Barley guanine nucleotide exchange factor *Hv*GEF14 is an activator of the

susceptibility factor *Hv*RACB and supports host cell entry by *Blumeria graminis*

f.sp. *hordei*

Adriana Trutzenberg[1], Stefan Engelhardt[1], Ralph Hückelhoven[1,2]

[1] Chair of Phytopathology, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich

[2] corresponding author

Email addresses: a.trutzenberg@tum.de; stefan1.engelhardt@tum.de; hueckelhoven@tum.de

Chair of Phytopathology

TUM School of Life Sciences
Technische Universität München
Emil-Ramann-Str. 2
D-85354 Freising

Tel.: +49 8161 71-3681
Fax: +49 8161 71-4538

Running title

24   *Hv*GEF14 activates *Hv*RACB in barley epidermis

Highlight

The activated GTPase *Hv*RACB is a susceptibility factor of barley in response to *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*. The newly discovered guanine nucleotide exchange factor *Hv*GEF14 is a *Hv*RACB activator.

Abstract

In barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), the function of ROPs appears central to polar cell development and the interaction outcome with parasitic fungi but little is known about ROP activation. Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) facilitate the exchange of GDP with GTP and thereby turn ROPs into a signalling-activated ROP-GTP state. Plants possess a unique class of GEFs harbouring a plant specific ROP nucleotide exchanger domain (PRONE). We performed phylogenetic analyses and annotated barley PRONE GEFs. *HvGEF14* is expressed in leaf epidermal tissue and downregulated after inoculation with *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei*. Protein-protein interaction assays indicate that *Hv*GEF14 and the type I barley ROP protein *Hv*RACB can interact in yeast and *in planta*. Overexpression of *Hv*GEF14 further recruited the ROP-GTP downstream interactor *Hv*RIC171 to the cell periphery and let to interaction of *Hv*RACB with an extended CRIB (Cdc42/Rac Interactive Binding motif) peptide of *Hv*RIC171 in a similar manner as constitutively activated *Hv*RACB. Finally, the over expression of *HvGEF14* led to enhanced susceptibility to fungal entry while *HvGEF14* RNAi provoked a trend to more penetration resistance. *Hv*GEF14 might therefore play a role in the activation of *Hv*RACB in barley epidermal cells, which is crucial for fungal penetration success.

48 Keywords: barley, epidermis, fluorescence lifetime imaging, plant specific ROP nucleotide

49 exchanger, penetration resistance, powdery mildew, Rho of plants

Abbreviations:

ROP- Rho of plants

GEF- Guanine nucleotide exchange factor

PRONE- plant specific ROP-nucleotide exchanger

CA- constitutively activated

DN- dominant negative

GAP- GTPase activating protein

RIC- ROP interacting and CRIB domain containing protein

RIP- ROP interactive partner

GDI- Guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor

Bgh- Blumeria graminis forma specialis *hordei*

DH-PH- diffuse B-cell lymphoma homology – pleckstrin homology

DHR2- DOCK homology region 2

RLK- receptor like kinase

FER- FERONIA

Hv- Hordeum vulgare

At- Arabidopsis thaliana

Os- *Oryza sativa*

Nb- Nicotiana benthamiana

meGFP- monomeric enhanced green fluorescent protein

EV- empty vector

Introduction

In plants, cell polarity is central to cellular development and responses to external stimuli. ROPs (RHO of plants) are small monomeric GTPases that function as signalling hubs regulating a multitude of cell polarity processes that often involve cytoskeleton reorganization (Mucha *et al.*, 2011). Pollen tube growth, the development of epidermal pavement cells and root hairs, but also processes that are vital during plant-microbe interactions are examples for ROP-regulated processes (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2020; Zheng and Yang, 2000). ROPs are considered molecular switches due to their ability to shuttle between a signalling-inactive, GDP-bound state, and a signalling-activated GTP-bound state (Bloch and Yalovsky, 2013). An interaction with downstream signalling partners, and therefore signal transduction, only occurs in the GTP-bound state (Nagawa, Xu, and Yang 2010). Certain point mutations can lock ROPs in either the GTP-bound (constitutively activated (CA)) or GDP-bound (dominant negative (DN)) conformation. Manipulating ROP downstream signalling in such a way can lead to strong effects in a variety of polar growth processes. For example, *Arabidopsis thaliana* ROP6^{DN} expressing plants show severe developmental phenotypes as well as misshaping of pavement cells, indicating the importance of ROP-regulated cell polarity (Poraty-Gavra *et al.*, 2013).

To locally interact with downstream signalling partners, ROPs require to be membrane-associated, which is achieved by posttranslational lipid modifications. Based on the primary sequence in the C-terminal part, ROPs can be divided into two groups that both undergo distinct lipidations: type I ROPs contain a CaaL-prenylation motif, whereas type II ROPs are S-acylated via a GC-CG box. Type I ROPs can also be additionally S-acylated in an activation dependent manner (Winge *et al.*, 2000; Yalovsky, 2015). Amongst others, ROP signalling targets the cytoskeleton (Chen and Friml, 2014). In barley, the activation of ROP-signalling influences the organization of actin filaments as well as the organization of microtubules via the interaction of activated ROPs with scaffold proteins and **M**icrotubule-**A**ssociated ROP **GAP 1**, *Hv*MAGAP1 (Hoefle *et al.*, 2020; Opalski *et al.*, 2005).

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L. (*Hv*)) contains six ROPs, which include *Hv*RACB and *Hv*RACD of type I and *Hv*RAC1, *Hv*RAC3, *Hv*ROP4, *Hv*ROP6 of type II (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003). Studies using stable

transgenic barley plants overexpressing constitutively activated variants of either *Hv*RACB, *Hv*RAC1 or

*Hv*RAC3 indicated a role for these ROPs in root and shoot development (Pathuri *et al.*, 2008;

Schultheiss *et al.*, 2005). Transgenic plants are also characterized by increased epidermal cell

expansion and failure of polar growth in root hairs. Additionally, different susceptibility levels during

the interaction with fungal leaf pathogens such as *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei* (*Bgh*) and

Magnaporthe oryzae suggest an involvement during fungal penetration (Pathuri *et al.*, 2008).

*Hv*RACB in particular has been studied in some detail for its function in susceptibility to penetration

and accommodation of haustoria from *Bgh* (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2020). Stable transgenic *Hv*RACB RNAi

plants with reduced expression levels of *HvRACB* do not develop root hairs and produce less and

misshaped stomatal subsidiary cells, which normally result from polar cell division. At the same time,

both stable and transient silencing of *HvRACB* limits fungal penetration success. Hence, *Hv*RACB is

considered a key developmental protein that is co-opted by *Bgh* during pathogenesis (Scheler *et al.*,

2016; Schultheiss *et al.*, 2002). *Hv*RAC1 has also been implicated in the generation of membrane

domains enriched with the ROP-scaffolding protein *Hv*RIPa at sites of fungal attack. The two proteins

interact at the plasma membrane, and together with *Hv*MAGAP1 they provoke membrane

asymmetry and microtubule reorganization in the cell cortex (Hoefle *et al.*, 2020).

Due to the vast number of signalling processes ROPs are involved in, it is apparent that tight

regulation of these molecular switches is required to fine-tune the complex cellular mechanisms

following ROP activation. This regulation is largely controlled by three classes of regulatory proteins:

Guanine nucleotide **E**xchange **F**actors (GEF), **G**TPase **A**ctivating **P**roteins (GAP) and **G**uanine

nucleotide **D**issociation **I**nhibitors (GDI) (Nagawa *et al.*, 2010; Vetter and Wittinghofer, 2001; Zheng

and Yang, 2000). Besides downstream signalling interactors, activated ROPs are also targeted by

GAPs, which abolish ROP-GTP signalling by enhancing the intrinsically low GTPase activity. This leads

to GTP hydrolysis and therefore to switching to the GDP-bound, signalling-inactive state (Wu *et al.*,

2000). An example of this is *Hv*MAGAP1 which interacts with *Hv*RACB and *Hv*RAC1. The presumable

negative regulation of *Hv*RACB signalling activity by over-expression of *Hv*MAGAP1 correlates with

limiting *Bgh* penetration success (Hoefle *et al.*, 2011).

GDIs prevent GDP-bound ROPs from membrane association and sequester them in the cytosol by

masking the C-terminal prenyl modification, thereby precluding an interaction with downstream

interaction partners (Nibau *et al.*, 2006). Regarding ROP signalling, GEFs are considered ROP-

signalling activating proteins that interact with GDP-bound ROPs to induce a conformational change

facilitating the exchange of GDP with highly abundant GTP (Berken *et al.*, 2005; Thomas *et al.*, 2007;

Thomas *et al.*, 2009).

ROP-signalling activating GEFs can be divided into sub-groups based on their protein domain

structures and primary sequence. Mammalian GEFs possess two types of conserved functional

domains: **d**iffuse B-cell lymphoma **h**omology – **p**leckstrin **h**omology (DH-PH) and **D**OCK **h**omology

region **2** (DHR2) (Schmidt and Hall, 2002). In plants, there has only been one example of DH-PH GEFs

and several reports on DHR2-type GEFs. The *O. sativa* DH-PH GEFs *Os*SWAP70A and B interact with

*Os*RAC1 and perform nucleotide exchange via their DH domain in order to facilitate defence-related

signalling in response to chitin elicitation (Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2012). The same group also confirmed

the corresponding *A. thaliana* homolog *At*SWAP70 to be involved in plant defence signalling during

effector and pattern triggered immunity (Yamaguchi and Kawasaki, 2012).

An example of a DHR2 GEF in plants is the so called SPIKE-GEF which has initially been identified by a

forward genetic screen of *A. thaliana* mutants, in which the *spike1* mutant showed severe

developmental defects, including misshaped trichomes (Qiu *et al.*, 2002). More recently, *Lj*SPIKE1 has

been found to activate *Lj*ROP6 during the polar growth of infection threads in root hairs of the

legume *Lotus japonicus* (*Lj*) (Liu *et al.*, 2020).

