rahman 1981). The particle mesh Ewald method was used for the

treatment of periodic electrostatic interactions with a cutoff distance of 1.2 nm (Darden et al.

1993). The Lennard–Jones potential was smoothed over the cutoff range of 1.0–1.2 nm by using

the force-based switching function. Only atoms in the Verlet pair list with a cutoff range

reassigned every 20 steps were considered. The LINCS algorithm was used to constrain all

bonds involving hydrogen atoms to allow the use of a 2 fs time step (Hess et al. 1997). The

suggested protocol for nonbonded interactions with the CHARMM36 force field when used in

the GROMACS suite was followed.

The Hbonds plugin in VMD was used to identify hydrogen bond interactions along the

simulations (Humphrey et al. 1996). The geometric criteria adopted are a cutoff of 3.5 Å for

donor-acceptor distance and 30° for acceptor-donor-H angle. The Timeline plugin was used to

count contacts formed by a given amino acid residue. We defined the distance of 4 Å between

any atom pairs as the cutoff for contact.

755 5. Data Access

756 All SARS-CoV-2 sequences used in this study are available from the public repositories Genome
757 Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data (GISAID, gisaid.org), the National Center for
758 Biotechnology Information (NCBI, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sars-cov-2/) and the COVID-19
759 Genomics UK Consortium (COG, https://www.sanger.ac.uk/collaboration/covid-19-genomics-
760 uk-cog-uk-consortium/

762 *6. Acknowledgments*

The viral evolution research was funded by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development
Program of Oak Ridge National Laboratory, managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US
Department of Energy (LOIS:10074) and the structural implication work was funded via the
DOE Office of Science through the National Virtual Biotechnology Laboratory (NVBL), a
consortium of DOE national laboratories focused on the response to COVID-19, with funding
provided by the Coronavirus CARES Act. This work was also funded by the United States
Government. This research used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility
(OLCF) and the Compute and Data Environment for Science (CADES) at the Oak Ridge
National Laboratory, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of
Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725. Figures generated with Biorender and
VMD. We gratefully acknowledge the Originating laboratories responsible for obtaining the

viral specimens and the Submitting laboratories where genetic sequence data were generated and
shared via the GISAID Initiative, on which this research is based.

Author Contribution

MR Garvin: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Formal Analysis,
Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

ET Prates: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing -
review & editing

J Romero: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing
– original draft, Writing – review & editing.

A Cliff: Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing

JGFM Gazolla: Software, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Data Curation, Visualization, Writing
- Review and Editing.

M Pickholz: Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

M Pavicic: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

DA Jacobson: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Project
administration, Supervision, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing

7. References

Ali A, Vijayan R. 2020. Dynamics of the ACE2-SARS-CoV-2/SARS-CoV spike protein
interface reveal unique mechanisms. *Sci. Rep.* 10:14214.

Alpert T, Brito AF, Lasek-Nesselquist E, Rothman J, Valesano AL, MacKay MJ, Petrone ME,
Breban MI, Watkins AE, Vogels CBF, et al. 2021. Early introductions and transmission of
SARS-CoV-2 variant B.1.1.7 in the United States. *Cell* 184:2595–2604.e13.

Anisimova M, Nielsen R, Yang Z. 2003. Effect of recombination on the accuracy of the
likelihood method for detecting positive selection at amino acid sites. *Genetics* 164:1229–
1236.

Anon. The GIMP Development Team. (2019). GIMP. Retrieved from https://www.gimp.org.
https://www.gimp.org.

Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A. 1999. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16:37–48.

Bentley K, Evans DJ. 2018. Mechanisms and consequences of positive-strand RNA virus
recombination. *J. Gen. Virol.* 99:1345–1356.

Boni MF, Lemey P, Jiang X, Lam TT-Y, Perry BW, Castoe TA, Rambaut A, Robertson DL.
2020. Evolutionary origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the
COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat Microbiol* 5:1408–1417.

Calistri P, Amato L, Puglia I, Cito F, Di Giuseppe A, Danzetta ML, Morelli D, Di Domenico M,
Caporale M, Scialabba S, et al. 2021. Infection sustained by lineage B.1.1.7 of SARS-CoV-
2 is characterised by longer persistence and higher viral RNA loads in nasopharyngeal
swabs. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 105:753–755.

