Entamoeba histolytica develops resistance to complement deposition and lysis after acquisition of human complement regulatory proteins through trogocytosis

Hannah W. Miller[1], Tammie S.Y. Tam[1] and Katherine S. Ralston[1*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Davis, USA

[*]Address correspondence to Katherine S. Ralston, ksralston@ucdavis.edu

Running Title: Evasion of complement lysis by *Entamoeba histolytica*

ABSTRACT

Entamoeba histolytica is the cause of amoebiasis. The trophozoite (amoeba) form of this

parasite is capable of invading the intestine, and can disseminate through the bloodstream to

other organs. The mechanisms that allow amoebae to evade complement deposition during

dissemination have not been well characterized. We previously discovered a novel

complement-evasion mechanism employed by *E. histolytica*. *E. histolytica* ingests small bites of

living human cells in a process termed trogocytosis. We demonstrated that amoebae were

protected from lysis by human serum following trogocytosis of human cells, and that amoebae

acquired and displayed human membrane proteins from the cells they ingested. Here, we

aimed to define how amoebae are protected from complement lysis after performing

trogocytosis. We found that amoebae were protected from complement lysis after ingestion of

both human Jurkat T cells and red blood cells, and that the level of protection correlated with

the amount of material ingested. Trogocytosis of human cells led to a reduction in deposition of

C3b on the surface of amoebae. We asked whether display of human complement regulators is

involved in amoebic protection, and found that CD59 was displayed by amoebae after

trogocytosis. Deletion of a single complement regulatory protein, CD59 or CD46, from Jurkat

cells was not sufficient to alter amoebic protection from lysis, suggesting that multiple,

redundant complement regulators mediate amoebic protection. However, exogeneous

expression of CD46 or CD55 in amoebae was sufficient to confer protection from lysis. These

studies shed light on a novel strategy for immune evasion by a pathogen.

IMPORTANCE

Entamoeba histolytica is the cause of amoebiasis, a diarrheal disease of global

importance. While infection is often asymptomatic, the trophozoite (amoeba) form of this

parasite is capable of invading and ulcerating the intestine, and can disseminate through the

bloodstream to other organs. Understanding how *E. histolytica* evades the complement system

during dissemination is of great interest. Here we demonstrate for the first time that amoebae

that have performed trogocytosis (nibbling of human cells) resist deposition of the complement

protein C3b. Amoebae that have performed trogocytosis display the complement regulatory

protein CD59. Overall, our studies suggest that acquisition and display of multiple, redundant

complement regulators is involved in amoebic protection from complement lysis. These

findings shed light on a novel strategy for immune evasion by a pathogen. Since other parasites

use trogocytosis for cell killing, our findings may apply to the pathogenesis of other infections.

INTRODUCTION

Amoebiasis remains a disease of global importance. The 2015 Global Burden of Disease Study estimated that it was responsible for 67,900 deaths worldwide that year (1). Its causative agent, *Entamoeba histolytica,* is prevalent in countries with poor sanitation and is spread through feces-contaminated food and water (2). In the rural area of Durango, Mexico, the seroprevalence of *E. histolytica* was found to be as high as 42% (3), and a longitudinal study of children living in an urban community of Dhaka, Bangladesh found that 80% were infected with the parasite by two years of age (4).

While infection with *E. histolytica* is often asymptomatic, it can result in diarrheal disease, colitis and extraintestinal abscesses (5). Following ingestion of the cyst form of the parasite, excystation occurs and the trophozoite stage (amoeba) colonizes the large intestine (6). Amoebae are capable of invading and ulcerating the intestine, causing tissue damage and bloody diarrhea. They can also disseminate through the bloodstream to other organs, most commonly the liver, where they form abscesses that are fatal if left untreated (5). The mechanisms that allow amoebae to evade complement deposition during dissemination have not been well characterized. Pathogenic strains of *E. histolytica* isolated from patients have been shown to be more resistant to complement lysis than nonpathogenic strains (7). It has also been found that the pathogenic amoeba species *E. histolytica* appears to be more resistant to complement than its nonpathogenic relative *E. dispar* (8). *E. histolytica* cysteine proteases can cleave complement components (9–11), and the Gal/GalNAc lectin has been described as a CD59 mimicry molecule (12). However, these mechanisms alone are not sufficient to fully

protect amoebae from complement lysis, as trophozoites are readily lysed by human serum *in*

vitro (13).

We previously discovered a novel complement-evasion mechanism employed by *E.*

histolytica (13). Trogocytosis, or "cell nibbling," is present in many eukaryotes and occurs in a

variety of contexts (14). In *E. histolytica*, trogocytosis is a process in which amoebae ingest small

bites of living human cells (15). Our previous work has defined amoebic trogocytosis as both a

mechanism for cell killing (15), and more recently, for immune evasion (13). We demonstrated

that amoebae were protected from lysis by human serum following trogocytosis of human cells

(13), and that amoebae acquired and displayed human membrane proteins from the cells they

ingested (13). This work suggested a model in which amoebae incorporate proteins from

human cells they eat on their surface, and these proteins in turn inhibit complement lysis.

In the present study, we aimed to define the mechanism by which amoebae are

protected from complement lysis after performing trogocytosis. We found that trogocytosis of

human cells reduced deposition of the complement protein C3b the surface of amoebae, and

that amoebae were protected from complement lysis after ingestion of both human Jurkat T

cells and primary red blood cells. We identified the human complement regulatory protein

CD59 (protectin) as one of the proteins that is taken from ingested human cells and displayed

on the amoebic surface. Deletion of a single complement regulatory protein, CD59 or CD46,

from human Jurkat cells was not sufficient to alter conferred protection on amoebae. However,

exogeneous expression of a single complement regulator, i.e., CD46 or CD55, in amoebae was

sufficient to confer protection from lysis. Overall, these studies suggest that multiple,

redundant complement regulators are involved in amoebic protection.

RESULTS

Ingestion of beads does not confer protection from complement lysis.

78   We previously showed that protection from complement lysis occurred in amoebae that

had performed trogocytosis on living human cells, and that known inhibitors of trogocytosis

also inhibited protection from complement lysis (13). Amoebae that had performed

phagocytosis of dead human cells did not become protected from complement. Likewise,

amoebae that were capable of trogocytosis, but defective in phagocytosis, nonetheless became

protected from complement (13). To extend these findings, and to examine the role of

ingestion on protection in the absence of host proteins, we allowed amoebae to ingest

increasing concentrations of latex beads. Amoebae that ingested beads were no more

protected from lysis than control amoebae that did not perform ingestion **(Fig. 1, S1)**. Thus,

trogocytosis confers protection from complement lysis, but phagocytosis of dead human cells

or latex beads does not confer protection.

Amoebic protection from complement following trogocytosis is dose-dependent.

90   To determine if the amount of trogocytosed human cell material influenced protection,

91   or if any level of trogocytosis was protective, we allowed amoebae to ingest incrementally

92   larger amounts. Amoebae became increasingly protected from complement lysis **(Fig. 2b-c,**

93   **S2a)** after ingesting higher quantities of live Jurkat cell material **(Fig. 2a, 2g)**. Thus, acquired

94   protection from complement lysis correlates with the amount of human cell material ingested

95   through trogocytosis.

96   We next asked if protection could be conferred through trogocytosis of primary human

cells. During invasive infections, amoebae breach the intestinal wall and disseminate via the

bloodstream. Detection of amoebae containing ingested red blood cells in the stool has

previously been used as a diagnostic for invasive disease (16). Therefore, we asked if ingestion

of human red blood cells would lead to protection. Amoebae were allowed to ingest increasing

numbers of human red blood cells. Increased trogocytosis of red blood cells led to increased

protection from complement lysis **(Fig. 2d-f, 2h, S2b)**. These results support a model where the

level of protection from complement lysis is proportional to the amount of human cell material

that was ingested during trogocytosis.

Amoebic trogocytosis of human cells inhibits deposition of complement C3b.

It can be inferred that lysis of amoebae by human serum is due to complement activity

because heat-inactivated serum does not lyse amoebae (13). Here, we formally tested if

trogocytosis of human cells led to reduced deposition of complement on the amoebae surface.

Amoebae that had been co-incubated with live human cells had less death and less deposited

human C3b on their surface than amoebae that were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells

(Fig. 3a-b, S3). Furthermore, live amoebae had less deposited C3b than dead amoebae **(Fig. 3c,**

3e). Amoebae that had been co-incubated with human cells had less deposited C3b, compared

to amoebae that were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells **(Fig. 3d)**. Therefore, trogocytosis

of human cells prevents complement lysis of amoebae and inhibits deposition of complement

C3b.

Amoebae acquire the complement regulatory proteins CD59 and CD46 from human cells.

We hypothesized that protection from complement lysis was due to display of human

complement regulatory proteins. We first chose to look at acquisition of the complement

regulatory protein CD59 (protectin), a membrane protein that is expressed by both Jurkat cells

and human red blood cells (17–19). CD59 inhibits terminal components of the complement

cascade and formation of the membrane attack complex (17, 20–22). After amoebae had

performed trogocytosis, patches of CD59 were detected on the amoeba surface within five

minutes **(Fig. 4a)** and a larger quantity of CD59 patches were detected on the amoeba surface

after one hour of trogocytosis **(Fig. 4a-c)**. The patchy/punctate localization pattern was similar

to the localization pattern of other human proteins displayed by amoebae after trogocytosis

(13). Importantly, the apparent display of human membrane proteins by amoebae was not an

artifact of paraformaldehyde fixation, since the appearance of human membrane proteins

displayed by amoebae was indistinguishable, whether staining was performed before or after

fixation **(Fig. S4** and (13)). Since the heavy chain of the amoeba surface Gal/GalNAc lectin has

been implicated as a CD59 mimicry molecule (12, 23), we asked if the CD59 antibody used in

these assays cross-reacted with the Gal/GalNAc lectin. CD59 was not detected on control

amoebae that had not performed trogocytosis **(Fig. 4a)**, showing that the CD59 antibody did

not cross-react with the Gal/GalNAc lectin.

Imaging flow cytometry analysis was used to quantify amoebic acquisition of CD59.

Human cell nuclei are not ingested during trogocytosis (15). Therefore, labeling of human cell

nuclei was used to differentiate intact, extracellular human cells from patches of human

proteins displayed on amoebae. Patches of displayed human CD59 were detected on amoebae

that had performed trogocytosis, but not on amoebae that were incubated in the absence of

Jurkat cells **(Fig. 5a-c, S5)**. Amoebae had ~38% more displayed CD59 after one hour of

trogocytosis than after five minutes **(Fig. 5b)**. These findings indicate that amoebae acquired

and displayed CD59 within five minutes of trogocytosis, and the quantity of displayed CD59

increased over time.