In addition, plants have evolved a specific class of GEFs with a highly conserved **P**lant specific

Rac/**RO**p **N**ucleotide **E**xchanger (PRONE) domain (Berken *et al.*, 2005; Gu *et al.*, 2006). The family of

PRONE-GEFs in the model plant *A. thaliana* consists of 14 members that have been studied for their

function in various polar growth processes, plant development (Chang *et al.*, 2013; Chen *et al.*, 2011;

Gu *et al.*, 2006; Huang *et al.*, 2018a) and immunity (Qu *et al.*, 2017). So far, the PRONE domain is the

only identified conserved part of these proteins. This domain can be divided into three subdomains

that show a high level of sequence conservation. The PRONE domain contains several interfaces that

were shown to physically interact with ROPs in a heterotetrameric complex of two ROPs with two

PRONE-GEFs. After binding to ROPs, the PRONE domain facilitates a rearrangement of the GTPase

which leads to GDP release. Nucleotide-free ROPs and GEFs can further interact as a stable complex

(Berken *et al.*, 2005; Chang *et al.*, 2013; Gu *et al.*, 2006; Thomas *et al.*, 2007). The PRONE domain is

flanked by N- and C-terminal variable regions that likely possess regulatory functions. Especially the

C-terminal regulatory stretch is subject to phosphorylation by upstream **R**eceptor **L**ike **K**inases (RLK)

that have been implicated in developmental as well as immunity related signalling pathways (Fehér

and Lajkó, 2015). For instance, the C-terminus of *At*GEF12 has been reported to inhibit GEF function

and *At*GEF12 interacts with ROPs only after phosphorylation by the pollen RLK *At*PRK2 (Zhang and

McCormick, 2007). Another example of this interaction is the multifunctional RLK FERONIA (FER) that

interacts directly with *At*GEF14 in *A. thaliana*. Further downstream, *At*GEF14 interacts with *At*ROP6

which functions in polar growth of epidermal cells (Lin *et al.*, 2018) and together with *At*RIC1

facilitates microtubule organization in order to deal with mechanical stress (Tang *et al.*, 2021). In

barley, a number of RLKs are involved in susceptibility towards *Bgh* and their transcript levels are

partially influenced by the abundance and the activation status of *Hv*RACB (Schnepf *et al.*, 2018).

Furthermore, *At*GEF14 was shown to localize to the apical region of pollen tubes and interacts with

*At*ROP1, a regulator of pollen tube growth (Gu *et al.*, 2006). In roots, *At*GEF14 may be also involved in

polar growth processes. It accumulates at the root hair initiation site before being replaced by other

GEFs during the initiation and elongation phase (Denninger *et al.*, 2019).

Based on these first reports of PRONE GEFs in *A. thaliana*, plant specific GEFs have been identified in

many other species, including economically important crops like *Oryza sativa*, in which six of the 11

putative PRONE-GEFs have been studied in some detail. As in *A. thaliana*, *O. sativa* GEFs are also

important in floral organ development. *Os*GEF7B (*Os*GEF2 in other publications, see (Akamatsu *et al.*,

2015; Akamatsu *et al.*, 2013)), for example, has been shown to be an important regulator of the seed

setting rate by regulating downstream *Os*RAC GTPases (Huang *et al.*, 2018b). Moreover, four pollen-

specifically expressed GEFs have been reported with distinct localisations during the development of

pollen tubes (Kim *et al.*, 2020). *Os*GEF10 has been found to activate *Os*RAC1 in order to form small

cuticular papillae and to regulate early plant development (Yoo *et al.*, 2011). In addition, *Os*GEF1

interacts with OsCERK1, a central part of the rice chitin receptor complex, and activates *Os*RAC1 for

its function in defence of *M. oryzae* (Akamatsu *et al.*, 2013).

This work investigates barley PRONE-GEFs, focussing on *Hv*GEF14. We report that *Hv*GEF14 is

expressed in the leaf epidermis and downregulated after inoculation with *Bgh*. *Hv*GEF14 can bind

barley ROPs in yeast and *in planta* and may be involved in activation of disease susceptibility-

associated barley ROPs in leaf epidermal cells.

Materials and Methods

Plant and pathogen propagation and maintenance

Spring barley (*Hordeum vulgare, Hv*) cultivar Golden Promise (propagated in greenhouse) was grown

in a climate chamber at 20 °C, 50 % humidity and long-day conditions (16h light, 8h dark) for 7-8 days

in standard potting soil (Einheitserde Classic). *Blumeria graminis* f.sp. *hordei* race A6 (*Bgh*) was

maintained on 7- 21 days old *H. vulgare* cv. Golden Promise (propagated in field conditions) in a

climate chamber with 18 °C and 65 % humidity in long day conditions (16h light, 8h dark).

Cloning procedure

Open reading frames of *Hordeum vulgare* cv. Golden Promise genes were amplified from whole leaf

cDNA with primers (Tab. 5) designed based on sequences from the Barley Genome (International

Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium (2012)). Constructs were cloned into destination vectors

(Tab. 4) using BP and LR clonase following manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Plasmids were

prepared via column purification (Machery Nagel).

ML analysis and phylogenetic tree construction

Muscle alignment of 14 *Arabidopsis thaliana*, 11 *Oryza sativa* (sequences downloaded from TAIR and

NCBI on 10.05.2021) and 11 *H. vulgare* PRONE-GEFs (MOREX genome version 3) was performed in

SeaView Software. A maximum likelihood (PhyML) analysis was performed with an LG model,

bootstraps with 100 replicate, model-given amino acid equilibrium frequencies, NNI tree searching

and five random starts. *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs were annotated based on the *A. thaliana*

nomenclature and *H. vulgare* PRONE-GEFs were annotated according to their homologues in *O.*

sativa. The resulting TBE tree's design was further adjusted in InkScape.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

Plant material from seven days old *H. vulgare* cv. Golden Promise was collected. In three biological

replicates, whole leaf and epidermal peels were cut and directly frozen in liquid N_2. Leaf tissue was

directly ground in a tissue lyser with glass beads. RNA was extracted with TRIzol according to the

protocol in (Chomczynski and Sachhi, 1987) and DNAseI digestion was performed. Total RNA was

visualized on 1% agarose gel and the concentration measured via spectrophotometer (NanoDrop).

Subsequently, cDNA synthesis was performed from 1 µg RNA with the QuantiTect Reverse

Transcription Kit (Quiagen) according to the supplier's protocol. cDNA from 1 µg RNA was diluted

1/10 for further analysis and stored at -20 °C. cDNA quality was controlled on 1% agarose gel and

visualised with Ethidium-Bromide staining under UV-excitation.

qRT-PCR

Appropriate primers for *HvGEF14* (HORVU6Hr1G068660.3, Tab. 5) were designed with Primer3Plus

(www.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/primer3plus/primer3plus.cgi), secondary structure of primers was

checked with Thermo Multiple Primer Analyser

(https://www.thermofisher.com/de/de/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-

biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-

web-tools/multiple-primer-analyzer.html) and specificity of the amplicon was tested via BLAST.

Primer efficiency and amplification factor was analysed by a standard curve of a three-step dilution

series. A calibration curve was calculated with -3.116 slope, r^2 of 0.951 and y-intercept at 29.58. The

power was determined via the online calculator "qPCR Efficiency Calculator" (Thermo Fischer). 10 µl

reactions were prepared with the Maxima 2xSYBR Green/ROX qPCR Master Mix (Thermo Scientific),

primers were added at 200nM concentration and 1 μl of 1/10 cDNA dilution was used. qRT-PCR was

run on Aria Mx3000 thermocycler (Agilent) with 40 cycles at 60°C annealing temperature for 10

seconds and 72°C elongation for 15 seconds and subsequent melting curve (65°C – 95°C) for quality

control. Measurements with melting curve peaks varying from all other samples were excluded and a

maximum difference of 0.5 Cq between technical replicates was allowed. There were no Cq values

detectable for NTC of the housekeeping gene and for *HvGEF14* a Cq of 36.67 was measured for NTC.

HvUbiquitin was measured as a housekeeping gene in all runs due to its reliable Cq values in all

samples that did not differ more than 2 Cq and successful usage in previous work (Schnepf *et al.*,

2018). Threshold values were exported from the AriaMx software (Agilent) and foldchanges were

calculated via the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method by Livak and Schmittgen (2001).

Yeast-2-Hybrid

Protein-protein interactions via yeast-two-hybrid (Y2H) assays were performed as described in the

Matchmaker protocol (Clontech). *Hv*RACB (amino acids 1- 193) and *Hv*RAC1 (amino acids 1-211) open

reading frames were cloned with a premature STOP codon to express truncated ROP proteins lacking

their C-terminal prenylation/acylation signal sequence to avoid membrane localisation in yeast cells.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain AH109 was transformed with pGBKT7 and pGADT7 plasmids (Tab. 4)

containing the specific gene of interest and cultivated for 3-6 days at 30°C on synthetic dropout

medium lacking amino acids leucine and tryptophan (SD-L-W) medium. 5ml of liquid SD-L-W medium

was inoculated with yeast colonies and, upon overnight cultivation at 30°C, dilutions were dropped

on SD-L-W and SD-L-W-H (SD lacking amino acids leucine, tryptophan, histidine) or SD-L-W-H-Ade (SD

lacking amino acids leucine, tryptophan, histidine and nucleotide adenosine) plates and incubated at

30°C until distinct colony growth.

Protein extraction from yeast and *N. benthamiana*

Transformed yeast was cultured in 4 ml synthetic dropout medium lacking amino acids leucine and

tryptophane (SD-L-W) overnight and centrifuged at 4000g for 5 min at 4°C. Cells were washed in 100

µl 2 M LiAc and subsequently incubated for 5 min at room temperature in 100 µl 0.4 M NaOH. Pellets

were collected and 50 µl 4x SDS-sample buffer was added. After vortexing, samples were boiled at 95

°C for 5 min and shortly centrifuged before loading onto an SDS-gel (Zhang *et al.*, 2011).

3 leaf discs (12 mm tissue punch) were collected from *A. tumefaciens*-transformed *N. benthamiana*

leaves 48 hpt and directly frozen in liquid N_2. The frozen plant material was homogenised in a tissue

lyser with glass beads and 200 µl 4x SDS sample buffer was added. After vortexing, samples were

boiled at 95°C for 10 min and shortly centrifuged before loading onto an SDS-gel.

SDS-PAGE and Western Blot

Extracted proteins were separated by electrophoresis in a 12% acrylamide gel and blotted onto PVDF

membrane via semi-dry Western Blotting (protein extracted from yeast) or wet Western Blotting

(protein extracted from *N. benthamiana*). The membrane was blocked with 5% milk in PBS buffer and

incubated with specific antibodies (see figure legends). Proteins were detected by

chemiluminescence with SuperSignalTM West Dura or FEMTO Chemiluminescence- Substrate

(Thermo ScientificTM).

Transient biolistic transformation of barley epidermal cells

Barley cv. Golden Promise seven days old detached leaves were transformed by particle

bombardment as described previously (McCollum *et al.*, 2020). 2 µg of plasmid/transformation was

used in FRET-FLIM experiments. For fungal penetration efficiency experiments, 1 µg

plasmid/transformation for the gene of interest and 0.5 µg plasmid/transformation of

transformation marker (pUbi_GUSplus, Addgene, encoding ß-Glucuronidase) was applied.