Casalino L, Gaieb Z, Goldsmith JA, Hjorth CK, Dommer AC, Harbison AM, Fogarty CA,
Barros EP, Taylor BC, McLellan JS, et al. 2020. Beyond Shielding: The Roles of Glycans
in the SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein. *ACS Cent Sci* 6:1722–1734.

Challen R, Brooks-Pollock E, Read JM, Dyson L, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Danon L. 2021. Risk
of mortality in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern 202012/1: matched
cohort study. *BMJ* 372:n579.

Chen J, Malone B, Llewellyn E, Grasso M, Shelton PMM, Olinares PDB, Maruthi K, Eng ET,
Vatandaslar H, Chait BT, et al. 2020. Structural Basis for Helicase-Polymerase Coupling in
the SARS-CoV-2 Replication-Transcription Complex. *Cell* 182:1560–1573.e13.

Darden T, York D, Pedersen L. 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald sums
in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* 98:10089–10092.

Davies NG, Jarvis CI, John Edmunds W, Jewell NP, Diaz-Ordaz K, Keogh RH, CMMID
COVID-19 Working Group. Increased mortality in community-tested cases of SARS-CoV-
2 lineage B.1.1.7. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.01.21250959

Deng X, Garcia-Knight MA, Khalid MM, Servellita V, Wang C, Morris MK, Sotomayor-
González A, Glasner DR, Reyes KR, Gliwa AS, et al. 2021. Transmission, infectivity, and
neutralization of a spike L452R SARS-CoV-2 variant. *Cell* 184:3426–3437.e8.

Dutta NK, Mazumdar K, Gordy JT. 2020. The Nucleocapsid Protein of SARS–CoV-2: a Target
for Vaccine Development. *Journal of Virology* [Internet] 94. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00647-20

Evans DJ, Holian BL. 1985. The Nose–Hoover thermostat. *J. Chem. Phys.* 83:4069–4074.

Faria NR, Mellan TA, Whittaker C, Claro IM, Candido D da S, Mishra S, Crispim MAE, Sales
FCS, Hawryluk I, McCrone JT, et al. 2021. Genomics and epidemiology of the P.1 SARS-
CoV-2 lineage in Manaus, Brazil. *Science* 372:815–821.

Fratev F. The N501Y and K417N mutations in the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 alter the
interactions with both hACE2 and human derived antibody: A Free energy of perturbation
study. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.23.424283

Funk T, Pharris A, Spiteri G, Bundle N, Melidou A, Carr M, Gonzalez G, Garcia-Leon A,
Crispie F, O'Connor L, et al. 2021. Characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern

B.1.1.7, B.1.351 or P.1: data from seven EU/EEA countries, weeks 38/2020 to 10/2021.

Euro Surveill. [Internet] 26. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-

7917.ES.2021.26.16.2100348

Garvin MR, Prates ET, Pavicic M, Jones P, Amos BK, Geiger A, Shah M, Streich J, Gazolla

JGFM, Kainer D, et al. 2020. Potentially adaptive SARS-CoV-2 mutations discovered with

novel spatiotemporal and explainable-AI models. *Genome Biol.* in press.

Garvin MR, T Prates E, Pavicic M, Jones P, Amos BK, Geiger A, Shah MB, Streich J, Felipe

Machado Gazolla JG, Kainer D, et al. 2020. Potentially adaptive SARS-CoV-2 mutations

discovered with novel spatiotemporal and explainable AI models. *Genome Biol.* 21:304.

Greaney AJ, Starr TN, Gilchuk P, Zost SJ, Binshtein E, Loes AN, Hilton SK, Huddleston J,

Eguia R, Crawford KHD, et al. Complete mapping of mutations to the SARS-CoV-2 spike

receptor-binding domain that escape antibody recognition. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.10.292078

Gribble J, Stevens LJ, Agostini ML, Anderson-Daniels J, Chappell JD, Lu X, Pruijssers AJ,

Routh AL, Denison MR. 2021. The coronavirus proofreading exoribonuclease mediates

extensive viral recombination. *PLoS Pathog.* 17:e1009226.

Gu H, Chen Q, Yang G, He L, Fan H, Deng Y-Q, Wang Y, Teng Y, Zhao Z, Cui Y, et al. 2020.

Adaptation of SARS-CoV-2 in BALB/c mice for testing vaccine efficacy. *Science*

369:1603–1607.

Guo G, Gao M, Gao X, Zhu B, Huang J, Luo K, Zhang Y, Sun J, Deng M, Lou Z. 2021. SARS-

CoV-2 non-structural protein 13 (nsp13) hijacks host deubiquitinase USP13 and counteracts

host antiviral immune response. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 6:119.