To determine if more than one complement regulatory protein was displayed by

amoebae after trogocytosis, immunofluorescence was used to detect human CD46. CD46

(membrane cofactor protein) is expressed by human Jurkat cells (24) and acts as a co-factor for

serum factor I, which cleaves and inactivates complement components C3b and C4b (25–27).

After amoebae had performed trogocytosis, patches of CD46 were detected on the amoeba

surface within five minutes **(Fig. S6).** Thus, amoebae acquire and display multiple complement

regulatory proteins, after performing trogocytosis of human cells.

Removal of GPI-anchored surface proteins trends towards restoring complement lysis of

amoebae.

We next sought to test the effect of removing complement regulatory proteins on

conferred protection. CD59 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) anchored protein that can be

cleaved with the enzyme phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) (17, 18, 20, 22).

In addition to removing CD59, treatment with PI-PLC also cleaves the GPI-anchored

complement regulatory protein CD55 (decay-accelerating factor) (28, 29). CD55 is expressed by

both Jurkat cells and human red blood cells (19, 30) and accelerates the decay of C3 and C5

convertases of the classical and alternative complement pathways (30, 31). Amoebae were

allowed to perform trogocytosis or incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells, and then treated

with PI-PLC before exposure to human serum. Heat-inactivated PI-PLC was used as a control. PI-

PLC treatment appeared to reduce amoebic protection from complement lysis **(Fig. S7, S8a).**

However, this difference was not statistically significant. This is likely to be due to the higher

levels of variability in this assay, which were due to the prolonged incubation of amoebae on

ice during PI-PLC treatment. Indeed, due to the incubation on ice, the background level of

amoeba cell death was much higher than usual. These findings suggested that removal of all

GPI-anchored proteins reduces amoebic protection from complement lysis, but did not prove a

causal relationship.

Removal of CD59 and CD46 is not sufficient to sensitize amoebae to complement lysis.

Due to the higher levels of variability that we observed with PI-PLC treatment, we next

used human cell mutants to test whether individual proteins were required for protection from

complement lysis. We tested the requirements for CD59 and CD46. In order to determine if

acquisition and display of human CD59 or CD46 molecules was required for protection from

complement, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to create human cell knockout mutants that lacked these

proteins. Sanger sequencing **(Fig. S9)** and antibody staining of CD59 **(Fig. 6a-b)** and CD46 **(Fig.**

6c-d) showed that knockout mutants were successfully generated. Amoebae that were allowed

to perform trogocytosis on control human cells or cells that lacked either CD59 or CD46 were

equally protected from complement lysis **(Fig. 6e, S8)**. Additionally, there was no difference in

the amount of ingested human cell material **(Fig. 6f)**. These findings reveal that removal of

either CD59 or CD46 individually is not sufficient to sensitize amoebae to complement lysis.

Furthermore, they hint at redundancy in the mechanism of protection and that amoebae likely

acquire and display multiple complement regulatory proteins from the cells they ingest.

Display of CD46 or CD55 is sufficient to protect amoebae from complement lysis.

To ask if display of human complement regulatory proteins was sufficient to protect

amoebae from lysis, we created amoebae that exogenously expressed either human CD46 or

CD55 **(Fig. 7, S2a).** Expression of CD46 or CD55 was detectable with RT-PCR analysis **(Fig. 7a).**

Immunofluorescence analysis showed that CD46 or CD55 proteins were surface-localized in

amoebae, as they were detected with antibody staining of non-permeabilized cells **(Fig. 7b-j,**

S2a). Localization of the *E. histolytica* surface Gal/GalNAc lectin was used as a control **(Fig. 7d,**

7g, 7j), and all transfected amoebae had equivalent amounts of Gal/GalNAc lectin. CD46 or

CD55 expression was at a relatively low level **(Fig. 7b-c, 7e-f),** just above background

fluorescence of vector control amoebae. Additionally, CD46 or CD55 expression was markedly

lower than the expression level of the Gal/GalNAc lectin **(Fig. 7d, 7g).** When exposed to human

serum, amoebae that expressed human CD46 or CD55 were more protected from lysis than

vector control amoebae **(Fig. 8, S8b).** Therefore, display of a single complement regulatory

protein is sufficient to protect amoebae from complement lysis.

DISCUSSION

Our findings reveal that amoebae are protected from complement lysis through

trogocytosis of human cells and that trogocytosis leads to less deposited C3b on the amoeba

surface. Amoebae acquire protection from both human Jurkat cells as well as primary red blood

cells and conferred protection is proportional to the amount of ingested human cell material.

Trogocytosis of human cells results in the display of the complement regulatory protein CD59

on the amoebae surface. Finally, although removal of the individual complement regulatory

proteins, CD59 or CD46 was not sufficient to sensitize amoebae to complement lysis, the

display of an individual complement regulatory protein, CD46 or CD55, was sufficient to protect

amoebae from complement lysis.

Many studies have shown that cancer cells overexpress complement regulatory

molecules, allowing them to evade complement lysis. However, it should be noted that

expression levels vary widely between cell types and between individual studies (32). Removal

of GPI-anchored proteins, which include the complement regulatory proteins CD59 and CD55

but not CD46, with PI-PLC treatment resulted in enhanced susceptibility of cancer cells to

complement lysis (28, 29). This is consistent with our finding that PI-PLC treated amoebae that

had undergone trogocytosis of human cells, and been made resistant to complement, became

more sensitive to complement lysis. Treatment with PI-PLC removes other GPI-anchored

proteins in addition to CD59 and CD55, so we cannot rule out the possibility that loss of other

membrane proteins contributed to the loss of complement resistance in our PI-PLC treated

amoebae.

Amoebae that were engineered to express complement regulatory proteins became

protected from lysis by human complement. We were unable to express human CD59 in

amoebae, as although stably transfected amoebae with a CD59 expression construct were

successfully recovered, these transfectants grew slowly and could not be maintained over time.

While CD59 expression was detectable by RT-PCR, it is possible that the CD59 protein mis-

localized in amoebae, or otherwise led to deleterious effects. CD46 and CD55 were each

appropriately localized to the amoeba cell surface, as evidenced by immunofluorescence

staining, and by the functional protection from complement lysis that they conferred. Notably,

CD46 and CD55 were not greatly overexpressed in amoebae. When using immunofluorescence,

CD46 and CD55 staining levels were just above the background levels of fluorescence seen in

vector control amoebae. Thus, these proteins led to protection from complement lysis without

dramatically high levels of expression. Only a single complement regulator at a low expression

level was needed for protection from lysis by complement. These findings fit with the generally

relatively low endogenous expression levels of complement regulatory proteins in human cells,

in that low levels of these proteins are sufficient to inhibit the complement pathway.

There have been several studies that examined the efficacy of using blocking antibodies

against complement regulatory proteins to enhance lysis of cancer cells. Results from blocking

individual proteins have been highly variable in different cell types but blocking one or more

proteins was effective in many cases. Using antibodies against CD46 was rarely effective,

however antibodies against CD59 and CD55 often were effective in enhancing complement lysis

(32). Additionally, there appears to be an additive effect when multiple proteins are targeted at

once. Cervical carcinoma cells were rendered more susceptible to complement after treatment

with blocking antibodies to CD59 and CD55, and lysis was increased further when cells were

treated with both antibodies (33). Similarly, breast carcinoma cells, were more easily lysed after

treatment with anti-CD59 and anti-CD55 antibodies, but a much higher degree of lysis was

achieved when a mixture of anti-CD59, anti-CD55, and anti-CD46 antibodies was used (34).

Since neither removal of CD46 or CD59 was sufficient to sensitize amoebae to complement

lysis, it is possible that amoebic display of multiple different complement regulators enables

protection from lysis. In our assays, we used a 1:40 ratio of amoebae to Jurkat cells, a ratio that

led to a high level of protection from complement lysis. It is possible that using fewer human

cells, to lead to a suboptimal level of protection from complement lysis, might have more

sensitively revealed differences in amoebic protection from complement lysis due to subtle

perturbations like the loss of individual human complement regulators. While removal of CD46

or CD59 did not sensitize amoebae to complement lysis, these proteins could still contribute to

amoebic complement protection, as part of a collection of multiple redundant, complement

regulators that protect amoebae from lysis. Indeed, experiments in which amoebae were

engineered to express complement regulators showed that display of CD46 or CD55 was

sufficient to protect amoebae from lysis, supporting the relevance of these proteins in

protecting amoebae that have performed trogocytosis.

Our results support a model whereby amoebae are protected from serum lysis in the

blood through trogocytosis of red blood cells they encounter there, and potentially from other

cells they encounter before reaching the bloodstream. Amoebae likely acquire and display

multiple complement regulatory proteins from the human cells that they ingest, which then

leads to less C3b deposition, and protection from complement lysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture

E. histolytica trophozoites (HM1:IMSS) from ATCC (amoebae) were cultured as

described previously (13, 35). Amoebae were maintained in glass tissue culture tubes at 35°C in

TYI-S-33 medium supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated adult bovine serum (Gemini Bio-

Products), 2.3% Diamond vitamin Tween 80 solution (40×; Sigma-Aldrich), and 80 U/ml

penicillin, 80 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco). Amoebae were expanded in T25 un-vented tissue

culture flasks and harvested when flasks reached 80% confluence. When used in serum lysis or

immunofluorescence assays, amoebae were resuspended in M199s medium (Gibco medium

M199 with Earle's salts, L-glutamine, and 2.2 g/liter sodium bicarbonate, without phenol red)

supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 25 mM HEPES, and 5.7 mM L-cysteine.

Human Jurkat T cells (clone E6-1) from ATCC were grown in vented T25 tissue culture

flasks at 37°C and 5% CO2 as previously described (13). Jurkat cells were cultured in RPMI 1640

medium (Gibco; RPMI 1640 with L-glutamine and without phenol red) supplemented with 10%

heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, and

10 mM HEPES. Jurkat cells were expanded in T75 vented tissue culture flasks and harvested

when cell density reached between 5×10^5 and 2×10^6 cells/ml. Jurkat cells were

resuspended in M199s medium for use in serum lysis or immunofluorescence assays.