***A.tumefaciens* infiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves**

Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 carrying binary expression vectors pGWB containing

either meGFP-*Hv*RACB WT, meGFP-*Hv*RACB G15V (CA), GST-mCherry, *Hv*CRIB46-mCherry or 3xHA-

*Hv*GEF14 were infiltrated into five-week-old *N. benthamiana* leaves according to Yang *et al.* (2000).

Bacterial liquid cultures were grown to OD600 0.5 and mixed in equal amounts including P19

silencing suppressor. 48 hours after infiltration, proteins were extracted for Western Blotting and

FRET-FLIM measurements were performed on leaf discs.

FRET-FLIM

For protein-protein interaction studies*, Hv*GEF14 was N-terminally tagged with monomeric enhanced

GFP as donor and N-terminal fusions with mCherry of *Hv*RACB variants were used as acceptors.

To test *Hv*RACB activation status in planta, binary vectors of meGFP-*Hv*RACB WT or meGFP-*Hv*RACB

CA were co-expressed with GST-mCherry or *Hv*CRIB46-mCherry with or without co-expression of

3HA-*Hv*GEF14 via *A. tumefaciens* infiltration in *N. benthamiana* leaves.

Microscopy of transiently transformed *H. vulgare* epidermis cells and *A. tumefaciens*-infiltrated *N.*

benthamiana leave discs was performed with an Olympus FV 3000 microscope with 488 nm (20 mW)

and 561 nm (50 mW) diode lasers. GFP photons were excited with a 485 nm (LDH-D-C-485) pulsed

diode laser and time- correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) was performed with 2x PMA Hybrid

40 photon counting detectors. A minimum of 1000 photon counts were collected and subsequently

analysed with the PicoQuant SymPhoTime 64 software. N-exponential reconvolution and decay curve

fitting with daily measured or calculated IRF, for *H. vulgare* and *N. benthamiana* respectively, was

applied to gain a fit with X^2 values between 0.9 and 1.2.

Confocal microscopy

Transiently transformed barley epidermis cells were imaged 24 hours post transformation (hpt) with

a Leica TCS SP5 confocal microscope with hybrid HyD and PMT detectors. mCherry fluorophores

were excited with a 561nm laser and detected at 570-610nm. GFP fluorescence was excited with a

488nm Argon laser and detected between 500-550nm.

RNAi efficiency

GFP tagged *Hv*GEF14 was transiently over expressed together with overexpression of cytosolic

mCherry as a transformation marker. In addition, either the empty vector or a *HvGEF14* RNAi hairpin

construct of amino acids 201-401 was co-transformed and fluorescence intensity of GFP and mCherry

was measured in the z-stack of confocal images taken 48 hpt. RNAi efficiency was determined by the

ratio of mean mCherry-normalised GFP fluorescence in *HvGEF14* silenced cells divided by mCherry-

normalised GFP-*Hv*GEF14 expressing control cells.

Fungal penetration efficiency assays

Detached barley leaves were fixed on 0.8% H_2O-agar and inoculated 24 hours after transient

transformation with over-expression constructs or 48 hours after transient transformation with RNAi

constructs with roughly 100 *Bgh* conidiospores per mm^2. Inoculated leaves were incubated for 48

hours in a climate chamber with 18-22 °C under long day conditions (16h light, 8h dark). Inoculated

leaves were stained in X-Gluc (5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl ß-D-glucuronic acid) solution 48 hours

after inoculation and fixed in 80% EtOH. Fungal penetration efficiency (PE) was determined with light

microscopy as described in Hückelhoven *et al.* (2003).

Statistical analysis

330 Statistical analyses were performed in Rstudio. Global comparison for non-parametric data was

331 assessed with Kruskal.test() and pairwise comparisons of non-parametric data were calculated via

332 wilcox.test() with bonferroni p-value adjustment. Outlier tests were performed with grubbs.test().

333 Figures were prepared with RStudio's ggplot2 package and adjusted in InkScape.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis reveals three distinct clades of barley PRONE-GEFs

To investigate guanine nucleotide exchange factors in barley, we concentrated on the well described

PRONE domain-containing class of exchange factors first introduced by Berken *et al.* (2005). In total,

we identified 11 PRONE domain-encoding genes in *Hordeum vulgare* cv. Morex genome version 3

(Mascher *et al.*, 2021). Using the MUSCLE algorithm, we aligned full-length primary amino acid

sequences of these 11 barley PRONE-GEFs (Tab. 2) with 11 PRONE-GEFs of *Oryza sativa*, and 14

PRONE-GEFs of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Since the *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs have not all been annotated so

far, we first constructed a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree via PhyML, in which all *O. sativa*

PRONE-GEFs were annotated according to their primary sequence similarity to *A. thaliana* PRONE-

GEFs using the nomenclature by Berken *et al.* (2005) (Fig. S1). Subsequently, another PhyML

calculation was performed to obtain the phylogenetic tree with all three species (Fig. 1). *H. vulgare*

PRONE-GEFs were then annotated according to the most closely related *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs to

reach a nomenclature that is consistent for grasses. That way, the barley PRONE-GEFs were named

*Hv*GEFs 1, 2, 3a, 3b, 3c, 7, 9a, 9b, 9c, 10 and 14.

The resulting phylogenetic tree shows three distinct groups: clade (I), including PRONE-GEFs 1-7,

clade (II) clustering PRONE-GEFs 8-13 and a third clade consisting of only PRONE-GEF14 proteins from

the three different species (Fig. 1). Notably, in clades (I) and (II) we specifically found a clustering of

PRONE-GEFs from both monocot species and many high confidence branches with only *A. thaliana*

PRONE-GEFs suggesting PRONE-GEF gene duplications after the separation of monocots from dicots.

The three PRONE-GEF14 sequences differ not only in their variable N- and C- terminal regions from

the other PRONE-GEFs but present higher levels of amino acid changes in the PRONE domain itself

when compared to all other PRONE-GEFs (Fig. S1, Tab. S1). PRONE-GEF14 proteins are not present in

the moss *Physcomitrium patens* or the lycophyte *Selaginella moellendorffii* (Eklund *et al.*, 2010).

Despite its unique position in the phylogenetic tree, the overall structure of the *Hv*GEF14 PRONE

domain with its three sub-domains and essential amino acids for GEF-GEF and GEF-ROP interactions

is conserved when compared to the other barley PRONE-GEFs (Fig. 2).

The MUSCLE alignment of 11 barley PRONE-GEFs highlights the predicted PRONE domains (see Fig. 2)

with varying length of 344 amino acids (*Hv*GEF10) to 379 amino acids (*Hv*GEF3a) (Fig. S1, Tab. S2).

*Hv*GEF3a has no variable C-terminal region beyond the PRONE domain, and the C-terminus of

*Hv*GEF3b and *Hv*GEF3c are comparably short (Fig. 2, Fig. S1, Tab. S2). *Hv*GEF9a is predicted to contain

only a short variable N-terminus of 43 amino acids. It is evident from the alignment that, regarding

the primary sequence, *Hv*GEF14 substantially differs most from all other barley PRONE-GEFs. In

addition, the variability in primary sequence of *Hv*GEF14 is highest when compared to the consensus

sequence of all 11 *Hv*PRONE-GEFs (Fig. S1, Tab. S1). However, important residues for GEF-GEF

interaction (Thomas *et al.*, 2007) such as phenylalanine 133 and leucine 138 (in *Hv*GEF14) as well as

residues involved in GEF-ROP interaction N161, Q206, E215, M217, W275, W276, L434, and R460

(Thomas *et al.*, 2007) are conserved in *Hv*GEF14 (Fig. 2). Furthermore, *Hv*GEF14 full length and

PRONE domains only were shown to dimerise in Y2H (Fig. S3). Interestingly, serine 394 in the

*Hv*GEF14 PRONE domain, is a predicted phosphorylation site, based on mass spectrometry analysis of

phosphorylation sites in *A. thaliana* GEF14 (Mergner *et al.*, 2020). This putative phosphorylation site

is conserved in *At*GEF14 and *Hv*GEF14 but not outside the GEF14 clade.

HvGEF14 is expressed in epidermal cells and downregulated after *Bgh* inoculation

Based on their gene expression, *Arabidopsis* PRONE-GEFs have been shown to operate in different

plant tissues (Shin *et al.*, 2009; Zhang and McCormick, 2007). To understand the potential function of

barley *PRONE-GEF*s, gene expression patterns in different tissues were investigated. An initial *in silico*

expression analysis of the eight barley *PRONE-GEFs* was performed by consulting the RNAseq

database provided by The James-Hutton-Institute (https://ics.hutton.ac.uk/barleyGenes). In

accordance with previous studies in *A. thaliana*, the RNAseq data shows that some of the barley

*PRONE-GEF*s were expressed in distinct tissues only. *HvGEF3a*, for example, is mainly expressed in

the developing embryo. Other barley *GEFs*, like *HvGEF1*, show a broader expression pattern with

highest number of RNA fragments detected in embryos, root and grains. *HvGEF14* is the most

ubiquitously expressed PRONE-GEF of barley with highest fragment counts in almost all tissues,

except in senescing leaves. Interestingly, *HvGEF1* and *HvGEF14* are the only GEF genes expressed in

seedling shoots and epidermal peels with *HvGEF14* showing the highest fragment counts in these

two tissues compared to all other barley *PRONE-GEFs* (Tab. S6).

The leaf epidermis of barley provides an important interface for plant-pathogen interaction. Since

the barley type I ROP *Hv*RACB has been shown to play a crucial role in epidermis development and

susceptibility to the powdery mildew fungus (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2002), we concentrated on PRONE-

GEFs which might be of importance to signal transduction in the epidermis. We checked the gene

expression of *HvGEF14* via qRT-PCR in leaf and leaf epidermis from seven days old leaves of the

barley cultivar Golden Promise. In three independent biological replicates, we found higher transcript

levels of *HvGEF14* in the epidermis when compared to whole leaves (Fig. 3A). Notably, in three fully

independent biological experiments the *HvGEF14* expression level in the epidermis decreased after

inoculation with the biotrophic powdery mildew fungus *Bgh* compared to epidermal peels from

unchallenged leaves (Fig. 3B).

_Hv_GEF14 interacts with _Hv_RACB

*Hv*RACB is a barley ROP that supports fungal penetration by *Bgh* into epidermal cells, possibly by

supporting polar ingrowth of the fungal haustorium (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2020). So far, however,

nothing is known about a potential PRONE-GEF-mediated activation of *Hv*RACB. To check if *Hv*GEF14

is the putative HvRACB-activating PRONE-GEF, we analysed the direct protein-protein interaction

between *Hv*RACB and *Hv*GEF14 in yeast and *in planta*.