Gupta R, Charron J, Stenger CL, Painter J, Steward H, Cook TW, Faber W, Frisch A, Lind E,

Bauss J, et al. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) structural and evolutionary dynamicome:

Insights into functional evolution and human genomics. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295:11742–11753.

Guvench O, Mallajosyula SS, Raman EP, Hatcher E, Vanommeslaeghe K, Foster TJ, Jamison

FW 2nd, Mackerell AD Jr. 2011. CHARMM additive all-atom force field for carbohydrate

derivatives and its utility in polysaccharide and carbohydrate-protein modeling. *J. Chem.*
Theory Comput. 7:3162–3180.

Hess B, Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Fraaije JGEM. 1997. LINCS: A linear constraint solver for
molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 18:1463–1472.

Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Pöhlmann S. 2020. A Multibasic Cleavage Site in the Spike
Protein of SARS-CoV-2 Is Essential for Infection of Human Lung Cells. *Mol. Cell* 78:779–
784.e5.

Huang J, MacKerell AD Jr. 2013. CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: validation
based on comparison to NMR data. *J. Comput. Chem.* 34:2135–2145.

Huang P-S, Ban Y-EA, Richter F, Andre I, Vernon R, Schief WR, Baker D. 2011.
RosettaRemodel: a generalized framework for flexible backbone protein design. *PLoS One*
6:e24109.

Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. 1996. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.*
14:33–38, 27–28.

Huson DH, Bryant D. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol.*
Biol. Evol. 23:254–267.

Jang K-J, Jeong S, Kang DY, Sp N, Yang YM, Kim D-E. 2020. A high ATP concentration
enhances the cooperative translocation of the SARS coronavirus helicase nsP13 in the
unwinding of duplex RNA. *Sci. Rep.* 10:4481.

Jia Z, Yan L, Ren Z, Wu L, Wang J, Guo J, Zheng L, Ming Z, Zhang L, Lou Z, et al. 2019.
Delicate structural coordination of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus
Nsp13 upon ATP hydrolysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47:6538–6550.

Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML. 1983. Comparison of
simple potential functions for simulating liquid water. *J. Chem. Phys.* 79:926–935.

Jo S, Kim T, Iyer VG, Im W. 2008. CHARMM-GUI: a web-based graphical user interface for

CHARMM. *J. Comput. Chem.* 29:1859–1865.

Jumper J, Tunyasuvunakool K, Kohli P, Hassabis D, AlphaFold Team. Computational
predictions of protein structures associated with COVID-19. *Deep Mind* [Internet].
Available from: https://deepmind.com/research/open-source/computational-predictions-of-
protein-structures-associated-with-COVID-19

Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K-I, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30:3059–3066.

Kim D, Lee J-Y, Yang J-S, Kim JW, Kim VN, Chang H. 2020. The Architecture of SARS-CoV-
2 Transcriptome. *Cell* 181:914–921.e10.

Korber B, Fischer WM, Gnanakaran S, Yoon H, Theiler J, Abfalterer W, Hengartner N, Giorgi
EE, Bhattacharya T, Foley B, et al. 2020. Tracking Changes in SARS-CoV-2 Spike:
Evidence that D614G Increases Infectivity of the COVID-19 Virus. *Cell* 182:812–827.e19.

Lauring AS, Hodcroft EB. 2021. Genetic Variants of SARS-CoV-2-What Do They Mean? *JAMA*
325:529–531.

Leigh JW, Bryant D. 2015. popart: full-feature software for haplotype network construction.
Methods Ecol. Evol. 6:1110–1116.

Lindahl, Abraham, Hess, Spoel V der. 2020. GROMACS 2020 Source code. Available from:
https://zenodo.org/record/3562495

Liu Y, Liu J, Plante KS, Plante JA, Xie X, Zhang X, Ku Z, An Z, Scharton D, Schindewolf C, et
al. 2021. The N501Y spike substitution enhances SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *bioRxiv*
[Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.434499

Li Y, Ma M-L, Lei Q, Wang F, Hong W, Lai D-Y, Hou H, Xu Z-W, Zhang B, Chen H, et al.
2021. Linear epitope landscape of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein constructed from 1,051
COVID-19 patients. *Cell Rep.* 34:108915.