Single donor human red blood cells separated from whole blood by centrifugation and

negative for the presence of human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV-1), HIV-2, HIV-1 antigen or

HIV-1 nucleic acid test, hepatitis B surface antigen, hepatitis C virus , syphilis, and alanine

aminotransferase test were purchased from Innovative Research (Cat# IWB3CPDA1UNIT). Red

blood cells were stored at 4°C and resuspended in M199s medium prior to use in serum lysis

assays.

DNA constructs

For creation of Jurkat cell CRISPR knockout mutants, guide RNAs (gRNA) to human CD59

or CD46 were cloned into a pX330-U6-Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9 plasmid backbone (pX330-U6-

Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9 was a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid # 42230;

http://n2t.net/addgene:42230 ; RRID:Addgene_42230) (36)). Guide RNAs were created by using

the sequences designed by Thielen et. al, 2018 (37). The gRNA oligos used are presented in

Table 1 with BbsI restriction enzyme overhangs shown in bold. Guide RNAs were cloned into

the pX330-U6-Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9 plasmid backbone using a modified version of the

Zhang Lab General Cloning Protocol (Addgene http://www.addgene.org/crispr/zhang/). Briefly,

the px330 plasmid backbone was digested with BbsI restriction enzyme (FastDigest BpiI:

ThermoFisher Scientific). Guide RNA oligos containing BbsI overhangs were then

phosphorylated and annealed. Next, annealed oligos were ligated into the pX330 plasmid

backbone and NEB 5-alpha Competent *E. coli* were transformed (New England Biolabs). Positive

colonies were screened by restriction digest. Plasmids with the correct inserts were confirmed

by Sanger sequencing using the "U6" Universal Primer from GENEWIZ (LKO.1 5') which is

located in the human U6 promoter **(Table 1)**.

To generate amoebic expression constructs for exogeneous expression of human

proteins, the coding regions of human CD46 or CD55 were amplified from human Jurkat cells.

Briefly, RNA was extract from Jurat cells using the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Plus kit (Zymo

Research) and cDNA was prepared. Next, the coding sequences for human CD46 or CD55 were

amplified from Jurkat cDNA by PCR using primers described in **Table 1.** The amplified CD46 or

CD55 inserts were then cloned into the *E. histolytica* expression plasmid pEhEx backbone (38)

using the Gibson Assembly Ultra Kit (VWR). Primers used for Gibson cloning are described in

Table 1. For creation of the CD46 expression plasmid, both the pEhEx backbone and the CD46

insert were PCR amplified with primers that added 20 base pairs corresponding to the ends of

the insert or backbone, respectively. For creation of the CD55 expression plasmid, the pEhEx

backbone was digested using XhoI (New England Biolabs) and the CD55 insert was PCR

amplified with primers that added 20 base pairs corresponding to the ends of the backbone.

NEB 5-alpha Competent *E. coli* were transformed, and positive colonies were screened by

restriction digest analysis. Sanger sequencing was used to assess the sequences of the inserts

and flanking vector sequences, using primers located in the Cysteine synthase A gene

[EHI_024230] 5' and 3' UTR regions **(Table 1)**. The CD46 gene insert corresponds to the mRNA

transcript variant d (GenBank accession number: NM_153826) and the CD55 gene insert

corresponds to mRNA transcript variant 1 (GenBank accession number: NM_000574).

Jurkat T cell CRISPR/Cas9 mutants

Human Jurkat T cells were transfected with pX330 plasmids containing the CD59 gRNA,

the CD46 gRNA, or the pX330 backbone as a control. Plasmid DNA was isolated in an endotoxin-

free manner (GenElute™ HP Endotoxin-Free Plasmid Maxiprep Kit; Sigma-Aldrich) and

concentrated using paramagnetic beads (HighPrep PCR Clean Up System; Magbio). Jurkat T cells

were transfected using the Neon Transfection System (Invitrogen) with the 10 µl tip and 24 well

plate format. Cells were prepared, and the Neon Transfection System was used according to

the manufacturer's instructions. The transfection conditions used were as follows: volts = 1050,

width = 30 and pulse # = 2.

When creating the CD59 and CD46 knockout mutants, 1.8 µg of plasmid DNA was used

with 1×10^5 cells per transfection reaction and two reactions were performed for each plasmid

and transferred to 1 well of a 24 well plate. Transfection efficiency was calculated by separately

transfecting an enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) expression plasmid pcDNA3-EGFP

(pcDNA3-EGFP was a gift from Doug Golenbock (Addgene plasmid # 13031 ;

http://n2t.net/addgene:13031 ; RRID:Addgene_13031)) in parallel. Percentage of EGFP

expressing cells was calculated after 24 hours by fixing samples with 4% paraformaldehyde

(Electron Microscopy Sciences) and analyzing with imaging flow cytometry. To generate CD59

expressing Jurkat cells, 2 µg of plasmid DNA was used with 2×10^5 cells per transfection reaction

and two reactions were performed for each plasmid and transferred to 1 well of a 24 well plate.

Clonal lines of Jurkat cell mutants were obtained by limiting dilution in 96 well plates.

Clonal lines were screened for knockout by labeling with primary mouse monoclonal antibodies

to CD59 (clone MEM-43/5; Abcam) or CD46 (clone C-10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and a Cy™5

AffiniPure Goat Anti-Mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc).

Samples were analyzed by imaging flow cytometry. Knockout was confirmed in positive clones

by isolating genomic DNA using the Quick-DNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) and polymerase

chain reaction (PCR) amplifying regions of either CD59 or CD46. Primer sets had been identified

in BLAST as specific to these genes **(Table 1)**. Next, purified PCR product was sequenced with

primers upstream of the predicted CRISPR/Cas9 cut site **(Table 1)** and knockout was confirmed.

Characterization of amoebae exogenously expressing human CD46 or CD55

Amoebae were transfected as described previously (13). Briefly, amoebae were

transfected with 20 µg of the human CD46 or CD55 expression construct, or the pEhEx plasmid

backbone using Attractene transfection reagent (Qiagen). Stable transfectants were initially

selected at 3 µg/ml Geneticin (ThermoFisher Scientific), and then maintained at 6 µg/ml

Geneticin. Before use in immunofluorescence assays and serum lysis experiments, drug

selection was gradually increased from 6 µg/ml to 48 µg/ml Geneticin, to increase plasmid copy

number. Amoebae were maintained at 48 µg/ml Geneticin for 24 hours before experiments

were performed.

Expression of human CD46 and CD55 was confirmed using RT-PCR analysis of amoebae

maintained at 3 µg/ml Geneticin. RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep Plus kit

(Zymo Research) and cDNA was made. Primers used for RT-PCR are shown in Table 1. RT-PCR

was performed as described (35).

Imaging flow cytometry

To detect human CD59 displayed on amoebae following trogocytosis, Jurkat cells were

with labeled Hoechst 33342 dye (Invitrogen) at 5 µg/ml for 30 minutes at 37°C. Amoebae were

washed and resuspended in M199s medium and labeled with CellTracker green 5-

chloromethylfluorescein diacetate (CMFDA; Invitrogen) at 186 ng/ml for 10 minutes at 35°C.

Amoebae and Jurkat cells were then washed and resuspended in M199s medium. Amoebae

were resuspended at 4 x 10^5 cells/ml and Jurkat cells were resuspended at 1.6 x 10^7 cells/ ml for

a 1:40 amoeba: Jurkat cell ratio. Amoebae and Jurkat cells were co-incubated for either 5

minutes or 1 hour, or amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells. Cells were fixed

with 4% PFA for 30 minutes at room temperature. Samples were blocked in 1 x PBS containing

0.1% Tween20 (Sigma-Aldrich) (1 x PBST), 5% BSA and 20% Normal Goat Serum (Jackson

ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc) for 1 hour at room temperature on a rocker. Next, samples

were labeled with primary mouse monoclonal antibodies to CD59 (clone MEM-43/5; Abcam)

diluted 1:50 in blocking solution at 4°C overnight on a rocker. Samples were washed with 1 x

PBST and labeled with a Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-Mouse secondary antibody (Jackson

ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc) stored in 50% glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich). The secondary

antibody was diluted 1:100 in blocking solution, for a final dilution of 1:200, and incubated for 3

hours at room temperature on a rocker. Lastly, samples were washed with 1 x PBST,

resuspended in 50 µl 1 x PBS, and run on an Amnis ImageStreamX Mark II. 10,000 events were

collected for conditions where amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells and

100,000 events were collected for conditions where amoebae were incubated with Jurkat cells.

Data are from 5 replicates across 3 independent experiments. The no primary control condition

was performed in 2 of 3 independent experiments and data are from 3 replicates. See Figure S5

for the analysis gating scheme.

To detect human CD46 displayed on amoebae following trogocytosis, amoebae and

Jurkat cells were labeled in the same manner as for detection of CD59. Amoebae and Jurkat

cells were co-incubated for 5 minutes or amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat

cells. Samples were labeled with a primary mouse monoclonal antibody to human CD46 (Clone

C-10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at a 1:10 dilution, followed by a Cy5 AffiniPure Goat Anti-

Mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc). Amoebae were gated

on by size and 10,000 events were collected per sample. Data are from 2 replicates and 1

independent experiment.

For detection of CD46, CD55, or Gal/GalNAc lectin expression on amoebae exogenously

expressing CD46 or CD55, amoebae were labeled with CMFDA and prepared in the same

manner as for detection of displayed human CD59. Following fixation, samples were blocked

overnight at 4°C on a rocker. Samples were then labeled with primary mouse monoclonal

antibodies to human CD46 (Clone C-10; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) human CD55 (Clone NaM16-

4D3; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or the *E. histolytica* Gal/GalNAc lectin (Clone 7F4; the

Gal/GalNAc lectin antibody was a gift from William A. Petri, Jr., University of Virginia (39))

overnight at 4°C on a rocker. The CD46 and CD55 primary antibodies were diluted 1:10 and the

Gal/GalNAc lectin primary antibody was diluted 1:50 in blocking solution. Samples were labeled

with secondary antibody as described above. Amoebae were gated on by size and 10,000

events were collect per sample. Data are from 3-4 replicates from 2 independent experiments.

See Figure S2a for the analysis gating scheme.

Confocal microscopy

To detect displayed CD59 on the amoeba surface after trogocytosis, cells were labeled

for confocal microscopy as described previously (13). Amoebae were prepared in the same

manner as the for the detection of displayed CD59 using imaging flow cytometry (above) with

an approximate fourfold increased concentration of CMFDA. After labeling with antibodies,

samples were mounted using Vectashield (Vector Laboratories) on Superfrost Plus microslides

(VWR) with glass coverslips. Slides were imaged on an Intelligent Imaging Innovations hybrid

Spinning Disk Confocal-TIRF-Widefield Microscope. 136 Images were collected from 1

independent experiment. FIJI software was used for image analysis (40).