Plant ROPs can be mutagenized in the GTPase domain by exchanging a conserved glycine residue to

the hydrophobic valine (e.g., *Hv*RACB-G15V). These mutations render the ROP constitutively

activated (CA) regarding downstream signalling because of inactivating the intrinsic GTPase function,

which leads to a constantly GTP bound state (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003). Correspondingly, the exchange

of a conserved threonine in the N-terminal part of the protein with asparagine keeps the ROP in a

dominant negative (DN) confirmation (*Hv*RACB-T20N). A third mutation (*Hv*RACB-D121N) can be

performed at an aspartic acid to an uncharged asparagine in order to lower nucleotide affinity and

potentially increase GEF-binding affinity (Akamatsu *et al.*, 2013; Berken *et al.*, 2005; Cool *et al.*,

1999).

Interestingly, we found that full length *Hv*GEF14 and the *Hv*GEF14 PRONE domain (amino acids 124-

485) directly interact in yeast with wild type *Hv*RACB, CA *Hv*RACB-G15V and the low nucleotide

affinity version *Hv*RACB-D121N, but not with the DN *Hv*RACB-T20N variant (Fig. 4). All *Hv*RACB

variants were truncated at the *Hv*RACB CSIL motif to inhibit prenylation and membrane association in

yeast and hence facilitate protein accumulation in yeast nuclei. To substantiate the results, we

performed Western Blots, which confirmed protein stability in yeast (Fig. S5).

To test direct protein-protein interaction between full-length *Hv*GEF14 and previously used variants

of *Hv*RACB *in planta*, we conducted a series of experiments measuring Förster resonance energy

transfer by fluorescence lifetime imaging (FRET- FLIM) in transiently transformed barley epidermal

cells. (Fig. 5). As a FRET fluorophore pair, monomeric enhanced green fluorescent protein (meGFP)

and red fluorescent protein mCherry were chosen for their proven effectiveness in plant protein-

protein interaction studies (Denay *et al.*, 2019). meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 served as FRET-donor and hence

was used unchanged as an interaction partner in every combination tested. As FRET acceptor,

different variants of mCherry-*Hv*RACB (WT, G15V, D121N, T20N) and free mCherry were used. All

measurements took place at the cell periphery at the equatorial cell plane and both fluorophores

were detected for the measurements in every case.

Using these donor/acceptor combinations, decreased meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 lifetime can be tested upon

co-expression with a particular mCherry-*Hv*RACB variant. In three repetitions, meGFP-*Hv*GEF14

lifetimes varied but showed a significant lifetime reduction in epidermal cells transiently co-

expressing meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 and mCherry-*Hv*RACB WT to 2.49 ns on average compared to the

negative control with free mCherry recorded at 2.64 ns. This suggests that *Hv*GEF14 interacts directly

with wild type *Hv*RACB *in planta* even though the fluorescence lifetime reduction varied in between

cells (Fig. 5). The transient co-expression of meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 with mCherry-CA *Hv*RACB-G15V also

resulted in a significantly reduced GFP-lifetime of 2.37 ns on average. This reflects the interaction

assays in yeast and provides further evidence that *Hv*GEF14 can also interact with the CA variant

*Hv*RACB-G15V *in planta* (Fig. 5). Similarly, co-expression of mCherry-fused *Hv*RACB-D121N mutant

significantly decreases the meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 lifetime to 2.57 ns on average. However, this

combination of proteins leads to a higher population of cells with meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 lifetimes similar

to control levels, demonstrating non-interacting proteins. However, these results confirmed the

direct interaction of *Hv*GEF14 with *Hv*RACB-D121N in yeast (Fig. 4). The only mCherry-tagged *Hv*RACB

variant, which did not provoke a reduced meGFP-*Hv*GEF14 lifetime when compared to the control,

was the DN *Hv*RACB-T20N mutant. As observed in yeast-two-hybrid assays, there was no measurable

interaction between *Hv*GEF14 and *Hv*RACB-T20N *in planta* (Fig. 5). In total, the FRET-FLIM

experiments suggest a direct interaction between *Hv*GEF14 full length and *Hv*RACB wild type, -G15V

and -D121N *in planta*.

To test for possible specificity of *Hv*GEF14-ROP interaction, we also tested the interaction with

*Hv*RAC1, another epidermis-expressed barley ROP that is of type II. In Y2H, *Hv*GEF14 interacted with

WT and the CA *Hv*RAC1-G23V but not with DN *Hv*RAC1-T28N (Fig. S6, S7).

HvGEF14 over expression leads to activation of barley ROPs

Several ROP interacting proteins display a change of subcellular localisation in presence of an

activated ROP. This change in localisation is considered an indirect evidence for local ROP activity,

because those interactors preferable interact with GTP-loaded, signalling-activated ROPs (Li *et al.*,

2020; McCollum *et al.*, 2020; Schultheiss *et al.*, 2008). In transiently transformed epidermal cells, CA

*Hv*RACB is partially located at the cell periphery/plasma membrane, which depends on its C-terminal

CAAL prenylation motif (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003; Schultheiss *et al.*, 2008). *Hv*RIC171, a barley scaffold

protein that has been shown to directly interact with CA but not DN barley ROP variants (Schultheiss

et al., 2008), is recruited from the cytoplasm to the cell periphery and plasma membrane in the

presence of co-expressed CA *Hv*RACB-G15V but not DN *Hv*RACB-T20N. This strongly suggests *Hv*RACB

activation-dependent recruitment of *Hv*RIC171 (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2008). Based on this, we

monitored the localization of mCherry-tagged *Hv*RIC171 in barley epidermis cells in the presence or

absence of co-expressed *Hv*GEF14 to indirectly analyse the potential of *Hv*GEF14 to activate barley

ROPs like *Hv*RACB. mCherry-*Hv*RIC171 fluorescence significantly increased at the cell periphery when

*Hv*GEF14 was present compared to the empty vector (EV) control (Fig. 6A and B). This was evident

from an increase in normalised fluorescent signal intensity at the cell periphery in the equatorial

plane of the cell. Additionally, mCherry-*Hv*RIC171 signals appeared very irregular in the cell periphery

of control cells whereas mCherry-*Hv*RIC171 more evenly labelled the cell periphery of cells co-

expressing the putative ROP activator *Hv*GEF14 (Fig. 6A, lower panel).

To test specific activation of the susceptibility factor *Hv*RACB *in planta*, we made use of a FRET-based

activity sensor probe containing N-terminal meGFP-tagged *Hv*RACB and C-terminal mCherry-tagged

*Hv*CRIB46 on individual plasmids. Barley *Hv*CRIB46 represents an extended CRIB domain of HvRIC171

and was shown before to interact with CA *Hv*RACB but not DN *Hv*RACB. It can hence be considered,

such as CRIB domains in general, as preferably interacting with GTP-loaded RACB. To reduce

interference of endogenous signalling components in barley, the measurements were performed in

*N. benthamiana. meGFP-Hv*RACB WT did not interact with the negative control GST-mCherry (GFP

lifetime of 2.62 ns on average), but meGFP-*Hv*RACB WT lifetime is slightly but significantly reduced

when co-expressed with *Hv*CRIB46-mCherry (2.57 ns on average). This likely reflects the fact that wild

type HvRACB can switch between GDP and GTP loaded forms also in *N. benthamiana*. However,

when co-expressing HA-tagged *Hv*GEF14, we measured a significant decrease in meGFP fluorescence

lifetime, suggesting abundance of activated CRIB-binding *Hv*RACB-GTP. Since HA-*Hv*GEF14

transformation could not be verified in individual cells via microscopy, the protein was detected via

Western Blot after FRET-FLIM measurements (Fig. S8). As a positive control, the interaction of

meGFP-*Hv*RACB CA with *Hv*CRIB46-mCherry was measured at 2.35 ns on average (Fig. 7).

_Hv_GEF14 supports barley susceptibility towards penetration by _Bgh_

Since *HvGEF14* is expressed in barley shoot epidermis and interacts with two epidermis

expressed *Hv*ROPs, we tested the function of the exchange factor in the interaction of barley

and *Bgh*. Via transient single cell overexpression or RNAi-mediated silencing of *Hv*GEF14 in

barley epidermis cells and subsequent inoculation with fungal spores, we scored interaction

outcome for each transformed and attacked cell in at least five independent experiments.

On average we observed a significant increase of fungal success from 34.6% to 46.5%

penetration efficiency observed as haustorium formation in *Hv*GEF14 overexpressing cells

when compared to an empty vector control (Fig. 8).

We assessed the efficacy of RNAi-mediated gene silencing to 53% according to measuring

the reduction of GFP fluorescence intensities of single GFP-*Hv*GEF14 expressing barley cells

co-transformed with empty vector or *HvGEF14* gene silencing construct, respectively (Tab.

S7). The knock down of *HvGEF14* by RNAi led to the opposite effect of over-expression; a

decrease in penetration rate from 26.4% in the controls to only 16.5% in cells, in which

HvGEF14 was silenced by RNAi. Absolute penetration rates are a bit lower in RNAi

experiments due to longer incubation time between microprojectile-mediated

transformation and inoculation and considerably varied from experiment to experiment

even in the controls. Accordingly, a p-value of 0.07 was computed during statistical analysis

of the means (Fig. 8). However, when comparing to individual controls, all six independent

repetitions of the experiment showed the same trend towards reduced penetration success

after knock-down of *HvGEF14*.

Discussion

The barley susceptibility factor *Hv*RACB has been studied over the last decades to

understand underlying molecular mechanisms of its role in support of fungal entry into

barley epidermal cells (Engelhardt *et al.*, 2020). However, a critical step in this pathway may

be the transition from a GDP-bound, signalling inactive, towards the GTP-loaded signalling

activated state of *Hv*RACB. As shown in the model species *A. thaliana* and in *O. sativa*, it is

apparent that plant specific PRONE-GEFs can facilitate the activation of ROP downstream

signalling. In this work, we therefore investigated the role of a barley PRONE-GEF candidate.

This revealed the epidermis-expressed and transcriptionally *Bgh*-regulated *Hv*GEF14 and its

likely role in ROP activation and susceptibility to fungal penetration.

According to our phylogenetic analysis, we suggest that PRONE-GEF14 has a unique position

in the evolution of PRONE-GEFs. A BLAST search with the primary sequence of *At*GEF14

reveals that the closest ortholog to PRONE-GEF14 in the moss *Physcomitrium patens* is

*Pp*GEF1 and that there is no PRONE-GEF14 found in the moss (see Eklund *et al.* (2010)). In

addition, in the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha*, only one PRONE-GEF can be found in the

genome. The primary sequence of this PRONE-GEF, KARAPPO, is also most similar to *At*GEF1

(Fig. S8 and Hiwatashi *et al.* (2019). However, the ancient angiosperm species *Amborella*

seems to encode a GEF14 ortholog (protein accession XP_006878646). Hence, PRONE-GEF14

proteins might have evolved early in angiosperms before separation of monocots and dicots.