Luan B, Wang H, Huynh T. Molecular Mechanism of the N501Y Mutation for Enhanced

Binding between SARS-CoV-2's Spike Protein and Human ACE2 Receptor. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.04.425316

Lu J, Li B, Deng A, Li K, Hu Y, Li Z, Xiong Q, Liu Z, Guo Q, Zou L, et al. Viral infection and

transmission in a large, well-traced outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Delta variant.

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-738164/v1

Martin DP, Weaver S, Tegally H, San EJ, Shank SD, Wilkinson E, Giandhari J, Naidoo S, Pillay

Y, Singh L, et al. 2021. The emergence and ongoing convergent evolution of the N501Y

lineages coincides with a major global shift in the SARS-CoV-2 selective landscape.

medRxiv [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.23.21252268

Muller HJ. 1964. THE RELATION OF RECOMBINATION TO MUTATIONAL ADVANCE.

Mutat. Res. 106:2–9.

Oude Munnink BB, Sikkema RS, Nieuwenhuijse DF, Molenaar RJ, Munger E, Molenkamp R,

van der Spek A, Tolsma P, Rietveld A, Brouwer M, et al. 2021. Transmission of SARS-

CoV-2 on mink farms between humans and mink and back to humans. *Science* 371:172–

177.

Papa G, Mallery DL, Albecka A, Welch L, Cattin-Ortolá J, Luptak J, Paul D, McMahon HT,

Goodfellow IG, Carter A, et al. Furin cleavage of SARS-CoV-2 Spike promotes but is not

essential for infection and cell-cell fusion. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.13.243303

Parrinello M, Rahman A. 1981. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular

dynamics method. *J. Appl. Phys.* 52:7182–7190.

Prates E, Garvin M, Jones P, Miller JI, Kyle S, Cliff A, Gazolla JGFM, Shah M, Walker A, Lane

M, et al. 2021. Antiviral Strategies Against SARS-CoV-2 – For a Bioinformatics Approach.

In: Hann JJ, Bintou A, Keng C, editors. SARS-CoV-2 Methods and Protocols. Spriinger.

Prates ET, Garvin MR, Pavicic M, Jones P, Shah M, Demerdash O, Amos BK, Geiger A,

Jacobson D. 2020. Potential pathogenicity determinants identified from structural

proteomics of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* [Internet]. Available from:

947  http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa231

948 Rand AC, Jain M, Eizenga JM, Musselman-Brown A, Olsen HE, Akeson M, Paten B. 2017.
949  Mapping DNA methylation with high-throughput nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Methods*
950  14:411–413.

951 Richard M, Kok A, de Meulder D, Bestebroer TM, Lamers MM, Okba NMA, Fentener van
952  Vlissingen M, Rockx B, Haagmans BL, Koopmans MPG, et al. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 is
953  transmitted via contact and via the air between ferrets. *Nat. Commun.* 11:3496.

954 Rodrigues CHM, Pires DEV, Ascher DB. 2021. DynaMut2: Assessing changes in stability and
955  flexibility upon single and multiple point missense mutations. *Protein Sci.* 30:60–69.

956 Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
957  models. *Bioinformatics* 19:1572–1574.

958 Sabino EC, Buss LF, Carvalho MPS, Prete CA Jr, Crispim MAE, Fraiji NA, Pereira RHM, Parag
959  KV, da Silva Peixoto P, Kraemer MUG, et al. 2021. Resurgence of COVID-19 in Manaus,
960  Brazil, despite high seroprevalence. *Lancet* [Internet]. Available from:
961  http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00183-5

962 Santerre M, Arjona SP, Allen CN, Shcherbik N, Sawaya BE. 2020. Why do SARS-CoV-2 NSPs
963  rush to the ER? *J. Neurol.* [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00415-020-
964  10197-8

965 Sawatzki K, Hill NJ, Puryear WB, Foss AD, Stone JJ, Runstadler JA. 2021. Host barriers to
966  SARS-CoV-2 demonstrated by ferrets in a high-exposure domestic setting. *Proc. Natl.*
967  *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* [Internet] 118. Available from:
968  http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2025601118

969 Shang J, Ye G, Shi K, Wan Y, Luo C, Aihara H, Geng Q, Auerbach A, Li F. 2020. Structural
970  basis of receptor recognition by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 581:221–224.

971 Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B,
972  Ideker T. 2003. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular

interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 13:2498–2504.

Sheikh A, McMenamin J, Taylor B, Robertson C. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 Delta VOC in Scotland:

demographics, risk of hospital admission, and vaccine effectiveness. *The Lancet* [Internet].

Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(21)01358-1

Simon-Loriere E, Holmes EC. 2011. Why do RNA viruses recombine? *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*

9:617–626.

Simpson JT, Workman RE, Zuzarte PC, David M, Dursi LJ, Timp W. 2017. Detecting DNA

cytosine methylation using nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 14:407–410.

Singh A, Steinkellner G, Köchl K, Gruber K, Gruber CC. Serine 477 plays a crucial role in the

interaction of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein with the human receptor ACE2. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-106969/v1

Singh J, Rahman SA, Ehtesham NZ, Hira S, Hasnain SE. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 variants of

concern are emerging in India. *Nat. Med.* [Internet]. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01397-4

Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Addetia A, Hannon WW, Choudhary MC, Dingens AS, Li JZ, Bloom JD.

2021. Prospective mapping of viral mutations that escape antibodies used to treat COVID-

19. *Science* [Internet]. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abf9302

Starr TN, Greaney AJ, Hilton SK, Crawford KHD, Navarro MJ, Bowen JE, Alejandra Tortorici

M, Walls AC, Veesler D, Bloom JD. Deep mutational scanning of SARS-CoV-2 receptor

binding domain reveals constraints on folding and ACE2 binding. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.17.157982

Thorne LG, Bouhaddou M, Reuschl A-K, Zuliani-Alvarez L, Polacco B, Pelin A, Batra J,

Whelan MVX, Ummadi M, Rojc A, et al. 2021. Evolution of enhanced innate immune

evasion by the SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 UK variant. *bioRxiv* [Internet]. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.06.446826

Tylor S, Andonov A, Cutts T, Cao J, Grudesky E, Van Domselaar G, Li X, He R. 2009. The SR-

rich motif in SARS-CoV nucleocapsid protein is important for virus replication. *Canadian*

Journal of Microbiology [Internet] 55:254–260. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w08-139

Velasco JD. 2013. Phylogeny as population history. *Philosophy and Theory in Biology* [Internet]

5. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/ptb.6959004.0005.002

Verba K, Gupta M, Azumaya C, Moritz M, Pourmal S, Diallo A, Merz G, Jang G, Bouhaddou

M, Fossati A, et al. 2021. CryoEM and AI reveal a structure of SARS-CoV-2 Nsp2, a

multifunctional protein involved in key host processes. *Res Sq* [Internet]. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-515215/v1

Volz E, Mishra S, Chand M, Barrett JC, Johnson R, Geidelberg L, Hinsley WR, Laydon DJ,

Dabrera G, O'Toole Á, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Lineage B.1.1.7 in England:

Insights from linking epidemiological and genetic data. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.30.20249034

Wang Z, Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, Barnes CO, Finkin S, Schaefer-Babajew D,

Cipolla M, Gaebler C, Lieberman JA, et al. 2021. mRNA vaccine-elicited antibodies to

SARS-CoV-2 and circulating variants. *Nature* [Internet]. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03324-6

Washington NL, Gangavarapu K, Zeller M, Bolze A, Cirulli ET, Schiabor Barrett KM, Larsen

BB, Anderson C, White S, Cassens T, et al. 2021. Emergence and rapid transmission of

SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 in the United States. *Cell* 184:2587–2594.e7.

Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS, McLellan JS.

2020. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science*

367:1260–1263.

Xia H, Cao Z, Xie X, Zhang X, Chen JY-C, Wang H, Menachery VD, Rajsbaum R, Shi P-Y.

2020. Evasion of Type I Interferon by SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Rep.* 33:108234.

Yan L, Zhang Y, Ge J, Zheng L, Gao Y, Wang T, Jia Z, Wang H, Huang Y, Li M, et al. 2020.

Architecture of a SARS-CoV-2 mini replication and transcription complex. *Nat. Commun.*

11:5874.

Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. 2020. Structural basis for the recognition of
SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science* 367:1444–1448.

Zhang B-Z, Hu Y-F, Chen L-L, Yau T, Tong Y-G, Hu J-C, Cai J-P, Chan K-H, Dou Y, Deng J,
et al. 2020. Mining of epitopes on spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 from COVID-19 patients.
Cell Res. 30:702–704.

Zhang L, Jackson CB, Mou H, Ojha A, Peng H, Quinlan BD, Rangarajan ES, Pan A,
Vanderheiden A, Suthar MS, et al. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 spike-protein D614G mutation
increases virion spike density and infectivity. *Nat. Commun.* 11:6013.