For detection of displayed biotinylated human cell membrane proteins, amoebae were

left unstained in some experiments or labeled with CMFDA as described above. Human Jurkat

cells were biotinylated as described previously (13). Briefly, Jurkat cells were biotinylated with

EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin (ThermoFisher Scientific) at 480 µg/ml in 1× PBS for 25 min at 4°C.

The reaction was quenched using 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) and washed with washed in 100 mM

Tris-HCl (pH 8) before being resuspending M199s medium. Amoebae were incubated with

biotinylated Jurkat cells at a 1:5 ratio for 2 - 5 minutes and then immediately placed on ice.

Next, samples were stained with Alexa Fluor 633 conjugated streptavidin (Invitrogen) at

20 µg/ml for 1 hour at 4°C, prior to fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes at room

temperature. In some experiments, samples were labeled with DAPI (4 ,6-diamidino-2-

phenylindole; Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes at room temperature following fixation. Samples

were mounted on either poly-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) or collagen (collagen I, rat tail; Gibco)

coated coverslips for 1 hour. Samples were mounted with Vectashield on glass slides, and slides

were imaged on an Olympus FV1000 laser point-scanning confocal microscope.

Serum lysis assays

For experiments where amoebae were incubated with increasing numbers of Jurkat

cells, amoebae were washed and resuspended in M199s medium and labeled with CMFDA at

186 ng/ml for 10 minutes at 35°C. Jurkat cells were washed and labeled in M199s with DiD at

21 µg/ml for 5 minutes at 37°C and 10 minutes at 4°C. Amoebae were washed and

resuspended at 4×10^5 cells/ml. Jurkat cells were washed a resuspended at 2×10^6 cells/ml, 4 x

10^6 cells/ml, 8×10^6 cells/ml and 1.6×10^7 cells/ml. Amoebae were incubated in the absence of

Jurkat cells or the presence of Jurkat cells at a 1:5, 1:10, 1:20, and 1:40 ratio for 1 hour at 35°C.

Next, samples were resuspended in 100% normal human serum (pooled normal human

complement serum; Innovative Research Inc.) supplemented with 150 μM $CaCl_2$ and 150 μM

$MgCl_2$ for 30 minutes at 35°C as described previously (13). Following exposure to human serum,

samples were resuspended in M199s medium and labeled using Zombie Violet Fixable Viability

dye (BioLegend), prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, at a concentration of

4 μl/ml for 30 minutes on ice. Next, samples were fixed with 4% PFA at room temperature for

30 minutes. Samples were then resuspended in 50 μl 1 x PBS, and run on an Amnis

ImageStreamX Mark II. 10,000 events were collected for samples where amoebae were

incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells or with Jurkat cells at a 1:5 ratio. 10,00 – 20,000 events

were collected for samples with Jurkat cells at a 1:10 ratio, 10,00 - 40,000 events were collected

for samples with a 1:20 ratio, and 50,000 - 80,000 events for samples with a 1:40 ratio. Data are

from 6 replicates across 3 independent experiments. See Figure S2 for the analysis gating

scheme.

For experiments with CD59 and CD46 knockout mutants, the serum lysis assay used was

the same as described above, except only a 1:40 ratio of amoebae to Jurkat cells was used,

instead of multiple different ratios. Amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells, in

the presence of Jurkat cells transfected with the px330 vector control, or with either CD59 or

CD46 knockout Jurkat cell mutants at a 1:40 ratio. Cells were gated on by size and 10,000

events from the amoebae that were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells and 100,000

events from the amoebae incubated with Jurkat cells were collected. Data are from 6 replicates

across 3 independent experiments. See Figure S8a for the analysis gating scheme.

In experiments where samples were treated with phospholipase C, the assay was

performed as described above with the addition of a treatment step preceding exposure to

serum. Only a 1:40 ratio of amoebae to Jurkat cells was used, instead of multiple different

ratios. Following coincubation of amoebae and Jurkat cells, samples were immediately placed

on ice and resuspended in ice-cold M199s medium. Samples were treated with either 500 mU

of Phospholipase C (PI-PLC) (Phospholipase C, Phosphatidylinositol-specific from *Bacillus cereus*;

Sigma-Aldrich) prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, or 500 mU heat-

inactivated PI-PLC. PI-PLC was heat-inactivated at 95°C for 30 minutes. PI-PLC was carried out

on ice, on a rocker, in a 4°C cold room for 30 minutes. Cells were gated on by size and 10,000

events from the amoebae incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells and 100,000 events from the

amoebae incubated with Jurkat cells were collected. Data are from 8 replicates across 4

independent experiments. The untreated control condition was performed 3 of 4 independent

experiments and data are from 6 replicates. See Figure S8a for the analysis gating scheme.

For experiments where amoebae were incubated with increasing numbers of red blood

cells, amoebae were incubated with red blood cells resuspended at 4 x 10^6 cells/ml, 4 x 10^7

cells/ml, and 4 x 10^8 cells/ml for a 1:10, 1:100, and 1:1000 amoebae to red blood cell ratio. The

amoeba population was gated on by size and   10,000 amoeba events were collected for

samples where amoebae were incubated in the absence of red blood cells or with red blood

cells at a 1:10 ratio, a 1:100 ratio. 100,000 events were collected for amoebae incubated with

red blood cells at a 1:1000 ratio. Data are from 6 replicates from 3 independent experiments.

See Figure S2b for the analysis gating scheme.

For C3b experiments, amoebae were labeled with CMFDA and Jurkat cells were left

unlabeled. Amoebae and Jurkats were incubated together at a 1:40 amoebae: Jurkat ratio.

After fixation, samples were blocked in 1 x PBST containing 5% BSA and 20% Normal Goat

Serum for 30 minutes at room temperature on a rocker. Samples were then labeled with a

mouse monoclonal antibody to complement components C3b and iC3b (Clone 3E7; Sigma-

Aldrich) diluted 1:100 in blocking solution at 4°C overnight on a rocker. Samples were washed in

1 x PBST and labeled with an Alexa Fluor 47 AffiniPure Fab Fragment Donkey Anti-Mouse

secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc) stored in 50% glycerol (Sigma-

Aldrich). The secondary antibody was diluted 1:100 in blocking solution for a final dilution of

1:200, and samples were incubated for 3 hours at room temperature on a rocker. Samples were

washed in 1 x PBST, resuspended in 50 μl 1 x PBS, and run on an Amnis ImageStreamX Mark II.

10,000 events were collected in samples where amoebae were incubated in the absence of

Jurkat cells and 100,000 events were collected in samples where amoebae were incubated with

Jurkat cells. Data are from 6 replicates across 3 independent experiments. See Figure S3 for the

analysis gating scheme.

For latex bead ingestion experiments, amoebae were left unstained and incubated with

either $3x10^6$ or $1.5x10^7$ fluorescent red carboxylate-modified polystyrene 2.0 μm latex beads

(Sigma-Aldrich) or incubated in the absence of latex beads. Amoebae were gated on by size and

10,000 events were collected per sample. Data are from 4 replicates across 2 independent

experiments. See Figure S1 for the gating scheme used for analysis.

For experiments with amoebae exogenously expressing human CD46 or CD55, amoebae

or vector control amoebae were labeled with CMFDA. Amoebae were either treated with

M199s medium or exposed to human serum as described above. After gating on amoebae by

size, 10,000 events were collected per sample. Data are from 8 replicates across 4 independent

experiments. See Figure S8b for the gating scheme used for analysis.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism software was used to perform all statistical analyses and the means and

standard deviation values are displayed on all data plots. Analyses were done using a Student's

unpaired t test (no significant difference was indicated by a P of >0.05; *, P≤0.05; **,

P≤0.01; ***, P≤0.001; ****, P≤0.0001).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Scott Dawson, Dr. Stephen McSorley, and the members of our laboratory for helpful discussions. All ImageStream and confocal data were acquired using shared instrumentation in the UC Davis MCB Light Microscopy Imaging Facility. We thank Dr. Michael Paddy for technical support in the use of these instruments. T.S.Y.T. was funded by the Khaira Family Experiential Learning Award through the UC Davis College of Biological Sciences. This work was funded by NIH grant AI146914 and a Pew Scholarship awarded to K.S.R.

514   **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

515   H.W.M. designed, performed, and analyzed the experiments. T.S.Y.T. created and

characterized amoebae exogenously expressing complement regulatory proteins. K.S.R.

conceived of the overall approach and oversaw the design and analysis of the experiments.

H.W.M. and K.S.R. wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Wang H, Naghavi M, Allen C, Barber RM, Bhutta ZA, Carter A, Casey DC, Charlson FJ, Chen

AZ, Coates MM, Coggeshall M, Dandona L, Dicker DJ, Erskine HE, Ferrari AJ, Fitzmaurice C,

Foreman K, Forouzanfar MH, Fraser MS, Fullman N, Gething PW, Goldberg EM, Graetz N,

Haagsma JA, Hay SI, Huynh C, Johnson CO, Kassebaum NJ, Kinfu Y, Kulikoff XR, Kutz M, Kyu

HH, Larson HJ, Leung J, Liang X, Lim SS, Lind M, Lozano R, Marquez N, Mensah GA, Mikesell

J, Mokdad AH, Mooney MD, Nguyen G, Nsoesie E, Pigott DM, Pinho C, Roth GA, Salomon JA,

Sandar L, Silpakit N, Sligar A, Sorensen RJD, Stanaway J, Steiner C, Teeple S, Thomas BA,

Troeger C, VanderZanden A, Vollset SE, Wanga V, Whiteford HA, Wolock T, Zoeckler L,

Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, Abera SF, Abreu DMX, Abu-Raddad LJ, Abyu

GY, Achoki T, Adelekan AL, Ademi Z, Adou AK, Adsuar JC, Afanvi KA, Afshin A, Agardh EE,

Agarwal A, Agrawal A, Kiadaliri AA, Ajala ON, Akanda AS, Akinyemi RO, Akinyemiju TF,

Akseer N, Lami FHA, Alabed S, Al-Aly Z, Alam K, Alam NKM, Alasfoor D, Aldhahri SF, Aldridge