We could show the abundance of *HvGEF14* transcript in barley leaves and measured higher

transcript levels in epidermal peels when compared to whole leaves (Fig. 3A). Possible

candidate ROPs to interact with the gene product of *HvGEF14* in barley epidermis are the

five epidermis expressed small GTPases: *HvRACB*, *HvRAC1*, *HvRAC3*, *HvRACD* and *HvROP6*.

Furthermore, *HvRACB*, *HvRACD* and *HvROP6* had stronger transcript signals in the epidermis

compared to mesophyll in RT-PCR assays. When leaves were challenged with *Bgh*, several

susceptibility related barley ROP genes had slightly lower transcript levels compared to non-

inoculated controls (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003). This gene expression profile in the epidermis is

reminiscent of the *HvGEF14* expression in barley epidermis during *Bgh* attack (Fig. 3B).

In the model plant *A. thaliana*, *AtGEF14* expression has also been reported in leaves via RT-

PCR experiments. In addition, *AtGEF14* expression patterns responded to a variety of abiotic

stresses (Shin *et al.*, 2009) which points to underlying regulatory mechanisms in response to

environmental signals. It is shown that a *Bgh* effector, *Bgh* ROP-interactive peptide 1, can

interact with *Hv*RACB and supports fungal virulence (Nottensteiner *et al.*, 2018). We

speculate that downregulation of *HvGEF14* transcripts and some barley *ROPs* could reflect a

plant response to fungal interference with host ROP signalling, to which the plant reacts by

countermeasures and downregulation of the susceptibility pathway. Alternatively,

downregulation of *HvGEF14* could reflect a re-allocation of resources from growth into

defence, if *Hv*GEF14 would have a physiological function in growth and development.

This study shows direct protein-protein interaction of *Hv*GEF14 with wild type *Hv*RACB, CA

*Hv*RACB-G15V and *Hv*RACB-D121N (low nucleotide affinity) (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). In addition, we

report *Hv*GEF14 interaction with wild type *Hv*RAC1 and CA *Hv*RAC1-G23V in yeast (Fig. S6).

The fact that *Hv*GEF14 can interact with both, type I and type II ROPs suggests that it might

function in more than one signalling pathway. The interaction with WT ROPs provides the

basis for a possible role of this barley PRONE-GEF in small GTPase signalling.

In accordance with other studies in *A. thaliana*, which have previously shown interaction of

PRONE-GEFs with D121N-like low nucleotide affinity mutants of *At*ROPs (Akamatsu *et al.*,

2013; Berken *et al.*, 2005; Denninger *et al.*, 2019; Gu *et al.*, 2006), we could measure *in*

planta interaction of *Hv*RACB D121N with *Hv*GEF14. The initial discovery of the 14 *A.*

thaliana PRONE-GEFs was made in a yeast-two-hybrid screen with *At*ROP4 D121N as bait

(Berken *et al.*, 2005). A similar strategy was used to find PRONE-GEFs of *Os*RAC1 in *O. sativa*

(Akamatsu *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, a global investigation into *At*GEF-*At*ROP1 interactions

showed that *At*GEF14 preferably interacts with a D121A/C188S mutant of *At*ROP1 *in vitro*

(Gu *et al.*, 2006). This interaction of PRONE-GEFs with low nucleotide affinity ROPs might

stem from the fact that these ROP mutants might still cycle between activated and

inactivated conformations while providing stronger affinity to PRONE-GEFs (Berken *et al.*,

2005). Alternatively, the D121N mutation might lead to a similar protein conformation as the

nucleotide free ROP, which has been crystallised in complex with the PRONE domain of

*At*GEF8 (Thomas *et al.*, 2007).

The interaction of PRONE-GEFs with other mutants of ROPs was also reported in *O. sativa*.

*Os*GEF1 interaction with *Os*RAC1 mutants was shown via split Venus fluorescence

complementation assays in protoplasts. Both, the constitutively activated *Os*RAC1-G19V as

well as the dominant negative *Os*RAC1-T24N variants were able to reconstitute fluorescence

of fluorescent Venus at the plasma membrane (Akamatsu *et al.*, 2013).

Interaction of PRONE-GEFs with CA ROPs has also been repeatedly reported here and before

(e.g., Akamatsu et al. 2013; Denninger et al. 2019). Together, data support that *Hv*GEF14 can

interact with *Hv*RACB in *planta*. Together with data from the literature, however, a picture

emerges that supports that PRONE-GEFs do not only interact with ROP-GDP but possibly can

stay in contact to ROP-GTP after nucleotide exchange. This could have several reasons,

which might be further studied. One possibility is that a PRONE-GEF-ROP(GTP) complex is

stabilised when the ROP is arrested in a CA status. Alternatively, activated ROPs might stay in

contact to GEFs for a feedback regulation on ROP activity through complexes formed with

ROP executers as discussed before (Wu and Lew, 2013). Because PRONE-GEFs including

*Hv*GEF14 can form dimers, GEF-GEF – ROP-GTP interaction could also recruit further ROP-

GDP for activation (Smokvarska *et al.*, 2021).

Sub-cellular localisation of signalling protein complexes is a key to ROP-mediated processes.

After activation, ROPs relocate to or are stabilized in their association with the plasma

membrane. There, they interact with downstream effectors/executers to facilitate, amongst

other functions, polar growth processes (Kawano *et al.*, 2014; Poraty-Gavra *et al.*, 2013;

Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003). During *A. thaliana* pollen tube growth, for example, the localisation

of activated *At*ROP1 to the apical plasma membrane regulates tip growth (Gu *et al.*, 2004).

This specific localisation of activated *At*ROP1 to the membrane leads to the accumulation of

downstream executers like *At*RIC4 in the same compartment. In presence of dominant

negative *At*ROP1, however, the RIC protein localises to the cytoplasm (Gu *et al.*, 2005). In

addition, the co-expression of a ROP-GAP, which renders *At*ROP1 inactive, also results in the

relocation of *At*RIC4 to the cytoplasm. In this model system of polar growth, the correct

localisation of activated *At*ROP1 as well as *At*RIC4 was observed to be crucial for

downstream signal transduction and fine tuning of the growth process (Hwang *et al.*, 2005).

To assess *Hv*RACB signalling activity status *in planta*, we made use of the previously

published recruitment of *Hv*RIC171 to CA *Hv*RACB-G15V, which otherwise largely resides at

the plasma membrane. In the same studies, *Hv*RIC171 was shown to be recruited to the site

of fungal penetration likely via endogenously activated ROPs (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003;

Schultheiss *et al.*, 2008). Via confocal microscopy, we measured higher mCherry-*Hv*RIC171

localisation at the cell periphery in the presence of transiently over-expressed *Hv*GEF14 (Fig.

6). Based on previous work on *A. thaliana* and *H. vulgare* RICs, this finding suggests that the

over-expression of *Hv*GEF14 leads to a higher ratio of activated endogenous ROPs, which in

turn recruit *Hv*RIC171 towards the cell periphery. Another way to observe ROP activation *in*

planta is via CRIB-based ROP activity sensors that have previously been adapted for plants

(Kawano *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2018; Wong *et al.*, 2018). This so-called Ras and

interacting chimeric unit (Raichu) sensor includes the fluorophores Venus and CFP as FRET-

pair. ROP activity is monitored by its interaction with the CRIB domain of a downstream

executer. In a similar manner, we used the interaction of activated *Hv*RACB with a *Hv*RIC171

fragment containing the CRIB domain in FRET-FLIM assays using the efficient FRET-pair

meGFP and mCherry on separate plasmids (Denay *et al.*, 2019). With the setup in this study,

it is possible to monitor ROP activation status in *N. benthamiana* cells with different ROP and

interactor combinations. We observed a lower GFP-lifetime when we co-expressed

*Hv*GEF14, which strongly suggests that it can activate *Hv*RACB which leads to interaction of

GTP-loaded *Hv*RACB and *Hv*CRIB46 (Fig. 7).

In parallel, the transient over-expression of *Hv*GEF14 in barley epidermal cells leads to a

significant increase in *Bgh* penetration success (Fig. 8). This phenotype is similar to enhanced

fungal penetration during CA *Hv*RACB-G15V over-expression (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003), as

well as the over-expression of *Hv*RACB-downstream executers, such as *Hv*RIC171

(Schultheiss *et al.*, 2008) and *Hv*RIPb (McCollum *et al.*, 2020). Transient silencing of *Hv*GEF14,

on the other hand, renders barley epidermis cells by trend more resistant to *Bgh* penetration

(Fig. 8). Even though the phenotype could be observed in every repetition when comparing

*Hv*GEF14 RNAi with its respective control, the extend of fungal penetration varied amongst

repetitions so that the significance threshold of 0.05 was not met after statistical analysis.

Considering however, that the inoculation with *Bgh* naturally decreases the transcript of

HvGEF14 (see Fig. 3), additional ectopic knock down perhaps cannot be expected to exert a

major additional effect. Taken together, these assays point to a role of *Hv*GEF14 in

supporting the accommodation of *Bgh* infection structures in barley epidermal cells, similar

to and possibly in cooperation with the susceptibility factor *Hv*RACB and other epidermis

expressed *Hv*ROPs (Schultheiss *et al.*, 2003).

An overview and comprehensive annotation of the PRONE-GEFs from *A. thaliana*, *O. sativa*

and *H. vulgare* was prepared using maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis. The analysed

PRONE-GEFs distribute into three clades based on high bootstrap values at the branching

points (Fig. 1) which is partly in accordance with previously published PRONE-GEF

phylogenies (Berken *et al.*, 2005; Kim *et al.*, 2020; Shin *et al.*, 2009; Zhang and McCormick,

2007). Shin and colleagues (2009) use the same alignment algorithm as in this study to build

a dendrogram of *A. thaliana* PRONE-GEFs. The resulting tree does not include bootstrap

analysis but also highlights three clades of PRONE-GEFs with different distribution when

compared to the clades published in this study. In their analysis, *At*GEF14 belongs to group

2, and *At*GEF1 is most separated from all other *A. thaliana* PRONE-GEFs (Shin *et al.*, 2009).

Our results, however, indicate that PRONE-GEF1 from all three species cluster in clade I (Fig.