RW, Alegretti MA, Aleman AV, Alemu ZA, Alexander LT, Alhabib S, Ali R, Alkerwi A, Alla F,

Allebeck P, Al-Raddadi R, Alsharif U, Altirkawi KA, Martin EA, Alvis-Guzman N, Amare AT,

Amegah AK, Ameh EA, Amini H, Ammar W, Amrock SM, Andersen HH, Anderson BO,

Anderson GM, Antonio CAT, Aregay AF, Ärnlöv J, Arsenijevic VSA, Artaman A, Asayesh H,

Asghar RJ, Atique S, Avokpaho EFGA, Awasthi A, Azzopardi P, Bacha U, Badawi A, Bahit MC,

Balakrishnan K, Banerjee A, Barac A, Barker-Collo SL, Bärnighausen T, Barregard L, Barrero

LH, Basu A, Basu S, Bayou YT, Bazargan-Hejazi S, Beardsley J, Bedi N, Beghi E, Belay HA, Bell

B, Bell ML, Bello AK, Bennett DA, Bensenor IM, Berhane A, Bernabé E, Betsu BD, Beyene AS,

Bhala N, Bhalla A, Biadgilign S, Bikbov B, Abdulhak AAB, Biroscak BJ, Biryukov S, Bjertness E,

Blore JD, Blosser CD, Bohensky MA, Borschmann R, Bose D, Bourne RRA, Brainin M, Brayne

CEG, Brazinova A, Breitborde NJK, Brenner H, Brewer JD, Brown A, Brown J, Brugha TS,

Buckle GC, Butt ZA, Calabria B, Campos-Nonato IR, Campuzano JC, Carapetis JR, Cárdenas R,

Carpenter DO, Carrero JJ, Castañeda-Orjuela CA, Rivas JC, Catalá-López F, Cavalleri F, Cercy

K, Cerda J, Chen W, Chew A, Chiang PP-C, Chibalabala M, Chibueze CE, Chimed-Ochir O,

Chisumpa VH, Choi J-YJ, Chowdhury R, Christensen H, Christopher DJ, Ciobanu LG, Cirillo M,

Cohen AJ, Colistro V, Colomar M, Colquhoun SM, Cooper C, Cooper LT, Cortinovis M, Cowie

BC, Crump JA, Damsere-Derry J, Danawi H, Dandona R, Daoud F, Darby SC, Dargan PI, das

Neves J, Davey G, Davis AC, Davitoiu DV, de Castro EF, de Jager P, Leo DD, Degenhardt L,

Dellavalle RP, Deribe K, Deribew A, Dharmaratne SD, Dhillon PK, Diaz-Torné C, Ding EL, dos

Santos KPB, Dossou E, Driscoll TR, Duan L, Dubey M, Duncan BB, Ellenbogen RG, Ellingsen

CL, Elyazar I, Endries AY, Ermakov SP, Eshrati B, Esteghamati A, Estep K, Faghmous IDA,

Fahimi S, Faraon EJA, Farid TA, Farinha CS e S, Faro A, Farvid MS, Farzadfar F, Feigin VL,

Fereshtehnejad S-M, Fernandes JG, Fernandes JC, Fischer F, Fitchett JRA, Flaxman A, Foigt

N, Fowkes FGR, Franca EB, Franklin RC, Friedman J, Frostad J, Fürst T, Futran ND, Gall SL,

Gambashidze K, Gamkrelidze A, Ganguly P, Gankpé FG, Gebre T, Gebrehiwot TT,

Gebremedhin AT, Gebru AA, Geleijnse JM, Gessner BD, Ghoshal AG, Gibney KB, Gillum RF,

Gilmour S, Giref AZ, Giroud M, Gishu MD, Giussani G, Glaser E, Godwin WW, Gomez-Dantes

H, Gona P, Goodridge A, Gopalani SV, Gosselin RA, Gotay CC, Goto A, Gouda HN, Greaves F,

Gugnani HC, Gupta R, Gupta R, Gupta V, Gutiérrez RA, Hafezi-Nejad N, Haile D, Hailu AD,

Hailu GB, Halasa YA, Hamadeh RR, Hamidi S, Hancock J, Handal AJ, Hankey GJ, Hao Y, Harb

HL, Harikrishnan S, Haro JM, Havmoeller R, Heckbert SR, Heredia-Pi IB, Heydarpour P,

Hilderink HBM, Hoek HW, Hogg RS, Horino M, Horita N, Hosgood HD, Hotez PJ, Hoy DG,

Hsairi M, Htet AS, Htike MMT, Hu G, Huang C, Huang H, Huiart L, Husseini A, Huybrechts I,

Huynh G, Iburg KM, Innos K, Inoue M, Iyer VJ, Jacobs TA, Jacobsen KH, Jahanmehr N,

Jakovljevic MB, James P, Javanbakht M, Jayaraman SP, Jayatilleke AU, Jeemon P, Jensen PN,

Jha V, Jiang G, Jiang Y, Jibat T, Jimenez-Corona A, Jonas JB, Joshi TK, Kabir Z, Kamal R, Kan H,

Kant S, Karch A, Karema CK, Karimkhani C, Karletsos D, Karthikeyan G, Kasaeian A, Katibeh

M, Kaul A, Kawakami N, Kayibanda JF, Keiyoro PN, Kemmer L, Kemp AH, Kengne AP, Keren

A, Kereselidze M, Kesavachandran CN, Khader YS, Khalil IA, Khan AR, Khan EA, Khang Y-H,

Khera S, Khoja TAM, Kieling C, Kim D, Kim YJ, Kissela BM, Kissoon N, Knibbs LD, Knudsen AK,

Kokubo Y, Kolte D, Kopec JA, Kosen S, Koul PA, Koyanagi A, Krog NH, Defo BK, Bicer BK,

Kudom AA, Kuipers EJ, Kulkarni VS, Kumar GA, Kwan GF, Lal A, Lal DK, Lalloo R, Lallukka T,

Lam H, Lam JO, Langan SM, Lansingh VC, Larsson A, Laryea DO, Latif AA, Lawrynowicz AEB,

Leigh J, Levi M, Li Y, Lindsay MP, Lipshultz SE, Liu PY, Liu S, Liu Y, Lo L-T, Logroscino G, Lotufo

PA, Lucas RM, Lunevicius R, Lyons RA, Ma S, Machado VMP, Mackay MT, MacLachlan JH,

Razek HMAE, Magdy M, Razek AE, Majdan M, Majeed A, Malekzadeh R, Manamo WAA,

Mandisarisa J, Mangalam S, Mapoma CC, Marcenes W, Margolis DJ, Martin GR, Martinez-

Raga J, Marzan MB, Masiye F, Mason-Jones AJ, Massano J, Matzopoulos R, Mayosi BM,

McGarvey ST, McGrath JJ, McKee M, McMahon BJ, Meaney PA, Mehari A, Mehndiratta

MM, Mejia-Rodriguez F, Mekonnen AB, Melaku YA, Memiah P, Memish ZA, Mendoza W,

Meretoja A, Meretoja TJ, Mhimbira FA, Micha R, Millear A, Miller TR, Mirarefin M,

Misganaw A, Mock CN, Mohammad KA, Mohammadi A, Mohammed S, Mohan V, Mola

GLD, Monasta L, Hernandez JCM, Montero P, Montico M, Montine TJ, Moradi-Lakeh M,

Morawska L, Morgan K, Mori R, Mozaffarian D, Mueller UO, Murthy GVS, Murthy S, Musa

KI, Nachega JB, Nagel G, Naidoo KS, Naik N, Naldi L, Nangia V, Nash D, Nejjari C, Neupane S,

Newton CR, Newton JN, Ng M, Ngalesoni FN, de Dieu Ngirabega J, Nguyen QL, Nisar MI,

Pete PMN, Nomura M, Norheim OF, Norman PE, Norrving B, Nyakarahuka L, Ogbo FA,

Ohkubo T, Ojelabi FA, Olivares PR, Olusanya BO, Olusanya JO, Opio JN, Oren E, Ortiz A,

Osman M, Ota E, Ozdemir R, Pa M, Pain A, Pandian JD, Pant PR, Papachristou C, Park E-K,

Park J-H, Parry CD, Parsaeian M, Caicedo AJP, Patten SB, Patton GC, Paul VK, Pearce N,

Pedro JM, Stokic LP, Pereira DM, Perico N, Pesudovs K, Petzold M, Phillips MR, Piel FB, Pillay

JD, Plass D, Platts-Mills JA, Polinder S, Pope CA, Popova S, Poulton RG, Pourmalek F,

Prabhakaran D, Qorbani M, Quame-Amaglo J, Quistberg DA, Rafay A, Rahimi K, Rahimi-

Movaghar V, Rahman M, Rahman MHU, Rahman SU, Rai RK, Rajavi Z, Rajsic S, Raju M,

Rakovac I, Rana SM, Ranabhat CL, Rangaswamy T, Rao P, Rao SR, Refaat AH, Rehm J,

Reitsma MB, Remuzzi G, Resnikoff S, Ribeiro AL, Ricci S, Blancas MJR, Roberts B, Roca A,

Rojas-Rueda D, Ronfani L, Roshandel G, Rothenbacher D, Roy A, Roy NK, Ruhago GM, Sagar

R, Saha S, Sahathevan R, Saleh MM, Sanabria JR, Sanchez-Niño MD, Sanchez-Riera L, Santos

IS, Sarmiento-Suarez R, Sartorius B, Satpathy M, Savic M, Sawhney M, Schaub MP, Schmidt

MI, Schneider IJC, Schöttker B, Schutte AE, Schwebel DC, Seedat S, Sepanlou SG, Servan-

Mori EE, Shackelford KA, Shaddick G, Shaheen A, Shahraz S, Shaikh MA, Shakh-Nazarova M,

Sharma R, She J, Sheikhbahaei S, Shen J, Shen Z, Shepard DS, Sheth KN, Shetty BP, Shi P,

Shibuya K, Shin M-J, Shiri R, Shiue I, Shrime MG, Sigfusdottir ID, Silberberg DH, Silva DAS,

Silveira DGA, Silverberg JI, Simard EP, Singh A, Singh GM, Singh JA, Singh OP, Singh PK, Singh

V, Soneji S, Søreide K, Soriano JB, Sposato LA, Sreeramareddy CT, Stathopoulou V, Stein DJ,

Stein MB, Stranges S, Stroumpoulis K, Sunguya BF, Sur P, Swaminathan S, Sykes BL, Szoeke

CEI, Tabarés-Seisdedos R, Tabb KM, Takahashi K, Takala JS, Talongwa RT, Tandon N,

Tavakkoli M, Taye B, Taylor HR, Ao BJT, Tedla BA, Tefera WM, Have MT, Terkawi AS, Tesfay

FH, Tessema GA, Thomson AJ, Thorne-Lyman AL, Thrift AG, Thurston GD, Tillmann T,

Tirschwell DL, Tonelli M, Topor-Madry R, Topouzis F, Towbin JA, Traebert J, Tran BX,

Truelsen T, Trujillo U, Tura AK, Tuzcu EM, Uchendu US, Ukwaja KN, Undurraga EA, Uthman

OA, Dingenen RV, van Donkelaar A, Vasankari T, Vasconcelos AMN, Venketasubramanian N,

Vidavalur R, Vijayakumar L, Villalpando S, Violante FS, Vlassov VV, Wagner JA, Wagner GR,

Wallin MT, Wang L, Watkins DA, Weichenthal S, Weiderpass E, Weintraub RG, Werdecker A,

Westerman R, White RA, Wijeratne T, Wilkinson JD, Williams HC, Wiysonge CS,

Woldeyohannes SM, Wolfe CDA, Won S, Wong JQ, Woolf AD, Xavier D, Xiao Q, Xu G, Yakob

B, Yalew AZ, Yan LL, Yano Y, Yaseri M, Ye P, Yebyo HG, Yip P, Yirsaw BD, Yonemoto N, Yonga

G, Younis MZ, Yu S, Zaidi Z, Zaki MES, Zannad F, Zavala DE, Zeeb H, Zeleke BM, Zhang H,

Zodpey S, Zonies D, Zuhlke LJ, Vos T, Lopez AD, Murray CJL. 2016. Global, regional, and

national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes of

death, 1980–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. The

Lancet 388:1459–1544.

2.   Marie C, Petri WA. 2013. Amoebic dysentery. BMJ Clin Evid 2013:0918.

3.   Alvarado-Esquivel C, Hernandez-Tinoco J, Sanchez-Anguiano LF. 2015. Seroepidemiology of

Entamoeba histolytica Infection in General Population in Rural Durango, Mexico. J Clin Med

Res 7:435–439.

4.   Gilchrist CA, Petri SE, Schneider BN, Reichman DJ, Jiang N, Begum S, Watanabe K, Jansen CS,

Elliott KP, Burgess SL, Ma JZ, Alam M, Kabir M, Haque R, Petri WA. 2016. Role of the Gut

Microbiota of Children in Diarrhea Due to the Protozoan Parasite Entamoeba histolytica. J

Infect Dis 213:1579–1585.

5.   Haque R, Huston CD, Hughes M, Houpt E, Petri WA. 2003. Amebiasis. New England Journal

of Medicine 348:1565–1573.

6.   Petri WA, Haque R, Mann BJ. 2002. The Bittersweet Interface of Parasite and Host: Lectin-

Carbohydrate Interactions During Human Invasion by the Parasite Entamoeba histolytica.

Annu Rev Microbiol 56:39–64.

7.   Reed SL, Curd JG, Gigli I, Gillin FD, Braude AI. 1986. Activation of complement by pathogenic

and nonpathogenic Entamoeba histolytica. J Immunol 136:2265–2270.

8.   Costa CA, Nunes ÁC, Ferreira AJ, Gomes MA, Caliari MV. 2010. Entamoeba histolytica and E.

dispar trophozoites in the liver of hamsters: in vivo binding of antibodies and complement.

Parasites & Vectors 3:23.

9.   Reed SL, Ember JA, Herdman DS, DiScipio RG, Hugli TE, Gigli I. 1995. The extracellular

neutral cysteine proteinase of Entamoeba histolytica degrades anaphylatoxins C3a and C5a.

J Immunol 155:266–274.

10. Reed SL, Gigli I. 1990. Lysis of complement-sensitive Entamoeba histolytica by activated

terminal complement components. Initiation of complement activation by an extracellular

neutral cysteine proteinase. J Clin Invest 86:1815–1822.

11. Reed SL, Keene WE, McKerrow JH, Gigli I. 1989. Cleavage of C3 by a neutral cysteine

proteinase of Entamoeba histolytica. J Immunol 143:189–195.

12. Braga LL, Ninomiya H, McCoy JJ, Eacker S, Wiedmer T, Pham C, Wood S, Sims PJ, Petri WA.

1992. Inhibition of the complement membrane attack complex by the galactose-specific

adhesion of Entamoeba histolytica. J Clin Invest 90:1131–1137.

13. Miller HW, Suleiman RL, Ralston KS. 2019. Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica Mediates

Acquisition and Display of Human Cell Membrane Proteins and Evasion of Lysis by Human

Serum. mBio 10:e00068-19.

14. Bettadapur A, Miller HW, Ralston KS. 2020. Biting Off What Can Be Chewed: Trogocytosis in

Health, Infection, and Disease. Infect Immun 88:e00930-19.

15. Ralston KS, Solga MD, Mackey-Lawrence NM, Somlata null, Bhattacharya A, Petri WA.

2014. Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica contributes to cell killing and tissue invasion.

Nature 508:526–530.

16. González-Ruiz A, Haque R, Aguirre A, Castañón G, Hall A, Guhl F, Ruiz-Palacios G, Miles MA,

Warhurst DC. 1994. Value of microscopy in the diagnosis of dysentery associated with

invasive Entamoeba histolytica. J Clin Pathol 47:236–239.

17. Davies A, Simmons DL, Hale G, Harrison RA, Tighe H, Lachmann PJ, Waldmann H. 1989.

CD59, an LY-6-like protein expressed in human lymphoid cells, regulates the action of the

complement membrane attack complex on homologous cells. Journal of Experimental

Medicine 170:637–654.

18. Štefanová I, Hilgert I, Krištofová H, Brown R, Low MG, Hořejši V. 1989. Characterization of a

broadly expressed human leucocyte surface antigen MEM-43 anchored in membrane

through phosphatidylinositol. Molecular Immunology 26:153–161.

19. Cinek T, Horejsí V. 1992. The nature of large noncovalent complexes containing glycosyl-

phosphatidylinositol-anchored membrane glycoproteins and protein tyrosine kinases. The

Journal of Immunology 149:2262–2270.

20. Rollins SA, Sims PJ. 1990. The complement-inhibitory activity of CD59 resides in its capacity

to block incorporation of C9 into membrane C5b-9. J Immunol 144:3478–3483.

21. Meri S, Morgan BP, Davies A, Daniels RH, Olavesen MG, Waldmann H, Lachmann PJ. 1990.

Human protectin (CD59), an 18,000-20,000 MW complement lysis restricting factor, inhibits

C5b-8 catalysed insertion of C9 into lipid bilayers. Immunology 71:1–9.

22. Zhao J, Rollins SA, Maher SE, Bothwell AL, Sims PJ. 1991. Amplified gene expression in

CD59-transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells confers protection against the membrane

attack complex of human complement. J Biol Chem 266:13418–13422.

23. Ventura-Juárez J, Campos-Rodríguez R, Jarillo-Luna RA, Muñoz-Fernández L, Escario-G-

Trevijano JA, Pérez-Serrano J, Quintanar JL, Salinas E, Villalobos-Gómez FR. 2009.

Trophozoites of Entamoeba histolytica express a CD59-like molecule in human colon.

Parasitol Res 104:821–826.

24. Wang G, Liszewski MK, Chan AC, Atkinson JP. 2000. Membrane Cofactor Protein (MCP;

CD46): Isoform-Specific Tyrosine Phosphorylation. The Journal of Immunology 164:1839–

1846.

25. Riley-Vargas RC, Gill DB, Kemper C, Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP. 2004. CD46: expanding

beyond complement regulation. Trends in Immunology 25:496–503.

26. Liszewski MK, Atkinson JP. 2015. Complement regulator CD46: genetic variants and disease

associations. Human Genomics 9:7.

27. Seya T, Turner JR, Atkinson JP. 1986. Purification and characterization of a membrane

protein (gp45-70) that is a cofactor for cleavage of C3b and C4b. J Exp Med 163:837–855.

28. Goslings WR, Blom DJ, de Waard-Siebinga I, van Beelen E, Claas FH, Jager MJ, Gorter A.

1996. Membrane-bound regulators of complement activation in uveal melanomas. CD46,

CD55, and CD59 in uveal melanomas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 37:1884–1891.

29. Varsano S, Rashkovsky L, Shapiro H, Ophir D, Mark-Bentankur T. 1998. Human lung cancer

cell lines express cell membrane complement inhibitory proteins and are extremely

resistant to complement-mediated lysis; a comparison with normal human respiratory

epithelium in vitro, and an insight into mechanism(s) of resistance. Clin Exp Immunol

113:173–182.

30. Nicholson-Weller A, Burge J, Fearon DT, Weller PF, Austen KF. 1982. Isolation of a human

erythrocyte membrane glycoprotein with decay-accelerating activity for C3 convertases of

the complement system. The Journal of Immunology 129:184–189.

31. Medof ME, Kinoshita T, Nussenzweig V. 1984. Inhibition of complement activation on the

surface of cells after incorporation of decay-accelerating factor (DAF) into their membranes.

J Exp Med 160:1558–1578.

32. Fishelson Z, Donin N, Zell S, Schultz S, Kirschfink M. 2003. Obstacles to cancer

immunotherapy: expression of membrane complement regulatory proteins (mCRPs) in

tumors. Molecular Immunology 40:109–123.

33. Gelderman KA, Blok VT, Fleuren GJ, Gorter A. 2002. The Inhibitory Effect of CD46, CD55, and

CD59 on Complement Activation After Immunotherapeutic Treatment of Cervical

Carcinoma Cells with Monoclonal Antibodies or Bispecific Monoclonal Antibodies. Lab

Invest 82:483–493.

34. Jurianz K, Maslak S, Garcia-Schüler H, Fishelson Z, Kirschfink M. 1999. Neutralization of

complement regulatory proteins augments lysis of breast carcinoma cells targeted with

rhumAb anti-HER2. Immunopharmacology 42:209–218.

35. Suleiman RL, Ralston KS. Growth and genetic manipulation of Entamoeba histolytica.

Current Protocols in Microbiology.

36. Cong L, Ran FA, Cox D, Lin S, Barretto R, Habib N, Hsu PD, Wu X, Jiang W, Marraffini LA,

Zhang F. 2013. Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. Science 339:819–

823.