1). *At*GEF14 is placed next to *At*GEF12 and *At*GEF11 in Shin et al. (2009). This is also the case

in Berken *et al.* (2005) who report a phylogram of *A. thaliana*, *O. sativa*, *M. truncatula* (*Mt*)

and *L. esculentum* (*Le*) PRONE-GEFs. The resulting TreeView phylogeny in Berken *et al.*

(2005) also does not report bootstrap values and differs to our phylogenetic tree in the early

branching of *At*GEF7. Another phylogeny has been published by Zhang and McCormick

(2007) who compare to that date known PRONE-GEFs from *A. thaliana*, *O. sativa*, *P. patens*

(*Pp*), *M. truncatula* (*Mt*) and *L. esculentum* (*Le*). Based on CLUSTALW alignment and

MEGA3.1 neighbour joining algorithm with bootstrap analysis, the authors define two

distinct groups of PRONE-GEFs with *At*GEFs 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14 as well as *Le*KPP and

*Mt*GEF1 in one group with several non-annotated *Os*GEFs. In addition to the two groups of

PRONE-GEFs published by Zhang and McCormick (2007), we emphasize the branching of

PRONE-GEF14 proteins (with high confidence of bootstrap value 100) by dividing previously

published clade II further and highlighting the unique position of PRONE-GEF14 in a separate

clade III. A more recently published PRONE-GEF alignment compares *A. thaliana* and *O.*

sativa proteins (Kim *et al.*, 2020) with similar methods as has been used here. Though the

annotation of *Os*GEFs does not match with previously published *Os*GEF nomenclature we

can confirm distinct clades of PRONE-GEFs 1-7 and 8-13 as well as the placement of PRONE-

GEF14 separated from the other two clades (Fig. 1 and (Kim *et al.*, 2020)). Due to high

confidence bootstrap analysis and comprehensive annotation of *O. sativa* and *H. vulgare*

PRONE-GEFs, we propose to base further comparisons on the presented phylogeny (Fig. 1). A

unique position of GEF14 proteins in the phylogeny of PRONE-GEFs is further supported by

its comparably low sequence conservation of the PRONE domain, when compared to all

other PRONE-GEFs (Fig. S1, Tab. S1).

In conclusion, *Hv*GEF14 is a *bona fide* barley PRONE-GEF and interacts with barley ROPs. The

interaction with susceptibility-related barley ROPs might lead to ROP activation and

therefore facilitate ROP functions in susceptibility to invasion by *Bgh*. Research on *A.*

thaliana PRONE-GEFs highlights the interplay of different PRONE-GEFs to facilitate polar

growth processes like root hair formation or pollen tube growth (Denninger *et al.*, 2019; Li *et*

al., 2020). It has to be studied if *Hv*GEF14 function is choreographed in a similar manner with

other barley PRONE-GEFs. In addition, other PRONE-GEF interactors, such as potential

upstream RLKs, remain to be investigated. In future studies, susceptibility- and *Hv*RACB-

related candidate RLKs (Douchkov *et al.*, 2014; Schnepf *et al.*, 2018) will be of special interest

to link *Hv*RACB signalling to cell surface signal perception.

Supplementary data

Fig. S1: Phylogenetic tree of *A. thaliana* and *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs.

Fig. S2: MUSCLE alignment of *A. thaliana*, *O. sativa* and *H. vulgare* PRONE-GEFs.

Fig. S3: *Hv*GEF14 homo-dimerises with full length and PRONE domain (amino acids 124-485) in yeast-two hybrid.

Fig. S4: Original images of *Hv*GEF14 full length and PRONE domain (amino acids 124-485) interaction with *Hv*RACB variants (wild type, WT; G15V; D121N; T20N) via yeast-two hybrid.

Fig. S5: Western Blot of yeast proteins of Y2H *Hv*GEF14 interaction with *Hv*RACB on PVDF membranes.

Fig. S6: *Hv*RAC1 wild type (WT), G23V constitutively activated (CA), T28N dominant negative (DN) variants were tested as bait against prey constructs *Hv*GEF14 full length, *Hv*GEF14 124-485 (PRONE domain) or empty vector (EV).

Fig. S7: Western Blot of yeast proteins Y2H *Hv*GEF14 interaction with *Hv*RAC1 on PVDF membranes.

Fig. S8: Western Blot of proteins extracted from *N. benthamiana* on PVDF membranes.

Table S1: Primary sequence similarity of HvGEFs when compared to consensus sequence shows highest variability of HvGEF14 primary sequence.

Table S2: List of barley PRONE-GEFs with gene/protein identifiers, annotation, PRONE prediction, and protein sequence length.

Table S3: List of plasmids used in this study.

Table S4: List of primers used in this study.

Table S6: Tissue-specific *Hv*GEF gene expression based on RNAseq (James Hutton Institute) in fragments per reads per kilobase million (FPKM).

Table S7: RNAi efficiency of GEF14 hairpin construct in transient transformation of barley epidermal cells.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Johanna Hofer for outstanding technical assistance as well as Carolina Galgenmüller. Thanks goes to Parvinderdeep Kahlon and Lina Muñoz for critical reading of the manuscript as well as Lukas Weiss, Christopher McCollum and Michaela Stegmann for intellectual input and methodological assistance. We would like to thank the Center of Advanced Light Microscopy (CALM) imaging centre of TUM for providing access to the FRET-FLIM system. We are grateful to the German Research Foundation (DFG) for funding this work via SFB924.

Author contributions

RH was responsible for conception and AT, SE and RH designed the work. Data acquisition and analysis was performed by AT as well as interpretation of the data in collaboration with SE and RH. SE assisted in data acquisition for Fig. 8. AT drafted the manuscript and prepared the final version of the work after revision by SE and RH. All authors have approved the submitted version and have agreed both to be personally accountable for the author's own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which the author was not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature.

Data availability statement

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files]. Additional datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

Akamatsu A, Uno K, Kato M, Wong HL, Shimamoto K, Kawano Y. 2015. New insights into the dimerization of small GTPase Rac/ROP guanine nucleotide exchange factors in rice. Plant signaling and behavior **10**, e1044702.

Akamatsu A, Wong HL, Fujiwara M, Okuda J, Nishide K, Uno K, Imai K, Umemura K, Kawasaki T, Kawano Y, Shimamoto K. 2013. An OsCEBiP/OsCERK1-OsRacGEF1-OsRac1 module is an essential early component of chitin-induced rice immunity. Cell host & microbe **13**, 465–476.

Berken A, Thomas C, Wittinghofer A. 2005. A new family of RhoGEFs activates the Rop molecular switch in plants. Nature **436**, 1176–1180.

Bloch D, Yalovsky S. 2013. Cell polarity signaling. Current opinion in plant biology **16**, 734–742.

Chang F, Gu Y, Ma H, Yang Z. 2013. AtPRK2 promotes ROP1 activation via RopGEFs in the control of polarized pollen tube growth. Molecular plant **6**, 1187–1201.

Chen M, Liu H, Kong J, Yang Y, Zhang N, Li R, Yue J, Huang J, Li C, Cheung AY, Tao L-Z. 2011. RopGEF7 Regulates PLETHORA-Dependent Maintenance of the Root Stem Cell Niche in Arabidopsis. The Plant cell **23**, 2880-2894.

Chen X, Friml J. 2014. Rho-GTPase-regulated vesicle trafficking in plant cell polarity. Biochemical Society transactions **42**, 212–218.

Chomczynski P, Sachhi N. 1987. Single-step Method of RNA Isolation by Acid Guanidinium Thiocyanate-Phenol-Chloroform Extraction. Analytical Biochemistry **162**, 156-159.

Cool RH, Schmidt G, Lenzen CU, Prinz H, Vogt D, Wittinghofer A. 1999. The Ras Mutant D119N Is Both Dominant Negative and Activated. MOLECULAR AND CELLULAR BIOLOGY **19**, 6297–6305.

Denay G, Schultz P, Hansch S, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Simon R. 2019. Over the rainbow: A practical guide for fluorescent protein selection in plant FRET experiments. Plant Direct **3**, 1–14.

Denninger P, Reichelt A, Schmidt VAF, Mehlhorn DG, Asseck LY, Stanley CE, Keinath NF, Evers JF, Grefen C, Grossmann G. 2019. Distinct RopGEFs Successively Drive Polarization and Outgrowth of Root Hairs. Current Biology **29**, 1854-1865 e1855.

Douchkov D, Lück S, Johrde A, Nowara D, Himmelbach A, Rajaraman J, Stein N, Sharma R, Kilian B, Schweizer P. 2014. Discovery of genes affecting resistance of barley to adapted and non-adapted powdery mildew fungi. Genome Biology **15**.

Eklund DM, Svensson EM, Kost B. 2010. Physcomitrella patens: a model to investigate the role of RAC/ROP GTPase signalling in tip growth. Journal of experimental botany **61**, 1917–1937.

Engelhardt S, Trutzenberg A, Hueckelhoven R. 2020. Regulation and Functions of ROP GTPases in Plant-Microbe Interactions. Cells **9**.

Fehér A, Lajkó DB. 2015. Signals fly when kinases meet Rho-of-plants (ROP) small G-proteins. Plant Science **237**, 93–107.

Gu Y, Fu Y, Dowd P, Li S, Vernoud V, Gilroy S, Yang Z. 2005. A Rho family GTPase controls actin dynamics and tip growth via two counteracting downstream pathways in pollen tubes. Journal of Cell Biology **169**, 127-138.

Gu Y, Li S, Lord EM, Yang Z. 2006. Members of a novel class of Arabidopsis Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factors control Rho GTPase-dependent polar growth. The Plant cell **18**, 366–381.

Gu Y, Wang Z, Yang Z. 2004. ROP/RAC GTPase: An old new master regulator for plant signaling. Current opinion in plant biology **7**, 527–536.

Hiwatashi T, Goh H, Yasui Y, Koh LQ, Takami H, Kajikawa M, Kirita H, Kanazawa T, Minamino N, Togawa T, Sato M, Wakazaki M, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Fukaki H, Mimura T, Toyooka K, Sawa S, Yamato KT, Ueda T, Urano D, Kohchi T, Ishizaki K. 2019. The RopGEF KARAPPO Is Essential for the Initiation of Vegetative Reproduction in Marchantia polymorpha. Current Biology **29**, 1-7.

Hoefle C, Huesmann C, Schultheiss H, Börnke F, Hensel G, Kumlehn J, Hückelhoven R. 2011. A barley ROP GTPase ACTIVATING PROTEIN associates with microtubules and regulates entry of the barley powdery mildew fungus into leaf epidermal cells. The Plant cell **23**, 2422–2439.