37. Thielen AJF, van Baarsen IM, Jongsma ML, Zeerleder S, Spaapen RM, Wouters D. 2018.

CRISPR/Cas9 generated human CD46, CD55 and CD59 knockout cell lines as a tool for

complement research. Journal of Immunological Methods 456:15–22.

38. Saito-Nakano Y, Yasuda T, Nakada-Tsukui K, Leippe M, Nozaki T. 2004. Rab5-associated

Vacuoles Play a Unique Role in Phagocytosis of the Enteric Protozoan Parasite Entamoeba

histolytica*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279:49497–49507.

39. Saffer LD, Petri WA. 1991. Role of the galactose lectin of Entamoeba histolytica in

adherence-dependent killing of mammalian cells. Infect Immun 59:4681–4683.

40. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden

C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona

A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9:676–

682.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Ingestion of latex beads does not protect amoebae from complement lysis

Amoebae were incubated with $3x10^6$ or $1.5x10^7$ fluorescent latex beads for 1 hour or were

incubated without latex beads, and then exposed to human serum. Amoebic viability was

determined with Zombie Violet viability dye. Imaging flow cytometry was used to quantify bead

ingestion and amoebic viability. **(A)** The percentage of amoebae that had ingested any number

of latex beads. **(B)** Mean number of ingested beads among amoebae that had ingested beads.

(C) Normalized amoeba death following exposure to human serum. Values are normalized to

the amoebae that were incubated without latex beads. **(D)** Quantification of the number of

ingested beads per amoeba; shown are the data plots from one replicate per sample type.

Amoebae that did not perform bead ingestion fall into the "Bead −" gate, while amoebae that

ingested beads fall into the "Bead +" gate. **(G)** Representative images of amoebae that were

incubated without beads, or in the presence of $3x10^6$ or $1.5x10^7$ beads. Ingested beads are

shown in yellow. Data are from four replicates across two independent experiments.

Figure 2: Amoebic protection from complement following trogocytosis is dose-dependent.

Amoebae were labeled with CMFDA cytoplasm dye and incubated in the absence of human

cells, or with increasing concentrations of human Jurkat cells or primary human red blood cells.

Human cells were labeled with DiD membrane dye. Following exposure to human serum,

amoeba death was assessed with Zombie Violet viability dye and ingested human cell material

was determined by quantifying mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of DiD present on amoebae.

(A) Normalized MFI of DiD on amoebae incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells or with

increasing concentrations of Jurkat cells. **(B)** Normalized death of amoebae from conditions in

panel A. **(C)** Death of amoebae from conditions in panel A, expressed as percent protection.

Percent protection was calculated by subtracting the total death of amoebae incubated with

human cells from the total death of amoebae incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells. **(D)**

Normalized MFI of DiD on amoebae incubated in the absence of red blood cells or with

increasing concentrations of red blood cells. **(E)** Normalized death of amoebae from conditions

in panel D. **(F)** Death of amoebae from conditions in panel D, expressed as percent protection.

(G) Representative images of amoebae incubated with increasing concentrations of Jurkat cells.

Amoebae are shown in green and ingested human cell material is shown in red. Data were

analyzed by imaging flow cytometry and are from 6 replicates across 3 independent

experiments. **(H)** Representative images of amoebae incubated with red blood cells. Data were

analyzed by imaging flow cytometry and are from 6 replicates across 3 independent

experiments.

Figure 3: Amoebic trogocytosis of human cells inhibits deposition of complement C3b.

Amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat T cells or in the presence of Jurkat cells, and

subsequently exposed to human serum. Viability was assessed with Zombie Violet dye. The

presence of C3b was detected using a mouse monoclonal antibody to C3b and iC3b. **(A)** Death

of amoebae that were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells or in the presence of Jurkat cells.

(B) Mean fluorescence intensity of deposited C3b on amoebae. **(C)** Deposited C3b on dead or

live amoebae. **(D)** Deposited C3b on dead or live amoebae that had been incubated in the

absence of Jurkat cells (open circles) or in the presence of Jurkat cells (filled circles). **(E)**

Representative images of C3b deposition (red) on live or dead amoebae. Data were analyzed by

imaging flow cytometry and are from 6 replicates across 3 independent experiments.

Figure 4: Amoebae acquire and display the complement regulatory protein CD59 from human

cells.

Amoebae were allowed to perform trogocytosis on human Jurkat T cells for 5 minutes or 1

hour, or were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells. Human CD59 (red) was detected on the

amoebae surface by monoclonal antibody staining. Amoebae were labeled with CMFDA (green)

and human cell nuclei were labeled with Hoechst (blue). **(A)** Representative images from

amoebae incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells or amoebae that performed trogocytosis on

human Jurkat T cells for 5 minutes or 1 hour. Arrows indicate patches of displayed CD59 on the

amoeba surface. **(B)** 3D rendering of Z stack images taken from amoebae that were incubated

with human Jurkat T cells for 1 hour. **(C)** Zoomed in image of amoebae that were incubated

with human Jurkat T cells for 1 hour. Data were analyzed by confocal microscopy. 136 Images

were collected from 1 independent experiment.

Figure 5: The amount of displayed CD59 increases with increased trogocytosis of human cells.

Acquired CD59 molecules were quantified on amoebae that were allowed to perform

trogocytosis on human Jurkat T cells for 5 minutes or 1 hour, or were incubated in the absence

of Jurkat cells. **(A)** Masking strategy for analysis of displayed CD59 on the amoeba surface. A

mask was created in order to allow for the detection of CD59 that overlapped with amoebae,

while excluding CD59 on intact human cells attached to amoebae. The mask is displayed in

turquoise, as an overlay on the individual images. Amoebae were labeled with CMFDA (green),

human cell nuclei were labeled with Hoechst (blue), and CD59 was detected with a monoclonal

antibody (red). Extracellular human cell nuclei fluorescence was removed from the masked

analysis area. The excluded area around human cell nuclei was then dilated by 4 pixels to

include the entire diameter of the intact extra-cellular human cells and associated CD59. CD59

was analyzed in the remaining masked analysis area of each image to allow for analysis of

displayed patches of acquired CD59 on amoebae. **(B)** The normalized mean fluorescence

intensity of CD59 on amoebae after 5 minutes or 1 hour of trogocytosis or amoebae that were

incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells. To normalize the data, samples were normalized to the

1 hour of trogocytosis condition. **(C)** Representative images of amoebae that had performed

trogocytosis on human Jurkat T cells for 5 minutes or 1 hour. Arrows indicate displayed CD59.

Data were analyzed by imaging flow cytometry and are from 5 replicates across 3 independent

experiments. The no primary control condition was performed in 2 of 3 independent

experiments and data are from 3 replicates.

Figure 6: Removal of CD59 and CD46 is not sufficient to sensitize amoebae to complement

lysis.

(A-D) Human Jurkat T cells deficient in CD59 or CD46 were constructed using CRISPR/Cas9.

Immunofluorescence and imaging flow cytometry were used to quantify CD59 or CD46. **(A)**

Representative images of CD59 antibody staining (red) in vector control human cells or CD59

mutants. **(B)** Intensity of CD59 antibody staining in vector control human cells (gray) or CD59

mutants (black). 99.5% of CD59 mutants were in the CD59-negative gate ("-CD59"), while 0.75%

of vector control cells were in this gate. **(C)** Representative images of CD46 antibody staining

(red) in vector control human cells or CD46 mutants. **(D)** Intensity of CD46 antibody staining in

vector control human cells (gray) or CD46 mutants (black). 99.5% of CD46 mutants were in the

CD46-negative gate ("-CD46"), while 0.27% of vector control cells were in this gate. **(E-F)**

Amoebae were labeled with CMFDA cytoplasm dye and incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells

or with Jurkat cells. Human cell lines were either vector control cells, CD59 KO mutants, or

CD46 KO mutants. Human cells were labeled with DiD membrane dye. Following exposure to

human serum, amoeba death was assessed with Zombie Violet viability dye and ingested

human cell material was determined by quantifying mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of DiD

present on amoebae. **(E)** Normalized death of amoebae. **(F)** Normalized mean fluorescence

intensity of DiD on amoebae. Data were analyzed by imaging flow cytometry and are from 6

replicates across 3 independent experiments.

Figure 7: Amoebae transfected with expression plasmids display human CD46 or CD55.

Amoebae were transfected with expression constructs for human CD46 or human CD55, or the

expression plasmid backbone as a negative control. **(A)** RT-PCR analysis with primers specific for

human CD46, human CD55, or amoebic GAPDH. Samples were incubated with or without

reverse transcriptase (RT +/-), to control for DNA contamination. **(B-J)** Amoebae were labeled

with a cytoplasmic dye (CMFDA) and immunofluorescence was performed without

permeabilization. **(B)** Mean fluorescence intensity of human CD46 antibody staining. Amoebae

were stably transfected with a plasmid for expression of human CD46 (red) or vector backbone

(beige), and were stained using a CD46 primary antibody and a far red secondary antibody.

Amoebae expressing human CD46 were also incubated without primary antibody (grey), or

without any antibodies (black). **(C)** Mean fluorescence intensity of human CD55 antibody

staining. Amoebae were stably transfected with a plasmid for expression of human CD55 (blue)

or vector backbone (beige), and were stained using a CD46 primary antibody and a far red

secondary antibody. Amoebae expressing human CD55 were also incubated without primary

antibody (grey), or without any antibodies (black). **(D)** Mean fluorescence intensity of amoebic

Gal/GalNAc lectin antibody staining. Amoebae were stably transfected with a plasmid for

expression of human CD46 (red), or human CD55 (blue), or vector backbone (beige), and were

stained using a Gal/GalNAc lectin primary antibody and a far red secondary antibody. Amoebae

were also incubated without primary antibody, or without any antibodies. **(E-G)** Histograms

corresponding to the mean fluorescence intensity data shown in panels B-D. Panel G shows

antibody staining of vector control amoebae, stained with both antibodies (beige), without

primary antibody (grey), or without any antibodies (black). (H-J) Representative images

corresponding to the analysis shown in panels B-G. Data shown in panel A are representative of

two replicates. Data shown in panels B-J were analyzed by imaging flow cytometry are from 3-4

replicates across 2 independent experiments; the data from one independent experiment are

shown.

Figure 8: Display of human CD46 or CD55 is sufficient to protect amoebae from complement

lysis.