Hoefle C, McCollum C, Hückelhoven R. 2020. Barley ROP-Interactive Partner-a organizes into RAC1- and MICROTUBULE-ASSOCIATED ROP-GTPASE ACTIVATING PROTEIN 1-dependent membrane domains. BMC Plant Biology **20**, 1-12.

Huang C, jiao X, Yang L, Zhang M, Dai M, Wang L, Wang K, Bai L, Song C. 2018a. ROP-GEF signal transduction is involved in AtCAP1-regulated root hair growth. Plant Growth Regulation **87**, 1-8.

Huang J, Liu H, Berberich T, Liu Y, Tao LZ, Liu T. 2018b. Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor 7B (RopGEF7B) is involved in floral organ development in Oryza sativa. Rice (N Y) **11**, 1-13.

Hückelhoven R, Dechert C, Kogel KH. 2003. Overexpression of barley BAX inhibitor 1 induces breakdown of mlo-mediated penetration resistance to Blumeria graminis. Proceedings of National Academy of Science USA **100**, 5555-5560.

Hwang J-U, Gu Y, Lee Y-J, Yang Z. 2005. Oscillatory ROP GTPase Activation Leads the Oscillatory Polarized Growth of Pollen Tubes. Molecular Biology of the Cell **16**, 5385–5399.

International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium T. 2012. A physical, genetic and functional sequence assembly of the barley genome. Nature **491**, 711-715.

Kawano Y, Akamatsu A, Hayashi K, Housen Y, Okuda J, Yao A, Nakashima A, Takahashi H, Yoshida H, Wong HL, Kawasaki T, Shimamoto K. 2010. Activation of a Rac GTPase by the NLR family disease resistance protein Pit plays a critical role in rice innate immunity. Cell Host Microbe **7**, 362-375.

Kawano Y, Kaneko-Kawano T, Shimamoto K. 2014. Rho family GTPase-dependent immunity in plants and animals. Frontiers in plant science **5**, 522.

Kim E-J, Park S-W, Hong W-J, Silva J, Liang W, Zhang D, Jung K-H, Kim Y-J. 2020. Genome-wide analysis of RopGEF gene family to identify genes contributing to pollen tube growth in rice (Oryza sativa). BMC Plant Biology **20**, 1.

Li E, Zhang YL, Shi X, Li H, Yuan X, Li S, Zhang Y. 2020. A positive feedback circuit for ROP-mediated polar growth. Molecular plant **14**, 395-410.

Lin W, Tang W, Anderson C, Yang Z. 2018. FERONIA's sensing of cell wall pectin activates ROP GTPase signaling in Arabidopsis. BioRxiV, 33.

Liu J, Liu MX, Qiu LP, Xie F. 2020. SPIKE1 Activates the GTPase ROP6 to Guide the Polarized Growth of Infection Threads in Lotus japonicus. Plant Cell **32**, 3774-3791.

Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods **25**, 402–408.

Mascher M, Wicker T, Jenkins J, Plott C, Lux T, Koh CS, Ens J, Gundlach H, Boston LB, Tulpova Z, Holden S, Hernandez-Pinzon I, Scholz U, Mayer KFX, Spannagl M, Pozniak CJ, Sharpe AG, Simkova H, Moscou MJ, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Stein N. 2021. Long-read sequence assembly: a technical evaluation in barley. Plant Cell **0**, 1-19.

McCollum C, Engelhardt S, Weiss L, Huckelhoven R. 2020. ROP INTERACTIVE PARTNER b Interacts with RACB and Supports Fungal Penetration into Barley Epidermal Cells. Plant Physiol **184**, 823-836.

Mergner J, Frejno M, List M, Papacek M, Chen X, Chaudhary A, Samaras P, Richter S, Shikata H, Messerer M, Lang D, Altmann S, Cyprys P, Zolg DP, Mathieson T, Bantscheff M, Hazarika RR, Schmidt T, Dawid C, Dunkel A, Hofmann T, Sprunck S, Falter-Braun P, Johannes F, Mayer KFX, Jurgens G, Wilhelm M, Baumbach J, Grill E, Schneitz K, Schwechheimer C, Kuster B. 2020. Mass-spectrometry-based draft of the Arabidopsis proteome. Nature **579**, 409-414.

Mucha E, Fricke I, Schaefer A, Wittinghofer A, Berken A. 2011. Rho proteins of plants--functional cycle and regulation of cytoskeletal dynamics. European Journal of Cell Biology **90**, 934-943.

Nagawa S, Xu T, Yang Z. 2010. RHO GTPase in plants: Conservation and invention of regulators and effectors. Small GTPases **1**, 78–88.

Nibau C, Wu HM, Cheung AY. 2006. RAC/ROP GTPases: 'hubs' for signal integration and diversification in plants. Trends Plant Science **11**, 309-315.

Nottensteiner M, Zechmann B, McCollum C, Huckelhoven R. 2018. A Barley Powdery Mildew Fungus Non-Autonomous Retrotransposon Encodes a Peptide that Supports Penetration Success on Barley. Journal of experimental botany **69**, 293745–293758.

Opalski KS, Schultheiss H, Kogel K-H, Hückelhoven R. 2005. The receptor-like MLO protein and the RAC/ROP family G-protein RACB modulate actin reorganization in barley attacked by the biotrophic powdery mildew fungus Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei. The Plant journal : for cell and molecular biology **41**, 291–303.

Pathuri IP, Zellerhoff N, Schaffrath U, Hensel G, Kumlehn J, Kogel K-H, Eichmann R, Hückelhoven R. 2008. Constitutively activated barley ROPs modulate epidermal cell size, defense reactions and interactions with fungal leaf pathogens. Plant cell reports **27**, 1877–1887.

Poraty-Gavra L, Zimmermann P, Haigis S, Bednarek P, Hazak O, Stelmakh OR, Sadot E, Schulze-Lefert P, Gruissem W, Yalovsky S. 2013. The Arabidopsis Rho of plants GTPase AtROP6 functions in developmental and pathogen response pathways. Plant Physiology **161**, 1172–1188.

Qiu JL, Jilk R, Marks MD, Szymanski DB. 2002. The Arabidopsis SPIKE1 gene is required for normal cell shape control and tissue development. Plant Cell **14**, 101-118.

Qu S, Zhang X, Song Y, Lin J, Shan X. 2017. THESEUS1 positively modulates plant defense responses against Botrytis cinerea through GUANINE EXCHANGE FACTOR4 signaling. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **59**, 797–804.

Scheler B, Schnepf V, Galgenmüller C, Ranf S, Hückelhoven R. 2016. Barley disease susceptibility factor RACB acts in epidermal cell polarity and positioning of the nucleus. Journal of experimental botany **67**, 3263–3275.

Schmidt A, Hall A. 2002. Guanine nucleotide exchange factors for Rho GTPases: turning on the switch. Genes & Development **16**, 1587–1609.

Schnepf V, Vlot AC, Kugler K, Hückelhoven R. 2018. Barley susceptibility factor RACB modulates transcript levels of signalling protein genes in compatible interaction with Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei. Molecular plant pathology **19**, 393–404.

Schultheiss H, Dechert C, Kogel K-H, Hückelhoven R. 2002. A small GTP-binding host protein is required for entry of powdery mildew fungus into epidermal cells of barley. Plant Physiology **128**, 1447–1454.

Schultheiss H, Dechert C, Kogel K-H, Hückelhoven R. 2003. Functional analysis of barley RAC/ROP G-protein family members in susceptibility to the powdery mildew fungus. The Plant Journal **36**, 589–601.

Schultheiss H, Hensel G, Imani J, Broeders S, Sonnewald U, Kogel K-H, Kumlehn J, Hückelhoven R. 2005. Ectopic expression of constitutively activated RACB in barley enhances susceptibility to powdery mildew and abiotic stress. Plant Physiology **139**, 353–362.

Schultheiss H, Preuss J, Pircher T, Eichmann R, Hückelhoven R. 2008. Barley RIC171 interacts with RACB in planta and supports entry of the powdery mildew fungus. Cellular microbiology **10**, 1815–1826.

Shin DH, Kim T-L, Kwon Y-K, Cho M-H, Yoo J, Jeon J-S, Hahn T-R, Bhoo SH. 2009. Characterization of Arabidopsis RopGEF family genes in response to abiotic stresses. Plant Biotechnology Reports **3**, 183-190.

Smokvarska M, Jaillais Y, Martiniere A. 2021. Function of membrane domains in Rho-Of-Plant signaling. Plant Physiology **185**, 663–681.

Tang W, Lin W, Li B, Yang Z. 2021. Mechano-transduction via the pectin-FERONIA complex regulates ROP6 GTPase signaling in Arabidopsis. BioRxiV.

Thomas C, Fricke I, Scrima A, Berken A, Wittinghofer A. 2007. Structural evidence for a common intermediate in small G protein-GEF reactions. Molecular cell **25**, 141–149.

Thomas C, Fricke I, Weyand M, Berken A. 2009. 3D structure of a binary ROP-PRONE complex: The final intermediate for a complete set of molecular snapshots of the RopGEF reaction. Biological chemistry **390**, 427–435.

Vetter IR, Wittinghofer A. 2001. The guanine nucleotide-binding switch in three dimensions. Science **294**, 1299-1304.

Wang Q, Li Y, Ishikawa K, Kosami K-I, Uno K, Nagawa S, Tan L, Du J, Shimamoto K, Kawano Y. 2018. Resistance protein Pit interacts with the GEF OsSPK1 to activate OsRac1 and trigger rice immunity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **115**, 1-10.

Winge P, Brembu T, Kristensen R, Bones AM. 2000. Genetic Structure and Evolution of RAC-GTPases in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetics **156**, 1959-1971.

Wong HL, Akamatsu A, Wang Q, Higuchi M, Matsuda T, Okuda J, Kosami KI, Inada N, Kawasaki T, Kaneko-Kawano T, Nagawa S, Tan L, Kawano Y, Shimamoto K. 2018. In vivo monitoring of plant small GTPase activation using a Forster resonance energy transfer biosensor. Plant Methods **14**, 56.

Wu C-F, Lew DJ. 2013. Beyond symmetry-breaking: Competition and negative feedback in GTPase regulation. Trends in cell biology **23**, 476–483.

Wu G, Li H, Yang Z. 2000. Arabidopsis RopGAPs Are a Novel Family of Rho GTPase-Activating Proteins that Require the Cdc42/Rac- Interactive Binding Motif for Rop-Specific GTPase Stimulation. Plant Physiology **124**, 1625-1636.

Yalovsky S. 2015. Protein lipid modifications and the regulation of ROP GTPase function. Journal of experimental botany **66**, 1617-1624.

Yamaguchi K, Imai K, Akamatsu A, Mihashi M, Hayashi N, Shimamoto K, Kawasaki T. 2012. SWAP70 functions as a Rac/Rop guanine nucleotide-exchange factor in rice. The Plant Journal **70**, 389–397.