Amoebae were stably transfected with CD46 or CD55 expression constructs, or vector

backbone. Following exposure to either media or human serum, amoeba viability was assessed

using Zombie Violet viability dye and imaging flow cytometry. Amoebic death was normalized

to vector control amoebae that were incubated with human serum. These data are from 8

replicates across 4 independent experiments.

TABLES

Table 1: Primers used in these studies.

Purpose	Primer Name	F/R	Sequence
Cloning of guide RNAs into the pX330-U6-Chimeric_BB-CBh-hSpCas9 plasmid backbone	CD59 gRNA (**BbsI overhang**) CD59 gRNA (**BbsI overhang**) CD46 gRNA (**BbsI overhang**) CD46 gRNA (**BbsI overhang**)	Forward Reverse Forward Reverse	**CACC**GCAAGGAGGGTCTGTCCTGTT **AAAC**AACAGGACAGACCCTCCTTGC **CACC**GAAAGGGACACTCGCGGCGGC **AAAC**GCCGCCGCGAGTGTCCCTTTC
Sanger sequencing of CRISPR gRNA plasmids	GENEWIZ Universal Primer "U6"	Forward	GACTATCATATGCTTACCGT
BLAST-identified primer sets for specific PCR amplification of CD59 and CD46	CD59 PCR amplification CD59 PCR amplification CD46 PCR amplification CD46 PCR amplification	Forward Reverse Forward Reverse	TTGACTCACTGACCCTGATGG TATCCATTGGTGTCCCCAAGC ACAAATATGACGGCGAGCCA GGCTCAATCCCGAAAACACG
Sanger Sequencing of PCR product from CRISPR knockout clones	CD59 sequencing CD46 sequencing	Forward Forward	GGGGCTTATAGGGACTGAGC ACCTCTCGAAGGCCAAGG
Gibson cloning of CD46 and CD55 coding sequences into a pEhEx plasmid backbone	CD55 coding sequence (**homology to pEhEx**) CD55 coding sequence (**homology to pEhEx**) CD46 coding sequence (**homology to pEhEx**) CD46 coding sequence (**homology to pEhEx**) pEhEx (**homology to CD46 coding sequence**) pEhEx (**homology to CD46 coding sequence**)	Forward Reverse Forward Reverse Forward Reverse	**AAACACATTAACAGATCTT**CATGACCGTCGCGCGGCCGAG **GAAGAGTTCAACTCGAGTGG**CTAAGTCAGCAAGCCCATGG **AAACACATTAACAGATCTT**CATGGAGCCTCCCGGCCGCCG **GAAGAGTTCAACTCGAGTGG**TCAGCCTCTCTGCTCTGCTG CAGCAGAGCAGAGAGGCTGA**CCACTCGAGTTGAACTCTTC** CGGCGGCCGGGAGGCTCCAT**GAAGATCTGTTAATGTGTTT**

Sequencing of CD59 and CD46 expression plasmids	pEhEx CS UTR Primer	Forward	TCAGTCTTACCACGTCATAAAGT
	pEhEx CS UTR Primer	Reverse	TGCAAGAAGATGTTACAAAGCA
RT-PCR of amoebae mutants	CD46 RT-PCR	Forward	TCCCTGCAAATGGGACTTAC
	CD46 RT-PCR	Reverse	GGGGGATCCCAAGTACTGTT
	CD55 RT-PCR	Forward	ATGAGTGCCGTCCAGGTTAC
	CD55 RT-PCR	Reverse	CTGAACTGTTGGTGGGACCT

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1: Gating strategy used for analysis of bead ingestion experiments

Amoebae were gated on by brightfield area and aspect ratio during acquisition. Next, using

Gradient RMS Bright Field, focused cells were gated on from total collected events. Because

amoebae remained unstained in these experiments, single amoebae were then gated on using

brightfield area and aspect ratio. From the single amoebae population, amoebae viability and

bead ingestion were measured. Dead amoebae were gated on using fluorescence intensity of

Zombie Violet dye and side scatter. Bead ingestion was quantified using the "spot count "

feature in Amnis IDEAS software and quantified spots of red bead fluorescence.

Figure S2: Gating strategy used for analysis of experiments with increasing numbers of human

cells, and for immunofluorescence analysis of amoebae exogenously expressing CD46 or

CD55.

(A) Gating strategy for experiments with increasing numbers of Jurkat cells, and for

immunofluorescence analysis of amoebae exogenously expressing CD46 or CD55. Focused cells

were gated on from total collected events, using Gradient RMS Bright Field. Single amoebae

were gated using area and aspect ratio of CMFDA cytoplasm dye fluorescence. Dead amoebae

were gated on using fluorescence intensity of Zombie Violet dye and side scatter. **(B)** Gating

strategy for experiments with increasing numbers of red blood cells. Only amoeba events were

collected for analysis and were gated on using bright field area and aspect ratio during data

acquisition. Focused cells were gated on from total collected events, using Gradient RMS Bright

Field. Single amoebae were gated using area and aspect ratio of CMFDA cytoplasm dye

fluorescence. Dead amoebae were gated on using fluorescence intensity of Zombie Violet dye

and side scatter.

Figure S3: Gating strategy used for analysis of C3b deposition experiments.

(A) Focused cells were gated on from total collected events, using Gradient RMS Bright Field.

Single amoebae were gated using area and aspect ratio of CMFDA cytoplasm dye fluorescence.

Dead amoebae were gated on using fluorescence intensity of Zombie Violet dye and side

scatter. **(B)** Representative histograms of C3b fluorescence intensity of all single amoeba, live

amoeba, or dead amoeba populations.

Figure S4: Biotinylated human cell membrane proteins are detected on the surface of

amoebae prior to fixation.

The surface of human Jurkat cells was biotinylated prior to incubation with amoebae. Following

incubation, samples were placed on ice to halt membrane turnover and fluorescently-

conjugated streptavidin was used to detect biotinylated proteins on the surface on both human

cells and amoebae (magenta) prior to fixation. DNA was labeled with DAPI nucleic acid stain

following fixation. Arrows indicate transferred patches of human proteins on the surface of

amoebae. **(A)** Amoebae and biotinylated human cells were incubated together for 2 minutes.

(B) A closeup image of an amoebae from the panel shown in A with transferred human proteins

on its surface. **(C)** Amoebae and human cells were incubated together for 5 minutes. Amoebic

autofluorescence is shown in green. **(D)** 3-D reconstruction of Z stacks taken from the data in C.

(E-F) Human cells and amoebae were incubated together for 5 minutes. Amoebae cytoplasm

was labeled with CMFDA dye (green), and the nuclei of cells were left unstained. Data were

analyzed by confocal microscopy. Images in A-F are representative of data collected from 4

independent experiments with incubation times of 2-5 minutes.

Figure S5: Gating strategy used for analysis of CD59 displayed on amoebae after 5 minutes

and 1 hour of trogocytosis.

A masking strategy was developed to quantify only fluorescence of CD59 present on the

amoebae, and not on extracellular human cells. **(A)** Focused cells were gated on from total

collected events, using Gradient RMS Bright Field. Single amoebae were gated using area and

aspect ratio of CMFDA cytoplasm dye fluorescence. Next, fluorescence intensity of CD59 inside

of the masked area was measured. **(B)** Representative images of bright field, amoeba

cytoplasm, human cell nuclei, and CD59 fluorescence with the masked area (turquoise) applied

as an overlay.

Figure S6: Amoebae acquire and display the complement regulatory protein CD46 from

human cells.

Amoebae were incubated in the absence of Jurkat T cells, or were allowed to perform

trogocytosis on human Jurkat cells for 5 minutes. Human cell nuclei were pre-labeled with

Hoechst (blue), and amoebae were pre-labeled with CMFDA (green). Human CD46 (red) was

detected on the amoebae surface by monoclonal antibody staining. (A) Representative images

from amoebae that were incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells. (B) Representative images of

amoebae that performed trogocytosis on human Jurkat cells for 5 minutes. Arrows indicate

patches of displayed CD46 on the amoeba surface. Data were analyzed by imaging flow

cytometry and are from 2 replicates and 1 independent experiment.

Figure S7: Removal of GPI-anchored surface proteins using phosphatidylinositol-specific

phospholipase C.

Amoebae were labeled with CMFDA cytoplasm dye and incubated in the absence of Jurkat cells

or with Jurkat cells. Human cells were labeled with DiD membrane dye. Samples were then

treated with phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) to remove GPI-anchored

proteins, or heat-inactivated phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (HI-PI-PLC) as a

control. Following exposure to human serum, amoeba death was assessed with Zombie Violet

viability dye and ingested human cell material was determined by quantifying mean

fluorescence intensity (MFI) of DiD present on amoebae. **(A)** Normalized death of amoebae. **(B)**

Normalized mean fluorescence intensity of DiD on amoebae. Data were analyzed by imaging

flow cytometry and are from 8 replicates across 4 independent experiments. The untreated

control condition was performed 3 of 4 independent experiments and data are from 6

replicates.

Figure S8: Gating strategy used for analysis of experiments with CRISPR knockout mutants, PI-

PLC treatment experiments, amoebae exogenously expressing CD46 or CD55.

Only cell events were collected for analysis (to minimize collection of debris) and were gated on

using bright field area and aspect ratio during data acquisition. Focused cells were gated on

from total collected events, using Gradient RMS Bright Field. Single amoebae were gated using

intensity of and aspect ratio of CMFDA cytoplasm dye fluorescence. **(A)** In CRISPR knockout

mutant and PI-PLC treatment experiments, amoebae were gated on a second time using

CMFDA fluorescence intensity and side scatter to eliminate remaining clumps of human cells

from the analysis. **(B)** In experiments with amoebae exogenously expressing CD46 or CD55, the

second amoebae gate was not used. Finally, dead amoebae were gated on using fluorescence

intensity of Zombie Violet dye and side scatter.

Figure S9: Sequencing analysis of Jurkat CRISPR/Cas9 mutants.

Chromatograms from Sanger sequencing analysis of Jurkat T cell CRISPR/Cas9 mutants.

Chromatograms from CD59 mutants **(A)** and CD46 mutants **(B)** show that the gene sequence of

the mutants is different from the vector control cells downstream of the gRNA/PAM sites.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

A.

Bright Field | Amoeba Cytoplasm | Merge

Human Cell Nuclei | CD59

10 µm

B.

Normalized MFI CD59

Human Cells	+	-	+	-	+
Incubation	1hour	5min	5min	1hour	1hour
1° Antibody	-	+	+	+	+

** *** ****

C.

5 minutes | **1 hour**

Nuclei
Amoeba
CD59

10 µm

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8