Yamaguchi K, Kawasaki T. 2012. Function of Arabidopsis SWAP70 GEF in immune response. Plant signaling & behavior **7**, 465–468.

Yang Y, Li R, Qi M. 2000. In vivo analysis of plant promoters and transcription factors by agroinfiltration of tobacco leaves. The Plant Journal **22**, 543-551.

Yoo JH, Park J-H, Cho S-H, Yoo S-C, Li J, Zhang H, Kim K-S, Koh H-J, Paek N-C. 2011. The rice bright green leaf (bgl) locus encodes OsRopGEF10, which activates the development of small cuticular papillae on leaf surfaces. Plant molecular biology **77**, 631–641.

Zhang T, Lei J, Yang H, Xu K, Wang R, Zhang Z. 2011. An improved method for whole protein extraction from yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast **28**, 795-798.

Zhang Y, McCormick S. 2007. A distinct mechanism regulating a pollen-specific guanine nucleotide exchange factor for the small GTPase Rop in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **104**, 18830–18835.

Zheng Z-L, Yang Z. 2000. The Rop GTPase: an emerging switch in plants. Plant molecular biology, 1-9.

Figure Legends

Fig.1: **PRONE-GEFs cluster in three distinctive clades**. Phylogenetic analysis of PRONE-GEFs of

Arabidopsis thaliana (*At*), *Oryza sativa* (*Os*) and *Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*) based on MUSCLE alignment.

Maximum likelihood tree was calculated based on available amino acid sequences (NCBI and barley Morex genome version3) and annotation of barley PRONE-GEFs was performed based on this tree.

Fig.2: *Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*) **PRONE-GEF** MUSCLE alignment with annotation of PRONE domain (according to NCBI prediction) in grey, GEF-GEF interaction residues and ROP-GEF interaction residues highlighted in purple. Predicted phosphorylation site in *Hv*GEF14 highlighted in turquoise. Protein IDs according to NCBI accessions and annotation based on MUSCLE alignment with *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs (see Fig. S1)

Fig. 3: ***HvGEF14* shows increased expression in epidermal peels and downregulation after inoculation with fungal spores.** *HvGEF14* is expressed in barley epidermis (A) and downregulated after Bgh inoculation (1 dpi) (B) in three independent repetitions (plants harvested on different days). Foldchange expression was calculated with primer efficiency correction and the 2^(-ddCt) method by Livak and Schmittgen (2001) and normalised to transcript levels in whole leaves (A) and non- inoculated epidermis (B).

Fig.4: ***Hv*GEF14 interacts with barley ROP variants in yeast**. *Hv*RACB wild type (WT), G15V constitutively activated (CA), T20N dominant negative (DN) and D121N low nucleotide affinity variants were tested as bait against prey constructs *Hv*GEF14 full length, *Hv*GEF14 amino acids 124-485 (PRONE domain) or empty vector (EV). Interaction of proteins shown on media containing amino acid mix without leucine (L), tryptophan (W) and histidine (H), (-L-W-H) in two dilutions (factor 10^{-1}) to identify growth of single yeast colonies. Representative image of three experiments with the same result. Successful yeast transformation was confirmed with selective media (amino acid mix without leucine (L) and tryptophan (W) (-L-W). Dropout was performed on one -L-W and one -L-W-H plate and images were cropped during figure preparation for better visibility. Original images can be found in Fig. S1 (Fig. S4).

*Fig. 5: **Hv**GEF14 interacts with HvRACB variants in planta*. GFP-tagged *Hv*GEF14 full length was observed in FRET-FLIM experiments to interact with mCherry-tagged *Hv*RACB wild type (WT), constitutively activated (G15V) and low nucleotide affinity mutant (D121N) but not with dominant negative (T20N) RACB. Mean GFP lifetime is indicated with black point, n = number of cells observed in three independent experiments. Mean shown as orange dot. Kruskal-Wallis p-value = 4.346e-14, pairwise comparison was performed via wilcoxon test and bonferroni adjustment for multiple testing. (Rstudio, version 1.2.5033).

Fig. 6: ***Hv**GEF14 influences subcellular localisation of mCherry-**Hv**RIC171*. Localisation of mCherry-*Hv*RIC171, a downstream interactor of activated ROPs in barley, shows higher fluorescence intensity at the cell periphery when *Hv*GEF14 is co-expressed simultaneously. (A) Representative images of three biological replicates show z-stack and equatorial plane of mCherry-*Hv*RIC171 and cytosolic GFP fluorescence of transiently transformed barley epidermis cells. (B) Quantification of periphery mCherry fluorescence intensity normalized to whole cell mCherry and GFP fluorescence intensity measured in minimum 30 cells via Fiji, statistical analysis performed in Rstudio via wilcox test (Rstudio *version 1.2.5033*).

Fig. 7: ***Hv**RACB can be activated by **Hv**GEF14.* meGFP-*Hv*RACB interacts with *Hv*CRIB46-mCherry, a 46 amino acid fragment of *Hv*RIC171, when mutated (G15V) to a constitutively activated variant or in presence of *Hv*GEF14 during FRET-FLIM measurements in *N. benthamiana*. GST-mCherry used as negative control. Summary of six independent repetitions, Kruskal-Wallis comparison of means performed in Rstudio (version 1.2.5033) after testing for distribution of data.

Fig. 8: ***Hv**GEF14 affects **Bgh** penetration efficiency. Bgh* penetration efficiency in barley epidermis cells over-expressing (OE) *Hv*GEF14 full length compared to mean penetration

efficiency in cells with pGY1 empty vector (control OE) or after knock-down (KD) of *HvGEF14* via RNAi compared to mean penetration efficiency of pIPKTA30N empty vector (control KD). Mean values indicated with bar. Statistical significance of differences of the mean calculated with t-test in Rstudio (version 1.2.5033) after assessing for normal distribution with Shapiro-Wilk test.

PhyML ln(L)=-27900.7 736 sites LG 100 replic. (TBE) 4 rate classes

Fig.1: **PRONE-GEFs cluster in three distinctive clades**. Phylogenetic analysis of PRONE-GEFs of

Arabidopsis thaliana (*At*), *Oryza sativa* (*Os*) and *Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*) based on MUSCLE alignment.

Maximum likelihood tree was calculated based on available amino acid sequences (NCBI and barley

Morex genome version3) and annotation of barley PRONE-GEFs was performed based on this tree.

Fig.2: *Hordeum vulgare* (*Hv*) **PRONE-GEF** MUSCLE alignment with annotation of PRONE domain (according to NCBI prediction) in grey, GEF-GEF interaction residues and ROP-GEF interaction residues highlighted in purple. Predicted phosphorylation site in *Hv*GEF14 highlighted in turquoise. Protein IDs according to NCBI accessions and annotation based on MUSCLE alignment with *O. sativa* PRONE-GEFs (see Fig. S1)

Fig. 3: **HvGEF14 shows increased expression in epidermal peels and downregulation after inoculation with fungal spores.** *HvGEF14* is expressed in barley epidermis (A) and downregulated after Bgh inoculation (1 dpi) (B) in three independent repetitions (plants harvested on different days). Foldchange expression was calculated with primer efficiency correction and the 2^(-ddCt) method by Livak and Schmittgen (2001) and normalised to transcript levels in whole leaves (A) and non- inoculated epidermis (B).

Fig.4: **HvGEF14 interacts with barley ROP variants in yeast**. HvRACB wild type (WT), G15V constitutively activated (CA), T20N dominant negative (DN) and D121N low nucleotide affinity variants were tested as bait against prey constructs HvGEF14 full length, HvGEF14 amino acids 124-485 (PRONE domain) or empty vector (EV). Interaction of proteins shown on media containing amino acid mix without leucine (L), tryptophan (W) and histidine (H), (-L-W-H) in two dilutions (factor 10^{-1}) to identify growth of single yeast colonies. Representative image of three experiments with the same result. Successful yeast transformation was confirmed with selective media (amino acid mix without leucine (L) and tryptophan (W) (-L-W). Dropout was performed on one -L-W and one -L-W-H plate and images were cropped during figure preparation for better visibility. Original images can be found in Fig. S1 (Fig. S4).

*Fig. 5: **Hv*GEF14 interacts with HvRACB variants in planta**. GFP-tagged *Hv*GEF14 full length was observed in FRET-FLIM experiments to interact with mCherry-tagged *Hv*RACB wild type (WT), constitutively activated (G15V) and low nucleotide affinity mutant (D121N) but not with dominant negative (T20N) RACB. Mean GFP lifetime is indicated with black point, n = number of cells observed in three independent experiments. Mean shown as orange dot. Kruskal-Wallis p-value = 4.346e-14, pairwise comparison was performed via wilcoxon test and bonferroni adjustment for multiple testing. (Rstudio, version 1.2.5033).

scale bars: 50 µm

Fig. 6: _Hv_GEF14 influences subcellular localisation of mCherry-_Hv_RIC171. Localisation of mCherry-_Hv_RIC171, a downstream interactor of activated ROPs in barley, shows higher fluorescence intensity at the cell periphery when _Hv_GEF14 is co-expressed simultaneously. (A) Representative images of three biological replicates show z-stack and equatorial plane of mCherry-_Hv_RIC171 and cytosolic GFP fluorescence of transiently transformed barley epidermis cells. (B) Quantification of periphery mCherry fluorescence intensity normalized to whole cell mCherry and GFP fluorescence intensity measured in minimum 30 cells via Fiji, statistical analysis performed in Rstudio via wilcox test (Rstudio _version 1.2.5033_).

Fig. 7: **HvRACB can be activated by HvGEF14.** meGFP-HvRACB interacts with HvCRIB46-mCherry, a 46 amino acid fragment of HvRIC171, when mutated (G15V) to a constitutively activated variant or in presence of HvGEF14 during FRET-FLIM measurements in *N. benthamiana*. GST-mCherry used as negative control. Summary of six independent repetitions, Kruskal-Wallis comparison of means performed in Rstudio (version 1.2.5033) after testing for distribution of data.

Fig. 8: **HvGEF14 affects *Bgh* penetration efficiency.** *Bgh* penetration efficiency in barley epidermis cells over-expressing (OE) *Hv*GEF14 full length compared to mean penetration efficiency in cells with pGY1 empty vector (control OE) or after knock-down (KD) of *HvGEF14* via RNAi compared to mean penetration efficiency of pIPKTA30N empty vector (control KD). Mean values indicated with bar. Statistical significance of differences of the mean calculated with t-test in Rstudio (version 1.2.5033) after assessing for normal distribution with Shapiro-Wilk test.